

Interactive comment on “Molecular understanding of the suppression of new-particle formation by isoprene” by Martin Heinritzi et al.

Anonymous Referee #1

Received and published: 18 April 2020

New particle formations (NPF) connected to RO₂ radical chemistry from the OH oxidation of the monoterpenes are discussed extensively in recent studies especially after the findings of the autooxidation reactions. The isoprene was found to suppress new particle formation events in forested areas. And this is firstly explained by the suppression of the OH due to the addition of isoprene to the reaction system of monoterpene. Nevertheless, the OH concentration was found to be sustained or even enhanced within the isoprene oxidations. So other explanations for the isoprene suppression effects on the NPF are required. As indicated by McFiggans et al., Nature, 2019 isoprene can actually suppress the SOA yield through scavenging of both the OH and the Monoterpenes derived RO₂. In this study the authors followed the arguments on that direction and delivered molecular explanations of the isoprene suppression effects on the NPF

C1

based on chamber (CLOUD) experiments and direct measurement of the RO₂-HOMs through a nitrate CIMS. I think this paper is in general well written and fit the scope of ACP. It is definitely worth to be published in ACP.

I have the following comments for the authors to consider before publication.

1. It is important for the authors to have a better estimation (e.g. probably through a box model) of the OH and HO₂ concentrations in the chamber. As pointed out by the authors, the addition of isoprene will change OH, HO₂ and produce isoprene-RO₂. It is important to answer whether the suppression of NPF by isoprene is due to the enhanced HO₂ or additionally produced RO₂. The H-shift of isoprene-RO₂ also produce significant amount of HO₂.
2. The figure 2 show the scheme of the isoprene impact on the C₂₀ dimer clearly. Nevertheless, it is not clear that what is the branching ratio of the H-shift of isoprene-RO₂ proceed to C₅H₉O_{7,8,9} and the H-shift yield HAPLDs. In the observations (Figure S1), the observed C₅H₉O_{8,9} is presented. Have you also observed C₅H₉O_{3,5,7}? I understand that the PTR3 can also detect RO₂-HOMs. Do the authors also analyzed the RO₂-HOMs from the PTR3 signal?

Interactive comment on Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-2020-51>, 2020.

C2