Precipitation response to aerosol-radiation and aerosol-cloud interactions in regional climate simulations over Europe

José María López-Romero¹, Juan Pedro Montávez¹, Sonia Jerez¹, Raquel Lorente-Plazas^{1,2}, Laura Palacios-Peña¹, and Pedro Jiménez-Guerrero^{1,3}

¹Physics of the Earth, Regional Campus of International Excellence (CEIR) "Campus Mare Nostrum", University of Murcia, 30100 Murcia, Spain
 ²Department of Meteorology, Meteored, 30893, Murcia, Spain
 ³Biomedical Research Institute of Murcia (IMIB-Arrixaca), 30120 Murcia, Spain

Correspondence: Juan Pedro Montávez (montavez@um.es)

Abstract. The effect of aerosols on regional climate simulations presents large uncertainties due to their complex and nonlinear interactions with a wide variety of factors, including aerosol-radiation (ARI) and aerosol-cloud (ACI) interactions. These interactions are strongly conditioned by the meteorological situation and the type of aerosol. Despite increasing, there is nowadays a very limited number of studies covering this topic from a regional and climatic perspective.

5 Hence, this contribution aims at quantifying the impacts on precipitation of the inclusion of ARI and ACI processes in regional climate simulations driven by ERA20C reanalysis. A series of regional climatic simulations (years 1991-2010) for the Euro-CORDEX domain have been conducted including ARI and ARI+ACI (ARCI), establishing as reference a simulations where aerosols have not been included interactively (BASE).

The results show that the effects of ARI and ACI on time-mean spatially averaged precipitation over the whole domain are

- 10 limited. However, a spatial redistribution of precipitation occurs when introducing the ARI and ACI processes in the model; as well as some changes in the precipitation intensity regimes. The main differences with respect to the base-case simulations occur in central Europe, where a decrease in precipitation is associated with a depletion in the number of rainy days and clouds at low level (CLL). This reduction in precipitation presents a strong correlation with the ratio PM2.5/PM10, since the decrease is specially intense during those events with high values of that ratio (pointing to high levels of anthropogenic aerosols) over
- 15 the aforementioned area. The precipitation decrease occurs for all ranges of precipitation rates. On the other hand, the model produces an increase in precipitation over eastern Mediterranean basin associated with an increase of clouds and rainy days when ACI are implemented. Here the change is caused by the high presence of PM10 (low PM2.5/PM10 ratios, pointing to natural aerosols). In this case, the higher amount of precipitation affects only to those days with low rates of precipitation. Finally, there are some disperse areas were the inclusion of aerosols leads to an increase in precipitation, specially for moderate
- 20 and high precipitation rates.

Copyright statement. TEXT

1 Introduction

The importance of atmospheric aerosols has multiple aspects, all of them of great scientific and socioeconomic relevance. First, the World Health Organization (WHO, 2013) has recognized that the degradation of air quality by atmospheric aerosols is a

- 25 threat to human health. Second, the Fifth Assessment Report (AR5) of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) points to atmospheric aerosols as one of the main sources of uncertainty in current climate simulations (Boucher et al., 2013). Myhre et al. (2013) indicate that the uncertainty in the radiative forcing produced by aerosols greatly exceeds that of all other forcing mechanisms combined.
- Despite the increasing number of articles published on the interactions between aerosols and climate during the last 20 30 years (Fuzzi et al., 2015), the uncertainty associated with the estimated radiative forcing attributed to the interactions between aerosols and clouds has not diminished during the last four cycles of the IPCC (Seinfeld et al., 2016). One of the main tools for estimating the impact of atmospheric aerosols on climate is the use of global and regional climate models (Boucher et al., 2013). However, many of the simulations attempting to reproduce both the present climate and future climatic scenarios, or the extreme events that occur in situations of present or future climates, do not take into account the role of aerosol-radiation and 35 aerosol-clouds interactions (ARI and ACI, respectively, according to the terminology of AR5).

In addition to their radiative effect, aerosols act as condensation nuclei for cloud formation and therefore, can affect precipitation in several ways (Andreae and Rosenfeld, 2008; Rosenfeld et al., 2008). Rosenfeld et al. (2008) studied the role of aerosols in polluted and pristine atmospheres for tropical areas. In polluted atmospheres, as there is a larger amount of condensation nuclei for the same humidity, the cloud drops are smaller and therefore aerosols hamper precipitation. The slower

- 40 cloud-droplet-to-rain conversion allows the droplets to be transported above the freezing level, and therefore, the latent heat released in freezing makes the convection more intense. However, this has no general validity, since this behavior could change locally depending on the area. In fact, understanding and characterizing the role that aerosols play in the development of convective clouds is today a cutting-edge scientific challenge (Archer-Nicholls et al., 2016). Authors such as Seifert et al. (2012); Fan et al. (2013) find a very weak effect on precipitation by introducing aerosol-cloud interactions. Da Silva et al. (2018) ana-
- 45 lyze the effects on microphysics for the year 2013 for the Euro-Mediterranean region and concludes that precipitation decreases when there is a higher amount of aerosols.

Therefore, a better understanding of the ARI and ACI interactions is essential for the identification of climate change and its manifestation through changes in the frequency and severity of precipitation events (Huang et al., 2007; Khain et al., 2008; Stevens and Feingold, 2009; Fuzzi et al., 2015). Along the same lines, works such as Shrivastava et al. (2013); Forkel et al.

50 (2015); Turnock et al. (2015); Yahya et al. (2016); Palacios-Peña et al. (2018, 2019); Pavlidis et al. (2020) highlight that it is necessary to use regional climate/chemical coupled models to investigate ACI interactions in more detail. These studies covert mainly continental US, Asia and Europe and investigate chemical and meteorological variables, such as precipitation, temperature and radiation. As indicated by Seinfeld et al. (2016), a critical challenge for climate modeling studies is to improve the estimation of the aerosol impact on clouds and reduce the associated uncertainty. Despite the errors and uncertainties related

55 to the role of aerosols in the climate system (Jiménez-Guerrero et al., 2013), only a small number of scientific papers consider

the analysis of climatic events using simulations that include ARI and ACI interactions, which may strongly condition the representation and definition of events associated with precipitation and cloudiness (Prein et al., 2015; Baró et al., 2018).

Traditionally, in regional climate models the representation of the radiative effect of aerosols (ARI) is established by a constant aerosol optical thickness (AOD) value and a predetermined and abundant number of cloud condensation nuclei (CCN)

- 60 (Forkel et al., 2015). Although the lack of CCN is almost never a limiting factor for cloud formation (this could perhaps happen in remote marine locations in very specific conditions) a low CCN value may result in clouds that precipitate more readily, which can reduce the cloud lifetime and therefore the average cloud fraction (Stevens and Feingold, 2009). To obtain a more realistic model, ARI and ACI interactions, which require models in which meteorology–climatology, radiation, clouds and aerosol atmospheric chemistry are coupled in a fully interactive way, must be included in the simulation (Grell and Baklanov,
- 65 2011; Baklanov et al., 2014). Fully coupled climate–chemistry models (*on-line*) provide the possibility to explain the feedback mechanisms between simulated aerosol concentrations and meteorological variables.

In simulations including ARI, the number of CCN remains unchanged, but the concentration of aerosols and their impact on the radiative balance is dynamically modeled (Houghton et al., 2001; Andreae et al., 2005). A region with a high emission of black carbon will absorb more radiation and increase the temperature of that layer of the atmosphere, favoring the destruction

70 of clouds. However, an area with emissions of clear natural aerosols (e.g. sea salt) will favor radiative cooling due to the scattering of radiation (Yu et al., 2006).

Also, a further refinement in the configuration of the model adds the aerosol-cloud interactions. In this case, an on-line estimation of aerosol concentrations is conducted in each timestep of the model (as in the previous case), but this dynamical estimation is used both for the calculation of the radiative budget (as in ARI), but also used for the estimation of CCN for cloud

- 75 formation. This will affect both the number of drops within the cloud and their size, modifying the optical properties and thus, its radiative balance (Twomey, 1977), and whether they reach the critical size to precipitate or not (Rosenfeld et al., 2008). Introducing ACI interactions adds a level of complexity that brings the model configuration closer to real processes; however, it has a great computational cost and can increase calculation times between 6 and 10 times (López-Romero et al., 2016;
- Palacios-Peña et al., 2020). It is henceforth reasonable that most of the studies that have been carried out so far with regional
 models taking into account these interactions have been for episodical case studies (Yang et al., 2012; Brunner et al., 2015;
 Palacios-Peña et al., 2019) and only a very limited number of contributions cover climatic periods with a general analysis (e.g. Witha et al. (2019); Pavlidis et al. (2020)).

