The manuscript entitled “Atmospheric gas-phase composition over the Indian Ocean” by Tegtmeier et al. provide a comprehensive literature review on gas-phase atmospheric composition over the Indian Ocean (IO) utilizing emission inventories, satellite observations and in situ measurements conducted during field campaigns. However, the manuscript need a major revision before publication in ACP.

Major comments

1. The article need to be concise as content in some of the sections (especially, section 1 to 3: making 17 pages) do not link well with the central theme of the article, i.e., “atmospheric gas-phase composition”. Additionally, there are overlapping information in introduction and section 2.1.

2. The article mainly represents emission or concentration levels of trace gases and associated transport patterns. However, discussions on the findings related to the chemistry of trace gases also considering modelling results (for example, Mallik et al., 2013; Nair et al., 2011; Girach et al., 2017; Ojha et al., 2012) need to be added / strengthened. Recently a few studies have used chemical reanalysis (e.g. CAMS) for better insight into chemical and dynamical processes over the IO (e.g., Girach et al., 2020). Add discussion on chemical reanalysis fields and regional/global chemistry transport modeling.

3. What additional inferences do the authors draw while compiling all the literature related to emissions, in situ and satellite observations?

4. CH4: Authors used AIRS version 6 (v6) data of CH4 to discuss the surface distribution and seasonality. AIRS is mainly sensitive to CH4 in the upper troposphere (300 hPa) and has no skill in retrieving the surface amounts of CH4. Due to this fact, surface CH4 data has been removed from the latest version 7 of AIRS (refer user guide of v7). It was also reported that AIRS v6 does not capture the variability in surface methane (also at 925 hPa) over the Bay of Bengal (BoB; http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s12040-017-0915-y). Thus, instead of AIRS version 6 data, please discuss CH4 variabilities using in situ measurements available.

P42L6-8: I do not agree to this. The higher CH4 levels (~2000 ppbv) measured over the northern Bay of Bengal (Srivastava et al., Mallik et al., 2013; Girach et al., 2017) are not seen in AIRS v6. Cape rama observations (Bhattacharya et al., 2009) would help to refer seasonality and CH4 levels, however, it might be older observations. Also see Bhattacharya et al., 2009 for other greenhouse gas variations.

Thus, entire discussion of CH4 under section 5.2 (P42-43) needs to be revised.

5. CO2: Why not use OCO-2 which has better sensitivity for lower troposphere than GOSAT? The distributions of CO2 for different seasons may be included, as for other species.

P25L20: CO2 emission from the BoB is associated with cyclones during postmonsoon. But whether the BoB is “net” source of CO2? Provide suitable references else a general statement may be avoided.

6. CO:
Mention explicitly about data type (TIR or NIR or JIR) and retrieval time (day or night) used in the study.

Discuss accuracy and uncertainties in presented CO distribution considering northern IO is covered by thick clouds during JJA? Since MOPITT’s sensitivity for surface CO is limited, has there been a comparison/validation of MOPITT-surface-CO with in situ measurements over the study region?

Any report on change of mean level of CO (or trend) over the northern IO based on INODEX and recent measurements?

Is there signature of CO enhancement over shipping lane over the BoB? Black carbon aerosols shows enhancement over this region (Ramana and Devi, 2016) and since like BC, CO is also a product of incomplete combustion, it is expected to see some enhancement in CO over the shipping lane.

Why is CO mixing ratio lower during monsoon over the Arabian Sea and BoB? In addition to ITCZ movement and cleaner airmass (as you mentioned already), convective uplifting/mixing also contributes for lowering CO over the BoB (Girach et al., 2014).

Figure 12: Since in-situ measurements of surface CO have been reported for all the seasons, it would be valuable to show spatial distributions alongside figure 12.

7. NO₂:
Authors referred NO2 as NOx. I don’t find any reason to refer as NOx unless it is NO2 + NO. NO₂ should be referred as NO₂, not NOx.

P33L8: NO2 pattern is NOT “very similar” to CO. Due to short lifetime of NO2, its spread is in the vicinity of sources, making a spotty pattern. Whereas CO spreads deeper over the ocean from their coastal/continental sources. In addition, gradients of NO2 and CO across the coastal regions are different.

