We thank the referees for taking the time to read the manuscript and offer helpful comments and suggestions. We have modified the manuscript according to the referee's comments. The detailed changes can be found in the word-tracking in the manuscript. Additionally, we added one more section (section 3.4 in the new manuscript) to analyse the impact of segregation on the ozone formation, which gives some insight on the coarse models. The point-to-point responses to the referee's comments are listed below. The referee's comment is repeated with our response in bold.

Response to Interactive comment from Referee #1

This study uses large-eddy simulations to investigate the impact of heterogenous emissions and topography on the segregation of chemical species in the mountain region of the Hong Long island. This is an important topic as global and regional chemical transport models typically cannot resolve subgrid-scale processes and therefore have difficulties accounting for the impact of segregation on chemical reaction rates within the boundary layer. The manuscript is generally well written. Experiments are carefully designed to include different emission, topography, and wind scenarios. Results are also clearly explained. However, a few major flaws need to be clarified before the manuscript can be published on ACP.

- The manuscript only provides a simple review of segregation caused by inhomogeneous emissions. First, please provide a more accurate descriptions of what these studies found. Second, a more thorough review of previous studies, including studies on the impact of terrain, is necessary. Why does this study focus on terrain? What is the role of terrain in regional scales? Third, it is recommended to include a brief description of the main results (e.g., segregation intensity) from previous studies, and elaborate on how the current study differs from previous ones.

Response: We added more description of the segregation studies in the introduction (see detail in word-tracking). Previous studies (e.g. Cao et al., 2012; Rotach et al., 2015; Liang et al., 2020) showed that complex terrain has an important impact on the turbulence in the boundary layer. Since the segregation effect is dependent on the strength of the turbulent mixing, and therefore the segregation intensity is affected by the terrain too. This study applied a concrete landscape in the WRF-LES to study the segregation, which is a step forward of the previous studies. We added more introduction on the role of the terrain in the manuscript.

- The study uses a flat outer domain, which could cause biases in simulated wind and other meteorological fields. The biases are then passed to the inner domain. If the WRF-LES is used, is it possible to provide more realistic simulations for both the outer and inner domains that apply meteorological fields, typography, emissions, etc from WRF?

Response: In this study, we focus on the theoretical aspects of the segregation between reactive species in a well-developed PBL. Our goal is not to produce a fully realistic picture of the turbulence in and around Hong Kong. We use the LES methodology to generate turbulence and assess how chemical reactions are affected by a turbulent field. This is an intermediate step towards the development of a more realistic simulation with coupled

WRF-Chem and WRF-LES and more realistic emissions over land and over the surrounding ocean. The flat outer domain is used here to generate the meteorological and chemical field for the boundary conditions of the inner domain. We agree that there are biases, but because the periodic boundary condition is used for the outer domain, so there will be biases too if the wind goes over the terrain multiple times.

- Segregation is important for fast reactions and determined by chemical and turbulent timescales. Therefore, turbulent turn over time and chemical lifetime of the species considered here need to be calculated based on the LES simulations and presented in this study. This study applies a simple chemical mechanism based on Brasseur and Jacob (2017), which implemented a rough categorization of primary anthropogenic and biogenic VOCs. Is this chemical mechanism suitable for the study of segregation? Are these VOCs all reactive? What are the criteria for reactivity in the current study? The lifetimes of VOCs in each category vary largely and are not all less or even comparable to turbulent turn over time. This rough categorization could induce large errors in segregation analysis. Please elaborate more on why this mechanism is selected. Also, please clarify what typical species are included in the anthropogenic and biogenic VOC groups and what the representative lifetimes are for these two groups.

Response:
On purpose, and in order to facilitate our conceptual analysis, we have chosen to use two primary hydrocarbons whose emissions are not co-located: one (RH-A or a surrogate of propane - C3H8) that is emitted in urbanized areas from anthropogenic activities near the coasts, and the second one (RH-B or a surrogate of isoprene) that is supposed to be from biologic origin and is supposed to be emitted in the forested hills of the Hong Kong Island. The daytime chemical lifetimes between the two different species are very different: 5.5 hours for RH-A (propane-like) and 3 minutes for RH-B (isoprene-like), assuming that the OH concentration is 5×10^6 cm^{-3}. Thus, all VOCs are reactive and the numerical experiment is developed with the purpose of examining the fate of two primary hydrocarbons with a factor 100 different timescales.
We added the definition of Damköhler number for the evaluation of the reaction speed in section 2.4. We calculated the turbulent timescale (9min) and the Damköhler number in the result section. The Damköhler numbers are 3×10^{-2} in the case of RH-A (relatively slow chemistry) and 0.3 in the case of RH-B (fast chemistry).

- Because this study investigates segregation in the planetary boundary layer, the manuscript needs to include a paragraph to discuss boundary layer development. Please also elaborate on how terrain influences boundary layer height and whether/how it influences segregation.

Response: We added more description on the evolution of the PBL in Section 3.1. The plots with PBL height have been added in Figure 8 and more details of the terrain effect on PBL height have been added in Section 3.3. From Figure 8., it is seen that the PBL height is modified by the complex mountainous terrain compared to flat terrain. In flat homogeneous terrain, the PBL is mostly dominated by upward sensible heat flux at the surface and downward sensible heat flux (entrainment) at the top of the PBL. Over the mountainous region, the atmospheric structure becomes much more complicated. In

addition to the thermally-driven, the advection of flows also plays an important role for the PBL evolution (see e.g., De Wekker & Kossmann 2015). In our case, the type of PBL seems like a contra-terrain following (see Fig.10d in De Wekker & Kossmann 2015). Due to the complex mountainous terrain (many valleys and ridges) and a short time simulation with a simple surface thermally-driven, we didn't find an obvious influence of terrain on the domain-averaged PBL height (the difference less than the vertical resolution). However, our objective in this paper is not to carefully reproduce the development of the boundary layer, but rather to create the turbulent conditions by which inhomogeneous surface emissions would (or not) remain segregated. As a result, we did not consider the influence of PBL height on the segregation. With our focus on the complex terrain and heterogeneous emission sources effects, we performed a series of idealized LES simulations and analyse the results below a fixed height of 800 m, which accounts for more than 80% of the entire PBL.

- Although the LES is run in an idealized mode in the study, it would be more enlightening to perform some simulation-observation comparisons to assure things are generally consistent with the real world.

Response: In our LES simulations, we are using background concentrations that are consistent with regional patterns of the relatively long-lived species such as ozone or carbon monoxide. From these background initial conditions the LES model calculates the fast variability in the different chemical species including the radicals (OH, HO2, RO2, etc.) for which no observational data are available. Data on species like ozone or carbon monoxide exist at the street level (monitoring stations at selected locations of the city). These are affected by local conditions and it is not appropriate to compare such data with a model that does not represent the details of the local emissions (e.g., evolving traffic) and of the urban canopy.

- In the result section, the manuscript needs to include a more detailed comparison of the results obtained in this study with those in previous studies. Although the results here are consistent with two studies, how about other studies? Please compare calculated segregation intensities and also justify the differences.

Response: We have added a more detailed comparison with previous studies in the Section on model results. In Section 3.1, we added the calculated Damköhler numbers for the selected reactions and compared the Damköhler number of RH-B to previous studies (e.g. Patton et al., 2001; Vinuesa et al., 2005; Dlugi et al., 2019), and they are in good agreement. We also added the relationship between segregation intensity and correlation coefficient as done by Ouwersloot et al. (2011) and Dlugi et al. (2019). In Section 3.2, we added more comparison with Ouwersloot et al, (2011) and Kaser et al. (2015).

Others:
Lines 16-17: "However, in reality, these species are often segregated due to localized sources and the influence of the topography." It is unclear why topography is referred to here. Is it a finding from previous studies? If so, please add the citations to the introduction section.

Response: We have added more description of the terrain effect from the previous studies in the introduction, and more analysis of the terrain influence on the wind speed, PBLH, and TKE in Section 3.3.

Line 40: What are "organized turbulent flows?"

Response: We deleted the "organized".

Lines 55-57: Other studies have already investigated the impact of inhomogeneous emissions. How does this study differ from previous ones?

Response: Most earlier studies with chemistry involved focused on forested areas (e.g., investigation of the segregation in relation to the isoprene + OH reaction), and they all used idealized method to generate the heterogeneity of the emissions (Gaussian function by Krol et al. (2000) or simple cut by Ouwersloot et al, (2011)). Our study corresponds to region with heavy-polluted urban conditions surrounding an areas with forested landscape. We also, for the first time, added complex terrain in the segregation study.

Lines 59-60: What did Kim et al. (2016) find? Low and high NOx conditions could be similar to the mountain and urban regions in this study. Please provide more explanations here. Please fix the typo "NOX."

Response: Kim et al. (2016) showed that the segregation intensities of isoprene and OH differ at low and high nitrogen oxide (NO_X) levels caused by the primary production and loss reactions of OH in different NO_X regime. We added more a detailed description on the previous studies in the introduction. We fixed the "NOX" in the manuscript.

Lines 60-61: "resulted in?" Please rewrite this sentence with a better clarification. It now reads like it is recommended to remove aqueous-phase chemistry from the LES model. . . There are multiple obscure sentences in this manuscript. Please double check the writings to avoid misunderstanding.

Response: We have rewritten this sentence. We have checked through the manuscript again to correct the obscure sentences.

Lines 65 and 80: Duplicate purposes?

Response: We changed the first "purpose" sentence. This is more like a method paragraph.

Line 103: Eddies do not produce energy.

Response: It has been changed to "energy-injection scales".

Lines 160-164: Please provide citations for the removal rate and the deposition velocities used in this study.

Response: Atmospheric destruction of reservoir species are applied to balance the long-term surface emissions of primary species. The removal of these reservoirs by photolytic processes in the atmosphere is slow (more than 2 weeks in the case of HNO_3 and several days for peroxides). Most of the loss is due to wet removal or dry deposition. We do not simulate an event of strong rain that would remove most of the reservoir species (which are soluble) in just a few minutes. However, in order to keep a balance (stationary state) in the background concentrations and avoid an accumulation of species produced from the ongoing emissions, we applied a loss mechanism with a time scale of about half a day. This may be viewed as the time separating rain events during summer time.
Regarding the dry deposition, we adopted for the gras/forested areas outside the urbanized regions values based on the measurements of Wu et al. (2011) and the analysis of Ganzeveld and Lelieveld (1995). The values were reduced over urban areas. A reference to Wu et al., and Ganzeveld and Lelieveld has been added.

Line 212: Does this sentence make a paragraph?

Response: We have moved this sentence to the end of the last paragraph.

Lines 232-234: Why is the simulated water vapor from LES higher than in the mesoscale model? Does it generate any or more clouds, which could then influence segregation aloft?

Response: The relatively lower water vapour in WRF is most likely related to the decrease of simulated wind speed above the top of PBL. Such weaker wind speed results in a relatively less water vapour transport over the ocean under the steady southwest wind. Therefore, the vertical profiles show a low water vapour abundance in the free atmosphere for lower wind speed (see the figure below). In addition, our LES case is an ideal experiment, which does not distinguish the sea and the land for the underlying surface. We find that there is no any cloud in WRF simulation (the nearest grid of observation site), but LES generates some cumulus with the maximum liquid water mixing ratio (hourly domain average) in the range of 0.018-0.025 g/kg. As reviewer mentioned that the cloud evolution would affect the segregation, but the turbulence process in the cloud is complicated and it's not easy to accurately simulate the clouds both in WRF & LES. This paper mainly focuses on the effects of topography and heterogeneous emission sources on the segregation. Therefore, we are currently not considering the impact of the clouds.

Vertical profiles of observed and simulated wind speed and total water mixing ratio.

Line 236: Not all the species show similar profiles at hour 2 and 4. So this may not be used to justify chemical equilibrium. Or please elaborate more.

Response: We have changed the "chemical equilibrium" to "stationary condition" to avoid misleading.

Lines 275-276: Do "hour 2" and "hour 4" represent 14LT and 16 LT? Please use local time instead of hour XX in the main context and the figures. What is "gradual mixing?" Or do the authors mean increased/enhanced mixing?

Response: The "hour 2" and "hour 4" do not represent real time, because we used a fixed surface heat flux to force the development of the PBL, and there is no diurnal variation. The "gradual mixing" here reflects a mixing process changing with time. We have changed it to "enhanced mixing" in the manuscript.

Line 373: "This is in consistent with ..." Please delete "in."

Response: Corrected.

Lines 373-375: Please rewrite this sentence. . .

Response: We have rewritten this sentence.

Section 3.4: Are wind directions and speeds consistent and constant throughout the whole domain, both horizontally and vertically? Please clarify in this section. Based on the terrain map, north and south winds should be largely different from east and west winds. Please provide more explanations on this.

