To Anonymous Referee 1

General comments: This study showed that that aerosols have very different effects on daytime UHII in different seasons: reducing the UHII in summer, but increasing the UHII in winter. It also found that he seasonal contrast in the spatial distribution of aerosols between the urban centers and the suburbs lead to a spatial discrepancy in aerosol radiative effect. Different mechanisms are analyzed for different seasons. The manuscript has well-presented some interesting findings. However, there are still some major concerns that need to be addressed.

Response: We would like to thank you very much for providing so many insightful comments. Following your comments and suggestions, we have made many changes. The manuscript was also more carefully edited. The responses are highlighted in red; the changes in manuscript are highlighted in blue in this response file.

Comment 1.I suggest the authors combine the first paragraph with the second paragraph. And remove the sentence in the second paragraph, "It is well established that cities are the largest sources of anthropogenic heat emissions as by-products from industrial and human activities. Human activities can also generate large amounts of aerosols that can reduce air quality, change the physical and chemical properties of the atmosphere, and endanger human health (Sanap and Pandithurai 2015, Cohen et al. 2017, Wei et al. 2019a, b)." to the beginning of the third paragraph.

Response: Done per the suggestion.

Comment 2.The sentence "The effect of urbanization on clouds and precipitation has been the focus of many studies (Changnon et al. 1977, Ackerman et al. 1978, Changnon et al. 1991, Shepherd et al. 2002, Shepherd and Burian 2003)" looks very abrupt here.

Response: We have moved this sentence to line 79 and revised this sentence to "The effect of urbanization on clouds and precipitation has also been the focus of many studies (Changnon et al., 1977; Ackerman et al., 1978; Changnon et al., 1991; Shepherd et al., 2002; Shepherd and Burian, 2003)".

Comment 3.Overall, illustrations with more logics are needed for introduction Section.

Response: Thank you for this suggestion. Figure 1 is a newly added figure showing the relationship between urbanization, urban heat island, aerosols, local and regional weather and climate.



Figure 1. Illustration of the relationship between urbanization, urban heat island, aerosols, local and regional weather and climate. Solid arrows denote the effect.

Moreover, to be more logical, we moved the sentence "With increasing urbanization in the future, cities are likely to influence local and regional weather and climate to greater and greater degrees." to line 82.

Comment 4.Section 2 is suggested to be "Data and methods". The data, method of Extracting urban impervious surfaces and urban contours, model description should be included separately by three parts. I suggest the authors combine the "research windows" into "method of Extracting urban impervious surfaces and urban contours". And combine the "Aerosol parameters" to the part of "data" together with some data in the present part "Study areas and data". "Study areas" can be removed to the beginning of result analysis. Additionally, the method of calculating USII should be mentioned.

Response: Done per your suggestion.

The method of calculating UHII is added to section 2.2 on lines 190 to 194:

"The UHII is the temperature difference between the average temperature of the urban core window and the average temperature of rural windows, calculated as

$$UHII = \Delta T = T_u - T_r \quad , \qquad (3)$$

where T_u is the average temperature of an urban area, and T_r is the average temperature of the neighboring rural area."

Comment 5.Figure 1 need some modifications, for example, the city name "Changchun" has been divided into 2 rows.

Response: We have redrawn this figure.

Comment 6.Figure 2 should mark the result of confidence test. The impact factors are more than aerosol. The atmospheric humidity should be included. Here, the relation between USII and visibility may be one-sided. Moreover, the result of urban heat island does not just include the changes in aerosol. In Figure 3, the details of "severe air pollution condition" should be shown, including the data used here, definition of severe air pollution and period of severe air pollution event. It is not clear whether the $MUHII = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n=15} UHII_{m\sim i} - UHII_{p\sim i}}{n}$ is calculated based on several severe air pollution event or not. The details should be addressed and added.

Response: We did the confidence test (95%) and added this information to Figure 3 on line 252. We agree with you that aerosols are not the only factor affecting UHII, so we added the relationship between UHII and relative humidity (RH) to Figure 3. Figure 3b shows that there is a positive correlation between RH and UHII, but it is less significant than the correlation between UHII and visibility (p-value of visibility > RH).



Figure 3. (a) Clear-day visibility trend (unit: km yr^{-1}) shown as a function of the UHII trend (K yr^{-1}), and (b) clear-day visibility (unit: km) and relative humidity shown as a function of UHII (unit: K). The period is 2001-2015. The black and green lines is the linear best-fit line through the points. Sample numbers of (a) and (b) are 68 and 510 respectively. The least-squares regression equation is given in each panel. The coefficient correlation (R) and p-value are also given, and all of them pass confidence test in 95%.

The new figure updates the previous figure, and the following sentence was added (lines 242 to 246): "Also analyzed was the relationship between RH and UHII. Figure 3b shows that there is a positive correlation between RH and UHII, but it is less significant than the correlation between UHII and visibility (p-value of visibility > RH). Note that not only these two factors affect UHII. Many other factors affect UHII, but this study mainly focuses on the aerosol effect."

We have added information about "polluted days" in the upper right corner of Figure 4a.

In Figures 4 and S4, we directly compared UHII under polluted conditions and UHII for all days. We deleted the previous version of the figure and the following sentence:

$$MUHII = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n=15} UHII_{m\sim i} - UHII_{p\sim i}}{n}, \quad (3)$$

where $MUHII$ is the difference between the UHII under severe air pollution conditions and the average UHII, n is number of years from 2001 to 2015, i represents a specific year during 2001–2015, $UHII_{m\sim i}$ is the average UHII in year i, and $UHII_{p\sim i}$ is the UHII under severe air pollution conditions in a year i. Figure S3 shows the $MUH11$ at each city under polluted conditions and for all days.

Comment 7.Figure 5, "red curves" should be changed to "red curve", "black curves" to "black curve". How did the PM2.5 concentration bins get? And was the urban-rural PM2.5 difference corresponded to the PM2.5 concentration bins? Some descriptions should be added. Same information for AOD is also needed.

Response: Done per your suggestion. Here we divided $PM_{2.5}$ concentration into four bins, and calculated the $PM_{2.5}$ concentration difference in each bin, the method was shown in lines 302 to 303. Therefore, the $PM_{2.5}$ difference is corresponded to each $PM_{2.5}$ concentration bins.

We also added more information about AOD (lines 305 to 307): "Five zones were selected based on the distance to the urban geometric center of all cities: Zone 1: 0–10 km, Zone 2: 11–20 km, Zone 3: 21–30 km, Zone 4: 31–40 km, and Zone 5: 41–50 km, then the average AOD for each zone was calculated."

Comment 8.A better quality of combination figure is needed for Fig. 8. The meaning of arrows in Fig. 9 should be given.

Response: We have redrawn Figure 9. Regarding Figure 10 (lines 365 to 367): "The red arrows mean the change ranges from clean conditions to polluted conditions, the blue arrow mean the change range from summer-polluted condition to winter-polluted condition."

Comment 9.Page 19, Line 368, "In summer (Figure 11 a), aerosols reduce UHII throughout all day; but in winter (Figure 11 b), aerosols enhance UHII in the afternoon. These results are consistent with the observational results shown in Figure 3". Figure 3 cannot provide the consistent result. Figure 3 shows the result at annual scale. However, Figure 11 is the simulation for an event for three days.

Response: Figure 4 shows that aerosols reduce UHII in summer and enhance UHII in winter. Figure 12 shows similar results on a daily scale. To express this more accurately, we changed the sentence "These results are consistent with the observational results shown in Figure 4" to "This shows the effect of aerosols on UHII on a daily scale, supporting Fig. 4." on line 394.

To Anonymous Referee 2

General comments: This study investigated the relationships between daytime surface urban-heat-island (SUHI) intensity and aerosol pollution in summer and winter and their seasonal difference in China by using multi-source observations. The topic is very interesting and has important climate, environment and health implications. This study has the potential to provide new insights about urban climate change and their seasonal change under heavy air pollution context. The manuscript is written clearly, and I really like the schematic diagram in figure 12. While I found some minor issues need to be addressed. My recommendation is to accept with minor revision.

We would like to thank you very much for providing so many insightful comments. Following your comments and suggestions, we have made many changes. The manuscript was also more carefully edited. The responses are highlighted in red; the changes in manuscript are highlighted in blue in this response file.

Comment 1. Introduction: UHI can be defined by satellite-based Land surface temperature (LST) (i.e., surface UHI) and also can be defined by surface air temperature (SAT) recorded by stations. There still is a bit differences in these two definitions and their drive factors, although SAT is closely related to LST. Therefore, some papers in the Introduction need to be stated clearly for which definition. In addition, suggest that surface is added in the paper Title and the MS.

Response: We agree with you that UHII mainly contains surface and atmospheric urban heat islands. We added the sentence "While the UHI mainly involves surface and atmospheric UHIs, this study focuses on surface UHI." on line 57. We also changed the title to "The Mechanisms and Seasonal Differences of the Impact of Aerosols on Daytime Surface Urban Heat Island Effect" in the manuscript and supplement.

Comment 2. Method: about meteorological station should be added in figure 1 or in the supplementary? How did you choose the urban and rural (i.e. reference) stations in each city?

Response: We added the spatial distribution of meteorological stations in Figure S1:



Figure S1. Spatial distribution of meteorological stations located in 35 cities.

We added the sentence "Figure S1 shows the spatial distribution of the meteorological stations." on line 138.
We selected these stations city by city. Urban stations are those stations located within the urban boundaries shown in Figure 2. Rural stations are those stations located outside urban boundaries, least affected by urban areas and with the lowest altitude difference with the urban areas.

Comment 3. Sample numbers should be added in Figure 2.
Response: Figure 3 results are based on Figure S5. Samples numbers in Figure 3a and Figure 3b are 68 and 510, respectively (see line 250).

Comment 4. Please check whether eq.3 matched with the text in lines 239-240? Also y-axis title should be MUHII (or MSUHII)?
Response: In Figures 4 and S4, we directly compared UHII under polluted conditions and UHII for all days. We deleted the previous version of the figure. The following passage has been deleted:

$$MUHII = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n=15} UHII_{m\sim i} - UHII_{p\sim i}}{n}, \quad (3)$$

where $MUHII$ is the difference between the UHII under severe air pollution conditions and the average UHII, n is number of years from 2001 to 2015, i represents a specific year during 2001–2015, $UHII_{m\sim i}$ is the average UHII in year i, and $UHII_{p\sim i}$ is the UHII under severe air pollution conditions in a year i. Figure S3 shows the $MUH11$ at each city under polluted conditions and for all days.

Comment 5. Lines 251: aS4ll? What do you mean?
Response: Here, "sS4ll" should be "all". We have corrected this typo.

Comment 6. Lines 272-276: excepting temperature inversion-induced stable PBL, aerosol pollutions usually accompanied with low wind speed (particularly <2m/s), which is also favorable to both heat accumulation / store and UHI enhancement.
Response: Yes, you are right. We added more explanations on lines 289 to 291: "In addition to a temperature-inversion-induced stable PBL, air pollution is usually accompanied by low wind speeds (particularly < 2m s^{-1}), also favorable to both heat accumulation and storage."

Comment 7. Lines 292-293: In the daytime during winter, the high aerosol concentrations in the rural areas, due to high emission induced by coal heating in rural area in the north China, while in south more industries in rural areas under stagnant atmospheric conditions? This seasonal variation in urban-rural difference may modulated by the combined effects of PM2.5 emission, transportation and diffusion (please refer to Urban-rural differences in PM2.5 concentrations in the representative cities of China during 20152018. CHINA ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCECE, 2019, 39(11): 4552-4560.).

Response: We agree. We added the following sentence (lines 313 to 314): “Many factors (e.g., $PM_{2.5}$ emissions, transportation, and diffusion) may cause the seasonal difference in urban-rural differences (Jiang et al., 2019).”

We also added the reference (line 560): “Jiang, Y. C., Yang, Y. J., Wang, H., Li, Y. B., Gao, Z. Q., and Zhao, C.: Urban-rural differences in $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations in the representative cities of China during 2015–2018, China Environ. Sci., 39(11), 4552–4560, https://doi.org/10.19674/j.cnki.issn1000-6923.2019.0530, 2019.”

Note that we have another manuscript under review, focused on the seasonal difference in the urban-rural spatial distribution of air pollution, which also analyzes several potential factors that cause the seasonal difference.

Comment 8. Subfigures in Figure S5 and S7 are very small unclear for readership.

Response: Figures S6 and S8 have been redrawn for clarity. Increasing the zoom percentage will help with seeing more details.

