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General comments

I thank the authors for their efforts in revising the paper, and in particular including the new Fig. 11. This figure indicates that the enhancement of snow albedo reduction associated with internal mixing of dust in snow as compared with external mixing is typically 28% for the effective medium approximation used by the authors and 16% for the geometric-optics surface-wave approach. Whether or not this is a small difference is a matter of taste, but the main point is that this difference is now adequately documented. I recommend the acceptance of this paper in ACP subject to a small number of minor comments, as given below.

R: We are very grateful for the referee’s positive comments. The followings are our point-by-point responses to the comments. Our responses start with “R:”. In addition, we also updated Figure 5 due to the previous misuse of Figure 5a.

Minor comments

1. p. 14, lines 11–13: “For example, the $\alpha_\lambda$ decreased by 0.6%, 1.4% and 1.4% . . . for dust concentrations of 2, 10, and 100 ppm respectively”. The latter 1.4% is a typo? Fig. 6d suggests that snow albedo decreases from about 0.89 to 0.85.

R: Sorry for this mistake and we have revised as “For example, the $\alpha_\lambda$ decreased by 0.6%, 1.4%, and 4.7% . . . for dust concentrations of 2, 10, and 100 ppm respectively”. Meanwhile, we also rechecked other relevant values throughout the manuscript.

2. p. 17, lines 12–14: “it should be emphasized that the uncertainty of $E_{\alpha, integrated}$ induced by dust size comes purely from the uncertainty of broadband albedo of dust-snow external mixing due to different dust sizes”. This sentence can easily be interpreted so that in the case of internal mixing, there is no albedo uncertainty associated with dust size. But this is only an artifact of your approach. In reality, it is virtually certain that snow albedo depends on dust particle size also for the case of internal dust-snow mixing.
So it would be more appropriate to say something like: “This uncertainty estimate accounts only for the impact of dust size on snow albedo in the case of external mixing, since the impact on albedo due to dust size cannot be evaluated when using the effective medium approximation.” (In fact, it might be speculated that a change in dust particle size would change the snow albedo in the same direction both for external and internal mixing — and therefore, the uncertainty in $E_{\alpha, \text{integrated}}$ (i.e., the ratio of albedo for internal vs. external mixing) could be smaller than calculated here.)

**R:** Revised as suggestion in p.17, line 5-8: “it should be emphasized that this uncertainty estimate accounts only for the impact of dust size on snow albedo in the case of external mixing, since the impact on albedo due to dust size cannot be evaluated when using the effective medium approximation.”

3. p. 17, line 18: uncertainties of ±11.0% and ±11.2% are reported for the ratio of $\alpha_{\text{integrated}}$ for internal vs. external snow-dust mixing. This sounds like quite a large uncertainty. What was assumed about snow grain size and dust concentration? I would assume the uncertainties are largest when snow grains are large and the dust concentration is high.

**R:** We have added more details about the uncertainties in p.17, line 8-12: “Overall, the total uncertainty of $E_{\alpha, \text{integrated}}$ from variations of imaginary RIs and dust diameter was ±11.0% and ±11.2% for IDM (uniform) and IDM (central, $\bar{r}_c <0.75$), respectively, with dust mass concentrations of 0–100 ppm and $r_{ef}$ of 50–1000 μm. Specifically, the uncertainty increased with increasing $r_{ef}$ and dust concentrations.”
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Abstract. Mineral dust is a major light-absorbing aerosol, which can significantly reduce snow albedo and accelerate snow/glacier melting via wet and dry deposition on snow. In this study, three scenarios of internal mixing of dust in ice grains were analyzed theoretically by combining asymptotic radiative transfer theory and (core/shell) Mie theory to evaluate the effects on absorption coefficient and albedo of the semi-infinite snowpack consisting of spherical snow grains. In general, snow albedo was substantially reduced at wavelengths of <1.0 μm by internal dust–snow mixing, with stronger reductions at higher dust concentrations and larger snow grain sizes. Moreover, calculations showed that a non-uniform distribution of dust in snow grains can lead to significant differences in the values of the absorption coefficient and albedo of dust-contaminated snowpack at visible wavelengths relative to a uniform dust distribution in snow grains. Finally, using comprehensive in situ measurements across the Northern Hemisphere, we found that broadband snow albedo was further reduced by 5.2% and 9.1% due to the effects of internal dust–snow mixing on the Tibetan Plateau and North American mountains. This was higher than the reduction in snow albedo caused by black carbon in snow over most North American and Arctic regions. Our results suggest that significant dust–snow internal mixing is important for the melting and retreat of Tibetan glaciers and North American mountain snowpack.
1. Introduction

Snow cover is one of the most reflective surfaces in the Earth system, and plays a crucial role in the atmospheric solar radiation energy budget via snow albedo feedbacks (Di Mauro et al., 2020; Flanner et al., 2011; Jacobson, 2004; Usha et al., 2020; Xie et al., 2018). Previous studies have shown that light-absorbing particles (LAPs) effectively reduce snow albedo and enhance the absorption of solar radiation after deposition, these studies were based on in situ observations and model simulations (Casey et al., 2017; Hadley and Kirchstetter, 2012; Shi et al., 2020; Warren and Wiscombe, 1980; Yasunari et al., 2012; Yasunari et al., 2015). As a result, snow contaminated with LAPs shows significant changes in morphology (Niwano et al., 2012; Rango et al., 1996), chemistry (France et al., 2012; Reay et al., 2012), hydrology (Matt et al., 2018; Qian et al., 2015; Rahimi et al., 2019), snowmelt rate (Kaspari et al., 2015; Warren, 1984), and radiative properties (Grenfell et al., 2002; Hansen and Nazarenko, 2004; Zhao et al., 2014).

Numerous studies have assessed the potential effects of LAPs, such as black carbon (BC) and mineral dust, on snow albedo by assuming that LAPs mixed outside spherical snow grains (i.e., external mixing) (Flanner et al., 2007; Kokhanovsky, 2013; Libois et al., 2013; Wang et al., 2017; Warren and Wiscombe, 1980). For example, Warren and Wiscombe (1980) calculated snow spectral albedo by solving a radiative transfer equation using Mie theory and δ-Eddington approximations, and found that 10–100 ng g$^{-1}$ of BC and 1–10 µg g$^{-1}$ of dust in old snow decreased albedo by 1%–7% and 2%–10% at 400 nm wavelength, respectively. Flanner et al. (2007) pointed out that the reduction in snow albedo for 1000 ng g$^{-1}$ BC in snow was 0.045 (0.17) with a 50 (1000) µm snow grain radius (R$_{el}$) based on the Snow, Ice, and Aerosol Radiation (SNICAR) radiative transfer model. This model utilizes theory from Wiscombe and Warren (1980) and the two-stream radiative transfer solution from Toon et al. (1989). Wang et al. (2017) developed a Spectral Albedo Model for Dirty Snow (SAMDS) based on asymptotic radiative transfer theory, which is a function of the snow grain radius, LAP (e.g., BC, dust) mixing ratios, and the mass absorption coefficients (MACs) of LAPs. Their results
revealed that broadband snow albedo decreased 0.03 and 0.003 due to 200 ng g\(^{-1}\) of BC and 2 µg g\(^{-1}\) of dust in snow, respectively, with a R\(_{ef}\) of 200 µm. Additionally, recent studies found that snow nonsphericity can interact with LAP-snow mixing, which leads to weaker LAP-induced albedo reductions for nonspherical snow shapes than snow spheres (e.g., Dang et al., 2016; He et al., 2018, 2019).

