

We added 2 new co-authors, they made the CO measurements during the KORUS-AQ campaigns.

Tilmes et al., (2020) was missing, the correct reference is Tilmes et al. (2019). Crutzen et al. 1979 was missing in the text. We added it where it was supposed to be added.

References

Crutzen, P. J., L. E. Heidt, J. P. Krasnec, W. H. Pollack, and Seiler W.: Biomass burning as a source of atmospheric gases CO, H₂, N₂O, NO, CH₃Cl, and COS, *Nature*, 282, 253-256, <https://doi.org/10.1038/282253a0>, 1979.

Tilmes, S., Hodzic, A., Emmons, L. K., Mills, M. J., Gettelman, A., Kinnison, D. E., Park, M., Lamarque, J.-F., Vitt, F., Shrivastava, M., Campuzano-Jost, P., Jimenez, J. L., and Liu, X.: Climate forcing and trends of organic aerosols in the Community Earth System Model (CESM2), *J. Adv. Model. Earth Syst.*, 11, 4323–4351, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2019MS001827>, 2019.

Please find below the response to referee #1, followed by the response to referee #2 and the tracked changed manuscript.

Response to Anonymous Referee #1.

We thank the reviewer for the constructive comments and for providing suggestions to improve the manuscript. Our responses are shown in blue and added text is shown in italics.

In this article, CO MOPITT data are assimilated in a global coupled chemistry-climate model (CAM-Chem) and the resulting distributions of CO and other compounds are evaluated against aircraft measurements from the KORUS and ARIAs campaigns conducted in May-June 2016 above South Korea and Northern China. In addition, the impact of different emission datasets on the model performance is evaluated with CAMChem using dynamical fields nudged to GEOS-FP analysis. Both the MOPITT assimilation and the comparisons with aircraft data suggest a substantial underestimation of CO emissions over Eastern China, consistent with several previous studies. Furthermore, the emission update improves (somewhat) the model performance for ozone and HO_x, based on aircraft measurements (for O₃) and box-model calculations (for HO_x) constrained by measurements from the KORUS campaign. The paper is generally well-written, and the methodology is well described. A rather detailed discussion is provided, which covers not only the influence of emissions but also aspects related to dynamics, which I appreciated. The conclusions of the study appear mostly valid, although with some exaggeration of the benefits of assimilation and some oversimplification regarding specific aspects.

Major comments

- Most importantly, the role of model biases for NO_x was clearly overlooked. The paper claims a good agreement against aircraft NO_x data, whereas Fig. S2 shows a strong model underestimation (> factor of 2) of both NO and NO₂ at all levels except the surface. This aspect warrants more discussion and possibly additional sensitivity calculations as it might have a strong impact on ozone, HO_x and therefore CO and VOCs.

Thanks, as suggested by reviewer 2 as well, we completely rewrote the section 5.2, and added references to Fig. S2 and Appendix B. the evaluation of NO_x is now included in the figure 4 and is discussed in the text. We copied the paragraph here:

“NO and NO₂ are reasonably well modeled for the surface layer, but are underestimated above, with a large underestimation at 850 hPa. The underestimation of NO_x might explain the underestimation of HO₂. Additional comparison with HNO₃, J(O₃), J(NO₂) and H₂O₂ and PAN are shown in Figure S2. It suggests that the underestimation of NO_x could be due to the underestimation of J(NO₂) and the overestimation of HNO₃. Despite the update of the HO₂ heterogeneous uptake reaction and coefficient presented in appendix B, the CO increase leads to higher levels of H₂O₂, and the bias is therefore higher in the MOPITT-DA than the Control-Run (Figure S2). A lower value of the HO₂ heterogeneous uptake coefficient than the one used here ($\gamma=0.1$) might produce better results by reducing the HO₂ sink (see Appendix B). It suggests that errors in NO_x and related chemistry drive the underestimation of HO₂ and of the sum of OH and HO₂ (HO_x). Overall, HO_x is underestimated, and OH is fairly well simulated. This suggests that the CO chemical sink alone cannot explain the CO underestimation during the campaign. Alternatively, CH₂O is underestimated in both simulations, suggesting an underprediction of the chemical production of secondary CO. A similar effect to that described in Gaubert et al. (2016) is shown, where an increase in CO through the sequential assimilation, leads to reduced OH and is slowing down of the VOC oxidation rate and formaldehyde formation, albeit a small effect. In the lower part of the atmosphere, the oxidation of additional CO leads to more effective ozone production and no changes above, consistent with observations. While the errors in NO_x are important, the low CH₂O points to a missing source, which could be due to an underestimation of CH₄ as well as NMVOCs (Appendix B).

- I 106-115 "it has become evident (...) that several standard inventories of CO emissions in China are still too low (Tang et al 213; Yumimoto et al. 2014)" The two references cited are inappropriate for this statement. The first concerns only Beijing; and in the second study, the optimized CO emissions were found to be lower than their a priori inventory. Furthermore, Elguindi et al. (2020) showed that two widely used inventories (MEICv1.3 and REAS 2.1) have higher emissions than most top-down estimations. Therefore, I recommend to nuance the claim made repeatedly in the article that inverse modeling suggest higher emissions than bottom-up inventories. Clearly, things are more complex as there is considerable variability among models (as well as among bottom-up inventories), and this should be acknowledged. I would be curious to know how the bottom-up emissions used in Cam-Chem compare with those intercompared by Elguindi et al. (2020). The top-down emissions determined in in this study using MOPITT CO depend on OH levels not only over S. Korea (for which we have partial constraints from KORUS) but also over East Asia and elsewhere. The related uncertainties deserve some discussion in this manuscript.

Thank you for your comment, we added more nuances as you can read in the new version of the manuscript with discussion of the related uncertainties. We agree that the large uncertainties in inverse and forward modelling, in particular with regard to chemistry (OH sink, chemical oxidation of NMVOCs), preclude accurately disentangling the reasons for the CO underestimation in China. In fact, this is one of the main motivations of this study. However, we believe the manuscript is already long and includes comparison with other top-down and bottom-up estimates already. The comparison with other studies will be the purpose of future work with longer dedicated runs (for at least a year to cover the seasonal cycle).

The sentence has been corrected to: *Regionally, comparison with in-situ observations of forward and inverse modeling approaches suggests that several standard inventories of CO emissions in China are too low (e.g. Kong et al., 2020; Feng et al., 2020).*

Please note that the two studies we now discussed in this paragraph are using the MEIC inventory (with higher emissions as you pointed out) and still suggests that emissions are being underestimated overall, with regional and temporal exceptions.

Minor comments

- the reference Feng et al. 2020 is cited (line 112) but is missing
We added the reference.

- I 136-137 Note that De Smedt et al. (2015) (<https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-15-12519-2015>) reported negligible trends over Beijing and PRD over 2005-2016. Wang et al. (2015) (www.atmos-chem-phys.net/15/1489/2015) also reported decreased NMVOC traffic emissions over Beijing since 2002

We updated the text:

As opposed to NOx emissions that have been decreasing since 2010, inventories suggest a net NMVOCs emissions increase (Zheng et al., 2018). While there are regional differences and no trends were observed in satellite retrievals of CH2O for the period 2004 to 2014 over Beijing and in the PRD (De Smedt et al., 2015), a more recent study suggests an overall increase of VOC emissions in the NCP by ~25 % between 2010 and 2016 (Souri et al., 2020). Shen et al., (2019) show that CH2O columns have a positive trend in urban regions of China from 2005 to 2016.

I 142-145 "Ozone production and accumulation.." I don't see the link between this sentence and the rest of the paragraph

We rephrase the sentence to:

"The transport of ozone pollution between source regions makes it difficult to correlate trends in ozone with the trends in emissions of its precursors (Wang et al., 2017)."

I 194-195 "This suggests an underestimation of emissions" Where does this come from?

We agree this was unclear, we remove the sentence.

I. 201-202 "two episodes of the transport phase": unclear

We changed it to: *CO during two studied pollution events.*

I. 230-231 "A high correlation between organic aerosol and CH2O, which is a characteristic of the importance of primary and secondary sources": very unclear, rephrase

We removed the sentence.

I. 289 Where does this threshold of 20 ppbv comes from? How sensitive are the results to this value?

The 20 ppbv of SO2 corresponds to the 95th percentile of the whole campaign. It is arbitrarily designed to remove the high values of CO of around 500 ppbv and more (see Fig. 5 of Benish et al., 2020; <https://www.atmos-chem-phys-discuss.net/acp-2020-194/>). The inclusion of the values above the 95th percentiles are shifting the mean from 400 ppbv to 500 ppbv.

As you can see below, both observations mean and model mean have a higher CO without the filter. The overestimation seen for the inversions at the surface in the original plot is less important after removing the filter.

Figure 7 top panel, original:





Figure 7 top panel, no threshold:



I. 317 Does the FINN inventory includes the emissions due to agricultural residue burning, very high over the North China Plain in June? Could an underestimation of those emissions in the model account for the low bias of CAM-Chem CO wrt MOPITT and aircraft? It could be interesting to investigate whether the low bias is highest in June, compared to May and July.

By the way, I don't think that the duration of the assimilation run is mentioned in the manuscript. What days or months of data are used in the assimilation? More generally the assimilation setup could be more detailed.

FINN includes agricultural residue burning, when it is detected by MODIS. Analysis of the aircraft data show little influence from biomass burning overall, although with some influence from Siberian fires (Russia) in June. While there were large fires in South East Asia in April, the plumes from them were over the Pacific and did not impact the Korean peninsula, (i.e. were not sampled during the campaign), see for instance Tang et al., 2019. We added the following:

The ensemble spin-up starts on April 1 2016 with perturbed emissions described above and with a spread in nudging parameters to perturb the dynamics. After a week, on April 7 2016, the Control-Run ensemble is initialized from the spin-up, this simulation is not nudged and this period is used to spin-up the inflation parameters for the assimilation of the weather observations only. The MOPITT-DA run is initialized from the Control-Run ensemble on April 15 2016.

The simulation ends on June 11 2016. It is not easy to compare the different months. Comparing different months and trying to attribute the sectoral sources will be the subject of future work.

I. 335-336 "They found missing PFT data over Seoul and a large sensitivity in PFTs to changes in temperature": unclear, I don't understand what is meant here.

We rephrase the sentence to:

"They found large sensitivities of calculated biogenic emissions to 3 different PFT datasets over Seoul, which resulted in local but significant changes in simulated O3."

I. 341 "to determine a best fit to the observations...": the model does not match well the observations for e.g. methanol, acetaldehyde, methyl hydroperoxide.. In fact, the high emissions lead to a worsening of the vertical gradient for some species. Please rephrase. The sensitivity test is not uninteresting but it fails to prove that biogenic emissions have a moderate impact on CO.

Thanks, the truth is to determine the best fit to the observations of CH₂O, to check whether the CO bias is mainly of chemical origin, since most of secondary CO is from CH₂O oxidation. The chosen simulation has the lowest CH₂O bias at the surface.

We change the sentence to:

We perform a set of simulations by varying biogenic emissions to determine the best fit to the observations of formaldehyde (CH₂O) at the surface (see SI).

I. 381 I suppose that the assimilation of MOPITT influences not only emissions but also meteorology. If so, this should be mentioned and maybe shortly discussed.

This point is addressed in the Section 4.5 (Variable localization and parameter estimation).

We understand the paragraph was not clearly worded (see also next comment), we corrected/rephrased the following:

"This strict variable localization means that the assimilation of MOPITT only corrects the chemical state vector (i.e. CO) and has no impact on the meteorological state vector (U, V, T, Q, Ps) and vice-versa. However, we made an exception and extended our chemical state vector by including CO emissions from BB and anthropogenic sources separately and several NMVOCs. We added C₂H₂, C₂H₄, C₂H₆, C₃H₈, benzene, toluene, and the XYLENES, BIGENE and BIGALK surrogate species to the state vector. The NMVOCs with a strong anthropogenic and/or BB origin that have a primary sink with OH should be strongly correlated with CO (Miyazaki et al., 2012). The relationships between NMVOCs and CO leads to a correlation in their errors, so that the correlation existing in the ensemble will reflect those true errors."

I. 442 Does this implies that e.g. NOx anthropogenic emissions are updated as well, similar to CO?

Only the emissions of CO are updated. For clarity we changed the acronym SF to SFCO:

"In addition to the initial spread described above, spatially and temporally varying adaptive inflation is also applied to the optimized CO Surface Flux (SFCO) model variable during the analysis procedure."

"The relative increments obtained from the analysis in the form of the surface fluxes model variable (SFCO) is propagated back to the input files emissions (E) following:"

And in the equation:

$$E_i^{analysis} = E_i^{prior} \left(1 + w \frac{\Delta SFCO_i}{SFCO_i}\right) \quad (2)$$

I. 443 "strongly correlated": or completely correlated?

The perturbations are completely correlated. We updated the sentence to:

"This means the added noise in emissions of NMVOCs and CO from the BB or anthropogenic sectors will be completely correlated."

I. 479 "We compare our emission estimates": confusing. In this paragraph you sometimes refer to emissions and sometimes to the model-calculated concentrations. Please re-structure this section to clearly state what is compared to what.

As suggested by the second reviewer as well, we divided the section 6 in two. The section 6 is now: **Comparison of anthropogenic emission estimates** and section 7 is: **Evaluation of the simulated vertical profiles against ARIAs and KORUS-AQ**.

In Sect. 6, we compare our bottom-up and top down estimate with other independent emission estimates (2 bottom-up and 1 top-down).

In section 7, we compare the concentration with CAM-Chem simulations using those various emission estimates (with prefix CAM_) that are described and labelled clearly in section 4.6 (see response to the next two comments).

I. 485 Please define what is a "tag"

We completely rewrote the paragraph.

That sentence you refer to now reads:

"Note that the simulations denoted as CAM_HTAP (TCR-2 Prior) and CAM_TCR-2 (TCR-2 Posterior) are CAM-Chem simulations with the respective anthropogenic CO emissions from TCR-2."

In the end of the paragraph we added:

"We included artificial CO tracers or "CO tags", to track the anthropogenic contribution from different geographic area sources (e.g., Gaubert et al., 2016)."

I. 489 The CAMS inventory is not mentioned in Table 2

We updated the table 2 with all the simulations:

Table 2: Summary of the simulations. The Nudging (GEOS) refers to a CAM-Chem deterministic runs with specified dynamics, using a nudging to GEOS-FP analysis winds and temperatures (see supplement). Aside from the DART simulations (first 2 rows), all the simulations have the same initial conditions and the same nudging and only change by their anthropogenic CO emissions inputs.

Simulation name	Meteorology	Emissions (prior)
Control-Run	Assimilation (DART)	Prior (CEDS-KORUS-v5)
MOPITT-DA	Assimilation (DART)	Optimized (CEDS-KORUS-v5)
CAM_Kv5	Nudging (GEOS)	Prior (CEDS-KORUS-v5)

CAM_HTAP	Nudging (GEOS)	Prior (HTAP v2)
CAM_MOP	Nudging (GEOS)	Posterior (CEDS-KORUS-v5)
CAM_MOP-Bio	Nudging (GEOS)	Posterior (CEDS-KORUS-v5) + MEGANx2 (see SI)
CAM_TCR-2	Nudging (GEOS)	Posterior (TCR-2, HTAP v2)
CAM_CAMS	Nudging (GEOS)	CAMS (CAMS-GLOB-ANTv3.1)

I. 504 Define DACOM

We rephrase to: *"We use the fully independent DC-8 Differential Absorption CO Measurement (DACOM)"*

I. 511 "Because of the reduction of the CO in the middle troposphere (...) the RMSE in MOPITT-DA is reduced...": I don't see that, please check.

We rephrase the sentence to: *"The RMSE in MOPITT-DA is reduced by around 10 ppbv compared to the Control-Run for the free troposphere (700 hPa to 300 hPa, Fig. 2)."*

I. 534-535 "if true errors in the VOCs are not correlated to CO, only noise will be introduced": sorry, unclear.

We rewrote the whole paragraph:

"Concentrations of some VOCs have been added to the state vector and are therefore optimized, according to the covariance estimated by the ensemble, when MOPITT observations are assimilated. This setup will only provide meaningful corrections if CO and VOCs errors are highly correlated through common atmospheric and emission processes and if the ensemble samples those errors in the background error covariance. In this case VOCs analysis errors should be reduced by assimilating MOPITT CO, even though VOCs are not directly observed."

I. 545 replace "or" by "and/or"

Done

I. 555 " bias in OH leads to correlated errors between CO and alkanes that can be mitigated by including these species in the state vector": I understand, but even if the VOCs would not be the state vector, their concentrations would be modified (improved) by the optimization.

We agree, this has been a part of our previous work where we look at some VOCs that were changing through forecast steps when CO is assimilated, without any state vector augmentation (Gaubert et al., 2016). Ethane and Propane concentration were increased because of the reduced OH.

We looked at the increments and there are differences at the analysis step.

I. 589 Only a small part of the HO2 underestimation is explained by the CO underestimation

We stated it carefully already: *"This suggests that a small part of the HO2 underestimation can be explained by the CO underestimation."*

I. 595 Note that the reduced HCHO formation is due to lower OH, entailing reduced VOC oxidation rate

We rephrase the sentence to:

"A similar effect to that described in Gaubert et al. (2016) is shown, where an increase in CO through the sequential assimilation leads to reduced OH and is slowing down the VOC oxidation rate and formaldehyde formation, albeit a small effect."

- Note that the J(NO2) underestimation could play a role in the O3 underestimation.

We completely rewrote the paragraph and added J(NO₂) and HNO₃ discussion to explain the large errors observed in NO_x vertical profiles (as discussed above).

- Does the model have biogenic CO emissions? Dry deposition of CO?

The model has biogenic CO emissions calculated with MEGAN and dry deposition (see Lamarque et al., 2012) with no dry deposition over the forest Plant Functional Type in CLM (Müller and Brasseur, 1995).

- I. 627-628: Note that this overestimation could be partly due to an overestimation of biogenic VOC emissions over Southern China and Southeast Asia (cf. Souri et al., ACPD 2020).

We are not sure to follow the reviewer's point here since L627-628 does not mention biogenic VOCs, however we mentioned biogenic VOC below (regarding the L638 comment). Southeast Asian sources have not impacted Korea significantly during the campaign (e.g. Tang et al. 2019, JGR).

I. 638 "the spatial patterns of the prior emissions are important..": nevertheless, the posterior differences (Fig 5f) are larger than the prior differences (Fig 5c) suggesting that the prior emission differences are not crucial.

We agree, the sentence now reads: "Prior emissions of CO, biogenic and anthropogenic VOCs and NO_x can all contribute to differences between the TCR-2 and our DART/CAM-chem estimate."

I. 645-657: This increase is not at all visible on Fig. 5g

Since it is hard to tell on the figure, we calculated the exact change the SMA pixel (34 %) and updated the text to:

Compared to its prior, the DART/CAM-Chem posterior emissions are increased by 25 % for South Korea, and by 34 % over the SMA.

I. 652 'China' → 'Central China'

Done

I. 657 I suppose those numbers are for Northern China, right?

It is 33 % for Central China and 80 % for Northern China, the sentence now reads:

On average, the increase in emissions due to assimilation is about 33 % for Central China and nearly doubled (80 %) in Northern China, from 2.7 TgCO.Month⁻¹ to 4.9 TgCO.Month⁻¹.

I. 684 'smaller': by how much?

For the surface layer, it is smaller by 60 % for TCR-2 and by 30 % for MOPITT-DA (as you can see from the figures above). We updated the text:

"The MOPITT-DA and the TCR-2 overestimate the CO concentrations compared to the measurements for this surface layer although this overestimate is smaller by 60 % for TCR-2 and by 30 % for MOPITT-DA when a value higher than 20 ppbv SO₂ (the approximate 95th percentile) is used to define plumes for exclusion."

I. 685-687 The posterior CO is still 50 ppbv below MOPITT-DA. Why is that, what does this tell us? I think this deserves more attention.

We added: *"While both simulations do not have exactly the same transport, the remaining underestimation is likely to be due to the sequential data assimilation in the MOPITT-DA runs that compensate for the remaining biases."*

I. 697 I recommend moderating the claim of better O₃ match.

We rewrote the sentence to:

For this layer, higher O₃ was found for simulations with higher CO. While it suggests that reducing CO biases can improve O₃, NO₂ and NMVOCs such as aromatics seem to play an important role in the ozone formation in the region (Benish et al., 2020). The mean O₃ concentration is still underestimated by around 10 ppbv in the free troposphere.

I. 'It means that CO acts...' Of course, this is expected. Delete "and is more consistent with the observations"

Done

I. 699-700 "10 ppbv in the free troposphere": are you sure? I see this in the PBL, not the FT.

We change the sentence to: "10 ppbv for the other vertical layers", since it applies both above and below in altitude.

I. 710 Make a reference to Table 4 and mention that the average is for all levels.

Done

I. 716-717 "such as errors in transport or chemistry": strange statement! How well does the assimilation matches MOPITT CO? If the match is very good (which I expect), then the discrepancy is due to a combination of measurement or representativity issues.

We agree it was not clear. The paragraph now reads:

Correcting only the bias in anthropogenic emissions is not as efficient as the joint optimization of anthropogenic emissions and sequential optimization of initial conditions through data assimilation (MOPITT-DA). It suggests that other sources of errors such as transport and chemistry can be mitigated by state assimilation. The MOPITT-DA has an average CO of 179 ppbv, resulting in 12 % underestimation on average (Table 4), which is well between the range in measurement and representativeness errors.

I. 738-740 "This suggests that weather patterns and direct anthropogenic emissions explain most of the CO variability during the campaign": I'm not so sure, since the posterior model run does not perform very well. The fact that the MOPITT assimilation run performs well indicate that the assimilation of initial conditions is important, which does not really tell much about the reasons for CO variability. Is there a temporal evolution of CO emissions during the campaign period in the MOPITT-DA run?

This is true. We corrected the sentence: *"Updating the anthropogenic emissions from the bottom-up to the top-down inventories improved the representation of the CO anomalies. This suggests that weather patterns and the direct anthropogenic emissions explain some of the CO variability during the campaign. However, since the MOPITT-DA simulation is reproducing the anomalies, it suggests that chemistry and transport are important too."*

This DA system cannot resolve the monthly temporal variations accurately because of the chosen time window ($\sigma \sim 2$ months) for the inversion.

I. 766 "for higher altitudes": at what altitudes?

We corrected the sentence to:

This anomaly is reflected through the OH (and O₃) vertical profiles that also follow respectively lower (higher) concentrations between 800 hPa and 400 hPa (Figure 9).

I. 767 I would rather say "of stratospheric influence" or something like that.

We changed the sentence to:

"This indicates rather clean air masses, probably with larger stratospheric contribution."

I. 782-791: As mentioned above, the model discrepancies for NO_x are very relevant for the discussion. I recommend to display the NO_x comparisons for the separate phases. In Fig S2, the NO_x are clearly much too low between 850 and 650 hPa, which might explain the HO₂ biases. Note that the good agreement for OH might therefore be fortuitous, since it could be affected by higher NO_x.

The sentence has been rewritten to:

The OH is overestimated because of a lack of CO, other VOCs and/or errors in the vertical profile of NO_x.

I. 803-804 "chemical production and loss via OH reaction from emissions..." unclear

We rephrased to:

"We also highlight in this work that errors in anthropogenic and biogenic VOCs, chemical production and loss and transport errors confound the attribution of this bias in current model simulations."

I. 926 There is a net loss of HO_x for all non-zero gamma values...

We were referring to the change from Eq. B1 to Eq. B2, since H₂O₂ production is not an absolute net loss of HO_x. We changed it to: *"Using Eq. B2 and $\gamma=1$ leads to a large loss of HO_x, which in turn increases the CH₄ and CO lifetime and thus reduces the CO bias during the high latitude winter (Mao et al., 2013)."*

I. 932-933 "with a more pronounced effect in the upper part of the boundary layer with less influence on the OH+NO₂+M reaction while..." unclear

We rephrased to: *"They found that introducing the loss reduces HO₂ levels and increases ozone, with a more pronounced effect in the upper part of the boundary layer where the role of OH+NO₂+M reaction does not play a significant role in the radical termination reaction while the number density of aerosol particles is still important."*

I. 935 "this exact effect" also unclear

We changed the sentences to:

"Li et al. (2018) found that the HO₂ uptake was the largest HO_x sink in the upper boundary layer in northern China. They suggested that the reduction in HO₂ uptake caused by the decrease of aerosols was responsible for the increase of O₃ in the region."

Technical comments/language

- I. 187 "looked"??

Replaced by "studied"

I. 247 Capitalize "we"

Done

I. 277-278 "Their analysis of the aerosol pollution was mostly located below..." unclear, please rephrase

Changed to "The aerosol pollution was mostly located ..."

I. 309 Delete "Therefore, "

Done

Equation 4 and following text: please use consistent notations/fonts for mathematic Expressions

Done

- I. 523 A parenthesis is missing

Done

I. 633 remove final 's' in Plains

We replaced North China Plain by NCP after the first occurrence.

I. 642 & 643: '6' should be '5'

Done

I. 645 Compare → Compared

Done

I. 653 The sentence is weird. The verb should be after the parenthesis. I suggest deleting 'is two times higher than'

The sentence now reads: *The difference between CAMS (3.65 TgCO.Month-1) and the CEDS-KORUSv5 (5.7 TgCO.Month-1) is twice as high as the difference between DART/CAM-chem posterior (7.6 TgCO.Month-1) and TCR-2 (8.7 TgCO.Month-1).*

I. 661 replace 'than for' by 'and'

Done

I. 691 'above 900 hPa' is ambiguous, rephrase

We changed it to: *"For altitudes ranging between 900 hPa and 600 hPa,"*

I. 695 The layer?

Corrected to *"The 875 hPa (900 to 850 hPa) layer mean (and median)"*

I. 712 'means' → 'implies'

Done

I. 763 "for" → "to"

Done

I. 767 'from' → 'of'

Done

- at various places in the manuscript and supplement, write "methanol" and not "Methanol", etc. for all species.

Done

References:

Müller, J-F, and G. Brasseur, IMAGES, A three-dimensional chemical transport model of the global troposphere, *J. Geophys. Res.*, <https://doi.org/10.1029/94JD03254>, 100, 16,445-16,490, 1995.

Tang, W., Emmons, L. K., Arellano Jr, A. F., Gaubert, B., Knote, C., Tilmes, S., et al.: Source contributions to carbon monoxide concentrations during KORUS-AQ based on CAM-chem model applications. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 124, 2796–2822. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2018JD029151>, 2019.

