### **Factors controlling marine aerosol size distributions and**

## their climate effects over the Northwest Atlantic Ocean region

- 4
- 5 Betty Croft<sup>1</sup>, Randall V. Martin<sup>2,1</sup>, Richard H. Moore<sup>3</sup>, Luke D. Ziemba<sup>3</sup>, Ewan C. Crosbie<sup>3,4</sup>,
- 6 Hongyu Liu<sup>5</sup>, Lynn M. Russell<sup>6</sup>, Georges Saliba<sup>6</sup>, Armin Wisthaler<sup>7,8</sup>, Markus Müller<sup>7</sup>,
- 7 Arne Schiller<sup>7</sup>, Martí Galí<sup>9</sup>, Rachel Y.-W. Chang<sup>1</sup>, Erin E. McDuffie<sup>1,2</sup>, Kelsey R. Bilsback<sup>10</sup>,
- 8 and Jeffrey R. Pierce<sup>10</sup>
- 9
- <sup>1</sup>Department of Physics and Atmospheric Science, Dalhousie University, Halifax, NS, Canada
- <sup>11</sup> <sup>2</sup>McKelvey School of Engineering, Washington University in St. Louis, St. Louis, MO, USA
- 12 <sup>3</sup>NASA Langley Research Center, Hampton, VA, USA
- 13 <sup>4</sup>Science Systems and Applications, Inc., Hampton, VA, USA
- <sup>5</sup>National Institute of Aerospace, Hampton, VA, USA
- 15 <sup>6</sup>Scripps Institute of Oceanography, University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, CA, USA
- <sup>7</sup>Institute for Ion Physics and Applied Physics, University of Innsbruck, Technikerstrasse 25,
- 17 6020 Innsbruck, Austria
- 18 <sup>8</sup>Department of Chemistry, University of Oslo, P.O. 1033 Blindern, 0315 Oslo, Norway
- <sup>9</sup>Barcelona Supercomputing Center (BSC)
- 20 <sup>10</sup>Department of Atmospheric Science, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, CO, USA
- 21 Correspondence to: Betty Croft (betty.croft@dal.ca)
- 22

#### 23 Abstract.

24 Aerosols over Earth's remote and spatially extensive ocean surfaces have important influences on 25 planetary climate. However, these aerosols and their effects remain poorly understood, in part due 26 to the remoteness and limited observations over these regions. In this study, we seek to understand 27 factors that shape marine aerosol size distributions and composition in the Northwest Atlantic 28 Ocean region. We use the GEOS-Chem-TOMAS model to interpret measurements collected from 29 ship and aircraft during the four seasonal campaigns of the North Atlantic Aerosols and Marine 30 Ecosystems Study (NAAMES) conducted between 2015 and 2018. Observations from the 31 NAAMES campaigns show enhancements in the campaign-median number of aerosols with

32 diameters larger than 3 nm in the lower troposphere (below 6 km), most pronounced during the 33 phytoplankton bloom maxima (May/June) below 2 km in the free troposphere. Our simulations, 34 combined with NAAMES ship and aircraft measurements, suggest several key factors that 35 contribute to aerosol number and size in the Northwest Atlantic lower troposphere, with significant regional-mean (40-60 °N, 20-50 °W) aerosol-cloud albedo indirect effects (AIE) and direct 36 37 radiative effects (DRE) during the phytoplankton bloom. These key factors and their associated 38 simulated radiative effects in the region include: (1) particle formation near and above the marine 39 boundary layer (MBL) top (AIE: -3.37 W m<sup>-2</sup>, DRE: -0.62 W m<sup>-2</sup>), (2) particle growth due to 40 marine secondary organic aerosol (MSOA) as the nascent particles subside into the MBL, enabling them to become cloud-condensation-nuclei-size particles (AIE: -2.27 W m<sup>-2</sup>, DRE: -0.10 W m<sup>-2</sup>), 41 42 (3) particle formation/growth due to the products of dimethyl sulfide, above/within the MBL (-1.29 W m<sup>-2</sup>, DRE: -0.06 W m<sup>-2</sup>), (4) ship emissions (AIE: -0.62 W m<sup>-2</sup>, DRE: -0.05 W m<sup>-2</sup>) and 43 (5) primary sea spray emissions (AIE: +0.04 W m<sup>-2</sup>, DRE: -0.79 W m<sup>-2</sup>). Our results suggest that 44 45 a synergy of particle formation in the lower troposphere (particularly near and above the MBL top) 46 and growth by MSOA contributes strongly to cloud-condensation-nuclei-sized particles with 47 significant regional radiative effects in the Northwest Atlantic. To gain confidence in radiative 48 effect magnitudes, future work is needed to understand 1) the sources and temperature-dependence 49 of condensable marine vapors forming MSOA, 2) primary sea spray emissions, and 3) the species 50 that can form new particles in the lower troposphere and grow these particles as they descend into the marine boundary layer. 51

52

#### 53 **1. Introduction**

54

55 Marine atmospheric particles have important roles in Earth's climate system. Similar to particles 56 in other regions, marine aerosols scatter and absorb solar radiation (Charlson et al., 1992), and 57 modify cloud properties by acting as the seeds for cloud droplet formation (Boucher and Haywood, 58 2000; Lohmann and Feichter, 2005). Aerosols in the atmosphere's marine boundary layer (MBL) 59 strongly influence the highly prevalent, low-altitude marine clouds, which have key climate 60 cooling effects due to their reflection of incoming solar radiation (Wood, 2012; Chen et al., 2014). However, there remains high uncertainty about the magnitude of these aerosol effects (IPCC, 61 62 2013), due in part to limited understanding about the processes that control aerosols over Earth's 63 expansive and remote ocean surfaces (Willis et al., 2018). Marine aerosols are strongly influenced by natural, but poorly understood sources, making a large contribution to uncertainty in aerosolclimate effects (Carslaw et al., 2010; Carslaw et al., 2013). Limited observations of aerosols and their precursors over Earth's remote marine regions contribute to these knowledge gaps. In this study, we focus on investigation of several factors controlling the seasonal cycle of aerosol size

- and number and their resultant climate effects over the Northwest Atlantic Ocean.
- 69

70 Aerosol particles in the remote MBL have several seasonally varying sources (O'Dowd et al., 71 2004; Leck and Bigg, 2005; de Leeuw et al., 2011; Karl et al., 2012). Primary particles are emitted 72 through wave breaking and bubble bursting processes that eject sea spray aerosols (SSA) of sea 73 salt and organic composition (Russell et al., 2010; de Leeuw et al., 2011; Ovadnevaite et al., 2011; 74 Gantt and Meskhidze, 2013; Prather et al., 2013; Hamacher-Barth et al., 2016; Brooks and 75 Thornton, 2018). SSA have a not-yet-well-understood dependence on wind speed (Monahan et al., 76 1983; O'Dowd et al., 1997; Ovadnevaite et al., 2012; Grassian et al., 2015; Brooks and Thornton, 77 2018; Saliba et al., 2019) and sea surface temperature (Mårtensson et al., 2003; Jaeglé et al., 2011; 78 Kasparian et al., 2017; Saliba et al., 2019). For the North Atlantic, observations indicate that 79 primary SSA make a limited (less than 30%) contribution to cloud condensation nuclei (CCN) 80 (Quinn et al., 2017, Zheng et al., 2018; Quinn et al., 2019) with no direct connection between SSA 81 emissions and plankton ecosystems because the organic SSA appears to arise from the ocean's 82 large pool of dissolved organic carbon (Quinn et al., 2014; Bates et al., 2020). SSA, however, 83 could modify the CCN number that activate to form cloud droplets (Fossum et al., 2020), act as 84 ice nuclei (Wilson et al., 2015; DeMott et al., 2016; Irish et al., 2017), and be more closely linked 85 with biogenic activity in other regions (Ault et al., 2013; Cravigan et al., 2015; O'Dowd et al., 86 2015; Quinn et al., 2015; Wang et al., 2015; Schiffer et al., 2018; Christiansen et al., 2019; 87 Cravigan et al., 2019). Recent studies have highlighted knowledge gaps related to sea spray 88 emissions, particularly as related to the submicron sizes (e.g., Bian et al., 2019; Regayre et al, 89 2020). Measurement and modeling studies are needed to better understand and simulate the size-90 resolved contribution of sea spray to the Northwest Atlantic MBL.

91

92 For the North Atlantic, secondary aerosol of biogenic origin is observed to be an important 93 seasonally varying contributor to marine particles and their growth to yield CCN (Sanchez et al., 94 2018). Marine secondary aerosol can arise from the condensation of a variety of marine-vapor-

95 oxidation products, which form and grow particles (Ceburnis et al., 2008; Rinaldi et al., 2010; 96 Decesari et al., 2011). Formation of new aerosol particles in the marine environment is observed 97 to be favored in clean atmospheric layers just below the marine inversion and also above the MBL 98 top (Kazil et al., 2011; Takegawa et al., 2020). Newly formed particles, including those from the 99 free troposphere can grow to CCN sizes (diameters larger than about 50 nm) through the 100 condensation of available organic and sulfur-containing vapors on descent into the MBL 101 (Korhonen et al., 2008). Once the particles reach CCN sizes, cloud processing (including aqueous 102 phase aerosol production, and cloud droplet coagulation with other droplets and interstitial 103 aerosols) also contributes to shaping the size distribution (Hoppel et al., 1986; Hoose et al., 2008; 104 Pierce et al., 2015). For the North Atlantic MBL, entrainment of growing new particles formed in 105 the relatively cleaner free troposphere is an important contributor to MBL particle number (Quinn 106 et al., 2017; Sanchez et al., 2018; Zheng et al., 2018). In the pristine conditions of the summertime 107 Arctic, both new particle formation (NPF) and growth (by condensation of organic and sulfur-108 containing vapors) are frequently observed within the boundary layer itself (Leaitch et al., 2013; 109 Croft et al., 2016a; Willis et al., 2016; Collins et al., 2017; Burkart et al., 2017b). In addition to 110 sulfuric acid, other vapors including amines, methane sulfonic acid (MSA), ammonia, and iodine 111 all contribute to NPF in marine regions (O'Dowd, 2002; Facchini et al., 2008; Allan et al., 2015, 112 Chen et al., 2016; Croft et al., 2016a; Dall'Osto et al., 2018). Interpretation of a combination of 113 aircraft and ship-board observations with a size-resolved aerosol microphysics model is needed to 114 develop understanding of the relative importance of near and above MBL top NPF as a contributor 115 to aerosol size distributions in the Northwest Atlantic MBL.

116

117 Dimethyl sulfide (DMS) is one of the key contributors to secondary particle formation and growth 118 that is released from the oceans as a result of marine biogenic activity (Lana et al., 2012a; Galí and 119 Simó, 2015; Sanchez et al., 2018). The oxidation products of DMS include sulfuric acid and MSA 120 (Barnes et al., 2006), which can form new particles and grow existing particles to sizes that can 121 act as CCN (Hoffman et al., 2016; Hodshire et al., 2019). As well, hydroperoxymethyl thioformate 122 (HPMTF) is a recently discovered DMS-oxidation product, which could also contribute to NPF 123 and growth (Veres et al., 2020). The role of DMS in the climate system has undergone much debate 124 since 1987 when the CLAW hypothesis proposed that DMS could act as a regulator in a warming

125 climate (Charlson et al., 1987). For the North Atlantic and Arctic, observations have linked DMS 126 to the formation of aerosols during the times of phytoplankton blooms (Rempillo et al., 2011; 127 Chang et al., 2011; Park et al., 2017; Sanchez et al., 2018; Abbatt et al., 2019; Quinn et al., 2019). 128 As well, modelling studies have supported a role for DMS, linked to phytoplankton blooms, as a 129 contributor to CCN number concentrations in the North Atlantic and Arctic MBLs (Woodhouse et 130 al., 2013; Zheng et al., 2018; Ghahremaninezhad et al., 2019; Mahmood et al., 2019) and Southern 131 Ocean MBL (Korhonen et al., 2008; McCoy et al., 2015; Revell et al., 2019). However, the extent 132 to which DMS can act as a climate regulator remains unclear (Schwinger et al., 2017; Fiddes et 133 al., 2018), and this role has been refuted (Quinn and Bates, 2011). Analysis of in situ observations 134 of DMS and its products across the seasonal cycle of marine biogenic activity and in various ocean 135 regions is needed to improve understanding related to the role of DMS in Earth's climate system.

136

137 Marine secondary organic aerosol (SOA) is another important contributor to sub-micron diameter 138 marine aerosols, but is not well characterized (Rinaldi et al., 2010). The oceans are a source of a 139 variety of organic vapors that could lead to SOA formation (O'Dowd and de Leeuw, 2007; Yassaa 140 et al., 2008; Carpenter et al., 2012; Lana et al. 2012b; Hu et al., 2013; Carpenter and Nightingale, 141 2015; Kim et al., 2017; Rodríguez-Ros et al., 2020a). Oxygenated volatile organic compounds 142 (OVOCs) recently linked to photochemical oxidative processes at the sea surface microlayer are 143 possible contributors to marine SOA (Mungall et al., 2017). Isoprene and monoterpenes appear to 144 make relatively minor contributions to marine SOA by mass, less than 1% for particles with 145 diameters smaller than 10 µm at Cape Grim (Cui et al., 2019). The global, annual source of organic vapors from the oceans is highly uncertain, but current estimates are about 23 to 92 Tg C yr<sup>-1</sup> 146 147 (Brüggemann et al., 2018). Laboratory studies indicate that emissions of marine organic vapors 148 increase with both temperature and incident radiation for temperatures up to about 26 °C 149 (Meskhidze et al., 2015). Recent observations and modeling studies support a role for Arctic 150 marine secondary organic aerosol (AMSOA) as a contributor to particle growth to CCN sizes 151 (Burkart et al., 2017a; Collins et al., 2017; Willis et al, 2017; Willis et al., 2018; Tremblay et al., 152 2018; Leaitch et al., 2018; Croft et al., 2019; Abbatt et al., 2019). For the North Atlantic, organics 153 are also found to make a large contribution to particle growth to CCN sizes (Sanchez et al., 2018; 154 Zheng et al., 2020a). The result of the above-noted processes is a large and complex pool of organic aerosol in the marine environment with sources that vary seasonally and regionally (Cavalli et al.,

156 2004; Decesari et al., 2011; Cravigan et al., 2015; Liu et al., 2018; Leaitch et al., 2018).

157

158 Anthropogenic activity is also an important source of aerosols over the portions of the Earth's 159 oceans. For the North Atlantic, several previous studies (e.g., Savoie et al., 2002; Stohl et al., 2003; 160 Huntrieser et al., 2005; Fast et al., 2016) found a key role for synoptic scale motions in lifting 161 aerosols arising from North American continental emissions and transporting them in layers over 162 the North Atlantic with intrusions into the MBL. As well, ship traffic is an important source of 163 both particles and oxidants in the MBL (Corbett et al., 2007; Zanatta et al., 2019; Bilsback et al., 164 in press). Ship emissions of nitrogen oxides have a significant control on levels of oxidants such 165 as ozone, the hydroxyl radical (OH) and NO<sub>3</sub> in the MBL (Vinken et al., 2011; Holmes et al., 166 2014). In the remote MBL, both OH and NO<sub>3</sub> are key oxidants of DMS, along with natural-source 167 halogens such as BrO, with an important role for multiphase chemistry (Chen et al., 2018). 168 Interpretation of aerosol observations across several seasons is needed to better understand the 169 relative contribution of ship emissions to marine particles in the Northwest Atlantic region.

170

171 In this study, as part of the Ocean Frontier Institute (www.oceanfrontierinstitute.com), we address 172 the knowledge gaps that were identified above, concerning several key factors shaping Northwest 173 Atlantic MBL aerosol size distributions and their seasonal cycle. We consider the role of (1) new 174 particle formation in clean atmospheric layers near and above the MBL top, (2) particle growth by 175 marine SOA (MSOA) on descent into the MBL, (3) DMS contributions, (4) ship traffic emissions 176 and (5) primary sea spray emissions. Aerosol measurements from the North Atlantic Aerosols and 177 Marine Ecosystems Study (NAAMES) (Behrenfeld et al., 2019) provide an excellent basis for 178 addressing the role of these five factors in the Northwest Atlantic Ocean region. The NAAMES 179 aircraft and ship campaigns were conducted during four phases of the Northwest Atlantic annual 180 plankton cycle from 2015-2018. We interpret the NAAMES aerosol measurements using a state-181 of-the-science size-resolved global aerosol microphysics model, GEOS-Chem-TOMAS 182 (www.geos-chem.org). Our synergistic approach in bringing together NAAMES measurements 183 and size-resolved aerosol process modeling enables a unique consideration of several key factors 184 shaping Northwest Atlantic MBL aerosol size distributions and their annual cycle. We also 185 quantify the impact of these factors on aerosol radiative effects over the North Atlantic.

186

The second section provides an overview of our measurement and modeling methodology. The third section presents results using the GEOS-Chem-TOMAS model to interpret NAAMES aerosol measurements and their seasonal cycle with a focus on the roles of near and above MBL top NPF, MSOA, DMS, sea spray, and ship emissions. We also quantify the direct and cloudalbedo indirect aerosol radiative effects attributed to each of these factors during the seasonal cycle. The final section gives our summary and outlook.

193

195

#### 194 **2. Methodology**

#### 196 2.1 Aerosol measurements during the NAAMES campaigns

197

198 NAAMES campaigns were conducted during four key periods in the annual cycle of marine 199 biogenic activity, namely: the winter transition (November 2015), the accumulating phase 200 (March/April 2018), the climax transition (May/June 2016), and the declining phase 201 (August/September 2017) (Behrenfeld et al., 2019). These periods are defined by shifts in net 202 phytoplankton growth rates and span a wide range in phytoplankton biomass, here estimated from 203 chlorophyll-a concentrations (Chl-a). The winter transition is characterized by the annual 204 minimum in Chl-*a* concentrations (generally  $< 1 \text{ mg m}^{-3}$ ) and a shift to favor phytoplankton growth 205 over loss as the increasing ocean mixed-layer depth leads to fewer encounters between 206 phytoplankton and their grazers. The accumulation phase occurs in early springtime when 207 increasing sunlight and decreasing ocean mixed laver depths promote increasing phytoplankton growth rates and concentrations (Chl-*a* between 1 and 2 mg m<sup>-3</sup>). The climax transition is the time 208 209 of the annual maximum in phytoplankton biomass (Chl-*a* between 2 and 9 mg m<sup>-3</sup>) and marks the 210 shift from positive to negative growth rates owing to high grazing rates and depletion of nutrients. 211 The declining phase (Chl-*a* between 1 and 2 mg m<sup>-3</sup>) occurs later in the summertime when the 212 ocean mixed layer depth increases and incident sunlight decreases, leading to further declines in 213 phytoplankton growth and concentrations. Behrenfeld et al. (2019) provide an overview of the four 214 measurement campaigns, and further details about Chl-a during NAAMES. The R/V Atlantis 215 cruise tracks and NASA C130 flight paths are shown in Figure 1. Due to aircraft mechanical 216 problems, there were no flights in 2018 during the accumulating phase.

