Contrasting sources and processes of particulate species in haze days with low and high relative humidity in wintertime Beijing
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Abstract

Although there are many studies of particulate matter (PM) pollution in Beijing, the sources and processes of secondary PM species during haze periods remain unclear. Limited studies have investigated the PM formation in highly-polluted environments under low and high relative humidity (RH) conditions. Herein, we present a systematic comparison of species in submicron particles (PM$_1$) in wintertime Beijing (29 December 2014 to 28 February 2015) for clean periods and pollution periods under low and high RH conditions. PM$_1$ species were measured with an aerosol chemical species monitor (ACSM) and an aethalometer. Sources and processes for organic aerosol (OA) were resolved by positive matrix factorization (PMF) with multilinear engine 2 (ME-2). The comparisons for clean, low-RH pollution, and high-RH pollution periods are made from three different aspects, namely (a) mass concentration, (b) mass fraction, and (c) growth rate in diurnal profiles. OA is the dominant component of PM$_1$ with an average mass...
concentration of 56.7 μg m⁻³ (46%) during high-RH pollution and 67.7 μg m⁻³ (54%) during low-RH pollution periods. Sulfate had higher concentration and mass fraction during high-RH pollution periods, while nitrate had higher concentration and mass fraction during low-RH pollution periods. The diurnal variations of nitrate and oxygenated organic aerosol (OOA) showed a daytime increase of their concentrations during all three types of periods. Nitrate had similar growth rates during low-RH (0.40 μg m⁻³ h⁻¹) and high-RH (0.55 μg m⁻³ h⁻¹) pollution periods. OOA had a higher growth rate during low-RH pollution periods (1.0 μg m⁻³ h⁻¹) than during high-RH pollution periods (0.40 μg m⁻³ h⁻¹). In contrast, sulfate had a decreasing trend during low-RH pollution periods, while it increased significantly with a growth rate of 0.81 μg m⁻³ h⁻¹ during high-RH pollution periods. These distinctions in mass concentrations, mass fractions, and daytime growth rates may be explained by the difference in the formation processes, affected by meteorological conditions. In particular, photochemical oxidation and aqueous-phase processes may both produce sulfate and nitrate. The relative importance of the two pathways, however, differs under different meteorological conditions. Additional OOA formation under high-RH (>70%) conditions suggests aqueous-related formation pathways. This study provides a general picture of the haze formation in Beijing under different meteorological conditions.

1 Introduction

Air pollution is a serious environmental problem in China, particularly in the North China Plain (NCP) in winter, affecting air quality and human health. Beijing is one of the most polluted megacities in the NCP, with an annual mean concentration of PM₁₀ being 86 and 51 μg m⁻³ in 2014 and 2018, respectively (http://sthjj.beijing.gov.cn/), which significantly exceeded the Chinese National Ambient Air Quality Standard (annual average of 35 μg m⁻³). Fine PM pollution in polluted urban environments is complex and is typically associated with enhanced primary emissions from multiple sources, strong secondary aerosol formation, and stagnant weather conditions (Sun et al., 2011; 2013; 2016; Huang et al., 2014; Hu et al., 2016; An et al., 2019). Regional transport of air pollutants from urbanized and industrialized areas has an important contribution to fine PM pollution in the NCP region. For example, severe fine PM pollution in Beijing during winter often happened when prevailing air masses were from the south (Sun et al., 2016).

Organic aerosol (OA) is the major constituent of fine PM and is much less understood compared to inorganic aerosol in terms of their chemical nature and sources (Hallquist et al., 2009; Shrivastava et al., 2017). OA is composed of a wide variety of organic species from different sources, and its emission sources and atmospheric processes are not well understood so far, especially in those regions with high fine PM pollution. OA is either directly emitted to the atmosphere (primary organic aerosol, POA) or formed in the atmosphere (secondary organic aerosol, SOA). Therefore, it is essential to identify and quantify the major emission sources and understand the formation processes of OA.

The Aerodyne aerosol chemical speciation monitor (ACSM) with quadrupole (Q) or time-
of-flight (TOF) mass analyzer is capable of real-time determination of non-refractory components in submicron aerosol (NR-PM$_1$), overcoming the limitation of filter measurements such as limited time resolution or measurement artifacts (Ng et al., 2011a; Froehlich et al., 2013). ACSM has been widely used for fine PM studies in many sites in China including Beijing, Nanjing, Shijiazhuang, and Baoji (Sun et al., 2014; Wang et al., 2017; Zhang et al., 2017; Huang et al., 2019). By applying positive matrix factorization (PMF; Paatero et al., 1993) or multilinear engine (ME-2, Canonaco et al., 2013) solver to the ACSM data, main OA sources can be identified. Those sources include hydrocarbon-like OA (HOA), biomass burning OA (BBOA), cooking OA (COA), coal combustion OA (CBOA) and oxygenated OA (OOA). OOA can further be resolved into semi-volatile OOA (SV-OOA) and low-volatility OOA (LV-OOA) by volatility, or more oxidized OOA (MO-OOA) and less oxidized OOA (LO-OOA) by oxidation state. MO-OOA and LO-OOA together were found to contribute 61% of OA in Beijing during summer in 2011 (Sun et al., 2012), while POA was found to be more important during winter of the same year (Sun et al., 2013). However, many recent studies show large contributions of SOA in wintertime Beijing (Huang et al., 2014; Hu et al., 2016; Xu et al., 2018) and CCOA is often found to be a large fraction of POA during wintertime pollution days in Beijing (Sun et al., 2016b; Wang et al., 2015; Elser et al., 2016). The discrepancies in SOA contribution in different measurement periods reflect the difference in atmospheric and meteorological conditions, e.g., atmospheric oxidative capacity and relative humidity (RH) (Sun et al., 2013; Xu et al., 2017; Wu et al., 2018; Song et al., 2019).