Response to Anonymous Referee #2.

We thank the reviewer for the constructive comments and for providing suggestions to improve the manuscript. Our responses are shown in blue and added text is shown in italics.

This study investigates the impact of model biases in CO on the ability to simulate oxidants observed during the KORUS-AQ campaign. In particular, it explores the effects of assimilating MOPITT CO as well as using different emissions inventories. The question of how improving simulated CO alters other aspects of atmospheric chemistry is an interesting one, and the study applies state-of-the-art tools to address it. The methodology is rigorous, but I have some suggestions, described in the general and specific comments, for how the results could be presented more clearly.

General Comments

The study includes quite a few model simulations, both with and without MOPITT assimilation and different prior or posterior anthropogenic and biogenic emissions inventories. Further simulations with different biogenic emissions are also discussed in the supplemental material. While I understand the need for multiple sets of simulations to explore different ways of addressing CO bias, it can be difficult for the reader to keep track of what is included in each one and which lines to compare on figures (e.g. Fig. 9) showing many different model simulations. I suggest that the discussion in Section 6 (and some of the figures) would be easier to follow if that section were divided into two parts, with one part focused on the impact of MOPITT data assimilation, and the other part focused on the role of the emissions inventories.

The manuscript devotes a substantial amount of text to describing aspects of the model and assimilation system that do not seem directly tied to the discussion of the results. Some of this information, such as Section 4.2, could be condensed and/or moved to the Supplement or Appendix.

Appendix B contains a considerable amount of information and analysis, but I did not find it referenced in the main text.

The evaluation of NO_x and other species in Section 2 of the supplement, and Fig. S2 in particular, is quite relevant to the interpretation of the results. It would be helpful to include some of this material in the main text.

Thanks, as suggested, we divided the section 6 in two. The section 6 is now: ***Comparison of anthropogenic emission estimates*** and section 7 is: ***Evaluation of the simulated vertical profiles against ARIAs and KORUS-AQ.***

We completely rewrote the section 5.2, and added references to Fig. S2 and Appendix B. the evaluation of NO_x is now included in Figure 4 and is discussed in the text.

There are new sentences that refer to appendix B, In section 3.1:

“A summary of the model references is presented in Table 1. We have made some additional changes for this study, presented in Appendix B. In particular, we updated the heterogeneous uptake coefficient of HO₂ and its coefficient.”

In Sect. 5.2, we added:

“Despite the update of the HO₂ heterogeneous uptake reaction and coefficient presented in appendix B, [...]”

“A lower value of the HO₂ heterogeneous uptake coefficient than the one used here ($\gamma=0.1$) might produce better results by reducing the HO₂ sink (see Appendix B).”

“While the errors in NO_x are important, the low CH₂O points to a missing source, which could be due to an underestimation of CH₄ as well as NMVOCs (Appendix B).”

Specific Comments

Line 62: Is “pollution ozone” the same as “ozone pollution”?

We changed it to ozone pollution.

Line 460: Why is met data assimilation used in one set of runs and nudging in another set? Does this lead to transport differences between the two sets of runs?

The assimilation is done using an Ensemble Adjustment Kalman Filter, that requires to run an ensemble of simulations, thus the assimilation is much more computationally expensive to perform.

The two assimilation runs perform well as described in Fig. S3, S4, S5, and overall provide a better meteorology than the nudging runs. Here is an update of table S1, now Table S2 with the Control-run added:

Table S2: Overall statistics for Wind Speed, H₂O, and Temperature.

Wind Speed	simulations	RMSE	r	Bias
	Control-Run	8.44	0.67	1.02
	prior-d-0.24	9.21	0.61	1.32
	prior-d-0.48	8.96	0.63	1.22
	prior-d-0.72	8.87	0.63	1.11
	prior-m-0.24	8.89	0.64	1.32
	prior-m-0.48	8.61	0.65	1.01
	prior-m-0.72	8.56	0.66	0.92
H ₂ O	simulations	RMSE	r	Bias
	Control-Run	2124.7	0.91	-123.4
	prior-d-0.24	2990.14	0.86	766.62
	prior-d-0.48	2570.17	0.89	498.83
	prior-d-0.72	2459.9	0.89	396.89
	prior-m-0.24	2429.31	0.89	32.67
	prior-m-0.48	2191.41	0.91	-68.4
	prior-m-0.72	2126.97	0.91	-98.73
Temperature	simulations	RMSE	r	Bias
	Control-Run	2.65	0.99	1.09
	prior-d-0.24	2.95	0.98	1.09

prior-d-0.48	2.88	0.98	1.03
prior-d-0.72	2.85	0.99	1.01
prior-m-0.24	2.88	0.98	0.96
prior-m-0.48	2.81	0.99	0.93
prior-m-0.72	2.79	0.99	0.94

We believe an entire study could be dedicated to this interesting topic of research.

Table 2: The simulation names should be made more informative/intuitive. For example, only one is called “Posterior” even though multiple runs use Posterior emissions.

We updated the Table 2 and simulation names as follows. We also made it clear in the text, and contrast those in section 6 which compare the emissions and section 7 which compares a suite of deterministic runs forced by different anthropogenic CO inventories.

Table 2: Summary of the simulations. The Nudging (GEOS) refers to a CAM-Chem deterministic run with specified dynamics, using a nudging to GEOS-FP analysis winds and temperatures (see supplement). Aside from the DART simulations (first 2 rows), all the simulations have the same initial conditions and the same nudging and only change by their anthropogenic CO emissions inputs.

<i>Simulation name</i>	<i>Meteorology</i>	<i>Emissions (prior)</i>
Control-Run	Assimilation (DART)	Prior (CEDS-KORUS-v5)
MOPITT-DA	Assimilation (DART)	Optimized (CEDS-KORUS-v5)
CAM_Kv5	Nudging (GEOS)	Prior (CEDS-KORUS-v5)
CAM_HTAP	Nudging (GEOS)	Prior (HTAP v2)
CAM_MOP	Nudging (GEOS)	Posterior (CEDS-KORUS-v5)
CAM_MOP-Bio	Nudging (GEOS)	Posterior (CEDS-KORUS-v5) + MEGANx2 (see SI)
CAM_TCR-2	Nudging (GEOS)	Posterior (TCR-2, HTAP v2)
CAM_CAMS	Nudging (GEOS)	CAMS (CAMS-GLOB-ANTv3.1)

Line 557: Does this mean it’s the old RMSE minus the new one, or something else?

Yes, it does, but relative to the old RMSE. We updated the text in the figure captions:

“The relative error change is the opposite of the difference in Root Mean Square Error relative to the Control-Run (i.e., (Control-Run-MOPITT-DA)/Control-Run). Thus, a positive relative error change means an improvement compared to the Control-Run.”

Lines 591-592: Please elaborate on this statement.

The paragraph has been updated and the sentence now reads:

“It suggests that errors in NOx and related chemistry drive the underestimation of HO2 and of the sum of OH and HO2 (HOx). Overall, HOx is underestimated, and OH is fairly well simulated. This suggests that the CO chemical sink alone cannot explain the CO underestimation during the campaign.”

Line 598: I expect that biases in NO_x, PAN, etc. can strongly impact the comparison of the simulated oxidants, so I think this point deserves more discussion in the body of the text. Also, Fig. S2 shows that the MOPITT-DA makes H₂O₂ worse, and this should also be mentioned.

We completely rewrote the section, added NO_x comparison to the figure 4 and mentioned the figure S2 with and discussed the H₂O₂ issues. See also the responses to Reviewer 1.

Line 659: Can you speculate on why the emissions are underestimated?

The end of the paragraph now reads:

“More work should be dedicated to check whether the assumptions made on the prior estimates impact the retrieved emissions. This includes improving the regional distribution and scaling up the baseline prior CO emissions, but also how much the model uncertainties in the OH chemical sink impact the CO inversions (e.g., Müller et al., 2018). A comparison of the amount of residential coal burning emissions in bottom-up inventories could help in understanding the discrepancy and quantifying potential offsets (Chen et al., 2017; Cheng M., et al., 2017; Zhi et al., 2017, Benish et al., 2020).”

Line 698: Aren't VOCs also higher in this simulation? If so, how do you attribute the ozone production to CO rather than other VOCs?

VOCs are changed in the MOPITT-DA and in the CAM_MOP-Bio (aka CAM-Chem-Bio). The 5 simulations CAM_CAMS, CAM_Kv5 (DART-CAM-Chem prior), CAM_MOP (DART-CAM-Chem Posterior), CAM_HTAP (Prior TCR-2) and CAM_TCR-2 (posterior TCR-2) only differ by their CO anthropogenic emissions and share the same meteorology. The O₃ is further improved with additional biogenic VOCs that fuel ozone production, as discussed in the following section.

Line 746: The definition of the tags needs to be explained somewhere.

In the end of section 4.6, we added:

We included artificial CO tracers or “CO tags”, to track the anthropogenic contribution from different geographic area sources (e.g. Gaubert et al., 2016).

Line 777: Does this mean there is a stratospheric intrusion reaching all the way to the surface? Or just more stratospheric/upper tropospheric influence somewhere in the profile?

We believe you refer to L767, Weather phase 2 of the campaign. The CAM-chem stratospheric ozone tracer suggests enhancements of stratospheric O₃ in the free troposphere, disconnected from the enhancement observed at the surface / in the boundary layer.

Fig. 9: It would be helpful to include panels with the CO profile here so readers don't have to keep referring back to Fig. 8.

We believe that the Fig. 8 should be placed close enough to Fig. 9 in the manuscript.

Editorial Comments

Line 204: “efficient” or “effective”?

Thanks, we changed efficient to effective.

Line 596: Sentence needs rewording

The new sentence now reads:

"In the lower part of the atmosphere, the oxidation of additional CO leads to more effective ozone production and no changes above, consistent with observations."

Lines 652-654: Sentence needs rewording.

The new sentences now read:

"Our inversion suggests an underestimation of bottom-up emission inventories for China. The agreement between the posterior emissions for Central China is better than for the bottom-up inventory (Fig. 6)."

Line 766: It would be clearer to avoid the parentheses and say lower OH and higher ozone.

The new sentence reads:

"This anomaly is reflected through lower OH and higher O₃ between 800 hPa and 400 hPa (Figure 9)."

Correcting model biases of CO in East Asia: impact on oxidant distributions during KORUS-AQ

Benjamin Gaubert¹, Louisa K. Emmons¹, Kevin Raeder², Simone Tilmes¹, Kazuyuki Miyazaki³, Avelino F. Arellano Jr⁴, Nellie Elguindi⁵, Claire Granier^{5,6}, Wenfu Tang⁷, Jérôme Barré⁸, Helen M. Worden¹, Rebecca R. Buchholz¹, David P. Edwards¹, Philipp Franke⁹, Jeffrey L. Anderson², Marielle Saunois¹⁰, Jason Schroeder¹¹, Jung-Hun Woo¹², Isobel J. Simpson¹³, Donald R. Blake¹³, Simone Meinardi¹³, Paul O. Wennberg¹⁴, John Crounse¹⁴, Alex Teng¹⁴, Michelle Kim¹⁴, Russell R. Dickerson^{15,16}, Hao He^{15,16}, Xinrong Ren^{15,17}, [Sally E. Pusede¹⁸](#), [Glenn S. Diskin¹⁹](#)

¹Atmospheric Chemistry Observations and Modeling, National Center for Atmospheric Research, Boulder, CO, USA.

²Computational and Information Systems Laboratory, National Center for Atmospheric Research, Boulder, CO, USA.

³Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA, USA.

⁴Dept. of Hydrology and Atmospheric Sciences, University of Arizona, Tucson, AZ, USA.

⁵Laboratoire d'Aérodynamique, CNRS, Université de Toulouse, France.

⁶NOAA Chemical Sciences Laboratory-CIRES/University of Colorado, Boulder, CO, USA.

⁷Advanced Study Program, National Center for Atmospheric Research, Boulder, CO, USA.

⁸European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts, Shinfield Park, Reading, RG2 9AX, UK.

⁹Forschungszentrum Jülich GmbH, Institut für Energie und Klimaforschung IEK-8, 52425 Jülich, Germany.

¹⁰Laboratoire des Sciences du Climat et de l'Environnement, LSCE-IPSL (CEA-CNRS-UVSQ), Université Paris-Saclay, 91191 Gif-sur-Yvette, France.

¹¹California Air Resources Board, Sacramento, CA, USA.

¹²Department of Advanced Technology Fusion, Konkuk University, Seoul, South Korea.

¹³Department Chemistry, University of California, Irvine, Irvine, CA 92697, USA.

¹⁴California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA, USA.

¹⁵Department of Atmospheric and Oceanic Science, University of Maryland, College Park, MD, USA.

¹⁶Earth System Science Interdisciplinary Center, University of Maryland, College Park, MD, USA.

¹⁷Air Resources Laboratory, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, College Park, MD, USA.

¹⁸[Department of Environmental Sciences, University of Virginia, Charlottesville, VA, USA.](#)

¹⁹[NASA Langley Research Center, Hampton, VA, USA.](#)

Correspondence to: Benjamin Gaubert (gaubert@ucar.edu)

Abstract. Global coupled chemistry-climate models underestimate carbon monoxide (CO) in the Northern Hemisphere, exhibiting a pervasive, negative bias against measurements peaking in late winter and early spring. While this bias has been commonly attributed to underestimation of direct anthropogenic and biomass burning emissions, chemical production and loss via OH reaction from emissions of anthropogenic and biogenic VOCs play an important role. Here we investigate the reasons for this underestimation using aircraft measurements taken in May and June 2016 from the Korea United States Air Quality (KORUS-AQ) experiment in South Korea and the Air chemistry Research In Asia (ARIAs) in the North China Plain (NCP). For reference, multispectral CO

Deleted:

Formatted: English (US)

Formatted: English (US)

Formatted: Default Paragraph Font, Underline, Font color: Blue

retrievals (V8J) from the Measurements of Pollution in the Troposphere (MOPITT) are jointly assimilated with meteorological observations using an Ensemble Adjustment Kalman Filter (EAKF) within the global Community Atmosphere Model with Chemistry (CAM-chem) and the Data Assimilation Research Testbed (DART). With regard to KORUS-AQ data, CO is underestimated by 42 % in the Control-Run and by 12 % with the MOPITT assimilation run. The inversion suggests an underestimation of anthropogenic CO sources in many regions, by up to 80 % for Northern China, with large increments over the Liaoning province and the North China Plains (NCP). Yet, an often-overlooked aspect of these inversions is that correcting the underestimation in anthropogenic CO emissions also improves the comparison with observational O₃ datasets, and observationally constrained box model simulations of OH and HO₂. Running a CAM-chem simulation with the updated emissions of anthropogenic CO reduces the bias by 29 % for CO, 18 % for ozone, 11 % for HO₂ and 27 % for OH. Longer lived anthropogenic VOCs whose model errors are correlated with CO are also improved while short-lived VOCs, including formaldehyde, are difficult to constrain solely by assimilating satellite retrievals of CO. During an anticyclonic episode, better simulation of O₃, with an average underestimation of 5.5 ppbv and a reduction in the bias of surface formaldehyde and oxygenated VOCs can be achieved by separately increasing by a factor of two the modeled biogenic emissions for the plant functional types found in Korea. Results also suggest that controlling VOC and CO emissions, in addition to wide spread NOx controls, can improve ozone pollution over East Asia.

Formatted: English (US)

Formatted: English (US)

Deleted: ozone

Formatted: English (US)

1 Introduction

Carbon monoxide (CO) is a good tracer of biomass burning (Crutzen et al., 1979; Edwards et al., 2004; Edwards et al., 2006) and anthropogenic emission sources (e.g. Borsdorff et al., 2019). It is also the main sink of the hydroxyl radical (OH) and therefore is important in quantifying the methane (CH₄) sink in the troposphere (Myhre et al., 2013; Gaubert et al., 2016, 2017; Nguyen et al., 2020). In fact, because of the lack of observational constraints on the OH spatio-temporal variability, uncertainties in the atmospheric CH₄ lifetime and its interannual variability have precluded accurately closing the global CH₄ budget (Saunois et al., 2016; Prather & Holmes, 2017; Turner et al., 2019). There is a need to reduce uncertainties in the main drivers of OH (National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine 2016), which are CO, ozone (O₃), water vapor (H₂O), nitrogen oxides (NO_x), and non-methane volatile organic compounds (NMVOCs).

The evolution of CO in Eulerian chemical-transport is governed for each grid cell by Eq. (1):

$$\frac{\delta CO}{\delta t} = -v \cdot \nabla[CO] + \sum_{i=1}^{Sectors} E_i + \sum_{i=1}^{Chemicals} \chi_i - k[CO][OH] - k_{deposition}[CO] \quad (1)$$

CO has only one chemical sink, its reaction with OH ($k[CO][OH]$). The other CO sink is dry deposition ($k_{deposition}[CO]$) through soil uptake (Conrad, 1996; Yonemura et al., 2000; Stein et al., 2014; Liu et al., 2018). The direct sources are the emissions from different sectors E_i , the anthropogenic (fossil fuel and biofuel), biomass burning, biogenic and oceanic sources. Locally, CO can be advected from neighboring grid cells ($-v \cdot \nabla[CO]$) and produced from the oxidation of NMVOCs (χ_i). Globally, the oxidation of CH₄ is the main source of chemically produced CO. Biogenic and anthropogenic NMVOCs also contribute significantly to secondary CO.

The use of inverse models and chemical data assimilation systems has helped in constraining the global CO budget and associated trends at global to continental scales, particularly with the availability of long time series of CO retrievals from the Measurement of Pollution In the Troposphere (MOPITT, Worden et al., 2013) satellite instrument (e.g., Arellano et al., 2004; Pétron et al., 2004; Heald et al. 2004; Kopacz et al., 2010; Fortems-Cheiney et al., 2011; [Yumimoto et al., 2014](#)). Such studies are generally in agreement with regards to the decreasing long-term trends in CO emissions from anthropogenic and biomass burning sources (Jiang et al. 2015; Yin et al., 2015; Miyazaki et al. 2017; Zheng et al., 2019), although regional emissions remain largely uncertain. Outstanding issues reported in the literature that still need to be resolved include errors in model transport (Arellano and Hess 2006; Jiang et al. 2013), lack of accurate representation of the atmospheric vertical structure of CO (Jiang et al., 2015), OH fields (Jiang et al., 2011; Müller et al., 2018), aggregation errors (Stavrakou and Müller, 2006; Kopacz et al., 2009), and inclusion of chemical feedbacks (Gaubert et al., 2016). Recent studies have suggested mitigating these issues by assimilating multiple datasets of chemical observations (Pison et al. 2009; Fortems-Cheiney et al. 2012; Kopacz et al., 2010; Miyazaki et al., 2012; Miyazaki et al., 2015), and the use of different models that use the same data assimilation system (Miyazaki et al., 2020a).

Deleted:).

Deleted:) and

Regionally, [comparison with in-situ observations](#) of forward and inverse modeling approaches [suggests](#) that several standard inventories of CO emissions in China are too low (e.g. [Kong et al., 2020](#); [Feng et al., 2020](#)). Recently, Kong et al. (2020) compared a suite of 13 regional model simulations with surface observations over the North China Plain (NCP) and Pearl River Delta (PRD) and found a severe underestimation of CO, despite the models using the most up-to-date emissions inventory, the mosaic Asian anthropogenic emission inventory (MIX) (Li et al., 2017). Using surface CO observations in China, Feng et al. (2020) performed an inversion of the MIX inventory and found posterior emissions that were much higher than the priors, with regional differences, still pointing to a large underestimation in northern China. The large posterior increase of CO emissions in northern China seems to be due to a severe underestimation of residential coal combustion for heating and potentially for cooking (Chen et al., 2017; Cheng M., et al., 2017; Zhi et al., 2017).

Deleted: it has become evident from both

Deleted: still

Deleted: Tang

Deleted: 2013; Yumimoto

Deleted: . 2014

While the general underestimation of fossil fuel burning in East Asia seems to explain the underestimation of Northern Hemisphere (NH) extratropical CO found in global models (Shindell et al., 2006), there are other confounding factors. Naik et al. (2013) found large inter-model variability in the regional distribution of OH and an overestimation of OH in the NH. This is consistent with an overestimation of ozone (Young et al. 2013), which provides another explanation of the CO underestimation. Strobe et al. (2015) confirmed that the springtime low bias in CO is likely due to a bias in OH. This can be caused by a bias in ozone and water vapor, which are OH precursors. Yan et al. (2014) suggested that these biases could be mitigated by increasing the horizontal resolution within a 2-way nested model. Stein et al. (2014) suggested that anthropogenic CO and NMVOCs from road traffic emissions were too low in their inventory, but also suggested that a wintertime increase in CO could be due to a reduced deposition flux. Secondary CO originating from the oxidation of CH₄ and NMVOCs could also play a role in the CO underestimation (e.g. Gaubert et al., 2016).

Due to significant efforts in reducing emissions in China, including effective implementation of clean air policies which started in 2010 (e.g. Zheng et al., 2018), there has been a reduction of CO

emissions of around 27 % since 2010. Bhardwaj et al. (2019) found a decrease of surface MOPITT CO by around 10 % over the NCP and South Korea during the 2007-2016 period. As opposed to NOx emissions that have been decreasing since 2010, inventories suggest a net NMVOCs emissions increase (Zheng et al., 2018). While there are regional differences and no trends were observed in satellite retrievals of CH₂O for the period 2004 to 2014 over Beijing and in the PRD (De Smedt et al., 2015), a more recent study suggests an overall increase of VOC emissions in the NCP by ~25 % between 2010 and 2016 (Souri et al., 2020). Shen et al., (2019) show that CH₂O columns have a positive trend in urban regions of China from 2005 to 2016. Li, M. et al. (2019) found an increase in NMVOCs emissions from the industry sector and solvent use while emissions from the residential and transportation sectors declined, leading to a net increase in emissions of NMVOCs. A modeling study suggests that the reduction of aerosols over northern China has reduced the sink of hydroperoxyl radicals (HO₂) which resulted in an increase in surface O₃ concentrations in North Eastern China (Li, K. et al., 2019). The transport of ozone pollution between source regions makes it difficult to correlate trends in ozone with the trends in emissions of its precursors (Wang et al., 2017).

Emissions from East Asia are known to impact regional air quality (AQ), and contribute significantly to surface O₃ pollution at regional, continental and even intercontinental scales through trans-Pacific transport, in particular in spring when meteorological conditions favor rapid transport (Akimoto et al., 1996; Jacob et al., 1999; Wilkening et al., 2000; Heald et al., 2006). Frontal lifting in warm conveyor belts (WCBs) efficiently contributes to the transport of pollution (Cooper et al. 2004; Zhang et al. 2008; Lin et al. 2012), which can be observed by satellite retrievals of tropospheric O₃ (Foret et al., 2014) and aircraft in-situ measurements (Ding et al. 2015). However, the mechanisms that cause the uplifted pollution to effectively descend to the downwind surface layers at regional, continental and intercontinental scales are complex. In the case of South Korea, one efficient mechanism could be that once lifted from the emission sources in China, the higher altitude plumes can pass through the marine atmosphere of the Yellow Sea without removal processes such as dry deposition, and reach the surface of the Korean peninsula during the day, when the boundary layer is high (Lee et al., 2019a; Lee et al., 2019b). In addition, severe pollution episodes can be due to local emissions under stagnant conditions with reduced regional ventilation and lower wind speed (Kim et al. 2017).

The recent literature and findings from the 2016 field campaign over South Korea indicate the relative importance of O₃ precursors and associated transport in this region. The Korea-United States Air Quality (KORUS-AQ) field campaign was a joint effort between the National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA) of the United States and the National Institute of Environmental Research (NIER) of South Korea. The field campaign's objective was to quantify the drivers of AQ over the Korean Peninsula with a focus on the Seoul Metropolitan Area (SMA), currently one of the largest cities in the world. The intensive measurement period was from May 1 2016 and June 15 2016 with the deployment of a research vessel (Thompson et al., 2019) and 4 different aircraft: the NASA DC-8, the NASA B200, the Hanseo University King Air and the Korean Meteorological Agency (KMA) King Air. The aircraft sampled numerous vertical profiles of trace gases, aerosols and atmospheric physical parameters with missed approach flying procedure over the SMA (e.g. Nault et al., 2018) and spiral patterns over the Taehwa Research Forest (TRF) site, downwind from the SMA (e.g. Sullivan et al., 2019). Peterson et al. (2019) studied the weather patterns during KORUS-AQ and distinguished four distinct periods defined by

- Deleted:** North China Plain
- Deleted:** While
- Deleted:** the
- Deleted:** have been increasing
- Deleted:** ,
- Moved (insertion) [1]**
- Deleted:** observations
- Deleted:** CH₂O (
- Moved up [1]:** Shen et al.,
- Deleted:** 2019).
- Deleted:** Ozone production and accumulation of precursors in China is often associated with strong sunlight and low winds, similar to other parts of the world, but wind direction is also particularly important because it affects pollution transport (Wang et al.,

different synoptic patterns: a dynamic meteorological phase with complex aerosol vertical profiles, a stagnation phase with weaker winds, a phase of efficient long-range transport, and a blocking pattern.

This campaign provides several case studies of foreign-influenced and local pollution episodes. Miyazaki et al. (2019a) assimilated a suite of satellite remote sensing of chemical observations and found that under dynamic conditions, when there was efficient transport with uplifting of pollution to higher altitudes (where the satellite has more sensitivity), forecasted ozone was improved by the assimilation of satellite ozone retrievals. On the contrary, under stagnant conditions, forecasted ozone was not improved as much when compared to the DC-8 ozone measurements, suggesting ozone formation closer to the surface. Lamb et al. (2018) studied at the vertical distribution of black carbon during KORUS-AQ. Aside from a short episode of biomass burning sources from Siberia, they found that the Korean emissions were important in the boundary layer, with a large contribution from long-range transport from mainland China that varies with the large-scale weather patterns. There are different ways to quantify the sources contributing to pollutants, such as Lagrangian back trajectory, VOCs signatures, CO to CO₂ ratios and CO “tags” (Tang et al., 2019). Overall, direct Korean CO emissions are important contributors to the boundary layer CO, but not higher up where emissions from continental Asia dominate. Simpson et al. (2020) performed a source apportionment of the VOCs over the SMA and also found a significant source of CO from long-range transport with only a smaller CO source from combustion over Seoul. Since long-range transport is important, the forecasted CO and water vapor during KORUS-AQ can be improved by assimilating Soil Moisture from the NASA SMAP satellite (Soil Moisture Active Passive) over China (Huang et al, 2018). They stress the importance of error sources stemming from chemical initial and boundary conditions and emissions for modeling CO during two studied pollution events.