218 In this study, we examine the NAAMES size-resolved aerosol measurements (particle diameters 219 20 to 500 nm) from the Scanning Electrical Mobility Sizer (SEMS, Model 138, 2002, BMI, 220 Hayward, CA) aboard the R/V Atlantis ship. Aerosol particles were isokinetically drawn through 221 an inlet positioned 18 m above sea level (Bates et al. 2002) and were subsequently dried below 222 20% relative humidity using silica diffusion driers prior to sampling by the SEMS. Clean marine 223 periods were identified with criteria of relative wind directions within 90° of the bow, condensation 224 nuclei number concentrations less than 2000 cm<sup>-3</sup>, ammonium and organic aerosol not covarying, ammonium < 100 ng m<sup>-3</sup> and having back trajectories primarily over the ocean surface. We also 225 226 consider aerosol size-resolved measurements (particle diameters 10 to 282 nm) from the Scanning 227 Mobility Particle Sizer (SMPS, TSI Inc., Shoreview, MN) aboard the C130 aircraft. As well, we 228 give attention to measurements of total particle number concentration from the Condensation 229 Particle Counters (CPCs) with differing nominal lower detection diameters: 3 nm for the CPC 230 3025 (yielding N3 measurements) and 10 nm for the CPC 3772 (TSI Inc., St. Paul, MN) (yielding 231 N10 measurements) aboard the C130 aircraft. We also consider submicron, non-refractory sulfate (SO4<sup>=</sup>) and organic mass (OM) concentrations from an Aerodyne High Resolution Time-of-Flight 232 233 Aerosol Mass Spectrometer (HR-ToF-AMS, DeCarlo et al., 2006) and refractory black carbon 234 from the Single Particle Soot Photometer (SP2, Schwarz et al., 2006) aboard the aircraft. HR-ToF-235 AMS and SP2 measurements are restricted to accumulation-mode aerosol (60-600 nm and 105-236 600 nm diameter, respectively). All aircraft observations are made behind a forward-facing, 237 shrouded, solid diffuser inlet that efficiently transmits particles with aerodynamic diameter less 238 than 5.0 µm to cabin-mounted instrumentation (McNaughton et al., 2007). Cloud-contaminated 239 aerosol observations have been removed using a combination of wing-mounted cloud probe and 240 relative humidity measurements. This filtering may possibly obscure some NPF events in 241 proximity to clouds and remove some cloud-processed samples from the vertical profiles. Aerosol 242 number and mass concentrations are reported at standard temperature and pressure. A Proton-243 Transfer-Reaction Time-of-Flight Mass Spectrometer (PTR-ToF-MS) (Müller et al, 2014; 244 Schiller, 2018) was used aboard the NASA C-130 to measure volatile organic compounds 245 including DMS and acetonitrile. Both observational and model data for periods where acetonitrile 246 concentrations exceed 200 ppt are filtered out following Singh et al. (2012) to remove significant 247 biomass burning contributions that are not the focus of this study.

#### 249 2.2 GEOS-Chem-TOMAS model description

250

251 We use the GEOS-Chem model (v12.1.1) (http://www.geos-chem.org) coupled to the TwO 252 Moment Aerosol Sectional (TOMAS) microphysics scheme (Adams and Seinfeld, 2002; Lee and 253 Adams, 2012; Kodros and Pierce, 2017), with 15 sections, representing particle sizes from 3 nm 254 to 10  $\mu$ m. All simulations are at a 4° × 5° resolution with 47 vertical levels extending to 0.01 hPa. 255 The meteorological fields are from the GEOS Forward Processing off-line fields (GEOS-FP; 256 https://gmao.gsfc.nasa.gov/GMAO products/). Our size-resolved aerosol simulations 257 parameterize the processes of particle nucleation, coagulation, condensation, along with wet and 258 dry deposition and include the in-cloud aerosol coagulation scheme of Pierce et al. (2015). Sulfate, 259 organic and black carbon, sea salt, dust and aerosol water are simulated. TOMAS is coupled to the 260 full tropospheric aerosol/chemistry scheme of GEOS-Chem. Wet deposition follows Liu et al. 261 (2001), Wang et al. (2011) and Wang et al. (2014). To represent efficient wet removal by North 262 Atlantic drizzle in October and November, we implement a fixed in-cloud removal efficiency of 263 0.001 s<sup>-1</sup> in the lowest 2 km of the model atmosphere over the ice-free ocean and enable wet 264 removal of sulfate and organic aerosol in clouds with temperatures between 237 K and 258 K. In 265 all seasons, we use the GEOS-FP cloud fraction as the precipitation fraction in the model layers 266 where precipitation occurs for a closer connection with the meteorological fields (Croft et al., 267 2016b; Luo et al., 2019; Luo et al., 2020). Dry deposition uses the resistance in series approach of 268 Wesley (1989). Simulated gas-phase species are also removed by dry and wet deposition as 269 described in Amos et al. (2012).

270 For emissions, we use the GEOS-Chem v 12.1.1 default setup for gas-phase and primary aerosol 271 emissions. We use emissions from the Community Emissions Data System (CEDS) for global 272 anthropogenic sources of NO<sub>x</sub>, CO, SO<sub>2</sub>, NH<sub>3</sub>, non-methane VOCs, black carbon, and organic 273 carbon, including from international shipping as a source of both primary and secondary particles. 274 Primary particles are emitted with a lognormal distribution (Lee et al., 2013). The most recent 275 CEDS emissions dataset extends to the year 2017, as described in McDuffie et al. (2020). In this 276 work, monthly CEDS emission totals for each compound are spatially gridded by source sector, 277 according to the  $0.1^{\circ} \times 0.1^{\circ}$  gridded EDGAR v4.2 emissions inventory (EC-JRC/PBL, 2012) and 278 population, as described in Hoesly et al. (2018). To account for in-plume chemical processing of 279 ship emissions, we use the PARANOX scheme of Holmes et al. (2014). CEDS emissions are 280 overwritten over the United States by the National Emissions Inventory (NEI11) with updated 281 scale factors for our simulation years (2015-2018). We calculated these factors based on emission 282 data for these years from the United States Environmental Protection Agency. Over Canada, we 283 use the Air Pollutant Emissions Inventory (APEI). The Global Fire Emissions Database (GFED4s) 284 is used for biomass burning emissions (van der Werf et al., 2017) for the years 2015-2016, with 285 GFED4s climatological values for 2017 and 2018 since exact-year emissions were not available 286 when we conducted our simulations. Dust emissions are from the scheme of Zender et al. (2003). 287 Sea salt emissions follow Jaeglé et al. (2011). This temperature-dependent parameterization 288 decreases global emissions relative to the Gong (2003) parameterization. A coupled 289 parameterization for primary organic aerosol from sea spray was not available for our aerosol size-290 resolved GEOS-Chem-TOMAS simulations, such that some sea spray organics could be 291 misrepresented as sea salt, since all sea spray in our simulations is considered sea salt. Such 292 primary organic emissions are expected to have no seasonal cycle when averaged over the 293 NAAMES region (Bates et al., 2020).

294

295 Exchange of DMS between the ocean and atmosphere is parameterized using the default GEOS-296 Chem parameterization, which follows Johnson (2010), largely based on Nightingale et al. (2000a; 297 2000b). We use the 8-day mean satellite-retrieval seawater DMS dataset of Galí et al. (2019) 298 developed using the methodology of Galí et al. (2018), for available years (2015 and 2016) for the 299 region north of about 40 °N. The Lana et al. (2011) DMS climatology is used elsewhere. Terrestrial 300 biogenic emissions are from MEGAN2.1 as described in Guenther et al. (2012). Following Croft 301 et al. (2019), we add a source of MSOA coupled to the simple SOA scheme described in Pai et al. 302 (2020). Emissions of MSOA-precursor vapors have been found to increase with temperature 303 (Meskhidze et al., 2015; Rodríguez-Ros et al., 2020a; Rodríguez-Ros et al., 2020b). Here, we use 304 a temperature-dependent simulated source of MSOA-precursor emissions ( $S_{MSOA}$ ),  $S_{MSOA} = 70T$  $+350 \ \mu g \ m^{-2} \ d^{-1}$ , where T is atmospheric temperature (°C) at 2 m altitude. The values of 70 and 305 306 350 are found to yield acceptable model-measurement agreement for NAAMES campaign-median 307 ship-track and aircraft measurements (Supplementary Figs. S1-S4 and Supplementary Tables S1 308 and S2). This simulated source of condensable vapors is emitted with a 50/50 split between vapors 309 that are immediately available to form MSOA and vapors with 1-day aging prior to availability

(and not susceptible to wet removal). MSOA contributes to particle growth in our simulations (in agreement with observational-based studies e.g., Sanchez et al., 2018; Zheng et al., 2020a), along with sulfuric acid, but since the particle nucleating abilities of MSOA are unclear, it does not contribute to new-particle formation.

314

315 All simulations include particle nucleation in the boundary layer that is parameterized with the ternary (H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>-NH<sub>3</sub>-H<sub>2</sub>O) scheme of Napari et al. (2002), which was scaled by 10<sup>-5</sup> to better match 316 317 continental boundary-layer measurements (Westervelt et al., 2013). The binary ( $H_2SO_4$ - $H_2O$ ) 318 scheme of Vehkamaki et al. (2002) is employed in the free troposphere at low NH<sub>3</sub> concentrations. 319 Growth and loss of particles smaller than 3 nm are approximated following Kerminen et al. (2004). 320 In our simulations, as a surrogate for unparameterized processes in the lower free troposphere and 321 near the MBL top, we also employ an activation-type nucleation parameterization from the MBL 322 top to about 2 km altitude. This activation-type scheme parameterizes nucleation rates as a linear function of sulfuric acid concentrations, using an empirical factor (A =  $2 \times 10^{-6} \text{ s}^{-1}$ ) (Kulmala et 323 324 al., 2006; Sihto et al., 2006), and serves as a proxy representing several unknown/unparameterized 325 mechanisms related to NPF. Pockets of very clean air with low condensation sink near MBL 326 clouds, which favor new particle formation (Kazil et al., 2011), are not resolved by large-scale 327 models such as ours, with grid boxes on the scale of 100s km<sup>2</sup>. Efficient wet removal by drizzling 328 MBL clouds contributes to these pristine conditions (Wood et al., 2017). As well, MBL clouds 329 reflect ultraviolet (UV) radiation and create pockets of enhanced UV, which favors photochemical 330 production of aerosol precursor vapors (Weber et al., 2001; Wehner et al., 2015), that are not 331 resolved by our model. Additionally, the particle nucleating capacity of MSOA is unclear and 332 particle formation parameterizations are not yet developed to represent NPF when several gas-333 phase precursors interact. These precursors include, but are not limited to, MSA (Chen et al., 334 2016), HPMTF (Veres et al., 2020), amines (Facchini et al., 2008), iodine (Allan et al., 2015), and 335 other extremely low-volatility organic compounds (ELVOCs) (Riccobono et al., 2014). The extra 336 nucleation in the lower troposphere with the activation-type parameterization represents particle 337 precursors that could have the same source as sulfuric acid. This approach may not capture the 338 timing and magnitude of the variability in NPF correctly because the vapors participating in this 339 nucleation are likely not just sulfuric acid. Future work is needed to better understand the nature 340 of the nucleating species in the lower troposphere over the oceans.

341

342 We also conduct off-line radiative transfer calculations using the Rapid Radiative Transfer Model 343 for Global Climate Models (RRTMG) (Iacono et al., 2008) to assess the direct radiative effect 344 (DRE) and cloud-albedo aerosol indirect effect (AIE). The aerosol optical properties are calculated 345 using the Mie code of Bohren and Hoffman (1983) to find the extinction efficiency, single 346 scattering albedo, and asymmetry factor. Then, these optical properties, along with the monthly 347 mean cloud fraction and surface albedo from the GEOS-FP meteorology fields, are input to the 348 RRTMG to determine the change in top-of-the-atmosphere solar flux (DRE) between two 349 simulations (our control simulation and one of the sensitivity simulations, Sect. 2.3). Our DRE 350 calculations follow Kodros et al. (2016), with updates to include ammonium nitrate as described 351 in Bilsback et al. (in press). All particles except black carbon are treated as internally mixed within 352 each size section. We also calculate the cloud-albedo aerosol indirect effect (AIE) as described in 353 Kodros et al. (2016), Croft et al. (2016a) and Ramnarine et al. (2019). The Abdul-Razzak and 354 Ghan (2002) parameterization is used to calculate offline cloud droplet number concentrations 355 (CDNC) using the aerosol mass and number concentrations from our simulations. We assume an updraft velocity of 0.5 m s<sup>-1</sup> and the hygroscopicity parameters used by Kodros et al. (2016) and 356 Kodros and Pierce (2017), assuming aerosol internal mixture, including ammonium nitrate 357 358 following Bilsback et al. (in press). For each model grid box, we assume cloud droplet radii of 10 359 µm and perturb this value with the ratio of the monthly mean CDNC between two simulations (our 360 control simulation and one of the sensitivity simulations, Sect. 2.3), assuming constant cloud liquid 361 water content. The RRTMG is used to calculate the change in the top-of-the-atmosphere solar flux 362 (AIE) due to changes in cloud droplet radii.

363

As one evaluation of simulation performance, we calculate the mean fractional error (MFE) of the  $0^{th}$  to  $3^{rd}$  moments between the simulated and observed MBL aerosol size distributions, following Boylan and Russell (2006) and using the same methodology as Hodshire et al. (2019) and Croft et al., (2019). The MFE is defined as a mean over the *N* aerosol size distribution moments,

368

369 MFE = 
$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=0}^{i=N-1} \frac{abs|C_m(i) - C_o(i)|}{(C_m(i) + C_o(i))/2}$$
 (1)

371 where  $C_m(i)$  is the integrated value of the *i*<sup>th</sup> moment of the simulated aerosol size distribution and 372  $C_o(i)$  is the integrated value of the *i*<sup>th</sup> moment of the observed aerosol size distribution. The MFE 373 can range from 0 to +2. We adopt the convention of Boylan and Russell (2006) to consider a MFE

of 0.5 or less as acceptable.

375

376 For consideration of vertical profiles, we binned the measurement and simulation values using a 377 500 m height resolution, starting from the surface to 500 m as the first bin. Campaign-median 378 values are calculated within each bin and plotted at the mid-point of the bin, starting at 250 m. 379 During NAAMES, the lowest aircraft flight level altitude was around 150-200 m GPS altitude. We 380 use a plane-flight diagnostic in the model to sample the simulation interpolated between grid-cell 381 centers to the aircraft-flight-track position, during the times when measurement data was available 382 for each respective instrument. We find consistent results with bin resolutions of 250, 500 and 383 1000 m, giving support for our selected binning resolution. The vertical profiles show 384 measurements and model output along the aircraft flight tracks only and do not include any 385 measurements or model output for the ship track. Vertical profile MFEs (Eq. 1) are calculated by 386 summation over the altitude bins.

387

#### 388 2.3 Summary of GEOS-Chem-TOMAS simulations

389

390 Table 1 summarizes the simulations conducted. Simulation BASE is our control simulation and 391 includes all emissions and process parameterizations described above. We conduct five sensitivity 392 simulations to examine the role of several key factors involved in shaping the aerosol distributions 393 within the NAAMES study region. Simulation noABLNUC is the same as BASE, except without 394 the sulfuric acid-dependent activation-type surrogate nucleation parameterization, which we 395 implemented from the MBL top to about 2 km. Simulation noMSOA is the same as BASE, but 396 without the source of temperature-dependent condensable marine organic vapors, forming MSOA. 397 Simulation noDMS is the same as BASE, but without DMS. Simulation noSHIPS is the same as 398 BASE, but without any ship emissions. Finally, simulation noSS is the same as BASE, but without 399 any primary sea spray emissions. All simulations are sampled coincidentally with the 400 measurements using hourly output along the NAAMES aircraft and ship tracks within the 401 respective model grid boxes, using the NAAMES campaigns' 1-minute-resolution navigation data.

To manage computational expense, the simulations are necessarily at a coarse resolution, which can bias model-measurement comparisons. However, these biases will be lower for remote marine regions such as the NAAMES study region than over land regions, which generally have greater spatial inhomogeneity. Representativeness errors were also reduced by limiting our modelmeasurement comparisons to campaign-median values.

407

#### 408 **3. Results and Discussion**

409

#### 410 **3.1 Key features of aerosols observed during NAAMES**

411

412 Aerosol observations made during the NAAMES campaigns were in four seasons, capturing 413 different stages of the annual cycle of Northwest Atlantic marine biogenic activity (Behrenfeld et 414 al., 2019). Figure 2 shows the campaign-median marine-influenced aerosol size distributions from 415 SEMS (particle diameters 20-500 nm) for the four R/V Atlantis cruises. November 2015 (winter 416 transition, bloom minima) is characterized by the lowest aerosol number concentrations. The peak 417 of the Northwest Atlantic drizzle season occurs at this time, with efficient wet removal of 418 accumulation-sized aerosol (diameters larger than about 50 to 100 nm) (Browse et al., 2012). As 419 well, relative to other the seasons, marine biogenic emissions are low at this time of minimal 420 phytoplankton biomass. The summertime observations during both May/June 2016 (climax 421 transition, phytoplankton bloom maxima) and August/September 2017 (declining phase) are 422 characterized by a weakly dominant Aitken mode (particle diameters < 100 nm). The winter 423 transition (November 2015) and early spring accumulation phase observations (March/April 2018) 424 are characterized by the dominance of accumulation-mode aerosols (particle diameters > 100 nm). 425

The vertical profiles of campaign-median integrated-SMPS (particle diameters of 10 to 282 nm) observations are shown in Fig. 3. There are several key features of the observed aerosol vertical profiles for the three NAAMES flight campaigns. These profiles exhibit several particle number maxima in the lower free troposphere below 6 km, including below 2 km during the May/June climax transition period. As shown in Fig. 3, aerosol surface area and volume are less at altitudes below about 3 km relative to altitudes above 3 km. This lower particle surface area at these altitudes favors NPF over growth of pre-existing particles as available vapors condense in these relatively 433 cleaner atmospheric layers (Kazil et al., 2011). Transport of aerosols (in part associated with 434 continental emissions) contributes to particles in all seasons. Fast et al. (2016) characterized 435 summertime North Atlantic transport layers in the free troposphere associated with synoptic-scale 436 lifting. The late fall (November 2015, Fig.3) is characterized by the lowest aerosol number, surface 437 and volume concentrations, similar to the findings shown in Fig. 2.

438

439 Figure 4 shows the vertical-profile campaign-median total particle number concentrations from 440 CPCs, for aerosols with diameters larger than 3 nm (N3), larger than 10 nm (N10), and the 441 difference between the two (N3-N10). For the May/June 2016 climax transition (phytoplankton 442 bloom maximum), there are enhancements in observed number concentration (N3, N10 and N3-443 N10) below about 2 km in the free troposphere, indicating NPF at these altitudes (Fig. 4). The 444 MBL top ranged from about 0.5 to 2 km for the NAAMES cruises (Behrenfeld et al., 2019). The 445 lower free tropospheric region near and above the MBL top is an important region for marine 446 NPF. These altitudes above the MBL clouds are generally very clean, which favors NPF, and 447 strongly sunlit, which favors the photochemical oxidative production of particle precursors for 448 NPF. Previous studies based on observations from other marine regions have also found a cloud-449 processed ultra-clean layer with weak condensation/coagulation sinks at about 1 km altitude, 450 where NPF is favored (Kazil et al., 2011; Takegawa et al., 2020). Figure 4 also shows 451 enhancements in the observed N3 and N10 concentrations below 6 km during the declining 452 phase and winter transition (bloom minima). However, the total number concentration 453 enhancements below 2 km are most pronounced during the phytoplankton bloom maximum, 454 suggesting a connection between particle number and the level of marine biogenic activity.

