https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-2020-548
Preprint. Discussion started: 23 July 2020

Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics
Discussions

Brown carbon's emission factors and optical characteristics in household biomass burning: Developing a novel algorithm for estimating the contribution of brown carbon

Jianzhong Sun[1, 2], Guorui Zhi[1, *], Regina. Hitzenberger[3], Yingjun Chen[4], Chongguo Tian[5],

[1]State Key Laboratory of Environmental Criteria and Risk Assessment, Chinese Research Academy of Environmental Sciences, Beijing 100012, China
[2]Shangrao Normal University, Shangrao 334001, China
[3]University of Vienna, Faculty of Physics, Boltzmanngasse 5, 1090 Vienna, Austria
[4]Shanghai Key Laboratory of Atmospheric Particle Pollution and Prevention (LAP3), Department of Environmental Science & Engineering, Institute of Atmospheric Sciences, Fudan University, Shanghai 200433, China
[5]Key Laboratory of Coastal Environmental Processes and Ecological Remediation, Yantai Institute of Coastal Zone Research, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Yantai 264003, China

**Correspondence to*: Guorui Zhi (zhigr@craes.org.cn)

Abstract. Recent studies have highlighted the importance of brown carbon (BrC) in various fields, particularly relating to climate change. The incomplete combustion of biomass in open and contained burning conditions is believed to be a significant contributor to primary BrC emissions. So far, few studies have reported the emission factors of BrC from biomass burning, and few studies have specifically addressed which form of light absorbing carbon, such as black carbon (BC) or BrC, plays a leading role in the total solar light absorption of biomass burning. In this study, the optical integrating sphere (IS) approach was used, with carbon black and humic acid sodium salt as reference materials for BC and BrC, respectively, to distinguish BrC from BC on the filter samples. Eleven widely used biomass types in China were burned in a typical stove to simulate the real household combustion process. (i) Large differences existed in the emission factors of BrC (EF_{BrC}) among the tested biomass fuels, with a geomean EF_{BrC} of 0.71 g/kg (0.24, 2.18). Both the plant type (herbaceous or ligneous) and burning style (raw or briquetted biomass) might influence the value of EF_{BrC}. (ii) The calculated annual BrC emissions from China's household biomass burning amounted to 712 Gg, higher than the contribution from China's household coal combustion (592 Gg). (iii) The average absorption Ångström exponent (AAE) was (2.46 ± 0.53), much higher than that of coal-chunks combustion smoke (AAE = 1.30 ± 0.32). (iv) For biomass smoke, the contribution of absorption by BrC to the total absorption by BC + BrC across the strongest solar spectral range of 350–850 nm (F_{BrC}) was 50.8%. This was nearly twice that for BrC in smoke from household coal combustion (26.5%). (v) Based on this study, a novel algorithm was developed for estimating the F_{BrC} for any combustion sources ($F_{BrC} = 0.5519 \ln AAE + 0.0067$, $R^2 = 0.999$); the F_{BrC} value for global entire biomass burning (open + contained) ($F_{BrC\text{-entire}}$) was 64.5% (58.5–69.9%). This corroborates the dominant role of BrC in total biomass burning absorption. Therefore, BrC is not optional but indispensable when considering the climate energy budget, particularly for biomass burning emissions (contained and open).

1 **Introduction**

Brown carbon (BrC) refers to the fraction of organic carbon (OC) that is light-absorbing, with a pronounced wavelength dependence of absorption (Kirchstetter et al., 2004; Bosch et al., 2014; Chakrabarty et al., 2014; Mo et al., 2017; Jiang et al., 2018; Sun et al., 2018). Recent studies have highlighted the importance of BrC in not only atmospheric chemistry, air quality and human health, but also for climate change (Chakrabarty et al., 2010; Huang et al., 2018; Yan et al., 2018; Han et al., 2020). The light absorption of BrC is more emphasised towards short wavelengths, particularly in the ultraviolet (UV) range, on account of there being a larger spectral dependence for BrC than for BC (IPCC, 2014; Pokhrel et al., 2017; Li et al., 2018; Ferrero et al., 2020). By calculating the radiative forcing (RF) of BrC at the surface and at the top of the atmosphere, Park et al. (2010) found that more than 15% of the total RF caused by light absorbing carbon (LAC, including BrC and BC) could be attributed to BrC. Yao et al. (2017) demonstrated that a positive direct radiative effect (DRE) of absorption (+0.21 $W\cdot m^{-2}$) was caused by BrC-containing organic aerosols from the burning of crop residues in East China during the summer harvest season. This is indicative of the negative effects on not only air quality, but also on climate. Pokhrel et al. (2017) found that the absorption of BrC at shorter visible wavelengths was equal to or greater than that of BC.

The incomplete smouldering combustion of biomass in open environments or contained stoves is a major contributor to primary BrC emissions (Lukács et al., 2007; Chakrabarty et al., 2010; Hecobian et al., 2010; Chakrabarty et al., 2013). High gas and particle emissions have often been observed during these combustion processes (Kirchstetter et al., 2004; Chen and Bond, 2010; Bosch et al., 2014; Budisulistiorini et al., 2017). Ground-based observations and model simulations have revealed that in some regions with high biomass consumption intensities, such as South America, South Asia, Africa, Russia, China, and India, high levels of BrC (10–35 $mg\cdot m^{-2}$) are found in the atmosphere (Arola et al., 2011; Feng et al., 2013; Huang et al., 2018). In these regions, the climatic effects of BrC are expected to be stronger than in other regions.

In China, biomass burning contributes a substantial quantity of carbonaceous particles, along with many other air pollutants. The available emission inventories show that approximately 20% of primary fine particulate matter ($PM_{2.5}$) originates from biomass burning (open and contained) (Yao, 2016). Zong et al. (2017) used the Positive Matrix Factorisation (PMF) method, linked with radiocarbon analysis, to conduct a source apportionment study of $PM_{2.5}$ at a regional background site in northern China. They identified that biomass combustion comprised a significant contribution (19.3%) of atmospheric $PM_{2.5}$. Cheng et al. (2013) confirmed the significance of biomass burning in air pollution, finding that approximately 50% of OC and elemental carbon (EC) in Beijing were associated with biomass burning processes. It is also suggested that more biomass is burned in stoves than in open fields, due to China's continued efforts to prevent and control forest fires and the burning of field stalks (Tian et al., 2011; Zhi et al., 2015a; Cheng et al., 2016). Hence, more attention should be paid to the household sector than to open burning, as far as biomass-related emissions are concerned in China. In addition, unlike other regions where firewood often plays a major role as a biomass fuel, China has more access to agricultural waste (e.g. maize straw, wheat straw, and rice straw) for household heating/cooking purposes (Huang et al., 2012; Shen et al., 2013; Chen et al., 2015a). This suggests that studies of BrC originating from China's household biomass fuel combustion should consider as many biomass fuel varieties as possible, so that the actual characteristics of BrC emissions can be comprehensively investigated and represented.

The available literature dealing with BrC from biomass burning in China to date has generally focussed on ambient observation (Arola et al., 2011; Chakrabarty et al., 2014; He et al., 2017; Zhao et al., 2018) and modelling (Gustafsson et al., 2009; Feng et al., 2013) of the basic characteristics of atmosphere, such as the concentrations and temporal and spatial distributions. Few studies have addressed the typical sources of emission characteristics (Lin et al., 2017; Mo et al., 2017; Phillips et al., 2017; Rawad et al., 2018; Sumlin et al., 2018; Xu et al., 2018; Zhang et al., 2018). Even though a few studies have collected emission samples at some sources, the objectives of these studies was to further understand the general properties of water soluble organic carbon (WSOC) or methanol soluble organic carbon (MSOC) (Cheng et al., 2013, 2016; Lin et al., 2017; Phillips et al., 2017; Wu et al., 2019; Yan et al., 2020). Consequently, there is a lack of knowledge regarding source emission strengths (emission factors; EFs) and regarding how BrC's role of absorption differs relative to BC (Lack et al., 2012; Healy et al., 2015; Washenfelder et al., 2015; Srinivas, et al., 2016; Zhang et al., 2016). An intensive study on BrC from China's household biomass emission sources is therefore necessary to provide insight into both the EFs and light absorption properties of particulate emissions.