Recently, direct snowpack observations have shown evidence for the existence of LAP–snow internal mixing (e.g., Horhold et al., 2012; Spaulding et al., 2011). LAPs tend to mix externally with snow grains through dry deposition and/or below cloud scavenging, while internal LAP–snow mixing can be produced by nucleation, accretion, riming, aggregation, and sintering during aerosol–cloud–precipitation processes (i.e., wet deposition; Figure 1) (Flanner et al., 2012). Furthermore, Flanner et al. (2012) found that internal BC/ice mixing (IBM) increased the absorption of snowpack by a factor 1.8–2.1 relative to external BC/ice mixing (EBM). He et al. (2018) indicated that IBM enhanced the mean snow albedo reduction over the Tibetan plateau by 30%–60% relative to EBM, based on the updated SNICAR model. Additionally, Dombrovsky and Kokhanovsky (2020) demonstrated that non-uniform BC distribution in ice grains may lead to significantly different absorption coefficients and snowpack albedo in visible (VIS) wavelengths.

Numerous studies have addressed the role of IBM in enhancing the absorption of snowpack due to its strong absorption effect relative to other LAPs (Dombrovsky and Kokhanovsky, 2020; Flanner et al., 2012; He et al., 2018; Liou et al., 2011). In contrast, few studies have considered the effects of internal dust/ice mixing (IDM) in snowpack. Liou et al. (2014) is the pioneer to investigate the dust-snow internal mixing effects based on the geometric-optics surface-wave approach. Subsequently, He et al. (2019) used the same method to explicitly quantify the combined effects of dust-snow internal mixing and snow grain nonsphericity on snow optical properties, thereafter, develop a set of new dust-snow parameterizations for land/climate modeling applications for the first time. Actually, dust particles are generally larger than BC, and act as more efficient
ice nuclei, showing a better ability to influence cloud formation and precipitation (Creamean et al., 2013; Huang et al., 2014). Therefore, they are more likely to mix internally with ice grains. Furthermore, dust can also dominate light absorption and effectively decrease snow albedo because of its relatively high mass abundance (ppm) in snowpack, especially in areas with seasonal and patchy snow cover or mountainous regions (Di Mauro et al., 2015; Gabbi et al., 2015; Painter et al., 2012; Reynolds et al., 2020; Xie et al., 2018). Therefore, it is important to account for IDM when estimating the impact of dust deposition on snow albedo.

In this study, we assess the effects of external/internal mixing of dust with ice grains on the snowpack absorption coefficient and albedo using asymptotic radiative transfer theory and Mie theory. In addition, the uniformity and nonuniformity of dust particle distribution inside ice grains are considered for IDM based on the effective medium approximation, and the combined effects of dust content and snow grain radius on snow albedo are quantified. A schematic of various dust spatial distributions from this study is presented in Figure 2. We further discuss snow albedo sensitivity to complex refractive indices and dust particle size distribution. Based on a comprehensive set of field measurements of dust concentrations, we estimate the reductions in snow albedo by dust external/internal mixing with ice grains across the Northern Hemisphere.

2 Methods

2.1 External mixing model

For fairly pure snow, semi-infinite means about 20 cm in the VIS and 3 cm in the near-infrared (NIR) regions of snow depths, respectively (Zhou et al., 2003). For a semi-infinite snow layer under diffuse illumination conditions, albedo can be calculated using an asymptotic analysis of radiative transfer theory, which is valid in small absorptions (Kokhanovsky and Zege, 2004; Zege et al., 1991):

\[ \alpha_\lambda = \exp(-4S_\lambda) \]  

(1)

where \( \alpha_\lambda \) is the spectral snow albedo, \( \lambda \) is the wavelength, \( S_\lambda \) is the similarity
parameter, and

\[ S_\lambda = \sqrt{\frac{\sigma_{abs}}{3\sigma_{ext}(1 - g)}} \]  

(2)

In Eq. (2), \( \sigma_{abs} \) and \( \sigma_{ext} \) are the absorption and extinction coefficients, respectively, and \( g \) is the asymmetry parameter (the average cosine of the phase function of the medium).

According to Eq. (18) and (25) in Kokhanovsky and Zege (2004), the extinction coefficients of particles can be expressed as:

\[ \sigma_{ext} = \frac{1}{l_{tr}(1 - g)} = \frac{3C_v}{2r_{ef}} \]  

(3)

where \( l_{tr} \) is the photon transport path length, \( C_v = \rho_{snow}/\rho_{ice} \) is the volumetric snow particle concentration, and the values \( \rho_{ice} = 916.7 \text{ kg m}^{-3} \) and \( \rho_{snow} = 300 \text{ kg m}^{-3} \) are used in subsequent calculations. \( r_{ef} \) is the effective snow grain radius, which is equal to the radius of the volume-to-surface equivalent sphere \( (r_{ef} = \frac{3\bar{V}}{4\bar{A}}) \) where \( \bar{V} \) and \( \bar{A} \) are the average volume and cross-sectional (geometric shadow) area of snow grains, respectively.

For external dust/ice mixing (EDM) in a dust-contaminated snowpack, the total absorption coefficient (\( \sigma_{abs} \)) can be derived from the absorptions by snow (\( \sigma_{abs}^{snow} \)) and dust (\( \sigma_{abs}^{dust} \)):

\[ \sigma_{abs} = \sigma_{abs}^{snow} + \sigma_{abs}^{dust} \]  

(4)

For example, consider a hypothetical case of snow composed of monodisperse, spherical grains of ice. Although non-spherical snow grains lead to a slight increase in snow albedo, Dang et al. (2016) found that the albedo of a snowpack consisting of non-spherical snow grains can be mimicked by using smaller, spherical grains; thus, we do not consider the effect of non-spherical snow grains in this study. Therefore, we used the following equation for the absorption coefficient of snow (Dombrovsky and Baillis, 2010):

\[ \sigma_{abs}^{snow} = \frac{0.75C_vQ_{abs}^{ice}}{r_{ef}} \]  

(5)

where \( Q_{abs}^{ice} \) is the efficiency factor of absorption for a single ice grain, and the value
of $Q_{abs}^{ice}$ can be calculated for homogeneous spherical ice grains considered in classical Mie theory.