455

456 SO<sub>4</sub><sup>=</sup> and OM are dominant non-refractory components of the submicron-diameter aerosols, and 457 vertical profiles of campaign-median observations are shown on Fig. 5. During the summertime 458 (May/June 2016, climax transition and August/September 2017, declining phase), the OM 459 contribution exceeds that of  $SO_4^{=}$  at most altitudes up to 6 km. Non-refractory  $SO_4^{=}$  has its peak 460 contribution during the climax transition season. This May/June phytoplankton bloom maxima 461 period is the time of peak observed near-surface atmospheric DMS mixing ratios, as shown in Fig. 462 6. During the climax transition (bloom maxima), non-refractory  $SO_4^{-}$  concentrations increase 463 towards the surface, suggesting a marine surface source, similar to summertime Arctic marine

464 profile observations (Willis et al., 2017). Black carbon (BC) concentrations are also shown in Fig. 465 5 and have several peaks in the free troposphere in all seasons, consistent with a long-range 466 transport source. Maximum BC concentrations are in May/June, likely associated with greater 467 transport of anthropogenic continental pollution and biomass burning during this time, relative to 468 other seasons. Springtime has also been associated with peak BC concentrations in the Arctic due 469 to long-range transport (Sharma et al., 2004; Sharma et al., 2006; Fisher et al., 2010; Wang et al., 470 2011; Xu et al., 2017). All aerosol mass concentrations in the lowest 2 km of the atmosphere (Fig. 471 5) are lowest in the November 2015 winter transition, which is a time of efficient wet removal by 472 drizzle (Browse et al., 2012; Wood et al., 2017), diminishing marine emissions due to diminishing 473 phytoplankton biomass, and outbreaks of relatively less polluted polar air advected down the 474 Labrador Strait (Behrenfeld et al., 2019). For the Arctic, the fall season has also been associated 475 with a relative minimum in aerosol number concentrations (Tunved et al., 2013; Croft et al., 476 2016b).

477

478 The GEOS-Chem-TOMAS model (described in Sect. 2.2 and 2.3) is generally able to simulate the 479 above-noted features of the aerosols over the Northwest Atlantic. Simulation BASE captures key 480 aspects of the MBL size distributions including the minimum in aerosol number during the 481 November winter transition, the weakly dominant Aitken mode during the May/June climax 482 transition and August/September declining phase and the maximum in number of accumulation-483 mode particles (diameters greater than 100 nm) during the March/April accumulation phase, 484 despite errors such as between 20-50 nm (Fig. 2). As well, the BASE simulation captures several 485 lower tropospheric enhancements in particle number concentration, although the simulated altitude 486 for the maximum is sometimes displaced and there are errors in the magnitude (Figs. 3 and 4). In 487 the lowest 2 km of the atmosphere, SO<sub>4</sub><sup>=</sup>, OM, and BC mass concentrations for simulation BASE are generally within the 25<sup>th</sup> to 75<sup>th</sup> measurement percentiles, except for BC and OM 488 489 underpredictions in May/June 2016, and OM overprediction in November 2015. All simulated 490  $SO_4^{=}$  presented in this study is non-sea-salt  $SO_4^{=}$ . Simulation BASE also captures that the near-491 surface  $SO_4^{=}$  is greatest during the May/June climax transition and the near-surface OM has its 492 maximum value during the August/September declining phase. For each season the mean MFE 493 across the parameters considered in Figs. 2 to 5 (BASE versus measurements, Supplementary 494 Table S2) is satisfactory (MFE ranges 0.43 to 0.50). In the next four sub-sections, we use the

495 GEOS-Chem-TOMAS BASE simulation, relative to a set of sensitivity simulations, to examine
496 the potential of five key factors to shape aerosol size distributions in the Northwest Atlantic during
497 four stages of the annual cycle of marine biogenic activity.

498

#### 499 **3.2** Role of new particle formation (NPF) in the lower troposphere

500

501 Our simulations (BASE relative to noABLNUC, Fig. 4) suggest that NPF near and above the MBL 502 has a strong control on the development of the total particle number (N3) maxima, with peak 503 magnitude during the phytoplankton bloom maxima in layers below 2 km. Without the surrogate 504 NPF scheme employed near and above the MBL top, the ternary NPF scheme in the MBL in 505 simulation noABLNUC fails to simulate sufficient particle number, although vertical-profile 506 campaign-median ammonium concentrations below 4 km altitude had acceptable agreement with 507 observations (MFE ranges from 0.12 to 0.48, not shown). Figure 4 shows about a one-order-of-508 magnitude underprediction of N3 below about 2 km for noABLNUC. NoABLNUC has an 509 unacceptable seasonal-mean model-measurement agreement across the measurement set (MFE 510 ranges from 0.66 to 0.78, Supplementary Table S2). Figure 3 also shows that NPF near and above 511 the MBL top makes a significant contribution to simulated particle number concentrations for 512 aerosol diameters of 10 to 282 nm in the lower troposphere, most strongly in the summertime 513 (BASE relative to noABLNUC). There is little impact on aerosol mass concentrations for 514 simulation noABLNUC relative to BASE (Fig. 5).

515

516 The simulated N3-N10 (Fig. 4) illustrates that representation of NPF is a challenge for models, 517 because there are difficulties capturing the magnitude and altitudes of the N3-N10 maxima. These 518 discrepancies reflect key knowledge gaps related to the species that can form new particles in the 519 marine environment (e.g., Veres et al. 2020). As well, the coefficient that we used for the surrogate 520 activation-style nucleation parameterization was derived for a continental environment. The 521 empirical ('A') value used by the parameterization appears to yield excessive NPF for the 522 NAAMES marine environment. Activation-style nucleation was added in our simulations as a 523 proxy for missing nucleation when the condensation sink is low, and conditions favor high 524 oxidation rates. We acknowledge that this approach will miss variability in the timing and rates 525 because it is a surrogate and not exactly the correct mechanism. As well in the summertime, the

simulations underpredict N3-N10 concentrations above 2 km, suggesting the need for future work
to better understand the NPF processes at these levels, where the binary scheme of Vehkamaki et
al. (2002) does not generate sufficient NPF.

529

530 NPF also makes a very strong contribution to the simulated aerosol size distributions within the 531 MBL near the ocean surface (BASE versus noABLNUC, Fig. 2). Although our simulations do 532 include NPF within the MBL, simulated NPF occurs more strongly near and above the MBL top 533 and the resultant particles grow by condensation of available vapors and cloud processing while 534 descending into the MBL. This role for NPF is in agreement with previous studies including those 535 of Clarke et al. (2013), Quinn et al. (2017), and Williamson et al. (2019). As a result, NPF from 536 several altitudes above the ocean surface contributes to the near-ocean-surface particles, with 537 diameters from 20 to 200 nm. NPF does occur in the MBL. However, those levels above the MBL 538 clouds favor oxidative chemistry that yields particle precursors, particularly from the wide-spread 539 and persistent DMS sources in the marine environment (Kazil et al., 2011). Table 2 shows that for 540 all seasons, the surrogate nucleation (simulation BASE, MFEs ranging from 0.04 to 0.33) 541 represents an improvement over simulation noABLNUC (without this surrogate NPF 542 parameterization, MFEs ranging from 0.50 to 0.95).

543

544 Extending the surrogate activation-style parameterization to the surface (Supplementary Figs. S5-545 S8 and Supplementary Table 3), leads to overprediction of the number of particles with diameters 546 less than 50 nm in the MBL and yields higher MFEs (ranging from 0.20 to 0.56) than for simulation 547 BASE, although the errors were not as large as those for noABLNUC. For the vertical profiles, 548 this extra NPF extended into the MBL yields overprediction of N3, N10, and N3-N10 below 1 km 549 in all seasons. Aerosol surface area and volume (in the SMPS particle-diameter size range of 10 550 nm - 282 nm) were also over predicted during the August/September declining phase, when the 551 simulated temperature-dependent MSOA source was strongest, growing these extra new particles 552 to larger sizes. These challenges highlight the relevance of ongoing research to better understand 553 NPF in the marine environment.

554

#### 555 **3.3** Role of particle growth by condensing marine organic vapors

557 Condensing marine organic vapors forming MSOA are needed in our simulations (in addition to

558 H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>) for sufficient particle growth to yield satisfactory model-measurement agreement for MBL

559 size distributions (BASE versus noMSOA, Fig. 2). For simulation noMSOA, the model

- 560 overpredicts the number of particles with diameters smaller than about 30 nm in the MBL. Due to
- insufficient particle growth of these sub-30 nm particles, the number of particles with diameters
- between about 30 to 200 nm is underpredicted by more than 50% for simulation noMSOA.
- 563

564 In our simulations, MSOA enables particle growth to CCN sizes (diameters of about 50 nm or 565 larger). After particles reach CCN sizes, cloud processing can also contribute to simulated particle 566 growth towards accumulation-mode particles (diameters of 100-1000 nm) due to aqueous-phase 567 aerosol production. Other cloud processes include coagulation of cloud droplets with each other 568 and with interstitial aerosols (Hoose et al., 2008; Pierce et al., 2015). Our simulations include the 569 latter and aqueous-phase sulfate production. As clouds evaporate, cloud processing leads to 570 development of the 'Hoppel minima' of the MBL aerosol size distributions (Hoppel et al., 1987), 571 which is the minimum aerosol diameter that activates to form a cloud droplet (about 50-70 nm for 572 the observations in Fig. 2). This minimum diameter is smallest in the winter transition (November 573 2015), suggesting that smaller particles activated under the clean condition of this season relative 574 to the other seasons. As shown by Table 2, simulation noMSOA has an unacceptable annual-mean 575 MFE of 0.63, larger than the MFE of 0.23 for simulation BASE, which includes particle growth 576 due to MSOA.

577

578 The nature and flux of marine vapors forming MSOA are not well understood. As a result, we 579 developed a simplistic MSOA parameterization for use in this study, such that the MSOA 580 precursors vapor emissions are an increasing function of temperature. This approach yields a 581 seasonal cycle, and is in agreement with the temperature dependence trend found by previous 582 studies, including Meskhidze et al. (2015), Rodríguez-Ros et al. (2020a) and Rodríguez-Ros et al., 583 2020b). We find that the simulated NAAMES cruise-track median aerosol size distributions are sensitive to the coefficients used in the parameterization ( $S_{MSOA} = 70T - 350 \ \mu g \ m^{-2} \ d^{-1}$ ) 584 585 (Supplemental Figs. S1 and Table S1). For example, varying the temperature sensitivity between 586 50-100 and the intercept between 300-500 change the simulated number concentration of particles 587 with diameters larger than 50 nm in the MBL by up to a factor of two, with the greatest sensitivity

during the summertime (Supplemental Fig. S1). For the NAAMES MBL size distributions, the annual-mean model-measurement MFEs are acceptable (ranging from 0.23 – 0.38, lowest for BASE) for all temperature-dependent parameterizations that we tested, except for the factor-often scaling up of the BASE MSOA parameterization (simulation 10x(70T-350), Supplementary Table S1, MFE of 0.75) and with the MSOA parameterization removed (simulation noMSOA, Supplementary Table S1, MFE of 0.63). While this source flux is reasonably constrained for our simulations, future work is needed to better understand and parameterize this source.

595

The vertical profiles are also sensitive to the MSOA parameterization (Supplementary Figs. S2-4). Between noMSOA and the various MSOA parameterizations that we tested, concentrations vary by up to a factor of about 2 for aerosol number (N3, N10, and N3-N10), SMPS-size-range (diameters 10 nm - 282 nm) number, surface area, volume and also OM. Simulation noMSOA has relatively greater error in the mean across the entire measurement set for each season (MFE ranges from 0.53-0.68) relative to BASE (MFE ranges from 0.42-0.50) (Supplementary Table S2).

602

603 Although the chosen MSOA parameterization reasonably represents the observations, major 604 knowledge gaps remain regarding MSOA precursor species and their chemical lifetimes. While 605 the nature of MSOA precursors is not well-understood, recent measurements suggest that these 606 precursors could include a variety of chemical compounds. For example, measurements from the Arctic indicate that the organics in marine aerosols were not typical biogenic SOA but had a long-607 608 hydrocarbon chain implying a fatty acid type precursor (Willis et al., 2017). In other marine 609 regions, isoprene (Ciuraru et al., 2015) and carboxylic acids (Chiu et al., 2017) may also be 610 important. Given the limitations of current knowledge and the indications for a variety of MSOA 611 precursors, the improved MFEs for BASE relative to noMSOA provide support for the employed 612 MSOA parameterization.

613

The near-surface campaign-median climax transition and declining phase OM concentrations are within the 25<sup>th</sup> to 75<sup>th</sup> measurement percentiles for simulation BASE, and below the 25<sup>th</sup> percentile of the observations for simulation noMSOA (Fig. 5). On average over the lowest 2 km of the atmosphere during the May/June climax transition and August/September declining phase, simulation BASE relative to noMSOA indicates that MSOA contributes about 200-400 ng m<sup>-3</sup> to 619 simulated OM. Saliba et al. (2020) suggest that MBL-measurement non-refractory OM during 620 NAAMES clean marine periods provides a good estimate of MSOA. Their seasonal-average non-621 refractory OM of about 300-400 ng m<sup>-3</sup> for the 2016 May/June climax transition (phytoplankton 622 bloom maxima) and 2017 August/September declining phase is similar to our model result. This 623 contribution is about 3- to 4-fold greater than the contribution upwards of 100 ng m<sup>-3</sup> from previous 624 studies, noted in Kim et al. (2017). The model-measurement agreement for OM for 2017 is 625 influenced by significant biomass burning with high altitude emission injections during this time 626 (Zheng et al., 2020b; Saliba et al., 2020). Errors in the simulated emissions due to use of a GFED 627 climatological-year emissions and injection-height errors could account for some of the model-628 measurement bias at high altitudes. As well, despite our implementation of a filter to remove 629 measurement and model samples with strong in-plume aerosol enhancements during times of high 630 acetonitrile concentrations, some biomass burning influence still affects the presented vertical 631 profiles. Below 500 m altitude, condensing organic vapors yielding MSOA also increase the 632 simulated aerosol surface area and volume by a factor of about 2-3 in all seasons (noMSOA versus BASE, Fig. 3), to be slightly over the 75<sup>th</sup> percentile of the observations (Fig. 3). Surface area and 633 634 volume results from the simulation are very sensitive to the size-distribution simulation near the 635 282 nm diameter cut-off that contributes to differences between these simulations.

636

637 Figure 4 demonstrates that MSOA has a feedback on NPF. With lower aerosol surface area and 638 lower condensation sink (noMSOA), the N3 and N3-N10 below 2 km altitude are strongly 639 overpredicted because NPF increases and a lack of growth to larger sizes impacts N3-N10. During 640 November, the N3 and N3-N10 overprediction also occurs at altitudes above 2 km because MSOA 641 has a relatively greater influence on aerosol surface area at those altitudes in this season (Fig. 3). 642 In this less-polluted late fall season, the influence of MSOA is relatively stronger at higher altitudes 643 than in other seasons. Model-measurement agreement improves for N3 and N3-N10 with the 644 addition of MSOA (simulation BASE relative to noMSOA, Fig. 4). Kazil et al. (2011) also found 645 that condensing vapors generate a condensation sink that moderates the level of NPF in the marine 646 environment. As well, recent studies from the Arctic indicate a key contribution to particles from 647 condensing marine organic vapors (Burkart et al., 2017a; Willis et al., 2017; Croft et al., 2019). 648 The impact of MSOA on the simulated N10 vertical profiles is small. The cloud filtering, which

649 we applied to the model and measurement aerosol samples along the flight track, preferentially 650 removes some of the cloud-processed samples, and contributes to this result.

651

#### 652 **3.4 Role of DMS**

653

654 Figure 2 shows that DMS also has a control on the simulated MBL aerosol size distributions 655 (BASE versus noDMS) for the four seasons of the NAAMES campaigns. The total simulated 656 number of particles attributed to DMS is lowest during the phytoplankton bloom minima (winter, 657 November 2015) and greater in other seasons. For example, for particle diameters at 40 nm, the DMS-related contribution to the size distribution (Fig. 2) is about 200-300 cm<sup>-3</sup> in all seasons, 658 659 except less than 50 cm<sup>-3</sup> during the bloom minima. Sulfuric acid from the oxidation of DMS has a two-fold role in both NPF and in growing particles. However, as indicated by simulations 660 661 noABLNUC and noMSOA relative to BASE (Fig. 2), the DMS contribution is in concert with 662 both (1) a source of condensable marine organic vapors and (2) NPF near and above the MBL top. 663 The contribution of DMS to MBL particles is consistent with the findings of many previous 664 studies, including Chang et al. (2011), Ghahremaninezhad et al. (2016), Park et al. (2018), Sanchez 665 et al. (2018), Mahmood et al. (2019), Quinn et al. (2019) and Veres et al. (2020).

666

667 Simulation noABLNUC relative to noDMS for the marine-influenced MBL size distributions (Fig. 668 2) suggests that anthropogenic influences make a contribution as a source of particle-precursor 669 vapors for NPF, in addition to DMS. This relative contribution is particularly strong during the 670 accumulation phase (March/April 2018). In our simulations, anthropogenic  $SO_2$  is oxidized to 671 H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> and contributes to the particle precursors for NPF near and above the MBL top (in addition 672 to DMS oxidation products), followed by particle growth on descent into the MBL. As a result, 673 Fig. 2 shows a greater underprediction of aerosol number for simulation noABLNUC versus 674 noDMS.

675

Figure 6 indicates that the simulated DMS is generally consistent (MFEs ranging from 0.12 to 0.26, Supplementary Table S2) with the observed DMS mixing ratio vertical profiles and their seasonal cycle for the NAAMES campaigns. DMS makes the strongest contribution to simulated sulfate mass concentrations in the lowest 2 km during the May/June climax transition, reducing model-measurement bias from about 40% to 10% (Fig. 5). Figures 3 and 4 suggest that in the lowest 2 km of the atmosphere, DMS contributes to both NPF and particle growth as there are increases in N3, N10, N3-N10, particle surface area and volume for simulations BASE versus noDMS. However, this behavior is co-dependent on conditions favorable to NPF near the MBL top and a source of MSOA.

685

#### 686 **3.5 Role of ship traffic emissions**

687

688 Ship emissions are a source of primary and secondary particles, as well as a control on oxidants 689 (Corbett et al., 2010; Vinken et al., 2011; Holmes et al., 2014). Our simulations suggest that ship 690 emissions are also a control on the NAAMES-region MBL marine-influenced aerosol size 691 distributions (Fig. 2, noSHIPS versus BASE). For example, for the simulated summertime MBL size distribution at particle diameters at 40 nm, about 100-200 particles cm<sup>-3</sup> are attributed to ship 692 693 emissions (Fig. 2). Table 2 shows that during the phytoplankton bloom and March/April 694 accumulating phase, the noSHIPS simulation agrees more closely with the measurements than the 695 BASE simulation, although both are within acceptable agreement (MFE < 0.5). These simulation 696 challenges highlight the importance of future work to better understand the role of oxidants from 697 ship emissions on particle production in the marine environment and to understand the size 698 distribution of primary marine emissions.

699

700 Ship emissions make about a 50% contribution to the simulated sulfate campaign-median near-701 surface mass concentration in vertical profiles over the NAAMES study region (Fig. 5). For our 702 simulations the impact of ship emissions on particle number is mostly limited to the lowest 2 km. 703 Simulation BASE relative to noSHIPS suggest that about 10% of the N10 in the lowest 500 m of 704 the atmosphere is attributed to ship emissions (Fig. 4). Figure 4 (right column) indicates that among 705 the five factors considered by our sensitivity studies, ship emissions are among the smallest 706 influence on the NPF. Major trans-Atlantic ship traffic routes (Corbett et al. (2007) are included 707 in the NAAMES study region. Enhancements in observed benzene mixing ratios in the MBL 708 relative to other long-lived tracers of anthropogenic emissions such as acetone (not associated with 709 ship traffic) are observational evidence that ship emissions influence the study region 710 (Supplementary Fig. S9).

