

The following contains the comments of the referee (black), our replies (blue) indicating changes that will be made to the revised document (red).

Reviewer #1

GENERAL COMMENTS

This manuscript revisits the gas-phase kinetics of the OH+CH₃C(O)OOH (PAA) reaction as a function of temperature (298-353 K) by an absolute kinetic method. Several additional experiments were performed to check for a potential interference of the OH-reformation (via the study of OD + PAA reaction) and a kinetic numerical simulation was also carried out to quantify the role of secondary OH-reactions. The very low rate coefficient measured experimentally for the OH+CH₃C(O)OOH reaction is confirmed theoretically in this work, by using the multiconformer canonical transition state theory. The disagreement of more than two orders of magnitude with the results from the relative kinetic study by Wu et al. (2017) is discussed. The theoretical temperature-dependence of the rate coefficient of the title reaction shows a significant curvature in the Arrhenius plots which is not seen experimentally due to the large uncertainties in k. Despite the calculated negative T-dependence of k, the contribution of the title reaction to the atmospheric removal of CH₃C(O)OOH above the boundary layer is not significant with respect to its photolysis in the actinic region, but with a longer lifetime of CH₃C(O)OOH (around weeks in the free and upper troposphere) than previously assumed. Finally, the reaction mechanism is investigated theoretically concluding that the importance of CH₃C(O)O radical formation. The present comprehensive kinetic study remarks the kinetic complexity of the acetic/peracetic system and the experimental efforts that have to be done to get a reliable rate coefficient. The combination of experimental studies with computation is of great aid to elucidate observed discrepancies or to get deep insights into the reaction mechanisms. Both the experiments and calculations are carefully performed and the paper is generally well structured. In my opinion, the results from this study are of high interest for improving the atmospheric chemical models. Thus, I recommend the publication of this manuscript in the Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics journal after addressing the specific comments/ suggested changes that, in my opinion, need to be included for improving it.

We thank the reviewer for the careful review and the positive assessment of our manuscript.

SPECIFIC COMMENTS/SUGGESTIONS

1) Introduction It is said that the branching ratio ($BR = k_{1a} / k_1$) is 0.37 ± 0.09 at 298 K, while it is 0.31 at 240 K temperatures, stating that the branching ratio to form CH₃C(O)OOH decreases with temperature. Well, as no uncertainties are given for the BR at 240 K, I think that it lies within the uncertainties of BR at 298 K.

The IUPAC panel recommend a temperature dependence, which is what we quote. We have amended the text to:

Laboratory studies, summarised by IUPAC, indicate that the overall rate coefficient (k_1) for reaction R1 (at 298 K) is $(2 \pm 1) \times 10^{-11} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ and that CH₃C(O)OOH is formed with a branching ratio (k_{1a} / k_1) of 0.37 ± 0.09 at this temperature. At lower temperatures, such as those found in the upper troposphere, the rate coefficient increases (k_1 (240 K) = $3.7 \times 10^{-11} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$) while the branching ratio to CH₃C(O)OOH decreases: k_{1a} / k_1 (240 K) = 0.31 (Atkinson et al., 2006; IUPAC, 2020).

2) Experimental section The pressure in the reactor was monitored with 10, 100 and 1000 Torr capacitance manometers. Can you provide in the text (and in Tables S1 and S2) the pressure range in the kinetic experiments?

We have added this information to the methods section and the Tables of results in the SI.

The pressure in the reactor, generally between ~50 and 100 Torr N₂ was monitored with 100 and 1000 Torr capacitance manometers (1 Torr = 1.333 HPa).

In section 4.2 we write:

k_6 was determined at a total pressure (N₂) of 57 and 102 Torr, k_7 was examined at 66 Torr (N₂).

In section 4.3 we write:

The experiments were conducted at a total pressure of ~100 Torr (N₂).

In section 4.4.2 we write:

The results of experiments (at ~57 Torr N₂) in which.....

The sentence “The CH₃C(O)OH-dimer spectrum was measured in the small cell (pathlength 15 cm) using a similar procedure but using higher pressures of CH₃C(O)OH + CH₃C(O)OH-dimer (up to 1.36 Torr) to favour dimer formation” is not clear. What is the highest pressure of the mixture acetic acid/dimer? 1.36 Torr in 700 Torr of N₂? At the beginning of the section it is stated that “in total typically 3-18 Torr”. Please confirm this.

The 1.36 Torr was the pressure in the small optical absorption cell. The 3-18 Torr pressures refer to the mixing line. We have modified the text to avoid confusion.

The CH₃C(O)OH-dimer spectrum was measured in the small optical absorption cell ($l = 15$ cm) using up to 1.36 Torr (dosed directly into the cell) of the CH₃C(O)OH / CH₃C(O)OH-dimer mixture to favour dimer formation.

Measurement of H₂O, H₂O₂ and DONO₂ concentrations: It is clear that acetic and peracetic acids were quantified by FTIR spectroscopy and that H₂O was measured by IR relative to VUV spectroscopy at 185 nm, but what about H₂O₂ and DONO₂? Were they measured by IR spectroscopy too?

We made no attempt to measure the concentrations of H₂O₂ and DONO₂ optically as these parameters are not required in our analysis.

3) IR absorption cross sections As the authors known, the absorption cross sections in the IR region are usually expressed in base 10, that is that the absorbance is defined as the log₁₀ of the intensities ratio at a certain wavenumber. In contrast, in the UV region the absorption cross sections are usually expressed in base e, that is that the absorbance is defined as ln of the intensities ratio. Please state in the text.

We have added text in section 4.1 (Infrared absorption cross-sections).

Note that all IR-cross sections we quote are “base e”.

The authors comment that the IR absorption spectra of H₂O in the PAA samples was obtained relative to its VUV-absorption 185 nm. What is the contribution of H₂O₂ to the total absorption at 185 nm? Is it negligible?

The reference IR spectra were obtained using pure H₂O samples and monitoring absorption at 185 nm and in the IR. There is thus no contribution from H₂O₂.

4) Kinetics of OH/OD + CH₃C(O)OH reactions The title of section 4.2 is not only “OH + CH₃C(O)OH”. I suggest this change: "4.2 OH/OD + CH₃C(O)OH:

Change made:

4.2 OH/OD + CH₃C(O)OH: Determination of k_6 and k_7 at 298 K

Determination of k_6 and k_7 at 298 K". In some parts of this section refer only a reference to the OH+acetic acid reaction exclusively is made. For example, in equations (1) and (2) or in the sentence “Typically, the values of [CH₃C(O)OH] varied by < 3% during the time required to measure the OH-decay”. Please complete or revise.

To avoid repeating almost identical equations we now write:

Similar expressions (switch OD for OH and k_7 for k_6) apply to the OD experiments. during the time required to measure the OH or OD-decay,

5) Kinetics of OH + CH₃C(O)OOH reactions Please, include what k_i' is to clarify the relationship with k_i . It is far from this section.

We already write: “Where k_6' and k_4' are the pseudo-first order rate constants for loss of OH via reaction (R6) and (R4), respectively.”

Can the authors provide a bit more detail on how the concentration of CH₃C(O)OOH is corrected by decomposition of the dimer at high temperatures?

There is no known dimer formation for CH₃C(O)OOH, so the reviewer probably refers to acetic acid dimer. We now write:

When the reactor is operated at high temperatures some of the CH₃C(O)OH-dimer present in the IR-absorption cell is converted to CH₃C(O)OH in the reactor and correction was made to account for this using the temperature dependent equilibrium constant.

Temperature dependence of k_4 : Why did not the authors measure k_4 at temperatures lower than 298 K of interest in the upper troposphere?

The decay of OH which we measure is largely due to reaction with CH₃C(O)OH and we have no significant contribution from OH reacting with CH₃C(O)OOH. As the rate constant for reaction between OH and CH₃C(O)OH increases at lower temperatures it made little sense to conduct experiments at temperatures appropriate for e.g. the upper troposphere.

Theory: It is stated that the rate coefficient k_4 is calculated in the high-pressure limit. The measured k are also in the HPL? What is the total pressure in the reactor?

We had omitted to mention the pressure and have added text to section 2.1

The pressure in the reactor, generally between ~50 and 100 Torr N₂ was monitored with 100 and 1000 Torr capacitance manometers (1 Torr = 1.333 HPa).

We have also added text to section 4.5:

Given the slow product formation rate, the protruding reaction barriers, and the fast formation and decomposition of the complex, k_4 is not expected to show a pressure-dependence and should be at the high-pressure limit under the experimental conditions (50-100 Torr N₂).

Secondary OH-reactions: The OH+CH₃ reaction is the main loss process in the system. In the text, a reference to Baulch et al. (2005) is made, while in Table S2 the rate coefficient for this reaction

is taken from Sangwan et al. (2012). As the OH+CH₃ reaction is pressure dependent, the total pressure of the bath gas used has to be stated to derive k given in Table S3 from Sangwan et al.

We have removed the citation to Bauch 2005. The termolecular reaction between OH and CH₃ is in fact independent of pressure (of He) between ~8 and 680 Torr (Pereira, 1997) and is thus at the high-pressure limit under our experimental conditions. We have chosen to take the rate constant (in He) from the latest work on this reaction for our simulations. We now cite (Table S3) the Pereira et al study (which covers our pressure range) as well as Sangwan et al.

The rate coefficient for reaction of OH with CH₃ is at the high-pressure-limit, with a value close to $1 \times 10^{-10} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ (Pereira et al., 1997; Sangwan et al., 2012) under our experimental conditions.

MINOR SUGGESTED CHANGES

When mentioning a range, please use parenthesis. For example, in $(6.17 - 38.5) \times 10^{14} \text{ molecule cm}^{-3}$.

Changes made as suggested

Use “rate coefficient” or “rate constant” through the manuscript, but not a mixture of both.

We now use “rate coefficient” throughout

Unify “Pseudo-first-order” or “pseudo first-order”;

We now use pseudo-first-order throughout

“peracetic” or “per-acetic”; “CH₃C(O)OOH” or “CH₃C(=O)OOH”; “CH₂O” or “HCHO”.

We now use peracetic, CH₃C(O)OOH and HCHO throughout

Line 13: Include units of k in “ $\sim 6 \times 10^{-14}$ in the cold upper troposphere”.

Change made as suggested

Line 18: Replace “dry-deposition” by “dry deposition”

Change made as suggested

Line 19: Replace “peroxy-acids” by “peroxy acids”

Change made as suggested

Line 24-28: This sentence is too long. It can be re-written as: “Despite the acidic peroxide, peracetic acid (CH₃C(O)OOH, PAA), is expected to be the 2nd-most abundant organic peroxide (after CH₃OOH) in the troposphere, ambient measurements are relatively scarce. Several atmospheric measurements of PAA were reported in the boundary layer (Crowley et al., 2018; Fels and Junkermann, 1994; He et al., 2010; Liang et al., 2013; Phillips et al., 2013; Walker et al., 2006; Zhang et al., 2010) and from aircraft (Crouse et al., 2006; Wang et al., 2019), indicating that it is present throughout the troposphere.”

The sentence has been modified

Line 61: Replace k₂ by k₄.

Change made as suggested

Line 74: peroxy radical chemistry

Change made as suggested

Line 96: infrared is more common than infra-red.

We now use infrared throughout

Line 105: The sentence is confusing, since OH is not generated from DONO₂. I suggest to change it: Laser pulses at 248 nm (âˆ¼20 ns), provided at 10 Hz by an excimer laser (Compex 205F, Coherent) operated using KrF, were used for generating OH and OD radicals. In particular, H₂O₂ was used as the photochemical precursor of OH radicals in the study of the OH+CH₃C(O)OH reaction, while DONO₂ and PAA were used in the study of OD+PAA and OH+PAA reactions, respectively”. It is true that this is specified further in next sections.

Text changed, we now write:

Pulses of 248 nm laser light (~20 ns) for OH generation from H₂O₂ and CH₃C(O)OOH or OD generation from DONO₂ were provided.....

Line 125: 45-cm long

Text changed, we now write:

...by flowing the sample through an absorption cell ($l = 45$ cm) made of glass,

Line 211: a quantitative IR spectrum

Change made as suggested

Line 217: 45-cm path-length absorption cell

Change made as suggested

Line 277: Parenthesis are missing in the value of k_7 .

Change made as suggested

Line 287-288: “. . .by scaling a reference spectrum of. . .”

Change made as suggested

Line 333: Change k_7 by k_5 .

Change made as suggested

Line 338: This sentence is not clear“. . .and we conclude that OH-reformation via Reactions (R4b + R11). . .” In reaction 4b, the CH₂C(O)OOH radical is formed and R11 is the equilibrium between CH₃C(O)OH(l) and CH₃C(O)OOH(l). Are these reactions the ones you refer?

The reactions were wrongly numbered. We now simply state

...and we conclude that OH-reformation is not responsible for the divergence....

Line 354: R17 will also have a rate coefficient close

Change made as suggested

Line 376: Delete “using methods” in “Analysis of head-space samples of CH₃C(O)OOH and H₂O₂ using methods. . .”

Change made as suggested

Tables and Figures

Tables S1 and S2: Please order the values of k' and k_4' increasing the concentration of acetic and peracetic acids for ease of presentation.