Deleted: looked

Deleted: This suggests an underestimation of emissions, including those from the chemical oxidation of VOCs.

Deleted: episodes of the transport phase

Deleted: efficient

Deleted:

While chemical data assimilation is effective for CO in a global model, because of its longer lifetime than most of the reactive species, there are some limitations if the parameters, such as emissions inventories inputs or physical and chemical processes, are not updated consistently with the initial conditions (Tang et al., 2013). The KORUS-AQ campaign provides a large array of measurements and is an excellent case study for testing the model with challenges that need to be addressed for further improvements of CO and related species of interest such as OH, O₃, CH₄ and NMVOCs. Here we take advantage of the concurrent measurements during the campaign to investigate the reasons for the CO underestimation and we attempt to answer the following question: Can we explain why CO is consistently underestimated over East Asia, using a Chemical Transport Model, field campaigns and satellite data assimilation?

We outline the set of observations used to verify and evaluate our chemical data assimilation system in Section 2. The modeling system is presented in Section 3, the Data Assimilation system in Section 4, the evaluation of the data assimilation results in Section 5. The comparison of emissions estimates and additional sensitivity experiments in Section 6.

2 Field campaign observations

2.1 The Korea United States Air Quality (KORUS-AQ) field campaign

The KORUS-AQ campaign provides a unique testbed for comparing surface and aircraft in-situ observations with ground-based and satellite-based remote sensing (Herman et al. 2018), particularly important for the targeted short-lived species such as formaldehyde (CH_2O) and nitrogen dioxide (NO_2). Miyazaki et al. (2019a) showed that the background O_3 measured by the DC-8 during KORUS-AQ ranges from 72 to 85 ppbv between the surface and 800 hPa over the Korean Peninsula. On top of these large background values, large emissions from the SMA are responsible for the strong formation of secondary organic aerosols (Kim et al., 2018; Nault et al., 2018) and O_3 , which can be further enhanced by biogenic emissions eastward of Seoul (Sullivan et al., 2019). Large ozone production is a result of emissions from areas characterized to be VOC-limited, such as the urbanized SMA and industrialized regions into a NO_x -limited environment over rural and forested regions. Both Oak et al. (2020) and Schroeder et al. (2020) examined O_3 production during KORUS-AQ with a focus on the SMA and surrounding regions and reported a higher ozone production efficiency over the rural areas. They pointed out higher ozone sensitivity to aromatics, followed by isoprene and alkenes. Observations over the Taehwa Research Forest east of Seoul show strong ozone production (Kim et al., 2013) because of large emissions of reactive biogenic VOCs, in particular isoprene and monoterpenes.

Deleted: A high correlation between organic aerosol and CH_2O , which is a characteristic of the importance of primary and secondary sources, was found during the campaign (Liao et al., 2019).

Deleted: forests area.





Figure 1: Location of all the KORUS-AQ DC-8 1-min merge measurements (red dots), and of the ARIAs Y-12 1-min merge measurements (green dots). The location of some major cities is also indicated (blue dots).

We evaluate the model output against the DC-8 aircraft measurements, shown in red in Figure 1, which simultaneously provide many physical and chemical parameters of the tropospheric



Deleted:

chemistry environment system (appendix A). We use the 1-minute merge file of DC-8 in-situ observations. Model outputs were linearly interpolated to the exact location of the DC-8 in latitude, longitude, pressure altitude and in time, from the 6 hourly model outputs. During the whole campaign, Simpson et al. (2020) showed that high benzene concentrations (> 1 ppbv) were only found close to the Daesan petrochemical complex. Since those large gradients of local plumes simply cannot be modeled in a global model, we systematically rejected observations when the benzene proton-transfer-reaction time-of-flight mass spectrometer (PTR-ToF-MS) measurements were higher than 1 ppb.

Deleted: we

In order to evaluate the CO sink and the impact of the assimilation of MOPITT CO retrievals on the HO_x levels, we used the OH and HO₂ calculated with the NASA Langley Research Center (LaRC) 0-D time-dependent photochemical box model (Schroeder et al., 2020). This box model is constrained by measured temperature and pressure, photolysis rates derived from actinic flux observation and observations of O₃, NO, CO, CH₄, CH₂O, PAN, H₂O₂, water vapor, and non-methane hydrocarbons. The production and loss terms of ozone is calculated for every single 1 Hz DC-8 set of observations. This is the only case where we use the 1-second merge file instead of the 1-min merge dataset file. CAM-chem outputs are interpolated accordingly. While there are some limitations for the species with longer lifetimes, subject to physical processes that are not represented, the box model has been specifically designed to estimate radical concentrations. The details and sensitivity of the calculation are described in Schroeder et al. (2020).

2.2 The ARIAs campaign

The Air Chemistry Research In Asia (ARIAs) field campaign was conducted in May and June 2016 with the goal of better quantifying and characterizing air quality over the NCP (Benish et al., 2020; Wang et al. 2018). The instrumented Y-12 airplane was operated by the Weather Modification Office of the Hebei Province to measure meteorological parameters, aerosols optical properties and trace gases. The airplane was based in Luancheng Airport, southeast of Shijiazhuang, the capital of Hebei Province, and flew vertical spirals from ~300m to ~3500 m over the cities of Julu, Quzhou, and Xingtai (Fig. 1). There were 11 research flights between May 8, 2016 and June 11, 2016. Wang et al. (2018) identified three different Planetary Boundary Layer (PBL) structures with distinct aerosol vertical structure. The aerosol pollution was mostly located below an altitude of 2 km, but sometimes with a vertically inhomogeneous structure, with higher aerosols at higher altitudes than at the surface but still in the boundary layer. These vertical structures were mostly observed when the pollution originated from the southwest and from the eastern coastal region of the study domain, while cleaner air masses originated from the northwest. CO was measured by Cavity Ring Down Spectroscopy by the Picarro Model G2401-m instrument with a 5-second precision of 4 ppbv and an estimated accuracy of $\pm 1\%$ and O₃ by UV-absorption using a Thermal Electron Model 49C ozone analyzer. O₃ values ranged from 52 ppbv to 142 ppbv, partly because flight days were chosen to target meteorological conditions favorable to smog events (Benish et al., 2020). CO concentrations ranged from 91 ppbv to about 2 ppmv (Benish et al., 2020). The pervasive high levels of CO correlated with SO₂ indicate extensive low-tech coal combustion. We rejected individual CO observations (about 5% of total CO observations) when SO₂ was greater than 20 ppbv (the 95th percentile of all observations) to remove the extremely polluted plumes.

Deleted: North China Plain

Deleted: Their analysis of the

Deleted: Therefore, we

3 Model configuration and improvements

3.1 Community Atmosphere Model with Chemistry (CAM-chem)

We use the open-source Community Earth System Model version 2.1 (CESM2.1); an overview of the modeling system and its evaluation is presented in Danabasoglu et al. (2020). It contains many new scientific features and capabilities, including an updated coupler, the Common Infrastructure for Modeling the Earth (CIME), which allows for running an ensemble of CESM runs, in parallel with a single executable. The atmosphere is modeled using the finite volume dynamical core of the Community Atmosphere Model version 6 (CAM6) with 32 vertical levels and a model top at 3.6 hPa, and a 1.25° (in longitude) by 0.95° (in latitude) horizontal resolution (Gettelman et al., 2019). The model now uses a unified parameterization of the planetary boundary layer (PBL) and shallow convection, the Cloud Layers Unified by Binormals (CLUBB, Bogenschutz et al. 2013). Other updates on the model physical parameterizations are described in Gettelman et al. (2019). The new Troposphere and Stratosphere (TS1) reduced gas phase chemical mechanism contains 221 species and 528 reactions (Emmons et al., 2020), and thus explicitly represents stratospheric and tropospheric ozone and OH chemistry. This chemical scheme contains many updates, including on the isoprene oxidation mechanism, splitting a single aromatic into BENZENE, TOLUENE and XYLENES lumped species and a terpene speciation. The overall setup of CESM2.1 has been updated following the protocol of the Coupled Model Intercomparison Project Phase 6, which includes solar forcings (Matthes et al., 2017), surface greenhouse gases boundary conditions (Meinshausen et al., 2017) and anthropogenic emissions. Therefore, we use the anthropogenic emission inventory of chemically reactive gases that has been generated by the Community Emissions Data System (CEDS, Hoesly et al., 2018). We use the latest year available (2014) for the KORUS-AQ period (2016). It is commonly acknowledged that errors in the emission inventory for China are much larger than the trends between different years (Feng et al., 2020). Anthropogenic emissions over East Asia are replaced by the KORUS inventories version 5 or KORUS v5, based on the Comprehensive Regional Emissions for Atmospheric Transport Experiment (CREATE) (Woo et al., 2012). Daily Biomass Burning emissions are obtained from the Fire Inventory from NCAR (FINN v1.5) version 1.5 (Wiedinmyer et al., 2011). Biogenic emissions are modeled within the Community Land Model, using the algorithms of the Model of Emissions of Gases and Aerosols from Nature (MEGAN v2.1) (Guenther et al., 2012). A summary of the model references is presented in Table 1. We have made some additional changes for this study, presented in Appendix B. In particular, we updated the heterogeneous uptake coefficient of HO₂ and its coefficient.

Deleted: thanks to

Deleted: implementation

Deleted: or

Table 1: Summary of the main model components and references for CESM2.1 / CAM6-Chem.

Model component	Reference
Community Earth System Model Version 2.1 (CESM2.1)	Danabasoglu et al., 2020
Community Atmosphere Model version 6 (CAM6)	Gettelman et al., 2019
Tropospheric and Stratospheric chemistry scheme (TS1)	Emmons et al., 2020
Organic aerosol scheme (with Volatility Basis Set)	Tilmes et al., 2019
Modal Aerosol Module (MAM4)	Liu et al., 2016
Community Land Model (version 5)	Lawrence et al., 2019
Model of Emissions of Gases and Aerosols from Nature (version 2.1)	Guenther et al., 2012

Formatted Table

Deleted: 2020

Inputs

Community Emissions Data System (CEDS)	Hoesly et al., 2018
Comprehensive Regional Emissions for Atmospheric Transport Experiment (CREATE) version 5 or KORUS v5	Woo et al., 2012
Fire Inventory from NCAR (FINN v1.5) version 1.5	Wiedinmyer et al., 2011
Greenhouse gases prescribed fields	Meinshausen et al., 2017
Methane net surface fluxes	Saunio et al., 2020

3.2 Sensitivity test on the biogenic emissions

The KORUS-AQ campaign was subject to photochemical episodes with large concentrations of secondary aerosols and ozone (e.g. Kim H. et al., 2018). There is a significant amount of biogenic emissions from the South Korean forests including deciduous oak trees (Lim et al., 2011) and conifers such as the Korean pine (*Pinus koraiensis*), both of which surround the Taehwa Research Forest site. As a result, there are large emissions from a variety of compounds, such as isoprene, monoterpenes and sesquiterpenes, which contribute to enhanced ozone in favorable conditions (Kim S. Y. et al., 2013; Kim S. et al., 2015, 2016; Kim H.-K et al., 2018). Oak et al. (2020) showed that the largest ozone production efficiency was in the rural areas of South Korea, where biogenic emissions are dominant. Kim et al. (2014) studied how the Plant Functional Type (PFT) distributions affect the results of biogenic emission: broadleaf trees, needleleaf trees, shrub, and herbaceous plants are significant contributors to BVOCs in South Korea. They found [large sensitivities of calculated biogenic emissions to 3 different PFT datasets](#) over Seoul, [which resulted in local but significant changes in simulated O₃](#). We performed a sensitivity analysis to the biogenic emissions by increasing the emission factors for three of the Community Land Model PFT that are present in Korea, the “Needleleaf Evergreen Temperate Tree”, the “Broadleaf Evergreen Temperate Tree”, and the “Broadleaf Deciduous Temperate Tree”. We perform a set of simulations [by varying biogenic emissions](#) to determine the best fit to the observations of [formaldehyde \(CH₂O\) at the surface \(see SI\)](#). For the sake of clarity, we will present one experiment denoted as CAM_MOP-Bio [\(see Sect. 4.6\)](#).

4. Chemical data assimilation system

4.1 Data Assimilation Research Testbed (DART) implementation

The Data Assimilation Research Testbed (DART) is an open source community facility for ensemble data assimilation developed and maintained at the National Center for Atmospheric Research (Anderson et al., 2009a). DART has been used in numerous studies for Data Assimilation (DA) within CESM (Hurrell et al., 2012, Danabasoglu et al., 2020). Global DA analyses have been carried out with assimilation of conventional meteorological datasets within the Community Atmosphere Model (CAM, Raeder et al. 2012), the Community Land Model version 4.5 or CLM4.5 (Fox et al. 2018), and in a weakly coupled atmospheric assimilation in CAM and oceanic assimilation in the Parallel Ocean Program ocean model (Karspeck et al. 2018). The Chemical Data Assimilation system inherits from previous work that coupled the Ensemble Adjustment Kalman Filter (EAKF) analysis algorithm (Anderson et al., 2001) with CAM-chem. The DART/CAM-

Deleted: missing

Deleted: data

Deleted: and a large sensitivity

Deleted: PFTs to

Deleted: temperature, which could explain some uncertainties in the MEGAN model

Deleted: methanol (CH₃OH), ethene (C₂H₄), acetaldehyde (CH₃CHO), acetone (CH₃COCH₃), methyl hydroperoxide (CH₃OOH) and

Deleted: .

Deleted: -chem

Deleted: ; more information can be found in the supplement.

chem is designed for efficient ensemble data assimilation of chemical and meteorological observations at the global scale (Arellano et al., 2007; Barré et al., 2015; Gaubert et al., 2016, 2017).

4.2 DART/CAM-chem analysis and forecast algorithm

The analysis is carried out using a deterministic ensemble square root filter, the Ensemble Adjustment Kalman Filter (EAKF) (Anderson 2001, 2003). The ensemble of 30 CAM-chem members is run with a single executable of CESM using the multi-instance capability. At the analysis step, the following model variables are updated when weather observations are assimilated: surface pressure, temperature, wind components, specific humidity, cloud liquid water and cloud ice. Assimilated observations include radiosondes, Aircraft Communication, Addressing, and Reporting System (ACARS), but also remotely sensed data including satellite drift winds and Global Positioning System (GPS) Radio Occultation. We use a similar setup as previous studies (Barré et al., 2015; Gaubert et al., 2016, 2017) with a spatial localization of 0.1 radians or ~600 km in the horizontal and 200 hPa in the vertical for both chemical and meteorological observations. We now use the spatially and temporally varying adaptive inflation enhanced algorithm (El Gharamti 2018), that generalizes the scheme of Anderson (2009b). Multiplicative covariance inflation is applied to the forecast ensemble before each analysis step.

4.3 MOPITT assimilation

As in previous implementations, both CO retrievals from MOPITT and meteorological observations are simultaneously assimilated within the DART framework. We assimilate profiles of retrieved CO from the MOPITT nadir sounding instrument onboard the NASA Terra satellite. The MOPITT V8J product (Deeter et al., 2019) is a multispectral retrieval using the CO absorption in the Thermal Infra-Red (TIR, 4.7 μm) and Near Infra-Red (NIR, 2.3 μm) bands (Worden et al., 2010). The objective is to maximize the retrieval sensitivity to the lower layers of the atmosphere while minimizing the bias. We apply the same filtering thresholds that are used to create the L3 TIR-NIR product, which exclude all observations from Pixel 3 in addition to observations where both (1) the 5A signal to noise ratio (SNR) is lower than 1000 and (2) the 6A SNR is lower than 400. We apply the strictest retrieval anomaly flags (all from 1 to 5). We only assimilate daytime measurements, where latitudes are lower than 80 degrees and when the total column degrees of freedom are higher than 0.5. Super-observations are produced by applying an error-weighted average of the profiles (Barré et al., 2015) on the CAM-chem grid, with no error correlation since we consider those to be minimized by a strict use of the quality flags, as in Gaubert et al. (2016). In general, MOPITT data have errors smaller than 10% (Tang et al., 2020; Hedelius et al., 2019), which is much lower than model errors. We evaluate our assimilation results with fully independent aircraft observations.

4.4 Ensemble design

The ensemble of prior emissions is generated by applying a spatially and temporally correlated noise to the given prior emission field, as in previous studies (Gaubert et al., 2014, 2016, 2017; Barré et al., 2015, 2016). Emission perturbations are generated from a two-dimensional Gaussian distribution with zero mean and unitary variance (Evensen, 2003), with a fixed spatial correlation

length. Here we applied the same set of perturbations for every time step, thus the prior ensemble has a temporal correlation of 1. A different noise distribution is drawn for Biomass Burning (BB) CO emissions than for anthropogenic direct CO emissions, with a decorrelation length of 250 km for BB, and 500 km for direct anthropogenic CO. Thus, as opposed to the previous studies, anthropogenic and BB CO sources are completely uncorrelated in the prior ensemble. The same noise is then applied to all the species emitted by the same source, BB or anthropogenic, including NMVOCs, the non-organic nitrogen species, SO₂, and aerosols. This means the added noise in emissions of NMVOCs and CO from the BB or anthropogenic sectors will be completely correlated. We generated another noise sample with a decorrelation length of 500 km for soil emissions of NO.

The ensemble spread in the model physics variables is important for CO, which is directly sensitive to errors in horizontal and vertical winds (both boundary layer height and convection), as well as surface exchange, and indirectly through the impact of dynamics and physics on other chemicals. In particular, a spread in the MEGAN estimates of direct and indirect CO emissions from biogenic sources will be generated from the different atmospheric states passed to the land model. We assigned a spatially and temporally uniform noise drawn from a normal distribution with a standard deviation of 0.1 to the CH₄ emissions. More work will be done to generate a realistic spread in CH₄ emissions, but that is beyond the scope of this study. The ensemble spin-up starts on April 1 2016 with perturbed emissions described above and with a spread in nudging parameters to perturb the dynamics. After a week, on April 7 2016, the Control-Run ensemble is initialized from the spin-up, this simulation is not nudged and this period is used to spin-up the inflation parameters for the assimilation of the weather observations only. The MOPITT-DA run is initialized from the Control-Run ensemble on April 15 2016.

4.5 Variable localization and parameter estimation

The multivariate error background error covariance allows for an estimation of the error correlation between the adjusted model variables or state vector and observations. As in previous studies we choose a strict “variable localization” (e.g. Kang et al., 2011), because (1) it is easier to quantify the impacts of the assimilation, such as the chemical response (Gaubert et al., 2016), as well as the model and observations errors (Gaubert et al., 2014); (2) spurious correlation can have a strong impact on the non-assimilated species that have no constraints. This strict variable localization means that the assimilation of MOPITT only corrects the chemical state vector (i.e. CO) and has no impact on the meteorological state vector (U, V, T, Q, Ps) and vice-versa. However, we made an exception and extended our chemical state vector by including CO emissions from BB and anthropogenic sources separately and several NMVOCs. We added C2H2, C2H4, C2H6, C3H8, benzene, toluene, and the XYLENES, BIGENE and BIGALK surrogate species to the state vector. The NMVOCs with a strong anthropogenic and/or BB origin that have a primary sink with OH should be strongly correlated with CO (Miyazaki et al., 2012). The relationships between NMVOCs and CO leads to a correlation in their errors, so that the correlation existing in the ensemble will reflect those true errors. In addition to the initial spread described above, spatially and temporally varying adaptive inflation is also applied to the optimized CO Surface Flux (SFCO) model variable during the analysis procedure.

In CAM-chem, a diurnal profile is not applied to the emissions, instead emissions are interpolated from the dates provided in the inventories, which is daily for BB and monthly for anthropogenic

Deleted: that

Deleted: strongly

Deleted: ¶

Moved (insertion) [2]

Formatted: Not Superscript/ Subscript

Formatted: Not Superscript/ Subscript

Formatted: Not Superscript/ Subscript

Formatted: Not Superscript/ Subscript

Formatted: Not Superscript/ Subscript

Formatted: Not Superscript/ Subscript

Formatted: Not Superscript/ Subscript

Formatted: Not Superscript/ Subscript

Formatted: Not Superscript/ Subscript

Deleted: ¶

In addition, several NMVOCs have been added to the state vector and consequently are also updated by the MOPITT observations.

Moved up [2]: We added C2H2, C2H4, C2H6, C3H8, benzene, toluene, and the XYLENES, BIGENE and BIGALK surrogate species to the state vector.

Formatted: Not Superscript/ Subscript

Formatted: Not Superscript/ Subscript

Formatted: Not Superscript/ Subscript

Formatted: Not Superscript/ Subscript

Formatted: Not Superscript/ Subscript

Formatted: Not Superscript/ Subscript

Formatted: Not Superscript/ Subscript

Formatted: Not Superscript/ Subscript

Formatted: Not Superscript/ Subscript

Formatted: Not Superscript/ Subscript

Deleted: We also optimized the CO emissions from BB and anthropogenic sources separately.

Formatted: Not Superscript/ Subscript

Deleted: surface flux (SF)

sources. The relative increments obtained from the analysis in the form of the surface fluxes model variable ($\Delta SFCO$) is propagated back to the input files emissions (E) following:

$$E_i^{analysis} = E_i^{prior} \left(1 + w \frac{\Delta SFCO_i}{SFCO_i} \right) \quad (2)$$

where i is an ensemble member and $w = a e^{-\frac{t}{\tau}}$ is a weight to represent the temporal representativeness and to limit the impact of spurious correlation. At the analysis time ($t=0$), the weight will be $w=a$, with $a=0.8$, i.e. 80 % of the initial increments in Eq. 2. For the other time steps t , the exponential decay characteristic time, τ , is set to 4 days in the case of BB and 4 months in the case of anthropogenic emissions. The impact of the increments will therefore decrease exponentially for the other time steps t from 0.8 to 0, which is imposed (bounded) for 2τ (8 months or 8 days). This makes a strong correction for the current time and the closest time step. This allows for smoothing the increments over time while hopefully leading to a convergence through the sequential correction of the emissions during the assimilation run.

4.6 Simulations overview

In section 5, two simulations with the assimilation of meteorological observations will be presented, the Control-Run and the MOPITT-DA and the difference between the two simulations is the assimilation of MOPITT in the MOPITT-DA run. In the MOPITT-DA assimilation run, the initial conditions of CO and some NMVOCs, and CO emission inventories from anthropogenic and biomass burning sources, are optimized during the analysis step. The summary of the simulations presented in the following sections is presented in Table 2.

In section 6, we compare our emission estimates with a state-of-the-art chemical data assimilation and inversion system, the Tropospheric Chemistry Reanalysis version 2 or TCR-2 (Miyazaki et al., 2019b, Miyazaki et al., 2020b). They assimilate a variety of satellite instruments using the Local Ensemble Transform Kalman Filter (LETKF, Hunt et al. 2007) with the MIROC-chem model (Wanatabe et al. 2011). The setup is fully described and evaluated in Miyazaki et al. (2020b). We regridded the anthropogenic prior and posterior CO estimate from their $1.125^\circ \times 1.125^\circ$ mesh grid to the CAM-chem grid. In the TCR-2, the prior anthropogenic emission is HTAP v2 for 2010 (Janssens-Maenhout et al., 2015).

Additional sensitivity tests will be performed using deterministic CAM-chem simulations (Table 2) and presented in section 7. In this case, since no meteorological data assimilation is performed, the dynamics from the prognostic variables U, V, and T need to be nudged towards the NASA GMAO GEOS5.12 meteorological analysis in order to reproduce the meteorological variability. The GEOS analysis is first regridded on the CAM-chem horizontal and vertical mesh. The nudging is driven by two factors: the strength, a normalized coefficient that ranges between 0 and 1; and the frequency of the nudging, here configured to use 6-hourly outputs from either the GEOS5 reanalysis or our own DART CAM-chem Control-Run. Based on an ensemble of sensitivity tests (SI), we use the nudging setup that minimizes the meteorological errors for the KORUS-AQ observations. This best simulation is the g-post-0.72, hereafter denoted as CAM_Kv5 (Table 2), and will serve as a reference for the additional sensitivity simulation experiments. Aside from the Control-Run and the MOPITT-DA, the CAM-chem simulations have the same nudging setup, and only differ by the CO anthropogenic emissions flux. In addition, the CAM_MOP-Bio is the same as the CAM_MOP but with an overall increase in the MEGAN emission factor. Note that the simulations denoted as CAM_HTAP (TCR-2 Prior) and CAM_TCR-2 (TCR-2 Posterior) are

Deleted: SF

Deleted: $\frac{\Delta SFCO_i}{SFCO_i}$ → + + + + + (4)

Deleted: 3

Deleted: sections

Deleted: and 6

Moved (insertion) [3]

Moved (insertion) [4]

Deleted: additional

Deleted: Prior

Deleted: -chem

Deleted: -chem posterior

Deleted: ¶

We

Moved up [3]: compare our emission estimates with a state-of-the-art chemical data assimilation and inversion system, the Tropospheric Chemistry Reanalysis version 2 or TCR-2 (Miyazaki et al., 2019b, Miyazaki et al., 2020b). They assimilate a variety of satellite instruments using the Local Ensemble Transform Kalman Filter (LETKF, Hunt et al. 2007) with the MIROC-chem model (Wanatabe et al. 2011). The setup is fully described and evaluated in Miyazaki et al. (2020b).

Deleted: We regridded the anthropogenic posterior CO estimate from their $1.125^\circ \times 1.125^\circ$ mesh grid to the CAM-chem grid and perform a simulation with anthropogenic CO and related tag from the TCR-2 posterior emissions

Moved up [4]: . In the TCR-2, the prior anthropogenic emission is HTAP v2 for 2010 (Janssens-Maenhout et al., 2015).

CAM-Chem simulations with the respective anthropogenic CO emissions from TCR-2. We also use the Copernicus Atmosphere Monitoring Service (CAMS) global bottom-up emission inventory (Granier et al. 2019; Elguindi et al., 2020). We use the CAMS-GLOB-ANTv3.1, which has only minor changes with regards to the most recent version (v4.2). The gridded inventory is available at a spatial resolution of $0.1^\circ \times 0.1^\circ$ and at a monthly temporal resolution for the years 2000-2020. It is built on the EDGARv4.3.2 annual emissions (Crippa et al., 2018) and extrapolated to the most current years using linear trends fit to the years 2011-2014 from the CEDS global inventory. [We included artificial CO tracers or “CO tags”, to track the anthropogenic contribution from different geographic area sources \(e.g., Gaubert et al., 2016\).](#)

Table 2: Summary of the simulations. The Nudging (GEOS) refers to a CAM-Chem deterministic runs with specified dynamics, using a nudging to GEOS-FP analysis winds and temperatures (see supplement). Aside from the DART simulations (first 2 rows), all the simulations have the same initial conditions and the same nudging and only change by their anthropogenic CO emissions inputs.