Hence, in this work the role of ARI and ACI on precipitation and cloudiness over Europe has been exhaustively explored. For this purpose, regional climate simulations (1991-2010) for the Euro-CORDEX (Jacob et al., 2014) domain have been carried

85 out with WRF-Chem in order to account for the influence of atmospheric aerosols on the aforementioned variables.

2 Data and Methods

90

95

2.1 Experimental setup

Regional climate simulations were carried out using WRF-Chem model (v.3.6.1), both uncoupled from chemistry (WRF standalone configuration, Skamarock et al. (2008)) and including a full on-line coupling with atmospheric chemistry and pollutant transport (for including ARI and ACI processes) (Grell et al., 2005).

Three different experiments were performed in this contribution. The first experiment, BASE, consist in prescribing AOD and CCN and ACI and ARI interactions are not included. The second experiment, ARI, includes only Aerosol Radiation Interactions (direct and semidirect effects). The third experiment, ARCI, include both aerosol-radiation and aerosol-cloud interactions (direct, semidirect and indirect effects). In ARI and ARCI aerosols are calculated online. These experiments will permit untangling the effects of the aerosols on clouds and precipitation from a climatic perspective.

In the BASE experiment, aerosols are not treated interactively, but using the default WRF configuration which considers 250 CCN per cm³ and AOD is set to 0. In the ARI experiment, aerosols are treated online and ARI processes are activated in the model (Fast et al., 2006), but CCN remain as in the stand-alone version. The ARCI experiment includes the aforementioned ARI and, in addition, permits aerosols to interact with the microphysics processes. The description of ARCI as implemented

100 in the simulations can be found in Palacios-Peña et al. (2020) as well as validation of the AOD fields. Summarizing, ARCI in WRF-Chem were implemented by linking the simulated cloud droplet number with the Lin (Lin et al., 1983) microphysics schem, turning this scheme into a two-moment scheme. Therefore, the droplet number affects both the calculated droplet mean radius and the cloud optical depth (Chapman et al., 2009).

The spatial configuration consists of two unidirectionally-nested domains (one-way nesting). The domains used are shown

105 in Figure 1). The inner domain is compliant with Euro-Cordex recommendations (Jacob et al., 2014). It covers Europe with a spatial resolution of 0.44° in latitude and longitude (\sim 50km). The outer domain has a spatial resolution of about 150km and extends southward to approximately a latitude of 20°N. The design of this domain aims to cover the most important dust emission areas of the Saharan desert (Goudie and Middleton, 2001; Middleton and Goudie, 2001; Rodriguez et al., 2001; Goudie and Middleton, 2006) that are introduced to the inner domain through boundary conditions (Palacios-Peña et al., 2019).

110 Nudging has been used for the outer domain so that atmospheric dynamics do not significantly vary (Liu et al., 2012). In the vertical, 29 non-uniform sigma levels were used, with higher density levels near the surface. The upper limit was set at the 50 hPa level.

The physical configuration of the model was designed based on the compatibility with the chemical module and previous works (Baró et al., 2015; Palacios-Peña et al., 2016; Baró et al., 2017; Palacios-Peña et al., 2017, 2019). In addition to micro-

115 physics (Lin scheme), another important parameterization is related to radiation. The interactions of aerosol and clouds with incoming solar radiation have been implemented by linking simulated cloud droplet number with the RRTMG scheme and with Lin microphysics (further details in Palacios-Peña et al. (2020)). Therefore, droplet number will affect both the calculated droplet mean radius and cloud optical depth. This should allow the dynamical treatment of aerosols and greenhouse gases in order to estimate the radiative budget. The radiative scheme used for both long wave and short wave was the radiative scheme

- 120 RRTMG (Iacono et al., 2008). Regarding the cumulus parameterization, the Grell 3D scheme (Grell, 1993; Grell and Devenyi, 2002) was used. The boundary layer is modelled with the Yonsei University scheme (Hong et al., 2006). The surface layer is parameterized using the Jiménez et al. (2012) scheme. Finally, the land-soil model chosen to simulate the land-atmosphere interactions was the NOAH model (Tewari et al., 2004).
- As aforementioned, aerosols are treated on-line, i.e. the model uses changing aerosols orginating from anthropogenic emis-125 sions and generating natural aerosols throughout the interaction between atmospheric conditions and surface properties. Regarding the configuration and treatment of aerosols an gases, the gas-phase chemical mechanism RACM-KPP was used (Stockwell et al., 2001; Geiger et al., 2003) coupled to GOCART aerosol scheme (Ginoux et al., 2001a; Chin et al., 2002). The photolysis module Fast-J (Wild et al., 2000) was used for feeding photochemical reactions. Biogenic emissions were online calculated using the Model of Emissions of Gases and Aerosols from Nature model (MEGAN) (Guenther et al., 2006). Dust and marine spray are simulated with GOCART (Ginoux et al., 2001b; Chin et al., 2002). Simulated aerosols include five species: sulfate,
- mineral dust, sea salt, organic matter and black carbon. Anthropogenic emissions are taken from the Intercomparison Project of Atmospheric and Climate Chemistry Models (Lamarque et al., 2013) and remained unchanged during simulation period (monthly values for 2010). The ability of this configuration for representing the Aerosol Optical Depth has been already extensively evaluated in Palacios-Peña et al. (2020). More details about the treatment of aerosols and its interaction can be found
- 135 in Jerez et al. (2020b). The means fields of these aerosols as well as the AOD is presented as supplementary material (Figures S1-S5).

The simulated historical period (20 years) for the three simulations covers from 1991 to 2010. Boundary and initial conditions were extracted from the ECMWF reanalysis: ERA20C (ECMWF, 2014; Hersbach et al., 2015), which has a horizontal resolution of approximately 125 km (T159). The simulations were run splitting the full period into sub-periods of 5 years with

140 a spin-up period of 4 months, then beginning with the direct interpolation of the soil data of the reanalysis. After removing the spin-up period, which was chosen in accordance with the results of Jerez et al. (2020a), the model outputs are merged. This methodology has been tested in Jerez et al. (2020a). Boundary conditions for the outer domain were updated every 6 hours. Model outputs are recorded every hour. The observed evolution of greenhouse gases CO₂, CH₄ and N₂O were incorporated as recommended in Jerez et al. (2018), varying CO₂ from 353 to 390 along the simulated period.

145 2.2 Methods

This contribution focuses on the impacts of ARI and ACI on precipitation. Hence, the climatologies for precipitation amount, number of days with precipitation over a given threshold and cloudiness of the different experiments have been intercompared for BASE, ARI and ARCI simulations. The data used to evaluate the added value of the aerosol experiments was the ERA5 (Hrarsbach and Dee, 2016) reanalysis, since it has already been validated for precipitation (Albergel et al., 2018; Christensen

et al., 2019; Hwang et al., 2019). In addition, the comparison of the annual and seasonal climatologies for other atmospherics fields such as sea level pressure (slp), geopotential height (Z) and temperature (T) at 1000,750 and 500mb, maximum minimum temperatures (tasmax,tasmin), daily temperature range (dtr) and solar radiation at surface (rsds) as well as mean temporal mean

fields of the particulate matter (PM10,PM2.5), BC (black Carbon) and AOD fields are represented. All these fields as presented as supplementary material.

155

The statistical significance of the differences among the climatologies reproduced by the simulations is checked by using a Bootstrap method with 1,000 repetitions and a p-value < 0.05 was applied. More details about the method can be found in Milelli et al. (2010).

In order to assess the relationship between the obtained changes in precipitation and different variables representing the aerosol load: PM10 (Particulate Matter $<10\mu$ m), PM2.5 (Particulate Matter $<2.5\mu$ m), AOD at 550nm (hereinafter AOD) the

160 ratio between PM2.5 and PM10 (hereinafter called PMratio), several events (days) are grouped according to its intensity and extension. The intensity of an event is defined as the minimum value given by a threshold variable that the simulation cells must meet. The extension of the event is defined as the number of cells meeting the previous condition.