In the present study, eleven biomass fuels that are widely used in China were burned in an ordinary stove, to simulate domestic burning practices. Particulate emissions were collected by quartz filters to measure the EFs of BrC (EF_{BrC}) and BC (EF_{BC}) for China's household biomass burning, for investigating the spectral characteristics of absorption by BrC and estimating the contribution of BrC to total light absorption by BC + BrC across a broad solar spectral range (350–850 nm). The integrating sphere (IS) method, which had been refined in a previous study into residential coal combustion (Sun et al., 2017), was used here to simultaneously quantify BrC and BC. Furthermore, based on this intensive study of contained biomass burning (in stoves), we extrapolated the results to develop a novel algorithm for estimating the contribution of solar light absorption by BrC to the sum of BC + BrC for any combustion source. This will help to gain a clearer idea of whether BC or BrC dominates the light absorption properties of biomass burning (contained plus open) on a global scale.

2 Experimental Section

2.1 Biomass fuels and stove

Eleven biomass fuels were tested: they were classified into three groups, i.e. crop residue (CR, nine types), firewood (FW, one type), and pellet (PF, one types) fuels. The details of these fuels are given in Table S1. The stove that we used in this study was a natural draft stove developed specifically for biomass fuels (see Figure S1 in Supporting Information). It is simple and traditional, accounting for approximately a half of biomass stoves in China (World Bank, China, 2013; Ran et al., 2014).

2.2 Combustion experiment and sample collection

The burning and sampling procedures used in this study were in general similar to those described in a previous coal combustion experiment (Sun et al., 2017). Briefly, each biomass fuel was burned in the biomass-burning stove. For each biomass fuel, the first batch (30–50 g) was put into the stove and then ignited with solid alcohol. Sampling and monitoring were immediately initiated. When the combustion began to fade (the first burning cycle, 3–5 min), a second batch of the fuel was added into the stove until it had been burned out (the second burning cycle, 3–5 min). Some biomass fuels (e.g. rice and wheat straws) burned so fast that a third or fourth addition was needed to sustain the combustion for an adequate sampling period. The modified combustion efficiency (MCE) ranged from

https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-2020-548
Preprint. Discussion started: 23 July 2020

83.95% (peanut stalk) to 99.51% (pellet fuel), with an average of 92.04 ± 4.96%, generally comparable to the results for residential coal (Average MCE values were 88.0±4.0% and 82.5 ± 17.4% for bituminous chunk and anthracite chunk, respectively, and were 90.1 ± 1.3% and 92.8 ± 1.7% for all briquettes tested) (Zhang et al., 2020).

Back to igniting manner, although in most occasions biomass fuels are ignited by gas lighters by ordinary stove users, there are some difficult-to-ignite biomass fuels (e.g., wood) that need to be kindled by some flammable soft materials (e.g., wheat straw, rice straw, or even leaves). Additional emissions from the flammable soft materials are inevitable. In such situations, using solid alcohol to ignite experimental biomass fuels in this study is appreciated because no pollutants other than CO_2 and H_2O were released from alcohol combustion.

A diversion-dilution-sampling system (Supporting Information, Figure S2) was set up to sample and/or monitor the combustion emissions. The dilution ratios were 20:1 to 80:1, depending on the envisaged emission intensity of each combination process, as well as on the burning conditions. The quartz fibre filters used for sampling were pre-baked in a muffle furnace at 450 °C for 6 h to remove carbonaceous substances from the filters. Each combustion experiment was repeated 2–3 times to determine the reproducibility. After sampling, the particle-loaded filters were kept in a freezer at -20 °C until needed for further analysis.

2.3 Measurement of BrC with the integrating sphere method

The differentiation of BrC from BC is a key step toward determining BrC. The mechanism and procedure of the IS method were detailed in a previous study (Sun et al., 2017). Briefly, a 150 mm IS (manufactured by Labsphere, Inc, see Figure S3) was built into a UV-Vis-NIR spectrophotometer (Perkin Elmer Lambda 950). The sphere was internally coated with Polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE), which can reflect more than 99% of the incident light in the range of 0.2–2.5 μm (Wonaschüetz et al., 2009). With this assembly, we scanned through the wavelength range of 350–850 nm to measure the light absorption of the collected samples.

Two reference materials were used as proxies for BC and BrC. They were carbon black (CarB) (e.g. Elftex 570, Cabot Corporation) for BC (Fisher, 1970; Andre et al., 1981; Hitzenberger et al., 1996; Wonaschüetz et al., 2009) and humic acid sodium salt (HASS) (e.g. Acros Organics, no. 68131-04-4)

https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-2020-548
Preprint. Discussion started: 23 July 2020

for BrC (Wonaschüetz et al., 2009). CarB had been used as proxy for BC in diesel exhaust by Medalia et al. (1983) and HASS had been used as proxy for BrC from wood combustion by Wonaschüetz et al. (2009). In a previous study, CarB and HASS were used as proxies for BC and BrC, respectively, to characterise household coal burning samples, by assuming that BC and BrC in household coal emissions had the same light-absorbing properties as CarB and HASS, respectively (Sun et al., 2017). In the present study, we continued this logic, and assumed that BC and BrC in household biomass smoke have the same light-absorbing properties as CarB and HASS, respectively. This approach has also been adopted in other studies (Heintzenberg, 1982; Reisinger et al., 2008; Wonaschüetz et al., 2009; Sun et al., 2017). Although such an assumption is not fully perfect, researchers can take advantage of these two reference materials to relatively assess the features (chemical or optical) of BrC and BC derived from different combustion sources. It should be noted that the IS method does not depend on an actual chemical separation, but on a virtual optical allocation of a mixed absorption signal to BrC and BC, with HASS and CarB used as references, respectively.

Calibration curves (see Figure S4) were plotted for CarB masses from 1.5–90 μg and HASS masses from 3–240 μg, according to their respective absorption signals as measured by the IS device, at both 650 nm and 365 nm (Sun et al., 2017). The BrC and BC masses of the samples were calculated through an iterative procedure based on the different spectral dependences of absorption by BrC and BC (See Methods for calculation of iteration procedure and Figure S4 in Supporting Information). In most cases, 20 iterative calculations will achieve a convergent value for either BrC or BC. Note that carbon accounts only for 47% of the mass of HASS, and therefore all measured HASS equivalent values based on the calibration curves in Figure S4 were multiplied by 0.47 to obtain the mass of pure brown 'carbon' (rather than that of the BrC-containing compounds).

2.4 Calculation methods

Details of the methods for calculating EF_{BrC}, EF_{BC}, absorption Ångström exponent (AAE), the wavelength-dependent BrC contribution to total light absorption ($f_{BrC}(\lambda)$), and average BrC contribution to total solar light absorption (F_{BrC}) in the range of 350–850 nm are provided in the Supporting Information.

3 Results and Discussion

https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-2020-548
Preprint. Discussion started: 23 July 2020

3.1 Emission factors of BrC from biomass fuels

The calculated EFs of the 11 biomass fuels are presented in Table 1. EF_{BrC} varied significantly among biomass fuels. Rape straw had the highest EF_{BrC} (7.259 ± 0.002 g/kg), whereas pellet fuel had the lowest (0.13 ± 0.061 g/kg). The observed differences may be related to the type of plant (see Figure 1). We notice that the EFs of BrC for herbaceous plants (HP, the former nine samples in Figure 1) were higher than those for the ligneous plants (LP, the latter two samples in Figure 1). This possibly implies that herbaceous plants have a higher potential for forming BrC than ligneous plants. Although the reason underlying this difference is currently unknown, in view of the higher contents of C and H in LPs than in HPs, it seems reasonable to speculate that burning herbaceous plants in household stoves releases less heat than burning ligneous ones, which leads to a lower burning temperature for the former than for the latter, and therefore favours the generation of BrC for the former (Chen et al., 2015b; Wei et al., 2017). Another possible explanation is the distinction in the modified combustion efficiency (MCE) values between LPs and HPs. Our measurements show that HPs tended to have lower MCEs (93.4 ± 6.49% < 95.9 ± 2.05%), resulting in a greater chance for the formation of BrC (Shen et al., 2013). A similar phenomenon was also observed by Shen et al. (2013), who carried out a systematic measurement of PM, OC, and EC released from various solid fuels burned in residential stoves; these authors found that crop residues, which were composed of herbaceous plants, were more likely to have higher BrC EFs than wood fuels, which were composed of ligneous plants. In this perspective, greater importance ought to be attached to herbaceous biomass fuels than to ligneous ones as far as BrC emissions are concerned.