Response: The initial horizontal wind for the different experiments are listed in Table 2. The vertical wind speed is zero initially. In Section 3.4, we only analyse the domain average segregation under different wind directions. The difference are large at certain locations, but after averaging, the differences become smaller. This may be because of the complexity of the topography with a large number of mountain ridges and valleys (and hence some possible compensating effects), so that the resulting influence of the topography appears on the average to be relatively small, and thus the different wind directions have little impact on the mean segregation. We have added this explanation in the manuscript. We plan to do a more detailed analysis in the next study that will be based on more realistic conditions as applied to the Hong Kong island.

Response to Interactive comment from Referee #2

1) The paper addresses the topic to study segregation "in order to investigate the degree to which the rates of chemical reactions between two reactive species" are modified compared to the well mixed case. This is done for 5 reactions to study a) the influence of a non-homogeneous distribution of surface sources and b) the influence of topography for a concrete case, the Hong Kong island. They apply an LES embedded in WRF for the regional flow field.
The complete topic is a next step forward if the very systematic study of Ouwersloot et al. (2011) is considered, which is cited. Therefore, the paper represents a substantial contribution to science within the scope of ACP.

2) The application of LES to study segregation is for a concrete landscape in this way is new.

3) The conclusions reached are new with respect to comparable LES studies but will be improved if some additional figures and data (in tables) are added (Remarks).

Response: We have added more figures (Figure 5., 8., 12., 15.) as suggested.

4) The methods and assumptions seem to be valid but more quantitative information should be given for any reader for better understanding of the results.

Response: We have added more information according to the reviews' comments (see the responses to the remarks below and the word-tracking in the manuscript).

5) The interpretations and conclusions need some support by the quantitative presentation of some additional results (Remarks).

Response: More detailed interpretations of the results were added in the text (see the responses to the remarks below and the word-tracking in the manuscript).

6) The authors give credit to related work and indicate their own new contribution. They may also consider: Patton, E.G. et al. (2011): Boundary Layer Meteorol. 100, 91-129. Kramm, G., Meixner, F.X. (2000): Tellus 52A and some literature noted in the remarks.

Response: We have added more details of the methods, assumptions and results analysis to help the readers for better understanding. We have referred to the conceptual studies of Patton et al. (2001) and Kramm and Meixner (2000). For the changes in the manuscript, see the word-tracking.

Remarks:
a) General Remarks: The presentation of segregation intensities IS is based on the time averaging concept. This is helpful to compare also to results obtained e.g. by:
Verver, G.H.L. et al. (2000), J. Geophys. Res. 105, 3983 - 4002;
Kaser, L. et al. (2015): Geophys. Res. Letter 42, 10.894 - 10.903;
Dlugi, R. et al. (2019): ACPD, as cited; and references in 7)

for the reaction OH + RH-B, which seem to be comparable to OH + isoprene by the rate constant, and for the reacion O3 + NO.

Response: We have added more comparisons with these studies in the section on results (Section 3.1 for homogeneous case and Section 3.2 for inhomogeneous case) with references to the suggested authors.

An LES without PBL-scheme is used, with prescribed sensible heat flux HS , and simplified moisture flux (section 2.2).
The "inner area" has urban area, forest area and "none" (Fig.2). But "none" is sea and sea has HS << 220Wm2! Please give specific information. The mechanism to produce turbulence should be, therefore, described in detail (A table may help). Please give also roughness properties for the sea, the urban area and the forest to explain the production of the TKE. Which term of the TKE - balance is dominant? Which processes produce TKE? Please also show wind profiles above sea, urban area, forest (hill). Are there rotating flow elements behind the hill?
What is the influence of bouyancy? You mention "convective conditions" in line 129, but does this really contribute to the TKE in your model setup? Did you specify PBL-height by Θ - or Θv - profile like in Ouwersloot et el. (2011)?
Please formulate a subsection on these details to inform any reader on the physics of the calculations for a better understanding.

Response: In order to focus on the terrain effect, the land-sea differences are not considered in this study. The surface of the entire domain is set as the land (there is no sea) with a fixed sensible heat flux of 230 W/m². This explanation has been added in the manuscript to avoid misunderstanding. The roughness of the different underlying surfaces for urban area and the forest is indeed important for the momentum flux and shear production of TKE, but in our case only a small area around the Island is urban region and to better distinct the effects of heterogeneous emission sources, a constant roughness length for land is used. In our case, the TKE is mainly produced by the buoyancy and shear. We didn't analyse the TKE balance or which term is dominant for our case because it's not the focus of this study. But we added the relationship between the TKE and segregation intensity in the section 3.3, see figure 11 in the manuscript. We have added the wind speed cross-section plots in Figure 8 to show the wind at different locations.
In our LES case, the buoyancy flux ($w'\theta_v'$) is from the surface sensible heat flux and moisture fluxes (similar as Ouwersloot et al., 2011). We set a fixed sensible heat flux of 230 W/m2 at the surface; while the latent heat flux was calculated in the model from a prescribed surface water vapor (see section 2.2). In our case, the buoyancy flux is also dominated by the kinematic sensible heat flux $w'\theta'$, which is almost 4 times of the kinematic moisture heat flux (see figure below; the kinematic sensible heat buoyancy flux is 0.203, the kinematic latent heat buoyancy flux in the range of 0.048~0.054).

Domain-averaged surface buoyancy flux: $\langle w'\theta_v'\rangle \approx (1+0.61q)\langle w'\theta'\rangle + 0.61\theta\langle w'q'\rangle$
(see the formula from Ouwersloot et al., 2011)

Our case is a convective boundary layer, the vertical profiles of the virtual potential temperature θ_v do not present clear gradients, so we can't detect the PBL height well with the common method of θ_v gradient. This feature also can be seen in Ouwersloot et al. (2011). We tried several methods, e.g., maximum gradient of θ_v or total water mixing ratio, bulk Richardson number, the location of 90% of the maximum wind speed, and the maximum coverture of θ_v. The PBL heights from different methods are inconsistent, but they all can reflect the influence of terrain on the PBL height. By comparing with the results of mesoscale model WRF (PBLH variable in wrfout files), we found that modified θ_v gradient method (the first level with $\frac{\partial \theta_v}{\partial z} = \frac{\theta_v(z)-\theta_{v_s}}{z-z_s} > 0$, where θ_{v_s} is surface virtual potential temperature & z_s is the surface altitude) and bulk Richardson number method (the first level with $R_{ib} = \frac{g}{\theta_{v_s}} \frac{[\theta_v(z)-\theta_{v_s}][z-z_s]}{[u(z)-u_s]^2+[v(z)-v_s]^2} = 0.25$, the subscript "s" represents surface) are consistent with WRF output PBLH. We have chosen the modified θ_v gradient method for the PBLH calculation and added the description in Section 3.1. We have also added the PBL height in Figure 8 to show the influence of the terrain. Due to the complex influences of the terrain on the PBL height, we mainly focus on analysing the results below 800 m (which accounts for about 80% of the entire PBL).

b) In line 143-152 you give the emission rates. They are constant for all grid elements of the urban area and the forest. No heterogeneity within the areas is considered. So the scales of heterogeneity are above several hundred meters or more! In line 143 you mention "constant emissions" were used in the outer domain". But here you have sea, a harbour and emission of ships.
Please add maps like Fig.2 for emissions of NO, CO, RH-A and RH-B at the surface for a better understanding by any reader.

Response: The purpose is not to perform a fully realistic simulation taking into account the detailed emissions from harbours and individual ships, but to simulate a simple case at medium resolution (100 m) to assess how anthropogenic and biogenic emissions localized in different areas of the island contribute to the formation of ozone and other secondary species. Thus, we designed numerical experiments as follows: the inner domain (Hong Kong Island) that includes topography and inhomogeneous emissions is surrounded by a flat outer domain area with homogeneous emissions that serves as a broad "boundary condition". In the inner domain, the emission rates for the anthropogenic

species (NO, CO and RH-A) are uniform all over the "urban region" (mostly the edges of the island) and zero over other areas; and the emissions of biogenic RH-B are uniform over the forested hills (inside areas of the island) and zero elsewhere. This is shown in Figure 2. Separate emission maps for NO, CO, RH-A, and RH-B will not give more information in our case.

c) Line 234: You mention results on water vapour in Fig.1. If water vapour varies it is of direct influence on OH-production (Eq. R6).

Response: The reaction R6 uses the water vapor content from the model to calculate the OH concentration, so the water vapor should have direct influence on OH production, but the detail of the influence is not considered in this study.

d) Line 236: This is not "chemical equilibrium". These are stationary conditions. The ozone production is still visible for 2h and 4h! Note also you have "polluted conditions" while Ouwersloot et al.(2011) has low NOx (or even no NOx) conditions.

Response: We changed "chemical equilibrium" to "stationary condition" in the context. We agree that we made our calculations under polluted conditions for urban area, which is also a main difference from previous studies focusing on isoprene chemistry.

e) You mention several times that high TKE leads to higher (or high) segregation. Please present figures for each reaction to show IS versus TKE. Also Dlugi et al.(2014), ACP14, 10333-10362 presented their findings for IS (OH + isoprene) as function of TKE (their Fig.19).

Response: We have added the plots of TKE versus segregation for the simulation TERW in Figure 12. From this graph, we see that the segregation intensities increase with TKE for most reactions except for RH-B + OH. The lack of clear relationship between TKE and the segregation of RH-B + OH, might be due to the fact that RB-B is emitted on the top of the mountain where the TKE is small. Dlugi et al. (2014) showed that the segregation of isoprene + OH increases with TKE for campaigns in the forest. Our results are more complicated in exist of the terrain.

f) For comparison with literature you may also present (for negative and positive IS) IS as function of the covariance in Eq. 6 to test the hypothesis that "IS is proportional to the covariance" as mentioned by Kaser et al (2015). Dlugi et al.(2019) also presented a figure with r (your Eq. 8) as function of IS (their Fig.9). Such results may also be added to your presentation to compare with data from literature.

Response: We plotted the relationship between segregation intensity and r in Figure 5, and have added some comparison with the previous studies in the manuscript.

g) Table 1: Dimensions are missing for all quantities.

Response: We have added the unit in the table.

h) Table 3: Dimensions should be shifted to the right (numbers).

Response: It has been changed.

i) Please give the complete notation for "VMR".

Response: Corrected.

j) Fig.6: OH and RH-B: Segregation is given outside the area of emission! (also in Fig 9). How to explain positive IS ?

Response: From the vertical cross-section, we can see that the segregation intensity of RH-B and OH is small at the surface layer over the forest, so the segregation seems to be outside the area of emission. The positive segregation happens when the RH-B and OH are correlated. From Fig 5. RH-B and OH are both high at the edge between forest and urban area, leading to the positive correlation and thus positive segregation.

h) Please replace the notion "tracer" by "chemical compounds" or "reactants" or an- other specific word.

Response: Corrected.

The impact of inhomogeneous emissions and topography on ozone photochemistry in the vicinity of the Hong Kong island

Yuting Wang[1,*], Yong-Feng Ma[2,*], Domingo Muñoz-Esparza[3], Cathy W. Y. Li[4], Mary Barth[3], Tao Wang[1], Guy P. Brasseur[1,3,4]

[1]Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, the Hong Kong Polytechnic University, Hung Hom, Kowloon, Hong Kong
[2]Department of Mechanics & Aerospace Engineering, Southern University of Science and Technology, Shenzhen, 518055, China
[3]National Center for Atmospheric Research, Boulder, CO, USA
[4]Max Planck Institute for Meteorology, 20146, Hamburg, Germany
[*]These authors contributed equally to this work.

Correspondence to: Yuting Wang (yuting.wang@polyu.edu.hk)

Abstract. Global and regional chemical transport models of the atmosphere are based on the assumption that chemical species are completely mixed within each model grid box. However, in reality, these species are often segregated due to localized sources and the influence of the topography. In order to investigate the degree to which the rates of chemical reactions between two reactive species are reduced due to the possible segregation of species within the convective boundary layer, we perform large-eddy simulations (LES) in the mountainous region of the Hong Kong island. We adopt a simple chemical scheme with 15 primary and secondary chemical species including ozone and its precursors. We calculate the segregation intensity due to inhomogeneity in the surface emissions of primary pollutants and due to turbulent motions related to topography. We show that the inhomogeneity in the emissions increases the segregation intensity by a factor 2-5 relative to a case in which the emissions are assumed to be uniformly distributed. Topography has an important effect on the segregation locally, but this influence is relatively limited when considering the spatial domain as a whole. In the particular setting of our model, segregation reduces the ozone formation by 8-12 % compared to the case with complete mixing, implying that the coarse resolution models may overestimate the surface ozone when ignoring the segregation effect.