The Mechanisms and Seasonal Differences of the Impact~~Opposite Effects~~ of Aerosols on Daytime Surface Urban Heat Island Effect~~Intensity between Summer and Winter~~

Wenchao Han[1,2], Zhanqing Li[1,2*], Fang Wu[1,2], Yuwei Zhang[3], Jianping Guo[4], Tianning Su[2], Maureen Cribb[2], Jiwen Fan[3], Tianmeng Chen[4], Jing Wei[1,2], Seoung-Soo Lee[5]

1. State Key Laboratory of Remote Sensing Science, College of Global Change and Earth System Science, Beijing Normal University, Beijing 100875, China
2. Department of Atmospheric and Oceanic Science and Earth System Science Interdisciplinary Center, University of Maryland, College Park, Maryland, 20740, USA
3. Atmospheric Sciences and Global Change Division, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, Richland, Washington, 99352, USA
4. State Key Laboratory of Severe Weather, Chinese Academy of Meteorological Sciences, Beijing 100081, China
5. San Jose State University Research Foundation, San Jose, California, 95192, USA

Correspondence to: Zhanqing Li (zli@atmos.umd.edu)

Atmospheric Physics and Chemistry

Revised April 10, 2020

Abstract

The urban heat island intensity (UHII) is the temperature difference between urban areas and their rural surroundings. It is commonly attributed to changes in the underlying surface structure caused by urbanization. Air pollution caused by aerosol particles can affect the UHII through~~by~~ changing (1) the surface energy balance by Aerosol Radiative Effect (ARE) and (2) PBL stability and air flow intensity by modifying~~atmospheric~~ thermodynamic structure which is referred to as Aerosol Dynamic Effect (ADE).~~.~~ By analyzing satellite data and ground-based observations collected from 2001 to 2010 at 35 cities in China and using the WRF-Chem model, we find that the impact of aerosols on UHII differ considerably: reducing the UHII in summer, but increasing the UHII in winter. This seasonal contrast is proposed to be caused by the different strengths of the ARE and ADE between summer and winter. In summer, the ARE on UHII is dominant over the ADE, cooling down surface temperature more strongly in urban areas than in rural areas because of much higher aerosol loading and offsets the urban heating, therefore weakening UHII. In winter, however, the ADE is more dominant, because aerosols stabilize the PBL more in the polluted condition, weakening the near-surface heat transport over urban areas in both vertical and horizontal directions. This means that the heat accumulated in urban areas is dispersed less effectively and thus the UHII is enhanced. These findings shed~~found that aerosols have very different effects on daytime UHII in different seasons: reducing the UHII in summer, but increasing the UHII in winter. The seasonal contrast in the spatial distribution of aerosols between the urban centers and the suburbs lead to a spatial discrepancy in aerosol radiative effect (SD-ARE). Additionally, different stability of the planetary boundary layer induced by aerosol is closely associated with a dynamic effect (DE) on the UHII. SD-ARE reduces the amount of radiation reaching the ground and changes the vertical temperature gradient, whereas DE increases the stability of the planetary boundary layer and weakens heat release and exchange between the surface and the PBL. Both effects exist under polluted conditions, but their relative roles are opposite between the two seasons. It is the joint effects of the SD-ARE and the DE that drive the UHII to behave differently in different seasons, which is confirmed by model simulations. In summer, the UHII is mainly affected by the SD-ARE, and the DE is weak, and the opposite is the case in winter.~~

~~This finding sheds a~~ new light on the impact of the interaction between urbanization-induced surface changes and air pollution on urban climate.

1. Introduction

The global population has been increasingly concentrated in cities (Heilig, 2012). Urbanization in China has dramatically increased from 26% in 1990 to 60% in 2018, resulting in a marked change in the~~of~~ landscape. It has a significant impact on the urban and rural climate and will continue to make an impact as cities continue to develop (Han et al.,~~.~~ 2014).

Urbanization leads to a dramatic change in the underlying surface structure, properties, and spatial distribution of a city, such as a reduction in green areas and a corresponding increase in impervious areas. These changes increase the temperature difference between urban and rural areas, ~~which is~~ known as the urban heat island (UHI) intensity (UHII) (e.g., Kalnay and Cai, 2003; L.~~,~~ Zhao et al.,~~.~~ 2014; M.~~,~~ Zhao et al.,~~.~~ 2016;~~,~~ Zhou et al.,~~.~~ 2016; X.~~,~~ Yang et al., 2017). While the UHI mainly involves surface and atmospheric UHIs, this study focuses on surface UHI.~~, 2017).~~ The UHI also affects the structure and movement of cloud systems (Changnon and Westcott, 2002;~~,~~ Kug and Ahn, 2013;~~,~~ Pinto Jr. et al.,~~.~~ 2013). Many factors affect the~~The~~ diurnally and seasonally varying UHI~~ is affected by many factors~~, such as weather and climatic regimes, urban impervious surfaces, anthropogenic heat, air pollution, and urban 3D structure (Oke, 1982;~~,~~ Morris and Simmonds, 2000;~~,~~ Kim and Baik, 2002;~~,~~ Gedzelman et al.,~~.~~ 2003;~~,~~ Ryu and Baik, 2012;~~,~~ Ding et al.,~~.~~ 2016; Y.~~,~~ Yang ~~Y.~~ et al.,~~.~~ 2019).



Figure 1. Illustration of the relationship between urbanization, urban heat island, aerosols, local and regional weather and climate. Solid arrows denote the effect.

It is well established that cities are the largest sources of anthropogenic heat emissions as by-products of~~from~~ industrial and human activities. Human activities can also generate large amounts of aerosols that can reduce air quality, change the physical and chemical properties of the atmosphere, and endanger human health (Sanap and Pandithurai, 2015;~~,~~ Cohen et al.~~,~~ 2017;~~,~~ Wei et al.~~,~~ 2019a, b). ~~With increasing urbanization in the future, cities are likely to influence local and regional weather and climate to greater degrees.~~

Aerosols can also alter the radiation balance of the climate system. Their thermodynamic effect reduces the amount of radiation reaching the ground, and their microphysical effect can influence cloud properties and precipitation regimes through their impacts on cloud microphysical and dynamic processes (Rosenfeld et al.~~,~~ 2008; Z.~~,~~ Li et al.~~,~~ 2011, 2016, 2019; Fan et al.~~,~~ 2013;~~, Li et al. 2016,~~ Guo et al.~~,~~ 2018; H.~~,~~ Liu et al., 2019~~. 2019). The effect of urbanization on clouds and precipitation has been the focus of many studies (Changnon et al. 1977, Ackerman et al. 1978, Changnon et al. 1991, Shepherd et al. 2002, Shepherd and Burian 2003~~). Aerosols can increase cloudiness and cloud thickness and thus change the stability of the planetary boundary layer (PBL).~~.~~ In humid regions, aerosols may reduce the frequency of light rain but increase heavy rainfall, while in dry areas, aerosols aggravate droughts. Aerosols can also intensify convection by delaying the occurrence of convection and enhancing gust fronts (Khain et al.~~,~~ 2005;~~,~~ Carrió et al.~~,~~ 2010;~~,~~ Carrió and Cotton, 2011; Y.~~,~~ Wang et al.~~,~~ 2011;~~,~~ Han et al.~~,~~ 2012;~~,~~ Lee and

Feingold, 2013;~~, Li et al. 2017,~~ Guo et al., 2016a; Z. Li et al., 2017b). The effect of urbanization on clouds and precipitation has also been the focus of many studies (Changnon et al., 1977; Ackerman et al., 1978; Changnon et al., 1991; Shepherd et al., 2002; Shepherd and Burian, 2003). With increasing urbanization in the future, cities are likely to influence local and regional weather and climate to greater and greater degrees.~~).~~

UHI, surface roughness, and higher aerosol concentrations have been proposed to explain observed urban clouds and precipitation anomalies. Increased urban surface roughness likely does not play a major role in urban-induced precipitation. Rather, ~~the~~ UHI and higher aerosol concentrations may play more important roles (Han et al.,~~.~~ 2014). The UHI can alter the water vapor flux (accelerate evaporation), reduce horizontal wind speeds and enhance vertical turbulence, reduce the temperature difference between daytime and nighttime, increase the absorption rate of solar radiation by land, and change underlying surface characteristics (e.g., sensible heat dissipation, convection efficiency, evaporation and cooling, sunlight reflection, and anthropogenic heat transfer) (Jauregui and Romales, 1996;~~,~~ Taha, 1997;~~,~~ Bornstein and Lin, 2000;~~,~~ Givati and Rosenfeld, 2004;~~,~~ Grimmond, 2007; Carrió et~~, Carrió et~~ al.,~~.~~ 2010; L.~~,~~ Zhao et al.,~~.~~ 2014;~~,~~ Kaspersen et al.,~~.~~ 2015; B.~~,~~ Yang ~~B~~ et al.,~~.~~ 2019).

The UHI and aerosols may interact over cities. Aerosols generally reflect and absorb solar radiation and reduce the amount of shortwave radiation reaching the ground, i.e., the cooling effect of aerosols on ground temperature. Some numerical modeling~~modelling~~ studies have demonstrated that landscape changes reduce~~change reduces~~ near-surface concentrations of particulate matter (PM$_{2.5}$)~~$PM_{2.5}$~~ and that the UHI effect can influence the dispersion of air pollutants (S. Liu et al.,~~.~~ 2009;~~,~~ Liao et al.,~~.~~ 2015;~~,~~ Tao et al.,~~.~~ 2015;~~,~~ Zhong et al.,~~.~~ 2017, 2018). Moreover, aerosols can enhance the UHI at night in~~(by 0.7 ±0.3 K) for~~ semi-arid cities (by 0.7 ±0.3 K),~~,~~ and the UHI alters ~~the~~ aerosol concentrations~~concentration~~ (Cao et al.,~~.~~ 2016;~~,~~ Fallmann et al.,~~.~~ 2016;~~,~~ Lai, 2016). Heavy pollution can reduce UHII in China, especially during the day (H. Wu et al.,~~.~~ 2017; Y.~~,~~ Yang et al.,~~.~~ 2020).

The Weather Research and Forecasting/Chemistry (WRF-Chem) model has been~~are~~ used extensively in the simulation and prediction of air quality, the aerosol radiation effect,~~and~~ aerosol-cloud interactions, and changes in~~the change of~~ meteorological fields and regional climate (Grell et al.,~~.~~ 2005;~~,~~ Chapman et al.,~~.~~ 2009). Coupled with the urban canopy model, WRF-Chem can account for the influences of aerosols and land surface changes on ~~the~~ radiative processes if such parameters are fed to the model, e.g., ~~as~~ aerosol loading and single-~~-~~scattering albedo, surface albedo, ~~and~~ thermal emissivity, roughness, among others~~etc~~ (Miao et al.~~,~~ 2009;~~,~~ Chen et al.~~,~~ 2011). Many previous pertinent studies ~~are~~ done to date ~~just~~ focused on ~~the~~ annual effects without investigating any seasonal differences and the underlying mechanism. This study aims to fill this gap by analyzing the annual and seasonal effects of aerosols on UHII and proposing mechanisms that may explain the seasonal differences.

~~2.~~ Data~~Methods~~

~~2.1~~2. ~~Study areas~~ and methods~~data~~

2.1 Data

~~Thirty-five big cities evenly distributed across China were selected in our study. Table S1 lists these cities of different sizes. They represent the major and well-developed metropolitan regions in China. The population and urban areas of these cities have increased faster and/or more dramatic than those of other cities from 2001 to 2015.~~

Data used in this study include Land Satellite Thematic Mapper/ Enhanced Thematic Mapper (Landsat TM/ETM+) and Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS) products [including land surface temperature (LST) and aerosol optical depth (AOD)], ground-based data from meteorological stations, PM$_{2.5}$~~particulate matter (PM$_{2.5}$)~~ concentrations, and sounding data.

Landsat data are used to identify and outline urban areas and urban contours~~contour~~. The spatial resolution is 30 m. Summertime (June, July, and August) images before or in~~from~~ 2000 and in 2015 were examined to ensure the accuracy and consistency of the results.