The EF_{BC} values for PFs were the lowest among all the tested biomass fuels; the briquetting effect helped to lower the occurrence of incomplete combustion and thus likely decreased the formation of primary carbonaceous particles (including BC and BrC) (Zhi et al., 2008, 2009). This agrees with the findings of Lei et al. (2018), as the sum of LAC (BrC + BC) was observed to decrease after the maize straw was transformed to a maize briquette. In view of the virtues of biomass briquetting, regarding both air quality (less pollutant emissions) and climate change mitigation (carbon-neutral), the present study identified an additional benefit of biomass briquetting in climate change mitigation, because of the reduction of the emission of LAC (Sun and Xu, 2012; Arshanitsa et al., 2016; Chen et al., 2016).

Geometrically averaging the EF_{BrC} values over all tested biomass fuels yielded a value of 0.71 g/kg. This value was comparable to the obtained EF_{BrC} for forest fires in the south-eastern United States, measured with an aethalometer AE52 (1.0–1.4 g/kg, BC-equivalent) (Aurell and Gullett, 2013). In another study by Schmidl et al. (2008), the IS method was used to measure the BrC and BC emission characteristics of the open fires of three kinds of leaves. As BrC accounted for 18.5% (w/w) of the PM_{10} of leaf smoke (Schmidl et al., 2008) and as the PM_{10} EF for biomass fuel combustion (given by Cao et al. (2011)) is 5.77 g/kg (field burning), the EF_{BrC} can be inferred for the open fires of the three kinds of leaves, i.e. 1.07 g/kg. This value is also comparable to the averaged EF_{BrC} obtained in this study. In addition, the current EF_{BrC} average value, 0.71 g/kg, was closer to the values obtained for the combustion of anthracite-chunks (1.08 ± 0.80 g/kg) and anthracite-briquettes (1.52 ± 0.16 g/kg) than to those obtained for the combustion of bituminous-chunks (8.59 ± 2.70 g/kg) and bituminous-briquettes (4.01 ± 2.19 g/kg) (Sun et al., 2017). This suggests the specific importance of the residential combustion of bituminous coals in BrC emissions.

Figure 1 aids to compare EF_{BrC} and EF_{BC}. Each of the 11 biomass fuels tested in this study had a higher EF_{BrC} than EF_{BC}; that is, the ratios of EF_{BrC} to EF_{BC} ($R_{BrC/BC}$) were all >1. Specifically, corncobs and sorghum stalks give the highest (10.0) and lowest (1.5) $R_{BrC/BC}$ values, respectively, and the average $R_{BrC/BC}$ over all biomass fuels was 6.7 ± 2.7. This illustrates the significant potential of BrC emissions than BC emissions, regarding the combustion of household biomass fuel. Kirchstetter et al. (2004) measured the light absorption of filter-based aerosol samples from biomass burning before and after acetone treatment (which removed OC). They found that 50% of total light absorption was attributable to OC. In view of the much smaller average absorption efficiency of BrC, relative to that of BC, the contribution of BrC to the mass of LAC is undoubtedly far higher than that of BC, an inference which is consistent with the present study.

3.2 Spectral dependence of absorption

AAE represents the spectral dependence of the light absorption efficiency (Martinsson et al., 2015; Washenfelder et al., 2015; Yan et al., 2015). Usually, the AAE is close to 1.0 (Lack and Langridge, 2013; Laskin et al., 2015) for BC that is pronounced by a graphitic structure. This has been demonstrated by several studies for diesel exhaust or urban particulate matter (Rosen et al., 1978;

Horvath, 1997). However, the existence of BrC in aerosols makes the mass absorption efficiency (MAE) increase tend more strongly towards shorter wavelengths, due to a larger AAE for BrC than for BC. In other words, the AAEs of BrC-containing carbonaceous aerosols are >1 (Chakrabarty et al., 2013; Yan et al., 2015).

In this study, the measured AAE values for smoke from the combustion of the 11 biomass fuels (see Table S2) ranged from 1.38 (sorghum stalk) to 2.98 (rice straw), with an average of 2.46 ± 0.53. This suggests the existence of BrC in the particulate emissions. As a comparison, in a previous study that used the IS method for household coal combustion (Sun et al., 2017), average AAE values of 2.55 ± 0.44 for coal-briquettes and 1.30 ± 0.32 for coal-chunks were obtained (Sun et al., 2017). Cai et al. (2014) observed an AAE value of 3.02 ± 0.18 for the open burning of wheat straw, and of 1.43 ± 0.26 for household coal burning, using an aethalometer (AE31). Other studies have reported a wide range of AAE values, dependent on fuels, combustion conditions, aging effects after emission, the wavelengths covered and the pre-treatment experienced. (see Table S3 in Supporting Information).

However, as AAE >1 for aerosol samples theoretically results from BrC instead of BC (Martinsson et al., 2015; Washenfelder et al., 2015; Zhi et al., 2015b; Yuan et al., 2016), the wide range of AAE literature values are believed to be linked to variation in the ratio of BrC to BC ($R_{BrC/BC}$). In other words, the increase in $R_{BrC/BC}$ theoretically leads to the increase in AAE (Lack and Langridge, 2013). Indirect support for this interpretation can be inferred from existing literature. For example, Saleh et al. (2014) noticed that the effective absorptivity of organic aerosol in biomass burning emissions could be parameterised as a function of the ratio of BC to OC (an umbrella term that also includes BrC). Costabile et al. (2017) found that the AAE (467–660 nm) in the atmosphere of the urban Po-Valley was positively correlated with the ratio of organic aerosol (OA) to BC ($R^2 = 0.78$), rather than to OA concentrations alone. The more persuasive scenario concerns WSOC, which is free of BC ($R_{BrC/BC} = +\infty$); for this scenario the AAE reaches its maximum (also see Table S3).

The EFs and AAEs of 11 biomass fuels used in this study and the EFs and AAEs of seven coals used in a previous study (Sun et al., 2017) are collated and arranged in a scatter plot (Figure 2). Obviously the AAE values are positively correlated with $R_{BrC/BC}$ values. Considering that the AAE for pure BC (i.e., $R_{BrC/BC} = 0$) is conventionally accepted as 1.0, we specify the intercept to 1.0 to comply with the theoretical constraint. The relation between AAE and $R_{BrC/BC}$ can be expressed in Equation (1).

$$AAE = 0.199R_{BrC/BC} + 1.00 \quad (R^2 = 0.7527) \qquad (1)$$

Equation (1) supports the AAE-$R_{BrC/BC}$ relation in a quantitative way.

3.3 Light absorption by BrC from household biomass combustion in household stoves

With the EF_{BrC} and EF_{BC} obtained in the present study, as well as publicly available consumption data of household biomass fuels, China's BrC and BC emissions from biomass fuels burned in household stoves can be calculated, following the method described in the Supporting Information. In 2013, the biomass fuels consumed in China comprised 695 Tg (1 Tg = 10^{12} g) for household cooking/heating purposes (Lu et al., 2011; Tian et al., 2011; NBSC, 2014). The calculated BrC emissions were 712 Gg. South Asia funeral pyres release 92 Gg of BrC in 2011 (calculated with the double IS system method), which is much less than that from China's household biomass combustion. This implies a clear need to control BrC emissions from household biomass burning in China.

Figure 3 compares the emissions of BrC and BC from biomass fuels in this study, and from coals as reported in a previous study (Sun et al., 2017). It is obvious that BrC emissions were always higher than BC emissions for both household biomass fuels and coals, which is attributable to the higher EF_{BrC} than EF_{BC} for both biomass fuels and coals. It is also interesting to note that, for BrC, biomass fuel dominated, whereas for BC, coal was more important. This suggests the relative importance of biomass fuels in controlling BrC.

