1 Point-by-point response to reviewer comments

- 2 We would like to thank the reviewers for their positive and constructive reviews of this paper.
- 3 We address the specific points of each reviewer below. Reviewer comments in blue, author
- 4 response in black, text added or amended in paper in purple.

5 <u>Reviewer comment 1</u>

- 6 Stewart et al present an extremely detailed analysis of emissions of lower-volatility vapours
- 7 (I/SVOCs) and particulate matter from domestic fuel burning. They focused on fuels typically
- 8 burned in India. One novel aspect of this study is the use of GCxGC to identify hundreds of
- 9 individual species emitted from each fuel type.
- 10 Overall the paper is very well written and easy to follow. The description of the methods, in
- 11 particular, is very detailed and shows the high level of specificity in the measurements and
- 12 the extensive QA/QC. The paper is a little bit tough to follow because the section (and sub-
- 13 section) headers all have the same style and font. This makes it hard to determine the
- 14 organization of the manuscript (e.g., there are several sub-sections to C1 ACPD Interactive
- 15 comment Printer-friendly version Discussion paper the Methods, but the headers are
- 16 indistinguishable from the following Results section). Consider numbering the sections.

17 We thank the reviewer for their suggestion and all sections are now numbered.

- This paper presents a potentially valuable dataset containing measurements of hundreds of species. However, only the emission factors for PAHs are listed in detail in Table 1 of the SI. I think it would be beneficial for the authors to share the full suite of measured compounds, either in the SI or in an online repository, so that data can be used by other researchers in the
- 22 future.

23 We have added this to the SI.

- 24 One potential weakness is that there is only one sample for most of the fuels tested (Table 1).
- 25 The authors should acknowledge that there can be significant burn-to-burn differences in
- 26 emissions.
- 27 This is indeed a limitation and is now acknowledged in the main text.

- 28 Variability in emission of particulate-phase PAHs in our study compared to literature was likely
- 29 to be highly influenced by the efficiency of combustion of different fuel types. This may also
- 30 be explained by measuring only once for many of the fuel types, due to significant burn-to-
- 31 burn differences in emissions.
- I'm not certain that Table 2 should be in the main text. This table seems to be part of theQA/QC, and it seems to me that it would be better placed in the SI.
- 34 This table is now in the SI.
- 35 Figure 5 is hard to interpret. The symbols are very small (as is the legend), and as the authors
- 36 note in the text, there are a lot of species shown. Since the text focuses on the PAHs, it might
- 37 help readability to put this version of the figure in the SI and only show the PAHs (with larger
- 38 symbols) in the main text.
- 39 Figure 5 is now in the SI and this figure has been replaced with a lighter version which just
- 40 focusses on PAHs as suggested. This is much clearer and highlights the regions of the
- 41 chromatogram dominated by species in each phase.



- 43 Figure 1. Gas and particle phase composition of PAH emissions from burning cow dung cake.
- 44

Figure 7 and line 548-550 suggest that more of the mass could be speciated with new
instruments. However Figure 7 focuses on peaks that can be positively matched with
something in one of the standards. Is it possible to infer composition based on the mass

48 spectra of the unidentified peaks?

- 49 This is tackled in the companion paper acp 2020-892, which may not have been visible at the
- 50 point of submission of this manuscript. High levels of total speciation are achieved (>90 %)
- using other instruments. We will direct readers to acp 2020-892 in the text.
- 52 Quantitative emission factors of VOCs from the combustion of solid fuels characteristic to
- 53 Delhi are provided in a companion publication (Stewart et al., 2020b).
- 54 Grammatical comments: Line 152 and 153 it seems like "samples" in the former line mean
- the sampled media (filters and SPE), and in the latter it means the fuels. Please clarify.
- 56 This has been clarified throughout, with samples referring to the organic components
- 57 collected and analysed and fuel types referring to the biofuels collected and burnt.
- 58 Line 195 define EtOAc Line 347 uses NVOC to indicate "non-volatile", but the paragraph
- 59 starting at line 389 seems to use NVOC to indicate "nitrogen-containing." Please clarify.
- 60 EtoAC and MeOH are now defined in the text as requested.
- 61 The reviewer makes a valid point about the use of NVOC as "non-volatile" and therefore the
- 62 nitrogen containing VOCs are no longer abbreviated as NVOCs.
- 63 <u>Reviewer comment 2</u>

64 Stewart et al. have developed a gas-chromatography-based analytical technique to speciate and quantify semi-volatile and intermediate volatility organic compounds (S/IVOCs) and 65 applied this technique to measure emissions of S/IVOCs from domestic fuels used in Delhi, 66 India. S/IVOCs are important precursors to ozone and aerosol formation in the atmosphere 67 and there is need to develop robust analytical techniques to speciate and quantify their 68 emissions. Biomass burning is an important source of global air pollution and the type of 69 70 biomass burning studied here (i.e., biofuel combustion) is a particularly understudied emission source. Hence, the work described in the manuscript is well motivated. I should also 71 commend the authors for a well written manuscript that provides all the necessary details to 72

Commented [JH1]:

73 comment on the methods and the interpretation of the results. The analytical method development was well designed and the application was very well described, although I 74 75 should note that I am not trained as an analytical chemist. This should serve as a useful 76 resource for researchers doing similar work in the atmospheric community. The primary 77 results of speciation and quantification are well described too but, given the large dataset 78 that is being analyzed, only a small fraction of the data are actually presented. I recommend the publication of this study in Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics after the authors have had 79 a chance to respond to my, mostly big-picture and minor, comments. 80

81

Big-picture comments: 1. The introduction seems too generic and long at the moment and
needs to be realigned to describe the state-of-the-science and gaps as it relates to the key
findings from this work. For instance, lines 53-68 discuss S/IVOC emissions generally but don't
focus on those emissions from biomass burning.

The introduction has been rewritten, and shortened, as suggested with the new structure: (1) general introduction to organic emissions from biomass burning, (2) state-of-the-art studies focussed on SOA formation from biomass burning, (3) state-of-the-art studies focussed on GCxGC analysis of biomass burning emissions and the research gap to be filled, (4) state-ofthe-art studies focussed on detailed I/SVOC analyses of south Asian fuels, (5) research gaps to be filled with this work in terms of analytical procedures and poorly understood, but widely used, Asian fuels.

General discussion of historical biomass burning I/SVOC measurement procedures,
application of GCxGC to other source sectors and Indian air quality has now been removed. It
now reads:

96 Biomass burning is one of the most important global sources of trace gases and particles to 97 the atmosphere (Simoneit, 2002; Chen et al., 2017; Andreae, 2019), with residential solid fuel 98 combustion and wildfires emitting significant quantities of organic matter (Streets et al., 99 2003; Barboni et al., 2010; Chen et al., 2017; Liu et al., 2017; Kiely et al., 2019). Emissions of 100 volatile organic compounds (VOCs) and particulate matter (PM) from biomass burning are of 101 interest due to their detrimental impact on air quality. VOCs react to form ozone and 102 secondary organic aerosol (SOA). Intermediate-volatility and semi-volatile organic 103 compounds (I/SVOCs) are also a significant emission from biomass burning (Stockwell et al., 2015; Koss et al., 2018). I/SVOCs are an important class of air pollutant due to their 104 105 contribution to aerosol formation (Bruns et al., 2016; Lu et al., 2018). I/SVOC emissions are 106 poorly, if at all, represented in regional inventories and chemical transport models. Consequently, their impact to air quality in developing regions, where solid fuel combustion 107 108 is a dominant fuel source, is not well understood. Recent studies have shown that the 109 inclusion of I/SVOCs leads to better agreement between modelled and measured values (Ots et al., 2016; Woody et al., 2016; Jathar et al., 2017; Murphy et al., 2017). Global I/SVOC 110 emissions to the atmosphere from biomass burning were estimated to be ~ 54 Tg yr⁻¹ from 111 2005-2008 (Hodzic et al., 2016), with I/SVOCs contributing in the range 8-15.5 Tg yr⁻¹ to SOA 112 (Cubison et al., 2011; Hodzic et al., 2016). 113

SOA formation from biomass burning emissions is poorly understood globally. Important 114 factors include the formation of less volatile products from the oxidation of NMVOCs which 115 116 partition into the aerosol phase, heterogeneous oxidation of aerosol phase organics as well 117 as plume dilution followed by evaporation and further gas-phase oxidation (Lim et al., 2019). Ahern et al. (2019) showed that for burning of biomass needles, biogenic VOCs were the 118 dominant class of SOA precursor. This study also found that for wiregrass, furans were the 119 120 most important SOA precursor. Bruns et al. (2016) showed that SOA formation from 121 combustion of beech fuel wood was dominated by 22 compounds, with phenol, naphthalene 122 and benzene contributing up to 80 % of the observed SOA. SOA formation from biomass 123 burning has been shown to be significant in laboratory studies, with SOA yields from the burning of western U.S. fuels reported to be 24±4 % after 6 h and 56±9 % after 4 d (Lim et al., 124 2019). However, the effect of atmospheric of aging on I/SVOCs still remains poorly 125 126 understood (Liu et al., 2017; Decker et al., 2019; Sengupta et al., 2020).

Better understanding of the quantity and composition of I/SVOCs from biomass burning is needed to evaluate their impact on the atmosphere. This is a difficult analytical task, not well suited to conventional analysis with gas chromatography coupled to mass spectrometry (GC-MS). The reason for this is because of the exponential growth of potential isomers with carbon number, which results in a large number of coeluting peaks (Goldstein and Galbally, 2007). The high resolution of two-dimensional gas chromatography (GC×GC) has been demonstrated as an ideal technique to overcome this issue when analysing complex organic samples in both 134 gas (Lewis et al., 2000; Stewart et al., 2020a) and particle phases (Hamilton et al., 2004; Lyu et al., 2019c). The application of GC×GC to biomass burning emissions has shown hundreds 135 of gaseous I/SVOCs using adsorption-thermal desorption cartridges (Hatch et al., 2015) or 136 137 solid phase extraction (SPE) disks (Hatch et al., 2018). GCxGC has also been used to analyse the particle phase from samples collected onto PTFE or quartz filters (Hatch et al., 2018; Jen 138 139 et al., 2019), with the latter study quantifying 149 organic compounds which accounted for 140 4-37 % of the total mass of organic carbon. The process used by Hatch et al. (2018) 141 demonstrated high recoveries of non-polar species from PTFE filters, with lower recoveries from SPE disks. This study highlighted the need for further evaluation of samples collected 142 onto PTFE filters and SPE disks, ideally improving the method to remove undesirable steps 143 such as trimethylsilylation derivatisation, the use of pyridine and centrifuging which led to 144 high evaporative losses. The need to develop improved sampling and measurement 145 146 techniques for I/SVOCs has also been highlighted. This is because these species often do not transmit quantitatively through the inlet and tubing when measured using online gas-phase 147 148 techniques (Pagonis et al., 2017).

Residential combustion, agricultural crop residue burning and open municipal solid waste 149 150 burning in the developing world are large, poorly characterised pollution sources with the 151 potential to have a significant impact on local and regional air quality, impacting human 152 health (Venkataraman et al., 2005; Jain et al., 2014; Wiedinmyer et al., 2014). Hazardous 153 indoor air pollution from combustion of solid fuels has been shown to be the most important 154 factor from a range of 67 environmental and lifestyle risk factors causing disease in South Asia (Lim et al., 2012). Despite this, nearly 76 % of rural Indian households depend on solid biomass 155 for their cooking needs (Gordon et al., 2018), using biofuels such as fuel wood, cow dung cake 156 157 and crop residue. Combustion often takes place indoors, without efficient emission controls, which significantly increases the mean household concentration of pollutants, particularly 158 particulate matter with a diameter less than 2.5 μ m (PM_{2.5}). The health effects from this are 159 significant, with an estimated 3.8 million premature deaths globally due to inefficient indoor 160 combustion from cooking (WHO, 2018). 161

Few detailed studies have been conducted examining the composition of I/SVOC emissions
from solid-fuel combustion sources from South Asia. Sheesley et al. (2003) used solvent
extraction followed by GC-MS to produce emission factors and examine molecular markers

165 from combustion of coconut leaves, rice straw, cow dung cake, biomass briquettes and jackfruit branches collected from Bangladesh. A more recent study extracted PM_{2.5} samples 166 167 followed by analysis with GC-MS of samples collected from motorcycles, diesel- and gasoline-168 generators, agricultural pumps, municipal solid waste burning, cooking fires using fuel wood and cow dung cake, crop residue burning and brick kilns in Nepal (Jayarathne et al., 2018). 169 170 Lack of knowledge regarding major pollution sources hinders our ability to predict air quality, 171 but also the development of effective mitigation strategies for air pollution which leads to health impacts ranging from respiratory illness to premature death (Brunekreef and Holgate, 172 2002). This results in many people living with high levels of air pollution (Cohen et al., 2005; 173 Lelieveld et al., 2015) and 13 Indian cities ranking amongst the top 20 cities in the world with 174 175 the highest levels of ambient PM_{2.5} pollution, based on available data (Gordon et al., 2018).

In this study we develop a more efficient extraction step for the SPE/PTFE technique 176 developed by Hatch et al. (2018), using accelerated solvent extraction into ethyl acetate, 177 178 which showed high recoveries of non-polar I/SVOCs. Domestic fuels characteristic to Northern India were gathered and organic I/SVOC samples collected onto SPE disks and PTFE filters 179 from controlled laboratory combustion experiments of a variety of fuel woods, cow dung 180 cakes, municipal solid waste samples, crop residues, charcoal and LPG. The samples were 181 182 extracted using this new technique and analysed with GC×GC coupled to time-of-flight mass 183 spectrometry (GC×GC-ToF-MS). Molecular markers were examined from different fuels and 184 the limitations for quantification of the extremely complex samples were examined.

185

Text from lines 69 to 107 could be condensed into a few sentences. Earlier work relevant to
this paper seems to be mentioned in lines 108 to 145 and needs to be highlighted, front and
center.

189 This has been rectified through the new introduction paragraph.

Another point that could be highlighted is that S/IVOC emissions are poorly, if at all, represented in emissions inventories and chemical transport models and their impacts on atmospheric chemistry and air quality are uncertain (with particular relevance to regions where this and similar fuel use is dominant, e.g., Asia).

194 This is now highlighted in the first paragraph of the introduction as:

195 I/SVOC emissions are poorly, if at all, represented in regional inventories and chemical196 transport models.

197 2. Given the large variability seen in biomass burning emissions, say relative to internal 198 combustion engines, the authors should comment on the single experiments done for most 199 of the fuels. This could be done by analyzing the experiment-to-experiment variability for the 100 fuels where multiple experiments were done (i.e., cow dung cake, waste), as well as through 101 a review of similar literature.

202 This is a great comment. We now acknowledge this in the text.

Figure 8 shows that there was large sample to sample variability in emission factors for different fuel wood samples, for which only 1 sample was taken. For this reason, emission factors have been generalised for use in budget estimates to the type of fuel. Mean emission factors are provided for measurements from samples of 17 fuel woods, 3 crop residues, 3 cow dung cakes and 3 different collections of municipal solid waste. Despite this, for LPG and charcoal samples only 1 sample was measured, and this significantly increases the uncertainty in the PAH emission factors from these fuel sources.

We also look at this in some more detail in the companion paper, acp 2020-892, but in a more quantitative manner, presenting total non-methane volatile organic compound emission factors and looking at over 50 different combustion experiments of fuelwoods This is better

213 presented in this companion paper as there is a larger dataset of emissions.

214 In addition, they should also comment on the differences in combustion encountered in their

215 setup versus a real-world application. For example, most municipal solid waste is probably

216 burned in a high-temperature incinerator where the combustion chemistry might be very

different than the combustion simulated in this work. I do understand that 'backyard' low-temperature MSW fires are a major concern in India, including in Delhi.

219 This is now acknowledged in the text as shown below.

220 This study was conducted under controlled laboratory conditions. For some sample types,

such as municipal solid waste, the laboratory measurement may not be entirely reflective of

222 real-world conditions. Municipal solid waste combustion may occur under both flaming and

smouldering conditions at landfill sites and in backyards, as well as in high-temperature
 incinerators in more developed countries. All of these are likely to have quite different
 combustion chemistry, and consequently lead to varying levels of emission.

226 3. I commend the authors on putting together this fantastic dataset of speciation and 227 emission factors and I am fairly certain that this will serve as a comprehensive resource for years to come (from studying exposure to toxic pollutants to developing accurate emissions 228 229 inventories for air quality modeling). [This must be an oversight but I did not see a 'data 230 availability' section that describes how and where the data will be archived for others to use]. However, the manuscript seems to present only a 'snapshot' of the dataset, with a mix of 231 higher-level observations and depth for only a subset of speciated organic compounds (e.g., 232 233 PAHs). Correct me if I am wrong but there is so much more to the dataset than what is presented. If that is indeed the case, what I would have liked to see is a structured vision for 234 how the data plans to be analyzed further (e.g., detailed source profiles, molecular markers 235 236 for source identification, volatility distributions) and what open, pressing questions would this dataset help answer in the long run? 237

We have added a table of peaks measured on both SPE disks and PTFE filters to the SI. We also now have the companion paper acp 2020-892, which gives speciated emission factors from these burns and provides quantitative emission factors in the supplementary information. We will provide a short reference within this paper to acp 2020-892 to emphasise this.

We have also submitted a paper to another journal which provides comprehensive organic emission profiles from 5 different instruments. This analyses the volatility distribution of organic emissions from C_2-C_{40} . The paper also provides additional insight into the new datasets by looking at the secondary organic aerosol production potential, OH reactivity and toxicity of emissions from domestic fuels in Delhi, India.

In addition, the dataset (provided in acp 2020-892) will also be used to better constrain
NMVOC emissions through formation of local and regional emission inventories.

250

251 Minor comments: 1. Line 228: Explain what 'NIST library hit was >800' means.

252 This has now been explained in the text.

253 Peaks were assigned through comparison of retention times with known standards and comparison with the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) mass spectral 254 255 library. Peaks with no genuine standard available were tentatively identified if the NIST library 256 similarity was > 700. This provides an indication of how similar the mass spectra obtained was to the database mass spectra for the peak, with more details given in Stein, (2011). Peaks with 257 258 a hit > 900 reflect an excellent match, 800-900 a good match and 700-800 a fair match (Stein, 259 2011). The uncertainty in this approach has been shown to be low for peaks of hits > 800, with the probability of incorrect identification being around 30 % for hits between 800-900 and 14 260 % for matches above 900 (Worton et al., 2017). 261

2. Line 471-485: Clarifying questions. Is the low fraction of the speciation of the organic
aerosol limited to not finding a match in the NIST or does it highlight a problem with the
analytical method? In addition, how sensitive is the fraction speciated to the use of the filter
media, i.e., better with PTFE versus quartz?

The low fraction of speciation of organic aerosol is due to lack of genuine standards available to develop detector-response curves for the mass spectrometer. Many peaks have a mass spectral database hit, but this does not impact the percentage identified here.

Percentage identification should not be influenced by the filter sampling media. This is low in complex samples due to lack of genuine standards to allow quantitative detector-response curves for individual analytes to be developed. The accelerated solvent extraction method used here may miss some of the most polar water soluble species as they are not soluble in EtOAc.

3. The composition section could benefit from findings from some recent publications that
have studied SOA from biomass burning emissions or precursors, e.g., He et al. (ESPI, 2020) –
alkylfuran mixture, Joo et al., (ESC, 2019) – 3-methylfuran, Ahern et al. (JGR, 2019), Akherati
et al. (ES&T, 2020), and Lim et al. (ACP, 2019) – biomass burning SOA in laboratory
experiments with an emphasis on understanding phenolic, furanic, and monoterpene VOC
contributions to SOA.

- 280 This paper now includes references to the above papers which focus on SOA formation from
- 281 biomass burning emissions. Some have been included in the adjusted introduction and some
- in the composition section.
- 283 Introduction:
- Ahern et al. (2019) showed that for burning of biomass needles, biogenic VOCs were thedominant class of SOA precursor.
- 286 SOA formation from biomass burning has been shown to be significant in laboratory studies,
- with SOA yields from the burning of western U.S. fuels reported to be 24±4 % after 6 h and
 56±9 % after 4 d (Lim et al., 2019).
- 289 Main body:
- 290 SOA formation from furanic species remains poorly understood, with a recent study showing
- an SOA yield of 1.6-2.4 % during the oxidation of 3-methylfuran with the nitrate radical (Jooet al., 2019).
- 293 A recent study found that, oxygenated aromatic compounds, which included phenols and
- 294 methoxyphenols, were responsible for just under 60 % of the SOA formed from western U.S.295 fuels (Akherati et al., 2020).
- 4. Figure 7: Was total organic mass in the gas- and particle phase measured another way, e.g.,
 FID-gas, Sunset OC/EC-particle, to get mass closure?
- Organic mass in the gas phase was measured with two separate gas chromatographs and a 298 proton-transfer reaction mass spectrometer, with the data treated in the companion paper 299 300 acp 2020-892. No direct-FID measurements of total hydrocarbon were attempted, in part due to the difficulty of dealing with the change in sensitivity of the FID for hydrocarbons and 301 OVOCs which constitute a large fraction of the gas phase VOCs. Organic mass balance is 302 303 treated in a subsequent publication which maps emissions onto a volatility-basis dataset and has been submitted for review elsewhere. However, OC/EC was not measured on these PM 304 samples due to the small sample size. 305
- 5. Figure 8: Mention sample size for each fuel. Specify measurement uncertainty when n=1.
 Combine measurement uncertainty and experiment-to-experiment variability when n>1.

308 This point has been addressed in major point 2.

309 Table 1 shows the sample size for each fuel. Figure 8 shows that there was large sample to sample variability in emission factors for different wood samples, for which only 1 sample was 310 taken. For this reason, emission factors have been generalised for use in budget estimates to 311 312 the type of fuel. Mean emission factors have been provided for measurements from samples of 17 fuel woods, 3 crop residues, 3 cow dung cakes and 3 different collections of municipal 313 314 solid waste. The mean values and standard deviations of measured emission factors are as follows: fuelwood (247 ± 214 mg kg⁻¹), crop residue (747 ± 518 mg kg⁻¹), MSW (1022 ± 340 mg 315 kg^{-1}) and cow dung cake (615 ± 112 mg kg⁻¹). Despite this, for LPG and charcoal samples only 316 1 sample was measured, and this significantly increases the uncertainty in the PAH emission 317 factors from these fuel sources. Experiment to experiment variability is provided in the 318 companion paper acp 2020-892. 319

6. Figure 9: Was the gas/particle partitioning of PAHs analyzed further? Seems like an idealdataset to study absorptive partitioning.

This has not been analysed as part of this work. A subsequent publication will provide a volatility-basis dataset of emissions from the fuel types studied here. It should be possible to dilute this to real-world dilutions, particulate matter concentrations and temperatures to better understand, and represent, the gas/particle phase partitioning of emissions from biomass burning.

7. Lines 631- 632: Why were certain samples fully speciated and others not very much? Was
this relationship examined further with respect to its sensitivity to fuel, total organic mass
captured on filter/disc, other variables?

Certain fuels were not fully speciated due to the low quantity of organic matter released and the availability of standards to quantify these. For extremely complex samples, genuine standards were not available to develop quantitative mass-spectrometer response curves to these peaks and resulted in low levels of total quantification. Future suggestions are given in the text of splitting the eluent between the mass spectrometer and a flame ionisation detector to provide much better semi quantification.