The MODIS LST product (MYD11A1/A2~~MYD11A2~~) at a 1-km spatial resolution was used to calculate urban and rural UHIIs. Since this study is mainly focused on the daytime UHI effect, only data (daily/eight-day clear-sky LST observations with a 1-~~-~~km spatial resolution) at 1330 Beijing Time (~~13:30 BJT~~) for the period 2001–2015 were used. The MYD11A2 product uses the MODIS cloud mask product

(MYD35) to filter out cloudy conditions. A generalized split-window algorithm is applied using MODIS data from~~in~~ two longwave bands in the atmospheric window to correct for atmospheric water vapor, haze effects, and the sensitivity to errors in the surface emissivity. Changes in surface emissivity have been taken into account to~~To~~ obtain the LST from brightness temperatures~~, changes in surface emissivity have been accounted for~~ (Wan and Dozier, 1996;~~,~~ Snyder et al.,~~.~~ 1998;~~,~~ Wang and Liang, 2009;~~,~~ Yu et al.,~~.~~ 2011;~~,~~ Cao et al.,~~.~~ 2016).

The MODIS Multi-Angle Implementation of Atmospheric Correction (MAIAC) AOD product, with ~~is used that has~~ a 1-km spatial resolution and~~with daily~~ global coverage, is used. This product~~. It~~ was retrieved by virtue of a time series analysis and a combination of pixel- and image-based processing to improve the accuracies of cloud detection, aerosol retrievals, and atmospheric correction (Lyapustin et al.,~~.~~ 2011a, 2011b, 2012).

A large volume of meteorological data are analyzed, including visibility, surface wind speed, temperature, precipitation, and other parameters every three hours, together with hourly $PM_{2.5}$~~$PM_{2.5}$~~ data in urban and surrounding rural areas. Figure S1 shows the spatial distribution of the meteorological stations. For consistency~~To be consistent~~ with the satellite imaging time (1330~~13:30~~ BJT), ~~the~~ meteorological data and $PM_{2.5}$ ~~$PM_{2.5}$~~ data observed at 1300~~13:00~~ and 1400~~14:00~~ BJT were~~are~~ selected. Due to the lack of long-term records of aerosol concentration, visibility is frequently used as a proxy for aerosol loading (K. Wang et al.,~~.~~ 2009; J.~~,~~ Wu et al.,~~.~~ 2012; X.~~,~~ Yang et al.,~~.~~ 2013).

Validation using Aerosol Robotic Network AOD retrievals shows that the MAIAC and MODIS aerosol retrieval algorithms have similar accuracies over dark and vegetated surfaces and that the MAIAC algorithm generally improves the accuracies of AOD retrievals over bright surfaces such as deserts and urban surfaces (Lyapustin et al., 2011a, 2011b, 2012; Wei et al., 2019c; Z. Zhang et al., 2019). Sounding data and $PM_{2.5}$~~The~~ measurements were available from 2013 to 2015. MAIAC AOD retrievals for each area were averaged to obtain the spatial distribution of AOD over each city, then the difference in AOD between urban and rural areas was calculated.

L-band sounding data were~~are~~ employed~~, that were~~ acquired at the five radiosonde stations in Beijing, Chengdu, Nanjing, Shenyang, and Xi'an operated by the China Meteorological Administration since 2006. They contain ~~the~~ high-resolution profiles of temperature, pressure, relative humidity (RH),~~,~~ and wind speed and direction at 0800 ~~08:00 Beijing time (~~BJT (~~,~~UTC+8) and 2000~~20:00~~ BJT (W. Zhang et al., 2018; Lou et al., 2019). The data quality of radiosonde measurements has been well validated, making the data suitable for studying~~ and is good enough to study~~ the UHI effect (Guo et al., 2016b).

2.2 Extracting urban impervious surfaces and urban contours

Indices commonly used to extract built-up areas include the Difference Built-up Index (DBI), the Index-based Built-Up Index (IBI), and the Normalized Difference Built-up Index (NDBI). Another index, the Soil-adjusted Vegetation Index (SAVI), is a modification of the normalized difference vegetation index that corrects for the influence of soil brightness when the vegetative cover is low (Huete, 1988;~~,~~ Qi et al.,~~.~~ 1994;~~,~~ Rondeaux et al.,~~.~~ 1996). After some tests, the difference $NDBI - SAVI$ was~~were~~ used to extract urban impervious surfaces because of its ability to differentiate urban impervious surfaces from other land-use types:

$$NDBI = \frac{\rho_5 - \rho_4}{\rho_5 + \rho_4}, \qquad (1)$$

$$SAVI = \frac{\rho_4 - \rho_3}{\rho_4 + \rho_2 + L}(1 + L), \qquad (2)$$

where L is the soil adjustment factor whose value is 0.5, and ρ_n is the Landsat reflectance of band n. We then used different thresholds to extract urban impervious surfaces after calculating $NDBI - SAVI$. Results were~~are~~ verified by the Google Earth and a land-use map with a 1:100,000 scale from the Resource and Environment Science Data Center of the Chinese Academy of Sciences.

~~2.3 Research windows~~

Many previous studies have extracted urban areas from nighttime stable-light data. However, the spatial resolution of such data is low, so the extraction accuracy would be significantly affected in urban areas with uneven zoning and in regions with irregular urban development as in most municipalities in China. The TM/ETM+ data were~~are~~ used to accurately extract the physical boundaries of urban areas. The difference in the underlying surfaces of urban and rural areas forms the basis of the urban physical-boundary extraction. Urban surfaces are generally covered by impervious materials, and rural surfaces are mainly covered by natural surfaces. The influence of the UHI is not only felt within the physical boundaries of urban areas but also beyond it. In terms of area, this influence can extend from 2 to ~~2–~~4 times the extent of an urban area. In terms of distance, the influence of the UHI can be felt as far as 3 to ~~–~~6 km away from an urban physical boundary (Zhou et al.,~~,~~ 2015).

For each city, nine research windows (6 km x 6 km each) were selected. The windows include one urban window, four suburban windows, and four rural windows. For the study period considered (2001–2015), the urban window represents an area that remained urban and developed during this time. The suburban windows represent areas that were vegetated before the study period. As cities expanded~~expand~~, these areas were gradually replaced by urban impervious surfaces from 2001 to 2015. The rural windows represent areas that remained vegetated during the study period. These windows were 10 km away from the urban physical boundary to ensure that these windows were not or were weakly affected by the UHI. The elevations of the areas covered by each window are within 100 m of each other for a given city based on DEM (Digital Elevation Model) data. Water bodies are excluded. Figure S2~~S1~~ shows the spatial distribution of the nine research windows for a given city. The UHII is the temperature difference between the average temperature of the urban core window and the average temperature of rural windows, calculated as~~.~~

~~**2.4 Aerosol parameters (AOD, PM2.5)**~~

~~Validation using Aerosol Robotic Network AOD retrievals shows that the MAIAC and MODIS aerosol retrieval algorithms have similar accuracies over dark and vegetated surfaces and that the MAIAC algorithm generally improves the accuracies of AOD retrievals over bright surfaces such as deserts and urban surfaces (Lyapustin, et al. 2011a, 2011b, 2012, Wei et al., 2019c, Zhang et al. 2019). Sounding data and $PM_{2.5}$ measurements were available from 2013 to 2015.~~

$$UHII = \Delta T = T_u - T_r \quad , \qquad (3)$$

where T_u is the average temperature of an urban area, and T_r is the average temperature of the neighboring rural area.

~~MAIAC AOD retrievals for each area were averaged to obtain the spatial distribution of AOD over each city, then the difference of AOD between urban and rural areas was calculated.~~

~~2.5~~2.3 WRF-Chem model simulations~~simulation~~

The model used in this study is WRF-Chem 3.9.1, coupled with a single-layer urban canopy model. As shown in Figure S7~~S6~~, the domain has~~with~~ a horizontal grid resolution of 3 km and 50 vertical levels from the surface to 50 hPa ~~is used~~. To better characterize the PBL~~planetary boundary layer~~, 16 layers are set below 1 km, where~~1km, of which~~ the first layer extends from the surface to ~47 m over ~~is about 47m in~~ Beijing. The~~Meteorological fields are provided by the~~ National Centers for Environmental Prediction Final Analysis data (NCEP-FNL) provided meteorological fields with a~~with~~ 6-h temporal frequency and a 1°×1° spatial resolution. The Goddard Earth Observing System-Chem model provided the~~The~~ chemical lateral boundary and initial conditions. The ~~were provided by GEOS-CHEM model. The land cover is derived from the~~ IGBP-Modified MODIS 20-category Land Use Categories dataset derived the land cover.~~.~~ Monthly 0.25°×0.25° anthropogenic emissions of aerosols and precursors were~~are~~ obtained from the Multi-resolution Emission Inventory for China (MEIC, 2012) (http://www.meicmodel.org), providing~~which provide~~ monthly mean emission data of SO_2, NO_x, CO, NMVOC, NH_3, BC, OC, $PM_{2.5}$, PM_{10}, and CO_2. The~~The biogenic emission data is provided by the~~ Model of Emissions of Gases and Aerosols from Nature provided biogenic emission data ~~(MEGAN)~~ (Guenther et al., 2006;~~,~~ Sakulyanontvittaya et al., 2008). The Fire Inventory from NCAR ~~(FINN)~~ model provided~~provides~~ the biomass burning emission data (Wiedinmyer et al., 2011). The Carbon-Bond Mechanism version Z ~~(CBMZ)~~ chemical mechanism and the Model for Simulating Aerosol Interactions and Chemistry were~~(MOSAIC) are~~ used in simulations ~~this simulation~~ (Zaveri and Peters, 1999;~~,~~ Zaveri et al.,~~.~~ 2008). Table S1 summarizes other~~Other~~ details of schemes used in the simulations ~~are shown in Table S3~~. The simulations are initiated at 1200 UTC 30 June 2015 for summer and 1200 UTC 1~~01~~ January 2015 for winter. The meteorological fields are reinitialized every 48 hours. We conducted four sets of model experiments (Table S2) to investigate the aerosol radiative impact for both summer and winter: (a) A1Summer with the aerosol radiative effect turned on, (b) A0Summer with the aerosol radiative effect turned off, (c) A1Winter with the aerosol radiative effect turned on, and (d) A0Winter with the aerosol radiative effect turned off. To be consistent with the observation analysis, we select clear-day simulations as the analysis time period by excluding the first 3-day simulation for chemistry spin-up (Table S2).

3. The ~~Urban Heat Island (~~UHI~~)~~ effect

Selected for the study were 35 large cities evenly distributed across China. Table S3 lists these cities of different sizes. They represent major and well-developed metropolitan regions in China. The population and urban areas of these cities have increased faster or more dramatically than those of other cities. We used the difference NDBI – SAVI to extract urban impervious surfaces, ~~and~~ then determined urban contours based on the identification of impervious surfaces. Figure 2~~1~~ shows the urban contours of all cities.





Figure 2~~1~~. Main urban contours of the 35 cities. Blue contours outline urban boundaries before or in 2000,~~2001~~ and red contours outline urban boundaries in 2015. The surface height (in meters above sea level) is indicated by color shading.

Figure S3~~S2~~ shows UHII and visibility trends. UHII and visibility have similar trends in~~For~~ most cities~~, no matter~~ before and~~or~~ after 2008. The trends, however, differ pre-~~, there are similar trends between UHII and visibility; and there is an obvious difference between trend before 2008~~ and post-2008.~~trend after 2008 of both UHII and visibility.~~ Figure 3~~2~~ shows the relationships between UHII and visibility based on their respective trends shown in Figure S3~~S2~~. UHII and visibility are grossly positively correlated~~ grossly~~. Higher visibility means a lower aerosol concentration, leading to a higher UHII, and vice-versa. On the other hand, the two may also change in opposite directions if the expansion of a city is more associated with ~~the~~ heavy industry with~~of~~ strong emissions. In such a case, industrial~~the~~ expansion can produce both more aerosol particles, especially secondary aerosols converted from precursor gases, and stronger UHI, but they have no causal relation. This is likely a reason for the diverse relationships between the trends of the two variables. The~~Of course, the~~ complication originates from highly different pathways of city expansions among these cities. The overall positive relationships revealed in Figure 3 attest~~2 may thus serve as a testimony~~ to the ~~dominance of their~~ causal relationship, implying that aerosol loading influences~~does influence~~ the UHII to ~~a~~ varying degrees. Also analyzed was the relationship between RH and UHII. Figure 3b shows that there is a positive correlation between RH and UHII, but it is less significant than the correlation between UHII and visibility (p-value of visibility > RH). Note that not only these two factors affect UHII. Many other factors affect UHII, but this study mainly focuses on the aerosol effect~~degree~~.



