

Reviewer 1 Comments and responses:

General Comments

This manuscript presents the linking of marine aerosols to oceanic biological and meteorological parameters that were estimated by residence-time-weighted air mass transport history. The paper appears to be original and to provide a valuable dataset obtained during four field campaigns. However, there are a few scientific issues to be addressed before the paper can be accepted for publication.

We sincerely appreciate the reviewer's feedback and believe their comments have led to an improved manuscript. We have responded to the reviewer's comments with the blue text below.

Specific Comments

As the authors highlighted, the biological activities of the surface ocean have an important influence on the physiochemical properties of marine aerosols. Further clear explanation of the biological characteristics of the study area would greatly benefit the paper. These biological characteristics may include the following: 'the main phytoplankton species, because the emission of biogenic VOCs is highly species-specific', 'differences in mean chlorophyll-a concentration and net primary production (NPP) for four field campaigns', and 'major biological pathway in oceanic VOCs production'.

We agree and have added the additional details that the reviewer is seeking to the Introduction, Methods, and Conclusions as follows:

In Introduction:

"Analysis of phytoplankton taxonomy and its seasonal variability in the NAAMES region is presented by Bolanos et al. (2020). Bolanos et al. (2020) show cyanobacteria dominated subpolar waters during the winter and were a significant fraction in the subtropics, with taxa varying by latitude. In-addition, prasinophyta accounted for a significant contribution of subtropical species, with stramenopiles representing less than 30% of subtropical communities. Spring communities had significantly more diverse communities and significantly less cyanobacteria (<10%) relative to the winter, with the exception of one station. Prasinophyta dominated the spring phytoplankton composition, though taxonomic compositions differed from the winter period and between the subpolar and subtropical regions. Typically, diatoms are assumed to be the dominant phytoplankton species in blooms. However, diatoms only represent 10-40% of phytoplankton biomass in the spring bloom surveyed during NAAMES. The phytoplankton functional groups present influence the overall isoprene production rate and, therefore, the marine atmospheric aerosol and

VOC concentrations. Booge et al. (2016) compiled chlorophyll-normalized isoprene production rates from the literature to identify differences between phytoplankton species. The chlorophyll-normalized isoprene production rates varied from 4.56-27.6, 1.4-32.16, and 1.12-28.48 ($\mu\text{mol (g chlorophyll-a)}^{-1} \text{ day}^{-1}$) for cyanobacteria, prasinophyta and diatoms, respectively, indicating emissions for isoprene vary significantly with taxonomy. To further complicate the emission strength of VOCs, emissions can vary by production pathways, such as photosynthetic byproducts, bacterial degradation of dissolved organic matter, and zooplankton grazing on marine phytoplankton (Gantt et al., 2009; Shaw et al., 2003; Sinha et al., 2006).”

In methods:

“For this study chlorophyll-normalized VOC production rates were not considered because of the large variability in observed values (Booge et al., 2016) and the overall unknown contributions from various VOC species to marine particle mass concentrations.”

Updated text in conclusion:

“Future studies are needed to 1) understand how differences in subtropical and subarctic phytoplankton speciation may influence aerosol concentrations (Bolaños et al., 2020) and 2) quantify the contribution of transported aerosols to the marine CCN budget and how those may impact (or even dominate) the relationships we have identified in the remote North Atlantic.”

Air mass transport history, combined with biological and meteorological parameters, was used to estimate environmental factors controlling marine aerosols and VOC in this study. Similar analyses have been conducted previously (Arnold et al., 2010; Park et al., 2018); hence, the authors should definitely explicitly explain what aspects of their work are novel and of significance.

We thank the reviewer for pointing out this literature. The analysis performed by Arnold et al. (2010) and Park et al. (2018) are similar, however what really sets our manuscript apart is that we compared the influence of biomass on aerosol rather than VOCs (with the exception of DMS). We have added the references in the following relevant sentence in the introduction:

“Previous literature hints that phytoplankton activity is related to emissions of organic and sulfate aerosol mass precursors (Altieri et al., 2016; Arnold et al., 2010; Ayers et al., 1997; Bates et al., 1998; Brüggemann et al., 2018; Ceburnis et al., 2011; Facchini et al., 2008; Hallquist et al., 2009; Hu et al., 2013; Huang et al., 2018; Mansour et al., 2020; Ovadnevaite et al., 2014; Park et al., 2017; Quinn et al., 2019; Sanchez et al., 2018).”

We have also added the following statement in the results section:

“The DMS correlation with chlorophyll-a during the bloom period is consistent with results from similar analyses performed by Arnold et al. (2010) and Park et al. (Park et al.,

2018), where DMS measurements were collected in the South Atlantic and Arctic, respectively.”

The authors need to provide time series measurement results for key observation parameters (including atmospheric concentration of organics, sulfate and DMS).

The following figure has been added to the supplement and referenced in the main text:




Figure S1. Time series of hourly CN and $\text{CN}_{>100\text{nm}}$, non-refractory organic and sulfate concentration, DMS concentration and *R/V Atlantis* latitude for each NAAMES campaign. Data has been filtered for clean marine conditions (see section 2.6).

Biogenic VOCs in the ocean can be produced via several pathways, including photosynthetic byproducts, bacterial degradation of dissolved organic matter, and zooplankton grazing on marine phytoplankton. The authors need to verify relevant explanations (e.g., lines 32-33).

We agree that we cannot link emissions to photosynthetic byproducts alone and have updated the text:

Updated text:

“This result indicates non-refractory organic aerosol mass is influenced by biogenic volatile organic compound (VOC) emissions that are typically produced through bacterial degradation of dissolved organic matter, zooplankton grazing on marine phytoplankton, and as a byproduct of photosynthesis by phytoplankton stocks during advection into the region.”

Provide relevant references for lines 46-48 and lines 54-55.

We have added relevant references for lines 46-48:

“Marine environments are sensitive to aerosol particle loading because particles can act as cloud condensation nuclei (CCN) on which cloud droplets form. The number concentration of cloud droplets can influence cloud optical properties and therefore affect the impact of clouds on climate (Leahy et al., 2012; Platnick and Twomey, 1994; Turner et al., 2007; Warren et al., 1988).”

Lines 54-55 are simply a statement. We have reworded the statement to prevent confusion:

“Since ocean-emitted volatile compounds and particles can control the number, size and composition of marine aerosols (Brooks and Thornton, 2018), here we use satellite measurements of ocean biomass as a proxy for marine particle properties.”

Line 56: dissolved organic matter also acts as an important contributor to marine aerosols.

We have updated the text to included DOM as a contributor to marine aerosols.

Line 66: In general, the abundance of marine phytoplankton reaches its maximum during the spring period, and the mixed layer depth is much shallower during summer than during spring.

We have updated the text below:

“The bloom ends when phytoplankton division rates stop increasing (due to depletion of nutrients or annual maximum in mixed layer light intensity) and are matched by loss rates (Behrenfeld and Boss, 2018). When bloom termination is associated with nutrient exhaustion, mixed later depths may continue to shoal into summer (i.e., mixed layer light levels are still increasing), but phytoplankton biomass may decrease due to slowing division rates and excessive grazing (Behrenfeld and Boss, 2018).”

40 Line 93: Chlorophyll-a could be used as an indicator for the biomass of marine phytoplankton, but not
for biogenic VOC emissions. The production of biogenic VOCs is highly species-specific and is
controlled by a complex food-web mechanism.

We agree and have changed “biogenic VOC emissions” to “marine phytoplankton biomass”.

45 Lines 213-214: NPP is not the only process that is linked with biogenic VOC emissions; oceanic VOC
production is also related to multiple biological processes. Please modify this sentence.

The text has been updated as below:

50 “Net primary production is the formation of organic material through photosynthesis by
phytoplankton. This process and correlated changes in other ecosystem rates lead to the emission
of biogenic VOCs at the sea surface (Li et al., 2018). “

While NPP is not the only processes that produces biogenic VOC emissions, we are directly comparing
NPP to aerosol and believe it is correct to state that any correlation is most likely related to VOCs that
are produced as a byproduct of NPP and not other processes.

55 We have updated text elsewhere (as pointed out by the reviewer in other comments) to properly state the
other sources of biogenic VOC emissions in more general statements.

Line 273: “because the phytoplankton cycle is fairly slow (1 year)”. I agree that the use of 8-day averaged
values for sea surface chlorophyll-a and NPP is sufficient to evaluate the relation between aerosol
60 parameters and oceanic biological activities. However, this explanation is inadequate because the life
cycle of individual phytoplankton is not that slow (typically a few days). I believe that it might be better
to demonstrate the variation in daily (or 8-day) chlorophyll-a concentration at a given domain for each
cruise period to support the idea that the use of 8-day chlorophyll-a values is appropriate.

The reviewer is referring to the following text:

65 “While not ideal, an 8-day average is still useful because the phytoplankton cycle is fairly slow (1
year) relative to the frequency of meteorological disturbances (days)”

We agree that demonstrating the lack of variation in consecutive 8-day average chlorophyll-a
concentrations is an effective method to support the use of an 8-day average and have added the following
text at the end of the sentence:

70 “and consequently the low variation from one 8-day average in Chlorophyll-a values to the next
indicates an 8-day average is appropriate (Figure S5).”

The new supplementary figure is shown below:




Figure S5. The normalized distribution of the difference in Chlorophyll-a between two consecutive satellite 8-day averages (24 May 2016 – 1 Jun 2016, shown in Figure 3b, and 1 Jun 2016 and 9 Jun 2016). The distribution includes the difference in chlorophyll-a from every 1° x 1° cell between 0° W and 90° W, and 10° N and 70° N, excluding cells on continents or with missing values.

Lines 284-286: Comparing in-line chlorophyll-a with trajectory-weighted chlorophyll-a does not make sense. This is because the FLEXPART backward trajectories reflect the travel history of air parcels rather than ocean currents.

The reviewer is referring to the initial Figure S5 referenced in the following text:

“When comparing measured quantities to 0-5 day FLEXPART-weighted-residence-time explanatory variables, the slope of the linear regression generally flattens (or decreases) with longer trajectories (Figure S5). This is because the trajectories cover more ocean surface area and thus they are more likely to be weighted by both high and low values (for example, chlorophyll-a in Figure 3b).”

Our intentions were to highlight the fact that there is less dependence on the local chl-a concentration when considering longer trajectory lengths. After consideration, we have decided to remove the figure to prevent confusion. The text has been updated as follows:

“Over longer trajectories, the weighted parameter is less likely to be related to the local value because the trajectories cover more ocean surface area and thus they are more likely to be weighted by both high and low values (for example, chlorophyll-a in Figure 3b).”

As shown in Fig. 4, several key aerosol parameters are weakly and moderately correlated with FLEXPART-residence-time-weighted explanatory variables when all datasets obtained from the four

separate field campaigns that were conducted in different seasons are gathered. However, to clearly support the author's explanation, a statistically valid relation between these parameters should be observed for each cruise. This is because Environmental factors affecting the formation and growth of marine aerosols may vary from season to season.

We agree that, to some unknown degree, the statistics are likely influenced by difference in environmental factors from one season to the next. However, Figure 4 is already quite a lot of information to process and separating this analysis by season would generate 4 times the information. Furthermore, the dynamic range of observed marine biological parameters would be significantly lower for individual seasons, likely resulting in statistically insignificant relationships between biological processes and aerosol properties. Separating by season would also significantly reduce the sample size. Such an analysis may be appropriate by combining a number of campaigns that occurred during the same season.

It would be better to provide figures for 5-day FLEXPART residence-time-weighted values, since the authors insist that many of the correlation strength increased at longer trajectory lengths.

In Figure 5, 2-day FLEXPART residence-time-weighted values were used because the 2-day trajectory corresponded to the peak in the correlation between non-refractory organic aerosol mass and Chlorophyll-a. While Chlorophyll-a does not have the strongest correlation, its importance lies in the fact that it is commonly used as a proxy for marine biomass and marine biogenic particle production. Also the peak correlation between organic aerosol mass and NPP and DSWF (Figure 5b,c,e,f) is similar to the 2-day trajectory correlation value. In Figure 6, DMS was shown to correlate with shorter trajectory lengths when comparing to Chlorophyll-a and net primary production, so it made more sense to compare to a low trajectory length (0-hour).

Line 436: The use of 'satellite measured ocean surface biomass' is not correct. This is because colored detrital organic materials and euphotic zone depth do not reflect biomass at the sea surface.

We agree and the text has been updated to:

"We studied the relationship between marine aerosols measured over the North Atlantic Ocean during NAAMES and back trajectories weighted by four metrics of satellite measured ocean biological and physical properties (chlorophyll-a, sea water particulate organic carbon, colored detrital organic materials, euphotic zone depth), modelled net primary production, and model reanalysis meteorological parameters."

Line 440: replace 'abundance' with 'biomass'

Fixed

Line 447: What does 'surface biomass' indicate? 'Net primary production'? NPP does not mean biomass. We have changed 'surface biomass' to 'net primary production'.

Provide a clear explanation for 'refractory' and 'non-refractory' particles.

We have updated the text to clearly state the difference:

“The AMS does not efficiently measure refractory particles (i.e. particles that do not efficiently vaporize at 600°C), such as sea salt particles.”

Lines 31-35 (abstract), 445-453 (conclusion), and relevant explanation in the Results and Discussion section: The explanation in these parts is confusing and hard to follow. As the authors noted, the lifetime of DMS in the atmosphere (1-2 days) is longer than that of other trace gases such as isoprene and monoterpene (less than a few hours). Considering the typical growth rate of SOA particles in the marine atmosphere, the difference in the lifetime of these VOCs (DMS, isoprene, etc.) may not significantly affect their temporal contribution to the organic aerosol mass over the study period. Moreover, the North Atlantic Ocean is well-known for high sea water DMS concentrations (a few nM, and occasionally increasing up to hundreds of nM during the phytoplankton bloom period due to the high abundance of DMS-producing phytoplankton groups such as haptophytes). The seawater concentration of isoprene (a few pM) in the North Atlantic Ocean is much lower than that of DMS (e.g., Dani and Loreto, 2017).

We agree that the explanation provided requires greater detail, particularly the complexity of DMS and its link (or lack of) to particle concentrations. We understand that the levels of isoprene in seawater are relatively low when compared to DMS concentration. However, models have suggested there may be an undiscovered source of VOCs that leads to the formation of SOA. Being an unknown source, we can only put our results in context of what is known. We have included this caveat in the introduction:

“While isoprene and monoterpenes are known precursors for secondary organic particle mass, models indicate previously observed particle yields and estimated air-sea fluxes of isoprene (2%, 13–38 $\mu\text{g m}^{-2}\text{d}^{-1}$) and monoterpenes ($\sim 32\%$, 0.27–0.78 $\mu\text{g m}^{-2}\text{d}^{-1}$) (Hu et al., 2013; Lee et al., 2006) are too low to account for the observed MBL organic mass, suggesting that there may be large undiscovered sources (Arnold et al., 2009; Myriokefalitakis et al., 2010).”

We have also added the relevant updated text below to the results section:

“DMS also has a number of chemical pathways with various secondary aerosol yields, making a direct link to biological processes more challenging (Faloona et al., 2009) “

And

“Also, SO_2 , a DMS oxidation product, has a lifetime on the order of days to weeks.”

We have also added updated the below text in the conclusion:

“Furthermore, the longer lifetime of DMS and its oxidation products can delay the formation of sulfate aerosol mass, making sulfate precursors more likely to advect through long-range transport if vertically lofted into the free troposphere and re-entrained down into the MBL. MBL to free troposphere transport of DMS is not captured well by the FLEXPART model. In addition, there are numerous DMS chemical pathways with various secondary aerosol yields that can obscure any link between sulfate aerosol concentrations and biogenic processes (Faloona et al., 2009).”

Technical corrections

180

Line 68: replace SO4 with SO42-

Fixed

Line 168: provide full name for SEMS

We have reordered sentences so the SEMS was introduced before this sentence in line 168.

185

References

190

Arnold, S.R., Spracklen, D.V., Gebhardt, S., Custer, T., Williams, J., Peeken, I., Alvain, S., 2010. Relationships between atmospheric organic compounds and air-mass exposure to marine biology. Environ. Chem. 7 (3), 232–241. <https://doi.org/10.1071/EN09144>.

Park, K.-T., Lee, K., Kim, T.-W., Yoon, Y.J., Jang, E.-H., Jang, S., Lee, B.- Y., Hermansen, O., 2018. Atmospheric DMS in the Arctic Ocean and Its Relation to Phytoplankton Biomass. Global Biogeochem. Cy. 32 (3), 351–359. <https://doi.org/10.1002/2017GB005805>.

195

Dani, K. G. S., and Loreto, F. (2017). Trade-off between dimethyl sulfide and isoprene emissions from marine phytoplankton. Trends Plant Sci. 22, 361–372. doi:10.1016/j.tplants.2017.01.006

Reviewer 2 Comments and responses:

General comment:

The manuscript presents how observed aerosol particle composition and concentrations are correlated with different meteorological and biological processes in the ocean upwind of the measurement location. The analysis is performed using meteorological data, comprehensive aerosol observations and the FLEXPART Lagrangian particle dispersion model. I find most of the results convincing and well justified by known physical/biological mechanisms. The paper is also generally well written and easy to follow. I suggest that the paper should be accepted for publication in ACP after minor revision considering all reviewers comments.

