#### **Anonymous Referee #1**

Comments on "Measurement report: Diurnal and temporal variations of sugar compounds in suburban aerosols from the northern vicinity of Beijing, China: An influence of biogenic and anthropogenic sources" by Verma et al., October 2020.

## General Comments:

In this manuscript the authors report observations of sugar compounds (SCs) in air at a rural site about 40 km north of Beijing from Aug 15- Oct 5, 2007. Diurnal variability is examined, and meteorological parameters are considered as explanatory variables. The SC time series were analyzed with positive matrix factorization to identify sugar aerosol types and the relative contributions of the sugars and aerosol types to organic aerosol mass are reported. Overall this is a useful contribution of measurements to a topic that is still not well-understood. The differentiation between daytime and nighttime samples is rightly recognized as important by the authors. However, the manuscript often reads like a laundry list of observations interspersed with comparisons to previous observations, and insufficient analysis to support some claims.

Response: Authors are thankful to the reviewer for his valuable comments and suggestions, which help to upgrade the quality of the manuscript. We make significant changes in the manuscript especially in section 2. Materials and methods and 3.1. - 3.2. Results and discussion. We deleted several phrases of comparisons with previous observations.

Some inferences made about the observations are provided as speculations with little explanation or supporting analysis. In fact there are a few claims made in the manuscript (noted below) that don't even seem to clearly follow from the evidence presented. The logic behind the claims needs to be clarified or the claims need to be changed or removed. A major driver of this issue is that day-night differences were also differences in temperature and humidity, and differences in air mass origin. How can these effects be disentangled to draw inferences? For example, sucrose is interpreted to be controlled by local emission related to temperature and radiation on the basis of correlation with those variables, and transport is not considered. But arabitol and mannitol correlate very closely with local RH- why in the Abstract is it claimed that these are related to transport from Beijing? Perhaps this would be clearer if a more comprehensive table of correlation coefficients were shown for the relevant quantities, for daytime, nighttime, and overall, but some more textual clarification would also help. Also, would be really nice to have some proxy of transport there too, e.g. average magnitude of the wind in the direction between Beijing and the site.

Response: We modified section 3.1.3 in the results and discussions added new lines in the revised MS. Following the reviewer's suggestions we added new correlation table (Table 2) in the revised MS. We have added a new figure (Figure 2b) showing the day and night time wind direction in the Mangshan site. Please see the revised MS.

After deeply digging the dataset we found some intresting facts about the mannitol contribution in the Mangshan aerosol samples. We added several lines in the revised manuscript. Please see lines 358 - 372 in the revised MS.

A major limitation is the lack of any air mass trajectory analysis. The authors state that there was a typical diurnal pattern to the wind direction, with daytime winds from the south and the large cities, and nighttime winds from more rural areas to the north. It would help the reader to see how consistent this pattern was in order to evaluate some of the claims made. I suggest at least a time series plot of wind speed and wind direction, perhaps as a sub-plot to Figure 2, or a wind rose diagram. A couple of representative air mass back trajectories could be instructive as well.

Response: Following the reviewers suggestions we added new figure (Figure 2b). Figure 2 shows the wind direction and magnitude of wind directions in the Mangshan site. Please see the revised MS.

The results section should have more description of the present data set, with more of the previous observations moved to the Introduction. In particular, the description of the PMF results could be expanded, perhaps with a figure showing the time series of the PMF factors.

Response: We significantly modified the result and discussion sections by adding new phrases and relocating the discussions on previous studies. Please see lines 211–214, 242–250, 261–264, 294–297, 306–317, 328–334, 345–347, 353–363, 368–375, 378–384.

We added information about the PMF analysis in the revised MS. Please see lines 187 - 201, 389 - 407, 418 - 429, 442 - 445, 453 - 457, 460 - 462 in the revised MS.

We added Figure S-1 and S-2 as supporting information in the revised MS.

Figure S-1. The Scatter plots between observed (input data) and predicted (modeled data) concentrations show statistical parameter (coefficient of determination (r), Intercept, and Slope) with linear equitation of individual sugar compounds. A blue 1:1 line is provided on this plot for reference (a perfect fit would line up exactly on this line), and the regression line is shown as a dotted red line.

Figure S-2. The time series plots between observed (input data) and predicted (modeled data) concentrations of individual sugar compounds. Blue and red lines show observed (input data) and predicted (modeled data) concentrations, respectively.

Throughout the manuscript, the authors should to be more careful in their descriptions of how the SCs get into the atmosphere. They frequently state that a process or an organism "emits" a sugar compound, which reads somewhat ambiguously (i.e. are gas phase compounds being released?). I think it's OK to use this language, but there first needs to be a clear statement in the Introduction about how SCs get into the atmosphere, or at least the state of the science on that question. How are SCs are released into the air, as fragments of organisms, as whole fungal spores, as individual molecules, etc?

Response: We added new paragraph in the introduction section, including a clear statement on the sources of individual sugar species in the atmosphere. Please see lines 55-61, 67-75, 78-100.

We modified the sentences where we used "emits" in the revised MS.

A further issue that needs to be resolved before this is publishable is the extremely sparse description of the methods. Specific questions are raised below.

At this point this manuscript is essentially a descriptive account of measurements made at a particular location, with some interpretive claims that seem a bit ambiguous. The measurements themselves are of value, but I think for publication, it needs 1) a much more thorough method description section and 2) either a) a scaleback of the claims made, or b) additional analysis in support of the claims.

Response: We significantly modified the sections of materials and methods by including new information and new section in the revised MS. Please see lines 138 - 144, 147 - 149, 161 - 201.

#### Specific comments:

There are several grammatical issues of subject-verb agreement and lack of pluralization throughout the manuscript. They don't usually impede understanding, but the manuscript would benefit from a thorough grammar check.

Response: We significantly corrected grammatical issues in the manuscript. Please see the revised MS.

It would be very helpful to view the data in Figure 2 directly as a part of Figure 3.

Response: We added new figure in the (Figure 2b) in the revised MS. We also separated figure 3 in three parts (Fig 4a-c, 5a-d and 6a-c) according to the groups of sugar compounds. The new arrangement is very easy to understand and clearer than the earlier version. Please see the revised MS.

Line 66: "SCs are emitted from algae, microbes, pollen, suspended soil particle[s], and associated biota into the atmosphere" This statement reads to me a bit like sugar compounds might be released into the air as individual gas phase molecules, which I don't think is the case (?). Maybe it could be phrased "SCs are emitted as part of aerosols formed from algae..."? Same thing at line 72: I don't think mannitol and arabitol are mostly emitted as individual gases, but are a part of fungal material that gets into the atmosphere. What is physically meant here needs to be a little clearer. Are these SCs usually part of biological fragments, or is this unknown?

Response: Sentence rephrased please see lines 78 – 81 and 85 - 87 in the revised MS.

#### Section 2.2:

Please provide more details of the sampling apparatus and methodology. Were these samples collected with a high-volume sampler? Where was it installed specifically, and at what height? What aerosol sizes were collected? Were there any measures to avoid the sampling of gas phase components? Did the 3-hr and 9-hr samples overlap

in time? What times were the samples started? Perhaps a table of sample collection times would be helpful.

Response: We added Table S1 that contains the detailed description of the sampling procedures and collections. Other information's are added in the text. Please see section "2.1. Site description and aerosol sample collection" in the revised MS.

The twelve (n = 12) aerosol samples on 15 to 18, September and 28 September to 5 October were collected for 9-h from 9 to 18 h. While twenty six (n = 26) aerosol samples of 19 to 27 september were collected from 9 to 12, 12 to 15, 15 to 18 h.

We did not use a denuder system to remove gaseous components in high volume air sampler.

Furthermore, what were the methods for determining the WSOC and total OC? How was Ca(2+) concentration determined? Was the filter cut into sections for each analysis?

Response: Information's are added in the revised manuscript. Please see lines 185 – 186 in the revised MS.

Line 132: What is meant by C13 n-alkane? Is this an isotope standard of one n-alkane? Which one? Or do you mean C13H28, n-tridecane?

Response:  $C_{13}$  n-alkane is n-tridecane ( $C_{13}H_{28}$ ).

Line 157: "Hence, it is evident that increased BB activities at nighttime are associated with cool temperature (Fig. 2)." Is this saying that because it's cool at night, it makes sense that there's more BB aerosol at night? Isn't it equally likely that the different air mass origins in the day and at night are the reason?

Response: The sentence is rephrased. Please see lines 242 - 243 in the revised MS. The day-night time difference of the air masses can also influence the concentrations of BB tracers. We added related text please see lines 242 - 250 in the revised MS.

Line 209: "the meteorological conditions". Is this referring to the strong daytime winds and convective activity? It would be clearer to state that directly.

Response: Modified. Please see lines 272 - 274 in the revised MS.

Line 254: "northeasterly (99.5%)". Does this mean 99.5% of the nighttime hours the wind was northeasterly? Please clarify in the text.

Response: Yes, sentence rephrased (Please see lines 308-310 in revised MS).

Line 255: What would cause sugar emissions to decrease with lower temperature? Is there supporting literature for this?

Response: The daytime abmient temperature and solar radiations significantly affect plant activities and, subsequently, emissions of sugar enriched plant fragments. Therefore, the contribution of primary sugars at night was lower than in daytime. Miyazaki et al. (2012) reported the emissions of sugar compounds associeated with

light and ambient temoerature at forest site. Please see lines 311 - 317 in the revised MS.

Line 268: Trehalose paragraph. Trehalose didn't show a strong diurnal cycle, but the authors point out a correlation between trehalose and mannitol and arabitol at night, and between trehalose and Ca (2+) in the day. It would be helpful to at least report the corresponding correlation coefficients for the day and night, respectively, for comparison, and possibly to include the corresponding figures in Figure 5.

Response: We added correlation coefficient values in the text. We also added a new Table (Table 2) for correlation of sugar species and meteorological parameters in the manuscript. Please see lines 332 - 334, 336 - 337 in the revised MS.

Line 272: Why would nighttime low RH and temp cause microorganisms to emit more trehalose? Please cite a reference. Again, the use of "emit" here can be confusing. Is it the release of spores that prefers these conditions?

Response: Several studies have reported that the meteorological conditions, i.e., high RH and low temperature, are favorable for the microbes and fungi to discharge spores. The high RH and low temperature was recorded at nigh time therefore the microbes emits spores at night. We added information in the revised manuscript. Please see lines 328-339 in the revised MS. References are also added in the text and references section.

Line 310: Aren't the Mt. Tai measurements higher than Mangshan, not lower?

Response: We deleted this comparison. Please see in the revised MS.

Lines 315-319: I don't understand the reasoning here. How does RH relate to transport from megacities as an explanation for fungal aerosol?

Response: Rephrased. Please see lines 368 – 375, 378-385 in the revised MS.

Line 350: Separate the Factor 3 and Factor 4 descriptions into separate paragraphs.

Response: Factor 3 and Factor 4 are described into separate paragraphs (Please see line 440-457 in revised MS).

Line 352: "The PMF results are very well supported by the fact that anhydrosugars are associated with BB in the Mangshan site." Is this referring to results from a previous study? Please cite it.

Response: We modified the phases. Please see lines 448-449 in the revised MS.

Line 410: "Our results also denote that secondary production of OC and WSOC from BB-derived organic precursors was crucial during nighttime at the Mangshan site." What evidence shows this? And do you mean that organic compounds went through chemical changes to form aerosol OC and WSOC, or simply that organics produced during biomass burning were incorporated into aerosol after the burning? In either case, I don't see how we know that.

Response: We deleted the sentence.

#### **Anonymous Referee #2**

Verma et al. discuss observations of sugars found in the aerosol-phase collected for 1 month in a forested site north of Beijing. The aerosol were collected onto filters and analyzed for the sugars. The authors then describe the pattern of the various sugars throughout the study period and speculate the sources via differences in day- and night-time mass concentration, wind patterns, and PMF. They discuss 5 potential sources, including biomass burning, vegetation, microbial and soil dust, pollen, and fungal.

The results presented here may be of interest to the audience and its scope generally fits within a measurement report. However, along with the concerns discussed by Reviewer #1, the authors nee to address the comments and concerns presented below prior to consideration for publication in ACP.

Major: (1) Statistics: Throughout the text, the authors state that the results are statistically different. However, conducting the t-test with the mean and standard deviation values listed in the table, majority of the observations are statistically similar at the 95% confidence interval and not statistically different. The lack of statistical difference in the observations makes many of the statements the authors use to differentiate day/night and thus sources less substantiated. Further, the correlations shown by the authors in Fig. 5 have very low R values (as stated throughout the text) and suggest that many of the correlations only explain 50% or less of the mass concentration.

Response: We found a positive correlation between sucrose and ambient temperature (r = 0.52), sucrose and solar radiation (r = 0.55), mannitol and RH (r = 0.57), trehalose and arabitol (r=0.58), trehalose and mannitol (r=0.58), with significance levels <0.05. Therefore we mentioned those positive linear correlation values in the text and discussed accordingly.

(2) Contextualization of results: I agree with Reviewer #1 that the listing of numbers from prior results makes it difficult to understand the conclusions in each section and the whole paper. Further, as highlighted with point (1) above, the data not being statistically different makes sections 3.1.1 thru 3.1.3 very long and repetitive. Also, the listing of numbers from prior studies to ascribe sources for the sugars makes the source apportionment very uncertain. This is also relevant for Section 3.5, where they found no differences in the levoglusoan/mannosan ratio and spend 1.5 pages on this. If this is important, it could be summarized in one paragraph at most.

Response: We deleted repeated sentences, several comparisons with previous studies and significantly modified section 3.1.1. to 3.1.3. We also shorten section 3.5. Please see section 3.1.1 - 3.1.3 and 3.5 in the revised MS.

(3) Methods: Reviewer #1 highlighted many of the methods that should be discussed in more detail. Further, PMF needs to be described in more detail to understand how the 5 results were determined (e.g., how many solutions were there allowed to be, how did the time series look, were the results compared against and investigated against external variables, etc.). Also, agree with Reviewer #1 in how were WSOC, OC, Ca2+, etc determined.

Response: Authors thank the reviewer's valuable comments and suggestions. We make significant changes in the manuscript especially in the section 2 (Materials and methods), and section 3 (Results and discussions). Please see the revised MS.

We added information about the PMF analysis in the revised MS. Please see lines 187 - 201, 389 - 407, 418 - 429, 442 - 445, 453 - 457, 460 - 462 in the revised MS.

We added Figure S-1 and S-2 as supporting information in the revised MS.

Figure S-1. The scatter plots between observed (input data) and predicted (modeled data) concentrations show statistical parameter (coefficient of determination (r), Intercept, and Slope) with linear equitation of individual sugar compounds. (A blue 1:1 line is provided on this plot for reference (a perfect fit would line up exactly on this line), and the regression line is shown as a dotted red line).

Figure S-2. The time series plots between observed (input data) and predicted (modeled data) concentrations of individual sugar compounds. Blue and red lines are shown for observed (input data) and predicted (modeled data) concentrations, respectively.