Change made as suggested

In Table S2, I would list the values of $k_4' + k_d$ instead of $k_4' + k_d$, since from them k_4 are obtained. k_4 is obtained from plots of $k_4' + k_d$ versus $[\text{CH}_3\text{C}(\text{O})\text{OOH}]$, this is why we list this parameter.

Table S3: Replace the = by an arrow in the reaction $\text{OH} + \text{CH}_3\text{C}(\text{O})\text{O}_2 = \text{HO}_2 + \text{CH}_3$

Change made as suggested

Figure 5a: Decays are better to be normalized as in Figure 5b or Fig 4.

In Figure 5b, the photolysis of DONO_2 is used as OH source and thus the initial amount of OD does not change. In Figure 5a, the amount of OH is variable (as its precursor was $\text{CH}_3\text{C}(\text{O})\text{OOH}$).

Figure 9, caption: “as upper limits OF the rate coefficient”

Text modified

Figure S2: The legend of y-axis is not correct. It is not “integrated band strength”, it is plotted the “integrated absorbance” with units of cm^{-1} . “Absorbance” is not a physical unit, but a dimensionless parameter.

This comment refers to S1.

We have corrected the y-axis labelling

Figure S3: The legend of y-axis is not correct. “Absorbance” is a dimensionless parameter by definition, so “arbitrary units” has to be deleted.

Change made as suggested

Reviewer #2

General comments. This paper presents a study of the kinetics and mechanism of the reaction of OH with peracetic acid ($\text{CH}_3\text{C}(\text{O})\text{OOH}$), including both an absolute experimental investigation (298 – 353 K) and a theoretical investigation (200 - 450 K). The results show that the reaction is considerably slower than reported previously in a published 298 K relative rate investigation, and as a result an unimportant loss process for $\text{CH}_3\text{C}(\text{O})\text{OOH}$ in the atmosphere. Although abstraction of H from the $-\text{C}(=\text{O})\text{OOH}$ group is calculated to be the dominant reaction pathway, this is found to be orders of magnitude slower than for simple $-\text{OOH}$ groups in species such as CH_3OOH . This is an important piece of work, providing the first direct determination of the title reaction, which will help improve representation of $\text{CH}_3\text{C}(\text{O})\text{OOH}$ chemistry (and that of other peroxy-acids) in atmospheric mechanisms. The experimental and theoretical studies are carefully performed, with systematic consideration of possible complications and interferences in the former being carried out and presented. The study is appropriate for publication in ACP, and the authors should consider and address the comments given below in producing an improved version of the manuscript. Although the core work is well described and justified, this paper would generally have benefitted from more careful proof-reading prior to submission – and this is the origin of most of the comments given below.

We thank the reviewer for the careful review and the positive assessment of our manuscript.

Specific comments

1) Line 27: Should "expected to be" be replaced by "observed to be" or simply deleted?

We now write "observed to be"

2) Line 27: "2nd-most" should be "second-most".

Change made as suggested

3) Line 34: Define PAA (or just use $\text{CH}_3\text{C}(\text{O})\text{OOH}$ consistently throughout), and delete either "atmospheric" or "in the atmosphere".

Change made as suggested

4) Line 38: products should be $\text{OH} + \text{CH}_3\text{C}(\text{O})\text{O} + \text{O}_2$ (or " $\text{OH} + \text{CH}_3 + \text{CO}_2 + \text{O}_2$ " if subsequent decomposition of $\text{CH}_3\text{C}(\text{O})\text{O}$ is included). CH_3O_2 is not a direct product of the reaction.

Change made as suggested

5) Line 46: Again, why not write the actual products of the reaction, $\text{CH}_3\text{C}(\text{O})\text{O} + \text{NO}_2$? Note that you declare $\text{CH}_3\text{C}(\text{O})\text{O}$ as a product of reaction (R10), but seem reluctant to do so for reactions (R1c) and (R3). 6)

Change made as suggested

Lines 49-52: This information seems to tally with IUPAC (2020), but shows little similarity to Atkinson et al. (2006). The IUPAC (2020) citation could also be made less vague. For example, could it link to the specific recommendation, rather than the task group home page?

We now cite only the 2020 (online) IUPAC recommendation.

7) Line 61: The current MCM version is MCM v3.3.1, for which I believe the primary home is now "http://mcm.york.ac.uk/" - although the information is mirrored at <http://mcm.leeds.ac.uk/MCM/> (not "<http://mcm.leeds.ac.uk/MC/>"). However, the described treatment of OH + CH₃C(O)OOH remains the same in MCM v3.3.1.

We now cite only the current MCM version.

8) Lines 80-83: The degree to which the formation of CH₃C(O)OOH from the reaction between HO₂ and CH₃C(O)O₂ represents a loss of oxidation capacity does not depend on whether the CH₃C(O)OOH + OH reaction can compete with deposition. Both OH reaction and deposition are radical neutral (i.e. conserve the number of radicals). Reformation of the lost radicals only results from CH₃C(O)OOH photolysis, so it is the extent to which the other loss processes compete with photolysis that is important.

We now write:

The degree to which the formation of CH₃C(O)OOH from the reaction between HO₂ and CH₃C(O)O₂ represents a permanent sink of peroxy radicals (and thus loss of oxidation capacity) depends on whether the photochemical degradation of CH₃C(O)OOH to reform organic radicals can compete with deposition processes.

9) Line 95: "whereby" would seem to be the wrong adverb here, because the measurement of CH₃C(O)OOH and CH₃C(O)OH by IR absorption is not achieved as a result of either the laser photolysis production or LIF detection of OH. The information should probably be divided into two sentences after "(LIF)".

Change made as suggested

10) Line 245: ".....for the reaction between OH and OD with CH₃C(O)OH" should probably be ".....for the reactions of OH and OD with CH₃C(O)OH."

We now write:

We therefore carried out a set of experiments to measure the rate coefficients for the reactions of OH and OD with CH₃C(O)OH.

11) Line 268: I suggest deleting "the values of".

Change made as suggested

12) Line 273: Again, the IUPAC (2019) citation could link to the specific recommendation for OH + CH₃C(O)OH.

We now reference the current (2020) version.


13) Section 4.4.1: Either use PAA (defined somewhere) or CH₃C(O)OOH. This section oscillates between the two.

All reference to PAA has been removed.

14) Line 334: Should k₇ be k₅?

Yes, correction made.

15) Line 338: the meaning of "OH regeneration via reactions (R4b + R11)" is not clear, these reactions being:



Reference to R4b and R11 has been removed

16) Line 339: delete first "value".

Change made as suggested

17) Line 355: According to Table S3, the role of reaction (R17b) was not assessed.

We now mention only reaction R17a

18) Lines 375-383. Given that the possible impact of the impurity $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2 + \text{OH}$ reaction is assessed, shouldn't that reaction be included in the mechanism in Table S3, and associated simulations, for completeness?

As we have no in-situ measurement of $[\text{H}_2\text{O}_2]$ measurement and its contribution (if present at the 1% level) can easily be assessed without numerical simulation we did not include it in the reaction scheme.

19) Lines 384-395: This information does not seem to fit in a section entitled "Presence of H_2O_2 impurity".

True. We have generated a new section that deals with the comparison.

4.5 Comparison with the previous determination of k_4 .

20) Line 457: Given the main conclusion of the work, the discussion of the chemistry following the $\text{OH} + \text{CH}_3\text{C}(\text{O})\text{OOH}$ reaction almost seems redundant. The description of the chemistry of the product formed from the minor channel, $\text{OOCH}_2\text{C}(\text{O})\text{OOH}$, also seems selective. Although the chemistry of the NO reaction is important, is it really the dominant fate throughout much of the atmosphere?

Although (as we conclude a few sentences later) OH will not be an important sink of $\text{CH}_3\text{C}(\text{O})\text{OOH}$, we prefer to keep this text so as to provide a more complete picture of the role of $\text{CH}_3\text{C}(\text{O})\text{OOH}$ in the atmosphere. We have amended the text regarding the dominant fate of $\text{CH}_3\text{C}(\text{O})\text{OOH}$ and have added reactions with HO_2 and RO_2 .

In many regions of the atmosphere (e.g. those impacted by anthropogenic emissions) its dominant fate will be reaction with NO

In air, the minor $\text{CH}_2\text{C}(\text{O})\text{OOH}$ product of reaction (R4b) is expected to add O_2 , forming a peracetic acid peroxy radical, $\text{OOCH}_2\text{C}(\text{O})\text{OOH}$, which will also undergo reactions with NO , RO_2 and HO_2 .



$\text{OCH}_2\text{C}(\text{O})\text{OOH}$ which will quickly decompose to HCHO , CO_2 and OH (Vereecken and Peeters, 2009).



21) Lines 476-478: Given the main message of the work, it is not clear why the discussion in an “atmospheric implications” section returns to a conclusion based on using a high rate coefficient for $\text{OH} + \text{CH}_3\text{C}(\text{O})\text{OOH}$ – even though the preceding comments about other loss processes remain relevant. The atmospheric implications of this work are that the $\text{OH} + \text{CH}_3\text{C}(\text{O})\text{OOH}$ reaction is unimportant, and that loss is dominated by photolysis and deposition. In my opinion, Section 4.6 could be re-written to state what the atmospheric implications of this work are more clearly and succinctly. In fact, the subsequent conclusions section (section 5) seems to do that very well, and the sections could be merged.

We have removed reference to the high rate coefficient and taken the description of the products formed from $\text{OH} + \text{CH}_3\text{C}(\text{O})\text{OOH}$ out of the “atmospheric implications” section and moved them to the “theoretical studies” section.

22) Line 496: The reference here to “other peroxides” should probably more correctly state “other peracids”, as the conclusions specifically relate to the $-\text{C}(\text{O})\text{OOH}$ moiety. In the abstract, this point becomes generalized by the statement “Similar conclusions can be made for other, saturated peroxy-acids”, which may be taken to mean that all saturated peroxy-acids can be regarded as having a one-year lifetime with respect to reaction with OH. Presumably the $-\text{C}(\text{O})\text{OOH}$ moiety deactivates H abstraction from the first carbon in the R group of $\text{RC}(\text{O})\text{OOH}$ compounds, but abstraction from other sites remains significant – particularly if the (saturated) peroxy-acid contains other activating groups (e.g. $-\text{OH}$). The associated comments could therefore be qualified to this effect.

We agree. The statement was too general as has been removed from the abstract.

23) Line 706: Figure 6 caption should state k_5 rather than k_6 . The much larger intercept for k_5 presumably results from the reaction of OD with DONO_2 . This could be stated somewhere.

k_6 replaced with k_5

We also added the text:

The larger intercept for the OD reaction is due to reaction with DONO_2 .

24) Table S2: PAA (still not defined) in rows; $\text{CH}_3\text{C}(\text{O})\text{OOH}$ in columns.

PAA is now defined as $\text{CH}_3\text{C}(\text{O})\text{OH}$ in Table S2.

25) Table S3: Footnote "a" also applies to $\text{OH} + \text{CH}_3\text{C}(\text{O})\text{OH}$, $\text{OH} + \text{CH}_3\text{C}(\text{O})\text{O}_2$ and the final channel of $\text{HO}_2 + \text{CH}_3\text{C}(\text{O})\text{O}_2$. Although not crucial for the simulations, it would be nice if O_2 and CO_2 were declared as products consistently.

Footnote “a” has been added to the appropriate reactions. CO_2 and O_2 have been added where appropriate.

Reaction between $\text{CH}_3\text{C}(\text{O})\text{OOH}$ (peracetic acid) and OH in the gas-phase: A combined experimental and theoretical study of the kinetics and mechanism

Matias Berasategui¹, Damien Amedro¹, Luc Vereecken², Jos Lelieveld¹ and John N. Crowley¹

5 ¹ Division of Atmospheric Chemistry, Max-Planck-Institute for Chemistry, 55128 Mainz, Germany

² Institute for Energy and Climate Research: IEK-8, Forschungszentrum Juelich, 52425 Juelich, Germany


Correspondence to: John N. Crowley (john.crowley@mpic.de)

Abstract. Peracetic acid ($\text{CH}_3\text{C}(\text{O})\text{OOH}$) is one of the most abundant organic peroxides in the atmosphere, yet the kinetics of its reaction with OH , believed to be the major sink, have been studied only once experimentally. In this work we combine
10 a pulsed-laser photolysis kinetic study of the title reaction with theoretical calculations of the rate coefficient and mechanism. We demonstrate that the rate coefficient is orders of magnitude lower than previously determined, with an experimentally derived upper limit of $\leq 4 \times 10^{-14} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$. The relatively low rate coefficient is in good agreement with the theoretical result of $3 \times 10^{-14} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ at 298 K, increasing to $\sim 6 \times 10^{-14} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ in the cold upper troposphere, but with associated uncertainty of a factor-two. The reaction proceeds mainly via abstraction of the
15 peroxidic-hydrogen via a relatively weakly bonded and short-lived pre-reaction complex, in which H-abstraction occurs only slowly due to a high barrier and low tunnelling probabilities. Our results imply that the lifetime of $\text{CH}_3\text{C}(\text{O})\text{OOH}$ with respect to OH -initiated degradation in the atmosphere is of the order of one year (and not days as previously believed) and that its major sink in the free and upper troposphere is likely to be photolysis, with deposition important in the boundary layer.