The absorption coefficient of dust (Aoki et al., 2000; Marley et al., 2001; Warren et al., 2006) is expressed as:

$$\sigma_{abs}^{dust} = \frac{Q_{abs}^{dust} \pi (r_{ef}^{dust})^2}{\frac{4}{3} \pi (r_{ef}^{dust})^3} \cdot C_{dust} = \frac{3Q_{abs}^{dust}}{4r_{ef}^{dust}} \cdot C_{dust} = MAC_{abs}^{dust} \cdot \rho_{dust} \cdot C_{dust}$$

(6)

where $Q_{abs}^{dust}$ and $MAC_{abs}^{dust}$ is the absorption efficiency and MAC of dust, respectively, that can be obtained via Mie theory, and $\rho_{dust}$ and $r_{ef}^{dust}$ represent the density and effective dust radius, respectively. In this study, $\rho_{dust}$ was assumed to be 2500 kg m$^{-3}$ (Zender et al., 2003). We also assumed a log-normal dust size distribution with a geometric mean diameter of 0.65 $\mu$m and standard deviation of 2.0 (equivalent to an effective radius of 1.1 $\mu$m), which represents dust from large-scale transport (Formenti et al., 2011; Maring et al., 2003) that is likely smaller in size than from local soil (Kok, 2011). The effects of dust size on snow optical properties and albedo were further quantified through sensitivity simulations (see section 3.4). Dust volumetric concentrations ($C_{dust}$) are expressed as:

$$C_{dust} = \frac{\rho_{snow} C_{dust}^{*}}{\rho_{dust}}$$

(7)

where $C_{dust}^{*}$ is the mass concentration of dust in snow (kg kg$^{-1}$). Thus, the spectral albedo of dust-contaminated snow for EDM can be easily calculated with Eq. (1) to (7).

2.2 Internal mixing model

For the IDM (Figure 2), we first determined the effective optical constants of ice containing small dust particles via an effective medium approximation (Maxwell-Garnett and Larmor, 1904). According to this approach, the complex permittivity of a composite medium in ice grains can be calculated in terms of particle polarizability by applying the Lorentz-Lorenz formula (Koledintseva et al., 2009; Markel, 2016). We used the following relationships to calculate effective complex refractive indices (RIs), $m_{ef} = n_{ef} - ik_{ef}$ at known values of $m_{ice} = n_{ice} - ik_{ice}$ for pure ice and $m_{dust} = n_{dust} - ik_{dust}$
for dust:

\[ m_{\text{ef}}^2 = m_{\text{ice}}^2 \frac{2 \delta_{\text{dust}} (m_{\text{dust}}^2 - m_{\text{ice}}^2) + m_{\text{dust}}^2 + 2m_{\text{ice}}^2}{2m_{\text{ice}}^2 + m_{\text{dust}}^2 - \delta_{\text{dust}} (m_{\text{dust}}^2 - m_{\text{ice}}^2)} \]  \hspace{1cm} (8)

where \( \delta_{\text{dust}} \) is the local dust fraction volume in an ice grain. We obtained the spectral complex RIs of ice from Warren and Brandt (2008) and the spectral complex RIs of dust from Dang et al. (2015). The imaginary part of the complex RIs of ice \((k_{\text{ice}})\) and dust \((k_{\text{dust}})\) associated with absorption is shown in Figure 3. We also evaluated the effect of dust on the imaginary part of the effective complex RIs \((k_{\text{ef}})\) assuming dust mass concentrations \((C^*_\text{dust})\) of 1–100 ppm (or \(\mu\text{g g}^{-1}\)) in snow.

In all variations of the spatial distribution of dust particles in snow, the dust mass concentration was assumed to be constant, which means that the local dust fraction volume may differ. In an example of spherical ice grains with uniformly distributed dust, the dust fraction volume in an ice grain was determined as:

\[ \delta_{\text{dust}}^0 = \frac{C_{\text{dust}}^* \rho_{\text{ice}}}{C_v \rho_{\text{dust}}} \]  \hspace{1cm} (9)

where the ratio of \( C_{\text{dust}}^*/C_v \) is the mass fraction of dust in the ice grain.

We considered two cases of non-uniform dust distributed in a spherical ice grain with radius \(r_{\text{ef}}\): (1) We assumed that the same mass of dust is uniformly distributed in the central part of the ice grain \((r_{\text{dust}} < r_c \leq r_{\text{ef}})\). (2) We assumed all of the dust was in the surface layer of the ice grain \((r_{\text{dust}} < r_p \leq r_{\text{ef}})\) (Figure 2). In both cases the local value of \( \delta_{\text{dust}} \) increases as:

\[ \delta_{\text{dust}} = \frac{\delta_{\text{dust}}^0}{\psi} \quad \psi = \begin{cases} \frac{\tilde{r}_c^3}{1 - (1 - \tilde{r}_p)^3} & \text{central pollution} \\ 1 & \text{peripheral pollution} \end{cases} \]  \hspace{1cm} (10)

where \( \tilde{r}_c = r_c/r_{\text{ef}} \) and \( \tilde{r}_p = r_p/r_{\text{ef}} \). \( \tilde{r}_c = 1 \) and \( \tilde{r}_p = 1 \) correspond to uniformly distributed dust when \( \psi = 1 \) and \( \delta_{\text{dust}} = \delta_{\text{dust}}^0 \), and \( \psi < 1 \) and \( \delta_{\text{dust}} > \delta_{\text{dust}}^0 \) in other cases. Obviously, dust particles increase the imaginary part of the effective complex RIs in polluted ice grains (Figure 3). In summary, the \( m_{\text{ef}} \) of a spherical ice grain with uniformly and non-uniformly distributed , according to Eq. (8) to (10), then their corresponding absorption
efficiencies can be obtained using classical Mie theory and core/shell Mie theory, respectively. The spectral snow albedo for IDM can be easily calculated using Eq. (1) to (5).

