

Interactive comment on “Effect of NO_x, O₃ and NH₃ on sulfur isotope composition during heterogeneous oxidation of SO₂: a laboratory investigation” by Zhaobing Guo et al.

Anonymous Referee #2

Received and published: 2 October 2020

I have read this manuscript, and I found that I mostly agree with previous comments from referee #1. This study provides sulfur isotopic fractionation for sulfate formation from SO₂ in the presence of NO_x, O₃, and NH₃. Although these experimental results show some interesting phenomena, I do not think that these results lead to the conclusions drawn by the authors. Note that SO₂ has two oxygen atoms and SO₄²⁻ has four oxygen atoms, thus we have to think the origins of oxygen atoms in sulfate formation. The effect of NH₃ for sulfate formation is interesting, because the presence of NH₃ may change pH in liquid and promote the pH-dependent process such as O₃, TMI, NO₂. Unfortunately, I found a lack of this viewpoint throughout this manuscript.

C1

The most important concern related to this experiment is what oxidation processes were included in each experimental system. Previous experimental results by Harris et al. showed the S isotope fractionations for gas-phase oxidation (i.e. SO₂+OH) and aqueous oxidations by O₃, H₂O₂, and O₂ catalyzed by TMI. They also reported fractionation in SO₂ oxidation on the dust surface. Compared to these results, this manuscript provides S isotopic fractionation for sulfate formation with different conditions, but I do not understand which oxidation processes were occurred in each system. Simply speaking, I do not understand which oxidants worked in each condition. Probably, there were mixed effects of different oxidation processes, which is so confusing. Thus, I do not agree that this experiment can directly be applied for the interpretation of observational data sets.

The 2nd important concern is the conclusions of this study that NO_x played a major in the different heterogeneous oxidation process of SO₂, which cannot be lead by these experimental results and interpretation. Particularly, in eq (3), authors hypothesized that sulfate is only formed via three pathways of SO₂ + NO_x, O₃, and NH₃, but this is not true (as mentioned above). Thus, the conclusion lead by this calculation is not appropriate. These comments are almost the same as referee #1 of "how is this possible?".

Overall, I think this manuscript should be reconsidered. Detailed comments from referee #1 were very helpful and I do not have additional comments.

Interactive comment on Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-2020-506>, 2020.

C2