- 1 Supplement
- 2 Size-resolved exposure risk of persistent free radicals (PFRs) in atmospheric
- 3 aerosols and their potential sources
- 4 Qingcai Chen,^{a,} Haoyao Sun,^{a,} Wenhuai Song,^b Fang Cao,^b Chongguo Tian,^c Yan-Lin Zhang^{b*}
- 5 a School of Environmental Science and Engineering, Shaanxi University of Science and
- 6 Technology, Xi'an 710021, China
- 7 b Yale–NUIST Center on Atmospheric Environment, International Joint Laboratory on Climate
- 8 and Environment Change (ILCEC), Nanjing University of Information Science and Technology,
- 9 Nanjing 210044, China
- 10 c Key Laboratory of Coastal Environmental Processes and Ecological Remediation, Yantai
- 11 Institute of Coastal Zone Research, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Yantai, 264003, China
- ^{*}Corresponding Author at: Ningliu Road 219, Nanjing 210044, China.
- 13 *E-mail addresses:* <u>dryanlinzhang@outlook.com</u> (Y. Zhang).

14 **Contents:**

- 15 Number of pages: 17
- 16 Text: 1
- 17 Figures: 10
- 18 Tables: 4
- 19 **Text. S1.** Factor analysis of EPFRs.
- 20 Figure S1. Average EPR spectra of EPFRs in different particle sizes in summer and winter.
- 21 Figure S2. Correlation analysis of EPFRs with carbonaceous and PAHs in summer.
- 22 Figure S3. Correlation analysis of EPFRs with carbonaceous and PAHs in winter.
- 23 **Figure S4.** Factor analysis error.
- 24 **Figure S5.** Factor analysis of atmospheric particulate matter in summer.
- 25 **Figure S6.** Factor analysis of atmospheric particulate matter in winter.
- 26 Figure S7. Comparison of the concentration of modle export and actual measurement.
- 27 Figure S8. Relative contribution of fluorescence and residual of model in summer.
- 28 Figure S9. Relative contribution of fluorescence and residual of model in winter.
- 29 Figure S10. Correlation between EPFR and PAH concentrations in different particle sizes.
- 30 **Table S1.** Correlation analysis between EPFRs and metal element concentrations in particulate
- 31 matter in summer.
- Table S2. Correlation analysis between EPFRs and metal element concentrations in particulate
 matter in winter.
- 34 **Table S3.** Particulate matter deposition rate and EPFRs concentration in different areas of the 35 respiratory tract in summer.
- 36 **Table S4.** Particulate matter deposition rate and EPFRs concentration in different areas of the
- 37 respiratory tract in winter.

Text S1

Factor analysis of EPFRs

As shown in Figure S5, factor analysis of summer particulates showed that Factor 4 (3.59%) and Factor 5 (0.84%) contributed little to EPFRs. Factor 4 is typically characterized by Cd, Cu and As, and also contains small amounts of Ni and Zn. These elements are commonly used in the electroplating industry and the metallurgical industry (Voutsa et al., 2002; Querol et al., 2006). This source is identified as an industrial source; The contribution of factor 5 is small and negligible. As shown in Figure S6, factor analysis of winter particulate matter indicated that Factor 4 (6.79%), Factor 5 (4.91%), Factor 6 (2.91%), and Factor 7 (1.65%) contributed little to EPFRs. The typical spectral characteristic of factor 4 is that the contribution of Ba is very high, Sr and EC also have partial contributions, Ba is related to road dust (Pan et al., 2013; Srivastava et al., 2007; Trapp et al., 2010), and Sr and EC are related to coal combustion,³ therefore the factor is determined to be ground dust; The typical spectral characteristics of factor 5 are Ni and Cu, which represent the electroplating industry or the metallurgical industry (Voutsa et al., 2002; Querol et al., 2006); The typical spectral characteristics of Factor 6 and Factor 7 are Al, Ba, Co and Mn, all of which are associated with shell dust and resuspended road dust (Pan et al., 2013; Srivastava et al., 2007; Trapp et al., 2010), so the factor is determined to be atmospheric dust. The analysis results show that the contribution of atmospheric dust to EPFRs in winter is about 11.35%, and the contribution of industrial sources to summer and winter EPFRs is 3.44% and 4.91%, respectively.