Specific comments:

Abstract: L39-41 “We hypothesized that the elevated total particle surface area associated with high PMA concentrations leads to enhanced rates of VOC condensation onto PMA” It is not the VOCs but their low- or semi-volatile oxidation products that condenses onto the PMA. Please, modify this sentence.

We have updated the sentence to state: “...enhanced rates of condensation of VOC oxidation products onto PMA.”

The observed negative correlation between aerosol submicron number and mass concentrations and wind speeds can as the authors suggest partly be a result of enhanced rate of condensation of condensable vapors onto wind generated PMA. However, other factors such as coagulation sink, and enhanced vertical mixing and dry deposition losses during high wind speed conditions may also contribute to the observed correlation.

We agree and have added the following sentence to section the section 3.2:


“Other factors associated with high wind speed conditions, such as coagulation, dry deposition, and enhanced vertical mixing likely also contribute to the observed negative correlation between wind speed and particle concentration.”

P8, L240-242 “For the remaining analysis in this paper, the vertical structure of the residence time is column integrated over only the vertical levels that are completely or partially within the MBL based on GDAS MBL heights. Remaining vertical levels were excluded from analysis.” Is it reasonably to exclude the air mass residence time above the MBL from the analysis? Does, this not also exclude the impact of free tropospheric air masses which may dilute the observed MBL aerosol concentrations. I would like to see result on how large fraction of the air mass residence time which is excluded because a fraction of the FLEXPART particles tracers is above the MBL. I would also like to see some analysis on if the fraction of air masses which is above the MBL correlates (anti-correlates) with the observed aerosol concentrations. I would expect that a large contribution from free troposphere air masses would result in lower PMA and aerosol particle mass in general, but possibly higher particle number concentrations.

245 We have performed the analysis while including the residence time above the MBL and the results were not significantly different (See figure below after next comment). This shows that most air masses were largely confined in the boundary layer up to 5 days because of the stable nature of the MBL. Though mixing of FT air into the MBL can happen, the amount and occurrence is low enough that their influence cannot be seen in the statistics. Also, like all global models, the GFS's coarse resolution prevents it from resolving some of the small scale mixing for FT and MBL air, but is well suited for resolving the large-scale advection. Furthermore, since we are examining how the ocean surface and boundary layer meteorology influence marine boundary layer particles, we do not believe it is reasonable to weight FT residence time by boundary layer quantities.

255 P9, L271 "Residence time over land is excluded from the integration of weighted trajectories" Similar comment as above. Is it reasonable to exclude the residence time over continents. Should this residence time not be included in equation 1 but with the d explanatory variable values (Et) set to zero or a value representing e.g. emissions over the continents?

260 We agree that including the continental residence time and setting the explanatory variable values to zero is an alternative approach. However, this approach is equivalent to stating that there is zero influence from continental processes and, for this reason, we believe our approach is better. Despite this choice, we have performed the suggested approach of including the continental residence time (and included FT residence time) and the results are not significantly different (see figure below). Furthermore, extensive filtering for continentally influenced air (and specifically high continental residence time) was performed to remove cases that were significantly influenced by continental processes.



Reviewer figure 1: Same as figure 4 of main text, but FLEXPART trajectories that extend into the FT and over land are included (explanatory marine biological variables = 0 over land).

P9, L277-279 “We define correlation strength by the calculated Pearson’s coefficient (r) following Devore and Berk (2012), where $|r| < 0.25$ indicates there is no correlation, $0.25 \leq |r| < 0.50$ is defined as a weak correlation, $0.50 \leq |r| < 0.80$ is defined as a moderate correlation, and $|r| \geq 0.80$ is defined as a strong correlation.”

What I miss in the main manuscript (at least I could not find it), but what is included in the supplementary tables, is a statement about if the correlation coefficient is significantly separated from zero ($r=0$). Please add a sentence stating e.g. that only statistically significant correlations on a 5 % significance level ($p < 0.05$) is presented.

We agree and have added the following sentence:

285 “All presented Pearson’s correlation coefficients are statistically significant ($p < 0.05$).”

P10, L296-299 “Comparisons of non-refractory organic aerosol mass with other net primary production models are shown in the supplemental Table S8. These results suggest a substantial portion of non-refractory organic mass is from secondary biogenic VOC emissions, such as isoprene and monoterpenes and other unidentified biogenic VOCs (Altieri et al., 2016; Hallquist et al., 2009).”

What about MSA formed from DMS? In the AMS I expect that the MSA mass will be assigned both to the sulfate and organics non-refractory mass.


295 Yes, MSA will contribute to both organic and sulfate non-refractory mass for AMS unit mass resolution measurements. We have updated the sentence to also include MSA as a contributing VOC to the overall organic mass and added a relevant reference (Zorn et al., 2008).

300 P12, L373-374 “The negative correlation between low-level cloud cover and sulfate mass suggests the aqueous processing may be relatively less important than gas-phase photochemical mechanisms.”

I expect that low-level cloud cover also correlates with precipitation. Can this not also contribute to the negative correlation between the sulfate mass and low level clouds?

305 We have looked into the influence of precipitation on aerosol properties (see figure 4) and found no significant correlation with precipitation. However, as mentioned in the manuscript, the reanalysis precipitation has been shown to only moderately correlate with observations (Beck et al., 2019) and we believe the role of precipitation is possibly more important than what our analysis shows.

310 For reference, below is a figure showing the relation between the 2-Day trajectories averaged precipitation and low cloud fraction.



Reviewer figure 2: 2-Day trajectory weighted Precipitation and low cloud fraction from the GDAS reanalysis.

P13, L412-413 “Up to 25% of secondary sulfate formation has been shown to form from aqueous ozone oxidation of SO₂ to sulfate on PMA particles (Sievering et al., 1992b)”
 Yes, this may be correct but generally the most important aqueous phase SO₂ oxidation mechanism leading to sulfate is the reaction between H₂O₂ and SO₂.

Yes under most conditions, H₂O₂ is the dominate oxidant for aqueous phase sulfate formation from SO₂. We have updated this statement to take into account this reaction:

“Secondary sulfate formation from SO₂ occurs rapidly on fresh PMA particles via uptake due to aqueous ozone reactions (Sievering et al., 1992). Subsequently, in-cloud sulfate formation from SO₂ continues by oxidation due to hydrogen peroxide (Jacob, 2000).”

P14, L450-453 “The longer lifetime of DMS can delay the formation of sulfate aerosol mass, making sulfate precursors more likely to advect through long-range transport if vertically lofted into the free troposphere, and re-entrained down into the MBL. MBL to free troposphere transport of DMS is not captured well by the FLEXPART model.”

I agree. What complicates things with DMS is that the DMS oxidation is a multiphase process involving both gas- and aqueous phase and OH, O₃ and halogens. The fraction of DMS which is oxidized to SO₂ will delay the sulfate aerosol mass even further. In the gas-phase SO₂ has a relatively long lifetime (~1 week).

We agree and have added the following text to the results section:

“DMS also has a number of chemical pathways with various secondary aerosol yields, making a direct link to biological processes more challenging (Faloona et al., 2009) “

And

340 “Also, SO₂, a DMS oxidation product, has a lifetime on the order of days to weeks.”

We have also updated the below text in the conclusion:

345 “Furthermore, the longer lifetime of DMS and its oxidation products can delay the formation of sulfate aerosol mass, making sulfate precursors more likely to advect through long-range transport if vertically lofted into the free troposphere and re-entrained down into the MBL. MBL to free troposphere transport of DMS is not captured well by the FLEXPART model. In addition, there are numerous DMS chemical pathways with various secondary aerosol yields that can obscure any link between sulfate aerosol concentrations and biogenic processes (Faloona et al., 2009).”

350

Linking marine phytoplankton emissions, meteorological processes and downwind particle properties with FLEXPART

355 Kevin J. Sanchez^{1,2}, Bo Zhang³, Hongyu Liu³, Georges Saliba⁴, Chia-Li Chen⁴, Savannah L. Lewis⁴, Lynn M. Russell⁴, Michael A. Shook², Ewan C. Crosbie^{2,5}, Luke D. Ziemba², Matthew D. Brown^{2,5}, Taylor J. Shingler², Claire E. Robinson^{2,5}, Elizabeth B. Wiggins^{1,2}, Kenneth L. Thornhill^{2,5}, Edward L. Winstead^{2,5}, Carolyn Jordan^{2,3}, Patricia K. Quinn⁶, Timothy S. Bates^{6,7}, Jack Porter⁹, Thomas G. Bell^{8,9}, Eric S. Saltzman⁹, Michael J. Behrenfeld¹⁰, and Richard H. Moore²

360 ¹NASA Postdoctoral Program, Universities Space Research Association, Columbia, MD

²NASA Langley Research Center, Hampton, VA

³National Institute of Aerospace, Hampton, VA

⁴Scripps Institution of Oceanography, University of California San Diego, La Jolla, CA

⁵Science Systems and Applications, Inc., Hampton, VA

365 ⁶Pacific Marine Environmental Laboratory, NOAA, Seattle, WA, USA

⁷Joint Institute for the Study of the Atmosphere and Ocean (JISAO), University of Washington, Seattle, WA, USA

⁸Plymouth Marine Laboratory, Prospect Place, Plymouth, United Kingdom

⁹The Department of Earth System Science, University of California, Irvine, CA, USA

¹⁰Oregon State University, Corvallis, OR

370

Correspondence to: Kevin J. Sanchez (kevin.j.sanchez@nasa.gov) and Richard H. Moore (richard.h.moore@nasa.gov)

Abstract. Marine biogenic particle contributions to atmospheric aerosol concentrations are not well understood though they are important for determining cloud optical and cloud nucleating properties. Here we examine the relationship between marine aerosol measurements with satellite and model fields of ocean biology and meteorological variables during the North Atlantic
375 Aerosols and Marine Ecosystems Study (NAAMES). NAAMES consisted of four field campaigns between November 2015 and April 2018 that aligned with the four major phases of the annual phytoplankton bloom cycle. The FLEXPART Lagrangian particle dispersion model is used to connect these variables spatiotemporally to ship-based aerosol and dimethyl sulphide (DMS) observations. We find that correlations between some aerosol measurements with satellite measured and modelled variables increase with increasing trajectory length, indicating biological and meteorological processes over the air mass
380 history are influential to measured particle properties and that using only spatially coincident data would miss correlative connections that are lagged in time. In particular, the marine non-refractory organic aerosol mass correlates with modelled marine net primary production when weighted by 5-day air mass trajectory residence time ($r = 0.62$). This result indicates non-refractory organic aerosol mass is influenced by biogenic volatile organic compound (VOC) emissions that are typically produced through bacterial degradation of dissolved organic matter, zooplankton grazing on marine phytoplankton, and as a
385 byproduct of photosynthesis from photosynthesis by phytoplankton stocks during advection into the region. This is further supported by the correlation of non-refractory organic mass with 2-day residence-time-weighted chlorophyll-a ($r = 0.39$), a proxy for phytoplankton abundance, and 5-day residence-time-weighted downward shortwave forcing ($r = 0.58$), a requirement

for photosynthesis. In contrast, DMS (formed through biological processes in the seawater) and primary marine aerosol (PMA) concentrations showed better correlations to explanatory biological and meteorological variables weighted with shorter air mass residence times, which reflects their localized origin as primary emissions. Aerosol submicron number and mass negatively correlate with sea surface wind speed. The negative correlation is attributed to enhanced PMA concentrations under higher wind speed conditions. We hypothesized that the elevated total particle surface area associated with high PMA concentrations leads to enhanced rates of condensation of VOC oxidation products~~condensation~~ onto PMA. Given the high deposition velocity of PMA, relative to submicron aerosol, PMA can limit the accumulation of secondary aerosol mass. This study provides observational evidence for connections between marine aerosols and underlying ocean biology through complex secondary formation processes, emphasizing the need to consider air mass history in future analyses.

1 Introduction

Marine environments are sensitive to aerosol particle loading because particles can act as cloud condensation nuclei (CCN) on which cloud droplets form. The number concentration of cloud droplets can influence cloud optical properties and therefore affect the impact of clouds on climate (Leahy et al., 2012; Platnick and Twomey, 1994; Turner et al., 2007; Warren et al., 1988). Measurements over the ocean are scarce and have historically been concentrated over relatively few areas and for short time periods aligning with episodic intensive ship or aircraft campaigns. Satellite measurements are crucial to filling this void in marine boundary layer (MBL) measurements, but satellite optical measurements of particles are disproportionally weighted by the largest optically-active (>300 nm diameter) particles (Hasekamp et al., 2019). An unresolved challenge is to relate these remote sensing measurements to the particle number, size distribution, and chemical composition that are known to drive variability in cloud properties. Since ocean-emitted volatile compounds and particles can control the number, size and composition of marine aerosols (Brooks and Thornton, 2018), here we use satellite measurements of ocean biomass ~~are investigated~~ as a possible proxy for marine particle properties.

In the absence of advected continental or anthropogenic pollution, the main source of MBL particles is sea salt from primary marine aerosol (PMA), dissolved organic matter and marine biogenic volatile organic compounds (VOCs) emissions that can oxidize and condense to form secondary aerosol mass (Bates et al., 1998a; Covert et al., 1992; Frossard et al., 2014b; Murphy et al., 1998; Quinn et al., 2000, 2014; Rinaldi et al., 2010; Sievering et al., 1992b, 1999; Warren and Seinfeld, 1985). Clear seasonal trends have been identified between ocean chlorophyll-a, a frequently used proxy for marine biomass, and marine biogenic particle production (Odowd et al., 1997; Ovadnevaite et al., 2014; Van Pinxteren et al., 2017; Saliba et al., 2020; Shaw, 1983). This observed seasonal trend results from the marine phytoplankton cycle, which is driven by ocean mixed layer deepening in the winter months, increasing nutrients at the surface and decoupling phytoplankton from predators, followed by increased sunlight in the spring, enhancing photosynthetic primary productivity; they together produce the annually-occurring North Atlantic phytoplankton bloom (Behrenfeld, 2010; Behrenfeld and Boss, 2018; Boss and Behrenfeld, 2010). The bloom ends when phytoplankton division rates stop increasing (due to depletion of nutrients or annual maximum in mixed layer light

420 intensity) and are matched by loss rates (Behrenfeld and Boss, 2018). When bloom termination is associated with nutrient exhaustion, mixed layer depths may continue to shoal into summer (i.e., mixed layer light levels are still increasing), but phytoplankton biomass may decrease due to slowing division rates and excessive grazing (Behrenfeld and Boss, 2018). rates of loss processes equal or exceed rates of growth and is driven by shoaling of the mixed layer in the spring, which cuts off the surface layer from deep water nutrients (Behrenfeld and Boss, 2018).

425 Analysis of phytoplankton taxonomy and its seasonal variability in the NAAMES region is presented by Bolanos et al. (2020). Bolanos et al. (2020) show cyanobacteria dominated subpolar waters during the winter and were a significant fraction in the subtropics, with taxa varying by latitude. In-addition, prasinophyta accounted for a significant contribution of subtropical species, with stramenopiles representing less than 30% of subtropical communities. Spring communities had significantly more diverse communities and significantly less cyanobacteria (<10%) relative to the winter, with the exception of one station.

430 Prasinophyta dominated the spring phytoplankton composition, though taxonomic compositions differed from the winter period and between the subpolar and subtropical regions. Typically, diatoms are assumed to be the dominant phytoplankton species in blooms. However, diatoms only represent 10-40% of phytoplankton biomass in the spring bloom surveyed during NAAMES. The phytoplankton functional groups present influence the overall isoprene production rate and, therefore, the marine atmospheric aerosol and VOC concentrations. Booge et al. (2016) compiled chlorophyll-normalized isoprene

435 production rates from the literature to identify differences between phytoplankton species. The chlorophyll-normalized isoprene production rates varied from 4.56-27.6, 1.4-32.16, and 1.12-28.48 ($\mu\text{mol (g chlorophyll-a)}^{-1} \text{ day}^{-1}$) for cyanobacteria, prasinophyta and diatoms, respectively, indicating emissions for isoprene vary significantly with taxonomy. To further complicate the emission strength of VOCs, emissions can vary by production pathways, such as photosynthetic byproducts, bacterial degradation of dissolved organic matter, and zooplankton grazing on marine phytoplankton (Gantt et al., 2009; Shaw et al., 2003; Sinha et al., 2006).