What are the impact of shipping lane emission on ozone formation/destruction or regional chemistry?
P49L45-46: Trend in NO2 over India is referred here. NO2 being a short lived species, what is the impact of long-term change of NO2 over the IO? What’s the long-term trend over the IO? Is it significant?

8. O₃:
As shown for other species, include also the distribution for the seasons including monsoon and post-monsoon (Figure 14). While some studies reported the existence of specific diurnal patterns, some have not observed such. Putting all studies together, following questions can be answered.

What’s the general scenario of diurnal pattern of O₃ over the IO? What would decide presence of specific diurnal pattern?

What are possible causes for low-O₃ over the Arabian Sea? [halides from sea salt aerosols (Ali et al., 2009); Heterogeneous chemistry indicating role of chloride ions (Nair et al., 2013)]

Which O₃ formation regime (VOC-limited/NOx-limited) is active where (coastal versus open ocean)?
I do not agree to this statement. Over coastal oceanic region and in the downwind of shipping lane (6 N), NO2-driven photochemical production is evident. Far away from sources, in the open ocean OH-driven photochemical destruction (H2O act as a sink) is observed. Also, discuss the plumes of CO, NO2 and O3 from coastal megacities over the IO.

9. SO2:
   Strengthened the discussions on SO2 variations by including the distribution of boundary layer SO2 from OMI (or may be other satellite).

P36L21-44: Under the title of “Sulfur dioxide”, authors have mainly discussed sulfate aerosols which is a deviation from central theme of the article. Aswini has reported sulfate aerosols, but I think, in-situ measurements of SO2 has not been reported over the study region. Hence, title “Sulfer dioxide” is a bit misleading. Authors should mention explicitly that using sulfate as proxy for SO2 and be concise for the section.

10. Ammonia
    Can NH4 be used for the proxy of NH3? See Aryasree at al., 2015 and Aswini et al., 2020.

11. VOCs
    Discuss on the formaldehyde distribution and associated chemical processes (e.g., Chaliyakunnel et al., 2019; Chutia et al., 2019). Satellite-based mean distributions can be included. Also include studies on Glyoxal and VOCs.

12. Briefly state the uncertainties involved in in-situ measurements, emission inventory and satellite observations for each species represented here.

13. Table 4: Some of the campaigns (ARMEX-2002; Ali et al. 2009, CTCZ-2009; Girach et al., 2017; IIEO-2 during 2018; Girach et al., 2020 and may be a few more) and their findings need to be discussed. Also add in section 3.1.