336 Marked up manuscript

337 Emissions of intermediate-volatility and semi-volatile organic compounds from

338 domestic fuels used in Delhi, India

- 339 Gareth J. Stewart¹, Beth S. Nelson¹, W. Joe F. Acton^{2,a}, Adam R. Vaughan¹, Naomi J. Farren¹,
- James R. Hopkins^{1,3}, Martyn W. Ward¹, Stefan J. Swift¹, Rahul Arya⁴, Arnab Mondal⁴, Ritu
- 341 Jangirh⁴, Sakshi Ahlawat⁴, Lokesh Yadav⁴, Sudhir K. Sharma⁴, Siti S. M. Yunus⁵, C. Nicholas
- 342 Hewitt², Eiko Nemitz⁶, Neil Mullinger⁶, Ranu Gadi⁷, Lokesh. K. Sahu⁸, Nidhi Tripathi⁸,

343 Andrew R. Rickard^{1,3}, James D Lee^{1,3}, Tuhin K. Mandal⁴ and Jacqueline F. Hamilton¹.

- 344 ¹Wolfson Atmospheric Chemistry Laboratories, Department of Chemistry, University of York, YOrk, YO10 5DD, UK
- 345 ²Lancaster Environment Centre, Lancaster University, Lancaster LA1 4YQ, UK
- 346 ³National Centre for Atmospheric Science, University of York, York, YO10 5DD, UK
- 347 ⁴CSIR-National Physical Laboratory, Dr. K.S. Krishnan Marg, New Delhi, Delhi 110012, India
- 348 ⁵School of Water, Environment and Energy, Cranfield University, Cranfield, MK43 0AL, UK
- 349 ⁶ UK Centre for Ecology and Hydrology, Bush Estate, Penicuik, EH26 0QB, UK
- 350 ⁷ Indira Gandhi Delhi Technical University for Women, Kashmiri Gate, New Delhi, Delhi 110006, India
- 351 ⁸ Physical Research Laboratory (PRL), Ahmedabad 380009, India
- ^a Now at: School of Geography, Earth and Environmental Sciences, University of Birmingham, B15 2TT, Birmingham, UK

353 Abstract

Biomass burning emits significant quantities of intermediate-volatility and semi-volatile volatile organic compounds (I/SVOCs) in a complex mixture, probably containing many thousands of chemical species. These components are significantly more toxic and have poorly understood chemistry compared to volatile organic compounds routinely analysed quantified in ambient air, however quantification analysis of I/SVOCs presents a difficult analytical challenge.

360 The gases and particles emitted during the test combustion of a range of domestic solid fuels 361 collected from across New-Delhi were sampled and analysed. Organic aerosol was collected 362 onto Teflon (PTFE) filters and residual low-volatility gases were adsorbed to the surface of solid-phase extraction (SPE) disks. A new method relying on accelerated solvent extraction 363 (ASE) coupled to comprehensive two-dimensional gas chromatography with time-of-flight 364 mass spectrometry (GC×GC-ToF-MS) was developed. This highly sensitive and powerful 365 analytical technique enabled over 3000 peaks from I/SVOC species with unique mass spectra 366 to be detected. 15-100 % of gas-phase emissions and 7-100 % of particle-phase emissions were 367 characterised. The method was analysed for suitability to make quantitative measurements of 368 I/SVOCs using SPE disks. Analysis of SPE disks indicated phenolic and furanic compounds 369

were important to gas-phase I/SVOC emissions and levoglucosan to the aerosol phase. Gasand particle-phase emission factors for 21 polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) were derived, including 16 compounds listed by the US EPA as priority pollutants. Gas-phase emissions were dominated by smaller PAHs. New emission factors were measured (mg kg⁻¹) for PAHs from combustion of cow dung cake (615), municipal solid waste (1022), crop residue (747), sawdust (1236), fuel wood (247), charcoal (151) and <u>liquifiedliquefied</u> petroleum gas (56).

The results of this study indicate that cow dung cake and municipal solid waste burning are likely to be significant PAH sources and further study is required to quantify their impact,

alongside emissions from fuel wood burning.

380 <u>1.</u> Introduction

381 Biomass burning is one of the most important global sources of trace gases and particles to the 382 atmosphere (Simoneit, 2002; Chen et al., 2017; Andreae, 2019). Emissions of volatile organic 383 compounds (VOCs) and particulate matter (PM) are of interest due to their detrimental impact 384 on air quality. VOCs react to form ozone and secondary organic aerosol (SOA), and contribute 385 0-95 Tg yr⁻¹ of SOA yearly (Shrivastava et al., 2017). Estimates of VOCs from burning often 386 do not include many intermediate volatility and semi-volatile organic compounds (I/SVOCs). 387 Wildfires emit significant quantities of organic matter over regions such as the USA, the 388 Mediterranean, South East Asia and Australia, with residential solid fuel combustion and 389 wildfires emitting significant quantities of organic matter (Streets et al., 2003; Barboni et al., 390 2010; Chen et al., 2017; Liu et al., 2017; Kiely et al., 2019). Emissions of volatile organic 391 compounds (VOCs) and particulate matter (PM) from biomass burning are of interest due to 392 their detrimental impact on air quality. VOCs react to form ozone and secondary organic 393 aerosol (SOA). Intermediate-volatility and semi-volatile organic compounds (I/SVOCs) are 394 also a significant emission from biomass burning (Stockwell et al., 2015; Koss et al., 2018). 395 I/SVOCs are an important class of air pollutant due to their contribution to aerosol formation 396 (Bruns et al., 2016; Lu et al., 2018). - and residential combustion leads to substantial organic 397 emissions in the developing world (Streets et al., 2003)I/SVOC emissions are poorly, if at all, 398 represented in regional inventories and chemical transport models. Consequently, their impact 399 to air quality in developing regions, where solid fuel combustion is a dominant fuel source, is 400 not well understood. Recent studies have shown that the inclusion of I/SVOCs leads to better 401 agreement between modelled and measured values (Ots et al., 2016; Woody et al., 2016; Jathar 402 et al., 2017; Murphy et al., 2017). Global I/SVOC emissions to the atmosphere from biomass

Formatted: Numbered + Level: 1 + Numbering Style: 1, 2, 3, ... + Start at: 1 + Alignment: Left + Aligned at: 0.63 cm + Indent at: 1.27 cm

Field Code Changed

403	burning were estimated to be ~ 54 Tg yr ⁻¹ from 2005-2008 (Hodzic et al., 2016), with I/SVOCs	
404	contributing in the range 8-15.5 Tg yr ⁻¹ to SOA (Cubison et al., 2011; Hodzic et al., 2016).	
405	SOA formation from biomass burning emissions is poorly understood globally. Important	
406	factors include the formation of less volatile products from the oxidation of NMVOCs which	
407	partition into the aerosol phase, heterogeneous oxidation of aerosol phase organics as well as	
408	plume dilution followed by evaporation and further gas-phase oxidation (Lim et al., 2019).	
409	Ahern et al. (2019) showed that for burning of biomass needles, biogenic VOCs were the	
410	dominant class of SOA precursor. This study also found that for wiregrass, furans were the	
411	most important SOA precursor. Bruns et al. (2016) showed that SOA formation from	
412	combustion of beech fuel wood was dominated by 22 compounds, with phenol, naphthalene	
413	and benzene contributing up to 80 % of the observed SOA. SOA formation from biomass	
414	burning has been shown to be significant in laboratory studies, with SOA yields from the	
415	burning of western U.S. fuels reported to be 24±4 % after 6 h and 56±9 % after 4 d (Lim et al.,	
416	2019). However, the effect of atmospheric of aging on I/SVOCs still remains poorly understood	
417	(Liu et al., 2017; Decker et al., 2019; Sengupta et al., 2020).	Field Code Changed
418	Better understanding of the quantity and composition of 1/S VOCs from biomass burning is	
419	needed to evaluate their impact on the atmosphere. This is a difficult analytical task, not well	
420	suited to conventional analysis with gas chromatography coupled to mass spectrometry (GC-	
421	MS). The reason for this is because of the exponential growth of potential isomers with carbon	
422	number, which results in a large number of coeluting peaks (Goldstein and Galbally, 2007).	
423	The high resolution of two-dimensional gas chromatography (GC×GC) has been demonstrated	
424	as an ideal technique to overcome this issue when analysing complex organic samples in both	
425	gas L'SVOCs are an important class of air pollutant due to their contribution to acrosol	
426	formation (Bruns et al., 2016; Lu et al., 2018). IVOCs have an effective saturation	Field Code Changed
427	concentration of 300-3,000,000 μ g m ⁻³ and are predominantly in the vapour phase. Once	
428	oxidised their lower volatility products can partition into the aerosol phase (Donahue et al.,	
429	2006). SVOCs have effective saturation concentrations of 0.3 300 μ g m ⁻³ (Donahue et al.,	
430	2012) and can partition between the gas and particle phases. Many studies have focused on	
431	I/SVOCs emitted from a range of sources due to their impact on aerosol formation (Robinson	
432	et al., 2007; Zhao et al., 2014; Cross et al., 2015; Zhao et al., 2015; Pereira et al., 2018)-	
433	L/SVOCs have been shown to contribute significantly to emissions from biomass burning	
434	(Lewis et al. 2000: Stewart et al. 2020a) and particle phases (Hamilton et al. 2004: Lyu et al.	Formatted: Font color: Text 1
		Tornatted: Font color. Text T
435	2019c). The application of GC×GC to biomass burning emissions has shown hundreds of	

436	gaseous I/SVOCs using adsorption-thermal desorption cartridges (Hatch et al., 2015) or solid
437	phase extraction (SPE) disks (Hatch et al., 2018). GCxGC has also been used to analyse the
438	particle phase from samples collected onto PTFE or quartz filters (Hatch et al., 2018; Jen et al.,
439	2019), with the latter study quantifying 149 organic compounds which accounted for 4-37 $\%$
440	of the total mass of organic carbon. The process used by Hatch et al. (2018) demonstrated high
441	recoveries of non-polar species from PTFE filters, with lower recoveries from SPE disks. This
442	study highlighted the need for further evaluation of samples collected onto PTFE filters and
443	SPE disks, ideally improving the method to remove undesirable steps such as
444	trimethylsilylation derivatisation, the use of pyridine and centrifuging which led to high
445	evaporative losses. The need to develop improved sampling and measurement techniques for
446	I/SVOCs has also been highlighted. This is because these species often do not transmit
447	quantitatively through the inlet and tubing when measured using online gas-phase techniques
448	<u>(Pagonis et al., 2017).</u>
449	- Global I/SVOC emissions to the atmosphere from biomass burning were estimated to be ~ 54
450	Tg yr ⁻¹ from 2005-2008 (Hodzie et al., 2016) with I/SVOCs contributing in the range 8-15.5

Tg yr⁻¹to SOA (Cubison et al., 2011; Hodzic et al., 2016). SOA formation from combustion of
 beech fuel wood was shown to be dominated by 22 compounds, with phenol, naphthalene and
 benzene contributing up to 80 % of the observed SOA (Bruns et al., 2016). However, the effect

454 of atmospheric of aging on I/SVOCs still remains poorly understood (Liu et al., 2017; Decker
 455 et al., 2019; Sengupta et al., 2020).

456 Residential combustion, agricultural crop residue burning, and open municipal solid waste 457 burning in the developing world are large, poorly characterised pollution sources with the 458 potential to have a significant impact on local and regional air quality, impacting human health 459 (Venkataraman et al., 2005; Jain et al., 2014; Wiedinmyer et al., 2014). Hazardous indoor air 460 pollution from combustion of solid fuels has been shown to be the most important factor from 461 a range of 67 environmental and lifestyle risk factors causing disease in South Asia (Lim et al., 462 2012). Recent studies focussed on source apportionment of ambient VOC concentrations in 463 Delhi have shown ground-level concentrations to be predominantly traffic related, with smaller 464 contributions from solid fuel combustion (Stewart et al., 2020a; Wang et al., 2020). Despite 465 this, nearly 76 % of rural Indian households are dependent on solid biomass for their cooking needs (Gordon et al., 2018) with biofuels such as fuel wood, cow dung cake and crop residue 466 467 being used. Combustion often takes place indoors without efficient emission controls which 468 significantly increases the mean household concentration of pollutants, particularly particulate

Field Code Changed

Formatted: Font color: Auto

Field Code Changed

469 matter with a diameter less than 2.5 µm (PM2.5). Studies have shown mean 24 h concentrations 470 of PM_{2.5} in kitchens to be in excess of 500 µg m⁻³ (Balakrishnan et al., 2013), with 4-8 times 471 ambient concentration enhancement of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) close to the 472 stove during cooking (Bhargava et al., 2004). This is significantly larger than the 40 μ g m⁻³ 473 Indian National Air Quality Standard. For comparison, the mean population weighted PM2.5 474 level in Delhi, Chennai, Hyderabad and Mumbai from 2015-2018 was 72 μg m⁻³ and the global 475 mean 20 µg m⁻³ (Chen et al., 2020), with various sources also leading to elevated levels of 476 PAHs in cities like Delhi (Elzein et al., 2020). The health effects from this are significant, with 477 premature deaths in India from exposure to ambient and household air pollution estimated to 478 be over 2 million (Lallukka et al., 2017).

479 Few detailed studies have been conducted examining the composition of L/SVOC emissions 480 from sources relevant to South Asia. One study examined gas- and particle-phase emissions 481 from coconut leaves, rice straw, cow dung cake, biomass briquettes and jackfruit branches in Bangladesh with samples analysed by ion chromatography (IC), organic/elemental carbon 482 483 (OC/EC) and gas chromatography coupled to mass spectrometry (GC-MS) to produce 484 emission factors and examine molecular markers (Sheesley et al., 2003). Another study 485 examined emissions of PM2.5, OC/EC, metals and organics. Despite this, nearly 76 % of rural 486 Indian households depend on solid biomass for their cooking needs (Gordon et al., 2018), using 487 biofuels such as fuel wood, cow dung cake and crop residue. Combustion often takes place 488 indoors, without efficient emission controls, which significantly increases the mean household 489 concentration of pollutants, particularly particulate matter with a diameter less than 2.5 µm 490 (PM_{2.5}). The health effects from this are significant, with an estimated 3.8 million premature 491 deaths globally due to inefficient indoor combustion from cooking (WHO, 2018).

492 Few detailed studies have been conducted examining the composition of I/SVOC emissions 493 from solid-fuel combustion sources from South Asia. Sheesley et al. (2003) used solvent 494 extraction followed by GC-MS to produce emission factors and examine molecular markers 495 from combustion of coconut leaves, rice straw, cow dung cake, biomass briquettes and jackfruit branches collected from Bangladesh. A more recent study extracted PM2.5 samples followed by 496 497 analysis with GC-MS of samples collected from motorcycles, diesel- and gasoline-generators, 498 agricultural pumps, municipal solid waste burning, cooking fires using fuel wood and cow 499 dung cake, crop residue burning and brick kilns in Nepal (Jayarathne et al., 2018). Lack of 500 knowledge regarding major pollution sources hinders our ability to predict air quality, but also 501 the development of effective mitigation strategies for air pollution which leads to health

impacts ranging from respiratory illness to premature death (Brunekreef and Holgate, 2002).
This results in many people living with high levels of air pollution (Cohen et al., 2005;
Lelieveld et al., 2015) and 13 Indian cities ranking amongst the top 20 cities in the world with
the highest levels of ambient PM_{2.5} pollution, based on available data (Gordon et al., 2018).

506 Early biomass burning studies used filters to target aerosol and sorbent tubes or polyurethane 507 styrene divinylbenzene (PUF/XAD/PUF) cartridges to sample gaseous species followed by 508 solvent extraction and analysis by GC MS (McDonald et al., 2000; Schauer et al., 2001; Hays 509 et al., 2002; Sheesley et al., 2003; Jordan and Seen, 2005; Dhammapala et al., 2007; Mazzoleni 510 et al., 2007; Pettersson et al., 2011; Singh et al., 2013). Detailed studies have focussed on 511 quantifying the composition of the particulate matter from burning by extracting aerosol 512 samples, followed by analysis by GC MS (Fine et al., 2001; Oros and Simoneit, 2001b, a; Oros 513 et al., 2006; Jayarathne et al., 2018). Many studies have been carried out to measure emission 514 factors of PAHs from burning, such as detailed measurements of up to 133 PAHs (Samburova 515 et al., 2016) and time resolved PAH measurements (Eriksson et al., 2014). PAH emission 516 factors have been measured for coal (Chen et al., 2005; Lee et al., 2005; Geng et al., 2014) oil 517 (Rogge et al., 1997), fuel woods (McDonald et al., 2000; Simoneit, 2002; Hosseini et al., 2013; 518 Geng et al., 2014; Jimenez et al., 2017), peat (Jinuma et al., 2007), tyres (Jinuma et al., 2007), 519 domestic waste (Kakareka et al., 2005; Sidhu et al., 2005), cow dung cake (Gadi et al., 2012; 520 Singh et al., 2013; Tiwari et al., 2013), sawdust briquette (Kim Oanh et al., 2002) and crop 521 residue (Jenkins et al., 1996; Lu et al., 2009; Gadi et al., 2012; Singh et al., 2013; Wei et al., 2014; Kim Oanh et al., 2015; Wiriya et al., 2016). Measurements of I/SVOCs in both gas- and 522 523 particle-phase samples using conventional GC-MS presents a difficult analytical challenge, due 524 to the exponential growth of potential isomers with carbon number which can result-in a large 525 number of coeluting peaks (Goldstein and Galbally, 2007). 526 The high resolution of two dimensional gas chromatography (GCxGC) has been demonstrated as an ideal technique to overcome the issue of peak coelution in one dimensional gas 527 chromatography and has been used to analyse complex ambient samples in the gas (Lewis et 528 529 al., 2000; Xu et al., 2003; Dunmore et al., 2015; Lyu et al., 2019a) and particle phases 530 (Hamilton et al., 2004; Lyu et al., 2019b; Lyu et al., 2019c). GCxGC has recently shown 531 hundreds of gaseous L/SVOCs released from biomass burning using adsorption thermal 532 desorption cartridges or solid phase extraction (SPE) disks (Hatch et al., 2015; Hatch et al., 533 2018). The particle phase has also been targeted by extracting samples from PTFE or quartz

534 filters (Hatch et al., 2018; Jen et al., 2019), with the latter study quantifying 149 organie

Field Code Changed

535	compounds which accounted for 4 37 % of the total mass of organic carbon. The process used
536	by Hatch et al. (2018) demonstrated high recoveries of non polar species from PTFE filters,
537	with lower recoveries from SPE disks. This study highlighted the need for further evaluation
538	of samples collected onto PTFE filters and SPE disks, ideally improving the method to remove
539	undesirable steps such as trimethylsilyation derivatisation, the use of pyridine and centrifuging
540	which led to high evaporative losses. The need to develop improved sampling and
541	measurement techniques for I/SVOCs has been highlighted as these species often do not
542	transmit quantitatively through the inlet and tubing when measured using online gas phase
543	techniques (Pagonis et al., 2017),
544	In this study we develop a more efficient extraction step for the SPE/PTFE technique, allowing

545 developed by Hatch et al. (2018), using accelerated solvent extraction into ethyl acetate, which 546 showed high recoveries of non-polar I/SVOCs-collected from burning typical domestic. 547 Domestic fuels used in characteristic to Northern India. The technique is used to identify many I/SVOCs in burning samples, were gathered and organic I/SVOC samples collected onto SPE 548 549 disks and PTFE filters from controlled laboratory combustion experiments of a variety of fuel 550 woods, cow dung cakes, municipal solid waste samples, crop residues, charcoal and LPG. The 551 samples were extracted using this new technique and analysed with GC×GC coupled to time-552 of-flight mass spectrometry (GC×GC-ToF-MS). Molecular markers were examined from different fuels and the limitations for quantification of L/SVOCs from burning and used to 553 554 develop emission factors for selected PAHsthe extremely complex samples using a mass 555 spectrometer were examined.

2. <u>Methods</u>Sample

556

557 **<u>2.1 Fuel</u> collection and burning facility**

558 The state of New-Delhi was gridded (0.05°05×0.05°05) and samples diverse range of fuel 559 types collected from across the state (see Figure 2). SamplesFuels were stored in a manner akin 560 to local practices prior to combustion, to ensure that the moisture content of fuels were similar 561 to those burnt across the state. A range of solid biomass fuels were collected which included 562 17 fuel wood species, cow dung cake, charcoal and sawdust (see Table 1). Three crop residue 563 samplesfuel types were collected and consisted of dried stems from vegetable plants such as cabbage (Brassica spp) and aubergines (Solanum melongena) as well as coconut husk (Cocos 564 565 nucifera). Municipal solid waste samples werewas collected from Bhalaswa, Ghazipur and

Formatted: Font color: Auto

Formatted: Heading 2

Formatted: Font: 11 pt

566 Okhla landfill sites. A low-cost <u>liquefied petroleum gas (LPG)</u> stove was also purchased to 567 allow direct comparison to other combustion sources.

568 SamplesFuels were burnt at the CSIR-National Physical Laboratory (NPL) New Delhi under 569 controlled conditions using a combustion dilution chamber that has been well described previously (Venkataraman et al., 2002; Saud et al., 2011; Saud et al., 2012; Singh et al., 2013). 570 571 In summary, 200 g of dry fuel was rapidly heated to spontaneous ignition with emissions driven into a hood and up a flue by convection to allow enough dilution, cooling and residence time 572 to achieve the quenching of typical indoor environments. This process was designed to 573 574 replicate the immediate condensational processes that occur in smoke particles approximately 575 5-20 mins after emission, yet prior to photochemistry which may change composition (Akagi 576 et al., 2011). A low volume sampler (Vayubodhan Pvt.Ltd) was used to collect particulates and low volatility gases passing from the top of the flue through a chamber with a flow rate of 46.7 577 L min⁻¹. As detailed in Table 1, 30 samples from a range of fuel types were burnt, and 8 blank 578 samples were collected from 30 fuels alongside 8 blank measurements (see the Supplementary 579 580 Information S1 for an example burn and filter samples collected from different 581 sources).sample). Prior to sample collection, SPE disks (Resprep, C₁₈, 47 mm) were prewashed 582 with 2 *× 5 mL acetone (Fisher Scientific analytical reagent grade), and 1 *× 5 mL methanol 583 (Sigma-Aldrich HPLC grade), then packed in foil and sealed in airtight bags. Samples were collected onto a PTFE filter (Cole-Parmer, 47 mm, 1.2 μm pore size) placed on top of an SPE 584 disk in a filter holder (Cole-Parmer, 47 mm, PFA) for 30 mins at a flow rate of 6 L min⁻¹, 585 586 maintained by a mass flow controller (Alicat 0-20 SLM) connected to a pump. Samples were removed from the filter holder immediately after the experiment-and, wrapped in foil, placed 587 588 inside into an airtight bag and stored at -20 °C. Samples were then transported to the UK for analysis using an insulated container containing dry ice via. air freight and stored at -20 °C 589 590 for around 2 months prior to analysis.



Figure 2. Locations across New Delhi used for the local surveys into fuel use and sample
 collection. Map produced using tiles from Stamen maps.