Simulation name	Meteorology	Emissions (prior)	Formatted Table
Control-Run	Assimilation (DART)	Prior (CEDS-KORUS-v5)	
MOPITT-DA	Assimilation (DART)	Optimized (CEDS-KORUS-v5)	
CAM_Kv5	Nudging (GEOS)	Prior (CEDS-KORUS-v5)	Deleted: Prior
CAM_HTAP	Nudging (GEOS)	Prior (HTAP v2)	Deleted: TCR-2-Prior
CAM_MOP	Nudging (GEOS)	Posterior (CEDS-KORUS-v5)	Deleted: Posterior
CAM_MOP-Bio	Nudging (GEOS)	Posterior (CEDS-KORUS-v5) + MEGANx2 (see SI)	Deleted: -Chem
CAM_TCR-2	Nudging (GEOS)	Posterior (TCR-2, HTAP v2)	
CAM_CAMS	Nudging (GEOS)	CAMS (CAMS-GLOB-ANTv3.1)	

5 Assimilation results: Evaluation of the posterior CO during KORUS-AQ

We use the fully independent DC-8 [Differential Absorption CO Measurement \(DACOM CO\)](#) measurements to evaluate the MOPITT assimilation. Figure 2 compares the averaged vertical profiles for the 4 different mission weather regime phases (Peterson et al., 2019) and the average and standard deviation of all the flights. Observed background CO in the upper free troposphere is between 100 ppbv and 125 ppbv and [shows](#) a variation of around 10 % between the different phases. The Control-Run shows an average background between 70 ppbv and 100 ppbv for the four phases and 80 ppbv for the full KORUS-AQ period, while the MOPITT-DA varies between 80 ppbv and 110 ppbv for the 4 phases with an average of 90 ppbv for the KORUS-AQ period. [The RMSE in MOPITT-DA is reduced by around 10 ppbv compared to the Control-Run, for the free troposphere \(700 hPa to 300 hPa, Fig. 2\).](#)

For the layers closer to the surface, the temporal variations are much stronger. During Phase 3, observed CO is 44 % and 30 % higher than the campaign average at 850 hPa and 950 hPa, respectively. While this feature is much better reproduced after assimilation, absolute RMSE values remain large. Overall, the bias is greatly reduced for the MOPITT-DA in the layers between 850 hPa and 650 hPa. We note that the mean CO is still lower than the average observations. The MOPITT-DA shows at the 950 hPa and 850 hPa levels an underestimation of around 30 ppbv, i.e.

Formatted Table

Deleted: Prior

Deleted: TCR-2-Prior

Deleted: Posterior

Deleted: -Chem

Deleted: show

Deleted: Because of the reduction of the CO in the middle troposphere (700 hPa to 300 hPa), the

Deleted: .

between 10 % and 20 % lower than the observations. This is in the range of the expected performance given the retrieval uncertainties (10 %) and the spatial footprints of MOPITT pixels (22km x 22km)




Figure 2: Average CO profiles (left panels) and related RMSE (right panels) for the Control-Run and the MOPITT-DA. The mean (black line) and standard deviation (shaded grey) of the DC-8 observations are calculated for each 100 hPa bin. The first 4 rows are averaged over the different weather regimes of the campaign (Peterson et al. 2019). The last row displays the average over the whole campaign.

Deleted:

5.1 VOCs state vector augmentation

Concentrations of some VOCs have been added to the state vector and are therefore optimized, according to the covariance estimated by the ensemble, when MOPITT observations are assimilated. This setup will only provide meaningful corrections if CO and VOCs errors are highly correlated through common atmospheric and emission processes and if the ensemble samples those errors in the background error covariance. In this case VOCs analysis errors should be reduced by assimilating MOPITT CO, even though VOCs are not directly observed.

Formatted: Font: Calibri, 11 pt, Font color: Text 1

Deleted: This means that if true errors in the VOCs are not correlated to CO, only noise will be introduced in the ensemble of chemicals. However, if there is a correlation between the modeled CO state and VOCs, then errors could be reduced

Formatted: Font: Calibri, 11 pt, Font color: Text 1

Formatted: Font color: Text 1

Table 3: VOCs added to the state vector, corresponding measuring instrument, and lifetime (Simpson et al., 2020) used for validation. For comparison with surrogate species, the sum of all the corresponding VOC observations is used. WAS stands for Whole Air Sampler.

Model Variable	Observations	Lifetime (days)
C ₂ H ₆	<u>ethane</u> (WAS)	48
C ₂ H ₂	<u>ethyne</u> (WAS)	15
C ₃ H ₈	<u>propane</u> (WAS)	11
BENZENE	<u>benzene</u> (PTRMS)	9.5
BIGALK	<u>i-butane, n-butane, i-pentane, n-pentane, n-hexane, n-heptane, n-octane, n-nonane, n-decane</u> (WAS)	3.5 +/- 1.6
TOLUENE	<u>toluene</u> (PTRMS)	2.1
C ₂ H ₄	<u>ethene</u> (WAS)	1.5
XYLENES	<u>mp-xylene, o-xylene</u> (WAS)	0.7 +/- 0.2
BIGENE	<u>1-butene, i-butene, trans-2-butene, cis-2-butene, 1-3-butadiene</u> (WAS)	0.2 +/- 0.1

Formatted Table

Deleted: Ethane

Deleted: Ethyne

Deleted: Propane

Deleted: Benzene

Deleted: Butane

Deleted: Butane

Deleted: Pentane

Deleted: Pentane

Deleted: Hexane

Deleted: Heptane

Deleted: Octane

Deleted: Nonane

Deleted: Decane

Deleted: Toluene

Deleted: Ethene

Deleted: Xylene

Deleted: Xylene

Deleted: Butene

Deleted: Butene

Deleted: Butene

Deleted: Butadiene

The list of optimized VOCs is shown in Table 3, together with their lifetime and the corresponding species from the Whole Air Sampler (WAS) instrument used for evaluation. An increase in concentration is found for all 9 VOCs in the MOPITT-DA simulation, either because of the state augmentation, and/or because of the reduction in OH due to CO adjustments. Even if the changes are small, this can lead to an increase in errors for the vertical profiles compared to observations when the species is already overestimated in the lower layer of the atmosphere. This is the case for C₂H₄ and BIGENE, the only two species that have substantial biogenic and fire sources, as well as for xylenes and toluene. For all the other species, which are underestimated and are mostly from anthropogenic sources, the assimilation leads to an improvement compared to the observations, mostly by reducing their biases. The best results are obtained for ethane and to a lesser extent propane (Fig. 3). Despite the broad anthropogenic source, ethane and propane originate from sectors that are quite different from CO. However, CO, ethane and propane have one thing in common which is that their only atmospheric chemical sink is through OH oxidation. This suggests that a bias in OH leads to correlated errors between CO and alkanes that can be mitigated by including these species to the state vector.

Deleted: major

Deleted:

We define a metric of improvement based on the relative change in RMSE that is positive when the RMSE is reduced. Figure 3 shows a clear dependence of this metric on the atmospheric lifetime of the VOCs. All the modeled VOCs with a lifetime shorter than 5 days show an increase in errors, while all the VOCs with a lifetime greater than 10 days are improved, with the largest improvement

for ethane, which has a lifetime of 48 days. The relatively large spatial and temporal scales of CO that arise due to its medium atmospheric lifetime significantly limit the ability of CO assimilation to resolve the high-frequency changes in those compounds with short lifetimes. More importantly, this is also to be expected given the limited sensitivity of the MOPITT observations to boundary layer CO.

While satellite observation spatiotemporal resolution and sampling might be improved in the future, NMVOCs with a lifetime shorter than several days should not be included in the state vector when assimilating CO. However, the concentrations of NMVOCs with strong anthropogenic or BB sources and similar chemical characteristics to CO might be significantly improved by the assimilation. We believe that this could also be true for methane.





Figure 3: Atmospheric lifetime (from Simpson et al., 2020) in days for the VOCs added to the state vector. Xylenes, BIGALK and BIGENES are surrogate species, so an average of the lifetimes is calculated. The relative error change is the opposite of the difference in Root Mean Square Error relative to the Control-Run (i.e., $(\text{Control-Run-MOPITT-DA})/\text{Control-Run}$). Thus, a positive relative error change means an improvement compared to the Control-Run.

Deleted:

Deleted: control.

Deleted: compare

Deleted: control run

5.2 Chemical response from MOPITT-DA

This section presents a short summary of the impact of the CO assimilation on the chemical state of the atmosphere and the comparison with unobserved species. Figure 4 shows the average vertical profiles for OH, HO₂, NO, NO₂, CH₂O and O₃. We use simulated OH and HO₂ from the observationally constrained NASA LaRC box model (Schroeder et al., 2020). The Control-Run and the LaRC box models agree on the mean OH spanning the first two binned layers, at lower altitudes. Aloft, the Control-Run overestimates the LaRC box model simulations. The Control-Run underestimates HO₂, which suggests that the excellent agreement on OH in the boundary layer is

likely caused by compensating errors. That is, the increase of CO through the MOPITT assimilation decreases the OH concentrations (Gaubert et al., 2016). Here, we find better agreement of the model OH with the observationally constrained LaRC box model simulation at 750 hPa and above. This in turn increases HO₂ and shows a better match with the LaRC box model. This suggests that a small part of the HO₂ underestimation can be explained by the CO underestimation. NO and NO₂ are reasonably well modeled for the surface layer, but are underestimated above, with a large underestimation at 850 hPa. The underestimation of NO_x might explain the underestimation of HO₂. Additional comparison with HNO₃, J(O₃), J(NO₂) and H₂O₂ and PAN are shown in Figure S2. It suggests that the underestimation of NO_x could be due to the underestimation of J(NO₂) and the overestimation of HNO₃. Despite the update of the HO₂ heterogeneous uptake reaction and coefficient presented in appendix B, the CO increase leads to higher levels of H₂O₂, and the bias is therefore higher in the MOPITT-DA than the Control-Run (Figure S2). A lower value of the HO₂ heterogeneous uptake coefficient than the one used here ($\gamma=0.1$) might produce better results by reducing the HO₂ sink (see Appendix B). It suggests that errors in NO_x and related chemistry drive the underestimation of HO₂ and of the sum of OH and HO₂ (HO_x). Overall, HO_x is underestimated, and OH is fairly well simulated. This suggests that the CO chemical sink alone cannot explain the CO underestimation during the campaign. Alternatively, CH₂O is underestimated in both simulations, suggesting an underprediction of the chemical production of secondary CO. A similar effect to that described in Gaubert et al. (2016) is shown, where an increase in CO through the sequential assimilation leads to reduced OH and is slowing down of the VOC oxidation rate and formaldehyde formation, albeit a small effect. In the lower part of the atmosphere, the oxidation of additional CO leads to more effective ozone production and no changes above, consistent with observations. While the errors in NO_x are important, the low CH₂O points to a missing source, which could be due to an underestimation of CH₄ as well as NMVOCs (Appendix B).

Deleted: .

Deleted: This suggests that the HO₂ underestimation can be partly explained by the CO underestimation.

Overall, the sum of OH and HO₂ is underestimated, and therefore

Deleted: extra

Deleted: The

Deleted: and O₃

Deleted: NMVOC

Deleted: . The comparison with NO, NO₂, HNO₃, J(O₃), J(NO₂) and H₂O₂ and PAN (shown in Figure S2 of the Supplement) suggests that while the NO_x levels are reasonably simulated, J(NO₂) is underestimated and HNO₃ overestimated

Deleted: might explain the HO_x partitioning. A lower value of the HO₂ heterogeneous uptake coefficient than the one used here ($\gamma=0.1$) might produce better results by reducing the HO₂ sink (see

Formatted: Font color: Black

Deleted: A2

Formatted: Font color: Black





Figure 4: Average vertical profiles of OH (top left) HO₂ (top right) for the 1-sec merge and the LaRC box model estimates (Schroeder et al., 2020). Results are shown for DC-8 1-min merge observations for NO (middle left), NO₂ (middle right), CH₂O (bottom left) and O₃ measurements (bottom right). The shaded area corresponds to the standard-deviation around the observed mean.

Deleted: for
 Deleted: grey
 Formatted: Font: Not Bold, Font color: Black
 Formatted: Justified, Tab stops: Not at 0.64" + 1.27" + 1.91" + 2.54" + 3.18" + 3.82" + 4.45" + 5.09" + 5.73" + 6.36" + 7" + 7.63" + 8.27" + 8.91" + 9.54" + 10.18"

6. Comparison of anthropogenic emission estimates

We show in Figure 5 the emissions of the prior (CEDS-KORUSv5), and its posterior, estimated through the DART/CAM-chem inversion. It also shows the prior (HTAP v2) from the TCR-2 and its posterior estimate, for which CO emissions are also constrained by MOPITT. We also show the CAMS emissions.





Figure 5: Emissions flux for May 2016 in $\text{MgCO}\cdot\text{month}^{-1}$. Prior (A, CEDS-KORUS v5), TCR-2-Prior (B, HTAP v2) and the difference between the 2 priors (C, TCR-2-Prior - Prior). The second row shows the Posterior (D, estimated by DART/CAM-Chem), the TCR-2 (E) and the difference between the 2 posteriors. The last row shows the emissions increments, the difference between the Posterior and the Prior (G) and between TCR-2 and TCR-2-Prior (H). The CAMS emissions are shown on the last panel (I).

Compared to the prior (Fig. 5a), our posterior estimate (Fig. 5d) shows a reduction around the Guizhou province, in southwest China. Larger changes are observed for the Shandong and Henan provinces in central China and over the Yangtze River Delta (Fig. 5g). Increases in emissions are also large in the NCP and the Liaoning Province. While both inversions show large increase over northern China (Fig. 5g, h), the spatial patterns of the emissions are different between the posterior and the TCR-2 for northern China. The TCR-2 emission increments are located more in the NCP and North Korea (Fig. 5f). Large differences can be identified in central China in particular over the YRD (Fig. 5h). The Shanghai megacity emissions are higher in the DART/CAM-chem posterior (Fig. 5d) and the TCR-2 prior (Fig. 5b) than in the TCR-2 posterior (Fig. 5e). A more consistent pattern of larger emissions in the TCR-2 compared to our posterior is found in southern China and the Sichuan province (Fig. 5f and Fig. 5h). Prior emissions of CO, biogenic and anthropogenic VOCs and NOx can all contribute to differences between the TCR-2 and our DART/CAM-chem estimate. Another important aspect is the 500 km correlation length initial perturbation to generate the ensemble of anthropogenic emissions, combined with a similar localization radius of ~600 km,

Deleted: 6 Anthropogenic CO Emissions, evaluation during ARIAs and KORUS-AQ

This section presents the sensitivities to the choice of anthropogenic CO inventories and to biogenic NMVOCs emissions. We further evaluate the simulated profiles of CO , O_3 , OH , and HO_2 with the observations from ARIAs and KORUS-AQ.

6.1



Deleted:

Deleted: North China Plain

Deleted: North China Plains

Deleted: 5h

Deleted: 5f). In the absence

Deleted: a model for

Deleted: emissions, the spatial patterns of the prior emissions are important and likely

Deleted: explain the difference

which explains the large-scale increments found in the DART/CAM-chem emissions increments (Fig 5g). The TCR-2 prior show more emissions over North Korea than South Korea (Fig 5b) and the opposite is true for the DART/CAM-Chem prior (Fig 6c). This is reflected in the posterior where the TCR-2 has more emissions in North Korea than the DART/CAM-Chem posterior (Fig. 5f). Compared to its prior, the DART/CAM-Chem posterior emissions are increased by 25 % for South Korea, and by 34 % over the SMA. While the CAMS emissions are generally lower (Fig. 5i), the South Korean emissions are larger than in all the other inventories.

Deleted: 6g

Deleted: 6b

Deleted: Compare

Deleted: with a larger change

Deleted: (Fig. 5g).

Our inversion suggests an underestimation of bottom-up emission inventories for China. The agreement between the posterior emissions for Central China is better than for the bottom-up inventory (Fig. 6). The difference between CAMS (3.65 TgCO.Month⁻¹) and the CEDS-KORUSv5 (5.7 TgCO.Month⁻¹) is twice as high as the difference between DART/CAM-chem posterior (7.6 TgCO.Month⁻¹) and TCR-2 (8.7 TgCO.Month⁻¹). On average, the increase in emissions due to assimilation is about 33 % for central China and nearly doubled (80 %) in Northern China, from 2.7 TgCO.Month⁻¹ to 4.9 TgCO.Month⁻¹. TCR-2 suggests higher emissions (5.7 TgCO.Month⁻¹), while the CAMS estimate is lower (1.8 TgCO.Month⁻¹). More work should be dedicated to check whether the assumptions made on the prior estimates impact the retrieved emissions. This includes improving the regional distribution and scaling up the baseline prior CO emissions, but also how much the model uncertainties in the OH chemical sink impact the CO inversions (e.g., Müller et al., 2018). A comparison of the amount of residential coal burning emissions in bottom-up inventories could help in understanding the discrepancy and quantifying potential offsets (Chen et al., 2017; Cheng M., et al., 2017; Zhi et al., 2017, Benish et al., 2020).

Deleted: assimilation

Deleted: overall

Deleted: (Fig. 6), consistent with previous studies.

Deleted: is

Deleted: is two times higher than

Deleted: improving the regional distribution and scaling up the baseline emissions.

For South Korea, a relatively smaller difference between the posterior and the prior suggests an improved bottom-up inventory. However, the smaller area of South Korea is much less constrained by MOPITT, and the overall estimate seems to be determined by the prior distribution. For instance, the TCR-2 shows larger emissions over North Korea and the Pyongyang area while DART/CAM-chem and CAMS suggests larger emissions for the SMA. Therefore, the CAMS total emissions that show a similar pattern (0.18 TgCO.Month⁻¹) are in good agreement with the DART/CAM-chem (0.16 TgCO.Month⁻¹) while the TCR-2 has a total of 0.07 TgCO.Month⁻¹. For Japan, where biomass burning and low-tech coal combustion are rare, the total is nearly unchanged in contrast to the other regions, and emissions are increased from 0.38 to 0.41 TgCO.Month⁻¹ or 8 %.

Deleted: than for





Figure 6: Anthropogenic CO emissions for May 2016 for Central China (91E, 29N to 124E, 38N), North China (91E, 38N to 130E, 49N), South Korea (125E, 33.5N to 129E, 38N) and Japan (130E, 30N to 146E, 44N).

7. Evaluation of the simulated vertical profiles against ARIAs and KORUS-AQ

This section presents the evaluation of the simulated profiles of CO, O₃, OH, and HO₂ with the observations from ARIAs and KORUS-AQ.

7.1 Mean profile during ARIAs and KORUS-AQ

Figure 7 shows the average CO vertical profiles for the ARIAs and the KORUS-AQ campaigns. For the ARIAs field campaign, we bin the profiles into 50 hPa bins. Overall, CO observations show a strong variability, with large enhancement over a background of around 170 ppbv found at 775 hPa and above. Benish et al. (2020) show that the median of the observed CO values in the lowest 500 meters is around 400 ppbv. Using additional enhancement ratios, the measurements indicate low-efficiency fossil fuel combustion, that could originate from residential coal burning and gasoline vehicles as well as crop residue burning such as straw from winter wheat. The MOPITT-DA and the TCR-2 overestimate the CO concentrations compared to the measurements for this surface layer although this overestimate is smaller by 60 % for TCR-2 and by 30 % for MOPITT-DA when a value higher than 20 ppbv SO₂ (the approximate 95th percentile) is used to define plumes for exclusion. The CAM-chem posterior simulated CO concentrations, that just use the smoothed



Deleted:

Deleted: 6.2

Deleted: 6.2

Formatted: English (UK)

posterior emissions from the MOPITT-DA have a mean value closer to the observations. While both simulations do not have exactly the same transport, the remaining underestimation is likely to be due to the sequential data assimilation in the MOPITT-DA runs that compensate for the remaining biases. Interestingly, the HTAP v2 inventory that was for the year 2010 still provides good CO profiles (CAM HTAP). The CAM Kv5, a nudged CAM-chem simulation, and the Control-Run, underestimate CO concentration, with slight differences due to transport. The modeled profile with CAMS emissions profiles is the lowest CO of all simulations. For altitudes ranging between 900 hPa and 600 hPa, the bias is lowest using the TCR-2 emissions or with the MOPITT-DA, because these emissions are more spatially representative of regional pollution (Wang et al., 2018). This confirms that the free-tropospheric background is too low in CAM Kv5 and CAM-CAMS. The MOPITT-DA naturally shows the lowest bias in CO concentrations in the free troposphere. The 875 hPa (900 to 850 hPa) layer mean (and median) observed ozone during ARIAs (Benish et al., 2020) is around 80 to 90 ppbv and the mean peaks at 90 ppbv. For this layer, higher O₃ was found for simulations with higher CO. While it suggests that reducing CO biases can improve O₃, NO₂ and NMVOCs such as aromatics seem to play an important role in the ozone

Deleted: close

Deleted: TCR-2-Prior

Deleted: -chem prior

Formatted: English (UK)

Formatted: English (UK)

Deleted: above

Deleted: when using CEDS-KORUSv5

Formatted: Font: 12 pt, Not Bold

Deleted: emissions

Deleted: The

Deleted: at 875 hPa (900 to 850 hPa).



Deleted: are better reproduce the large O₃ peak. It means

Deleted: the

Deleted: acts as a substantive precursor for

formation in the region (Benish et al., 2020). The mean O₃ concentration is still underestimated by around 10 ppbv in the free troposphere.

Deleted: production, and is more consistent with the observations.



Deleted:

Figure 7: Average CO vertical profiles for the ARIAs campaign (top panel) and the KORUS-AQ (bottom panel). Observations were filtered out when SO₂ was higher than 20 ppbv for ARIAs and benzene higher than 1 ppbv for KORUS-AQ. The black line shows the observation mean and the shaded area is the observation standard deviation. Only mean CO or O₃ are shown for the model simulations.

Two groups appear when comparing to KORUS-AQ observations. The Control-Run and CAM Kv5, using CEDS-KORUS v5 with two different model dynamics, and CAM CAMS are simulating a lower CO and show a severe low bias of more than 100 ppbv at the surface. The second group includes the CAM-chem simulations using posterior emission estimates. Those simulations are quite close together with an average for all altitude layers of CO of 141 ppbv and 145 ppbv

Deleted: ,

Deleted: prior group

Deleted: CAMS and

Deleted: KORUSv5

Deleted: ,

Formatted: English (UK)

Deleted: the DART/CAM-Chem emissions or the TCR-2 emissions.

with a bias of 31 % and 29 % respectively (Table 4). This is to be compared with their priors that have an average CO of 116 ppbv and 125 ppbv, which implies an underestimation of 43 % and 39 %, respectively. Correcting only the bias in anthropogenic emissions is not as efficient as the joint optimization of anthropogenic emissions and sequential optimization of initial conditions through data assimilation (MOPITT-DA). It suggests that other sources of errors such as transport and chemistry can be mitigated by state assimilation. The MOPITT-DA has an average CO of 179 ppbv, resulting in 12 % underestimation on average (Table 4), which is well between the range in measurement and representativeness errors. Aside from CAM CAMS, the modeled free tropospheric O₃ shows no particular bias. The enhancement of observed O₃ closer to the surface is underestimated in all simulations. The optimized emissions lead to an increase of a few ppb in O₃, bringing those simulations closer to the observations. In summary, using top-down estimates of CO emissions clearly improves the CO and O₃ vertical profiles against independent observations over China and Korea.

Table 4: Comparison of CO (ppbv) measured aboard the DC-8 and model simulation for all altitudes. Statistical indicators are calculated for phase 1 (7 flight days, 2952 observations), phase 2 (4 flight days, 2029 observations), phase 3 (3 flight days, 1243 observations), phase 4 (5 flight days, 2448 observations) and the whole campaign (20 flight days, 9099 observations).

	CO (1)	Bias (%)	CO (2)	Bias (%)	CO (3)	Bias (%)	CO (4)	Bias (%)	CO (All)	Bias (%)
Observation	173.1		198.3		246.8		211.2		203.6	
Control-Run	114.5	-33.8	108.6	-45.2	138.7	-43.8	115.3	-45.4	118.6	-41.8
MOPITT-DA	146.5	-15.4	168.3	-15.1	230.6	-6.6	182.8	-13.5	178.5	-12.4
CAM CAMS	108.1	-37.6	110.4	-44.3	112.2	-54.5	119	-43.6	112.8	-44.6
CAM Kv5	112.3	-35.1	110.8	-44.1	124.7	-49.5	115.7	-45.2	115.9	-43.1
CAM HTAP	118.7	-31.4	115.3	-41.8	137.3	-44.4	128.5	-39.2	124.6	-38.8
CAM MOP	136	-21.4	131.8	-33.5	157.1	-36.3	139.5	-33.9	140.9	-30.8
CAM TCR-2	138.4	-20	128.9	-35	174.4	-29.3	146.1	-30.8	145	-28.8
CAM MOP-Bio	138.4	-20.1	137.2	-30.8	163	-34	151.8	-28.1	147.2	-27.7

7.2 Weather induced dynamical change in CO during KORUS-AQ

Figure 8 shows the CO anomalies during KORUS-AQ for the observations and the simulations. The CO anomalies are largest in phase 3, with an enhancement of almost 100 ppb at 850 hPa. This transport phase, defined and described in Peterson et al. (2019) was characterized by high levels of ozone (>60 ppbv) and PM_{2.5} (>50 µg/m³) because of efficient transport of low-level pollution (Huang et al., 2018; Miyazaki et al., 2019; Choi et al., 2019). The model reasonably reproduced the variability of the different phases, albeit with insufficient magnitude. The desired magnitude is only achieved when including data assimilation. Updating the anthropogenic emissions from the bottom-up to the top-down inventories improved the representation of the CO anomalies. This suggests that weather patterns and the direct anthropogenic emissions explain some of the CO variability during the campaign. However, since only the MOPITT-DA simulation is reproducing

- Formatted: English (UK)
- Deleted: means
- Formatted: English (UK)
- Formatted: English (UK)
- Formatted: Font: Times, Font color: Black
- Formatted: Font: Times, Font color: Black
- Deleted:). It means other confounding factors can play a role, such as errors
- Formatted: Font: Times, Font color: Black
- Deleted: transport or chemistry.
- Formatted: Font: Times, 10 pt, Font color: Black
- Formatted: Font: Times, Font color: Black
- Formatted: Font: Times, Font color: Black
- Formatted: Font: Times, Font color: Black, Not Superscript/Subscript
- Formatted: Font: Times, Font color: Black
- Formatted: Font: Times, Font color: Black, English (UK)
- Formatted: Font: Times, Font color: Black
- Formatted: Font: Times, Font color: Black, Not Superscript/Subscript
- Formatted: Font: Times, Font color: Black
- Formatted: Font: Times, Font color: Black, Not Superscript/Subscript
- Formatted: Font: Times, Font color: Black
- Formatted: English (US)
- Formatted: Font: Times
- Formatted: Font: Times
- Formatted: Font: Times
- Formatted: Font: Times
- Formatted: Font: Times
- Deleted: Prior
- Formatted: Font: Times
- Deleted: TCR-2-Prior
- Formatted: Font: Times
- Deleted: Posterior
- Formatted: Font: Times
- Formatted: Font: Times
- Formatted: Font: Times
- Deleted: -Chem
- Formatted: Font: Times
- Deleted: 6.2
- Formatted: Font color: Black
- Deleted: most
- Formatted: Font color: Black

well the anomalies, it suggests that chemistry and transport are important too. Large-scale subsidence and reduced wind speeds during the anticyclone of phase 2 were marked by the lowest CO anomalies and are also better reproduced with the updated emissions. Over South Korea, running CAM-chem with the CAMS emissions shows the largest anthropogenic CO from South Korean sources at the surface for the 4 phases and is likely to produce more realistic simulation since CO is constantly underestimated. This cannot be seen for the total CO since most of the CO is not from South Korean direct anthropogenic sources. The profile tags of the contributions from Central China and Northern China are approximately doubled with the optimized emissions, consistent with Tang et al. (2019). As shown in the previous section, the CAM TCR-2 and the DART/CAM-chem posteriors have the largest emissions from China and therefore the largest contribution of the CO tags from both Northern and Central China.

