

Interactive comment on “Impact of the eruption of Mt. Pinatubo on the chemical composition of the stratosphere” by Markus Kilian et al.

Markus Kilian et al.

markus.kilian@dlr.de

Received and published: 24 June 2020

Dear authors, this short comment is mainly concerned with the simulated volcanic heating which you stated to be of 3.5-4 K between 50-60 hPa in VOL agreeing well with results from Labitzke and McCormick (1992), who observed a stratospheric heating at 50 hPa of 3-4.5 K in the tropics. Angell (1997a), who used radiosonde data, figured out a temperature increase of approximately 3-4 K between 30-50 hPa in late 1991. However, more recent studies (Revell et al, 2017; see Fig. 4 in Kuchar et al, 2017) showed that simulations using the CCMI aerosol data set overestimate the temperature response to the Mt Pinatubo eruption and novel CMIP6 stratospheric aerosol data are in excellent agreement with MERRA and ERA-Interim reanalyses. Whether you want to compare your results with these

[Printer-friendly version](#)

[Discussion paper](#)



studies, model simulation datasets are available via British Atmospheric Data Centre; see <http://catalogue.ceda.ac.uk/uuid/1005d2c25d14483aa66a5f4a7f50fcf0> or at <https://data.mendeley.com/datasets/khrhbw6wn5/1> (Kuchar and Revell, 2017).

Please consider these facts in the discussion of your results. Best regards Ales Kuchar

- *Thank you very much for your critical remark. Indeed, model simulations using the CCMI aerosol data might overestimate the volcanic heating. We will add this point to our discussion and refer to your study (Kuchar and Revell, 2017).*

Interactive comment on *Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss.*, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-2020-147>, 2020.

[Printer-friendly version](#)

[Discussion paper](#)