The calculated huge emissions of BrC for China's household biomass-fuel combustion represent a strong argument for including BrC in estimating the total light absorption by emissions from burning biomass. Here, we used $f_{BrC}(\lambda)$ to represent the fraction of BrC absorption in the sum of light absorption of BrC + BC at individual wavelengths of the scanned spectral ranges (350–850 nm), measured with the IS. A detailed description of the theory and method for calculating $f_{BrC}(\lambda)$ is given in Supporting Information. The results of $f_{BrC}(\lambda)$ for biomass fuels in this study are plotted in Figure 4 (blue line).

Evidently, the $f_{BrC}(\lambda)$ increased towards shorter wavelengths: the $f_{BrC}(\lambda)$ at 850 nm was 0.25, whereas the $f_{BrC}(\lambda)$ at 350 nm increased to 0.8. In addition to the spectrally-dependent $f_{BrC}(\lambda)$ for biomass fuels, Figure 4 also presents the spectrally dependent $f_{BrC}(\lambda)$ values for coal (red line) as obtained in a previous study (Sun et al., 2017). The lowest value of $f_{BrC}(\lambda)$ for coal occurred at 0.061

(850 nm), and the highest value occurred at 0.47 (355 nm). The average $f_{\mathrm{BrC}}(\lambda)$ for coal was 0.26, which was distinctly lower than that for biomass fuels. This difference in f_{BrC} between coal and biomass smoke can be explained by the difference in $\mathrm{R_{BrC/BC}}$ between coal and biomass smoke. It is necessary to exercise caution when attributing the absorption to BrC vs BC based on wavelength dependence (expressed as AAE). For example, Lack and Langridge (2013) found that the uncertainties in attributed BrC absorption might be ±33 % when BrC comprised 23% to 41% of total absorption (Assuming an absorption measurement uncertainty of ±5 %).

Integrating $f_{\mathrm{BrC}}(\lambda)$ over the solar spectrum results in F_{BrC}, which represents the fraction of solar radiance absorbed by BrC relative to the total absorption by BC + BrC (refer to the Supplementary Information for the method for the calculation of F_{BrC}). The standard solar spectrum is also plotted in Figure 4 (yellow line) as a contrast and reference. A value of 0.508 (0.471–0.542) was obtained for the F_{BrC} of household biomass fuels across the wavelength range of 350–850 nm, which was nearly twice that of household coal combustion (0.265) in China (Sun et al., 2017).

3.4 Extrapolation towards a novel algorithm for estimating the relative contribution of BrC

As F_{BrC} is defined as the ratio of the solar light absorption by BrC to that by (BrC + BC) across 350–850 nm, it is physically dependent on $\mathrm{R_{BrC/BC}}$. There is a scarcity of reported $\mathrm{R_{BrC/BC}}$ values, whereas conversely AAE is frequently reported in existing literature. Therefore, the logarithmical function that can be fitted to the relationship between $\mathrm{R_{BrC/BC}}$ and AAE (Figure 2) can be used for the practical application of expressing F_{BrC} as a function of AAE.

To construct the function for F_{BrC}, with AAE as the independent variable, we managed to gather four pairs of F_{BrC} vs AAE values. Two of these pairs were based on theory. For pure BC (free of BrC), AAE and F_{BrC} were 1.0 (Lack and Langridge, 2013; Laskin et al., 2015; Yan et al., 2015; Zhang et al., 2020) and 0.0, respectively; whereas for samples of pure BrC (free of BC), we averaged over the AAE values in the literature for WSOC or MSOC (free of BC), thus obtaining an AAE value of 6.09 ± 1.25 (Hoffer et al., 2006; Hecobian et al., 2010; Voisin et al., 2012; Srinivas and Sarin, 2013, 2014; Srinivas et al., 2016; Lei et al., 2018) (Table S3 Part I). The other two pairs of the F_{BrC} vs AAE values were obtained from our measurements. A previous study (Sun et al., 2017) demonstrated that, when AAE was 1.58, F_{BrC} was 0.265. In the present study, as mentioned in Section 3.3, an AAE of 2.46 led to an

F_{BrC} of 0.508. These four F_{BrC} vs AAE pairs were used to construct the relationship between F_{BrC} and AAE (Figure 5). A logarithmical equation was established between F_{BrC} and AAE, with a very high correlation coefficient.

$$F_{\mathrm{BrC}} = 0.5519\ln\mathrm{AAE} + 0.0067 \qquad (R^2 = 0.999) \qquad (2)$$

Equation (2) provides a novel algorithm for deriving F_{BrC} from AAE, without consideration of the process details for any kinds of combustion sources. This helps to broaden insight into biomass burning issues from contained conditions to open conditions. The results of F_{BrC} for open fresh emissions from open biomass burning ($F_{\mathrm{BrC\text{-}open}}$) vary in literature, and most have values below 0.50 (or 50%) (Lack et al., 2012; Healy et al., 2015; Washenfelder et al., 2015; Srinivas, et al., 2016). We collected $\mathrm{AAE}_{\text{-open}}$ data from available journal articles and included them in Table S3 (Part II). The calculated average $\mathrm{AAE}_{\text{-open}}$ value was 3.44 ± 1.75, which was larger than the $\mathrm{AAE}_{\text{-contained}}$ value obtained in this study (2.46 ± 0.53). Substitution of the $\mathrm{AAE}_{\text{-open}}$ value (3.44 ± 1.75) into Equation (2) leads to a value of 0.685 for $F_{\mathrm{BrC\text{-}open}}$, which is higher than the F_{BrC} for contained combustion ($F_{\mathrm{BrC\ \text{-}contained}}$) (0.508), indicating that BrC's light absorption was more dominant in open biomass burning emissions than in contained biomass burning emissions.

Assuming that the $\mathrm{AAE}_{\text{-contained}}$ and $\mathrm{AAE}_{\text{-open}}$ identified above apply to whole world biomass burning, we can now assess BrC's role in the biomass burning globally (contained + open) ($F_{\mathrm{BrC\text{-}entire}}$), in combination with the respective shares of open and contained burning. Previous studies show that the annual open and contained biomass burning amounts are 5953 Tg (Wiedinmyer et al., 2011) and 2457 Tg (Fernandes et al., 2007), respectively. This implies that open biomass burning represents 71% of total biomass burning and contained biomass burning represents 29%. Subsequently, the $F_{\mathrm{BrC\text{-}entire}}$ can be calculated according to the following equation:

$$F_{\mathrm{BrC\text{-}entire}} = 0.29 \times (0.5519\ln\mathrm{AAE}_{\text{-contained}} + 0.0067) + 0.71 \times (0.5519\ln\mathrm{AAE}_{\text{-open}} + 0.0067) \qquad (2)$$

With Equation (2), the distribution of $F_{\mathrm{BrC\text{-}entire}}$ was simulated through the Monte Carlo approach, as shown in Figure 6. The $F_{\mathrm{BrC\text{-}entire}}$ was 0.644 on average, and with an 80% probability range it lay between 0.585–0.699. Particularly, the probability of $F_{\mathrm{BrC\text{-}entire}}$ being larger than 0.500 was higher than 99%, corroborating the leading role of BrC in the absorption of solar light for total biomass burning emissions.

4 Conclusions

The optical IS approach was used to distinguish BrC from BC in filter samples of the emissions of 11 types of biomass after burning in a typical stove. The measured average EF of household biomass fuels for BrC was 0.71 g/kg, and the calculated annual BrC emissions from China's household biomass burning amounted to 712 Gg. This is higher than the emissions from China's household coal combustion (592 Gg). Moreover, it was observed that BrC contributed to approximately half of all light absorption by BC + BrC across the strongest solar spectral range (350–850 nm; $F_{\mathrm{BrC}} = 50.8\%$). Furthermore, a novel relationship was constructed ($F_{\mathrm{BrC}} = 0.5519\ln\mathrm{AAE} + 0.0067$, $R^2 = 0.999$), which can simplify the calculation of F_{BrC} by using AAE. With this mathematical relationship, we calculated the F_{BrC} values for open biomass burning ($F_{\mathrm{BrC\text{-}open}} = 70.1\%$) and entire biomass burning ($F_{\mathrm{BrC\text{-}entire}} = 64.4\%$), thereby establishing the dominant role of BrC in biomass burning absorption. From this perspective, we recommend that it is necessary to include BrC in the climate discussion, particularly concerning biomass burning (contained and open). This algorithm omits the long procedures of chemical treatment, optical measurement and tedious calculations, and provides a scheme for estimating the contribution of BrC relative to BC in any combustion process with LAC emissions.