Formatted: Font color: Black





Figure 8: Average CO anomalies for the four different phases of KORUS-AQ (first column). The anomaly is defined by subtracting the respective average vertical profile (see Fig. 2). Absolute vertical profiles of the CO tags are shown from South Korea (Second column), Central China (third column) and Northern China (fourth column). Each row corresponds to a different phase.

Deleted:



We will now focus on two case studies, Phase 2 and Phase 3, for which the highest ozone was observed at the surface in South Korea during KORUS-AQ (Peterson et al., 2019).

7.3 Phase 2 case study: the anticyclonic phase

A large-scale anticyclone occurred from 17 May 2016 to 22 May 2016 with increased surface temperatures, reduced wind speed and drier conditions, all of which enhance ozone production (Peterson et al., 2019). The conditions were also favorable to an increase in biogenic emissions. As shown in the previous sections, this episode was characterized by negative CO anomalies that were best captured by the MOPITT-DA simulations. This anomaly is reflected through lower OH and higher O₃ between 800 hPa and 400 hPa (Figure 9). This indicates rather clean air masses, probably with larger stratospheric contribution. This episode is driven by the overall weather pattern with a clear enhancement of HO_x and O₃ towards the surface. In this case, changes in the anthropogenic CO only play a minor role, still the O₃ is modeled better with a reduction of the bias by 1 ppbv between the posterior and the prior (Table 5). The increase in biogenic emissions leads to an improvement in O₃ by further reducing the bias at the surface (Figure 9). Over the whole profile, the bias is reduced by 3 ppbv (4 ppbv against the prior) for the CAM_{MOP}-Bio, compared to the CAM_{MOP}, with a reduction in RMSE as well (Table 5).

Deleted: 6.2

Deleted: for

Deleted: the

Deleted: (

Deleted: O₃) vertical profiles that also follow lower (



Deleted:) concentrations for higher altitudes, respectively

Deleted: from

Deleted: origin

Deleted: -Chem

Deleted: -Chem-Posterior



Deleted:

Figure 9: Average LaRC box model OH and HO₂ and measured O₃ for phase 2 (left column) and phase 3 (right column) of KORUS-AQ.

7.4 Phase 3 case study: low-level transport and haze development.

Phase 3 was characterized by the largest observed CO and O₃ positive anomalies. In this case, there is a clear relationship between the CO bias, and the O₃, OH and HO₂ vertical profiles (Figure 9). The OH is overestimated because of a lack of CO, other VOCs and errors in the vertical profile of NO_x. Increasing CO in the CAM_vMOP reduces OH and increases HO₂ and O₃. The overall bias (Table 5) in ozone is reduced from 11.3 ppbv to 9.9 ppbv with the change in CO, and lowered further to 7.3 ppbv with the additional increase in biogenic emissions (CAM_vMOP-Bio). The relative impacts of biogenics are clear in the surface layer for OH, HO₂ and O₃. Overall, HO₂ and O₃ are underestimated as a result of CO underestimation. The MOPITT assimilation provides the best results for OH throughout the profile and lower RMSE and a similar bias as the CAM_vMOP-Bio (Table 5). As suggested by the Chinese origin of the pollution for higher levels, it is likely that additional anthropogenic NMVOCs are also missing and contribute to the ozone formation that is still underestimated.

Table 5: Comparison of O₃ measured aboard the DC-8 and model simulation for all altitudes. Statistical indicators are calculated for phase 2 (4 flight days, 1910 observations), phase 3 (3 flight days 1111 observations) and all KORUS-AQ.

	O ₃ (Phase 2)	Bias	RMSE	O ₃ (Phase 3)	Bias	RMSE	O ₃ (All)	Bias	RMSE
Observation	87.7			91.5			82.1		
Control-Run	77.2	-10.6	19.6	81.8	-9.7	20.4	75.1	-7	16.8
MOPITT-DA	79.7	-8	18.1	83.8	-7.7	19.5	76.9	-5.2	15.9
CAM CAMS	74.4	-13.3	20.7	76.1	-15.4	24.2	73.6	-8.5	18.8
CAM Kv5	78.2	-9.6	18.5	80.2	-11.3	21.5	76.5	-5.6	17.4
CAM HTAP	78.5	-9.2	18.4	80.7	-10.8	21.2	76.9	-5.2	17.3
CAM MOP	79.1	-8.6	18.2	81.6	-9.9	20.8	77.6	-4.5	17.2
CAM TCR-2	79.2	-8.6	18.1	82.2	-9.2	20.5	77.8	-4.4	17.1
CAM _v MOP-Bio	82.3	-5.5	15.9	84.2	-7.3	20.2	80.5	-1.6	16.5

8 Conclusions

Anthropogenic CO emissions are an important contributor to poor summer air quality in Asia and to forward modelling uncertainties. Here we evaluate top-down estimates of the CO emissions in East Asia with aircraft observations from two extensive field campaigns. There are multiple lines of evidence that the bottom-up anthropogenic emissions are too low in winter and spring, leading to a large underestimation of CO during the KORUS-AQ campaign in May and June 2016. We also highlight in this work that chemical production and loss via OH reaction from emissions of anthropogenic and biogenic VOCs confound the attribution of this bias in current model simulations. Combined initial conditions and emission optimization remains the best method to overcome these modeling issues. The major findings of this investigation are:

1. The comparison of OH modeling and observations confirms that assimilating CO improves the OH chemistry by correcting the OH/HO₂ partitioning. The interactive and moderately comprehensive chemistry with resolved weather from reanalysis datasets represents well the variations in OH. These results provide an additional line of evidence that assimilating

Deleted: 6.2

Deleted: and

Deleted: .

Deleted: -Chem posterior

Deleted: -Chem

Deleted: -Chem

Formatted: Font: Times

Formatted: Font: Times

Deleted: Prior

Deleted: TCR-2-Prior

Deleted: Posterior

Deleted: -Chem

Deleted: 7

Formatted: Outline numbered + Level: 1 + Numbering Style: 1, 2, 3, ... + Start at: 1 + Alignment: Left + Aligned at: 0.25" + Indent at: 0.5"

CO improves the representation of OH in global chemical-transport models. This has implications for studying the CH₄-CO-OH coupled reactions and the impact of chemistry and interactive chemistry for allowing feedbacks. It suggests that even if global mean OH is buffered on the global scale, local changes in OH can be important, and can be quantified by taking advantage of field campaigns. This will provide ways to improve and provide additional constrain on CH₄ inversions by either improving the sink or by better characterizing anthropogenic sources through CO assimilations. A better quantification of the spatio-temporal variability of these compounds will improve the physical representation of Earth system processes and feedbacks and will be beneficial for both air quality and climate change mitigation scenarios.

2. The setup of the CO assimilation that corrects the initial conditions and emissions provides the best results for CO. While the emission update improves the forecast closer to the source, the assimilation allows for better reproduction of the vertical profiles and the background and eventually compensates for model errors.
3. The spread of emission estimates from state-of-the-art inventories, 3 bottom-up and 2 top-down is significant. For example, the emissions of Central China show a range from 3.65 TgCO.month⁻¹ to 8.87 TgCO.month⁻¹. Inventories with the highest emissions fluxes show improved vertical profiles of CO.
4. Running the forward model with updated emissions of anthropogenic CO increases the O₃ formation, reduces OH and increases HO₂. This improves the comparison with O₃, OH and HO₂ observations. The comparison with observations suggests that the overall modeled photochemistry was improved with updated CO emissions. In this case, there is also a better representation of severe pollution episodes with large O₃ values. Often overlooked, it clearly shows that running chemistry transport models with biased CO and VOCs emissions results in poorly modeled ozone and impacts most of the chemical state of the atmosphere. The sensitivities may vary for different chemical and physical atmospheric environments. In this case, underestimating CO in VOC-limited chemical regimes explains the underestimation of ozone in the boundary layer and the lower free troposphere.
5. Biogenic emissions appear to play an important role in ozone formation over South Korea, in particular when conditions are favorable (sunny and warm). The role is weaker over China, at least in May before maximum biogenic emission rates. A combined assimilation of CO and CH₂O observations is likely to greatly improve ozone forecasting through estimates of boundary and initial condition estimates of VOCs.

On top of CO data assimilation, improved emissions through state augmentation can help improve the next-generation of Korean (e.g., Lee et al. 2020) or global (Barré et al., 2019) air quality analysis and forecasting systems. Further improvements can be achieved by simultaneously assimilating CH₂O retrievals (e.g. Souri et al., 2020) and CO retrievals. Improving the aerosol distribution can help correct the HO₂ uptake and therefore OH, CO and O₃ by assimilating satellite aerosol optical depth measurements, in particular for this region with high aerosol loadings (e.g. Ha et al., 2020). Using CrIS-TROPOMI joint retrievals (Fu et al. 2016), the improved vertical sensitivity may potentially be used to further constrain secondary CO formed through biogenic oxidation. In this case, secondary CO is correlated with ozone formation. This is also true for other geographical areas, such as over the United States in summer (Cheng et al., 2017, 2018). On average, there is a lower combustion efficiency in China than in Korea, with the ratio of CO to CO₂ changing accordingly as shown by the DC-8 measurements during KORUS-AQ (Halliday et al., 2019) and

indicated by model simulations (Tang et al., 2018). Tracking CO₂ and CO from fossil fuel emissions could be combined to further constrain fossil fuel emission fluxes.

Deleted: .

Many studies have focused on the long-term CO emission trends now well characterized (Zheng et al., 2019). For the sake of forward modeling (see e.g. Huang et al., 2018), it is important to focus on improving the absolute emission totals and their spatio-temporal distribution. While bottom-up inventories are critical, the next step is a comparison of inverse modelling estimates in combination with aircraft observations (e.g. Gaubert et al., 2019) to assess transport, chemistry and deposition error. Multi-model estimates of the emissions will provide improved error bars on the CO budget, and hopefully reduced uncertainties from chemistry and meteorology (e.g. Müller et al., 2018; Miyazaki et al., 2020a).

Deleted: .

Formatted: English (US)

Appendix A: KORUS-AQ DC-8 instrumentation

CO and CH₄ were both measured using the fast-response (1 Hz), high-precision (0.1 % for CH₄, 1 % for CO) and high accuracy (2 %) NASA Langley Differential Absorption CO Measurement or DACOM (Sachse et al. 1987). Based on the differential absorption technique, CO and CH₄ were measured using an infrared tunable diode laser. The instrument has been used in many field campaigns and has been useful to evaluate profiles retrieved from satellite remote sensing of CO (Warner et al., 2010; Tang et al., 2020). Formaldehyde was measured using the Compact Atmospheric Multispecies Spectrometer (CAMS), also at 1 Hz (Richter et al., 2015). NO, NO₂ and O₃ were measured by the NCAR chemiluminescence instrument (Ridley and Grahek 1990; Weinheimer et al., 1993). Nitric acid (HNO₃), hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂) and methyl hydroperoxide (CH₃OOH) were measured using the California Institute of Technology Chemical Ionization Mass Spectrometer (CIT-CIMS) (Crouse et al., 2006). Among the 82 speciated VOCs sampled by the discrete Whole Air Sampling (WAS) followed by multi-column gas chromatography (Simpson et al., 2020), we used ethyne (C₂H₂), ethane (C₂H₆), ethene (C₂H₄) and propane (C₃H₈). All the larger alkanes (i-butane, n-butane, i-pentane, n-pentane, n-hexane, n-heptane, n-octane, n-nonane, n-decane), alkenes (1-butene, i-butene, trans-3-butene and 1-3-butadiene) and xylenes (mp-xylene, o-xylene) were summed (Table 3) for the comparison with the BIGALK, BIGENE and XYLENES respectively of the T1 surrogate species (Emmons et al., 2020). Methanol (CH₃OH), acetaldehyde (CH₃CHO), acetone (CH₃COCH₃), benzene (C₆H₆) and toluene (C₇H₈) were measured with the proton-transfer-reaction time-of-flight mass spectrometer (PTR-ToF-MS) at 10 Hz frequency (Müller et al., 2014). We also evaluate some meteorological parameters, such as temperature and wind speed as well as water vapor moist volumetric mixing ratio measured by NASA open-path diode laser hygrometer (Podolske et al., 2003), with a 5% uncertainty. J values were measured using the CAFS instrument (Charged-coupled device Actinic Flux Spectroradiometer; Shetter and Müller, 1999; Petropavlovskikh et al., 2007).

Deleted: .

Formatted: English (US)

Appendix B: CAM-chem updates

B1 CH₄ emissions from the Global Carbon Project CH₄

Radiatively active species, such as CH₄, are prescribed in CAM-chem using a latitudinal-monthly surface field derived from observations in the past and projections for the future, defined in the CMIP6 protocol (Meinshausen et al., 2017). In order to include the feedbacks in the CH₄-CO-OH chemical mechanism, we choose to apply CH₄ emissions instead of the prescribed field. The scope of the paper is not to study the methane budget; the objectives are to see how much CO is produced from CH₄ during the campaign. The long-term goal is to get sensitivities to changes according to CO emission updates in order to analyze the feedbacks on CH₄ when CO is changed. We used emissions from some of the inversions of a recent compilation of CH₄ budget from top-down estimates (Saunois et al., 2020). As a first step, we used the mean of the 11 inversions (Table B1) that assimilate CH₄ retrievals from the JAXA satellite Greenhouse Gases Observing SATellite (GOSAT, Kuze et al., 2009).

Table B1: List of the 11 methane inversions from the Global Methane Budget (Saunois et al., 2020), as indicated by the number of inversions column. All the details are presented in the references.

Institution / Model	Observation Used	Number of inversions	References
FMI / CarbonTracker Europe-CH ₄	GOSAT NIES L2 v2.72	1	Tsuruta et al. (2017)
LSCE & CEA / LMDz-PYVAR	GOSAT Leicester V7.2	2	Yin et al. (2015)
LSCE & CEA / LMDz-PYVAR	GOSAT Leicester V7.2	4	Yin et al., (2019)
NIES / NIES-TMFLEXPART (NTFVAR)	GOSAT NIES L2 v2.72	1	Maksyutov et al. (2020); Wang et al. (2019)
TNO & VU / TM5-CAMS	GOSAT ESA/CCI v2.3.88 (combined with surface observations)	1	Segers (2020 report); Bergamaschi et al. (2010; 2013); Pandey et al., (2016)
EC-JRC / TM5-4Dvar	GOSAT OCPD v7.2 (combined with surface observations)	2	Bergamaschi et al., (2013, 2018)

Deleted: Methane


Formatted Table

Formatted: English (US)

Formatted: English (US)

B2 The HO₂ uptake by aerosol particles

The TS1 chemistry includes an HO₂ uptake by aerosol particles following the recommendation of Jaeglé et al. (2000) and Jacob et al. (2000), that form H₂O₂, with a reactive uptake coefficient γ of 0.2, as follow:



Based on Observations from the NASA Arctic Research of the Composition of the Troposphere from Aircraft and Satellites (ARCTAS) and other field campaigns, Mao et al. (2010, 2013) suggested a catalytic mechanism with transition metal ions (Cu and Fe) that rapidly converts HO₂ to H₂O instead of H₂O₂:



Using Eq. B2 and $\gamma=1$ leads to a large loss of HO_x, which in turn increases the CH₄ and CO lifetime and thus reduces the CO bias during the high latitude winter (Mao et al., 2013). Christian et al. (2017) simulated a range of possible values of γ and evaluated the results against ARCTAS data and found that lower γ , closer to zero, gave a more realistic distribution of HO_x. Kanaya et al. (2009) studied ozone formation over Mount Tai, located in central East China, and looked at the possible influence of the heterogeneous loss of gaseous HO₂ radicals. They found that introducing the loss reduces HO₂ levels and increases ozone, with a more pronounced effect in the upper part of the boundary layer where the role of OH+NO₂+M reaction does not play a significant role in the radical termination reaction while the number density of aerosol particles is still important. Li et al. (2018) found that the HO₂ uptake was the largest HO_x sink in the upper boundary layer in northern China. They suggested that the reduction in HO₂ uptake caused by the decrease of aerosols was responsible for the increase of O₃ in the region. Thus, the initial comparison of CAM-chem using Eq. (B1) showed a large overestimation of H₂O₂. In a previous study using Eq. (B1), the increase in CO following data assimilation increased hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂) levels (Gaubert et al., 2016). Therefore, it is expected that the hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂) would be severely overestimated if Eq. (B1) is used. Miyazaki et al. (2019a) assimilated several satellite retrievals of chemical composition during KORUS-AQ, including MOPITT, and found a strong overestimation of H₂O₂ using Eq. (B1) in the chemical scheme of the MIROC-Chem model. Thus, the reaction in CAM-chem has been updated to Eq. (B2) with $\gamma=0.1$ prior to any data assimilation run.

Deleted: net
Formatted: Not Superscript/ Subscript
Formatted: Not Superscript/ Subscript

Deleted: with less influence on the OH+NO₂+M

Deleted: this exact effect to explain the trends in the increase of ozone in Northern China, with

Deleted: being

Deleted: this

B3 Results on HO₂ uptake and methane emissions

This section presents the results on the model update before the assimilation runs are conducted. Five CAM-Chem simulations were performed (Table B2), and CAM-Chem-Ref corresponds to the reference with prescribed CH₄ and Eq. (B2) for the HO₂ uptake. The CAM-H2O is performed with the update to Eq. 3 for the HO₂ uptake and the GCP-Ref is performed with the CH₄ emissions instead of the CH₄ prescribed field. The GCP-H2O contains the update on CH₄ emissions and on the HO₂ uptake and has been run with $\gamma=0.2$ and $\gamma=0.1$.

Table B2: description of the sensitivity test performed with CAM-Chem anterior to any assimilation run.

Simulation name	HO ₂ uptake (γ)	Surface CH ₄
CAM-chem-Ref	Eq. (2) ($\gamma=0.2$)	Prescribed
CAM-Chem-H2O	Eq. (3) ($\gamma=0.2$)	Prescribed
GCP-Ref	Eq. (2) ($\gamma=0.2$)	Emissions
GCP-H2O ($\gamma=0.2$)	Eq. (3) ($\gamma=0.2$)	Emissions
GCP-H2O ($\gamma=0.1$)	Eq. (3) ($\gamma=0.1$)	Emissions

Formatted Table

Fig. B1 shows the average profiles for H₂O₂ and CH₄. There is a large bias in H₂O₂ for the reference simulation (CAM-chem-Ref) that is particularly large in the surface layer. The observed H₂O₂ at the surface is lower in the morning due to inhibited photochemical production and the nighttime deposition (Schroeder et al., 2020). Large model errors could then be due to uncertainties in the boundary layer height and wet deposition. However, this points to an underestimation of the H₂O₂ dry deposition, a common feature found due to an overestimation of surface resistance (Ganzeveld et al., 2006; Nguyen et al., 2015). The H₂O₂ daytime deposition velocities calculated at the location of the Taehwa Research Forest site ranged between 0.4 cm.s⁻¹ and 1.3 cm.s⁻¹, which suggests an underestimation compared to the observed velocities of around 5 cm.s⁻¹ reported in the literature (Hall and Claiborn, 1997; Hall et al., 1999; Valverde-Canossa et al. 2006; Nguyen et al., 2015). A simulation with a 5-fold increase of the H₂O₂ deposition velocity over land only partially reduces the H₂O₂ bias. Further work needs to be done to better understand the drivers of the H₂O₂ biases, which is beyond the scope of this study.

Formatted: Default Paragraph Font

Formatted: Default Paragraph Font

Formatted: Default Paragraph Font



Formatted: Default Paragraph Font

Formatted: Default Paragraph Font

Interestingly, having CH₄ emissions (GCP-Ref) while keeping the original reaction (Eq. 2) gives a slightly better H₂O₂, suggesting that using optimized emissions instead of a prescribed concentration field has an effect on the oxidants' distribution. The three simulations with the updated chemistry out-perform the references with biases almost halved. This is particularly true for the free troposphere. The modeled H₂O₂ profile seems rather insensitive to the choice of the γ value. Since the simulations with the $\gamma=0.1$ performs slightly better, all following simulations will be done with the updated reaction and $\gamma=0.1$. This is consistent with a recently published studies that diagnosed a median γ value of 0.1 over the NCP region (Song et al., 2020).

Using emissions instead of fixed boundary conditions improves the simulated CH₄ near the surface, but with a lower tropospheric background (Figure A1). The comparison with CH₄ observations indicates a general underestimation. At this point, it is difficult to determine why it is underestimated.

A first reason could be a too strong CH₄ sink in the model compared to the sink considered in the inversions that derived the GCP emissions. However, the prescribed CH₄ is not resolved in longitude, while the difference for a given latitude can be up to 300ppb when using emissions (see Fig S1). Emissions also have uncertainties and could be underestimated, or may have just been estimated with lower OH than the one CAM-chem simulates for this period. Saunois et al. (2020) showed that the GOSAT based inversions have lower emissions than the surface-based inversions for the northern mid-latitudes. It is likely that the errors observed during KORUS-AQ are a combination of both of those factors, as well as potential transport errors. Since the CH₄ profile is overall better reproduced with the GCP emissions, we have used the ensemble mean of the 11 GCP optimized emissions for the simulations presented in the main paper.



Deleted:

Figure B1: Average H_2O_2 profiles (left panel) and CH_4 profiles (right panels) for all KORUS-AQ. The mean (black line) and standard deviation (shaded grey) of the DC-8 observations are calculated for each 100 hPa bins and only the mean is shown for model simulations.

Acknowledgments. We thank the editor Tim Butler and two anonymous reviewers for the constructive comments and useful suggestions. We would like to acknowledge high-performance computing support from Cheyenne (doi:10.5065/D6RX99HX) provided by NCAR's Computational and Information Systems Laboratory, sponsored by the National Science Foundation. Neither the European Commission nor ECMWF is responsible for any use that may be made of the information it contains. We thank Yi Yin, Arjo Segers, Aki Tsuruta, Peter Bergamaschi, Bo Zheng for sharing their CH_4 inversions. We acknowledge James H. Crawford, Glenn S. Diskin, Alan Fried, Andrew Weinheimer and everybody that contributed to the KORUS-AQ campaign. The PTR-MS instrument team (P. Eichler, L. Kaser, T. Mikoviny, M. Müller, A. Wisthaler) is acknowledged for providing the PTR-MS data for this study. We also thanks Duseong Jo for reading the manuscript.

Formatted: Default Paragraph Font

Formatted: Default Paragraph Font

Formatted: English (US)

Financial support. This research has been supported by NASA (NNX16AD96G). This study was also supported by NOAA's Climate Program Office's Modeling, Analysis, Predictions, and Projections program (NA18OAR4310283). The NCAR MOPITT project is supported by the National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA) Earth Observing System (EOS) Program. Observations made by Caltech were sponsored by NASA (NNX15AT97G). This material is based upon work supported by the National Center for Atmospheric Research, which is a major facility sponsored by the National Science Foundation under cooperative agreement no. 1852977. The

CESM project is supported primarily by the National Science Foundation (NSF). The ARIAS campaign was supported by the National Science Foundation (Grant # 1558259). Part of this work was conducted at the Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, under contract with the National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA). PTR-ToF-MS measurements aboard the NASA DC-8 during KORUS-AQ were supported by the Austrian Federal Ministry for Transport, Innovation and Technology (bmvit) through the Austrian Space Applications Programme (ASAP) of the Austrian Research Promotion Agency (FFG).

Formatted: Font: Bold

Formatted: English (US)

Code and datasets. CESM2.1.0 is a publicly released version of the Community Earth System Model and freely available online (at www.cesm.ucar.edu/, last access: 2 April 2020). The Data Assimilation Research Testbed is an open source software, code and documentation are available at <https://dart.ucar.edu/> (DART, 2020). The Korea-United States Air Quality Field Study (KORUS-AQ) dataset is available at <https://doi.org/10.5067/Suborbital/KORUSAQ/DATA01>. The ARIAS observational dataset is available at <https://www-air.larc.nasa.gov/missions/korus-aq/index.html>. MOPITT data are available at <https://www2.acom.ucar.edu/mopitt>. The Tropospheric Chemistry Reanalysis version 2 is available for download at <https://tes.jpl.nasa.gov/chemical-reanalysis/products/monthly-mean/>. The Copernicus Atmosphere Monitoring Service (CAMS) global bottom-up emission inventory is available on the Emissions of atmospheric Compounds and Compilation of Ancillary Data (ECCAD) web site (<https://eccad3.sedoo.fr>).