Despite the observations of large production of secondary aerosol during haze events, the formation mechanisms are not yet well understood. Specifically, more studies are needed to elucidate the relative importance of photochemical oxidation versus aqueous-phase processes on the formation of secondary aerosol during wintertime haze episodes of different meteorological conditions. In this study, we present measurement results at an urban site in Beijing during the winter of 2014-2015. The chemical nature of NR-PM$_1$, sources of OA, formation processing of secondary aerosol in different episodes, and particularly the effects of RH on secondary aerosol formation are discussed.

2 Methods

2.1 Site description and instrumentation

The online measurements were conducted on the rooftop of a building (about 20 m above the ground level) at the campus of the National Centre for Nanoscience and Technology (40.00° N, 116.38° E) from 29 December 2014 to 28 February 2015. The observation site is between the 4th and 5th ring roads in the northwest of Beijing and is surrounded by a residential area.

A Q-ACSM was deployed for the mass concentration measurements of NR-PM$_1$ species, and the detailed operation principles can be found in Ng et al. (2011a). Briefly, ambient air was pumped through a 3/8 in stainless steel tube at a flow rate of 3 L min$^{-1}$, of which
85 mL min\(^{-1}\) was sampled into the Q-ACSM. In order to remove coarse particles, an URG cyclone (URG-2000-30ED, size cut-off 2.5 \(\mu\)m) was installed in front of the inlet. Because particle bounce can affect collection efficiency (CE), to reduce this uncertainty and to dry the particles, a Nafion dryer (MD-110-48S; Perma Pure, Inc., Lakewood, NJ, USA) was installed after the URG cyclone. An aerodynamic lens was used to focus the submicron particles into a narrow beam, the particles beam then impinged on a heated tungsten surface (about 600 °C) to evaporate, impacted by 70-eV electron to ionize, and then detected by a quadrupole mass spectrometer. During this study, the scan rate of Q-ACSM was at 200 ms amu\(^{-1}\) from m/z 10 to 150 and the time resolution was 30 min. To determine the response factor (RF), a differential mobility analyzer (DMA, TSI model 3080) and a condensation particle counter (CPC, TSI model 3772) were used to select and count the monodisperse 350-nm ammonium nitrate (NH\(_4\)NO\(_3\)) particles, respectively. The mass of NH\(_4\)NO\(_3\) particles was calculated with known particle size and number concentrations. This calculated mass concentration was compared to the RF of the Q-ACSM, resulting in the ionization efficiency (IE) value (Ng et al., 2011a).

The gaseous species including O\(_3\), NO\(_x\), and SO\(_2\) were measured by a Thermo Scientific Model 49i ozone analyzer, a Thermo Scientific Model 42i NO–NO\(_2\)–NO\(_x\) analyzer, and an Ecotech EC 9850 sulfur dioxide analyzer, respectively. The NH\(_3\) concentrations were measured by an NH\(_3\) analyzer (Picarro G2103). The concentrations of black carbon (BC) was determined by an aethalometer (Model AE-33, Magee Scientific) with a time resolution of 1 min. In brief, light attenuation at seven different wavelengths was recorded for particle-laden filter spots, and BC concentration was retrieved based on the light attenuation at 880 nm. An automatic weather station (MAWS201, Vaisala, Vantaa, Finland) was used to measure the meteorological parameters including temperature, pressure, relatively humidity and visibility, and a wind sensor (Vaisala Model QMW101-M2) was used to measure the wind speed and wind direction.

2.2 Data analysis

2.2.1 ACSM data analysis

The standard Q-ACSM data analysis software (v.1.5.3.5) written in Igor Pro (WaveMetrics, Inc., OR, USA) was used to calculate the mass concentrations for different species in NR-PM\(_1\). Default relative ionization efficiencies (RIE) were used for organics (1.4), nitrate (1.1) and chloride (1.4), respectively (Ng et al., 2011a). RIE of 5.8 for ammonium and 1.2 for sulfate were determined by the IE calibrations of ammonium nitrate and ammonium sulfate. Meanwhile, data were corrected for the particle collection efficiency (CE), due to particle bounce on the vaporizer. CE can be affected by relative humidity, mass fraction of ammonium nitrate and particle acidity. In our measurement, the particles were generally neutral and dried before sampling into the ACSM. CE was calculated as CE\(_{\text{dry}} = \max (0.45, 0.0833 + 0.9167 \times \text{ANMF})\), where ANMF refers to the ammonium nitrate fraction in NR-PM\(_1\)(Middlebrook et al. 2012).
2.2.2 OA source apportionment