Data availability

The research data can be accessed, on request, from the corresponding author (zhigr@craes.org.cn).

Acknowledgements

This study was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation (41977309), Research results of 13th five-year plan for Social Sciences in Jiangxi Province, China (19ZK34), National Key Research & Development Plan (2017YFC0213001), Special Project of Fundamental Research Funds of the Chinese Research Academy of Environmental Sciences (JY41373131), Chinese-Norwegian Project on Emission, Impact, and Control Policy for Black Carbon and its Co-benefits in Northern China (CHN-2148-19/0029), and Topics of Jiangxi Sports Bureau, China (2018021).

Competing interests. The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

References

Andre, K., Dlugi, R., and Schantz, G.: Absorption of visible radiation by atmospheric aerosol particles in fog and cloud water residues, J. Atmos. Sci., 38, 141-155, doi: 10.1175/1520-0469(1981)038<0141: aovrba>2.0.co; 2, 1981.

Arola, A., Schuster, G., Myhre, G., Kazadzis, S., Dey, S., and Tripathi, S. N.: Inferring absorbing organic carbon content from AERONET data, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11(1), 215-225， doi: 10.5194/acp-11-215-2011, 2011.

Arshanitsa, A., Akishin, Y., Zile, E., Dizhbite, T., Solodovnik, V., and Telysheva, G.: Microwave treatment combined with conventional heating of plant biomass pellets in a rotated reactor as a high rate process for solid biofuel manufacture, Renewable Energy, 91, 386-396, doi: 10.1016/j.renene.2016.01.080, 2016.

Aurell, J. and Gullett, B. K.: Emission factors from aerial and ground measurements of field and laboratory forest burns in the southeastern US: PM2.5, black and brown carbon, VOC, and PCDD/PCDF, Environ. Sci. Technol., 47(15), 8443-8452, doi: 10.1021/es402101k, 2013.

Bosch, C., Andersson, A., Kirillova, E. N., Budhavant, K., Tiwari, S., Praveen, P. S., Russell, L. M., Beres, N. D., Ramanathan, V., and Gustafsson, Ö.: Source-diagnostic dual-isotope composition and optical properties of water-soluble organic carbon and elemental carbon in the South Asian outflow intercepted over the Indian Ocean, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 119(20), 11743-11759, doi: 10.1002/2014jd022127, 2014.

Budisulistiorini, S. H., Riva, M., Williams, M., Chen, J., Itoh, M., Surratt, J. D., and Kuwata, M.: Light-absorbing brown carbon aerosol constituents from combustion of Indonesian peat and biomass, Environ. Sci. Technol. Lett., 51(8), 4415-4423, doi: 10.1021/acs.est.7b00397, 2017.

Cai, J., Zhi, G., Chen, Y., Meng, F., Xue, Z., Li, J., and Fang, Y.: A preliminary study on brown carbon emissions from open agricultural biomass burning and residential coal combustion in China (in Chinese), Res. Environ. Sci., 27(5), 455-461, doi:10.13198/j.issn.1001-6929.2014.05.01, 2014.

Cao, G., Zhang, X., Gong, S., An, X., and Wang, Y.: Emission inventories of primary particles and pollutant gases for China, Chinese Science Bulletin, 56(8), 781–788, doi: 10.1007/s11434-011-4373-7, 2011.

Chakrabarty, R. K., Arnold, I. J., Francisco, D. M., Hatchett, B., Hosseinpour, F., Loria, M., Pokharel, A., and Woody, B. M.: Black and brown carbon fractal aggregates from combustion of two fuels widely used in Asian rituals, J. Quant. Spectrosc. Ra., 122, 25–30, doi:10.1016/j.jqsrt.2012.12.011, 2013.

Chakrabarty, R. K., Moosmüller, H., Chen, L. W. A., Lewis, K., Arnott, W. P., Mazzoleni, C., Dubey, M. K., Wold, C. E., Hao, W. M., and Kreidenweis, S. M.: Brown carbon in tar balls from smoldering biomass combustion, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10(13), 6363-6370, doi:10.5194/acp-10-6363-2010, 2010.

Chakrabarty, R. K., Pervez, S., Chow, J. C., Watson, J. G., Dewangan, S., Robles, J., and Tian, G.: Funeral pyres in South Asia: Brown carbon aerosol emissions and climate impacts, Environ. Sci. Technol. Lett., 1(1), 44-48, doi:10.1021/ez4000669, 2014.

Chen, Y. and Bond, T. C.: Light absorption by organic carbon from wood combustion, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 2010, 10, 1773–1787, doi:10.5194/acp-10-1773-2010, 2010.

Chen, Y., Shen, G., Su, S., Du, W., Huangfu, Y., Liu, G., Wang, X., Xing, B., Smith, K. R., and Tao, S.:

Efficiencies and pollutant emissions from forced-draft biomass-pellet semi-gasifier stoves: Comparison of International and Chinese water boiling test protocols, Energy for Sustainable Development. 32, 22-30, 2016.

Chen, Y., Tian, C., Feng, Y., Zhi, G., Li, J., and Zhang, G.: Measurements of emission factors of PM2.5, OC, EC, and BC for household stoves of coal combustion in China, Atmos. Environ., 109, 190-196, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2015.03.023, 2015a.

Chen, Y., Zhi, G., Feng, Y., Tian, C., Bi, X., Li, J., and Zhang, G.: Increase in polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon (PAH) emissions due to briquetting: A challenge to the coal briquetting policy, Environ. Pollut., 204, 58-63, doi:10.1016/j.envpol.2015.04.012, 2015b.

Cheng, Y., Engling, G., He, K. B., Duan, F. K., Ma, Y. L., Du, Z. Y., Liu, J. M., Zheng, M., and Weber, R. J.: Biomass burning contribution to Beijing aerosol, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 13(15), 7765-7781, doi: 10.5194/acp-13-7765-2013, 2013.

Cheng, Y., He, K., Du, Z., Engling, G., Liu, J., Ma, Y., Zheng, M., and Weber, R. J.: The characteristics of brown carbon aerosol during winter in Beijing, Atmos. Environ., 127, 355-364, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2015.12.035, 2016.

Costabile, F., Gilardoni, S., Barnaba, F., Di Ianni, A., Di Liberto, L., Dionisi, D., Manigrasso, M., Paglione, M., Poluzzi, V., Rinaldi, M., Facchini, M. C., and Gobbi, G. P.: Characteristics of brown carbon in the urban Po Valley atmosphere, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 17(1), 313-326, doi: 10.5194/acp-17-313-2017, 2017.

Feng, Y., Ramanathan, V., and Kotamarthi, V.: Brown carbon: A significant atmospheric absorber of solar radiation? Atmos. Chem. Phys., 13(17), 8607-8621, doi:10.5194/acp-13-8607-2013, 2013.

Fernandes, S. D., Trautmann, N. M., Streets, D. G., Roden, C, A., and Bond, T. C.: Global biofuel use, 1850–2000, Global Biogeochemical Cycles, 21(2), doi:10.1029/2006GB002836, 2007.

Ferrero, L., Gregorič, A., Močnik, G., Rigler, M., Cogliati, S., Barnaba, F., Di Liberto, L., Gobbi, G. P., Losi, N., and Bolzacchini, E.: The impact of cloudiness and cloud type on the atmospheric heating rate of black and brown carbon, Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-2020-264, in review, 2020.

Fischer, K.: Measurements of absorption of visible radiation by aerosol particles, Contribution Atmo. Phys., 43, 244-255, 1970.

Gustafsson, Ö., Kruså, M., Zencak, Z., Sheesley, R. J., Granat, L., Engström, E., Praveen, P. S., Rao, P. S. P., Leck, C., and Rodhe, H.: Brown clouds over south Asia: Biomass or fossil fuel combustion? Science. 323, 495-497, doi: 10.1126/science.1164857, 2009.

Han, H., Kim, G., Seo, H., Shin, K. H., and Lee, D. H.: Significant seasonal changes in optical properties of brown carbon in the midlatitude atmosphere, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 20, 2709–2718, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-20-2709-2020, 2020.