1 Introduction

The spatial distribution of reactive species in the atmosphere derived by global or regional chemical-meteorological models is obtained by solving a system of nonlinear continuity (mass conservation) equations coupled with the Navier-Stokes (momentum) and energy conservation equations (Brasseur and Jacob, 2017). In most cases, a numerical approximation of the solution of these partial differential equations is found at a finite number of locations on a grid that covers the three-dimensional

(3D) geographical domain under consideration. The size of the spatial patterns that is explicitly resolved by such models is determined by the size of the adopted grid meshes. Smaller features, called subgrid-scale (SGS) processes that influence the large-scale dynamical and chemical solutions, are often represented by closure relations based on empirical parameterizations.

35

With the computer resources currently available, the spatial resolution adopted for the discretization of the model equations is typically of the order of 50-100 km in the case of global models and 1-50 km in the case of regional models used for operational numerical weather and climate predictions. Thus, in both cases, small-scale processes such as turbulent motions in the boundary layer, mountain flows, sea breeze, urban dynamics, shallow clouds, as well as the complexity of surface chemical

40 emissions are crudely represented or parameterized. Coarse models, for example, assume total mixing between trace species inside each grid mesh, and therefore do not accurately account for the segregation that may exist between these species in turbulent flows. The segregation effect is important for fast reactions, of which the chemical timescale is shorter than the turbulent timescale. In this case the reactants remain segregated rather than reacting, and such segregation tends to reduce the averaged rate at which chemical reactions happen within a model grid mesh (Komori et al., 1991; Schumann, 1989). Previous

45 studies, such as Vilà-Guerau de Arellano and Duynkerke (1993) and Kramm and Meixner (2000), considered the segregation effect in the boundary layer parameterization in the chemical transport models and showed that it is important to take the segregation into account in current photochemical models.

Numerical treatment of the turbulent flow can be provided by Large Eddy Simulation (LES) models. LES is a rapidly evolving

50 approach for modelling the turbulent flows, which was initially proposed by Smagorinsky (1963) and first explored by Deardorff (1970). In this approach, the unsteady Navier-Stokes and continuity equations are filtered to remove the smallest eddies, while capturing the eddy motions at a size larger than a specified cut-off width. The interactions of the larger, resolved eddies and the smaller, unresolved eddies are addressed by specifying a SGS stress model (Deardorff, 1970; Smagorinsky, 1963).

55

The LES technique has been used in past studies to quantify the segregation effect in the turbulent atmospheric boundary layer. Schumann (1989) simulated a single reaction in the convective boundary layer with one bottom-up and one top-down tracer, and showed that the segregation of one reaction is dependent on the ratio of the chemical and turbulent timescales, the concentration ratio of the two reactants, and the initial condition. Patton et al. (2001) used LES to simulate scalars that are

60 emitted from forest canopy with different decay rates, and presented the influence of chemical reactivity on the scalar distribution, variance, vertical flux, which affect the segregation. Other LES studies with different configurations of surface emissions pointed out that spatially inhomogeneous emissions influence considerably the segregation intensities. These studies, such as Krol et al. (2000), Auger and Legras (2007), and Ouwersloot et al. (2011), mainly focused on the isoprene chemistry, and compared the segregation intensities of the reaction between isoprene and hydroxyl radical (OH) with

65 homogenous and inhomogeneous isoprene emissions, and showed that the heterogeneity in the emissions largely increases the

2

Deleted: organized

Deleted: secondary

Moved down [2]: Krol et al. (2000), Auger and Legras (2007), and Ouwersloot et al. (2011),

Deleted: , such as

Deleted: increase

Deleted: a lot

Deleted: in the case of ozone chemistry

Moved (insertion) [2]

segregation intensities. There are other factors that affect the segregation intensities. For example, Li et al. (2016) investigated the sensitivity of segregation of volatile organic compounds (VOC) to weather conditions (e.g., temperature, humidity and the presence of clouds). They showed that isoprene segregation is largest under warm and convective conditions. Kim et al. (2016) showed that the segregation intensity of isoprene and OH differs at low and high nitrogen oxide (NO_x) levels caused by the primary production and loss reactions of OH under different NO_x regime. Li et al. (2017) added the effect of aqueous-phase chemistry in a LES model and showed that segregation of OH and isoprene is enhanced in clouds. Studies focusing on isoprene chemistry in a forested region have been initiated to understand the underestimation of the OH in global models (Dlugi et al., 2019; Ouwersloot et al., 2011). These studies all used flat domains and calculated the domain-averaged segregation intensities to account for the errors induced by the turbulence in regional or global models. However, the impact of the terrain on the segregation was not considered. Previous studies showed that complex terrain has an important impact on the turbulence structure in the boundary layer (e.g., Cao et al., 2012; Rotach et al., 2015; Liang et al., 2020) and on the evolution with time of the boundary layer height (De Wekker and Kossmann 2015). Therefore, the segregation intensity is expected to be affected by the topography.

This paper uses a LES model included in the Weather Research and Forecasting (WRF) model (Skamarock et al., 2008; 2019) to investigate the importance of segregation between reacting species in an area where surface emissions are spatially very inhomogeneous and the flow is turbulent under the influence of a complex topography. Under such conditions, the vertical mixing and the reaction rates between chemical species in the boundary layer are expected to be sensitive to the strength of the large eddies. The simulations are performed in a geographical area covering the island of Hong Kong. The surface wind measurements from the Hong Kong Observatory (HKO) station show the prevailing wind blow from the east in about 80 % of the time and from the west about 15 % of the time (Shu et al., 2015). There are two important features in the air pollution in Hong Kong: the pollution sources are concentrated in the very dense urban region, mostly along the coast, while natural emissions occur in large forested areas in the center of the island; both regions are separated by the complex topography. With the intense and inhomogeneous emissions in such urban environment, the resultant segregation can cause a large impact on the calculation of chemical reactions (Li et al., 2020). This impact is expected to be even larger with the influence of complex topography. In this study, we therefore set up a domain with a mountainous terrain characterized by complex flows determined by the topography and the occurrence of related turbulent motions.

The purpose of the study is to investigate the importance of interactions between chemistry and turbulence in the planetary boundary layer (PBL), as well as to assess how the spatial segregation between chemical species affects the nonlinear production and destruction rates of key chemical species including ozone. Since the study is intended to be conceptual, we adopt a simple chemical scheme to represent the chemical interactions between ozone and its precursors. We make plausible assumptions about the spatial distribution of the surface emissions of primary species emitted in the forested and urbanized areas of the island. We estimate how the vertical eddy transport fluxes of the chemical species and the covariance between

125 their concentrations, generally unaccounted for in coarse atmospheric models, affect the distribution of chemical species in the lowest levels of the atmosphere in the vicinity of the island.

The present modelling study should be viewed as a step towards a more complex and realistic investigation of chemical and turbulent processes occurring in the densely populated urban area of Hong Kong characterized by a complex urban canopy of

130 high-rise buildings and street canyons built on an uneven topography surrounded by the ocean and affected by emissions in Mainland China and other Asian countries and by the presence of an active harbour with intense shipping in the region. The present study focuses on the impact of the heterogenous emissions and the turbulent flow generated by the topography on chemical processes. Model description and dynamical and chemical settings are introduced in Section 2. Results of the large-eddy simulations and the interpretations of the model output are presented in Section 3. Section 4 provides the principal

135 conclusions of this study.

2 Methodology

2.1 Model description

The WRF model (version 4.0.2) with the ARW (Advanced Research WRF) core (Skamarock et al., 2008; 2019) was used to perform mesoscale meteorology simulations that provided the initial fields for the large eddy simulations. The LES module

140 included in WRF was run in an idealized mode as implemented and evaluated by Moeng et al. (2007), Kirkil et al. (2012), and Yamaguchi and Feingold (2012). A low-pass filter was applied to separate the large and small eddies, where the large eddies (energy-injection scales near the classic inertial range of 3D turbulence) were explicitly resolved, while the small eddies were parameterized by the SGS model. In the idealized LES, the initial physical conditions were specified by uniform values over the entire domain; a random perturbation was imposed initially on the mean temperature field at the lowest four grid levels to

145 initiate the turbulent motions.

2.2 Dynamical settings

A nested two-domain setup was adopted for the LES simulation. The size of the outer domain was 45 km × 45 km and the spatial resolution was 300 m. The size of the inner domain was 24 km × 24 km with a horizontal grid spacing of 100 m. The vertical layers were the same for both domains with 100 vertical levels, and the model top was set at 4 km altitude. Double

150 periodic boundary conditions were used in both the west-east and south-north directions for the outer domain. One-way nesting was used in which the outer domain provided turbulence-inclusive boundary conditions for the inner domain (Moeng et al., 2007; Muñoz-Esparza et al., 2014).

The initial profiles for the potential temperature (θ) and water mixing ratio (q) for the outer domain were taken from the output

155 of the mesoscale WRF run operated at a spatial resolution of 1.33 km (shown by the green dash line in Figure 1), and were

4

Deleted: in this study

Deleted: , which has been

Deleted: producing

Deleted: used in

Deleted: size

interpolated to the 40 m vertical grid spacing with the highest level located at 4 km. The date (Aug 1, 2018) and time of the day (UTC 04:00; local time 12:00) were chosen to correspond to a typical summer condition in Hong Kong with a well-developed convective boundary layer. Four cases were considered with initial winds blowing uniformly from the west (TERW; TER stands for terrain), the east (TERE), the south (TERS), and the north (TERN), respectively. The initial wind speed in each case was equal to 10 m s^{-1}.

The LES version adopted here used the Deardorff's turbulent kinetic energy (TKE) scheme to compute the SGS eddy viscosity and eddy diffusivity for turbulent mixing. The Coriolis parameter was set according to the latitude of the Hong Kong island. The Kessler microphysics scheme (Kessler, 1969) was used to derive cloudiness in the model. The WRF's radiation, land surface, and PBL schemes were all turned off. We applied a fixed sensible heat flux of 230 W m^{-2} at the bottom boundary, while the latent heat flux was calculated in the model from the surface water vapor content specified at the beginning of the simulations. We chose to apply a relatively small sensible heat flux (compared to summer noon conditions in Hong Kong) in order to produce a gradual development of the boundary layer and keep the convection condition unchanged during the course of the simulation. With this choice, the buoyancy flux was dominated in the simulation by the kinematic sensible heat flux, which is about 2~3 times larger than the kinematic moisture heat flux.

The outer model domain was assumed to be entirely flat, while the inner domain included a representation of the topography of the Hong Kong island. This modelling setup followed the approach of Kosović et al (2014). The elevation of the surface was taken from the ALOS world 3D data (Takaku et al., 2014) distributed by OpenTopography (https://opentopography.org; last access: Jun 1, 2020) with a spatial resolution of 30 m. To avoid numerical errors due to the terrain following coordinate, the terrain was somewhat smoothed in areas where the surface slope exceeds 25 º. The smoothed terrain height is shown in Figure 2a. To simplify the simulation and to focus on the terrain shape instead of the terrain types, the surface of entire domain was set as land to ignore the influence of the sea.

2.3 Chemical settings

A simple O_3-NO_X-VOCs chemical mechanism with 15 reactive species and 18 photochemical reactions (see Table 1) was adopted in this conceptual study and is based on the simple scheme for ozone production from hydrocarbon oxidation described in the textbook by Brasseur and Jacob (2017). We included two primary hydrocarbons, RH-A and RH-B, which represent anthropogenic (labelled -A) and biogenic (labelled -B) VOCs, respectively. RH-A was treated as a surrogate for propane and RH-B as a surrogate for isoprene. The corresponding rate constants for the oxidation by the hydroxyl radical OH at temperature of 300 K are equal to 1.1×10^{-12} cm^3 molecule^{-1} s^{-1} and 1.0×10^{-10} cm^3 molecule^{-1} s^{-1}, respectively. With an OH concentration of 5×10^6 molecule cm^{-3}, the corresponding chemical lifetimes of RH-A and RH-B are approximately 2 days and 30 minutes, respectively.

5

Among all the species, NO, CO, RH-A, and RH-B were emitted at the surface. The background conditions of the polluted atmosphere were represented by assuming emission rates in the outer domain to be uniform with values of 1.2×10^{12} molecule cm^{-2} s^{-1}, 8.0×10^{12} molecule cm^{-2} s^{-1}, and 7.0×10^{11} molecule cm^{-2} s^{-1}, for NO, CO, and RH-A respectively. The RH-B emission was set to be 3.0×10^{11} molecule cm^{-2} s^{-1} for the condition in Hong Kong with large tropical forested area. For the inner domain, we considered two specific regions corresponding to urban and forested areas, based on information provided by the land use map from ESA CCI (ESA, 2017, https://www.esa-landcover-cci.org; last access: Jun 1, 2020). The resulting emission map on the nested domain that includes terrain features is shown in Figure 2b with NO, CO, and RH-A emitted in the urban region and RH-B emitted in the forested region. The emission rates in the inner domain were calculated by dividing the corresponding values adopted in the outer domain by the area fraction of the corresponding land use type, so that the averaged emission rates for the whole inner domain were the same to those of the outer domain. In order to separate the influence of the emissions and topography, two additional simulations were conducted. A simulation with homogeneous emissions and without topography for both domains (HOMF; HOM stands for homogeneous emission; F stands for flat terrain) was performed as a baseline experiment. To assess the role of the inhomogeneous emissions on our results, a simulation with flat terrain but with inhomogeneous emissions as shown in Figure 2b (referred to as HETF; HET stands for heterogeneous emission; F stands for Flat terrain) was also conducted. The details of all the experiments are listed in Table 2.