440

One of the most studied biogenically-produced marine aerosol components is non-sea-salt sulfate (nssSO_4^{2-}) because of its role as a proposed link to a biological thermostat on clouds (Charlson et al., 1987; Shaw, 1983), a notion that has been called into question (Quinn and Bates, 2011). Marine nssSO_4^{2-} is formed from the oxidation products of dimethyl sulfide (DMS), a VOC derived from marine ecosystem processes (Yoch, 2002). The phytoplankton cycle leads to a seasonal variation in DMS-

445 derived sulfate aerosol mass, with higher sulfate concentrations during bloom periods (Bates et al., 1998a; Bell et al. in prep; Frossard et al., 2014b; Park et al., 2017; Quinn et al., 2019; Sanchez et al., 2018). DMS-derived sulfuric acid can either increase the size of pre-existing MBL particles by adding to the aerosol sulfate mass through condensation or can nucleate new particles in regions where existing particle surface area is deficient. While a seasonal relationship between DMS and marine nssSO_4^{2-} mass exists, a direct temporal link is often not clear due to the range of chemical pathways affecting the yields of secondary

450 aerosol formation and influence of entrainment on the formation of new particles (Andreae and Crutzen, 1997; Ayers et al., 1997; Bates et al., 1998a; Chen and Jang, 2012; Clarke et al., 1999, 2013; O'Connor et al., 2008; Reus et al., 2000; Sanchez et al., 2018; Veres et al., 2020). The formation rate of the DMS oxidation products, methane sulfonic acid, sulfuric acid and hydroperoxymethyl thioformate is a function of temperature and availability of atmospheric oxidants (Seinfeld and Pandis,

2006; Veres et al., 2020). Mixing of DMS across the MBL inversion, typically driven by convective or buoyancy driven transport, can lead to subsequent entrainment of newly formed particles back into the MBL. New particle formation is thought to be favored in the free troposphere due to the colder temperatures and low pre-existing particle surface area (Clarke, 1993; Clarke et al., 2013; Raes et al., 1997; Russell et al., 1998; Sanchez et al., 2018; Seinfeld and Pandis, 2006; Thornton et al., 1997; Yue and Deepak, 1982). Furthermore, sulfate mass can rapidly form onto existing particles through cloud processing, which enhances rates of sulfur dioxide (a DMS oxidation product and precursor to sulfuric acid) condensation onto cloud droplets due to the enhanced surface area provided by the addition of water. Aqueous phase oxidation of the sulfur dioxide results in the formation of low-volatility sulfuric acid, which remains in the particle phase when the cloud dissipates. This shifts some particles from the Aitken- to accumulation-mode and gives rise to the distinctive ‘Hoppel minimum’ (a minimum in the particle size distribution concentration between the two modes), commonly observed in cloud-processed marine aerosol size distributions (Hoppel et al., 1986).

Secondary organic aerosol mass is formed through the oxidation of biogenic VOCs such as isoprene and monoterpenes (Altieri et al., 2016; Hallquist et al., 2009). At Cape Grim, chlorophyll-a, an indicator for ~~biogenic VOC emissions~~marine phytoplankton biomass, is shown to strongly correlate with organic mass ($r = 0.85$) on a seasonal timescale (Cui et al., 2019). While isoprene and monoterpenes are known precursors for secondary organic particle mass, models indicate previously observed particle yields and estimated air-sea fluxes of isoprene (2% , $13\text{--}38\ \mu\text{g m}^{-2}\text{d}^{-1}$) and monoterpenes ($\sim 32\%$, $0.27\text{--}0.78\ \mu\text{g m}^{-2}\text{d}^{-1}$) (Hu et al., 2013; Lee et al., 2006) are too low to account for the observed MBL organic mass, suggesting that there may be large undiscovered sources (Arnold et al., 2009; Myriokefalitakis et al., 2010). One proposed possible source is secondary organic precursors derived from photosensitized reactions of dissolved organic matter present in the sea surface microlayer (Cui et al., 2019). In addition, organic aerosol mass has been shown to correlate with black carbon (BC) and other continental tracers that indicate a large portion of the mass is not of marine origin (Saliba et al., 2020; Shank et al., 2012).

Despite the presence of continental organic mass from long-range transport and primary OM, marine biogenically emitted VOCs are estimated to account for more than 60% of organic compounds over remote oceans (Brüggemann et al., 2018). Similarly, dual carbon isotope analysis of marine aerosol indicates that 80% of all primary and secondary organic matter is from biogenic origin during non-polluted conditions (Ceburnis et al., 2011). For the North Atlantic Aerosols and Marine Ecosystems Study (NAAMES) campaigns, Saliba et al. (2020) noted the measured submicron non-refractory nitrate mass (a product of secondary processes) strongly correlated with the non-refractory organic mass, suggesting much of the non-refractory organic mass is secondary. Even so, there is still a variable portion of marine organic mass that is emitted as PMA. PMA is composed mainly of sea salt and organic aerosol mass, and accounts for a small number of marine particles, but a significant fraction of the total aerosol mass, due to their large sizes ($0.05\text{--}10\ \mu\text{m}$) (Grythe et al., 2014). PMA formation is driven by wave breaking and bubble bursting which is primarily controlled by the surface wind speed (de Leeuw et al., 2011; Modini et al., 2015; Thorpe, 1992). While PMA typically accounts for a low fraction of the marine particle CCN concentration (Fossum et al., 2018; Quinn et al., 2017, 2019), a recent modelling study validated with observations suggests that PMA particles regulate secondary particle contributions to CCN over the remote MBL (Fossum et al., 2020). Enhanced condensation

of water vapor onto these coarse-mode PMA particles can reduce in-cloud supersaturations, preventing the activation of smaller Aitken-mode particles. PMA are short lived with the largest PMA having deposition velocities several orders of magnitude greater than accumulation mode particles (Petroff and Zhang, 2010; Pryor and Barthelmie, 2000; Williams et al., 2002), indicating aerosol mass gained through gas-to-particle conversion onto PMA is quickly removed from the atmosphere. During the NAAMES campaigns, PMA contributions to CCN concentrations at 0.1% supersaturation were found to be low for the North Atlantic (averaging 14-31% for all but the late autumn NAAMES campaign) (Quinn et al., 2019). Similarly, in global models, PMA were shown to account for about 20-40% of CCN in the North Atlantic (Pierce and Adams, 2006; Yu and Luo, 2009). These observations indicate that a substantial fraction is likely from secondary biogenic sources or from continental sources. Meskhidze and Nenes (2006) reported cloud droplet number concentrations were doubled over a phytoplankton bloom, relative to the surrounding area. Several other studies have also shown that ocean productivity and biomass abundance relate to the spatial and temporal variability in MBL particle and cloud droplet concentrations (McCoy et al., 2015; Meskhidze and Nenes, 2010; Vallina et al., 2006). While sulfate is more hygroscopic than organic aerosol mass, the latter still contributes to the particle size (which lowers the Kelvin effect) and may decrease the surface tension (Frossard et al., 2018; Ovadnevaite et al., 2017). Organics are likely to contribute to CCN concentrations considering organics often account for a significant fraction of marine Aitken- and accumulation-mode particle mass.

Linking aerosol and cloud properties to marine emissions is complicated by the influence of ship emissions and aerosols transported from the continents, including biomass-burning smoke (Coggon et al., 2012; Shank et al., 2012; Yang et al., 2016). Continental pollution and DMS-derived sulfate that is lofted into the free troposphere can lead to long-range transport of particles and subsequent reentrainment of these particles back into the MBL in a different location (Clarke et al., 2013; Dzepina et al., 2015; Korhonen et al., 2008; Quinn et al., 2019; Saliba et al., 2020; Shank et al., 2012). It is also known that DMS can persist over significant transport distances and contribute to secondary aerosol production in locations that are spatiotemporally removed from the source region (Mungall et al., 2016). Similarly, Zavorsky et al. (2018) show that measured and calculated isoprene and PMA fluxes positively correlate with up-wind satellite aerosol measurements. Marine particle concentrations have also been shown to negatively correlate with precipitation along the air mass history given the role of precipitation scavenging as a prominent aerosol loss process (Andronache, 2004; Pruppacher and Klett, 1997; Sanchez et al. in prep, 2020; Vallina et al., 2006). Observations of new particle formation events correspond with precipitation over the air mass history, likely due to a decrease in coarse-mode aerosol concentrations and total particle surface area with precipitation scavenging (Andronache, 2004; Ueda et al., 2016).

Previous literature hints that phytoplankton activity is related to emissions of organic and sulfate aerosol mass precursors (Altieri et al., 2016; Arnold et al., 2010; Ayers et al., 1997; Bates et al., 1998b; Brüggemann et al., 2018; Ceburnis et al., 2011; Facchini et al., 2008; Hallquist et al., 2009; Hu et al., 2013; Huang et al., 2018a; Mansour et al., 2020; Ovadnevaite et al., 2014; Park et al., 2017; Quinn et al., 2019; Sanchez et al., 2018). These precursors are emitted over a large area at varying rates due to the spatial and temporal variation of marine biological activity (Behrenfeld, 2010; Behrenfeld and Boss, 2018). The MBL residence time and transport need to be accounted for to study the effect of this area source on particle concentrations

and composition. The goal of this work is to look for correlative connections between measured aerosol loading and composition with ocean biology, physical ocean characteristics, and atmospheric boundary layer meteorology to identify the influence of up-wind processes and biology on particulate mass formation. We use the state-of-the-art FLEXPART trajectory model to identify the contribution of continental transport and to evaluate the connection between North Atlantic aerosols and potential explanatory variables weighted by air mass history and boundary layer residence time.

2 Methods

Here, we describe the measurements made on-board the *R/V Atlantis* during NAAMES as well as the satellite and model data products that we explore as explanatory variables. Analysis details including filtering criteria are also discussed.

2.1 *R/V Atlantis* Measurements during NAAMES

The NAAMES campaigns were conducted on the *R/V Atlantis* over the four major periods of the North Atlantic marine phytoplankton cycle, aligning with the phytoplankton biomass minimum (November 2015, NAAMES1), maximum (May – June 2016, NAAMES2), and the transitions marked by the decay of biomass (September 2017, NAAMES3) and accumulation of biomass (March 2018, NAAMES4). Grey lines in Figure 1a-d show the ship track for each campaign. A detailed description of each NAAMES campaign can be found in Behrenfeld et al. (2019).

Aerosols were sampled on the forward O2 deck of the *R/V Atlantis* with a temperature-controlled isokinetic inlet approximately 18 m above sea level. Particles were dried in diffusion driers before being measured by instruments. Supermicron particles were sized with an Aerodynamic Particle Sizer (APS 3321, TSI Inc., St. Paul, MN, size range 0.5–20 μm). Additional aerosol instrumentation was downstream of a 1.0 μm sharp cut cyclone (SCC 2.229, BGI Inc. US) to measure only the submicron aerosol fraction. A Condensation Particle Counter (CPC 3010, TSI Inc., St. Paul, MN) was used to measure particle number concentrations. A Scanning Electrical Mobility Sizer (SEMS, Model 138, 2002, BMI, Hayward, CA) measured particle size distributions (0.02-0.9 μm diameter) at five-minute intervals, utilizing a CPC (CPC 3025, TSI Inc., St. Paul, MN) to count the number of particles in each size bin of the aerosol size distribution. Because the SEMS CPC uses liquid to grow the particles large enough to be optically counted, the particle number concentrations measured by the CPC are commonly referred to as

condensation nuclei or CN. ~~A Scanning Electrical Mobility Sizer (SEMS, Model 138, 2002, BMI, Hayward, CA) measured particle size distributions (0.02-0.9 μm diameter) at five-minute intervals, utilizing a CPC (CPC 3025, TSI Inc., St. Paul, MN) to count the number of particles in each size bin of the aerosol size distribution.~~ Particle concentrations for diameters greater than and less than 100 nm ($N_{>100\text{nm}}$, $N_{<100\text{nm}}$) are derived from merged SEMS and APS particle size distributions. A Single Particle Soot Photometer (SP2, DMT, Boulder, CO) measured refractory black carbon mass concentration. Submicron particles were analyzed with a high-resolution time-of-flight aerosol mass spectrometer (AMS, Aerodyne Research Inc., Billerica, MA) (DeCarlo et al., 2006) that measures non-refractory inorganic (sulfate, ammonium, nitrate, chloride) and organic components. The AMS does not efficiently measure refractory particles (i.e. particles that do not efficiently vaporize at 600°C), such as sea salt particles. The PMA particle number concentration is determined by integrating the fitted coarse-mode of the SEMS and

APS merged particle size distribution (Modini et al., 2015; Saliba et al., 2019). Radon was measured with a dual-flow-loop
two-filter 103 radon detector (Whittlestone and Zahorowski, 1998). Continuous DMS measurements were made by
atmospheric pressure chemical ionization mass spectrometers (Bell et al., 2013, 2015). One instrument collected air
measurements, while the other analyzed gas exiting the seawater equilibrator. Inline chlorophyll-a measurements are calculated
from AC-S hyper-spectral spectrophotometer measurements (WET Labs, Inc., Philomath, OR) using the “line height” method
which relates the phytoplankton absorption at 676 nm to chlorophyll-a from high pressure liquid chromatography samples
collected during NAAMES (Boss et al., 2013). In-line particulate organic carbon is derived from beam attenuation data with
the AC-S spectrometer (Boss et al., 2013). A time series of key aerosol and DMS measurements are shown in Figure S1 for
each NAAMES campaign.

2.2 Satellite Data Products

Several biological parameters are obtained from merged satellite ocean color products derived by the GlobColour project
(Maritorena et al., 2010). In this paper, four GlobColour level-3 satellite products, related to phytoplankton biomass, represent
biological processes at 1° horizontal resolution. The first is chlorophyll-a, a primary photosynthetic pigment in phytoplankton.
Chlorophyll-a is commonly used as a proxy for the biomass of phytoplankton (Behrenfeld et al., 2016; Lyngsgaard et al., 2017;
Meskhidze and Nenes, 2010; Pastor et al., 2013). The GlobColour project has several different chlorophyll-a products that are
derived from different methods. In this study we use the chlorophyll-a product derived from the Garver-Siegel-Maritonena
ocean color model (Maritorena and Siegel, 2005). This specific chlorophyll-a product is chosen because the daily average
product best correlates with in-line chlorophyll-a measurements ($r = 0.87$, Table S7, Figure ~~S4~~S2). Figure 1e-h shows the
merged satellite derived chlorophyll-a concentration over the North Atlantic averaged for each NAAMES campaign. For this
study chlorophyll-normalized VOC production rates were not considered because of the large variability in observed values
(Booge et al., 2016) and the overall unknown contributions from various VOC species to marine particle mass concentrations.
The next biological parameter studied is marine particulate organic carbon, which is an important component in the carbon
cycle that forms through photosynthesis and subsequent ecosystem processes. In-line particulate organic carbon also correlates
with the satellite product ($r = 0.70$, Table S7, Figure ~~S4~~S2). The absorption coefficient of colored detrital organic materials
(CDM) is also used as a parameter. This absorption coefficient is largely associated with the chromophoric dissolved organic
matter (CDOM), which is the fraction of dissolved organic matter that interacts with solar radiation (Nelson and Siegel, 2013).
CDOM is also a photosensitizer in the photolysis of DMS, meaning CDOM generates reactive species upon the absorption of
solar radiation that remove sea water DMS through oxidation (Bouillon and Miller, 2004; Brimblecombe and Shooter, 1986;
Toole et al., 2003, 2008). The final parameter, the depth of the euphotic zone, represents the depth at which down-welling
irradiance is 1% of the value at the surface. This depth roughly characterizes the layer of the ocean that can support net
phytoplankton photosynthesis, but is also a function of chlorophyll-a (Morel et al., 2007).
Even though in-line measurements correlate better with one-day average products (Figure ~~S4~~S2), we used eight-day average
products for all analyses because of the improved spatial coverage (reduced interference from clouds). Eight-day averages

have about ~45 % more spatial coverage than one-day averages and approximately 15 % less coverage than monthly averages (Maritorena et al., 2010). If a grid cell is missing data of satellite derived biological parameters, it is filled by averaging the surrounding eight grid cells. If all surrounding grid cells have missing data, then the next and previous eight-day averages are averaged together to fill the grid cell. This method sufficiently filled all missing data points.

2.3 Modelled Net Primary Production

Net primary production is the formation of organic material through photosynthesis by phytoplankton. ~~This process and correlated changes in other ecosystem rates lead to the emission of biogenic VOCs at the sea surface (Li et al., 2018). This process leads to the emission of biogenic VOCs at the sea surface (Li et al., 2018).~~ In general, net primary production is a function of the photosynthetically available radiation, the euphotic zone depth, the phytoplankton concentration and the efficiency with which carbon biomass is formed (Silsbe et al., 2016). A number of different models calculate net primary production, but in this study we focus on net primary production derived from the Carbon, Absorption, and Fluorescence Euphotic-resolving (CAFÉ) model, which has been shown to be the most accurate in a recent study (Silsbe et al., 2016). The model products are derived from merged satellite data from the Making Earth Science Data Records for Use in Research Environments (MEaSUREs) NASA initiative (Vollmer et al., 2011). Figure 1(i-l) shows CAFÉ-modeled net primary production over the North Atlantic averaged for each NAAMES campaign.

2.4 GDAS Model Reanalysis Data Products

The Global Data Assimilation System (GDAS, <ftp://arlftp.arlhq.noaa.gov/pub/archives/gdas1/>) gridded output is used to initialize the Global Forecast System (GFS) model with observations obtained from surface observations, radiosondes, wind profilers, aircraft, buoys, radar and satellite. Here, we use the 3-hour GDAS 1° resolution horizontal sea surface wind speed, low-level cloud cover, three-hour downward shortwave forcing (DSWF) and six-hour accumulated precipitation over the North Atlantic to identify the state of the MBL up-wind of the *R/V Atlantis*.