711

Figure 6 demonstrates that atmospheric DMS mixing ratios are also sensitive to ship emissions. This effect occurs because ship emissions are a control on oxidants in the MBL, and enhance OH and NO<sub>3</sub>, which are chemical sinks of DMS. As a result, simulated DMS mixing ratios increase when ship emissions are removed. As ship traffic is expected to change in future years with changes to routes and regulations (Gilgen et al., 2018; Bilsback et al. (in press)), the relative importance of ship emissions in the North Atlantic MBL will likely change.

718

#### 719 **3.6 Role of sea spray**

720

Figure 2 shows that simulated sea spray acts as a condensation sink in the MBL. Without sea spray emissions, there is an increase in the number of sub-200 nm diameter particles (simulation noSS relative to BASE). However, this relative increase in simulated number is less than that attributed to other factors considered in the previous sections. While not a strong contributor to particle number in our simulations, sea spray is the dominant contributor to aerosol mass.

726

727 The simulated campaign-median MBL sea spray mass concentrations are within the measurement range of 3-8 µg m<sup>-3</sup> found by Saliba et al. (2019) (Supplementary Fig. S10), despite the 728 729 considerable uncertainties related to size-resolved sea spray emissions (e.g., Bian et al., 2019; 730 Regayre et al. (2020)). Regayre et al. (2020) found that global sea spray emissions could be under 731 predicted by a factor of 3 by the Gong (2003) parameterization. We conducted a simulation with 732 factor-of-3 scaling of the sea spray emissions (Supplementary Figs. S11-S14, Supplementary 733 Table S4) and found a decrease in MBL number concentrations, rather than an increase. This 734 reduction occurred because the enhanced condensation sink from the additional sea spray 735 emissions suppressed NPF. Our simulations use the Gong (2003) parameterization with a sea-736 surface-temperature-based scaling as described by Jaeglé et al. (2011), so are not directly 737 comparable to the Regayre et al. (2020) findings. Nonetheless, these findings highlight the 738 importance of ongoing work to improve size-resolved sea spray emissions parameterizations in 739 models. The direct radiative effect of this sea spray mass loading is examined in the following 740 section.

#### 742 **3.7 Radiative effects attributed to NPF near MBL top, MSOA, DMS and ship emissions**

743

744 Figure 7 shows the simulated two-month mean direct radiative effect (DRE) attributed to the five 745 factors we consider, (1) NPF near and above the MBL top, (2) MSOA, (3) DMS, (4) ship emissions 746 and (5) primary sea spray emissions and magnitude of the regional-mean DREs over a region of 747 the North Atlantic (40-60 °N, 20-50 °W). We note that the radiative effects attributed to the 748 separate factors are not linearly additive because the factors impact each other non-linearly. For 749 example, turning off either MSOA or nucleation above the boundary layer would shut down the 750 majority of the production of accumulation-mode particles in the MBL (Fig. 2) since these particles 751 require both nucleation and growth. Hence, adding the radiative effects from these two factors 752 would result in double counting some radiative effects. Figure 7 indicates that the strongest 753 calculated DRE is attributed to sea spray, which dominates the aerosol mass loading in the MBL. 754 The sea spray DRE has a maximum during the 2018 March/April accumulating phase, which is a 755 time of frequent synoptic scale storms with strong winds. Stormy conditions prevented the R/V 756 Atlantis from travelling north of 45 °N during this final NAAMES campaign.

757

758 The strongest DRE values attributed to the above boundary layer NPF, MSOA, DMS and ship 759 emission factors are during the summer season (climax transition (bloom maxima) and declining 760 phase). This result highlights the link between the level of marine biogenic activity and aerosol 761 climate effects. The second strongest individual DRE is attributed to condensing marine organic 762 vapors, yielding MSOA. In our simulations, MSOA contributes significantly to particle growth to 763 diameters of about 100 to 200 nm, which can then interact directly with radiation (Fig. 2). This 764 effect is greatest in the declining phase because we used a temperature-dependent parameterization 765 and sea surface temperatures are warmest during the late summer. The DRE geographic 766 distribution suggests an increasing role for MSOA towards southern latitudes, again due to the 767 temperature-dependent parameterization. Further work is needed to examine the role of MSOA in 768 the more southerly latitudes as we cannot explicitly test this result across the annual cycle with the 769 NAAMES observations.

770

Among the factors considered, Figure 7 shows that during the time of the May/June phytoplankton
bloom, the aerosols produced and grown by the oxidation products of DMS have the third strongest

impact on the DRE, greatest over the regions where the bloom is located. The DRE is -0.10 Wm<sup>-2</sup> 773 774 over the region between 40-60 °N and 20-50 °W during the bloom maxima and diminishes to -775  $0.005 \text{ Wm}^{-2}$  during the bloom minima. This simulated impact of DMS relies in part on (1) 776 conditions favoring NPF processes near and above the MBL top, and (2) growth by MSOA as the 777 nascent DMS-related particles descend in the MBL. DMS (similar to MSOA) also contributes to 778 the DRE over the continents as these vapors have a lifetime of about a day in our simulations and 779 can be transported before their oxidation products are available for condensation. Once available 780 for condensation, DMS products and MSOA contribute to growing particles (of both marine and 781 continental origin) to sizes that can interact more strongly with radiation (diameters near 100-200 782 nm). Particles arising from DMS grow during transport, and some particles may only reach sizes 783 large enough to interact with radiation when they are over the continents.

784

The DRE attributed to the near and above MBL top NPF factor (Fig. 7, top row, ABLNUC) is strongest in summertime, during the May/June climax transition (bloom maxima) and August/September declining phase. Summertime is the season of the greatest photochemical production of particle precursors for NPF. In order to contribute to the DRE, this NPF factor acts in synergy with the other factors, particularly DMS as a source of particle precursors and MSOA for particle growth, such that during the May/June climax transition season the DREs for those factors dominate over the NPF factor (ABLNUC, Fig. 7).

792

793 The DREs for ship emissions have a similar geographic distribution as those for DMS. In these 794 regions, major international ship traffic routes are coincident with regions of higher biogenic 795 activity, enabling an interaction of biogenic and anthropogenic emissions. Ships enhance oxidant 796 levels, which promote formation of biogenic aerosol precursors such as sulfuric acid and MSA 797 that arise from oxidation of DMS. Condensing vapors of marine origin (such as DMS products 798 and MSOA precursors) can also help to grow particles arising from ship emissions to sizes large 799 enough to interact directly with radiation. As a result, the largest DRE attributed to ship emissions 800 is during the phytoplankton bloom maxima. Figure 7 also suggests that ship emissions could 801 contribute to the DRE over the continents. This effect occurs because ship emissions include 802 particle precursors, oxidants, and primary particles that are transported and interact with 803 continental pollution to form and grow particles to sizes that can interact with radiation over the

continents as well as over the oceans. Figure 6 shows that there is a ship-emission-related control
 on atmospheric DMS mixing ratios, which increase when the ship-source oxidants are removed.

806

807 Figure 8 shows the calculated two-month mean cloud-albedo aerosol indirect effect (AIE) 808 attributed to each of the same five factors that we considered for the DREs. The AIEs are about an 809 order-of-magnitude larger than the calculated DRE for each respective factor with the exception 810 of sea spray. The AIE is strongly controlled by changes to highly reflective MBL clouds, which 811 are in turn very sensitive to the aerosol number concentrations (diameters larger than about 50 to 812 70 nm that can act as CCN), which are controlled by the MBL-related factors examined here. On 813 the other hand, the DRE is relatively more sensitive to aerosol abundance in mid-tropospheric 814 layers, which are less influenced by the considered processes.

815

816 The strongest simulated AIEs for all considered factors are during the May/June climax transition 817 (Fig. 8). There is a strong synergy among all factors that reach their maxima during May/June 818 when the effective combination of sources, photochemistry and particle production/growth 819 processes peak. As well, during summertime, the magnitude of the AIE for all factors is greater in 820 the more northward regions of the North Atlantic relative to more southerly latitudes. These more 821 northerly regions are less influenced by continental pollution and have lower CCN concentrations, 822 coupled with persistent low cloud cover. These conditions make these regions quite sensitive to 823 the factors controlling MBL aerosol size distributions studied here.

824

825 In all seasons, we calculated a stronger AIE related to (1) NPF near and above the MBL top 826 (ABLNUC, top row, Fig. 8) and (2) MSOA (contributor to particle growth) than to (1) DMS (2) 827 ship emissions and (3) sea spray emissions. In our simulations, the major source of CCN-sized 828 particles in the North Atlantic MBL during the summer is particle nucleation near and above the 829 MBL top with growth by MSOA. Without either of these factors, the number concentration of 830 CCN-sized particles in the simulations drops dramatically (Fig. 2). Hence, it is unsurprising that 831 the largest simulated AIEs are due to these two factors during the summertime (climate transition 832 and declining phase). The stronger AIEs attributed to NPF near and above the MBL top (Fig. 8, 833 top row, ABLNUC) relative to DMS and ship emissions indicate that near and above MBL NPF 834 in our simulations is controlled not only by the sulfuric acid from the oxidation of DMS or ship

SO<sub>2</sub>, but also arising from other sources, including SO<sub>2</sub> transported from continental sources. However, the maximum North Atlantic regional-mean AIE attributed to ship emissions (-0.62 W  $m^{-2}$  for the May/June climax transition) still exceeds the global mean effect of -0.155 W  $m^{-2}$  attributed to international shipping calculated by Jin et al. (2018), showing the strong locationdependence and seasonality of this factor. Ship emissions enhance the oxidation rate of DMS, such that the largest AIE attributed to ships occurs during the phytoplankton bloom due to increased particle formation/growth during this season.

842

In our simulations, sea spray has a lower contribution to aerosol number concentrations, among the factors considered, and as a result has the smallest AIEs. However, recent studies have pointed out that there are knowledge gaps related to the sea spray emissions parameterizations (e.g Bian et al., 2019; Regayrre et al., 2020). Future work is needed to gain confidence in the magnitude of the AIE attributed to sea spray.

848

849 We caution that both the DRE and AIE calculations represent a relative contribution of the 850 considered factors to climate effects in the North Atlantic. However, further work is needed to gain 851 confidence in the absolute magnitudes. The activation-style nucleation, which we used as a proxy 852 for the unknown nucleation mechanisms above the marine boundary layer, contributes uncertainty 853 to the climate effects of this nucleation. There is much more work that needs to be done regarding 854 the role of MSOA in this system. Certainly, if MSOA is contributing directly to NPF, it would 855 increase MSOA's climatic importance. However, we have little knowledge of the MSOA 856 precursor species, their chemical lifetimes, and their role in NPF, so we did not explore these 857 dimensions in the study. Like the DRE estimates, the separate AIEs are not linearly additive. Other 858 aerosol indirect effects related to changes in cloud lifetime and precipitation are the subject of 859 future work. In summary, these calculated DREs and AIEs suggest that aerosol-climate impacts 860 for North Atlantic regions are controlled by a combination of strong biogenic and anthropogenic 861 influences and that the nucleation near and above the MBL top contributes to important radiative 862 effects.

863

#### 864 **4.** Conclusions

866 In this study, we examined aerosol size distribution and composition measurements from the 867 NAAMES campaigns. These ship and aircraft campaigns took place over four separate stages of 868 the annual cycle of marine biogenic activity in the Northwest Atlantic during 2015-2018. We used 869 the GEOS-Chem-TOMAS model with size-resolved aerosol microphysics to interpret these 870 NAAMES measurements. Observations in layers of the lower troposphere below 6 km showed 871 enhancements in the campaign-median number concentration of particles with diameters between 872 3-10 nm. These enhancements indicated new particle formation, and were most pronounced during 873 the May/June 2016 climax transition (phytoplankton bloom maxima) in the lowest 2 km of 874 atmosphere, particularly near and just above the boundary layer top. This lower tropospheric 875 region near and above the MBL top is a key region for marine NPF. This zone above the MBL 876 clouds is generally very clean, which favors both NPF and strongly sunlit, which favors the 877 photochemical oxidative production of particle precursors for NPF. The November 2015 winter 878 transition (phytoplankton bloom minima) was characterized by the lowest particle number 879 concentrations. During the summer months, OM, followed by sulfate mass concentrations made 880 strong contributions the total aerosol loading in the lowest 2 km. However, sea spray dominated 881 the MBL aerosol mass loading. Peak near-surface sulfate concentrations occurred in May/June 882 during the phytoplankton bloom, whereas peak near-surface OM concentrations were in 883 August/September. Campaign-median MBL aerosol size distributions were dominated by Aitken 884 mode particles (diameters 10-100 nm) during the summertime (May/June climax transition and 885 August/September declining phase). The larger accumulation mode particles were dominant in the 886 November winter transition and March/April accumulation phase.

887

888 Our simulations suggested that a synergy of key factors contributed to Northwest Atlantic MBL 889 aerosol size distributions, including (1) new particle formation near and above the MBL top; (2) 890 growth of the newly formed particles by condensation of marine organic vapors, forming marine 891 secondary organic aerosol (MSOA), which yields more abundant CCN-sized particles that descend 892 into the MBL while continuing to grow and being subject to cloud processing (e.g., aqueous-phase 893 aerosol production, which does not add to particle number); (3) DMS-oxidation products that 894 contribute to particle formation and growth; (4) ship emissions, which are a source of primary and 895 secondary particles and also contribute to atmospheric oxidants and (5) sea spray emissions, which 896 also provide a condensation sink that suppresses particle formation. These findings are in

agreement with previous observational-based studies for the North Atlantic region (e.g., Sanchez
et al., 2018; Zheng et al., 2020)

899

900 We calculated the aerosol direct (DRE) and cloud-albedo indirect (AIE) radiative effects over the 901 North Atlantic attributed to five key factors controlling MBL aerosols. The cooling effects were 902 about a factor of 10 larger for the AIEs than the respective DREs except for sea spray, which 903 dominated the DRE. The strong AIE response was attributed to the strong sensitivity of the MBL 904 cloud reflectivity to the MBL-related factors that we examined. Mid-tropospheric aerosol (altitude 905 of transport of continental pollution) has a strong impact on the DRE and the factors that we 906 considered had less impact at these altitudes. The maximum regional-mean (40-60 °N, 20-50 °W) 907 DRE for our simulations was -1.37 W m<sup>-2</sup>, attributed to sea spray during the March/April 908 accumulating phase, which is a time of strong synoptic-scale storms in the Northwest Atlantic, 909 enhancing wind-generated sea spray. This strong DRE attributed to sea spray highlights the 910 importance of work to better constrain parameterizations for models. The second strongest DRE 911 was connected to the temperature-dependent source of MSOA, which had a key role in growing 912 simulated particles to large enough (diameters of 100-200 nm) to strongly reflect incoming solar radiation. The maximum AIE was -3.37 W m<sup>-2</sup>, for the May/June climax transition phase (peak 913 914 phytoplankton bloom). This AIE was related to the role MSOA in growing new particles to CCN 915 sizes as they descend into the MBL and are subject to further growth in clouds after their formation 916 near the MBL top. The AIE attributed to the NPF factor was nearly as large (-2.27 W m<sup>-2</sup>) during 917 May/June. The NPF and MSOA factors act in concert with each other and removal of either of 918 these factors contributed to shutdown the production of cloud-condensation-nuclei-size particles. 919 Our study demonstrated acceptable model-measurement agreement for our base simulation, such 920 that our simulations can be employed to examine the *potential* role and relative importance of the 921 considered factors in the DRE and AIE. However, we caution that further work is needed to gain 922 confidence in the absolute magnitudes. In particular, the activation-style nucleation, which we 923 used as a proxy for the unknown nucleation mechanism above the marine boundary layer, adds 924 uncertainty to the climate effects of this nucleation

925

This study highlighted the importance of processes connected to both marine biogenic activity andanthropogenic activity in controlling aerosol size distributions in the Northwest Atlantic MBL. We

928 identified key factors, which could be the focus of future work. Particularly, work is needed to 929 better understand the nature, flux, and chemistry of marine organic vapors that can form MSOA. 930 As well, work is needed to better understand the contributors to NPF near and above the MBL top. 931 Further work is also needed to understand the interactions of the considered factors with cloud 932 processing of aerosols and its relative importance in particle growth. As the Earth's climate 933 changes and shipping traffic/regulations/routes change, work to understand the source strength of 934 DMS, MSOA, shipping and sea spray emissions is highly relevant. Such work will bridge the 935 knowledge gaps related to factors controlling aerosols in the marine MBL and their climate 936 impacts.

937

938 Code and data availability. The NAAMES project website is at https://naames.larc.nasa.gov. The 939 NAAMES airborne and ship datasets used in this paper are publicly available and permanently 940 archived in the NASA Atmospheric Science Data Center (ASDC: 941 https://doi.org/10.5067/Suborbital/NAAMES/DATA001) and the SeaWiFS Bio-Optical Archive 942 and Storage System (SeaBASS; https://doi.org/10.5067/SeaBASS/NAAMES/DATA001). The 943 ship datasets generated during and analyzed for NAAMES studies are also available in the UCSD 944 Library Digital Collection repository, https://doi.org/10.6075/J04T6GJ6. The GEOS-Chem model 945 is freely available for download at https://github.com/geoschem/geos-chem (last access 19 July 946 2020).

947

#### 948 Supplement link.

949

950 Author contributions. BC, RVM and JRP designed the study. BC conducted the GEOS-Chem-951 TOMAS simulations, led the related analysis, and wrote the manuscript with contributions from 952 all coauthors. RHM, ECC, and LDZ contributed the aerosol measurements from aboard the NASA 953 C130 aircraft. AW, MM and AS contributed the gas-phase measurements from aboard the NASA 954 C130 aircraft. LMR and GS contributed the aerosol measurements from aboard the R/V Atlantis. 955 RYWC and HL contributed to the interpretation of model-measurement comparisons. EEM 956 contributed the CEDS data set. KRB contributed to the off-line radiative calculations, MG 957 contributed the satellite DMS data set.

959 **Competing interests.** The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

960

961 Acknowledgements. BC, RVM and RYWC acknowledge research funding provided by the 962 Ocean Frontier Institute, through an award from the Canada First Research Excellence Fund. 963 JRP and KRB acknowledge funding support from the Monfort Excellence Fund, and the US 964 Department of Energy's Atmospheric System Research, an Office of Science, Office of 965 Biological and Environmental Research program, under grant DE-SC0019000. LMR and GS 966 acknowledge funding support from NASA grant NNX15AE66G. RHM, ECC, LDZ, LMR, and 967 GS acknowledge funding support from the NASA NAAMES EVS-2 project. HL acknowledges 968 funding support from the NASA NAAMES mission and the National Institute of Aerospace's 969 IRAD program. DMS measurements aboard the NASA C-130 during NAAMES were supported 970 by the Austrian Federal Ministry for Transport, Innovation and Technology (bmvit) through the 971 Austrian Space Applications Programme (ASAP) of the Austrian Research Promotion Agency 972 (FFG). MM's participation in NAAMES 2016 was funded by the Tiroler Wissenschaftsfonds 973 (grant # UNI-0404/1895). AS's participation in NAAMES 2017 was partly funded by National 974 Institute of Aerospace (Task No 80LARC18F0031). MG acknowledges funding support from the 975 Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada through the NETCARE project 976 of the Climate Change and Atmospheric Research Program. Tomas Mikoviny is acknowledged 977 for technical support; Ionicon Analytik is acknowledged for instrumental support 978 979 References.