Minor comments

1. Abstract and P54L5-6: What is “unusual type of wind patterns”?  
2. P47L33: subscript 2 in SO2. Similar mistakes are seen over numerous instances (e.g., P48L16, L17, L25). Correct here and in entire manuscript.  
3. Indicate latitude-longitude range for referring different regions of the IO (for example, P35L16; P34L8-20; check for the entire manuscript).  
4. P31L6: Use an em-dash to represent the range of values here and other places in the manuscript.  
5. P2L3: Doesn’t “dynamics” include “transport”?  
6. P2L19: “unusual” what are you referring to?  
7. P2L41: “the atmospheric composition...other regimes.” What do you mean? Please rewrite and be specific.  
10. P3L9-10, 15: “race, religions, political competition” these may be dropped to make revised version more concise.
11. P4L8: Lelieveld et al. (2018) should also be mentioned; this reference is already in the list of references and should be mentioned here.
12. P4L3-4: “Atmospheric pollutant levels are low and typical open ocean background conditions can be observed” where?
13. P4L42: NH3 is discussed at later stage (P18L43-45) but not mentioned in section 1.4
14. Winter monsoon period is mentioned as DJF on Page P5L26 but as Nov-March on P3L40. please make it consistent. DJF may be more appropriate.
16. P6L17: add: Airmass undergoes purification (lightning driven OH) during monsoonal convection and significant amount of pollutants get removed before airmass gets into stratosphere as well as getting circulated globally (Lelieveld et al., 2018)
17. P7L9: “ITCZ typical within” -> “ITCZ, typically within”
18. P7L22-24: Let the reader know which altitude range you are referring for the oscillation.
19. P8L8-10: mention a few trace gases which get influenced by oceanic transport patterns.
20. P8L15: “…Trades…” Why is T capital here?
21. Figure2: makes (a) and (b) of same size.
22. P10: Mention OMZ region of IO (Arabian Sea) and some studies linking OMZ with VOCs.
23. P12L6-7: Many “and”s here. Use proper punctuations and reframe the sentence.
25. P13: What is the expected trend in VOCs and CO2 due to long-term changes in phytoplankton?
26. P14: section 3.1: Mention of aircraft measurements during ICARB-2009. There are limited measurements over the IO from IAOGS (https://www.iagos.org/)
27. P16L7-12: In addition to Lal et al., 2014, what are additional findings reported from the observation of vertical profiles of ozone southernmost India (Ajayakumar et al. 2019)? Discuss.
29. P17L31: It’s NO₂, not NOx.
31. P20L7: “Manufacturing industries”…? Be more specific. “…(electricity production and heat production)…” ->“…(electricity and heat production)…”
32. P20L11: Use more recent reference, Kurokawa et al., 2014
33. P22L13: which site does 407 ppm correspond to?
34. P22L19: Use more recent number for lifetime of CH₄ (~ 12 years) and other species. See IPCC AR5 Ch-8
35. P24L10-12: what about per capita CO2 emission from Asia as compared to developed counties? Mention briefly.
36. P24L24: Expand OMZ appearing for the first time in the manuscript.
37. P25L5: use “%” only once here and elsewhere. Same applies to other units.
38. P25L18: Is ENTIRE northern IO source of CO2?
40. P29L8-9: Why is DMS flux higher over the northern IO during winter?
41. P29L19: Let the reader know the lifetime you are referring by “very short-lived”.
42. P32L2: “... short lifetime (<mention lifetime>)”
43. P33L16: “…tip…” -> “…southern tip…”. Refer this as “shipping lane at ~ 6N over the BoB”
44. P38L2: frequently cloud-free conditions? I don’t think so, it’s a tropical oceanic region and expected to have frequent cloudy conditions, especially boundary layer clouds.
45. P38L8-12: it’s a broad statement. Is there study reporting the signature of stratospheric O3 to lower troposphere over the IO?
46. P40Table-4: “2003 BOBEX I” -> “2003 BOBEX II” (See Srivastava et al., 2012)
47. P46L10: How come mean value is represented as a range of values?
48. P47L1: trend in mixing ratio? Or emission trend?
49. P47L6: any quantification on RF?
50. P47L6-7: “air quality” What is the importance of air quality over oceanic region?
52. P49L6: “…trend…” Do you mean seasonal trend or long-term trend?
53. P49L4-15: reframe the sentence. The word “correlation” appearing twice.
54. P49L30-33: How does this statement connect to ‘long-term trend’-the title of sub-section?
55. P49L41: CO shows decreasing trend over the globe including the IO.
56. P50L14-15: are you referring to trend in surface CH4? The trend reported based on version 6 of AIRS is questionable as AIRS has poor sensitivity for surface CH4.
57. P50L19: “…increased convective activity…” It’s a speculation and no evidence presented in the reported paper.
58. P50L29: Use O3 once “ozone” is defined/mentioned as O3. Follow the same for other instances.
59. P51L36: Impact of < ? >
60. P51L40: “…gaseous atmospheric pollution…”. Not all pollutants increasing? Whether CO is increasing? SO2? Be precise about the gas/species you are referring here.
62. P53L36-37: “…the Indian Ocean…” or “the northern Indian Ocean”?
63. P54L6: “Seasonal” variation of O3 is available over northern IO.
64. P54L33: “…physical…” which processes are you referring? Dynamics is already mentioned in previous statement.

A few additional references which would make this article more comprehensive:


Ajayakumar et al. (2019), Dynamical nature of tropospheric ozone over a tropical location in Peninsular India: Role of transport and water vapour, Atmospheric Environment. Doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2019.117018

Chutia et al., (2019). Distribution of volatile organic compounds over Indian subcontinent during winter: WRF-chem simulation versus observations. Environmental Pollution, doi: 10.1016/j.envpol.2019.05.097