An atmospheric destruction of reservoir species (HNO$_3$, H$_2$O$_2$, ROOH-A, ROOH-B) was applied to balance the surface emissions of the primary species. The removal of these reservoirs by photolytic processes in the atmosphere is slow (more than 2 weeks in the case of HNO$_3$ and several days for peroxides) so that most of the loss is due to wet removal or dry deposition. The model did not consider the possible occurrence of convective precipitation as often observed during summertime in Hong Kong, and hence no detailed formulation was used for the wet removal in the LES model. However, in order to keep a balance (stationary state) in the background concentrations and avoid an accumulation of species produced from the ongoing emissions, the removal of the soluble species HNO$_3$, H$_2$O$_2$, ROOH-A, and ROOH-B was occurring with a first order rate of 2.5×10^5 s^{-1} (lifetime of about half a day). This lifetime may be viewed as representing the mean time period separating successive convective rain events during summertime. For the dry deposition on the surface, we adopted for the grass/forested areas outside the urbanized regions values based on the measurements of Wu et al. (2011) and on the analysis of Ganzeveld and Lelieveld (1995). The values were reduced over urban areas. The detailed deposition velocities for the different species and the different land types are provided in Table 3.

In order to generate reasonable initial profiles for the chemical species for the two-domain simulations and to reduce the spin up time, a simple one-domain LES simulation was run for two days using the same chemical scheme as in the two-domain simulation. The one-domain LES used the same homogeneous emissions as described above. The time-varying photolysis rates were calculated by the TUV scheme for producing the diurnal variation in the photochemistry. The domain-averaged

profiles for the chemical species at the local time 12:00 of the second day were then used as the chemical initial profiles for the two-domain simulations. The initial profiles are shown by green lines in Figure 3.

2.4 Formulations of chemistry-turbulence interactions

255 Following the concept of the Reynolds decomposition, any physical variable A such as the concentration of chemical species, can be expressed as the sum between its mean value $\langle A \rangle$ (here $\langle \; \rangle$ stands for time average) and the fluctuation A' caused, for example, by turbulent motions:

$$A = \langle A \rangle + A' \tag{1}$$

260

For a second-order chemical reaction

$$A + B \rightarrow C \tag{2}$$

265 with the reaction rate constant,

$$k = k_0 e^{-\frac{T_0}{T}} \tag{3}$$

where k_0 and T_0 are reaction-dependent constants, the rate R of the reaction is expressed as

270

$$R = k \cdot A \cdot B \tag{4}$$

If we ignore the temperature fluctuation (i.e., $T' = 0$), the averaged reaction rate is

275
$$R = k \cdot \langle A \cdot B \rangle = k \cdot \langle A \rangle \cdot \langle B \rangle + k \cdot \langle A' \cdot B' \rangle = k \cdot \langle A \rangle \cdot \langle B \rangle \cdot (1 + I_{AB}) \tag{5}$$

where $\langle A' \cdot B' \rangle$ is the chemical covariance between the two reacting chemicals and I_{AB} is called the segregation intensity (Danckwerts, 1952) defined as

$$I_{AB} = \frac{\langle A' \cdot B' \rangle}{\langle A \rangle \cdot \langle B \rangle} \tag{6}$$

280

The intensity of the segregation is therefore equal to the covariance of the two reactants divided by the product of their mean concentrations. I_{AB} is equal to zero when the chemicals A and B are fully mixed, and equals to -100 % when the two chemicals

7

are fully segregated. For initially segregated A and B, the corresponding segregation intensity is closer to -100 % if the chemical reaction between the two species is fast. I_{AB} is positive when the concentrations of the two chemicals are correlated.

290 The intensity of segregation between two species A and B is related to the Damköhler number (Da) (Damköhler, 1940):

$$Da_{A(B)} = \frac{\tau_{turb}}{\tau_{chem,A(B)}} \tag{7}$$

where τ_{turb} is the turbulent timescale (typically 10 minutes during daytime; considerably longer during night time), and
295 $\tau_{chem,A(B)}$ is the reaction timescale of A when reacting with B. If the chemical timescale of A is shorter than the turbulent timescale (Da)1, called *fast chemistry limit*), the reaction between A and B is limited by the rate at which turbulent motions bring reacting species together; the covariance between fluctuating components as well as the segregation intensity are high. This occurs when the reaction rate constant k is large (Schumann, 1989; Vinuesa and Vilà-Guerau de Arellano, 2005; 2011) or when the emission of A or B is intense (Molemaker and Vilà-Guerau de Arellano, 1998; Kim et al., 2016; Li et al., 2020).
300 Other factors such as inhomogeneous emissions (Ouwersloot et al. 2011; Auger and Legras, 2007; Li et al., 2020) and other structures that obscure mixing also result in a more negative segregation intensity. Under this situation, coarse models such as regional chemical transport models may not provide accurate results since they ignore the influence of sub-grid scale turbulence on rate at which a reaction between A and B occurs. When the Damköhler number $Da \ll 1$ (called *slow chemistry limit*), the chemical species A under consideration is well mixed with small covariances with reactant B and with no significant
305 segregation. In this case, the reaction is controlled by chemistry and its rate is proportional to the product of the mean concentrations. This represents a case in which the rate of chemical reactions is well represented in coarse models. When the Damköhler number is close to 1, the interaction between chemistry and turbulence is strong as the chemical species are equally controlled by chemistry and turbulence.

310 In the Reynolds decomposition described here, the reaction rate is thus expressed by the sum of two terms: the first term is proportional to the product of the mean concentrations, represented, for example, by the concentration averaged over a grid cell in a global and regional model. The second term accounts for the contribution of subgrid chemical-turbulent interactions, and can be estimated using a large-eddy simulation. The effective rate constant k_{eff} of a reaction affected by turbulent motions is therefore (Vinuesa and Vilà-Guerau De Arellano, 2005)
315

$$k_{eff} = k(1 + I_{AB}) \tag{8}$$

Thus, a negative value of the segregation intensity I_{AB} tends to reduce the average rate at which a reaction occurs, while a positive value of I_{AB} leads to an enhancement in this rate.

8

The segregation intensity can also be written as a function of the correlation coefficient and the concentration fluctuation intensity as shown in the paper by Ouwersloot et al. (2011). The standard deviation of A is expressed as $\sigma_A = \langle A' \cdot A' \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and the covariance of A and B is $\sigma_{AB} = \langle A' \cdot B' \rangle$, so the segregation intensity becomes

$$I_{AB} = \frac{\sigma_{AB}}{\sigma_A \cdot \sigma_B} \cdot \frac{\sigma_A}{\langle A \rangle} \cdot \frac{\sigma_B}{\langle B \rangle} = r \cdot i_A \cdot i_B \qquad (9)$$

where

$$r = \frac{\sigma_{AB}}{\sigma_A \cdot \sigma_B} \qquad (10)$$

is the correlation coefficient of A and B, which determines the sign of the segregation intensity, while

$$i_A = \frac{\sigma_A}{\langle A \rangle} \qquad and \qquad i_B = \frac{\sigma_B}{\langle B \rangle} \qquad (11)$$

defined as the concentration fluctuation intensity of A and B, controls the strength of the segregation. In this paper, the mean fields of the chemical species were all calculated as one-hour time average at given points in the domain.

3 Results

The simulations for the inner domain were analysed and are shown in this Section. The results of the simulations are presented in several subsections. First, we derive domain averaged characteristics of physical and chemical quantities (i.e., temperature, humidity, concentrations of chemical species) from a baseline experiment (HOMF) that ran with uniform surface emissions in the absence of topography. Second, we analyse the effects of inhomogeneous (spatially concentrated) surface emissions (HETF) on the distribution of chemical species and on the spatial segregation between reacting species. Third, we discuss the impact of the topography on the same quantities. Finally, we assess the influence of different mean wind directions on our model results.

3.1 General development

The time evolution of the profiles of the potential temperature and water vapor mixing ratio (averaged over the entire domain) is shown in Figure 1. The dash lines represent the output from the mesoscale WRF model at a spatial resolution of 1.33 km. The green dash lines at UTC 04:00 (local time 12:00) represent the initial profiles adopted for the LES simulation, and the magenta dash lines are the WRF output at UTC 05:00 (local time 13:00). At this time, the temperature has increased in the

9

boundary layer and the top of the PBL has been lifted in response to the warming of the surface. The sounding measurements at UTC 05:00 at King's Park station are used to validate the simulated physical quantities (black lines in Figure 1). The mesoscale WRF model reproduces the potential temperature quite well, while it underestimates the water vapor mixing ratio,

395 especially in the boundary layer. This may be related to a simulated weaker southerly wind, which results in relatively less water vapour transport from the South China Sea. The red and blue solid lines show the large eddy simulation (HOMF) after two and four integration hours, respectively. The simulated water vapor from LES is higher than in the mesoscale model, and the agreement with the measurements is improved, especially at high altitudes. The convective boundary layer height is detected by the virtual potential temperature (θ_v) gradient method, which is defined as the height where $\frac{\partial \theta_v}{\partial z} = \frac{\theta_v(z) - \theta_{v_s}}{z - z_s}$ (the

400 subscript "s" represents the surface) first exceeds a threshold value (Liu and Liang, 2010). Due to the constant surface heat flux forcing, the PBL height gradually increases with a trend of ~0.028 m s^{-1}. The PBL height is about 797 m and 985 m at hour 2 and hour 4, respectively. This deepening tendency of the PBL is the same as WRF simulation, but the growth in LES is slower than that in WRF because of the small surface heat flux adopted in the LES model. The estimated turbulent timescale for the LES simulation is about 9 min, which is similar to timescales reported in previous studies (e.g., Anfossi et al., 2006).

405

Figure 3 shows the domain-mean profiles of several chemical species at hours 2 and 4. The green lines represent the initial profiles applied in the LES model. The profiles at hours 2 and 4 are very similar, which suggests that stationary conditions have been reached in the LES simulation. The atmospheric concentrations of the species emitted at the surface (NO, RH-A, RH-B) are largest near the ground, and decrease with altitude. Because O_3 is consumed by NO and since NO is highest at the

410 surface, the O_3 concentration is lowest in the bottom layers of the model. The same situation exists for OH that reacts with the primary species CO, RH-A, and RH-B that are emitted at the surface. NO_2 is produced by the reaction between NO and O_3; this reaction is therefore largely dependent on the NO concentration, so that the NO_2 shows a similar profile to that of NO. RO_2-A and RO_2-B are produced by the oxidation by OH of RH-A and RH-B; however, RH-A reacts more slowly than RH-B, and therefore RO_2-A vertical profile is more affected by the concentration of OH than RO_2-B that shares the same structure as

415 that of RH-B.

In order to assess the average effect of turbulence on the reaction rates, we calculated the segregation intensities (I_{AB}) using Equation (6) and averaged them over the entire domain (shown in Figure 4) for the following 5 reactions:

420
$$R8: \quad NO + O_3 \rightarrow NO_2 + O_2$$
$$R16: \quad RH\text{-}A + OH \rightarrow RO_2\text{-}A$$
$$R17: \quad RO_2\text{-}A + NO \rightarrow HCHO + HO_2 + NO_2$$
$$R19: \quad RH\text{-}B + OH \rightarrow RO_2\text{-}B$$
$$R20: \quad RO_2\text{-}B + NO \rightarrow HCHO + HO_2 + NO_2$$

10

Moved (insertion) [1]

Deleted: The development of the PBL is

Deleted: ; however, it shows the same tendency: the PBL height increases with time

Deleted: the

Moved up [1]: The simulated water vapor from LES is higher than in the mesoscale model, and the agreement with the measurements is improved, especially at high altitudes.