20 1 Introduction


The processes leading to the formation and loss of two classes of atmospheric trace-gases, organic acids and organic peroxides, have been the subject of numerous field, laboratory and model based investigations (Atkinson et al., 2006; Calvert et al., 2011; Gunz and Hoffmann, 1990; Jackson and Hewitt, 1999; Lee et al., 2000; Paulot et al., 2011; Reeves and Penkett, 2003). By comparison, ambient measurements of the acidic peroxide, peracetic acid ($\text{CH}_3\text{C}(\text{O})\text{OOH}$) in the gas-phase are
25 still relatively scarce. Measurements in the boundary layer (Crowley et al., 2018; Fels and Junkermann, 1994; He et al., 2010; Liang et al., 2013; Phillips et al., 2013; Walker et al., 2006; Zhang et al., 2010) and from aircraft (Crouse et al., 2006; Wang et al., 2019) indicate that it is present throughout the troposphere where it is observed to be the second-most abundant organic peroxide (after CH_3OOH). Like other organic peroxides, $\text{CH}_3\text{C}(\text{O})\text{OOH}$ can contribute to the formation and aging of secondary organic aerosol (Docherty et al., 2005), which enhances its removal through wet deposition.

30 Unlike its non-peroxidic analogue, $\text{CH}_3\text{C}(\text{O})\text{OH}$ (acetic acid), the direct emission of $\text{CH}_3\text{C}(\text{O})\text{OOH}$ by the biosphere has not been documented and its formation during biomass burning has not been reported (Andreae, 2019), although elevated $\text{CH}_3\text{C}(\text{O})\text{OOH}$ mixing ratios have been observed in air-masses impacted by biomass-burning (Crowley et al., 2018; Phillips et al., 2013). Apart from leakage during industrial production and application as an indoor disinfectant (Henneken et al., 2006; Pacenti et al., 2010), the only significant source of $\text{CH}_3\text{C}(\text{O})\text{OOH}$ in the atmosphere is the radical terminating channel
 35 (R1a) reaction between the acetylperoxy and hydroperoxyl radicals.



The $\text{CH}_3\text{C}(\text{O})\text{O}_2$ radical is formed in the degradation of acetaldehyde (mainly via reaction with OH), acetone and methyl-
 40 glyoxal (both via photolysis), all of which are common secondary products of the degradation of biogenic and anthropogenic volatile organic compounds (VOC) including isoprene, monoterpenes, alkenes and alkanes. Globally, biogenic emissions account for > 60% of $\text{CH}_3\text{C}(\text{O})\text{O}_2$ formation (Fischer et al., 2014).

The highest production rates of $\text{CH}_3\text{C}(\text{O})\text{OOH}$ are thus expected in regions which are impacted by biogenic emissions in which HO_2 levels are high enough to compete with NO_2 (R2) and NO (R3) for reaction with $\text{CH}_3\text{C}(\text{O})\text{O}_2$:



As $\text{CH}_3\text{C}(\text{O})\text{OONO}_2$ (peroxyacetyl nitric anhydride, PAN) is thermally unstable with respect to re-dissociation to reactants, high temperatures also favour $\text{CH}_3\text{C}(\text{O})\text{OOH}$ formation.

Laboratory studies, summarised by IUPAC, indicate that the overall rate coefficient (k_1) for reaction R1 (at 298 K) is (2 ± 1)
 50 $\times 10^{-11} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ and that $\text{CH}_3\text{C}(\text{O})\text{OOH}$ is formed with a branching ratio (k_{1a} / k_1) of 0.37 ± 0.09 at this temperature. At lower temperatures, such as those found in the upper troposphere, the rate coefficient increases (k_1 (240 K) = $3.7 \times 10^{-11} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$) while the branching ratio to $\text{CH}_3\text{C}(\text{O})\text{OOH}$ decreases: k_{1a} / k_1 (240 K) = 0.31 (IUPAC, 2020).

As for other soluble organic acids and peroxides, deposition will be an important sink for $\text{CH}_3\text{C}(\text{O})\text{OOH}$ in the boundary layer, where (in the absence of measurements) an exchange velocity comparable to that of H_2O_2 (which has a similar
 55 solubility, (Crowley et al., 2018)) may be assumed, and which results in a local lifetime of several hours. Further, wet deposition, either by direct dissolution or through particle formation and subsequent scavenging by clouds and rain, additionally reduces its lifetime. In analogy to other peroxides, reaction with OH is believed to be the dominant gas-phase loss process for $\text{CH}_3\text{C}(\text{O})\text{OOH}$.






The master-chemical-mechanism (MCM v3.3.1: <http://mcm.york.ac.uk/>) presently uses an overall rate coefficient of $k_4 = 3.6 \times 10^{-12} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$, which is based on reactions of OH with other organic trace-gases containing the $-\text{OOH}$ group

(Orlando and Tyndall, 2003) whereby abstraction of the peroxidic H-atom (R4a), is expected to dominate. A single study of the rate coefficient has been published to date (Wu et al., 2017), in which the authors, deriving a rate coefficient of $\approx 1 \times 10^{-11} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ in a relative-rate study, confirm the dominance of the OH-sink. There are no experimental studies of the branching ratio for the reaction between OH and $\text{CH}_3\text{C}(\text{O})\text{OOH}$, though a theoretical study indicates that k_{4a} and k_{4b} are comparable (Rypkema and Francisco, 2013). Absorption cross-sections of $\text{CH}_3\text{C}(\text{O})\text{OOH}$ in the actinic region ($\lambda > 320 \text{ nm}$) are lower than those of e.g. CH_3OOH and H_2O_2 (Burkholder et al., 2015; IUPAC, 2020; Orlando and Tyndall, 2003) and noon-time, mid-latitude photolysis rate coefficients are $\approx 5\text{-}7 \times 10^{-7} \text{ s}^{-1}$. Assuming noon-time OH radical densities of $2 \times 10^6 \text{ molecule cm}^{-3}$ and $k_4 = 3.6 \times 10^{-12} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ results in a first-order loss rate coefficient of $\approx 7 \times 10^{-6} \text{ s}^{-1}$, which implies that the photolysis of $\text{CH}_3\text{C}(\text{O})\text{OOH}$ is not a significant atmospheric sink compared to reaction with OH. The relative importance of the various atmospheric loss processes for $\text{CH}_3\text{C}(\text{O})\text{OOH}$ in the light of the present results are discussed in more detail in section 4.6.

Measurements of ambient $\text{CH}_3\text{C}(\text{O})\text{OOH}$ mixing ratios have been used to gain insight into peroxy radical chemistry in the boreal forest during summer (Crowley et al., 2018; Phillips et al., 2013) and also to constrain the atmospheric budget of acetaldehyde, which is an important precursor of $\text{CH}_3\text{C}(\text{O})\text{OOH}$ (Travis et al., 2020; Wang et al., 2019). Such studies require accurate estimates of the lifetime of atmospheric $\text{CH}_3\text{C}(\text{O})\text{OOH}$ and thus the rate coefficient for its reaction with OH. The conclusions reached by Wang et al. (2019) based on aircraft data taken remote from sources of CH_3CHO are valid if $\text{CH}_3\text{C}(\text{O})\text{OOH}$ is much shorter lived than PAN and has a comparable lifetime to CH_3CHO . Likewise, the concentrations of $\text{CH}_3\text{C}(\text{O})\text{OOH}$ modelled by Crowley et al. (2018) are partially dependent on the OH-rate coefficient for the title reaction. In addition, the degree to which the formation of $\text{CH}_3\text{C}(\text{O})\text{OOH}$ from the reaction between HO_2 and $\text{CH}_3\text{C}(\text{O})\text{O}_2$ represents a permanent sink of peroxy radicals (and thus loss of oxidation capacity) depends on whether the photochemical degradation of $\text{CH}_3\text{C}(\text{O})\text{OOH}$ to reform organic radicals can compete with deposition processes.

In the following, we describe the results of laboratory experiments and theoretical calculations that show that $\text{CH}_3\text{C}(\text{O})\text{OOH}$ is much less reactive towards OH than presently believed. We also report rate coefficients (k_5) for the reaction between OD + $\text{CH}_3\text{C}(\text{O})\text{OOH}$ (R5) which was required to examine the potential role of OH reformation, and rate coefficients (k_6 , k_7) for the reaction between both OH and OD with $\text{CH}_3\text{C}(\text{O})\text{OH}$ (R6, R7) which were required to apply corrections for the presence of $\text{CH}_3\text{C}(\text{O})\text{OH}$ in the $\text{CH}_3\text{C}(\text{O})\text{OOH}$ samples:




Finally, we examine the site-specificity of the H-abstraction reaction (R4a versus R4b).

2 Experimental Methods

The laboratory kinetic studies of the title reactions used the method of pulsed laser photolytic (PLP) generation of OH combined with real time detection based on pulsed laser induced fluorescence (LIF). The concentrations of CH₃C(O)OOH and CH₃C(O)OH were measured on-line using infrared absorption spectroscopy. The set-up is illustrated in Fig. 1.

2.1 PLP-LIF

The details of the experimental set-up have been described in detail previously (Wollenhaupt et al., 2000) and only a brief description of the central features and modifications will be given here. The experiments were carried out in a double-jacketed quartz reactor of volume ~500 cm³, which was held at the desired temperature by circulating a 60:40 mixture of ethylene glycol/water through the outer jacket. The pressure in the reactor, generally between ~50 and 100 Torr N₂ was monitored with 100 and 1000 Torr capacitance manometers (1 Torr = 1.333 HPa). For all experiments, the axial flow velocity in the reactor was kept roughly constant at ~10 cm s⁻¹ by adjusting the flow rate. As the ~8 mm wide laser beam propagated orthogonally to the direction of flow, this ensured that a fresh gas sample was available for photolysis at each laser pulse. Pulses of 248 nm laser light (~20 ns) for OH generation from H₂O₂ and CH₃C(O)OOH or OD generation from DONO₂ were provided at 10 Hz by an excimer laser (Compex 205 F, Coherent) operated using KrF.



The laser fluence (typically ~15 mJ cm⁻² per pulse) was measured using a calibrated joule meter located behind the exit window of the reactor. Concentrations of the OH-precursors, H₂O₂, DONO₂ and CH₃C(O)OOH were in the range ~2–20 × 10¹³, 4–8 × 10¹⁴ molecule cm⁻³, and ~6–60 × 10¹⁴ molecule cm⁻³, respectively (see Tables S1 and S2). The initial OH concentrations were calculated using 248 nm cross-sections (units of cm² molecule⁻¹) from Vaghjiani and Ravishankara (1989a) ($\sigma_{248\text{nm}}(\text{H}_2\text{O}_2) = 9.3 \times 10^{-20}$), Burkholder et al. (1993) ($\sigma_{248\text{nm}}(\text{HNO}_3) = 2.0 \times 10^{-20}$) and Orlando and Tyndall (2003) ($\sigma_{248\text{nm}}(\text{CH}_3\text{C}(\text{O})\text{OOH}) = 3.41 \times 10^{-20}$) and were ~2–20 × 10¹¹ molecule cm⁻³.

Radiation for excitation of the OH A²Σ(v' = 1) ← X²Π(v'' = 0) transition (Q11(1)) at 281.99 nm and OD A²Σ(v' = 1) ← X²Π(v'' = 0) transition at 287.68 nm was generated by a tuneable (YAG-pumped) dye laser (Quantel Brilliant B and Lambda Physik Scanmate). The fluorescence of OH and OD was detected using a photomultiplier tube screened by a 309 nm interference filter and a BG 26 glass cut-off filter. The fluorescence signal of OH was accumulated using a boxcar integrator triggered at different delay times prior to and after the 248 nm laser to build up a time-dependent concentration profile.

2.2 On-line optical absorption measurements

The experiments to determine the rate coefficient of the title reaction were performed under pseudo-first-order conditions (i.e. [acid]₀ >> [OH]₀) and the overall uncertainty in the rate coefficients was determined largely by the accuracy with which

the concentration of the excess reagent was measured. The concentrations of $\text{CH}_3\text{C}(\text{O})\text{OH}$ and $\text{CH}_3\text{C}(\text{O})\text{OOH}$ were therefore
125 continuously measured upstream of the reactor **by flowing the sample through an absorption cell ($l = 45$ cm) made of glass,**
which was equipped with silicon windows for transmission of infrared (IR) light and a port for pressure measurement (using
the same pressure gauges mentioned in section 2.1). With this set-up, absorption features in the range $600\text{--}4000\text{ cm}^{-1}$ were
constantly monitored (2 cm^{-1} resolution, 16 co-added interferograms with 128 scans for the background) using a Fourier-
Transform Infrared (FTIR) spectrometer (Bruker Vector 22) with an external, liquid- N_2 cooled HgCdTe detector. A low
130 spectral resolution was chosen to reduce scan times (~ 20 s) and enable rapid changes in concentration to be followed. OPUS
software was used to analyse and manipulate the IR spectra. Interferograms were phase-corrected (Mertz) and Boxcar
apodized with a zero-filling factor of 4. The concentrations of $\text{CH}_3\text{C}(\text{O})\text{OH}$ and $\text{CH}_3\text{C}(\text{O})\text{OOH}$ were calculated using
reference spectra obtained in this work (see Section 2.2).