The receptor model PMF using a multilinear engine (ME-2) was used to identify and quantify the OA sources. PMF is a bilinear receptor model used to describe the variability of a multivariate dataset, X, as the linear combination of a set of constant factor profiles, F, and their corresponding time series G, as expressed in equation 1.

\[ X = GF + E \]  

(1)

where X is the measured OA mass spectra consisting of i rows and j columns, and E is the model residuals. The PMF uses a least squares method to minimize the object function Q, defined as the sum of the squared residuals \( e_{ij} \) weighted by their respective uncertainties \( \sigma_{ij} \).

\[ Q = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left( \frac{e_{ij}}{\sigma_{ij}} \right)^2 \]  

(2)

Unconstrained PMF analyses of OA data suffer from rotational ambiguity when sources show similar profiles and temporal covariation (Canonaco et al., 2013; Huang et al., 2019). However, by introducing a priori information as additional model input and constraining one or more output factor profiles to a predetermined range, ME-2 can overcome such difficulties and provide more environmentally meaningful solutions. When an element of a factor profile \( f_j \), where j refers to the m/z) is constrained with a certain \( a \) value (a), the following conditions need to be fulfilled:

\[ f_{j,solution} = f_j \pm a \times f_j \]  

(3)

The \( a \) value can vary between 0 and 1, which is the extent to which the output profiles can vary from the model inputs. The data analysis were conducted using the source finder (SoFi, Canonaco et al., 2013) tool version 4.9 for Igor Pro. Due to rotational ambiguity, there was no mathematically unique solution. Therefore, criteria including chemical fingerprint of the factor profiles, correlations with external tracers, and diurnal cycles were used for the factor identification and interpretation (Ulbrich et al., 2009; Huang et al., 2014, Elser et al., 2016).

2.2.3 Aerosol liquid water content

NR-PM\(_1\) inorganic species, NH\(_3\) concentrations and meteorological parameters including temperature and RH were used to calculate the aerosol liquid water content from inorganic species (ALWC\(_i\)) based on the ISORROPIA-II model (Fountoukis and Nenes, 2007). Here we ran the ISORROPIA-II in "forward" mode and the particles were assumed to be deliquescent, i.e., in metastable mode (Hennigan et al., 2015). The thermodynamic equilibrium of the NH\(_4^+\)-SO\(_4^{2-}\)-NO\(_3^--\)Cl--H\(_2\)O system was then modeled and ALWC\(_i\) was calculated.
Meanwhile, the contribution of organics to ALWC ($\text{ALWC}_0$) was also calculated using the following equation (Guo et al., 2015; Cheng et al., 2016):

$$W_{\text{org}} = \frac{\text{OM}}{\rho_{\text{org}} \cdot \rho_w} \frac{\kappa_{\text{org}}}{(100\% / \text{RH} - 1)}$$

where OM is the mass concentration of organics, $\rho_w$ is the density of water and $\rho_{\text{org}}$ is the density of organics ($\rho_{\text{org}} = 1.4 \times 10^3 \text{ kg m}^{-3}$, Cerully et al., 2015). $\kappa_{\text{org}}$ is the hygroscopicity parameter of organic aerosol composition. We adopted a $\kappa_{\text{org}}$ value of 0.06 based on previous cloud condensation nuclei measurements in Beijing (Gunthe et al., 2011).

3 Results and discussion

3.1 Temporal variations and mass fractions of $\text{PM}_1$ species

Fig. 1 shows the time series of mass concentrations of OA, SO$_4^{2-}$, NO$_3^-$, NH$_4^+$, Cl$^-$, and BC, as well as the meteorological parameters. The average mass concentration of $\text{PM}_1$ during the entire measurement period was 73.8 $\mu$g m$^{-3}$, similar to those observed in Beijing in winter 2011 (66.8 $\mu$g m$^{-3}$, Sun et al., 2013) and winter 2013 (64.0 $\mu$g m$^{-3}$, Sun et al., 2016). The lowest daily average concentration was 5.2 $\mu$g m$^{-3}$ on 31 December; while the highest was 210.1 $\mu$g m$^{-3}$ on 15 January, with a difference of a factor of ~40. OA (52%) was the most abundant component of $\text{PM}_1$, irrespective of the meteorological conditions, followed by nitrate (14%) and sulfate (11%). The weather conditions during the measurement period were characterized by drastic changes in wind speed, wind direction, RH and temperature, providing a unique setting to investigate the influence of meteorological conditions on PM species. The clean and pollution episodes occurred alternately during the measurement period, and the $\text{PM}_1$ concentration was usually lower than 20 $\mu$g m$^{-3}$ during clean episodes and higher than 100 $\mu$g m$^{-3}$ during pollution episodes. As such, the measurements can be divided into the clean period ($\text{PM}_1 < 20$ $\mu$g m$^{-3}$) and the pollution period ($\text{PM}_1 > 100$ $\mu$g m$^{-3}$). South/southeasterly wind directions with low speed (average, 0.9 -1.0 m s$^{-1}$) were typical for the pollution period, while north/northwesterly with high speed (average, 2.5 m s$^{-1}$) for the clean period (Fig. 1).