Deleted: chemical equilibrium

Among these reactions, R8 plays a key role in linking the atmospheric levels of tropospheric ozone and nitrogen oxides; R16 and R17 represent the degradation path of anthropogenic VOCs while R19 and R20 account for the same reactions, but for biogenic VOCs. In this study, we only analyse the segregation effect in the boundary layer up to 800 m to avoid the more complex influence from clouds that are formed higher up in the atmosphere. Using equation (7), we derive in the daytime boundary layer Damköhler numbers Da(NO) and Da(O$_3$) of 9.8 and 0.5 respectively for reaction R8. The Damköhler numbers for the degradation of primary anthropogenic and biogenic hydrocarbons RH-A and RH-B by the hydroxyl radical OH are 0.004 and 0.3, respectively. This indicates that the oxidation of the anthropogenic hydrocarbon (surrogate of propane) is slow, while it is considerably faster in the case of the biogenic hydrocarbon (surrogate of isoprene). The value of 0.3 calculated for RH-B is in the range of 0.01 – 0.5 derived by previous studies of isoprene (e.g., Patton et al., 2001; Vinuesa and Vilà-Guerau de Arellano, 2005; Dlugi et al., 2019). The Damköhler numbers for the reaction of peroxy radicals with nitric oxide, Da(RO$_2$-A) and Da(RO$_2$-B), are equal to 188 and 248 respectively, which indicates that the reaction of the organic peroxy radicals with NO is fast for both species from anthropogenic and biogenic origins (Verver et al., 2000). The horizontal averaged segregation profiles for the selected reactions from surface to 1000 m are shown in Figure 4. For the LES experiment with flat terrain and homogenous emissions, the segregation is weak near the surface, and becomes larger at higher altitudes. It is generated by the turbulent patterns of the flow. The segregation intensities for reactions R8, R16, R17, and R19 are negative, which highlights the anti-correlation between the atmospheric concentration of the reactants. The segregation intensity, however, is positive in the case of R20 because RO$_2$ and NO are positively correlated. We calculated the statistics from the segregation fields for the center region of the domain (14×14 km^2) so that we exclude the influence of the buffering zone near the lateral boundaries of the domain. We provide values for two altitude layers: 0-500 m and 500-800 m, as shown in Table 4. The separation at 500 m is adopted to make comparisons with subsequent simulations in which the effect of the terrain is considered. The mean segregation intensity for the reaction between NO and O$_3$ is -0.60 % below 500 m and -0.95 % above 500 m, which are the smallest values among the five selected reactions. This results from the fact that the reaction rate between NO and O$_3$ is relatively small, as well as the rapid cycling between NO and NO$_2$. The intensities for the reaction between the anthropogenic hydrocarbon (RH-A) and OH are -0.84 % at the lowest levels, and -1.52 % at the highest level, respectively. The reaction of the biogenic hydrocarbon (RH-B) is considerably faster than that of RH-A; as a result, segregation is as large as -5.08 % and -8.38 % for the low and high levels, respectively. The calculated segregation intensity for RH-B and OH is comparable to -7 % (PBL averaged) as reported for the homogeneous case by Ouwersloot et al. (2011). In order to further compare with the previous studies, the relationship between segregation intensity and correlation coefficient (r) for the reaction between RH-B and OH is plotted in Figure 5. The calculated correlation coefficients are mostly in the range of -0.6 to -1.0, which is consistent with the model results from Ouwersloot et al. (2011); while they are larger than the measurements from some campaigns resulting from the measurement noise (Dlugi et al., 2019). The mean values calculated for NO and RO$_2$-A are -3.14 % and -5.38 %. For the reaction of the positively correlated species, NO and RO$_2$-B, the segregation intensities are +2.77 % and +5.43 %.

Figure 4 also shows that the negative segregation intensities are larger for hour 2 than for hour 4 of the simulation, while the positive segregation is smaller at hour 2 compared to hour 4. This highlights the enhanced mixing of the tracers as time proceeds, so that the reactions become increasingly effective.

3.2 Impact of inhomogeneous surface emissions on the chemical reactions

To investigate the impact of the spatially inhomogeneous emissions on Hong Kong island, a control run (HETF) was conducted using the emission distribution shown in Figure 2. The mean concentrations of several chemical species near the surface and in a vertical cross section along the west-east direction at latitude 22.275 ^{o}N are shown in Figure 6. NO with anthropogenic emissions has the highest concentrations in the urban area at the edge of the Hong Kong island. RH-A shares the same pattern as NO, so that it is not shown here. Since RH-B has a biogenic source located in the forested region of the island, the highest values are found in the centre of the island. NO_2 produced by the reaction between NO and O_3 shows the same pattern as NO, while O_3, which is negatively correlated with NO, exhibits the lowest concentration in the urbanized area. OH, which is depleted by both anthropogenic and biogenic species, is characterized by low concentrations above the whole island. Since the anthropogenic emissions including CO and RH-A are considerably larger than the emissions of RH-B, the OH concentrations are lowest in the urbanized area. The OH concentration also shows peak values at the edge between the areas dominated by anthropogenic and biogenic emissions, where the destruction of the radical is smallest. The organic peroxy radicals of anthropogenic and biogenic origin, RO_2-A and RO_2-B, share the patterns found for OH and RH-B, respectively. This is consistent with the discussion in Section 3.1.

The segregation intensity derived for the HETF case is shown in Figure 7. Since the segregation intensities of the reactions of OH with RH-A and NO with RO_2-A have similar distribution to that of the NO and O_3 reaction, they are not shown in the figure. Different from the experiment HOMF with the homogenous emissions, the segregation intensity from the HETF run is largely dependent on the distribution of the emissions. The segregation map near the surface shows that intensity is much larger near the source region than at other locations. For the reaction of NO and O_3, the concentration distributions of the two species are opposite to each other, and the segregation effect is negative. Because of the stronger depletion of OH by the anthropogenic species (both CO and RH-A) than by biogenic RH-B, the OH concentration is lower in the urban region and higher in the forest area, which results in a opposite pattern to RH-A. Thus, the segregation effect is negative for the reaction between RH-A and OH. This is also the case for the reaction between NO and RO_2-A, since RO_2-A follows the pattern of OH. The segregation map for RH-B and OH is complicated with both positive and negative values, depending on the location; the positive segregation intensities are located mainly at the edge between urban and forested areas where OH concentration exhibits some peaks in its concentration. Because RH-B depletes OH, the two species are expected to be negatively correlated; however, OH is also consumed by other species, which affects the distributions of the radical. This implies that the heterogenous emissions not only lead to different intensity distributions, but they also can change the sign of the segregation

470
475
480
485
490
495
500

12

Deleted: gradual

Deleted: 5

Deleted: 6

when one chemical species reacts with several species present in different concentrations at separated locations. The correlation coefficient for the RH-B and OH is shown in Figure 5. Different from the simulation with homogenous emissions, the correlation coefficients vary between -1 and +0.7, which decide the sign of the segregation intensities. From the segregation map near the surface, we can see that the segregation intensities for NO and RO_2-B are negative, which is different from the HOMF case in which the emissions are homogeneous. In the HOMF simulation, the emissions of NO and RH-B (which is highly correlated to RO_2-B) are co-located, so NO and RO_2-B are positively correlated; while in the HETF simulation, the emission of RH-B is separated from the NO emissions, resulting in a negative correlation between NO and RO_2-B. The vertical patterns of the segregation intensity, shown in the second row of Figure 7, indicate that the impact of the emission distribution is substantial from the surface to about 500 m height, and is affected by the strength of convection. It is seen that the segregation for NO and RO_2-B is only negative at low altitudes; it becomes positive at higher levels where the impact of the separated sources has vanished. This is consistent with what is reported by Ouwersloot et al. (2011) and Li et al. (2020) for their cases with heterogeneous emissions. The large magnitude of segregation intensity between RO_2-B and NO near the surface is also comparable to the values reported in Li et al. (2020) in their cases with heterogeneous emissions.

The calculated mean segregation intensities for NO and O_3 are -3.21 % and -2.25 % for the low and high altitude bands respectively, which is more than 5 times (low band) and 2 times (high band) larger than the HOMF simulation with homogeneous emissions (see details in Table 4). Since the influence of the emissions is stronger in the lowest levels, the segregation intensity is also larger at lower altitudes. Besides of the mean values, the maximum intensity can reach -50 %, implying that the impact of the heterogenous character of the emissions can be very strong at certain locations, specifically in the vicinity of the source regions. For the two other negatively correlated reactions, the mean segregation intensities for RH-A and OH are -3.61 % (low) and -3.20 % (high), and those for NO and RO_2-A are -9.79 % and -9.21 %. For reaction of RH-B with OH, which has both positive and negative intensities as shown in Figure 7, the mean values are -7.20 % and -10.19 %. Even though the total segregation is characterized by a negative intensity, the maximum positive value of this quantity is higher than 100 %, which occurs near the surface; this may explain why the negative intensity at low altitudes is smaller than the intensity at high altitudes for this reaction. The segregation intensities under the inhomogeneous emission conditions are dependent on the distribution of the emission, so that the numbers cannot be compared directly; however, previous studies also show larger segregation intensities with heterogenous emissions. For instance, Ouwersloot et al. (2011) derive an isoprene segregation intensity of -12.6 % in their heterogeneous case; Kaser et al. (2015) derived from measurements of isoprene local segregation intensities as large as -30 %. Regarding the reaction of NO with RO_2-B, the mean segregation intensity at the lower atmospheric levels is negative (-5.15 %) and is positive (0.90 %) at higher levels. The small positive value is probably diminished by the negative intensities, therefore it is smaller when produced in the HOMF simulation with homogeneous surface emissions.

3.3 Influence of the complex terrain

Over the flat terrain, the PBL evolution is mainly controlled by the upward surface heat flux and by the downward heat flux (entrainment) at the top of the PBL. Over the mountains, in addition to the thermally-driven forcing, the advection of the flow, the occurrence of mountain waves, and the rotors also play important roles in the turbulence structure (De Wekker and Kossmann, 2015). In order to assess the role of the turbulence generated by the presence of mountains on the segregation between chemical species, we now consider the topography of the Hong Kong island in our model simulations (simulation TERW, TERE, TERS, TERN). The terrain is expected to affect the chemical reactions by changing (1) the turbulent strength and (2) the mean distribution of the chemical species. We first show these two effects by considering the simulation TERW, in which the prevailing mean winds are westerlies. The horizontal wind velocity and the total TKE (the sum of resolved TKE and SGS TKE) with the PBL height along latitude 22.275 °N are displayed in Figure 8, which shows how the topography affects the wind field and local turbulence. Compared to the case with flat terrain, the wind speed is smaller at the mountain base and in the valley; while it is significantly larger on the top of the mountains. The derived PBL height mirrors the shape of the terrain (De Wekker and Kossmann, 2015). The PBL height is depressed above the mountain base due to the subsiding circulation associated with the wind system along the slopes; a significant drop in the PBL height is seen over mountain ridges which is attributed to the Bernoulli effect; the PBL is elevated over valleys as the mixed layer is lifted by the horizontal advection from the high windward terrain and the local up-valley wind system. The TKE mainly increases behind the steep hills as a result from the flow separation and shear effect produced by the slope (Cao et al., 2012). The TKE associated with the terrain changes the concentration fluctuations of the chemical species.

The simulated concentrations of chemical species are shown in Figure 9. Comparing to the concentration distributions from the HETF case without topography (Figure 6), the overall patterns are similar when represented on quasi-horizontal terrain-following surfaces. There are, however, more defined structures in the concentration fields when taking into account the influence of the terrain. For the vertical cross sections, since the anthropogenic emissions are located in the urbanized areas around the mountains, the concentrations of NO and ozone are high in the valleys and low over the mountains. The concentrations of biogenic RH-B are highest near the surface of the mountains since this organic species is emitted by the trees located on the slopes of the mountains. The vertical distribution of OH is low near the surface everywhere above the Hong Kong island because it is depleted by both anthropogenic and biogenic species; however, the OH concentration is particularly low in the valleys because the anthropogenic species (CO and RH-A) consume large quantities of OH.

Figure 10 shows the calculated segregation intensities for three different reactions under consideration in this study. As for the mean concentration and the TKE distributions, the structure of the segregation intensities changes with the topography. For the distributions near the ground, it shares patterns similar to those found in the HETF case, since the near-surface chemical concentrations are similar in the two model experiments. For the vertical structures, the topography not only lifts the patterns

14

by the height of the terrain, but it also changes the strength of the segregation intensities. For the reaction between NO and O_3, the calculated mean segregation intensity is -3.14 % in the 0-500 m layer and -2.40 % in the 500-800 m layer. These values are similar to those derived in the HETF simulation, implying that the terrain does not substantially change the mean segregation. Because of the complexity of the topography with a large number of mountain ridges and valleys (and hence some possible compensating effects), the resulting influence of the topography appears on the average to be relatively small. However, the local maximum intensity is -59.18 %, which is larger than the -50.44 % obtained in the HETF case. The terrain impact on the reaction of RH-A and OH, and NO and RO_2-A is the same to the reaction of NO with O_3. For the reaction of OH and RH-B, the averaged intensity for the low altitude band is -5.86 %, and is therefore smaller than the -7.20 % obtained in the HETF case; however, the mean segregation intensity is -11.16 % for the higher altitude band, which is larger than in the HETF calculation. For the reaction between NO with RO_2-B, negative segregation intensities are found mainly below 500 m and positive intensities are derived above 500 m. The separation height is raised by about 300 m compared to the HETF case (no topography). The mean intensity below and above 500 m is -5.60 % and 1.65 % respectively.