Formatted: Default Paragraph Font, Underline, Font color: Blue

Formatted: Default Paragraph Font, Underline, Font color: Blue

Deleted: <https://doi.org/10.5067/Suborbital/KORUSAQ/DATA01>.

Deleted: <https://www-air.larc.nasa.gov/missions/korus-aq/index.html>.

Deleted: <https://www2.acom.ucar.edu/mopitt>.

Deleted: <https://tes.jpl.nasa.gov/chemical-reanalysis/products/monthly-mean/>.

Deleted: <https://eccad3.sedoo.fr>

Formatted: English (US)

Competing interests. The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Formatted: English (US)

References

Anderson, J. L.: An ensemble adjustment Kalman Filter for data assimilation, *Mon. Weather Rev.*, 129, 2884–2903, [https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0493\(2001\)129<2884:AEAKFF>2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0493(2001)129<2884:AEAKFF>2.0.CO;2), 2001.

Anderson, J. L.: A local least squares framework for ensemble filtering, *Mon. Weather Rev.*, 131, 634–642, [https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0493\(2003\)131<0634:ALLSFF>2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0493(2003)131<0634:ALLSFF>2.0.CO;2), 2003.

Deleted: 2003.

Anderson, J. L.: An adaptive covariance inflation error correction algorithm for ensemble filters, *Tellus A*, 59, 210–224, <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0870.2006.00216.x>, 2007.

Deleted: 2007.

Anderson, J. L., Hoar, T., Raeder, K., Liu, H., Collins, N., Torn, R., and Avellino, A.: The Data Assimilation Research Testbed: a community facility, *B. Am. Meteorol. Soc.*, 90, 1283–1296, <https://doi.org/10.1175/2009BAMS2618.1>, 2009a.

Anderson, J. L.: Spatially and temporally varying adaptive covariance inflation for ensemble filters, *Tellus A*, 61, 72–83, <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0870.2008.00361.x>, 2009b.

Formatted: Default Paragraph Font, Underline, Font color: Blue

Akimoto, H., et al.: Long-range transport of ozone in the East Asian Pacific rim region, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 101(D1), 1999– 2010, doi:[10.1029/95JD00025](https://doi.org/10.1029/95JD00025), 1996.

Deleted: . .

Formatted: Default Paragraph Font, Underline, Font color: Blue

Arellano Jr., A. F., Kasibhatla, P. S., Giglio, L., van der Werf, G. R., and Randerson, J. T.: Top-down estimates of global CO sources using MOPITT measurements, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 31, L01104, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2003GL018609>, 2004.

Formatted: Default Paragraph Font, Underline, Font color: Blue

Arellano Jr., A. F. and Hess, P. G.: Sensitivity of top-down estimates of CO sources to GCTM transport, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 31, L21807, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2006GL027371>, 2006.

Deleted: doi:10.1029/2006GL027371,

Arellano Jr, A.F., Raeder, K., Anderson, J.L., Hess, P.G., Emmons, L.K., Edwards, D.P., Pfister, G.G., Campos, T.L. and Sachse G.W.: Evaluating model performance of an ensemble-based chemical data assimilation system during INTEX-B field mission. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 7(21), pp.5695-5710, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-7-5695-2007>. 2007.

Deleted: 2007.

Arellano Jr., A. F., Hess, P. G., Edwards, D. P. and Baumgardner D.: Constraints on black carbon aerosol distribution from Measurements of Pollution in the Troposphere (MOPITT) CO, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 37, L17801, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2010GL044416>, 2010.

Formatted: Default Paragraph Font, Underline, Font color: Blue

Barré, J., Gaubert, B., Arellano, A.F., Worden, H.M., Edwards, D.P., Deeter, M.N., Anderson, J.L., Raeder, K., Collins, N., Tilmes, S. and Francis, G.: Assessing the impacts of assimilating IASI and MOPITT CO retrievals using CESM-CAM-chem and DART. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 120(19), pp.10-501, <https://doi.org/10.1002/2015JD023467>, 2015.

Formatted: Default Paragraph Font, Underline, Font color: Blue

Barré, J., Massart S, Ades M, Jones L, and Engelen R.: Emission optimisations first attempt based on the Ensemble of Data Assimilation for atmospheric composition. *European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts*, <https://doi.org/10.21957/4grkg5ga0>, 2019.

Formatted: English (US)

Deleted: :

Deleted: Jun 7

Benish, S. E., He, H., Ren, X., Roberts, S. J., Salawitch, R. J., Li, Z., Wang, F., Wang, Y., Zhang, F., Shao, M., Lu, S., and Dickerson, R. R.: Measurement Report: Aircraft Observations of Ozone, Nitrogen Oxides, and Volatile Organic Compounds over Hebei Province, China, *Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss.*, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-2020-194>, in review, 2020.

Deleted: <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-2020-194>,

Bergamaschi, P., Krol, M., Meirink, J. F., Dentener, F., Segers, A., van Aardenne, J., Monni, S., Vermeulen, A. T., Schmidt, M., Ramonet, M., Yver C., Meinhardt, F., Nisbet, E.G., Fisher R. E., O'Doherty, S., and Dlugokencky, E. J.: Inverse modeling of European CH₄ emissions 2001-2006. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 115(D22), D22309. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2010JD014180>, 2010.

Deleted: Doi:10.1029/2010JD014180,

Bergamaschi, P., Houweling, S., Segers, A., Krol, M., Frankenberg, C., Scheepmaker, R. A., Dlugokencky, E., Wofsy, S. C., Kort, E. A., Sweeney, C., Schuck, T., Brenninkmeijer, C., Chen, H., Beck, V., and Gerbig, C.: Atmospheric CH₄ in the first decade of the 21st century: Inverse modeling analysis using SCIAMACHY satellite retrievals and NOAA surface measurements, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 118, 7350-7369, <https://doi.org/10.1002/jgrd.50480>, 2013.

Deleted: doi:10.1002/jgrd.50480,

Bergamaschi, P., Karstens, U., Manning, A. J., Saunio, M., Tsuruta, A., Berchet, A., Vermeulen, A. T., Arnold, T., Janssens-Maenhout, G., Hammer, S., Levin, I., Schmidt, M., Ramonet, M., Lopez, M., Lavric, J., Aalto, T., Chen, H., Feist, D. G., Gerbig, C., Haszpra, L., Hermansen, O., Manca, G., Moncrieff, J., Meinhardt, F., Necki, J., Galkowski, M., O'Doherty, S., Paramonova, N., Scheeren, H. A., Steinbacher, M., and Dlugokencky, E.: Inverse modelling of European CH₄ emissions during 2006–2012 using different inverse models and reassessed atmospheric observations, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 18, 901–920, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-18-901-2018>, 2018.

Deleted: <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-18-901-2018>,

Bogenschutz, P. A., Gettelman, A., Morrison, H., Larson, V. E., Craig, C., and Schanen, D. P.: Higher-order turbulence closure and its impact on climate simulations in the Community Atmosphere Model, *J. Climate*, 26, 9655–9676, <https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-13-00075.1>, 2013.

Borsdorff, T., van de Brugh, J., Pandey, S., Hasekamp, O., Aben, I., Houweling, S., and Landgraf J.: Carbon monoxide air pollution on sub-city scales and along arterial roads detected by the Tropospheric Monitoring Instrument, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 19, 3579–3588, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-19-3579-2019>, 2019.

Deleted: <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-19-3579-2019>,

Butler, T., Lupascu, A., Coates, J., and Zhu, S.: TOAST 1.0: Tropospheric Ozone Attribution of Sources with Tagging for CESM 1.2.2, *Geosci. Model Dev.*, 11, 2825–2840, <https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-11-2825-2018>, 2018.

Deleted: <https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-11-2825-2018>,

Colman, J. J., Swanson, A. L., Meinardi, S., Sive, B. C., Blake, D. R., and Rowland, F. S.: Description of the analysis of a wide range of volatile organic compounds in whole air samples collected during PEM-Tropics A and B, *Anal. Chem.*, 73, 3723–3731, <https://doi.org/10.1021/ac010027g>, 2001.

Deleted: <https://doi.org/10.1021/ac010027g>,

Conrad, R.: Soil microorganisms as controllers of atmospheric trace gases (H₂, CO, CH₄, OCS, N₂O, and NO), *Microbiol. Rev.*, 60, 609–640, 1996.

Formatted: Justified, Adjust space between Latin and Asian text, Adjust space between Asian text and numbers

Coman, A., Foret, G., Beekmann, M., Eremenko, M., Dufour, G., Gaubert, B., Ung, A., Schmechtig, C., Flaud, J.-M., and Bergametti G.: Assimilation of IASI partial tropospheric columns with an Ensemble Kalman Filter over Europe, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 12, 2513–2532, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-12-2513-2012>, 2012.

Deleted: [doi:10.5194/acp-12-2513-2012](https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-12-2513-2012),

Formatted: English (US)

Cooper, O. R., et al.: A case study of transpacific warm conveyor belt transport: Influence of merging airstreams on trace gas import to North America, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 109, D23S08, doi:[10.1029/2003JD003624](https://doi.org/10.1029/2003JD003624), 2004.

Formatted: Default Paragraph Font, Underline, Font color: Blue

Chan, K. R., Dean-Day, J., Bowen, S. W., and Bui, T. P.: Turbulence measurements by the DC-8 meteorological measurement system, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 25, 1355–1358, <https://doi.org/10.1029/97GL03590>, 1998.

Deleted: <https://doi.org/10.1029/97GL03590>,

Chen, S., Xu, L., Zhang, Y., Chen, B., Wang, X., Zhang, X., Zheng, M., Chen, J., Wang, W., Sun, Y., Fu, P., Wang, Z., and Li, W.: Direct observations of organic aerosols in common wintertime

hazes in North China: insights into direct emissions from Chinese residential stoves, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 17, 1259–1270, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-17-1259-2017>, 2017.

Deleted: <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-17-1259-2017>,

Cheng, M., Zhi, G., Tang, W., Liu, S., Dang, H., Guo, Z., et al.: Air pollutant emission from the underestimated households' coal consumption source in China. *Science of the Total Environment*, 580, 641–650. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2016.12.143>, 2017.

Deleted: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2016.12.143>

Cheng, Y., Y. Wang, Y. Zhang, G. Chen, J. H. Crawford, M. M. Kleb, G. S. Diskin, and Weinheimer A. J.: Large biogenic contribution to boundary layer O₃-CO regression slope in summer, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 44, <https://doi.org/10.1002/2017GL074405>, 2017.

Deleted: *Lett.*, 44, doi:10.1002/2017GL074405,

Cheng, Y., Wang, Y., Zhang, Y., Crawford, J. H., Diskin, G. S., Weinheimer, A. J., and Fried, A.: Estimator of surface ozone using formaldehyde and carbon monoxide concentrations over the eastern United States in summer. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 123, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2018JD028452>, 2018.

Formatted: Default Paragraph Font, Underline, Font color: Blue

Choi, J, Park, RJ, Lee, HM, Lee, S, Jo, DS, Jeong, JI, Henze, DK, Woo, JH, Ban, SJ, Lee, MD, Lim, CS, Park, MK, Shin, HJ, Cho, S, Peterson, D and Song, CK.: Impacts of local vs. trans-boundary emissions from different sectors on PM_{2.5} exposure in South Korea during the KORUS-AQ campaign. *Atmospheric Environment* 203: 196–205. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2019.02.008>, 2019.

Formatted: Default Paragraph Font, Underline, Font color: Blue

Christian, K. E., Brune, W. H., and Mao, J.: Global sensitivity analysis of the GEOS-Chem chemical transport model: ozone and hydrogen oxides during ARCTAS (2008), *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 17, 3769–3784, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-17-3769-2017>, 2017.

Formatted: Justified

Deleted: <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-17-3769-2017>,

Crippa, M., Guizzardi, D., Muntean, M., Schaaf, E., Dentener, F., van Aardenne, J. A., Monni, S., Doering, U., Olivier, J. G. J., Pagliari, V., and Janssens-Maenhout, G.: Gridded emissions of air pollutants for the period 1970–2012 within EDGAR v4.3.2, *Earth Syst. Sci. Data*, 10, 1987–2013, <https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-10-1987-2018>, 2018.

Deleted: <https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-10-1987-2018>,

Crouse, J. D., McKinney, K. A., Kwan, A. J., and Wennberg, P. O.: Measurement of gas-phase hydroperoxides by chemical ionization mass spectrometry, *Anal. Chem.*, 78, 6726–6732, <https://doi.org/10.1021/ac0604235>, 2006.

Formatted: Justified

Formatted: English (US)

Deleted: doi:10.1021/ac0604235,

Formatted: English (US)

Crutzen, P. J., L. E. Heidt, J. P. Krasnec, W. H. Pollack, and Seiler W.: Biomass burning as a source of atmospheric gases CO, H₂, N₂O, NO, CH₃Cl, and COS, *Nature*, 282, 253–256, <https://doi.org/10.1038/282253a0>, 1979.

Deleted: <https://doi.org/10.1038/282253a0>

Formatted: Font color: Black

Danabasoglu, G., Lamarque, J.-F., Bacmeister, J., Bailey, D. A., DuVivier, A. K., Edwards, J., et al.: The Community Earth System Model Version 2 (CESM2). *Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems*, 12, e2019MS001916. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2019MS001916>, 2020.

Formatted: Normal, Justified, Border: Top: (No border), Bottom: (No border), Left: (No border), Right: (No border), Between : (No border)

Formatted: English (US)

Deleted: . (2020).

The Data Assimilation Research Testbed (Version Manhattan). Boulder, Colorado: UCAR/NCAR/CISL/DAReS. <http://doi.org/10.5065/D6WQ0202>, 2020.

Deleted: <https://doi.org/10.1029/2019MS001916>

Formatted: Justified

Formatted: Default Paragraph Font, Underline, Font color: Blue

Ding, K., Liu, J., Ding, A., Liu, Q., Zhao, T. L., Shi, J., Han, Y., Wang, H., and Jiang F.: Uplifting of carbon monoxide from biomass burning and anthropogenic sources to the free troposphere in East Asia, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 15, 2843-2866, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-15-2843-2015>, 2015.

Formatted: Default Paragraph Font, Underline, Font color: Blue

Diskin, G. S., Podolske, J. R., Sachse, G. W., and Slate, T. A.: Open-path airborne tunable diode laser hygrometer, *Proc. SPIE 4817, Diode Lasers and Applications in Atmospheric Sensing*, <https://doi.org/10.1117/12.453736>, 2002.

Formatted: Justified

Deleted: <https://doi.org/10.1117/12.453736>,

Deeter, M. N., Edwards, D. P., Francis, G. L., Gille, J. C., Mao, D., Martínez-Alonso, S., Worden, H. M., Ziskin, D., and Andreae, M. O.: Radiance-based retrieval bias mitigation for the MOPITT instrument: the version 8 product, *Atmos. Meas. Tech.*, 12, 4561-4580, <https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-12-4561-2019>, 2019.

Formatted: Default Paragraph Font, Underline, Font color: Blue

Edwards, D.P., Emmons, L.K., Hauglustaine, D.A., Chu, D.A., Gille, J.C., Kaufman, Y.J., Pétron, G., Yurganov, L.N., Giglio, L., Deeter, M.N. and Yudin V.: Observations of carbon monoxide and aerosols from the Terra satellite: Northern Hemisphere variability. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 109, D24, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2004JD004727>, 2004.

Formatted: Default Paragraph Font, Underline, Font color: Blue

Edwards, D.P., Emmons, L.K., Gille, J.C., Chu, A., Attié, J.L., Giglio, L., Wood, S.W., Haywood, J., Deeter, M.N., Massie, S.T. and Ziskin, D.C.: Satellite-observed pollution from Southern Hemisphere biomass burning. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 111_(D14), <https://doi.org/10.1029/2005JD006655>, 2006.

Elguindi, N., Granier, C., Stavrou, T., Darras, S., Bauwens, M., Cao, H., et al.: Intercomparison of magnitudes and trends in anthropogenic surface emissions from bottom-up inventories, top-down estimates and emission scenarios. *Earth's Future*, 8, e2020EF001520, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2020EF001520>, 2020.

Formatted: Default Paragraph Font

Formatted: Default Paragraph Font

Formatted: Default Paragraph Font

Formatted: Default Paragraph Font

Formatted: Default Paragraph Font

Deleted: <https://doi.org/10.1029/2020EF001520>

Emmons, L. K., Schwantes, R. H., Orlando, J. J., Tyndall, G., Kinnison, D., Lamarque, J.-F., et al.: The Chemistry Mechanism in the Community Earth System Model version 2 (CESM2). *Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems*, 12, e2019MS001882. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2019MS001882>, 2020.

Formatted: Default Paragraph Font, Underline, Font color: Blue

Evensen, G.: The Ensemble Kalman Filter: Theoretical Formulation and Practical Implementation, *Ocean Dynam.*, 53, 343-367, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10236-003-0036-9>, 2003.

Deleted: 2003.

Feng, S., Jiang, F., Wu, Z., Wang, H., Ju, W., and Wang, H.: CO emissions inferred from surface CO observations over China in December 2013 and 2017. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 124, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2019JD031808>, 2020.

Foret, G., et al.: Ozone pollution: What can we see from space? A case study, *J. Geophys. Res. Atmos.*, 119, 8476-8499, <https://doi.org/10.1002/2013JD021340>, 2014.

Deleted: doi:10.1002/2013JD021340,

Fortems-Cheiney, A., Chevallier, F., Pison, I., Bousquet, P., Szopa, S., Deeter, M. N., and Clerbaux, C.: Ten years of CO emissions as seen from Measurements of Pollution in the

Troposphere (MOPITT), *J. Geophys. Res.*, 116, D05304, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2010JD014416>, 2011.

Deleted: doi:10.1029/2010JD014416,

Fortems-Cheiney, A., Chevallier, F., Pison, I., Bousquet, P., Saunois, M., Szopa, S., Cressot, C., Kurosu, T. P., Chance, K., and Fried, A.: The formaldehyde budget as seen by a global-scale multiconstraint and multi-species inversion system, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 12, 6699–6721, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-12-6699-2012>, 2012.

Deleted: doi:10.5194/acp-12-6699-2012,

Fox, A.M., Hoar, T.J., Anderson, J.L., Arellano, A.F., Smith, W.K., Litvak, M.E., MacBean, N., Schimel, D.S., Moore, D.J.P.: Evaluation of a Data Assimilation System for Land Surface Models using CLM4.5. *Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems*, 10, 2471–2494. <https://doi.org/10.1002/2018MS001362>, 2018.

Formatted: Default Paragraph Font, Underline, Font color: Blue

Fu, D., Bowman, K. W., Worden, H., Natraj, V., Yu, S., Worden, J. R., et al.: High resolution tropospheric carbon monoxide profiles retrieved from CrIS and TROPOMI. *Atmospheric Measurement Techniques*, 9, 2567–2579, <https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-9-2567-2016>, 2016.

Formatted: Default Paragraph Font, Underline, Font color: Blue

Ganzeveld, L., Valverde-Canossa, J., Moortgat, G. K., and Steinbrecher, R.: Evaluation of peroxide exchanges over a coniferous forest in a single-column chemistry-climate model, *Atmos. Environ.* 40, S68–S80. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2006.01.062>, 2006.

Formatted: Normal

Deleted: 40, S68–S80,

Formatted: Font color: Auto

Formatted: Font color: Auto

Gaubert, B., Coman, A., Foret, G., Meleux, F., Ung, A., Rouil, L., Ionescu, A., Candau, Y., and Beekmann, M.: Regional scale ozone data assimilation using an ensemble Kalman filter and the CHIMERE chemical transport model, *Geosci. Model Dev.*, 7, 283–302, <https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-7-283-2014>, 2014.

Formatted: Default Paragraph Font, Underline, Font color: Blue

Gaubert, B., Arellano, A.F., Barré, J., Worden, H.M., Emmons, L.K., Tilmes, S., Buchholz, R.R., Vitt, F., Raeder, K., Collins, N., Anderson, J.L., Wiedinmyer, C., Martínez-Alonso, S., Edwards, D. P., Andreae, M. O., Hannigan, J. W., Petri, C., Strong, K., and Jones, N.: Toward a chemical reanalysis in a coupled chemistry-climate model: An evaluation of MOPITT CO assimilation and its impact on tropospheric composition. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 121(12), pp.7310–7343, <https://doi.org/10.1002/2016JD024863>, 2016.

Formatted: Default Paragraph Font, Underline, Font color: Blue

Gaubert, B., H. M. Worden, A. F. J. Arellano, L. K. Emmons, S. Tilmes, J. Barré, S. Martinez Alonso, F. Vitt, J. L. Anderson, F. Alkemade, S. Houweling, Edwards D. P.: Chemical feedback from decreasing carbon monoxide emissions. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 44, 9985–9995, <https://doi.org/10.1002/2017GL074987>, 2017.

Formatted: Default Paragraph Font, Underline, Font color: Blue

Gaubert, B., Stephens, B. B., Basu, S., Chevallier, F., Deng, F., Kort, E. A., Patra, P. K., Peters, W., Rödenbeck, C., Saeki, T., Schimel, D., Van der Laan-Luijkx, I., Wofsy, S., and Yin, Y.: Global atmospheric CO₂ inverse models converging on neutral tropical land exchange, but disagreeing on fossil fuel and atmospheric growth rate, *Biogeosciences*, 16, 117–134, <https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-16-117-2019>, 2019.

Formatted: Default Paragraph Font, Underline, Font color: Blue

Gettelman, A., Mills, M. J., Kinnison, D. E., Garcia, R. R., Smith, A. K., Marsh, D. R., et al.: The Whole Atmosphere Community Climate Model Version 6 (WACCM6). *J. Geophys. Res. Atmos.*, 124, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2019JD030943>, 2019.

El Gharamti, M.: Enhanced adaptive inflation algorithm for ensemble filters. *Mon. Wea. Rev.*, 146, 623–640, <https://doi.org/10.1175/MWR-D-17-0187.1>, 2018.

Formatted: Default Paragraph Font, Underline, Font color: Blue

Deleted: .. 2018:

Deleted: <https://doi.org/10.1175/MWR-D-17-0187.1>

Guenther, A. B., X. Jiang, C. L. Heald, T. Sakulyanontvittaya, T. Duhl, L. K. Emmons, and Wang X.: The Model of Emissions of Gases and Aerosols from Nature version 2.1 (MEGAN2.1): An extended and updated framework for modeling biogenic emissions, *Geosci. Model Dev.*, 5, 1471–1492, <https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-5-1471-2012>, 2012.

Deleted: doi:10.5194/gmd-5-1471-2012,

Granier, C., S. Darras, H. Denier van der Gon, J. Doubalova, N. Elguindi, B. Galle, M. Gauss, M. Guevara, J.-P. Jalkanen, J. Kuenen, C. Liousse, B. Quack, D. Simpson, and Sindelarova K.: [The Copernicus Atmosphere Monitoring Service global and regional emissions \(April 2019 version\)](https://doi.org/10.24380/DOBN-KX16). Copernicus Atmosphere Monitoring Service. <https://doi.org/10.24380/DOBN-KX16>, 2019.

Formatted: Default Paragraph Font, Underline, Font color: Blue

Deleted: <https://doi.org/10.24380/DOBN-KX16>

Ha, S., Liu, Z., Sun, W., Lee, Y., and Chang, L.: Improving air quality forecasting with the assimilation of GOCI aerosol optical depth (AOD) retrievals during the KORUS-AQ period, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 20, 6015–6036, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-20-6015-2020>, 2020.

Deleted: <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-20-6015-2020>,

Hall, B. and Claiborn, C.: Measurements of the dry deposition of peroxides to a Canadian boreal forest, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 102, 29343–29353, <https://doi.org/10.1029/97JD01113>, 1997.

Formatted: Justified

Deleted: <https://doi.org/10.1029/97JD01113>

Hall, B., Claiborn, C., and Baldocchi, D.: Measurement and modeling of the dry deposition of peroxides, *Atmos. Environ.*, 33, 577–589, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1352-2310\(98\)00271-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1352-2310(98)00271-4), 1999.

Formatted: Normal, Border: Top: (No border), Bottom: (No border), Left: (No border), Right: (No border), Between : (No border)

Formatted: Normal

Formatted: Font color: Auto

Halliday, H.S., Digangi, J.P., Choi, Y., et al.: Using short-term CO/CO₂ ratios to assess air mass differences over the Korean Peninsula during KORUS-AQ. *J. Geophys. Res.* <https://doi.org/10.1029/2018JD029697>, 2019.

Deleted: <https://doi.org/10.1029/2018JD029697>

Heald, C. L., D. J. Jacob, D. B. A. Jones, P. I. Palmer, J. A. Logan, D. G. Streets, G.W. Sachse, J. C. Gille, R. N. Hoffman, and Nehr Korn T.: Comparative inverse analysis of satellite (MOPITT) and aircraft (TRACE-P) observations to estimate Asian sources of carbon monoxide, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 109, D15S04, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2004JD005185>, 2004.

Deleted: doi:10.1029/2004JD005185,

Heald, C. L., Jacob, D. J., Park, R. J., Alexander, B., Fairlie, T. D., Yantosca, R. M., & Chu, D. A.: Transpacific transport of Asian anthropogenic aerosols and its impact on surface air quality in the United States. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 111, D14310, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2005JD006847>, 2006.

Formatted: Default Paragraph Font, Underline, Font color: Blue

Herman, J., Spinei, E., Fried, A., Kim, J., Kim, J., Kim, W., Cede, A., Abuhassan, N., and Segal-Rozenhaimer, M.: NO₂ and HCHO measurements in Korea from 2012 to 2016 from Pandora spectrometer instruments compared with OMI retrievals and with aircraft measurements during the KORUS-AQ campaign. *Atmos. Meas. Tech.*, 11, 4583–4603, <https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-11-4583-2018>, 2018.

Formatted: Default Paragraph Font, Underline, Font color: Blue

Hedelius, J. K., He, T.-L., Jones, D. B. A., Baier, B. C., Buchholz, R. R., De Mazière, M., Deutscher, N. M., Dubey, M. K., Feist, D. G., Griffith, D. W. T., Hase, F., Iraci, L. T., Jeseck, P., Kiel, M., Kivi, R., Liu, C., Morino, I., Notholt, J., Oh, Y.-S., Ohyama, H., Pollard, D. F., Rettinger, M., Roche, S., Roehl, C. M., Schneider, M., Shiomi, K., Strong, K., Sussmann, R., Sweeney, C., Té, Y., Uchino, O., Velasco, V. A., Wang, W., Warneke, T., Wennberg, P. O., Worden, H. M., and Wunch, D.: Evaluation of MOPITT Version 7 joint TIR–NIR X_{CO} retrievals with TCCON, *Atmos. Meas. Tech.*, 12, 5547–5572, <https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-12-5547-2019>, 2019.

