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Text S1: Calculations of new particle formation rate (FR), growth rate (GR), and condensation sink (CS)

The apparent formation rate of new particles (FR), considering the coagulation and growth losses, is calculated based on the following equation (Sihto et al., 2006; Kulmala et al., 2012; Zhu et al., 2019):

\[
FR = \frac{dN_{10-25}}{dt} + CoagS_{10-25} \cdot N_{10-300} + \frac{GR_{10-25}}{15} \cdot N_{10-25} + S_{losses}
\]  

(1)

The coagulation loss for particles (CoagS\(_{10-25}\)\cdot N\(_{10-300}\)) was the sum of particle-particle inter- and hetero-coagulation rates. The growth loss (GR\(_{10-25/15}\)\cdot N\(_{10-25}\)) is due to condensation growth out of the nucleation mode sizes during the calculation period. \(S_{losses}\) includes additional losses and is assumed to be zero.

The apparent growth rate (GR) of new particles was calculated by:

\[
GR = \frac{\Delta D_{pg}}{\Delta t}
\]

(2)

where \(D_{pg}\) is the geometric median diameter of new particles fitted by the multiple log-normal distribution functions (Whitby, 1978; Zhu et al., 2014, 2019), and \(\Delta t\) is the duration for the growth of new particles.

The condensation sink (CS) is the loss rate of condensable vapor molecules onto the pre-existing particles, and calculated as Kulmala et al. (2001) and Dal Maso et al. (2005):

\[
CS = 2\pi D_p \sum_i \beta M_i D_{pi} n(D_{pi}) N_{pi} = 2\pi D \sum_i \beta M_i D_{pi} N_{pi}
\]

(3)

where \(D\) is the diffusion coefficient, \(\beta M\) is the transitional regime correction factor, \(D_{pi}\) is the particle diameter of size class \(i\), and \(N_{pi}\) is the particle number concentration in size class \(i\).
Figure S1. Annual changes in national SO$_2$ emissions and national-average air pollutant concentrations of SO$_2$, PM$_{10}$, and PM$_{2.5}$ between 2007 and 2018 (data source: http://www.stats.gov.cn/tjsj/ndsj/, http://www.mee.gov.cn/hjzl/zghjzkbgln/zghjzkbgl/).
Figure S2. Spatial heterogeneity featured with fluctuate particle number concentrations during 11:00-14:30 on October 3, 2014 NPF events.
**Figure S3.** Particle number size distribution on NPF days in each campaign (shaded areas are quarter of the standard deviations).
Figure S4. Relationship between SO$_2$, calculated H$_2$SO$_4$ and new particle formation rate (FR), net maximum increase in the nucleation-mode particle number concentration (NMINP).
Figure S5. Relationship between GR and total oxidant ($O_x = NO_2 + O_3$) in the daytime NPF events.
Figure S6. Three types of NPF events and the 24-hour air mass back trajectories throughout the NPF events (a,b: Type A on March 21, 2018, c,d: Type b on April 7, 2018, e,f: Type c on September 29, 2014. Stars at the time axis represent the end time of trajectories).