The relative differences (ARCI-BASE)/BASEx100 among the experiments are represented in a two-dimensional heat map, where the axes denote the extent and intensity. The number of days on which the criteria defined above are met is indicated

- 165 inside each element of the matrix. The total number of days analyzed is 7305, corresponding to the 20 years simulated. This type of graph allows us to identify whether there is a relationship between the different variables and the magnitude of the change, allowing to establish the relative importance of each one of the factors involved. In the intervals where a relationship appears, a multiple linear regression fit has been made, giving the multiple correlation coefficient as indicator of the skill of the relationship.
- On the other hand, the effect of aerosols could depend on the area, and affecting in a different way weak and strong precipitation events (Rosenfeld et al., 2008). The series of relative differences between the ARCI-BASE simulations have been generated for common and non-common days with rainfall exceeding a certain threshold for all points in the domain. The threshold ranges from 0 to 20mm/day on a non-linear scale (with a higher density of values near 0) with a total of 41 values. In order to investigate areas where the effect of aerosols on precipitation could be different, a clustering method was applied to the
- 175 constructed series. The algorithm used for the spatial classification is similar to that used in other works (Jiménez et al., 2008; Lorente-Plazas et al., 2015) and composed by several steps. First, an analysis of principal components (Von Storch, 1999) is made, which is applied to the correlation matrix of the constructed series. Second, a two-step clustering method to a number of the retained principal components is applied. A hierarchical method is applied on a first basis; in this case, the Ward's algorithm (Ward Jr, 1963). This classification provides the number of clusters and the initial seeds (also called centroids) for the
- 180 last step, the application of the non-hierarchical method K-means which optimizes the grouping (Hartigan and Wong, 1979). More details about the algorithm can be found in Lorente-Plazas et al. (2015). Finally the mean regional series are calculated as the average of series belonging to a cluster (which corresponds to a spatial region in this study).

Results and discussion 3

3.1 Precipitation differences in ARI and ARCI simulations

- 185 The sensitivity of precipitation to the aerosol treatment in climate simulations is analyzed by comparing BASE, ARI and ARCI simulations over Europe during a 20-year period. The differences between ARCI-BASE (ARI-BASE) in spatially-averaged total precipitation are limited, around 0.5% (0.1%). Figure 2 shows the differences (relative differences with respect to BASE) in the mean annual rainfall. The results depict a large spatial variability with differences ranging from 10% to -10%. Two zones with opposite behaviors are identified: (1) the central and eastern part of Europe, with a precipitation decrease up to
- 190 8% (statistically significant, p<0.05), and the eastern Mediterranean area, with increases up to 10% (although changes are not significant, p > 0.05). Other areas, such as the Iberian Peninsula, present a strong spatial variability (e.g. increasing rainfall over the Mediterranean coast and decreasing over northeastern areas). Overall, the role of introducing ARI and ACI interactions leads to a redistribution of the annual precipitation. The most remarkable difference is a reduction of annual precipitation over central Europe for ARI that is enhanced when ACI interactions are included, being more intense and extended spatially. This
- reduction of precipitation is linked mainly to a reduction of the number of days with precipitation > 0.1 mm (N_{p01}) and clouds at 195 low level (CLL); in fact, the most significant and widespread changes are obtained for CLL. Moreover, a statistically significant increase of N_{p01} appears over the eastern Mediterranean, but in this case only in ARCI experiments linked to an increase of CLL. At seasonal scale (see Supplementary Material, Figures S6-S11 for further information) the decrease of precipitation, CLL and N_{p01} in central Europe is reproduced during all seasons but for summer. In addition, the increase in the eastern Mediterranean is reproduced along the whole year, the absolute changes being largest in winter.
- 200

These changes are also related to other changes in several variables; for instance, *rsds* decreases in ARI and ARCI experiments mainly over the half-south part of the domain, due to the higher AOD. However, there are some parts of central Europe where *rsds* rises due to the decrease of clouds, specially in autumn and spring (Figure S12,S13). Changes in temperature are different for tasmax and tasmin(Figures S14 and S15). They are larger for tasmax, specilly in ARCI, reaching differences around 0.5K and presenting spatial patterns quite similar to those of CLL. While *tasmin* do not present any correlation with 205 CLL. The most remarkable changes are obtained for *dtr* with a pattern characterized by an important increase in the north (lower CLL) and a decrease in the south (higher AOD) (Figure S16). The modification of energy fluxes also affects the circulation. The SLP fields, as well as Z at several levels, also show statistically-significant sensitivity to ARI and ACI effects (Figures \$17, \$18,\$19). Here the most remarkable features are the large differences between ARI and ARCI experiment. ARCI shows

- a noticeable increase of *slp* in central and northern part of the domain respect ACI. This behavior is also appreciated for Z. 210 Finally, it is worth highlighting that ARI and ARCI also indicate a rise in the temperature over northern and central Europe. This might imply that simulated changes in precipitation can also be indirectly affected by changes in atmospheric circulation. This fact could hamper to establish the relationship between changes in precipitation and changes in the treatment of aerosols in our experiments.
- 215 In order to investigate the variations in the regimes of precipitation, the changes in the number of rainy days are estimated. Figure 2 (and Figure S9) shows the relative differences in the number of days with precipitation > 0.1 mm. The patterns of

differences are similar to those of averaged precipitation, implying that the reduction in precipitation is mainly caused by the decrease in the number of rainy days. However, there are some noticeable exceptions. The relationships in the two large areas mentioned above are direct; that is, higher rainfall is linked to a larger number of precipitation episodes. However, there are

220 areas where the relationship is inverse, higher(lower) number of days implies less(more) precipitation. The analysis of the low clouds in the domain (Figure 2 and S10-S11) shows a pattern similar to the aforementioned patterns. This might indicate that both the ARI and ACI effects can play very different roles on cloud properties and therefore on precipitation depending on the target area. This issue will be addressed later in this contribution.

3.2 Evaluation against ERA5 reanalysis

The added value of incorporating on-line aerosol interactions and complex aerosol physics into the model has been evaluated by analyzing the differences in precipitation, number of rainy days and low clouds between the simulations and the re-analysis of the European center ERA5 (Figure 4). Overall, WRF-Chem (both in the BASE and ARCI simulations), tends to underestimate precipitation over the European Mediterranean region and along the coasts of the Nordic countries, while overestimates rainfall in the rest of the domain. These patterns are analogous for all the analyzed variables. If looking only at the areas where the differences are significant, ARCI simulations slightly reduce the differences in the spatial distribution. However, the differences

between ERA5 and ARCI are much larger than the differences between ARCI and BASE.

Despite this, as previously noted (Figure 2), the ARCI experiment introduces significant differences with respect to the BASE simulation over central Europe. These differences reach values about the 5% in the number of rainy days. Therefore, a relationship between aerosols in these areas and the changes aforementioned might be expected in spite of the induced changes in the dynamics. This relation is explored in the following section of this contribution.

3.3 Relationship between aerosol physical properties and precipitation

In order to understand the contribution of the different types of aerosol to changes in precipitation, the differences in rainfall have been assessed by choosing a set of episodes. The episodes were selected attending to the value of variables representative for the aerosols size and concentration (PM10 and PM2.5), their ratio (PMratio) and their impacts on radiation (AOD), as well as the spatial extension of the event.

Figure 5 shows the relative changes for the different sets of episodes for AOD at 550nm (AOD550)(b), PM10(d), PM2.5(c) and the PMratio(d). Calculations were conducted using only those points with significant differences (Figure 2). Figure 5a shows the relative changes (ARCI-BASE) in the number of rainy days for different sets of episodes, selected by choosing the extension/size of the episode (number of grid points) of the cells exceeding a value of PMratio (values from 0.2 to 0.8). In a range of intensities, quasi-linear relationships appear. Figures 5b-e show these relationships for the different variables.

245

240

235

The lower left box of Figure 5e indicates that 5970 out of 7303 days present a PMratio > 0.64 (y axis) achieved in more than 180 cells of the domain (x axis). When calculating the differences in ARCI-BASE precipitation in the 5970 days accomplishing that condition (PMratio > 0.64 in more than 180 cells of the domain), the differences in rainy days over those cells is around 4%. Thus, e.g., the number of days in which PMratio is > 0.75 in more than 280 points is 1030 and the reduction in the number

of rainy days is 8%. Following with PMratio (Figure 5e), the higher the intensity the larger the reduction in the number of rainy days; and the greater the extent/size of the event, the larger the reduction in rainy days (e.g. reaching the maximum reduction around 15%). In fact, the multiple regression coefficient between the different variables is R = 0.80.

For AOD550 (Figure 5b), the results show that higher AOD550 values lead to a lower reduction in the number of rainy days. The changes are small (under 2%) although the relationship is clear (R = 0.78). Results are analogous for PM2.5 (Figure 5c) but the relationship is less clear (R = 0.53). For PM10 the changes are higher but with less clear relationship (R = 0.40) However, relationships with the PMratio (Figure 5e) are important and significant (R = 0.80). Therefore, an important conclusion is that

the variable with the largest impact on the number of rainy days is the PMratio in this area.