(4) PMF: I think this is the more interesting and compelling part of the paper. I highly recommend the authors spend more time expanding on this section while reducing the discussion in the other sections. As highlighted above, there are statistical concerns, thus shortening them while increasing the discussion about PMF, which had lower statistical concern.

Response: We added more sentences in the PMF analysis section. Please see line 386 – 468 in the revised MS.

(5) Figures: The x-axis/date is very hard to read in all figures. It is unclear what the values are shown in different colors in Fig. 3.

Response: We separated Figure 3 as Figures 4a-c, 5a-d and 6a-c. We also modified x-axis in the manuscript and changes are added in the figure captions.

Minor: Please review the grammar throughout the paper, as highlighted by Reviewer #1.

Response: According to the reviewer's suggestion, whole manuscript is properly checked for the grammatical mistakes.

Fig. 2.




Fig. 4.




Fig. 5.





Fig. 6.



**Table 2.** Statistical summary of correlations among the chemical species and meteorological variables in aerosol samples collected at a forest site in northern Japan.

Linear regression	Correlation coefficient	p value	Significance of correlation at P value < 0.05			
	<b>Overall (n = 58)</b>					
Levoglucosan vs. Galactosan	0.98	< 0.05	Significant Significant			
Levoglucosan vs. Mannosan	0.97	< 0.05	Significant			
Mannosan vs. Galactosan	0.98	< 0.05	Significant			
Sucrose vs. Temperature	0.52	< 0.05	Significant			
Sucrose vs. Solar radiation	0.55	< 0.05	Significant			
Arabitol vs. Mannitol	0.81	< 0.05	Significant			
Arabitol vs. RH	0.69	< 0.05	Significant			
Mannitol vs. RH	0.57	< 0.05	Significant			
Glucose vs. Fructose	0.94	< 0.05	Significant			
Trehalose vs. Arabitol	0.58	< 0.05	Significant			
Trehalose vs. Mannitol	0.58	< 0.05	Significant			
Trehalose vs. Ca <sup>2+</sup>	0.70	< 0.05	Significant			
	Daytime (n = 38)					
Sucrose vs. Ca <sup>2+</sup>	0.32	> 0.05	Not significant			
Glucose vs. Ca <sup>2+</sup>	0.02	> 0.05	Not significant			
Trehalose vs. Arabitol	0.49	< 0.05	Significant			
Trehalose vs. Mannitol	0.51	< 0.05	Significant			
Trehalose vs. Ca <sup>2+</sup>	0.81	< 0.05	Significant			
Fructose vs. Mannitol	0.79	< 0.05	Significant			
Levoglucosan vs. OC	0.45	< 0.05	Significant			
Levoglucosan vs. WSOC	0.40	< 0.05	Significant			
	Nighttime (n = 20)					
Sucrose vs. Ca <sup>2+</sup>	0.37	> 0.05	Not significant			
Glucose vs. Ca <sup>2+</sup>	0.27	> 0.05	Not significant			
Trehalose vs. Arabitol	0.76	< 0.05	Significant			
Trehalose vs. Mannitol	0.85	< 0.05	Significant			
Trehalose vs. Ca <sup>2+</sup>	0.61	< 0.05	Significant			
Fructose vs. Mannitol	0.86	< 0.05	Significant			
Levoglucosan vs. OC	0.81	< 0.05	Significant			
Levoglucosan vs. WSOC	0.70	< 0.05	Significant			

# **Supporting Information**

Table S-1 Sampling informations.

Sample ID	Start Time	Finish Time	Center time	Total Time (Hours)	Total Air V (m <sup>3</sup> )	Filter Tota (cm <sup>2</sup> )
CHN-131	2007/9/15 9:08	2007/9/15 17:57	15/09/07 13:32	8:49	533.25	405.3
CHN-132	15/09/07 18:01	16/09/07 8:25	16/09/07 1:13	14:24	873.09	405.3
CHN-133	16/09/07 8:29	16/09/07 17:53	16/09/07 13:11	9:24	563.03	405.3
CHN-134	16/09/07 17:57	17/09/07 8:56	17/09/07 1:26	14:59	907.48	405.3
CHN-135	17/09/07 9:00	2007/9/17 17:38	17/09/07 13:19	8:38	515.44	405.3
CHN-136	18/09/07 9:58	18/09/07 18:03	18/09/07 14:00	8:05	490.5	405.3
CHN-137	2007/9/18 18:06	19/09/07 8:54	19/09/07 1:30	14:48	905.69	405.3
CHN-139	19/09/07 9:04	19/09/07 12:00	19/09/07 10:32	2:56	177.5	405.3
CHN-140	19/09/07 12:04	19/09/07 15:01	19/09/07 13:32	2:57	180.14	405.3
CHN-141	19/09/07 15:05	19/09/07 18:01	19/09/07 16:33	2:56	179.44	405.3
CHN-142	19/09/07 18:04	20/09/07 9:01	20/09/07 1:32	14:57	920.18	405.3
CHN-143	20/09/07 9:05	20/09/07 12:02	20/09/07 10:33	2:57	172.93	405.3
CHN-144	2007/9/20 12:06	2007/9/20 15:01	20/09/07 13:33	2:55	177.25	405.3
CHN-145	20/09/07 15:04	20/09/07 17:59	20/09/07 16:31	2:55	178.6	405.3
CHN-146	20/09/07 18:02	21/09/07 8:57	21/09/07 1:29	14:55	919.91	405.3
CHN-147	21/09/07 9:00	21/09/07 12:02	21/09/07 10:31	3:02	180.96	405.3
CHN-149	21/09/07 15:06	21/09/07 17:59	21/09/07 16:32	2:53	177.52	405.3
CHN-150	21/09/07 18:02	22/09/07 9:00	22/09/07 1:31	14:58	915.67	405.3
CHN-151	22/09/07 9:02	22/09/07 11:59	22/09/07 10:30	2:57	178.6	405.3
CHN-152	22/09/07 12:02	22/09/07 14:59	22/09/07 13:30	2:57	177.73	405.3
CHN-153	22/09/07 15:02	22/09/07 17:58	22/09/07 16:30	2:56	180.68	405.3
CHN-154	22/09/07 18:01	23/09/07 8:56	23/09/07 1:28	14:55	911.27	405.3
CHN-155	23/09/07 9:00	23/09/07 11:57	23/09/07 10:28	2:57	177.82	405.3
CHN-156	2007/9/23 12:00	23/09/07 14:59	23/09/07 13:29	2:59	179.3	405.3
CHN-157	23/09/07 15:02	23/09/07 17:59	23/09/07 16:30	2:57	180.75	405.3
CHN-158	23/09/07 18:03	24/09/07 8:55	24/09/07 1:29	14:52	918.89	405.3
CHN-159	24/09/07 8:59	24/09/07 11:56	24/09/07 10:27	2:57	178.16	405.3
CHN-160	24/09/07 11:59	24/09/07 14:57	24/09/07 13:28	2:58	180.62	405.3
CHN-161	2007/9/24 15:00	24/09/07 17:56	24/09/07 16:28	2:56	179.84	405.3
CHN-163	24/09/07 18:11	25/09/07 9:01	25/09/07 1:36	14:50	899.43	405.3
CHN-164	25/09/07 9:04	25/09/07 12:07	25/09/07 10:35	3:03	184.92	405.3
CHN-165	25/09/07 12:09	25/09/07 14:58	25/09/07 13:33	2:49	169.63	405.3
CHN-166	25/09/07 15:01	25/09/07 17:58	25/09/07 16:29	2:57	180.45	405.3
CHN-167	25/09/07 18:01	26/09/07 9:01	26/09/07 1:31	15:00	912.34	405.3
CHN-168	2007/9/26 9:04	26/09/07 11:59	26/09/07 10:31	2:55	176.33	405.3
CHN-169	26/09/07 12:02	26/09/07 14:58	26/09/07 13:30	2:56	176.46	405.3
CHN-170	26/09/07 15:01	26/09/07 17:58	26/09/07 16:29	2:57	181.09	405.3
CHN-171	26/09/07 18:01	27/09/07 8:56	27/09/07 1:28	14:55	916.56	405.3
CHN-172	27/09/07 8:59	27/09/07 12:00	27/09/07 10:29	3:01	183.05	405.3
CHN-173	27/09/07 12:03	27/09/07 15:01	27/09/07 13:32	2:58	180.88	405.3
CHN-174	27/09/07 15:04	27/09/07 17:59	27/09/07 16:31	2:55	179.04	405.3
CHN-175	27/09/07 18:02	28/09/07 9:15	28/09/07 1:38	15:13	936.45	405.3
CHN-176	28/09/07 9:19	28/09/07 17:57	28/09/07 13:38	8:38	519.1	405.3
CHN-178	28/09/07 18:10	29/09/07 8:59	29/09/07 1:34	14:49	907.18	405.3
CHN-179	29/09/07 9:01	29/09/07 17:59	29/09/07 13:30	8:58	539.66	405.3
CHN-180	29/09/07 18:01	30/09/07 8:57	30/09/07 1:29	14:56	922.34	405.3
CHN-181	30/09/07 9:00	30/09/07 17:57	30/09/07 13:28	8:57	531.89	405.3
CHN-182	30/09/07 18:00	01/10/07 8:55	01/10/07 1:27	14:55	896.33	405.3
CHN-183	01/10/07 8:58	01/10/07 17:54	01/10/07 13:26	8:56	538.04	405.3
CHN-184	01/10/07 17:57	02/10/07 8:56	02/10/07 1:26	14:59	926.33	405.3
CHN-185	02/10/07 8:59	02/10/07 17:56	02/10/07 13:27	8:57	531.43	405.3
CHN-186	02/10/07 17:59	03/10/07 8:59	03/10/07 1:29	15:00	913.14	405.3
CHN-187	03/10/07 9:01	03/10/07 17:52	03/10/07 13:26	8:51	523.19	405.3
CHN-188	03/10/07 17:54	04/10/07 8:57	04/10/07 1:25	15:03	919.84	405.3
CHN-189	04/10/07 9:00	04/10/07 17:56	04/10/07 13:28	8:56	535.79	405.3
CHN-190	04/10/07 18:00	05/10/07 8:59	05/10/07 1:29	14:59	918.46	405.3
CHN-191	05/10/07 9:04	05/10/07 17:56	05/10/07 13:30	8:52	535.52	405.3
CHN-192	05/10/07 17:59	06/10/07 8:53	06/10/07 1:26	14:54	906.91	405.3
CHN-138	19/09/07 9:00	blank	19/09/07 9:00			405.3
CHN-138	21/09/07 13:52	blank	21/09/07 13:52			405.3
CHN-146	24/09/07 17:58	blank	24/09/07 17:58			405.3
CHN-102	28/09/07 18:00	blank	28/09/07 18:00			405.3




Figure S-1. The Scatter plots between observed (input data) and predicted (modeled data) concentrations show statistical parameter (coefficient of determination (r), Intercept, and Slope) with linear equitation of individual sugar compounds. (A blue 1:1 line is provided on this plot for reference (a perfect fit would line up exactly on this line), and the regression line is shown as a dotted red line).




Figure S-2. The time series plots between observed (input data) and predicted (modeled data) concentrations of individual sugar compounds. (A blue line and redline shown as observed (input data) and predicted (modeled data) concentrations, respectively.

#### References:

- Akagi, S. K., Yokelson, R. J., Wiedinmyer, C., Alvarado, M. J., Reid, J. S., Karl, T., Crounse, J. D., and Wennberg, P. O.: Emission factors for open and domestic biomass burning for use in atmospheric models, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11, 4039–4072, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-11-4039-2011.
- Claeys, M., Graham, B., Vas, G., Wang, W., Vermeylen, R., Pashynska, V., Cafmeyer, J., Guyon, P., Andreae, M. O., Artaxo, P., and Maenhaut, W.: Formation of secondary organic aerosols through photooxidation of isoprene, Science, 303, 1173–1176, https://doi:10.1126/science.1092805, 2004.
- Davis, J. M., and Loescher, W. H.: [<sup>14</sup>C]-Assimilate translocation in the light and dark in celery (*Apium graveokns*) leaves of different ages, Physiol. Plant, 79, 656-662, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1399-3054.1990.tb00040.x, 1990.
- Davis, J. M., Fellman, J. K., and Loescher, W. H.: Biosynthesis of sucrose and mannitol as a function of leaf age in celery (*Apiulm graveolens* L.), Plant Physio., 186, 129-133, https://doi: 10.1104/pp.86.1.129, 1988.
- Després, V. R., Huffman, J. A., Burrows, S. M., Hoose, C., Safatov, A. S., Buryak, G., Fröhlich-Nowoisky, J., Elbert, W., Andreae, M. O., Pöschl, U., and Jaenicke, R.: Primary biological aerosol particles in the atmosphere: a review, Tellus B, 64, 15598, doi:10.3402/tellusb.v64i0.15598, 2012.
- Graham, B., Mayol-Bracero, O. L., Guyon, P., Roberts, G. C., Decesari, S., Facchini, M. C., Artaxo, P., Maenhaut, W., Koll, P., and Andreae, M. O.: Water-soluble organic compounds in biomass burning aerosols over Amazonia 1. Characterization by NMR and GC-MS, J. Geophys. Res., 107(D20), 8047, doi:10.1029/2001JD000336, 2002.
- Han, F., Kota, S. H., Wang, Y., & Zhang, H.: Source apportionment of PM<sub>2.5</sub> in Baton Rouge, Louisiana during 2009–2014. Science of the Total Environment, 586, 115–126. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.01.189, 2017.
- Heald, C. L., and Spracklen, D. V.: Atmospheric budget of primary biological aerosol particles from fungal spores, Geophys. Res. Lett., 36, L09806, doi:10.1029/2009GL037493, 2009.
- Hennigan, C. J., Sullivan, A. P., Collett Jr., J. L., and Robinson, A. L.: Levoglucosan stability in biomass burning particles exposed to hydroxyl radicals, Geophys. Res. Lett., 37, L09806, https://doi.org/10.1029/2010gl043088, 2010.
- Ibrahim, M., Rabah, A. B., Liman, B., and Ibrahim, N. T.: Effect of temperature and relative humidity on the growth of Helminthosporium fulvum, Nigerian J. Basic Appli. Sci., 19, 127–129, 2011.
- Jones, E. B. G., and Mitchell, J. I.: Biodiversity of marine fungi, in biodiversity: international biodiversity seminar, edited by: Cimerman, A. and Gunde-Cimerman, N., 31–42, Ljubljana: National Inst. Chemistry and Slovenia National Commission for UNESCO, 1996.
- Kawamura, K., Tachibana, E., Okuzawa, K., Aggarwal, S. G., Kanaya, Y., Wang, Z. F.: High abundances of water-soluble dicarboxylic acids, ketocarboxylic acids and a-dicarbonyls in the mountaintop aerosols over the north China plain during wheat burning season, Atmos. Chem. Phys. 13 (16), 8285-8302, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-13-8285-2013, 2013.
- Keller, F., and Matile, P.: Storage of sugars and mannitol in petioles of celery leaves, New Phytol. 113:291–299, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.1989.tb02406.x, 1989.