A further, in-line optical absorption systems was located downstream of the reactor. An absorption cell operated at 184.95
135 nm ($l = 43.8$ cm, low pressure Hg lamp screened by a 185 nm interference filter) served to detect H_2O . The VUV-absorption
optical system is “dual beam” so that drifts in light intensity were accounted for and low optical densities could be measured
over extended periods.

2.2 Off-line IR spectrum measurements

Reference spectra for $\text{CH}_3\text{C}(\text{O})\text{OOH}$ and $\text{CH}_3\text{C}(\text{O})\text{OH}$ were obtained with the Bruker Vector 22 coupled to two further IR-
140 absorption cells. These were a 44.39 L cylindrical quartz chamber equipped with a White-type, multiple-reflection mirror
system with an 86.3 m optical path and external (HgCdTe) detector at liquid- N_2 temperature (Berasategui et al., 2020;
Bunkan et al., 2018) and a 570 mL glass cell with a 15 cm optical path, located in the internal optical path of the FTIR using
an internal DTGS detector. The pressure in both absorption cells was monitored using 1000 or 100 Torr capacitance
manometers.

145 2.3 Chemicals

N_2 (Westfalen 99.999 %) was used without further purification. H_2O_2 (AppliChem, 50 wt.%) was concentrated to $> 90\%$
wt.% by vacuum distillation. Anhydrous DONO_2 was prepared by partial vacuum distillation of deuterated nitric acid formed
by the addition of D_2SO_4 to KNO_3 . Liquid $\text{CH}_3\text{C}(\text{O})\text{OH}$ and $\text{CH}_3\text{C}(\text{O})\text{OOH}$ solution (32 wt.% in acetic acid) were used
following purification by partial vacuum distillation.

150 3 Theoretical Methods

The potential energy surface of the title reaction was characterized first at the M06-2X/cc-pVDZ level of theory (Dunning,
1989; Zhao and Truhlar, 2008). An exhaustive search for all conformers of reactants, transition states and products was
performed by systematic variations of the dihedral angles for the degrees of freedom for internal rotation. Likewise, we

attempted to find all conformers of the pre- and post-reaction complexes by positioning the two complexing compounds at a wide variety of relative orientations, and optimizing the geometry from each of these starting positions. All geometries were subsequently re-optimized at the M06-2X-D3/aug-cc-pVTZ level of theory (Dunning, 1989; Goerigk et al., 2017; Grimme et al., 2011), improving the description of the long-distance interactions by including diffuse orbitals and dispersion corrections. The energy of the transition state for the abstraction of the per-acidic H-atom proved to be more dependent on the basis set than expected, changing by ~ 4.3 kcal mol⁻¹ as opposed to ~ 2 kcal mol⁻¹ for the methyl H-abstraction, so to ensure full convergence with respect to the basis set, we re-optimized all structures again at the M06-2X-D3/aug-cc-pVQZ level of theory, confirming basis set convergence at the DFT level within a few tenths of a kcal mol⁻¹, and no significant change in the geometries. ZPE corrections are done at this level, with vibrational wavenumbers scaled by 0.971 (Alecu et al., 2010; Bao et al., 2018). Finally, the relative energies were refined using CCSD(T) single point calculations (Purvis and Bartlett, 1982), extrapolated to the complete basis set using the aug-Schwartz6(DTQ) method by Martin (1996), based on calculations using the aug-cc-pVxZ (x=D,T,Q) basis sets. The T_1 diagnostics do not suggest multi-reference character in any of the structures. The strong dependence on the basis set size is assumed to be the main reason for the difference between our barrier heights and those predicted by Rypkema and Francisco (2013) who found submerged transition states, incompatible with the experimental data.

The temperature-dependent rate coefficient of the reaction was calculated using multi-conformer canonical transition state theory (Truhlar et al., 1996; Vereecken and Peeters, 2003), including all conformers of reactants and transition states characterized at our highest level of theory. The spin-orbit splitting for OH of 27.95 cm⁻¹ was taken into account (Huber and Herzberg, 1979). Tunnelling was accounted for by asymmetric Eckart barrier corrections based on the reactant, TS and product energy, and the TS imaginary frequency (Eckart, 1930; Johnston and Heicklen, 1962). The rate coefficient is calculated in the high-pressure limit; specifically, the pre-reaction complex is assumed to be in thermal equilibrium with the reactants. Given the slow product formation rate, the protruding reaction barriers, and the fast formation and decomposition of the complex this assumption seems reasonable. The main impact of the pre-reaction complex on the kinetics is then to allow additional tunnelling through a wider energy range of the TS barrier for H-abstraction. This is discussed in more detail below. **Given the slow product formation rate, the protruding reaction barriers, and the fast formation and decomposition of the complex, k_4 is not expected to show a pressure-dependence and should be at the high-pressure limit under the experimental conditions (pressure = xxx Torr).**

4 Results and Discussion

4.1 Infrared absorption Cross-Sections

Accurate IR-absorption cross-sections of CH₃C(O)OH, its dimer and CH₃C(O)OOH are required to derive accurate concentrations of reactants during kinetic experiments on OH + CH₃C(O)OOH where both acids are unavoidably present

185 because the commercially available sample of CH₃C(O)OOH is a ~32% solution in CH₃C(O)OH. Note that all IR-cross sections we quote are “base e”.

4.1.1 CH₃C(O)OH and CH₃C(O)OH-dimer


In order to obtain the cross-sections of the CH₃C(O)OH monomer, the long-path cell was used in conjunction with low pressures of CH₃C(O)OH to avoid the formation of the dimer. A known pressure of the CH₃C(O)OH sample (in total
190 typically 3-18 Torr) was first measured in a section of the vacuum line (volume 126.6 cm³) and then flushed into the long-path cell in a flow of N₂ until 700 Torr of total pressure was reached. The pressure of CH₃C(O)OH in the long-path cell (volume 44390 cm³) was calculated from the sum of monomer pressure plus twice the dimer pressure in the vacuum-line (both calculated from the total pressure using the 298 K equilibrium coefficient $K_{eq} = 2.5 \pm 0.3 \text{ Torr}^{-1}$ (Crawford et al., 1999)) and the dilution factor which is related to the volumes of the mixing line and the long-path cell. The concentration of
195 CH₃C(O)OH in the long-path cell was $(1 - 10) \times 10^{14} \text{ molecule cm}^{-3}$, where the equilibrium dimer concentration can be considered negligible. The CH₃C(O)OH-dimer spectrum was measured in the small optical absorption cell ($l = 15 \text{ cm}$) using up to 1.36 Torr (dosed directly into the cell) of the CH₃C(O)OH / CH₃C(O)OH-dimer mixture to favour dimer formation. At the highest total pressure, the ratio of dimer to monomer (calculated using the equilibrium constant listed above) was 1.4.

200 The CH₃C(O)OH spectrum (Fig. 2) reveal features at 991, 1185, 1279, 1385, 1790 and 3583 cm⁻¹, with only the band at 3583 cm⁻¹ free of overlap with any of the CH₃C(O)OOH or CH₃C(O)OH-dimer bands. The spectra obtained for CH₃C(O)OH and CH₃C(O)OH-dimer are in excellent agreement with those available in the literature: At 1117 cm⁻¹ we derive $\sigma(\text{CH}_3\text{C(O)OH}) = 6.0 \times 10^{-19} \text{ cm}^2 \text{ molecule}^{-1}$ which can be compared to $\sigma(\text{CH}_3\text{C(O)OH}) = 5.9 \times 10^{-19} \text{ cm}^2 \text{ molecule}^{-1}$ reported by Crawford et al. (1999). Similarly, our value of $\sigma(\text{CH}_3\text{C(O)OH-dimer}) = 1.8 \times 10^{-18} \text{ cm}^2 \text{ molecule}^{-1}$ at 1295 cm⁻¹, is identical to that
205 reported by Crawford et al. (1999).

Beer-Lambert plots for the 3583 cm⁻¹ band of CH₃C(O)OH and the 1734 cm⁻¹ band of CH₃C(O)OH-dimer were constructed by expanding different pressures of CH₃C(O)OH into the long-path cell at room temperature and 700 Torr of total pressure. The results, displayed in Fig. S1 indicate a strictly linear relationship between band intensity and concentration over the range of concentrations investigated.

210 4.1.2 CH₃C(O)OOH

The liquid sample (32% (wt.) CH₃C(O)OOH in CH₃C(O)OH) is prepared commercially by the oxidation of CH₃C(O)OH using H₂O₂ and is a 4 component, equilibrium mixture:



In order to obtain a quantitative IR spectrum of CH₃C(O)OOH, head-space mixtures were dosed into the mixing line, where
215 the total pressure (CH₃C(O)OOH + CH₃C(O)OH + CH₃C(O)OH-dimer + H₂O + H₂O₂) was recorded before the mixture was

flushed into the long-path cell. At the low concentrations of $\text{CH}_3\text{C}(\text{O})\text{OH}$ in the long-path cell, there is no evidence for $\text{CH}_3\text{C}(\text{O})\text{OH}$ -dimer. In the absence of any absorption features of H_2O_2 , the IR-absorption due to $\text{CH}_3\text{C}(\text{O})\text{OH}$ and H_2O was converted to a vacuum line pressure of $\text{CH}_3\text{C}(\text{O})\text{OH} + \text{CH}_3\text{C}(\text{O})\text{OH}$ -dimer + H_2O , and the residual pressure assigned to $\text{CH}_3\text{C}(\text{O})\text{OOH}$, enabling quantification of the $\text{CH}_3\text{C}(\text{O})\text{OOH}$ spectrum (Fig. 2). As noted by (Crawford et al., 1999), there

220 was no evidence for dimerization of $\text{CH}_3\text{C}(\text{O})\text{OOH}$. These experiments were repeated using the 45 cm path-length absorption cell, which has the disadvantage that significantly higher concentrations of $\text{CH}_3\text{C}(\text{O})\text{OOH}$ are needed to obtain good quality spectra and the $\text{CH}_3\text{C}(\text{O})\text{OH}$ -dimer is therefore also present. However, it provides the advantage of eliminating uncertainty related to the optical path-length. Additionally, using this set-up we obtained an accurate IR absorption spectra of H_2O relative to its VUV-absorption 185 nm using a cross-section of $7.1 \times 10^{-20} \text{ cm}^2 \text{ molecule}^{-1}$ (Cantrell et al., 1997).

225 Using both set-ups, we derived a $\text{CH}_3\text{C}(\text{O})\text{OOH}$ cross-section at 1251 cm^{-1} of $3.8 \times 10^{-19} \text{ cm}^2 \text{ molecule}^{-1}$, with an uncertainty of 15%. This analysis neglects the contribution of H_2O_2 to the total head-space pressure. In offline experiments whereby the headspace was sampled into an enzyme/fluorescence based instrument for determination of H_2O_2 and organic peroxides (Fischer et al., 2015) we found that H_2O_2 was present at about 1% of the $\text{CH}_3\text{C}(\text{O})\text{OOH}$ concentration, consistent with the very low vapour pressure of H_2O_2 compared to $\text{CH}_3\text{C}(\text{O})\text{OOH}$.

230 Our $\text{CH}_3\text{C}(\text{O})\text{OOH}$ absorption cross-sections are much larger (factor 2.1) than those reported by Crawford et al. (1999) whose spectrum has $\sigma(\text{CH}_3\text{C}(\text{O})\text{OOH}) = 1.81 \times 10^{-19} \text{ cm}^2 \text{ molecule}^{-1}$ at 1251.5 cm^{-1} . The only other published IR cross-sections of $\text{CH}_3\text{C}(\text{O})\text{OOH}$ of which we are aware were reported by Orlando et al. (2000b) in which a value of $5.3 \times 10^{-19} \text{ cm}^2 \text{ molecule}^{-1}$ at 1251 cm^{-1} is reported (~40% larger than our value), which was subsequently confirmed by the same group by comparison with HPLC measurements (Hasson et al., 2004). Note that both Orlando et al. (2000b) and Hasson et al. (2004)

235 mistakenly listed this cross-section as being at 1295 cm^{-1} instead of 1251 cm^{-1} , which was confirmed in private communication with the authors, who kindly provided their spectrum. Our spectrum, that of Orlando et al. (2000b) and one obtained by digitising Fig. 2 of Crawford et al. (1999) are displayed in Fig S3.

We do not have an explanation for the divergent values of the IR absorption spectrum of $\text{CH}_3\text{C}(\text{O})\text{OOH}$, but note that this will, in part, be related to working with a multi-component mixture that requires accurate determination of the contributions

240 of H_2O , $\text{CH}_3\text{C}(\text{O})\text{OH}$ and $\text{CH}_3\text{C}(\text{O})\text{OH}$ -dimer. As our experimental result could be reproduced in a series of experiments in two different experimental set-ups we use our own cross-sections to calculate $\text{CH}_3\text{C}(\text{O})\text{OOH}$ concentrations and consider the use of the larger value when estimating potential uncertainty in our rate coefficients. A Beer-Lambert plot for the 3306 cm^{-1} band of $\text{CH}_3\text{C}(\text{O})\text{OH}$ (which we used to determine its concentration in kinetic experiments) is displayed in Fig. S1. As for $\text{CH}_3\text{C}(\text{O})\text{OH}$ and $\text{CH}_3\text{C}(\text{O})\text{OH}$ -dimer, the integrated band intensity was strictly proportional to concentration.