(a)
Visibility Trend (km/year)
2
1
0
-1
-2
y = 3.8227x - 0.1519
R = 0.61, p < 0.01
-0.2
-0.1
0
0.1
0.2
UHII Trend (K/year)
(b)
Visibility (km)
30
15
0
y = 0.0215x + 0.4681
R = 0.21, p<0.05
y = 0.8139x + 13.43
R = 0.31, p<0.01
100
50
0
Relative humidity (%)
-1
0
1
2
3
4
5
6
7
UHII (K)



Figure 3~~2~~. (a) Clear-day visibility~~Visibility~~ trend (unit: km yr^{-1}) shown as a function of the UHII trend (K yr^{-1}), and (b) clear-day visibility (unit: km) and relative humidity shown as a function of UHII (unit: K). The period is 2001-2015. The black and green lines ~~blue line~~ is the linear best-fit line through the points. Sample numbers of (a) and (b) are 68 and 510 respectively. The least-squares regression equation is given in each panel. The coefficient correlation (R) and p-value are also given, and all of them pass confidence test in 95%.~~.~~

To~~In order to~~ better investigate the effect of aerosols on the UHII, we calculated the UHII under severe air pollution conditions (i.e., visibility less than 8 km) and compared it with the average UHII. On an annual basis (Fig. S4), the UHII under severe air pollution conditions is lower than the average UHII, suggesting that a high aerosol loading will reduce the UHII. In summer (Fig. 4a), the UHI at 29 of the 35 cities is weaker under polluted conditions. In winter (Fig. 4b), however, the majority of cities (27 out of 35) have a stronger UHI under polluted conditions, suggesting that aerosols enhance the UHII in winter.~~:~~



Figure 4. ~~$MUHII = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n=15} UHII_{m\sim i} - UHII_{p\sim i}}{n}$, (3)~~

~~where $MUHII$ is the difference between the UHII under severe air pollution conditions and the average UHII, n is number of years from 2001 to 2015, i represents a specific year during 2001–2015, $UHII_{m\sim i}$ is the average UHII in year i, and $UHII_{p\sim i}$ is the UHII under severe air pollution conditions in a year i. Figure S3 shows the $MUH11$ at each city under polluted conditions and for all days. On an annual scale, the UHII under severe air pollution conditions is lower than the average UHII, which suggests that a high~~

~~aerosol loading will reduce the UHII. In summer (Figure 3a), the UHI at 29 of the 35 cities is weaker under polluted conditions. In winter (Figure 3b), however, it is just opposite, with the majority (27 out of 35) cities having stronger UHI under polluted conditions, suggesting that aerosols enhance the UHII in winter.~~



~~**Figure 3.**~~ The mean UHII (unit: K) at the 35 cities in (a) summer and (b) winter. Red and blue bars represent UHII calculated using data from all days and from polluted days only, respectively. The overall mean UHII calculated using data from all~~aS4ll~~ days and from polluted days only are shown as red and blue solid lines, respectively.

4. Causes for the opposite impacts of aerosols~~aerosol~~ on the UHI in summer and winter

4.1 Mechanisms of the aerosol impact on the UHI

Aerosols alter the radiation budget by scattering and absorbing solar radiation (Chylek and Coakley, 1974;~~,~~ Chylek and Wong, 1995;~~,~~ Li, 1998). The aerosol radiative effect tends to cool down the surface, warm up the atmosphere, stabilize the PBL, and suppress the dispersion of pollutants in the PBL, incurring ~~to incur~~ a positive feedback (Li et al., 2017a~~. 2017~~). As illustrated in Fig. 5~~Figure 4~~, the UHII may be influenced by both the ~~Spattial Discrepancy of~~ Aerosol Radiative Effect (~~SD-~~ARE) and the suppressed vertical exchange of surface heat fluxes~~, which may be~~ denoted as the Aerosol Dynamic Effect (ADE) because~~DE) for~~ it is related to turbulent dynamics.





Figure 54. Diagram of the mechanisms behind aerosol effects on the UHII. The blue frame contains the processes and interactions between aerosols and the PBL. Red frames contain the processes of the ~~Spatial Discrepancy of~~ Aerosol Radiative Effect (~~SD-~~ARE) and the Aerosol Dynamic Effect (ADE). Solid~~DE), respectively. The solid~~ arrows denote ~~the~~ direct effects~~effect~~, while the dashed arrow indicates the indirect effect.

The *ARE: The increasing difference*~~*Spatial Discrepancy*~~ of aerosols~~*Aerosol Radiative Effect (SD-different ARE*~~ between the urban and rural areas will reduce more ~~*): The varying amount of*~~ solar radiation to urban~~reaching the~~ ground than~~between urban and~~ rural ground, which~~areas~~ influences the rise of the LST because~~and thus changes UHII, as a result~~ of different aerosol loading and properties between urban and rural areas. The above process reduces the temperature difference between urban and rural areas, and thus reduces UHII, This process usually has a negative effect on UHII, and it belongs to aerosol directly radiative effect~~UHIIly~~.

The *ADE:*~~*Dynamic Effect (DE):*~~ On the other hand, an aerosol-induced temperature inversion (especially in winter) within the PBL (R. Zhang et al.~~,~~ 2014; J.~~,~~ Li et al.~~,~~ 2015; Z.~~,~~ Li et al., 2017a~~. 2017~~) renders a very stable PBL that inhibits~~to inhibit~~ vertical and horizontal airflows and surface heat fluxes (latent/sensible heat) between urban areas and rural areas (Petäjä et al.~~,~~ 2016). In addition to a temperature-inversion-induced stable PBL, air pollution is usually accompanied by low wind speeds (particularly < 2m s^{-1}), also favorable to both heat accumulation and storage. Urban surfaces can store more heat, which affects ~~the~~ UHII. This process usually has a positive effect on UHII, and it affects heat exchange mainly through turbulence mixing.

Compared with rural areas, urban impervious surfaces have a low thermal capacity, so ~~and~~ their temperatures are thus more sensitive to heat changes. Note that the ARE~~DE~~ and ADE~~SNA-RE~~ are not independent and that there is an indirect effect between them due to potential urban-rural circulations~~circulation~~.

4.2 Analyses of influential~~Influential~~ factors

4.2.1 Analyses for ARE

Urban-rural differences in air quality: Urban~~The urban~~-rural differences in air quality were analyzed by calculating the spatial differences in $PM_{2.5}$~~of *$PM_{2.5}$*~~ and AOD under cloudless conditions between urban and rural areas. Their spatial differences ~~are then analyzed~~ between summer and winter were also analyzed.~~:~~

Measurements~~The measurements~~ of urban $PM_{2.5}$ ~~*$PM_{2.5}$*~~ concentrations were divided into four categories: 0–50, 50–100, 100–150, and > 150 μg m^{-3} based~~^{3}based~~ on urban pollution levels. Figure 6~~5~~ shows ~~the~~ mean urban-rural differences in each $PM_{2.5}$ concentration bin of all cities. On average, the spatial difference in summer is larger than in winter across all $PM_{2.5}$ concentration bins. Five zones were selected based on the distance to the urban geometric center of all cities: Zone 1: 0–10 km, Zone 2: 11–20 km, Zone 3: 21–30 km, Zone 4: 31–40 km, and Zone 5: 41–50 km, then the average AOD for each zone was calculated. Figure 7~~concentration bins. Figure 6~~ shows the variation trends of mean AOD as a function of distance from the urban geometrical center of each city in winter and summer. As the distance from the urban geometrical center increases, summertime AODs decrease more rapidly than wintertime AODs.

~~Both~~ Figures 6~~5~~ and 7~~6~~ indicate that the spatial difference in~~of~~ air pollution between urban and rural areas in summer is larger than that in winter. Moreover, in summer, urban pollution is often more serious than rural pollution. -In winter, pollution in both urban and~~, in particular,~~ rural areas~~pollution~~ is severe. Many factors (e.g., $PM_{2.5}$ emissions, transportation~~serious~~, and diffusion) may cause the seasonal difference in~~rural may be more serious than~~ urban-rural differences (Jiang et al., 2019).~~.~~



Figure 6~~5~~. Summertime (red curve~~curves~~) and wintertime (black curve~~curves~~) urban-rural $PM_{2.5}$ concentration mean differences (unit: μg m^{-3})~~ differences~~ of all cities across four $PM_{2.5}$ concentration bins: 0–50, 50–100, 100–150, and > 150 μg m^{-3}.



Figure 7~~6~~. Mean AOD as a function of distance from the urban geometrical center of all cities in winter (red curve~~curves~~ with open circles) and summer (black curve~~curves~~ with open circles~~crosses~~). The distance ranges are < 10 km, 11–20 km, 21–31 km, 31–40 km, and 41–50 km from the urban geometrical center. Error bars are shown.

UHII response to variation of visibility: Figure S5 shows the relationship between UHII and visibility difference. For most cities, higher visibility difference causes smaller UHII in summer, while UHII barely changes as visibility difference change in winter. This result indicates that UHII is more sensitive for visibility difference in summer than winter, namely, the ARE has an obvious effect in summer, but it is very weak in winter.

The results of section 4.2.1 indicate that ARE is more significant in summer than in winter.

4.2.2 Analyses for ADE

Air stability within~~inside~~ the PBL: Wind affects the heat exchange between urban and rural areas. Regardless~~, regardless~~ of wind direction, high wind speeds favor the~~speed favors~~ urban-rural heat exchange and reduce~~reduces~~ the UHII, while low wind speeds~~speed~~ decrease the urban-rural heat exchange and enhance UHII. Mean~~The means of~~ wind speeds were~~speed are~~ computed in urban and rural areas in summer and winter, under polluted and clean conditions (Figure 8 based on Figure S6).~~7).~~ As~~ is~~ expected, the mean wind speed under polluted conditions is lower than that under clean conditions, especially in winter when the difference is 1.1 ms^{-1} versus~~v.s.~~ 0.6 ms^{-1} in summer. This suggests~~result indicates~~ that the urban-rural exchange in summer is stronger than that in winter.





Figure 8~~7~~. Comparison of average wind speeds~~speed~~ (unit: m s^{-1}) of 35 cities between urban and rural areas under heavy air pollution (white bars) and clean conditions (hatched~~dark~~ bars) in summer and winter~~, respectively~~.

Vertical temperature gradients affect the stability of the atmosphere, surface heat fluxes (especially sensible heat),~~)~~ and vertical turbulence. Figure 9~~8~~ shows the vertical temperature profiles at five cities in different seasons under polluted and clean conditions. Note that there are~~ much~~ fewer sounding stations than general surface meteorological stations in China. The vertical temperature gradient is weaker under polluted conditions than under clean conditions, so ~~the~~ vertical mixing is weaker. This phenomenon is also more pronounced in winter than in summer. For Nanjing, both aerosols and meteorological conditions may affect the temperature gradient in winter because the large difference of surface temperature between clean and polluted conditions. The temperature gradient within the ~~planetary boundary layer (~~PBL~~)~~ under polluted conditions generally decreases more sharply~~drastically~~ than under clean conditions, except at Chengdu,~~ that is~~ located in the Sichuan Basin. The temperature lapse rate is the smallest under polluted conditions in winter (Figure 10~~9~~). These results suggest that~~ compared with clean conditions,~~ vertical airflow and surface heat release under polluted conditions are lessened more significantly in winter than in summer.


Beijing-Summer
(a)
Beijing-Winter
(b)
Chengdu-Summer
(c)
Chengdu-Winter
(d)
Nanjing-Summer
(e)
Nanjing-Winter
(f)
Shenyang-Summer
(g)
Shenyang-Winter
(h)
Xi'an-Summer
(i)
Xi'an-Winter
(j)
Altitude (km)
Temperature (°C)
PBLH-Polluted
PBLH-Clean
polluted
clean
T-redution per 100m under 1.5km - Summer
(k)
Polluted
Clean
T reduction (K)
Beijing
Chengdu
Nanjing
Shenyang
Xi'an
Cities
T-redution per 100m under 1.5km - Winter
(l)



Figure 9~~8~~. Mean vertical temperature profiles (vertical curves, unit: ℃) at five cities in different seasons ~~and~~ under polluted (black) and clean (green) conditions. Mean~~The mean~~ PBL heights~~ (PBLH)~~ under polluted and clean conditions are also shown (black and green horizontal lines, respectively). Panels (a), (c), (e), (g), and (i) show summertime results, and panels (d), (f), (h) and (j) show wintertime results. Panels (k) and (l) show temperature reductions below 1.5 km [unit: K~~ ℃~~ (100 m)$^{-1}$] under polluted (hatched~~dark~~ bars) and clean (white bars) conditions in summer and winter, respectively.



Figure 10. Average~~**9.** The average~~ temperature reductions below 1.5 km [unit: K (100 m)$^{-1}$] from~~of~~ sounding observations at~~of~~ five cities ~~below 1.5 km [unit: K (100 m)$^{-1}$]~~ under polluted (hatched~~dark~~ bars) and clean (white bars) conditions in summer and winter. The red arrows mean the change ranges from clean conditions to polluted conditions, the blue arrow mean the change range from summer-polluted condition to winter-polluted condition~~, respectively~~.