2.5 FLEXPART Back Trajectories

The FLEXible PARTicle dispersion model (FLEXPART) is a Lagrangian particle dispersion model used to estimate transport pathways of observed air samples (Owen and Honrath, 2009; Stohl et al., 2005; Zhang et al., 2014). Here, we use the FLEXPART model to connect the *R/V Atlantis* observations (section 2.1) to the explanatory variables discussed in Sections 2.2-2.4 by weighting the explanatory variables by the FLEXPART air mass residence time. For the NAAMES campaigns, 10-day FLEXPART backward simulations are initialized along the path of the *R/V Atlantis* every hour. The GFS and its “Final Analysis” drives all the simulations with 3-hour temporal resolution, 1° horizontal resolution, and 26 vertical levels that cover depth of the troposphere and extend into the stratosphere. In each simulation, ten thousand passive particle tracers are released at the ship location. The advection and dispersion of the particles are simulated backwards in time. The product is an up-wind spatial distribution of the particle residence times (average time an air parcel stays within a model grid cell). Figure 1(a-d)

shows the residence time integrated over all 26 vertical levels for the first 5-days of all the FLEXPART trajectories during clean marine periods (Section 2.6). The residence time in Figure 1(a-d) is normalized by the total residence time of all clean marine trajectories to show the residence time fraction over each grid cell during each NAAMES campaign. For the remaining analysis in this paper, the vertical structure of the residence time is column integrated over only the vertical levels that are completely or partially within the MBL based on GDAS MBL heights. Remaining vertical levels were excluded from analysis.

2.6 Criteria for Clean Marine Conditions

In order to constrain the impact of meteorological and biological parameters on marine particle chemical composition and concentration, air masses that are influenced by continental and anthropogenic emissions are excluded from the analysis. “Clean Marine” conditions are defined as periods when (1) total particle number concentrations are below 1000 cm^{-3} , (2) black carbon mass is below 50 ng m^{-3} to filter out ship contamination and continental transport (Betha et al., 2017; Saliba et al., 2020), (3) radon, a continental tracer, is below 500 mBq m^{-3} , (4) AMS non-refractory organic aerosol mass is less than $0.5 \mu\text{g cm}^{-3}$ as suggested by prior measurements of organic aerosol mass over the marine environment (Russell et al., 2010), and (5) less than 25% of the five-day FLEXPART back trajectory residence time passes over continents. Figure 2 shows the fraction of the FLEXPART trajectory time over land for 6-hour to 10-day trajectories and indicates the median fraction for the five-day back trajectory is 25%, so half of the 2236 hours of measurements are removed due to this criterion alone. In the end, 557 samples are representative of clean marine conditions. Despite meeting the clean marine criteria, black carbon mass still moderately ($r = 0.51$) correlates with AMS non-refractory organic and sulfate aerosol mass (Figure S2S3). The correlation even holds at significantly lower black carbon mass concentration thresholds, which is similar to previous findings (Huang et al., 2018b; Saliba et al., 2020; Shank et al., 2012), signifying long-range transport or ship emissions contribute to organic aerosol mass concentrations even in the cleanest remote marine environments. However, approximately 75% of the variability in the organic and sulfate aerosol mass is still unaccounted for, indicating potential influence from marine biogenic sources.

3 Results and Discussion

An example of the MBL column-integrated residence time of individual FLEXPART back trajectories is shown in Figure 3a. This is then normalized and multiplied by the biological (8-day average) or meteorological (3-hour or 6-hour average) explanatory variables (for example, satellite-derived Chlorophyll-a shown in Figure 3b), to obtain a residence-time-weighted value that, when integrated, represents the average value over the back trajectory. An example of the residence-time-weighted chlorophyll-a is shown in Figure 3c. Higher values in Figure 3c represent regions where chlorophyll-a had the greatest influence on the air mass intercepted by the ship, due to the corresponding high residence times (Figure 3a) and high chlorophyll-a concentrations (Figure 3b) in these regions. The equation below describes the explicit calculation of the residence-time-weighted explanatory variables,

$$\text{Integrated residence-time-weighted explanatory variable} = \frac{\sum_{t=1}^T \sum_{lon=1}^{360} \sum_{lat=1}^{180} R_{t,lon,lat} E_{t,lon,lat}}{\sum_{t=1}^T \sum_{lon=1}^{360} \sum_{lat=1}^{180} R_{t,lon,lat}}, \quad (1)$$

where $R_{t,lon,lat}$ and $E_{t,lon,lat}$ are the MBL residence time and explanatory variable values, respectively, at each hour (t), longitude (lon), and latitude (lat) for a FLEXPART back trajectory with a length of T hours. Weights are applied evenly at all trajectory times. Residence time over land is excluded from the integration of weighted trajectories. For satellite and modelled biological variables, an 8-day average is necessary to obtain sufficient measurement spatial coverage (Section 2.2). While not ideal, an 8-day average is still useful because the phytoplankton cycle is fairly slow (1 year) relative to the frequency of meteorological disturbances (days) and consequently the low variation from one 8-day average in Chlorophyll-a values to the next indicates an 8-day average is appropriate (Figure S5). In addition, advection is slower in the ocean as ocean currents are significantly slower than atmospheric wind speed.

Weighted FLEXPART back trajectories are compared to particle properties and atmospheric DMS concentrations measured aboard the *R/V Atlantis*. We define correlation strength by the calculated Pearson's coefficient (r) following Devore and Berk (2012), where $|r| < 0.25$ indicates there is no correlation, $0.25 \leq |r| < 0.50$ is defined as a weak correlation, $0.50 \leq |r| < 0.80$ is defined as a moderate correlation, and $|r| \geq 0.80$ is defined as a strong correlation. All presented Pearson's correlation coefficients are statistically significant ($p < 0.05$). For consistency, we have assumed that the relationships between variables will be linear. Correlations of trajectories weighted by biological and meteorological parameters with measured aerosol mass, number and DMS concentrations vary by the length of the weighted trajectory (Figure 4, Table S8-S16). In particular, many of these correlations increase with increasing trajectory length, indicating biological and meteorological processes over the air mass history are influential to measured particle properties and that using only spatially contemporaneous data would miss correlative connections that are lagged in time. Over longer trajectories, the weighted parameter is less likely to be related to the local value because the trajectories cover more ocean surface area and thus they are more likely to be weighted by both high and low values (for example, chlorophyll-a in Figure 3b). When comparing measured quantities to 0-5 day FLEXPART-weighted residence time explanatory variables, the slope of the linear regression generally flattens (or decreases) with longer trajectories (Figure S5). This is because the trajectories cover more ocean surface area and thus they are more likely to be weighted by both high and low values (for example, chlorophyll-a in Figure 3b). However, there are still cases when a long (5-day) trajectory is consistently weighted by low values or high values, which may lead to extremes in particle concentrations or composition, depending on the effect of the explanatory variable. In the following sections, the correlation strength is interpreted based on known sources and sinks of marine particles and DMS.

3.1 Biological Controls on Marine Aerosols

Measured non-refractory organic aerosol mass correlates weakly with FLEXPART-residence-time-weighted chlorophyll-a, with the highest correlation at 2-day trajectory lengths ($r = 0.39$; Figure 4a, 5a). The correlation of organic aerosol mass with chlorophyll-a is similar for CDM ($r = 0.32$), but there is no correlation with sea water particulate organic carbon or the euphotic zone depth ($|r| < 0.25$). Organic aerosol mass correlates moderately with trajectory weighted CAFÉ modelled net primary production for 2 to 5-day trajectories ($r = 0.54$ - 0.62) (Figure 4a, 5b, Table S8). Comparisons of non-refractory organic aerosol mass with other net primary production models are shown in the supplemental Table S8. These results suggest a substantial

portion of non-refractory organic mass is from secondary biogenic VOC emissions, such as [MSA](#), isoprene and monoterpenes and other unidentified biogenic VOCs (Altieri et al., 2016; Hallquist et al., 2009; Zorn et al., 2008). Non-refractory organic aerosol mass also correlates with downward shortwave forcing (DSWF), with correlation strength increasing at longer trajectory lengths (Figure 4a, 5c). Increased solar radiation promotes photosynthesis by phytoplankton and is necessary for photochemical production of secondary organic aerosol. Biogenic VOC emissions (precursors of particle-phase organic mass) are a by-product of photosynthesis (Dani and Loreto, 2017), and likely cause part of the correlation of organic aerosol mass with the DSWF. Even though DSWF moderately correlates with organic aerosol mass concentrations ($r = 0.52$ at 2-day trajectories), the presence of phytoplankton is necessary for photosynthetic biological emissions to occur (Silsbe et al., 2016). Figure 5c notably shows the DSWF is often higher during NAAMES4 (Mar.-Apr. 2018) compared to the other campaigns. However, NAAMES4 has lower measured organic aerosol mass concentrations, particularly when compared to the late spring campaign (NAAMES2, May-June 2016). The higher DSWF during much of NAAMES4 is simply due to the fact that the NAAMES4 campaign extended further to the south (to $\sim 20^\circ\text{N}$, Figure 1d) than any of the other campaigns. The relatively low abundance of phytoplankton biomass in the tropical Atlantic, compared to the subarctic Atlantic (shown by chlorophyll-a concentrations, Figure 1f,h,j,l), results in lower levels of photosynthesis, and therefore lower VOC emissions and organic aerosol mass formation. The amount of photosynthesis taking place depends on both the DSWF and phytoplankton abundance, both of which are included in the calculation of net primary production (in addition to other parameters, Section 2.3). Consequently, the phytoplankton net primary production is a better predictor of marine biogenic organic aerosol mass and likely biogenic VOC emissions, than DSWF, chlorophyll-a biomass or any other individual biological parameter.

In contrast, the measured sulfate aerosol mass concentration has a weak or no correlation with the satellite measured biological parameters and modelled net primary production ($r < 0.4$), with the exception of the euphotic zone depth ($r = 0.53$ for 5-day trajectory), even though the main marine biogenic source of sulfate aerosol is from the oxidation of VOC emissions from phytoplankton, specifically DMS (Ayers and Gras, 1991; Bates et al., 1998a, 2012; Covert et al., 1992; Quinn et al., 2000; Rinaldi et al., 2010; Sanchez et al., 2018). Measured atmospheric DMS concentrations moderately correlate with biological parameters for short trajectories (0-6 hours); however, this correlation is driven solely by a few measurements made during the bloom phase of the phytoplankton cycle (NAAMES2, Figure 6). Excluding the measurements from NAAMES2 would result in no significant correlation between measured atmospheric DMS and biological parameters. Significantly higher chlorophyll-a concentrations and net primary production rates are present during the NAAMES2 phytoplankton bloom, causing the significantly higher DMS concentrations (Figure 1e-l). [The DMS correlation with chlorophyll-a during the bloom period is consistent with results from similar analyses performed by Arnold et al. \(2010\) and Park et al. \(Park et al., 2018\), where DMS measurements were collected in the South Atlantic and Arctic, respectively.](#) Unlike atmospheric DMS, DMS in seawater correlates moderately with the modelled net primary production even when excluding NAAMES2 ($r = 0.54$). This difference is driven by variability in the fraction of sea water DMS that is released into the atmosphere. In addition, DMS production is highly dependent on phytoplankton species (Keller, 1988), complicating the relationship between atmospheric DMS measurements and bulk ocean quantities and confounding direct correlations.

In addition, atmospheric DMS and non-refractory sulfate aerosol mass are observed to have little to no correlation with each other ($r = 0.34$) or with ocean biological activity. This lack of correlation may be due to the longer DMS atmospheric lifetime relative to biogenic VOCs (Kloster et al., 2006; Sciare et al., 2001). For a typical average OH radical concentration of 0.6 ppt, the lifetime of DMS is approximately 37 hours, which is much longer compared to the lifetime of known marine biogenic VOCs, such as isoprene (1.8 hours) and monoterpenes (10s of minutes to 3 hours depending on the species) (Atkinson and Arey, 2003; Lee et al., 2006; Liakakou et al., 2007; Pandis et al., 1995; Seinfeld and Pandis, 2006). Also, SO₂, a DMS oxidation product, has a lifetime on the order of days to weeks. Organic aerosol mass likely correlates to sea surface biomass and net primary production more than sulfate aerosol mass, partially because of the shorter lifetime. Once emitted, DMS and its oxidation products can be advected large distances, or become lofted into the free troposphere (Clarke et al., 2013; Korhonen et al., 2008; Russell et al., 1998; Sanchez et al., 2018; Thornton et al., 1997), where the resulting sulfate aerosol is not sampled by the ship. DMS also has a number of chemical pathways with various secondary aerosol yields, making a direct link to biological processes more challenging (Faloona et al., 2009). For ~~these~~ reasons, biomass and net primary production is not a good predictor of DMS (Gunson et al., 2006). Organic aerosol mass precursors quickly condense onto existing particles to form SOA soon after emission (Ehn et al., 2014; Liakakou et al., 2007; Wennberg et al., 2018).

Similar to organic aerosol mass concentrations, particle number concentrations have weak correlations with biomass abundance (Figure 4d,e). Comparisons to particle number based on size are useful because they provide information on possible links to specific processes. The size distributions are split to derive integrated particle concentrations for diameters greater and less than 100 nm ($N_{>100\text{nm}}$; $N_{<100\text{nm}}$; a rough delimiter between the Aitken- and accumulation-size modes) because of the differences in marine processes that contribute to changes in particle concentrations at different sizes. Specifically, Aitken-mode particle concentrations ($N_{<100\text{nm}}$) are strongly driven by new particle formation, which often occurs when total particle surface area is low (Humphries et al., 2015; Raes et al., 1997). In contrast, accumulation-mode particles concentrations ($N_{>100\text{nm}}$) are driven by the growth of the Aitken-mode, through cloud processing and condensation processes. $N_{>100\text{nm}}$ weakly correlate with modelled net primary production ($r = 0.46$ for 5-day weighted back trajectories) and moderately correlate with non-refractory organic masses ($r = 0.65$) and strongly correlate with sulfate masses ($r = 0.83$); this is expected since larger particles account for most of the total submicron particle mass measured by the AMS. Small particles contain much less mass, so $N_{<100\text{nm}}$ have little to no correlation with the measured non-refractory organic ($r = 0.21$) and sulfate ($r = 0.39$) mass. $N_{<100\text{nm}}$ also has no correlations with chlorophyll-a ($r < 0.25$). This result does not preclude a biological influence on $N_{<100\text{nm}}$, but demonstrates the limitations of this analysis in resolving some complex processes such as new particle formation. In addition, PMA concentrations correlate weakly with biomass abundance (Figure 4f), indicating there may be a weak influence of phytoplankton activity on PMA number concentration, as shown previously (Saliba et al., 2019). Weak correlations between particle number or composition with net primary production suggest other processes also influence particle concentrations. Possible meteorological influences are discussed in the following section.

3.2 Meteorological Controls on Marine Aerosols

Meteorological parameters can also influence particle concentrations. In addition to being important for biological processes, the DSWF is also important for initiating photochemical oxidation of biogenic VOCs, which leads to the formation of organic and sulfate aerosol mass (Altieri et al., 2016; Bates et al., 1998b; Frossard et al., 2014b; Hallquist et al., 2009; O'Dowd et al., 2002; Rinaldi et al., 2010; Sievering et al., 1992a; Warren and Seinfeld, 1985). This process may cause the moderate correlation between sulfate aerosol mass and DSWF despite having no correlation with satellite biomass or modelled net primary production (Figure 4, 5f). This also implies that DSWF is involved in both the photochemical oxidation of VOCs and photosynthesis of marine phytoplankton, and both processes likely strengthen the correlation of organic aerosol mass and DSWF. Figure 4 also shows how other meteorological parameters influence particle concentration. For example, low-level cloud cover is shown to negatively correlate with sulfate aerosol mass and $N_{>100\text{nm}}$. The presence of clouds will decrease the solar radiation reaching the ocean surface, which would contribute to a negative correlation between low-level cloud cover and sulfate and $N_{>100\text{nm}}$, while aqueous production pathways would presumably result in a positive low-level cloud cover correlation with sulfate mass (Hoppel et al., 1986; Hudson et al., 2015; Pirjola et al., 2004; Sanchez et al. in prep, 2020). The negative correlation between low-level cloud cover and sulfate mass suggests the aqueous processing may be relatively less important than gas-phase photochemical mechanisms. Meteorological systems have consistent patterns, so, like low-level cloud cover and DSWF, many other meteorological parameters covary with each other, complicating linking the particle properties to specific meteorological processes. Table S5 indicates DSWF correlates moderately or weakly with all the meteorological parameters considered from the GDAS data set. In this section, we examine how the other meteorological parameters, shown in Figure 4, also affect aerosol mass and number concentrations.