During the polluted period, RH varied from 15% to 95% with an average value of 46% and a median value of 43%. To investigate the effects of RH on PM pollution formation, we further divided the pollution period into two categories, the low-RH pollution days (RH <50%) and the high-RH pollution days (RH >50%). The diurnal variations of mass concentrations and fractions of different chemical species during clean days, low-RH pollution days and high-RH pollution days are shown in Fig. 2. The mass fractional variations were flatter during low-RH and high-RH pollution days than during clean days, likely due to the accumulation of pollutants during stagnant weather conditions in pollution days. During clean days, secondary inorganic aerosol showed generally increasing trends from 06:00 to 20:00 local time (LT), despite the development of the boundary layer height during the day. The growth rate of nitrate mass (0.21 $\mu$g m$^{-3}$ h$^{-1}$) was higher than that of sulfate (0.04 $\mu$g m$^{-3}$ h$^{-1}$) and ammonium (0.10 $\mu$g m$^{-3}$ h$^{-1}$), indicating that formation of nitrate was perhaps faster than that of sulfate and ammonium during
clean days. During low-RH pollution days, nitrate increased from 06:00 to 20:00 LT, with a growth rate of 0.40 μg m⁻³ h⁻¹, which was two times higher than that during clean days. On the contrary, sulfate concentrations increased from 06:00 to 10:00 LT, then started decreasing and reached the minimum at 14:00 LT, possibly due to the increase of the boundary layer height during the day, which outweighed the production of sulfate. Associated with both sulfate and nitrate, ammonium showed a minor increase from 06:00 to 20:00 LT with a mass growth rate of 0.18 μg m⁻³ h⁻¹. This phenomenon suggested that the low-RH condition was favorable for nitrate formation but not for sulfate formation under polluted conditions. In contrast, obvious increases of secondary inorganic species from 8:00 to 16:00 LT were observed during high-RH pollution days, with growth rates of 0.81 μg m⁻³ h⁻¹, 0.55 μg m⁻³ h⁻¹ and 0.46 μg m⁻³ h⁻¹ for sulfate, nitrate and ammonium, respectively. These mass growth rates increased correspondingly by about 20, 2.6 and 4.6 times compared to those during clean days. Note that nitrate growth rate in high-RH pollution days (0.55 μg m⁻³ h⁻¹) was still slightly higher than that in low-RH pollution days (0.40 μg m⁻³ h⁻¹), indicating that nitrate production is still efficient when RH is high, although not as much higher compared to sulfate. Measurements of sulfate oxygen isotopes suggest that the largely enhanced formation of sulfate is associated with efficient aqueous-phase reactions during high-RH pollution days (Shao et al., 2019). Note that the comparison of growth rates was done under the assumption that chemical processes were the main reason for mass growth, which might not be the case if other factors such as planetary boundary layer height variations dominate. Yet comparison of growth rates of different species in the same time period would not be affected by these factors because those species should share the same effects.

3.2 Sources and diurnal variations of OA

Source apportionment was performed on the OA data. Three to seven factors were examined using an unconstrained PMF model, and the factors were qualitatively identified based on their mass spectral profiles and correlation with external data. We found that a solution of five factors (i.e., HOA, COA, CCOA, BBOA, and OOA) best explains our data. For the solutions with less than 5 factors, HOA appeared to be mixed with COA while CCOA mixed with BBOA (Fig S1). However, when the number of factors was increased to 6, the OOA factor split into two OOA factors of similar time series (Fig. S2), suggesting that further separation of the factors does not improve the interpretation of the data.

Although five factors with different profiles and temporal variations were identified by the unconstrained PMF model, the factor profiles and time series were suboptimal, specifically for HOA, COA, and BBOA. The diurnal pattern of HOA showed pronounced peaks at cooking time, indicating its mixing with COA. The fractional contribution of m/z 60 (f_{iso}, typically related to the fragmentation of anhydrous sugars) in HOA (0.008) was higher than the average value reported from multiple ambient datasets (0.002, Ng et al., 2011). To reduce the mixing between factors, the reference HOA mass spectral profile, characterized by a small f_{iso} (Wang et al., 2017), and the BBOA mass spectral profile, derived from Beijing wintertime measurements (Elser et al., 2016), were constrained
using ME-2. For the COA mass spectral profile that was derived from our unconstrained PMF analysis, \( a \)-value of 0 was used. Meanwhile, for HOA and BBOA, the \( a \) values were varied systematically between 0 and 1 with an interval of 0.1 to explore the solution space. To assess the obtained solutions, we have set thresholds for the highest acceptable \( f_{50} \) value (0.006) for HOA and \( f_{57} \) value (0.042) for BBOA, based on mass spectra obtained at multiple sites (mean \( \pm 2\sigma \), Ng et al., 2011). Only solutions that conform to both criteria were selected and the final solution was the average of those selected reasonable solutions (Fig. S3).