Seasonal differences in air stability in~~ the~~ urban and rural areas may be summarized as follows. Under polluted conditions, both horizontal and vertical exchanges decrease inside the PBL, thus weakening~~weakens~~ the heat exchange and pollution dispersion. However, this effect is much stronger in winter than in summer. In winter, the airflow significantly weakens with ~~the~~ increasing pollution, stabilizing the PBL~~ becomes very stable~~, and significantly decreasing heat exchanges within~~significantly decrease inside~~ the PBL.

~~*UHII response to variation of visibility:* Figure S5 shows the relationship between UHII and visibility difference. For most cities, higher visibility difference causes smaller UHII in summer, while UHII barely changes as visibility difference change in winter. This result indicates that UHII is more sensitive for visibility difference in summer than winter, namely, the SD-ARE has an obvious effect in summer, but it is very weak in winter.~~

The results of section 4.2.2 indicate that ADE is more significant in winter than in summer.

In summary, the above analyses suggest~~indicate~~ that the two mechanisms behave differently ~~roles~~ in summer and winter. In summer, the ~~SD~~ ARE plays a more important role than the ADE~~DE~~ to change the UHII, while the importance of the two mechanisms is opposite in winter.

4.3 Testing the mechanisms through~~by~~ modeling

We evaluate ~~the~~ simulated aerosol and meteorological properties with surface $PM_{2.5}$ observations~~observation~~ and sounding data (Figs.~~Figure S6,~~ S7, S8, and 11~~10~~). Figure S7~~S6~~ shows that ~~the~~ simulated ~~$PM_{2.5}$~~ near-surface $PM_{2.5}$~~get high~~ concentrations are highest in~~at the~~ regions south and east of Beijing~~ and east to Beijing~~, in general agreement with observations.~~the observation.~~ The temporal variations of simulated and observed $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations have consistent trends at ~~as observation for~~ most stations (Fig. S8~~Figure S7~~). The vertical profiles of temperature, RH, and wind speed~~speeds~~ also agree with sounding observations (Fig. 11). In general~~the sound in observation. Therefore~~, the simulation results appear~~are~~ sound~~ in general~~.



Figure 11. Vertical profiles~~**10.** The vertical profile comparisons~~ of temperature (unit: ℃), RH (unit: %), U-wind speed (U; unit: m ~~/~~s^{-1}), V-wind speed (V; unit: m ~~/~~s^{-1}) and wind speed (WS; unit: m ~~/~~s^{-1}) at (a) 1200 UTC 8 July 2015~~20150708 12:00 BJT in summer~~ and (b) 1200 UTC 7 January 2015. Red~~20150107 12:00 BJT in winter. The red~~ lines are simulation results, and~~the~~ black lines are observations~~observation data~~.

Figure 12~~11~~ depicts the averaged diurnal variations of UHII differences (ΔUHII) between UHII with aerosol radiation effect (ARE) and UHII without ARE, with negative values meaning the reduction of UHII by aerosols ~~reduce UHII~~ and positive values showing the opposite. In summer (Figure 12~~11~~ a), aerosols reduce UHII throughout all day; but in winter (Figure 12~~11~~ b), aerosols enhance UHII in the afternoon. This shows~~These results are consistent with~~ the effect of aerosols on UHII on a daily scale, supporting Fig. 4~~observational results shown in Figure 3~~. The averaged diurnal variation of downward shortwave radiation at the surface (SWDOWN) between urban and rural areas shows that the SWDOWN difference ~~between urban and rural areas in summer~~ is larger than that in winter (Figure S9~~S8~~). The results in Figure S7~~S6~~ and S9~~S8~~ indicate that the spatial difference of air pollution in summer is larger than that in winter, and the wintertime pollution is more serious than summertime pollution, which is consistent with observational results shown in Figures ~~5~~-6-7. Figure 13~~S9~~ shows that~~the model simulated temperature reductions below 1.5 km, suggesting~~ the ARE is more significant on the temperature lapse rate in winter than that in summer in both urban and rural areas. Moreover, the temperature lapse rate in summer is far larger~~more~~ than that in winter. They are also consistent with the observational results shown in Figure 10~~9~~.


Δ UHII(Radiation Effect-No Radiation Effect)
(a) Summer
ΔUHII (K)
0.5
0
-0.5
8
10
12
14
16
18
20
Hour of the Day (BJT: 20150706-20150710)
Δ UHII(Radiation Effect-No Radiation Effect)
(b) Winter
ΔUHII (K)
0.5
0
-0.5
8
10
12
14
16
18
20
Hour of the Day (BJT: 20150107-20150110)



Figure 12. Average~~11. The average~~ diurnal variations in ~~variation of~~ UHII differences (ΔUHII; ~~*UHII*~~ unit: K)~~°C)~~ between UHII with and without including ARE ~~and UHII without ARE~~ for typical days in (a) summer (averaged over 6–10 July 2015) and (b) winter (averaged over 7–10 January 2015).~~.~~



Figure 13. Temperature reductions from model simulations below 1.5 km [unit: K (100 m)$^{-1}$] without ARE (white bars) and with ARE (hatched bars) for typical days in summer (green) and winter (black) in (a) urban areas and (b) rural areas.

5. Conclusion and discussion~~discussions~~

The urban heat island intensity (UHII) is investigated using long-term satellite~~Satellite~~, ground-based, and sounding data ~~and WRF-Chem mods were used to analyze the UHII~~ under different environmental conditions from severely polluted to~~and~~ clean conditions at 35 cities in China aiming at understanding the impact of aerosols on the UHII. The impact is found to be opposite~~. Seasonal differences in UHII~~ between summer and winter. ~~were also compared.~~ On an annual basis, aerosols reduce the UHII, ~~which is~~ consistent with previous studies~~work~~ (Wu et al.,~~.~~ 2017). Aerosols lessens~~In summer, aerosols reduce~~ the UHII in summer~~,~~ but strengthens it in winter. The opposite effects are explained by two distinct roles of ~~in winter,~~ aerosols, namely, ~~enhance the UHII. Furthermore, we used~~ the ~~concepts of the Spatial Discrepancy in~~ Aerosol Radiative Effect (~~SD-~~ARE) and Aerosol~~the~~ Dynamic Effect (ADE) based on our analyses ~~DE) to explain how aerosols influence the UHII in different seasons. We then verified The mechanisms by means~~ of extensive observational data from satellite ~~analyses~~ and ground, which is further reinforced by model simulations. The ARE refers to the reduction of surface solar radiation by aerosol which lowers surface temperature, whereas the ADE is concerned with the dispersion of heat associated with any change in

~~In summer,~~ airflow due to aerosol-induced changes in atmospheric stability.

In summer, aerosols do not have much impact on airflow~~slightly~~ within the PBL in urban area.~~under polluted conditions.~~ There is ~~still~~ a strong heat ~~release and heat~~ exchange between urban and rural areas in both polluted and clean conditions. As such, the ADE is weak in summer, but the ARE is strong because aerosol loadings is much higher in~~, so the dynamic effect is weak. The spatial discrepancy in aerosol radiative effect differs between urban and rural areas because of the inhomogeneous spatial distribution of air pollution between these two areas. Since urban pollution is often more severe than rural pollution, less solar radiation reaches~~ urban areas than in rural areas. The reductions of surface solar radiation and~~urban~~ temperature are a lot more~~enhancement is thus weaker under polluted conditions~~ than those in rural areas, which helps lessen~~clean ones, weakening~~ the UHII. Figure 14a~~12a~~ shows a diagram of how aerosols influence the UHII in summer.

In winter, the ~~spatial discrepancy in~~ aerosol effects on PBL stability is dominant over the ARE~~radiative~~ effect because~~is weak but the dynamic effect is significant under polluted conditions in winter. Concerning the spatial discrepancy in aerosol radiative effect,~~ the spatial difference in~~of~~ air pollution between urban and rural areas is small (i.e., the differences of ARE between urban and rural areas are similar from clean to polluted condition). This means that~~, and~~ urban and rural areas likely experience the same severe pollution, heating~~this heats~~ the atmosphere and reducing~~reduces~~ the ~~similar amount of~~ solar radiation reaching the surfaces of the urban and rural areas by a similar amount. Whereas through ADE, the PBL is more stabilized in polluted conditions~~urban and rural ground. Concerning the dynamic effect~~, airflow intensity and temperature gradients significantly decrease, weakening the heat exchange~~stabilizing the PBL and weakening the heat release and heat exchange. Since pollution~~

~~conditions in both urban and rural areas are similar, the spatial discrepancy in aerosol radiative effect is not a major factor causing higher UHIIs. the dynamic effect weakens airflow, reducing temperature gradients significantly, which in turn, reduces the heat exchange between urban and rural areas and the surface heat release. Increasing heat thus accumulates in urban areas, thereby increasing the UHII. Figure 12b shows a diagram of how aerosols influence the UHII in winter.~~

~~Our analysis shows the seasonally different effects of aerosols on the UHII and explains the different mechanisms in different seasons, and the mechanism summary is shown~~ in both vertical and horizontal directions. Heat is thus accumulated in urban areas, enhancing the UHII. Figure 14b illustrates how aerosols influence the UHII in winter.

~~tables at the bottom of Figures 12a and 12b.~~ Although this study comprehensively investigates some ~~explains potential~~ aerosol effects, there may exist other effects ~~may be at play~~ such as differences in~~land surface and~~ aerosol properties (e.g., absorbing versus scattering aerosols) between urban and rural areas. This~~). More work~~ needs further examination but infeasible at present due to a lack of~~to be done to verify this. Additionally, this study analyzed~~ observations between urban and rural regions. While the~~made at 35 cities located in China and some results of a few cities that were at odds with generalized~~ findings reported here are generally true in the majority of the 35 cities, they are not exclusively true at all~~; the different results in some~~ cities ~~may be~~ due to their~~the~~ unique characteristics ~~of these cities~~ regarding their location, terrain, climatic background, among other factors. ~~etc. This warrants further investigations.~~



Figure 14. The impact of the ARE and the ADE on UHII in summer (a) and winter (b) by altering radiation (yellow arrows) and heat exchange (brown arrows) on thermal contrast between urban and rural

areas. Note the difference in aerosol loading between summer and winter and between urban and rural areas.



~~**Figure 12** Aerosol effects on the UHII in (a) summer and (b) winter under polluted conditions. The background brightness indicates pollution intensity. Yellow arrows show the solar radiation, and their~~

~~width indicates the amount of solar radiation to the ground. Green arrows denote heat, and their width indicates heat intensity. Black points and arrows show the process of aerosol-radiation interaction. Temperature profiles reflect the vertical temperature gradient under clean and polluted conditions. The plus sign means positive effect, while the minus sign means a negative effect.~~

Data availability

~~The~~ Landsat data, MODIS LST, and MAIAC AOD can be download from https://search.earthdata.nasa.gov/. Hourly $PM_{2.5}$ data is published in real time by the China National Environmental Monitoring Center (~~(CNEMC,~~ http://www.cnemc.cn/). Meteorological fields are available from the~~).~~ National Centers for Environmental Prediction~~/National Weather Service/NOAA/U.S. Department of Commerce (2000): NCEP~~ FNL Operational Model Global Tropospheric Analyses, continuing from July 1999,~~.~~ Research Data Archive at the National Center for Atmospheric Research, Computational and Information Systems Laboratory, accessed~~. Dataset. Accessed~~ 22 February~~Feb.~~ 2019 (~~.~~https://doi.org/10.5065/D6M043C6).~~.~~

Author contributions

All authors made substantial contributions to this work. WCH~~HWC~~ and ZQL~~LZQ~~ designed this research. WCH~~HWC~~ conducted the analyses and wrote the draft under the supervision of ZQL. ZQL~~LZQ. LZQ~~ reviewed and edited this paper. WF and YWZ~~ZYW~~ conducted the WRF-Chem simulations~~simulation~~ and helped ~~to~~ edit this paper. JPG~~GJP~~ and TNS~~STN~~ provided some~~part of~~ datasets and helped ~~to~~ edit this paper. MC reviewed and edited this paper. JWF gave many suggestions about this study. TMC~~CTM~~ and JW~~WJ~~ provided some~~part of~~ datasets. SSL~~LSS~~ gave many suggestions about model simulations.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgements

This study has been supported by the National Key R&D Program of China (2017YFC1501702), the National Nature Science Foundation of China (91544217 and 41771399), the U.S. National Science Foundation (AGS1534670),~~)~~ and the U.S. Department of Energy Office of Science Early Career Award Program.