Sea surface wind speed negatively correlates with aerosol number and mass concentrations (Figure 4), except for PMA sized particle number concentrations, and the correlation is increasingly strong for longer trajectory lengths. In order to understand the link between particle concentration and wind speed, it is important to recognize how wind speed may affect different particle formation processes (such as sea spray, particle growth, and particle formation). In contrast to submicron particle mass and number concentrations, sea surface wind speed positively correlates with PMA number concentrations (Figure 4f, 8a) (Saliba et al., 2019). This correlation is highest ($r = 0.59$) when only considering the wind speed at the ship location (0-hour trajectories) and continuously decreases for longer back trajectory lengths. This indicates the shorter lifetime of PMA, due to the preferential sedimentation loss of larger particles, makes the history of the air mass irrelevant in this situation. The average dry PMA mode diameter, determined with a coarse-mode fitting algorithm, was $0.54\text{ }\mu\text{m}$ and as high as $1.12\text{ }\mu\text{m}$ (Saliba et al., 2019), which is larger than Aitken- and accumulation-mode particles. Because PMA particles are large, they are more prone to rapid removal from the MBL through deposition relative to smaller particles (Pryor and Barthelmie, 2000). Specifically, at wind speeds greater than 10 m s^{-1} the deposition dependent lifetime of a $3\text{ }\mu\text{m}$ wet-diameter particle in a 500 m MBL is about 3-12 hours, where the lifetime of a $0.1\text{-}1\text{ }\mu\text{m}$ wet-diameter particle is several days to weeks.

780 While counterintuitive, the inverse correlation between $N_{>100\text{nm}}$ and sea surface wind speed is likely driven by enhanced PMA concentrations at higher wind speeds that increase the pre-existing condensational sink for gas-to-particle conversion. PMA do not significantly contribute to total particle number concentrations (or $N_{>100\text{nm}}$), and incidentally are often considered not to be major drivers of variability in cloud microphysics (Quinn et al., 2017). In a recent modelling study by Fossum et al. (2020), the authors showed evidence that the presence of elevated sea salt concentrations from PMA can indirectly affect cloud droplet concentrations, by enhancing the uptake of water vapor at low supersaturations causing a reduction of in-cloud maximum supersaturations. A smaller maximum supersaturation will lead to fewer small particles ($N_{<100\text{ nm}}$) activating to form cloud droplets and hence, fewer small particles growing through cloud processing to form large particles ($N_{>100\text{nm}}$). This feedback does not explain why non-refractory sulfate and organic aerosol mass are also moderately and weakly inversely proportional to wind speed, respectively, but they are possibly also linked to PMA concentrations. PMA concentrations are fairly low compared to $N_{>100\text{nm}}$ and $N_{<100\text{nm}}$ (Figure 7c, d, 8a), but since PMA are quite large, they account for a significant fraction of the total particle surface area. Figure 8b shows the total particle surface area moderately correlates with 6-hour back trajectories weighted by sea surface wind speed ($r = 0.51$) and PMA frequently account for a majority of the total particle surface area. Since new particle formation occurs under conditions of low total particle surface area, the enhancement in total particle surface area at elevated sea surface wind speeds can prevent the occurrence of new particle formation (Cainey and Harvey, 2002; Yoon and Brimblecombe, 2002). However, new particle formation is more likely to occur in the free troposphere, independently of MBL PMA concentration, then subsequently entrained into the MBL, possibly explaining the lack of correlation of $N_{<100\text{nm}}$ with wind speed ($|r| < 0.25$) (Clarke, 1993; Raes et al., 1997; Sanchez et al., 2018).

The negative correlation between non-refractory sulfate and organic mass with wind speed may be linked to the short depositional lifetime of coarse PMA, relative to smaller particles. ~~Consequently, any s~~Secondary particle formation through the condensation of VOC oxidation products and sulfate onto PMA are likely quickly removed through deposition. Secondary sulfate formation from SO_2 occurs rapidly on fresh PMA particles via uptake due to aqueous ozone reactions (Sievering et al., 1992b). Subsequently, in-cloud sulfate formation from SO_2 continues by oxidation due to hydrogen peroxide (Jacob, 2000). Other factors associated with high wind speed conditions, such as coagulation, dry deposition and enhanced vertical mixing, likely also contribute to the observed negative correlation between wind speed and particle concentration. In addition, some of the secondary organic and sulfate mass may be missed by the AMS measurements. The AMS is limited to measuring only non-refractory particles, so organic and sulfate aerosol mass that has condensed onto refractory PMA is less likely to be efficiently vaporized and measured (DeCarlo et al., 2006; Frossard et al., 2014a). Wind speed also inversely correlates with DSWF ($r = -0.65$, Table S5). As previously mentioned, DSWF is proportional to aerosol mass due to its stimulation of VOC emissions by marine biota and role in the photochemical oxidation of VOCs. Since meteorological variables covary, DSWF may partially drive the correlation strength between wind speed and aerosol mass concentrations, or vice versa.

Precipitation is a well-known sink for aerosol number and mass concentrations (Croft et al., 2010; Stevens and Feingold, 2009). In addition, observations of new particle formation events have been shown to correspond with precipitation during the air mass history, likely due to a decrease in large particle concentration and therefore total particle surface area because of

precipitation scavenging (Andronache, 2004; Ueda et al., 2016). Despite this fact, precipitation was shown to have little to no correlation with aerosol number or mass (Figure 4, S4, $r < 0.40$). This observation may partially reflect the precipitation data being a 6-hour average GDAS product rather than direct measurements. The simulated precipitation estimates have been shown to only moderately correlate with measurements (Beck et al., 2019). Figure 7c and 7d show the relationship between sea surface wind speed and $N_{>100\text{nm}}$ and $N_{<100\text{nm}}$. In this figure, the points are colored based on the log of the 5-day trajectory average precipitation and they show that, in general, elevated precipitation amounts typically correspond to higher wind speeds. When compared directly, wind speed and precipitation are still only weakly correlated ($r = 0.34$, Figure S6), but this weak covariance indicates that the proposed links between elevated wind speeds and aerosol number and mass concentrations may also be partially driven by enhanced precipitation. Precipitation will likely also remove the PMA; however, as shown in Figure 4f, PMA concentration has the highest correlation with recent wind speed and decreases with longer back trajectories. Even though PMA may be removed by precipitation, they are also replenished quickly.

4 Conclusions

We studied the relationship between marine aerosols measured over the North Atlantic Ocean during NAAMES ~~with-and~~ back trajectories weighted by four metrics of satellite measured biological and physical properties ~~ocean surface biomass~~ (chlorophyll-a, sea water particulate organic carbon, colored detrital organic materials, euphotic zone depth), modelled net primary production, and model reanalysis meteorological parameters. Correlations between residence-time-weighted explanatory variables and aerosol measurements indicate both biological and meteorological processes influence the aerosol concentrations and composition. Specifically, non-refractory organic aerosol mass correlates weakly with chlorophyll-a concentration, a proxy for phytoplankton ~~abundance~~biomass, averaged over 2-day back trajectories ($r = 0.39$) and moderately with net primary production over 5-day trajectories ($r = 0.62$). In general, the satellite derived chlorophyll-a, absorption coefficient of colored detrital organic materials, sea water particulate organic carbon and the euphotic zone depth moderately or strongly correlate with each other and therefore, had similar relationships to observed particle properties. In addition, organic aerosol mass moderately correlated with DSWF increasingly for longer trajectory lengths ($r = 0.58$ at 5-day trajectories). These results indicate organic aerosol mass is influenced by the VOC emissions encountered by the air mass, which are driven by biological activity. Sulfate aerosol mass only weakly correlates with marine ~~surface biomass~~ net primary production ($r < 0.50$), even though marine non-sea-salt sulfate aerosol mass is also derived from marine VOC emissions. This difference is attributed to the short lifetime of organic aerosol mass precursors, like isoprene and monoterpenes (minutes to hours), which were below detection limits during NAAMES, relative to sulfate aerosol mass precursors such as DMS (1-2 days) (Kloster et al., 2006; Liakakou et al., 2007; Sciare et al., 2001) and DMS oxidation products such as SO_2 (days to weeks) (Faloona et al., 2009). Furthermore, the longer lifetime of DMS and its oxidation products can delay the formation of sulfate aerosol mass, making sulfate precursors more likely to advect through long-range transport if vertically lofted into the free troposphere, and re-entrained down into the MBL. MBL to free troposphere transport of DMS is not captured well by the FLEXPART model. In

addition, there are numerous DMS chemical pathways with various secondary aerosol yields which can obscure any link between sulfate aerosol concentrations and biogenic processes (Faloona et al., 2009).

Wind speed also weakly to moderately inversely correlated with aerosol concentration and mass. This relationship may be driven by the enhanced formation of coarse-mode primary marine aerosol (PMA) at higher wind speeds. Specifically, enhanced PMA concentrations can prevent sulfate particles from activating to cloud droplets and growing through cloud processing. This result is consistent with a modelling study which indicated the enhanced rate of water vapor condensation onto PMA resulted in decreased cloud supersaturations (Fossum et al., 2020). The concentration of particles greater than 100 nm in diameter negatively correlated with wind speed ($r = -0.42$), consistent with this hypothesis; however sulfate ($r = -0.56$) and organic ($r = -0.37$) aerosol mass are also negatively correlated with surface wind speed. We attribute this to the condensation of sulfate and VOCs onto PMA. While PMA accounts for a low fraction of the particle number, they are of larger size compared to most particles and are shown to account for $41 \pm 23\%$ of the total particle surface area. Large PMA particles have short lifetimes and deposit quickly relative to submicron particles (Pryor and Barthelmie, 2000). This is supported by the moderate correlation between wind speed and PMA concentrations at 0-hour trajectories ($r = 0.59$), which decreased for longer trajectory lengths. Condensed sulfate and organics on PMA in the MBL would quickly be removed from the atmosphere. In addition, the AMS likely missed most secondary aerosol mass that condensed onto PMA because of its low efficiency for measuring refractory components.

We find that air mass residence time is important for relating aerosol organics to ocean chlorophyll-a, net primary production and downward shortwave forcing with moderate correlations observed for the longer simulation ages. Similarly, sulfate aerosol showed moderate correlations with downward shortwave forcing and was anti-correlated with wind speed. Atmospheric DMS and PMA concentrations showed better correlations with shorter back trajectory lengths, reflecting their origin as primary emissions. In sum, this work demonstrates the need to account for air mass history when apportioning marine aerosol sources. While this study seeks to understand linkages between the ocean and atmosphere, we have deliberately excluded the influence of most continental transport to the marine atmosphere. Future studies are needed to 1) understand how differences in subtropical and subarctic phytoplankton speciation may influence aerosol concentrations (Bolaños et al., 2020) and 2) quantify the contribution of transported aerosols to the marine CCN budget and how those may impact (or even dominate) the relationships we have identified in the remote North Atlantic. ~~Future studies are needed to understand and quantify the contribution of transported aerosols to the marine CCN budget and how those may impact (or even dominate) the relationships we have identified in the remote North Atlantic.~~

Author Contribution

Conceptualization, Methodology, and Writing - Original Draft: **KJS and RHM**; Software: **KJS and BZ**; Formal Analysis, Visualization: **KJS**; Supervision, Project administration, Funding acquisition: **RHM, MHB, and LMR**; Data Curation: **GS, CC, SLL, PKQ, TSB, JP, TGB, ESS, MJB**; Writing - Review & Editing: **all authors**.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

880 Acknowledgments

We thank the dedicated crew of the R/V Atlantis. Kevin J. Sanchez was funded by the NASA Postdoctoral Program. The authors also would like to acknowledge Raghu Betha, Derek Price, Derek Coffman, and Lucia Upchurch for collecting and reducing data. We thank Emmanuel Boss for his input on the manuscript. We thank Nils Haentjens for processing the in-line measurements. This work was funded by NASA grant NNX15AE66G, NNX15AF30G, NNX15AF31G and NSF grant
885 NSFOCE-1537943. This is PMEL contribution number 5111. Bo Zhang and Hongyu Liu acknowledge the funding support from the NAAMES mission.

Data availability

The NAAMES dataset is archived in the NASA Atmospheric Science Data Center (ASDC;
<https://doi.org/10.5067/Suborbital/NAAMES/DATA001>) and the SeaWiFS Bio-Optical Archive and Storage System
890 (SeaBASS; <https://doi.org/10.5067/SeaBASS/NAAMES/DATA001>). Scripps measurements are available at
<https://library.ucsd.edu/dc/collection/bb34508432>. Shipboard measurements are archived at <https://seabass.gsfc.nasa.gov/>.
GlobColour data (<http://globcolour.info>) used in this study has been developed, validated, and distributed by ACRI-ST,
France. GDAS data are available at <ftp://arlftp.arlhq.noaa.gov/pub/archives/gdas1/>. Modelled net primary production data
are available at <http://sites.science.oregonstate.edu/ocean.productivity/custom.php>.

895 References

- Altieri, K. E., Fawcett, S. E., Peters, A. J., Sigman, D. M. and Hastings, M. G.: Marine biogenic source of atmospheric organic nitrogen in the subtropical North Atlantic, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 113(4), 925–930, doi:10.1073/pnas.1516847113, 2016.
- Andreae, M. O. and Crutzen, P. J.: Atmospheric aerosols: Biogeochemical sources and role in atmospheric chemistry, *Science*
900 (80-.), 276(5315), 1052–1058, doi:10.1126/science.276.5315.1052, 1997.
- Andronache, C.: Precipitation removal of ultrafine aerosol particles from the atmospheric boundary layer, *J. Geophys. Res. D Atmos.*, 109(16), 1–10, doi:10.1029/2003JD004050, 2004.
- Arnold, S. R., Spracklen, D. V., Williams, J., Yassaa, N., Sciare, J., Bonsang, B., Gros, V., Peeken, I., Lewis, A. C., Alvaïn, S. and Moulin, C.: Evaluation of the global oceanic isoprene source and its impacts on marine organic carbon aerosol, *Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss.*, 8(4), 16445–16471, doi:10.5194/acpd-8-16445-2008, 2009.
- 905

- Arnold, S. R., Spracklen, D. V., Gebhardt, S., Custer, T., Williams, J., Peeken, I. and Alvain, S.: Relationships between atmospheric organic compounds and air-mass exposure to marine biology, *Environ. Chem.*, 7(3), 232–241, doi:10.1071/EN09144, 2010.
- Atkinson, R. and Arey, J.: Gas-phase tropospheric chemistry of biogenic volatile organic compounds: A review, *Atmos. Environ.*, 37(SUPPL. 2), 197–219, doi:10.1016/S1352-2310(03)00391-1, 2003.
- Ayers, G. P. and Gras, J. L.: SEASONAL RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN CLOUD CONDENSATION NUCLEI AND AEROSOL METHANESULFONATE IN MARINE AIR, *Nature*, 353(6347), 834–835, doi:10.1038/353834a0, 1991.
- Ayers, G. P., Caine, J. M., Gillett, R. W. and Ivey, J. P.: Atmospheric sulphur and cloud condensation nuclei in marine air in the Southern Hemisphere, *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci.*, 352(1350), 203–211, doi:10.1098/rstb.1997.0015, 1997.
- Bates, T. S., Kapustin, V. N., Quinn, P. K., Covert, D. S., Coffman, D. J., Mari, C., Durkee, P. A., De Bruyn, W. J. and Saltzman, E. S.: Processes controlling the distribution of aerosol particles in the lower marine boundary layer during the First Aerosol Characterization Experiment (ACE 1), *J. Geophys. Res.*, 103(D13), 16369–16383, doi:10.1029/97jd03720, 1998a.
- Bates, T. S., Carney, J. M., DeBruyn, W. J. and Saltzman, F. S.: Shipboard measurements of dimethyl sulfide and SO₂ southwest of Tasmania during the First Aerosol Characterization Experiment (ACE 1), *J. Geophys. Res. Atmos.*, 103(D13), 16703–16711, doi:10.1029/98JD00971, 1998b.
- Bates, T. S., Quinn, P. K., Frossard, A. A., Russell, L. M., Hakala, J., Petaja, T., Kulmala, M., Covert, D. S., Cappa, C. D., Li, S. M., Hayden, K. L., Nuaaman, I., McLaren, R., Massoli, P., Canagaratna, M. R., Onasch, T. B., Sueper, D., Worsnop, D. R. and Keene, W. C.: Measurements of ocean derived aerosol off the coast of California, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 117, 13, doi:10.1029/2012jd017588, 2012.
- Beck, H. E., Pan, M., Roy, T., Weedon, G. P., Pappenberger, F., Van Dijk, A. I. J. M., Huffman, G. J., Adler, R. F. and Wood, E. F.: Daily evaluation of 26 precipitation datasets using Stage-IV gauge-radar data for the CONUS, *Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci.*, 23(1), 207–224, doi:10.5194/hess-23-207-2019, 2019.
- Behrenfeld, M. J.: Abandoning sverdrup’s critical depth hypothesis on phytoplankton blooms, *Ecology*, 91(4), 977–989, doi:10.1890/09-1207.1, 2010.
- Behrenfeld, M. J. and Boss, E. S.: Student’s tutorial on bloom hypotheses in the context of phytoplankton annual cycles, *Glob. Chang. Biol.*, 24(1), 55–77, doi:10.1111/gcb.13858, 2018.
- Behrenfeld, M. J., O’Malley, R. T., Boss, E. S., Westberry, T. K., Graff, J. R., Halsey, K. H., Milligan, A. J., Siegel, D. A. and Brown, M. B.: Revaluating ocean warming impacts on global phytoplankton, *Nat. Clim. Chang.*, 6(3), 323–330, doi:10.1038/nclimate2838, 2016.
- Behrenfeld, M. J., Moore, R. H., Hostetler, C. A., Graff, J., Gaube, P., Russell, L. M., Chen, G., Doney, S. C., Giovannoni, S., Liu, H., Proctor, C., Bolaños, L. M., Baetge, N., Davie-Martin, C., Westberry, T. K., Bates, T. S., Bell, T. G., Bidle, K. D., Boss, E. S., Brooks, S. D., Cairns, B., Carlson, C., Halsey, K., Harvey, E. L., Hu, C., Karp-Boss, L., Kleb, M., Menden-Deuer, S., Morison, F., Quinn, P. K., Scarino, A. J., Anderson, B., Chowdhary, J., Crosbie, E., Ferrare, R., Hair, J. W., Hu, Y., Janz, S., Redemann, J., Saltzman, E., Shook, M., Siegel, D. A., Wisthaler, A., Martin, M. Y. and Ziemba, L.: The North Atlantic