The final OA factors resolved by ME-2 include four POA (i.e., HOA, COA, BBOA and CCOA), and one SOA (i.e., OOA) factors, on average accounting for 14%, 14%, 10%, 32% and 31% of OA mass concentration, respectively. The mass spectral profiles and time series of the resolved factors are shown in Fig. 3a and b, respectively. The diurnal patterns of these factors are presented in Fig. 4. The HOA spectrum is similar to those derived from other studies in Beijing (Hu et al., 2016; Sun et al., 2014; 2016) and Pittsburgh (Ulbrich et al., 2004). A strong correlation between the time series of HOA and BC was observed (\( R^2=0.84 \)). The diurnal cycle of HOA was similar to those observed in other studies in Beijing (Sun et al., 2011; 2013; 2014), showing higher mass concentrations during the night than during the day, due to enhanced traffic emissions from heavy duty vehicles and diesel trucks that are allowed to enter the inner city during the night.

Similar to HOA, the mass spectrum of COA also displayed high signals in odd fragments, while the \( m/z \) 55/57 ratio (1.45) and \( m/z \) 41/43 ratio (1.6) were significantly higher compared to those of the HOA factor profile (\( m/z \) 55/57=0.65, \( m/z \) 41/43=0.88). The COA profile is similar to those resolved in previous studies in Beijing (Elser et al., 2016; Sun et al., 2016), Paris (Crippa et al., 2013) and Zurich (Dey et al., 2004). The \( R^2 \) between COA and \( m/z \) 55 time series was 0.73. The diurnal cycle of COA showed two prominent peaks during lunch (12:00-13:00 LT) and dinner (18:00-19:00 LT) times, and the peak in the evening was more pronounced than that at noon, consistent with a previous study in Beijing (Sun et al., 2016). Furthermore, the diurnal variation of COA was more obvious with much clear noon and evening peaks during clean days than during low-RH and high-RH pollution days, likely because the stagnant meteorological conditions during pollution days facilitated the accumulation of pollutants and thus weakened the diurnal fluctuation.

The BBOA mass spectrum showed a similar pattern as that extracted from Crippa et al. (2014), with pronounced peaks at \( m/z \) 60 and 73, two distinct markers of biomass burning emissions (Lanz et al., 2007). BBOA also showed similar time series with a high signal at \( m/z \) 60 (\( R^2=0.74 \)). The diurnal cycle of BBOA showed a slight increase during the night (18:00-24:00 LT), corresponding to nighttime burning for residential heating in clean days, while this diurnal cycle became much flat during low-RH and high-RH pollution days, likely due to the stagnant meteorological conditions during pollution days. On average, BBOA contributed 10% of the total OA, much less than that of CCOA (32%), consistent with previous results in Beijing (Elser et al., 2016).
The profile of CCOA showed a moderate correlation with that resolved in Beijing in winter 2014 (Elser et al., 2016). Similar to previous studies, signals related to unsaturated hydrocarbons, especially those at $m/z$ 77, 91 and 115, contributed significantly to the total CCOA signal. In addition, there was a strong correlation between CCOA and Cl$^-$ ($R^2=0.82$), which was considered as a marker mainly from coal combustion emissions. The mass concentration and mass fraction of CCOA were both significantly higher at night than those during the day, which was observed both in clean days and pollution days. The diurnal pattern suggests much stronger emissions from coal combustion at night, a situation further deteriorated by a shallower boundary layer at night.

One secondary OA factor, namely OOA, was also resolved, characterized by an important contribution at $m/z$ 44. The profile of OOA is also similar to those resolved in Ng et al. (2011) and Sun et al. (2013). OOA is correlated well with nitrate ($R^2=0.89$), and the diurnal cycle of OOA shows an increase from about 6:00 to 20:00 LT, indicating the contribution from photochemical production and accumulation of OOA. Note that the growth rate of OOA during low-RH pollution days (1.0 $\mu g$ m$^{-3}$ h$^{-1}$) was higher than that during high-RH pollution days (0.40 $\mu g$ m$^{-3}$ h$^{-1}$) and clean days (0.35 $\mu g$ m$^{-3}$ h$^{-1}$) (Fig. 4).

### 3.3 Chemically resolved PM pollution

Fig. 5 shows the mass fraction of PM$_1$ and OA during clean, low-RH and high-RH pollution periods. OA was the dominant component in PM$_{2.5}$, with an average concentration increasing from 10.9 $\mu g$ m$^{-3}$ during clean periods to 56.7 $\mu g$ m$^{-3}$ during high-RH pollution periods and further to 67.7 $\mu g$ m$^{-3}$ during low-RH pollution periods. The corresponding mass fraction of OA was 56%, 46%, and 54%, respectively. The decrease of OA mass fraction during pollution periods can be attributed to the increased formation of sulfate and nitrate, as demonstrated in the above section. Specifically, nitrate increased from 11% (2.2 $\mu g$ m$^{-3}$) during clean periods to 14% (17.2 $\mu g$ m$^{-3}$) during high-RH pollution periods and to 15% (18.8 $\mu g$ m$^{-3}$) during low-RH pollution periods, while sulfate increased from 10% (2.0 $\mu g$ m$^{-3}$) during clean periods to 17% (20.9 $\mu g$ m$^{-3}$) during high-RH pollution periods but decreased back to as low as 7% (8.8 $\mu g$ m$^{-3}$) during low-RH pollution periods. The increased formation of nitrate from clean to pollution periods, especially during low-RH pollution periods, is likely due to enhanced photochemical production, as discussed in Lu et al. (2019) which shows fast photochemistry during wintertime haze events in Beijing. Specifically, the atmospheric oxidation proxy (O$_3$=O$_2$+NO$_2$) increased from 39.2 ppb during clean periods to 47.8 ppb during high-RH pollution periods, and up to as high as 59.8 ppb during low-RH pollution periods. Meanwhile, the precursor gas for nitrate, NO$_2$, increased accordingly from 16.7 ppb during clean periods to 42.2 ppb during high-RH pollution periods and to 55.4 ppb during low-RH pollution periods. The averaged PM$_1$ concentrations during high-RH (123.2 $\mu g$ m$^{-3}$) and low-RH (125.4 $\mu g$ m$^{-3}$) pollution periods were very similar, but a distinct difference lies in the sulfate and nitrate fractions in these two types of pollution periods. We observed similar contributions from nitrate during low-RH pollution periods and high-RH pollution periods, while a much larger contribution from sulfate during high-RH pollution periods than during low-RH pollution periods.
periods because of enhanced formation from aqueous-phase processes.