References

Ackerman, B., Changnon, S., Dzurisin, G., Gatz, D. L., and Grosh, R. C.:~~.):~~ Summary of METROMEX. Volume~~, volume~~ 2: Causes~~causes~~ of precipitation anomalies, Illinois State Water Survey, Urbana, Bulletin 63, https://www.isws.illinois.edu/pubdoc/B/ISWSB-63.pdf, 1978.

Bornstein, R.~~,~~ and Lin, Q.: Urban heat islands and summertime convective thunderstorms in Atlanta: three case studies, Atmos. Environ.,~~Atmospheric Environment,~~ 34(3),~~):~~ 507–~~-~~516, https://doi.org/10.1016/S1352-2310(99)00374-X, 2000.

Cao, C., Lee, X., Liu, S., Schultz, N., Xiao, W., Zhang, M., and Zhao, L.: Urban heat islands in China enhanced by haze pollution, Nature Comm.,~~communications ,~~ 7(1), 1–~~-~~7, https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms12509, 2016.

Carrió, G. G. and Cotton, W.: Urban growth and aerosol effects on convection over Houston. Part II: Dependence of aerosol effects on instability, Atmos. Res.,~~Atmospheric research,~~ 102(1),~~):~~ 167–~~-~~174, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosres.2011.06.022, 2011.

Carrió, G. G., Cotton, W. R., and Cheng, W. Y. Y.: Urban growth and aerosol effects on convection over Houston.~~:~~ Part I: The August 2000 case, Atmos. Res.,~~Atmospheric Research,~~ 96(4),~~):~~ 560–~~-~~574, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosres.2010.01.005, 2010.

Changnon, S. A. and Westcott, N. E.: Heavy rainstorms in Chicago: increasing frequency, altered impacts, and future implications, J. Am. Water Resour. As., 38(5), 1467–1475, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1752-1688.2002.tb04359.x, 2002.

Changnon, S. A., Huff, F. A., Schickedanz, P. T., and Vogel, J. L.: Summary of METROMEX.~~,~~ Volume 1: Weather anomalies and impacts, Illinois State Water Survey, Urbana, Bulletin 62, https://www.isws.illinois.edu/pubdoc/B/ISWSB-62.pdf, 1977.

Changnon, S. A., Shealy, R. T., and Scott, R. W.: Precipitation changes in fall, winter, and spring caused by St. Louis, J. Appl. Meteor.,~~Journal of Applied Meteorology,~~ 30(1),~~):~~ 126–~~-~~134, https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0450(1991)030<0126:PCIFWA>2.0.CO;2, 1991.

~~Changnon, S. A., and Westcott, N. E.: Heavy rainstorms in Chicago: Increasing frequency, altered impacts, and future implications, JAWRA Journal of the American Water Resources Association, 38(5): 1467-1475, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1752-1688.2002.tb04359.x, 2002.~~

Chapman, E. G., Gustafson Jr, W. I., Easter, R. C., Barnard, J. C., Ghan, S. J., Pekour, M. S., and Fast, J. D.: Coupling aerosol-cloud-radiative processes in the WRF-Chem model: investigating~~Investigating~~ the radiative impact of elevated point sources, Atmos. Chem. Phys.,~~Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics,~~ 9(3),~~):~~ 945–~~-~~964, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-9-945-2009, 2009.

Chen, F., ~~and Dudhia, J.: Coupling an advanced land surface–hydrology model with the Penn State–NCAR MM5 modeling system. Part I: Model implementation and sensitivity, Monthly Weather Review, 129(4): 569-585, https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0493(2001)129<0569:CAALSH>2.0.CO;2, 2001.~~

~~Chen, F.,~~ Kusaka, H., Bornstein, R., Ching, J., Grimmond, C., Grossman-~~ - ~~Clarke, S., Loridan, T., Manning, K. W., Martilli, A., and Miao, S.: The integrated WRF/urban modelling system: development, evaluation, and applications to urban environmental problems, Int. J. Climatol.,~~International Journal of Climatology,~~ 31(2),~~):~~ 273–~~-~~288, https://doi.org/10.1002/joc.2158, 2011.

~~Chen, S. H., and Sun, W. Y.: A one-dimensional time dependent cloud model, Journal of the Meteorological Society of Japan. Ser. II, 80(1): 99-118, https://doi.org/10.2151/jmsj.80.99, 2002.~~

Chýlek, P.~~.,~~ and Coakley, J. A.: Aerosols and climate, Science, 183(4120),~~):~~ 75–~~-~~77, https://doi.org/10.1126/science.183.4120.75, 1974.

Chýlek~~Chylek~~, P.~~.,~~ and Wong, J.: Effect of absorbing aerosols on global radiation budget, ~~Geophysical research letters,~~Geophys. Res. Lett., 22(8),~~):~~ 929–~~-~~931, https://doi.org/10.1029/95GL00800, 1995.

Cohen, A. J., Brauer, M., Burnett, R., Anderson, H. R., Frostad, J., Estep, K., Balakrishnan, K., Brunekreef, B., Dandona, L., Dandona, R., and Feigin, V.: Estimates and 25-year trends of the global burden of disease attributable to ambient air pollution: an analysis of data from the Global Burden of Diseases Study 2015, The Lancet, 389(10082),~~):~~ 1907–~~-~~1918, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(17)30505-6, 2017.

Ding, A. J., Huang, X., Nie, W., Sun, J. N., Kerminen, V. M., Petäjä, T., et al.: Enhanced haze pollution by black carbon in megacities in China, Geophys. Res. Lett.,~~Geophysical Research Letters,~~ 43(6), 2873–~~-~~2879, https://doi.org/10.1002/2016GL067745, 2016.

Fallmann, J., Forkel, R., and Emeis, S.: Secondary effects of urban heat island mitigation measures on air quality, Atmos. Environ.,~~Atmospheric Environment,~~ 125,~~:~~ 199–~~-~~211, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2015.10.094, 2016.

Fan, J., Leung, L. R., Rosenfeld, D., Chen, Q., Li, Z., Zhang, J., and Yan, H.: Microphysical effects determine macrophysical response for aerosol impacts on deep convective clouds, P. Natl~~Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences~~. Acad. Sci. USA, 110(48),~~):~~ E4581-E4590, https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1316830110, 2013.

Gedzelman, S. D., Austin, S., Cermak, R., Stefano, N., Partridge, S., Quesenberry, S., and Robinson, D. A.: Mesoscale aspects of the urban heat island around New York City, Theor. Appl. Climatol.,~~Theoretical and Applied Climatology,~~ 75(1),~~):~~ 29–~~-~~42, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00704-002-0724-2, 2003.

Givati, A.~~.,~~ and Rosenfeld, D.: Quantifying precipitation suppression due to air pollution, J. Appl. Meteorol.,~~Journal of Applied Meteorology,~~ 43(7),~~):~~ 1038–~~-~~1056, https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0450(2004)043<1038:QPSDTA>2.0.CO;2, 2004.

Grell, G. A., Peckham, S. E., Schmitz, R., McKeen, S. A., Frost, G., Skamarock, W. C., and Eder, B.: Fully coupled "online" chemistry within the WRF model, Atmos. Environ.,~~Atmospheric Environment,~~ 39(37),~~):~~ 6957–~~-~~6975, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2005.04.027, 2005.

Grimmond, S~~. U. E~~.: Urbanization and global environmental change: local effects of urban warming, The Geographical Journal, 173(1),~~):~~ 83–~~-~~88, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1475-4959.2007.232_3.x, 2007.

Guenther, A., Karl, T., Harley, P., Wiedinmyer, C., Palmer, P. I., and Geron, C.: Estimates of global terrestrial isoprene emissions using MEGAN (Model of Emissions of Gases and Aerosols from Nature), Atmos. Chem. Phys.,~~Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics,~~ 6,~~.11:~~ 3181–~~-~~3210, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-6-3181-2006, 2006.

Guo, J., Deng, M., Lee, S. S., Wang, F., Li, Z., Zhai, P., Liu, H., Lv, W., Yao, W., and Li, X.: Delaying precipitation and lightning by air pollution over the Pearl River Delta. Part I: Observational analyses, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 121,~~Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 121:~~ 6472–6488, https://doi.org/10.1002/2015JD023257, 2016a.

Guo, J., Miao, Y., Zhang, Y., Liu, H., Li, Z., Zhang, W., He, J., Lou, M., Yan, Y., Bian, L., and Zhai, P.: The climatology of planetary boundary layer height in China derived from radiosonde and reanalysis data, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 16, 13,309–13,319~~Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 16: 13309–13319~~, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-16-13309-2016, 2016b.

Guo, J., Liu, H., Li, Z., Rosenfeld, D., Jiang, M., Xu, W., Jiang, J. H., He, J., Chen, D., Min, M., and Zhai, P.: Aerosol-induced changes in the vertical structure of precipitation: a perspective of TRMM precipitation radar, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 18, 13,329–13,343~~Atmospheic Chemistry and Physics, 18: 13329–13343~~, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-18-13329-2018, 2018.

Han, J. Y., Baik, J. J., and Khain, A. P.: A numerical study of urban aerosol impacts on clouds and precipitation, J. Atmos. Sci.,~~Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences,~~ 69(2),~~):~~ 504–~~–~~520, https://doi.org/10.1175/JAS-D-11-071.1, 2012.

Han, J. Y., Baik, J. J., and Lee, H.: Urban impacts on precipitation, Asia-Pac. J. Atmos. Sci.,~~Pacific Journal of Atmospheric Sciences,~~ 50(1),~~):~~ 17–~~–~~30, https://doi.org/10.1007/s13143-014-0016-7, 2014.

Heilig, G. K.: World urbanization prospects: the 2011 revision, United Nations, Department of Economic and Social Affairs (DESA), Population Division, Population Estimates and Projections Section, New York, 14, 2012.

~~Hong, S. Y., Noh, Y., and Dudhia, J.: A new vertical diffusion package with an explicit treatment of entrainment processes, Monthly Weather Review, 134(9): 2318-2341, https://doi.org/10.1175/MWR3199.1, 2006.~~

Huete, A. R.: A soil-adjusted vegetation index (SAVI), Remote Sens. Environ.,~~Sensing of Environment,~~ 25(3),~~):~~ 295–~~–~~309, https://doi.org/10.1016/0034-4257(88)90106-x, 1988.

~~Iacono, M. J., Delamere, J. S., Mlawer, E. J., Shephard, M. W., Clough, S. A., & Collins, W. D.: Radiative forcing by long - lived greenhouse gases: Calculations with the AER radiative transfer models,~~

~~Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 113(D13), https://doi.org/10.1029/2008JD009944, 2008.~~

Jáuregui, E.~~,~~ and Romales, E.: Urban effects on convective precipitation in Mexico City, Atmos. Environ.,~~Atmospheric Environment,~~ 30(20),~~):~~ 3383–~~-~~3389, https://doi.org/ 10.1016/1352-2310(96)00041-6, 1996.

Jiang, Y. C., Yang, Y. J., Wang, H., Li, Y. B., Gao, Z. Q., and Zhao, C.: Urban-rural differences in $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations in the representative cities of China during 2015–2018, China Environ. Sci., 39(11), 4552–4560, https://doi.org/10.19674/j.cnki.issn1000-6923.2019.0530, 2019.

~~Kain, J. S.: The Kain–Fritsch convective parameterization: an update, Journal of Applied Meteorology, 43(1): 170-181, https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0450(2004)043<0170:TKCPAU>2.0.CO;2, 2004.~~

~~Kain, J. S., and Fritsch J. M.: A one-dimensional entraining/detraining plume model and its application in convective parameterization, Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 47(23): 2784-2802, https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0469(1990)047<2784:AODEPM>2.0.CO;2, 1990.~~

~~Kain, J. S., and Fritsch, J. M.: Convective parameterization for mesoscale models: The Kain-Fritsch scheme, The representation of cumulus convection in numerical models, Springer, 165-170, https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-935704-13-3_16, 1993.~~

Kalnay, E.~~,~~ and Cai, M.: Impact of urbanization and land-use change on climate, Nature, 423(6939),~~):~~ 528–~~-~~531, https://doi.org/10.1038/nature01675, 2003.

Kaspersen, P. S., Ravn, N. H., Arnbjerg-Nielsen, K., Madsen, H., and Drews, M.: Influence of urban land cover changes and climate change for the exposure of European cities to flooding during high-intensity precipitation, P. Int. Ass. Hydrol. Sci., 370, 21–~~Proceedings of the International Association of Hydrological Sciences (IAHS), 370: 21-~~27, https://doi.org/10.5194/piahs-370-21-2015, 2015.