- 940 Aerosol and Marine Ecosystem Study (NAAMES): Science motive and mission overview, *Front. Mar. Sci.*, 6(MAR), 1–25, doi:10.3389/fmars.2019.00122, 2019.
- Bell et al. in prep: Seawater DMS variability during the North Atlantic Aerosol and Marine Ecosystem Study (NAAMES), n.d.
- Bell, T. G., De Bruyn, W., Miller, S. D., Ward, B., Christensen, K. H. and Saltzman, E. S.: Air-sea dimethylsulfide (DMS) gas
945 transfer in the North Atlantic: evidence for limited interfacial gas exchange at high wind speed, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 13(21), 11073–11087, doi:10.5194/acp-13-11073-2013, 2013.
- Bell, T. G., De Bruyn, W., Marandino, C. A., Miller, S. D., Law, C. S., Smith, M. J. and Saltzman, E. S.: Dimethylsulfide gas transfer coefficients from algal blooms in the Southern Ocean, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 15(4), 1783–1794, doi:10.5194/acp-15-1783-2015, 2015.
- 950 Betha, R., Russell, L. M., Sanchez, K. J., Liu, J., Price, D. J., Lamjiri, M. A., Chen, C.-L., Kuang, X. M., da Rocha, G. O., Paulson, S. E., Miller, J. W. and Cocker, D. R.: Lower NO_x but higher particle and black carbon emissions from renewable diesel compared to ultra low sulfur diesel in at-sea operations of a research vessel, *Aerosol Sci. Technol.*, 51(2), 123–134, doi:10.1080/02786826.2016.1238034, 2017.
- Bolaños, L. M., Karp-Boss, L., Choi, C. J., Worden, A. Z., Graff, J. R., Haëntjens, N., Chase, A. P., Della Penna, A., Gaube,
955 P., Morison, F., Menden-Deuer, S., Westberry, T. K., O'Malley, R. T., Boss, E., Behrenfeld, M. J. and Giovannoni, S. J.: Small phytoplankton dominate western North Atlantic biomass, *ISME J.*, 14(7), 1663–1674, doi:10.1038/s41396-020-0636-0, 2020.
- Booge, D., Marandino, C. A., Schlundt, C., Palmer, P. I., Schlundt, M., Atlas, E. L., Bracher, A., Saltzman, E. S. and Wallace, D. W. R.: Can simple models predict large-scale surface ocean isoprene concentrations?, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 16(18), 11807–11821, doi:10.5194/acp-16-11807-2016, 2016.
- 960 Boss, E. and Behrenfeld, M.: In situ evaluation of the initiation of the North Atlantic phytoplankton bloom, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 37(18), 1–5, doi:10.1029/2010GL044174, 2010.
- Boss, E., Picheral, M., Leeuw, T., Chase, A., Karsenti, E., Gorsky, G., Taylor, L., Slade, W., Ras, J. and Claustre, H.: The characteristics of particulate absorption, scattering and attenuation coefficients in the surface ocean; Contribution of the Tara Oceans expedition, *Methods Oceanogr.*, 7, 52–62, doi:10.1016/j.mio.2013.11.002, 2013.
- 965 Bouillon, R.-C. and Miller, W. L.: Determination of apparent quantum yield spectra of DMS photo-degradation in an in situ iron-induced Northeast Pacific Ocean bloom, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 31(6), n/a-n/a, doi:10.1029/2004gl019536, 2004.
- Brimblecombe, P. and Shooter, D.: Photo-oxidation of dimethylsulphide in aqueous solution, *Mar. Chem.*, 19(4), 343–353, doi:10.1016/0304-4203(86)90055-1, 1986.
- Brooks, S. D. and Thornton, D. C. O.: Marine Aerosols and Clouds, *Ann. Rev. Mar. Sci.*, 10(1), 289–313, doi:10.1146/annurev-marine-121916-063148, 2018.
- 970 Brüggemann, M., Hayeck, N. and George, C.: Interfacial photochemistry at the ocean surface is a global source of organic vapors and aerosols, *Nat. Commun.*, 9(1), 1–8, doi:10.1038/s41467-018-04528-7, 2018.
- Caine, J. M. and Harvey, M.: Dimethylsulfide, a limited contributor to new particle formation in the clean marine boundary

- layer, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 29(7), 32-1-32-4, doi:10.1029/2001GL014439, 2002.
- 975 Ceburnis, D., Garbaras, A., Szidat, S., Rinaldi, M., Fahrni, S., Perron, N., Wacker, L., Leinert, S., Remeikis, V., Facchini, M. C., Prevot, A. S. H., Jennings, S. G., Ramonet, M. and O'Dowd, C. D.: Quantification of the carbonaceous matter origin in submicron marine aerosol by ^{13}C and ^{14}C isotope analysis, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 11(16), 8593–8606, doi:10.5194/acp-11-8593-2011, 2011.
- 980 Charlson, R. J., Lovelock, J. E., Andreae, M. O. and Warren, S. G.: OCEANIC PHYTOPLANKTON, ATMOSPHERIC SULFUR, CLOUD ALBEDO AND CLIMATE, *Nature*, 326(6114), 655–661, doi:10.1038/326655a0, 1987.
- Chen, T. and Jang, M.: Secondary organic aerosol formation from photooxidation of a mixture of dimethyl sulfide and isoprene, *Atmos. Environ.*, 46, 271–278, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2011.09.082, 2012.
- Clarke, A. D.: ATMOSPHERIC NUCLEI IN THE PACIFIC MIDTROPOSPHERE - THEIR NATURE, CONCENTRATION, AND EVOLUTION, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 98(D11), 20633–20647, doi:10.1029/93jd00797, 1993.
- 985 Clarke, A. D., Kapustin, V. N., Eisele, F. L., Weber, R. J. and McMurry, P. H.: Particle production near marine clouds: Sulfuric acid and predictions from classical binary nucleation, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 26(16), 2425–2428, doi:10.1029/1999gl900438, 1999.
- Clarke, A. D., Freitag, S., Simpson, R. M. C., Hudson, J. G., Howell, S. G., Brekhovskikh, V. L., Campos, T., Kapustin, V. N. and Zhou, J.: Free troposphere as a major source of CCN for the equatorial pacific boundary layer: long-range transport and teleconnections, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 13(15), 7511–7529, doi:10.5194/acp-13-7511-2013, 2013.
- 990 Coggon, M. M., Sorooshian, A., Wang, Z., Metcalf, A. R., Frossard, A. A., Lin, J. J., Craven, J. S., Nenes, A., Jonsson, H. H., Russell, L. M., Flagan, R. C. and Seinfeld, J. H.: Ship impacts on the marine atmosphere: insights into the contribution of shipping emissions to the properties of marine aerosol and clouds, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 12(18), 8439–8458, doi:10.5194/acp-12-8439-2012, 2012.
- 995 Covert, D. S., Kapustin, V. N., Quinn, P. K. and Bates, T. S.: NEW PARTICLE FORMATION IN THE MARINE BOUNDARY-LAYER, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 97(D18), 20581–20589, doi:10.1029/92jd02074, 1992.
- Croft, B., Lohmann, U., Martin, R. V., Stier, P., Wurzler, S., Feichter, J., Hoose, C., Heikkilä, U., Van Donkelaar, A. and Ferrachat, S.: Influences of in-cloud aerosol scavenging parameterizations on aerosol concentrations and wet deposition in ECHAM5-HAM, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 10(4), 1511–1543, doi:10.5194/acp-10-1511-2010, 2010.
- 1000 Cui, T., Green, H. S., Selleck, P. W., Zhang, Z., O'Brien, R. E., Gold, A., Keywood, M., Kroll, J. H. and Surratt, J. D.: Chemical Characterization of Isoprene- and Monoterpene-Derived Secondary Organic Aerosol Tracers in Remote Marine Aerosols over a Quarter Century, *ACS Earth Sp. Chem.*, 3(6), 935–946, doi:10.1021/acsearthspacechem.9b00061, 2019.
- Dani, K. G. S. and Loreto, F.: Trade-Off Between Dimethyl Sulfide and Isoprene Emissions from Marine Phytoplankton, *Trends Plant Sci.*, 22(5), 361–372, doi:10.1016/j.tplants.2017.01.006, 2017.
- 1005 DeCarlo, P. F., Kimmel, J. R., Trimborn, A., Northway, M. J., Jayne, J. T., Aiken, A. C., Gonin, M., Fuhrer, K., Horvath, T., Docherty, K. S., Worsnop, D. R. and Jimenez, J. L.: Field-deployable, high-resolution, time-of-flight aerosol mass spectrometer, *Anal. Chem.*, 78(24), 8281–8289, doi:10.1021/ac061249n, 2006.

- Devore, J. L. and Berk, K. N.: Modern Mathematical Statistics with Application, 2nd ed., Springer Science+Business Media, New York., 2012.
- 1010 Dzepina, K., Mazzoleni, C., Fialho, P., China, S., Zhang, B., Owen, R. C., Helmig, D., Hueber, J., Kumar, S., Perlinger, J. A., Kramer, L. J., Dziobak, M. P., Ampadu, M. T., Olsen, S., Wuebbles, D. J. and Mazzoleni, L. R.: Molecular characterization of free tropospheric aerosol collected at the Pico Mountain Observatory: a case study with a long-range transported biomass burning plume, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 15(9), 5047–5068, doi:10.5194/acp-15-5047-2015, 2015.
- Ehn, M., Thornton, J. A., Kleist, E., Sipilä, M., Junninen, H., Pullinen, I., Springer, M., Rubach, F., Tillmann, R., Lee, B.,
- 1015 Lopez-Hilfiker, F., Andres, S., Acir, I. H., Rissanen, M., Jokinen, T., Schobesberger, S., Kangasluoma, J., Kontkanen, J., Nieminen, T., Kurtén, T., Nielsen, L. B., Jørgensen, S., Kjaergaard, H. G., Canagaratna, M., Maso, M. D., Berndt, T., Petäjä, T., Wahner, A., Kerminen, V. M., Kulmala, M., Worsnop, D. R., Wildt, J. and Mentel, T. F.: A large source of low-volatility secondary organic aerosol, *Nature*, 506(7489), 476–479, doi:10.1038/nature13032, 2014.
- Facchini, M. C., Decesari, S., Rinaldi, M., Carbone, C., Finessi, E., Mircea, M., Fuzzi, S., Moretti, F., Tagliavini, E., Ceburnis,
- 1020 D. and O’Dowd, C. D.: Important source of marine secondary organic aerosol from biogenic amines, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 42(24), 9116–9121, doi:10.1021/es8018385, 2008.
- Faloon, I., Conley, S. A., Blomquist, B., Clarke, A. D., Kapustin, V., Howell, S., Lenschow, D. H. and Bandy, A. R.: Sulfur dioxide in the tropical marine boundary layer: Dry deposition and heterogeneous oxidation observed during the pacific atmospheric sulfur experiment, *J. Atmos. Chem.*, 63(1), 13–32, doi:10.1007/s10874-010-9155-0, 2009.
- 1025 Fossum, K. N., Ovadnevaite, J., Ceburnis, D., Dall’Osto, M., Marullo, S., Bellacicco, M., Simó, R., Liu, D., Flynn, M., Zuend, A. and O’Dowd, C.: Summertime Primary and Secondary Contributions to Southern Ocean Cloud Condensation Nuclei, *Sci. Rep.*, 8(1), 13844, doi:10.1038/s41598-018-32047-4, 2018.
- Fossum, K. N., Ovadnevaite, J., Ceburnis, D., Preißler, J., Snider, J. R., Huang, R.-J., Zuend, A. and O’Dowd, C.: Sea-spray regulates sulfate cloud droplet activation over oceans, *npj Clim. Atmos. Sci.*, 3(1), 14, doi:10.1038/s41612-020-0116-2, 2020.
- 1030 Frossard, A. A., Russell, L. M., Massoli, P., Bates, T. S. and Quinn, P. K.: Side-by-Side Comparison of Four Techniques Explains the Apparent Differences in the Organic Composition of Generated and Ambient Marine Aerosol Particles, *Aerosol Sci. Technol.*, 48(3), V–X, doi:10.1080/02786826.2013.879979, 2014a.
- Frossard, A. A., Russell, L. M., Burrows, S. M., Elliott, S. M., Bates, T. S. and Quinn, P. K.: Sources and composition of submicron organic mass in marine aerosol particles, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 119(22), 12977–13003, doi:10.1002/2014jd021913,
- 1035 2014b.
- Frossard, A. A., Li, W., Gérard, V., Nozière, B. and Cohen, R. C.: Influence of surfactants on growth of individual aqueous coarse mode aerosol particles, *Aerosol Sci. Technol.*, 52(4), 459–469, doi:10.1080/02786826.2018.1424315, 2018.
- Gantt, B., Nicholas, M. and Kamykowski, D.: A new physically-based quantification of isoprene and primary organic aerosol emissions from the world’s oceans, *Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss.*, 9, 2933–2965, doi:10.5194/acpd-9-2933-2009, 2009.
- 1040 Grythe, H., Strom, J., Krejci, R., Quinn, P. and Stohl, A.: A review of sea-spray aerosol source functions using a large global set of sea salt aerosol concentration measurements, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 14(3), 1277–1297, doi:10.5194/acp-14-1277-2014,

- 2014.
- Gunson, J. R., Spall, S. A., Anderson, T. R., Jones, A., Totterdell, I. J. and Woodage, M. J.: Climate sensitivity to ocean dimethylsulphide emissions, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 33(7), 2–5, doi:10.1029/2005GL024982, 2006.
- 1045 Hallquist, M., Wenger, J. C., Baltensperger, U., Rudich, Y., Simpson, D., Claeys, M., Dommen, J., Donahue, N. M., George, C., Goldstein, A. H., Hamilton, J. F., Herrmann, H., Hoffmann, T., Iinuma, Y., Jang, M., Jenkin, M. E., Jimenez, J. L., Kiendler-Scharr, A., Maenhaut, W., McFiggans, G., Mentel, T. F., Monod, A., Prévôt, A. S. H., Seinfeld, J. H., Surratt, J. D., Szmigielski, R. and Wildt, J.: The formation, properties and impact of secondary organic aerosol: Current and emerging issues, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 9(14), 5155–5236, doi:10.5194/acp-9-5155-2009, 2009.
- 1050 Hasekamp, O. P., Gryspeerdt, E. and Quaas, J.: Analysis of polarimetric satellite measurements suggests stronger cooling due to aerosol-cloud interactions, *Nat. Commun.*, 10(1), 1–7, doi:10.1038/s41467-019-13372-2, 2019.
- Hoppel, W. A., Frick, G. M. and Larson, R. E.: Effect of nonprecipitating clouds on the aerosol size distribution in the marine boundary layer, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 13(2), 125–128, doi:10.1029/GL013i002p00125, 1986.
- Hu, Q. H., Xie, Z. Q., Wang, X. M., Kang, H., He, Q. F. and Zhang, P.: Secondary organic aerosols over oceans via oxidation of isoprene and monoterpenes from Arctic to Antarctic, *Sci. Rep.*, 3, 1–7, doi:10.1038/srep02280, 2013.
- 1055 Huang, S., Wu, Z., Poulain, L., Van Pinxteren, M., Merkel, M., Assmann, D., Herrmann, H. and Wiedensohler, A.: Source apportionment of the organic aerosol over the Atlantic Ocean from 53° N to 53° S: Significant contributions from marine emissions and long-range transport, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 18(24), 18043–18062, doi:10.5194/acp-18-18043-2018, 2018a.
- 1060 Huang, S., Wu, Z., Poulain, L., Van Pinxteren, M., Merkel, M., Assmann, D., Herrmann, H. and Wiedensohler, A.: Source apportionment of the submicron organic aerosols over the Atlantic Ocean from 53° N to 53° S using HR-ToF-AMS, , doi:10.5194/acp-2018-307, 2018b.
- Hudson, J. G., Noble, S. and Tabor, S.: *Journal of Geophysical Research : Atmospheres* Cloud supersaturations from CCN spectra Hoppel minima, , 1–17, doi:10.1002/2014JD022669.Received, 2015.
- 1065 Humphries, R. S., Schofield, R., Keywood, M. D., Ward, J., Pierce, J. R., Gionfriddo, C. M., Tate, M. T., Krabbenhoft, D. P., Galbally, I. E., Molloy, S. B., Klekociuk, A. R., Johnston, P. V., Kreher, K., Thomas, A. J., Robinson, A. D., Harris, N. R. P., Johnson, R. and Wilson, S. R.: Boundary layer new particle formation over East Antarctic sea ice - Possible Hg-driven nucleation?, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 15(23), 13339–13364, doi:10.5194/acp-15-13339-2015, 2015.
- Jacob, D. J.: Heterogeneous chemistry and tropospheric ozone, *Atmos. Environ.*, 34(12–14), 2131–2159, doi:10.1016/S1352-1070(99)00462-8, 2000.
- 1070 Keller, M. D.: Dimethyl sulfide production and marine phytoplankton: the importance of species composition and cell size, *Biol. Oceanogr.*, 6(5–6), 375–382, 1988.
- Kloster, S., Feichter, J., Maier-Reimer, E., Six, K. D., Stier, P. and Wetzel, P.: DMS cycle in the marine ocean-atmosphere system - A global model study, *Biogeosciences*, 3(1), 29–51, doi:10.5194/bg-3-29-2006, 2006.
- 1075 Korhonen, H., Carslaw, K. S., Spracklen, D. V., Mann, G. W. and Woodhouse, M. T.: Influence of oceanic dimethyl sulfide