In terms of OA sources, CCOA and OOA were the major sources irrespective of the PM$_1$ level. The mass fraction of CCOA in OA increased from 25% (2.8 µg m$^{-3}$) during clean periods to 31% (17.6 µg m$^{-3}$) during high-RH pollution periods and to 35% (23.7 µg m$^{-3}$) during low-RH pollution periods, indicating the importance of residential coal combustion emissions during haze pollution in wintertime Beijing (Elser et al., 2016; Li et al., 2017). OOA also increased significantly during pollution periods, from 4.1 µg m$^{-3}$ to ~20 µg m$^{-3}$. It should be noted that the average OOA mass concentrations were rather similar during high-RH (19.8 µg m$^{-3}$) and low-RH (18.3 µg m$^{-3}$) pollution periods. However, the OOA mass fraction in OA during the high-RH pollution period (35%) is higher than that during the low-RH pollution period (27%), indicating an additional contribution of OOA from e.g., aqueous-phase oxidations during high RH condition, as discussed below. The mass fraction of HOA in OA increased from 8% (0.8 µg m$^{-3}$) during clean days to 13% (8.8 µg m$^{-3}$) during low-RH pollution days and further to 16% (9.1 µg m$^{-3}$) during high-RH pollution days, suggesting an increased contribution of HOA in pollution days. The mass fraction of HOA is similar to those measured in wintertime Beijing in 2011 (14%, Hu et al., 2016) and in 2013 (11%, Sun et al., 2016). In contrast, the mass concentrations of COA during low-RH pollution days (8.8 µg m$^{-3}$) and high-RH pollution days (6.8 µg m$^{-3}$) were higher than that during clean days (2.0 µg m$^{-3}$), but the mass fraction of COA in OA during high-RH pollution days (12%) and low-RH pollution days (13%) were lower than that during clean days (20%). A similar decrease of HOA contribution and increase of COA contribution during clean days were also observed by Sun et al. (2016) in wintertime Beijing in 2011. The highest contribution of BBOA was observed during low-RH pollution days with a mass fraction of 12% (8.1 µg m$^{-3}$). The BBOA concentration during high-RH pollution days (3.4 µg m$^{-3}$) was higher than that during clean days (1.0 µg m$^{-3}$), but the mass fraction of BBOA in OA during high-RH pollution days (6%) was lower than that during clean days (10%).

The chemical composition and sources of PM$_1$ under different meteorological conditions (e.g., wind direction, wind speed and RH) in the seven pollution episodes (PM$_1$ >100 µg m$^{-3}$) and seven clean episodes (PM$_1$ <20 µg m$^{-3}$) are shown in Fig. S4. Note that these episodes in total accounted for 91% of the entire measurement period. The pollution episodes were found to be associated with the air masses from south/southwest, while clean episodes were associated with the air masses from north/northwest. Meanwhile, the pollution episodes were generally associated with higher RH and lower wind speeds when compared to the clean episodes. The wind speeds were approximately three times higher in clean episodes than those in pollution episodes. For example, the lowest concentration of PM$_1$ was 6.7 µg m$^{-3}$ in C6 period, corresponding to the highest wind speed (4.0 m s$^{-1}$) and the lowest concentrations (< 20 ppb) of inorganic gaseous precursors (SO$_2$, NH$_3$, and NO$_x$), while the highest PM$_1$ concentration of 169.0 µg m$^{-3}$ was found at P5, corresponding to a much lower wind speed (<1.0 m s$^{-1}$). The mass concentrations of OA increased from ~4.1-9.4 µg m$^{-3}$ during clean episodes to ~44.7-85.7 µg m$^{-3}$ during pollution episodes. However, the contributions of OA to PM$_1$ showed a decreasing trend
from 48-59% during clean episodes to 44-57% during pollution episodes, and the corresponding contributions of secondary inorganic species increased from 29-34% (~2.2-5.5 µg m\(^{-3}\)) to 27-47% (~25.5-62.1 µg m\(^{-3}\)), indicating a notable production and accumulation of secondary inorganic aerosol during haze pollution episodes. In contrast, the mass concentration of OOA increased from ~1.4-3.9 µg m\(^{-3}\) during clean episodes to ~10.0-27.6 µg m\(^{-3}\) during pollution episodes, while the contribution of OOA to OA decreased from 33-64% during clean episodes to 20-52% during pollution episodes. The corresponding contribution of POA sources increased from 35-67% (~1.2-4.7 µg m\(^{-3}\)) to 38-80% (~13.9-58.7 µg m\(^{-3}\)), suggesting that in general the emission and accumulation of POA sources played an important role during haze pollution in this measurement campaign.