He, Y., Huang, R., Wang, Q., Wang, Y., and Cao, J.: Temporal and spatial distribution of atmospheric PM1 concentration, compostion and source in China, China Powder Sci. and Technol., 23(3), 1-10, doi: 10.13732/j.issn.1008-5548.2017.03.001, 2017.

Healy, R. M., Wang, J. M., Jeong, C.-H., Lee, A. K. Y., Willis, M. D., Jaroudi, E., Zimmerman, N., Hilker, N., Murphy, M., Eckhardt, E., Stohl, A., Abbatt, J. P. D., Wenger, J. C., and Evans, G. L.: Light-absorbing properties of ambient black carbon and brown carbon from fossil fuel and biomass burning sources, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 120, 6619-6633, doi: 10.1002/2015JD023382, 2015.

Hecobian, A., Zhang, X., Zheng, M., Frank, N., Edgerton, E. S., and Weber, R. J.: Water-soluble organic aerosol material and the light-absorption characteristics of aqueous extracts measured over the Southeastern United States, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10(13), 5965-5977, doi: 10.5194/acp-10-5965-2010, 2010.

Heintzenberg, J.: Size-segregated measurement of particulate elemental carbon and aerosol light absorption at remote Arctic locations, Atmos. Environ., 16(10), 2461-2469, doi:10.1016/0004-6981(82)90136-6, 1982.

Hitzenberger, R., Dusek, U., and Berner, A.: Black carbon measurements using an integrating sphere, J. Geophys. Res., 101(D14), 19601-19606, doi:10.1029/95jd02412, 1996.

Hoffer, A., Gelencser, A., Guyon, P., Kiss, G., and Schmid, O.: Optical properties of humic-like substances (HULIS) in biomass-burning aerosols, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 6, 3563-3570, doi:10.5194/acp-6-3563-2006, 2006.

Horvath, H.: Experimental calibration for aerosol light absorption measurements using the integrating plate method-summary of the data, J. Aeros. Sci., 28, 1149-1161, doi: 10.1016/S0021-8502(97)00007-4, 1997.

Huang, H., Ho, K. F., Lee, S. C., Tsang, P. K., Ho, S. S. H., Zou, C. W., Zou, S. C., Cao, J. J., and Xu, H. M.: Characteristics of carbonaceous aerosol in PM2.5: Pearl Delta River Region, China, Atmos. Res., 104-105, 227-236, doi:10.1016/j.atmosres. 2011.10.016, 2012.

Huang, R. J., Yang, L., Cao, J., Chen, Y., Chen, Q., Li, Y., Duan, J., Zhu, C., Dai, W., Wang, K., Lin, C., Ni, H., Corbin, J. C., Wu, Y., Zhang, R. J., Tie, X., Hoffmann, T., O'Dowd, C., and Dusek, U.: Brown carbon aerosol in Urban Xi'an, Northwest China: The composition and light absorption properties, Sci. Total Environ., 52(12), 6825-6833, doi: 10.1021/acs.est.8b02386, 2018.

IPCC, 2014: Climate Change 2014: Synthesis Report. Contribution of Working Groups I, II and III to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change [Core Writing Team, R. K. Pachauri and L. A. Meyer (eds.)]. IPCC, Geneva, Switzerland, 151 pp.

Jiang, H, Li, J, Tang, J, Mo, Y, and Zhang, G.: Applications of high resolution mass spectrometry in studies of brown carbon, Chinese J. Analytical Chem., 46(10), 1528-1538, doi: 10.11895/j.issn.0253-3820.171264, 2018.

Kirchstetter, T. W. and Novakov, T.: Evidence that the spectral dependence of light absorption by aerosols is affected by organic carbon, J. Geophys. Res., 109(D21208), 1-12, doi:10.1029/2004jd004999, 2004.

Lack, D. A., Langridge, J. M., Schwarz, J. P., Bahreini, R., Cappa, C. D., Middlebrook, A. M., and Schwarz, J. P.: Brown carbon and internal mixing in biomass burning particles, PNAS, 109, 14802–14807, doi:10.1073/pnas.1206575109/-/DCSupplemental, 2012.

Lack, D. A. and Langridge, J. M.: On the attribution of black and brown carbon light absorption using the Ångström exponent, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 13(20), 10535-10543, 10543, doi:10.5194/acp-13-10535-2013, 2013.

Laskin, A., Laskin, J., and Nizkorodov, S. A.: Chemistry of atmospheric brown carbon, Chem. Rev., 115 (10), 4335-4382, doi:10.1021/cr5006167, 2015.

Lei, Y., Shen, Z., Wang, Q., Zhang, T., Cao, J., Sun, J., Zhang, Q., Wang, L., Xu, H., Tian, J., and Wu, J.: Optical characteristics and source apportionment of brown carbon in winter PM2.5 over Yulin in Northern China, Atmos. Res., 213, 27-33, doi: 10.1016/j.atmosres.2018.05.018, 2018.

Lei, Y., Shen, Z., Zhang, T., Zhang, Q., Wang, Q., Sun, J., Gong, X., Cao, J., Xu, H., and Liu, S.: Optical source profiles of brown carbon in size-resolved particulate matter from typical domestic biofuel burning over Guanzhong Plain, China, Sci. Total Environ., s622–623, 244-251, doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.11.353, 2018.

Levinson, R., Akbari, H., and Berdahl, P.: Measuring solar reflectance - Part I: defining a metric that accurately predicts solar heat gain, Solar Energy, 84, 1745–1759, doi:10.1016/j.solener.2010.04.018, 2010.

Li, S., Zhu, M., Yang, W., Tang, M., Huang, X., Yu, Y., Fang, H., Yu, X., Yu, Q., Fu, X., Song, W., Zhang, Y., Bi, X., and Wang, X.: Filter-based measurement of light absorption by brown carbon in PM2.5 in a megacity in South China, Sci. Total Environ., 633, 1360-1369, doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.03.235, 2018.

Lin, P., Bluvshtein, N., Rudich, Y., Nizkorodov. S., Laskin, J., and Lasin, A.: Molecular chemistry of atmospheric brown carbon inferred from a nationwide biomass burning event, Environ. Sci. Technol., 51(20), 11561-11570, doi: 10.1021/acs.est.7b02276, 2017.

Lu, B., Kong, S., Han, B., Wang, X., and Bai, Z.: Inventory of atmospheric pollutants discharged from biomass burning in China continent in 2007, China Environ. Sci., 31(2), 186-194, doi:10.3724/SP.J.1077.2011.00311, 2011.

Lukács, H., Gelencsér, A., Hammer, S., Puxbaum, H., Pio, C., Legrand, M., Kasper-Giebl, A., Handler, M., Limbeck, A., Simpson, D., and Preunkert, S.: Seasonal trends and possible sources of brown carbon based on 2-year aerosol measurements at six sites in Europe, J. Geophys. Res., 112 (D23S18), 1-9, doi:10.1029/2006JD008151, 2007.

Martinsson, J., Eriksson, A. C., Nielsen, I. E., Malmborg, V. B., Ahlberg, E., Andersen, C., Lindgren, R., Nystrom, R., Nordin, E. Z., Brune, W. H., Svenningsson, B., Swietlicki, E., Boman, C., and Pagels, J. H.: Impacts of Combustion Conditions and Photochemical Processing on the Light Absorption of Biomass Combustion Aerosol, Environ. Sci. Technol., 49(24), 14663-14671, doi:10.1021/acs.est.5b03205, 2015.

Medalia, A. I., Rivin, D., and Sanders, D. R.: A comparison of carbon black with soot, Sci. Total Environ., 31, 1-22, doi:10.1016/0048-9697(83)90053-0, 1983.

Mo, Y., Li, J., Liu, J., Zhong, G., Cheng, Z., Tian, C., Chen, Y., and Zhang, G.: The influence of solvent and PH on determination of the light absorption properties of water-soluble brown carbon, Atmos. Environ., 161, 90-98, doi: 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2017.04.037, 2017.

National Bureau of Statistics of China (NBSC). China Energy Statistical Yearbook 2014, China Statistics Press: Beijing, China, 2014.

Park, R. J., Kim, M. J., Jeong, J. I., Youn, D., and Kim, S.: A contribution of brown carbon aerosol to the aerosol light absorption and its radiative forcing in East Asia, Atmos. Environ., 44(11), 1414-1421, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2010.01.042, 2010.