The possible physical explanation for this behavior in this area is that the higher the PMratio (Figure 3), the higher the concentration of small particles changing the properties of the clouds (mainly low clouds) (Figure 2, reduction of low cloudiness
over Central Europe) leading to a clearer atmosphere. This results in higher temperatures and an increase in the condensation level, leading to a reduction in the number of rainy days and therefore a decrease in the precipitation amount (direct and semidirect effects). As noted in Figure 2 the reduction of CLL also occurs in the ARI experiment. This could be explained by the atmospheric warming caused by the radiation absorption of dark atmospheric aerosols (black carbon), causing the effect exposed above. The stronger signal in ARCI can be attributed to the addition of both processes. On the other hand, a high concentration episode of PM2.5 can occur together with a PM10 event, decreasing the PMratio. Therefore, the better relationship with PMratio could be related to coarse aerosols enhancing precipitation, and thereby opposing the effect of smaller aerosols.

3.4 Regional role of aerosols on precipitation

As noted previously, the relationships among changes in precipitation, number of rainy days and cloudiness, are different in
different regions of our domain. Therefore, the role of aerosols, analyzed either considering their nature or their concentration, causes different changes in precipitation regimes. In order to quantify this effect, the series of relative changes in the number of rainy days have been constructed at each point for different thresholds ranging from 0.1 to 20mm/day. The grouping method described in the methodology section has been applied to this series, obtaining 5 different regions (Figure 6). The clusters are listed attending to the number of grid cells of each group, being Cluster 1 the most numerous and also the most dispersed.
The centroid series (average series of regions) are represented in Figure 7. The filled circles (green) indicate that the relative differences between the ARCI and BASE experiments are significant.

Cluster 1 does not present a clear pattern, covering most of the points the Atlantic Ocean and southern Europe. This area has very low, non-significant differences, with values between 0.5% and -2.5%. Therefore, the effect of including aerosol-cloud interactions in this area practically does not affect precipitation. Cluster 2 and Cluster 5 have a similar behavior. In both zones there is a decrease in precipitation for almost all thresholds except the most extreme rainfall events where precipitation increases. In Cluster 2 changes range from -2% to -4%, with the differences for low thresholds being significant (up to 2mm/day). In the case of Cluster 5, the differences are always significant and much larger. The maximum reduction is obtained

for episodes of precipitation above 14mm/day, reaching relative changes in the precipitation of the entire area around 12%. Note that Cluster 5 is almost coincident with the area previously analyzed (significant differences Figure 2).

- 285 Clusters 3 and 4 have a different behavior. In these regions an increase in precipitation occurs when including ARCI. Cluster 3 does not have a clear spatial pattern, with points scattered along the entire domain. For low thresholds there are no significant changes, while for high thresholds it presents a very significant increase in precipitation with significant relative changes (e.g. 5% for a threshold of 8mm/day). For higher thresholds the relative changes are close to 20%. However this result should be analyzed with caution since the lack of spatial structure, although from the statistical point of view there is a coherent increase
- 290 of moderate and intense precipitation events that can be supported by some physical processes presented in the literature (Khain et al., 2008).

Finally, Cluster 4 shows a clear spatial pattern, with most of the points concentrated in the eastern Mediterranean. Over this area, the range of thresholds between 1 mm/day and 5 mm/day presents significant differences; however, for thresholds > 5mm/day, the series remain constant around 4.5% and the statistical significance disappears.

295 Therefore, the role of the aerosols on precipitations shows a clear spatial dependence, affecting strong and weak precipitation differently. Over Regions 2 and 5, which cover northern, central and eastern Europe, ARI and ACI interactions tend to reduce precipitation. This reduction is significant for almost all events below 15mm/day. In the Mediterranean area and especially in the eastern Mediterranean, rainfall increases in the ARCI experiment, mainly due to the increase in the number of days with rainfall below 5mm/day. Meanwhile, in Cluster 3 the total rainfall undergoes very variable changes, but fundamentally an 300 increase in moderate and strong rainfall events.

3.5 ARI vs. ARCI relevance for modifying precipitation

In order to better understand the processes involved in each of the areas, the absolute annual values and differences between ARCI and ARI are analyzed in terms of the concentrations of PM10, PM2.5 and PMratio (Figure 3). This will allow to discriminate which processes (aerosol-radiation or aerosol-cloud interactions) are most relevant. As commented above, Figure 2 shows the differences in ARCI-BASE, ARI-BASE and ARCI-ARI analyzing precipitation (number of days exceeding 0.1

- 305 shows the differences in ARCI-BASE, ARI-BASE and ARCI-ARI analyzing precipitation (number of days exceeding 0.1 mm/day and total amount) as well as the cloud cover at low level. In the case of Cluster 5, both simulations provide a reduction in the number of days of precipitation. Therefore, both ARI and ACI affect precipitation in the same direction. ARI causes an increase of temperature at low levels (see temperature at 850 hPa,Figure S21, in the Supplementary Material), specially during autumn and springtime, leading to a reduction of clouds and precipitation. Although the explanation in not clear, a possible
- 310 explanation would be that ARCI experiment enhances this effect by the higher concentration of small particles modifying the properties of the clouds, inhibiting precipitation processes again by reducing clouds due to microphysical processes, since over this area there is a prevalence of small aerosols (see PMratio in Figure 3 and Figures S1-S5 of Supplementary Material). An other possible explanation could be linked to the changes in circulation which reduce both cloudiness and precipitation (see Supplementary Material, Figures S17-S22).
- Finally, the increase in precipitation and cloudiness in Cluster 4 could be associated with larger values of PM10 (big condensation nuclei). In this case, ARI effects are almost negligible along the year. However, the ARCI experiment shows a clear

positive difference with respect to the BASE case and ARI. Figure 8 shows the relative difference in the concentration of PM10 between ARCI and ARI, and the differences in the number of rainy days with precipitation > 1mm/day. The points are distributed in a quasi-random way with respect to 0. The cells of the whole Cluster 4 show a bias towards positive values for

320 changes in precipitation and a decrease for PM10. If focusing only on Eastern Mediterranean of cluster 4 (yellow points) the relationship is clear. Most of the points showing an increase in precipitation undergo a decrease in PM10. A plausible explanation is that, in these areas, the PM10 load is high due to the intrusion of desert dust and sea-salt aerosols. The difference between the ARCI and ARI simulation is the activation of the aerosol-cloud interaction mechanism, using the aerosols calculated online as CCN to form clouds while in ARI, the CCN are a prescribed at a fixed value. The PM10 used to form clouds in ARCI will

be no longer counted in PM10 since of in-cloud scavenging. Therefore, a decrease in PM10 occurs and this decrease coincides with an increase in cloudiness. In addition, the increase of precipitation will also decrease PM10 due to wet deposition. Note that the patterns are not completely coincident, with the precipitation pattern shifted slightly to the north (see the comparison in Figures 2). This can be attributed to the displacement of the cloud masses in such area. This behaviour can be attributed of the role of giant aerosol particles in warm rain initiation (Johnson, 1982), increased precipitation in stratiform precipitation by dust through deposition growth (Gong et al., 2010) or the enhanced drizzle formation in stratocumulus (Feingold et al., 1999).

4 Conclusions

The effect of atmospheric aerosols on regional climate simulations presents nowadays many uncertainties due to complex and non-linear processes represented, which depend on a wide variety of factors. The quantity, size and optical properties of aerosols condition the modification of the radiative budget and, therefore, many other derived variables such as local temperature, cloudiness or precipitation. In addition, the amount of moisture available determines the size of the water droplets based on the amount and type of aerosols available. Atmospheric aerosols also affect the size and optical properties of the clouds, which also modify the radiative budget. Moreover, these processes can spatially redistribute the precipitation regimes, allowing rainfall in different areas or provoking changes in its intensity. Despite the importance of the problem from a climatological point of view, there is a lack of scientific contributions that have studied these problems. The large increase in computational time needed to

340 include ACI and ARI interactions in regional climate simulations has traditionally hampered the works covering this analysis from a climatic perspective.

In order to address the aforementioned issues, a set of regional climate simulations have been conducted for the period 1991-2010 without on-line aerosol-atmosphere interactions (BASE), with ARI and with ARI+ACI (ARCI) parameterizations in an on-line coupled model. All simulations cover the domain of Europe defined by the Euro-CORDEX initiative. This analysis has

345 focused on average precipitation, number of precipitation days larger than a certain threshold and cloudiness. In addition, the effects on other variables such as temperature at different levels, geopotential height, radiation at surface, and sea level pressure are presented as Supplementary Material (SM).