Figure S1. Average EPR spectra of EPFRs in different particle sizes in summer and winter. Summer, number of samples: 108; Winter, number of samples: 90.



Figure S2. Correlation analysis of EPFRs with carbonaceous and PAHs in summer. a, b, c, d, and e represent polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), organic carbon (OC), elemental carbon (EC), water-soluble organic carbon (WSOC), and water-insoluble organic carbon (WISOC), respectively.



Figure S3. Correlation analysis of EPFRs with carbonaceous and PAHs in winter. a, b, c, d, and e represent polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), organic carbon (OC), elemental carbon (EC), water-soluble organic carbon (WSOC), and water-insoluble organic carbon (WISOC), respectively.



Figure S4. Factor analysis error.



Figure S5. Factor analysis of atmospheric particulate matter in summer.



Figure S6. Factor analysis of atmospheric particulate matter in winter.



Figure S7. Comparison of the concentration of modle export and actual measurement.



Figure S8. Relative contribution of EPFRs and residual of model in summer.



Figure S9. Relative contribution of EPFRs and residual of model in winter.



Figure S10. Correlation between EPFR and PAH concentrations in different particle sizes.

Conclation	analysis betwo		s and me	ctal elenie	int concen	u auons n	n particul	ale malle	III Suiiiii	ICI.						
Particle size(µm)	Correlation coefficient	Na	Mg	K	Ca	Ti	V	Mn	Fe	Co	Ni	Cu	Zn	Cd	Pb	Al
< 2.1	Pearson	0.374	0.527	0.093	0.448	0.369	0.101	-0.142	-0.043	0.012	0.032	0.518	0.504	-0.277	-0.284	0.549
	Significance two - tailed	0.231	0.078	0.774	0.144	0.237	0.756	0.66	0.894	0.97	0.923	0.085	0.095	0.383	0.371	0.065
	Ν								12							
2.1 - 10	Pearson	0.054	.772**	.786**	.862**	.917**	.928**	.730**	.784**	.874**	0.41	-0.032	-0.009	-0.288	-0.452	.901**
	Significance two - tailed	0.868	0.003	0.002	0	0	0	0.007	0.003	0	0.185	0.923	0.978	0.364	0.14	0
	Ν								12							

Correlation analysis between EPFRs and metal element concentrations in particulate matter in summer.

Table. S1.

*Indicates a significant correlation at the 0.05 level. **Indicates a significant correlation at the 0.01 level; indicates p < 0.001.

Table.	S2.
--------	-----

Particle	Correlation	A 1	7	17	Ca	М.,	<u> </u>	NI:	Cu	A -	С.,	C	CI	D.	DL
size(µm)	coefficient	Al	ZII	v	Cr	IVIII	Co	INI	Cu	As	36	51	Cu	Ба	FU
< 3.4	Pearson	0.561	-0.15	-0.206	-0.129	-0.158	0.680*	-0.754*	-0.521	0.546	0.580	0.475	0.403	0.063	0.290
	Significance	0.092	0.670	0 560	0 722	0.663	0.031	0.012	0.123	0.102	0.079	0.165	0.248	0.862	0.416
	two - tailed		0.079	0.509	0.722										0.410
	Ν		10												
3.4 - 10	Pearson	.629*	.702*	0.143	-0.130	0.117	.682*	-0.568	-0.081	.737**	.723*	.621*	0.508	0.142	.633*
	Significance	0.038	0.016	0.674	0 703	0 731	0.021	0.068	0.813	0.01	0.012	0.041	0.111	0.677	0.036
	two - tailed	0.030	0.010	0.074	0.705	0.751	0.021	0.008	0.015	0.01	0.012	0.041	0.111	0.077	0.050
	Ν		10												

Correlation analysis between EPFRs and metal element concentrations in particulate matter in winter.