Phillips, S. M., Bellcross, A. D., and Smith, G. D.: Light absorption by brown carbon in the Southeastern United States is PH-dependent, Environ. Sci. Technol., 51(12), 6782-6790, doi: 10.1021/acs.est.7b01116, 2017.

Pokhrel, R. P., Beamesderfer, E. R., Wagner, N. L., Langridge, J. M., Lack, D. A., Jayarathne, T., Stone, E. A., Stockwell, C. E., Yokelson, R. J., and Murphy, S. M.: Relative importance of black carbon, brown carbon, and absorption enhancement from clear coatings in biomass burning emissions, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 17(8), 5063-5078, doi: 10.5194/acp-17-5063-2017, 2017.

Ran, Y., Wang, C., Liu, Q., Chen, Z., Ding, Z., Zhang, J.: Utilization status, problems and countermeasures of rural household biomass stoves. Agricultural Engineering Technol. (new energy industry), 02, 28-31, 2014.

Rawad, S., Zezhen, C., and Khairallah, A.: The brown–black continuum of light-absorbing combustion aerosols, Environ. Sci. Technol. Lett., 5(8), 508-513, doi: 10.1021/acs.estlett.8b00305, 2018.

Reisinger, P., Wonaschüetz, A., Hitzenberger, R., Petzold, A., Bauer, H., and Jankowski, N.: Intercomparison of measurement techniques for black or elemental carbon under urban background conditions in wintertime: Influence of biomass combustion, Environ. Sci. Technol., 42, 884-889, doi:10.1021/es0715041, 2008.

Rosen, H., Hansen, A. D. A., Gundel, L., and Novakov, T.: Identification of optically absorbing component in urban aerosols, Applied Optics. 17(24), 3859-3861, doi:10.1364/AO.17.003859, 1978.

Saleh, R., Robinson, E. S., Tkacik, D. S., Ahern, A. T., Liu, S., Aiken, A. C., Sullivan, R. C., Presto, A. A., Dubey, M. K., Yokelson, R. J., Donahue, N. M., and Robinson, A. L.: Brownness of organics in aerosols from biomass burning linked to their black carbon content, Nature Geosci., 2014, 7(9), 647-650, doi:10.1038/ngeo2220, 2014.

Schmidl, C., Bauer, H., Hitzenberger, R., Weissenboeck, G., Marr, I., and Puxbaum, H.: Chemical characterisation of fine particle emissions from burning leaves, Atmos. Environ., 42, 9070–9079, doi: 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2008.09.010., 2008.

Shen, G., Tao, S., Wei, S., Chen, Y., Zhang, Y., Shen, H., Huang, Y., Zhu, D., Yuan, C., Wang, H., Wang, Y., Pei, L., Liao, Y., Duan, Y., Wang, B., Wang, R., Lv, Y., Li, W., Wang, X., and Zheng, X.: Field measurement of emission factors of PM, EC, OC, parent, nitro-, and oxy- polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons for residential briquette, coal cake, and wood in rural Shanxi, China, Environ. Sci. Technol., 47 (6), 2998-3005, doi:10.1021/es304599g, 2013.

Srinivas, B. and Sarin, M. M.: Light absorbing organic aerosols (brown carbon) over the tropical Indian Ocean: Impact of biomass burning emissions, Environ. Res. Lett., 8(4), 1-7, doi: 10.1088/1748-9326/8/4/044042, 2013.

Srinivas, B. and Sarin, M. M.: Brown carbon in atmospheric outflow from the Indo-Gangetic Plain: Mass absorption efficiency and temporal variability. Atmos. Environ., 89, 835-843, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2014.03.030, 2014.

Srinivas, B., Rastogi, N., Sarin, M. M., Singh, A., and Singh, D.: Mass absorption efficiency of light absorbing organic aerosols from source region of paddy-residue burning emissions in the Indo-Gangetic Plain. Atmos. Environ., 125, 360-370, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2015.07.017 , 2016.

Sumlin, B. J., Oxford, C. R., Seo, B., Pattison, R. R., Williams, B. J., and Chakrabarty, R. K.: Density and homogeneous internal composition of primary brown carbon aerosol, Environ. Sci. Technol., 52(7), 3982-3989, doi: 10.1021/acs.est.8b00093, 2018.

Sun, J., Zhi, G., Hitzenberger, R., Chen, Y., Tian, C., Zhang, Y., Feng, Y., Cheng, M., Zhang, Y., Cai, J., Chen, F., Qiu, Y., Jiang, Z., Li, J., Zhang, G., and Mo, Y.: Emission factors and light absorption properties of brown carbon from household coal combustion in China, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 17(7), 4769-4780, doi: 10.5194/acp-17-4769-2017, 2017.

Sun, J., Zhi, G., Jin, W., Chen, Y., Shen, G., Tian, C., Zhang, Y., Zong, Z., Cheng, M., Zhang, X., Zhang, Y., Liu, C., Lu, J., Wang, H., Xiang, J., Tong, L., and Zhang, X.: Emission factors of organic carbon and elemental carbon for residential coal and biomass fuels in China- A new database for 39 fuel-stove combinations, Atmos. Environ., 190, 241-248, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2018.07.032, 2018.

Sun, X. and Xu, M.: Application of biomass briquetting technology (in Chinese), Jiangsu Agricultural Mechanization. 3, 32-33, 2012.

Tian, H., Zhao, D., and Wang, Y.: Emission inventories of atmospheric pollutants discharged from biomass burning in China (in Chinese), Acta Scientiae Circumstantiae. 31 (2), 349-357, doi: 10.13671/j.hjkxxb.2011.02.018, 2011.

Voisin, D., Jaffrezo, J. L., Houdier, S., Barret, M., Cozic, J., King, M. D., France, J. L., Reay, H. J., Grannas, A., Kos, G., Ariya, P. A., Beine, H. J., and Domine, F.: Carbonaceous species and humic like substances (HULIS) in Arctic snowpack during OASIS field campaign in Barrow, J. Geophys. Res., 117, 1-17, doi: 10.1029/2011jd016612, 2012.

Washenfelder, R. A., Attwood, A. R., Brock, C. A., Guo, H., Xu, L., Weber, R. J., Ng, N. L., Allen, H. M., Ayres, B. R., Baumann, K., Cohen, R. C., Draper, D. C., Duffey, K. C., Edgerton, E., Fry, J. L., Hu, W. W., Jimenez, J. L., Palm, B. B., Romer, P., Stone, E. A., Wooldridge, P. J., and Brown, S. S.: Biomass burning dominates brown carbon absorption in the rural southeastern United States, Geophys. Res. Lett., 42 (2), 653-664, doi:10.1002/2014gl062444, 2015.

Wei, S., Song, J., Peng, P., and Yu, C.: Py-GC/MS study on the characteristics of soot and charcoal derived from biomass materials and coal, Geochimica. 46 (3), 240-251, doi: 10.19700/j.0379-1726.2017.03.004, 2017.

Wiedinmyer, C., Akagi, S. K., Yokelson, R. J., Emmons, L. K., Al-Saadi, J. A., Orlando, J. J., and Soja, A. J.: The Fire INventory from NCAR (FINN): a high resolution global model to estimate the emissions from open burning, Geosci. Model Dev., 4, 625-641, doi: 10.5194/gmd-4-625-2011, 2011.

Wonaschüetz, A., Hitzenberger, R., Bauer, H., Pouresmaeil, P., Klatzer, B., Caseiro, A., and Puxbaum, H.: Application of the integrating sphere method to separate the contributions of brown and black carbon in atmospheric aerosols, Environ. Sci. Technol., 43, 1141-1146, doi:10.1021/es8008503, 2009.

World Bank. China - Accelerating household access to clean cooking and heating. [EB/OL].http://documents. shihang.org/curated/zh/2013/09/18451502/china -accelerating -household -access -clean -cooking -heating, 2013-09-01.

Wu, G., Ram, K., Fu, P., Wang, W., Zhang, Y., Liu, X., Stone, E., Pradhan, B. B., Dangol, P. M., Panday, A., Xin, W., Bai, Z., Kang, S., Zhang, Q. and Cong, Z.: Water-soluble brown carbon in atmospheric aerosols from Godavari (Nepal), a regional representative of south Asia. Environ. Sci. Technol., doi: 10.1021/acs.est.9b00596, 53(7), 3471-3479, 2019.