Formatted: Font: 11 pt
Formatted: Font: 11 pt

Table 1.. Locations across Delhi used for the local surveys into fuel usage and collection. Map tiles by
 Stamen Design. Data by © OpenStreetMap contributors 2020. Distributed under a Creative Commons
 BY-SA License. ____

599	<u>Table 1. Types of sample collected fuel sampled</u> where $n =$ number of samples burned burns of a specific
600	fuel type, SPE and PTFE = number of blank corrected peaks detected on SPE disks and PTFE filters,
601	respectively.

Fuel woods	n	SPE	PTFE	Other		SPE	PTFE	
Plywood	1	149 201	530<u>516</u>	Cow dung cake		<u>1295</u> 1235	1604 <u>1562</u>	
Azadirachta	1	562 557	880<u>862</u>	Cocos nucifera		<u>614620</u>	<u>11971182</u>	
indica				-				
Morus spp	1	811<u>805</u>	1108<u>1132</u>	Charcoal	1	<u>453</u> 439	211 280	
Shorea spp	1	283 296	326 360	Sawdust	1	<u>1113</u> 1112	<u>14171486</u>	
Ficus religiosa	1	504 500	652 712	Waste	3	980 948	<u>1182<mark>1181</mark></u>	
Syzygium spp	1	<u>680661</u>	529 571	LPG	1	-	0_	
Ficus spp	1	277<u>306</u>	247 292	Blank	8	-	-	
Vachellia spp	1	702 697	753<u>800</u>	Cow dung cake mix	1	932 931	<u>12001241</u>	
Dalbergia sissoo	1	4 <u>83</u> 501	<u>561</u> 611	Brassica spp	1	656 652	4 <u>63536</u>	
Ricinus spp	1	424	125 271	Solanum melongena	1	280 <u>314</u>	551<u>559</u>	
Holoptelea spp	1	276 274	263 324					
Saraca indica	1	<u>517525</u>	<u>445484</u>					
Pithecellobium	1	<u>527525</u>	159 235					
spp								
Eucalyptus spp	1	211 238	77 144					
Melia azedarach	1	434 444	166 213					

113144

<u>546628</u>

602

603 Sample extraction

Prosopis spp

Mangifera indica

237248

360387

1

1

604 <u>2.2 Extraction</u>

605 SPE disks and PTFE filters were spiked with an internal standard (50 µL at 20 µg mL-1) 606 containing 6 deuterated PAHs (1,4-Dichlorobenzene-d4, naphthalene-d8, acenaphthene-d10, phenanthrene-d₁₀, chrysene-d₁₂, perylene-d₁₂; EPA 8270 Semivolatile Internal Standard Mix, 607 2000 μ g mL⁻¹ in DCM) to result in a final internal standard concentration of 1 μ g mL⁻¹ in 608 solution. The solvent from the internal standard was allowed to evaporate and then SPE disks 609 610 and PTFE filters were cut and extracted into ethyl acetate (EtOAc) using accelerated solvent 611 extraction (ASE 350, Dionex, ThermoFisher Scientific). Extractions were performed at 80 °C 612 and 1500 psi for three 5 min cycles. After each cycle, the cell was purged for 60 seconds into a sample collection vial. Samples were then reduced from 15 mL to 0.90 mL over a low flow 613 of N₂ in an ice bath over a period of 6-8 hours (Farren et al., 2015). Samples were then pipetted 614 615 (glass Pasteur) to sample vials (Sigma-Aldrich, amber glass, 1.5 mL), with ASE vials rinsed 616 with $2 \times 50 \ \mu\text{L}$ washes of EtOAC, then added to the sample vial and sealed (Agilent 12 mm 617 cap, PTFE/silicone/PTFE). The mass of the sample vial and cap for each sample was measured before and after to determine the exact volume of solvent in each sample. Extracts were frozen 618 619 prior to analysis to reduce evaporative losses.

Formatted: Left

620 <u>2.3 Organic Methods for organic</u> composition analysis

621 GCxGCGC×GC-ToF-MS: PTFE samples were analysed using GCxGCGC×GC-ToF-MS (Leco Pegasus BT 4D) using a splitless injection (1 µL injection, 4mm taper focus liner, SHG 622 623 560302). The primary dimension column was a RXI-5SilMS (Restek, 30 m \times 0.25 μ m \times 0.25 mm) connected to a second column of RXI-17SilMS (Restek, 0.25 μ m \times 0.25 mm, 0.17 m 624 primary GC oven, 0.1 m modulator, 1.42 m secondary oven, 0.31 m transfer line) with a He 625 flow of 1.4 mL min⁻¹. The primary oven was held at 40 °C for 1 min then ramped at 3 °C min⁻¹ 626 ¹ to 322 °C where it was held for 3 min. The secondary oven was held at 62 °C for 1 min then 627 ramped at 3.2 °C min⁻¹ to 190 °C after which it was ramped at 3.6 °C min⁻¹ to 325 °C and held 628 for 19.5 mins. The inlet was held at 280 °C and the transfer line at 340 °C. A 5 s cryogenic 629 630 modulation was used with a 1.5 s hot pulse and 1 s cool time between stages. Using two separate wash vials, the syringe (10 μ L Gerstel) was cleaned prior to injection with two cycles of 3 \times 5 631 μ L washes in EtOAc and rinsed post injection with two cycles of 2 × 5 μ L washes in EtOAc. 632 Samples with high concentrations of levoglucosan were reanalysed using a faster method, 633 634 injected split (75:1 and 125:1) with the primary oven held at 40 °C for 1 min, then ramped at 10 °C min⁻¹ to 220 °C. The secondary oven was held at 62 °C for 1 min and then ramped at 10 635 °C min⁻¹ to 245 °C. 636

SPE samples were injected split (10:1) and analysed with a shorter analysis time with the 637 primary oven held at 40 °C for 1 min then ramped at 3 °C min⁻¹ to 202 °C where it was held for 638 4 seconds. The secondary oven was held at 62 °C for 1 min then ramped at 3.2 °C min⁻¹ to 235 639 °C. A 75:1 split injection was used for quantitation of concentrations outside of the detector 640 641 response range for furansfuranics, phenolics, benzaldehydes, naphthalenes and benzonitrile. 642 Peaks were assigned through comparison of retention times with known standards and comparison with the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) mass spectral 643 644 library. Peaks with no genuine standard available were tentatively identified if the NIST library 645 hit was > 800. The uncertainty in this approach has been shown to be low similarity was > 700. 646 This provides an indication of how similar the mass spectra obtained was to the database mass 647 spectra for the peak, with more details given in Stein, (2011). Peaks with a hit > 900 reflect an 648 excellent match, 800-900 a good match and 700-800 a fair match (Stein, 2011). The uncertainty in this approach has been shown to be low for peaks of hits > 800, with the probability of 649 650 incorrect identification being around 30 % for hits between 800-900 and 14 % for matches above 900 (Worton et al., 2017). Integration was carried out within the ChromaTOF 5.0 651 software package (Leko, 2019). Calibration was performed using a 6-point calibration using 652

Formatted: Heading 2

either a linear or second-order polynomial fit covering the ranges 0.1-2.5 µg ml⁻¹ (splitless), 653 0.5-15 µg ml⁻¹ (10:1 split), 15-400 µg ml⁻¹ (75:1 split) and 400-800 µg ml⁻¹ (125:1 split). Eight 654 blank measurements were made at the beginning and end of the day by passing air from the 655 chamber (6 L min⁻¹ for 30 mins) through the filter holder containing PTFE filters and SPE disks 656 (see the Supplementary Information S2 for examples of blank chromatograms). Blank 657 corrections were applied by calculating the average blank value for each compound using blank 658 samples collected using the same sample collection parameters as real samples before and after 659 660 the relevant burning experiments.

PTR-ToF-MS: Online measurements of naphthalene, methylnaphthalenes 661 and dimethylnaphthalenes were made using a proton transfer reaction-time of flight-mass 662 663 spectrometer PTR-ToF-MS (PTR 8000; Ionicon Analytik, Innsbruck) and assigned as masses 129.058, 143.08 and 157.097, respectively. Additional details of the PTR-ToF-MS from 664 Physical Research Laboratory (PRL), Ahmedabad used in this study are given in previous 665 papers (Sahu and Saxena, 2015; Sahu et al., 2016). A ¹/₄ inch OD PFA sample line ran from the 666 top of the flue to the instrument which was housed in an air-conditioned laboratory with a 667 sample flow rate of 4.3 L min⁻¹. The sample air was diluted either 5 or 6.25 times into zero air, 668 669 generated by passing ambient air (1 L min⁻¹) through a heated platinum filament at 550 °C, before entering the instrument with an inlet flow of 250 ml min⁻¹. The instrument was operated 670 with a reduced electric field strength (E/N), where N is the buffer gas density and E is the electric 671 field strength) of 120 Td. The drift tube temperature was 60 °C with a pressure of 2.3 mbar and 672 560 V applied across it. 673

Calibrations of the PTR-ToF-MS were performed twice a week using a gas calibration unit 674 675 (Ionicon Analytik, Innsbruck). The calibration gas (Apel-Riemer Enironmental Inc., Miami) contained 18 compounds: methanol, acetonitrile, acetaldehyde, acetone, dimethyl sulphide, 676 677 isoprene, methacrolein, methyl vinyl ketone, 2-butanol, benzene, toluene, 2-hexanone, m-678 xylene, heptanal, α -pinene, 3-octanone and 3-octanol at 1000 ppb (±5 %) and β -caryophyllene at 500 ppb (± 5 %). This standard was dynamically diluted into zero air to provide a 6-point 679 calibration. The normalised sensitivity (ncps/ppbv) was then determined for all masses using a 680 transmission curve derived from these standard compounds (Taipale et al., 2008). 681

Mass calibration and peak fitting of the PTR-ToF-MS data were performed using PTRwid software (Holzinger, 2015). Count rates (cps) of each mass spectral peak were normalised to

684 the primary ion (H_3O^+) and water cluster $(H_3O.H_2O)^+$ peaks and mixing ratios were then

determined for each mass using the normalised sensitivity (ncps). Where compounds known to
fragment in the PTR-ToF-MS were identified, the mixing ratio of these species was calculated
by summing parent ion and fragment ion mixing ratios. Before each burning study ambient air
was sampled to provide a background for the measurement.

689 <u>2.4</u> Quantification of recovery and breakthrough

690 Standards were used for 136 species (see Figure 2)the Supplementary Information S3) including two commercially available standard mixes containing 33 alkanes (C7-C40 saturated 691 alkane standard, certified 1000 µg m⁻¹ in hexane, Sigma Aldrich 49452-U) and 64 semi 692 volatiles (EPA CLP Semivolatile Calibration Mix, 1000 µg mL-1 in DCM:benzene 3:1, Sigma 693 Aldrich 506508). Further standards were produced in-house, by dissolving high quality 694 695 standards (> 99 % purity), for a range of additional species also found in samples including 696 nitrogen containing VOCs-(NVOCs), furans, furanics, alkyl-substituted monoaromatics, oxygenated aromatics, ketones, aldehydes, methoxy phenols, aromatic acids, PAHs and 697 levoglucosan (see Table 2). Stock solutions of around 1000 µg mL⁻¹ were prepared by 698 dissolving 0.01 g into 10 mL EtOAc. Polar components, such as levoglucosan, were dissolved 699 700 into methanol (MeOH) for stock solutions and those not soluble at room temperature were 701 heated and pipetted using hot pipette tips to make quantitative dilutions.

Six separate PTFE filters and SPE disks were spiked with the standard solution containing 136 702 703 compounds (50 µL at 20 µg mL⁻¹), extracted and analysed. Recovery levels were calculated by 704 comparing the signal to direct injection of the diluted standards to the GCxGCGC×GC-ToF-705 MS. The recoveries are shown in Table 2.the Supplementary Information S3. SPE disks 706 showed poor recoveries (Srec) of n-nonane to n-tridecane and C2 substituted monoaromatics, 707 likely due to volatilisation of these more-volatile components. Poorer recoveries were also 708 observed of nitroanilines and levoglucosan. Non-polar species showed good recoveries, with 709 high recoveries of C_{14} - C_{20} alkanes, furansfurances, phenols, chlorobenzenes and PAHs. PTFE 710 filters demonstrated high recoveries (Prec) of PAHs with more than three rings in their structure 711 (81.6-100 %). Recoveries were low, or zero, for volatile components with boiling points < 200 712 °C, indicating no retention, which is consistent with the method being well-suited to target the 713 aerosol phase. The recoveries of non-polar species ininto EtOAc from SPE disks were higher 714 than those reported into MeOH (Hatch et al., 2018).







717 in Table 2.

718Table 2. Species used in calibration where Comp No. refers to the peak number in Figure 2, Q_{me} = split719method used for SPE quantitation, Q_{mp} = split method used for PTFE quantitation, S = splitless method,720 S_{ree} = % recovery SPE, P_{ree} = % recovery PTFE, "= Sigma Aldrich *n* alkanes standard, "= Sigma721Aldrich semivolatiles standard, "= Sigma Aldrich deuterated internal standard, "= in house solution722and -= not measured either due to being outside of SPE method range or due to volatilisation from723PTFE filters. Slight over-recoveries of > 100 % are reported as 100 % and accounted for in blank724subtractions.

725

Comp No.	Species	Qms	₽ ^{mp}	Sree	P _{ree}	Comp No.	Species	Qms	₽ mp	Sree	P _{ree}		
	Alka	ne				NVOC							
θ	n Nonane"	10:1	\$	60.0	-	32	Pyridine "	10:1	\$	75.1	-		
4	n-Decane "	10:1	\$	77.6	19.5	33	n-Nitrosodimethylamine b	10:1	\$	-	-		
2	n-Undecane "	10:1	8	100	57.2	44	2,3 lutidine#	10:1	8	99.4	-		
3	n-Dodecane "	10:1	\$	85.7	22.0	4 6	Benzonitrile ^{-d}	75:1	\$	86.9	-		
4	n-Tridecane-"	10:1	8	91.4	75.0	57	n-Nitrosodipropylamine b	10:1	8	100	-		
5	n-Tetradecane-"	10:1	8	97.8	97.8	62	Nitrobenzene *	10:1	8	88.5	-		
6	n-Pentadecane "	10:1	8	99.7	92.3	67	2-Nitrophenol- ^b	10:1	8	100	-		
7	n-Hexadecane-"	10:1	8	100	100	68	Pyrrole 2 carbonitrile #	10:1	8	-	-		
8	n-Heptadecane-"	10:1	8	100	98.0	77	4-chloroanaline *	10:1	8	7.78	-		
9	n-Octadecane "	10:1	8	100	99.9	98	2 Nitroanaline *	10:1	8	100	-		
10	n-Nonadecane-"	10:1	8	100	98.9	102	2,6-dinitrotoluene *	10:1	8	99.9	-		
44	n Eicosane "	10:1	8	100	96.8	105	3-Nitroanaline-*	10:1	8	<u>34.2</u>	-		
12	n Heneicosane "	10:1	8	-	100	107	2,4-Dinitrotoluene-*	10:1	8	100	-		
13-23	n-Docosane-"	10:1	8	-	100	108	4-Nitrophenol- ^b	10:1	8	-	-		
	n-Dotriacontane"												
2 4	n Tritriacontane	-	-	-	96.5	112	Azobenzene ^b	10:1		100	100		
25	n-Tetratriacontane	-	-	-	78.9	113	p-Nitroaniline*	10:1	8	64.5	-		
26	n-Pentatriacontane	-	-	-	58.3	121	Caffeine 4	10:1	8	-	-		
27	n-Hexatriacontane	-	-	-	4 9.9	Aromatics							
28	n-Heptatriacontane	-	-	-	35.4	37	Ethylbenzene ⁻⁴	10:1	8	44.6	-		
29	n-Octatriacontane	-	-	-	32.1	38	m-Xylene ^{-#}	10:1	8	34.5	-		
30	n-Nonatriacontane	-	-	-	29.1	39	o Xylene ^{-d}	10:1	8	32.4	-		
31	n-Tetracontane	-	-	-	27.9	40	Styrene ⁻⁴	10:1	\$	58.4	-		

