## 1 Supporting Information for

## Significant PM<sub>2.5</sub> mitigation in the Yangtze River Delta, China: observational constraints on surface PM<sub>2.5</sub> responses to anthropogenic emission controls from 2016 to 2019

5

Liqiang Wang<sup>1</sup>, Shaocai Yu<sup>\*,1,2</sup>, Pengfei Li<sup>\*,3,1</sup>, Xue Chen<sup>1</sup>, Zhen Li<sup>1</sup>, Yibo Zhang<sup>1</sup>, Mengying Li<sup>1</sup>, Khalid
 Mehmood<sup>1</sup>, Weiping Liu<sup>1</sup>, Tianfeng Chai<sup>4</sup>, Yannian Zhu<sup>5</sup>, Daniel Rosenfeld<sup>6</sup>, and John H. Seinfeld<sup>2</sup>

8

<sup>9</sup> <sup>1</sup>Research Center for Air Pollution and Health; Key Laboratory of Environmental Remediation and Ecological Health, Ministry

10 of Education, College of Environment and Resource Sciences, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou, Zhejiang 310058, P.R. China

<sup>11</sup> <sup>2</sup>Division of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA 91125, USA

12 <sup>3</sup>College of Science and Technology, Hebei Agricultural University, Baoding, Hebei 071000, P.R. China

<sup>4</sup>Air Resources Laboratory, NOAA, Cooperative Institute for Satellite Earth System Studies (CISESS), University of Maryland,

14 College Park, USA

<sup>5</sup>Meteorological Institute of Shananxi Province, 36 Beiguanzhengjie, Xi'an 710015, China

- 16 <sup>6</sup>Institute of Earth Science, The Hebrew University of Jerusalem, Jerusalem, Israel
- 17 Correspondence to: Shaocai Yu (shaocaiyu@zju.edu.cn); Pengfei Li (lpf\_zju@163.com)
- 18 Content:
- 19 Figure S1. Meteorological factors during the G20 summit and its adjacent period.
- 20 Figure S2. The NMB and R values of the simulated and constrained PM<sub>2.5</sub>.
- Figure S3. The NMB values and their standard deviations of the simulated and constrained PM<sub>2.5</sub> on the basis of four
- 22 intervals of the observations.

Figure S4. The NMB values and their standard deviations of the simulated and constrained PM<sub>2.5</sub> at the 24-hour scale.

- 24 Figure S5. Time series of the observed and constrained temperature.
- 25 Figure S6. Time series of the observed and constrained relative humidity.
- Figure S7. Time series of the observed and constrained wind speed.
- 27 Figure S8. Time series of the observed and constrained air pressure.
- 28 Figure S9. Spatial distributions of the monthly anthropogenic emissions.
- 29 Figure S10. Impacts of different emissions on the meteorology.
- 30 Figure S11. Standard deviations of the impacts of inherent biases.
- 31 Figure S12. The enlarged part in Figure 7c.
- 32 Table S1. Evaluation statistics of the constrained PM<sub>2.5</sub>.
- 33 Table S2. Evaluation statistics of the constrained temperature.
- 34 Table S3. Evaluation statistics of the constrained relative humidity.
- 35 Table S4. Evaluation statistics of the constrained wind speed.
- 36 Table S5. Evaluation statistics of the constrained air pressure.
- 37 Table S6. Different effects between the long-term emission controls and the emergency emission controls.
- 38 No. of Pages: 19
- 39 No. of Figures: 12
- 40 No. of Tables: 6



41 42

42 Figure S1. The reanalysed meteorological factors (i.e, temperature, relative humidity, air pressure, and wind speed) at four cities

43 during the G20 summit and its adjacent periods (i.e., Pre- and Post- G20 periods, from August 11 to August 23, 2016 and from

44 September 18 to September 30, 2016, respectively).



45

Figure S2. The NMB and R values of the simulated (black) and constrained (red) hourly PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentrations for January 2016 (left column), January 2019 (middle column), and the G20 summit (right column) over the whole domain (a - c) as well as in four representative cities, which are as follows: Shanghai (d - f), Hangzhou (g - i), Nanjing (j - l), and Anhui (m - o).