The concentration fluctuation intensities for the selected species are represented in Figure 11. As defined in Section 2.4, the concentration fluctuation intensity depends on both the mean concentration and the intensity of the turbulence. For the anthropogenic emitted species such as NO with high concentrations in the urban area, the fluctuation generated by the turbulence is relatively large because of the large concentration gradients in this region. The NO concentration fluctuation intensity is highest in the urban regions where fluctuations dominate, for instance, in the valley between the two mountain peaks and at the eastern side of the hills (the leeward slopes). This is consistent with the TKE distribution. In the case of O_3, which is consumed by NO, the concentration is low in the urban area; however, the gradient in the concentration is large and therefore the fluctuation intensity is strong. The situation is similar for OH. For the biogenic species RH-B and its secondary product RO_2-B, the calculated concentration fluctuation intensity is low in their source region, implying that the TKE above the mountain is relatively small, and thus the concentration fluctuation intensity is inversely related to the concentration of the two species. As shown in Equation (9), the sign of the segregation is controlled by the correlation factor r, and the segregation intensity depends on the concentration fluctuation intensity of both reactants. For the reaction of NO and O_3, because the concentration fluctuation intensity for both species is strong in the urban region, the segregation is strong in this area, especially in areas where TKE is large. For the reaction of RH-B and OH, the segregation intensity is more dependent on the concentration fluctuation intensity of RH-B, which has larger values. The segregation intensity for the reaction of NO and RO_2-B is controlled by NO at the low altitude, but it is dominated by RO_2-B above 500 m. The relationship between the segregation intensity and TKE is shown in Figure 12. For the reaction between NO and O_3 and the reaction between NO and RO_2-B, the segregation intensities generally increase with TKE, which is similar to the findings of Dlugi et al. (2014). This supports our hypothesis that terrain affects the segregation through the turbulent strength. However, there is no clear relationship between segregation intensity and TKE for the reaction between RH-B and OH. As discussed above, the source of RH-B is located on the top of the mountains where the TKE is relatively small, so the influence of TKE is overwhelmed by the emission distribution.

15

To better analyse the impact of the topography, the differences of the segregation between the experiment TERW and HETF are shown in Figure 13. The statistics of the differences are listed in Table 5. It shows that, even though the averaged intensities
650 do not change substantially, the local influence of the terrain is important. The maximum differences are 57.95 %, 49.79 %, 154.03 %, 85.73 %, and 186.42 % for the 5 reactions under consideration. For the reaction between NO and O_3, the negative intensity increases mainly in the valley near the center and on the east edge of the island, and decreases in the north of the island and on the top of the mountains. The terrain impact on the reaction of NO with RO_2-B is similar to what is found for the NO - O_3 reaction near the surface, but it is different in the upper layers where the positive segregation becomes stronger.
655 The situation is more complicated for the reaction between OH and RH-B in the surface layer: the strongest influence of the terrain appears at the boundary between the urban and forest emissions where the segregation intensity is largest. For the vertical distribution, the negative segregation decreases at most places in the lower levels, except to the west of the mountains and on the windward side of the slope. At higher altitudes the negative segregation intensity increases.

660 The differences in the intensities of the concentration fluctuations between the simulations with and without topography are shown in Figure 14. The concentration fluctuation intensities for NO, O_3, and OH are all increased in the valleys and on the leeward side of the mountains at the east of the island. This results from the increase in the concentration gradient of these species and from the strong TKE created by the terrain. On the contrary, the concentration fluctuation intensity of RH-B decreases in the region where TKE is large, because the source of the RH-B is not located in an area where turbulence is strong.
665 Thus, the model shows that the concentration fluctuation intensity of a chemical species is dependent on the emissions, the turbulent kinetic energy, and the relative location of the two terms. When we connect the segregation induced by topography with the differences of the concentration fluctuation intensities, we find that the segregation becomes stronger in areas where the concentration fluctuation intensities of both reactants increase, while it is weaker where their concentration fluctuation intensities decrease. The segregation intensity is dominated by the chemical species that has the largest concentration
670 fluctuation intensity if the changes by the terrain of this latter quantity are opposite for the two reactants.

3.4. Impact on the ozone production and destruction

Finally, we estimate the impact of the segregation mechanisms on the formation of a key secondary species: ozone. In our chemical scheme, the limiting chemical processes in the photochemical production of this molecule is provided by the three reactions by which peroxy radicals convert NO into NO_2 (see Table 1)

675

$$R7: HO_2 + NO \rightarrow NO_2 + OH$$
$$R17: RO_2\text{-}A+NO \rightarrow HCHO+HO_2+NO_2+REST\text{-}A$$
$$R20: RO_2\text{-}B+NO\rightarrow HCHO+HO_2+NO_2+REST\text{-}B$$

16

<div style="color:#999">Deleted: 1</div>
<div style="color:#999">Deleted: 2</div>
<div style="color:#999">Deleted: tracer</div>
<div style="color:#999">Deleted: r</div>
<div style="color:#999">Deleted: ¶</div>

685 Here REST-A and REST-B represent possible secondary products that are not further considered in our simplified analysis. The photolysis of NO_2 produced by the three reactions leads directly to the formation of ozone and the production rate of odd oxygen ($O_x = O_3 + NO_2$), $P(O_x)$, is expressed in a turbulent medium by

$$P(O_x) = k_7(1 + I_7)\langle NO\rangle\langle HO_2\rangle + k_{17}(1 + I_{17})\langle NO\rangle\langle RO_2\text{-A}\rangle + k_{20}(1 + I_{20})\langle NO\rangle\langle RO_2\text{-B}\rangle$$

690

Here the brackets $\langle\ \rangle$ refer to time averaged concentration at each model grid point. Reaction rates k_7, k_{17} and k_{20} and segregation intensities I_7, I_{17} and I_{20} correspond to reactions R7, R17 and R20, respectively. Thus, the production rate of odd oxygen is not only determined by the product of the mean concentration of nitric oxide and peroxy radicals but also by the chemical covariance between the fluctuations of these species.

695

The photochemical loss rate of O_x is due primarily by the following reactions

R6: $O(^1D) + H_2O \rightarrow OH + OH$
R14: $O_3 + OH \rightarrow HO_2 + O_2$
700 R15: $O_3 + HO_2 \rightarrow OH + 2\,O_2$

with a loss rate in the turbulent medium expressed as

$$L(O_x) = k_6(1 + I_6)\langle O^1D\rangle\langle H_2O\rangle + k_{14}(1 + I_{14})\langle OH\rangle\langle O_3\rangle + k_{15}(1 + I_{15})\langle HO_2\rangle\langle O_3\rangle$$

705

Figure 15a (upper panels) shows the mean ozone production rate on model level 1 (at the surface following the terrain topography) and at model level 5 (about 200 m above the surface) with the effect of segregation included. A cross section of the same quantity is shown across the Hong Kong island along latitude 22.275 °N. The figure highlights the complexity of the spatial patterns characterizing the formation of odd oxygen with the existence of values generally peaking at the northern and 710 northeastern coasts of the island. A peak in the production is also found in the central valley and on the leeward slopes of the hills. The lower panels of Figure 15a show that the odd oxygen production rate resulting from the segregation effect is reduced by values that can reach more than 60 % locally, specifically along the coasts or in the valleys where the anthropogenic emissions (e.g., traffic) are highest. On the average, the production rate of O_x over the central area (14×14 km^2) of the inner domain, is reduced by 8 to 12 % in the first 1000 m of the boundary layer (Figure 15b). The change in the O_x loss rate is small 715 and positive due to the positive correlation between ozone and OH and between ozone and HO_2, but the negative correlation between O^1D and water vapor.

17

3.5 Difference with the wind directions

Additional simulations were performed to assess if the prevailing wind direction affects the segregation intensity since different wind directions are expected to produce different spatial distributions of the turbulence generated by the topography. The calculated statistics are shown in Table 4. For the reaction between NO and O_3, the mean segregation intensity in the lower layer is -3.14 %, -3.04 %, -2.78 %, and -2.83 %, respectively for mean winds blowing from the west, east, south, and north directions; the corresponding intensities for the higher altitudes are -2.40 %, -2.45 %, -1.59 %, and -2.84 %, respectively. The largest segregation intensity for the lower level is from the west wind, while that for the higher level is from the north wind. The smallest segregation intensity is from the south wind for both altitude bands. For the reaction of RH-A with OH, the calculated mean intensities for the west, east, south, and north winds are -3.54 %, -3.39 %, -3.15 %, and -3.76 % for the lower altitudes and -3.47 %, -3.41 %, -2.17 %, and -4.39 % for the higher altitudes. The strongest segregation is generated by the north wind and the weakest by the south wind. The same qualitative result is found for the reaction between NO and RO_2-A. In this case, the mean intensities are -9.56 %, -9.07 %, -8.63 %, and -10.08 % for the low level, and -9.79 %, -9.51 %, -6.86 %, and -12.32 % for the high level in the four wind directions. For the reaction of RH-B with OH, the mean intensities are -5.86 %, -5.01 %, -6.62 %, and -8.50 % for the four wind directions at the low bands, and -11.16 %, -10.58 %, -10.08 %, and -15.8 % for the high-altitude band. The east wind produces the strongest segregation and the north wind has the lowest intensity at the low level; the south and the north winds give the highest and the lowest segregation intensity at the high level, respectively. For the reaction between NO and RO_2-B, the averaged intensities are -5.60 %, -6.03 %, -4.15 %, and -2.91 % at low altitudes, and 1.65 %, 1.04 %, 3.93 %, 5.03 % at high altitudes. The negative intensity at the low level is largest when the wind is from the east, and smallest when the wind is from the north. The positive segregation is strongest for the north wind and weakest for the east wind.

As discussed in the previous section, the large TKE appears at the leeward side of the hills resulting from the flow recirculation and wind shear effect. However, TKE is high only at certain specific locations, while its magnitude is similar for the four experiments in the whole domain. On the other hand, the large TKE is expected to have a strong impact on the chemical reactions at the locations where the two reactants are characterized by large concentration gradients. This means that the influence of the TKE is dependent on the distribution of the chemical species too. The different wind directions seem to have a small impact on the mean segregation in our simulations, and this is probably because the topography is too complex with a large number of mountain ridges and valleys and therefore the influences are cancelled out when considering the domain as a whole.

4 Summary

The large-eddy simulations presented here were performed to study how urban air pollution behaves at the turbulent scale, a scale that cannot be resolved by global or regional models. The segregation effect on the chemical reactions resulting from

18

755   inefficient turbulent mixing was analysed. The region of the Hong Kong island offers an interesting situation to conduct such a study because of the highly inhomogeneous surface emissions of reactive species and the complex topography.

The inhomogeneity in the emissions tends to increase the segregation intensities by a factor of 2-5 compared to the simulations performed with homogeneous emissions. In some cases, the heterogeneity in the emissions can even generate a change in the
760   sign of the segregation, resulted from the separated sources of the two reactants. In our chemical mechanism, the reaction between NO and RO_2-B is not affected by segregation if NO and RH-B emissions are co-located as in the HOMF case, but a separation between the location of the anthropogenic and biogenic emissions produces a segregation between NO and RH-B at low altitudes, and thus a reduction in the effective rate at which reaction between NO and RO_2-B proceeds.

765   The topography plays a substantial role on the chemical reactions by influencing the turbulence distribution and intensity and hence impacting the concentration distributions. Near the mountains, the TKE increases on the leeward side of the slope, leading to more intense concentration fluctuations for the species with large concentration gradients. For the species with large spatial concentration gradients in areas where turbulence is weak (e.g. RH-B on the top of the mountain), the intensity of the concentration fluctuation is not enhanced.
770

The topography has important impact on the segregation intensity locally, especially if the terrain induces strong TKE values in areas where emissions are intense. The differences in the segregation intensities caused by the terrain can be larger than 50 % at certain locations; however, the mean influence of the topography over the whole domain remains relatively small.

775   Simulations performed for varied wind directions show little differences in the mean segregation intensities, because the values of the TKE generated by the topography are high only at a limited number of locations (generally the leeward side of the mountains) with little impact on the spatially averaged intensity of the segregation.

In the particular setting of our model for the Hong Kong island with heterogeneous emissions and mountainous topography,
780   the averaged segregation intensities from the surface to 500 m is of the order of -3 %, -3.5 %, -6 %, -9.5 % and -5.5 % for the NO + O_3, OH + RH-A, OH + RH-B, NO + RO_2-A and NO + RO_2-B reactions, respectively. At 500 m above the surface, segregation reduces the ozone formation by 8 % compared to a case with complete mixing. At 100 m above the surface, this reduction is close to 12 %.

785   The conceptual model presented here and applied to a region with very inhomogeneous conditions highlights the importance of segregation between reactive species, in particular in the convective planetary boundary layer. Segregation, whose intensity is a function of the chemical and turbulent time constants, occurs at spatial and temporal scales that are not resolved by usual global and regional chemical transport models. The study suggests that, when used in coarse resolution models, the chemical

19

rate constants measured in the laboratory should be adjusted in the boundary layer to correct for the sub-grid segregation effect (see equation 8). This effect is ignored by these models because they assume complete mixing of reactive species within each grid cell.