- Kim, V. K. and Xiao, C. L.: Influence of culture media and environmental factors on mycelial growth and picnidial production of Sphaeropsis pyriputrescens, Mycologia, 97, 25–32, 2005.
- Lelieveld, J., Evans, J.S., Fnais, M., Giannadaki, D., Pozzer, A.: The contribution of outdoor air pollution sources to premature mortality on a global scale, Nature, 525 (7569), 367-371. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature15371, 2015.
- Lewis, D. and Smith, D.: Sugar alcohols (polyols) in fungi and green plants, 1. Distribution, physiology and metabolism, New Phytol., 66, 143–184, https://doi.org/10.2307/2430328, 1967.
- Li, W. J., Shao, L. Y., and Buseck, P. R.: Haze types in Beijing and the influence of agricultural biomass burning, Atmos. Chem. Phys. 10 (17), 8119-8130. https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-10-8119-2010, 2010.
- Loescher, W. H., Tyson, R. H., Everard, J. D., Redgwell, R. J., and Bieleski, R. L.: Mannitol synthesis in higher plants: evidence for the role and characterization of a NADPH-Dependent mannose 6-Phosphate reductase, Plant Physiol., 98(4), 1396–1402, https://doi:10.1104/pp.98.4.1396, 1992.
- Malik, V. K. and Singh, S.: Effect of temperature and relative humidity on teliospore germination in Ustilago hordei, J. Mycol. Plant Pathol., 34, 410–411, 2004.
- Manninen, H. E., Bäck, J., Sihto Nissilä, S. L., Huffman, J. A., Pessi, A. M., Hiltunen, V., Aalto, P. P., Hidalgo Fernández, P. J., Hari, P., and Saarto, A.: Patterns in airborne pollen and other primary biological aerosol particles (PBAP), and their contribution to aerosol mass and number in a boreal forest, Boreal Environ. Res., 19, 383–405, 2014.
- Miyazaki, Y., Fu., P. Q., Ono, K., Tachibana, E., and Kawamura, K.: Seasonal cycles of water-soluble organic nitrogen aerosols in a deciduous broadleaf forest in northern Japan, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 119, 1440–1454, doi:10.1002/2013JD020713, 2014.
- Miyazaki, Y., Jung, J., Fu, P. Q., Mizoguchi, Y., Yamanoi, K., and Kawamura, K.: Evidence of formation of submicrometer water-soluble organic aerosols at a deciduous forest site in northern Japan in summer, J. Geophys. Res., 117, D19213, doi:10.1029/2012JD018250, 2012.
- Pashynska, V., Vermeylen, R., Vas, G., Maenhaut, W., and Claeys, M.: Development of a gas chromatographic/ion trap mass spectrometric method for the determination of levoglucosan and saccharidic compounds in atmospheric aerosols. Application to urban aerosols, J. Mass Spectrom., 37, 1249–1257, https://doi.org/10.1002/jms.391, 2002.
- Rumpho, M. E., Edwards, G. E., and Loescher, W. H.: A pathway for photosynthetic carbon flow to mannitol in celery leaves. Activity and localization of key enzymes, Plant Physiol, 73:869–873, https://doi:10.1104/pp.73.4.869, 1983.
- Sharma, R. and Rajak, R. C.: Keratinophilic fungi: Nature's keratin degrading machines, Resonance, 8, 28–30, 2003.
- Sun, Y., Jiang, Q., Xu, Y., Ma, Y., Zhang, Y., Liu, X., Li, W., Wang, F., Li, J., Wang, P., Li, Z.: Aerosol characterization over the north China plain: haze life cycle and biomass burning impacts in summer, J. Geophys. Res. 121 (5), 2508-2521. https://doi.org/10.1002/2015JD024261, 2016.
- Xu, S., Ren, L., Lang, Y., Hou, S., Ren, H., Wei, L., Wu, L., Deng, J., Hu, W., Pan, X., Sun, Y., Wang, Z., Su, H., Cheng, Y., and Fu, P Q.: Molecular markers of biomass burning and primary biological aerosols in urban Beijing: size distribution and seasonal variation, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 20, 3623–3644,

- https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-20-3623-2020, 2020.
- Yan, C., Zheng, M., Sullivan, A. P., Shen, G., Chen, Y., Wang, S., Zhao, B., Cai, S., Desyaterik, Y., Li, X., Zhou, T., Gustafsson, O., and Collett, J. L.: Residential coal combustion as a source of levoglucosan in China, Environ. Sci. Technol. 2018, 52, 3, 1665–1674 http://doi:10.1021/acs.est.7b05858, 2018.
- Zhu, C., Kawamura, K., Fukuda, Y., Mochida, M., and Iwamoto. Y: Fungal spores overwhelm biogenic organic aerosols in a midlatitudinal forest, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 16, 7497–7506, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-16-7497-2016, 2016.

# List of all relevant changes

- 1. We add three key points in the revised MS.
- 2. We added new paragraph in the introduction section.
- 3. The materials and methods sections were modified by adding new information and subsection.
- 4. We modified the discussion section by re-organizing paragraphs.
- 5. We added new figure as figure 2b and moved figure 4 to Figure 3.
- 6. We split figure 3 into three Figures as Figure 4 (anhydrosugars), Figure 5 (primary sugars), and Figure 6 (sugar alcohols). We added the modified version of Figure 9. We changed Figure 5 to table 2.
- 7. We added table S-1 (sampling information), Figure S-1 (PMF results), and Figure S-2 (PMF results) as supplementary material.
- 8. We went through the paper and corrected the typos. We also rewrote sentences that were difficult to read.

- 1 Measurement report: Diurnal and temporal variations of sugar compounds in suburban
- 2 aerosols from the northern vicinity of Beijing, China: An influence of biogenic and
- 3 anthropogenic sources

4

- 5 Santosh Kumar Verma,<sup>1,2</sup> Kimitaka Kawamura,<sup>1,3\*</sup> Fei Yang,<sup>1,4</sup> Pingqing Fu,<sup>1,5</sup> Yugo
- 6 Kanaya, and Zifa Wang<sup>7</sup>
- 7 Institute of Low Temperature Science, Hokkaido University, Sapporo 060-0819, Japan
- 8 <sup>2</sup>State Forensic Science Laboratory, Home Department, Government of Chhattisgarh, Raipur
- 9 491001, India
- <sup>3</sup>Now at Chubu Institute for Advanced Studies, Chubu University, Kasugai 487-8501, Japan
- <sup>4</sup>Graduate School of Environmental Science, Hokkaido University, Sapporo 060-0810, Japan
- <sup>5</sup>Institute of Surface-Earth System Science, Tianjin University, Tianjin 300072, China
- 13 <sup>6</sup>Research Institute for Global Change, Japan Agency for Marine-Earth Science and Technology,
- 14 Yokohama, Japan
- 15 <sup>7</sup>LAPC, Institute of Atmospheric Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China
- \*Corresponding author: Kimitaka Kawamura
- 17 E-mail: kkawamura@isc.chubu.ac.jp
- 18 Key points:

19

- 20 1. Autumn time observations of sugar compounds (SCs) in the northern vicinity of Beijing, China.
- 2. Influence of natural biogenic emissions on SCs from forest area.
- 3. Influence of anthropogenic and bioaerosol on SCs from the Beijing area.
- 4. Biomass burning is a significant contributor to SCs.
- 5. Biogenic and fungal-microbial emissions are essential sources for mannitol and arabitol.

252627

#### Abstract

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

55

Sugar compounds (SCs) are major water-soluble constituents in atmospheric aerosols. In this study, we investigated their molecular compositions and abundances in the northern receptor site (Mangshan) of Beijing, China, to better understand the contributions from biogenic and anthropogenic sources using a gas chromatography-mass spectrometry technique. The sampling site receives anthropogenic air mass transported from Beijing by southerly winds, while northerly winds transport relatively clean air mass from the forest areas. Day- and nighttime variations were analyzed for anhydrosugars, primary sugars, and sugar alcohols in autumn 2007. We found that biomass burning (BB) tracers were more abundant in nighttime than daytime, while other SCs showed different diurnal variations. Levoglucosan was found as a dominant sugar among the SCs observed, indicating an intense influence of local BB for cooking and space heating at the surroundings of the Mangshan site. The high levels of arabitol and mannitol in daytime suggest a significant contribution of locally emitted fungal spores and long-range transported bioaerosols from the Beijing area. The plant emissions from Mangshan forest park significantly control the diurnal variations of glucose, fructose, and mannitol. The meteorological parameters (relative humidity, temperature, and rainfall) significantly affect the concentrations and diurnal variations of SCs. Sucrose (pollen tracer) showed a clear diurnal variation, peaking in the daytime due to higher ambient temperature and wind speed, which influences the pollen release from the forest plants. We found the contribution of trehalose from soil dust in daytime, while microbial and fungal spores were responsible for nighttime. Anhydrosugar and primary sugars are prime carbon sources of the Mangshan aerosols. The high ratios of levoglucosan in organic carbon and water soluble organic carbon in nighttime suggest a significant contribution of BB to organic aerosols at night. Levoglucosan/mannosan ratios demonstrate that low temperature burning of hardwood is dominant in Mangshan. The positive matrix factorization analysis concluded that forest vegetation, fungal species, and local BB are the significant sources of SCs.

- **Keywords:** Anthropogenic bioaerosols, biomass burning, pollen tracer, fungal tracers, soil dust,
- and microbial tracers

#### 1. Introduction

Increased economic growth and massive consumption of fossil fuels from industries emit anthropogenic gases, aerosols, and biomass burning (BB) products cause severe air pollution in East Asian countries (Lelieveld et al., 2015; Lin et al., 2014; Kawamura et al., 2013; Li et al., 2010; Sun et al., 2016). On a global scale, one-fourth of anthropogenic aerosols is contributed by China, and approximately 70% of which emitted from coal burning (Streets et al., 2003). Beijing is situated in the northern part of China, with a 20 million people and 5 million motor vehicles. Beijing is one of the largest polluted cities in East Asia; its air quality deteriorates seriously due to massive emissions of organic aerosols (OAs) from vehicles and industries (Cao et al., 2014; Qiao et al., 2018; Tao et al., 2017; Wei et al., 2018; Yu et al., 2013). OAs are comprised with a complex mixture of diverse molecules (Xu et al., 2011). They play essential roles in global climate changes via the modification of radiative forcing and cause a serious negative impact on human health (Fuzzi et al., 2007). OAs contain various water-soluble organic compounds, which can act as cloud condensation nuclei (CCN) (Kanakidou et al., 2005).

BB is essentially a primary source of OAs, controlling the air quality levels and affecting the earth's radiative forcing by scattering or absorbing incident solar radiation (Deshmukh et al., 2019a; Kanakidou et al., 2005; Kanaya et al. 2013; Streets et al., 2003; Sullivan et al., 2008). There are several kinds of BB, including industrial biofuel burning, open field burning (fires of the forest, peatlands, and agricultural wastes), and domestic BB burning for house heating and cooking, which emits BB products into the atmosphere (Akagi et al., 2011). The BB aerosols are subjected to long-range atmospheric transport once they are emitted into the atmosphere (Verma et al., 2015). Levoglucosan (1,6-anhydro- $\beta$ -D-glucopyranose) is a pyrolysis product of cellulose and hemicellulose, which is generally found as major organic constituents in the BB-influenced aerosols (Simoneit et al., 1999; 2002). Levoglucosan have been reported as a specific tracer for BB aerosols (Engling et al., 2009).

Sugar compounds (SCs) are ubiquitous in the atmosphere from different geographical locations, including urban, forest, marine, and polar regions (Burshtein et al., 2011; Fu et al., 2010; Wan et al., 2017). SCs are emitted from algae, microbes, pollen, suspended soil particle, and associated biota into the atmosphere by various processes, and thus they are termed as primary biological aerosol particles (PBAPs) (Carvalho et al., 2003; Despres et al., 2012; Elbert et al., 2007). The detailed study of bio-aerosols has been emphasized in the past decades due to the global impact of microbes and fungi because they can travel long distances from the source regions by winds (Burshtein et al., 2011; Brown and Hovmoller, 2002; Yamaguchi et al., 2012).

Fungi are essential microbes in the ecosystem, which discharge spores of 8-186 Tg yr<sup>-1</sup> into the atmospheric environment (Elbert et al., 2007; Heald and Spracklen, 2009). Sugar alcohols like arabitol and mannitol are enriched in fungal spores; thus, they are considered as specific tracers (Bauer et al., 2008).

Devis et al. (1988, 1990) reported that mannitol was also found in about 70 different higher plant families. Loescher et al. (1992) reported that mannitol is an important photosynthetic product converted by biosynthesis in plants. Keller and Matile (1989) also found the arabitol and mannitol during the increased photosynthesis in growing vegetation. Pollens are the largest particles that could contribute up to 65% of the PBAPs, which are the significant sources for sucrose and fructose in the forest aerosols (Manninen et al., 2014; Pacini, 2000). Higher plants synthesize primary sugars (glucose, fructose, and sucrose) during photosynthesis, which are circulated by phloem to accumulate in root cells and to develop plant sections (Jaenicke, 2005; Jia et al., 2010; Pacini, 2000). Cowie et al. (1984) also reported various sugars in terrestrial plant fruits, flowers, and plant tissues. Bieleski (1995) reported that glucose, fructose, and sucrose are well-known components of microbes and invertebrates. The plant debris, as well as lichens, invertebrates, and soil dust, are also recognized as possible sources of primary sugars in the atmosphere (Medeiros et al., 2006; Rogge et al., 2007; Simoneit et al., 2004).

Previous studies analyzed aerosol samples for SCs and discussed several factors to control their local and global atmospheric levels. Recently, Xu et al. (2020) examined the seasonal molecular distributions of primary biological aerosols and BB aerosol samples collected from urban Beijing. They reported a high level of arabitol, mannitol, sucrose, glucose, and fructose in the vegetation-growing season. Kang et al. (2018) also reported higher concentrations of sugars in the urban aerosols from Beijing. They suggested a large contribution of coal combustion and agriculture residue burning under stable meteorological conditions in winter and spring. Verma et al. (2015, 2018) reported that the atmospheric circulations and long-range transport of organic-/bio-aerosols from East Asia significantly control the levels and compositions of SCs over the western North Pacific. The above studies discussed the several factors that affect the concentrations of SCs in the aerosol samples collected from urban and remote areas.

In this study, we conducted analyses of SCs in the aerosol samples collected from the northern vicinity of Beijing City in 2007. Here, we present comprehensive data sets of anhydrosugars, primary sugars, and sugar alcohols in the suburban aerosol samples and their diurnal variations to explain the source variance following the wind patterns in the day- and nighttime. The positive matrix factorization (PMF) has been applied to clarify the different

sources of measured SCs in the aerosol. We present the influence of local meteorology of sampling site and atmospheric transport from Beijing by southerly winds and Mangshan National Forest Park by northerly winds on the molecular distributions of SCs. Using the mass concentration ratio of levoglucosan to mannosan, we explain the relative contribution of hard and softwood burning to the air quality of Mangshan. This study also discussed carbon contributions of SCs and BB measured in the Mangshan aerosol samples from different sources.