When introducing the ACI and ARI interactions, the spatial average of the total rainfall does not differ from the BASE scenario. However, there is a spatial redistribution of such precipitation. Although there are changes in several places throughout

- the domain, the largest modification occurs in the area of central Europe, where a decrease in precipitation is found as a result of 350 activating the aerosol-radiation and aerosol-cloud interactions. Conversely, the behavior is the opposite in the eastern Mediterranean, where the effects of aerosol-cloud interactions prevails. These results are reproduced by analyzing the number of days of precipitation > 0.1 mm, with very similar patterns. However, there are areas where the relationship between precipitation and number of rainy days is not straightforwards.
- 355

When the results are compared with ERA5, BASE simulation tends to overestimate rainfall across the domain except in some areas of Mediterranean and Nordic countries. When ACI interactions are incorporated into the modeling setup, these differences are reduced, although quantitatively this improvement is limited.

The results obtained for the number of precipitation days > 0.1mm were related with different aerosol variables (AOD550, PM2.5, PM10 and PMratio). That relationship shows a highly non-linear behaviour, although a regime where the linear approximation is acceptable was also identified. For central Europe, in the linear regime, the intensity and extension (size) of the 360 PMratio events have a direct relationship with the increase of the differences in the number of rainy days.

Albeit the previous conclusion is limited to the number of days of precipitation > 0.1mm, it becomes interesting to check the relationship for other thresholds. Five types of behavior throughout the target domain were identified by analyzing several precipitation thresholds. Aerosols contribute positively or negatively to precipitation depending on the area and the intensity

- of precipitation. The available humidity, the efficiency of the CCN and the type of aerosol (size, optical properties, shape) are 365 the most important factors conditioning the type of behavior. In the experiments conducted, the inclusion of ARCI leads to a reduction of precipitation in all regimes in northern-central and eastern parts of Europe. However, in the eastern Mediterranean, precipitation increases due to the increase of days with rainfall < 5 mm/day. Also positive changes for moderate and strong rainfall regimes are found over some areas (Cluster 3, which is a very dispersed area). Although this finding can be identified
- 370 with the so-called *deepening effect* (Stevens and Feingold, 2009), relating aerosols with an increase of precipitation for some convective events, this statement should be considered with caution because of the lack of spatial structure of this cluster. The rest of areas are barely affected.

Some of the changes obtained can be related to the direct, semidirect and indirect effects of aerosols on clouds. The reduction of precipitation over some areas could be linked to both atmosphere warming and excess of CCN. The radiative processes have the ability to change the thermodynamic environment due to the absorption of radiation by fine dark particles (mainly black carbon), stabilizing the environment or increasing the condensation level. The excess of CCN leads to small drops producing a precipitation depletion. In principle this would increase the lifetime effect; however the experiments presented here show

380

375

(PM10, giant condensation nuclei) seem to be totally the opposite. These particles seem to enhance precipitation processes, specially increasing light precipitation events (Feingold et al., 1999) or anticipating precipitation development. Sometimes both processes (semidirect and indirect) overlap, being the net effect negligible.

an extra depletion of cloudiness, possibly related to a faster evaporation of water drops. All these processes are associated with a high concentration of fine aerosols with respect to coarse particles. On the other hand, the effects of coarse aerosols

Concluding, the effect of aerosols on climatic variables is varied and complex and further studies on this topic are needed in order to (1) reduce the uncertainty associated with the inclusion of aerosols in regional climate experiments; and (2) better

- 385 understand the physical and microphysical processes leading changes in precipitation. This contribution demonstrates from a modeling approach that changes in the concentration, extension and type of aerosols alter the precipitation regimes and amount in different ways. These changes are spatial- and seasonal-dependent and are in agreement with other works (e.g. Li et al. (2019)). The inclusion in regional climate experiments of on-line aerosols, as well as cloud-aerosol interactions, alter precipitation patterns as well as other surface and upper air variables (Pavlidis et al., 2020; Jerez et al., 2020b) and could differ
- 390 from other approximations such as using AOD climatologies or prescribed CCN (Nabat et al., 2015). It would be interesting to see to which extent other regional models would reproduce the current results for the Euro-CORDEX region in orther to the possible model dependence of the results. Future research aimed at disentangling the effects of aerosols on regional climate simulations should be devoted to understand the role of regional and large scale circulation (regimes), possible feedbacks and overlapping processes.
- 395 *Author contributions*. JML-R, JPM and PJ-G designed the research. JML-R performed the experiments. JML-R, JPM, SJ and RL-P analyzed the outputs from experiments. LP-P contributed to the design of the numerical experiments. JMLR and JPM wrote the paper with inputs from all coauthors.

Competing interests. The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgements. The authors are thankful to the WRF-Chem development community and the G-MAR research group at the University of Murcia for the fruitful scientific discussions.

Financial support. This research was funded by the European Regional Development Fund-Fondo Europeo de Desarrollo Regional (ERDF-FEDER), Spanish Ministry of Economy and Competitivity/Agencia Estatal de Investigación grant number CGL2017-87921-R (ACEX project), Spanish Ministry of Science, Innovation and Universities grant number RTI2018-100870-A-I00 (EASE project) and CLIMAX project (20642/JLI/18) funded by the Seneca Foundation-Agency for Science and Technology in the Region of Murcia. LP-P thanks the

405 FPU14/05505 scholarship and from the Spanish Ministry of Education, Culture and Sports. JML-R acknowledges the FPI-BES-2015-074062 grant from the Spanish Ministry of Science.

References

- Albergel, C., Dutra, E., Munier, S., Calvet, J.-C., Munoz-Sabater, J., Rosnay, P. d., and Balsamo, G.: ERA-5 and ERA-Interim driven ISBA land surface model simulations: which one performs better?, Hydrology and Earth System Sciences, 22, 3515–3532, 2018.
- 410 Andreae, M. and Rosenfeld, D.: Aerosol-cloud-precipitation interactions. Part 1. The nature and sources of cloud-active aerosols, Earth-Science Reviews, 89, 13–41, 2008.
 - Andreae, M. O., Jones, C. D., and Cox, P. M.: Strong present-day aerosol cooling implies a hot future, Nature, 435, 1187, 2005.
 - Archer-Nicholls, S., Lowe, D., Schultz, D. M., and McFiggans, G.: Aerosol-radiation-cloud interactions in a regional coupled model: the effects of convective parameterisation and resolution, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 16, 5573, 2016.
- Baklanov, A., Schlünzen, K., Suppan, P., Baldasano, J., Brunner, D., Aksoyoglu, S., Carmichael, G., Douros, J., Flemming, J., Forkel, R., Galmarini, S., Gauss, M., Grell, G., Hirtl, M., Joffre, S., Jorba, O., Kaas, E., Kaasik, M., Kallos, G., Kong, X., Korsholm, U., Kurganskiy, A., Kushta, J., Lohmann, U., Mahura, A., Manders-Groot, A., Maurizi, A., Moussiopoulos, N., Rao, S. T., Savage, N., Seigneur, C., Sokhi, R. S., Solazzo, E., Solomos, S., Sørensen, B., Tsegas, G., Vignati, E., Vogel, B., and Zhang, Y.: Online coupled regional meteorology chemistry models in Europe: current status and prospects, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 14, 317–398, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-14-317-2014, https://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/14/317/2014/, 2014.
 - Baró, R., Jiménez-Guerrero, P., Balzarini, A., Curci, G., Forkel, R., Grell, G., Hirtl, M., Honzak, L., Langer, M., Pérez, J. L., et al.: Sensitivity analysis of the microphysics scheme in WRF-Chem contributions to AQMEII phase 2, Atmospheric Environment, 115, 620–629, 2015.
 - Baró, R., Lorente-Plazas, R., Montávez, J. P., and Jiménez-Guerrero, P.: Biomass burning aerosol impact on surface winds during the 2010 Russian heat wave, Geophysical Research Letters, 44, 1088–1094, https://doi.org/doi: 10.1002/2016GL071484, 2017.
- 425 Baró, R., Jiménez-Guerrero, P., Stengel, M., Brunner, D., Curci, G., Forkel, R., Nea, L., Palacios-Peña, L., Savage, N., Schaap, M., Tuccella, P., van der Gon, H. D., and Galmarini, S.: Evaluating cloud properties in an ensemble of regional online coupled models against satellite observations, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 18, 15183–15199, https://doi.org/doi: 10.5194/acp-18-15183-2018, 2018.
 - Boucher, O. et al.: Clouds and Aerosols in Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to IPCC AR5, eds Stocker TF, et al, 2013.
- 430 Brunner, D., Savage, N., Jorba, O., Eder, B., Giordano, L., Badia, A., Balzarini, A., Baró, R., Bianconi, R., Chemel, C., Curci, G., Forkel, R., Jiménez-Guerrero, P., Hirtl, M., Hodzic, A., Honzak, L., Im, U., Knote, C., Makar, P., Manders-Groot, A., van Meijgaard, E., Neal, L., Pérez, J. L., Pirovano, G., Jose, R. S., Schröder, W., Sokhi, R. S., Syrakov, D., Torian, A., Tuccella, P., Werhahn, J., Wolke, R., Yahya, K., Zabkar, R., Zhang, Y., Hogrefe, C., and Galmarini, S.: Comparative analysis of meteorological performance of coupled chemistry-meteorology models in the context of AQMEII phase 2, Atmospheric Environ-
- 435 ment, 115, 470 498, https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2014.12.032, http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/ \$1352231014009807, 2015.
 - Chapman, E. G., Gustafson Jr., W. I., Easter, R. C., Barnard, J. C., Ghan, S. J., Pekour, M. S., and Fast, J. D.: Coupling aerosol-cloud-radiative processes in the WRF-Chem model: Investigating the radiative impact of elevated point sources, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 9, 945–964, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-9-945-2009, https://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/9/945/2009/, 2009.
- 440 Chin, M., Ginoux, P., Kinne, S., Torres, O., Holben, B. N., Duncan, B. N., Martin, R. V., Logan, J. A., Higurashi, A., and Nakajima, T.: Tropospheric aerosol optical thickness from the GOCART model and comparisons with satellite and Sun photometer measurements, Journal of the atmospheric sciences, 59, 461–483, 2002.