Data availability

The code or data used in this study are available upon request from the corresponding author.

Author contributions

YW designed and performed the experiments. YW and YFM analysed the simulations. YW, YFM, and GPB wrote the article. GPB initiated the idea of the work. GPB and TW provided guidance to YW. DME and MB provided advice and support on the setup of the LES. In addition, DME, CWYL, and MB contributed to the editing of the article.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

References

Anfossi, D., Rizza, U., Mangia, C., Degrazia, G.A., and Pereira Marques Filho, E.: Estimation of the ratio between the Lagrangian and Eulerian time scales in an atmospheric boundary layer generated by large eddy simulation, Atmos. Environ., 40(2), 326-337, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2005.09.041, 2006.

20

Auger, L., and Legras, B.: Chemical segregation by heterogeneous emissions, Atmos. Environ., 41(11), 2303–2318,
815   doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2006.11.032, 2007.

Brasseur, G. P., and Jacob, D. J.: Modeling of Atmospheric Chemistry, Cambridge University Press, 2017.

Cao, S., Wang, T., Ge, Y., and Tamura, Y.: Numerical study on turbulent boundary layers over two-dimensional hills—Effects of surface roughness and slope, J. Wind Eng. Ind. Aerodyn., 104, 342–349, doi:10.1016/j.jweia.2012.02.022, 2012.

Damköhler G.: Influence of turbulence on the velocity of flames in gas mixtures, Z. Elektrochem., 46, 601-626, 1940.

820   Danckwerts, P. V.: The definition and measurement of some characteristics of mixtures, Appl. Sci. Res. Sect. A, 3(4), 279–296, doi:10.1007/BF03184936, 1952.

Deardorff, J.W.: A numerical study of three-dimensional turbulent channel flow at large Reynolds numbers, J. Fluid Mech. 41(2), 453-480, doi:10.1017/S0022112070000691, 1970.

De Wekker, S. F., and Kossmann, M.: Convective boundary layer heights over mountainous terrain–A review of concepts,
825   Front. Earth Sci., 3, 77, doi:10.3389/feart.2015.00077, 2015.

Dlugi, R., Berger, M., Zelger, M., Hofzumahaus, A., Rohrer, F., Holland, F., Lu, K., and Kramm, G.: The balances of mixing ratios and segregation intensity: a case study from the field (ECHO 2003), Atmos. Chem. Phys., 14, 10333–10362, doi:10.5194/acp-14-10333-2014, 2014.

Dlugi, R., Berger, M., Mallik, C., Tsokankunku, A., Zelger, M., Acevedo, O., Bourtsoukidis, E., Hofzumahaus, A.,
830   Kesselmeier, J., Kramm, G., Marno, D., Martinez, M., Nölscher, A., Ouwersloot, H., Pfannerstill, E., Rohrer, F., Tauer, S., Williams, J., Yáñez-Serrano, A.-M., Andreae, M., Harder, H., and Sörgel, M.: Segregation in the atmospheric boundary layer: The case of OH – isoprene, Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., doi:10.5194/acp-2018-1325, in review, 2019.

ESA. Land Cover CCI Product User Guide Version 2. Tech. Rep. (2017). Available at: maps.elie.ucl.ac.be/CCI/viewer/download/ESACCI-LC-Ph2-PUGv2_2.0.pdf.

835   Ganzeveld, L., and Lelieveld, J.: Dry deposition parameterization in a chemistry general circulation model and its influence on the distribution of reactive trace gases, J. Geophys. Res., 100(D10), 20999– 21012, doi:10.1029/95JD02266, 1995.

Kaser, L., Karl, T., Yuan, B., Mauldin, R. L., Cantrell, C. A., Guenther, A. B., Patton, E. G., Weinheimer, A. J., Knote, C., Orlando, J., Emmons, L., Apel, E., Hornbrook, R., Shertz, S., Ullmann, K., Hall, S., Graus, M., de Gouw, J., Zhou, X., and Ye, C.: Chemistry-turbulence interactions and mesoscale variability influence the cleansing efficiency of the atmosphere,
840   Geophys. Res. Lett., 42(10), 894-10,903, doi:10.1002/2015GL066641, 2015.

Kessler, E.: On the distribution and continuity of water substance in atmospheric circulations, Amer. Meteor. Soc., Boston, MA., doi:10.1007/978-1-935704-36-2_1, 1969.

Kim, S. W., Barth, M. C., and Trainer, M.: Impact of turbulent mixing on isoprene chemistry, Geophys. Res. Lett., 43(14), 7701–7708, doi:10.1002/2016GL069752, 2016.

845   Kirkil, G., Mirocha, J., Bou-Zeid, E., Chow, F., K, and Kosovi´c, B.: Implementation and evaluation of dynamic subfilter-scale stress models for large-eddy simulation using WRF, Mon. Weather Rev., 140(1), 266–284, doi:10.1175/MWR-D-11-00037.1, 2012.

21

Komori, S., Hunt, J. C. R., Kanzaki, T., and Murakami, Y.: The effects of turbulent mixing on the correlation between two
860   species and on concentration fluctuations in non-premixed reacting flows, J. Fluid Mech. Digit. Arch., 228, 629–659,
doi:10.1017/S0022112091002847, 1991.

Kosović, B., Mirocha, J. D., and Lundquist, K. A.: Validation of large-eddy simulation of flows over complex terrain with the
weather research and forecasting model, 21st Symposium on Boundary Layers and Turbulence, 8-13 June, 2014.

Kramm, G., and Meixner, F. X.: On the dispersion of trace species in the atmospheric boundary layer: a re-formulation of the
865   governing equations for the turbulent flow of the compressible atmosphere, Tellus A, 52(5), 500-522,
doi:10.3402/tellusa.v52i5.12279, 2000.

Krol, M. C., Molemaker, M. J., and Vilà-Guerau De Arellano, J.: Effects of turbulence and heterogeneous emission on
photochemically active species in the convective boundary layer, J. Geophys. Res., 105(D5), 6871–6884,
doi:10.1029/1999JD900958, 2000.

870   Li, Y., Barth, M. C., Chen, G., Patton, E. G., Kim, S. W., Wisthaler, A., Mikoviny, T., Fried, A., Clark, R., and Steiner, A. L.:
Large-eddy simulation of biogenic VOC chemistry during the DISCOVER-AQ 2011 campaign, J. Geophys. Res., 121(13),
8083-8105, doi:10.1002/2016JD024942, 2016.

Li, Y., Barth, M. C., Patton, E. G., and Steiner, A. L.: Impact of in-cloud aqueous processes on the chemistry and transport of
biogenic volatile organic compounds, J. Geophys. Res., 122(20), 11-131, doi:10.1002/2017JD026688, 2017.

875   Li, C. W. Y., Brasseur, G. P., Schmidt, H., and Mellado, J. P.: Error induced by neglecting subgrid chemical segregation due
to inefficient turbulent mixing in regional chemical-transport models in urban environments, Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss.,
doi:10.5194/acp-2020-545, in review, 2020.

Liang, J., Guo, Q., Zhang, Z., Zhang, M., Tian, P., and Zhang, L.: Influence of complex terrain on near-surface turbulence
structures over Loess Plateau, Atmos., 11(9), 930, doi:10.3390/atmos11090930, 2020.

880   Liu, S., and Liang, X. Z.: Observed diurnal cycle climatology of planetary boundary layer height, J. Clim., 23(21), 5790-5809,
doi:10.1175/2010JCLI3552.1, 2010.

Moeng, C.-H., Dudhia, J., Klemp, J., and Sullivan, P.: Examining two-way grid nesting for large eddy simulation of the PBL
using the WRF model, Mon. Weather Rev., 135(6), 2295–2311, doi:10.1175/mwr3406.1, 2007.

Molemaker, M. J., and Vilà-Guerau de Arellano, J.: Control of chemical reactions by convective turbulence in the boundary
885   layer. J. Atmos. Sci., 55(4), 568–579, doi:10.1175/1520-0469(1998)055<0568:COCRBC>2.0.CO;2, 1998.

Muñoz-Esparza, D., Kosović, B., García-Sánchez, C., and van Beeck, J.: Nesting turbulence in an offshore convective
boundary layer using large-eddy simulations, Bound.-Layer Meteorol., 151(3), 453-478, doi:10.1007/s10546-014-9911-9,
2014.

Ouwersloot, H. G., Vilà-Guerau De Arellano, J., Van Heerwaarden, C. C., Ganzeveld, L. N., Krol, M. C., and Lelieveld, J.:
890   On the segregation of chemical species in a clear boundary layer over heterogeneous land surfaces, Atmos. Chem. Phys.,
11(20), 10681–10704, doi:10.5194/acp-11-10681-2011, 2011.

Patton, E.G., Davis, K.J., Barth, M.C., and Sullivan, P. P.: Decaying scalars emitted by a forest canopy: a numerical study, Bound.-Layer Meteorol., 100(1), 91–129, doi:10.1023/A:1019223515444, 2001.

Rotach, M. W., Gohm, A., Lang, M. N., Leukauf, D., Stiperski, I., and Wagner, J. S.: On the vertical exchange of heat, mass, and momentum over complex, mountainous terrain, Front. Earth Sci., 3, 76, doi:10.3389/feart.2015.00076, 2015.

Schumann, U.: Large-eddy simulation of turbulent diffusion with chemical reactions in the convective boundary layer, Atmos. Environ. (1967), 23(8), 1713-1727, doi:10.1016/0004-6981(89)90056-5, 1989.

Shu, Z. R., Li, Q. S., and Chan, P. W.: Statistical analysis of wind characteristics and wind energy potential in Hong Kong, Energy Convers. Manag., 101, 644-657, doi:10.1016/j.enconman.2015.05.070, 2015.

Skamarock, W. C., and Klemp, J. B.: A time-split nonhydrostatic atmospheric model for weather research and forecasting applications, J. Comput. Phys., 227, 3465–3485, doi:10.1016/j.jcp.2007.01.037, 2008.

Skamarock, W. C., Klemp, J. B., Dudhia, J., Gill, D. O., Liu, Z., Berner, J., Wang, W., Powers, J. G., Duda, M. G., Barker, D. M., and Huang, X.-Y.: A Description of the Advanced Research WRF Version 4, NCAR Tech. Note NCAR/TN-556+STR, 145pp, doi:10.5065/1dfh-6p97, 2019.

Smagorinsky, J.: General circulation experiments with the primitive equations: I. the basic experiment, Mon. Weather Rev., 91(3), 99-164, doi:10.1175/1520-0493(1963)091<0099:GCEWTP>2.3.CO;2, 1963.

Takaku, J., Tadono, T., and Tsutsui, K.: Generation of high resolution global DSM from ALOS PRISM, The International Archives of the Photogrammetry, Remote Sensing and Spatial Information Sciences, pp.243-248, Vol. XL-4, ISPRS TC IV Symposium, Suzhou, China, 2014.

Verver, G. H. L., van Dop, H., and Holtslag, A. A. M.: Turbulent mixing and the chemical breakdown of isoprene in the atmospheric boundary layer, J. Geophys. Res., 105(D3), 3983– 4002, doi:10.1029/1999JD900956, 2000.

Vilà-Guerau de Arellano, J., and Duynkerke, P.G.: Second-order closure study of the covariance between chemically reactive species in the surface layer, J. Atmos. Chem., 16, 145–155, doi:10.1007/BF00702784, 1993.

Vinuesa, J. F., and Vilà-Guerau de Arellano, J.: Introducing effective reaction rates to account for the inefficient mixing of the convective boundary layer, Atmos. Environ., 39(3), 445–461, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2004.10.003, 2005.

Vinuesa, J. F., and Vilà-Guerau de Arellano, J.: Fluxes and (co-)variances of reacting scalars in the convective boundary layer, Tellus B, 55(4), 935–949, doi:10.3402/tellusb.v55i4.16382, 2011.

Wu, Z., Wang, X., Chen, F., Turnipseed, A. A., Guenther, A. B., Niyogi, D., Charusombat, U., Xia, B., Munger, J. W., and Alapaty, K.: Evaluating the calculated dry deposition velocities of reactive nitrogen oxides and ozone from two community models over a temperate deciduous forest, Atmos. Environ., 45(16), 2663-2674, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2011.02.063, 2011.

Yamaguchi, T., and Feingold, G.: Technical note: Large-eddy simulation of cloudy boundary layer with the Advanced Research WRF model, J. Adv. Model. Earth Syst., 4(M09003), doi:10.1029/2012MS000164, 2012.

Deleted: ¶

Table 1: The chemical reactions used in the model (The unit of first-order reaction rate coefficients is s^{-1} and that of second-order reaction rate coefficients is cm^3 $molecule^{-1}$ s^{-1}.