### 2.3 Broadband snow albedo calculations

The spectral albedo \( \alpha_\lambda \) is integrated over the solar spectrum \((\lambda = 300–2500 \text{ nm})\) and weighted by incoming solar irradiance \(E_\lambda\) to calculate broadband snow albedo (Hadley and Kirchstetter, 2012):

\[
\alpha_{\text{integrated}} = \frac{\int \alpha_\lambda E_\lambda d\lambda}{\int E_\lambda d\lambda},
\]

Following the study of Dang et al. (2017), the incoming solar irradiance we used is a typical surface solar spectrum at mid to high latitudes from January to March under the cloudy sky, calculated by the Santa Barbara DISORT Atmospheric Radiative Transfer (SBDART) model (Pu et al., 2019). The SBDART model is a widely used atmospheric radiation transfer model based on a collection of highly developed physical models, including the Discrete Ordinate Radiative Transfer module (Stamnes et al., 1988), low-resolution atmospheric transmission models, and Mie theory. The SBDART model can be used to compute radiative transfer at different heights and directions under both clear and cloudy sky conditions. Details on the SBDART model can be found in Ricchiazzi et al. (1998).

### 2.4 Dust concentration measurements

To estimate the effect of dust on snow albedo in real snowpack, we collected a comprehensive set of in situ dust concentration measurements during field campaigns to Inner Mongolia, China (IMC) (Wang et al., 2013), the Tibetan Plateau (TP) (Li et al., 2017, 2018; Li et al., 2016, 2019; Niu et al., 2017; Qu et al., 2014; Zhang et al., 2017, 2018), Sapporo, Japan (SJ) (Kuchiki et al., 2015), the European Alps (EP) (Di Mauro et al., 2015; Lim et al., 2014), and North American mountains (NAM) (Painter et al., 2012; Reynolds et al., 2020). The field campaigns conducted in the TP can be further grouped into three regions, as in previous \( \delta^{18} \text{O} \) precipitation studies (Yao et al., 2013).
These three distinct domains were associated with the Indian monsoon (Southern TP), westerlies (Northern TP), and transition (Central TP) (Yao et al., 2013). It is worth noting that we only considered regions with higher dust concentrations (>1 ppm), such that polar regions are not included in this study. Measurements of dust in the snow samples were generally obtained by weighing the filter before and after filtration using a microbalance.

3. Results

3.1 Impact on the imaginary part of the effective complex RIs

We evaluated the effect of dust on $k_{ef}$, including $k_{ice}$ and $k_{dust}$ associated with absorption (Figure 3). The $k_{dust}$ was in a narrow range (~0.001–0.01) and gradually decreased with increasing wavelength in the ultraviolet (UV) and VIS regions, then remained stable in the NIR band. The $k_{ice}$ varied by eight orders of magnitude from the UV ($\sim 10^{-11}$) to NIR ($\sim 10^{-3}$) bands, and increased with increasing wavelength, except at 1.03 μm where $k_{ice}$ decreased slightly as a result of the presence of ice absorption features (Warren, 2019). Figure 3 also shows the $k_{ef}$ with dust mass concentrations ranging from 1 to 100 ppm and wavelengths of 300–1500 nm. The $k_{ef}$ clearly varied depending on the wavelength and increased with dust mass concentrations. For a given dust mass concentration, $k_{ef}$ decreased with wavelengths from UV to VIS, then increased from VIS to NIR. For example, the value of $k_{ef}$ decreased from $4.26 \times 10^{-8}$ at 300 nm to $1.36 \times 10^{-8}$ at 500 nm, then rose to $1.73 \times 10^{-6}$ at 1000 nm at a dust concentration of 10 ppm. Moreover, the wavelength of the minimum of $k_{ef}$ varied from ~500 nm to ~650 nm depending on the dust mass concentrations (1 to 100 ppm). Additionally, it is worth noting that $k_{ef}$ was not sensitive to dust mass concentrations in wavelengths >1000 nm, which was generally consistent with $k_{ice}$, because the difference between $k_{dust}$ and $k_{ice}$ is more than compensated by the much larger difference in ice and dust concentration at those wavelengths. Conversely, $k_{ef}$ showed significant differences relative to $k_{ice}$ in the UV and VIS regions, with higher dust mass concentrations demonstrating larger differences.
For example, $k_{ef}$ was enhanced by 3, 21, and 205 times at 500 nm relative to $k_{ice}$ for dust mass concentrations of 1, 10, and 100 ppm, respectively.

### 3.2 Impact on spectral snow absorption coefficient and albedo

Dust in snow effectively enhances the snow absorption coefficient, but its effect on the snow asymmetry factor and extinction efficiency is negligible (He et al., 2019). Therefore, we mainly focused on the effects of EDM and three cases of IDM (uniform, central, and peripheral) on the snow absorption coefficient ($\sigma_{abs}$). Figure 4a displays the $\sigma_{abs}$ for EDM and IDM (uniform) as a function of wavelength at different dust concentrations. We used a snow grain radius of 200 µm (Figure 4), which is comparable to previous observations of seasonal snow at mid to high latitudes in winter (Shi et al., 2020; Wang et al., 2017). The results showed that EDM and IDM have distinct impacts on $\sigma_{abs}$ in UV and VIS, but small effects at wavelengths $>1000$ nm, which is due to the optical properties of snow being affected by LAPs in UV and VIS and primarily affected by snow itself at wavelengths $>1000$ nm. Additionally, $\sigma_{abs}$ increased with increased dust mass concentrations. For instance, $\sigma_{abs}$ increased from 0.007 m$^{-1}$ (pure snow) to 0.03, 0.14, and 1.37 m$^{-1}$ at 500 nm with 2, 10, and 100 ppm of dust with EDM, respectively. For IDM (uniform), $\sigma_{abs}$ increased to 0.06, 0.28, and 2.80 m$^{-1}$ at 500 nm with 2, 10, and 100 ppm of dust, respectively, with corresponding enhancement factors of $\sigma_{abs}$ ($E_{\sigma_{abs}}$, defined as the absorption coefficient of IDM divided by EDM) were 1.84, 2.00, and 2.05. Furthermore, the $\sigma_{abs}$ for two cases of non-uniform dust distribution in a spherical ice grain ($r_{ef} = 200$ µm) depends on the wavelength, dust mass concentrations, $\bar{r}_c$, and $\bar{r}_p$ (Figure 4b and 4c). We note that $\bar{r}_c$ and $\bar{r}_p$ values of 1 correspond to uniformly distributed dust, and the $\sigma_{abs}$ increases and decreases (with the decrease of $\bar{r}_c$ and $\bar{r}_p$) for IDM (central) and IDM (peripheral), respectively. For example, $\sigma_{abs}$ increased by 29%, 32%, and 33% (500 nm) at dust mass concentrations of 2, 10, and 100 ppm, respectively, when $\bar{r}_c$ decreased from 1 to 0.7 for IDM (central). However, $\sigma_{abs}$ decreased by 41%, 44%, and 44% (500 nm) at dust mass concentrations of 2, 10, and 100 ppm, respectively, when $\bar{r}_p$ decreased from 1 to 0.1 for IDM...
(peripheral). This indicates that the IDM (central) further enhanced snowpack light absorption compared with the IDM (uniform), while the IDM (peripheral) reduced snowpack light absorption with a corresponding $\sigma_{abs}$ between the value of $\sigma_{abs}$ for IDM (uniform) and EDM. Figure 4d–f quantitatively shows the spectral snow absorption coefficient enhancement for IDM ($E_{\sigma_{abs}}$). The enhancement decreased sharply with increasing wavelengths, then reduced to 1.0 (i.e., no enhancement) at wavelengths longer than ~1.0 \(\mu\)m because of strong dust absorption and weak snow absorption at shorter wavelengths. Obviously, $E_{\sigma_{abs}}$ was affected by dust mass concentration, $\bar{r}_c$, and $\bar{r}_p$, but $E_{\sigma_{abs}}$ was insensitive to dust mass concentration at wavelengths <450 nm.