245 **4.2 OH/OD + $\text{CH}_3\text{C}(\text{O})\text{OH}$: Determination of k_6 and k_7 at 298 K**

We show later (section 4.3) that the reaction of OH with $\text{CH}_3\text{C}(\text{O})\text{OH}$ (R6) contributes to OH losses in the experiments designed to derive the rate coefficient for the title reaction and accurate rate coefficients under our experimental conditions

are necessary to account for this. We therefore carried out a set of experiments to measure the rate coefficients for the reactions of OH and OD with CH₃C(O)OH. k_6 was determined at a total pressure (N₂) of 57 and 102 Torr, k_7 was examined at 66 Torr (N₂).

In these experiments, OH was generated by the photolysis of H₂O₂ ($(0.3 - 1.8) \times 10^{14}$ molecule cm⁻³) and OD was generated by the photolysis of DONO₂ (5×10^{14} molecules cm⁻³), both at 248 nm. Initial hydroxyl radical concentrations were [OH]₀ $\approx (1 - 6) \times 10^{11}$ and [OD]₀ $\approx 2 \times 10^{11}$ molecules cm⁻³. The PLP-LIF studies were thus carried out under pseudo-first-order conditions with [CH₃C(O)OH] \gg [OH], so that the OH profiles are described by:

$$[OH]_t = [OH]_0 e^{-k't} \quad (1)$$

where [OH]_t is the concentration (molecule cm⁻³) at time t after the laser pulse and k' is the pseudo-first-order rate coefficient and is defined as:

$$k' = k_6 [\text{CH}_3\text{C}(\text{O})\text{OH}] + k_d \quad (2)$$

where k_6 is the bimolecular rate coefficient (cm³ molecule⁻¹ s⁻¹) for the reaction between OH and CH₃C(O)OH. k_d (s⁻¹) accounts for OH loss due to transport out of the reaction zone and reaction with H₂O₂ or DONO₂. Similar expressions (switch OD for OH and k_7 for k_6) apply to the OD experiments.

Figure 3 displays representative data obtained at 295 K in N₂ bath gas for reaction of OH and OD with CH₃C(O)OH. The OH (OD) decays are strictly exponential and the plots of k' versus [CH₃C(O)OH] (Fig. 4) are straight lines as expected from Eq. 2. This analysis assumes that reaction of OH or OD with CH₃C(O)OH-dimer does not contribute significantly to its loss. In our experiments, the CH₃C(O)OH-dimer / CH₃C(O)OH ratio in the reactor varied between 0.04 and 0.32. The strict linearity observed when plotting the first-order loss constant of OH or OD versus [CH₃C(O)OH] is consistent with an insignificant contribution of CH₃C(O)OH-dimer to loss of OH, as also concluded by Singleton et al. (1989).

The values of k_6 and k_7 derived from these datasets typically have a statistical uncertainty (2σ) of less than 5%, so that the overall uncertainty is dominated by potential systematic error in the determination of CH₃C(O)OH concentration, i.e. in the in-situ measurement of IR-absorption by CH₃C(O)OH and its absorption cross-sections. During measurement of OH / OD decay, the CH₃C(O)OH concentration was measured between 5 to 8 times using the 45 cm IR cell located upstream of the reactor and a small correction (~3%) for pressure differences between the IR-absorption cell and the reactor applied. Typically, [CH₃C(O)OH] varied by < 3% during the time required to measure the OH or OD-decay, and therefore did not contribute significantly to overall uncertainty.

Experimental details (e.g. identity and concentration of OH precursor and pressure) as well as the values of the rate coefficients k_6 and k_7 at 298 K are presented in Table S1. We obtained values of $k_6 = (6.95 \pm 0.08) \times 10^{-13}$ cm³ molecule⁻¹ s⁻¹ at 100 Torr total pressure and $k_6 = (7.04 \pm 0.28) \times 10^{-13}$ cm³ molecule⁻¹ s⁻¹ at 250 Torr. The result for OH is thus in excellent agreement (2%) with the 298 K value of 6.9×10^{-13} cm³ molecule⁻¹ s⁻¹ presently recommended by the IUPAC panel (IUPAC, 2020). The IUPAC panel recommendation carries an uncertainty of $\pm 25\%$ ($\Delta \log k = 0.1$) at 298 K. The very close agreement with our data may indicate that an uncertainty of $\pm 12\%$ ($\Delta \log k = 0.05$) is more reasonable, and in the calculations below we use the IUPAC recommended expression $k_4 = 8.40 \times 10^{-20} T^2 \exp(1356/T)$ cm³ molecule⁻¹ s⁻¹.

For the reaction between OD and CH₃C(O)OH, we obtain $k_7 = (7.3 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-13} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ at 298 K and a pressure of 125 Torr N₂, i.e. within 5% of the values obtained for OH. We are unaware of other measurements of this parameter with which to compare our value.

285 4.3 OH + CH₃C(O)OOH: Determination of k_4 (298-353 K)

The experiments to measure k_4 were performed as described in section 4.2 for CH₃C(O)OH with the difference that it was not necessary to add H₂O₂ as OH precursor, as the photolysis of CH₃C(O)OOH itself provided sufficient OH. **The experiments were conducted at a total pressure of ~100 Torr (N₂).**

290 Taking a 248 nm laser fluence of $\sim 12 \text{ mJ cm}^{-2}$ per pulse, a 248 nm cross-section of $\sigma(\text{CH}_3\text{C(O)OOH}) = 3.4 \times 10^{-20} \text{ cm}^2 \text{ molecule}^{-1}$ (Orlando and Tyndall, 2003) and assuming unity quantum yield we calculate that $[\text{OH}]_0$ varied between $\sim (3 - 20) \times 10^{11} \text{ molecule cm}^{-3}$ when the CH₃C(O)OOH concentration was varied within the range $(6.17 - 38.5) \times 10^{14} \text{ molecule cm}^{-3}$. IR-absorption due to CH₃C(O)OOH, CH₃C(O)OH and CH₃C(O)OH-dimer was monitored online in the 45 cm absorption cell (at 298 K). The concentrations of CH₃C(O)OOH, CH₃C(O)OH and CH₃C(O)OH-dimer were quantified by scaling reference spectrum (sections 4.1.1 and 4.1.2) of each component to the measured composite spectrum as illustrated in Fig. 295 S3. Typically, the concentrations of CH₃C(O)OH vary between 3×10^{14} and $2 \times 10^{15} \text{ molecule cm}^{-3}$ and those for CH₃C(O)OOH between 6×10^{14} and $6 \times 10^{15} \text{ molecule cm}^{-3}$. **When the reactor is operated at high temperatures some of the CH₃C(O)OH-dimer present in the IR-absorption cell is converted to CH₃C(O)OH in the reactor and correction was made to account for this using the temperature dependent equilibrium constant.**

The pseudo-first-order conditions for both $[\text{CH}_3\text{C(O)OOH}] \gg [\text{OH}]$ and $[\text{CH}_3\text{C(O)OH}] \gg [\text{OH}]$ are thus guaranteed and 300 the decay of OH is described by:

$$[\text{OH}]_t = [\text{OH}]_0 e^{-(k_4' + k_6' + k_d) t} \quad (3)$$

Where k_6' and k_4' are the pseudo-first-order rate coefficients for loss of OH via reaction (R6) and (R4), respectively.

Typical OH decays (at 298 and 353 K) in the presence of CH₃C(O)OH and CH₃C(O)OOH are displayed in Fig. 5a. As expected, the initial OH concentration varies with $[\text{CH}_3\text{C(O)OOH}]$ and OH decays are strictly exponential. The contribution 305 of CH₃C(O)OH to the decay of OH can be calculated as $k_6[\text{CH}_3\text{C(O)OH}]$. For this purpose, we use the IUPAC recommended parameterisation of k_6 , the accuracy of which we have validated at 298 K as described above.

When $k_6[\text{CH}_3\text{C(O)OH}]$ is subtracted from the total first-order loss rate coefficient, we obtain $k_4[\text{CH}_3\text{C(O)OOH}] + k_d$. The rate coefficient k_4 can thus be derived from the slope of plots of $k_4[\text{CH}_3\text{C(O)OOH}] + k_d$ versus $[\text{CH}_3\text{C(O)OOH}]$ as illustrated in Fig. 6, which contains the data obtained at all temperatures. A least-squares fit to the entire dataset yields $k_4 = (3.25 \pm$ 310 $0.46) \times 10^{-14} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$, independent of temperature. The complete dataset, listing the experimental conditions and the contribution of CH₃C(O)OH to the total OH decay constant is found in Table S2. The uncertainty associated with the rate coefficient k_4 (listed in Table S2 and plotted in Fig. 6) considers the statistical error in deriving k_6' and k_4' , as well as the uncertainty in the concentration of CH₃C(O)OH (10-15%) (which is larger at high $[\text{CH}_3\text{C(O)OH}]$ owing to uncertainty in the

dimer-monomer ratio, i.e. in K_{eq}) and in the rate coefficient k_6 (12%, see above). It does not consider systematic error
315 $[\text{CH}_3\text{C}(\text{O})\text{OOH}]$, which is discussed below in deriving the final value for k_4 and its total uncertainty.

4.4 Potential for systematic error in determining k_4 (298-353 K)

The values we obtain for k_4 are clearly much lower (by a factor > 200) than the one previous relative-rate determination of
(Wu et al., 2017) who report a room temperature rate coefficient of $\sim 1 \times 10^{-11} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$. Below, we examine
potential sources of systematic bias in our experiments and analysis.

320 4.4.1 Uncertainty in the IR cross-sections of $\text{CH}_3\text{C}(\text{O})\text{OOH}$

The accuracy of rate coefficients measured using the PLP-PLIF method under pseudo-first-order conditions depends
predominantly on the accuracy of the measurement of the excess reagent, in this case $\text{CH}_3\text{C}(\text{O})\text{OOH}$. Any systematic error in
the IR cross-sections used to calculate $[\text{CH}_3\text{C}(\text{O})\text{OOH}]$ propagate directly into a systematic error in k_4 . Although our
measurements of the IR cross-sections of $\text{CH}_3\text{C}(\text{O})\text{OOH}$ were in good agreement, irrespective of the absorption cell used, we
325 noted divergence between our value and those previously published (see section 4.1.2). For this reason, we expand the
uncertainty on our cross-sections to $\pm 25\%$ so that the results agree (within combined experimental uncertainty) with those
reported by (Orlando et al., 2000a). This implies an additional uncertainty of 25% for k_4

4.4.2 Reformation of OH

A possible cause of a low rate coefficient measured in our direct study is the reformation of OH via decomposition of a
330 reaction product, as has been observed (Vaghjiani and Ravishankara, 1989b) in the reaction of OH with another organic
peroxide, CH_3OOH (R12a, R13):



335 In analogy, if the decomposition to OH of any reaction product of $\text{CH}_3\text{C}(\text{O})\text{OOH} + \text{OH}$ were sufficiently rapid, our
experiment would underestimate the rate coefficient. In order to rule this out, we conducted experiments in which OH was
replaced with OD. In this case, the reformation of OH via dissociation of the O-OH bond would not impact on the kinetic
measurement.




The results of experiments (at $\sim 57 \text{ Torr N}_2$) in which the 248 nm photolysis of DONO_2 was used to generate OD and
340 measure the rate coefficient (k_5) are displayed in Fig. 5. Following the same procedure as outlined above to subtract the
contribution of $\text{CH}_3\text{C}(\text{O})\text{OH}$ to the OH decay constant (but using our value of k_7 for reaction between OD and $\text{CH}_3\text{C}(\text{O})\text{OH}$)
we derive values of $k_5[\text{CH}_3\text{C}(\text{O})\text{OOH}] + k_4$ versus $[\text{CH}_3\text{C}(\text{O})\text{OOH}]$. These are plotted in Fig. 6. From Table S2 we see that,
within experimental scatter) the rate coefficient for reaction of OH and OD with $\text{CH}_3\text{C}(\text{O})\text{OOH}$ are identical, and we

conclude that OH-reformation is not responsible for the divergence between our low rate coefficient and the literature value.

345 Theoretical calculations (section 4.5) also indicate that the reformation of OH in this system is energetically disfavoured.