	PAH					69	Pentylbenzene. ⁴	10-1	2	<u>0 00</u>	24.4
76	Nanhthalene **	75:1	8	93.9	37.1	82	Pentamethylbenzene ⁴	10:1	8	68.6	39.5
81	Quinoline ⁴	10-1	2	28.6	-	02	Halogenate	d d	-	00.0	5715
87	2 Methylnanthalene ^b	75.1	2	00.8	72.4	48	2_Chlorophenol. ⁴	10.1	2	100	
80	Indole.#	10-1	2	81.6	-	50	1 3 Dichlorobenzene ^b	10.1	2	85.5	
00	Azulono.4	10.1	2	28.5	-	51	1 4-Dichlorobenzene b.e	10.1	2	87.2	
01	1(3H)-	10.1	5	100		52	1.2-Dichlorobenzene *	10.1	5	70.3	
71	Isobenzofuranone.#	10.1		100	_	52	1,2 Diemorobenzene	10.1		70.5	
96	Biphenyl 4	10.1	s	00.5	75.0	56	Hexachlomethane ^b	10.1	S	837	
07	1.4 Norhthoguinona #	10.1	c c	100	15.0	74	2.4 Dichlorophonol *	10.1	c c	100	82.0
	2.2	10.1	2	100		74	1.2.4 trichlorohonzono #	10.1	2	85.6	05.7
	Dimothylaanhtholoao #	10.1		100	-	+5	1,2,4 themorobenzene	10.1		00.0	
100	A conorbthylono h	10.1	c	08.5	84.1	79	Havashlarabutadiana #	10.1	c	61.6	
102	A concerthence ##	10.1	e	100	09.1	92	Hexachiorobutatiene-	10.1	e	100	
105	Accuaptione	10.1	5	100	00.2	60	+	10:1	a a	100	-
106	Dihanzafuran [#]	10.1	c	100	86.4	00	4 Chloro 2 mathulphanol #		c	00.8	
100	Elucrone b	10.1	0	100	86.0	02	2.4.6 Tricklosophonol k	10.1	0	90.0	
117	OUL Fluorence	10:1	8	100	100	93	2,4,6 Trickless sheet 1	10.1	8	93.0	
110	9H-Fluoren-9-one-	10:1	5	100	100	94	2,4,5-Inchiorophenoi	10:1	5	100	-
110	Phenanthrene "	10:1	8	100	96.7	95	2 Chloronapthalene-"	10:1	8	99.6	
119	Anthracene "	$\frac{10:1}{10:1}$	8	98.6	95.9	110	4-Chlorophenylphenylether	$\frac{10:1}{10:1}$	8	100	-
	C 1 1 1	10.1		100				10.1		4.0.0	
120	Carbazole-"	$\frac{10:1}{10:1}$	8	100	85.2	+14	4-Bromophenylphenylether	$\frac{10:1}{10:1}$	8	100	-
- 100		10.1		100			-	10.1		4.0.0	
423	Fluoranthene "	10:1	8	100	97.2	+++5	Hexachlorobenzene-"	10:1	8	100	
124	Pyrene "	10:1	S	-	100	116	Pentachlorophenol-*	10:1	S	100	-
126	Benzo(a)anthracene-"	-	8	-	$\frac{100}{100}$		Furans				
127	Chrysene ***	-	8	-	$\frac{100}{100}$	3 4	Furfural "	75:1	8	84.3	-
130	Benzo(b)fluoranthene-"	-	8	-	100	35	Maleic anhydride "	$\frac{10:1}{10:1}$	8	54.9	-
131	Benzo(k)fluoranthene-*	-	S	-	100	36	α-Angelica lactone ⁴	10:1	S	52.1	-
132	Benzo(a)pyrene [#]	-	8	-	89.5	4 3	2-5(H) furanone [≁]	75:1	8	100	-
133	Perylene D12 *	-	8	-	92. 4		Phthalate	5			
134	Indeno(1,2,3-	-	8	-	94.0	101	Dimethyl phthalate ⁺	$\frac{10:1}{10:1}$	8	100	-
	CD)pyrene *										
135	Dibenz(A,H)anthracene	_	S		92.9	411	Diethyl phthalate *	$\frac{10:1}{10:1}$	S	$\frac{100}{100}$	-
	b		5	_							
136	Benzo(G,H,I)perylene*		s	-	96.6	122	Di n butyl phthalate	10:1	s	-	_
-136	Benzo(G,H,I)perylene ^A	- romatics	\$	-	96.6	122 125	Di <i>n</i> butyl phthalate ^{, b} Benzyl butyl phthalate ^{, b}	10:1 -	2 2	-	- 92.0
136 41	Benzo(G,H,I)perylene [±] Oxygenated a Anisole ⁺⁺	- romatics 10:1	2 2 2 2	-	96.6 -	422 425 428	Di n butyl phthalate ^b Benzyl butyl phthalate ^b Bis(2 ethylhexyl)phthalate ^b	10:1 - -	8 8 8	-	- 92.0 97.4
<u>+36</u> 41 42	^b Benzo(G,H,I)perylene ⁴ Oxygenated a Anisole ⁴ p-Benzoquinone ⁴	- romatice 10:1 10:1	5 5 5 5	- - 20.4 94.8	96.6 - -	122 125 128 129	Di <i>n</i> butyl phthalate ^{, b} Benzyl butyl phthalate ^{, b} Bis(2 ethylhexyl)phthalate ^{, b} Di <i>n</i> octyl phthalate ^{, b}	10:1 - - -	2 2 2 2 2	-	- 92.0 97.4 90.6
41 42 45	^b Benzo(G,H,D)perylene ⁴ Oxygenated a Anisole ⁴ p-Benzoquinone ⁴ Benzaldchyde ⁴	- 10:1 10:1 10:1	8 8 8 8 8	- - 20.4 94.8 82.8	96.6 - -	422 425 428 429	Di -n-butyl-phthalate- ^b Benzyl butyl-phthalate- ^b Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate- ^b Di -n-octyl-phthalate- ^b Others	10:1 - -	8 8 8 8	-	- 92.0 97.4 90.6
136 41 42 45 47	* Benzo(G,H,I)perylene ⁴ Oxygenated a Anisole ⁴ p-Benzoquinone ⁴ Benzaldchyde ⁴ Phenol. ⁵	- 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 75:1	5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	- 20.4 94.8 82.8 100	96.6 - - -	122 125 128 129 49	Di-n-butyl-phthalate- ^b Benzyl butyl-phthalate- ^b Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate- ^b Di-n-octyl-phthalate- ^b Others Bis(2-chloroethyl)ether- ^b	10:1 - - 10:1	8 8 8 8 8	- - - 84.5	- 92.0 97.4 90.6
136 41 42 45 47 55	* Benzo(G.H.I)perylene* Oxygenated a Anisole* p-Benzoquinone* Benzaldchyde* Phenol.* o-Cresol.*	- 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 75:1 10:1	8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	96.6 - - - -	122 125 128 129 49 53	Di-n-butyl-phthalate ^{-b} Benzyl-butyl-phthalate ^{-b} Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate ^{-b} Others Bis(2-chloroethyl)ether ^{-b} 2-Octanone ^{-d}	10:1 - - - - 10:1 10:1	8 8 8 8 8 8	- - - - 84.5 97.0	- 92.0 97.4 90.6
41 41 42 45 47 55 58	* Benzo(G.H.I)perylene* Oxygenated a Anisole* p-Benzoquinone* Benzaldchyde* Phenol.* o-Cresol.* p-Cresol.*	- 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 75:1 10:1 75:1	8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	- 20.4 94.8 82.8 100 100	96.6 - - - - - -	122 125 128 129 49 53 54	Di-n-butyl-phthalate- ^b Benzyl-butyl-phthalate- ^b Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate- ^b Di-n-octyl-phthalate- ^b Others Bis(2-ehloroethyl)ether- ^b 2-Octanone- ^d Bis(2-ehloro 1-	10:1 - - - 10:1 10:1	8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	- 92.0 97.4 90.6 - - -
136 41 42 45 47 55 58	* Benzo(G.H.,I)perylene* Oxygenated a Anisole* p-Benzoquinone* Benzaldchyde* Phenol.* o-Cresol.* p-Cresol.*	- 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 75:1 10:1 75:1	5 5 5 5 5 5 5	- - 94.8 82.8 100 100 100	96.6 - - - -	122 125 128 129 49 53 54	Di n-butyl-phthalate ^{,b} Benzyl-butyl-phthalate ^{,b} Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate ^{,b} Di n-oetyl phthalate ^{,b} Others Bis(2-ehloroethyl)ether ^{,b} 2-Octanone ^{,d} Bis(2-ehloro-1- methylethyl)ether ^{,b}	+0:1 - - +0:1 +0:1 +0:1	8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	- - - 84.5 97.0 100	- 92.0 97.4 90.6 - -
136 41 42 45 47 55 58 59	* Benzo(G.H.I)perylene* Oxygenated a Anisole* p-Benzquinone* Benzaldchyde* Phenol* o-Cresol* p-Cresol* 3-Methylbenzaldchyde	- romatics 10:1 10:1 10:1 75:1 10:1 75:1 10:1	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	96.6 - - - - -	122 125 128 129 49 53 54 63	Di-n-butyl-phthalate-b Benzyl butyl phthalate-b Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate-b Di-n-octyl phthalate-b Others Bis(2-chloroethyl)ether-b 2-Octanone-d' Bis(2-chlorol-1-methylether-b') Nonanal-d'	10:1 - - - 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	- 92.0 97.4 90.6 - - - 52.3
136 41 42 45 47 55 58 59	* Benzo(G,H.I)perylene* Oxygenated a Anisole* P-Benzoquinone* Benzaldchyde* Phenol* O-Cresol* P-Cresol* P-Cresol* 3-Methylbenzaldehyde d	- romatics 10:1 10:1 10:1 75:1 10:1 75:1 10:1	8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	96.6 - - - - - -	422 425 428 429 53 54 63	Di-n-butyl-phthalate ^{, b} Benzyl-butyl-phthalate ^{, b} Di-n-octyl-phthalate ^{, b} Di-n-octyl-phthalate ^{, b} Others Bis(2-chloroethyl)ether ^{, b} <u>2-Octanone^{, d}</u> Bis(2-chloro-1- methylethyl)ether ^{, b} Nonanal ^{, d}	10:1 - - - 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	- - - 84.5 97.0 100	- 92.0 97.4 90.6 - - - 52.3
136 41 42 45 47 55 58 59 60	* Benzo(G.H.I)perylene* Oxygenated a Anisole* p-Benzoquinone* Benzaldchyde* Phenol* o-Cresol* p-Cresol* p-Cresol* 3-Methylbenzaldehyde 4 2-Methylbenzaldehyde	- 10:1 10:1 10:1 75:1 10:1 75:1 10:1 75:1	8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	- - 20.4 94.8 82.8 100 100 100 99.9 100	96.6 - - - - - -	122 125 128 129 49 53 54 63 65	Di-n-butyl-phthalate ^{,b} Benzyl-butyl-phthalate ^{,b} Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate ^{,b} Di-n-octyl-phthalate ^{,b} Others Bis(2-chloroethyl)ether ^{,b} 2-Octanone ^{,d} Bis(2-chloro-1- methylethyl)ether ^{,b} Nonanal ^{,d} Isophorone ^{,b}	10:1 - - 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	- - - 84.5 97.0 100 100	- 92.0 97.4 90.6 - - - 52.3
136 41 42 45 47 55 58 59 60	* Benzo(G.H.I)perylene* Oxygenated a Anisole* p-Benzoquinone* Benzaldehyde Phenol* o-Cresol* p-Cresol* 3-Methylbenzaldehyde # 2-Methylbenzaldehyde #	- +0:1 +0:1 +0:1 -75:1 +0:1 -75:1 +0:1 -75:1 -75:1	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	- - - 94.8 82.8 100 100 99.9 100	9 6.6 - - - - -	122 125 128 129 49 53 54 63 65	Di-n-butyl-phthalate- ^b Benzyl-butyl-phthalate- ^b Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate- ^b Others Bis(2-chloroethyl)ether- ^b 2-Octanone- ^d Bis(2-chloro-1- methylethyl)ether- ^b Nonanal- ^d Isophorone- ^b	10:1 - - 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	- - - 84.5 97.0 100 100 96.4	- 92.0 97.4 90.6 - - 52.3
136 41 42 45 47 55 58 59 60 61	* Benzo(G.H.))perylene* Oxygenated a Anisole* Penzequinone* Benzaldchyde* Phenol.* o-Cresol.* p-Cresol.* 3. Methylbenzaldchyde d* 2. Methylbenzald	- 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 75:1 10:1 75:1 10:1 75:1 75:1	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	96.6 - - - - - - - -	122 125 128 129 49 53 54 63 65 70	Di-n-butyl-phthalate ^{,b} Benzyl-butyl-phthalate ^{,b} Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate ^{,b} Di-n-octyl-phthalate ^{,b} Others Bis(2-chloroethyl)ether ^{,b} 2-Octanone ^{,d} Bis(2-chloro-1- methylethyl)ether ^{,b} Nonanal ^{,d} Isophorone ^{,b} 4-nonanol ^{,d}	+0:1 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	 84.5 97.0 100 100 96.4 98.6	- 92.0 97.4 90.6 - - - 52.3 -
136 41 42 45 47 55 58 59 60 61 64	* Benzo(G.H.)perylene ⁴ Oxygenated a Anisole ⁴ Penzoquinone ⁴ Benzaldchyde ⁴ Phenol ⁴ O-Cresol ⁴ P-Cresol ⁴ 3. Methylbenzaldchyde 4 2. Methylbenzaldchyde 4 2. Methoxyphenol ⁴ 2,6 Dimethylphenol ⁴ 4 3. Methylphenol ⁴ 3. Methoxyphenol ⁴	- 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 75:1 10:1 75:1 10:1 75:1 75:1 75:1	5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	96.6 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	122 125 128 129 49 53 54 63 65 70 72	Di n-butyl-phthalate ^b Benzyl butyl-phthalate ^b Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate ^b Di n-octyl-phthalate ^b Others Bis(2-chloroethyl)ether ^b 2-Octanone ^d Bis(2-chloro 1- methylethyl)ether ^b Nonanal ^d Isophorone ^b 1-nonanol ^d Bis(2-	10:1 - - - 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	 84.5 97.0 100 100 96.4 98.6 100	- 92.0 97.4 90.6 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -
136 41 42 45 47 55 58 59 60 61 64	^b Benzo(G,H,I)perylene ⁴ Oxygenated a Anisole ⁴ p-Benzoldchyde ⁴ Phenol ⁴ O-Cresol ⁴ p-Cresol ⁴ p-Cresol ² 3-Methylbenzaldchyde d 2-Methylbenzaldchyde d 2-Methyxphenol ⁴ 2,6-Dimethylphenol ⁴	- romatics <u>10:1</u> <u>10:1</u> <u>75:1</u> <u>10:1</u> <u>75:1</u> <u>75:1</u> <u>75:1</u> <u>75:1</u>		- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	96.6 - - - - - - - - - - - - -	122 125 128 129 49 53 54 63 65 70 72	Di n-butyl-phthalate ^{,b} Benzyl-butyl-phthalate ^{,b} Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate ^{,b} Di n-octyl-phthalate ^{,b} Others Bis(2-chloroethyl)ether ^{,b} 2-Octanone ^{,d} Bis(2-chloro 1- methylethyl)ether ^{,b} Nonanal ^{,d} Isophorone ^{,b} 1-nonanol ^{,d} Bis(2- chloroethoxy)methane ^{,b}	+0:1 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	- 92.0 97.4 90.6 - - 52.3 - 52.3 - -
136 41 42 45 47 55 58 59 60 61 64 66	⁶ Benzo(G,H,I)perylene ⁴ Oxygenated a Anisole ⁴ p-Benzoquinone ⁴ Benzaldchyde ⁴ Phenol ⁴ o-Cresol ⁴ p-Cresol ⁴ 3-Methylbenzaldchyde d 2-Methylbenzaldchyde d 2.Methylbenzaldchyde d 2.Methylphenol ⁴ 2.6 Dimethylphenol ⁴ 2.3 dimethyl 2.5-	- romatics 10:1 10:1 10:1 75:1 10:1 75:1 10:1 75:1 75:1 75:1 75:1 75:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1		- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	96.6 	122 125 128 129 49 53 54 63 65 70 72 84	Di-n-butyl-phthalate ^{,b} Benzyl-butyl-phthalate ^{,b} Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate ^{,b} Di-n-octyl-phthalate ^{,b} Others Bis(2-chloroethyl)ether ^{,b} 2-Octanone ^{,d} Bis(2-chloro-1- methylethyl)ether ^{,b} Nonanal ^{,d} Isophorone ^{,b} 4-nonanol ^{,4} Bis(2- ethoroethoxy)methane ^{,b} Pinane diol ^{,d}	+0:1 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	- 92.0 97.4 90.6 - - 52.3 - - - - - - - - - - - -
436 41 42 45 47 55 58 59 60 61 64 66	⁶ Benzo(G.H.I)perylene ⁴ Oxygenated a Anisole ⁴ p-Benzoquinone ⁴ Benzaldchyde ⁴ Phenol ⁴ o-Cresol ⁴ p-Cresol ⁴ 3-Methylbenzaldchyde d 2-Methylbenzaldchyde d 2.Methoxyphenol ⁴ 2,6 Dimethylphenol ⁴ 2,3 dimethyl 2,5 eyelohexadiene 1,4	- 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 75:1 10:1 75:1 10:1 75:1 75:1 10:1		- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	96.6 	122 125 128 129 49 53 54 63 65 70 72 84	Di n-butyl-phthalate ^{,b} Benzyl-butyl-phthalate ^{,b} Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate ^{,b} Di n-oetyl phthalate ^{,b} Others Bis(2-ehloroethyl)ether ^{,b} 2-Octanone ^{,d} Bis(2-ehloro-1- methylethyl)ether ^{,b} Nonanal ^{,d} Isophorone ^{,b} 1-nonanol ^{,4} Bis(2- ehloroethoxy)methane ^{,b} Pinane diol ^{,d}	10:1 - - 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1	8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	- 92.0 97.4 90.6 - - - 52.3 - - - -
436 41 42 45 47 55 58 59 60 61 64 66	* Benzo(G.H.))perylene ⁴ Oxygenated a Anisole ⁴ Penzoquinone ⁴ Benzaldchyde ⁴ Phenol. ⁶ o-Cresol. ⁴ p-Cresol. ⁴ 3-Methylbenzaldchyde d ⁴ 2-Methylbenzaldchyde d ⁴ 2.Methylpenol. ⁴ 2.6-Dimethylphenol. ⁴ 2.3-dimethyl 2.5- eyelohexadiene 1,4- dione. ⁴	- 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 75:1 10:1 75:1 10:1 75:1 10:1 10:1		- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	96.6 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	122 125 129 129 49 53 54 63 65 70 72 84	Di-n-butyl-phthalate ^{,b} Benzyl-butyl-phthalate ^{,b} Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate ^{,b} Di-n-octyl-phthalate ^{,b} Others Bis(2-ehloroethyl)ether ^{,b} 2-Octanone ^{,d} Bis(2-ehloro-1- methylethyl)ether ^{,b} Nonanal ^{,d} Isophorone ^{,b} 4-nonanol ^{,d} Bis(2- ehloroethoxy)methane ^{,b} Pinane diol ^{,d}	10:1 - - 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	- 92.0 97.4 90.6 - - - 52.3 - - - -
136 41 42 45 47 55 58 59 60 61 64 66 71	* Benzo(G.H.)perylene ⁴ Oxygenated a Anisole ⁴ Penzoquinone ⁴ Benzaldchyde ⁴ Phenol ⁴ O-Cresol ⁴ P-Cresol ⁴ 3-Methylbenzaldchyde 4 2-Methylbenzaldchyde 4 2-Methylphenol ⁴ 2,6 Dimethylphenol ⁴ 2,6 Dimethylphenol ⁴ 2,3 dimethylphenol ⁴ 2,4 dimethylphenol ⁵	- 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 75:1 10:1 75:1 10:1 75:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1		- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	96.6 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	122 125 128 129 49 53 54 63 65 70 72 84 104	Di n-butyl-phthalate ^b Benzyl butyl-phthalate ^b Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate ^b Di n-octyl-phthalate ^b Others Bis(2-chloroethyl)ether ^b 2-Octanone ^d Bis(2-chloro-1- methylethyl)ether ^b Nonanal ^d Isophorone ^b 1-nonanol ^d Bis(2- chloroethoxy)methane ^d Pinane diol ^d	10:1 - - 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1	8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	- 92.0 97.4 90.6 - - - 52.3 - - - - - -
136 41 42 45 47 55 58 59 60 61 64 66 71 73	^b Benzo(G,H,I)perylene ⁴ Oxygenated a Anisole ⁴ <u>P-Benzquinone⁴</u> Benzaldchyde ⁴ <u>Phenol⁴</u> <u>o-Cresol⁴</u> <u>p-Cresol²</u> <u>3-Methylbenzaldchyde 4 2-Methylbenzaldchyde 4 2-Methylpenol⁴ 2,6-Dimethylphenol⁴ 2,3-dimethyl-2,5- eyclohexadiene 1,4- dione⁴ <u>2,4-dimethylphenol⁴</u> <u>2,4-dimethylphenol⁴</u> <u>2,4-dimethylphenol⁴</u> <u>2,4-dimethylphenol⁴</u> <u>2,4-dimethylphenol⁴</u> <u>2,4-dimethylphenol⁴ <u>2,4-dimethylphenol⁴</u> <u>2,4-dimethylphenol⁴</u> <u>2,4-dimethylphenol⁴</u> <u>8-movie acid.⁴</u></u></u>	- +0:1 +0:1 +0:1 +0:1 75:1 +0:1 - 75:1 - 75:1 - - 75:1 - - - - - - - - - - - - -		- - 20.4 94.8 82.8 100 100 99.9 100 100 100 100	96.6 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	122 125 128 129 49 53 54 63 65 70 72 84 104	Di n-butyl-phthalate ⁴ Benzyl butyl-phthalate ⁴ Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate ⁴ Di n-octyl-phthalate ⁴ Others Bis(2-chloroethyl)ether ⁴ 2-Octanone ⁴ Bis(2-chloro 1- methylethyl)ether ⁴ Nonanal ⁴ Isophorone ⁴ Isophorone ⁴ Bis(2- chloroethoxy)methane ⁴ Pinane diol ⁴	10:1 - - 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1 10:1		- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	- 92.0 97.4 90.6 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -
136 41 42 45 47 55 58 59 60 61 64 66 71 73 79	 ^b Benzo(G,H,I)peryleneth Oxygenated a Anisole^{ed} ^p-Benzoquinone^{ed} Benzaldchyde^{ed} Phenol^{-b} o-Cresolth p-Cresolth ^a-Cresolth ^a-Cresolth ^b-Cresolth ^c-Cresolth ^c-Cresolth ^a-Methylbenzaldehyde ^d ^a-Methylbenzaldehyde ^d ^c-Cresolth 			- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	96.6 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	122 125 128 129 49 53 54 63 65 70 72 84 104	Di-n-butyl-phthalate ^{,b} Benzyl-butyl-phthalate ^{,b} Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate ^{,b} Di-n-octyl-phthalate ^{,b} Others Bis(2-chloroethyl)ether ^{,b} 2-Octanone ^{,d} Bis(2-chloro-1- methylethyl)ether ^{,b} Nonanal ^{,d} Isophorone ^{,b} 4-nonanol ^{,4} Bis(2- ethloroethoxy)methane ^{,b} Pinane diol ^{,d}	10:1 -		- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	- 92.0 97.4 90.6 - - - 52.3 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -
136 41 42 45 47 55 59 60 61 64 66 71 73 79 80	^b Benzo(G,H,D)perylene ⁴ Oxygenated a Anisole ⁴ P-Benzequinone ⁴ Benzaldchyde ⁴ Phenol. ^b o-Cresol. ^b p-Cresol. ^b 3 Methylbenzaldchyde d 2 Methylbenzaldchyde d 2 Methylpenol. ⁴ 2,6 Dimethylphenol. ⁴ 2,6 Dimethylphenol. ⁴ 2,3 dimethylphenol. ⁴ 2,4 dimethylphenol. ^b Benzei exid. ⁴ Mequinol. ⁴ m-Guaiacol. ⁴			- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	96.6 	122 125 128 129 49 53 54 63 65 70 72 84 104	Di -n-butyl-phthalate- ^b Benzyl-butyl-phthalate- ^b Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate- ^b Di -n-oetyl phthalate- ^b Others Bis(2-chloroethyl)ether- ^b 2-Octanone- ^d Bis(2-chloro-1- methylethyl)ether- ^b Nonanal- ^d Isophorone- ^b 1-nonanol- ⁴ Bis(2- chloroethoxy)methane- ^b Pinane diol- ^d Levoghucosan- ^d	10:1 -		- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	- 92.0 97.4 90.6 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -
436 44 42 45 47 55 59 60 61 64 66 71 73 79 80 85	 ^b Benzo(G,H,I)perylene⁴ Oxygenated a Anisole⁴ Penzoquinone⁴ Benzaldchyde⁴ Phenol.^b o-Cresol.^b p-Cresol.^b p-Cresol.^b a Methylbenzaldchyde ^d Chethylbenzaldchyde ^d Methylbenzaldchyde ^d Methylbenzaldchyde ^d Chethylphenol.^d Chethoxyphenol.^d Chethoxyphenol.^d Chethoxyphenol.^d Chethoxyphenol.^d Chethoxyphenol.^d Chethoxyphenol.^d Chethoxyphenol.^d Chethoxyphenol.^d Chethoxyphenol.^d Chethoxidiane 1,4- dione.^d Chethoxidiane 1,4- dione.^d Chethoxidiane 1,4- dione.^d Mequinol.^d Mequinol.^d Mequinol.^d Hydroquinone.^d 			- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	96.6 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	122 125 128 129 49 53 54 63 65 70 72 84 104	Di -n-butyl-phthalate- ^b Benzyl-butyl-phthalate- ^b Di -n-octyl-phthalate- ^b Di -n-octyl-phthalate- ^b Others Bis(2-chloroethyl)ether- ^b 2-Octanone- ^d Bis(2-chloro-1- methylethyl)ether- ^b Nonanal- ^d Isophorone- ^b 1-nonanol- ^d Bis(2- chloroethoxy)methane- ^s Pinane diol- ^d Levoglucosan- ^d	10:1 -		- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	- 92.0 97.4 90.6 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -
136 41 42 45 47 55 59 60 61 64 66 71 73 79 80 85 86	^b Benzo(G,H,I)perylene ^a Oxygenated a Anisole ^{at} <u>PBenzquinone^{at} Benzaldchyde⁴ Phenol⁴ <u>eCresol²</u> <u>pCresol²</u> <u>3Methylbenzaldchyde at <u>2Methylbenzaldchyde</u> <u>at</u> <u>2Methylbenzaldchyde</u> <u>at</u> <u>2Methylphenol⁴ <u>2,6Dimethylphenol⁴</u> <u>2,3dimethylphenol⁴</u> <u>2,3dimethylphenol⁴ <u>2,4dimethylphenol⁴</u> <u>2,4dimethylphenol⁴</u> <u>Benzoic acid⁴</u> <u>Mequinol²</u> <u>mGuaiaeol⁴</u> <u>Hydroquinone⁴</u> <u>Resorcinol⁴</u> <u>Meguinol⁴</u></u></u></u></u>			- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	96.6 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	122 125 128 129 49 53 54 63 65 70 72 84 104	Di n-butyl-phthalate ^b Benzyl butyl-phthalate ^b Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate ^b Di n-octyl-phthalate ^b Others Bis(2-chloroethyl)ether ^b 2-Octanone ^d Bis(2-chloro-1- methylethyl)ether ^b Nonanal ^d Isophorone ^b 1-nonanol ^d Bis(2- chloroethoxy)methane ^d Pinane diol ^d Levoglucosan ^d	10:1 -		- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	- 92.0 97.4 90.6 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -

727 To quantify the additional effect of breakthrough during sampling, tests were conducted for 728 SPE disks to examine the retention of components adsorbed to their surface when subject to an air flow equivalent to the sample volume. SPE disks were spiked with the calibration mixture 729 containing 96 compounds of interest (50 μ L at 20 μ g mL⁻¹, n = 4) and subject to a purified air 730 flow of 6 L min⁻¹ for 30 mins. The samples were extracted and analysed, and the signal 731 compared with $4 \times 50 \ \mu\text{L}$ spikes directly into 0.95 mL EtOAc. Figure 3 shows the relative 732 enhancement of unpurged over purged samples. For more volatile components a value greater 733 734 than zero was observed (Figure 3), which indicated breakthrough of the most volatile 735 components and indicated good retention of components with a boiling point of around 225 °C (see the Supplementary Information <u>\$3\$4</u> for breakthrough tests). Concentrations measured 736 737 for n-alkanes on SPE disks were also compared with concurrent measurements made during 738 burning experiments using online thermal-desorption two-dimensional gas chromatography coupled to a flame ionisation detector. The measured concentrations for n-alkanes from n-739 740 nonane to n-dodecane were compared using both techniques, with measured concentrations 741 similar for *n*-undecane/*n*-dodecane (bp = $216 \,^{\circ}$ C, see the Supplementary Information $\frac{$485}{}$) but 742 not the smaller alkanes. This was interpreted to indicate little breakthrough for components less 743 volatile than n-dodecane. These findings are in line with the US EPA certified methods for 744 Resprep SPE disks (525.1, 506, 550.1, and 549.1), when used to quantitatively analyse drinking water, which show their suitability for quantitative measurement of species with a molecular 745 weight of around naphthalene/acenaphthylene (bp = 218-280 °C). These results indicate that 746 747 for more volatile species with boiling points below 250 °C, SPE disks can only be used to make qualitative measurements at these sample times and flow rates. Such qualitative information is 748 highly complementary to quantitative measurements using other, less specific, techniques, such 749 as PTR-ToF-MS, where it can assist in identification of the contributors to m/z ions. 750





Figure 3. Relative reduction of purged over unpurged samples, presented as a percentage decrease ofpurged to unpurged signal. The standard deviation of replicate measurements is indicated by error bars.