Figure 5a–c shows the spectral snow albedo ($\alpha_\lambda$) for EDM and IDM; $\alpha_\lambda$ was consistent with $\sigma_{abs}$, with the effects mainly present at wavelengths <1000 nm. Generally, $\alpha_\lambda$ decreased with increased dust mass concentrations in UV and VIS, and IDM was shown to further trigger the reduction of $\alpha_\lambda$. For example, for EDM $\alpha_\lambda$ was ~0.97, 0.95, 0.85 (at 500 nm) for dust concentrations of 2, 10, 100 ppm, respectively, which was higher than the values for IDM (uniform) (~0.96, 0.93, 0.79, respectively).

Compared with IDM (uniform), the $\alpha_\lambda$ for IDM (central) decreased by 0.5%, 1.1%, and 3.5% (at 500 nm) for dust concentrations of 2, 10, and 100 ppm, respectively, when $\bar{r}_c$ decreased from 1 to 0.7. $\alpha_\lambda$ for IDM (peripheral) increased by 0.8%, 1.9%, and 6.2% (at 500 nm) for the same dust concentrations, when $\bar{r}_p$ decreased from 1 to 0.1. Moreover, the wavelength of the maximum $\alpha_\lambda$ value varied from ~500 nm to ~650 nm depending on the dust mass concentrations, which is consistent with changes of $k_{ef}$.

Figure 5d–f shows the ratio ($E_{\alpha_\lambda}$) of snow spectral albedo for IDM to EDM where we observed that the $E_{\alpha_\lambda}$ increased with increasing wavelengths and dust concentrations, and then became stable at 1.0. This is because IDM can enhance the light absorption of snowpack more effectively at shorter wavelengths and higher dust concentrations (Figure 4). Additionally, the values of $\bar{r}_c$ and $\bar{r}_p$ also have non-negligible effects on $E_{\alpha_\lambda}$, which can be decreased and increased with decreasing $\bar{r}_c$ and $\bar{r}_p$, respectively.
We found that the optical properties of an ice grain containing uniformly distributed
dust in its center, or concentric surface layer, can be affected by $\bar{r}_c$ or $\bar{r}_p$. To better
understand this effect, Figure 6a–b displays the $\sigma_{\text{abs}}$ at 500 nm as a function of $\bar{r}_c$ and
$\bar{r}_p$ with different dust concentrations and $r_{\text{ef}}$. This demonstrates $r_{\text{ef}}$ has negligible
effects on $\sigma_{\text{abs}}$ due to the geometric optical limits at $r_{\text{ef}} \approx 50 \ \mu\text{m}$, which shows the
universal (independent of $r_{\text{ef}}$) monotonic dependence of $\sigma_{\text{abs}}$ on $\bar{r}_c$ and $\bar{r}_p$ for ice
grains with $r_{\text{ef}} \geq 50 \ \mu\text{m}$ (Velesco et al., 1997). As a result, the spectral absorption
coefficient of snow containing polydisperse ice grains can be obtained using our
results for a monodispersed model. Interestingly, $\sigma_{\text{abs}}$ did not depend on $\bar{r}_c$ when $\bar{r}_c$
<0.75 and decreased almost linearly at higher $\bar{r}_c$ values (Figure 6a); this phenomenon
can be explained by geometric optical effects (Mackowski et al., 1990). However, $\sigma_{\text{abs}}$
was significantly affected by the dust mass concentration; for example, $\sigma_{\text{abs}}$ at 500 nm
was decreased by 28%, 32%, and 32% from its maximum value (0.08, 0.38, and 3.71
m$^{-1}$) for dust concentrations of 2, 10, and 100 ppm, respectively, when $\bar{r}_c$ increased
from <0.75 to 1.0. The monotonic increase in $\sigma_{\text{abs}}$ with the relative thickness of the
polluted ice grain surface layer (i.e., $\bar{r}_p$) was also noteworthy (Figure 6b). The
core/shell Mie theory calculations for ice grains with a thin surface layer ($\bar{r}_p = 0.01$)
gave almost the same $\sigma_{\text{abs}}$ as that obtained for the EDM. As a result, the $\sigma_{\text{abs}}$
increased rapidly with $\bar{r}_p <0.4$ and then increased more slowly until $\bar{r}_p = 1$, which
corresponds to IDM (uniform).
The $\alpha_\lambda$ at 500 nm as a function of $\bar{r}_c$ and $\bar{r}_p$ with different dust concentrations and
$r_{\text{ef}}$, is illustrated in Figure 6c and 6d. In general, $\alpha_\lambda$ at 500 nm decreased with
increasing dust mass concentration and $r_{\text{ef}}$; the effect of grain radius can be explained
by the fact that the snow extinction coefficient is inversely proportional to $r_{\text{ef}}$, so that
for a given amount of dust, the single-scattering albedo of the snow-dust mixture is
smaller for large snow grains (Gardner and Sharp, 2010). For a given dust mass
concentration and $r_{\text{ef}}$, the $\alpha_\lambda$ at 500 nm increased from its minimum value with $\bar{r}_c$
<0.75 to the maximum value with $\bar{r}_c = 1$, corresponding to the findings of IDM
For example, at dust concentrations of 2, 10, and 100 ppm, and a fixed $r_{ef}$ of 100 $\mu$m, the $\alpha_\lambda$ at 500 nm increased by 0.21%, 0.7%, and 0.826%, respectively, when $\bar{r}_c$ increased from <0.75 to 1. When the $r_{ef}$ was fixed at 500 $\mu$m, the $\alpha_\lambda$ at 500 nm increased by 1.908%, 2.519%, and 6.2% at dust concentrations of 2, 10, and 100 ppm, respectively. Conversely, the $\alpha_\lambda$ at 500 nm decreased from its maximum value when $\bar{r}_p = 0.01$ (similar to EDM) to the minimum value with $\bar{r}_p = 1$, corresponding to the case of IDM (uniform). For example, the $\alpha_\lambda$ at 500 nm decreased by 0.6%, 1.4%, and 4.447% when $\bar{r}_p$ increased from 0.01 to 1 for dust concentrations of 2, 10, and 100 ppm, respectively, and a fixed $r_{ef}$ of 100 $\mu$m, whereas for a $r_{ef}$ of 500 $\mu$m, $\alpha_\lambda$ decreased by 3.314% (2 ppm dust), 4.733% (10 ppm), and 10.1% (100 ppm). These results indicate that dust mass concentrations and $r_{ef}$ can amplify the influence of $\bar{r}_c$ or $\bar{r}_p$ on snow albedo. Moreover, the effect of dust mass concentration on snow albedo is similar to $r_{ef}$. For example, dust mass concentrations of 10 and 100 ppm and $r_{ef}$ of 100 and 50 $\mu$m gave similar $\alpha_\lambda$ at 500 nm to dust mass concentrations of 2 and 10 ppm and $r_{ef}$ of 500 $\mu$m. According to this result, spectral albedo measurements at a single wavelength are insufficient to obtain the mass fraction of dust in snow cover because the same effect can also be explained by a combination of different ice grain sizes and a non-uniform distribution of dust inside the grains. It means that additional information is needed to determine accurate dust mass concentrations. This may be a set of measurements at various wavelengths in the VIS and NIR spectral ranges.