4.4.3 Secondary reactions of OH

As the contribution of CH₃C(O)OOH to the overall loss rate of OH is small, there is potential for overestimation of the rate coefficient if OH can react with products. In this case, we consider the reactions of OH with CH₃, which is formed in the photolysis of CH₃C(O)OOH (R10, R15) and in the dominant loss process for OH, reaction with CH₃C(O)OH (R14, R15),
350 respectively. OH may also react with the CH₃C(O)O₂ radical (R17), formed in the title reaction:



The rate coefficient for reaction of OH with CH₃ is at the high-pressure limit, with a value close to $1 \times 10^{-10} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ (Pereira et al., 1997; Sangwan et al., 2012) under our experimental conditions. There appear not to be any kinetic studies of the reaction between OH and CH₃C(O)O₂ but, by analogy to OH + CH₃O₂ and OH + C₂H₅O₂ (Assaf et al., 2018; IUPAC,
360 2020) R17 will also have a rate coefficient close to $1 \times 10^{-10} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$. In order to assess the role of reactions R16 and R17a, we performed numerical simulations of the chemistry subsequent to the generation of OH (and thus CH₃) in the photolysis of CH₃C(O)OOH / CH₃C(O)OH mixtures. The simulations were initiated with the concentrations of CH₃C(O)OOH, CH₃C(O)OH and OH listed in Table S2. As the decomposition of CH₃C(O)O to CH₃ + CO₂ is rapid, we set the initial CH₃ concentration equal to that of OH. Along with R16 and R17, we considered inter-radical reactions (e.g. self-
365 and cross-reactions of CH₃, HO₂ and CH₃C(O)O₂) as listed in Table S3.

For each set of experimental conditions, simulations were carried out in which k_4 was varied between zero and $3 \times 10^{-13} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$. The simulated decays of OH thus obtained were fitted to an exponential function to obtain the total decay constant, from which the contribution of CH₃C(O)OH was subtracted ($k_6[\text{CH}_3\text{C(O)OH}]$), as in the experimental data. The results of the simulations are displayed in Fig. 7 along with one set of experimental data obtained at 298 K. Immediately
370 apparent from the simulations is that values of $k_4 \geq 3 \times 10^{-14} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ over predict the measured slope. Indeed, setting k_4 to zero gives the closest agreement between simulation and measurement. A better match between observation and simulation could be obtained by either reducing the initial OH concentration (and thus those of CH₃ and CH₃C(O)O₂) or lowering the rate coefficients for R16 and R17. The simulated loss of OH was mainly (>90%) via reaction with CH₃, which reflects the fact that only a small fraction of OH generated reacts with CH₃C(O)OOH to form CH₃C(O)O₂.

375 Given the uncertainty associated with the determination of the initial radical concentration (based on laser fluence) and with the rate coefficients of the inter-radical reactions involved, it is not possible to use the simulations to correct the experimental data. Instead, recognising that a large fraction of the OH decay constant may be due to unwanted secondary processes, we prefer to quote the value of k_4 obtained experimentally as an upper limit.

4.4.4 Presence of H₂O₂ impurity

380 As indicated in section 4.1.2, the CH₃C(O)OOH / CH₃C(O)OH mixture is actually an equilibrium mixture containing H₂O₂ and H₂O (R11). Analysis of head-space samples of CH₃C(O)OOH and H₂O₂ indicate that H₂O₂ is present at $\approx 1\%$ the concentration of CH₃C(O)OOH (see section 4.1.2). The IR absorption cross-sections of H₂O₂ are generally too weak to detect low level impurities so we were unable to unambiguously detect and quantify H₂O₂ during our kinetic measurements. However, unlike CH₃C(O)OOH, H₂O₂ reacts rapidly with OH, with a rate coefficient of $1.7 \times 10^{-12} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ at 298
385 K. Initially assuming that $k_4 = 3.2 \times 10^{-14} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ as derived above from the PLP-PLIF experiments would imply that a 1% H₂O₂ “impurity” in our CH₃C(O)OOH sample would result in an overestimation of k_4 by $\sim 50\%$. Together with the considerations of secondary, radical chemistry discussed in section 4.4.2, this leads us to interpret our measurement of k_4 as an upper limit and we prefer to quote a value of $k_4 \leq 4 \times 10^{-14} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$.

4.5 Comparison with the previous determination of k_4

390 Our experimental upper limit of $4 \times 10^{-14} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ is a factor ~ 300 lower than the single, previous experimental determination (Wu et al., 2017). Wu et al., used a relative-rate technique, which in principle, offers the advantage that absolute concentrations of CH₃C(O)OOH need not be known as long as CH₃C(O)OOH and the reference reactant are removed solely via reaction with OH, and neither are reformed. However, the relative-rate method does not lend itself readily to the study of this reaction, especially when the 254 nm photolysis of H₂O₂ is used as OH source, which results both
395 in the photolysis of CH₃C(O)OOH and in formation of HO₂, which via reactions with CH₃C(O)O₂ can result in reformation of CH₃C(O)OOH. These issues were recognised by Wu et al. (2017) and corrections applied to take both into account, which resulted in a slight increase in the rate coefficient. In some initial relative-rate experiments in our laboratory, we were unable to derive consistent results as the large affinity of CH₃C(O)OOH for surfaces combined with its desorption from the walls during photolysis was too variable to allow analysis of the data.
400 In our theoretical study (section 4.6), we examine the reaction in detail and show that that the low rate coefficient we measured with the PLP-PLIF technique is in good agreement with the predictions.

4.6 Theoretical prediction of k_4 and the reaction mechanism

The potential energy surface for the CH₃C(O)OOH + OH reaction is shown in Fig 8. The addition of OH radicals on a carbonyl double bond is known to have a high barrier and a negligible contribution, and is ignored in this work (Anglada,

405 2004; De Smedt et al., 2005; Rypkema and Francisco, 2013; Vandenberg et al., 2002). The H-abstraction reactions proceed through a pre-reaction complex, and feature two protruding barriers for H-abstraction at energies of 2.99 and 3.91 kcal mol⁻¹ above the free reactants, corresponding to the abstraction of the peracetic H-atom and the methyl H-atoms, respectively. The products are formed in a post-reaction complex that quickly dissociates to the free products. The rate coefficients calculated are found to be low, with a value of $k_4 = 3 \times 10^{-14}$ cm³ molecule⁻¹ s⁻¹ at 298 K. At 298 K, the branching ratio k_{4a} / k_4 is
410 predicted to be 0.78 and abstraction of the peracetic H-atom dominates across the temperature range 200-450 K. Abstraction of the methyl H-atoms ranges from 10 % at 200 K to 38 % at 450 K. The temperature dependence of the overall rate coefficient is given by $k_4 = 3.16 \times 10^{-46} T^{10.90} \exp(3447 \text{ K}/T)$ cm³ molecule⁻¹ s⁻¹, with $k_{4a}(T) = 1.43 \times 10^{-43} T^{9.87} \exp(3287 \text{ K}/T)$ cm³ molecule⁻¹ s⁻¹ and $k_{4b}(T) = 9.65 \times 10^{-47} T^{11.10} \exp(3000 \text{ K}/T)$ cm³ molecule⁻¹ s⁻¹. At the level of theory used, the expected uncertainty is a factor of 2 to 3. **Given the slow product formation rate, the protruding reaction barriers, and the fast
415 formation and decomposition of the complex, k_4 is not expected to show a pressure-dependence and should be at the high-pressure limit under the experimental conditions (50-100 Torr N₂).**

The theoretical predictions of k_4 , k_{4a} and k_{4b} are plotted along with the experimental data from this work and that of Wu et al. (2017) in Fig. 9. We also indicate the value of k_4 (based on comparison with CH₃OOH) that is presently used in the Master Chemical Mechanism. Our theoretical work shows that the Arrhenius plot for this reaction is highly curved, with a positive
420 temperature dependence above room temperature, and a negative T-dependence below 280 K. At lower temperatures, abstraction of the peracetic H-atom is dominant, but at higher temperatures the abstraction of methyl H-atoms through the higher-energy transition state rises in importance and is expected to become dominant at even higher temperatures. Similar to the reaction between OH and acetic acid (De Smedt et al., 2005; Khamaganov et al., 2006), the curvature in the Arrhenius plot is due to the formation of the pre-reaction complex and subsequent tunnelling to the products. With decreasing
425 temperatures, the complex is increasingly populated with a longer lifetime, capturing ever-more (per-)acetic acid + OH complexes and allowing them to tunnel through the barriers at energies below the reactant energies, leading to a negative T-dependence of the rate coefficient. At high temperatures, the lifetime of the pre-reaction complex is too short for effective tunnelling, and the reaction proceeds predominantly over the protruding barriers leading to a traditional positive T-dependence.

430 With acetic and peracetic acids having similar mechanisms, this does not yet explain why the reaction with peracetic acid + OH is so much slower than the reaction of acetic acid + OH, despite the fact that the acidic H-abstraction barrier height for CH₃C(O)OH, 3.3 kcal mol⁻¹ (De Smedt et al., 2005), is comparable within ~0.3 kcal mol⁻¹ to that for CH₃C(O)OOH, 2.99 kcal mol⁻¹ (this work). The critical difference lies in the H-bonding in the reactant and pre-reaction complex. In CH₃C(O)OH, the H-bond between the acidic H-atom and the carbonyl oxygen is very long, ~2.25 Å, and thus weak. In
435 CH₃C(O)OOH acid in contrast, this H-bond is very short, 1.88 Å, and strong. Acetic acid can thus act without a penalty as a bidentate ligand for OH, forming two strong H-bonds leading to a planar complex with 7.3 kcal mol⁻¹ stability (De Smedt et al., 2005). CH₃C(O)OOH, in contrast, can either form a complex with only a single H-bond on OH, or needs to break the strong per-acidic bond to form a geometrically unfavourable, non-planar complex with two OH hydrogen bonds. The

peracidic complex is thus significantly less stable, by $\approx 4.0 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1}$, where the energy of the doubly H-bonded OH radical complex is $0.5 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1}$ higher than that of the most stable single-H-bonded complex retaining the peracidic H-bond. At room temperature, this weaker bonding decreases the lifetime of the pre-reactive complex by over 2 orders of magnitude compared to acetic acid, significantly reducing its equilibrium concentration and its ability to tunnel slowly through the barrier, leading to a slower, reduced product formation rate compared to acetic acid, with more of the pre-reaction complexes being formed simply re-dissociating to the free reactants. Concomitantly, the deeper complex well for acetic acid + OH allows this latter reaction to show a negative T -dependent rate coefficient by sustained tunnelling even at higher temperatures, up to $\sim 500 \text{ K}$ (Khamaganov et al., 2006), well beyond our predictions of a minimum around 270 K for peracetic acid. Furthermore, we calculate a slightly wider energy barrier for peracetic acid, with a 1700 cm^{-1} imaginary frequency, compared to that reported for acetic acid, 2000 cm^{-1} (De Smedt et al., 2005), which further limits tunnelling for peracetic acid compared to acetic acid.

The strong H-bond in peracetic acid also make its H-abstraction reactions slower than in alkylhydroperoxides such as CH_3OOH . These ROOH compounds can easily form complexes with OH radicals, and the H-abstraction transition states are thus submerged by up to 1 kcal mol^{-1} below the free reactants (Anglada et al., 2017), and even the somewhat less favourable $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2 + \text{OH}$ reaction has energy barriers only $\sim 1 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1}$ above the reactants (Buszek et al., 2012). This enables the ROOH + OH reactions to proceed substantially faster than $\text{CH}_3\text{C(O)OOH} + \text{OH}$.

The dominant products of the OH-initiated degradation of $\text{CH}_3\text{C(O)OOH}$ in air are the acetylperoxy radical, $\text{CH}_3\text{C(O)OO}$, the fate of which is described in section 1, and includes formation of PAN or reformation of $\text{CH}_3\text{C(O)OOH}$. In air, the minor $\text{CH}_2\text{C(O)OOH}$ product of reaction (R4b) is expected to add O_2 , forming a peracetic acid peroxy radical, $\text{OOCH}_2\text{C(O)OOH}$, which will also undergo reactions with NO , RO_2 and HO_2 .



$\text{OCH}_2\text{C(O)OOH}$ which will quickly decompose to HCHO , CO_2 and OH (Vereecken and Peeters, 2009).



Given that the slow abstraction of the peracetic H-atom is a feature of the $-\text{C(O)OOH}$ moiety, and that the abstraction reaction is not influenced unduly by other functionalities in the molecules, we propose that the site-specific abstraction rate coefficient can be generalized to all peracids, and used in group-additive structure-activity relationships. Only for long-chain oxygenated molecules, where an oxygenated group can reach to the $-\text{C(O)OOH}$ group and influence the H-bonding with OH, can one expect a non-negligible deviation in the site-specific rate.