981	Abbatt, J. P. D., Leaitch, W. R., Aliabadi, A. A., Bertram, A. K., Blanchet, JP., Boivin-Rioux,
982	A., Bozem, H., Burkart, J., Chang, R. Y. W., Charette, J., Chaubey, J. P.,
983	Christensen, R. J., Cirisan, A., Collins, D. B., Croft, B., Dionne, J., Evans, G. J.,
984	Fletcher, C. G., Galí, M., Ghahremaninezhad, R., Girard, E., Gong, W., Gosselin,
985	M., Gourdal, M., Hanna, S. J., Hayashida, H., Herber, A. B., Hesaraki, S., Hoor, P.,
986	Huang, L., Hussherr, R., Irish, V. E., Keita, S. A., Kodros, J. K., Köllner, F.,
987	Kolonjari, F., Kunkel, D., Ladino, L. A., Law, K., Levasseur, M., Libois, Q.,
988	Liggio, J., Lizotte, M., Macdonald, K. M., Mahmood, R., Martin, R. V., Mason, R.
989	H., Miller, L. A., Moravek, A., Mortenson, E., Mungall, E. L., Murphy, J. G.,

- Namazi, M., Norman, A.-L., O'Neill, N. T., Pierce, J. R., Russell, L. M., Schneider,
  J., Schulz, H., Sharma, S., Si, M., Staebler, R. M., Steiner, N. S., Thomas, J. L., von
  Salzen, K., Wentzell, J. J. B., Willis, M. D., Wentworth, G. R., Xu, J.-W., and
  Yakobi-Hancock, J. D.: Overview paper: New insights into aerosol and climate in
  the Arctic, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 19, 2527–2560, <u>https://doi</u>.org/10.5194/acp-192527-2019, 2019.
- Abdul-Razzak, H. and Ghan, S. J.: A parameterization of aerosol activation 3. Sectional
  representation, J. Geophys. Res., 107, 4026, doi:10.1029/2001JD000483, 2002.
- Adams, P. J. and Seinfeld, J. H.: Predicting global aerosol size distributions in general circulation
  models, J. Geophys. Res., 107, 4370, doi:10.1029/2001JD001010, 2002.
- Allan, J. D., Williams, P. I., Najera, J., Whitehead, J. D., Flynn, M. J., Taylor, J. W., Liu, D.,
  Darbyshire, E., Carpenter, L. J., Chance, R., Andrews, S. J., Hackenberg, S. C. and
  McFiggans, G.: Iodine observed in new particle formation events in the Arctic
  atmosphere during ACCACIA, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 15(10), 5599–5609,
  doi:10.5194/acp-15-5599-2015, 2015.
- Amos, H. M., Jacob, D. J., Holmes, C. D., Fisher, J. A., Wang, Q., Yantosca, R. M., Corbitt, E. S.,
  Galarneau, E., Rutter, A. P., Gustin, M. S., Steffen, A., Schauer, J. J., Graydon, J.
  A., St Louis, V. L., Talbot, R. W., Edgerton, E. S., Zhang, Y. and Sunderland, E.
  M.: Gas-particle partitioning of atmospheric Hg(II) and its effect on global mercury
  deposition, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 12(1), 591–603, doi:10.5194/acp-12-591-2012,
  2012.
- Ault, A. P., Moffet, R. C., Baltrusaitis, J., Collins, D. B., Ruppel, M. J., Cuadra-Rodriguez, L. A.,
  Zhao, D., Guasco, T. L., Ebben, C. J., Geiger, F. M., Bertram, T. H., Prather, K. A.
  and Grassian, V. H.: Size-Dependent Changes in Sea Spray Aerosol Composition
  and Properties with Different Seawater Conditions, Environ. Sci. Technol., 47(11),
  5603–5612, doi:10.1021/es400416g, 2013.
- Barnes, I., Hjorth, J. and Mihalapoulos, N.: Dimethyl sulfide and dimethyl sulfoxide and their
  oxidation in the atmosphere, Chem. Rev., 106(3), 940–975,
  doi:10.1021/cr020529+, 2006.
- Bates, T. S., Coffman, D. J., Covert, D. S., Quinn, P. K.: Regional marine boundary layer aerosol
  size distributions in the Indian, Atlantic, and Pacific Oceans: A comparison of

- 1021
   INDOEX measurements with ACE-1, ACE-2, and Aerosols99. J. Geophys. Res.

   1022
   Atmos., 107, D19, doi:10.1029/2001JD001174, 2002.
- Bates, T. S., Quinn, P. K., Coffman, D. J., Johnson, J. E., Upchurch, L., Saliba, G. and Lewis, S.:
  Variability in Marine Plankton Ecosystems Are Not Observed in Freshly Emitted
  Sea Spray Aerosol Over the North Atlantic Ocean, Geophys. Res. Lett.,
  doi:10.1029/2019GL085938, 2020.
- 1027 Behrenfeld, M. J., Moore, R. H., Hostetler, C. A., Graff, J., Gaube, P., Russell, L. M., Chen, G., 1028 Doney, S. C., Giovannoni, S., Liu, H., Proctor, C., Bolaños, L. M., Baetge, N., 1029 Davie-Martin, C., Westberry, T. K., Bates, T. S., Bell, T. G., Bidle, K. D., Boss, E. 1030 S., Brooks, S. D., Cairns, B., Carlson, C., Halsey, K., Harvey, E. L., Hu, C., Karp-1031 Boss, L., Kleb, M., Menden-Deuer, S., Morison, F., Quinn, P. K., Scarino, A. J., 1032 Anderson, B., Chowdhary, J., Crosbie, E., Ferrare, R., Hair, J. W., Hu, Y., Janz, S., 1033 Redemann, J., Saltzman, E., Shook, M., Siegel, D. A., Wisthaler, A., Martin, M. Y. 1034 and Ziemba, L.: The North Atlantic Aerosol and Marine Ecosystem Study 1035 (NAAMES): Science Motive and Mission Overview, Front. Mar. Sci., 6, 122, 1036 doi:10.3389/fmars.2019.00122, 2019.
- Bian, H., Froyd, K., Murphy, D. M., Dibb, J., Darmenov, A., Chin, M., Colarco, P. R., da Silva,
  A., Kucsera, T. L., Schill, G., Yu, H., Bui, P., Doliner, M., Weinzierl, B. and
  Smirnov, A.: Observationally contrained analysis of sea salt aerosol in the marine
  atmosphere, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 19, 10773-10785, 2019.
- Bilsback, K., Kerry, D., Croft, B., Ford, B., Jathar, S. H., Carter, E., Martin, R. V., and Pierce, J.
  R.: Beyond SO<sub>2</sub> reductions from shipping: Assessing the impact of NOx and carbonaceous particle controls on human health and climate, Environ. Res. Lett., .
  in press https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/abc718, 2020).
- 1045Boucher, O. and Haywood, J.: Estimates of the Direct and Indirect Radiative Forcing Due to1046Tropospheric Aerosols: A Review, Rev. Geophys., 34(4), 513–543, 2000.

# Boylan, J. W. and Russell, A. G.: PM and light extinction model performance metrics, goals, and criteria for three-dimensional air quality models, Atmos. Environ., 40(26), 4946– 4959, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2005.09.087, 2006.

- Brooks, S. D. and Thornton, D. C. O.: Marine Aerosols and Clouds, Ann. Rev. Mar. Sci., 10(1),
  289–313, doi:10.1146/annurev-marine-121916-063148, 2018.
- Browse, J., Carslaw, K. S., Arnold, S. R., Pringle, K. and Boucher, O.: The scavenging processes
  controlling the seasonal cycle in Arctic sulphate and black carbon aerosol, Atmos.
  Chem. Phys., 12(15), 6775–6798, doi:10.5194/acp-12-6775-2012, 2012.
- Brüggemann, M., Hayeck, N. and George, C.: Interfacial photochemistry at the ocean surface is a
  global source of organic vapors and aerosols, Nat. Commun., 1–8,
  doi:10.1038/s41467-018-04528-7, 2018.
- Burkart, J., Hodshire, A. L., Mungall, E. L., Pierce, J. R., Collins, D. B., Ladino, L. A., Lee, A. K.
  Y., Irish, V., Wentzell, J. J. B., Liggio, J., Papakyriakou, T., Murphy, J. and Abbatt,
  J.: Organic Condensation and Particle Growth to CCN Sizes in the Summertime
  Marine Arctic Is Driven by Materials More Semivolatile Than at Continental Sites,
  Geophys. Res. Lett., 44(20), 10,725-10,734, doi:10.1002/2017GL075671, 2017a.
- Burkart, J., Willis, M. D., Bozem, H., Thomas, J. L., Law, K., Hoor, P., Aliabadi, A. A., Köllner,
  F., Schneider, J., Herber, A., Abbatt, J. P. D. and Leaitch, W. R.: Summertime
  observations of elevated levels of ultrafine particles in the high Arctic marine
  boundary layer, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 17(8), 5515–5535, doi:10.5194/acp-17-55152017, 2017b.
- Carpenter, L. J. and Nightingale, P. D.: Chemistry and Release of Gases from the Surface Ocean,
  Chem. Rev., 115(10), 4015–4034, doi:10.1021/cr5007123, 2015.
- 1070 Carpenter, L. J., Archer, S. D. and Beale, R.: Ocean-atmosphere trace gas exchange, Chem. Soc.
  1071 Rev., 41(19), 6473–6506, doi:10.1039/c2cs35121h, 2012.
- Carslaw, K. S., Boucher, O., Spracklen, D. V., Mann, G. W., Rae, J. G. L., Woodward, S., and
  Kulmala, M.: A review of natural aerosol interactions and feedbacks within the
  Earth system, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10, 1701–1737, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp1075 10-1701-2010, 2010.
- Carslaw, K. S., Lee, L. A., Reddington, C. L., Pringle, K. J., Rap, A., Forster, P. M., Mann, G. W.,
  Spracklen, D. V, Woodhouse, M. T., Regayre, L. A. and Pierce, J. R.: Large
  contribution of natural aerosols to uncertainty in indirect forcing, Nature, 503, 67
  [online] Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature12674, 2013.
- 1080 Cavalli, F., Facchini, M. C., Decesari, S., Mircea, M., Emblico, L., Fuzzi, S., Ceburnis, D., Yoon,

- Y. J., O'Dowd, C., Putaud, J. P. and Dell'Acqua, A.: Advances in characterization
  of size-resolved organic matter in marine aerosol over the North Atlantic, J.
  Geophys. Res. D Atmos., 109(24), 1–14, doi:10.1029/2004JD005137, 2004.
- Ceburnis, D., O'Dowd, C., Jennings, G. S., Facchini, M. C., Emblico, L., Decesari, S., Fuzzi, S.
  and Sakalys, J.: Marine aerosol chemistry gradients : Elucidating primary and
  secondary processes and fluxes, Geophys. Res. Lett., 35(2), 1–5,
  doi:10.1029/2008GL033462, 2008.
- Chang, R. Y. W., Sjostedt, S. J., Pierce, J. R., Papakyriakou, T. N., Scarratt, M. G., Michaud, S.,
  Levasseur, M., Leaitch, W. R. and Abbatt, J. P. D.: Relating atmospheric and
  oceanic DMS levels to particle nucleation events in the Canadian Arctic, J.
  Geophys. Res. Atmos., 116(21), 1–10, doi:10.1029/2011JD015926, 2011.
- Charlson, R. J., Lovelock, J. E., Andreae, M. O. and Warren, S. G.: Oceanic phytoplankton,
  atmospheric sulphur, cloud albedo and climate, Nature, 326(6114), 655–661,
  doi:10.1038/326655a0, 1987.
- 1095Charlson, R. J., Schwartz, S. E., Hales, J. M., Cess, R. D., Coakley, J. A., Hansen, J. E. and1096Hofmann, D. J.: Climate Forcing by Anthropogenic Aerosols, Science (80),1097255(5043), 423–4301098http://science.sciencemag.org/content/255/5043/423.abstract, 1992.
- Chen, H., Varner, M. E., Gerber, R. B. and Finlayson-Pitts, B. J.: Reactions of Methanesulfonic
  Acid with Amines and Ammonia as a Source of New Particles in Air, J. Phys.
  Chem. B, 120(8), 1526–1536, doi:10.1021/acs.jpcb.5b07433, 2016.
- Chen, Q., Sherwen, T., Evans, M. and Alexander, B.: DMS oxidation and sulfur aerosol formation
  in the marine troposphere : a focus on reactive halogen and multiphase chemistry,
  Atmos. Chem. Phys., 18, 13617–13637, 2018.
- Chen, Y.-C., Christensen, M. W., Stephens, G. L. and Seinfeld, J. H.: Satellite-based estimate of
  global aerosol–cloud radiative forcing by marine warm clouds, Nat. Geosci., 7(9),
  643–646, doi:10.1038/ngeo2214, 2014.
- Christiansen, S., Salter, M. E., Gorokhova, E., Nguyen, Q. T. and Bilde M.: Sea spray aerosol
  formation: Results on the role of air entrainment, water temperature and
  phytoplankton biomass, Environ. Sci. Technol., 53, 13107-13116, 2019.
- 1111 Chiu, R., Tinel, L., Gonzalez, L., Ciuraru, R., Bernard, F., George, C., and Volkamer R.: UV

- 1112photochemistry of carboxylic acids at the air-sea boundary: A relevant source of1113glyoxal and other oxygenated VOC in the marine atmosphere, Geophys. Res. Lett.,111444, 1079–1087, doi:10.1002/2016GL071240, 2017.
- Ciuraru, R., Fine, L., van Pinxteren, M., D'Anna, B., Herrmann, H., and George, C.: Unravelling
  new processes at interfaces: Photochemical isoprene production at the sea surface,
  Environ. Sci. Technol., 49(22), 13,199–13,205, doi:10.1021/acs.est.5b02388,
  2015.
- 1119 Collins, D. B., Burkart, J., Chang, R. Y.-W., Lizotte, M., Boivin-Rioux, A., Blais, M., Mungall, E. 1120 L., Boyer, M., Irish, V. E., Massé, G., Kunkel, D., Tremblay, J.-É., Papakyriakou, 1121 T., Bertram, A. K., Bozem, H., Gosselin, M., Levasseur, M., and Abbatt, J. P. D.: 1122 Frequent ultrafine particle formation and growth in Canadian Arctic marine and Atmos. 1123 coastal environments, Chem. Phys., 17, 13119–13138, 1124 https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-17-13119-2017, 2017.
- Corbett, J. J., Winebrake, J. J., Green, E. H., Kasibhatla, P., Eyring, V. and Lauer, A.: Mortality
  from Ship Emissions: A Global Assessment, Environ. Sci. Technol., 41(24), 8512–
  8518, doi:10.1021/es071686z, 2007.
- Corbett, J. J., Lack, D. A., Winebrake, J. J., Harder, S., Silberman, J. A., and Gold, M.: Arctic
  shipping emissions inventories and future scenarios, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10,
  9689–9704, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-10-9689-2010, 2010.
- Cravigan, L. T., Ristovski, Z., Modini, R. L., Keywood, M. D., and Gras, J. L.: Observation of
  sea-salt fraction in sub-100 nm diameter particles at Cape Grim, J. Geophys. Res.
  Atmos., 120, 1848–1864, doi:10.1002/2014JD022601, 2015
- Cravigan, L. T., Mallet, M. D., Vaattovaara, P., Harvey, M. J., Law, C. S., Modini, R. L., Russell,
  L. M., Stelcer, E., Cohen, D. D., Olsen, G., Safi, K., Burrell, T. J. and Ristovski,
  Z.: Sea spray aerosol organic enrichment, water uptake and surface tension effects,
  Atmos. Chem. Phys., 20, 7955–7977, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-20-7955-2020,
  2020.
- Croft, B., Wentworth, G. R., Martin, R. V, Leaitch, W. R., Murphy, J. G., Murphy, B. N., Kodros,
  J. K., Abbatt, J. P. D. and Pierce, J. R.: Contribution of Arctic seabird-colony
  ammonia to atmospheric particles and cloud-albedo radiative effect, Nat.
  Commun., 7, 13444, doi:10.1038/ncomms13444, 2016a.

1143	Croft, B., Martin, R. V., Richard Leaitch, W., Tunved, P., Breider, T. J., D'Andrea, S. D. and
1144	Pierce, J. R.: Processes controlling the annual cycle of Arctic aerosol number and
1145	size distributions, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 16(6), 3665-3682, doi:10.5194/acp-16-
1146	3665-2016, 2016b.
1147	Croft, B., Martin, R. V., Leaitch, W. R., Burkart, J., Chang, R. YW., Collins, D. B., Hayes, P. L.,
1148	Hodshire, A. L., Huang, L., Kodros, J. K., Moravek, A., Mungall, E. L., Murphy,
1149	J. G., Sharma, S., Tremblay, S., Wentworth, G. R., Willis, M. D., Abbatt, J. P. D.
1150	and Pierce, J. R.: Arctic marine secondary organic aerosol contributes significantly
1151	to summertime particle size distributions in the Canadian Arctic Archipelago,
1152	Atmos. Chem. Phys., 19(5), 2787–2812, doi:10.5194/acp-19-2787-2019, 2019.
1153	Cui, T., Green, H. S., Selleck, P. W., Zhang, Z., Brien, R. E. O., Gold, A., Keywood, M., Kroll, J.
1154	H. and Surratt, J. D.: Chemical Characterization of Isoprene- and Monoterpene-
1155	Derived Secondary Organic Aerosol Tracers in Remote Marine Aerosols over a
1156	Quarter Century, doi:10.1021/acsearthspacechem.9b00061, 2019.
1157	Dall'Osto, M., Simo, R., Harrison, R. M., Beddows, D. C. S., Saiz-Lopez, A., Lange, R., Skov,
1158	H., Nøjgaard, J. K., Nielsen, I. E. and Massling, A.: Abiotic and biotic sources
1159	influencing spring new particle formation in North East Greenland, Atmos.
1160	Environ., 190(July), 126-134, doi:10.1016/J.ATMOSENV.2018.07.019, 2018.
1161	DeCarlo, P. F., Kimmel, J. R., Trimborn, A., Northway, M. J., Jayne, J. T., Aiken, A. C., Gonin,
1162	M., Fuhrer, K., Horvath, T., Docherty, K. S., Worsnop, D. R., and Jimenez, J. L.:
1163	Field-deployable, high-resolution, time-of-flight aerosol mass spectrometer,
1164	Analytical Chemistry 78, (24), 8281-8289, 2006.
1165	Decesari, S., Finessi, E., Rinaldi, M., Paglione, M., Fuzzi, S., Stephanou, E. G., Tziaras, T., Spyros,
1166	A., Ceburnis, D., O'Dowd, C., Osto, M. D., Harrison, R. M., Allan, J., Coe, H. and
1167	Facchini, M. C.: Primary and secondary marine organic aerosols over the North
1168	Atlantic Ocean during the MAP experiment, J. Geophys. Res., 116, D22210, 1–21,
1169	doi:10.1029/2011JD016204, 2011.
1170	de Leeuw, G., Andreas, E. L., Anguelova, M. D., Fairall, C. W., Lewis, E. R., O'Dowd, C., Schulz,
1171	M., and Schwartz, S. E.: Production flux of sea spray aerosol, Rev. Geophys., 49,
1172	RG2001, https://doi.org/10.1029/2010RG000349, 2011.
1173	DeMott, P. J., Hill, T. C. J., McCluskey, C. S., Prather, K. A., Collins, D. B., Sullivan, R. C.,

1174	Ruppel, M. J., Mason, R. H., Irish, V. E. and Lee, T.: Sea spray aerosol as a unique
1175	source of ice nucleating particles, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., 113(21), 5797-5803
1176	[online] Available from: http://www.pnas.org/content/113/21/5797.full.pdf, 2016.
1177	EC-JRC/PBL: Emission Database for Global Atmospheric Research (EDGAR), release EDGAR
1178	v4.2 FT2012, http://edgar.jrc.ec.europa.eu (last access: 15 January 2018), 2012.
1179	Facchini, M. C., Decesari, S., Rinaldi, M., Carbone, C., Finessi, E., Mircea, M., Fuzzi, S., Moretti,
1180	F., Tagliavini, E., Ceburnis, D. and O'Dowd, C.: Important Source of Marine
1181	Secondary Organic Aerosol from Biogenic Amines, Environ. Sci. Technol., 42(24),
1182	9116–9121, doi:10.1021/es8018385, 2008.
1183	Fast, J. D., Berg, L. K., Zhang, K., Easter, R. C., Ferrare, R. A., Hair, J. W., Hostetler, C. A., Liu,
1184	Y., Ortega, I., Sedlacek III, A., Shilling, J. E., Shrivastava, M., Springston, S. T.,
1185	Tomlinson, Jason, M., Rainer, V., Wilson, J., Zaveri, R. A. and Zelenyuk, A.:
1186	Model representations of aerosol layers transported from North America over the
1187	Atlantic Ocean during the Two-Column Aerosol Project, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos.,
1188	121, 9814–9848, doi:10.1002/2016JD025248, 2016.
1189	Fiddes, S. L., Woodhouse, M. T., Nicholls, Z., Lane, T. P. and Schofield, R.: Cloud, precipitation
1190	and radiation responses to large perturbations in global dimethyl sulfide, Atmos.
1191	Chem. Phys., 18, 10177–10198, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-18-10177-2018, 2018.
1192	Fisher, J. A., Jacob, D. J., Purdy, M. T., Kopacz, M., Le Sager, P., Carouge, C., Holmes, C. D.,
1193	Yantosca, R. M., Batchelor, R. L., Strong, K., Diskin, G. S., Fuelberg, H. E.,
1194	Holloway, J. S., Hyer, E. J., McMillan, W. W., Warner, J., Streets, D. G., Zhang,
1195	Q., Wang, Y. and Wu, S.: Source attribution and interannual variability of Arctic
1196	pollution in spring constrained by aircraft (ARCTAS, ARCPAC) and satellite
1197	(AIRS) observations of carbon monoxide, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10(3), 977-996,
1198	doi:10.5194/acp-10-977-2010, 2010.
1199	Fossum, K.N., Ovadnevaite, J., Ceburnis, D. Preißler, J., Snider, J. R., Huang, R. J., Zuend, A. and
1200	O'Dowd, C.: Sea-spray regulates sulfate cloud droplet activation over oceans, NPJ
1201	Clim. Atmos. Sci., 3, 14, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41612-020-0116-2, 2020.