## 2. Materials and Methods

## 2.1. Site description and aerosol sample collection

The sampling site (Mangshan: 40.28 N, 116.26 E) is located 40 km north of Beijing. A detailed description of the sampling site is given in He et al. (2014, 2015). Briefly, Mangshan is surrounded by urban areas in the south and forest areas with the national park in the north (Fig. 1). The ambient temperature was higher in daytime (23.9°C) than nighttime (12.1°C), with an average of 17.8°C during the campaign. The relative humidity (RH) varied significantly from 22.1% to 90.5%, with an average of 51.7% during the study period. The rainfall was observed at midnight on 15<sup>th</sup> September, the morning of 17<sup>th</sup> to evening 18<sup>th</sup> September, the night of 26<sup>th</sup> September, and light rain lasted from 4<sup>th</sup> October to the end of the campaign (Fig. 2a). Interestingly, the sampling site is characterized by a specific wind pattern, i.e., southwest wind (69.9%) prevailed, followed by northeast wind (23.4%) and southeast wind (6.2%) during the daytime (Fig. 2a). The northeast wind (99.5%) was dominated at night, which is consistent with the air mass back trajectories (He et al., 2014) (Fig. 2b). The daytime wind from the southwest direction passed over Beijing, delivering anthropogenic air mass to the Mangshan site.

Detailed descriptions of the total suspended particulate (TSP) samples collected at Mangshan are given in He et al. (2014, 2015). Briefly, The aerosol samples were collected near the entrance of Mangshan National Forest Park. The elevation of the sampling location is 187 m above sea level. TSP (size <100 μm) samples were collected on pre-combusted (450°C for 6 h) quartz fiber filters (Pallflex 2500QAT-UP, 20 cm × 25cm) from 15<sup>th</sup> September to 5<sup>th</sup> October 2007. A high-volume air sampler (Kimoto-AS810A) at a flow rate of 1.13-1.17 m³ min⁻¹ was used to collect the TSP samples. After sample collection, the individual filters were placed in pre-combusted glass jars with Teflon-lined screw caps and stored in a dark, cold room at −20°C to prevent microbial activity and loss of semi-volatile organic compounds from the samples. In this study, a total of 58 filter samples were analyzed. We collected 3h daytime (from 9 to 12, 12 to 15, 15 to 18 h) (n=26), 9h daytime (from 9 to 18 h) (n=12), and 15h nighttime (from 18 to 9 h) (n=20)

samples together with four field blanks. Table S1 shows the details of aerosol sample collection in the Mangshan site.

# 2.2. Extraction and derivatization of samples

A total of 58 aerosol samples were analyzed for anhydrosugars, primary sugars, and sugar alcohols (Table 1). The sample filters (approximately 21 cm²) were extracted with a dichloromethane and methanol mixture (2:1) under ultrasonication. Pasteur pipettes packed with pre-combusted quartz wool were used to filter the extracts to remove filter debris. After filtration, the extracts were concentrated in a rotary evaporator under vacuum and dried by nitrogen blowdown. The extracts were reacted with 60 μl of N,O-bis-(trimethylsilyl)trifluoroacetamide (BSTFA) with 1% trimethylsilyl (TMS) chloride in the presence of 10 μL of pyridine at 70°C for three hours to derivatize hydroxyl (OH) and carboxyl (COOH) groups into corresponding trimethylsilyl (TMS) ethers and esters, respectively, After the reaction, n-hexane was used for dilution, and C<sub>13</sub> n-alkane was added as an internal standard before GC-MS analysis.

## 2.3. Gas chromatography-mass spectrometry determination of sugar compounds (SCs)

Details of GC-MS operation and identification of SCs are described in Verma et al. (2015, 2018). Briefly, GC-MS analyses were performed on Agilent model 6890 gas chromatograph (GC) combined with an Agilent model 5973 mass selective detector (MSD) to determine SCs. The mass spectrometer was operated in the electron ionization (EI) mode at 70 eV with a scan range of *m/z* 40–650. The GC separation was achieved on a DB-5MS fused silica capillary column (30 m × 0.25 mm in diameter, 0.25 µm film thickness) and a split/splitless injector. The GC oven temperature was programmed to maintain at 50°C for 2 min and then to increase from 50 to 120°C at a rate of 15°C min<sup>-1</sup>, then from 120 to 305°C at a rate of 5°C min<sup>-1</sup>. The final isotherm holds at 305°C for 15 min. Helium was used as the carrier gas at a flow rate of 1.0 mL min<sup>-1</sup>. The sample was injected on a splitless mode at 280°C injector temperature. GC-MS data were acquired and processed with Agilent GC/MSD ChemStation software.

The individual compounds (TMS derivatives) were identified by comparing the relative response factors determined by the injection of authentic standards and those reported in the literature and library texts (Claeys et al., 2004). Fragment ions of sugar compounds at 217 and 204 were used for quantifications. Total ten sugar compounds, including three anhydrosugars (levoglucosan, galactosan, mannosan), four primary sugars (glucose, fructose, sucrose, trehalose and xylose) and three sugar alcohols (arabitol, mannitol, and inositol), were detected in the

Mangshan aerosols. Field blanks were treated as a real sample and analyzed by the procedure used for the real samples. Recoveries for SCs were better than 85% as obtained by the standards spiked to precombusted quartz filter followed by extraction and derivatization. Based on the duplicate analysis, the analytical errors in the concentrations of the detected compounds were obtained to be within 10%. The detection limits of SCs corresponds to ambient concentrations of 150-620 pg μL<sup>-1</sup>, which corresponds to ambient concentrations of 15-70 pg m<sup>-3</sup> under a typical sampling volume of 900 m<sup>3</sup>. The data of water-soluble organic carbon (WSOC), organic carbon (OC), and inorganic ions (Ca<sup>2+</sup>) were reported in He et al. (2015).

# 2.4. Positive Matrix Factorization (PMF) Analysis

Positive matrix factorization (PMF) is a powerful statistical tool for resolving the potential sources contributing to atmospheric particles (Paatero and Tapper, 1994). The measured ambient concentrations and method detection limits (MDLs) of SCs were used to calculate the uncertainties. The measured concentrations of SCs below or equal to the MDLs were replaced by half of the MDL, and associated uncertainties were set at 5/6 of the MDL [(5/6) × MDL] values of each sample. The geometric mean concentrations were used for missing concentrations, and the uncertainty of the concentrations greater than the MDL was calculated based on the following equation:

Uncertainty = 
$$\sqrt{\text{(error fraction} \times \text{concentration)}^2 + (0.5 \times \text{MDL})^2}$$

The error fraction is a user-provided estimation of the analytical uncertainty of the measured concentration or flux. For example, Han et al. (2017) used an error fraction of 0.2-0.3 for organics and 0.2 for all the species. In this work, the error fraction was set to be 0.3 for all species. Paatero et al. (2002) and Zhou et al. (2004) reported detailed discussions of the determination and application of PMF analysis.

# 3. Results and Discussion

#### 3.1. Ambient concentrations and diurnal variations of SCs

We detected a total of ten SCs, including three anhydrosugars, four primary sugars, and three sugar alcohols in the Mangshan aerosol samples. Figure 3a-c showed the temporal variations and Table 1 showed minimum, maximum, and average concentrations of anhydrosugars, primary sugars, and sugar alcohols with a standard deviation. The overall concentrations of SCs varied from 30.8–875 ng m<sup>-3</sup> (avg. 325 ng m<sup>-3</sup>), showed insignificant diurnal variations. Interestingly, higher average concentrations of SCs were reported for the aerosol samples collected from at Mt.

Tai (daytime 640 ng m<sup>-3</sup> and nighttime 799 ng m<sup>-3</sup>) in the North China Plain (Fu et al., 2008) than the Mangshan aerosol. The diurnal concentrations of SCs may be significantly influenced by vegetation and BB activities in the Mangshan site. SCs are significantly contributed by plant fractions and fungus from the forest area (Zhu et al., 2016). The meteorological parameters also affect the concentrations of SCs in the forest site (Miyazaki et al., 2012).

In addition, anthropogenic aerosols emitted from urban areas are probably transported to the northern receptor site in daytime by a southerly wind (He et al., 2014; 2015). Therefore, the high levels of SCs in daytime may be related to the transport of organic and bio-aerosols from urban regions. The nighttime, the wind direction is shifted to northerly, delivering comparatively clean air masses from the Mangshan National Forest area to the sampling site. Air mass from the forest may significantly contribute to nighttime SCs in the Mangshan site. The influence of local sources and long-range transported aerosols on the SCs will be discussed in sections 3.1.1 to 3.1.3.

# 3.1.1. Ambient concentrations and diurnal variations of anhydrosugars

The average concentrations of anhydrosugars were found 116 ng m<sup>-3</sup>, contributing 31.9% of overall SCs in the Mangshan aerosols (Table 1). Figure 4a-c shows the temporal variations of anhydrosugars. They are more abundant in nighttime (avg. 152 ng m<sup>-3</sup>) than daytime (avg. 97.1 ng m<sup>-3</sup>). Levoglucosan (100 ng m<sup>-3</sup>) is the most abundant anhydrosugar followed by galactosan (10.1 ng m<sup>-3</sup>) and mannosan (6.05 ng m<sup>-3</sup>) detected in Mangshan aerosols. Kang et al. (2018) reported high levels of levoglucosan (avg. 110 ng m<sup>-3</sup>) in autumn aerosols from Beijing, China. It is well known that biofuel burning is the common energy source for cooking and house heating in China in winter and autumn (Verma et al., 2015), thus the domestic BB activities in the surroundings of the Mangshan site significantly contribute to the levoglucosan. BB tracers showed significant positive correlations with each other (levoglucosan and galactosan, r = 0.98; levoglucosan and mannosan, r = 0.97; galactosan and mannosan, r = 0.98), suggesting their similar sources in the Mangshan aerosols (Table 2).

The levoglucosan concentrations showed significant diurnal variations, which was higher in nighttime (avg. 132 ng m<sup>-3</sup>) than daytime (avg. 83.2 ng m<sup>-3</sup>) (Table 1). A similar diurnal pattern was also found for the concentrations of galactosan and mannosan. The increased concentrations of BB tracers were observed during the periods of lower ambient temperature (Figs. 2a, 4a-c). The higher ambient temperature was recorded in daytime between 09h to 15h during the campaign, associated with declined BB activities. In this sequence, the nighttime samples were collected from 18:00h to 09:00h, including peak hours of BB for domestic purpose. Therefore, it is

reasonable to detect higher abundances of BB tracers in the nighttime than daytime. Hence, it is evident that BB activities were increased at night because of cooking and house heating at cool night in autumn. In addition, recent studies reported the widespread BB aerosols in the North China Plain, including megacities such as Beijing, Nanjing, Hebei, and Tianjin (Lelieveld et al., 2015; Kawamura et al., 2013; Li et al., 2010; Sun et al., 2016). Therefore, the atmospheric transport of BB aerosols from the urban area to the Mangshan site by southerly winds cannot be excluded. The diurnal variations of levoglucosan may be significantly influenced by the local BB activities and transported BB aerosols from urban areas, where BB products are generated by brown coal combustion (Yan et al., 2018).

# 3.1.2. Ambient concentrations and diurnal variations of primary sugars

The fragment of vascular plants contains primary sugars, including glucose, fructose, sucrose, and trehalose (Medeiros et al., 2006). Primary sugars were found as the most abundant sugars (avg. 133 ng m<sup>-3</sup>), contributing to 41.8% of the total SCs in Mangshan aerosols (Table 1). They showed apparent diurnal variations with daytime high (avg. 166 ng m<sup>-3</sup>) and nighttime low values (avg. 69.4 ng m<sup>-3</sup>) (Figs. 3a-c, 5a-d). Graham et al. (2003) also reported similar diurnal variations of primary sugars for the Amazon forest aerosols. Sucrose was found as dominant primary sugars (avg. 58.5 ng m<sup>-3</sup>), accounting for 44% of measured primary sugars in Mangshan aerosols (Table 1). Pollen was reported as a primary source for sucrose in aerosols collected from a Texas rural site (Jia et al., 2010). Fu et al. (2012) found high sucrose concentrations up to 1390 ng m<sup>-3</sup> in the aerosols from Jeju Island, South Korea. Therefore, the plant materials, including pollen spores from the local vegetation of Mangshan National Forest Park, are likely the primary source of sucrose in the aerosols. Miyazaki et al. (2012) also reported higher sucrose concentrations in the aerosol samples collected from the Hokkaido deciduous forest.

We found a significant diurnal variation of sucrose with higher daytime (82.9 ng m<sup>-3</sup>) than nighttime (12.3 ng m<sup>-3</sup>). Meteorological parameters such as temperature, rainfall, wind speed, and solar radiation significantly influence pollen activities and, subsequently, sucrose concentrations (Verma et al., 2018). Interestingly, an elevated peak of sucrose was observed from 12h to 15h with higher ambient temperature. In contrast, lower sucrose concentrations were observed from 15h to 9h with lower ambient temperature (Fig. 5a). Daytime increased concentrations of sucrose might be related to the higher daytime ambient temperature, low RH, and high solar radiation (Miyazaki et al., 2012). Taylor et al. (2002) reported the influence of the meteorological conditions, i.e., strong daytime winds and convective activity, which can result in catapulting of pollen, opening of pollen-laden flower anthers, and causing enhance entrainment and dispersal of the particles into

the air. Pacini (2000) reported that higher levels of sucrose in daytime coincide with higher counts of pollen, fern spore, and insect. The positive linear correlations of sucrose with ambient temperature (r = 0.52) and solar radiation (r = 0.55) further supported the influence of meteorological parameters in the sucrose concentration (Table 2).

Five rain events were recorded during the campaign, i.e., 15<sup>th</sup>, 17<sup>th</sup>, 18<sup>th</sup>, and 26<sup>th</sup> September, and 1<sup>st</sup> and 5<sup>th</sup> October (Fig. 2a). Pollens are significantly settled down by wet scavenging during rain events because their sizes are large. A low concentration of sucrose was found from the beginning of sampling to the morning of 20<sup>th</sup> September and from the afternoon of 26<sup>th</sup> September to the end of the sampling campaign (Fig. 5a). In addition, the increased concentrations of sucrose were found in the aerosol samples collected from 20<sup>th</sup> to 22<sup>nd</sup> September, and moderate concentrations were observed after 23<sup>rd</sup> to the evening of 25<sup>th</sup> September during non-precipitation events. Consequently, the pollens were significantly scavenged during wet precipitation and washout effect from the atmosphere, resulting in lower sucrose concentrations at the earlier periods, than later periods. In addition, Rogge et al. (2007) reported that surface soil dust and unpaved road dust also contribute sucrose in the atmospheric aerosols. However, insignificant correlations between sucrose and Ca<sup>2+</sup> (daytime, r = 0.32; night time, r = 0.37) do not supports soil dust contributions to sucrose in the Mangshan aerosols (Table 2).