### 3.3 Effects on broadband snow albedo

Compared with the spectral optical properties, broadband results can provide more general knowledge for the relevant research community. Figure 7 shows the spectrally weighted $\alpha_\lambda$ ($\alpha_{\text{integrated}}$) over 300–2500 nm of a typical surface solar spectrum at mid to high latitudes, which is comparable with previous studies (Dang et al., 2017; Wang et al., 2017). Because the results of IDM (peripheral) effects on snow albedo fell between results from EDM and IDM (central), we do not consider the case of IDM (peripheral) in the following discussion. Instead, we focus on the effects of dust mass
concentration and $r_{ef}$ on broadband snow albedo for EDM and IDM (uniform, central). Similar to $\alpha_\lambda$, $\alpha_{\text{integrated}}$ generally decreased with increasing dust mass concentrations and $r_{ef}$ such that $\alpha_{\text{integrated}}$ declined more for internal mixing than external mixing. $\alpha_{\text{integrated}}$ showed ranges of 0.60–0.92, 0.54–0.92, and 0.51–0.92 for EDM, IDM (uniform), and IDM (central, $r_{c}^\sim <0.75$), respectively, with dust mass concentrations of 0–100 ppm and $r_{ef}$ of 50–1000 µm. For a given dust mass concentration and $r_{ef}$, $\alpha_{\text{integrated}}$ for IDM (uniform) was smaller than EDM, which is due to higher light absorption in the UV and VIS bands for IDM (uniform) relative to EDM (Figure 4a). While $\alpha_{\text{integrated}}$ for IDM (uniform) was larger compared with IDM (central, $r_{c}^\sim <0.75$), this can be attributed to the fact that radiation is focused near the center of an ice grain with IDM (central) (Ackerman and Toon, 1981; Bohren, 1986), enabling further absorptions from inclusions near the center of a grain due to the lensing effect (Mackowski et al., 1990). For example, $\alpha_{\text{integrated}}$ (dust concentration of 20 ppm, $r_{ef}$ of 500 µm) was 0.73 for IDM (uniform), less than EDM of 0.76, but higher than IDM (central, $r_{c}^\sim <0.75$) of 0.72.

To quantify the effects of IDM on broadband snow albedo relative to EDM, we defined a broadband snow albedo scaling factor ($E_{\alpha_{\text{integrated}}}$), which refers to the ratio of $\alpha_{\text{integrated}}$ of IDM to EDM. Generally, for dust mass concentrations from 0 to 100 ppm and $r_{ef}$ of 50–1000 µm, $E_{\alpha_{\text{integrated}}}$ varied from 0.89 to ~1.00 for IDM (uniform) (Figure 8a) and from 0.85 to ~1.00 for IDM (central, $r_{c}^\sim <0.75$) (Figure 8b). $E_{\alpha_{\text{integrated}}}$ decreased significantly with increasing dust mass concentration and $r_{ef}$. In addition, $E_{\alpha_{\text{integrated}}}$ for IDM (central, $r_{c}^\sim <0.75$) was smaller than IDM (uniform). These results have implications for the effects of IDM in real environments. For example, IMC has typical dust concentrations of ~10 ppm (Wang et al., 2013), so $E_{\alpha_{\text{integrated}}}$ ($r_{ef}$ of 50–1000 µm) was 0.96–0.99 and 0.95–0.99 for IDM (uniform) and IDM (central, $r_{c}^\sim <0.75$), respectively. In contrast, dust concentrations are typically ~100 ppm in the TP (Ming et al., 2016; Li et al., 2017, 2018), so $E_{\alpha_{\text{integrated}}}$ ranged from 0.89 to 0.98 and 0.85 to 0.96 for IDM (uniform) and IDM (central, $r_{c}^\sim <0.75$), respectively. The results
show that IDM (uniform) and IDM (central, $\bar{r}_c < 0.75$) reduced broadband snow albedo by $\sim 2.5\%$ and $\sim 3.0\%$, respectively, in clean snow and $\sim 6.5\%$ and $\sim 9.5\%$, respectively, in polluted snow relative to EDM. Moreover, the sensitivity of $E_{\alpha, \text{integrated}}$ to mineral dust decreased with increasing dust concentrations. For example, the difference in $E_{\alpha, \text{integrated}}$ ($r_{ef}$ of 500 µm) between dust concentrations of 10 and 20 ppm was 0.011 and 0.015 for IDM (uniform) and IDM (central, $\bar{r}_c < 0.75$), respectively, while the corresponding differences between dust concentrations of 90 and 100 ppm were only 0.004 and 0.005. These results provide a convenient method to calculate the albedo of IDM when the albedo of EDM has been obtained for a given dust mass concentration and $r_{ef}$.

### 3.4 Uncertainties

Although we calculated the imaginary RI values of dust using previous studies (section 2.2), there are still large variations which strongly depend on dust composition (e.g., hematite/iron content) (Balkanski et al., 2007; Wagner et al., 2012). To roughly account for this, we estimated the influence of chosen imaginary RI values on spectrally weighted snow albedo ($E_{\alpha, \text{integrated}}$) by increasing and decreasing the calculated imaginary RI values by 50%. These changes in imaginary RIs are plausible because they are consistent with other studies (McConnell et al., 2010; Wagner et al., 2012).