4.7 Atmospheric Implications

Our experimental and theoretical results indicate that the reaction of $\text{CH}_3\text{C}(\text{O})\text{OOH}$ with OH has a rate coefficient of $\sim 3 \times 10^{-14} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ at temperatures prevalent in the lowermost atmosphere (i.e. in the boundary layer at mid-latitudes), doubling to $\sim 6 \times 10^{-14} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ at temperatures close to 230 K as found in e.g. the upper troposphere. Assuming a global averaged OH abundance of $1 \times 10^6 \text{ molecule cm}^{-3}$, these rate coefficients imply a lifetime of $\text{CH}_3\text{C}(\text{O})\text{OOH}$ with respect to degradation by OH of between about 6 months and 1 year. Given the low rate coefficient for reaction of $\text{CH}_3\text{C}(\text{O})\text{OOH}$ with OH, other loss processes are likely to dominate its atmospheric fate; these are wet and dry deposition, uptake to aerosols and photolysis so that its lifetime will be given by:

$$\tau(\text{CH}_3\text{C}(\text{O})\text{OOH}) = \frac{1}{k_{\text{A}}[\text{OH}] + J + k_{\text{dep}} + k_{\text{het}}} \quad (4)$$

where J is the first-order rate coefficient for photolysis by actinic radiation, k_{dep} is the effective loss rate coefficient for removal by deposition and k_{het} is the loss rate coefficient for heterogeneous uptake to aerosol particles. The rate at which $\text{CH}_3\text{C}(\text{O})\text{OOH}$ will deposit to surfaces in the boundary layer is given by its deposition velocity and the boundary-layer height. Crowley et al. (2018) have assessed the terms k_{dep} (for dry deposition) and k_{het} for a summertime, mid-latitude, forested environment. Based on observations of $\text{CH}_3\text{C}(\text{O})\text{OOH}$ and H_2O_2 , solubilities of $\text{CH}_3\text{C}(\text{O})\text{OOH}$ and H_2O_2 (Sander, 1997) actinic flux measurements, the UV-absorption spectrum of $\text{CH}_3\text{C}(\text{O})\text{OOH}$ (Orlando and Tyndall, 2003) aerosol surface areas and an experimental uptake coefficient (Wu et al., 2015) they derived values of $k_{\text{dep}} \sim 3\text{-}5 \times 10^{-5} \text{ s}^{-1}$, $k_{\text{het}} \sim 5 \times 10^{-6} \text{ s}^{-1}$ and $J \sim 5 \times 10^{-7} \text{ s}^{-1}$ at local noon and concluded that, in the absence of rain, dry deposition is the dominant loss-process in the boundary layer.

Above the boundary layer the loss of $\text{CH}_3\text{C}(\text{O})\text{OOH}$ via deposition and heterogeneous uptake to aerosol are less significant so that reaction with OH and photolysis will define its lifetime. A photolysis rate coefficient (J -value) of $\approx 5 \pm 1 \times 10^{-7} \text{ s}^{-1}$ for $\text{CH}_3\text{C}(\text{O})\text{OOH}$ in the free and upper troposphere results in a lifetime of $\sim 3\text{-}4$ weeks (Orlando and Tyndall, 2003). We note however, that estimates of the photolysis rate coefficient are based on a single absorption spectrum measured to date (Orlando and Tyndall, 2003) and the assumption of a unity photodissociation quantum yield throughout the UV-absorption spectrum, which remains unconfirmed by experiment or theory.

5 Conclusions

Both experimental and theoretical studies of the reaction between OH and $\text{CH}_3\text{C}(\text{O})\text{OOH}$ firmly establish that this is a slow process. The experimental work shows the rate coefficient is $< 4 \times 10^{-14} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ at 298 K, consistent with the theory derived, temperature dependent rate coefficients between 3 and $6 \times 10^{-14} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ for the entire troposphere. The low rate coefficient is rationalised in terms of a weakly-bound (short lived) pre-reaction complex combined with a sufficiently broad reaction barrier to reduce product formation by tunnelling. The site-specific rate coefficient for H-abstraction from the $-\text{C}(\text{O})\text{OOH}$ moiety can be generalized to most reactions of OH with peracids, which will thus also be

slow. The rate coefficient for the OH-reaction is thus at least two orders of magnitude lower than previously reported and implies that the lifetime of CH₃C(O)OOH is dominated by deposition processes (notably dry deposition) in the boundary layer and photolysis in the free and upper troposphere, with OH-initiated degradation playing a minor role. The boundary layer lifetime is expected to be of the order of 1 day, increasing to weeks in the free and upper troposphere. The longer than previously assumed chemical lifetime of CH₃C(O)OOH and probably of other peracids increase their potential to contribute to secondary organic aerosol formation.

510 **Acknowledgements**

We thank Dirk Dienhart for measurement of H₂O₂ and CH₃C(O)OOH in the headspace of our CH₃C(O)OOH sample. We thank Geoff Tyndall, John Orlando and Tim Wallington for helpful discussions about the IR-spectrum of CH₃C(O)OOH and for providing the NCAR spectrum reported by Orlando et al. in 2000.

515 *Data availability.* The rate coefficients measured during this experimental study are listed in Table S1.

Author contributions. The experiments were carried out by MB and DA, the quantum chemical calculations were made by LV. The experimental data analysis was performed by MB, DA and JC. The paper was written by MB and JC with assistance from LV and JL.

Competing interests. The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

520 *Financial support.* The article processing charges for this open access publication were covered by the Max Planck Society.

References


- Alecu, I. M., Zheng, J. J., Zhao, Y., and Truhlar, D. G.: Computational thermochemistry: scale factor databases and scale factors for vibrational frequencies obtained from electronic model chemistries, *J. Chem. Theory Comput.*, 6, 2872-2887, 2010.
- 525 Andreae, M. O.: Emission of trace gases and aerosols from biomass burning – an updated assessment, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 19, 8523-8546, 2019.
- Anglada, J. M.: Complex mechanism of the gas phase reaction between formic acid and hydroxyl radical. Proton coupled electron transfer versus radical hydrogen abstraction mechanisms, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 126, 9809-9820, 2004.
- 530 Anglada, J. M., Crehuet, R., Martins-Costa, M., Francisco, J. S., and Ruiz-López, M.: The atmospheric oxidation of CH₃OOH by the OH radical: the effect of water vapor, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 19, 12331-12342, 2017.
- Assaf, E., Schoemaeker, C., Vereecken, L., and Fittschen, C.: Experimental and theoretical investigation of the reaction of RO₂ radicals with OH radicals: Dependence of the HO₂ yield on the size of the alkyl group, *Int. J. Chem. Kinet.*, 50, 670-680, 2018.
- 535 Atkinson, R., Baulch, D. L., Cox, R. A., Crowley, J. N., Hampson, R. F., Hynes, R. G., Jenkin, M. E., Rossi, M. J., and Troe, J.: Evaluated kinetic and photochemical data for atmospheric chemistry: Volume II - reactions of organic species, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, doi: 10.5194/acp-6-3625-2006, 2006. 3625-4055, 2006.

- 540 Bao, J. L., Zheng, J., Alecu, I. M., Lynch, B. J., Zhao, Y., and Truhlar, D. G.: Database of Frequency Scale Factors for Electronic Model Chemistries (Version 4), [online] Available from: <http://comp.chem.umn.edu/freqscale/index.html>, 2018., <http://comp.chem.umn.edu/freqscale/index.html>, 2018.
- Berasategui, M., Amedro, D., Edtbauer, A., Williams, J., Lelieveld, J., and Crowley, J. N.: Kinetic and mechanistic study of the reaction between methane sulfonamide ($\text{CH}_3\text{S}(\text{O})_2\text{NH}_2$) and OH, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 20, 2695-2707, 2020.
- 545 Bunkan, A. J. C., Srinivasulu, G., Amedro, D., Vereecken, L., Wallington, T. J., and Crowley, J. N.: Products and Mechanism of the OH initiated photo oxidation of perfluoro ethyl vinyl ether, $\text{C}_2\text{F}_5\text{OCF}=\text{CF}_2$, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 20, 11306-11316, 2018.
- Burkholder, J. B., Sander, S. P., Abbatt, J., Barker, J. R., Huie, R. E., Kolb, C. E., Kurylo, M. J., Orkin, V. L., Wilmouth, D. M., and Wine, P. H.: Chemical Kinetics and Photochemical Data for Use in Atmospheric Studies, Evaluation No. 18, "JPL Publication 15-10, Jet Propulsion Laboratory, Pasadena, <http://jpldataeval.jpl.nasa.gov>., 2015.
- 550 Burkholder, J. B., Talukdar, R. K., Ravishankara, A. R., and Solomon, S.: Temperature dependence of the HNO_3 UV absorption cross-sections, *J. Geophys. Res. -Atmos.*, 98, 22937-22948, 1993.
- Buszek, R. J., Torrent-Sucarrat, M., Anglada, J. M., and Francisco, J. S.: Effects of a single water molecule on the OH + H_2O_2 reaction, *The Journal of Physical Chemistry A*, 116, 5821-5829, 2012.
- Calvert, J. G., Mellouki, A., Pilling, M. J., and Wallington, T. J.: *The Mechanisms of Atmospheric Oxidation of the Oxygenates*, Oxford Univ. Press, New York, 2011.
- 555 Cantrell, C. A., Zimmer, A., and Tyndall, G. S.: Absorption cross sections for water vapor from 183 to 193 nm, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 24, 2195-2198, 1997.
- Crawford, M. A., Wallington, T. J., Szente, J. J., Maricq, M. M., and Francisco, J. S.: Kinetics and mechanism of the acetylperoxy plus HO_2 reaction, *J. Phys. Chem. A*, 103, 365-378, 1999.
- 560 Crouse, J. D., McKinney, K. A., Kwan, A. J., and Wennberg, P. O.: Measurement of gas-phase hydroperoxides by chemical ionization mass spectrometry, *Anal. Chem.*, 78, 6726-6732, 2006.
- Crowley, J. N., Pouvesle, N., Phillips, G. J., Axinte, R., Fischer, H., Petäjä, T., Nölscher, A., Williams, J., Hens, K., Harder, H., Martinez-Harder, M., Novelli, A., Kubistin, D., Bohn, B., and Lelieveld, J.: Insights into HOx and ROx chemistry in the boreal forest via measurement of peroxyacetic acid, peroxyacetic nitric anhydride (PAN) and hydrogen peroxide, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 18, 13457-13479, 2018.
- 565 De Smedt, F., Bui, X. V., Nguyen, T. L., Peeters, J., and Vereecken, L.: Theoretical and experimental study of the product branching in the reaction of acetic acid with OH radicals, *J. Phys. Chem. A*, 109, 2401-2409, 2005.
- Docherty, K. S., Wu, W., Lim, Y. B., and Ziemann, P. J.: Contributions of organic peroxides to secondary aerosol formed from reactions of monoterpenes with O_3 , *Env. Sci. Tech.*, 39, 4049-4059, 2005.
- 570 Dunning, T. H.: Gaussian-basis sets for use in correlated molecular calculations .I. the atoms boron through neon and hydrogen, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 90, 1007-1023, 1989.
- Eckart, C.: The penetration of a potential barrier by electrons, *Physical Review*, 35, 1303-1309, 1930.
- Fels, M. and Junkermann, W.: The occurrence of organic peroxides in air at a mountain site, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 21, 341-344, 1994.
- 575 Fischer, E. V., Jacob, D. J., Yantosca, R. M., Sulprizio, M. P., Millet, D. B., Mao, J., Paulot, F., Singh, H. B., Roiger, A., Ries, L., Talbot, R. W., Dzepina, K., and Deolal, S. P.: Atmospheric peroxyacetyl nitrate (PAN): a global budget and source attribution, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 14, 2679-2698, 2014.
- Fischer, H., Pozzer, A., Schmitt, T., Jockel, P., Klippel, T., Taraborrelli, D., and Lelieveld, J.: Hydrogen peroxide in the marine boundary layer over the South Atlantic during the OOMPH cruise in March 2007, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 15, 6971-6980, 2015.