48

Figure S3. The mean NMB values (dots) and their standard deviations (bars) of the simulated (black) and constrained (red) hourly PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentrations on the basis of four intervals of the observations during January 2016 (left column), January 2019 (middle column), and the G20 summit (right column) over the whole domain (a - c) as well as in four representative cities, which are as follows: Shanghai (d - f), Hangzhou (g - i), Nanjing (j - l), and Anhui (m - o).



Figure S4. Time series of the mean NMB values and their standard deviations of the simulated and constrained hourly PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentrations for January 2016 (left column), January 2019 (middle
 column), and the G20 summit (right column) over the whole domain (a - c) as well as in four representative cities, which are as follows: Shanghai (d - f), Hangzhou (g - i), Nanjing (j - l), and Anhui (m
 - o).

52



56

57 Figure S5. Time series of the hourly observed and constrained temperature for January 2016 (left column), January 2019 (middle column), and the G20 summit (right column) over the whole domain

58 (a - c) as well as in four representative cities, which are as follows: Shanghai (d - f), Hangzhou (g - i), Nanjing (j - l), and Anhui (m - o).



59

60 Figure S6. Time series of the comparisons between hourly observed and constrained relative humidity for January 2016 (left column), January 2019 (middle column), and the G20 summit (right column) over the whole domain (a - c) as well as in four representative cities, which are as follows: Shanghai (d - f), Hangzhou (g - i), Nanjing (j - l), and Anhui (m - o).



62

Figure S7. Time series of the comparisons between hourly observed and constrained wind speed for January 2016 (left column), January 2019 (middle column), and the G20 summit (right column)
 over the whole domain (a - c) as well as in four representative cities, which are as follows: Shanghai (d - f), Hangzhou (g - i), Nanjing (j - l), and Anhui (m - o).



65

Figure S8. Time series of the comparisons between hourly observed and constrained air pressure for January 2016 (left column), January 2019 (middle column), and the G20 summit (right column)
 over the whole domain (a - c) as well as in four representative cities, which are as follows: Shanghai (d - f), Hangzhou (g - i), Nanjing (j - l), and Anhui (m - o).





69 Figure S9. Spatial distributions of the monthly emissions of (a) CO, (b) NO<sub>X</sub>, (c) SO<sub>2</sub>, and (d) PM<sub>2.5</sub> in the prior anthropogenic emission inventory (MEICv1.2).



Figure S10. Meteorological impacts on PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentrations in three sensitivity cases over the whole domain as well as in four representative cities, which are as follows: Shanghai, Hangzhou, Nanjing, and Anhui. The three corresponding adjustment coefficients are 5 %, 25 %, and 40 %, respectively.



73

74 Figure S11. Standard deviations of the impacts of the inherent biases during the adjacent periods of the G20 summit (i.e., pre- and post- periods, from August 11 to August 23, 2016 and from September

75 18 to September 30, 2016, respectively). The dots denote the locations of ground PM<sub>2.5</sub> measurements.

76

77



Figure S12. The enlarged part in Figure 7c. The black fishnets mark the grids covering the areas with the ultimate PM2.5 mitigations, which are mostly located in urban Hangzhou.