- emissions on cloud condensation nuclei concentrations and seasonality over the remote Southern Hemisphere oceans: A global model study, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 113(D15), D15204, doi:10.1029/2007jd009718, 2008.
- Leahy, L. V, Wood, R., Charlson, R. J., Hostetler, C. A., Rogers, R. R., Vaughan, M. A. and Winker, D. M.: On the nature and extent of optically thin marine low clouds, *J. Geophys. Res. Atmos.*, 117(D22), n/a--n/a, doi:10.1029/2012jd017929, 2012.
- 1080 Lee, A., Goldstein, A. H., Kroll, J. H., Ng, N. L., Varutbangkul, V., Flagan, R. C. and Seinfeld, J. H.: Gas-phase products and secondary aerosol yields from the photooxidation of 16 different terpenes, *J. Geophys. Res. Atmos.*, 111(17), 1–25, doi:10.1029/2006JD007050, 2006.
- de Leeuw, G., Andreas, E. L., Anguelova, M. D., Fairall, C. W., Lewis, E. R., O’Dowd, C., Schulz, M. and Schwartz, S. E.: PRODUCTION FLUX OF SEA SPRAY AEROSOL, *Rev. Geophys.*, 49, doi:10.1029/2010rg000349, 2011.
- 1085 Li, J., Jian, B., Huang, J., Hu, Y., Zhao, C., Kawamoto, K., Liao, S. and Wu, M.: Long-term variation of cloud droplet number concentrations from space-based Lidar, *Remote Sens. Environ.*, 213, 144–161, doi:10.1016/J.RSE.2018.05.011, 2018.
- Liakakou, E., Vrekoussis, M., Bonsang, B., Donousis, C., Kanakidou, M. and Mihalopoulos, N.: Isoprene above the Eastern Mediterranean: Seasonal variation and contribution to the oxidation capacity of the atmosphere, *Atmos. Environ.*, 41(5), 1002–1010, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2006.09.034, 2007.
- 1090 Lyngsgaard, M. M., Markager, S., Richardson, K., Møller, E. F. and Jakobsen, H. H.: How Well Does Chlorophyll Explain the Seasonal Variation in Phytoplankton Activity?, *Estuaries and Coasts*, 40(5), 1263–1275, doi:10.1007/s12237-017-0215-4, 2017.
- Mansour, K., Decesari, S., Facchini, M. C., Belosi, F., Paglione, M., Sandrini, S., Bellacicco, M., Marullo, S., Santoleri, R., Ovadnevaite, J., Ceburnis, D., O’Dowd, C., Roberts, G., Sanchez, K. and Rinaldi, M.: Linking Marine Biological Activity to
- 1095 Aerosol Chemical Composition and Cloud-Relevant Properties over the North Atlantic Ocean, *J. Geophys. Res. Atmos.*, 1–19, doi:10.1029/2019jd032246, 2020.
- Maritorena, S. and Siegel, D. A.: Consistent merging of satellite ocean color data sets using a bio-optical model, *Remote Sens. Environ.*, 94(4), 429–440, doi:10.1016/j.rse.2004.08.014, 2005.
- Maritorena, S., d’Andon, O. H. F., Mangin, A. and Siegel, D. A.: Merged satellite ocean color data products using a bio-optical
- 1100 model: Characteristics, benefits and issues, *Remote Sens. Environ.*, 114(8), 1791–1804, doi:10.1016/j.rse.2010.04.002, 2010.
- McCoy, D. T., Burrows, S. M., Wood, R., Grosvenor, D. P., Elliott, S. M., Ma, P.-L. L., Rasch, P. J. and Hartmann, D. L.: Natural aerosols explain seasonal and spatial patterns of Southern Ocean cloud albedo, *Sci. Adv.*, 1(6), e1500157–e1500157, doi:10.1126/sciadv.1500157, 2015.
- Meskhidze, N. and Nenes, A.: Phytoplankton and Cloudiness in the Southern Ocean, *Science (80-.)*, 314(5804), 1419–1423, doi:10.1126/science.1131779, 2006.
- 1105 Meskhidze, N. and Nenes, A.: Effects of Ocean Ecosystem on Marine Aerosol-Cloud Interaction, *Adv. Meteorol.*, 2010, 1–13, doi:10.1155/2010/239808, 2010.
- Modini, R. L., Frossard, A. A., Ahlm, L., Russell, L. M., Corrigan, C. E., Roberts, G. C., Hawkins, L. N., Schroder, J. C., Bertram, A. K., Zhao, R., Lee, A. K. Y., Abbatt, J. P. D., Lin, J., Nenes, A., Wang, Z., Wonaschuetz, A., Sorooshian, A.,

- 1110 Noone, K. J., Jonsson, H., Seinfeld, J. H., Toom-Sauntry, D., Macdonald, A. M. and Leaitch, W. R.: Primary marine aerosol-cloud interactions off the coast of California, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 120(9), 4282–4303, doi:10.1002/2014jd022963, 2015.
Morel, A., Huot, Y., Gentili, B., Werdell, P. J., Hooker, S. B. and Franz, B. A.: Examining the consistency of products derived from various ocean color sensors in open ocean (Case 1) waters in the perspective of a multi-sensor approach, *Remote Sens. Environ.*, 111(1), 69–88, doi:10.1016/j.rse.2007.03.012, 2007.
- 1115 Mungall, E. L., Croft, B., Lizotte, M., Thomas, J. L., Murphy, J. G., Levasseur, M., Martin, R. V., Wentzell, J. J. B., Liggio, J. and Abbatt, J. P. D.: Dimethyl sulfide in the summertime Arctic atmosphere: Measurements and source sensitivity simulations, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 16(11), 6665–6680, doi:10.5194/acp-16-6665-2016, 2016.
Murphy, D. M., Anderson, J. R., Quinn, P. K., McInnes, L. M., Brechtel, F. J., Kreidenweis, S. M., Middlebrook, A. M., Pósfai, M., Thomson, D. S., Buseck, P. R., Posfai, M., Thomson, D. S. and Buseck, P. R.: Influence of sea-salt on aerosol radiative properties in the Southern Ocean marine boundary layer, *Nature*, 392(6671), 62–65, doi:10.1038/32138, 1998.
- 1120 Myriokefalitakis, S., Vignati, E., Tsigaridis, K., Papadimas, C., Sciare, J., Mihalopoulos, N., Facchini, M. C., Rinaldi, M., Dentener, F. J., Ceburnis, D., Hatzianastasiou, N., O'Dowd, C. D., van Weele, M. and Kanakidou, M.: Global Modeling of the Oceanic Source of Organic Aerosols, *Adv. Meteorol.*, 2010, 1–16, doi:10.1155/2010/939171, 2010.
Nelson, N. B. and Siegel, D. A.: The Global Distribution and Dynamics of Chromophoric Dissolved Organic Matter, *Ann. Rev. Mar. Sci.*, 5(1), 447–476, doi:10.1146/annurev-marine-120710-100751, 2013.
- 1125 O'Connor, T. C., Jennings, S. G. and O'Dowd, C. D.: Highlights of fifty years of atmospheric aerosol research at Mace Head, *Atmos. Res.*, 90(2–4), 338–355, doi:10.1016/j.atmosres.2008.08.014, 2008.
O'Dowd, C. D., Hämeri, K., Mäkelä, J. M., Pirjola, L., Kulmala, M., Jennings, S. G., Berresheim, H., Hansson, H. C., De Leeuw, G., Kunz, G. J., Allen, A. G., Hewitt, C. N., Jackson, A., Viisanen, Y. and Hoffmann, T.: A dedicated study of New Particle Formation and Fate in the Coastal Environment (PARFORCE): Overview of objectives and achievements, *J. Geophys. Res. Atmos.*, 107(19), doi:10.1029/2001JD000555, 2002.
- 1130 Odowd, C. D., Smith, M. H., Consterdine, I. E. and Lowe, J. A.: Marine aerosol, sea-salt, and the marine sulphur cycle: A short review, *Atmos. Environ.*, 31(1), 73–80 [online] Available from: %3CGo, 1997.
Ovadnevaite, J., Ceburnis, D., Leinert, S., Dall'Osto, M., Canagaratna, M., O'Doherty, S., Berresheim, H. and O'Dowd, C.: Submicron NE Atlantic marine aerosol chemical composition and abundance: Seasonal trends and air mass categorization, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 119(20), 11850–11863, doi:10.1002/2013jd021330, 2014.
- 1135 Ovadnevaite, J., Zuend, A., Laaksonen, A., Sanchez, K. J., Roberts, G., Ceburnis, D., Decesari, S., Rinaldi, M., Hodas, N., Facchini, M. C., Seinfeld, J. H. and O'Dowd, C.: Surface tension prevails over solute effect in organic-influenced cloud droplet activation, *Nature*, 546(7660), 637–641, doi:10.1038/nature22806, 2017.
- 1140 Owen, R. C. and Honrath, R. E.: Technical note: a new method for the Lagrangian tracking of pollution plumes from source to receptor using gridded model output, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 9(7), 2577–2595, doi:10.5194/acp-9-2577-2009, 2009.
Pandis, S. N., Wexler, A. S. and Seinfeld, J. H.: DYNAMICS OF TROPOSPHERIC AEROSOLS, *J. Phys. Chem.*, 99(24), 9646–9659, doi:10.1021/j100024a003, 1995.

- Park, K.-T., Jang, S., Lee, K., Yoon, Y. J., Kim, M.-S., Park, K., Cho, H.-J., Kang, J.-H., Udisti, R., Lee, B.-Y. and Shin, K.-
1145 H.: Observational evidence for the formation of DMS-derived aerosols during Arctic phytoplankton blooms, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 17, 9665–9675, doi:10.5194/acp-17-9665-2017, 2017.
- Park, K. T., Lee, K., Kim, T. W., Yoon, Y. J., Jang, E. H., Jang, S., Lee, B. Y. and Hermansen, O.: Atmospheric DMS in the Arctic Ocean and Its Relation to Phytoplankton Biomass, *Global Biogeochem. Cycles*, 32(3), 351–359, doi:10.1002/2017GB005805, 2018.
- 1150 Pastor, M. V., Palter, J. B., Pelegrí, J. L. and Dunne, J. P.: Physical drivers of interannual chlorophyll variability in the eastern subtropical North Atlantic, *J. Geophys. Res. Ocean.*, 118(8), 3871–3886, doi:10.1002/jgrc.20254, 2013.
- Petroff, A. and Zhang, L.: Development and validation of a size-resolved particle dry deposition scheme for application in aerosol transport models, *Geosci. Model Dev.*, 3(2), 753–769, doi:10.5194/gmd-3-753-2010, 2010.
- Pierce, J. R. and Adams, P. J.: Global evaluation of CCN formation by direct emission of sea salt and growth of ultrafine sea
1155 salt, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 111(D6), doi:10.1029/2005jd006186, 2006.
- Van Pinxteren, M., Barthel, S., Fomba, K. W., Müller, K., Von Tümpling, W. and Herrmann, H.: The influence of environmental drivers on the enrichment of organic carbon in the sea surface microlayer and in submicron aerosol particles – measurements from the Atlantic Ocean, *Elementa*, 5(2011), doi:10.1525/elementa.225, 2017.
- Pirjola, L., Lehtinen, K. E. J., Hansson, H. C. and Kulmala, M.: How important is nucleation in regional/global modelling?,
1160 *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 31(12), doi:10.1029/2004gl019525, 2004.
- Platnick, S. and Twomey, S.: Determining the Susceptibility of Cloud Albedo to Changes in Droplet Concentration with the Advanced Very High Resolution Radiometer, *J. Appl. Meteorol.*, 33(3), 334–347, doi:10.1175/1520-0450(1994)033<0334:dtsoa>2.0.co;2, 1994.
- Pruppacher, H. R. and Klett, J. D.: *Microphysics of Clouds and Precipitation*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht., 1997.
- 1165 Pryor, S. C. and Barthelmie, R. J.: Particle dry deposition to water surfaces: Processes and consequences, *Seas Millenn. - an Environ. Eval. - Vol. 3*, 41(00), 197–209, 2000.
- Quinn, P. K. and Bates, T. S.: The case against climate regulation via oceanic phytoplankton sulphur emissions, *Nature*, 480(7375), 51–56, doi:10.1038/nature10580, 2011.
- Quinn, P. K., Bates, T. S., Miller, T. L., Coffman, D. J., Johnson, J. E., Harris, J. M., Ogren, J. A., Forbes, G., Anderson, T.
1170 L., Covert, D. S. and Rood, M. J.: Surface submicron aerosol chemical composition: What fraction is not sulfate?, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 105(D5), 6785–6805, doi:10.1029/1999jd901034, 2000.
- Quinn, P. K., Bates, T. S., Schulz, K. S., Coffman, D. J., Frossard, A. A., Russell, L. M., Keene, W. C. and Kieber, D. J.: Contribution of sea surface carbon pool to organic matter enrichment in sea spray aerosol, *Nat. Geosci.*, 7(3), 228–232, doi:10.1038/ngeo2092, 2014.
- 1175 Quinn, P. K., Coffman, D. J., Johnson, J. E., Upchurch, L. M. and Bates, T. S.: Small fraction of marine cloud condensation nuclei made up of sea spray aerosol, *Nat. Geosci.*, 10(9), 674–679, doi:10.1038/ngeo3003, 2017.
- Quinn, P. K., Bates, T. S., Coffman, D. J., Upchurch, L., Johnson, J. E., Moore, R., Ziemba, L., Bell, T. G., Saltzman, E. S.,