- 580 Goerigk, L., Hansen, A., Bauer, C., Ehrlich, S., Najibi, A., and Grimme, S.: A look at the density functional theory zoo with the advanced GMTKN55 database for general main group thermochemistry, kinetics and noncovalent interactions, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 19, 32184-32215, 2017.
- Grimme, S., Ehrlich, S., and Goerigk, L.: Effect of the Damping Function in Dispersion Corrected Density Functional Theory, *J. Comput. Chem.*, 32, 1456-1465, 2011.
- 585 Gunz, D. W. and Hoffmann, M. R.: Atmospheric chemistry of peroxides - a review, *Atmos. Env. A*, 24, 1601-1633, 1990.
- Hasson, A. S., Tyndall, G. S., and Orlando, J. J.: A product yield study of the reaction of HO₂ radicals with ethyl peroxy (C₂H₅O₂), acetyl peroxy (CH₃C(O)O₂), and acetyl peroxy (CH₃C(O)CH₂O₂) radicals, *J. Phys. Chem. A*, 108, 5979-5989, 2004.
- 590 He, S. Z., Chen, Z. M., Zhang, X., Zhao, Y., Huang, D. M., Zhao, J. N., Zhu, T., Hu, M., and Zeng, L. M.: Measurement of atmospheric hydrogen peroxide and organic peroxides in Beijing before and during the 2008 Olympic Games: Chemical and physical factors influencing their concentrations, *J. Geophys. Res. -Atmos.*, 115, 2010.
- Henneken, H., Assink, L., de Wit, J., Vogel, M., and Karst, U.: Passive sampling of airborne peroxyacetic acid, *Anal. Chem.*, 78, 6547-6555, 2006.
- 595 Huber, K. P. and Herzberg, G.: Molecular spectra and molecular structure IV. Constants of diatomic molecules, Van Nostrand Reinhold Company Inc., New York, 1979.
- IUPAC: Task Group on Atmospheric Chemical Kinetic Data Evaluation, (Ammann, M., Cox, R.A., Crowley, J.N., Herrmann, H., Jenkin, M.E., McNeill, V.F., Mellouki, A., Rossi, M. J., Troe, J. and Wallington, T. J.) <http://iupac.pole-ether.fr/index.html>, 2020. 2020.
- 600 Jackson, A. V. and Hewitt, C. N.: Atmosphere hydrogen peroxide and organic hydroperoxides: a review, *Critical Reviews in Environmental Science and Technology*, 29, 175-228, 1999.
- Johnston, H. S. and Heicklen, J.: Tunnelling corrections for unsymmetrical eckart potential energy barriers, *J. Phys. Chem.*, 66, 532-&, 1962.
- Khamaganov, V. G., Bui, V. X., Carl, S. A., and Peeters, J.: Absolute rate coefficient of the OH+CH₃C(O)OH reaction at T=287-802 K. The two faces of pre-reactive H-bonding, *J. Phys. Chem. A*, 110, 12852-12859, 2006.
- 605 Lee, M. H., Heikes, B. G., and O'Sullivan, D. W.: Hydrogen peroxide and organic hydroperoxide in the troposphere: A review, *Atmos. Env.*, 34, 3475-3494, 2000.
- Liang, H., Chen, Z. M., Huang, D., Zhao, Y., and Li, Z. Y.: Impacts of aerosols on the chemistry of atmospheric trace gases: a case study of peroxides and HO₂ radicals, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 13, 11259-11276, 2013.
- 610 Martin, J. M. L.: Ab initio total atomization energies of small molecules - Towards the basis set limit, *Chem. Phys. Lett.*, 259, 669-678, 1996.
- Orlando, J. J. and Tyndall, G. S.: Gas phase UV absorption spectra for peracetic acid, and for acetic acid monomers and dimers, *J. Photochem. Photobiol. A-Chem.*, 157, 161-166, 2003.
- Orlando, J. J., Tyndall, G. S., Vereecken, L., and Peeters, J.: The atmospheric chemistry of the acetonoxo radical, *J. Phys. Chem.*, 104, 11578-11588, 2000a.
- 615 Orlando, J. J., Tyndall, G. S., Vereecken, L., and Peeters, J.: The atmospheric chemistry of the acetonoxo radical, *J. Phys. Chem. A*, 104, 11578-11588, 2000b.
- Pacienti, M., Dugheri, S., Boccalon, P., Arcangeli, G., Dolara, P., and Cupelli, V.: Air Monitoring and Assessment of Occupational Exposure to Peracetic Acid in a Hospital Environment, *Industrial Health*, 48, 217-221, 2010.
- 620 Paulot, F., Wunch, D., Crouse, J. D., Toon, G. C., Millet, D. B., DeCarlo, P. F., Vigouroux, C., Deutscher, N. M., González Abad, G., Notholt, J., Warneke, T., Hannigan, J. W., Warneke, C., de Gouw, J. A., Dunlea, E. J., De Mazière, M., Griffith,

- D. W. T., Jimenez, J. L., and Wennberg, P. O.: Importance of secondary sources in the atmospheric budgets of formic and acetic acids, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 11, 1991-2011.
- Pereira, R. D., Baulch, D. L., Pilling, M. J., Robertson, S. H., and Zeng, G.: Temperature and pressure dependence of the multichannel rate coefficients for the CH_3+OH system, *J. Phys. Chem. A*, 101, 9681-9693, 1997.
- 625 Phillips, G. J., Pouvesle, N., Thieser, J., Schuster, G., Axinte, R., Fischer, H., Williams, J., Lelieveld, J., and Crowley, J. N.: Peroxyacetyl nitrate (PAN) and peroxyacetic acid (PAA) measurements by iodide chemical ionisation mass spectrometry: first analysis of results in the boreal forest and implications for the measurement of PAN fluxes, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 13, 1129-1139, 2013.
- Purvis, G. D. and Bartlett, R. J.: A full coupled-cluster singles and doubles model - the inclusion of disconnected triples, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 76, 1910-1918, 1982.
- 630 Reeves, C. E. and Penkett, S. A.: Measurements of peroxides and what they tell us, *Chem. Rev.*, 103, 5199-5218, 2003.
- Rypkema, H. A. and Francisco, J. S.: Atmospheric Oxidation of Peroxyacetic Acid, *J. Phys. Chem. A*, 117, 14151-14162, 2013.
- Sander, R.: Compilation of Henry's law constants for inorganic and organic species of potential importance in environmental chemistry, (<http://www.mpch-mainz.mpg.de/~sander/res/henry.html>)2020.
- 635 Sangwan, M., Chesnokov, E. N., and Krasnoperov, L. N.: Reaction $\text{CH}_3 + \text{OH}$ Studied over the 294-714 K Temperature and 1-100 bar Pressure Ranges, *J. Phys. Chem. A*, 116, 8661-8670, 2012.
- Singleton, D. L., Paraskevopoulos, G., and Irwin, R. S.: Rates of OH radical reactions 18. Rates and mechanism of the reactions of hydroxyl radicals with acetic, deuterated acetic, and propionic acids in the gas-phase, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 111, 5248-5251, 1989.
- 640 Travis, K. R., Heald, C. L., Allen, H. M., Apel, E. C., Arnold, S. R., Blake, D. R., Brune, W. H., Chen, X., Commane, R., Crouse, J. D., Daube, B. C., Diskin, G. S., Elkins, J. W., Evans, M. J., Hall, S. R., Hints, E. J., Hornbrook, R. S., Kasibhatla, P. S., Kim, M. J., Luo, G., McKain, K., Millet, D. B., Moore, F. L., Peischl, J., Ryerson, T. B., Sherwen, T., Thames, A. B., Ullmann, K., Wang, X., Wennberg, P. O., Wolfe, G. M., and Yu, F.: Constraining remote oxidation capacity with ATOM observations, *Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss.*, 2020, 1-41, 2020.
- 645 Truhlar, D. G., Garrett, B. C., and Klippenstein, S. J.: Current status of transition-state theory, *J. Phys. Chem.*, 100, 12771-12800, 1996.
- Vaghjiani, G. L. and Ravishankara, A. R.: Absorption cross-Sections of CH_3OOH , H_2O_2 , and D_2O_2 vapors between 210 nm and 365 nm at 297 K, *J. Geophys. Res. -Atmos.*, 94, 3487-3492, 1989a.
- 650 Vaghjiani, G. L. and Ravishankara, A. R.: Kinetics and mechanism of OH reaction with CH_3OOH , *J. Phys. Chem.*, 93, 1948-1959, 1989b.
- Vandenberk, S., Vereecken, L., and Peeters, J.: The acetic acid forming channel in the acetone plus OH reaction: A combined experimental and theoretical investigation, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 4, 461-466, 2002.
- Vereecken, L. and Peeters, J.: The 1,5-H-shift in 1-butoxy: A case study in the rigorous implementation of transition state theory for a multiroamer system, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 119, 5159-5170, 2003.
- 655 Vereecken, L. and Peeters, J.: Decomposition of substituted alkoxy radicals-part I: a generalized structure-activity relationship for reaction barrier heights, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 11, 9062-9074, 2009.
- Walker, S. J., Evans, M. J., Jackson, A. V., Steinbacher, M., Zellweger, C., and McQuaid, J. B.: Processes controlling the concentration of hydroperoxides at Jungfraujoch Observatory, Switzerland, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 6, 5525-5536, 2006.
- 660 Wang, S. Y., Hornbrook, R. S., Hills, A., Emmons, L. K., Tilmes, S., Lamarque, J. F., Jimenez, J. L., Campuzano-Jost, P., Nault, E. A., Crouse, J. D., Wennberg, P. O., Kim, M., Allen, H., Ryerson, T. B., Thompson, C. R., Peischl, J., Moore, F., Nance, D., Hall, B., Elkins, J., Tanner, D., Huey, L. G., Hall, S. R., Ullmann, K., Orlando, J. J., Tyndall, G. S., Flocke, F.

- 665 M., Ray, E., Hanisco, T. F., Wolfe, G. M., St Clair, J., Commane, R., Daube, B., Barletta, B., Blake, D. R., Weinzierl, B., Dollner, M., Conley, A., Vitt, F., Wofsy, S. C., Riemer, D. D., and Apel, E. C.: Atmospheric acetaldehyde: importance of air-sea exchange and a missing source in the remote troposphere, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 46, 5601-5613, 2019.
- Wollenhaupt, M., Carl, S. A., Horowitz, A., and Crowley, J. N.: Rate coefficients for reaction of OH with acetone between 202 and 395 K, *J. Phys. Chem.*, 104, 2695-2705, 2000.
- Wu, H., Wang, Y., Li, H., Huang, L., Huang, D., Shen, H., Xing, Y., and Chen, Z.: The OH-initiated oxidation of atmospheric peroxyacetic acid: Experimental and model studies, *Atmos. Env.*, 164, 61-70, 2017.
- 670 Wu, Q. Q., Huang, L. B., Liang, H., Zhao, Y., Huang, D., and Chen, Z. M.: Heterogeneous reaction of peroxyacetic acid and hydrogen peroxide on ambient aerosol particles under dry and humid conditions: kinetics, mechanism and implications, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 15, 6851-6866, 2015.
- Zhang, X., Chen, Z. M., He, S. Z., Hua, W., Zhao, Y., and Li, J. L.: Peroxyacetic acid in urban and rural atmosphere: concentration, feedback on PAN-NO(x) cycle and implication on radical chemistry, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 10, 737-748, 2010.
- 675 Zhao, Y. and Truhlar, D. G.: The M06 suite of density functionals for main group thermochemistry, thermochemical kinetics, noncovalent interactions, excited states, and transition elements: two new functionals and systematic testing of four M06-class functionals and 12 other functionals, *Theoretical Chemistry Accounts*, 120, 215-241, 2008.



680

Figure 1. PLP-LIF experimental setup. PMT = photomultiplier, JM = Joule meter, FC = flow controller. The IR and UV absorption cells are at room temperature. Photolysis Laser = Excimer Laser (Compex 205 F, 248 nm), Probe Laser = YAG-pumped dye laser (Quantel Brilliant B and Lambda Physik Scanmate, 281.99 and 287.68 nm).

685





Figure 2. IR absorption cross-sections obtained in the long-path absorption cell. A comparison of the
690 CH₃C(O)OOH spectrum with the literature is given in Fig. S2



695

Figure 3. Exponential decay of the OH (a) and OD (b) LIF signals in 150 Torr N₂, at 293 K, and at four different CH₃C(O)OH concentrations. OH was generated by the photolysis of H₂O₂, OD was generated by the photolysis of DNO₃ at 248 nm. The lines are fits to the datasets using Equation 1.







Figure 4. Plots of k' vs $[CH_3C(O)OH]$ from the decays of OH and OD at different pressures of N_2 and 295 K. The lines are least-squares fits to the data using Eq. 2. Error bars are 2σ statistical only. The different intercepts are due to use of different concentrations of H_2O_2 (OH source) or $DONO_2$ (OD source).




710

Figure 5. a) Exponential decay of the OH LIF-signal in the presence of CH₃C(O)OOH and CH₃C(O)OH in ≈ 150 Torr N₂ at 353 K. OH was generated by the photolysis of CH₃C(O)OOH at 248 nm. b) Exponential decay of the OD LIF-signal in the presence of CH₃C(O)OOH and CH₃C(O)OH in N₂ at 298 K. OD was generated from the 248 nm photolysis of DONO₂.




720 **Figure 6.** Pseudo-first-order rate coefficient for the loss of OH (k_4') or OD (k_5') (after subtraction of the contribution of $\text{CH}_3\text{C}(\text{O})\text{OH}$) versus $[\text{CH}_3\text{C}(\text{O})\text{OOH}]$. The slopes of the solid black lines are k_4 (lower dataset, with intercept $\sim 100 \text{ s}^{-1}$) and k_5 (uppermost dataset with intercept $\sim 900 \text{ s}^{-1}$). **The larger intercept for the OD reaction is due to reaction with DONO_2 .**




725

Figure 7. Results of 24 simulations (in red) of the chemistry initiated by the photolysis of $\text{CH}_3\text{C}(\text{O})\text{OOH}$ in the presence of $\text{CH}_3\text{C}(\text{O})\text{OH}$ including reactions of OH with CH_3 and $\text{CH}_3\text{C}(\text{O})\text{O}_2$ radicals. As in the experimental data (only those obtained at 298 K are plotted) the contribution of $\text{CH}_3\text{C}(\text{O})\text{OH}$ to the OH decay constant has been subtracted from each data point. In addition, a diffusion term of 100 s^{-1} has been added to the simulations so that the same intercept (at zero $\text{CH}_3\text{C}(\text{O})\text{OOH}$) is obtained.

730



740 **Figure 8:** ZPE-corrected potential energy surface of the $\text{CH}_3\text{C}(\text{O})\text{OOH} + \text{OH}$ reaction calculated at the CCSD(T)/CBS(DTQ)//M06-2X-D3/aug-cc-pVQZ level of theory.



745 **Figure 9.** Rate coefficients (k_4 , k_{4a} and k_{4b}) for the $\text{OH} + \text{CH}_3\text{C}(\text{O})\text{OOH}$ reaction. The error bars on the present data-set include uncertainty in the value of k_4' and IR-absorption cross-sections of $\text{CH}_3\text{C}(\text{O})\text{OOH}$. As described in the text, there are several reasons why the experimental rate coefficients should be regarded as upper limits.

750