754

755 Burning results

756 <u>3. Results</u>

757 <u>3.1 Chromatography</u>

Figure 4 shows chromatograms from I/SVOCs in the gas and particle phase from burning a cow dung cake sample collected from SPE disks and PTFE filters during a whole 30-minute burn, after passing through a dilution and cooling chamber. The saturation concentration C_i^* at 298 K is provided as an alternative x-axis and has been calculated for each *n*-alkane, *i*, using:

$$C_{i}^{*} = \frac{M_{i} 10^{6} \zeta_{i} P_{L,i}^{o}}{760 RT}$$
E1

762

where M_i = molecular weight of VOC *i* (g mol⁻¹), ζ_i = activity coefficient of VOC *i* in the condensed phase (assumed to be 1), $P_{L,i}^o$ = liquid vapour pressure of VOC *i* in Torr, *R* = gas constant (8.206 × 10⁻⁵ m³ atm mol⁻¹ K⁻¹) and *T* = temperature in Kelvin (Lu et al., 2018). The constant 760 Torr has been used to convert between units of atm and Torr where 1 atm = 760 Torr. $P_{L,i}^o$ values have been calculated from EPA Estimation Programme Interface Suite data at

298 K (EPA, 2012). The SPE disks showed 12951297 peaks with unique mass spectra and 768 captured gaseous VOCs and I/VOCs with $C^* \sim 1 \times 10^8$ - $5 \times 10^2 \,\mu g \text{ m}^{-3}$ at 298 K. The largest 769 770 peaks were from alkanes, 1-alkenes, limonene, phenolics, substituted naphthalenes, 771 furansfuranics and substituted pyridines. The PTFE filters captured 16041617 I/SVOCs and low/non-volatility VOCs (L/NVOC) with unique mass spectra present in the aerosol phase 772 from $C^* \sim 5 \times 10^6$ -1×10⁻⁵ µg m⁻³ at 298 K. A transition can be seen in the two chromatograms 773 from the gas to the aerosol phase. Species with a saturation vapour concentration less than 774 $5 \times 10^4 \ \mu g \ m^{-3}$ at 298 K were predominantly in the aerosol phase after passing though the 775 dilution chamber. A large region of more polar components was present in the I/SVOC region 776 777 from C^* 5×10⁴-5×10⁰ µg m⁻³ at 298 K and contained sugar pyrolysis products and highly substituted aromatics such as those with ketone, ether and di and trisubstituted phenol 778 779 substituents. Many alkanes, from n-octadecane to n-triatriacontane were present, mainly in the 780 SVOC region. The LVOC region was dominated by a series of sterols and stanols. GCxGC 781 provided extremely high resolution to allow deconvolution of complex samples. The insert in 782 Figure 4 shows how the complexity of the SPE chromatogram can be further resolved by looking at a single ion chromatogram, for example m/z = 57, which highlighted aliphatic non-783 784 polar peaks, with large peaks for alkanes from *n*-nonane to *n*-nonadecane.



Figure 4. Chromatogram of SPE (top) and PTFE (bottom) extracted samples from the entire
 burn of cow dung cake. *n*-Alkane and PAH series are marked on the chromatograms. The
 saturation concentration scale matches the *n*-alkane series. *Figure 5 shows overlaid peak markers from SPE disks and PTFE filters from* See the Supplementary Information S6 for a

Formatted: Font: Not Italic, Font color: Text 1



790 30 minute cow dung cake burn coloured detailed compositional breakdown by functionality
 791 and phase. Over 3000 peaks with individual mass spectra were identified. The

Formatted: Font: Not Italic, Font color: Text 1



793

794

Figure 5. Gas and particle phase composition of PAH emissions from burning cow dung cake.

795 Figure 5 shows that the complexity of emissions was vast, with 473almost 400 PAHs-(light 796 brown) forming a group towards the top centre to right of the chromatogram. The most 797 abundant calibrated PAH in the gas phase was naphthalene, followed by methyl and dimethyl 798 naphthalene isomers. A range of methyl, dimethyl, tri and tetramethyl naphthalenes as well as ethyl, propyl, butyl and methyl propyl isomers were detected. Naphthalene isomers substituted 799 with aldehydes, carboxylic acids and nitriles were also released. Biphenyl and a range of 800 methyl, dimethyl and ethyl biphenyls were also released. A range of other PAHs such as 801 acenaphthylene, fluorene, azulene, quinoline, chamazulene, benzophenone, stilbene and 802 benzofurans along with their alkyl substituted isomers were also in the gas phase. A large 803 amount of highly substituted, larger PAHs with more than 3 aromatics rings in their structure 804 805 were present in the aerosol phase.



807 808 saturation concentration scale matches the *n*-alkane series.



Other peaks present in Figure 5 (SPE/PTFE) included 145-alkenes, (84/43), mostly towards the 815 816 bottom of the chromatogram, along with a row of 95-non-cyclic aliphatic (23/35) and 44 cyclic 817 aliphatic species- (25/6) (see the Supplementary Information S6). Above was a row of 406 substituted aromatics, 208 (103/35), carboxylic acids (68/118) and 79-sterols/stanols (1/63) as 818 819 well as 753-oxygenated hydrocarbons containing a range of ether, alcohol and aldehyde 820 functionalities. 250 peaks (229/234). Peaks were also present from oxygenated aromatics, 170 821 from (106/145), phenols and 44 from (54/122), substituted benzoic acids. In addition to this 822 there were 118 (15/27), furanic species, 3 (72/42), monoterpenes (2/1) and 161 sulphur 823 containing VOCs.species (13/4).

<sup>A wide array of <u>NVOCsnitrogen containing VOCs</u> were present in the cow dung cake samples,
with over 600 nitrogen containing peaks including aromatics such as peaks on SPE disks and
<u>PTFE filters (SPE/PTFE) from pyridines and pyrizines (123pyrazines (43/35)</u>, amines</sup>

827 (8247/28), amides (7738/37), nitriles (74), 742/31), 6-membered heterocycles (1), 613/14), 5-828 membered heterocycles (28), 5-membered heterocycles including aromatics such as 829 pyrolespyrroles as well as pyrolinespyrazolines and pyrolidines (97pyrrolidines (50/45), 4-830 membered heterocycles ($\frac{6}{3}, \frac{3}{3}$), 3-membered heterocycles ($\frac{64}{1}$), nitrogen containing PAHs 831 (38)14/24), imidazoles (229/12), imines (4), isocyanates (3), hydrazines (7), carbamic acids 832 (3),(1) and azoles (33) oximines (3) and sulfur containing nitrogen compounds (1423/10). 833 Previous studies have measured the nitrogen content of cow dung cake to be as high as 1.9 % 834 (Stockwell et al., 2014) in comparison to other fuel types such as fuel woods (0.14-0.35 %), 835 rice straws (0.4 %) and coal (0.6 %). The large amount of NVOCsnitrogen containing VOCs are likely formed from the volatilisation and decomposition of nitrogen-containing compounds 836 837 within the cow dung cake, such as free amino acids, pyrroline, pyridine and chlorophyll (Leppalahti and Koljonen, 1995; Burling et al., 2010; Ren and Zhao, 2015). NVOCsNitrogen 838 containing VOCs are of concern because they can be extremely toxic (Ramírez et al., 2014; 839 840 Farren et al., 2015) and amines in particular can change the hydrological cycle by leading to 841 the creation of new particles (Smith et al., 2008; Kirkby et al., 2011; Yu and Luo, 2014) which act as cloud condensation nuclei (Kerminen et al., 2005; Laaksonen et al., 2005; Sotiropoulou 842 et al., 2006). 843

Figure 6 shows a comparison of organic aerosol composition observed from different fuel types 844 (LPG, fuel wood, sawdust and municipal solid waste). The measured emissions had very 845 different compositions, reflecting the variability of organic components produced from 846 847 different sample types fuel types (see the Supplementary Information S7 and S8 for species 848 observed from different sample types). Quantitative emission factors of VOCs from the 849 combustion of solid fuels characteristic to Delhi are provided in a companion publication 850 (Stewart et al., 2020b). Sawdust, municipal solid waste and cow dung cake (shown in Figure 851 4) emitted a wide range and complexity of species. Particle phase emissions from LPG burning 852 were minimal, with most peaks from the internal standard or contaminants in the solvent. Fuel 853 wood samples combustion released more organic components into the aerosol phase, with the 854 majority of IVOCs with $C^* \sim 1.2 \times 10^5 - 7 \times 10^4 2 \times 10^5 - 7 \times 10^1 \mu g m^{-3}$ at 298 K. The largest peak belonged to levoglucosan, with other peaks from monoaromatics with several polar 855 substituents such as ethers and phenols, for example dimethoxyhydrotoluene and syringyl 856 857 acetone. These were likely from the depolymerisation of lignin (Simoneit et al., 1993; Sekimoto et al., 2018), an amorphous polymer constituting about 25 % of fuel woods 858

(Sjöström, 1993) and formed of randomly linked, high-molecular weight phenolic compounds(Shafizadeh, 1982).

Sawdust, although not a widely used fuel source, released many I/S/L/NVOC components in 861 the aerosol phase over a much wider range ($C^* \sim 5.8 \times 10^5 - 1 \times 10^{-3} \,\mu g \,\mathrm{m}^{-3}$ at 298 K). The largest 862 863 peak was from levoglucosan, with another large peak from squalene. Many peaks were from 864 polar substituted aromatics as well as many PAHs and their substituents, such as 2-methyl-865 9,10-anthracenedione. The largest peak from municipal solid waste burning was also levoglucosan, but these samplesthis fuel type released fewer of the polar substituted 866 867 monoaromatics than other samplesfuels. Municipal solid waste released alkanes and SVOC species such as terphenyls, alkanes and many PAHs. 868



Formatted Table



Figure 6. Measurements of organic aerosol from a range of different fuel types, with the contrast at the same scale.

870 <u>3.2</u> Molecular markers for domestic fuels

871 Cow dung cake samplescombustion emitted a range of sterols/stanols, which have been 872 reported previously (Sheesley et al., 2003). This study suggested that 5β-stigmastanol, 873 coprostanol, and cholestanol could be used as tracers for emissions from cow dung cake 874 burning. This is because in higher animals, anaerobic microbial reduction of sitosterol and cholesterol forms the distinctive β configuration of the C-5 proton of 5 β -stigmastanol and 875 coprostanol. This contrasts with the α C-5 proton caused by aerobic digestion in aquatic 876 877 environments. Jayarathne et al. (2018) reported 5β-stigmastanol emissions from hardwood, and 878 Fine et al. (2001) reported 5a- stigmastanol emissions from hardwood. Four fuel wood 879 samplescombustion experiments in our study showed emissions of an isomer of stigmastanol, 880 a result similar to Jayarathne et al. (2018) that 5β -stigmastanol was not unique to cow dung 881 cake burning or the MS measurement method used was unable to distinguish between 5α - and 882 5β-stigmastanol. Cholestanol and coprostanol were found uniquely induring cow dung cake 883 samplescombustion in our study, and suggested that they can be used as unique tracers for cow 884 dung cake burning.

885 Fuel wood samplescombustion generally released fewer organic components into the aerosol 886 phase than samplesfuels such as cow dung cake, MSW and sawdust. Levoglucosan has been 887 traditionally suggested as a tracer for biomass burning emissions, however, emissions of levoglucosan from a range of sources mean that this is of limited use as a unique tracer of 888 woodsmoke emissions in regions with multiple burning sources. This could potentially be 889 890 resolved in future studies by examining the ratio of levoglucosan to other sugars in different 891 source types-to. This may differentiate different biomass burning sources as the chemical 892 composition of different sources should determine the emission ratio of levoglucosan to other 893 sugar pyrolysis products (Sheesley et al., 2003).

894 The presence of a wide range of terphenyls infrom municipal solid waste samples combustion 895 in this study was not unique. Jayarathne et al. (2018) suggested triphenyl benzene to be a unique tracer of waste burning emissions. Whilst this study found triphenyl benzene present in one 896 897 cow dung cake sample and in municipal solid waste samples, the waste samples combustion 898 emitted on average 19 terphenyls, many more than in the from cow dung cake 899 samplescombustion (2). Terphenyls have been previously reported from incineration of waste (Tong et al., 1984) and our study suggests that these compounds are good indicators of 900 901 municipal solid waste burning.

902 <u>3.3</u> Total identification

Figures 7A and 7B show a comparison of the relative abundance of peaks identified, defined
here as the sum of peak areas identified and calibrated using genuine standards for compounds
present in the SPE and PTFE samples compared to the total observed peak area (using the blank
subtracted total ion current, TIC).

Pigure 7Figure 7A shows that between 15 and 100 % of the peak area of the TIC in the SPE chromatogram could be identified. The highest proportion of species that could be identified was from fuel wood (67 %), followed by crop residue (57 %), charcoal (48 %), municipal solid waste (46 %), cow dung cake (39 %) and sawdust (16 %). Lower total identification in samples such as cow dung cake was due to increased complexity of emissions, which were not covered by the standards used.

913 Figure 7 Figure 7 B shows that between 7 - 100 % of the organic composition of aerosol released 914 from burning was identified- and could be quantified with genuine standards. Generally, a 915 much lower proportion of organic matter within aerosol samples was identified due to a lack 916 of genuine standards available, particularly in complex samples. The lowest mean relative 917 contribution identified from samples was sawdust (9 %), followed by cow dung cake (11 %) and municipal solid waste (16%). A larger relative contribution was identified from fuel woods 918 919 (34 %) and charcoal (39 %) and due to less complex emissions. A large relative contribution 920 of some fuel woods was identified from Saraca indica (91%) and Pithecellobium spp (82%) 921 due to a low amount of organic matter released from these samples. This also influenced the 922 percentage identification from crop residue which achieved 46 % identification, due to only 3 samples with 98 % identification from Solanum melongena but only 26 % from Cocos nucifera 923 924 and 13 % from Brassica spp. 100 % of the aerosol released from LPG was quantified due to little being released into the aerosol phase and this was principally composed of PAHs. These 925 926 low levels of identification of organic aerosol are in line with those reported by Jen et al. (2019) 927 where unknown chemical species represented 35-90 % of the observed organic aerosol mass 928 from biomass burning samples. The percentage identification in this study should not be 929 influenced by the filter sampling media and is low in complex samples due to lack of genuine 930 standards to allow quantitative detector-response curves for individual analytes to be 931 developed.

Formatted: Underline

932 <u>3.4</u> Composition

933 Figure 7Figure 7C provides an indication of I/SVOC composition on SPE disks by mass of 934 quantified species, assuming no compound breakthrough. Phenolic and furanic compounds are 935 the most abundant I/SVOC species released from all samplefuel types, except for LPG. As a proportion of the total mass of species quantified with genuine standards on SPE disks, phenols 936 released from fuel woods (22-80 %) represented the largest range, with large amounts released 937 from municipal solid waste (24-37 %), cow dung cake (32-36 %), crop residue (32-57 %) and 938 939 sawdust (46 %). High emissions of phenolic compounds were of significance because 940 phenolics contribute significantly to SOA production from biomass-burning emissions (Yee et al., 2013; Lauraguais et al., 2014; Gilman et al., 2015; Finewax et al., 2018). A recent study 941 942 found that, oxygenated aromatic compounds, which included phenols and methoxyphenols, 943 were responsible for just under 60 % of the SOA formed from western U.S. fuels (Akherati et al., 2020). 944

Large emissions of furanic species were measured from fuel wood (6-59 %), municipal solid 945 waste (35-45 %), cow dung cake (39-42 %), crop residue (25-44 %) and sawdust (43 %). These 946 947 were important as furans can be toxic and mutagenic (Ravindranath et al., 1984; Peterson, 948 2006; Monien et al., 2011; WHO, 2016) and have been shown to be some of the species with the highest OH reactivity from biomass burning emissions (Hartikainen et al., 2018; Coggon 949 et al., 2019). Furans have also been shown to result in SOA production (Gómez Alvarez et al., 950 2009; Strollo and Ziemann, 2013) with 8-15 % of SOA produced from combustion of black 951 952 spruce, cut grass, Indonesian peat and ponderosa pine estimated to originate from furans and 953 28-50 % of SOA from rice straw and wiregrass (Hatch et al., 2015). Akherati et al. (2020) found that heterocyclic compounds, which included furans, were responsible for approximately 954 955 20 % of SOA from biomass burning emissions from fuels from the western U.S. SOA 956 formation from furanic species remains poorly understood, with a recent study showing an 957 SOA yield of 1.6-2.4 % during the oxidation of 3-methylfuran with the nitrate radical (Joo et 958 al., 2019). Furans from biomass burning emissions are thought to come from low temperature 959 depolymerisation of hemi-cellulose (Sekimoto et al., 2018) and from large alcohols and enols 960 in high-temperature regions of hydrocarbon flames (Johansson et al., 2016).

961 Emissions of alkanes were most important from combustion of cow dung cake and municipal 962 solid waste (4-9 %), with only small quantities released from <u>combustion of</u> various fuel wood 963 <u>sampleswoods</u> (< 2 %) and crop <u>residueresidues</u> (< 1_%). This reinforced previous studies 964 which found emissions of C_{12} - C_{39} *n*-alkanes from municipal waste incinerators (Karasek and Tong, 1985). PAH emissions represented (3 – 15 %) of the total quantified emission by mass
for samples<u>fuel types</u> other than LPG and have carcinogenic and mutagenic properties (IARC,
1983, 1984; Nisbet and LaGoy, 1992; Lewtas, 2007; Zhang and Tao, 2009; Jia et al., 2011).
They can damage cells through the formation of adducts with DNA in many organs such as the
kidneys, liver and lungs (Vineis and Husgafvel-Pursiainen, 2005; Xue and Warshawsky, 2005).

970 Figure 7 Figure 7D shows the quantified aerosol mass was largely dominated by levoglucosan, 971 with a particularly significant contribution in the fuel wood samples (13-98 %). This was similar to a previous study of fuel wood samples from Bangladesh, where levoglucosan was 972 973 the largest contributor to aerosol mass (Sheesley et al., 2003). Levoglucosan emissions were 974 also large from cow dung cake (30-58 %), which contrasted with the findings of Sheesley et 975 al. (2003). This could be due to differences in the feeding of cows leading to differences in 976 residual undigested organic matter in cow dung cake samplesfuel as well as differences in 977 preparation between samples collected in Bangladesh and those in this study, which had 978 additional dried biogenic material, such as straw, mixed into samples. Levoglucosan emissions 979 were also high from sawdust (91%), crop residue (19-85%) and municipal solid waste (58-75 980 %), with municipal solid waste emissions likely from cellulosic material collected with 981 samplesthe fuel.

982 Levoglucosan emissions from charcoal (76 %) were significant as a proportion of emissions. 983 Emissions from charcoal were low, which meant that a small emission of levoglucosan 984 represented a large proportion of total emissions. It was likely that the samplefuel collected 985 here may have contained small amounts of cellulosic organic matter that led to the emission of 986 levoglucosan.

Emissions of alkanes in the gas and particle phases were similar by source type, with particulate
alkanes emitted principally <u>fromduring combustion of</u> cow dung cake and municipal solid
waste <u>samplesfuels</u>. Emissions of particulate phenolics were large as a proportion of total
quantified mass with genuine standards when the total emission of other components was low.
For example, phenolics represented a large proportion of emissions from the fuel wood species *Morus spp* and *Pithecellobium spp* with the mass principally from dimethoxyphenols.
Emissions from LPG were mainly PAHs and very low.

Whilst SPE samples for these compounds remained semi-quantitative, due to slight
breakthrough, the detection of high emissions of phenolics and furanics in the gas phase from
burning was in line with recently published studies (Hatch et al., 2015; Stockwell et al., 2015;

Koss et al., 2018). Relatively low levels of total quantified material within the aerosol phase 997 998 was in line with the current literature (Jen et al., 2019), but meant that this analysis was not 999 entirely reflective of the organic fraction for complex samples. It is likely that this study overemphasises the contribution of levoglucosan in complex aerosol samples, relative to other 1000 components present at lower levels (Sheesley et al., 2003; Jen et al., 2019). Future instrument 1001 1002 development could allow better quantification of complex burning and ambient samples by 1003 splitting the eluent between a -MS and -FID. This study suggests that future research uses lower 1004 sample volumes, thicker SPE disks and studies the adsorption characteristics of VOCs to the 1005 surfaces of these disks.

Formatted: Font color: Custom Color(RGB(34,34,34)), Pattern: Clear (White)

This study suggests that future research uses lower sample volumes, thicker SPE disks or disks
 coated with less polar coatings to minimise breakthrough of more volatile compounds to extend
 the range of species which can be quantified. Future work should also study the adsorption
 characteristics of VOCs to the surfaces of these disks.

- 1010
- 1011
- 1012







1016 <u>3.5</u> Development of emission factors

1017 Emission factors have been developed for PAHs (see Figure 8 and the Supplementary 1018 Information <u>\$559</u> for table of emission factors by individual fuel type) by calculating the total 1019 volume of air convectively drawn up the flue and relating this to the mass of fuel burnt (see the 1020 Supplementary Information S6510 for details of calculation). Emission factors for sawdust 1021 (1240 mg kg⁻¹), municipal solid waste (1020 mg kg⁻¹), crop residue (747 mg kg⁻¹) and cow dung cake (615 mg kg⁻¹) were generally larger than for fuel wood (247 mg kg⁻¹), charcoal (151 1022 mg kg⁻¹) and LPG (56 mg kg⁻¹). The measurement of higher emission factors for cow dung 1023 cake than fuel wood was consistent with that observed in other studies (Bhargava et al., 2004; 1024 1025 Gadi et al., 2012). Quantitative emission factors of VOCs are provided in Stewart et al. (2020b).



1026

1015

1027 Figure 8. Mean PAH emission factors by fuel type.

1028 A wide range of emission factors were measured forfrom combustion of fuel wood 1029 sampleswoods from 50 mg kg⁻¹ for Prosopis to 907 mg kg⁻¹ for Ficus religosa. Figure 9 shows 1030 that there was large sample to sample variability in emission factors for different fuel wood 1031 samples, for which only 1 sample was taken. For this reason, emission factors have been 1032 generalised for use in budget estimates to the type of fuel. Mean emission factors are provided 1033 for measurements from samples of 17 fuel woods, 3 crop residues, 3 cow dung cakes and 3 1034 different collections of municipal solid waste. Despite this, for LPG and charcoal samples only 1035 1 sample was measured, and this significantly increases the uncertainty in the PAH emission 1036 factors from these fuel sources.

1037 For most samplesfuel types, PAH emissions in the gas phase were dominated by naphthalene, 1038 methylnaphthalenes and dimethylnaphthalenes with gas-phase PAHs observed up to pyrene. For fuel wood, crop residue, municipal solid waste and cow dung cake the percentage of PAHs 1039 1040 in the gas phase decreased from 97 %, 96 %, 91 % to 89 %. PAHs from LPG showed the largest fraction in the gas phase (99.9 %) compared to the aerosol phase (0.1 %). Figure 9 shows gas_ 1041 1042 and particle-phase PAH emissions by individual samplefuel type, excluding naphthalene as well as C1- and C2-substituted naphthalenes. PAHs were present in the aerosol phase from 1043 1044 dibenzofuran (C12H8O) to benzo(ghi)perylene (C22H12).



1045

1046Figure 9. Emission factors of PAHs measured from SPE/PTFE where (G) and (A) represent gas- and1047aerosol-phase samples, respectively, excluding naphthalene as well as naphthalenes with C_1 and C_2 1048substituents.