No	Reactions	Reaction rates
R1	$NO_2+hv \rightarrow NO+O_3$	5.0×10^{-3}
R2	$O_3+hv \rightarrow O^{1D}+REST$	2.5×10^{-5}
R3	$HCHO+hv \rightarrow HO_2+CO+REST$	2.5×10^{-5}
R4	$HNO_3+hv \rightarrow NO_2+OH$	3.0×10^{-7}
R5	$O^{1D}+M \rightarrow O_3+REST$	$0.78084 \times 1.8 \times 10^{-11} \times e^{110/T} + 0.20946 \times 3.2 \times 10^{-11} \times e^{70/T}$;
R6	$O^{1D}+H_2O \rightarrow OH+OH$	2.2×10^{-10}
R7	$HO_2+NO \rightarrow NO_2+OH$	$3.7 \times 10^{-12} \times e^{240.0/T}$
R8	$O_3+NO \rightarrow NO_2+REST$	$3.0 \times 10^{-12} \times e^{-1500.0/T}$
R9	$HO_2+HO_2 \rightarrow H_2O_2+REST$	$2.2 \times 10^{-13} \times e^{600/T} + 1.9 \times 10^{-33} \times C_M \times e^{980/T}$
R10	$HO_2+HO_2+H_2O \rightarrow H_2O_2+REST$	$3.08 \times 10^{-34} \times e^{2800/T} + 2.66 \times 10^{-54} \times C_M \times e^{3180/T}$
R11	$OH+NO_2 \rightarrow HNO_3$	TROE
R12	$CO+OH \rightarrow HO_2+REST$	$1.5 \times 10^{-13} \times (1 + 2.439 \times 10^{-20} \times C_M)$
R13	$HCHO+OH \rightarrow HO_2+CO+REST$	$5.5 \times 10^{-12} \times e^{125/T}$
R14	$OH+O_3 \rightarrow HO_2+REST$	$1.7 \times 10^{-12} \times e^{-940.0/T}$
R15	$HO_2+O_3 \rightarrow OH+REST$	$1.0 \times 10^{-14} \times e^{-490.0/T}$
R16	$RH\text{-}A+OH \rightarrow RO_2\text{-}A+REST$	$1.0 \times 10^{-11} \times e^{-665.0/T}$
R17	$RO_2\text{-}A+NO \rightarrow HCHO+HO_2+NO_2+REST$	$2.8 \times 10^{-12} \times e^{300.0/T}$
R18	$RO_2\text{-}A+HO_2 \rightarrow ROOH\text{-}A+REST$	$4.1 \times 10^{-13} \times e^{750.0/T}$
R19	$RH\text{-}B+OH \rightarrow RO_2\text{-}B+REST$	1.0×10^{-10}
R20	$RO_2\text{-}B+NO \rightarrow HCHO+HO_2+NO_2+REST$	1.0×10^{-11}
R21	$RO_2\text{-}B+HO_2 \rightarrow ROOH\text{-}B+REST$	1.5×10^{-11}

Deleted: $1.0 \times 10^{-11} \times e^{-665.0/T}$
Deleted: $2.8 \times 10^{-12} \times e^{300.0/T}$
Deleted: $4.1 \times 10^{-13} \times e^{750.0/T}$
Deleted: 1.0×10^{-10}
Deleted: 1.0×10^{-11}
Deleted: 1.5×10^{-11}
Deleted: $1.7 \times 10^{-12} \times e^{-940.0/T}$
Deleted: $1.0 \times 10^{-14} \times e^{-490.0/T}$

Note: T stands for the temperature; M stands for air; C_M stands for the air density; REST stands for the products that are not evaluated;

945   TROE $= k_1/(1.0+k_2) \times 0.6^{(1.0/(1.0+\log(k_2)^2))}$, $k_1 = 2.6 \times 10^{-30} \times (300/T)^{3.2} \times C_M$, $k_2 = k_1/(2.4 \times 10^{-11} \times (300/T)^{1.3})$

950

24

Table 2: List of the numerical experiments.

Case name	With terrain	With heterogeneous emissions	Winds (m s^{-1})
HOMF	no	no	West (u=10, v=0)
HETF	no	yes	West (u=10, v=0)
TERW	yes	yes	West (u=10, v=0)
TERE	yes	yes	East (u=-10, v=0)
TERS	yes	yes	South (u=0, v=10)
TERN	yes	yes	North (u=0, v=-10)

965

970

Table 3: Dry deposition velocity used in this study.

Chemical compounds	No terrain (cm s^{-1})	With terrain (cm s^{-1})	
		forest	other
O$_3$	0.06	0.6	0.01
NO$_2$	0.04	0.4	0.01
HNO$_3$	0.5	5	0.7

975

980

Table 4: List of the calculated segregation intensities for the center region (14×14 km^2) of the domain.

Case name	Altitude (m)	Segregation intensity (%): mean±std (range)				
		R8: NO+O$_3$	R16: RH-A+OH	R19: RH-B+OH	R17: NO+RO$_2$-A	R20: NO+RO$_2$-B
HOMF	0-500	-0.60±0.20(-1.52~-0.12)	-0.84±0.30(-2.46~-0.15)	-5.08±1.82(-12.5~-0.50)	-3.14±1.16(-9.07~-0.53)	2.77±1.50(-1.17~9.08)
	500-800	-0.95±0.29(-2.70~-0.25)	-1.52±0.54(-4.97~-0.34)	-8.38±2.68(-22.4~-1.87)	-5.38±1.93(-17.1~-1.27)	5.43±2.33(0.30~19.0)
HETF	0-500	-3.21±6.01(-50.44~-0.03)	-3.61±6.13(-47.10~15.52)	-7.20±8.21(-77.13~112.37)	-9.79±13.72(-90.42~-0.43)	-5.15±13.95(-96.73~158.68)
	500-800	-2.25±3.35(-27.39~-0.16)	-3.20±4.43(-27.49~-0.10)	-10.19±8.60(-50.08~-4.14)	-9.21±9.98(-57.32~-0.69)	0.90±9.00(-48.81~32.71)
TERW	0-500	-3.14±6.05(-59.18~-0.09)	-3.54±6.25(-53.98~16.75)	-5.86±6.67(-64.26~188.58)	-9.56±13.78(-90.40~-0.38)	-5.60±14.67(-96.41~108.05)
	500-800	-2.40±3.60(-41.34~-0.15)	-3.47±4.88(-37.73~-0.07)	-11.16±9.46(-52.18~-0.77)	-9.79±10.75(-72.13~-0.65)	1.65±10.00(-67.28~57.11)
TERE	0-500	-3.04±5.77(-55.84~-0.06)	-3.39±5.77(-47.98~6.40)	-5.01±7.35(-50.73~93.12)	-9.07±13.13(-89.67~-0.33)	-6.03±13.70(-95.52~24.90)
	500-800	-2.45±3.87(-30.34~-0.14)	-3.41±4.90(-35.48~-0.10)	-10.58±10.12(-67.12~-2.75)	-9.51±10.97(-67.80~-0.78)	1.04±9.05(-57.58~41.07)
TERS	0-500	-2.78±5.36(-68.79~-0.07)	-3.15±5.47(-57.74~28.52)	-6.62±8.26(-73.19~199.54)	-8.63±12.43(-95.65~-0.10)	-4.15±12.98(-98.21~121.93)
	500-800	-1.59±2.62(-35.05~-0.15)	-2.17±3.18(-31.34~-0.06)	-10.08±9.63(-57.11~-1.16)	-6.86±7.57(-65.35~-0.66)	3.93±7.48(-50.80~62.30)
TERN	0-500	-2.83±4.20(-45.49~-0.08)	-3.76±4.57(-39.21~8.13)	-8.50±9.21(-63.65~119.59)	-10.08±10.72(-88.80~-0.38)	-2.91±12.38(-94.63~38.86)
	500-800	-2.84±2.93(-32.28~-0.11)	-4.39±3.81(-29.83~-0.09)	-15.80±11.98(-63.06~1.38)	-12.32±8.95(-63.99~-0.51)	5.03±11.18(-70.11~55.55)

990

995

Table 5: List of the calculated segregation differences between the experiments TERW and the HETF run for the center region (14×14 km^2) of the domain.

| Altitude (m)	Segregation intensity (%): mean±std (range)				
	R8: NO+O$_3$	R16: RH-A+OH	R19: RH-B+OH	R17: NO+RO$_2$-A	R20: NO+RO$_2$-B
0-500	0.10±3.60(-57.95~30.69)	0.03±3.23(-49.79~23.28)	0.67±4.97(-98.67~154.03)	0.12±6.92(-85.73~53.17)	0.09±8.63(-186.42~59.74)
500-800	-0.04±1.80(-21.71~15.71)	-0.17±2.03(-22.90~16.58)	-1.06±5.34(-32.40~31.77)	-0.41±4.46(-48.44~31.88)	1.45±6.57(-60.53~50.21)

1000

1005

26

Figure 1: The evolution of the profiles for potential temperature (left) and water mixing ratio (right) from mesoscale WRF (green dash lines at UTC 04:00; magenta dash line at UTC 05:00) and LES (red solid lines for hour 2 from the start time; bule solid line for hour 4). The measurements at King's Park station taken at UTC 05:00 are shown in black lines (data source: http://www.hko.gov.hk).


Figure 2: Elevation of the topography for the Hong Kong island (a) and emission map (b; red is the anthropogenic emission area and green represents the biogenic emission).

Figure 3: Domain-averaged profiles of the selected chemical compounds at the initial time (green lines), the hour 2 (red lines), and the hour 4 (blue lines) for HOMF case.

Figure 4: Domain-averaged segregation intensity profiles of selected reactions at hour 2 (red) and hour 4 (blue) for HOMF case.

Figure 5: Relationship between segregation intensity and correlation coefficient (r) for the reaction between OH and RH-B. Left plot is for the simulation HOMF and right plot is for the HETF case.

Figure 6: Volume mixing ratio of the chemical species at the first level (top panel) and vertical cross section along latitude 22.275 °N (bottom panel) at hour 4 for HETF case. The red line shows the urban area and the black line shows the forest area.

Figure 7: Segregation intensities at the first level (top panel) and the vertical cross section along latitude 22.275 °N (bottom panel) at hour 4 for HETF case. The black line shows the urban area and the green line shows the forest area.

30

Figure 8: **Vertical cross section of the horizontal wind speed (top panel) and total TKE (bottom panel) along latitude 22.275 °N at hour 4 for simulation without terrain (left column; HOMF/HETF case) and with terrain (right column; TERW case). The black line indicates the PBL height.**

Figure 9: **Same as Fig. 5, but for TERW case.**

31

segregation of NO and O₃   segregation of OH and RH-B   segregation of NO and RO₂-B

segregation of NO and O₃   segregation of OH and RH-B   segregation of NO and RO₂-B

1085

Figure 10: Same as Fig. 6, but for TERW case.

fluctuation intensity of NO   fluctuation intensity of O₃   fluctuation intensity of OH   fluctuation intensity of RH-B   fluctuation intensity of RO₂-B

fluctuation intensity of NO   fluctuation intensity of O₃   fluctuation intensity of OH   fluctuation intensity of RH-B   fluctuation intensity of RO₂-B

1090

Figure 11: Concentration fluctuation intensities (variance divided by the mean concentration) at the first level (top panel) and the vertical cross section along latitude 22.275 °N (bottom panel) at hour 4 for TERW case. The red line shows the urban area and the black line shows the forest area.

Figure 12: Relationship between segregation intensity and TKE. The grey dots represent the raw data, and the blue dots are the mean value in every TKE bin with a width of 0.1 m² s⁻². The error bars show the standard deviations.

100

Figure 13: Differences of the segregation intensity between TERW and HETF (TERW – HETF) at the first level (top panel) and the vertical cross section along latitude 22.275 °N (bottom panel). The black line shows the urban area and the green line shows the forest area.

105

33

Figure 14: Differences of the concentration fluctuation intensity (variance divided by the mean concentration) between TERW and HETF (TERW – HETF) at the first level (top panel) and the vertical cross section along latitude 22.275 °N (bottom panel). The black line shows the urban area, and the green line shows the forest area.

Figure 15a. Upper panels: production rate of ozone (molecules cm^{-3} s^{-1}) in and around the Hong Kong Island. From left to right: values at the lowest model level, values at model level 5 and values as a function of height along the constant latitude of 22.275 °N. Lower panels: Same, but for the percentage difference between ozone production rates with and without segregation effects taken into account.

34

Figure 15b. Left panel: vertical distribution of the ozone production rate (molecules cm^{-3} s^{-1}) averaged over the central 14 x 14 km^2 of the inner domain with the effect of segregation taken into account (red curve) or ignored (blue curve). Middle and right panels: percentage difference between the two cases for the photochemical production and destruction rates.