- Galí, M., and Simó, R.: A meta-analysis of oceanic DMS and DMSP cycling processes:
  Disentangling the summer paradox, Global Biogeochem. Cycles, 29, 496–515,
  doi:10.1002/2014GB004940, 2015.
- Galí, M., Levasseur, M., Devred, E., Simó, R. and Babin, M.: Sea-surface dimethylsulfide (DMS)
  concentration from satellite data at global and regional scales, Biogeosciences, 15,
  3497–3519, https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-15-3497-2018, 2018.
- Galí, M., Devred, E., Babin, M. and Levasseur, M.: Decadal increase in Arctic dimethylsulfide
  emission, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., 116(39), 19311–19317,
  doi:10.1073/pnas.1904378116, 2019.
- Gantt, B. and Meskhidze, N.: The physical and chemical characteristics of marine primary organic
  aerosol: A review, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 13(8), 3979–3996, doi:10.5194/acp-133979-2013, 2013.
- Ghahremaninezhad, R., Norman, A. L., Abbatt, J. P. D., Levasseur, M. and Thomas, J. L.:
  Biogenic, anthropogenic and sea salt sulfate size-segregated aerosols in the Arctic
  summer, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 16(8), 5191–5202, doi:10.5194/acp-16-5191-2016,
  2016.
- Ghahremaninezhad, R., Gong, W., Galí, M., Norman, A., Beagley, S. R., Akingunola, A., Zheng,
  Q., Lupu, A., Lizotte, M., Levasseur, M. and Leaitch, W. R.: Dimethyl sulfide and
  its role in aerosol formation and growth in the Arctic summer a modelling study,
  Atmos. Chem. Phys., 19, 14455–14476, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-19-144552019, 2019.
- Gilgen, A., Ting, W., Huang, K., Ickes, L., Neubauer, D. and Lohmann, U.: How important are
  future marine and shipping aerosol emissions in a warming Arctic summer and
  autumn?, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 18, 10521–10555, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-1810521-2018, 2018.
- 1227Gong, S. L.: A parameterization of sea-salt aerosol source function for sub- and super-micron1228particles, J. Geophys. Res., 17(4), 1097, doi:10.1029/2003GB002079, 2003.
- Grassian, V. H., Quinn, P. K., Collins, D. B., Bates, T. S. and Prather, K. A.: Chemistry and Related
  Properties of Freshly Emitted Sea Spray Aerosol, Chem. Rev., 115(10), 4383–
  4399, doi:10.1021/cr500713g, 2015.
- 1232 Hamacher-Barth, E., Leck, C. and Jansson, K.: Size-resolved morphological properties of the high

- Arctic summer aerosol during ASCOS-2008, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 16, 6577–6593,
   doi:10.5194/acp-16-6577-2016, 2016.
- Hodshire, A. L., Campuzano-jost, P., Kodros, J. K., Croft, B., Nault, B. A., Schroder, J. C.,
  Jimenez, J. L. and Pierce, J. R.: The potential role of methanesulfonic acid (MSA) in aerosol formation and growth and the associated radiative forcings, Atmos.
  Chem. Phys., 19, 3137-3160, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-19-3137-2019, 2019.
- Hoesly, R. M., Smith, S. J., Feng, L., Klimont, Z., Janssens-Maenhout, G., Pitkanen, T., Seibert,
  J. J., Vu, L., Andres, R. J., 1010 Bolt, R. M., Bond, T. C., Dawidowski, L., Kholod,
  N., Kurokawa, J. I., Li, M., Liu, L., Lu, Z., Moura, M. C. P., O'Rourke, P. R., and
  Zhang, Q.: Historical (1750–2014) anthropogenic emissions of reactive gases and
  aerosols from the Community Emissions Data System (CEDS), Geosci. Model
  Dev., 11, 369-408, 10.5194/gmd-11-369-2018, 2018.
- Hoffman, E. H., Tilgner, A., Schrödner, R., Bräuer, P., Wolke, R. and Herrmann, H.: An advanced
  modeling study on the impacts and atmospheric implications of multiphase
  dimethyl sulfide chemistry, Proc. Natl., Acad. Sci., 113, (42), 11776-11781,
  https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1606320113, 2016.
- Holmes, C. D., Prather, M. J. and Vinken, G. C. M.: The climate impact of ship NO x emissions :
  an improved estimate accounting for plume chemistry, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 14,
  6801–6812, doi:10.5194/acp-14-6801-2014, 2014.
- Hoose, C., Lohmann, U., Bennartz, R., Croft, B., Lesins, G.: Global simulations of aerosol
  processing in clouds, Atmos. Chem. Phus., 8, 6939–6963, 2008.
- Hoppel, W. A., G. M. Frick, and R. E. Larson (1986), Effect of nonprecipitating clouds on the
  aerosol size distribution in the marine boundary layer, Geophys. Res. Lett., 13(1),
  125–128, doi:10.1029/GL013i002p00125, 1987.
- Hu, Q.-H., Xie, Z.-Q., Wang, X.-M., Kang, H., He, Q.-F. and Zhang, P.: Secondary organic
  aerosols over oceans via oxidation of isoprene and monoterpenes from Arctic to
  Antarctic, Sci. Rep., 3, 2280, doi:10.1038/srep02280, 2013.
- Huntrieser, H., Heland, J., Schlager, H., Forster, C., Stohl, A., Aufmhoff, H., Arnold, F., Scheel,
  H. E., Campana, M., Gilge, S., Eixmann, R. and Cooper, O.: Intercontinental air
  pollution transport from North America to Europe : Experimental evidence from
  airborne measurements and surface observations, J. Geophys. Res., 110, D01305,

1264	doi:10.1029/2004JD005045, 2005.
1265	Iacono, M. J., Delamere, J. S., Mlawer, E. J., Shephard, M. W., Clough, S. A. and Collins, W. D.:
1266	Radiative forcing by long-lived greenhouse gases: Calculations with the AER
1267	radiative transfer models, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 113(13), D13103,
1268	doi:10.1029/2008JD009944, 2008.
1269 1270 1271 1272 1273 1274 1275	<ul> <li>IPCC, 2013: Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change [Stocker, T.F., D. Qin, GK. Plattner, M. Tignor, S.K. Allen, J. Boschung, A. Nauels, Y. Xia, V. Bex and P.M. Midgley (eds.)]. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA, 1535 pp.</li> <li>Irish, V. E., Elizondo, P., Chen, J., Chou, C., Charette, J., Lizotte, M., Ladino, L. A., Wilson, T.</li> </ul>
1276	W., Gosselin, M., Murray, B. J., Polishchuk, E., Abbatt, J. P. D., Miller, L. A., and
1277	Bertram, A. K.: Ice-nucleating particles in Canadian Arctic sea-surface microlayer
1278	and bulk seawater, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 17, 10583-10595,
1279	https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-17-10583-2017, 2017.
1280	Jaeglé, L., Quinn, P. K., Bates, T. S., Alexander, B. and Lin, J. T.: Global distribution of sea salt
1281	aerosols: New constraints from in situ and remote sensing observations, Atmos.
1282	Chem. Phys., 11(7), 3137–3157, doi:10.5194/acp-11-3137-2011, 2011.
1283	Jin, Q., Grandey, B. S., Rothenberg, D., Avramov, A. and Wang, C.: Impacts on cloud radiative
1284	effects induced by coexisting aerosols converted from international shipping and
1285	maritime DMS emissions, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 18, 16793-
1286	16808, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-18-16793-2018, 2018.
1287	Johnson, M. T.: A numerical scheme to calculate temperature and salinity dependent air-water
1288	transfer velocities for any gas, Ocean Sci., 6(4), 913-932, doi:10.5194/os-6-913-
1289	2010, 2010.
1290	Karl, M., Leck, C., Gross, A. and Pirjola, L.: A study of new particle formation in the marine
1291	boundary layer over the central Arctic Ocean using a flexible multicomponent
1292	aerosol dynamic model, Tellus, Ser. B Chem. Phys. Meteorol., 64(1), 1-24,
1293	doi:10.3402/tellusb.v64i0.17158, 2012.
1294	Kasparian, J., Hassler, C., Ibelings, B., Berti, N., Bigorre, S., Djambazova, V., Gascon-diez, E.,
1295	Giuliani, G., Houlmann, R., Kiselev, D., Laborie, P. De, Le, A., Magouroux, T.,
1296	Neri, T., Palomino, D., Pfändler, S., Ray, N., Sousa, G., Staedler, D., Tettamanti,

1297 F., Wolf, J. and Beniston, M.: Assessing the Dynamics of Organic Aerosols over 1298 the North Atlantic Ocean, Sci. Rep., 7, 45476, doi:10.1038/srep45476, 2017. 1299 Kazil, J., Wang, H., Feingold, G., Clarke, A. D., Snider, J. R. and Bandy, A. R.: and Physics 1300 Modeling chemical and aerosol processes in the transition from closed to open cells 1301 during VOCALS-REx, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11, 7491–7514, doi:10.5194/acp-11-1302 7491-2011, 2011. 1303 Kerminen, V. M., Anttila, T., Lehtinen, K. E. J. and Kulmala, M.: Parameterization for 1304 atmospheric new-particle formation: Application to a system involving sulfuric 1305 acid and condensable water-soluble organic vapors, Aerosol Sci. Technol., 38(10), 1306 1001-1008, doi:10.1080/027868290519085, 2004. 1307 Kim, M. J., Novak, G. A., Zoerb, M. C., Yang, M., Blomquist, B. W., Huebert, B. J., Cappa, C. D. 1308 and Bertram, T. H.: Air-Sea exchange of biogenic volatile organic compounds and 1309 the impact on aerosol particle size distributions, Geophys. Res. Lett., 44(8), 3887-1310 3896, doi:10.1002/2017GL072975, 2017. 1311 Kodros, J. K., Cucinotta, R., Ridley, D. A., Wiedinmyer, C. and Pierce, J. R.: The aerosol radiative 1312 effects of uncontrolled combustion of domestic waste, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 16(11), 1313 6771-6784, doi:10.5194/acp-16-6771-2016, 2016. 1314 Kodros, J. K. and Pierce, J. R.: Important global and regional differences in aerosol cloud-albedo 1315 effect estimates between simulations with and without prognostic aerosol 1316 microphysics, J. Geophys. Res., 122(7), 4003-4018, doi:10.1002/2016JD025886, 1317 2017. 1318 Korhonen, H., Carslaw, K. S., Spracklen, D. V, Mann, G. W. and Woodhouse, M. T.: Influence of 1319 oceanic dimethyl sulfide emissions on cloud condensation nuclei concentrations 1320 and seasonality over the remote Southern Hemisphere oceans: A global model 1321 study, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 113(D15), doi:10.1029/2007JD009718, 2008 1322 Kuang, C., Senum, G. I., Dedrick, J., Leaitch, W. R., Lubin, D., Aiken, A. C., Springston, S. R., 1323 Uin, J., Russell, L. M. and Liu, J.: High summertime aerosol organic functional 1324 group concentrations from marine and seabird sources at Ross Island, Antarctica, 1325 during AWARE, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 18(12), 8571-8587, doi:10.5194/acp-18-1326 8571-2018, 2018.

- Kulmala, M., Lehtinen, K. E. J., and Laaksonen, A.: Cluster activation theory as an explanation of
  the linear dependence between formation rate of 3nm particles and sulphuric acid
  concentration, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 6, 787–793, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-6787-2006, 2006.
- 1331 Lana, A., Bell, T. G., Simó, R., Vallina, S. M., Ballabrera-Poy, J., Kettle, A. J., Dachs, J., Bopp, 1332 L., Saltzman, E. S., Stefels, J., Johnson, J. E. and Liss, P. S.: An updated climatology of surface dimethlysulfide concentrations and emission fluxes in the 1333 1334 global ocean, Global Biogeochem. Cycles, 25(1),1 - 17, 1335 doi:10.1029/2010GB003850, 2011.
- Lana, A., Simó, R., Vallina, S. M. and Dachs, J.: Re-examination of global emerging patterns of
  ocean DMS concentration, Biogeochemistry, 110(1), 173–182,
  doi:10.1007/s10533-011-9677-9, 2012a.
- Lana, A., Simó, R., Vallina, S. M., and Dachs, J.: Potential for a biogenic influence on cloud
  microphysics over the ocean: a correlation study with satellite-derived data, Atmos.
  Chem. Phys., 12, 7977–7993, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-12-7977-2012, 2012b.
- Lapina, K., Heald, C. L., Spracklen, D. V., Arnold, S. R., Allan, J. D., Coe, H., McFiggans, G.,
  Zorn, S. R., Drewnick, F., Bates, T. S., Hawkins, L. N., Russell, L. M., Smirnov,
  A., O'Dowd, C. D., and Hind, A. J.: Investigating organic aerosol loading in the
  remote marine environment, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11, 8847–8860,
  https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-11-8847-2011, 2011
- 1347 Leaitch, W. R., Sharma, S., Huang, L., Toom-Sauntry, D., Chivulescu, A., Macdonald, A. M., von 1348 Salzen, K., Pierce, J. R., Bertram, A. K., Schroder, J. C., Shantz, N. C., Chang, R. 1349 Y.-W. and Norman, A.-L.: Dimethyl sulfide control of the clean summertime Arctic 1350 aerosol and cloud, Elem. Sci. Anthr., 1, 000017, 1351 doi:10.12952/journal.elementa.000017, 2013.
- Leaitch, R. W., Russell, L. M., Liu, J., Kolonjari, F., Toom, D., Huang, L., Sharma, S., Chivulescu,
  A., Veber, D. and Zhang, W.: Organic functional groups in the submicron aerosol
  at 82.5 degrees N, 62.5 degrees W from 2012 to 2014, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 18,
  3269–3287, doi:10.5194/acp-18-3269-2018, 2018.
- Leck, C. and Bigg, E. K.: Source and evolution of the marine aerosol—A new perspective,
  Geophys. Res. Lett., 32(19), doi:10.1029/2005GL023651, 2005.

- Lee, Y. H. and Adams, P. J.: A fast and efficient version of the TwO-Moment Aerosol Sectional
  (TOMAS) global aerosol microphysics model, Aerosol Sci. Technol., 46(6), 678–
  689, doi:10.1080/02786826.2011.643259, 2012.
- Lee, Y. H., Pierce, J. R., and Adams, P. J.: Representation of nucleation mode microphysics in a
  global aerosol model with sectional microphysics, Geosci. Model Dev., 6, 1221–
  1232, https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-6-1221-2013, 2013.
- Liu, H., Jacob, D. J., Bey, I. and Yantosca, R. M.: Constraints from210Pb and7Be on wet deposition and transport in a global three-dimensional chemical tracer model driven by assimilated meteorological fields, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 106(D11), 12109– 12128, doi:10.1029/2000JD900839, 2001.
- Lohmann, U. and Feichter, J.: Global indirect aerosol effects: a review, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 5(3),
  715–737, doi:10.5194/acp-5-715-2005, 2005.
- Luo, G., Yu, F. and Schwab, J.: Revised treatment of wet scavenging processes dramatically
  improves GEOS-Chem 12 . 0 . 0 simulations of surface nitric acid, nitrate, and
  ammonium over the United States, Geosci. Model. Dev., 12, 3439–3447,
  doi.10.5194/gmd-12-3439-2019, 2019.
- Luo, G., Yu, F. and Moch, J. M.: Further improvement of wet process treatments in GEOS-Chem
  v12.6.0: impact on global distributions of aerosols and aerosol precursors,
  Geosci. Model Dev., 2879–2903, 2020.
- Mahmood, R., Salzen, K. Von, Norman, A., Galí, M. and Levasseur, M.: Sensitivity of Arctic
  sulfate aerosol and clouds to changes in future surface seawater dimethylsulfide
  concentrations, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 19, 6419–6435, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp19-6419-2019, 2019.
- Mårtensson, E. M., Nilsson, E. D., de Leeuw, G., Cohen, L. H. and Hansson, H.-C.: Laboratory
  simulations and parameterization of the primary marine aerosol production, J.
  Geophys. Res. Atmos., 108(D9), n/a-n/a, doi:10.1029/2002JD002263, 2003.
- McCoy, D. T., Burrows, S. M., Wood, R., Grosvenor, D. P., Elliott, S. M., Ma, P.-L., Rasch, P. J.
  and Hartmann, D. L.: Natural aerosols explain seasonal and spatial patterns of
  Southern Ocean cloud albedo, Sci. Adv., 1(6), e1500157,
  doi:10.1126/sciadv.1500157, 2015.

McDuffie, E. E., Smith, S. J., O'Rourke, P., Tibrewal, K., Venkataraman, C., Marais, E. A., Zheng,
B., Crippa, M., Brauer, M., and Martin, R. V.: A global anthropogenic emission
inventory of atmospheric pollutants from sector- and fuel-specific sources (1970–
2017): An application of the Community Emissions Data System (CEDS), Earth
Syst. Sci. Data Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-2020-103, in review, 2020.