The results showed that $E_{\alpha, \text{integrated}}$ uncertainties attributed to the imaginary RIs of dust were $± 3.9\%$ and $± 5.2\%$ for IDM (uniform) and IDM (central, $\bar{r}_c < 0.75$), respectively. In contrast, observations have displayed large variations in the size distribution of dust in the atmosphere and snow, and this variation is strongly affected by the dust source and transport (Mahowald et al., 2014; Shao et al., 2011). In our standard simulation, we assumed a log-normal dust size distribution with a geometric mean diameter of 0.65 µm and a standard deviation of 2.0 (equivalent to an effective radius of 1.1 µm), which is typical for dust transported long-range (Formenti et al., 2011; Maring et al., 2003); nearer sources of dust tend to be larger (Kok, 2011). Therefore, we investigated the effects of dust particle size on our results by assuming another two log-normal size
distributions with effective radii of 2.5 μm and 5.0 μm, which were within the observed size ranges in the atmosphere and snow and comparable with previously analyzed dust particle sizes (Maring et al., 2003; Shao and Mao, 2016; Zhang et al., 2003). The results showed that the uncertainty of $E_{\alpha, \text{integrated}}$ attributed to dust diameter was ±6.1% for both IDM (uniform) and IDM (central, $r_c < 0.75$), but it should be emphasized that this uncertainty estimate accounts only for the impact of dust size on snow albedo in the case of external mixing, since the impact on albedo due to dust size cannot be evaluated when using the effective medium approximation. The uncertainty of $E_{\alpha, \text{integrated}}$ induced by dust sizes comes purely from the uncertainty of broadband albedo of dust–snow external mixing due to different dust sizes. This is because effective complex refractive indices of dust–snow internal mixture are independent of dust particle size (Eq. 8). Overall, the total uncertainty of $E_{\alpha, \text{integrated}}$ from variations of imaginary RIs and dust diameter was ±11.0% and ±11.2% for IDM (uniform) and IDM (central, $r_c < 0.75$), respectively, with dust mass concentrations of 0–100 ppm and $r_{cf}$ of 50–1000 μm. Specifically, the uncertainty increased with increasing $r_{cf}$ and dust concentrations.

3.5 Measurement-based estimate of the effects of dust on snow albedo

Finally, widespread dust concentrations in snow across the Northern Hemisphere were obtained to assess the effects of dust on snow albedo in real snowpack. Figure 9 shows measured dust concentrations in snow in different regions; dust concentrations spanned a broad range of values because of spatial and temporal variations in emissions, transportation, and deposition among the different regions. Dust concentrations widely varied from ~3 ppm to ~600 ppm, with the highest concentration in NAM and lowest in the EP (Di Mauro et al., 2015; Lim et al., 2014; Painter et al., 2012; Reynolds et al., 2020). However, snow samples collected in the days after a significant dust transport event showed that dust concentrations in snow can be up to ~70 ppm in the EP (Di Mauro et al., 2015). Additionally, the average dust concentrations in fresh snow were 18, 6, and 28 ppm in the southern TP, central TP, and northern TP, respectively, similar to the IMC (12 ppm) (Wang et al., 2013). However, dust concentrations in aged snow
(120, 300, and 140 ppm) were one to two orders of magnitude higher than in fresh snow, indicating the important correlation between snow type and dust concentration (Zhang et al., 2017, 2018).

We calculated the broadband snow albedo for EDM, IDM (uniform), and IDM (central, $r_c < 0.75$) based on the measured dust concentrations (Figure 10). The results showed that broadband snow albedo decreased by 0.8%, 1.4%, and 1.6% in the EP for EDM, IDM (uniform), and IDM (central, $r_c < 0.75$), respectively, which was similar to SJ. However, the broadband snow albedo decreased by up to 5.6%, 8.1%, and 9.4% in the EP after a significant dust transport event, indicating strong snow albedo reduction during these events. In addition, broadband snow albedo was reduced by 2.0%, 3.1%, and 3.6% in IMC for EDM, IDM (uniform), and IDM (central, $r_c < 0.75$), respectively. Similar results were also found for the southern TP, central TP, and northern TP where the broadband snow albedo for fresh snow was reduced by 2.5%, 1.4%, and 3.3%, respectively, for EDM, 3.9%, 2.1%, and 5.1% for IDM (uniform), and 4.5%, 2.4%, and 5.7% for IDM (central, $r_c < 0.75$). However, the broadband snow albedo was more significantly reduced for aged snow: up to 6.0%, 8.1%, and 7.5% for EDM, 9.5%, 11.6%, and 10.5% for IDM (uniform), and 10.9%, 13.2%, and 12.3% for IDM (central, $r_c < 0.75$) in the southern, central, and northern TP, respectively. This indicates that the effects of dust on snow albedo showed stronger reductions during snowmelt periods, it is worth noting that the effect of dust on snow albedo for aged snow could be underestimated due to the larger snow grain effective radius ($r_{ef}$) than fresh snow. Moreover, the largest broadband snow albedo reductions were found in NAM with ranges of 9.8%–17.6%, 13.9%–24.1%, and 15.9%–27.0% for EDM, IDM (uniform), and IDM (central, $r_c < 0.75$), respectively. These results suggest that the effects of external or internal dust–snow mixing on snow albedo are particularly significant for the TP and NAM regions, with stronger reductions in albedo. Therefore, these results can have significant impacts on both local hydrological cycles and regional climate change (Oaida et al., 2015; Xie et al., 2018).
4. Discussion

In this study, the application of the effective medium approximation greatly simplifies the complexity of snow radiation transfer calculation for dust–snow internal mixing, and the effect of non-uniform distribution of dust in snow grains on snowpack optical properties are explicitly quantified for the first time. However, it is worth noting that this method has its limitation when applying to large particles (e.g., dust) in snow (Bohren 1986; Flanner et al., 2012), which can create some errors for the albedo calculation of dust–snow internal mixing. To verify the credibility of our results, we carefully make a comparison with the more rigorous calculations found in He et al. (2019), which used the geometric-optics surface-wave approach (GOS) to consider the impact of dust–snow uniform internal mixing on snow albedo reduction. As shown in Figure 11, the results show that the enhancement ratio of snow albedo reduction (1.28) due to dust–snow internal mixing (relative to external mixing) is slightly higher than the value (1.16) reported by He et al. (2019), and this deviation is comparable to that caused by snow nonsphericity (He et al., 2019). Therefore, we indicated that the effective medium approximation used in this study is reasonable and reliable.