Christensen, M. F., Heaton, M. J., Rupper, S., Reese, C. S., and Christensen, W. F.: Bayesian Multi-scale Spatio-temporal Modeling of Precipitation in the Indus Watershed, Frontiers in Earth Science, 7, 210, 2019.

445 Da Silva, N., Mailler, S., and Drobinski, P.: Aerosol indirect effects on summer precipitation in a regional climate model for the Euro-Mediterranean region, Annales Geophysicae, 2018.

ECMWF: ERA-20C, https://www.ecmwf.int/en/forecasts/datasets/reanalysis-datasets/era-20c, last accessed on March 3rd, 2020, 2014.

Fan, J., Leung, L. R., Rosenfeld, D., Chen, Q., Li, Z., Zhang, J., and Yan, H.: Microphysical effects determine macrophysical response for aerosol impacts on deep convective clouds, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 110, E4581–E4590, 2013.

- 450 Fast, J., Gustafson Jr, W., Easter, R., Zaveri, R., Barnard, J., Chapman, E., Grell, G., and Peckham, S.: Evolution of ozone, particulates, and aerosol direct forcing in an urban area using a new fully-coupled meteorology, chemistry, and aerosol model, J. Geophys. Res, 111, D21 305, 2006.
 - Feingold, G., Cotton, W. R., Kreidenweis, S. M., and Davis, J. T.: The Impact of Giant Cloud Condensation Nuclei on Drizzle Formation in Stratocumulus: Implications for Cloud Radiative Properties, Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 56, 4100–4117,
- 455 https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0469(1999)056<4100:TIOGCC>2.0.CO;2, https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0469(1999)056<4100:TIOGCC>2.0.CO;2, 1999.
 - Forkel, R., Balzarini, A., Baró, R., Bianconi, R., Curci, G., Jiménez-Guerrero, P., Hirtl, M., Honzak, L., Lorenz, C., Im, U., Pérez, J. L., Pirovano, G., José, R. S., Tuccella, P., Werhahn, J., and Žabkar, R.: Analysis of the WRF-Chem contributions to AQMEII phase2 with respect to aerosol radiative feedbacks on meteorology and pollutant distributions, Atmospheric Environment, 115, 630–645, 2015.
- 460 Fuzzi, S., Baltensperger, U., Carslaw, K., Decesari, S., Denier van der Gon, H., Facchini, M. C., Fowler, D., Koren, I., Langford, B., Lohmann, U., et al.: Particulate matter, air quality and climate: lessons learned and future needs, Atmospheric chemistry and physics, 15, 8217–8299, 2015.
 - Geiger, H., Barnes, I., Bejan, I., Benter, T., and Spittler, M.: The tropospheric degradation of isoprene: an updated module for the regional atmospheric chemistry mechanism, Atmospheric Environment, 37, 1503 1519, doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/S1352-2310(02)01047-6, 2003.
- 465 2

470

- Ginoux, P., Chin, M., Tegen, I., Prospero, J. M., Holben, B., Dubovik, O., and Lin, S.-J.: Sources and distributions of dust aerosols simulated with the GOCART model, Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 106, 20255–20273, https://doi.org/10.1029/2000JD000053, 2001a.
- Ginoux, P., Chin, M., Tegen, I., Prospero, J. M., Holben, B., Dubovik, O., and Lin, S.-J.: Sources and distributions of dust aerosols simulated with the GOCART model, Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 106, 20255–20273, 2001b.
- Gong, W., Min, Q., Li, R., Teller, A., Joseph, E., and Morris, V.: Detailed cloud resolving model simulations of the impacts of Saharan air layer dust on tropical deep convection – Part 1: Dust acts as ice nuclei, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics Discussions, 10, 12907– 12952, https://doi.org/10.5194/acpd-10-12907-2010, https://acp.copernicus.org/preprints/10/12907/2010/, 2010.

Goudie, A. and Middleton, N.: Saharan dust storms: nature and consequences, Earth-Science Reviews, 56, 179–204, 2001.

Goudie, A. S. and Middleton, N. J.: Desert dust in the global system, Springer Science & Business Media, 2006.
 Grell, G. and Baklanov, A.: Integrated modeling for forecasting weather and air quality: A call for fully coupled approaches, Atmospheric Environment, 45, 6845–6851, 2011.

Grell, G. A.: Prognostic Evaluation of Assumptions Used by Cumulus Parameterizations, Mon. Wea. Rev., 121, 764–787, 1993.

Grell, G. A. and Devenyi, D.: A generalized approach to parameterizing convection combining ensemble and data assimilation techniques,

480 Geophys. Res. Lett., 29, 1693, 2002.

- Grell, G. A., Peckham, S. E., Schmitz, R., McKeen, S. A., Frost, G., Skamarock, W. C., and Eder, B.: Fully coupled "online" chemistry within the WRF model, Atmospheric Environment, 39, 6957–6975, 2005.
- Guenther, A., Karl, T., Harley, P., Wiedinmyer, C., Palmer, P., and Geron, C.: Estimates of global terrestrial isoprene emissions using MEGAN (Model of Emissions of Gases and Aerosols from Nature), Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 6, 3181–3210, 2006.
- 485 Hartigan, J. A. and Wong, M. A.: Algorithm AS 136: A k-means clustering algorithm, Journal of the Royal Statistical Society. Series C (Applied Statistics), 28, 100–108, 1979.
 - Hersbach, H., Peubey, C., Simmons, A., Berrisford, P., Poli, P., and Dee, D.: ERA-20CM: a twentieth-century atmospheric model ensemble, Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society, 141, 2350–2375, 2015.
 - Hong, Song-You, Noh, Y., and Dudhia, J.: A new vertical diffusion package with an explicit treatment of entrainment processes, Mon. Wea. Rev., 134, 2318–2341, 2006.
 - Houghton, J. T., Ding, Y., Griggs, D. J., Noguer, M., van der Linden, P. J., Dai, X., Maskell, K., and Johnson, C.: Climate change 2001: the scientific basis, The Press Syndicate of the University of Cambridge, 2001.
 - Hrarsbach, H. and Dee, D.: ERA5 reanalysis is in production, ECMWF newsletter, 147, 5–6, 2016.