Comparing the pollution episodes with different RH conditions (see Fig. S4), the mass fraction of sulfate was much higher during high-RH pollution episodes (P3, P6 and P7, 15-21%) than during low-RH pollution episodes (P1, P2, P4 and P5, 6-8%). OOA also showed a much higher contribution to OA during high-RH pollution events (62% for P6 and 50% for P7) than during low-RH pollution events (P1, P2, P4 and P5, 20-31%). These variations suggest the potential importance of aqueous-phase reactions on the formation of sulfate and OOA, as discussed above. Further comparison of high-RH and low-RH pollution episodes with similar PM levels (e.g., P2 and P6 with PM\(_1\) concentration of 98.8 µg m\(^{-3}\) and 99.6µg m\(^{-3}\), respectively) shows that secondary inorganic aerosol dominated PM\(_1\) at high-RH pollution episode. Similarly, as for the high-RH and low-RH pollution episodes with similar OA levels, for example, P6 (44.7µg m\(^{-3}\)) and P7 (46.3 µg m\(^{-3}\)), OOA dominated the particulate pollution (62% of OA) at high-RH pollution events due to efficient formation of SOA. On the contrary, POA had increased contributions to PM pollution at low RH and stagnant weather conditions (from 38% of OA at high-RH pollution to 50% of OA at low-RH pollution), consistent with previous studies in other Chinese cities (e.g., Wang et al., 2017; Huang et al., 2019). These results indicate that meteorological conditions have important effects on the particulate pollution.

### 3.4 Formation of secondary aerosol

The relationship between SO\(_4^{2-}\) and NO\(_3^-\) is investigated to elucidate the formation processes of these two typical secondary inorganic aerosol species. The correlation between SO\(_4^{2-}\) and NO\(_3^-\) was weak for the entire pollution period, because of the varied relative contribution of different formation processes during different periods. However, better correlations between SO\(_4^{2-}\) and NO\(_3^-\) were found with different slopes when the data were divided into low-RH (RH <50%) and high-RH (RH >50%) pollution periods (Fig. 6). During low-RH pollution periods, NO\(_3^-\) and SO\(_4^{2-}\) showed a good correlation (R\(^2\) = 0.75) with a ratio of 2.1, indicating a similar photochemical production process. Meanwhile, the high ratio between NO\(_3^-\) and SO\(_4^{2-}\) suggest the nitrate production is more efficient than that of sulfate during low-RH pollution period. However, during high-RH pollution periods, the ratio of NO\(_3^-\) to SO\(_4^{2-}\) decreased significantly to 0.40 with a lower correlation coefficient (R\(^2\) = 0.53). The degraded temporal correlation between nitrate and sulfate suggest
different formation pathway of nitrate and sulfate during high RH pollution periods. Aqueous-phase production of SO$_4^{2-}$ becomes important during those periods. Consistently, Fig. 7 shows that the sulfate oxidation ratio (SOR = $[\text{SO}_4^{2-}] / ([\text{SO}_4^{2-}] + [\text{SO}_2])$ increased exponentially with the increase of ALWC at RH >50%.

A strong correlation of the mass concentrations between OOA and NO$_3^-$ was observed with $R^2$ of 0.84 (Fig. 8a), possibly explained by the dominant contribution of photochemical production for both OOA and NO$_3^-$. Meanwhile, the O$_3$ concentration during low-RH pollution days (59.8 ppb) was higher than that during high-RH pollution days (47.8 ppb) and clean days (39.2 ppb). With the higher O$_3$ concentration (as a surrogate of oxidant level) under low-RH conditions, the daytime formation of OOA was more efficient and the growth rate was higher during those low-RH pollution days than those during high-RH pollution days and clean days. When considering the RH effect (color coded in Fig. 8a), it is found that the data are scattered around the regression line with uniform slope when RH <70% but concentrated in a small area above the regression line when RH >70%, suggesting that the OOA formation at RH >70% is probably promoted by aerosol water. This is further supported by the linear increase of OOA with increasing SO$_4^{2-}$ when RH >70%, while the relationship between OOA and SO$_4^{2-}$ was very scattered when RH <70% (Fig. 8b).