- Graff, J. and Behrenfeld, M. J.: Seasonal Variations in Western North Atlantic Remote Marine Aerosol Properties, *J. Geophys. Res. Atmos.*, 1–22, doi:10.1029/2019JD031740, 2019.
- 1180 Raes, F., VanDingenen, R., Cuevas, E., VanVelthoven, P. F. J. and Prospero, J. M.: Observations of aerosols in the free troposphere and marine boundary layer of the subtropical Northeast Atlantic: Discussion of processes determining their size distribution, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 102(D17), 21315–21328, doi:10.1029/97jd01122, 1997.
- Reus, M., Strom, J., Curtius, J., Pirjola, L., Vignati, E., Arnold, F., Hansson, H. C., Kulmala, M., Lelieveld, J. and Raes, F.: Aerosol production and growth in the upper free troposphere, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 105(D20), 24751–24762, doi:10.1029/2000jd900382, 2000.
- 1185 Rinaldi, M., Decesari, S., Finessi, E., Giulianelli, L., Carbone, C., Fuzzi, S., O’Dowd, C. D., Ceburnis, D. and Facchini, M. C.: Primary and Secondary Organic Marine Aerosol and Oceanic Biological Activity: Recent Results and New Perspectives for Future Studies, *Adv. Meteorol.*, 2010, 1–10, doi:10.1155/2010/310682, 2010.
- Russell, L. M., Lenschow, D. H., Laursen, K. K., Krummel, P. B., Siems, S. T., Bandy, A. R., Thornton, D. C. and Bates, T. S.: Bidirectional mixing in an ACE 1 marine boundary layer overlain by a second turbulent layer, *J. Geophys. Res. Atmos.*, 103(D13), 16411–16432, doi:10.1029/97JD03437, 1998.
- 1190 Russell, L. M., Hawkins, L. N., Frossard, A. A., Quinn, P. K. and Bates, T. S.: Carbohydrate-like composition of submicron atmospheric particles and their production from ocean bubble bursting, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 107(15), 6652–6657, doi:10.1073/pnas.0908905107, 2010.
- 1195 Saliba, G., Chen, C. L., Lewis, S., Russell, L. M., Rivellini, L. H., Lee, A. K. Y., Quinn, P. K., Bates, T. S., Haëntjens, N., Boss, E. S., Karp-Boss, L., Baetge, N., Carlson, C. A. and Behrenfeld, M. J.: Factors driving the seasonal and hourly variability of sea-spray aerosol number in the North Atlantic, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 116(41), 20309–20314, doi:10.1073/pnas.1907574116, 2019.
- Saliba, G., Chen, C.-L., Lewis, S., Russell, L. M., Quinn, P. K., Bates, T. S., Bell, T. G., Lawler, M. J., Saltzman, E. S., Sanchez, K. J., Moore, R., Shook, M., Rivellini, L.-H., Lee, A., Baetge, N., Carlson, C. A. and Behrenfeld, M. J.: Seasonal Differences and Variability of Concentrations, Chemical Composition, and Cloud Condensation Nuclei of Marine Aerosol Over the North Atlantic, *J. Geophys. Res. Atmos.*, 125(19), doi:10.1029/2020JD033145, 2020.
- Sanchez et al. in prep: Cloud Processes and the Transport of Biological Emissions Regulate Southern Ocean Particle and Cloud Condensation Nuclei Concentrations, *Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss.*, 2020.
- 1205 Sanchez, K. J., Chen, C. L., Russell, L. M., Betha, R., Liu, J., Price, D. J., Massoli, P., Ziemba, L. D., Crosbie, E. C., Moore, R. H., Müller, M., Schiller, S. A., Wisthaler, A., Lee, A. K. Y., Quinn, P. K., Bates, T. S., Porter, J., Bell, T. G., Saltzman, E. S., Vaillancourt, R. D. and Behrenfeld, M. J.: Substantial Seasonal Contribution of Observed Biogenic Sulfate Particles to Cloud Condensation Nuclei, *Sci. Rep.*, 8(1), 3235, doi:10.1038/s41598-018-21590-9, 2018.
- Sciare, J., Baboukas, E. and Mihalopoulos, N.: Short-term variability of atmospheric DMS and its oxidation products at Amsterdam Island during summer time, *J. Atmos. Chem.*, 39(3), 281–302, doi:10.1023/A:1010631305307, 2001.
- 1210 Seinfeld, J. H. and Pandis, S. N.: *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics: From Air Pollution to Climate Change*, Wiley, New

- York. [online] Available from: <https://books.google.com/books?id=tZEPAQAAMAAJ>, 2006.
- Shank, L. M., Howell, S., Clarke, A. D., Freitag, S., Brekhovskikh, V., Kapustin, V., McNaughton, C., Campos, T. and Wood, R.: Organic matter and non-refractory aerosol over the remote Southeast Pacific: oceanic and combustion sources, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 12(1), 557–576, doi:10.5194/acp-12-557-2012, 2012.
- 1215 Shaw, G. E.: BIO-CONTROLLED THERMOSTASIS INVOLVING THE SULFUR CYCLE, *Clim. Change*, 5(3), 297–303, doi:10.1007/bf02423524, 1983.
- Shaw, S. L., Chisholm, S. W. and Prinn, R. G.: Isoprene production by *Prochlorococcus*, a marine cyanobacterium, and other phytoplankton, *Mar. Chem.*, 80(4), 227–245, doi:10.1016/s0304-4203(02)00101-9, 2003.
- 1220 Sievering, H., Gorman, E., Anderson, L., Boatman, J., Luria, M. and Kim, Y.: HETEROGENEOUS SULFUR CONVERSION IN SEA-SALT AEROSOL-PARTICLES - POTENTIAL IMPACT ON THE GLOBAL SULFUR CYCLE, *Precip. Scav. Atmos. Exch. Vols 1-3*, 1653–1665 [online] Available from: %3CGo, 1992a.
- Sievering, H., Boatman, J., Gorman, E., Kim, Y., Anderson, L., Ennis, G., Luria, M. and Pandis, S.: REMOVAL OF SULFUR FROM THE MARINE BOUNDARY-LAYER BY OZONE OXIDATION IN SEA-SALT AEROSOLS, *Nature*, 360(6404), 571–573, doi:10.1038/360571a0, 1992b.
- 1225 Sievering, H., Lerner, B., Slavich, J., Anderson, J., Posfai, M. and Cainey, J.: O₃ oxidation of SO₂ in sea-salt aerosol water: Size distribution of non-sea-salt sulfate during the First Aerosol Characterization Experiment (ACE 1), *J. Geophys. Res.*, 104(D17), 21707–21717, doi:10.1029/1998jd100086, 1999.
- Silsbe, G. M., Behrenfeld, M. J., Halsey, K. H., Milligan, A. J. and Westberry, T. K.: The CAFE model: A net production model for global ocean phytoplankton, *Global Biogeochem. Cycles*, 30(12), 1756–1777, doi:10.1002/2016GB005521, 2016.
- 1230 Sinha, V., Williams, J., Meyerhöfer, M., Riebesell, U., Paulino, A. I. and Larsen, A.: Air-sea fluxes of methanol, acetone, acetaldehyde, isoprene and DMS from a Norwegian fjord following a phytoplankton bloom in a mesocosm experiment, *Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss.*, 6(5), 9907–9935, doi:10.5194/acpd-6-9907-2006, 2006.
- Stevens, B. and Feingold, G.: Untangling aerosol effects on clouds and precipitation in a buffered system, *Nature*, 461(7264), 607–613, doi:10.1038/nature08281, 2009.
- 1235 Stohl, A., Forster, C., Frank, A., Seibert, P. and Wotawa, G.: Technical note: The Lagrangian particle dispersion model FLEXPART version 6.2, *Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss.*, 5(4), 4739–4799, doi:10.5194/acpd-5-4739-2005, 2005.
- Thornton, D. C., Bandy, A. R., Blomquist, B. W., Bradshaw, J. D. and Blake, D. R.: Vertical transport of sulfur dioxide and dimethyl sulfide in deep convection and its role in new particle formation, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 102(D23), 28501–28509, doi:10.1029/97jd01647, 1997.
- 1240 Thorpe, S. A.: Bubble clouds and the dynamics of the upper ocean, *Q. J. R. Meteorol. Soc.*, 118(503), 1–22, doi:10.1002/qj.49711850302, 1992.
- Toole, D. A., Kieber, D. J., Kiene, R. P., Siegel, D. A. and Nelson, N. B.: Photolysis and the dimethylsulfide (DMS) summer paradox in the Sargasso Sea, *Limnol. Oceanogr.*, 48(3), 1088–1100, doi:10.4319/lo.2003.48.3.1088, 2003.
- 1245 Toole, D. A., Siegel, D. A. and Doney, S. C.: A light-driven, one-dimensional dimethylsulfide biogeochemical cycling model

- for the Sargasso Sea, *J. Geophys. Res. Biogeosciences*, 113(2), 1–20, doi:10.1029/2007JG000426, 2008.
- Turner, D. D., Vogelmann, A. M., Austin, R. T., Barnard, J. C., Cady-Pereira, K., Chiu, J. C., Clough, S. A., Flynn, C., Khaiyer, M. M., Liljegren, J., Johnson, K., Lin, B., Long, C., Marshak, A., Matrosov, S. Y., McFarlane, S. A., Miller, M., Min, Q., Minimis, P., O\textquotesingleHirok, W., Wang, Z. and Wiscombe, W.: Thin Liquid Water Clouds: Their Importance and Our
1250 Challenge, *Bull. Am. Meteorol. Soc.*, 88(2), 177–190, doi:10.1175/bams-88-2-177, 2007.
- Ueda, S., Miura, K., Kawata, R., Furutani, H., Uematsu, M., Omori, Y. and Tanimoto, H.: Number–size distribution of aerosol particles and new particle formation events in tropical and subtropical Pacific Oceans, *Atmos. Environ.*, 142, 324–339, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2016.07.055, 2016.
- Vallina, S. M., Simó, R. and Gassó, S.: What controls CCN seasonality in the Southern Ocean? A statistical analysis based on
1255 satellite-derived chlorophyll and CCN and model-estimated OH radical and rainfall, *Global Biogeochem. Cycles*, 20(1), n/a–n/a, doi:10.1029/2005GB002597, 2006.
- Veres, P. R., Andrew Neuman, J., Bertram, T. H., Assaf, E., Wolfe, G. M., Williamson, C. J., Weinzierl, B., Tilmes, S., Thompson, C. R., Thames, A. B., Schroder, J. C., Saiz-Lopez, A., Rollins, A. W., Roberts, J. M., Price, D., Peischl, J., Nault, B. A., Møller, K. H., Miller, D. O., Meinardi, S., Li, Q., Lamarque, J. F., Kupc, A., Kjaergaard, H. G., Kinnison, D., Jimenez,
1260 J. L., Jernigan, C. M., Hornbrook, R. S., Hills, A., Dollner, M., Day, D. A., Cuevas, C. A., Campuzano-Jost, P., Burkholder, J., Paul Bui, T., Brune, W. H., Brown, S. S., Brock, C. A., Bourgeois, I., Blake, D. R., Apel, E. C. and Ryerson, T. B.: Global airborne sampling reveals a previously unobserved dimethyl sulfide oxidation mechanism in the marine atmosphere, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 117(9), 4505–4510, doi:10.1073/pnas.1919344117, 2020.
- Vollmer, B., Ostrenga, D., Savtchenko, A., Johnson, J., Wei, J., Teng, W. and Gerasimov, I.: Making Earth Science Data
1265 Records for Use in Research Environments (MEaSUREs) Projects Data and Services at the GES DISC, AGU Fall Meet. Abstr., 1407-, 2011.
- Warren, D. R. and Seinfeld, J. H.: PREDICTION OF AEROSOL CONCENTRATIONS RESULTING FROM A BURST OF NUCLEATION, *J. Colloid Interface Sci.*, 105(1), 136–142, doi:10.1016/0021-9797(85)90356-x, 1985.
- Warren, S., Hahn, C., London, J., Chervin, R. and Jenne, R.: Global Distribution of Total Cloud Cover and Cloud Type
1270 Amounts Over the Ocean, UCAR/NCAR., 1988.
- Wennberg, P. O., Bates, K. H., Crounse, J. D., Dodson, L. G., McVay, R. C., Mertens, L. A., Nguyen, T. B., Praske, E., Schwantes, R. H., Smarte, M. D., Clair, J. M. S., Teng, A. P., Zhang, X. and Seinfeld, J. H.: Gas-Phase Reactions of Isoprene and Its Major Oxidation Products, *Chem. Rev.*, 118(7), 3337–3390, doi:10.1021/acs.chemrev.7b00439, 2018.
- Whittlestone, S. and Zahorowski, W.: Baseline radon detectors for shipboard use: Development and deployment in the First
1275 Aerosol Characterization Experiment (ACE 1), *J. Geophys. Res.*, 103(D13), 16743–16751, doi:10.1029/98jd00687, 1998.
- Williams, J., de Reus, M., Krejci, R., Fischer, H. and Strom, J.: Application of the variability-size relationship to atmospheric aerosol studies: estimating aerosol lifetimes and ages, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 2, 133–145 [online] Available from: %3CCGo, 2002.
- Yang, M., Bell, T. G., Hopkins, F. E. and Smyth, T. J.: Attribution of atmospheric sulfur dioxide over the English Channel to

- 1280 dimethyl sulfide and changing ship emissions, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 16(8), 4771–4783, doi:10.5194/acp-16-4771-2016, 2016.
- Yoch, D. C.: Dimethylsulfoniopropionate: Its Sources, Role in the Marine Food Web, and Biological Degradation to Dimethylsulfide, *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.*, 68(12), 2016, doi:10.1128/AEM.68.12.5804, 2002.
- Yoon, Y. J. and Brimblecombe, P.: Modelling the contribution of sea salt and dimethyl sulfide derived aerosol to marine CCN, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 2(1), 17–30, doi:10.5194/acp-2-17-2002, 2002.
- 1285 Yu, F. and Luo, G.: Simulation of particle size distribution with a global aerosol model: contribution of nucleation to aerosol and CCN number concentrations, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 9(20), 7691–7710 [online] Available from: %3CGo, 2009.
- Yue, G. K. and Deepak, A.: TEMPERATURE-DEPENDENCE OF THE FORMATION OF SULFATE AEROSOLS IN THE STRATOSPHERE, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 87(NC4), 3128–3134, doi:10.1029/JC087iC04p03128, 1982.
- Zavarsky, A., Booge, D., Fiehn, A., Krüger, K., Atlas, E. and Marandino, C.: The Influence of Air-Sea Fluxes on Atmospheric
- 1290 Aerosols During the Summer Monsoon Over the Tropical Indian Ocean, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 45(1), 418–426, doi:10.1002/2017GL076410, 2018.
- Zhang, B., Owen, R. C., Perlinger, J. A., Kumar, A., Wu, S., Val Martin, M., Kramer, L., Helmig, D. and Honrath, R. E.: A semi-Lagrangian view of ozone production tendency in North American outflow in the summers of 2009 and 2010, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 14(5), 2267–2287, doi:10.5194/acp-14-2267-2014, 2014.
- 1295 Zorn, S. R., Drewnick, F., Schott, M., Hoffmann, T. and Borrmann, S.: Characterization of the South Atlantic marine boundary layer aerosol using an aerodyne aerosol mass spectrometer, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 8(16), 4711–4728, doi:10.5194/acp-8-4711-2008, 2008.





Figure 1. (a-d) The residence time fraction of all marine 5-day FLEXPART back trajectories column integrated and normalized by the total residence time, (e-h) average satellite chlorophyll-a and (i-l) average CAFE modelled net primary production for each NAAMES campaign. The gray line in panels a-d shows the *R/V Atlantis* cruise track, while the black points are initialization points for the back trajectory model runs that satisfy the clean marine filter criteria (Section 2.6).



1305

Figure 2. Boxplot of air mass residence time fraction spent over land for FLEXPART back trajectories of 6 hours to 10 days for all cases (both marine and continental back trajectories). Blue points represent each individual FLEXPART back trajectory. The horizontal lines show the 25th, 50th and 75th percentiles for the fraction of trajectory time over land and the vertical lines represent the range.




Figure 3: (a) An example 5-day FLEXPART trajectory (MBL column-integrated) residence time distribution from NAAMES2 campaign initialized at 00z 19 May 2016 and the ship location, shown by the green square. (b) Satellite chlorophyll-a product and an outline of the 5-day FLEXPART back trajectory. (c) Satellite derived chlorophyll-a weighted by the 5-day FLEXPART residence time and then integrated to obtain a value representative of the level of influence chlorophyll-a may have had over the past 5 days on the air mass measured at the *R/V Atlantis* location.




Figure 4. Pearson's correlation coefficients between FLEXPART-residence-time-weighted explanatory variables and the following atmospheric measurement variables: (a) organic aerosol mass and (b) sulfate aerosol mass (c) DMS (d) particle number concentration for diameters < 100nm, (e) particle number concentration for diameters > 100nm, and (f) PMA mode concentration. The explanatory variables listed on the ordinate axis are colored to denote satellite-derived parameters (black text), CAFE ocean biology model parameters (green text), and atmospheric model reanalysis products (blue text). The PMA mode number concentration is derived from the SEMS and APS instruments on the *R/V Atlantis*. Pearson's correlation coefficients are only included for statistically significant cases where $p < 0.05$.




Figure 5. Measured atmospheric concentrations of (a-c) organic and (d-f) sulfate aerosol mass compared to 2-Day FLEXPART-residence-time-weighted satellite chlorophyll-a, modelled net primary production, and reanalysis model downward shortwave forcing. Pearson's coefficients (r) are included for each plot along with best fit lines shown as black lines.




Figure 6. Measurements of (a-c) atmospheric and (d-f) in-water DMS concentrations compared to *R/V Atlantis* measurements of chlorophyll, net primary production, and downward shortwave forcing. Pearson's coefficients (r) are included for each plot along with best fit lines shown as black lines.




Figure 7. Measurements of atmospheric (a) organic aerosol mass, (b) sulfate aerosol mass, (c) number concentration for diameters < 100 nm, and (d) number concentration for diameters > 100 nm compared to the 5-day FLEXPART-residence-time-weighted model reanalysis wind speed. Points are colored based on corresponding campaign in (a) and (b) and colored by the base 10 logarithm of the 5-day FLEXPART-residence-time-weighted model reanalysis 6-hour accumulated precipitation for (c) and (d). Pearson's coefficients (r) are included for each plot along with best-fit lines shown as black lines.




Figure 8. Measurements of (a) the PMA mode number concentration and (b) total particle surface area compared to 6-hour FLEXPART-residence-time-weighted model reanalysis wind speed. Both the total particle surface area and the PMA mode concentration are derived from the SEMS and APS instruments on the *R/V Atlantis*. Pearson's coefficients (r) are included for each plot along with best fit lines shown as black lines.