*Indicates a significant correlation at the 0.05 level. **Indicates a significant correlation at the 0.01 level; indicates p < 0.001

Table. S3.

Particulate matter deposition rate and EPFRs concentration in different areas of the respiratory tract in summer.

	Area of the respiratory system								
Particle	E	Т	Т	В	Р				
size (µm)	Deposition	EPFRs	Deposition	EPFRs	Deposition	EPFRs (spins/m ³)			
	rate	(spins/m ³)	rate	(spins/m ³)	rate				
< 0.43	0.08	6.78E+12	0.12	9.50E+12	0.30	2.41E+13			
0.43-0.65	0.05	2.53E+12	0.01	2.53E+11	0.23	1.14E+13			
0.65-1.1	0.12	3.84E+12	0.01	1.60E+11	0.19	5.92E+12			
1.1-2.1	0.17	1.24E+13	0.01	7.30E+11	0.20	1.46E+13			
2.1-3.3	0.36	9.16E+13	0.05	1.29E+13	0.23	5.80E+13			
3.3-4.7	0.63	2.22E+14	0.22	7.76E+13	0.14	4.94E+13			
4.7-5.8	0.59	1.31E+14	0.16	3.47E+13	0.20	4.36E+13			
5.8-9.0	0.63	1.85E+14	0.30	8.67E+13	0.07	2.06E+13			
9.0-10	0.63	1.41E+14	0.37	8.26E+13	0.00	0.00E+00			

Table. S4.

Particulate matter deposition rate and EPFRs concentration in different areas of the respiratory tract in winter.

	Area of the respiratory system								
Particle	E	Т	Т	В	Р				
size (µm)	Deposition	EPFRs	Deposition	EPFRs	Deposition	EPFRs			
	rate	(spins/m ³)	rate	(spins/m ³)	rate	(spins/m ³)			
< 0.43	0.08	3.05E+13	0.12	4.27E+13	0.30	1.09E+14			
0.43-0.65	0.05	1.02E+13	0.01	1.02E+12	0.23	4.60E+13			
0.65-1.1	0.12	3.05E+13	0.01	1.27E+12	0.19	4.71E+13			
1.1-2.1	0.17	3.06E+13	0.01	1.80E+12	0.20	3.60E+13			
2.1-3.3	0.36	3.38E+13	0.05	4.77E+12	0.23	2.14E+13			
3.3-4.7	0.63	7.81E+13	0.22	2.73E+13	0.14	1.74E+13			
4.7-5.8	0.59	7.33E+13	0.16	1.94E+13	0.20	2.44E+13			
5.8-9.0	0.63	1.36E+14	0.30	6.37E+13	0.07	1.51E+13			
9.0-10	0.63	9.63E+13	0.37	5.66E+13	0.00	0.00E+00			

References

- Pan, Y.; Wang, Y.; Sun, Y.; Tian, S.; Cheng, M. Size-resolved aerosol trace elements at a rural mountainous site in Northern China: importance of regional transport. *Sci. total Environ.* 2013, 461–462, 761–771.
- Querol, X.; Zhuang, X.; Alastuey, A.; Viana, M.; Lv, W.; Wang, Y.; Lopez, A.; Zhu, Z.; Wei, H.; Xu, S. Speciation and sources of atmospheric aerosols in a highly industrialised emerging mega-city in Central China. J. Environ. Monit. 2006, 8 (10), 1049–1059.
- Srivastava, A.; Jain, V. K. Size distribution and source identification of total suspended particulate matter and associated heavy metals in the urban atmosphere of Delhi. *Chemosphere*. 2007, 68(3), 579–589.
- Trapp, J. M.; Millero, F. J.; Prospero, J. M. Temporal variability of the elemental composition of African dust measured in trade wind aerosols at Barbados and Miami. *Mar. Chem.* 2010, 120 (1-4), 71–82.
- Voutsa, D.; Samara, C. Labile and bioaccessible fractions of heavy metals in the airborne particulate matter from urban and industrial areas. *Atmos. Environ.* **2002**, 36 (22), 3583–3590.