1049 Table 2 shows a comparison of the mean emission factors measured in our study with previous 1050 studies. The mean fuel wood total PAH emission factor measured in our study (247 mg kg⁻¹) was a factor 4.7-5.6 larger than those measured by Gadi et al. (2012) and Singh et al. (2013) of 1051 44 and 53 mg kg⁻¹, respectively, for similar fuel woods collected across New-Delhi and the 1052 1053 Indo-Gangetic Plain. The PAH emission factor measured for cow dung cake (615 mg kg⁻¹) was around a factor of 10 larger than those previously measured (60 mg kg⁻¹). The larger total 1054 emission factors for fuel wood and cow dung cake was a result of high emissions of gas-phase 1055 PAHs measured using PTR-ToF-MS (51-896 mg kg⁻¹ for fuel wood and 446-660 mg kg⁻¹ for 1056 cow dung cake) compared with previous measurements made using PUF plugs (7 mg kg⁻¹). 1057 This indicated that either the PTR-ToF-MS was able to better detect and characterise gas-phase 1058

emissions than previous methods and suggested either breakthrough or off gassing of smaller 1059 1060 gas-phase PAHs from PUF plugs or measurement of significant quantities of other C10H8 isomers on the PTR-ToF-MS. This may highlight an underestimation of 2-ring gas-phase PAH 1061 emissions in previous burning studies. Gadi et al. (2012) measured PAH emissions in the 1062 particle phase, with the mean emission for fuel wood (44 mg kg⁻¹) greater than our study (9 mg 1063 kg⁻¹). Particulate phase emissions of PAHs measured by Singh et al. (2013) from fuel wood 1064 (45 mg kg⁻¹) were also larger than our study. By contrast, particle phase PAH emissions from 1065 cow dung cake in our study (66 mg kg⁻¹) were comparable to those measured previously of 57-1066 1067 60 mg kg⁻¹ (Gadi et al., 2012; Singh et al., 2013). Variability in emission of particulate-phase PAHs in our study compared to literature was likely to be highly influenced by the efficiency 1068 1069 of combustion of samples-different fuel types. This may also be explained by measuring only 1070 once for many of the fuel types, due to significant burn-to-burn differences in emissions. 1071 Although not measured in our study, differences in moisture content between samples fuel types 1072 in our study and literature were likely have a large influence on the total amount of PAHs 1073 emitted and may explain the differences in particle-phase emissions.

This study was conducted under controlled laboratory conditions. For some sample types, such
 as municipal solid waste, the laboratory measurement may not be entirely reflective of real world conditions. Municipal solid waste combustion may occur under both flaming and
 smouldering conditions at landfill sites and in backyards, as well as in high-temperature
 incinerators in more developed countries. All of these are likely to have quite different
 combustion chemistry, and consequently lead to varying levels of emission.

The particulate phase PAH emission factors from municipal solid waste combustion in our 1080 study (14-181 mg kg⁻¹) were much smaller than those of previous studies (1910-8486 mg kg⁻¹) 1081 ¹), but the number of samples was limited. Emissions from coconut shell have not been well 1082 1083 studied, making comparisons difficult (Gulyurtlu et al., 2003). The emission of particulate phase PAHs from sawdust in our study (62 mg kg⁻¹) was less than that previously reported 259 1084 mg kg⁻¹, but our study found large gas phase PAH emissions (1175 mg kg⁻¹). Particulate PAH 1085 emissions from the crop residue burnt in our study (13-53 mg kg⁻¹) fell within the range 1086 reported by Kim Oanh et al. (2015) of 0.34-34 mg kg⁻¹ for rice straw. Those reported by Wiriya 1087 et al. (2016) were smaller (0.47 mg kg⁻¹), but were from samplesfuel dried in an oven at 80 °C 1088 1089 for 24 hours and ignited by an LPG burner and were likely to represent more complete 1090 combustion conditions. Emissions of PAHs from charcoal in our study (151 mg kg⁻¹) were larger than those measured for South Asian fuels (25 mg kg⁻¹), caused principally by larger 1091

I

1092measurement of gas-phase species by PTR-ToF-MS. Both our study, and that of Kim Onah et1093al. (1999) showed charcoal released the least amount of PAH per kg burnt for biofuels. LPG1094combustion released less particulate PAHs (0.1 mg kg⁻¹) than previous studies (0.8 mg kg⁻¹),1095but also included a small gas-phase emission (56 mg kg⁻¹). Differences in the distribution of1096PAHs found in the gas and aerosol phases between our study and literature were also likely to1097be influenced by the different sample dilutions and gas-to-aerosol partitioning prior to1098measurement.

1100 Table 2. PAH emission factors measured in our study compared to values from literature for similar1101 fuel types.

Fuel		PAH (mg kg ⁻¹)		
	Gas	Particle	Total	Ref
Wood	51-896	0.4-34	51-907	Our study
		1-12		(Hosseini et al., 2013)
	22-111	0.4-6	24-114	(Kim Oanh et al., 2005)
	-	44	44	(Gadi et al., 2012)
	7	45	52	(Singh et al., 2013)
		805-7294		(Kakareka et al., 2005)
			43	(Lee et al., 2005)
	66	0.8	67	(Kim Oanh et al., 2002)
	105	4	105	(Kim Oanh et al., 1999)
Dung	446-660	48-98	493-710	Our study
	-	59	-	(Gadi et al., 2012)
	3	57	60	(Singh et al., 2013)
Waste	696-1233	14-181	776-1414	Our study
	-	8486	8486	(Kakareka et al., 2005)
	-	1910	1910	(Young Koo et al., 2013)
Crop	205-1231	13-53 21		Our study
_	-	-	5-683	(Jenkins et al., 1996)
	-	-	3-50	(Lu et al., 2009)
	-	-	129-569	(Wei et al., 2014)
	5-230	0.3-34	5-264	(Kim Oanh et al., 2015)
	-	0.47	-	(Wiriya et al., 2016)
Sawdust	1175	62	1236	Our study
		259	261	(Kim Oanh et al., 2002)
Charcoal	147	4	151	Our study
	25	0.1	25	(Kim Oanh et al., 1999)
LPG	56	0.1	56	Our study
	-	0.8	-	(Geng et al., 2014)

| 1102

I

1099

1103 <u>4.</u> Conclusions

1104This paper demonstrated an extraction technique for biomass burning samples
analysis of1105<u>I/SVOCs</u> collected onto SPE disks and PTFE filters from combustion of biofuels, which was

Formatted: Numbered + Level: 1 + Numbering Style: 1, 2, 3, ... + Start at: 1 + Alignment: Left + Aligned at: 0.63 cm + Indent at: 1.27 cm well suited to <u>the</u> analysis of non-polar species. A range of <u>samplesfuels</u> relevant to burning in India were <u>combusted with organic components</u> collected and analysed, which showed large differences in the composition of organic matter released. The separation power of GCxGCGC×GC has been used to identify an extensive range of L/SVOCs in both gas and particle phases, with 15-100 % of gas-phase emissions and 7-100 % of particle-phase emissions characterised.

1112 The ability to quantify species on SPE disks was assessed and scope for future studies which should assess the adsorption characteristics of IVOCs onto SPE disks has been provided. It is 1113 1114 recommended that breakthrough of IVOCs collected onto SPE disks at lower sample volumes 1115 is evaluated, and better methods for quantification of complex samples are developed. Further 1116 samplescharacterisation of fuel types from a wider range of sources would enable a better 1117 understanding of the drivers of poor air quality in the developing world, such as crop residue 1118 burning.. This study found that cholestanol and coprostanol were unique to cow dung cake burning samples and these species were therefore suggested as tracers for emissions from cow 1119 dung cake burning. Similarly, municipal solid waste burning released many terphenyls, which 1120 1121 could act as good indicators of this source. This study found that phenolic and furanic species 1122 were the most important gas-phase emissions by mass of I/SVOCs from biomass burning. New emission factors were developed for US EPA criteria PAHs present in gas and aerosol phases 1123 from a large range of fuel types. This suggested that many sources important to air quality in 1124 the developing world are larger sources of PAHs than conventional fuel wood burning. 1125

1126 Data Availability: Peaks measured in this study with NIST library hits > 700 are given in the
1127 Supplementary Information S7 and S8. Quantitative VOC emission factors measured using
1128 PTR-ToF-MS and gas chromatography from the fuel types studied are given in Stewart et al.
1129 (2020b).

Author contributions. GJS developed the ASE method, GC method, collected samples,
organised logistics, extracted/analysed samples and lead the paper. BSN collected samples and
assisted with logistics. WJFA measured VOCs by PTR-ToF-MS, supported by CNH, LKS and
NT. ARV assisted in running and organising of experiments. NJF, JRH and MWW assisted in
GCxGCGC×GC-ToF-MS method development. SJS assisted in ASE method development.
RA, AM, RJ, SA and LY collected samplesfuels, carried out the burning experiments and
measured gas volumes up the flue. SSBMY aided complex sample analysis. EN, NM, RG, SKS

and JDL contributed to logistics and data interpretation. TKM and JFH provided overallguidance with setup, conducting, running and interpreting experiments.

1139 *Competing interests*. The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

1140 Acknowledgements. This work was supported by the Newton-Bhabha fund administered by the UK Natural Environment Research Council, through the DelhiFlux project of the Atmospheric 1141 Pollution and Human Health in an Indian Megacity (APHH-India) programme. The authors 1142 gratefully acknowledge the financial support provided by the UK Natural Environment 1143 Research Council and the Earth System Science Organization, Ministry of Earth Sciences, 1144 Government of India under the Indo-UK Joint Collaboration vide grant nos NE/P016502/1 and 1145 MoES/16/19/2017/APHH (DelhiFlux) to conduct this research. The paper does not discuss 1146 policy issues and the conclusions drawn in the paper are based on interpretation of results by 1147 the authors and in no way reflect the viewpoint of the funding agencies. GJS and BSN 1148 acknowledge the NERC SPHERES doctoral training programme for studentships. RA, AM, 1149 1150 RJ, SA, LY, SKS and TKM are thankful to Director, CSIR-National Physical Laboratory, New Delhi for allowing to carry out this work. LKS acknowledges Physical Research Laboratory 1151 (PRL), Ahmedabad, India for the support and permission to deploy PTR-ToF-MS during the 1152 experimental campaign. All authors contributed to the discussion, writing and editing of the 1153 1154 manuscript.

1155 **References**

- 1156 Ahern, A. T., Robinson, E. S., Tkacik, D. S., Saleh, R., Hatch, L. E., Barsanti, K. C., Stockwell,
- 1157 C. E., Yokelson, R. J., Presto, A. A., Robinson, A. L., Sullivan, R. C., and Donahue, N. M.:
- 1158 Production of Secondary Organic Aerosol During Aging of Biomass Burning Smoke From
- 1159 Fresh Fuels and Its Relationship to VOC Precursors, Journal of Geophysical Research:
- 1160 Atmospheres, 124, 3583-3606, 10.1029/2018jd029068, 2019.
- 1161 Akagi, S. K., Yokelson, R. J., Wiedinmyer, C., Alvarado, M. J., Reid, J. S., Karl, T., Crounse,
- J. D., and Wennberg, P. O.: Emission factors for open and domestic biomass burning for use
 in atmospheric models, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11, 4039-4072, 10.5194/acp-11-4039-2011,
 2011.
- 1165 Akherati, A., He, Y., Coggon, M. M., Koss, A. R., Hodshire, A. L., Sekimoto, K., Warneke,

1166 C., de Gouw, J., Yee, L., Seinfeld, J. H., Onasch, T. B., Herndon, S. C., Knighton, W. B.,

1167 Cappa, C. D., Kleeman, M. J., Lim, C. Y., Kroll, J. H., Pierce, J. R., and Jathar, S. H.:

1168 Oxygenated Aromatic Compounds are Important Precursors of Secondary Organic Aerosol in

- Biomass-Burning Emissions, Environmental Science & Technology, 54, 8568-8579,
 10.1021/acs.est.0c01345, 2020.
- 1171 Andreae, M. O.: Emission of trace gases and aerosols from biomass burning an updated
- assessment, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 19, 8523-8546, 10.5194/acp-19-8523-2019, 2019.
- 1173 Balakrishnan, K., Ghosh, S., Ganguli, B., Sambandam, S., Bruce, N., Barnes, D. F., and Smith,
- 1174 K. R.: State and national household concentrations of PM_{2.5} from solid cookfuel use: Results
- from measurements and modeling in India for estimation of the global burden of disease,
 Environmental Health, 12, 10.1186/1476-069x-12-77, 2013.

1177 Barboni, T., Cannac, M., Pasqualini, V., Simeoni, A., Leoni, E., and Chiaramonti, N.: Volatile

- and semi-volatile organic compounds in smoke exposure of firefighters during prescribed
 burning in the Mediterranean region, International Journal of Wildland Fire, 19,
 10.1071/WF08121, 2010.
- 1181 Bhargava, A., Khanna, R. N., Bhargava, S. K., and Kumar, S.: Exposure risk to carcinogenic
- 1182 PAHs in indoor-air during biomass combustion whilst cooking in rural India, Atmospheric
- 1183 Environment, 38, 4761-4767, <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2004.05.012</u>, 2004.
- Brunekreef, B., and Holgate, S. T.: Air pollution and health, The Lancet, 360, 1233-1242,
 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(02)11274-8</u>, 2002.
- 1186 Bruns, E. A., El Haddad, I., Slowik, J. G., Kilic, D., Klein, F., Baltensperger, U., and Prévôt,
- A. S. H.: Identification of significant precursor gases of secondary organic aerosols from
 residential wood combustion, Scientific Reports, 6, 27881, 10.1038/srep27881, 2016.
- 1

Formatted: Line spacing: 1.5 lines

Field Code Changed

- 1189 Burling, I. R., Yokelson, R. J., Griffith, D. W. T., Johnson, T. J., Veres, P., Roberts, J. M.,
- 1190 Warneke, C., Urbanski, S. P., Reardon, J., Weise, D. R., Hao, W. M., and de Gouw, J.:
- 1191 Laboratory measurements of trace gas emissions from biomass burning of fuel types from the
- southeastern and southwestern United States, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 10, 11115-
- 1193 11130, 10.5194/acp-10-11115-2010, 2010.
- 1194 Chen, J., Li, C., Ristovski, Z., Milic, A., Gu, Y., Islam, M. S., Wang, S., Hao, J., Zhang, H.,
- 1195 He, C., Guo, H., Fu, H., Miljevic, B., Morawska, L., Thai, P., Lam, Y. F., Pereira, G., Ding,
- 1196 A., Huang, X., and Dumka, U. C.: A review of biomass burning: Emissions and impacts on air
- quality, health and climate in China, Science of The Total Environment, 579, 1000-1034,
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2016.11.025, 2017.
- 1199 Chen, Y., Sheng, G., Bi, X., Feng, Y., Mai, B., and Fu, J.: Emission Factors for Carbonaceous
- 1200 Particles and Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons from Residential Coal Combustion in China,
- 1201 Environmental Science & Technology, 39, 1861-1867, 10.1021/es0493650, 2005.
- 1202 Chen, Y., Wild, O., Conibear, L., Ran, L., He, J., Wang, L., and Wang, Y.: Local characteristics
- of and exposure to fine particulate matter (PM_{2.5}) in four indian megacities, Atmospheric
 Environment: X, 5, 100052, <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aeaoa.2019.100052</u>, 2020.
- 1205 Coggon, M. M., Lim, C. Y., Koss, A. R., Sekimoto, K., Yuan, B., Gilman, J. B., Hagan, D. H.,
- 1206 Selimovic, V., Zarzana, K. J., Brown, S. S., Roberts, J. M., Müller, M., Yokelson, R.,
- 1207 Wisthaler, A., Krechmer, J. E., Jimenez, J. L., Cappa, C., Kroll, J. H., de Gouw, J., and
- 1208 Warneke, C.: OH chemistry of non-methane organic gases (NMOGs) emitted from laboratory
- and ambient biomass burning smoke: evaluating the influence of furans and oxygenatedaromatics on ozone and secondary NMOG formation, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 19, 14875-14899,
- 1212 Cohen, A. J., Ross Anderson, H., Ostro, B., Pandey, K. D., Krzyzanowski, M., Künzli, N.,
- 1213 Gutschmidt, K., Pope, A., Romieu, I., Samet, J. M., and Smith, K.: The Global Burden of
- 1214 Disease Due to Outdoor Air Pollution, Journal of Toxicology and Environmental Health, Part
- 1215 A, 68, 1301-1307, 10.1080/15287390590936166, 2005.

10.5194/acp-19-14875-2019, 2019.

- 1216 Cross, E. S., Sappok, A. G., Wong, V. W., and Kroll, J. H.: Load-Dependent Emission Factors
 1217 and Chemical Characteristics of IVOCs from a Medium-Duty Diesel Engine, Environmental
- 1218 Science & Technology, 49, 13483-13491, 10.1021/acs.est.5b03954, 2015.
- 1219 Cubison, M. J., Ortega, A. M., Hayes, P. L., Farmer, D. K., Day, D., Lechner, M. J., Brune, W.
- 1220 H., Apel, E., Diskin, G. S., Fisher, J. A., Fuelberg, H. E., Hecobian, A., Knapp, D. J., Mikoviny,
- 1221 T., Riemer, D., Sachse, G. W., Sessions, W., Weber, R. J., Weinheimer, A. J., Wisthaler, A.,
- 1222 and Jimenez, J. L.: Effects of aging on organic aerosol from open biomass burning smoke in
- 1

- aircraft and laboratory studies, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11, 12049-12064, 10.5194/acp-11-12049-
- 1224 2011, 2011.

I

- 1225 Decker, Z. C. J., Zarzana, K. J., Coggon, M., Min, K.-E., Pollack, I., Ryerson, T. B., Peischl,
- 1226 J., Edwards, P., Dubé, W. P., Markovic, M. Z., Roberts, J. M., Veres, P. R., Graus, M.,
- 1227 Warneke, C., de Gouw, J., Hatch, L. E., Barsanti, K. C., and Brown, S. S.: Nighttime Chemical
- Transformation in Biomass Burning Plumes: A Box Model Analysis Initialized with Aircraft
 Observations, Environmental Science & Technology, 53, 2529-2538,
- 1230 10.1021/acs.est.8b05359, 2019.
- 1231 Dhammapala, R., Claiborn, C., Jimenez, J., Corkill, J., Gullett, B., Simpson, C., and Paulsen,
- M.: Emission factors of PAHs, methoxyphenols, levoglucosan, elemental carbon and organiccarbon from simulated wheat and Kentucky bluegrass stubble burns, Atmospheric
- 1234 Environment, 41, 2660-2669, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2006.11.023, 2007.
- Donahue, N. M., Robinson, A. L., Stanier, C. O., and Pandis, S. N.: Coupled partitioning,
 dilution, and chemical aging of semivolatile organics, Environmental Science & Technology,
- 40, 2635-2643, 10.1021/es052297c, 2006.
- 1238 Donahue, N. M., Kroll, J. H., Pandis, S. N., and Robinson, A. L.: A two-dimensional volatility
- basis set Part 2: Diagnostics of organic-aerosol evolution, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 12, 615-634,
 10.5194/acp-12-615-2012, 2012.
- Dunmore, R. E., Hopkins, J. R., Lidster, R. T., Lee, J. D., Evans, M. J., Rickard, A. R., Lewis,
 A. C., and Hamilton, J. F.: Diesel-related hydrocarbons can dominate gas phase reactive carbon
 in megacities, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 15, 9983-9996, 10.5194/acp-15-99832015, 2015.
- Elzein, A., Stewart, G. J., Swift, S. J., Nelson, B. S., Crilley, L. R., Alam, M. S., ReyesVillegas, E., Gadi, R., Harrison, R. M., Hamilton, J. F., and Lewis, A. C.: A comparison of
 PM_{2.5} -bound polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in summer Beijing (China) and New Delhi
- 1248 (India), Atmos. Chem. Phys., 14303–14319, <u>https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-20-14303-2020</u>,
 1249 2020.
- USEPA: Estimation Programs Interface Suite[™] for Microsoft[®] Windows v 4.11:
 https://www.epa.gov/tsca-screening-tools/epi-suitetm-estimation-program-interface, 2012.
- 1252 Eriksson, A. C., Nordin, E. Z., Nystrom, R., Pettersson, E., Swietlicki, E., Bergvall, C.,
- 1253 Westerholm, R., Boman, C., and Pagels, J. H.: Particulate PAH Emissions from Residential
- 1254 Biomass Combustion: Time-Resolved Analysis with Aerosol Mass Spectrometry,
- 1255 Environmental Science & Technology, 48, 7143-7150, 10.1021/es500486j, 2014.

- 1256 Farren, N. J., Ramírez, N., Lee, J. D., Finessi, E., Lewis, A. C., and Hamilton, J. F.: Estimated
- 1257 Exposure Risks from Carcinogenic Nitrosamines in Urban Airborne Particulate Matter,
- 1258 Environmental Science & Technology, 49, 9648-9656, 10.1021/acs.est.5b01620, 2015.
- 1259 Fine, P. M., Cass, G. R., and Simoneit, B. R. T.: Chemical Characterization of Fine Particle
- Emissions from Fireplace Combustion of Woods Grown in the Northeastern United States,
 Environmental Science & Technology, 35, 2665-2675, 10.1021/es001466k, 2001.
- 1262 Finewax, Z., de Gouw, J. A., and Ziemann, P. J.: Identification and Quantification of 4-
- 1263 Nitrocatechol Formed from OH and NO₃ Radical-Initiated Reactions of Catechol in Air in the
- Presence of NO_x: Implications for Secondary Organic Aerosol Formation from Biomass
 Burning, Environmental Science & Technology, 52, 1981-1989, 10.1021/acs.est.7b05864,
 2018.
- Gadi, R., Singh, D. P., Saud, T., Mandal, T. K., and Saxena, M.: Emission Estimates of
 Particulate PAHs from Biomass Fuels Used in Delhi, India, Human and Ecological Risk
 Assessment, 18, 871-887, 10.1080/10807039.2012.688714, 2012.
- Geng, C., Chen, J., Yang, X., Ren, L., Yin, B., Liu, X., and Bai, Z.: Emission factors ofpolycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons from domestic coal combustion in China, Journal of
- 1272 Environmental Sciences, 26, 160-166, <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S1001-0742(13)60393-9</u>, 2014.
- Gilman, J. B., Lerner, B. M., Kuster, W. C., Goldan, P. D., Warneke, C., Veres, P. R., Roberts,
 J. M., de Gouw, J. A., Burling, I. R., and Yokelson, R. J.: Biomass burning emissions and
- potential air quality impacts of volatile organic compounds and other trace gases from fuels
 common in the US, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 15, 13915-13938, 10.5194/acp-15-13915-2015,
 2015.
- Goldstein, A. H., and Galbally, I. E.: Known and Unexplored Organic Constituents in the
 Earth's Atmosphere, Environmental Science & Technology, 41, 1514-1521,
 10.1021/es072476p, 2007.
- Gómez Alvarez, E., Borrás, E., Viidanoja, J., and Hjorth, J.: Unsaturated dicarbonyl products
 from the OH-initiated photo-oxidation of furan, 2-methylfuran and 3-methylfuran,
 Atmospheric Environment, 43, 1603-1612, <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2008.12.019</u>,
 2009.
- 1285 Gordon, T., Balakrishnan, K., Dey, S., Rajagopalan, S., Thornburg, J., Thurston, G., Agrawal,
- 1286 A., Collman, G., Guleria, R., Limaye, S., Salvi, S., Kilaru, V., and Nadadur, S.: Air pollution
- 1287 health research priorities for India: Perspectives of the Indo-US Communities of Researchers,
- 1288 Environment International, 119, 100-108, 10.1016/j.envint.2018.06.013, 2018.