- McNaughton, C. S., Clarke, A. D., Howell, S. G., Pinkerton, M., Anderson, B., Thornhill, L.,
  Hudgins, C., et al.: Results from the DC-8 Inlet Characterization Experiment
  (DICE): Airborne versus surface sampling of mineral dust and sea salt aerosols,
  Aerosol Sci. Tech. 41, (2). 136-159, 2007.
- Meskhidze, N., Sabolis, A., Reed, R. and Kamykowski, D.: Quantifying environmental stressinduced emissions of algal isoprene and monoterpenes using laboratory
  measurements, Biogeosciences, 12, 637–651, doi:10.5194/bg-12-637-2015, 2015.
- Monahan, E. C., Fairall, C. W., Davidson, K. L., and Boyle, P. J.: Observed inter-relations between
  10m winds, ocean whitecaps and marine aerosols, Q. J. Roy. Meteor. Soc., 109,
  379–392, 1983.
- 1403 Müller, M., Mikoviny, T., Feil, S., Haidacher, S., Hanel, G., Hartungen, E., Jordan, A., Märk, L., 1404 Mutschlechner, P., Schottkowsky, R., Sulzer, P., Crawford, J. H., and Wisthaler, 1405 A.: A compact PTR-ToF-MS instrument for airborne measurements of VOCs at 1406 high spatio-temporal resolution, Atmos. Meas. Tech. 7. 3763-3772. 1407 doi:10.5194/amt-7-3763-2014, 2014.
- 1408 Mungall, E. L., Abbatt, J. P. D., Wentzell, J. J. B., Lee, A. K. Y., Thomas, J. L., Blais, M., Gosselin, 1409 M., Miller, L. A., Papakyriakou, T., Willis, M. D. and Liggio, J.: Microlayer source 1410 of oxygenated volatile organic compounds in the summertime marine Arctic 1411 boundary layer, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., 114(24), 6203-6208, 1412 doi:10.1073/pnas.1620571114, 2017.
- Napari, I., Noppel, M., Vehkamaki, H. and Kulmala, M.: Parametrization of ternary nucleation
  rates for H2SO4-NH3-H2O vapors, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 107(19), 2–7,
  doi:10.1029/2002JD002132, 2002.
- Nightingale, P. D., Liss, P. S. and Schlosser, P.: Measurements of air-sea gas transfer during an
  open ocean algal bloom, Geophys. Res. Lett., 27(14), 2117–2120,
  doi:10.1029/2000GL011541, 2000a.

- Nightingale, P. D., Malin, G., Law, C. S., Watson, A. J., Liss, P. S., Liddicoat, M. I., Boutin, J.
  and Upstill-Goddard, R. C.: In situ evaluation of air-sea gas exchange
  parameterizations using novel conservative and volatile tracers, Global
  Biogeochem. Cycles, 14(1), 373–387, doi:10.1029/1999GB900091, 2000b.
- O'Dowd, C. D., Smith, M. H., Consterdine, I. E., Lowe, J. A.: Marine aerosol, sea-salt, and the
  marine sulphur cycle: A short review. Atmos. Environ. 31, 73–80 (1997)
- O'Dowd, C. D.: Marine aerosol formation from biogenic iodine emissions, Nature, 417(June), 1–
  5, doi:10.1038/nature00775, 2002.
- O' Dowd, C. D., Facchini, M. C., Cavalli, F., Ceburnis, D., Mircea, M., Decesari, S., Fuzzi, S.,
  Yoon, Y. J. and Putaud, J.: Biogenically driven organic contribution to marine
  aerosol, Nature, 431(7009), 676-680, https://doi.org/10.1038/nature02959, 2004
- O'Dowd, C. D. and de Leeuw, G.: Marine aerosol production : a review of the current knowledge,
  Phil. Trans. R. Soc. A, 1(May), 1753–1774, doi:10.1098/rsta.2007.2043, 2007.
- O'Dowd, C. D., Ceburnis, D., Ovadnevaite, J., Bialek, J., Stengel, D. B., Zacharias, M., Nitschke,
  U., Connan, S., Rinaldi, M., Fuzzi, S., Decesari, S., Facchini, M. C., Marullo, S.,
  Santoleri, R., Anno, A. D., Corinaldesi, C., Tangherlini, M. and Danovaro, R.:
  Connecting marine productivity to sea-spray via nanoscale biological processes :
  Phytoplankton Dance or Death Disco?, Sci. Rep, 5(May), 14883, 1–11,
  doi:10.1038/srep14883, 2015.
- Ovadnevaite, J., Ceburnis, D., Martucci, G., Bialek, J., Monahan, C., Rinaldi, M., Facchini, M. C.,
  Berresheim, H., Worsnop, D. R. and O'Dowd, C.: Primary marine organic aerosol :
  A dichotomy of low hygroscopicity and high CCN activity, Geophys. Res. Lett.,
  38, L21806 1–5, doi:10.1029/2011GL048869, 2011.
- Ovadnevaite, J., Ceburnis, D., Canagaratna, M., Berresheim, H., Bialek, J., Martucci, G., Worsnop,
  D. R. and Dowd, C. O.: On the effect of wind speed on submicron sea salt mass
  concentrations and source fluxes, J. Geophys. Res.,, 117, D16201, 1–11,
  doi:10.1029/2011JD017379, 2012.
- Pai, S. J., Heald, C. L., Pierce, J. R., Farina, S. C., Marais, E. A., Jimenez, J. L., Campuzano-jost,
  P., Nault, B. A., Middlebrook, A. M., Coe, H., Shilling, J. E., Bahreini, R., Dingle,
  J. H. and Vu, K.: An evaluation of global organic aerosol schemes using airborne
  observations, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 20, 2637–2665, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-

- 1474 20-2637-2020, 2020.
- Park, K.-T., Jang, S., Lee, K., Yoon, Y. J., Kim, M.-S., Park, K., Cho, H.-J., Kang, J.-H., Udisti,
  R., Lee, B.-Y. and Shin, K.-H.: Observational evidence for the formation of DMSderived aerosols during Arctic phytoplankton blooms, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 17(15),
  9665–9675, doi:https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-17-9665-2017, 2017.
- Park, K.-T., Lee, K., Kim, T.-W., Yoon, Y. J., Jang, E.-H., Jang, S., Lee, B.-Y. and Hermansen,
  O.: Atmospheric DMS in the Arctic Ocean and Its Relation to Phytoplankton
  Biomass, Global Biogeochem. Cycles, 32(3), 351–359,
  doi:10.1002/2017GB005805, 2018.
- Pierce, J. R., Croft, B., Kodros, J. K., D'Andrea, S. D. and Martin, R. V.: The importance of
  interstitial particle scavenging by cloud droplets in shaping the remote aerosol size
  distribution and global aerosol-climate effects, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 15(11), 6147–
  6158, doi:10.5194/acp-15-6147-2015, 2015.
- 1487 Prather, K. A., Bertram, T. H., Grassian, V. H., Deane, G. B., Stokes, M. D., DeMott, P. J., 1488 Aluwihare, L. I., Palenik, B. P., Azam, F., Seinfeld, J. H., Moffet, R. C., Molina, 1489 M. J., Cappa, C. D., Geiger, F. M., Roberts, G. C., Russell, L. M., Ault, A. P., 1490 Baltrusaitis, J., Collins, D. B., Corrigan, C. E., Cuadra-Rodriguez, L. A., Ebben, C. 1491 J., Forestieri, S. D., Guasco, T. L., Hersey, S. P., Kim, M. J., Lambert, W. F., 1492 Modini, R. L., Mui, W., Pedler, B. E., Ruppel, M. J., Ryder, O. S., Schoepp, N. G., 1493 Sullivan, R. C. and Zhao, D.: Bringing the ocean into the laboratory to probe the 1494 chemical complexity of sea spray aerosol, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., 110(19), 7550-1495 7555, doi:10.1073/pnas.1300262110, 2013.
- 1496Quinn, P. K. and Bates, T. S.: The case against climate regulation via oceanic phytoplankton1497sulphur emissions, Nature, 480(7375), 51–56, doi:10.1038/nature10580, 2011.
- 1498 Quinn, P. K., Bates, T. S., Schulz, K. S., Coffman, D. J., Frossard, A. A., Russell, L. M., Keene, 1499 W. C. and Kieber, D. J.: Contribution of sea surface carbon pool to organic matter 1500 228-232, enrichment in aerosol. Nat. Geosci.. 7(3), sea spray 1501 doi:10.1038/ngeo2092, 2014.
- Quinn, P. K., Collins, D. B., Grassian, V. H., Prather, K. A. and Bates, T. S.: Chemistry and Related
  Properties of Freshly Emitted Sea Spray Aerosol, Chem. Rev., 115(10), 4383–
  4399, doi:10.1021/cr500713g, 2015.

- Quinn, P. K., Coffman, D. J., Johnson, J. E., Upchurch, L. M. and Bates, T. S.: Small fraction of
  marine cloud condensation nuclei made up of sea spray aerosol, Nat. Geosci., 10(9),
  674–679, doi:10.1038/ngeo3003, 2017.
- Quinn, P. K., Bates, T. S., Coffman, D. J., Upchurch, L., Johnson, J. E., Moore, R. and Ziemba,
  L.: Seasonal Variations in Western North Atlantic Remote Marine Aerosol
  Properties, J. Geophys. Res., Atmos., 124, 14,240–14,261.
  https://doi.org/10.1029/2019JD031740, 2019.
- Park, K.-T., Lee, K., Kim, T.-W., Yoon, Y. J., Jang, E.-H., Jang, S., Lee, B.-Y. and Hermansen,
  O.: Atmospheric DMS in the Arctic Ocean and Its Relation to Phytoplankton
  Biomass, Global Biogeochem. Cycles, 32(3), 351–359,
- 1515 doi:10.1002/2017GB005805, 2018.
- Ramnarine, E., Kodros, J. K., Hodshire, A. L., Lonsdale, C. R., Alvarado, M. J. and Pierce, J. R.:
  Effects of near-source coagulation of biomass burning aerosols on global
  predictions of aerosol size distributions and implications for aerosol radiative
  effects, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 19, 6561–6577, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-196561-2019, 2019.
- Regayre, L. A., Schmale, J., Johnson, J. S., Tatzelt, C., Baccarini, A., Henning, S., Yoshioka, M.,
  Stratmann, F., Gysel-Beer, M., Grosvenor, D. P., and Carslaw, K. S.: The value of
  remote marine aerosol measurements for constraining radiative forcing uncertainty,
  Atmos. Chem. Phys., 20, 10063–10072, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-20-100632020, 2020.
- 1526 Rempillo, O., Seguin, A. M., Norman, A.-L., Scarratt, M., Michaud, S., Chang, R., Sjostedt, S., 1527 Abbatt, J., Else, B., Papakyriakou, T., Sharma, S., Grasby, S. and Levasseur, M.: 1528 Dimethyl sulfide air-sea fluxes and biogenic sulfur as a source of new aerosols in 1529 the Arctic fall, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 116, (D100S04), 1530 doi:10.1029/2011JD016336, 2011.
- Revell, L. E., Kremser, S., Hartery, S., Harvey, M., Mulcahy, J. P., Williams, J., Morgenstern, O.,
  Mcdonald, A. J., Varma, V., Bird, L. and Schuddeboom, A.: The sensitivity of
  Southern Ocean aerosols and cloud microphysics to sea spray and sulfate aerosol
  production in the HadGEM3-GA7 . 1 chemistry climate model, Atmos. Chem.
  Phys., 19, 15447–15466, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-19-15447-2019, 2019.

- 1536 Riccobono, F., Schobesberger, S., Scott, C. E., Dommen, J., Ortega, I. K., Rondo, L., Almeida, J., 1537 Amorim, A., Bianchi, F., Breitenlechner, M., David, A., Downard, A., Dunne, E. 1538 M., Duplissy, J., Ehrhart, S., Flagan, R. C., Franchin, A., Hansel, A., Junninen, H., 1539 Kajos, M., Keskinen, H., Kupc, A., Kupiainen, O., Kürten, A., Kurtén, T., Kvashin, 1540 A. N., Laaksonen, A., Lehtipalo, K., Makhmutov, V., Mathot, S., Nieminen, T., 1541 Olenius, T., Onnela, A., Petäjä, T., Praplan, A. P., Santos, F. D., Schallhart, S., 1542 Seinfeld, J. H., Sipilä, M., Spracklen, D. V, Stozhkov, Y., Stratmann, F., Tomé, A., 1543 Tsagkogeorgas, G., Vaattovaara, P., Vehkamäki, H., Viisanen, Y., Vrtala, A., 1544 Wagner, P. E., Weingartner, E., Wex, H., Wimmer, D., Carslaw, K. S., Curtius, J., 1545 Donahue, N. M., Kirkby, J., Kulmala, M., Worsnop, D. R., Baltensperger, U. U. ., 1546 Schobesberger, S., Scott, C. E., Dommen, J., Ortega, I. K., Rondo, L., Almeida, J., 1547 Amorim, A., Bianchi, F., Breitenlechner, M., David, A., Downard, A., Dunne, E. M., Duplissy, J., Ehrhart, S., Flagan, R. C., Franchin, A., Hansel, A., Junninen, H., 1548 1549 Kajos, M., Keskinen, H., Kupc, A., Kürten, A., Kvashin, A. N., Laaksonen, A., Lehtipalo, K., Makhmutov, V., Mathot, S., Nieminen, T., Onnela, A., Petäjä, T., 1550 1551 Praplan, A. P., Santos, F. D., Schallhart, S., Seinfeld, J. H., Sipilä, M., Spracklen, D. V, Stozhkov, Y., Stratmann, F., Tomé, A., Tsagkogeorgas, G., et al.: Oxidation 1552 1553 Products of Biogenic Emissions Contribute to Nucleation of Atmospheric Particles, 1554 Science. 344(May), 717-721 Available from: [online] 1555 http://www.sciencemag.org/content/344/6185/717.abstract, doi: 1556 10.1126/science.1243527, 2014. 1557 Rinaldi, M., Decesari, S., Finessi, E., Giulianelli, L., Carbone, C., Fuzzi, S., O'Dowd, C., Ceburnis, 1558 D. and Facchini, M. C.: Primary and Secondary Organic Marine Aerosol and 1559 Oceanic Biological Activity: Recent Results and New Perspectives for Future Studies, Adv. Meteorol., 2010, 1–10, doi:10.1155/2010/310682, 2010. 1560 1561 Rodríguez-Ros, P., Cortés, P., Robinson, C. M., Nunes, S., Hassler, C., Royer, S., Estrada, M. and
- 1562Simó, R.: Distribution and Drivers of Marine Isoprene Concentration across the1563Southern Ocean, Atmosphere, 11, 556, 1–19, doi:10.3390/atmos11060556, 2020a.
- 1564Rodriguez-Ros, P., Galí, M., Cortés, P., Robinson, C. M., Antoine, D., Wohl, C., Yang, M. and1565Simó, R.: Remote Sensing Retrieval of Isoprene Concentrations in the Southern1566Ocean Geophys.Res.Lett.,47,e2020GL087888,1-10,

- 1567 doi:10.1029/2020GL087888, 2020b.
- Russell, L. M., Hawkins, L. N., Frossard, A. A., Quinn, P. K. and Bates, T. S.: Carbohydrate-like
  composition of submicron atmospheric particles and their production from ocean
  bubble bursting, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., 107(15), 6652-6657,
  doi:10.1073/pnas.0908905107, 2010.
- Saliba, G., Chen, C., Lewis, S., Russell, L. M., Rivellini, L., Lee, A. K. Y., Carlson, C. A. and
  Behrenfeld, M. J.: Factors driving the seasonal and hourly variability of sea-spray
  aerosol number in the North Atlantic, Proc, Nat. Acad. Sci., 116(41), 20309–20314,
  doi:10.1073/pnas.1907574116, 2019.
- Saliba, G., Chen, C., Lewis, S., Russell, L. M., Quinn, P. K., Bates, T. S., Bell, T. G., Lawler,
  M.J., Saltzman, E. S., Sanchez, K. J., Moore, R., Shook, M., Rivellini, L-H., Lee,
  A. K. Y. Baetge, N., Carlson, C. A. and Behrenfeld, M. J.: Seasonal differences and
  variability of concentrations, chemical composition, and cloud condensation nuclei
  of marine aerosol over the North Atlantic, 125, e2020JD033145.
  https://doi.org/10.1029/2020JD033145, 2020.
- Sanchez, K. J., Chen, C.-L., Russell, L. M., Betha, R., Liu, J., Price, D. J., Massoli, P., Ziemba, L.
  D., Crosbie, E. C., Moore, R. H., Müller, M., Schiller, S. A., Wisthaler, A., Lee, A.
  K. Y., Quinn, P. K., Bates, T. S., Porter, J., Bell, T. G., Saltzman, E. S.,
  Vaillancourt, R. D. and Behrenfeld, M. J.: Substantial Seasonal Contribution of
  Observed Biogenic Sulfate Particles to Cloud Condensation Nuclei, Sci. Rep., 8(1),
  3235, doi:10.1038/s41598-018-21590-9, 2018.
- Savoie, D. L., R. Arimoto, W. C. Keene, J. M. Prospero, R. A. Duce, and J. N. Galloway, Marine
  biogenic and anthropogenic contributions to non-sea-salt sulfate in the marine
  boundary layer over the North Atlantic Ocean, J. Geophys. Res., 107(D18), 4356,
  doi:10.1029/2001JD000970, 2002
- Schwarz, J. P., Gao, R. S., Fahey, D. W., Thomson, D. S., Watts, L. A., Wilson, J. C., Reeves, J.
  M., Darbeheshti, M., Baumgardner, D. G., Kok, G. L., Chung, S. H., Schulz, M.,
  Hendricks, J., Lauer, A., Ka, B. and Slowik, J. G.: Single-particle measurements of
  midlatitude black carbon and light-scattering aerosols from the boundary layer to
  the lower stratosphere, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 111, D216207, 1–15,
  doi:10.1029/2006JD007076, 2006.

1598 Schiffer, J. M., Mael, L. E., Prather, K. A., Amaro, R. E. and Grassian, V. H.: Sea Spray Aerosol: 1599 Where Marine Biology Meets Atmospheric Chemistry, ACS Cent. Sci., 4, 1617-1600 1623 doi:10.1021/acscentsci.8b00674, 2018. 1601 Schiller, S. A. P.: Flugzeuggestützte Messung flüchtiger organischer Verbindungen über dem 1602 Nordatlantik mittels PTR-ToF-MS, Master Thesis (in German), Universität 1603 Innsbruck (2018) 1604 Schwinger, J., Tjiputra, J., Goris, N., Six, K. D., Kirkevåg, A., Seland, Ø., Heinze, C. and Ilyina, 1605 T.: Amplification of global warming through pH dependence of DMS production 1606 simulated with a fully coupled Earth system model, Biogeosciences, 14, 3633-1607 3648, https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-14-3633-2017, 2017. 1608 Sharma, S., Lavoué, D., Cachier, H., Barrie, L. A. and Gong, S. L.: Long-term trends of the black 1609 carbon concentrations in the Canadian Arctic, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 109, 1610 (D15203), doi:10.1029/2003JD004331, 2004. 1611 Sharma, S., Andrews, E., Barrie, L. A., Ogren, J. A. and Lavoué, D.: Variations and sources of the 1612 equivalent black carbon in the high Arctic revealed by long-term observations at Alert and Barrow: 1989–2003, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 111, (D14208), 1613 1614 doi:10.1029/2005JD006581, 2006. 1615 Sihto, S., Kulmala, M., Kerminen, V., Maso, M. D. and Petäjä, T., Riipinen, I., Korhonen, H., 1616 Arnold, F., Janson, R., Boy, M., Laaksonen, A. and Lehtinen, K. E. J.: Atmospheric 1617 sulphuric acid and aerosol formation: implications from atmospheric 1618 measurements for nucleation and early growth mechanisms, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 1619 6, 4079–4091, www.atmos-chem-phys.net/6/4079/2006/, 2006. 1620 Singh, H. B., Cai, C., Kaduwela, A., Weinheimer, A. and Wisthaler, A.: Interactions of fire 1621 emissions and urban pollution over California: Ozone formation and air quality 1622 simulations, Atmos. Environ., 56, 45-51, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2012.03.046, 1623 2012. 1624 Stohl, A., Forster, C., Eckhardt, S., Spichtinger, N., Huntrieser, H., Heland, J., Schlager, H., 1625 Wilhelm, S., Arnold, F. and Cooper, O.: A backward modeling study of 1626 intercontinental pollution transport using aircraft measurements, J. Geophys. Res., 1627 108, D12, 4370, doi:10.1029/2002JD002862, 2003.