Deleted: <https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-12-5547-2019>,

Hodzic, A., Kasibhatla, P. S., Jo, D. S., Cappa, C. D., Jimenez, J. L., Madronich, S., and Park, R. J.: Rethinking the global secondary organic aerosol (SOA) budget: stronger production, faster removal, shorter lifetime. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 16, 7917–7941, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-16-7917-2016>, 2016.

Formatted: Default Paragraph Font, Underline, Font color: Blue

Hoesly, R. M., Smith, S. J., Feng, L., Klimont, Z., Janssens-Maenhout, G., Pitkanen, T., Seibert, J. J., Vu, L., Andres, R. J., Bolt, R. M., Bond, T. C., Dawidowski, L., Kholod, N., Kurokawa, J.-I., Li, M., Liu, L., Lu, Z., Moura, M. C. P., O'Rourke, P. R., and Zhang, Q.: Historical (1750–2014) anthropogenic emissions of reactive gases and aerosols from the Community Emissions Data System (CEDS), *Geosci. Model Dev.*, 11, 369–408, <https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-11-369-2018>, 2018.

Deleted: <https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-11-369-2018>,

Huang, M., Crawford, J. H., Diskin, G. S., Santanello, J. A., Kumar, S. V., Pusede, S. E., et al.: Modeling regional pollution transport events during KORUS-AQ: Progress and challenges in improving representation of land-atmosphere feedbacks. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 123, 10,732–10,756. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2018JD028554>, 2018.

Deleted: . (2018).

Deleted: <https://doi.org/10.1029/2018JD028554>

Hunt, B. R., Kostelich, E. J., and Szunyogh, I.: Efficient data assimilation for spatiotemporal chaos: a local ensemble transform Kalman filter, *Physica D*, 230, 112–126, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physd.2006.11.008>, 2007.

Deleted: 2007.

Jacob, D. J., Logan, J. A., & Murti, P. P.: Effect of rising Asian emissions on surface ozone in the United States. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 26(14), 2175–2178, <https://doi.org/10.1029/1999gl900450>, 1999.

Formatted: Default Paragraph Font, Underline, Font color: Blue

Jacob, D. J.: Heterogeneous chemistry and tropospheric ozone, *Atmos. Environ.*, 34, 2131–2159, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1352-2310\(99\)00462-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1352-2310(99)00462-8), 2000.

Deleted: doi:10.1016/S1352-2310(99)00462-8,

Jaeglé, L., Jacob, D. J., Brune, W. H., Faloon, I., Tan, D., Heikes, B. G., Kondo, Y., Sachse, G. W., Anderson, B., Gregory, G. L., Singh, H. B., Poeschel, R., Ferry, G., Blake, D. R., and Shetter, R. E.: Photochemistry of HO_x in the upper troposphere at northern midlatitudes, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 105, 3877–3892, <https://doi.org/10.1029/1999JD901016>, 2000.

Jang, Y., Lee, Y., Kim, J., Kim, Y and Woo, J-H.: Improvement China Point Source for Improving Bottom-Up Emission Inventory. *Asia-Pacific Journal of Atmospheric Sciences*. DOI: [10.1007/s13143-019-00115-y](https://doi.org/10.1007/s13143-019-00115-y), 2019.

Formatted: Default Paragraph Font, Underline, Font color: Blue

Janssens-Maenhout, G., Crippa, M., Guizzardi, D., Dentener, F., Muntean, M., Pouliot, G., Keating, T., Zhang, Q., Kurokawa, J., Wankmüller, R., Denier van der Gon, H., Kuenen, J. J. P., Klimont, Z., Frost, G., Darras, S., Koffi, B., and Li, M.: HTAP_v2.2: a mosaic of regional and global emission grid maps for 2008 and 2010 to study hemispheric transport of air pollution, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 15, 11411–11432, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-15-11411-2015>, 2015.

Deleted: <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-15-11411-2015>.

Jiang, Z., D. Jones, B. A., Kopacz, M., Liu, J., Henze, D. K., and Heald, C.: Quantifying the impact of model errors on top-down estimates of carbon monoxide emissions using satellite observations, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 116, D15306, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2010JD015282>, 2011.

Deleted: [doi:10.1029/2010JD015282](https://doi.org/10.1029/2010JD015282),

Jiang, Z., Jones, D. B. A., Worden, H. M., Deeter, M. N., Henze, D. K., Worden, J., Bowman, K. W., Brenninkmeijer, C. A. M., and Schuck, T. J.: Impact of model errors in convective transport on CO source estimates inferred from MOPITT CO retrievals, *J. Geophys. Res. Atmos.*, 118, 2073–2083, <https://doi.org/10.1002/jgrd.50216>, 2013.

Deleted: [doi:10.1002/jgrd.50216](https://doi.org/10.1002/jgrd.50216),

Jiang, Z., Jones, D. B. A., Worden, H. M., and Henze, D. K.: Sensitivity of top-down CO source estimates to the modeled vertical structure in atmospheric CO, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 15, 1521–1537, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-15-1521-2015>, 2015.

Deleted: <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-15-1521-2015>,

Formatted: Justified

Kanaya, Y., Pochanart, P., Liu, Y., Li, J., Tanimoto, H., Kato, S., Suthawaree, J., Inomata, S., Taketani, F., Okuzawa, K., Kawamura, K., Akimoto, H., and Wang, Z. F.: Rates and regimes of photochemical ozone production over Central East China in June 2006: a box model analysis using comprehensive measurements of ozone precursors, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 9, 7711–7723, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-9-7711-2009>, 2009.

Deleted: <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-9-7711-2009>,

Kang, J.-S., E. Kalnay, J. Liu, I. Fung, T. Miyoshi, and Ide K.: “Variable localization” in an ensemble Kalman filter: Application to the carbon cycle data assimilation, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 116, D09110, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2010JD014673>, 2011.

Formatted: Default Paragraph Font, Underline, Font color: Blue

Karspeck, A. R., Danabasoglu, G., Anderson, J., Karol, S., Collins, N., Vertenstein, M., Raeder, K., Hoar, T., Neale, R., Edwards, J., & Craig, A.: A global coupled ensemble data assimilation system using the Community Earth System Model and the Data Assimilation Research Testbed. *Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society*, 144(717), 2404–2430. <https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.3308>, 2018.

Formatted: Default Paragraph Font, Underline, Font color: Blue

Khalil, M. A. K. and Rasmussen, R. A.: Global decrease in atmospheric carbon monoxide concentration, *Nature*, 370, 639–641, <https://doi.org/10.1038/370639a0>, 1994.

Formatted: Default Paragraph Font, Underline, Font color: Blue

Kim, H., Zhang, Q., and Heo, J.: Influence of intense secondary aerosol formation and long-range transport on aerosol chemistry and properties in the Seoul Metropolitan Area during spring time: results from KORUS-AQ, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 18, 7149–7168, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-18-7149-2018>, 2018.

Formatted: Default Paragraph Font, Underline, Font color: Blue

Kim, H. C., Kim, S., Kim, B.-U., Jin, C.-S., Hong, S., Park, R., Son, S.-W., Bae, C., Bae, M., Song, C.-K. and Stein, A.: Recent increase of surface particulate matter concentrations in the Seoul

Metropolitan Area, Korea. *Scientific Report*, 7, 4710. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-05092-8>, 2017.

Moved down [5]: Sci.

Deleted: Rep. 7, 4710,

Kim, H.-K., Woo, J.-H., Park, R. S., Song, C. H., Kim, J.-H., Ban, S.-J., and Park, J.-H.: Impacts of different plant functional types on ambient ozone predictions in the Seoul Metropolitan Areas (SMAs), Korea, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 14, 7461–7484, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-14-7461-2014>, 2014.

Formatted: Justified

Deleted: <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-14-7461-2014>, 2014.

Kim, H. K., Song C. K., Han K. M., Eo Y. D., Song C. H., Park R., Hong S.C., Kim S.K., Woo J.H.: Impact of biogenic emissions on early summer ozone and fine particulate matter exposure in the Seoul metropolitan area of Korea. *Air Qual Atmos Health* 11:1021–1035. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11869-018-0602-4>, 2018.

Formatted: Default Paragraph Font, Underline, Font color: Blue

Kim, S., Kim, S.-Y., Lee, M., Shim, H., Wolfe, G. M., Guenther, A. B., He, A., Hong, Y., and Han, J.: Impact of isoprene and HONO chemistry on ozone and OVOC formation in a semirural South Korean forest, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 15, 4357–4371, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-15-4357-2015>, 2015.

Deleted: <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-15-4357-2015>,

Kim, S., Sanchez, D., Wang, M., Seco, R., Jeong, D., Hughes, S., Barletta, B., Blake, D. R., Jung, J., Kim, D., Lee, G., Lee, M., Ahn, J., Lee, S. D., Cho, G., Sung, M. Y., Lee, Y. H., Kim, D. B., Kim, Y., Woo, J. H., Jo, D., Park, R., Park, J. H., Hong, Y. D., and Hong, J. H.: OH reactivity in urban and suburban regions in Seoul, South Korea—an East Asian megacity in a rapid transition, *Faraday Discuss.*, 189, 231–251, <https://doi.org/10.1039/c5fd00230c>, 2016.

Formatted: Justified

Deleted: <https://doi.org/10.1039/c5fd00230c>,

Kim, S. Y., Jiang, X., Lee, M., Turnipseed, A., Guenther, A., Kim, J.C., Lee, S.J. and Kim, S.: Impact of Biogenic Volatile Organic Compounds on ozone production at the Taehwa Research Forest near Seoul, South Korea. *Atmos. Environ.* 70: 447–453, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2012.11.005>, 2013.

Deleted: 70: 447–453,

Kong, L., Tang, X., Zhu, J., Wang, Z., Fu, J. S., Wang, X., Itahashi, S., Yamaji, K., Nagashima, T., Lee, H.-J., Kim, C.-H., Lin, C.-Y., Chen, L., Zhang, M., Tao, Z., Li, J., Kajino, M., Liao, H., Wang, Z., Sudo, K., Wang, Y., Pan, Y., Tang, G., Li, M., Wu, Q., Ge, B., and Carmichael, G. R.: Evaluation and uncertainty investigation of the NO₂, CO and NH₃ modeling over China under the framework of MICS-Asia III, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 20, 181–202, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-20-181-2020>, 2020.

Deleted: <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-20-181-2020>,

Kopacz, M., Jacob, D. J., Henze, D. K., Heald, C. L., Streets, D. G., and Zhang, Q.: Comparison of adjoint and analytical Bayesian inversion methods for constraining Asian sources of carbon monoxide using satellite (MOPITT) measurements of CO columns, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 114, D04305, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2007JD009264>, 2009.

Deleted: doi:10.1029/2007JD009264,

Kopacz, M., Jacob, D. J., Fisher, J. A., Logan, J. A., Zhang, L., Megretskaia, I. A., Yantosca, R. M., Singh, K., Henze, D. K., Burrows, J. P., Buchwitz, M., Khlystova, I., McMillan, W. W., Gille, J. C., Edwards, D. P., Eldering, A., Thouret, V., and Nedelec, P.: Global estimates of CO sources with high resolution by adjoint inversion of multiple satellite datasets (MOPITT, AIRS,

SCIAMACHY, TES), *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 10, 855–876, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-10-855-2010>, 2010.

Deleted: doi:10.5194/acp-10-855-2010,

Kuze, A., Suto, H., Nakajima, M., and Hamazaki, T.: Thermal and near infrared sensor for carbon observation Fourier- transform spectrometer on the Greenhouse Gases Observing Satellite for greenhouse gases monitoring, *Appl. Opt.*, 48, 6716, <https://doi.org/10.1364/ao.48.006716>, 2009.

Deleted: <https://doi.org/10.1364/ao.48.006716>,

Lamb, K. D., Perring, A. E., Samset, B., Peterson, D., Davis, S., Anderson, B. E., et al.: Estimating source region influences on black carbon abundance, microphysics, and radiative effect observed over South Korea. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 123, 13,527–13,548. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2018JD029257>, 2018.

Formatted: Default Paragraph Font, Underline, Font color: Blue

Lee, H.-J., Jo, H.-Y., Park, S.-Y., Jo, Y.-J., Jeon, W., Ahn, J.-Y., and Kim, C.-H.: A case study of the transport/transformation of air pollutants over the Yellow Sea during the MAPS 2015 campaign. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 124, 6532–6553, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2018JD029751>, 2019a.

Formatted: Default Paragraph Font, Underline, Font color: Blue

Lee, H.-J., Jo, H.-Y., Kim, S. et al.: Impacts of atmospheric vertical structures on transboundary aerosol transport from China to South Korea. *Sci Rep* 9, 13040, <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-49691-z>, 2019b.

Formatted: Default Paragraph Font, Underline, Font color: Blue

Lee, K., Yu, J., Lee, S., Park, M., Hong, H., Park, S. Y., Choi, M., Kim, J., Kim, Y., Woo, J.-H., Kim, S.-W., and Song, C. H.: Development of Korean Air Quality Prediction System version 1 (KAQPS v1) with focuses on practical issues, *Geosci. Model Dev.*, 13, 1055–1073, <https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-13-1055-2020>, 2020.

Formatted: Default Paragraph Font, Underline, Font color: Blue

Lelieveld, J., Gromov, S., Pozzer, A., and Taraborrelli, D.: Global tropospheric hydroxyl distribution, budget and reactivity, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 16, 12477–12493, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-16-12477-2016>, 2016.

Formatted: Default Paragraph Font, Underline, Font color: Blue

Li, K., Jacob, D. J., Liao, H., Shen, L., Zhang, Q., and Bates K. H.: Anthropogenic drivers of 2013–2017 trends in summer 750 surface ozone in China, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 116, 422–427, <http://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1812168116>, 2019.

Formatted: Default Paragraph Font, Underline, Font color: Blue

Li, M., Zhang, Q., Kurokawa, J.-I., Woo, J.-H., He, K., Lu, Z., Ohara, T., Song, Y., Streets, D. G., Carmichael, G. R., Cheng, Y., Hong, C., Huo, H., Jiang, X., Kang, S., Liu, F., Su, H., and Zheng, B.: MIX: a mosaic Asian anthropogenic emission inventory under the international collaboration framework of the MICS-Asia and HTAP, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 17, 935–963, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-17-935-2017>, 2017.

Deleted: <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-17-935-2017>,

Li, M., Q. Zhang, B. Zheng, D. Tong, Y. Lei, et al.: Persistent growth of anthropogenic non-methane volatile organic compound (NMVOC) emissions in China during 1990–2017: drivers, speciation and ozone formation potential. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 19:8897–8913, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-19-8897-2019>, 2019.

Deleted: doi:10.5194/acp-19-8897-2019,

Li, Z. Q., et al.: Aerosol and boundary-layer interactions and impact on air quality, National Science Review, 4(6), 810-833, <https://doi.org/10.1093/nsr/nwx117>, 2017.

Formatted: Justified

Deleted: <https://doi.org/10.1093/nsr/nwx117>

Liu, L., Zhuang, Q., Zhu, Q., Liu, S., van Asperen, H., and Pihlatie, M.: Global soil consumption of atmospheric carbon monoxide: an analysis using a process-based biogeochemistry model, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 18, 7913–7931, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-18-7913-2018>, 2018.

Deleted: <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-18-7913-2018>,

Deleted: ¶

Liao, J., Hanisco, T. F., Wolfe, G. M., St. Clair, J.,

Moved down [6]: Jimenez, J.

Lim, Y. J., Armendariz, A., Son, Y. S., and Kim, J. C.: Seasonal variations of isoprene emissions from five oak tree species in East Asia, Atmos. Environ., 45, 2202–2210, <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.Atmosenv.2011.01.066>, 2011.

Deleted: L., Campuzano-Jost, P., Nault, B. A., Fried, A., Marais, E. A., Gonzalez Abad, G., Chance, K., Jethva, H. T., Ryerson, T. B., Warneke, C., & Wisthaler, A.: Towards a satellite formaldehyde – in situ hybrid estimate for organic aerosol abundance, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 19, 2765–2785, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-19-2765-2019>, 2019. ¶

Lin, M., et al.: Transport of Asian ozone pollution into surface air over the western United States in spring, J. Geophys. Res., 117, D00V07, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2011JD016961>, 2012.

Deleted: doi:10.1016/J.Atmosenv.2011.01.066,

Deleted: doi:10.1029/2011JD016961,

Maksyutov, S., Oda, T., Saito, M., Janardanan, R., Belikov, D., Kaiser, J. W., Zhuravlev, R., Ganshin, A., Valsala, V. K., Andrews, A., Chmura, L., Dlugokencky, E., Haszpra, L., Langenfelds, R. L., Machida, T., Nakazawa, T., Ramonet, M., Sweeney, C., and Worthy, D.: Technical note: A high-resolution inverse modelling technique for estimating surface CO₂ fluxes based on the NIES-TM – FLEXPART coupled transport model and its adjoint, Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-2020-251>, in review, 2020.

Deleted: <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-2020-251>,

Matthes, K., Funke, B., Andersson, M. E., Barnard, L., Beer, J., Charbonneau, P., Clilverd, M. A., Dudok de Wit, T., Haberreiter, M., Hendry, A., Jackman, C. H., Kretzschmar, M., Kruschke, T., Kunze, M., Langematz, U., Marsh, D. R., Maycock, A. C., Misios, S., Rodger, C. J., Scaife, A. A., Seppälä, A., Shangguan, M., Sinnhuber, M., Tourpali, K., Usoskin, I., van de Kamp, M., Verronen, P. T., and Versick, S.: Solar forcing for CMIP6 (v3.2), Geosci. Model Dev., 10, 2247–2302, <https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-10-2247-2017>, 2017.

Deleted: <https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-10-2247-2017>,

Meinshausen, M., Vogel, E., Nauels, A., Lorbacher, K., Meinshausen, N., Etheridge, D. M., Fraser, P. J., Montzka, S. A., Rayner, P. J., Trudinger, C. M., Krummel, P. B., Beyerle, U., Canadell, J. G., Daniel, J. S., Enting, I. G., Law, R. M., Lunder, C. R., O'Doherty, S., Prinn, R. G., Reimann, S., Rubino, M., Velders, G. J. M., Vollmer, M. K., Wang, R. H. J., and Weiss, R.: Historical greenhouse gas concentrations for climate modelling (CMIP6), Geosci. Model Dev., 10, 2057–2116, <https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-10-2057-2017>, 2017.

Deleted: <https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-10-2057-2017>,

Mills, M. J., Schmidt, A., Easter, R., Solomon, S., Kinnison, D. E., Ghan, S. J., et al.: Global volcanic aerosol properties derived from emissions, 1990–2014, using CESM1(WACCM). Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 121, 2332–2348. <https://doi.org/10.1002/2015JD024290>, 2016.

Formatted: Default Paragraph Font, Underline, Font color: Blue

Miyazaki, K., Eskes, H. J., Sudo, K., Takigawa, M., van Weele, M., and Boersma, K. F.: Simultaneous assimilation of satellite NO₂, O₃, CO, and HNO₃ data for the analysis of tropospheric chemical composition and emissions, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 12, 9545–9579, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-12-9545-2012>, 2012.

Deleted: <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-12-9545-2012>,

Miyazaki, K., Eskes, H. J., and Sudo, K.: A tropospheric chemistry reanalysis for the years 2005–2012 based on an assimilation of OMI, MLS, TES, and MOPITT satellite data, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 15, 8315–8348, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-15-8315-2015>, 2015.

Formatted: Default Paragraph Font, Underline, Font color: Blue

Miyazaki, K., Eskes, H., Sudo, K., Boersma, K. F., Bowman, K., and Kanaya, Y.: Decadal changes in global surface NO_x emissions from multi-constituent satellite data assimilation, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 17, 807–837, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-17-807-2017>, 2017.

Deleted: <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-17-807-2017>,

Miyazaki, K., Sekiya, T., Fu, D., Bowman, K. W., Kulawik, S. S., Sudo, K., Walker, T., Kanaya, Y., Takigawa, M., Ogochi, K., Eskes, H., Boersma, K. F., Thompson, A. M., Gaubert, B., Barre, J., and Emmons, L. K.: Balance of emission and dynamical controls on ozone during KORUS-AQ from multi-constituent satellite data assimilation, *J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos.*, 124, 387–413, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2018JD028912>, 2019a.

Deleted: <https://doi.org/10.1029/2018JD028912>,

Miyazaki, K., Bowman, K., Sekiya, T., Eskes, H., Boersma, F., Worden, H., Livesey, N., Payne, V. H., Sudo, K., Kanaya, Y., Takigawa, M., and Ogochi, K.: Chemical Reanalysis Products. Jet Propulsion Laboratory, <https://doi.org/10.25966/9qgv-fe81>, 2019b.

Formatted: Default Paragraph Font, Underline, Font color: Blue

Miyazaki, K., Bowman, K. W., Yumimoto, K., Walker, T., and Sudo, K.: Evaluation of a multi-model, multi-constituent assimilation framework for tropospheric chemical reanalysis, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 20, 931–967, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-20-931-2020>, 2020a.

Formatted: Justified

Miyazaki, K., Bowman, K., Sekiya, T., Eskes, H., Boersma, F., Worden, H., Livesey, N., Payne, V. H., Sudo, K., Kanaya, Y., Takigawa, M., and Ogochi, K.: Updated tropospheric chemistry reanalysis and emission estimates, TCR-2, for 2005–2018, *Earth Syst. Sci. Data*, 12, 2223–2259, <https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-12-2223-2020>, 2020b.

Deleted: An updated

Moved (insertion) [5]

Moved down [7]: Sci.

Deleted: Data Discuss., <https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-2020-30>, in review, 2020b.

Molod, A., Takacs, L., Suarez, M., & Bacmeister, J.: Development of the GEOS-5 atmospheric general circulation model: evolution from MERRA to MERRA2. *Geoscientific Model Development*, 8(5), 1339–1356, <https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-8-1339-2015>, 2015.

Deleted: [doi:10.5194/gmd-8-1339-2015](https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-8-1339-2015),

Montzka, S. A., Krol, M., Dlugokencky, E., Hall, B., Jöckel, P., and Lelieveld, J.: Small Interannual Variability of Global Atmospheric Hydroxyl, *Science*, 331, 67–69, <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1197640>, 2011.

Deleted: <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1197640>,

Müller, M., Mikoviny, T., Feil, S., Haidacher, S., Hanel, G., Hartungen, E., Jordan, A., Märk, L., Mutschlechner, P., Schottkowsky, R., Sulzer, P., Crawford, J. H., and Wisthaler, A.: A compact PTR-ToF-MS instrument for airborne measurements of volatile organic compounds at high spatiotemporal resolution, *Atmos. Meas. Tech.*, 7, 3763–3772, <https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-7-3763-2014>, 2014.

Deleted: <https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-7-3763-2014>,

Müller, J.-F., Stavrakou, T., Bauwens, M., George, M., Hurtmans, D., Coheur, P.-F., Clerbaux, C., and Sweeney, C.: Top-Down CO Emissions Based On IASI Observations and Hemispheric Constraints on OH Levels, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 45, 1621–1629, <https://doi.org/10.1002/2017GL076697>, 2018.

Formatted: Default Paragraph Font, Underline, Font color: Blue

Myhre, G.; Shindell, D.; et al.: Anthropogenic and Natural Radiative Forcing. The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change 2013, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA: Cambridge University Press http://www.ipcc.ch/pdf/assessment-report/ar5/wg1/WGIAR5_Chapter08_FINAL.pdf, 2013.

Formatted: Default Paragraph Font, Underline, Font color: Blue

Naik, V., Voulgarakis, A., Fiore, A. M., Horowitz, L. W., Lamarque, J.-F., Lin, M., Prather, M. J., Young, P. J., Bergmann, D., Cameron-Smith, P. J., Cionni, I., Collins, W. J., Dalsøren, S. B., Doherty, R., Eyring, V., Faluvegi, G., Folberth, G. A., Josse, B., Lee, Y. H., MacKenzie, I. A., Nagashima, T., van Noije, T. P. C., Plummer, D. A., Righi, M., Rumbold, S. T., Skeie, R., Shindell, D. T., Stevenson, D. S., Strode, S., Sudo, K., Szopa, S., and Zeng, G.: Preindustrial to present-day changes in tropospheric hydroxyl radical and methane lifetime from the Atmospheric Chemistry and Climate Model Intercomparison Project (ACCMIP), *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 13, 5277–5298, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-13-5277-2013>, 2013.

Deleted: <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-13-5277-2013>,

National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine: The Future of Atmospheric Chemistry Research: Remembering Yesterday, Understanding Today, Anticipating Tomorrow, The National Academies Press, Washington DC, USA, <https://doi.org/10.17226/23573>, 2016.

Formatted: Adjust space between Latin and Asian text, Adjust space between Asian text and numbers

Deleted: <https://doi.org/10.17226/23573>,

Nault, B. A., Campuzano-Jost, P., Day, D. A., Schroder, J. C., Anderson, B., Beyersdorf, A. J., Blake, D. R., Brune, W. H., Choi, Y., Corr, C. A., de Gouw, J. A., Dibb, J., DiGangi, J. P., Diskin, G. S., Fried, A., Huey, L. G., Kim, M. J., Knote, C. J., Lamb, K. D., Lee, T., Park, T., Pusede, S. E., Scheuer, E., Thornhill, K. L., Woo, J.-H., and Jimenez, J. L.: Secondary organic aerosol production from local emissions dominates the organic aerosol budget over Seoul, South Korea, during KORUS-AQ, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 18, 17769–17800, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-18-17769-2018>, 2018.

Deleted: <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-18-17769-2018>,

Nguyen, N. H., Turner, A. J., Yin, Y., Prather, M. J., & Frankenberg, C.: Effects of Chemical Feedbacks on Decadal Methane Emissions Estimates. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 47, e2019GL085706, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2019GL085706>, 2020.

Deleted: <https://doi.org/10.1029/2019GL085706>

Nguyen, T. B., Crounse, J. D., Teng, A. P., St Clair, J. M., Paulot, F., Wolfe, G. M., and Wennberg, P. O.: Rapid deposition of oxidized biogenic compounds to a temperate forest, *P. Natl. Acad. Sci USA*, 112, 392–401, <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1418702112>, 2015.