Xu, H., Guinot, B., Cao, J., Li, Y., Niu, X., Ho, K. F., Shen, Z., Liu, S., Zhang, T., Lei, Y., Zhang, Q., Sun, J., and Gao, J.: Source, health risk and composition impact of outdoor very fine particles (VFPs) to school indoor environment in Xi'an, Northwestern China, Sci. Total Environ., 612, 238-246, doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.08.101, 2018.

Yan, C., Zheng, M., Sullivan, A. P., Bosch, C., Desyaterik, Y., Andersson, A., Li, X., Guo, X., Zhou, T., Gustafsson, Ö., and Collett, J. L.: Chemical characteristics and light-absorbing property of water-soluble organic carbon in Beijing: Biomass burning contributions, Atmos. Environ., 121, 4-12, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2015.05.005, 2015.

Yan. F., Kang, S., Sillanpää, M., Hu, Z., Gao, S., Chen, P., Gautam, S., Reinikainen, S., Li, C.: A new method for extraction of methanol-soluble brown carbon: Implications for investigation of its light absorption ability. Environ. Pollution, doi:10.1016/j.envpol.2020.114300, 262, 114300, 2020.

Yan. J., Wang. X., Gong, P., Wang, C., and Cong, Z.: Review of brown carbon aerosols: Recent progress and perspectives, Sci. Total Environ., 634, 1475-1485, doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.04.083, 2018.

Yao, H., Song, Y., Liu, M., Archer-Nicholls, S., Lowe, D., McFiggans, G., Xu, T., Du, P., Li, J., Wu, Y., Hu, M., Zhao, C., and Zhu, T.: Direct radiative effect of carbonaceous aerosols from crop residue burning during the summer harvest season in East China, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 17(8), 5205-5219, doi: 10.5194/acp-17-5205-2017, 2017.

Yao, L.: Study on the chemical composition, origin and secondary generation of atmospheric PM(2.5) in typical areas of Shandong Province, Doctoral thesis of Shandong University. 2016.

Yuan, J. F., Huang, X. F., Cao, L. M., Cui, J., Zhu, Q., Huang, C. N., Lan, Z. J., and He, L. Y.: Light absorption of brown carbon aerosol in the PRD region of China, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 16(3), 1433-1443, doi: 10.5194/acp-16-1433-2016, 2016.

Zhang, X., Kim, H., Parworth, C., Young, D., Zhang, Q., Metcalf, A. R., and Cappa, C.: Optical Properties of Wintertime Aerosols from Residential Wood Burning in Fresno, CA: Results from DISCOVER-AQ 2013. Environ. Sci. Technol. 50(4), 1681-1690, doi:10.1021/acs.est.5b04134, 2016.

Zhang, Y., Tian, J., Shen, Z., Wang, W., Ni, H., Liu, S., and Cao, J.: Emission characteristics of $PM_{2.5}$ and trace gases from household wood burning in Guanzhong plain, Northwest China, Aerosol Science and Engineering. 2(3), 130-140, doi: 10.1007/s41810-018-0030-8, 2018.

Zhang, Y., Shen, Z., Zhang, B., Sun, J., Zhang, L., Zhang, T., Xu, H., Bei, N., Tian, J., Wang, Q., and Cao, J.: Emission reduction effect on $PM_{2.5}$, SO_2 and NO_x by using red mud as additive in clean coal briquetting, Atmospheric Environment, 223, doi: 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2019.117203, 2020.

Zhao, C. and Luo, K.: Household consumption of coal and related sulfur, arsenic, fluorine and mercury emissions in China, Energy Policy. 112, 221-232, doi: 10.1016/j.enpol.2017.10.021, 2018.

Zhi, G., Yang, J., Zhang, T., Guan, J., Du, J., Xue, Z., and Meng, F.: Rural household coal use survey, emission estimation and policy implications (in Chinese). Res. Environ. Sci., 28(8), 1179-1185, doi:10.13198/j.issn.1001-6929.2015.08.01, 2015a.

Zhi, G., Cai, J, Yang, J., Chen, Y., Zhang, W., Cheng, M., and Sun, J.: Origin, properties, measurement and emission estimation of brown carbon aerosols (in Chinese), Res. Environ. Sci., 28(12), 1797-1814, doi:10.13198/j.issn.1001-6929.2015.12.01, 2015b.

Zhi, G., Chen, Y., Feng, Y., Xiong, S., Li, J., Zhang, G., Sheng, G., and Fu, J.: Emission characteristics of carbonaceous particles from various residential coal-stoves in China, Environ. Sci. Technol., 42(9), 3310-3315, doi:10.1021/es702247q, 2008.

Zhi, G., Peng, C., Chen, Y., Liu, D., Sheng, G., and Fu, J.: Deployment of coal briquettes and improved stoves: possibly an option for both environment and climate, Environ. Sci. Technol., 43(15), 5586-5591, doi:10.1021/es802955d, 2009.

Zong, Z., Wang, X., Tian, C., Chen, Y., Fang, Y., Zhang, F., Li, C., Sun, J., Li, J., and Zhang, G.: First assessment of NOx sources at a regional background site in North China using isotopic analysis linked with modeling, Environ. Sci. Technol., 51(11), 5923-5931, doi: 10.1021/acs.est.6b06316, 2017.

Table 1. Measured EF_{BrC} and EF_{BC} (g/kg) values for household biomass burning

Biomass fuels	EF_{BrC}	EF_{BC}
Rape straw	7.259 ± 0.002	2.537 ± 0.001
Rice straw	2.50 ± 3.064	0.31 ± 0.25
Wheat straw	1.25 ± 0.074	0.13 ± 0.039
Cotton straw	0.89 ± 0.51	0.10 ± 0.019
Bean straw	0.57 ± 0.12	0.089 ± 0.035
Corncob	0.56 ± 0.55	0.056 ± 0.017
Peanut stalk	0.54 ± 0.15	0.13 ± 0.054
Sorghum stalk	0.45 ± 0.32	0.30 ± 0.054
Maize straw	0.45 ± 0.76	0.053 ± 0.014
Pine	0.27 ± 0.29	0.034 ± 0.017
Pellet fuels	0.13 ± 0.061	0.023 ± 0.037
Geomean	0.71 (0.24, 2.18)	0.12 (0.033, 0.438)

https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-2020-548
Preprint. Discussion started: 23 July 2020



Figure 1. **EFs of tested biomass fuels**



Figure 2. Relationship between AAE and EF_{BrC}/EF_{BC} ratio ($R_{BrC/BC}$) for both biomass fuel and coal. The intercept is designated as 1.0 to echo the conventionally accepted notion that the AAE for pure BC (i.e., $R_{BrC/BC} = 0$) is 1.0.

https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-2020-548
Preprint. Discussion started: 23 July 2020



Figure 3. Comparison of BrC and BC emissions between biomass burning and coal combustion in China's household sector of 2013



Figure 4. Ratios of light absorption by BrC to total absorption by total mass with respect to China's household biomass and coal burning

Note: The ratio is expressed as f_{BrC} and was calculated in accordance with the method described
5 in the Supporting Information. The yellow line is the clear sky global horizontal solar spectrum at the earth's surface for one optical air mass in relative units (Levinson et al., 2010; Chakrabarty et al., 2014)

https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-2020-548
Preprint. Discussion started: 23 July 2020



Figure 5. Relationship between F_{BrC} and AAE



Figure 6. The probability distribution of calculated $F_{BrC\text{-}entire}$. Assuming the $AAE_{\text{-contained}}$ value of 2.46 ± 0.16 (mean ± SD of the means) and $AAE_{\text{-open}}$ value of 3.44 ± 0.42 (mean ± SD of the means) apply to whole world biomass burning, the combined value for entire biomass burning ($F_{BrC\text{-}entire}$) can

5 be calculated as: $F_{BrC\text{-}entire} = 0.71 \times (0.5519 \ln AAE_{\text{-open}} + 0.0067) + 0.29 \times (0.5519 \ln AAE_{\text{-contained}} + 0.0067)$