- Takegawa, N., Seto, T., Moteki, N., Koike, M., Oshima, N., Adachi, K., Kita, K., Takami, A. and
  Kondo, Y.: Enhanced New Particle Formation Above the Marine Boundary Layer
  Over the Yellow Sea: Potential Impacts on Cloud Condensation Nuclei, J.
  Geophys. Res. Atmos., 125, e2019JD031448, 1–17, doi:10.1029/2019JD031448,
  2020.
- 1633 Tremblay, S., Picard, J.-C., Bachelder, J. O., Lutsch, E., Strong, K., Fogal, P., Leaitch, W. R., 1634 Sharma, S., Kolonjari, F., Cox, C. J., Chang, R. Y.-W. and Hayes, P. L.: 1635 Characterization of aerosol growth events over Ellesmere Island during summers 2015 1636 of and 2016, Chem. 19, 5589-Atmos. Phys., 1637 5604, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-19-5589-2019, 2019.
- 1638Tunved, P., Ström, J. and Krejci, R.: Arctic aerosol life cycle: Linking aerosol size distributions1639observed between 2000 and 2010 with air mass transport and precipitation at1640Zeppelin station, Ny-Ålesund, Svalbard, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 13(7), 3643–3660,1641doi:10.5194/acp-13-3643-2013, 2013.
- van der Werf, G. R., Randerson, J. T., Giglio, L., Van Leeuwen, T. T., Chen, Y., Rogers, B. M.,
  Mu, M., Van Marle, M. J. E., Morton, D. C., Collatz, G. J., Yokelson, R. J. and
  Kasibhatla, P. S.: Global fire emissions estimates during 1997-2016, Earth Syst.
  Sci. Data, 9(2), 697–720, doi:10.5194/essd-9-697-2017, 2017.
- Vehkamäki, H., Kulmala, M., Napari, I., Lehtinen, K. E. J., Timmreck, C., Noppel, M. and
  Laaksonen, A.: An improved parameterization for sulfuric acid water nucleation
  rates for tropospheric and stratospheric conditions, J. Geophys. Res., 107, D22,
  4622, 1–10, doi:10.1029/2002JD002184, 2002.
- Veres, P. R., Neuman, J. A., Bertram, T. H., Assaf, E. and Wolfe, G. M.: Global airborne sampling
  reveals a previously unobserved dimethyl sulfide oxidation mechanism in the
  marine atmosphere, Proc.Natl, Acad. Sci., 117(9), 4505–4510,
  doi:10.1073/pnas.1919344117, 2020.
- Vinken, G. C. M., Boersma, K. F., Jacob, D. J., Meijer, E. W.: Accounting for non-linear chemistry
  of ship plumes in the GEOS-Chem global chemistry transport model, Atmos.
  Chem. Phys., 11, 11707–11722, doi:10.5194/acp-11-11707-2011, 2011.
- Wang, Q., Jacob, D. J., Fisher, J. A., Mao, J., Leibensperger, E. M., Carouge, C. C., Le Sager, P.,
  Kondo, Y., Jimenez, J. L., Cubison, M. J. and Doherty, S. J.: Sources of

- 1659carbonaceous aerosols and deposited black carbon in the Arctic in winter-spring:1660Implications for radiative forcing, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11, 12453–12473,1661doi:10.5194/acp-11-12453-2011, 2011.
- Wang, Q., Jacob, D. J., Spackman, J. R., Perring, A. E., Schwarz, J. P., Moteki, N., Marais, E. A.,
  Ge, C., Wang, J. and Barrett, S. R. H.: Global budget and radiative forcing of black
  carbon aerosol : Constraints from pole-to-pole (HIPPO) observations across the
  Pacific, J. Geophys. Res., 119, 195–206, doi:10.1002/2013JD020824, 2014.
- Wang, X., Sultana, C. M., Trueblood, J., Hill, T. C. J., Malfatti, F., Lee, C., Laskina, O., Moore,
  K. A., Beall, C. M., McCluskey, C. S., Cornwell, G. C., Zhou, Y., Cox, J. L.,
  Pendergraft, M. A., Santander, M. V., Bertram, T. H., Cappa, C. D., Azam, F.,
  DeMott, P. J., Grassian, V. H. and Prather, K. A.: Microbial control of sea spray
  aerosol composition: A tale of two blooms, ACS Cent. Sci., 1(3), 124–131,
  doi:10.1021/acscentsci.5b00148, 2015.
- Weber, R. J., Chen, G., Davis, D. D., Mauldin, R. L., Tanner, D. J., Clarke, A. D., Thornton, D.
  C. and Bandy, A. R.: Measurements of enhanced H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> and 3-4 nm particles near
  a frontal cloud during the First Aerosol Characterization Experiment (ACE 1), J.
  Geophys. Res., 106, (D20),24 107 24117, 2001.
- Wehner, B., Werner, F., Ditas, F., Shaw, R. A., Kulmala, M. and Siebert, H.: Observations of new
  particle formation in enhanced UV irradiance zones near cumulus clouds, Atmos.
  Chem. Phys., 11701–11711, doi:10.5194/acp-15-11701-2015, 2015.
- 1679 Wesley, M. L.: Parameterization of surface resistances to gaseous deposition in regional-scale
  1680 numerical models, Atmos. Environ., 23, 1293-1304, 1989.
- Westervelt, D. M.: Formation and growth of nucleated particles into cloud condensation nuclei :
  model measurement comparison, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 13, 7645–7663,
  doi:10.5194/acp-13-7645-2013, 2013.
- Willis, M. D., Burkart, J., Thomas, J. L., Köllner, F., Schneider, J., Bozem, H., Hoor, P. M.,
  Aliabadi, A. A., Schulz, H., Herber, A. B., Leaitch, W. R. and Abbatt, J. P. D.:
  Growth of nucleation mode particles in the summertime Arctic: A case study,
  Atmos. Chem. Phys., 16(12), 7663–7679, doi:10.5194/acp-16-7663-2016, 2016.
- Willis, M. D., Köllner, F., Burkart, J., Bozem, H., Thomas, J. L., Schneider, J., Aliabadi, A. A.,
  Hoor, P. M., Schulz, H., Herber, A. B., Leaitch, W. R. and Abbatt, J. P. D.:

- 1690 Evidence for marine biogenic influence on summertime Arctic aerosol, Geophys.
  1691 Res. Lett., 44(12), 6460–6470, doi:10.1002/2017GL073359, 2017.
- Willis, M. D., Leaitch, W. R. and Abbatt, J. P. D.: Processes Controlling the Composition and
  Abundance of Arctic Aerosol, Reviews of Geophysics, 56, 621-671,
  doi:10.1029/2018RG000602, 2018.
- 1695 Wood, R.: Stratocumulus Clouds, Mon. Weather Rev., 140, 2373–2423, doi:10.1175/MWR-D-111696 00121.1, 2012.
- Wilson, T. W., Ladino, L. A., Alpert, P. A., Breckels, M. N., Brooks, I. M., Browse, J., Burrows,
  S. M., Carslaw, K. S., Huffman, J. A., Judd, C., Kilthau, W. P., Mason, R. H.,
  McFiggans, G., Miller, L. A., Najera, J. J., Polishchuk, E., Rae, S., Schiller, C. L.,
  Si, M., Temprado, J. V., Whale, T. F., Wong, J. P. S., Wurl, O., Yakobi-Hancock,
  J. D., Abbatt, J. P. D., Aller, J. Y., Bertram, A. K., Knopf, D. A. and Murray, B. J.:
  A marine biogenic source of atmospheric ice-nucleating particles, Nature,
  525(7568), 234–238, doi:10.1038/nature14986, 2015.
- Wood, R., Stemmler, J. D., Rémillard, J. and Jefferson, A.: Low-CCN concentration air masses
  over the eastern North Atlantic : Seasonality , meteorology , and drivers, J.
  Geophys. Res. Atmos., 122, 1203-1223, doi:10.1002/2016JD025557, 2017.
- Woodhouse, M. T., Mann, G. W., Carslaw, K. S. and Boucher, O.: Sensitivity of cloud
  condensation nuclei to regional changes in dimethyl-sulphide emissions, Atmos.
  Chem. Phys., 13(5), 2723–2733, doi:https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-13-2723-2013,
  2013.
- Xu, J. W., Martin, R. V., Morrow, A., Sharma, S., Huang, L., Richard Leaitch, W., Burkart, J.,
  Schulz, H., Zanatta, M., Willis, M. D., Henze, D. K., Lee, C. J., Herber, A. B. and
  Abbatt, J. P. D.: Source attribution of Arctic black carbon constrained by aircraft
  and surface measurements, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 17(19), 11971–11989,
  doi:10.5194/acp-17-11971-2017, 2017.
- Yassaa, N., Peeken, I., Zöllner, E., Bluhm, K., Arnold, S., Spracklan, D. and Williams, J: Evidence
  for marine production of monoterpenes, Environ. Chem., 5, 391–401,
  doi:10.1071/EN08047, 2008.
- Zanatta, M., Bozem, H., Köllner, F., Schneider, J., Hoor, P., Faria, J. De, Petzold, A., Bundke, U.,
  Staebler, R. M., Schulz, H., Herber, A. B., Zanatta, M., Bozem, H., Köllner, F.,

- 1721 Schneider, J., Hoor, P., Faria, J. De, Petzold, A., Bundke, U., Hayden, K., Staebler, 1722 R. M., Schulz, H. and Herber, A. B.:: Airborne survey of trace gases amd aerosol 1723 over the Southern Baltic Sea: from clean marine boundary layer to shipping 1724 corridor effect, Tellus В Chem. Phys. Meteorol., 72(1), 1-24, 1725 doi:10.1080/16000889.2019.1695349, 2019.
- Zender, C. S., Bian, H. and Newman, D.: Mineral Dust Entrainment and Deposition (DEAD)
  model: Description and 1990s dust climatology, J. Geophys. Res., 108(D14), 4416,
  doi:10.1029/2002JD002775, 2003.
- 1729 Zhang, H. F., Worton, D. R., Lewandowski, M., Ortega, J., Rubitschun, C. L., Park, J. H., 1730 Kristensen, K., Campuzano-Jost, P., Day, D. A., Jimenez, J. L., Jaoui, M., 1731 Offenberg, J. H., Kleindienst, T. E., Gilman, J., Kuster, W. C., de Gouw, J., Park, 1732 C., Schade, G. W., Frossard, A. A., Russell, L., Kaser, L., Jud, W., Hansel, A., Cappellin, L., Karl, T., Glasius, M., Guenther, A., Goldstein, A. H., Seinfeld, J. H., 1733 1734 Gold, A., Kamens, R. M. and Surratt, J. D.: Organosulfates as Tracers for 1735 Secondary Organic Aerosol (SOA) Formation from 2-Methyl-3-Buten-2-ol (MBO) 1736 in the Atmosphere, Environ. Sci. Technol., 46(17), 9437-9446, 1737 doi:10.1021/es301648z, 2012.
- Zheng, G., Wang, Y., Aiken, A. C., Gallo, F., Jensen, M. P., Kollias, P., Kuang, C., Luke, E.,
  Springston, S., Uin, J., Wood, R. and Wang, J.: Marine boundary layer aerosol in
  the eastern North Atlantic : seasonal variations and key controlling processes,
  Atmos. Chem. Phys., 18, 17615–17635, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-18-176152018, 2018.
- 1743Zheng, G., Kuang, C., Uin, J., Watson, T., and Wang, J.: Large contribution of organics to1744condensational growth and formation of cloud condensation nuclei (CCN) in1745remote marine boundary layer, Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss.,1746https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-2020-625, in review, 2020a.
- Zheng, G., Sedlacek, A. J., Aiken, A. C., Feng, Y., Watson, T. B., Raveh-rubin, S., Uin, J., Lewis,
  E. R. and Wang, J.: Long-range transported North American wild fire aerosols
  observed in marine boundary layer of eastern North Atlantic, Environ. Int.,
  139(March), 105680, doi:10.1016/j.envint.2020.105680, 2020b.

1751

## **Tables and figure captions and tables**

Simulation	Description
BASE	Control simulation with GEOS-Chem-TOMAS model (GCT12.1.1) as
	described in Sect. 2.2
noABLNUC	Same as BASE, excluding the surrogate activation-type particle nucleation
	parameterization above the marine boundary layer to about 2 km altitude, as
	described in Sect. 2.2
noMSOA	Same as BASE, excluding the temperature-dependent marine organic vapors,
	forming marine secondary organic aerosol (MSOA)
noDMS	Same as BASE, excluding all emissions of DMS
noSHIPS	Same as BASE, excluding all ship emissions
noSS	Same as BASE, excluding all sea spray emissions

- **Table 1**: GEOS-Chem-TOMAS simulation acronyms. Simulations and methodology are
- 1756 described in detail in Sect. 2.2 and 2.3.

Simulation	Nov 2015 Bloom Minima	May/June 2016 Bloom Maxima	Aug/Sept 2017 Declining Phase	Mar/Apr 2018 Accumulating	Annual Mean
BASE	0.20	0.33	0.04	0.28	0.21
noABLNUC	0.95	0.51	0.89	0.50	0.71
noMSOA	0.76	0.31	0.84	0.59	0.63
noDMS	0.44	0.27	0.43	0.06	0.30
noSHIPS	0.31	0.13	0.23	0.21	0.22
noSS	0.31	0.24	0.12	0.28	0.24

Table 2: Mean fractional error (MFE) between observations and the six GEOS-Chem-TOMAS
simulations described in Sect. 2.2 and Table 1 for the ship-track campaign-median aerosol size

1762 distributions shown in Fig. 2.

1764 **Figure 1:** Cruise and aircraft tracks for the 2015-2018 NAAMES campaigns. Flight altitudes

- 1765 below 3 km are color-coded in medium blue and above 3 km in red. Ship tracks campaigns are
- 1766 color-coded for each year as shown by the legend, and as follows: Orange: November 2015
- 1767 winter transition (bloom minima); Cyan: May/June 2016 climax transition (bloom maxima);
- 1768 Purple: August/September 2017 declining phase; Green: March/April 2018 accumulation phase.
- 1769

1770 Figure 2: NAAMES cruise-track campaign-median marine boundary layer aerosol size

- 1771 distributions from marine-influenced SEMS (particle diameters 20-500 nm) observations (black,
- 1772 with 25<sup>th</sup> to 75<sup>th</sup> percentiles in grey) and for the six GEOS-Chem-TOMAS simulations as

1773 described in Table 1 (color-coded as shown in legend).

1774

**Figure 3:** Vertical profiles of NAAMES campaign-median integrated SMPS observations aboard aircraft at standard temperature and pressure (STP) for particles with diameters of 10 to 282 nm (black, with 25<sup>th</sup>-75<sup>th</sup> percentiles in grey) and for the six GEOS-Chem-TOMAS simulations described in Table 1 (color-coded as shown in legend). All measurement and model output is binned at 500 m resolution and campaign-median values plotted at the mid-point of each bin starting at 250 m above the surface. Lines show linear interpolation between these values.

1781

**Figure 4:**Vertical profiles of NAAMES campaign-median total number concentrations for particles with diameters larger than 3 nm (N3), 10 nm (N10) and between 3 to 10 nm (N3-N10) from CPC observations aboard aircraft at standard temperature and pressure (STP) (black, with 25<sup>th</sup>-75<sup>th</sup> percentiles in grey) and for the six GEOS-Chem-TOMAS simulations described in Table 1 (color-coded as shown in legend). All measurement and model output is binned at 500 m resolution and campaign-median values are plotted at the mid-point of each bin starting at 250 m above the surface. Lines show linear interpolation between these values.

1789

**Figure 5:** Vertical profiles of NAAMES campaign-median aerosol non-refractory sulfate and organic mass concentrations at standard temperature and pressure (STP) from Aerosol Mass Spectrometer and refractory black carbon from Single Particle Soot Photometer observations aboard aircraft (black, with 25<sup>th</sup>-75<sup>th</sup> percentiles in grey) and for the six GEOS-Chem-TOMAS simulations described in Table 1 (color-coded as shown in legend). Simulated sulfate shown is 1795 non-sea-salt-sulfate. All measurement and model output is binned at 500 m resolution and 1796 campaign-median values are plotted at the mid-point of each bin starting at 250 m above the 1797 surface. Lines show linear interpolation between these values.

1798

**Figure 6:** Vertical profiles of NAAMES cruise-track campaign-median observed dimethyl sulfide (DMS) mixing ratios (black, 25<sup>th</sup>-75<sup>th</sup> percentiles in grey) from aboard aircraft and for the six GEOS-Chem-TOMAS simulations described in Table 1 (color-coded as shown in legend). Simulations BASE, noABLNUC, noMSOA and noSS are nearly coincident. All measurement and model output is binned at 500 m resolution and campaign-median values plotted at the mid-point of each bin starting at 250 m above the surface. Lines show linear interpolation between these values. Note the horizontal scale change between panels.

1806

1807 Figure 7: GEOS-Chem-TOMAS-simulated two-monthly-mean aerosol direct radiative effect 1808 (DRE) attributed to five key factors. Top row: Above boundary layer particle nucleation 1809 (ABLNUC); Second row: Particle growth by marine secondary organic aerosol (MSOA); Third 1810 row: Particle formation/growth due to DMS-oxidation products (DMS); Fourth row: Shipping 1811 emissions contribution to particles (SHIPS); Bottom row: Sea spray (SS). DREs are in columns 1812 for the following time periods, March/April 2018 (Accumulating Phase), May/June 2016 (Climax 1813 Transition, Bloom Maxima), August/September 2017 (Declining Phase), and October/November 1814 2015 (Winter Transition, Bloom Minima). DREs for ABLNUC, MSOA, DMS, SHIPS, and SS are 1815 calculated using the differences in the top-of-the-atmosphere solar flux between simulation BASE 1816 and respective sensitivity simulations (noABLNUC, noMSOA, noDMS, noSHIPS, noSS). Values 1817 shown are area-weighted-mean DREs over the region bounded by 40-60 °N, 20-50 °W.

1818

**Figure 8:** GEOS-Chem-TOMAS-simulated two-monthly-mean aerosol cloud-albedo indirect radiative effect (AIE) attributed to five key factors. Top row: Above boundary layer particle nucleation (ABLNUC); Second row: Particle growth by marine secondary organic aerosol (MSOA); Third row: Particle formation/growth due to DMS-oxidation products (DMS); Fourth row: Shipping emissions contribution to particles (SHIPS); Bottom row: Sea spray (SS). AIEs are in columns for the following time periods, March/April 2018 (Accumulating Phase), May/June 2016 (Climax Transition, Bloom Maxima), August/September 2017 (Declining Phase), and

1826	October/November 2015 (Winter Transition, Bloom Minima). AIEs for ABLNUC, MSOA, DMS,
1827	SHIPS, and SS are calculated using the differences in the top-of-the-atmosphere solar flux between
1828	simulation BASE and respective sensitivity simulations (noABLNUC, noMSOA, noDMS,
1829	noSHIPS, noSS). Values shown are area-weighted-mean AIEs over the region bounded by 40-60
1830	°N, 20-50 °W.
1831	
1832	
1833	
1834	
1835	
1836	
1837	
1838	
1839	
1840	
1841	
1842	
1843	
1844	
1845	
1846	
1847	
1848	
1849	
1850	
1851	
1852	
1853	
1854	
1855	
1856	