Glucose was the second dominant primary sugar in the Mangshan aerosols. The average concentrations of glucose and fructose were observed to be 40.0 ng m<sup>-3</sup> and 20.1 ng m<sup>-3</sup>, respectively (Table 1, Fig. 5b). The sampling site is characterized by the dense vegetation in the Mangshan National Forest Park. Therefore, the nectars and fruits of vegetation (Baker et al., 1998), plant debris (Medeiros et al., 2006) and pollens (Fu et al., 2012) in the forest significantly contribute to glucose and fructose. The glucose levels are equivalent to that (50.1 ng m<sup>-3</sup>) reported from the Howland Experimental Forest site in the USA (Medeiros et al., 2006). Glucose and fructose showed significant diurnal variations, whose concentrations were higher in daytime (44.2 ng m<sup>-3</sup> and 23.9 ng m<sup>-3</sup>, respectively) than nighttime (32.0 ng m<sup>-3</sup> and 12.8 ng m<sup>-3</sup>, respectively) in Mangshan aerosols (Table 1, Figs. 3b, c; 5b, c). This diurnal variation could be involved with emissions of pollens, fern spores, and other giant particles by strong winds (Graham et al., 2003; Pacini, 2000). Similar trends of glucose and fructose were reported in the Amazon forest, being coincided with plant fragments and insects (Graham et al., 2003). The autumn decay of vascular plant leaves in the Mangshan forest may have contributed to the levels of glucose and fructose.

Although, the daytime southerly winds deliver anthropogenic air masses from megacities to the sampling site. The daytime winds from the northeast direction (23.4%) also carry air masses

from the forest region, transporting primary sugars to the Mangshan site. However, 99.5% of the nighttime hours, the wind is shifted to northeasterly, i.e., in forest region (He et al., 2015), but the emissions of primary sugars at night in the form of plant fragments are lower than in daytime. Because the daytime ambient temperature and solar radiations significantly induce the emissions of sugar compounds in the forest site (Miyazaki et al., 2012). Therefore, low glucose and fructose levels were found at nighttime than daytime aerosols at the Mangshan site (Table 1, Fig. 3). Previous studies have reported lichens (Dahlman et al., 2003) and soil dust (Nolte et al., 2001; Rogge et al., 2007) as significant sources of both primary sugars. The concentration of glucose was insignificantly correlated with soil tracer (Ca<sup>2+</sup>) in day (r = 0.02) and nighttime (r = 0.27), denying their soil dust contributions in Mangshan aerosol samples.

Trehalose in the environment is significantly controlled by the activities of bacteria, fungi, yeast, algae, invertebrates, and plant species, as well as suspended soil particles (Medeiros et al., 2006, Rogge et al., 2007). The average concentration of trehalose was found 14.3 ng m<sup>-3</sup> (Table 1, Fig. 5d). Yttri et al. (2007) reported higher trehalose concentrations in the aerosol samples collected from urban (29 ng m<sup>-3</sup>) and suburban (27 ng m<sup>-3</sup>) than rural (3.8 ng m<sup>-3</sup>) areas in Norway. The above results emphasize that fungi and microbes associated with anthropogenic and bioaerosols, emitted in the urban and suburban areas, might be responsible for the trehalose concentration in aerosol samples (Verma et al., 2018). Trehalose showed insignificant diurnal variation, whose day and night concentrations were observed 15.3 ng m<sup>-3</sup> and 12.3 ng m<sup>-3</sup>, respectively, indicating its different emission sources in day and night for Mangshan aerosols (Fig. 3b, c; 5d).

The southerly winds might transport fungi and microbes associated with bioaerosols, eject spores under favorable meteorological conditions (high RH and low temperature) (Jones and Mitchell et al., 1996). Several microbes and fungi discharge spores at nighttime due to high RH conditions (Ibrahim et al., 2011; Kim and Xiao, 2005; Malik and Singh, 2004; Sharma and Razak, 2003). Interestingly, trehalose is more significantly correlated with arabitol and mannitol (r = 0.76 and 0.85, respectively) in nighttime than daytime (r = 0.49 and 0.51, respectively) (Table 2), suggesting that fungal and microbial spores contributed to high levels of trehalose in nighttime. Hackl et al. (2000) found trehalose as dominant sugar in spring aerosols and proposed it as a tracer for soil dust particles. Trehalose concentration was more significantly correlated with  $Ca^{2+}$  (r = 0.82) in daytime than nighttime (r = 0.61), indicating soil dust contribution (Table 2). Therefore, we hypothesized that winds transported soil particles from the urban area in daytime due to the

active building constructions (He et al., 2015), contributing to the high levels of trehalose in daytime.

# 3.1.3. Ambient concentrations and diurnal variations of sugar alcohols

The average concentrations of sugar alcohols were found 75.8 ng m<sup>-3</sup>, contributing 26.4% of total SCs measured in Mangshan aerosols (Table 1). Sugar alcohols showed clear diurnal variations in daytime high (avg. 87.4 ng m<sup>-3</sup>) and nighttime low (avg. 53.7 ng m<sup>-3</sup>) (Table 1). Mannitol was found as the dominant sugar alcohol (avg. 44.1 ng m<sup>-3</sup>), followed by arabitol (avg. 29.1 ng m<sup>-3</sup>) and inositol (avg. 2.62 ng m<sup>-3</sup>) (Table 1; Fig. 6a-c). Mannitol and arabitol are common polyols detected in green algae, lichens, and fungal spores (Bieleski, 1995, Dahlman et al., 2003; Filippo et al., 2013; Lewis and Smith, 1967; Yttri et al., 2007). Previous studies have reported that arabitol and mannitol are key components of fungal spores, and thus they are considered as fungal tracers (Bieleski,1995; Lewis and Smith, 1967). Several fungal and microbial species released spores during biological activities into the atmosphere (Dahlman et al., 2003; Bauer et al., 2008; Filippo et al., 2013). Therefore, the autumn time fungal and microbial species significantly contribute to arabitol and mannitol in the Mangshan aerosol samples.

However, mannitol and arabitol showed a strong positive linear correlation (r = 0.81), which suggested common origins as reported in earlier studies (Fu et al., 2012) (Table 2). In contrast, the higher concentration of mannitol than arabitol suggested its addition sources than fungal spores in the Mangshan forest site. In this sequence, several previous studies have confirmed the significance of mannitol in plant photosynthesis (Loescher et al., 1992; Keller and Matile, 1989; Rumpho et al., 1983). Pashynska et al. (2002) reported that detritus of mature leaves can emit mannitol into the atmosphere by wind action. Heald and Spracklen (2009) also found a correlation between the atmospheric water vapor with mannitol concentrations and leaf area index. They suggested that the activities of the terrestrial biosphere widely affect mannitol concentrations in the air. Our PMF results also indicated the substantial contribution of mannitol for vegetation factor (24.8%), which supports that mannitol is attributed by vegetation from the forest area (section 3.2).

In addition, the meteorological parameters, including high RH and temperature affect the fungal and bacterial activities (Kim and Xiao, 2005; Sharma and Razak, 2003). The maximum growth of fungi and bacteria was observed at 92–100% RH (Ibrahim et al., 2011). Interestingly, the concentrations of arabitol and mannitol gradually increased after the end of precipitation, following the increases in ambient temperature and RH (Figs. 2a, 6a, b). Miyazaki et al. (2012)

also discussed the increased contributions of arabitol and mannitol with daytime ambient temperature and solar radiation in the aerosol samples collected from the forest area. Similar temporal trends and positive linear correlations were observed between arabitol (r = 0.69) and mannitol (r = 0.57) with RH, which supports the above phenomenon for Mangshan aerosols (Table 2). Therefore, we propose that a favorable meteorological condition in autumn increases the emissions of fungal spores and fragments of forest vegetation, which may be responsible for arabitol and mannitol contributions in the Mangshan aerosols.

The diurnal variation of mannitol and arabitol were characterized by higher in the daytime (51.7 ng m<sup>-3</sup> and 32.5 ng m<sup>-3</sup>, respectively) than nighttime (29.6 ng m<sup>-3</sup> and 22.5 ng m<sup>-3</sup>, respectively) (Fig. 3b, c). Yamaguchi et al. (2012) reported that fungal spores and bacterial cells associated with bioaerosols could be transported long distances. The Mangshan site receives significant anthropogenic and bioaerosols from Beijing City by southerly winds. Therefore, the daytime plant activities, influenced by solar radiation and ambient temperature and the long-range transport of fungal spores from megacities (Beijing) by southwest winds govern the diurnal variation of sugar alcohols in the Mangshan atmosphere. On the other hand, lower concentrations in nighttime can be explained by the clean air mass transport by mountain breeze from the Mangshan National Forest area.

## 3.2. Source apportionment of SCs

To investigate the source apportionment of SCs, positive matrix factorization (PMF) analysis was performed for the measured aerosol samples using tracer compounds for anhydrosugars, primary sugars, and sugar alcohols. It is essential to select a suitable number of factor solutions in the PMF analysis. Based on the possible sources of SCs, four to six factor solutions were run in PMF model. In the four-factor solutions, the SCs, including arabitol, mannitol, and trehalose, were merged in a single factor; this might underestimate the soil dust sources. The SCs, including glucose, fructose trehalose, arabitol, and mannitol, were distributed in more than four factors; it might be overestimated the number of factor solutions according to possible sources of SCs. Therefore, a total of five interpretable factor solutions were characterized by the enrichment of each tracer compound to be significant to categorize the origins of individual sugars, which reproduced more than 95% of SCs.

These five-factor solutions were preferred based on minimum robust and true Q values (goodness of fit parameters) of the base runs, which observed 3103 and 3505, respectively. In each bootstrap run, the concentrations and percentages of tracers were close to those of base-run results.

The PMF results of SCs indicate a stability because no significant changes were found between Q values and factor profiles of  $F_{peak}$  rotation runs compared with the base run. PMF results show a good correlation between the values of observed and predicted (modeled) concentrations in scatter plot, indicating that the model very well fits the individual sugar species. These results support the perfect rationality of the source apportionment (Figure S-1). The time series plot of observed and predicted concentration (modeled) also shown that the model well fits the observed data set (Figure S-2). Figures 6 and 7 show the factor profile resolved by PMF analysis of the Mangshan aerosol samples. The percentages of each component are summed for factors 1 to 5 to be calculated as 100%.

Factor 1 is characterized by the high contribution of glucose (80.2%) followed by fructose (69.6%), mannitol (24.8%), and inositol (15.1%) (Fig. 7a). Glucose and fructose are highly watersoluble SCs present in the leaves and bark of plants (Graham et al., 2003). High concentrations of glucose and fructose have been reported in vascular plants and phytoplankton by Cowie and Hegdes (1984). The dominant glucose and fructose in the Mangshan aerosol samples collected in autumn are rational as leaf senescence and decay results in both primary sugars being released into the atmosphere during the fall season. We found an excellent correlation between glucose and fructose (r = 0.94) in the Mangshan aerosols (Table 2), indicating the similar vegetation sources for both sugar species in autumn (Baker et al., 1998; Burshtein et al., 2011; Pacini, 2000). Higher concentrations of glucose and fructose in the aerosol samples collected during the autumn season are reasonable because leaf senescence and decay result in an increased emission of primary sugars into the atmosphere.

Several studies have reported that plant species significantly contribute to mannitol in the atmosphere (Burshtein et al., 2011; Devis et al., 1988; 1990). Miyazaki et al. (2014) also found a significant amount of trehalose, mannitol, and arabitol in the aerosol samples collected from the forest and concluded their origin from the terrestrial plants within the forest. Significant positive linear correlations of mannitol with fructose in daytime (r = 0.79) and nighttime (r = 0.86) further denote that abundance of mannitol is due to the decay of plant leaves in autumn (Table 2). Therefore, we conclude that the contributions of mannitol is from both vegetation and fungal spores in the Mangshan aerosol samples. Hence mannitol showed the presence in factor 1. Vegetations contribute to SCs during the campaign. Therefore, factor 1 can be termed as a vegetation factor due to the high abundances of glucose, fructose, and mannitol.

Factor 2 is dominated by high loading of trehalose (80.2%), followed by mannitol (29.7%), glucose (19.8%), and arabitol (18.2%) (Fig. 7b). The contribution of trehalose to soil dust has been

reported in several studies from different locations around the world, suggesting trehalose as a tracer for the surface soil (Jia et al., 2010; Medeiros et al., 2006). In addition, previous studies reported that bacteria and other microbes in the soil are also an essential source of trehalose (Rogge et al., 2007). Trehalose is significantly correlated with arabitol (r=0.58) and mannitol (r=0.58), and Ca<sup>2+</sup> (r=0.70), demonstrating its microbial and soil dust origin. Therefore, factor 2 can be termed as microbial and soil dust factor.

Factor 3 is characterized by levoglucosan (82.2%), galactosan (77%), and mannosan (73.6%) (Fig. 7c). Previous studies have reported that these SCs are associated with BB aerosols (Fraser and Lakshmanan, 2000; Graham et al., 2002; Simoneit, 2002). Simoneit et al. (1999) reported that the pyrolysis of cellulose and hemicellulose emitted levoglucosan, galactosan and mannosan. These sugar species are major organic components emits in the atmosphere by BB activities (Simoneit et al., 2002). The BB influenced aerosols are enriched with levoglucosan, mannosan, and galactosan (Nolte et al., 2001; Medeiros et al., 2006). The domestic BB for cooking and house heating due to low ambient temperature and field burning of agricultural residues occur in East Asia (Verma et al., 2015). The PMF results are very well supported by the fact that anhydrosugars are associated with BB (Simoneit et al., 1999). Therefore, factor 3 can be termed as a BB factor due to the high abundance of BB products.

Factor 4 is dominated by high loading of sucrose (90%), followed by inositol (36.9%) and fructose (11.7%) (Fig. 7d). Sucrose plays a crucial role in the plant blossoming process as the dominant sugar compound of pollen grains (Pacini, 2000). Several studies also reported that sucrose is abundant sugar species found in airborne pollen grains and flowering plants (Fu et al., 2012; Graham et al., 2003; Medeiros et al., 2006; Pacini, 2000). Therefore, sucrose is reported as an excellent tracer for airborne pollen spores (Pacini, 2000). Thus factor 4 is termed as pollen factor due to the high loading of sucrose.

Factor 5 is characterized by a higher contribution of arabitol (61.5%) followed by mannitol (39.3%) and inositol (15.3%) (Fig. 7e). Sugar species contributing to factor 5 are associated with fungal spores (Bauer et al., 2008). Various fungi and microbes emit spores, which are tracers for the arabitol and mannitol; therefore, both sugars are considered as specific tracers of fungal activities (Medeiros et al., 2006; Rogge et al., 2007). Thus, factor 5 is termed as a fungal factor due to the high loading of arabitol and mannitol. Overall, the average contributions of each factor to measured SCs were estimated by PMF analyses (Fig. 8), in which BB was found to account for 27% of measured SCs. The vegetation and microbial and soil dust sources equally contribute (21%) to total SCs. The fungal spores and pollen spores contribute 16% and 15% of total SCs,

respectively. Finally, biomass burning emissions from the local areas and megacities via long-range atmospheric transport were identified as an important source for the Mangshan aerosols.