Formatted: Adjust space between Latin and Asian text, Adjust space between Asian text and numbers

Deleted: doi:10.1073/pnas.1418702112,

Oak, Y.J., Park, R.J., Schroeder, J.R., Crawford, J.H., Blake, D.R., Weinheimer, A.J., Woo, J.-H., Kim, S.-W., Yeo, H., Fried, A., Wisthaler, A. and Brune, W.H.: Evaluation of simulated O₃ production efficiency during the KORUS-AQ campaign: Implications for anthropogenic NO_x emissions in Korea. *Elem Sci Anth*, 7(1), p.56. DOI: <http://doi.org/10.1525/elementa.394>, 2019.

Formatted: Default Paragraph Font, Underline, Font color: Blue

Patra, P. K., Houweling, S., Krol, M., Bousquet, P., Belikov, D., Bergmann, D., Bian, H., Cameron-Smith, P., Chipperfield, M. P., Corbin, K., Fortems-Cheiney, A., Fraser, A., Gloor, E., Hess, P., Ito, A., Kawa, S. R., Law, R. M., Loh, Z., Maksyutov, S., Meng, L., Palmer, P. I., Prinn, R. G., Rigby, M., Saito, R., and Wilson, C.: TransCom model simulations of CH₄ and related species: linking transport, surface flux and chemical loss with CH₄ variability in the troposphere and lower

stratosphere, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 11, 12813–12837, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-11-12813-2011>, 2011.

Formatted: Default Paragraph Font, Underline, Font color: Blue

Pandey, S., Houweling, S., Krol, M., Aben, I., Chevallier, F., Dlugokencky, E. J., Gatti, L. V., Gloor, M., Miller, J. B., Detmers, R., Machida, T., and Röckmann, T.: Inverse modeling of GOSAT-retrieved ratios of total column CH₄ and CO₂ for 2009 and 2010, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 16, 5043–5062, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-16-5043-2016>, 2016.

Deleted: doi:10.5194/acp-16-5043-2016,

Peterson, D.A., Hyer, E.J., Han, S.-O., Crawford, J.H., Park, R.J., Holz, R., Kuehn, R.E., Eloranta, E., Knote, C., Jordan, C.E. and Lefer, B.L.: Meteorology influencing springtime air quality, pollution transport, and visibility in Korea. *Elem Sci Anth*, 7(1), p.57. DOI: <http://doi.org/10.1525/elementa.395>, 2019.

Formatted: Default Paragraph Font, Underline, Font color: Blue

Petrovavlovskikh, I., Shetter, R., Hall, S., Ullmann, K., and Bhartia, P. K.: Algorithm for the charge-coupled-device scanning actinic flux spectroradiometer ozone retrieval in support of the Aura satellite validation, *J. Appl. Remote Sens.*, 1, 013540, <https://doi.org/10.1117/1.2802563>, 2007.

Formatted: Justified

Deleted: <https://doi.org/10.1117/1.2802563>,

Pétron, G., Granier, C., Khattatov, B., Yudin, V., Lamarque, J.-F., Emmons, L., Gille, J., and Edwards, D. P.: Monthly CO surface sources inventory based on the 2000–2001 MOPITT satellite data, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 31, L21107, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2004GL020560>, 2004.

Deleted: doi:10.1029/2004GL020560,

Pison, I., Bousquet, P., Chevallier, F., Szopa, S., and Hauglustaine, D.: Multi-species inversion of CH₄, CO and H₂ emissions from surface measurements, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 9, 5281–5297, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-9-5281-2009>, 2009.

Deleted: <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-9-5281-2009>,

Podolske, J. R., Sachse, G. W., and Diskin, G. S.: Calibration and data retrieval algorithms for the NASA Langley/Ames Diode Laser Hygrometer for the NASA Transport and Chemical Evolution Over the Pacific (TRACE-P) mission. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 108(D20), 8792, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2002JD003156>, 2003.

Deleted: . <https://doi.org/10.1029/2002JD003156>

Prather, M. J., & Holmes, C. D.: Overexplaining or underexplaining methane's role in climate change. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 114 (21), 5324–5326, <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1704884114>, 2017.

Formatted: highwire-cite-metadata-doi

Raeder, K., Anderson, J. L., Collins, N., Hoar, T. J., Kay, J. E., Lauritzen, P. H., and Pincus, R.: DART/CAM: an ensemble data assimilation system for CESM atmospheric models, *J. Climate*, 25, 6304–6317, <https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-11-00395.1>, 2012.

Formatted: Justified

Deleted: <https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-11-00395.1>,

Richter, D., P. Weibring, J. G. Walega, A. Fried, S. M. Spuler, and M. S. Taubman, Compact highly sensitive multi-species airborne mid-IR spectrometer, *Appl. Phys. B*, 119 (1), 119–131, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00340-015-6038-8>, 2015.

Deleted: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00340-015-6038-8>,

Ridley, B. A., and Grahek, F. E.: A small, low flow, high sensitivity reaction vessel for NO chemiluminescence detectors. *Journal Of Atmospheric And Oceanic Technology*, 7, 307–311, [https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0426\(1990\)007<0307:ASLFHS>2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0426(1990)007<0307:ASLFHS>2.0.CO;2), 1990.

Formatted: Justified

Deleted: .doi:10.1175/1520-0426(1990)007<0307:ASLFHS>2.0.CO;2,

Sachse, G. W., Hill, G. F., Wade, L. O., and Perry, M. G.: Fast response, high-precision carbon monoxide sensor using a tunable diode laser absorption technique, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 92, 2071–2081, <https://doi.org/10.1029/JD092iD02p02071>, 1987.

Saunois, M., Jackson, R. B., Bousquet, P., Poulter, B., and Canadell, J. G.: The growing role of methane in anthropogenic climate change. *Environmental Research Letters*, 11 (12), 120207. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/11/12/120207>, 2016.

Formatted: Default Paragraph Font, Underline, Font color: Blue

Saunois, M., Stavert, A. R., Poulter, B., Bousquet, P., Canadell, J. G., Jackson, R. B., Raymond, P. A., Dlugokencky, E. J., Houweling, S., Patra, P. K., Ciais, P., Arora, V. K., Bastviken, D., Bergamaschi, P., Blake, D. R., Brailsford, G., Bruhwiler, L., Carlson, K. M., Carrol, M., Castaldi, S., Chandra, N., Crevoisier, C., Crill, P. M., Covey, K., Curry, C. L., Etiope, G., Frankenberg, C., Gedney, N., Hegglin, M. I., Höglund-Isaksson, L., Hugelius, G., Ishizawa, M., Ito, A., Janssens-Maenhout, G., Jensen, K. M., Joos, F., Kleinen, T., Krummel, P. B., Langenfelds, R. L., Laruelle, G. G., Liu, L., Machida, T., Maksyutov, S., McDonald, K. C., McNorton, J., Miller, P. A., Melton, J. R., Morino, I., Müller, J., Murguia-Flores, F., Naik, V., Niwa, Y., Noce, S., O'Doherty, S., Parker, R. J., Peng, C., Peng, S., Peters, G. P., Prigent, C., Prinn, R., Ramonet, M., Regnier, P., Riley, W. J., Rosentreter, J. A., Segers, A., Simpson, I. J., Shi, H., Smith, S. J., Steele, L. P., Thornton, B. F., Tian, H., Tohjima, Y., Tubiello, F. N., Tsuruta, A., Viovy, N., Voulgarakis, A., Weber, T. S., van Weele, M., van der Werf, G. R., Weiss, R. F., Worthy, D., Wunch, D., Yin, Y., Yoshida, Y., Zhang, W., Zhang, Z., Zhao, Y., Zheng, B., Zhu, Q., Zhu, Q., and Zhuang, Q.: The Global Methane Budget 2000–2017, *Earth Syst. Sci. Data*, 12, 1561–1623, <https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-12-1561-2020>, 2020.

Deleted: Murgia

Deleted: Data Discuss., <https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-2019-128>, in review, 2019.

Segers, A. J.: Description of the CH₄ Inversion Production Chain, CAMS (Copernicus Atmospheric Monitoring Service) Report, latest version: https://atmosphere.copernicus.eu/sites/default/files/2020-01/CAMS73_2018SC1_D73.5.2.2-2019_202001_production_chain_v1.pdf, 2020

Formatted: Justified

Deleted: https://atmosphere.copernicus.eu/sites/default/files/2020-01/CAMS73_2018SC1_D73.5.2.2-2019_202001_production_chain_v1.pdf

Shindell, D. T., Faluvegi, G., Stevenson, D. S., Krol, M. C., Emmons, L. K., Lamarque, J.-F., Petron, G., Dentener, F. J., Ellingsen, K., Schultz, M. G., Wild, O., Amann, M., Atherton, C. S., Bergmann, D. J., Bey, I., Butler, T., Cofala, J., Collins, W. J., Derwent, R. G., Doherty, R. M., Drevet, J., Eskes, H. J., Fiore, A. M., Gauss, M., Hauglustaine, D. A., Horowitz, L. W., Isaksen, S. A., Lawrence, M. G., Montanaro, V., Müller, J.-F., Pitari, G., Parther, M. J., Pyle, J. A., Rast, S., Rodriguez, J. M., Sanderson, M. G., Savage, N. H., Strahan, S. E., Sudo, K., Szopa, S., Unger, N., van Noije, T. P. C., and Zeng, G.: Multimodel simulations of carbon monoxide: Comparison with observations and projected near-future changes, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 111, D19306, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2006JD007100>, 2006.

Deleted: doi:10.1029/2006JD007100,

Shen, L., Jacob, D. J., Zhu, L., Zhang, Q., Zheng, B., Sulprizio, M. P., Li, K., De Smedt, I., González Abad, G., Cao, H., Fu, T.-M., and Liao, H.: 2005–2016 trends of formaldehyde columns over China observed by satellites: increasing anthropogenic emissions of volatile organic compounds and decreasing agricultural fire emissions, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 46, 4468–4475, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2019gl082172>, 2019.

Formatted: Default Paragraph Font, Underline, Font color: Blue

Shetter, R. E. and Müller, M.: Photolysis frequency measurements using actinic flux spectroradiometry during the PEM-Tropics mission: Instrumentation description and some results, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 104, 5647–5661, <https://doi.org/10.1029/98JD01381>, 1999.

Formatted: Default Paragraph Font, Underline, Font color: Blue

Simpson, I.J., Blake, D.R., Blake, N.J., Meinardi, S., Barletta, B., Hughes, S.C., Fleming, L.T., Crawford, J.H., Diskin, G.S., Emmons, L.K., Fried, A., Guo, H., Peterson, D.A., Wisthaler, A., Woo, J.-H., Barré, J., Gaubert, B., Kim, J., Kim, M.J., Kim, Y., Knote, C., Mikoviny, T., Pusede, S.E., Schroeder, J.R., Wang, Y., Wennberg, P.O. and Zeng, L.: Characterization, sources and reactivity of volatile organic compounds (VOCs) in Seoul and surrounding regions during KORUS-AQ, *Elem. Sci. Anth.*, 8, 37, <http://doi.org/10.1525/elementa.434>, 2020.

Moved (insertion) [7]

Deleted: Simpson, I., et al.: Characterization, Sources and Reactivity of Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs) in Seoul and Surrounding Regions during KORUS-AQ, in press, *Elementa: Science of the Anthropocene*, 2020.

Song, H., Chen, X., Lu, K., Zou, Q., Tan, Z., Fuchs, H., Wiedensohler, A., Zheng, M., Wahner, A., Kiendler-Scharr, A., and Zhang, Y.: Influence of aerosol copper on HO₂ uptake: A novel parameterized equation, *Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss.*, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-2020-218>, in review, 2020.

Deleted: <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-2020-218>,

Souri, A. H., Nowlan, C. R., González Abad, G., Zhu, L., Blake, D. R., Fried, A., Weinheimer, A., J., Wisthaler, A., Woo, J.-H., Zhang, Q., Chan Miller, C. E., Liu, X., and Chance, K.: An inversion of NO_x and non-methane volatile organic compound (NMVOC) emissions using satellite observations during the KORUS-AQ campaign and implications for surface ozone over East Asia, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 20, 9837–9854, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-20-9837-2020>, 2020.

Deleted: J

Deleted: Inversion

Deleted: Emissions

Deleted: Satellite Observations

Deleted: Campaign

Deleted: Implications

Deleted: Surface Ozone

Deleted: . Discuss., <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-2020-220>, in review, 2020.

Deleted: doi:10.1029/2005JD006896,

Stavrakou, T., and Müller, J.-F.: Grid-based versus big region approach for inverting CO emissions using Measurement of Pollution in the Troposphere (MOPITT) data, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 111, D15304, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2005JD006896>, 2006.

Stein, O., Schultz, M. G., Bouarar, I., Clark, H., Huijnen, V., Gaudel, A., George, M., and Clerbaux, C.: On the wintertime low bias of Northern Hemisphere carbon monoxide found in global model simulations, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 14, 9295–9316, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-14-9295-2014>, 2014.

Deleted: doi:10.5194/acp-14-9295-2014,

Strode, S. A., Duncan, B. N., Yegorova, E. A., Kouatchou, J., Ziemke, J. R., and Douglass, A. R.: Implications of carbon monoxide bias for methane lifetime and atmospheric composition in chemistry climate models, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 15, 11789–11805, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-15-11789-2015>, 2015.

Deleted: <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-15-11789-2015>, 2015.

Sullivan, J. T., McGee, T. J., Stauffer, R. M., Thompson, A. M., Weinheimer, A., Knote, C., Janz, S., Wisthaler, A., Long, R., Szykman, J., Park, J., Lee, Y., Kim, S., Jeong, D., Sanchez, D., Twigg, L., Sunnicht, G., Knepp, T., & Schroeder, J. R.: Taehwa Research Forest: a receptor site for severe domestic pollution events in Korea during 2016, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 19, 5051–5067, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-19-5051-2019>, 2019.

Formatted: Default Paragraph Font, Underline, Font color: Blue

Tang, W., Arellano, A. F., DiGangi, J. P., Choi, Y., Diskin, G. S., Agustí-Panareda, A., Parrington, M., Massart, S., Gaubert, B., Lee, Y., Kim, D., Jung, J., Hong, J., Hong, J.-W., Kanaya, Y., Lee, M., Stauffer, R. M., Thompson, A. M., Flynn, J. H., and Woo, J.-H.: Evaluating high-resolution forecasts of atmospheric CO and CO₂ from a global prediction system during KORUS-AQ field

campaign, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 18, 11007–11030, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-18-11007-2018>, 2018.

Deleted: <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-18-11007-2018>,

Tang, W., Emmons, L. K., Arellano Jr, A. F., Gaubert, B., Knote, C., Tilmes, S., et al.: Source contributions to carbon monoxide concentrations during KORUS-AQ based on CAM-chem model applications. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 124, 2796–2822. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2018JD029151>, 2019.

Formatted: Default Paragraph Font, Underline, Font color: Blue

Tang, W., Worden, H. M., Deeter, M. N., Edwards, D. P., Emmons, L. K., Martínez-Alonso, S., Gaubert, B., Buchholz, R. R., Diskin, G. S., Dickerson, R. R., Ren, X., He, H., and Kondo, Y.: Assessing Measurements of Pollution in the Troposphere (MOPITT) carbon monoxide retrievals over urban versus non-urban regions, *Atmos. Meas. Tech.*, 13, 1337–1356, <https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-13-1337-2020>, 2020.

Deleted: <https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-13-1337-2020>,

Tang, X., Zhu, J., Wang, Z. F., Wang, M., Gbaguidi, A., Li, J., Shao, M., Tang, G. Q., and Ji, D. S.: Inversion of CO emissions over Beijing and its surrounding areas with ensemble Kalman filter, *Atmos. Environ.*, 81, 676–686, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2013.08.051>, 2013.

Deleted: 2013.

Thompson, A. M., Stauffer, R. M., Boyle, T. P., Kollonige, D. E., Miyazaki, K., Tzortziou, M., Herman, J. R., Jordan, C. N., Lamb, B. T., and Duncan, B. N.: Comparison of Near-Surface NO₂ Pollution With Pandora Total Column NO₂ During the Korea-United States Ocean Color (KORUS OC) Campaign, *J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos.*, 124, 13560–13575, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2019JD030765>, 2019.

Formatted: Hyperlink

Deleted: .

Tilmes, S., Hodzic, A., Emmons, L. K., Mills, M. J., Gettelman, A., Kinnison, D. E., Park, M., Lamarque, J.-F., Vitt, F., Shrivastava, M., Campuzano-Jost, P., Jimenez, J. L., and Liu, X.: Climate forcing and trends of organic aerosols in the Community Earth System Model (CESM2), *J. Adv. Model. Earth Syst.*, 11, 4323–4351, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2019MS001827>, 2019.

Moved (insertion) [6]

Tsuruta, A., Aalto, T., Backman, L., Hakkarainen, J., van der Laan-Luijkx, I. T., Krol, M. C., Spahni, R., Houweling, S., Laine, M., Dlugokencky, E., Gomez-Pelaez, A. J., van der Schoot, M., Langenfelds, R., Ellul, R., Arduini, J., Apadula, F., Gerbig, C., Feist, D. G., Kivi, R., Yoshida, Y., and Peters, W.: Global methane emission estimates for 2000–2012 from CarbonTracker Europe-CH₄ v1.0, *Geosci. Model Dev.*, 10, 1261–1289, <https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-10-1261-2017>, 2017.

Deleted: <https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-10-1261-2017>,

Turner, A. J., Frankenberg, C. and Kort, E. A.: Interpreting contemporary trends in atmospheric methane. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 116, 2805, <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1814297116>, 2019.

Formatted: Default Paragraph Font, Underline, Font color: Blue

Ganzeveld, L., Valverde-Canossa, J., Moortgat, G. K., and Steinbrecher, R.: Evaluation of peroxide exchanges over a coniferous forest in a single-column chemistry-climate model, *Atmos. Environ.* 40, S68–S80, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2006.03.038>, 2006.

Field Code Changed

Formatted: Default Paragraph Font, Underline, Font color: Blue

Wang, F., Li, Z., Ren, X., Jiang, Q., He, H., Dickerson, R. R., Dong, X., and Lv, F.: Vertical distributions of aerosol optical properties during the spring 2016 ARIAs airborne campaign in the

North China Plain, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 18, 8995–9010, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-18-8995-2018>, 2018.

Deleted: <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-18-8995-2018>, 2018.

Wang, F., Maksyutov, S., Tsuruta, A., Janardanan, R., Ito, A., Sasakawa, M., Machida, T., Morino, I., Yoshida, Y., Kaiser, J.W., et al.: Methane emission estimates by the global high-resolution inverse model using national inventories. *Remote Sens.* 11, 2489, <https://doi.org/10.3390/rs11212489>, 2019.

Formatted: Default Paragraph Font, Underline, Font color: Blue

Wang T, et al.: Ozone pollution in China: A review of concentrations, meteorological influences, chemical precursors, and effects. *Sci Total Environ* 575:1582–1596, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2016.10.081>, 2017.

Formatted: Default Paragraph Font, Underline, Font color: Blue

Warner, J. X., Wei, Z., Strow, L. L., Barnet, C. D., Sparling, L. C., Diskin, G., and Sachse, G.: Improved agreement of AIRS tropospheric carbon monoxide products with other EOS sensors using optimal estimation retrievals, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 10, 9521–9533, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-10-9521-2010>, 2010.

Formatted: Default Paragraph Font, Underline, Font color: Blue

Watanabe, S., Hajima, T., Sudo, K., Nagashima, T., Takemura, T., Okajima, H., Nozawa, T., Kawase, H., Abe, M., Yokohata, T., Ise, T., Sato, H., Kato, E., Takata, K., Emori, S., and Kawamiya, M.: MIROC-ESM 2010: model description and basic results of CMIP5-20c3m experiments, *Geosci. Model Dev.*, 4, 845–872, <https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-4-845-2011>, 2011.

Deleted: <https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-4-845-2011>,

Weinheimer, A. J., Walega, J. G., Ridley, B. A., Sachse, G. W., Anderson, B. E. and Collins, J. E.: Stratospheric NO_y measurements on the NASA DC-8 during AASE-II. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 20, 2563–2566, <https://doi.org/10.1029/93GL02627>, 1993.

Wilkening, K. E., Barrie, L. A. & Engle, M.: Trans-pacific air pollution. *Science*, 290 (5489), 65–67, <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.290.5489.65>, 2000.

Formatted: Default Paragraph Font, Underline, Font color: Blue

Woo, J-H, Choi, K-C, Kim, HK, Baek, BH, Jang, M, Eum, J-H, Song, CH, Ma, Y-I, Sunwoo, Y, Chang, L-S and Yood, SH.: Development of an anthropogenic emissions processing system for Asia using SMOKE. *Atmospheric Environment* 58: 5–13, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2011.10.042>, 2012.

Deleted: .

Deleted: <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2011.10.042>

Worden, H. M., Deeter, M. N., Edwards, D. P., Gille, J. C., Drummond, J. R., and Nédélec, P.: Observations of near-surface carbon monoxide from space using MOPITT multispectral retrievals, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 115, D18314, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2010JD014242>, 2010.

Deleted: . (2010),

Deleted: doi:

Formatted: Default Paragraph Font

Deleted: .

Formatted: Justified

Worden, H. M., Deeter, M. N., Frankenberg, C., George, M., Nichitiu, F., Worden, J., Aben, I., Bowman, K. W., Clerbaux, C., Coheur, P. F., de Laat, A. T. J., Detweiler, R., Drummond, J. R., Edwards, D. P., Gille, J. C., Hurtmans, D., Luo, M., Martínez-Alonso, S., Massie, S., Pfister, G., and Warner, J. X.: Decadal record of satellite carbon monoxide observations, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 13, 837–850, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-13-837-2013>, 2013.

Deleted: <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-13-837-2013>,

Yan, Y.-Y., Lin, J.-T., Kuang, Y., Yang, D., and Zhang, L.: Tropospheric carbon monoxide over the Pacific during HIPPO: two-way coupled simulation of GEOS-Chem and its multiple nested

models, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 14, 12649–12663, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-14-12649-2014>, 2014.

Deleted: <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-14-12649-2014>,

Yin, Y., Chevallier, F., Ciais, P., Broquet, G., Fortems-Cheiney, A., Pison, I., and Saunois, M.: Decadal trends in global CO emissions as seen by MOPITT, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 15, 13433–13451, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-15-13433-2015>, 2015.

Deleted: <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-15-13433-2015>,

Yin, Y., Chevallier, F., Frankenberg, C., Ciais, P., Bousquet, P., Saunois, M., Zheng, B., Worden, J. R., Bloom, A. A., Parker, R., Jacob, D., J., and Dlugokencky, E. J.: Sources from tropical wetlands and China accelerate methane growth rate since 2010, submitted to PNAS, 2019.

Deleted: Bousquet

Yonemura, S., Kawashima, S., and Tsuruta, H.: Carbon monoxide, hydrogen, and methane uptake by soils in a temperate arable field and a forest, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 105, 14347, <https://doi.org/10.1029/1999JD901156>, 2000.

Formatted: Adjust space between Latin and Asian text, Adjust space between Asian text and numbers

Formatted: English (US)

Deleted: <https://doi.org/10.1029/1999JD901156>,

Formatted: English (US)

Formatted: English (US)

Young, P. J., Archibald, A. T., Bowman, K. W., Lamarque, J.-F., Naik, V., Stevenson, D. S., Tilmes, S., Voulgarakis, A., Wild, O., Bergmann, D., Cameron-Smith, P., Cionni, I., Collins, W. J., Dalsøren, S. B., Doherty, R. M., Eyring, V., Faluvegi, G., Horowitz, L. W., Josse, B., Lee, Y. H., MacKenzie, I. A., Nagashima, T., Plummer, D. A., Righi, M., Rumbold, S. T., Skeie, R. B., Shindell, D. T., Strode, S. A., Sudo, K., Szopa, S., and Zeng, G.: Pre-industrial to end 21st century projections of tropospheric ozone from the Atmospheric Chemistry and Climate Model Intercomparison Project (ACCMIP), *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 13, 2063–2090, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-13-2063-2013>, 2013.

Deleted: [doi:10.5194/acp-13-2063-2013](https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-13-2063-2013),

Yumimoto, K., Uno, I., and Itahashi, S.: Long-term inverse modeling of Chinese CO emission from satellite observations, *Environmental Pollution*, 195, 308–318, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2014.07.026>, 2014.

Deleted: *Environ. Pollut.*, 195, 308–318, doi:10.1016/j.envpol.2014.07.026, 2014.

Zhang, L., et al.: Transpacific transport of ozone pollution and the effect of recent Asian emission increases on air quality in North America: An integrated analysis using satellite, aircraft, ozonesonde, and surface observations, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 8, 6117–6136, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-8-6117-2008>, 2008.

Deleted: [doi:10.5194/acp-8-6117-2008](https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-8-6117-2008),

Formatted: Justified

Zheng, B., Chevallier, F., Ciais, P., Yin, Y., Deeter, M., Worden, H., Wang, Y. L., Zhang, Q., and He, K. B.: Rapid decline in carbon monoxide emissions and export from East Asia between years 2005 and 2016, *Environ. Res. Lett.*, 13, 044007, <https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/aab2b3>, 2018a.

Formatted: Default Paragraph Font, Underline, Font color: Blue

Zheng, B., Tong, D., Li, M., Liu, F., Hong, C., Geng, G., et al.: Trends in China's anthropogenic emissions since 2010 as the consequence of clean air actions. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 18, 14,095–14,111. <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-18-14095-2018>, 2018b.

Deleted: <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-18-14095-2018>

Formatted: Justified

Zheng, B., Chevallier, F., Yin, Y., Ciais, P., Fortems-Cheiney, A., Deeter, M. N., Parker, R. J., Wang, Y., Worden, H. M., and Zhao, Y.: Global atmospheric carbon monoxide budget 2000–2017 inferred from multi-species atmospheric inversions, *Earth Syst. Sci. Data*, 11, 1411–1436, <https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-11-1411-2019>, 2019.

Deleted: <https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-11-1411-2019>,

Zhi, G. R., Zhang, Y. Y., Sun, J. Z., Cheng, M. M., Dang, H. Y., Liu, S. J., Yang, J. C., Zhang, Y. Z., Xue, Z. G., Li, S. Y., and Meng, F.: Village energy survey reveals missing rural raw coal in northern China: Significance in science and policy, *Environ. Pollut.*, 223, 705–712, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2017.02.009>, 2017.

Formatted: Justified

Deleted: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2017.02.009>,