490

- Huang, Y., Chameides, W. L., and Dickinson, R. E.: Direct and indirect effects of anthropogenic aerosols on regional precipitation over east
 Asia, Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 112, 2007.
- Hwang, S.-O., Park, J., and Kim, H. M.: Effect of hydrometeor species on very-short-range simulations of precipitation using ERA5, Atmospheric research, 218, 245–256, 2019.
 - Iacono, M. J., Delamere, J. S., Mlawer, E. J., Shephard, M. W., Clough, S. A., and Collins, W. D.: Radiative forcing by long–lived greenhouse gases: Calculations with the AER radiative transfer models, J. Geophys. Res., 113, D13 103, 2008.
- 500 Jacob, D., Petersen, J., Eggert, B., Alias, A., Christensen, O. B., Bouwer, L. M., Braun, A., Colette, A., Déqué, M., Georgievski, G., Georgopoulou, E., Gobiet, A., Menut, L., Nikulin, G., Haensler, A., Hempelamnn, N., Jones, C., Leuler, K., Kovats, S., Kröner, N., Kotlarski, S., Kriegsmann, A., Martin, E., van Meijgaard, E., Moseley, C., Pfeifer, S., Preuschmann, S., Radermacher, C., Radtke, K., Rechi, D., Rounsevell, M., Samuel, P., Somot, S., Soussana, J.-F., Teichmann, C., Valentini, R., Vautard, R., Weber, B., and Yiou, P.: EURO-CORDEX: new high-resolution climate change projections for European impact research, Regional environmental change, 14, 563–578, 2014.
- 505 Jerez, S., López-Romero, J., Turco, M., Jiménez-Guerrero, P., Vautard, R., and Montávez, J.: Impact of evolving greenhouse gas forcing on the warming signal in regional climate model experiments, Nature communications, 9, 1304, 2018.
 - Jerez, S., López-Romero, J. M., Turco, M., Lorente-Plazas, R., Gómez-Navarro, J. J., Jiménez-Guerrero, P., and Montávez, J. P.: On the spinup period in WRF simulations over Europe: trade offs between length and seasonality, Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems, 12, e2019MS001945, https://doi.org/10.1029/2019MS001945, e2019MS001945 2019MS001945, 2020a.
- 510 Jerez, S., Palacios-Peña, L., Gutiérrez, C., Jiménez-Guerrero, P., López-Romero, J. M., and Montávez, J. P.: Gains and losses in surface solar radiation with dynamic aerosols in regional climate simulations for Europe, Geoscientific Model Development Discussions, 2020, 1–25, https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-2020-238, https://gmd.copernicus.org/preprints/gmd-2020-238/, 2020b.

Jiménez, P., García-Bustamante, E., González-Rouco, J., Valero, F., Montávez, J., and Navarro, J.: Surface wind regionalization in complex terrain, Journal of Applied Meteorology and Climatology, 47, 308–325, 2008.

- 515 Jiménez, P. A., Dudhia, J., González-Rouco, J. F., Navarro, J., Montávez, J. P., and García-Bustamante, E.: A revised scheme for the WRF surface layer formulation, Monthly Weather Review, 140, 898–918, 2012.
 - Jiménez-Guerrero, P., Jerez, S., Montávez, J., and Trigo, R.: Uncertainties in future ozone and PM10 projections over Europe from a regional climate multiphysics ensemble, Geophysical Research Letters, 40, 5764–5769, 2013.

Johnson, D. B.: The Role of Giant and Ultragiant Aerosol Particles in Warm Rain Initiation, Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 39, 448–460, 1982.

- Khain, A., BenMoshe, N., and Pokrovsky, A.: Factors determining the impact of aerosols on surface precipitation from clouds: An attempt at classification, Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 65, 1721–1748, 2008.
 - Lamarque, J. F., Shindell, D. T., Josse, B., Young, P. J., Cionni, I., Eyring, V., Bergmann, D., Cameron-Smith, P., Collins, W. J., Doherty, R., Dalsoren, S., Faluvegi, G., Folberth, G., Ghan, S. J., Horowitz, L. W., Lee, Y. H., MacKenzie, I. A., Nagashima, T., Naik, V., Plummer,
- D., Righi, M., Rumbold, S. T., Schulz, M., Skeie, R. B., Stevenson, D. S., Strode, S., Sudo, K., Szopa, S., Voulgarakis, A., and Zeng, G.: The Atmospheric Chemistry and Climate Model Intercomparison Project (ACCMIP): overview and description of models, simulations and climate diagnostics, Geoscientific Model Development, 6, 179–206, https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-6-179-2013, 2013.
 - Li, Z., Wang, Y., Guo, J., Zhao, C., Cribb, M. C., Dong, X., Fan, J., Gong, D., Huang, J., Jiang, M., Jiang, Y., Lee, S.-S., Li, H., Li, J., Liu, J., Qian, Y., Rosenfeld, D., Shan, S., Sun, Y., Wang, H., Xin, J., Yan, X., Yang, X., Yang, X.-q., Zhang, F., and Zheng, Y.: East Asian Study
- 530 of Tropospheric Aerosols and their Impact on Regional Clouds, Precipitation, and Climate (EAST-AIRCPC), Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 124, 13 026–13 054, https://doi.org/10.1029/2019JD030758, https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10. 1029/2019JD030758, 2019.
 - Lin, Y.-L., Farley, R. D., and Orville, H. D.: Parameterization of the Snow Field in a Cloud Model, J. Climate Appl. Met., 22, 1065–1092, 1983.
- 535 Liu, P., Tsimpidi, A., Hu, Y., Stone, B., Russell, A., and Nenes, A.: Differences between downscaling with spectral and grid nudging using WRF, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 12, 3601–3610, 2012.
 - López-Romero, J. M., Baró, R., Palacios-Peña, L., Jerez, S., Jiménez-Guerrero, P., and Montávez, J. P.: Impact of resolution on aerosol radiative feedbacks with in online-coupled chemistry/climate simulations (WRF-Chem) for EURO-CORDEX compliant domains, in: EGU General Assembly Conference Abstracts, vol. 18, 2016.
- 540 Lorente-Plazas, R., Montávez, J., Jimenez, P., Jerez, S., Gómez-Navarro, J., García-Valero, J., and Jimenez-Guerrero, P.: Characterization of surface winds over the Iberian Peninsula, International Journal of Climatology, 35, 1007–1026, 2015.
 - Middleton, N. and Goudie, A.: Saharan dust: sources and trajectories, Transactions of the Institute of British Geographers, 26, 165–181, 2001.

Milelli, M., Turco, M., and Oberto, E.: Screen-level non-GTS data assimilation in a limited-area mesoscale model, Natural Hazards and

- 545 Earth System Sciences, 10, 1129–1149, 2010.
 - Myhre, G., Shindell, D., Bréon, F.-M., Collins, W., Fuglestvedt, J., Huang, J., Koch, D., Lamarque, J.-F., Lee, D., Mendoza, B., et al.: Anthropogenic and natural radiative forcing, Climate change, 423, 658–740, 2013.
 - Nabat, P., Somot, S., Mallet, M., Sevault, F., Chiacchio, M., and Wild, M.: Direct and semi-direct aerosol radiative effect on the Mediterranean climate variability using a coupled regional climate system model, Climate dynamics, 44, 1127–1155, 2015.
- 550 Palacios-Peña, L., Jiménez-Guerrero, P., Baró, R., Balzarini, A., Bianconi, R., Curci, G., Landi, T. C., Pirovano, G., Prank, M., Riccio, A., Tuccella, P., and Galmarini, S.: Aerosol optical properties over Europe: an evaluation of the AQMEII Phase 3 simulations against satellite observations, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 19, 2965–2990, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-19-2965-2019, https://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/19/2965/2019/, 2019.

Palacios-Peña, L., Baró, R., López-Romero, J. M., López-Villagra, A., Jerez, S., Montávez, J. P., and Jiménez-Guerrero, P.: Assessment of Aerosol-Radiation (ARI) and Aerosol-Cloud (ACI) Interactions from Dust: Modelled Dust Optical Properties and Remote Sensing Observations, in: International Technical Meeting on Air Pollution Modelling and its Application, pp. 183–187, Springer, 2016.