Khain, A., Rosenfeld, D., and Pokrovsky, A.: Aerosol impact on the dynamics and microphysics of deep convective clouds, Q. J. Roy. Meteor. Soc.,~~Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society,~~ 131(611),~~):~~ 2639–~~-~~2663, https://doi.org/10.1256/qj.04.62, 2005.

Kim, Y. H.~~,~~ and Baik, J. J.: Maximum urban heat island intensity in Seoul, J. Appl. Meteor.,~~Journal of Applied Meteorology,~~ 41(6),~~):~~ 651–~~-~~659, https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0450(2002)041<0651:MUHIII>2.0.CO;2, 2002.

Kug, J. S.~~,~~ and Ahn, M. S.: Impact of urbanization on recent temperature and precipitation trends in the Korean peninsula, Asia-Pac. J. Atmos. Sci.,~~Pacific Journal of Atmospheric Sciences,~~ 49(2),~~):~~ 151–~~-~~159, https://doi.org/10.1007/s13143-013-0016-z, 2013.

~~Kusaka, H., and Kimura, F.: Coupling a single-layer urban canopy model with a simple atmospheric model: Impact on urban heat island simulation for an idealized case, Journal of the Meteorological Society of Japan. Ser. II, 82(1): 67-80, https://doi.org/10.2151/jmsj.82.67, 2004.~~

~~Kusaka, H., Kondo, H., Kikegawa, Y., and Kimura, F.: A simple single-layer urban canopy model for atmospheric models: Comparison with multi-layer and slab models, Boundary-layer meteorology, 101(3): 329-358, https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1019207923078, 2001.~~

Lai, L.-W.: The influence of urban heat island phenomenon on PM concentration: an observation study during the summer half-year in metropolitan Taipei, Taiwan, Theor. Appl. Climatol.,~~Theoretical and Applied Climatology,~~ 131(1-2), 227–~~-~~243, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00704-016-1975-7, 2016.

Lee, S. S.~~,~~ and Feingold, G.: Aerosol effects on the cloud-field properties of tropical convective clouds, Atmos. Chem. Phys.,~~Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics,~~ 13(14),~~):~~ 6713–~~-~~6726, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-13-6713-2013, 2013.

Li, J., Chen, H., Li, Z., Wang, P., Cribb, M., and Fan, X.: Low-level temperature inversions and their effect on aerosol condensation nuclei concentrations under different large-scale synoptic circulations, Adv. Atmos. Sci.,~~Advances in Atmospheric Sciences,~~ 32(7),~~):~~ 898–~~-~~908, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00376-014-4150-z, 2015.

Li, Z.: Influence of absorbing aerosols on the inference of solar surface radiation budget and cloud absorption, J.~~Journal of~~ Climate, 11(1),~~):~~ 5–~~-~~17, https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0442(1998)011<0005:IOAAOT>2.0.CO;2, 1998.

Li, Z., Niu, F., Fan, J., Liu, Y., Rosenfeld, D., and Ding, Y.: Long-term impacts of aerosols on the vertical development of clouds and precipitation, Nature Geosci., 4(12), 888–894, https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo1313, 2011.

Li, Z., Lau, W. M., Ramanathan, V., Wu, G., Ding, Y., Manoj, M. G., Liu, J., Qian, Y., Li J., and Zhou T., et al.: Aerosol and monsoon climate interactions over Asia, Rev. Geophys., 54(4), 866–929, https://doi.org/10.1002/2015RG000500, 2016.

Li, Z., Guo, J., Ding, A., Liao, H., Liu, J., Sun, Y., Wang, T., Xue, H., Zhang H., and Zhu B.: Aerosol and boundary-layer interactions and impact on air quality, Natl. Sci. Rev.,~~National Science Review,~~ 4(6),~~):~~ 810–~~-~~833, https://doi.org/~~-~~10.1093/nsr/nwx117, 2017a~~2017~~.

~~Li, Z., Lau, W. M., Ramanathan, V., Wu, G., Ding, Y., Manoj, M. G., Liu, J., Qian, Y., Li J., and Zhou T. et al.: Aerosol and monsoon climate interactions over Asia, Reviews of Geophysics, 54(4): 866-929, https://doi.org/10.1002/2015RG000500, 2016.~~

~~Li, Z., Niu, F., Fan, J., Liu, Y., Rosenfeld, D., and Ding, Y.: Long-term impacts of aerosols on the vertical development of clouds and precipitation, Nature Geoscience, 4(12): 888-894, https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo1313, 2011.~~

Li, Z., Rosenfeld, D., and Fan, J.: Aerosols and their impact on radiation, clouds, precipitation and severe weather events, in~~Impact on Radiation, Clouds, Precipitation & Severe Weather Events, In~~ Oxford Research Encyclopedia of Environmental Science, https://doi.org/10.1093/acrefore/9780199389414.013.126, 2017b.

Li, Z., et al., East Asian Study of Tropospheric Aerosols and their Impact on Regional Clouds, Precipitation, and Climate (EAST-AIRCPC), J. Geophy. Res. Atmos., 124, 10.1029/2019JD030758. 2019~~2017~~.

Liao, J., Wang, T., Jiang, Z., Zhuang, B., Xie, M., Yin, C., Wang, X., Zhu, J., Fu Y., and Zhang, Y.: WRF/Chem modeling of the impacts of urban expansion on regional climate and air pollutants in Yangtze River Delta, China, Atmos. Environ.,~~Atmospheric Environment,~~ 106,~~:~~ 204–~~-~~214, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2015.01.059, 2015.

~~Lin, Y. L., Farley, R. D., and Orville, H. D.: Bulk parameterization of the snow field in a cloud model, Journal of Climate and Applied Meteorology, 22(6): 1065-1092, https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0450(1983)022<1065:BPOTSF>2.0.CO;2, 1983.~~

Liu, H., Guo, J., Koren, I., Altaratz, O., Dagan, G., Wang, Y., Jiang, J. H., Zhai, P., and Yung, Y.: Non-monotonic aerosol effect on precipitation in convective clouds over tropical oceans, Sci. Rep.,~~Scientific Reports,~~ 9, 7809, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-44284-2, 2019.

Liu, S., Liu, Z., Li, J., Wang, Y., Ma, Y., Sheng, L., Liu, H., Liang, F., Xin, G., and Wang J.: Numerical simulation for the coupling effect of local atmospheric circulations over the area of Beijing, Tianjin and Hebei Province, Sci.~~Science in~~ China ~~Series~~Ser. D~~: Earth Sciences~~, 52(3),~~):~~ 382–~~-~~392, https://doi.org/10.1007/s11430-009-0030-2, 2009.

~~Liu, T., Gong, S., He, J., Yu, M., Wang, Q., Li, H., Liu, W., Zhang, J., Li, L., and Wang X.: Attributions of meteorological and emission factors to the 2015 winter severe haze pollution episodes in China's Jing-Jin-Ji area, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 17(4): 2971-2980, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-17-2971-2017, 2017.~~

Lou, M., Guo, J., Wang, L., Xu, H., Chen, D., Miao, Y., Lv, Y., Li, Y., Guo, X., Ma, S., and Li, J.: On the relationship between aerosol and boundary layer height in summer in China under different thermodynamic conditions, Earth and Space Science, 6(5),~~):~~ 887–~~-~~901, https://doi.org/10.1029/2019EA000620, 2019.

Lyapustin, A., Martonchik, J., Wang, Y., Laszlo, I., and Korkin, S.: Multiangle implementation of atmospheric correction (MAIAC): 1. Radiative transfer basis and look - up tables, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos.,~~Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres,~~ 116(D3), https://doi.org/10.1029/2010JD014985, 2011a.

Lyapustin, A., Wang, Y., Laszlo, I., Kahn, R., Korkin, S., Remer, L., Levy, R., and Reid, J.: Multiangle implementation of atmospheric correction (MAIAC): 2. Aerosol algorithm, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos.,~~Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres,~~ 116(D3), https://doi.org/10.1029/2010JD014986, 2011b.

Lyapustin, A. I., Wang, Y., Laszlo, I., Hilker, T., Hall, F. G., Sellers, P. J., Tucker, C. J., and Korkin, S. V.: Multi-angle implementation of atmospheric correction for MODIS (MAIAC): 3. Atmospheric correction, Remote Sens. Environ.,~~Sensing of Environment,~~ 127,~~:~~ 385–~~-~~393, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2012.09.002, 2012.

Miao, S., Chen, F., LeMone, M. A., Tewari, M., Li, Q., and Wang, Y.: An observational and modeling study of characteristics of urban heat island and boundary layer structures in Beijing, J. Appl. Meteorol. Clim.,~~Journal of Applied Meteorology and Climatology,~~ 48(3),~~):~~ 484–~~-~~501, https://doi.org/10.1175/2008JAMC1909.1, 2009.

~~Monin, A. S., and Obukhov, A. M.: Basic laws of turbulent mixing in the surface layer of the atmosphere, Contrib. Geophys. Inst. Acad. Sci. USSR, 151(163): e187.~~

Morris, C. J. G.,~~,~~ and Simmonds, I.: Associations between varying magnitudes of the urban heat island and the synoptic climatology in Melbourne, Australia, Int. J. Climatol.,~~International Journal of Climatology,~~ 20(15),~~):~~ 1931–~~-~~1954, https://doi.org/10.1002/1097-0088(200012)20:15<1931::AID-JOC578>3.0.CO;2-D, 2000.

~~Morrison, H., Thompson, G., and Tatarskii, V.: Impact of cloud microphysics on the development of trailing stratiform precipitation in a simulated squall line: Comparison of one-and two-moment schemes, Monthly weather review, 137(3): 991-1007, https://doi.org/10.1175/2008MWR2556.1, 2009.~~

Oke, T. R.: The energetic basis of the urban heat island, Q. J. Roy. Meteor. Soc.,~~Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society,~~ 108(455),~~):~~ 1–~~-~~24, https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.49710845502, 1982.

Petäjä, T., Järvi, L., Kerminen, V. M., Ding, A. J., Sun, J. N., Nie, W., Kujansuu, J., Virkkula, A., Yang, X., and Fu, C.: Enhanced air pollution via aerosol-boundary layer feedback in China, Sci. Rep.,~~Scientific reports,~~ 6, 18998, https://doi.org/10.1038/srep18998, 2016.

Pinto Jr, O., Pinto, I. R. C. A., and Ferro, M. A. S.:~~:~~ A study of the long-~~ - ~~term variability of thunderstorm days in southeast Brazil, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos.,~~Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres,~~ 118(11),~~):~~ 5231–~~-~~5246, https://doi.org/10.1002/jgrd.50282, 2013.

Qi, J., Chehbouni, A., Huete, A. R., Kerr, Y. H., and Sorooshian, S.: A modified soil adjusted vegetation index, Remote Sens. Environ.,~~sensing of environment,~~ 48(2),~~):~~ 119–~~-~~126, https://doi.org/10.1016/0034-4257(94)90134-1, 1994.

Rondeaux, G., Steven, M., and Baret, F.: Optimization of soil-adjusted vegetation indices, Remote Sens. Environ.,~~sensing of environment,~~ 55(2),~~):~~ 95–~~-~~107, https://doi.org/10.1016/0034-4257(95)00186-7, 1996.

Rosenfeld, D., Lohmann, U., Raga, G. B., O'Dowd, C. D., Kulmala, M., Fuzzi, S., Reissell, A., and Andreae M. O.: Flood or drought: How~~how~~ do aerosols affect precipitation?~~?,~~ Science, 321(5894),~~):~~ 1309–~~-~~1313, https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1160606, 2008.

Ryu, Y. H.,~~,~~ and Baik, J. J.: Quantitative analysis of factors contributing to urban heat island intensity, J. Appl. Meteorol. Clim.,~~Journal of Applied Meteorology and Climatology,~~ 51(5): 842–~~-~~854, https://doi.org/10.1175/JAMC-D-11-098.1, 2012.

Sakulyanontvittaya, T., Duhl, T., Wiedinmyer, C., Helmig, D., Matsunaga, S., Potosnak, M., Milford J.,~~.~~ and Guenther, A.: Monoterpene and sesquiterpene emission estimates for the United States, Environ. Sci. Tech.,~~Environmental Science & Technology,~~ 42(5), 1623–~~-~~1629, https://doi.org/10.1021/es702274e, 2008.