4 Conclusion

We conducted online measurements of PM$_1$ in urban Beijing from 29 December 2014 to 27 February 2015. The average mass concentration of PM$_1$ was 73.8 μg m$^{-3}$ and OA was the most important component of PM$_1$(52%), followed by nitrate (14%) and sulfate (10%). Source apportionment of OA resolved five factors including HOA, COA, BBOA, CCOA, and OOA, in which CCOA (32%) and OOA (32%) were the most important sources to OA. The mass proportion of CCOA in OA showed a significant increase from clean period (25%) to pollution periods (31-35%), highlighting the important role of coal burning in haze formation in wintertime Beijing. The meteorological conditions (WD, WS, and RH) have a significant impact on the chemical composition and evolution of PM$_1$ species. Nitrate had a higher contribution during low-RH pollution days, implying the photochemical oxidation process of nitrate formation. In contrast, the mass fraction of sulfate to PM$_1$ was much higher during high-RH pollution episodes compared to those during low-RH pollution episodes. The data also showed the exponential increase of sulfate oxidation ratio (SOR) with ALWC at high RH conditions. Both are consistent with the impacts of aqueous-phase reactions on the formation of sulfate. As for the OOA formation, the strong correlation between OOA and NO$_3^-$ may be explained by the dominant role of photochemical production on both species; aqueous-phase processes may add an additional contribution to OOA formation under high RH condition, as indicated by the linear increase of OOA with increasing SO$_4^{2-}$ when RH >70%. These results provide insights into the relative importance of photochemical oxidation and aqueous-phase processes for secondary aerosol formation during haze pollution, demonstrating the significance of meteorological conditions in the formation of secondary aerosol.
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Table 1 Summary of the PM$_{1}$ composition, OA sources and meteorological conditions during different pollution periods.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Clean</th>
<th>High-RH pollution</th>
<th>Low-RH pollution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PM$_{1}$ (µg m$^{-3}$)</td>
<td>19.5</td>
<td>123.2</td>
<td>125.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Org (µg m$^{-3}$)</td>
<td>10.9 (56%)</td>
<td>56.7 (46%)</td>
<td>67.7 (54%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO$_4^{2-}$ (µg m$^{-3}$)</td>
<td>2.0 (10%)</td>
<td>20.9 (17%)</td>
<td>8.8 (7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO$_3^-$ (µg m$^{-3}$)</td>
<td>2.2 (11%)</td>
<td>17.2 (14%)</td>
<td>18.8 (15%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH$_4^+$ (µg m$^{-3}$)</td>
<td>1.8 (9%)</td>
<td>12.3 (10%)</td>
<td>11.3 (9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cl$^-$ (µg m$^{-3}$)</td>
<td>1.0 (5%)</td>
<td>7.4 (6%)</td>
<td>8.8 (7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BC (µg m$^{-3}$)</td>
<td>1.7 (9%)</td>
<td>8.6 (7%)</td>
<td>10.0 (8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOA (µg m$^{-3}$)</td>
<td>0.8 (8%)</td>
<td>9.1 (16%)</td>
<td>8.8 (13%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COA (µg m$^{-3}$)</td>
<td>2.0 (20%)</td>
<td>6.8 (12%)</td>
<td>8.8 (13%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BBOA (µg m$^{-3}$)</td>
<td>1.0 (10%)</td>
<td>3.4 (6%)</td>
<td>8.1 (12%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCOA (µg m$^{-3}$)</td>
<td>2.8 (25%)</td>
<td>17.6 (31%)</td>
<td>23.7 (35%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OOA (µg m$^{-3}$)</td>
<td>4.1 (37%)</td>
<td>19.8 (35%)</td>
<td>18.3 (27%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O$_x$(ppb)</td>
<td>39.2</td>
<td>47.8</td>
<td>59.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO$_2$ (ppb)</td>
<td>16.7</td>
<td>42.2</td>
<td>55.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RH (%)</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>60.0</td>
<td>31.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WS (m s$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vis (Km)</td>
<td>15.7</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>6.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 1. Time series of (a) wind speed (WS) and wind direction (WD), (b) Temperature (Temp) and relative humidity (RH), (c) visibility and PM$_1$, (d) NR-PM$_1$ species (i.e., OA, SO$_4^{2-}$, NO$_3^-$, NH$_4^+$, Cl$^-$ and BC; note that OA is halved clarity), (e) OA factors (i.e., HOA, COA, BBOA, CCOA and OOA), and (f) relative contribution of PM$_1$ species.
Figure 2. The diurnal variations of mass concentrations and relative contributions of PM$_1$ components during clean days (a, d), low-RH pollution days (b, e) and high-RH pollution days (c, f).
Figure 3. The mass spectra(a) and time series(b) of OA factors (HOA, COA, BBOA, CCOA, and OOA).
Figure 4. The diurnal variations of mass concentrations and relative contributions of OA factors during clean days (a, d), low-RH pollution days (b, e) and high-RH pollution days (c, f).
Figure 5. PM$_1$ chemical composition (a) and OA source composition (b) pie chart as well as the mass concentrations of PM$_1$ species (c) and OA sources (d) during clean, High-RH pollution and Low-RH pollution periods.
Figure 6. The relationship between $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$ and $\text{NO}_3^-$ during low-RH (RH <50%) and high-RH (RH >50%) pollution episodes.
Figure 7. The relationship between the sulfate oxidation ratio (SOR = [SO$_4^{2-}$]/([SO$_4^{2-}$] + [SO$_2$]) and ALWC at high RH pollution condition (RH >50%).
Figure 8. Scatter plot between the mass concentration of OOA and NO$_3^-$ (colored by RH) (a), and scatter plot between the mass concentration of OOA and SO$_4^{2-}$ (colored by RH) (b).