		Observed PM <sub>2.5</sub>	Constrained PM2.5 (µg m <sup>-3</sup> )		NMB (%)		RMSE (µg m <sup>-3</sup> )		R	
Episode	Area									
		(µg m <sup>-3</sup> )	UNCONS	CONS	UNCONS	CONS	UNCONS	CONS	UNCONS	CONS
	Total	76.50	89.30	78.78	16.73	2.97	38.66	7.15	0.63	0.98
	Shanghai	70.40	66.30	68.94	-5.82	-2.07	46.36	11.66	0.65	0.97
2016	Hangzhou	75.05	86.84	74.39	15.70	-0.89	47.73	11.16	0.48	0.97
	Nanjing	79.57	89.60	78.01	12.61	-1.95	57.64	10.56	0.42	0.98
	Hefei	87.64	118.29	92.96	34.97	6.08	72.90	14.56	0.35	0.97
	Total	70.66	97.08	73.52	37.40	4.05	44.17	5.90	0.71	0.98
	Shanghai	48.77	62.66	54.21	28.47	11.14	34.05	10.38	0.75	0.97
2019	Hangzhou	59.65	78.55	67.75	31.70	13.59	43.24	14.41	0.65	0.95
	Nanjing	71.32	104.67	75.36	46.75	5.67	62.24	10.11	0.66	0.97
	Hefei	85.29	136.71	92.69	60.30	8.68	80.88	14.36	0.52	0.95
	Total	38.05	59.31	41.99	55.89	10.35	27.74	6.60	0.59	0.93
	Shanghai	38.91	52.73	41.56	35.49	6.80	25.77	7.43	0.60	0.95
G20	Hangzhou	32.05	59.39	37.25	85.29	16.20	39.60	9.92	0.33	0.79
	Nanjing	33.18	49.60	37.26	49.50	12.31	31.83	8.18	0.41	0.92
	Hefei	47.05	79.53	49.18	69.01	4.53	40.99	8.53	0.40	0.92

85 Table S1. Comprehensive evaluation statistics of the constrained PM<sub>2.5</sub> over the whole domain as well as in four representative cities as follows: Shanghai, Hangzhou, Nanjing, and Hefei.

Episode	Area	Observations	Constrained temperature (□)	NMB (%)	RMSE (□)	R
	Total	3.67	3.09	-15.80	2.19	0.89
	Shanghai	5.67	4.55	-19.82	2.30	0.89
2016	Hangzhou	5.25	4.48	-14.75	2.77	0.83
	Nanjing	3.51	2.45	-30.31	2.94	0.84
	Hefei	3.80	2.75	-27.68	3.03	0.82
	Total	4.44	4.28	-3.53	1.31	0.90
	Shanghai	6.77	6.70	-1.06	1.30	0.85
2019	Hangzhou	5.93	5.87	-1.12	1.59	0.82
	Nanjing	4.18	3.58	-14.42	1.86	0.84
	Hefei	3.07	3.16	2.74	2.05	0.82
	Total	25.84	24.91	-3.61	2.19	0.90
G20	Shanghai	27.10	25.32	-6.55	2.97	0.72
	Hangzhou	26.48	25.02	-5.51	3.32	0.73
	Nanjing	25.86	24.81	-4.07	2.57	0.76
	Hefei	25.87	25.44	-1.70	2.96	0.80

Table S2. Comprehensive evaluation statistics of the constrained temperature over the whole domain as wellas in four representative cities as follows: Shanghai, Hangzhou, Nanjing, and Hefei.

Episode	Area	Observations (%)	Constrained RH (%)	NMB (%)	RMSE (%)	R
	Total	75.41	63.95	-15.21	13.25	0.93
	Shanghai	67.89	64.13	-5.53	7.92	0.93
2016	Hangzhou	78.85	66.72	-15.39	14.44	0.92
	Nanjing	71.58	62.02	-13.31	13.33	0.87
	Hefei	73.47	61.74	-15.96	15.01	0.87
	Total	77.62	72.87	-6.12	10.41	0.89
	Shanghai	71.72	77.27	7.74	11.45	0.84
2019	Hangzhou	77.65	77.79	0.18	12.47	0.81
	Nanjing	74.75	73.54	-1.63	12.22	0.85
	Hefei	80.91	72.82	-10.00	15.15	0.83
	Total	65.34	63.97	-2.09	11.21	0.76
G20	Shanghai	60.67	66.07	8.91	9.83	0.88
	Hangzhou	62.27	62.63	0.57	8.83	0.88
	Nanjing	57.25	60.09	4.95	7.06	0.87
	Hefei	61.13	59.08	-3.36	8.01	0.89

Table S3. Comprehensive evaluation statistics of the constrained relative humidity over the whole domain aswell as in four representative cities as follows: Shanghai, Hangzhou, Nanjing, and Hefei.