Over the past few decades, the effects of dust in snow on reductions in albedo have been widely demonstrated (Skiles et al., 2018; Zhang et al., 2018). However, the magnitude of these effects has only been studied in a few regions, and uncertainties still remain. Our study indicates that the albedo of dust-contaminated snowpack can be affected by the dust–ice mixing state. In particular, IDM enhanced light absorption and reduced snow albedo more significantly compared with EDM. For example, in IMC and the TP, IDM reduced snow albedo by ~5% relative to EDM at a typical dust mass concentration of 20 ppm and a snow grain radius of 500 µm. This exceeds the contribution of BC to snow light absorption over most areas of North America and the Arctic (Dang et al., 2017). In addition, the effects of IDM on snow albedo were amplified by higher dust mass concentrations and larger snow grain sizes. We therefore strongly suggest that IDM must be considered in future climate models, particularly to
more accurately evaluate the climate in areas where snowpack is heavily contaminated with dust and is experiencing melting.

The mixed state between dust and snow gradually progresses from partial external mixing to wholly internally mixed. Therefore, assuming a completely external mixing of dust and snow grains will underestimate the effects of dust on snow albedo and radiative forcing in numerical models (e.g., Dang et al., 2015; Nagorski et al., 2019). Similarly, assuming completely internal mixing of dust and snow grains will overestimate the effects of dust (e.g., He et al., 2019; Liou et al., 2014). Zhao et al. (2014) underestimated the effects of dust by treating wet-deposited dust as externally mixed with snow grains. In future studies, we recommend the actual ratio between external and internal mixing for dust in snow be examined with an environmental scanning electron microscope equipped with a cold stage.

5. Conclusions

In this study, the effects of dust particles on absorption coefficients and snow albedo were theoretically analyzed by combining asymptotic radiative transfer theory and (core/shell) Mie theory. We initially considered external mixing – when dust is present between ice grains – and variations of internal mixing of dust within ice grains. We found that snow spectral absorption coefficients of IDM were larger than EDM across UV to NIR wavelengths, but were negligible at wavelengths >1000 nm. The absorption enhancement (relative to EDM) was wavelength-dependent and increased with increased dust concentrations.

Compared with a uniform distribution of dust particles in ice grains, our calculations showed that non-uniformly distributed dust particles may lead to significantly different snow spectral absorption coefficients in the VIS band. Snow spectral absorption coefficients were further increased when all of the dust was positioned in the central part of ice grains, while the maximum absorption coefficient was found when the radius of a dust-polluted core was <75% of the ice grain radius. In contrast, snow spectral absorption coefficients decreased when all of the dust was positioned in the surface
layer of ice grains, and the minimum absorption coefficient was observed in the thin surface layer of dust-polluted ice grains, which was similar for EDM. As a result, broadband snow albedo decreased by up to 21%, 30%, and 33% for EDM, IDM (uniform), and IDM (central, $F_c < 0.75$), respectively, at dust concentrations of 100 ppm and $r_{ef}$ of 1000 µm.

Based on comprehensive field measurements across the Northern Hemisphere, the effect of dust on snow albedo in real snowpack was evaluated by assuming external and internal dust–snow mixing. The largest reductions in broadband snow albedo were in NAM because that region had the highest average dust concentrations; IDM (uniform) and IDM (central, $F_c < 0.75$) further decreased snow albedo by 4.6%–7.8% and 6.8%–11.4%, respectively, compared with EDM. This implies an important influence of internal dust–snow mixing in NAM.
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Figure 1. Schematic of dust mixing with snow grains internally. Dust tends to mix externally with snow grains through dry deposition and/or below cloud scavenging, while dust–snow internal mixtures can be produced by nucleation, accretion, riming, aggregation, and sintering during aerosol–cloud–precipitation processes known as wet deposition. Arrows represent how the absorption (red) and reflection (yellow) of incoming sunlight changes with dust–snow mixing state.
Figure 2. Schematic depicting various mixing scenarios of snow grains and dust particles.
Figure 3. Imaginary part of the spectral complex refractive indices of ice ($k_{\text{ice}}$) and dust ($k_{\text{dust}}$) (Warren and Brandt, 2008; Dang et al., 2015), with the imaginary part of the effective complex refractive indices ($k_{\text{ef}}$) as a function of wavelength, at dust mass concentrations ($C_{\text{dust}}^*$) of 1–100 ppm (or μg g$^{-1}$) in snow.
Figure 4. Snow absorption coefficients ($\sigma_{abs}$) for dust–snow (a) external and internal mixing (uniform), (b) internal mixing (central), and (c) internal mixing (peripheral), as a function of wavelength with different dust concentrations and $\bar{r}_c$ and $\bar{r}_p$. The corresponding enhancement ($E_{\sigma_{abs}}$) caused by (d) internal mixing (uniform), (e) internal mixing (central), and (f) internal mixing (peripheral) relative to external mixing, is shown as a function of wavelength. The snow grain radius was assumed to be 200 µm.
Figure 5. Same as Figure 4, but for spectral snow albedo ($\alpha_\lambda$).
Figure 6. The snow absorption coefficient ($\sigma_{\text{abs}}$) at 500 nm wavelength as a function of $r_c$ and $r_p$ for (a) internal mixing (central) and (b) internal mixing (peripheral) with different snow grain radii and dust mass concentrations. (d) and (e) are the same as (a) and (b), but for snow albedo at 500 nm wavelength.
Figure 7. Broadband snow albedo ($\alpha_{\text{integrated}}$) variations affected by different dust mass concentrations and snow grain radii for (a) external mixing, (b) internal mixing (uniform), and (c) internal mixing (central, $\bar{r}_c < 0.75$).
Figure 8. Variations in the broadband snow albedo scaling factor ($E_a$, integrated, ratio of $\alpha_{\text{integrated}}$ for IDM to EDM) due to different dust mass concentrations and snow grain radii for (a) internal mixing (uniform) and (b) internal mixing (central, $\bar{r}_c < 0.75$).
Figure 9. In situ measurements of dust concentrations in snow (fresh snow, aged snow, and snowpit from field sampling in different regions of the Northern Hemisphere. The solid black circle represents snow samples that were collected days after a significant dust transport event.
Figure 10. Calculated broadband snow albedo based on dust concentration measurements in different areas for dust–snow external mixing, internal mixing (uniform), and internal mixing (central, $r_c < 0.75$). The dashed line represents broadband albedo of pure snow, and the snow grain radius was assumed to be 200 µm.
Figure 11. Comparisons of snow albedo reduction ($\Delta \alpha$) under the cloudy sky caused by dust-snow uniform internal mixing (y-axis) and external mixing (x-axis) for this study (blue) and He et al. (2019) (red).