# 3.3. Contributions of sugar compounds to WSOC and OC

The contribution of carbon content of measured SCs varied from 14.1-371 ng m<sup>-3</sup> (av. 145 ng m<sup>-3</sup>) in daytime and 12.8-322 ng m<sup>-3</sup> (av. 117 ng m<sup>-3</sup>) in nighttime, accounting for 0.83% and 0.91% of OC, respectively (Fig. 9a, b). The mean carbon contents of anhydrosugars showed clear diurnal variation with higher nighttime values (67.1 ng m<sup>-3</sup>) than daytime (42.7 ng m<sup>-3</sup>), accounting for 0.43 % and 0.22 % of OC, respectively. These results suggest that BB significantly contributed to Mangshan aerosols. However, the carbon contents of primary sugars showed opposite diurnal variations; higher (68.5 ng m<sup>-3</sup>) in daytime than nighttime (28.3 ng m<sup>-3</sup>), accounting for 0.41 % and 0.28 % of OC, respectively (Fig. 9a, b). This study suggests that the daytime emissions of primary sugars from local vegetation and the decay of plant leaf in forest significantly contribute to OC. The carbon concentration contributed by sugar alcohols showed insignificant diurnal variations i.e. 34.6 ng m<sup>-3</sup> in daytime and 21.3 ng m<sup>-3</sup> in nighttime, accounting for 0.20 % and 0.19 % of OC, respectively. This result indicates multiple carbon sources of sugar alcohols in day and night. In addition, contributions of anhydrosugars, primary sugars, and sugar alcohols to WSOC were similar to those of OC in Mangshan aerosols.

Based on the PMF analysis, we found five sources for SCs measured in Mangshan aerosols. The different tracer compounds were used to calculate carbon contents: biomass burning-C (i.e., levoglucosan, galactosan, mannosan), vegetation-C (glucose, fructose), fungal-C (arabitol, mannitol), pollen-C (sucrose), and microbial-soil-C (trehalose) (Fig. 9c, d). Among the five sources, biomass burning-C was found as the largest carbon contributor to Mangshan aerosols (36.7%), followed by fungal-C (23.7%), vegetation-C (19.7%), pollen-C (14.2%), and microbial-soil-C (4.84%). Biomass burning-C accounted for 1.38% and 0.43% at night, while 0.57% and 0.22% in daytime for WSOC and OC, respectively. The BB for cooking and space heating in winter and autumn seasons are common in central China (Akagi et al., 2011), which should increase the nighttime levels of Biomass burning-C at the Mangshan site. However, the carbon contribution by vegetation and fungal sources are similar during day and nighttime for the Mangshan aerosols. Pollen-C accounted for 0.20% and 0.07% of OC in daytime and nighttime, respectively. Higher pollen activities are key sources for the high daytime levels of pollen-C in the forest site (Taylor et al., 2002).

# 3.4. Contribution of levoglucosan to OC and WSOC

We calculated the mass concentration ratios of levoglucosan to OC (Lev/OC) and WSOC (Lev/WSOC) to evaluate the contributions of BB and anthropogenic emissions to Mangshan aerosols (Fig. 9a-c). Fossil fuel combustion and BB emit WSOC and OC. They are also secondarily produced by photochemical oxidation of volatile organic compounds in the atmosphere (Wang et al., 2005; Deshmukh et al., 2019b). Coal combustion and vehicle exhaust can contribute to the high levels of OC and WSOC in aerosols (Xu et al., 2020). Levoglucosan, a dominant constituent of BB products, has been considered as an excellent tracer of BB (Simoneit, 2002; Kuo et al., 2011).

Average Lev/OC ratio  $(5.69\times10^{-3})$  was lower than that of Lev/WSOC  $(1.66\times10^{-2})$  in Mangshan samples (Fig. 10a). Yan et al. (2018) reported similar ratios of Lev/OC  $(4.0\times10^{-3})$  and Lev/WSOC  $(1.6\times10^{-2})$  for coal combustion, suggesting a significant carbon contribution to Mangshan aerosols from coal combustions in the industrial areas via long range transport. Interestingly, we found a substantial diurnal variation of Lev/OC and Lev/WSOC ratios. The average Lev/OC and Lev/WSOC ratios are several times higher in nighttime  $(8.48\times10^{-3})$  and  $2.70\times10^{-2}$ , respectively) than daytime  $(4.21\times10^{-3})$  and  $1.11\times10^{-2}$ , respectively) (Fig. 10b, c). These results indicate that BB contributed substantially to the Mangshan organic aerosols in nighttime. Moreover, the correlations of levoglucosan with OC and WSOC are stronger in nighttime (r = 0.81) and 0.70, respectively) than daytime (r = 0.45) and 0.40, respectively), demonstrating the dominance of BB-derived aerosols in the nighttime Mangshan samples (Table 2).

In addition, WSOCs are derived from various emission sources. We propose that secondary organic aerosols constitute a significant fraction of WSOC and OC in daytime Mangshan aerosols. The photochemical oxidation of organic precursors emitted from fossil fuel combustion in industries and vehicular exhausts also contributes to secondary production of WSOC and OC in daytime (He et al., 2015), suggesting that emissions from the urban Beijing area may significantly influence the daytime levels of Mangshan aerosols. He et al. (2015) proposed a possible contribution of photochemical formation of secondary organic aerosols to atmospheric WSOC and OC in north China. Nevertheless, the photochemical degradation of levoglucosan by OH radicals under ultraviolet radiations and high temperatures (Hennigan et al., 2010) may play a key role in lowering the ratios of Lev/OC and Lev/WSOC in daytime Mangshan aerosols.

#### 3.5. Mass concentration ratios of levoglucosan/mannosan

The mass concentration ratios of levoglucosan and mannosan (Lev/Man) were calculated to better characterize the emissions sources of BB tracers (softwood vs. hardwood) in the Mangshan site. Figure 10d represents the variations of Lev/Man ratios for overall, day- and

nighttime periods. The Lev/Man ratios have been used to distinguish the hardwood (angiosperm) and softwood (gymnosperm) burning in the ice core record from the Russian Far East (Kawamura et al., 2012). Hardwood contains 55–65% cellulose and 20–30% hemicellulose (Klemm et al., 2005). Levoglucosan and mannosan are derived from the thermal decomposition of cellulose and hemicelluloses, respectively (Simoneit, 2002). Levoglucosan is thermally more stable than mannosan and galactosan (Kuo et al., 2011). Hence, a lower Lev/Man ratio is associated with softwood burning, whereas a higher ratio is associated with hardwood burning (Engling et al., 2006, 2009). However, we found insignificant diurnal variations of Lev/Man ratios between night (9.33-25.9, avg. 15.8) and daytime aerosols (0.90-23.3, avg. 13.6). Likewise, comparable Lev/Man ratios (9-13 for PM<sub>10</sub> and 10-13 for PM<sub>2.5</sub>) were reported for aerosol samples from Tanzania, where wood and charcoal are primary fuels used for domestic cooking and heating (Mkoma and Kawamura, 2013). Interestingly, wheat straws and lignite are used in China for domestic cooking and house heating, which may also contribute to levoglucosan and mannosan in the Mangshan aerosols.

Different Lev/Man ratios were reported in the chamber and controlled field experiments, e.g., 4-22 for conifer and savanna grass burning (Iinuma et al., 2007), and 41.6 for rice straw and and 55.7 cereal straw burning (Engling et al., 2009; Zhang et al., 2007). Kuo et al. (2011) reported higher emissions of levoglucosan during high-temperature flaming (27.5-52.3) compared to low-temperature smoldering (2.43-3.08). Hence, it is not easy to differentiate hardwood and softwood burning based on Lev/Man ratios alone. Several studies reported a high Lev/Man ratio for both softwood and hardwood burning. Thus, there may exist some other factors that significantly control the Lev/Man ratios. Yan et al. (2018) found a significant contribution of levoglucosan in coal combustion with Lev/Man ratio of 7.2. The variations of Lev/Man ratios in Mangshan may be significantly influenced by several factors, i.e., flaming vs. smoldering, duration of biomass burning, coal combustion, and hardwood vs. softwood burning. The moderate Lev/Man ratios in autumn aerosols from Mangshan suggest that low temperature smoldering processes of hardwood contribute to levels of levoglucosan and mannosan. However, the contribution of coal combustions for house heating could not be excluded.

## 4. Summary and Conclusions

Anhydrosugars, primary sugars, and sugar alcohols were detected with distinct diurnal variations in suburban aerosol samples collected at the Mangshan site in the northern vicinity of Beijing. The wind patterns indicate that daytime air masses were transported from urban Beijing to Mangshan, while clean air masses were delivered in nighttime from the Mangshan National Forest

Park. Daytime air masses from urban Beijing significantly influence the air quality of the northern forest region. We observed the highest abundance of primary sugars, followed by anhydrosugars and sugar alcohols. Local emissions from the forest plants and fungal species are the main contributors to the primary sugars and sugar alcohols in the Mangshan aerosols. The meteorological parameter significantly influenced the levels of SCs in the Mangshan samples. We observed a significant influence of enhanced ambient temperature and solar radiation on the pollen rupture and increased RH on fungal and microbial growth. This study suggested the source variation for trehalose, i.e., local microbes at night and soil dust particles transported from Beijing areas by southerly wind in daytime. We found that vegetation and fungal spores are not a specific source of glucose and mannitol, respectively. Both sugars may have multiple sources in the forest aerosols.

PMF results concluded the contributions of 36% from vegetation (21% vegetation factor and 15% pollen factor) and 37% from microbial and fungal species (21% microbial soil dust and 16% fungal factor). The BB activities for domestic cooking and space heating in north China contributed higher organic carbon at nighttime (0.43%) than in daytime (0.22%). Therefore, local BB seriously affected the air quality of the Mangshan site. Lev/Man ratio suggested that low temperature smoldering burning of hardwood is the main source for BB aerosols. SCs were recognized as a significant aerosol component at Mangshan, northern suburbs of Beijing. SCs can influence the air quality and thus climate because they are essential components of organic aerosols on a global scale. This study of SCs at Mangshan demonstrates that ambient levels of SCs are highly sensitive to the emissions of anthropogenic and biogenic aerosols. Higher contribution of levoglucosan to SCs demonstrated a significant BB activity around the Mangshan site in north China.

- **Data availability.** Raw data are available on request by contacting the corresponding author.
- 599 Author contributions. This research was designed YK, KK and ZW. Laboratory measurements
- were performed by FY with a support of PF. The paper was prepared by SKV and KK.
- *Competing interests*. The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.
- 602 Financial support. This research was supported by the Japan Society for the Promotion of
- Science (JSPS) through grant-in-aid Nos. 19204055 and 24221001.
- 604 Acknowledgements. The authors thank Kazuhiko Okuzawa for his help during the sample
- 605 collection. This study was partly supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China
- 606 through grant-in-aid No. 41625014. The financial support by the Global Environment Research
- Fund (B-051) of the Ministry of the Environment, Japan should also be acknowledged for the
- shipping of the sampling equipment to the Mangshan site.

## References:

610 611

628

629

- Akagi, S. K., Yokelson, R. J., Wiedinmyer, C., Alvarado, M. J., Reid, J. S., Karl, T., Crounse, J.
  D., and Wennberg, P. O.: Emission factors for open and domestic biomass burning for use in atmospheric models, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11, 4039–4072, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-11-4039-2011.
- Baker, H. G., Baker, I., and Hodges, S. A.: Sugar composition of nectars and fruits consumed by birds and bats in the tropics and subtropics, Biotropica, 30, 559–586, 1998.
- Bauer, H., Schueller, E., Weinke, G., Berger, A., Hitzenberger, R., Marr, I. L., and Puxbaum, H.: Significant contributions of fungal spores to the organic carbon and to the aerosol mass balance of the urban atmospheric aerosol, Atmos. Environ., 42, 5542–5549, 2008.
- Bieleski, R. L.: Onset of phloem export from senescent petals of Daylily, Plant Physiol., 109, 557–565, 1995.
- Brown, J. K. M., and Hovmoller, M. S.: Epidemiology Aerial dispersal of pathogens on the global and continental scales and its impact on plant disease, Science, 297, 537–541, 2002.
- Burshtein, N., Lang-Yona, N., and Rudich, Y.: Ergosterol, arabitol and mannitol as tracers for biogenic aerosols in the eastern Mediterranean, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11, 829–839, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-11-829-2011, 2011.
  - Cao, C., Jiang, W., Wang, B., Fang, J., Lang, J., Tian, G., Jiang, J. K., and Zhu, T. F.: Inhalable microorganisms in Beijing's PM<sub>2.5</sub> and PM<sub>10</sub> pollutants during a severe smog event, Environ. Sci. Technol., 48, 1499-1507, 2014.
- 631 Carvalho, A., Pio, C., and Santos, C.: Water-soluble hydroxylated organic compounds in German and Finnish aerosols, Atmos. Environ., 37, 1775-1783, 2003.
- Claeys, M., Graham, B., Vas, G., Wang, W., Vermeylen, R., Pashynska, V., Cafmeyer, J., Guyon,
  P., Andreae, M. O., Artaxo, P., and Maenhaut, W.: Formation of secondary organic aerosols
  through photooxidation of isoprene, Science, 303, 1173–1176, https://doi:10.1126/science.1092805, 2004.
- Cowie, G. L., and Hedges, J. I.: Carbohydrate sources in a coastal marine-environment, Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta, 48, 2075-2087, 1984.
- Dahlman, L., Persson, J., Nasholm, T. and Palmqvist, K.: Carbon and nitrogen distribution in the green algal lichens Hypogymnia physodes and Platismatia glauca in relation to nutrient supply, Planta, 217, 41-48, 2003.
- Davis, J. M., and Loescher, W. H.: [<sup>14</sup>C]-Assimilate translocation in the light and dark in celery (*Apium graveokns*) leaves of different ages, Physiol. Plant, 79, 656-662, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1399-3054.1990.tb00040.x, 1990.
- Davis, J. M., Fellman, J. K., and Loescher, W. H.: Biosynthesis of sucrose and mannitol as a function of leaf age in celery (*Apiulm graveolens* L.), Plant Physio., 186, 129-133, https://doi: 10.1104/pp.86.1.129, 1988.
- Deshmukh, D.K., Haque, M.M., Kim, Y., and Kawamura, K.: Organic tracers of fine aerosol particles in central Alaska: summertime composition and sources, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 19, 14009-14029, 2019a
- Deshmukh, D.K., Kawamura, K., Gupta, T., Haque, M.M., Zhang, Y.L., Singh, D.K., and Tsai, Y.I.: High loadings of water-soluble oxalic acid and related compounds in PM<sub>2.5</sub> aerosols in Eastern Central India: influence of biomass burning and photochemical processing, Aerosol Air Qual. Res., 19, 2625-2644, 2019b.
- Després, V. R., Huffman, J. A., Burrows, S. M., Hoose, C., Safatov, A. S., Buryak, G., Fröhlich-Nowoisky, J., Elbert, W., Andreae, M. O., Pöschl, U., and Jaenicke, R.: Primary biological aerosol particles in the atmosphere: a review, Tellus B, 64, 15598, doi:10.3402/tellusb.v64i0.15598, 2012.
- Elbert, W., Taylor, P. E., Andreae, M. O., and Pöschl, U.: Contribution of fungi to primary biogenic aerosols in the atmosphere: wet and dry discharged spores, carbohydrates, and

inorganic ions, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 7, 4569-4588, 2007.