- Gulyurtlu, I., Karunaratne, D. G. G. P., and Cabrita, I.: The study of the effect of operating 1289
- 1290 parameters on the PAH formation during the combustion of coconut shell in a fluidised bed,
- Fuel, 82, 215-223, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0016-2361(02)00224-7, 2003. 1291
- Hamilton, J. F., Webb, P. J., Lewis, A. C., Hopkins, J. R., Smith, S., and Davy, P.: Partially 1292
- oxidised organic components in urban aerosol using GCXGC-TOF/MS, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 1293 4, 1279-1290, 10.5194/acp-4-1279-2004, 2004. 1294
- Hartikainen, A., Yli-Pirilä, P., Tiitta, P., Leskinen, A., Kortelainen, M., Orasche, J., Schnelle-1295
- 1296 Kreis, J., Lehtinen, K. E. J., Zimmermann, R., Jokiniemi, J., and Sippula, O.: Volatile Organic
- 1297 Compounds from Logwood Combustion: Emissions and Transformation under Dark and
- Photochemical Aging Conditions in a Smog Chamber, Environmental Science & Technology, 1298 1299 52, 4979-4988, 10.1021/acs.est.7b06269, 2018.
- Hatch, L. E., Luo, W., Pankow, J. F., Yokelson, R. J., Stockwell, C. E., and Barsanti, K. C.: 1300
- Identification and quantification of gaseous organic compounds emitted from biomass burning 1301
- 1302 using two-dimensional gas chromatography-time-of-flight mass spectrometry, Atmos. Chem. 1303 Phys., 15, 1865-1899, 10.5194/acp-15-1865-2015, 2015.
- 1304 Hatch, L. E., Rivas-Ubach, A., Jen, C. N., Lipton, M., Goldstein, A. H., and Barsanti, K. C.:
- Measurements of I/SVOCs in biomass-burning smoke using solid-phase extraction disks and 1305 1306 two-dimensional gas chromatography, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 18, 17801-17817, 10.5194/acp-18-17801-2018, 2018. 1307
- 1308 Hays, M. D., Geron, C. D., Linna, K. J., Smith, N. D., and Schauer, J. J.: Speciation of Gas-Phase and Fine Particle Emissions from Burning of Foliar Fuels, Environmental Science & 1309
- Technology, 36, 2281-2295, 10.1021/es0111683, 2002. 1310
- Hodzic, A., Kasibhatla, P. S., Jo, D. S., Cappa, C. D., Jimenez, J. L., Madronich, S., and Park, 1311
- R. J.: Rethinking the global secondary organic aerosol (SOA) budget: stronger production, 1312 faster removal, shorter lifetime, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 16, 7917-7941, 10.5194/acp-16-7917-1313
- 1314 2016, 2016.
- 1315 Holzinger, R.: PTRwid: A new widget tool for processing PTR-TOF-MS data, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 8, 3903-3922, 10.5194/amt-8-3903-2015, 2015. 1316
- 1317 Hosseini, S., Urbanski, S. P., Dixit, P., Qi, L., Burling, I. R., Yokelson, R. J., Johnson, T. J.,
- 1318
- Shrivastava, M., Jung, H. S., Weise, D. R., Miller, J. W., and Cocker Iii, D. R.: Laboratory characterization of PM emissions from combustion of wildland biomass fuels, Journal of 1319
- Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 118, 9914-9929, 10.1002/jgrd.50481, 2013. 1320

- 1321 IARC: Polynuclear aromatic compounds, part 1: chemical, environmental, and experimental
- data. Monographs on the Evaluation of the Carcinogenic Risk of Chemicals to HumansInternational Agency for Research on Cancer, Lyon, France, 1983.
- 1324 IARC: Polynuclear aromatic compounds, part 2: carbon blacks, mineral oils, and some
- nitroarenes. Monographs on the Evaluation of the Carcinogenic Risk of Chemicals to Humans,International Agency for Research on Cancer, Lyon, France, 1984.
- 1327 Iinuma, Y., Brüggemann, E., Gnauk, T., Müller, K., Andreae, M. O., Helas, G., Parmar, R.,
- and Herrmann, H.: Source characterization of biomass burning particles: The combustion ofselected European conifers, African hardwood, savanna grass, and German and Indonesian
- peat, Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 112, 10.1029/2006JD007120, 2007.
- Jain, N., Bhatia, A., and Pathak, H.: Emission of Air Pollutants from Crop Residue Burning in
 India, Aerosol Air Qual. Res., 14, 422-430, 10.4209/aaqr.2013.01.0031, 2014.
- 1333 Jathar, S. H., Woody, M., Pye, H. O. T., Baker, K. R., and Robinson, A. L.: Chemical transport
- model simulations of organic aerosol in southern California: model evaluation and gasoline
 and diesel source contributions, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 17, 4305-4318, 10.5194/acp-17-43052017, 2017.
- 1337 Jayarathne, T., Stockwell, C. E., Bhave, P. V., Praveen, P. S., Rathnayake, C. M., Islam, M.
- 1338 R., Panday, A. K., Adhikari, S., Maharjan, R., Goetz, J. D., DeCarlo, P. F., Saikawa, E.,
- 1339 Yokelson, R. J., and Stone, E. A.: Nepal Ambient Monitoring and Source Testing Experiment
- 1340 (NAMaSTE): emissions of particulate matter from wood- and dung-fueled cooking fires,
- garbage and crop residue burning, brick kilns, and other sources, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 18,
 2259-2286, 10.5194/acp-18-2259-2018, 2018.
- 1343 Jen, C. N., Hatch, L. E., Selimovic, V., Yokelson, R. J., Weber, R., Fernandez, A. E., Kreisberg,
- N. M., Barsanti, K. C., and Goldstein, A. H.: Speciated and total emission factors of particulate
 organics from burning western US wildland fuels and their dependence on combustion
- 1346 efficiency, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 19, 1013-1026, 10.5194/acp-19-1013-2019, 2019.
- 1347 Jenkins, B. M., Jones, A. D., Turn, S. Q., and Williams, R. B.: Emission Factors for Polycyclic
- Aromatic Hydrocarbons from Biomass Burning, Environmental Science & Technology, 30,
 2462-2469, 10.1021/es950699m, 1996.
- 1350 Jia, Y. L., Stone, D., Wang, W. T., Schrlau, J., Tao, S., and Simonich, S. L. M.: Estimated
- 1351 Reduction in Cancer Risk due to PAH Exposures If Source Control Measures during the 2008
- 1352 Beijing Olympics Were Sustained, Environmental Health Perspectives, 119, 815-820,
- 1353 10.1289/ehp.1003100, 2011.

I

- Jimenez, J., Farias, O., Quiroz, R., and Yanez, J.: Emission factors of particulate matter,
 polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons, and levoglucosan from wood combustion in south-central
 Chile, Journal of the Air & Waste Management Association, 67, 806-813,
- 1357 10.1080/10962247.2017.1295114, 2017.
- 1358 Johansson, K. O., Dillstrom, T., Monti, M., El Gabaly, F., Campbell, M. F., Schrader, P. E.,
- Popolan-Vaida, D. M., Richards-Henderson, N. K., Wilson, K. R., Violi, A., and Michelsen,
 H. A.: Formation and emission of large furans and oxygenated hydrocarbons from flames,
- 1361 Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 113, 8374-8379, 1362 10.1073/pnas.1604772113, 2016.
- Joo, T., Rivera-Rios, J. C., Takeuchi, M., Alvarado, M. J., and Ng, N. L.: Secondary Organic
 Aerosol Formation from Reaction of 3-Methylfuran with Nitrate Radicals, ACS Earth and
- 1365 Space Chemistry, 3, 922-934, 10.1021/acsearthspacechem.9b00068, 2019.
- Jordan, T. B., and Seen, A. J.: Effect of Airflow Setting on the Organic Composition of
 Woodheater Emissions, Environmental Science & Technology, 39, 3601-3610,
 10.1021/es0487628, 2005.
- Kakareka, S. V., Kukharchyk, T. I., and Khomich, V. S.: Study of PAH emission from the solid
 fuels combustion in residential furnaces, Environmental Pollution, 133, 383-387,
 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2004.01.009</u>, 2005.
- 1372 Karasek, F. W., and Tong, H. Y.: Semi-preparative high-performance liquid chromatographic
 1373 analysis of complex organic mixtures, Journal of Chromatography A 332, 169-179,
 1374 10.1016/S0021-9673(01)83294-5, 1985.
- 1375 Kerminen, V.-M., Lihavainen, H., Komppula, M., Viisanen, Y., and Kulmala, M.: Direct
 1376 observational evidence linking atmospheric aerosol formation and cloud droplet activation,
 1377 Geophysical Research Letters, 32, 10.1029/2005gl023130, 2005.
- 1378 Kiely, L., Spracklen, D. V., Wiedinmyer, C., Conibear, L., Reddington, C. L., Archer-Nicholls,
- 1379 S., Lowe, D., Arnold, S. R., Knote, C., Khan, M. F., Latif, M. T., Kuwata, M., Budisulistiorini,
- 1380 S. H., and Syaufina, L.: New estimate of particulate emissions from Indonesian peat fires in
- 1381 2015, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 19, 11105-11121, 10.5194/acp-19-11105-2019, 2019.

- 1382 Kim Oanh, N. T., Bætz Reutergårdh, L., and Dung, N. T.: Emission of Polycyclic Aromatic
- Hydrocarbons and Particulate Matter from Domestic Combustion of Selected Fuels,
 Environmental Science & Technology, 33, 2703-2709, 10.1021/es980853f, 1999.
- 1385 Kim Oanh, N. T., Nghiem, L. H., and Phyu, Y. L.: Emission of Polycyclic Aromatic
- 1386 Hydrocarbons, Toxicity, and Mutagenicity from Domestic Cooking Using Sawdust Briquettes,

- 1387 Wood, and Kerosene, Environmental Science & Technology, 36, 833-839,
 1388 10.1021/es011060n, 2002.
- 1389 Kim Oanh, N. T., Albina, D. O., Ping, L., and Wang, X.: Emission of particulate matter and
- 1390 polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons from select cookstove-fuel systems in Asia, Biomass and
- 1391 Bioenergy, 28, 579-590, <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biombioe.2005.01.003</u>, 2005.
- 1392 Kim Oanh, N. T., Tipayarom, A., Bich, T. L., Tipayarom, D., Simpson, C. D., Hardie, D., and
- Sally Liu, L. J.: Characterization of gaseous and semi-volatile organic compounds emitted from
 field burning of rice straw, Atmospheric Environment, 119, 182-191,
- 1395 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2015.08.005</u>, 2015.
- 1396 Kirkby, J., Curtius, J., Almeida, J., Dunne, E., Duplissy, J., Ehrhart, S., Franchin, A., Gagne,
- 1397 S., Ickes, L., Kurten, A., Kupc, A., Metzger, A., Riccobono, F., Rondo, L., Schobesberger, S.,
- 1398 Tsagkogeorgas, G., Wimmer, D., Amorim, A., Bianchi, F., Breitenlechner, M., David, A.,
- 1399 Dommen, J., Downard, A., Ehn, M., Flagan, R. C., Haider, S., Hansel, A., Hauser, D., Jud, W.,
- 1400 Junninen, H., Kreissl, F., Kvashin, A., Laaksonen, A., Lehtipalo, K., Lima, J., Lovejoy, E. R.,
- 1401 Makhmutov, V., Mathot, S., Mikkila, J., Minginette, P., Mogo, S., Nieminen, T., Onnela, A.,
- 1402 Pereira, P., Petaja, T., Schnitzhofer, R., Seinfeld, J. H., Sipila, M., Stozhkov, Y., Stratmann, F.,
- 1403 Tome, A., Vanhanen, J., Viisanen, Y., Vrtala, A., Wagner, P. E., Walther, H., Weingartner, E.,
- 1404 Wex, H., Winkler, P. M., Carslaw, K. S., Worsnop, D. R., Baltensperger, U., and Kulmala, M.:
- Role of sulphuric acid, ammonia and galactic cosmic rays in atmospheric aerosol nucleation,
 Nature, 476, 429-U477, 10.1038/nature10343, 2011.
- 1407 Koss, A. R., Sekimoto, K., Gilman, J. B., Selimovic, V., Coggon, M. M., Zarzana, K. J., Yuan,
- 1408 B., Lerner, B. M., Brown, S. S., Jimenez, J. L., Krechmer, J., Roberts, J. M., Warneke, C.,
- 1409 Yokelson, R. J., and de Gouw, J.: Non-methane organic gas emissions from biomass burning:
- 1410 identification, quantification, and emission factors from PTR-ToF during the FIREX 2016
- 1411 laboratory experiment, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 18, 3299-3319, 10.5194/acp-18-3299-2018, 2018.
- 1412 Laaksonen, A., Hamed, A., Joutsensaari, J., Hiltunen, L., Cavalli, F., Junkermann, W., Asmi,
- A., Fuzzi, S., and Facchini, M. C.: Cloud condensation nucleus production from nucleation
 events at a highly polluted region, Geophysical Research Letters, 32, 10.1029/2004gl022092,
 2005.
- Lallukka, T., Millear, A., Pain, A., Cortinovis, M., and Giussani, G.: GBD 2015 Mortality and
 Causes of Death Collaborators. Global, regional, and national life expectancy, all-cause
 mortality, and cause-specifi c mortality for 249 causes of death, 1980-2015: a systematic
 analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2015 (vol 388, pg 1459, 2016), Lancet, 389,
- 1420

E1-E1, 2017.

- 1421 Lauraguais, A., Coeur, C., Cassez, A., Deboudt, K., Fourmentin, M., and Choël, M.:
- 1422 Atmospheric reactivity of hydroxyl radicals with guaiacol (2-methoxyphenol), a biomass
- 1423 burning emitted compound: Secondary organic aerosol formation and gas-phase oxidation
- 1424 products, Atmospheric Environment, 86, 155–163, 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2013.11.074, 2014.
- 1425 Lee, R. G. M., Coleman, P., Jones, J. L., Jones, K. C., and Lohmann, R.: Emission Factors and
- 1426 Importance of PCDD/Fs, PCBs, PCNs, PAHs and PM10 from the Domestic Burning of Coal
 1427 and Wood in the U.K, Environmental Science & Technology, 39, 1436-1447,
 10.1021/es048745i, 2005.
- 1429 ChromaTOF 5.0: <u>https://www.leco.com/product/chromatof-software</u>, 2019.
- Lelieveld, J., Evans, J. S., Fnais, M., Giannadaki, D., and Pozzer, A.: The contribution of
 outdoor air pollution sources to premature mortality on a global scale, Nature, 525, 367,
 10.1038/nature15371, 2015.
- Leppalahti, J., and Koljonen, T.: Nitrogen evolution from coal, peat and wood during
 gasification literature review, Fuel Processing Technology, 43, 1-45, 10.1016/03783820(94)00123-b, 1995.
- 1436 Lewis, A. C., Carslaw, N., Marriott, P. J., Kinghorn, R. M., Morrison, P., Lee, A. L., Bartle, K.
- D., and Pilling, M. J.: A larger pool of ozone-forming carbon compounds in urban atmospheres,
 Nature, 405, 778-781, 10.1038/35015540, 2000.
- Lewtas, J.: Air pollution combustion emissions: Characterization of causative agents and
 mechanisms associated with cancer, reproductive, and cardiovascular effects, Mutation
 Research/Reviews in Mutation Research, 636, 95-133,
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mrrev.2007.08.003, 2007.
- Lim, C. Y., Hagan, D. H., Coggon, M. M., Koss, A. R., Sekimoto, K., de Gouw, J., Warneke,
 C., Cappa, C. D., and Kroll, J. H.: Secondary organic aerosol formation from the laboratory
- 1445 oxidation of biomass burning emissions, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 19, 12797-12809, 10.5194/acp1446 19-12797-2019, 2019.
- 1447 Lim, S. S., Vos, T., Flaxman, A. D., Danaei, G., Shibuya, K., Adair-Rohani, H., AlMazroa, M.
- 1448 A., Amann, M., Anderson, H. R., Andrews, K. G., Aryee, M., Atkinson, C., Bacchus, L. J.,
- 1449 Bahalim, A. N., Balakrishnan, K., Balmes, J., Barker-Collo, S., Baxter, A., Bell, M. L., Blore,
- 1450 J. D., Blyth, F., Bonner, C., Borges, G., Bourne, R., Boussinesq, M., Brauer, M., Brooks, P.,
- 1451 Bruce, N. G., Brunekreef, B., Bryan-Hancock, C., Bucello, C., Buchbinder, R., Bull, F.,
- 1452 Burnett, R. T., Byers, T. E., Calabria, B., Carapetis, J., Carnahan, E., Chafe, Z., Charlson, F.,
- 1453 Chen, H., Chen, J. S., Cheng, A. T.-A., Child, J. C., Cohen, A., Colson, K. E., Cowie, B. C.,
- 1454 Darby, S., Darling, S., Davis, A., Degenhardt, L., Dentener, F., Des Jarlais, D. C., Devries, K.,

Dherani, M., Ding, E. L., Dorsey, E. R., Driscoll, T., Edmond, K., Ali, S. E., Engell, R. E., 1455 1456 Erwin, P. J., Fahimi, S., Falder, G., Farzadfar, F., Ferrari, A., Finucane, M. M., Flaxman, S., Fowkes, F. G. R., Freedman, G., Freeman, M. K., Gakidou, E., Ghosh, S., Giovannucci, E., 1457 Gmel, G., Graham, K., Grainger, R., Grant, B., Gunnell, D., Gutierrez, H. R., Hall, W., Hoek, 1458 H. W., Hogan, A., Hosgood, H. D., Hoy, D., Hu, H., Hubbell, B. J., Hutchings, S. J., Ibeanusi, 1459 1460 S. E., Jacklyn, G. L., Jasrasaria, R., Jonas, J. B., Kan, H., Kanis, J. A., Kassebaum, N., Kawakami, N., Khang, Y.-H., Khatibzadeh, S., Khoo, J.-P., Kok, C., Laden, F., Lalloo, R., 1461 Lan, Q., Lathlean, T., Leasher, J. L., Leigh, J., Li, Y., Lin, J. K., Lipshultz, S. E., London, S., 1462 1463 Lozano, R., Lu, Y., Mak, J., Malekzadeh, R., Mallinger, L., Marcenes, W., March, L., Marks, R., Martin, R., McGale, P., McGrath, J., Mehta, S., Memish, Z. A., Mensah, G. A., Merriman, 1464 T. R., Micha, R., Michaud, C., Mishra, V., Hanafiah, K. M., Mokdad, A. A., Morawska, L., 1465 Mozaffarian, D., Murphy, T., Naghavi, M., Neal, B., Nelson, P. K., Nolla, J. M., Norman, R., 1466 Olives, C., Omer, S. B., Orchard, J., Osborne, R., Ostro, B., Page, A., Pandey, K. D., Parry, C. 1467 1468 D. H., Passmore, E., Patra, J., Pearce, N., Pelizzari, P. M., Petzold, M., Phillips, M. R., Pope, D., Pope, C. A., Powles, J., Rao, M., Razavi, H., Rehfuess, E. A., Rehm, J. T., Ritz, B., Rivara, 1469 1470 F. P., Roberts, T., Robinson, C., Rodriguez-Portales, J. A., Romieu, I., Room, R., Rosenfeld, 1471 L. C., Roy, A., Rushton, L., Salomon, J. A., Sampson, U., Sanchez-Riera, L., Sanman, E., Sapkota, A., Seedat, S., Shi, P., Shield, K., Shivakoti, R., Singh, G. M., Sleet, D. A., Smith, E., 1472 1473 Smith, K. R., Stapelberg, N. J. C., Steenland, K., Stöckl, H., Stovner, L. J., Straif, K., Straney, 1474 L., Thurston, G. D., Tran, J. H., Van Dingenen, R., van Donkelaar, A., Veerman, J. L., Vijayakumar, L., Weintraub, R., Weissman, M. M., White, R. A., Whiteford, H., Wiersma, S. 1475 T., Wilkinson, J. D., Williams, H. C., Williams, W., Wilson, N., Woolf, A. D., Yip, P., 1476 Zielinski, J. M., Lopez, A. D., Murray, C. J. L., and Ezzati, M.: A comparative risk assessment 1477 1478 of burden of disease and injury attributable to 67 risk factors and risk factor clusters in 21 1479 regions, 1990–2010: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2010, The Lancet, 380, 2224-2260, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(12)61766-8, 2012. 1480 1481 Liu, X., Huey, L. G., Yokelson, R. J., Selimovic, V., Simpson, I. J., Müller, M., Jimenez, J. L., Campuzano-Jost, P., Beyersdorf, A. J., Blake, D. R., Butterfield, Z., Choi, Y., Crounse, J. D., 1482

- 1483 Day, D. A., Diskin, G. S., Dubey, M. K., Fortner, E., Hanisco, T. F., Hu, W., King, L. E.,
- 1484 Kleinman, L., Meinardi, S., Mikoviny, T., Onasch, T. B., Palm, B. B., Peischl, J., Pollack, I.
- 1485 B., Ryerson, T. B., Sachse, G. W., Sedlacek, A. J., Shilling, J. E., Springston, S., St. Clair, J.
- 1486 M., Tanner, D. J., Teng, A. P., Wennberg, P. O., Wisthaler, A., and Wolfe, G. M.: Airborne
- 1487 measurements of western U.S. wildfire emissions: Comparison with prescribed burning and air

- quality implications, Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 122, 6108-6129,
 10.1002/2016jd026315, 2017.
- 1490 Lu, H., Zhu, L., and Zhu, N.: Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon emission from straw burning
- 1491 and the influence of combustion parameters, Atmospheric Environment ATMOS ENVIRON,
- 1492 43, 978-983, 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2008.10.022, 2009.
- 1493 Lu, Q., Zhao, Y., and Robinson, A. L.: Comprehensive organic emission profiles for gasoline,
- diesel, and gas-turbine engines including intermediate and semi-volatile organic compound
 emissions, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 18, 17637-17654, 10.5194/acp-18-17637-2018, 2018.
- Lyu, R., Alam, M. S., Stark, C., Xu, R., Shi, Z., Feng, Y., and Harrison, R. M.: Aliphatic
 carbonyl compounds (C₈-C₂₆) in wintertime atmospheric aerosol in London, UK, Atmos.
 Chem. Phys., 19, 2233-2246, 10.5194/acp-19-2233-2019, 2019a.
- Lyu, R., Shi, Z., Alam, M. S., Wu, X., Liu, D., Vu, T. V., Stark, C., Fu, P., Feng, Y., and Harrison, R. M.: Insight into the composition of organic compounds ($\geq C_6$) in PM_{2.5} in wintertime in Beijing, China, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 19, 10865-10881, 10.5194/acp-19-10865-2019, 2019b.
- Lyu, R., Shi, Z., Alam, M. S., Wu, X., Liu, D., Vu, T. V., Stark, C., Xu, R., Fu, P., Feng, Y.,
 and Harrison, R. M.: Alkanes and aliphatic carbonyl compounds in wintertime PM_{2.5} in Beijing,
 China, Atmospheric Environment, 202, 244-255,
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2019.01.023, 2019c.
- Mazzoleni, L. R., Zielinska, B., and Moosmüller, H.: Emissions of Levoglucosan, Methoxy
 Phenols, and Organic Acids from Prescribed Burns, Laboratory Combustion of Wildland Fuels,
 and Residential Wood Combustion, Environmental Science & Technology, 41, 2115-2122,
 10.1021/es061702c, 2007.
- 1511 McDonald, J. D., Zielinska, B., Fujita, E. M., Sagebiel, J. C., Chow, J. C., and Watson, J. G.:
- Fine Particle and Gaseous Emission Rates from Residential Wood Combustion, Environmental
 Science & Technology, 34, 2080-2091, 10.1021/es9909632, 2000.
- Monien, B. H., Herrmann, K., Florian, S., and Glatt, H.: Metabolic activation of furfuryl
 alcohol: formation of 2-methylfuranyl DNA adducts in Salmonella typhimurium strains
 expressing human sulfotransferase 1A1 and in FVB/N mice, Carcinogenesis, 32, 1533-1539,
 10.1093/carcin/bgr126, 2011.
- 1518 Murphy, B. N., Woody, M. C., Jimenez, J. L., Carlton, A. M. G., Hayes, P. L., Liu, S., Ng, N.
- 1519 L., Russell, L. M., Setyan, A., Xu, L., Young, J., Zaveri, R. A., Zhang, Q., and Pye, H. O. T.:
- 1520 Semivolatile POA and parameterized total combustion SOA in CMAQv5.2: impacts on source