Episode	Area	Observations (m s <sup>-1</sup> )	Constrained Wind speed (m s <sup>-1</sup> )	NMB (%)	RMSE (m s <sup>-1</sup> )	R
	Total	2.22	2.62	17.91	0.47	0.98
	Shanghai	0.70	0.73	4.01	0.17	0.99
2016	Hangzhou	2.27	2.61	15.34	0.55	0.95
	Nanjing	2.40	2.70	12.72	0.46	0.97
	Hefei	1.99	2.33	17.42	0.49	0.96
	Total	1.86	2.16	15.85	0.33	0.98
	Shanghai	0.62	0.62	0.25	0.23	0.97
2019	Hangzhou	1.99	2.10	5.16	0.17	0.99
	Nanjing	2.05	2.30	12.08	0.35	0.97
	Hefei	2.13	2.31	8.35	0.32	0.98
	Total	1.96	2.32	18.33	0.41	0.99
	Shanghai	0.51	0.64	26.10	0.14	0.99
G20	Hangzhou	2.61	2.83	8.64	0.46	0.96
	Nanjing	2.25	2.36	4.73	91.12	0.99
	Hefei	1.82	1.93	6.12	0.23	0.99

 Table S4. Comprehensive evaluation statistics of the constrained wind speed over the whole domain as well

 as in four representative cities as follows: Shanghai, Hangzhou, Nanjing, and Hefei.

Episode	Area	Observations (hPa)	Constrained air pressure (hPa)	NMB (%)	RMSE (hPa)	R
	Total	1019.08	1023.50	0.43	4.48	0.99
	Shanghai	1026.53	1029.26	0.27	2.84	0.99
2016	Hangzhou	1021.98	1024.79	0.28	2.90	0.99
	Nanjing	1023.71	1027.61	0.38	3.94	0.99
	Hefei	1024.72	1028.18	0.34	3.50	0.99
	Total	1024.25	1023.27	-0.10	1.17	0.99
	Shanghai	1027.72	1027.73	0.00	0.81	0.98
2019	Hangzhou	1023.15	1023.21	0.01	0.84	0.99
	Nanjing	1024.63	1026.72	0.20	2.20	0.99
	Hefei	1022.00	1024.36	0.23	2.39	0.99
	Total	1003.93	1005.01	0.11	1.09	0.99
	Shanghai	1006.99	1007.54	0.06	0.64	0.99
G20	Hangzhou	1002.85	1003.52	0.07	0.68	0.99
	Nanjing	1004.13	1005.05	0.09	6.70	0.99
	Hefei	1005.18	1006.84	0.16	1.67	0.99

 Table S5. Comprehensive evaluation statistics of the constrained air pressure over the whole domain as well

 as in four representative cities as follows: Shanghai, Hangzhou, Nanjing, and Hefei.

		Net impacts	Meteorological impacts	Anthropogenic impacts	
Effect	Region	(µg/m3 / %)	(µg/m3 / %)	(µg/m3 / %)	
	YRD	-2.13/-3.15	11.51/16.21	-13.64/-19.36	
Long-term	Shanghai	-13.26/-21.22	5.41/17.55	-18.67/-38.77	
emission	Hangzhou	-12.51/-17.18	5.88/19.57	-18.39/-36.75	
controls	Nanjing	-6.32/-8.41	16.51/24.52	-22.83/-32.93	
	Hefei	-2.31/-2.71	18.31/20.55	-20.62/-23.26	
	YRD	-17.23/-41.45	4.83/2.57	-22.06/-44.02	
Emergency	Shanghai	-11.33/-24.20	9.72/20.95	-20.95/-45.16	
emission	Hangzhou	-21.31/-42.40	2.88/5.76	-24.21/-48.17	
controls	Nanjing	-15.54/-31.51	5.27/10.70	-20.82/-42.21	
	Hefei	-12.53/-27.05	5.22/11.27	-17.75/-38.33	

Table S6. Different effects between the long-term emission controls from 2016 to 2019 and the emergency emission controls during the G20 summit on PM<sub>2.5</sub>.