676

677

678

679

680

681

682

- Engling, G., Carrico, C. M., Kreidenweis, S. M., Collett, J. L., Day, D. E., Malm, W. C., Lincoln, E., Hao, W. M., Iinuma, Y., and Herrmann, H.: Determination of levoglucosan in biomass combustion aerosol by high-performance anion-exchange chromatography with pulsed amperometric detection, Atmos. Environ., 40, S299-S311, 2006.
- Engling, G., Lee, J. J., Tsai, Y. W., Lung, S. C. C., Chou, C. C. K., and Chan, C. Y.: Size-resolved
   anhydrosugar composition in smoke aerosol from controlled field burning of rice straw,
   Aerosol Sci. Tech., 43, 662-672, 2009.
- Filippo, P. D., Pomata, D., Riccardi, C., Buiarelli, F., and Perrino, C.: Fungal contribution to sizesegregated aerosol measured through biomarkers, Atmos. Environ., 64,132-140, 2013.
- Fraser, M. P., and Lakshmanan, K.: Using levoglucosan as a molecular marker for the long-range transport of biomass combustion aerosols, Environ. Sci. Technol., 34(21), 4560–4564, 2000.
- Fu, P. Q., Kawamura, K., Kobayashi, M., and Simoneit, B. R. T.: Seasonal variations of sugars in atmospheric particulate matter from Gosan, Jeju Island: Significant contributions of airborne pollen and Asian dust in spring, Atmos. Environ., 55, 234-239, 2012.
  - Fu, P. Q., Kawamura, K., Okuzawa, K., Aggarwal, S. G., Wang, G. H., Kanaya, Y., and Wang, Z. F.: Organic molecular compositions and temporal variations of summertime mountain aerosols over Mt. Tai, North China Plain, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 113D19107, http://doi:10.1029/2008jd009900, 2008.
  - Fu, P. Q., Kawamura, K., Pavuluri, C. M., Swaminathan, T., and Chen, J.: Molecular characterization of urban organic aerosol in tropical India: Contributions of primary emissions and secondary photooxidation, Atmos. Chem. Phy., 10, 2663-2689, http://doi:10.5194/acp-10-2663-2010, 2010.
- 684 Fuzzi, S., Decesari, S., Facchini, M. C., Cavalli, F., Emblico, L., Mircea, M., Andreae, M. O., Trebs, I., Hoffer, A., Guyon, P., Artaxo, P., Rizzo, L. V., Lara, L. L., Pauliquevis, T., Maen-685 haut, W., Raes, N., Chi, X. G., Mayol-Bracero, O. L., Soto- Garcia, L. L., Claevs, M., 686 687 Kourtchev, I., Rissler, J., Swietlicki, E., Tagliavini, E., Schkolnik, G., Falkovich, A. H., 688 Rudich, Y., Fisch, G., and Gatti, L. V.: Overview of the inorganic and organic composition of 689 size-segregated aerosol in Rondonia, Brazil, from the biomass-burning period to the onset of 690 wet Geophys. season, Res.-Atmos., 112, I https://doi.org/10.1029/2005jd006741, 2007. 691
- Graham, B., Guyon, P., Taylor, P. E., Artaxo, P., Maenhaut, W., Glovsky, M. M., Flagan, R. C.,
   and Andreae, M. O.: Organic compounds present in the natural Amazonian aerosol:
   Characterization by gas chromatography-mass spectrometry, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos.,
   108(D24), http://doi:10.1029/2003jd003990, 2003.
- Graham, B., Mayol-Bracero, O. L., Guyon, P., Roberts, G. C., Decesari, S., Facchini, M. C.,
  Artaxo, P., Maenhaut, W., Koll, P., and Andreae, M. O.: Water-soluble organic compounds in biomass burning aerosols over Amazonia 1. Characterization by NMR and GC-MS, J. Geophys. Res., 107(D20), 8047, doi:10.1029/2001JD000336, 2002.
- Hackl, E., Bachmann, G., and Zechmeister-Boltenstern, S.: Soil microbial biomass and rhizosphere effects in natural forest stands, Phyton.-Ann. Rei. Bot. A, 40, 83-90, 2000.
- Han, F., Kota, S. H., Wang, Y., & Zhang, H.: Source apportionment of PM<sub>2.5</sub> in Baton Rouge,
   Louisiana during 2009–2014. Science of the Total Environment, 586, 115–126.
   https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.01.189, 2017.
- He, N., Kawamura, K., Kanaya, Y., and Wang, Z. F.: Diurnal variations of carbonaceous components, major ions, and stable carbon and nitrogen isotope ratios in suburban aerosols from northern vicinity of Beijing, Atmos. Environ., 123, 18-24, 2015.
- He, N., Kawamura, K., Kanaya, Y., and Wang, Z.: Diurnal variations of carbonaceous components, major ions, and stable carbon and nitrogen isotope ratios in suburban aerosols

- 710 from northern vicinity of Beijing, Atmos. Environ., 123, 18-24. 711 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2015.10.052, 2014.
- Heald, C. L., and Spracklen, D. V.: Atmospheric budget of primary biological aerosol particles from fungal spores, Geophys. Res. Lett., 36, L09806, doi:10.1029/2009GL037493, 2009.
- Hennigan, C. J., Sullivan, A. P., Collett Jr., J. L., and Robinson, A. L.: Levoglucosan stability in biomass burning particles exposed to hydroxyl radicals, Geophys. Res. Lett., 37, L09806, https://doi.org/10.1029/2010gl043088, 2010.
- 717 Ibrahim, M., Rabah, A. B., Liman, B., and Ibrahim, N. T.: Effect of temperature and relative 718 humidity on the growth of Helminthosporium fulvum, Nigerian J. Basic Appli. Sci., 19, 127– 719 129, 2011.
- Iinuma, Y., Bruggemann, E., Gnauk, T., Muller, K., Andreae, M. O., Helas, G., Parmar, R., and
   Herrmann, H.: Source characterization of biomass burning particles: The combustion of
   selected European conifers, African hardwood, savanna grass, and German and Indonesian
   peat, J. Geophys. Res.,-Atmos., 112(D8), http://doi:10.1029/2006jd007120, 2007.
- Jaenicke, R.: Abundance of cellular material and proteins in the atmosphere, Science, 308, 73, http://doi:10.1126/science.1106335, 2005.
- Jia, Y. L., Clements, A. L., and Fraser, M. P.: Saccharide composition in atmospheric particulate matter in the southwest US and estimates of source contributions, J. Aerosol Sci., 41, 62-73, 2010.
- Jones, E. B. G., and Mitchell, J. I.: Biodiversity of marine fungi, in biodiversity: international biodiversity seminar, edited by: Cimerman, A. and Gunde-Cimerman, N., 31–42, Ljubljana: National Inst. Chemistry and Slovenia National Commission for UNESCO, 1996.
- Kanakidou, M., Seinfeld, J. H., Pandis, S. N., Barnes, I., Dentener, F. J., Facchini, M. C., Van Dingenen, R., Ervens, B., Nenes, A., Nielsen, C. J., Swietlicki, E., Putaud, J. P., Balkanski, Y., Fuzzi, S., Horth, J., Moortgat, G. K., Winterhalter, R., Myhre, C. E. L., Tsigaridis, K., Vignati, E., Stephanou, E. G., and Wilson, J.: Organic aerosol and global climate modelling: a review, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 5, 1053–1123, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-5-1053-2005, 2005.

738

739 740

- Kanaya Y., Akimoto H., Wang Z.-F., Pochanart P., Kawamura K., Y. Liu, J. Li, Y. Komazaki, H. Irie, X.-L. Pan, F. Taketani, K. Yamaji, H. Tanimoto, S. Inomata, S. Kato, J. Suthawaree, K. Okuzawa, G. Wang, S. G. Aggarwal, P. Q. Fu, Y. Wang, and Zhuang G., Overview of the Mt. Tai Experiments (MTX2006) in central East China in June 2006: studies of significant regional air pollution, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 13, 8265–8283, 2013.
- Kang, M., Ren, L., Ren, H., Zhao, Y., Kawamura, K., Zhang, H., Wei, L., Sun, Y., Wang, Z., Fu,
  P. Q.: Primary biogenic and anthropogenic sources of organic aerosols in Beijing, China:
  Insights from saccharides and n-alkanes, Environ. Pollut., 243, 1579-1587,
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2018.09.118, 2018.
- Kawamura, K., Izawa, Y., Mochida, M., and Shiraiwa, T.: Ice core records of biomass burning tracers (levoglucosan and dehydroabietic, vanillic and p-hydroxybenzoic acids) and total organic carbon for past 300 years in the Kamchatka Peninsula, Northeast Asia, Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta, 99, 317-329, 2012.
- Kawamura, K., Tachibana, E., Okuzawa, K., Aggarwal, S. G., Kanaya, Y., Wang, Z. F.: High abundances of water-soluble dicarboxylic acids, ketocarboxylic acids and a-dicarbonyls in the mountaintop aerosols over the north China plain during wheat burning season, Atmos. Chem. Phys. 13 (16), 8285-8302, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-13-8285-2013, 2013.
- 754 Keller, F., and Matile, P.: Storage of sugars and mannitol in petioles of celery leaves, New Phytol. 113:291–299, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.1989.tb02406.x, 1989.
- Kim, V. K. and Xiao, C. L.: Influence of culture media and environmental factors on mycelial growth and picnidial production of Sphaeropsis pyriputrescens, Mycologia, 97, 25–32, 2005.
- Klemm, D., Heublein, B., Fink, H. P., and Bohn, A.: Cellulose: Fascinating biopolymer and sustainable raw material, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 44, 3358-3393, 2005.

- Kuo, L. J., Louchouarn, P., and Herbert, B. E.: Influence of combustion conditions on yields of solvent-extractable anhydrosugars and lignin phenols in chars: Implications for characterizations of biomass combustion residues, Chemosphere, 85, 797-805, 2011.
- Lelieveld, J., Evans, J.S., Fnais, M., Giannadaki, D., Pozzer, A.: The contribution of outdoor air
   pollution sources to premature mortality on a global scale, Nature, 525 (7569), 367-371.
   https://doi.org/10.1038/nature15371, 2015.
- Lewis, D. and Smith, D.: Sugar alcohols (polyols) in fungi and green plants, 1. Distribution, physiology and metabolism, New Phytol., 66, 143–184, https://doi.org/10.2307/2430328, 1967.
- Li, W. J., Shao, L. Y., and Buseck, P. R.: Haze types in Beijing and the influence of agricultural biomass burning, Atmos. Chem. Phys. 10 (17), 8119-8130. https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-10-8119-2010, 2010.
- Lin, J., Pan, D., Davis, S. J., Zhang, Q., He, K., Wang, C., Streets, D. G., Wuebbles, D. J., and
   Guan, D.: China's international trade and air pollution in the United States, Proc. Natl. Acad.
   Sci. U.S.A., 111, 1736–1741, 2014.
- Loescher, W. H., Tyson, R. H., Everard, J. D., Redgwell, R. J., and Bieleski, R. L.: Mannitol synthesis in higher plants: evidence for the role and characterization of a NADPH-Dependent mannose 6-Phosphate reductase, Plant Physiol., 98(4), 1396–1402, https://doi. 10.1104/pp.98.4.1396, 1992.
- Malik, V. K. and Singh, S.: Effect of temperature and relative humidity on teliospore germination in Ustilago hordei, J. Mycol. Plant Pathol., 34, 410–411, 2004.

781

782

- Manninen, H. E., Bäck, J., Sihto Nissilä, S. L., Huffman, J. A., Pessi, A. M., Hiltunen, V., Aalto, P. P., Hidalgo Fernández, P. J., Hari, P., and Saarto, A.: Patterns in airborne pollen and other primary biological aerosol particles (PBAP), and their contribution to aerosol mass and number in a boreal forest, Boreal Environ. Res., 19, 383–405, 2014.
- Medeiros, P. M., Conte, M. H., Weber, J. C., and Simoneit, B. R. T.: Sugars as source indicators of biogenic organic carbon in aerosols collected above the Howland Experimental Forest, Maine, Atmos. Environ., 40, 1694–1705, 2006.
- Miyazaki, Y., Fu., P. Q., Ono, K., Tachibana, E., and Kawamura, K.: Seasonal cycles of water-soluble organic nitrogen aerosols in a deciduous broadleaf forest in northern Japan, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 119, 1440–1454, doi:10.1002/2013JD020713, 2014.
- Miyazaki, Y., Jung, J., Fu, P. Q., Mizoguchi, Y., Yamanoi, K., and Kawamura, K.: Evidence of formation of submicrometer water-soluble organic aerosols at a deciduous forest site in northern Japan in summer, J. Geophys. Res., 117, D19213, doi:10.1029/2012JD018250, 2012.
- Mkoma, S. L., and Kawamura, K.: Molecular composition of dicarboxylic acids, ketocarboxylic acids, a-dicarbonyls and fatty acids in atmospheric aerosols from Tanzania, East Africa during wet and dry seasons, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 13, 2235-2251, 2013.
- Nolte, C. G., Schauer, J. J., Cass, G. R., and Simoneit, B. R. T.: Highly polar organic compounds present in wood smoke and in the ambient atmosphere, Environ. Sci. Technol., 35(10), 1912-1919, 2001.
- Paatero, P., and Tapper, U.: Positive matrix factorization a nonnegative factor model with optimal utilization of error-estimates of data values, Environmetrics, 5, 111-126, 1994.
- Paatero, P., Hopke, P. K., Song, X. H., and Ramadan, Z.: Understanding and controlling rotations in factor analytic models, Chemom. Intell. Lab. Syst., 60, 253–264, 2002.
- Pacini, E.: From anther and pollen ripening to pollen presentation, Plant Syst. Evol., 222, 19-43, 2000.
- Pashynska, V., Vermeylen, R., Vas, G., Maenhaut, W., and Claeys, M.: Development of a gas chromatographic/ion trap mass spectrometric method for the determination of levoglucosan and saccharidic compounds in atmospheric aerosols. Application to urban aerosols, J. Mass Spectrom., 37, 1249–1257, https://doi.org/10.1002/jms.391, 2002.
- Qiao, X., Ying, Q., Li, X., Zhang, H., Hu, J., Tang, Y., and Chen, X.: Source apportionment of

- 810 PM<sub>2.5</sub> for 25 Chinese provincial capitals and municipalities using a source-oriented 811 community multiscale air quality model, Sci. Total Environ., 612, 462-471, 2018.
- Rogge, W. F., Medeiros, P. M., and Simoneit, B. R. T.: Organic marker compounds in surface 812 813 soils of crop fields from the San Joaquin Valley fugitive dust characterization study. Atmos. Environ., 41, 8183-8204, 2007. 814
- 815 Rumpho, M. E., Edwards, G. E., and Loescher, W. H.: A pathway for photosynthetic carbon flow to mannitol in celery leaves. Activity and localization of key enzymes, Plant Physiol, 73:869-816 817 873, https://doi:10.1104/pp.73.4.869, 1983.
- 818 Sharma, R. and Rajak, R. C.: Keratinophilic fungi: Nature's keratin degrading machines, 819 Resonance, 8, 28–30, 2003.
- Simoneit, B. R. T., Kobayashi, M., Mochida, M., Kawamura, K., Lee, M., Lim, H. J., Turpin, B. 820 821 J., and Komazaki, Y.: Composition and major sources of organic compounds of aerosol 822 particulate matter sampled during the ACE-Asia campaign, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 109, D19S10, https://doi.org/10.1029/2004jd004598, 2004. 823
- Simoneit, B. R. T., Schauer, J. J., Nolte, C. G., Oros, D. R., Elias, V. O., Fraser, M. P., Rogge, W. 824 825 F., and Cass, G. R.: Levoglucosan, a tracer for cellulose in biomass burning and atmospheric 826 particles, Atmos. Environ., 33, 173-182, 1999.