Sanap, S. D.~~,~~ and Pandithurai, G.: Inter-~~ – ~~annual variability of aerosols and its relationship with regional climate over Indian subcontinent, Int. J. Climatol.,~~International Journal of Climatology,~~ 35(6),~~):~~ 1041–~~-~~1053, https://doi.org/10.1002/joc.4037, 2015.

Shepherd, J. M.~~,~~ and Burian, S. J.: Detection of urban-induced rainfall anomalies in a major coastal city, Earth Interact.,~~Interactions,~~ 7(4),~~):~~ 1–~~-~~17, https://doi.org/10.1175/1087-3562(2003)007<0001:DOUIRA>2.0.CO;2, 2003.

Shepherd, J. M., Pierce, H., and Negri, A. J.: Rainfall modification by major urban areas: observations~~Observations~~ from spaceborne rain radar on the TRMM satellite, J. Appl. Meteorol.,~~Journal of Applied Meteorology,~~ 41(7),~~):~~ 689–~~-~~701, https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0450(2002)041<0689:RMBMUA>2.0.CO;2, 2002.

Snyder, W. C., Wan, Z., Zhang, Y., and Feng, Y. Z.: Classification-based emissivity for land surface temperature measurement from space, Int. J.~~International Journal of~~ Remote Sens.,~~Sensing,~~ 19(14),~~):~~ 2753–~~-~~2774, https://doi.org/10.1080/014311698214497, 1998.

Taha, H.: Urban climates and heat islands: albedo, evapotranspiration, and anthropogenic heat, Energ. Buildings~~Energy and buildings~~, 25(2),~~):~~ 99–~~-~~103, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-7788(96)00999-1, 1997.

Tao, W. K., ~~Simpson, J., and McCumber, M.: An ice-water saturation adjustment, Monthly Weather Review, 117(1): 231-235, https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0493(1989)117<0231:AIWSA>2.0.CO;2, 1989.~~

~~Tao, W.,~~ Liu, J., Ban-Weiss, G. A., Hauglustaine, D. A., Zhang, L., Zhang, Q., Cheng, Y., Yu, Y.,~~.~~ and Tao, S.: Effects of urban land expansion on the regional meteorology and air quality of eastern China, Atmos. Chem. Phys, 15(15),~~):~~ 8597–~~-~~8614, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-15-8597-2015, 2015.

Wan, Z.~~,~~ and Dozier, J.: A generalized split-window algorithm for retrieving land-surface temperature from space, IEEE T. Geosci. Remote~~Transactions on geoscience and remote sensing~~, 34(4),~~):~~ 892–~~-~~905, https://doi.org/10.1109/36.508406, 1996.

Wang, K. and Liang, S.: Evaluation of ASTER and MODIS land surface temperature and emissivity products using long-term surface longwave radiation observations at SURFRAD sites, Remote Sens. Environ., 113(7), 1556–1565, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2009.03.009, 2009.

Wang, K., Dickinson, R. E., and Liang, S.: Clear sky visibility has decreased over land globally from 1973 to 2007, Science, 323(5920),~~):~~ 1468–~~-~~1470, https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1167549, 2009.

~~Wang, K., and Liang, S.: Evaluation of ASTER and MODIS land surface temperature and emissivity products using long-term surface longwave radiation observations at SURFRAD sites, Remote Sensing of Environment, 113(7): 1556-1565, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2009.03.009, 2009.~~

Wang, Y., Wan, Q., Meng, W., Liao, F., Tan, H., and Zhang, R.: Long-term impacts of aerosols on precipitation and lightning over the Pearl River Delta megacity area in China, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11(23), 12,421–12,436~~Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 11(23): 12421-12436~~, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-11-12421-2011, 2011.

Wei, J., Huang, W., Li, Z., Xue, W., Peng, Y., Sun, L. and Cribb, M.: Estimating 1-km-resolution $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations across China using the space-time random forest approach, Remote Sens. Environ.,~~Sensing of Environment,~~ 231, 111221, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2019.111221, 2019a.

Wei, J., Li, Z., Guo, J., Sun, L., Huang, W., Xue, W., Fan, T, and Cribb, M.: Satellite-derived 1-km-resolution PM_1 concentrations from 2014 to 2018 across China, Environ. Sci. Tech., 53(22), 13,265–13,274, https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.9b03258, 2019b.

Wei, J., Li, Z., Peng, Y., Sun, L., and Yan, X.: A regionally robust high-spatial-resolution aerosol retrieval algorithm for MODIS images over Eastern China, IEEE T. Geosci. Remote, 57(7), 4748–4757, 2019c.

Wiedinmyer, C., Akagi, S. K., Yokelson, R. J., Emmons, L. K., Al-Saadi, J. A., Orlando, J. J., and Soja, A. J.: The Fire INventory from NCAR (FINN): a~~A~~ high resolution global model to estimate the emissions from open burning, Geosci.~~Geoscientific~~ Model Dev.,~~Development,~~ 4(3), 625, https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-4-625-2011, 2011.

Wu, H., Wang, T., Riemer, N., Chen, P., Li, M., and Li, S.: Urban heat island impacted by fine particles in Nanjing, China, Sci. Rep.,~~Scientific reports,~~ 7(1),~~):~~ 11422, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-11705-z, 2017.

Wu, J., Fu, C., Zhang, L., and Tang, J.: Trends of visibility on sunny days in China in the recent 50 years, Atmos. Environ.,~~Atmospheric Environment,~~ 55,~~:~~ 339–~~-~~346, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2012.03.037, 2012.

Yang, B., Yang, X., Leung, L. R., Zhong, S., Qian, Y., Zhao, C., Chen, F., Zhang, Y., and Qi, J.: Modeling the impacts~~Impacts~~ of urbanization~~Urbanization~~ on summer thermal comfort: the role~~Summer Thermal Comfort: The Role~~ of urban land use~~Urban Land Use~~ and anthropogenic heat, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos.,~~Anthropogenic Heat, Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres,~~ 124(~~, no. ~~13),~~:~~6681–~~-~~6697, ~~PNNL-SA-147485, ~~https://doi.org/10.1029/2018JD029829, 2019.

Yang, X., Ferrat, M., and Li, Z.: New evidence of orographic precipitation suppression by aerosols in central China, Meteorol. Atmos. Phys., 119(1-2), 17–29, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00703-012-0221-9, 2013.

Yang, X.,~~Ruby~~ Leung, L., Zhao, N., Zhao, C., Qian, Y., Hu, K., ~~and ~~Liu, X., and Chen, B~~. et al~~.: Contribution of urbanization to the increase of extreme heat events in an urban agglomeration in East China, Geophys. Res. Lett.,~~Geophysical Research Letters,~~ 44(~~, no. ~~13), ~~:~~6940–~~-~~6950,~~. PNNL-SA-127213.~~ https://doi.org/10.1002/2017GL074084, 2017.

Yang, ~~X., Ferrat, M., and Li, Z.: New evidence of orographic precipitation suppression by aerosols in central China, Meteorology and Atmospheric Physics, 119(1-2): 17-29, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00703-012-0221-9, 2013.~~

~~Yang, ~~Y., Gao, Z., Shi, T., Wang, H., Li, Y., Zhang, N., Zhang, H., and Huang, Y.: Assessment of urban surface thermal environment using MODIS with a population-weighted method: a case study. J. Spat. Sci.,~~Journal of Spatial Science,~~ 64(2), 287–~~-~~300, https://doi.org/10.1080/14498596.2017.1422155, 2019.

Yang, Y., Zheng, Z., Yim, S. Y., Roth, M., Ren, G., Gao, Z., Wang, T., Li, Q., Shi, C., Ning, G., and Li, Y.: $PM_{2.5}$ pollution modulates wintertime urban heat island intensity~~Pollution Modulates Wintertime Urban Heat Island Intensity~~ in the Beijing-Tianjin-Hebei megalopolis~~Megalopolis~~, China, Geophys. Res. Lett.,~~. Geophysical Research Letters,~~ 47(1), e2019GL084288, https://doi.org/10.1029/2019GL084288, 2020.

Yu, W., Ma, M., Wang, X., Song, Y., and Tan, J.: Validation of MODIS land surface temperature products using ground measurements in the Heihe River Basin, China, Remote Sensing for Agriculture, Ecosystems, and Hydrology XIII (Vol. 8174, p. 817423), International Society for Optics and Photonics, https://doi.org/10.1117/12.897571, 2011.

Zaveri, R. A., and Peters, L. K.: A new lumped structure photochemical mechanism for large-scale applications, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 104(D23), 30,387–30,415, https://doi.org/10.1029/1999JD900876, 1999.

Zaveri, R. A., Easter, R. C., Fast, J. D., and Peters, L. K.: Model for simulating aerosol interactions and chemistry (MOSAIC), J. Geophys. Res. Atmos.,~~Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres,~~ 113(D13), https://doi.org/10.1029/2007JD008782, 2008.

~~Zaveri, R. A., and Peters, L. K.: A new lumped structure photochemical mechanism for large~~Zhang, R~~-scale applications, Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 104(D23): 30387-30415, https://doi.org/10.1029/1999JD900876, 1999.~~ ~~Renhe, Z~~., Li, Q., and Zhang, R.: Meteorological conditions for the persistent severe fog and haze event over eastern China in January 2013, Science China Earth Sciences, 57(1),~~):~~ 26–~~-~~35, https://doi.org/10.1007/s11430-013-4774-3, 2014.

Zhang, W., Guo, J., Miao, Y., Liu, H., Song, Y., Fang, Z., He, J., Lou, M., Yan, Y., Li, Y., and Zhai, P.: On the summertime planetary boundary layer with different thermodynamic stability in China: a~~A~~ radiosonde perspective, J.~~Journal of~~ Climate, 31(4),~~):~~ 1451–~~-~~1465, https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-17-0231.1, 2018.

Zhang, Z., Wu, W., Fan, M., Wei, J., Tan, Y., and Wang, Q.: Evaluation of MAIAC aerosol retrievals over China, Atmos. Environ.,~~Atmospheric Environment ,~~ 202, 8–~~-~~16, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2019.01.013, 2019.

Zhao, L., Lee, X., Smith, R. B., and Oleson, K.: Strong contributions of local background climate to urban heat islands, Nature, 511(7508),~~):~~ 216–~~-~~219, https://doi.org/10.1038/nature13462, 2014.

Zhao, M., Cai, H., Qiao, Z., and Xu, X.: Influence of urban expansion on the urban heat island effect in Shanghai, Int. J. Geogr. Inf. Sci.,~~International Journal of Geographical Information Science,~~ 30(12),~~):~~ 2421–~~-~~2441, https://doi.org/10.1080/13658816.2016.1178389, 2016.

Zhong, S., Qian, Y., Zhao, C., Leung, R., Wang, H., Yang, B., and Liu, D: Urbanization-induced urban heat island and aerosol effects on climate extremes in the Yangtze River Delta region of China, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 17(8), 5439–5457, https://doi.org/ 10.5194/acp-17-5439-2017, 2017.

Zhong, S., Qian, Y., Sarangi, C., Zhao, C., Leung, R., Wang, H., and Yang, B.: Urbanization effect on winter haze in the Yangtze River Delta region of China, Geophys. Res. Lett.,~~Geophysical Research Letters,~~ 45, 6710–6718, https://doi.org/10.1029/2018GL077239, 2018.

Zhou, D., Zhao, S., Zhang, L., Sun, G., and Liu, Y.: The footprint of urban heat island effect in China, Sci. Rep., 5(1), 1–11, https://doi.org/10.1038/srep11160, 2015.

~~Zhong, S., Qian, Y., Zhao, C., Leung, R., Wang, H., Yang, B., and Liu, D: Urbanization-induced urban heat island and aerosol effects on climate extremes in the Yangtze River Delta region of China, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 17(8), 5439–5457, https://doi.org/ 10.5194/acp-17-5439-2017, 2017.~~

Zhou, D., Zhang, L., Hao, L., Sun, G., Liu, Y., and Zhu, C.: Spatiotemporal trends of urban heat island effect along the urban development intensity gradient in China, Sci.~~Science of the~~ Total Environ.,~~Environment,~~ 544,~~:~~ 617–~~-~~626, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2015.11.168, 2016.

~~Zhou, D., Zhao, S., Zhang, L., Sun, G., and Liu, Y.: The footprint of urban heat island effect in China, Scientific reports, 5(1), 1-11, https://doi.org/10.1038/srep11160 , 2015.~~

~~Zhang, Z., Wu, W., Fan, M., Wei, J., Tan, Y., and Wang, Q.: Evaluation of MAIAC aerosol retrievals over China. Atmospheric Environment, 202, 8-16, 2019.~~