- Palacios-Peña, L., Montávez, J. P., López-Romero, J. M., Jerez, S., Gómez-Navarro, J. J., Lorente-Plazas, R., Ruiz, J., and Jiménez-Guerrero, P.: Added Value of Aerosol-Cloud Interactions for Representing Aerosol Optical Depth in an Online Coupled Climate-Chemistry Model over Europe, Atmosphere, 11, 360, https://doi.org/10.3390/atmos11040360, 2020.
- 560 Palacios-Peña, L., Baro, R., Guerrero-Rascado, J. L., Alados-Arboledas, L., Brunner, D., and Jimenez-Guerrero, P.: Evaluating the representation of aerosol optical properties using an online coupled model over the Iberian Peninsula., Atmospheric Chemistry & Physics, 17, 2017.
 - Palacios-Peña, L., Jiménez-Guerrero, P., Baró, R., Balzarini, A., Bianconi, R., Curci, G., Landi, T. C., Pirovano, G., Prank, M., Riccio, A., Tuccella, P., and Galmarini, S.: Aerosol optical properties over Europe: an evaluation of the AQMEII Phase 3 simulations against
- 565 satellite observations, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 19, 2965–2990, https://doi.org/doi: 10.5194/acp-19-2965-2019, https://www. atmos-chem-phys.net/19/2965/2019/, 2019.
 - Palacios-Peña, L., Baró, R., Baklanov, A., Balzarini, A., Brunner, D., Forkel, R., Hirtl, M., Honzak, L., López-Romero, J. M., Montávez, J. P., Pérez, J. L., Pirovano, G., San José, R., Schroeder, W., Werhahn, J., Wolke, R., Zabkar, R., and Jiménez-Guerrero, P.: An assessment of aerosol optical properties from remote-sensing observations and regional chemistry-climate coupled models over Europe, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 18, 5021–5043, https://doi.org/doi: 10.5194/acp-18-5021-2018, 2018.
- Pavlidis, V., Katragkou, E., Prein, A., Georgoulias, A. K., Kartsios, S., Zanis, P., and Karacostas, T.: Investigating the sensitivity to resolving aerosol interactions in downscaling regional model experiments with WRFv3.8.1 over Europe, Geoscientific Model Development, 13, 2511–2532, https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-13-2511-2020, https://gmd.copernicus.org/articles/13/2511/2020/, 2020.
 - Prein, A. F., Gobiet, A., Truhetz, H., Keuler, K., Görgen, K., Teichmann, C., Fox Maule, C., van Meijgaard, E., Déqué, M., Nikulin, G.,
- 575 Vautard, R., Colette, A., Kjellström, E., and Jacob, D.: Precipitation in the EURO-CORDEX and 0.44° simulations: high resolution, high benefits?, Climate dynamics, 46, 383–412, 2015.
 - Rodriguez, S., Querol, X., Alastuey, A., Kallos, G., and Kakaliagou, O.: Saharan dust contributions to PM10 and TSP levels in Southern and Eastern Spain, Atmospheric Environment, 35, 2433–2447, 2001.
- Rosenfeld, D., Lohmann, U., Raga, G. B., O'Dowd, C. D., Kulmala, M., Fuzzi, S., Reissell, A., and Andreae, M. O.: Flood or drought: how
 do aerosols affect precipitation?, science, 321, 1309–1313, 2008.
 - Seifert, A., Köhler, C., and Beheng, K.: Aerosol-cloud-precipitation effects over Germany as simulated by a convective-scale numerical weather prediction model, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 12, 709, 2012.
 - Seinfeld, J. H., Bretherton, C., Carslaw, K. S., Coe, H., DeMott, P. J., Dunlea, E. J., Feingold, G., Ghan, S., Guenther, A. B., Kahn, R., Kraucunas, I., Kreidenweis, S. M., Molina, M. J., Nenes, A., Penner, J. E., Prather, K. A., Ramanathan, V., Ramaswamy, V., Rasch, P. J., Ravis-
- 585 hankara, A. R., Rosenfeld, D., Stephens, G., and Wood, R.: Improving our fundamental understanding of the role of aerosol-cloud interactions in the climate system, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 113, 5781–5790, https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1514043113, 2016.
 - Shrivastava, M., Berg, L. K., Fast, J. D., Easter, R. C., Laskin, A., Chapman, E. G., Gustafson Jr, W. I., Liu, Y., and Berkowitz, C. M.: Modeling aerosols and their interactions with shallow cumuli during the 2007 CHAPS field study, Journal of Geophysical Research:
- 590 Atmospheres, 118, 1343–1360, 2013.

- Skamarock, W. C., Klemp, J. B., Dudhia, J., Gill, D. O., Barker, D. M., Wang, W., and Powers, J. G.: A description of the Advanced Research WRF version 3., Tech. rep., NCAR Tech. Note TN-475+STR, https://doi.org/10.5065/D68S4MVH, 2008.
 - Stevens, B. and Feingold, G.: Untangling aerosol effects on clouds and precipitation in a buffered system, Nature, 461, 607-613, 2009.

Stockwell, W. R., Kirchner, F., Kuhn, M., and Seefeld, S.: A new mechanism for regional atmospheric chemistry modeling, Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 102, 25 847–25 879, https://doi.org/10.1029/97JD00849, 2001.

- Tewari, M., Chen, F., Wang, W., Dudhia, J., LeMone, M. A., Mitchell, K., Ek, M., Gayno, G., Wegiel, J., and Cuenca, R. H.: Implementation and verification of the unified NOAH land surface model in the WRF model, 20th conference on weather analysis and forecasting/16th conference on numerical weather prediction, p. 11–15, 2004.
 - Turnock, S. T., Spracklen, D. V., Carslaw, K. S., Mann, G. W., Woodhouse, M. T., Forster, P. M., Haywood, J., Johnson, C. E., Dalvi, M.,
- 600 Bellouin, N., and Sanchez-Lorenzo, A.: Modelled and observed changes in aerosols and surface solar radiation over Europe between 1960 and 2009, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 15, 9477–9500, 2015.

Twomey, S.: The influence of pollution on the shortwave albedo of clouds, Journal of the atmospheric sciences, 34, 1149–1152, 1977. Von Storch, H.: Misuses of statistical analysis in climate research, in: Analysis of climate variability, pp. 11–26, Springer, 1999.

- Ward Jr, J. H.: Hierarchical grouping to optimize an objective function, Journal of the American statistical association, 58, 236-244, 1963.
- WHO: Review of evidence on health aspects of air pollution–REVIHAAP Project, 2013.
 Wild, O., Zhu, X., Prather, M., and Fast, J.: Accurate simulation of in-and below-cloud photolysis in tropospheric chemical models, J. Atmos. Chem, 37, 245–282, 2000.
 - Witha, B., Hahmann, A. N., Sile, T., Dörenkämper, M., Ezber, Y., Bustamante, E. G., Gonzalez-Rouco, J. F., Leroy, G., and Navarro, J.: Report on WRF model sensitivity studies and specifications for the mesoscale wind atlas production runs: Deliverable D4. 3, NEWA-New
- 610 European Wind Atlas, 2019.

- Yahya, K., Wang, K., Campbell, P., Glotfelty, T., He, J., and Zhang, Y.: Decadal evaluation of regional climate, air quality, and their interactions over the continental US and their interactions using WRF/Chem version 3.6. 1, Geoscientific Model Development, 9, 671, 2016.
- Yang, Q., Gustafson Jr, W., Fast, J., Wang, H., Easter, R., Wang, M., Ghan, S., Berg, L., Leung, L., and Morrison, H.: Impact of natural
- and anthropogenic aerosols on stratocumulus and precipitation in the Southeast Pacific: a regional modelling study using WRF-Chem, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 12, 8777–8796, 2012.
 - Yu, H., Kaufman, Y. J., Chin, M., Feingold, G., Remer, L. A., Anderson, T. L., Balkanski, Y., Bellouin, N., Boucher, O., Christopher, S., DeCola, P., Kahn, R., Koch, D., Loeb, N., Reddy, M. S., Schulz, M., Takemura, T., and Zhou, M.: A review of measurement-based assessments of the aerosol direct radiative effect and forcing, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 6, 613–666, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-6-

^{620 613-2006,} https://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/6/613/2006/, 2006.



Figure 1. Simulation domains covered in the experiments. The inner Euro-CORDEX domain is boxed in the Figure.



Figure 2. Relative differences for precipitation between ARI and BASE (first column), ARCI and BASE (second column) and ARCI and ARI (third column), total precipitation (first row) number of days of precipitation > 0.1mm (second row) and low clouds (Third row). Squares indicate points whose differences are significant for a p-value of 0.05.The analysis has been conducted for the mean values of the period 1991-2010



Figure 3. AOD, PM10 ($\mu g/m^3$) and PMratio mean annual values for ARI and ARCI and their differences (%).



Figure 4. Significant relative differences (colors) between ARCI and ERA5 for (a) precipitation, (b) number of days of precipitation > 0.1mm and (c) clouds at low levels.). Squares indicate statistical significant differences (p < 0.05). The analysis has been conducted for the mean values of the period 1991-2010.



Figure 5. Relative difference (colors) in the ARCI–BASE simulations for the 1991-2010 period based on (b) the intensity and size of AOD550 events, (c) the intensity and size of PM2.5 events, (d)for events of PM10 and (e) for those of PMratio. The calculation is made for the domain cells with significant ARCI-BASE differences for the number of days with precipitation > 0.1mm (Figure 2b) and only for the zone where the non-linear behavior begins (>0.6) in Figure 5a (id. to the other variables). The number inside the boxes indicates the number of days meeting the corresponding criteria of intensity and extent of events. R denotes the multiple regression coefficient resulting from a multi-linear adjustment of those values.



Figure 6. Cluster analysis of rainy days: each color depicts a cluster with different behaviour of the ARCI-BASE difference in number of days of precipitation over a threshold running from 0.1mm to 20mm/day for the period 1991-2010.



Figure 7. Series of relative differences between ARCI and BASE based on different thresholds in rainy days for the different regions (Figure 6). Green circles denote the thresholds for which the differences are significant (p-value < 0.05).



Figure 8. Relative differences (ARCI-BASE) of the number of days of precipitation > 1mm versus PM10 (ARCI) for all the cells of the domain (black), for Cluster 4 (violet) and Cluster 4 but only in the Mediterranean (yellow).