829

830

831

832 833

835 836

837

838

839

- Simoneit, B. R. T.: Biomass burning A review of organic tracers for smoke from incomplete combustion, Appl. Geochem., 17, 129-162, 2002.
- Streets, D. G., Yarber, K. F., Woo, J. H., and Carmichael, G. R.: Biomass burning in Asia: Annual and seasonal estimates and atmospheric emissions, Global Biogeochem, Cycle, 17, 1099, http://doi:10.1029/2003GB002040, 2003.
- Sullivan, A. P., Holden, A. S., Patterson, L. A., McMeeking, G. R., Kreidenweis, S. M., Malm, W. C., Hao, W. M., Wold, C. E., and Collett Jr., J. L.: A method for smoke marker 834 measurements and its potential application for determining the contribution of biomass burning from wildfires and prescribed fires to ambient PM<sub>2.5</sub> organic carbon, J. Geophys. Res., 113, D22302, http://doi:10.1029/2008JD010216, 2008.
  - Sun, Y., Jiang, Q., Xu, Y., Ma, Y., Zhang, Y., Liu, X., Li, W., Wang, F., Li, J., Wang, P., Li, Z.: Aerosol characterization over the north China plain: haze life cycle and biomass burning impacts summer, Geophys. Res. 121 (5),2508-2521. in J. https://doi.org/10.1002/2015JD024261, 2016.
- 841 Tao, J., Zhang, L. M., Cao, J. J., and Zhang, R. J.: A review of current knowledge concerning 842 PM<sub>2.5</sub> chemical composition, aerosol optical properties and their relationships across China, 843 Atmos. Chem. Phys., 17, 9485-9518, 2017.
- 844 Taylor, P. E., Flagan, R. C., Valenta, R., and Glovsky, M. M.: Release of allergens as respirable 845 aerosols: A link between grass pollen and asthma, J. Allergy Clin. Immunol., 109, 51-56, 846 https://doi.org/10.1067/mai.2002.120759, 2002.
- 847 Verma, S. K., Kawamura, K., Chen, J., and Fu, P. Q.: Thirteen years of observations on primary sugars and sugar alcohols over remote Chichijima Island in the western North Pacific, Atmos. 848 849 Chem. Phys., 18, 81–101, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-18-81-2018, 2018.
- 850 Verma, S. K., Kawamura, K., Chen, J., Fu, P. Q., and Zhu, C.: Thirteen years observation of 851 biomass-burning organic tracers over Chichijima Island in the western North Pacific: An 852 outflow region of Asian aerosols. J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 853 http://doi:10.1002/2014JD022224, 2015.
- Wan, X., Kang, S., Li, Q., Rupakheti, D., Zhang, Q., Guo, J., Chen, P., Tripathee, L., Rupakheti, 854 M., Panday, A. K., Wang, W., Kawamura, K., Gao, S., Wu, G., and Cong, Z.: Organic 855 molecular tracers in the atmospheric aerosols from Lumbini, Nepal, in the northern Indo-856 Gangetic Plain: influence of biomass burning, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 17, 8867–8885, 857 858 https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-17-8867-2017, 2017.
- Wang, G. H. and Kawamura, K.: Molecular characteristics of urban organic aerosols from 859 860 Nanjing: a case study of a mega-city in China, Environ. Sci. Technol., 39, 7430–7438, 2005.

- Wei, L. F., Yue, S. Y., Zhao, W. Y., Yang, W. Y., Zhang, Y. J., Ren, L. J., Han, X. K., Guo, Q. J., Sun, Y. L., Wang, Z. F., and Fu, P. Q.: Stable sulfur isotope ratios and chemical compositions of fine aerosols (PM<sub>2.5</sub>) in Beijing, China, Sci. Total Environ. 633, 1156-1164, 2018.
- Xu, S., Ren, L., Lang, Y., Hou, S., Ren, H., Wei, L., Wu, L., Deng, J., Hu, W., Pan, X., Sun, Y.,
   Wang, Z., Su, H., Cheng, Y., and Fu, P Q.: Molecular markers of biomass burning and primary
   biological aerosols in urban Beijing: size distribution and seasonal variation, Atmos. Chem.
   Phys., 20, 3623–3644, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-20-3623-2020, 2020.
- Xu, W. Y., Zhao, C. S., Ran, L., Deng, Z. Z., Liu, P. F., Ma, N., Lin, W. L., Xu, X. B., Yan, P.,
  He, X., Yu, J., Liang, W. D., and Chen, L. L.: Characteristics of pollutants and their
  correlation to meteorological conditions at a suburban site in the North China Plain, Atmos.
  Chem. Phys., 11, 4353–4369, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-11-4353-2011, 2011.

873

874

875

876

877

878

879

894

895

896

897

898


- Yamaguchi, N., Ichijo, T., Sakotani, A., Baba, T., and Nasu, M.: Global dispersion of bacterial cells on Asian dust, Sci. Rep., 2(1), 525, http://doi:10.1038/srep00525, 2012.
- Yan, C., Zheng, M., Sullivan, A. P., Shen, G., Chen, Y., Wang, S., Zhao, B., Cai, S., Desyaterik, Y., Li, X., Zhou, T., Gustafsson, O., and Collett, J. L.: Residential coal combustion as a source of levoglucosan in China, Environ. Sci. Technol. 2018, 52, 3, 1665–1674 http://doi:10.1021/acs.est.7b05858, 2018.
- Yttri, K. E., Dye, C., and Kiss, G.: Ambient aerosol concentrations of sugars and sugar-alcohols at four different sites in Norway, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 7, 4267-4279, 2007.
- Yu, L., Wang, G., Zhang, R., Zhang, L., Song, Y., Wu, B. B., Li, X. F., An, K., and Chu, J. H.:
   Characterization and source apportionment of PM<sub>2.5</sub> in an urban environment in Beijing,
   Aerosol Air Qual. Res., 13, 574-583, 2013.
- Zhang, Y. X., Shao, M., Zhang, Y. H., Zeng, L. M., He, L. Y., Zhu, B., Wei, Y. J., and Zhu, X. L.:
   Source profiles of particulate organic matters emitted from cereal straw burnings, J. Environ.
   Sci.-China, 19, 167-175, 2007.
- Zhou, L., Kim, E., Hopke, P. K., Stanier, C. O., and Pandis, S.: Advanced factor analysis on Pittsburgh particle size-distribution data special issue of aerosol science and technology on findings from the fine particulate matter supersites program, Aerosol Sci. Technol., 38, 118– 132, 2004.
- Zhu, C., Kawamura, K., Fukuda, Y., Mochida, M., and Iwamoto. Y: Fungal spores overwhelm
  biogenic organic aerosols in a midlatitudinal forest, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 16, 7497–7506,
  https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-16-7497-2016, 2016.

## 900 **Figure Captions** 901 902 Figure 1. Geographical location of Mangshan, China. The map was downloaded from © Google 903 Maps 2019. 904 Figure 2. (a) The meteorological parameters at Mangshan during sampling periods, (b) Fractions 905 of local wind directions at Mangshan site, north of Beijing, China. Figure 3. Concentrations (ng m<sup>-3</sup>) of sugar compound (a) overall, (b) daytime and (c) nighttime in 906 907 aerosol samples from Mangshan during September-October 2007 (The error bars denote the 908 standard deviation). Figure. 4. Temporal variations in the concentrations (ng m<sup>-3</sup>) of anhydrosugars in the Mangshan 909 910 aerosol samples collected for September-October 2007. (Solid circle represents nighttime 911 samples collected from 18:00 to 09:00 hours. Hollow circle represents daytime samples). Figure. 5. Temporal variations in the concentrations (ng m<sup>-3</sup>) of primary sugars in the Mangshan 912 913 aerosol samples collected for September-October 2007. (Solid circle represents nighttime 914 samples collected from 18:00 to 09:00 hours. Hollow circle represents daytime samples). 915 Figure. 6. Temporal variations in the concentrations (ng m<sup>-3</sup>) of sugar alcohols in the Mangshan aerosol samples collected for September-October 2007. (Solid circle represents nighttime 916 917 samples collected from 18:00 to 09:00 hours. Hollow circle represents daytime samples). 918 Figure 7. PMF analyses of sugar compounds in Mangshan aerosols based on the autumn 2007 data 919 set. 920 Figure 8. Source contributions to sugar compounds from various sources based on PMF analyses. 921 Figure 9. The concentrations and relative contributions of the carbon content of anhydrosugars, primary sugars and sugar alcohols to the carbon concentrations of measured sugar 922 923 compounds, water-soluble organic carbon (WSOC) and organic carbon (OC) fraction of 924 Mangshan aerosols (a = daytime and b = nighttime). The concentrations and relative 925 contribution of the carbon content of five sources of sugar compounds to total sugar 926 compounds measured, WSOC and OC fraction of Mangshan aerosols (c = daytime and d = 927 nighttime). 928 Figure 10. Mass concentrations ratio of carbon contents of (a) levoglucosan (Lev) to organic 929 carbon (OC) and water soluble organic carbon (WSOC), (b) levoglucosan (Lev) to organic 930 carbon (OC) daytime and night time, (c) levoglucosan (Lev) to water soluble organic carbon 931 (WSOC) daytime and night time, (d) average levoglucosan to mannosan ratios (Lev/Man) in 932 the Mangshan aerosol samples for autumn 2007. 933 934

935

936

940 Fig. 1.



958 Fig. 2.

960

961

962

963


964

965

959


956

957




**80** 69.7 %) 40 23.4 6.2 0.4 0 0.7 0  $\mathbf{0}$ North East **South East South West** North West Wind direction

966967 Fig. 3.968969




978 Fig. 4 




990991 Fig. 5.992







000001 Fig. 6.002





014 Fig. 7. 



024025 Fig. 8.026










Table 1. Minimum, maximum, average and standard deviations of concentrations of sugar compounds in aerosol samples (TSP) from Mangshan, China.

Sugar	Overall				]	Daytime (n = 38)			Nighttime (n = 20)			
Compounds	Min	Max	Avg.	S.D.	Min	Max	Avg.	S.D.	Min	Max	Avg.	S.D.
Anhydrosugars												
Galactosan	0.14	48.0	10.1	11.9	0.14	45.3	8.53	10.5	0.69	48.0	13.0	14.0
Mannosan	0.13	26.1	6.05	6.33	0.13	24.3	5.37	6.01	0.53	26.1	7.35	6.87
Levoglucosan	1.17	482	100	119	1.17	418	83.2	106	5.66	482	132	138
Sugar alcohols												
Arabitol	3.89	72.2	29.1	21.5	3.99	72.2	32.5	22.0	3.89	71.3	22.5	19.4
Mannitol	4.19	182	44.1	34.5	4.19	182	51.7	37.5	4.40	87.7	29.6	22.3
Inositol	0.23	6.8	2.62	1.81	0.27	6.80	3.14	1.90	0.23	4.65	1.62	1.09
Primary sugars												
Fructose	1.72	177	20.1	24.6	1.72	177	23.9	29.3	2.64	30.9	12.8	7.67
Glucose	1.86	297	40.0	43.4	1.86	297	44.2	50.8	4.52	108	32.0	22.8
Sucrose	0.02	474	58.5	96.5	0.02	474	82.9	112	0.04	60.1	12.3	15.1
Trehalose	0.06	39.5	14.3	10.5	0.06	34.9	15.3	10.6	0.87	39.5	12.3	10.2
Anhydrosugars	6.01	556	116	137	6.01	476	97.1	122	6.88	556	152	159
Primary sugars	9.41	565	133	125	9.41	565	166	141	10.5	172	69.4	43.0
Sugar alcohols	8.53	259	75.8	54.7	9.09	259	87.4	57.5	8.53	164	53.7	41.9
Total Sugars	30.8	875	325	232	34.1	875	351	240	30.8	759	276	212
Anhydrosugars (%)			31.9				24.6				45.7	
Primary sugars (%)			41.8				47.3				31.3	
Sugar alcohols (%)			26.4				28.1				23.0	

Table 2. Statistical summary of correlations among the chemical species and meteorological variables in aerosol samples collected at a forest site in northern Japan

Linear regression	Correlation coefficient	p value	Significance of correlation at P value < 0.05				
	<b>Overall</b> (n = 58)						
Levoglucosan vs. Galactosan	0.98	< 0.05	Significant				
Levoglucosan vs. Mannosan	0.97	< 0.05	Significant				
Mannosan vs. Galactosan	0.98	< 0.05	Significant				
Sucrose vs. Temperature	0.52	< 0.05	Significant				
Sucrose vs. Solar radiation	0.55	< 0.05	Significant				
Arabitol vs. Mannitol	0.81	< 0.05	Significant				
Arabitol vs. RH	0.69	< 0.05	Significant				
Mannitol vs. RH	0.57	< 0.05	Significant				
Glucose vs. Fructose	0.94	< 0.05	Significant				
Trehalose vs. Arabitol	0.58	< 0.05	Significant				
Trehalose vs. Mannitol	0.58	< 0.05	Significant				
Trehalose vs. Ca <sup>2+</sup>	0.70	< 0.05	Significant				
		Daytime (n = 38)					
Sucrose vs. Ca <sup>2+</sup>	0.32	> 0.05	Not significant				
Glucose vs. Ca <sup>2+</sup>	0.02	> 0.05	Not significant				
Trehalose vs. Arabitol	0.49	< 0.05	Significant				
Trehalose vs. Mannitol	0.51	< 0.05	Significant				
Trehalose vs. Ca <sup>2+</sup>	0.81	< 0.05	Significant				
Fructose vs. Mannitol	0.79	< 0.05	Significant				
Levoglucosan vs. OC	0.45	< 0.05	Significant				
Levoglucosan vs. WSOC	0.40	< 0.05	Significant				
		Nighttime (n = 20)					
Sucrose vs. Ca <sup>2+</sup>	0.37	> 0.05	Not significant				
Glucose vs. Ca <sup>2+</sup>	0.27	> 0.05	Not significant				
Trehalose vs. Arabitol	0.76	< 0.05	Significant				
Trehalose vs. Mannitol	0.85	< 0.05	Significant				
Trehalose vs. Ca <sup>2+</sup>	0.61	< 0.05	Significant				
Fructose vs. Mannitol	0.86	< 0.05	Significant				
Levoglucosan vs. OC	0.81	< 0.05	Significant				
Levoglucosan vs. WSOC	0.70	< 0.05	Significant				

The data of Ca<sup>2+</sup>, OC and WSOC are adapted from He et al. (2015).