I

- strength and partitioning, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 17, 11107-11133, 10.5194/acp-17-11107-2017,
 2017.
- Nisbet, I. C. T., and LaGoy, P. K.: Toxic equivalency factors (TEFs) for polycyclic aromatichydrocarbons (PAHs), Regulatory Toxicology and Pharmacology, 16, 290-300,
- 1525 https://doi.org/10.1016/0273-2300(92)90009-X, 1992.
- Oros, D. R., and Simoneit, B. R. T.: Identification and emission factors of molecular tracers in
 organic aerosols from biomass burning Part 2. Deciduous trees, Applied Geochemistry, 16,
 1545-1565, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0883-2927(01)00022-1, 2001a.
- 1529 Oros, D. R., and Simoneit, B. R. T.: Identification and emission factors of molecular tracers in
- organic aerosols from biomass burning Part 1. Temperate climate conifers, Applied
 Geochemistry, 16, 1513-1544, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0883-2927(01)00021-X, 2001b.
- Oros, D. R., Abas, M. R. b., Omar, N. Y. M. J., Rahman, N. A., and Simoneit, B. R. T.:
 Identification and emission factors of molecular tracers in organic aerosols from biomass
 burning: Part 3. Grasses, Applied Geochemistry, 21, 919-940,
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apgeochem.2006.01.008, 2006.
- 1536 Ots, R., Young, D. E., Vieno, M., Xu, L., Dunmore, R. E., Allan, J. D., Coe, H., Williams, L.
- 1537 R., Herndon, S. C., Ng, N. L., Hamilton, J. F., Bergström, R., Di Marco, C., Nemitz, E.,
- Mackenzie, I. A., Kuenen, J. J. P., Green, D. C., Reis, S., and Heal, M. R.: Simulating secondary
 organic aerosol from missing diesel-related intermediate-volatility organic compound
 emissions during the Clean Air for London (ClearfLo) campaign, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 16,
- 1541 6453-6473, 10.5194/acp-16-6453-2016, 2016.
- 1542 Pagonis, D., Krechmer, J. E., de Gouw, J., Jimenez, J. L., and Ziemann, P. J.: Effects of gas-
- 1543 wall partitioning in Teflon tubing and instrumentation on time-resolved measurements of gas-
- phase organic compounds, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 10, 4687-4696, 10.5194/amt-10-4687-2017,
 2017.
- 1546 Pereira, K. L., Dunmore, R., Whitehead, J., Alfarra, M. R., Allan, J. D., Alam, M. S., Harrison,
- R. M., McFiggans, G., and Hamilton, J. F.: Technical note: Use of an atmospheric simulationchamber to investigate the effect of different engine conditions on unregulated VOC-IVOC
- diesel exhaust emissions, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 18, 11073-11096, 10.5194/acp18-11073-2018, 2018.
- Peterson, L. A.: Electrophilic Intermediates Produced by Bioactivation of Furan, Drug
 Metabolism Reviews, 38, 615-626, 10.1080/03602530600959417, 2006.

- 1553 Pettersson, E., Boman, C., Westerholm, R., Boström, D., and Nordin, A.: Stove Performance
- and Emission Characteristics in Residential Wood Log and Pellet Combustion, Part 2: Wood
- 1555 Stove, Energy & Fuels, 25, 315-323, 10.1021/ef1007787, 2011.
- 1556 Ramírez, N., Özel, M. Z., Lewis, A. C., Marcé, R. M., Borrull, F., and Hamilton, J. F.: Exposure
- to nitrosamines in thirdhand tobacco smoke increases cancer risk in non-smokers, Environment
 International, 71, 139-147, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2014.06.012, 2014.
- Ravindranath, V., Boyd, M. R., and Burka, L. T.: Reactive metabolites from the bioactivation
 of toxic methylfurans, Science, 224, 884-886, 10.1126/science.6719117, 1984.
- 1561 Ren, Q. Q., and Zhao, C. S.: Evolution of fuel-N in gas phase during biomass pyrolysis,
- 1562 Renewable & Sustainable Energy Reviews, 50, 408-418, 10.1016/j.rser.2015.05.043, 2015.
- 1563 Robinson, A. L., Donahue, N. M., Shrivastava, M. K., Weitkamp, E. A., Sage, A. M., Grieshop,
- A. P., Lane, T. E., Pierce, J. R., and Pandis, S. N.: Rethinking organic aerosols: Semivolatile
 emissions and photochemical aging, Science, 315, 1259-1262, 10.1126/science.1133061,
 2007.
- Rogge, W. F., Hildemann, L. M., Mazurek, M. A., Cass, G. R., and Simoneit, B. R. T.: Sources
 of Fine Organic Aerosol. 8. Boilers Burning No. 2 Distillate Fuel Oil, Environmental Science
 & Technology, 31, 2731-2737, 10.1021/es9609563, 1997.
- 1570 Sahu, L. K., and Saxena, P.: High time and mass resolved PTR-TOF-MS measurements of
- 1571 VOCs at an urban site of India during winter: Role of anthropogenic, biomass burning, biogenic
- 1572 and photochemical sources, Atmospheric Research, 164-165, 84-94,
 1573 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosres.2015.04.021</u>, 2015.
- 1574 Sahu, L. K., Yadav, R., and Pal, D.: Source identification of VOCs at an urban site of western
 1575 India: Effect of marathon events and anthropogenic emissions, Journal of Geophysical
 1576 Research: Atmospheres, 121, 2416-2433, 10.1002/2015jd024454, 2016.
- 1577 Samburova, V., Connolly, J., Gyawali, M., Yatavelli, R. L. N., Watts, A. C., Chakrabarty, R.
- 1578 K., Zielinska, B., Moosmüller, H., and Khlystov, A.: Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in
- 1579 biomass-burning emissions and their contribution to light absorption and aerosol toxicity,
- 1580
 Science
 of
 The
 Total
 Environment,
 568,
 391-401,

 1581
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2016.06.026, 2016.
 2016.06.026, 2016.
 2016.06.026, 2016.
 2016.06.026, 2016.
 2016.06.026, 2016.
 2016.06.026, 2016.
 2016.06.026, 2016.
 2016.06.026, 2016.
 2016.06.026, 2016.
 2016.06.026, 2016.
 2016.06.026, 2016.
 2016.06.026, 2016.
 2016.06.026, 2016.
 2016.06.026, 2016.
 2016.06.026, 2016.
 2016.06.026, 2016.
 2016.06.026, 2016.
 2016.06.026, 2016.
 2016.06.026, 2016.
 2016.06.026, 2016.
 2016.06.026, 2016.
 2016.06.026, 2016.06.026, 2016.06.026, 2016.
 2016.06.026, 2016.06.06, 2016.06.026, 2016.06.06, 2016.06.06, 2016.06.06, 201
- 1582 Saud, T., Mandal, T. K., Gadi, R., Singh, D. P., Sharma, S. K., Saxena, M., and Mukherjee, A.:
- 1583 Emission estimates of particulate matter (PM) and trace gases (SO₂, NO and NO₂) from
- 1584 biomass fuels used in rural sector of Indo-Gangetic Plain, India, Atmospheric Environment,
- 45, 5913-5923, <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2011.06.031</u>, 2011.

- 1586 Saud, T., Gautam, R., Mandal, T. K., Gadi, R., Singh, D. P., Sharma, S. K., Dahiya, M., and
- 1587 Saxena, M.: Emission estimates of organic and elemental carbon from household biomass fuel
- used over the Indo-Gangetic Plain (IGP), India, Atmospheric Environment, 61, 212-220,
- 1589 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2012.07.030</u>, 2012.
- 1590 Schauer, J. J., Kleeman, M. J., Cass, G. R., and Simoneit, B. R. T.: Measurement of Emissions
- 1591 from Air Pollution Sources. 3. C₁-C₂₉ Organic Compounds from Fireplace Combustion of
- 1592 Wood, Environmental Science & Technology, 35, 1716-1728, 10.1021/es001331e, 2001.
- 1593 Sekimoto, K., Koss, A. R., Gilman, J. B., Selimovic, V., Coggon, M. M., Zarzana, K. J., Yuan,
- 1594 B., Lerner, B. M., Brown, S. S., Warneke, C., Yokelson, R. J., Roberts, J. M., and de Gouw,
- 1595 J.: High- and low-temperature pyrolysis profiles describe volatile organic compound emissions
- from western US wildfire fuels, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 18, 9263-9281, 10.5194/acp-18-9263-2018, 2018.
- 1598 Sengupta, D., Samburova, V., Bhattarai, C., Watts, A. C., Moosmüller, H., and Khlystov, A.
- 1599 Y.: Polar semi-volatile organic compounds in biomass burning emissions and their chemical
- transformations during aging in an oxidation flow reactor, Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 2020,
 1-50, 10.5194/acp-2019-1179, 2020.
- Shafizadeh, F.: Introduction to pyrolysis of biomass, Journal of Analytical and Applied
 Pyrolysis, 3, 283-305, <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/0165-2370(82)80017-X</u>, 1982.
- Sheesley, R. J., Schauer, J. J., Chowdhury, Z., Cass, G. R., and Simoneit, B. R. T.:
 Characterization of organic aerosols emitted from the combustion of biomass indigenous to
 South Asia, Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 108, 10.1029/2002jd002981,
 2003.
- Shrivastava, M., Cappa, C. D., Fan, J., Goldstein, A. H., Guenther, A. B., Jimenez, J. L., Kuang,
 C., Laskin, A., Martin, S. T., Ng, N. L., Petaja, T., Pierce, J. R., Rasch, P. J., Roldin, P.,
- 1610 Seinfeld, J. H., Shilling, J., Smith, J. N., Thornton, J. A., Volkamer, R., Wang, J., Worsnop, D.
- 1611 R., Zaveri, R. A., Zelenyuk, A., and Zhang, Q.: Recent advances in understanding secondary
- organic aerosol: Implications for global climate forcing, Reviews of Geophysics, 55, 509-559,
 10.1002/2016rg000540, 2017.
- Sidhu, S., Gullett, B., Striebich, R., Klosterman, J., Contreras, J., and DeVito, M.: Endocrine
 disrupting chemical emissions from combustion sources: diesel particulate emissions and
 domestic waste open burn emissions, Atmospheric Environment, 39, 801-811,
 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2004.10.040, 2005.

- Simoneit, B. R. T.: Biomass burning a review of organic tracers for smoke from incomplete
 combustion, Applied Geochemistry, 17, 129-162, <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S0883-</u>
 2927(01)00061-0, 2002.
- 1621 Simoneit, B. R. T., Rogge, W. F., Mazurek, M. A., Standley, L. J., Hildemann, L. M., and Cass,
- 1622 G. R.: Lignin pyrolysis products, lignans, and resin acids as specific tracers of plant classes in
- 1623 emissions from biomass combustion, Environmental Science & Technology, 27, 2533-2541,
 1624 10.1021/es00048a034, 1993.
- Singh, D. P., Gadi, R., Mandal, T. K., Saud, T., Saxena, M., and Sharma, S. K.: Emissions
 estimates of PAH from biomass fuels used in rural sector of Indo-Gangetic Plains of India,
 Atmospheric Environment, 68, 120-126, <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2012.11.042</u>,
- 1628 2013.1629 Sjöström, E.: Wood Chemistry: Fundamentals and Applications, 2nd ed., Academic Press, San
- 1629 Sjöströln, E.: Wood Chemistry. Fundamentals and Applications, 2nd ed., Academic (1658, 3a)1630 Diego, USA, 1993.
- 1631 Smith, J. N., Dunn, M. J., VanReken, T. M., Iida, K., Stolzenburg, M. R., McMurry, P. H., and
- 1632 Huey, L. G.: Chemical composition of atmospheric nanoparticles formed from nucleation in
- Tecamac, Mexico: Evidence for an important role for organic species in nanoparticle growth,
 Geophysical Research Letters, 35, 10.1029/2007gl032523, 2008.
- Sotiropoulou, R. E. P., Tagaris, E., Pilinis, C., Anttila, T., and Kulmala, M.: Modeling New
- Particle Formation During Air Pollution Episodes: Impacts on Aerosol and Cloud
 Condensation Nuclei, Aerosol Science and Technology, 40, 557-572,
 10.1080/02786820600714346, 2006.
- Stein, S. E.: National Institute and Standards and Technology (NIST) Mass Spectral SearchProgram. Version 2.0g., 2011.
- 1641 Stewart, G. J., Nelson, B. S., Drysdale, W. S., Acton, W. J. F., Vaughan, A. R., Hopkins, J. R.,
- 1642 Dunmore, R. E., Hewitt, C. N., Nemitz, E. G., Mullinger, N., Langford, B., Shivani, Villegas,
- 1643 E. R., Gadi, R., Rickard, A. R., Lee, J. D., and Hamilton, J. F.: Sources of non-methane
- hydrocarbons in surface air in Delhi, India, Faraday Discussions 10.1039/D0FD00087F,2020a.
- 1646 Stewart, G. J., Acton, W. J. F., Nelson, B. S., Vaughan, A. R., Hopkins, J. R., Arya, R., Mondal,
- 1647 A., Jangirh, R., Ahlawat, S., Yadav, L., Dunmore, R. E., Yunus, S. S. M., Hewitt, C. N.,
- 1648 Nemitz, E., Mullinger, N., Gadi, R., Rickard, A. R., Lee, J. D., Mandal, T. K., and Hamilton,
- 1649 J. F.: Emissions of non-methane volatile organic compounds from domestic fuels in Delhi,
- 1650 India, Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., <u>https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-2020-892</u>, 2020b.

I

Stockwell, C. E., Veres, P. R., Williams, J., and Yokelson, R. J.: Characterization of biomass
burning emissions from cooking fires, peat, crop residue, and other fuels with high-resolution
proton-transfer-reaction time-of-flight mass spectrometry, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 15, 845-865,

1654 10.5194/acp-15-845-2015, 2015.

1655 Stockwell, C. E., Yokelson, R. J., Kreidenweis, S. M., Robinson, A. L., DeMott, P. J., Sullivan,

1656 R. C., Reardon, J., Ryan, K. C., Griffith, D. W. T., and Stevens, L.: Trace gas emissions from
1657 combustion of peat, crop residue, domestic biofuels, grasses, and other fuels: configuration and
1658 Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) component of the fourth Fire Lab at Missoula Experiment

1659 (FLAME-4), Atmos. Chem. Phys., 14, 9727-9754, 10.5194/acp-14-9727-2014, 2014.

Streets, D. G., Bond, T. C., Carmichael, G. R., Fernandes, S. D., Fu, Q., He, D., Klimont, Z.,
Nelson, S. M., Tsai, N. Y., Wang, M. Q., Woo, J. H., and Yarber, K. F.: An inventory of
gaseous and primary aerosol emissions in Asia in the year 2000, Journal of Geophysical
Research: Atmospheres, 108, 10.1029/2002JD003093, 2003.

Strollo, C. M., and Ziemann, P. J.: Products and mechanism of secondary organic aerosol
formation from the reaction of 3-methylfuran with OH radicals in the presence of NO_x,
Atmospheric Environment, 77, 534-543, <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2013.05.033</u>,
2013.

Taipale, R., Ruuskanen, T. M., Rinne, J., Kajos, M. K., Hakola, H., Pohja, T., and Kulmala,
M.: Technical Note: Quantitative long-term measurements of VOC concentrations by PTR-MS
- measurement, calibration, and volume mixing ratio calculation methods, Atmos. Chem.

1671 Phys., 8, 6681-6698, 10.5194/acp-8-6681-2008, 2008.

Tiwari, M., Sahu, S. K., Bhangare, R. C., Ajmal, P. Y., and Pandit, G. G.: Estimation of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons associated with size segregated combustion aerosols
generated from household fuels, Microchemical Journal, 106, 79-86, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.microc.2012.05.008, 2013.

Tong, H. Y., Shore, D. L., Karasek, F. W., Helland, P., and Jellum, E.: Identification of organiccompounds obtained from incineration of municipal waste by high-performance liquid

1678 chromatographic fractionation and gas chromatography-mass spectrometry, Journal of 1679 Chromatography A, 285, 423-441, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0021-9673(01)87784-0, 1984.

1680 Venkataraman, C., Negi, G., Brata Sardar, S., and Rastogi, R.: Size distributions of polycyclic

1681 aromatic hydrocarbons in aerosol emissions from biofuel combustion, Journal of Aerosol

1682 Science, 33, 503-518, <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S0021-8502(01)00185-9</u>, 2002.

- 1683 Venkataraman, C., Habib, G., Eiguren-Fernandez, A., Miguel, A. H., and Friedlander, S. K.:
- 1684 Residential biofuels in south Asia: Carbonaceous aerosol emissions and climate impacts,
- 1685 Science, 307, 1454-1456, 10.1126/science.1104359, 2005.
- Vineis, P., and Husgafvel-Pursiainen, K.: Air pollution and cancer: biomarker studies in human
 populations Carcinogenesis, 26, 1846-1855, 10.1093/carcin/bgi216, 2005.
- 1688 Wang, L., Slowik, J. G., Tripathi, N., Bhattu, D., Rai, P., Kumar, V., Vats, P., Satish, R.,
- Baltensperger, U., Ganguly, D., Rastogi, N., Sahu, L. K., Tripathi, S. N., and Prévôt, A. S. H.:
 Source characterization of volatile organic compounds measured by PTR-ToF-MS in Delhi,
- 1691 India, Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 2020, 1-27, 10.5194/acp-2020-11, 2020.
- Wei, S. Y., Shen, G. F., Zhang, Y. Y., Xue, M., Xie, H., Lin, P. C., Chen, Y. C., Wang, X. L., 1692 1693 and Tao, S.: Field measurement on the emissions of PM, OC, EC and PAHs from indoor crop China, Environmental Pollution, straw burning in rural 184. 18-24, 1694 10.1016/j.envpol.2013.07.036, 2014. 1695
- WHO: IARC monographs on the evaluation of carcinogenic risks to humans, Internal report
 14/002 World Health Organisation, Lyon, France, 2016.
- Household air pollution and health. Accessed 05 Aug 2020. Available from
 https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/household-air-pollution-and-health, 2018.
- 1700 Wiedinmyer, C., Yokelson, R. J., and Gullett, B. K.: Global Emissions of Trace Gases,
- Particulate Matter, and Hazardous Air Pollutants from Open Burning of Domestic Waste,
 Environmental Science & Technology, 48, 9523-9530, 10.1021/es502250z, 2014.
- 1703 Wiriya, W., Chantara, S., Sillapapiromsuk, S., and Lin, N. H.: Emission Profiles of PM10-
- 1704 Bound Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons from Biomass Burning Determined in Chamber for
- Assessment of Air Pollutants from Open Burning, Aerosol Air Qual. Res., 16, 2716-2727,
 10.4209/aaqr.2015.04.0278, 2016.
- 1707 Woody, M. C., Baker, K. R., Hayes, P. L., Jimenez, J. L., Koo, B., and Pye, H. O. T.:
- Understanding sources of organic aerosol during CalNex-2010 using the CMAQ-VBS, Atmos.
 Chem. Phys., 16, 4081-4100, 10.5194/acp-16-4081-2016, 2016.
- 1710 Worton, D. R., Decker, M., Isaacman-VanWertz, G., Chan, A. W. H., Wilson, K. R., and
- 1711 Goldstein, A. H.: Improved molecular level identification of organic compounds using
- 1712 comprehensive two-dimensional chromatography, dual ionization energies and high resolution
- 1713 mass spectrometry, Analyst, 142, 2395-2403, 10.1039/c7an00625j, 2017.

I

- 1714 Xu, X., van Stee, L. L. P., Williams, J., Beens, J., Adahchour, M., Vreuls, R. J. J., Brinkman,
- 1715 U. A. T., and Leilieveld, J.: Comprehensive two-dimensional gas chromatography (GC×GC)

- measurements of volatile organic compounds in the atmosphere, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 3, 665-682, 2003.
- 1718 Xue, W. L., and Warshawsky, D.: Metabolic activation of polycyclic and heterocyclic aromatic
- 1719 hydrocarbons and DNA damage: A review, Toxicology and Applied Pharmacology, 206, 73-
- 1720 93, 10.1016/j.taap.2004.11.006, 2005.
- 1721 Yee, L. D., Kautzman, K. E., Loza, C. L., Schilling, K. A., Coggon, M. M., Chhabra, P. S.,
- 1722 Chan, M. N., Chan, A. W. H., Hersey, S. P., Crounse, J. D., Wennberg, P. O., Flagan, R. C.,
- 1723 and Seinfeld, J. H.: Secondary organic aerosol formation from biomass burning intermediates:
- phenol and methoxyphenols, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 13, 8019-8043, 10.5194/acp-13-8019-2013,
 2013.
- Young Koo, Y. K., Kim, W., and Jo, Y. M.: Release of Harmful Air Pollutants from OpenBurning of Domestic Municipal Solid Wastes in a Metropolitan Area of Korea, Aerosol Air
- 1728 Qual. Res., 13, 1365-1372, 10.4209/aaqr.2012.10.0272, 2013.
- 1729 Yu, F., and Luo, G.: Modeling of gaseous methylamines in the global atmosphere: impacts of
- 1730 oxidation and aerosol uptake, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 14, 12455-12464, 10.5194/acp-14-124551731 2014, 2014.
- Zhang, Y., and Tao, S.: Global atmospheric emission inventory of polycyclic aromatic
 hydrocarbons (PAHs) for 2004, Atmospheric Environment, 43, 812-819,
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2008.10.050, 2009.
- 1735 Zhao, Y., Hennigan, C. J., May, A. A., Tkacik, D. S., de Gouw, J. A., Gilman, J. B., Kuster,
- 1736 W. C., Borbon, A., and Robinson, A. L.: Intermediate-Volatility Organic Compounds: A Large
- 1737 Source of Secondary Organic Aerosol, Environmental Science & Technology, 48, 13743-
- 1738 13750, 10.1021/es5035188, 2014.
- 1739 Zhao, Y. L., Nguyen, N. T., Presto, A. A., Hennigan, C. J., May, A. A., and Robinson, A. L.:
- 1740 Intermediate Volatility Organic Compound Emissions from On-Road Diesel Vehicles:
- 1741 Chemical Composition, Emission Factors, and Estimated Secondary Organic Aerosol
- 1742 Production, Environmental Science & Technology, 49, 11516-11526,
 1743 10.1021/acs.est.5b02841, 2015.