



- 1 Indirect contributions of global fires to surface ozone through
- 2 ozone-vegetation feedback
- 3
- 4 Yadong Lei^{1,2}, Xu Yue^{3*}, Hong Liao³, Lin Zhang⁴, Yang Yang³, Hao Zhou^{1,2},
- 5 Chenguang Tian^{1,2}, Cheng Gong^{2,5}, Yimian Ma^{1,2}, Lan Gao^{1,2}, Yang Cao^{1,2}
- 6 ¹Climate Change Research Center, Institute of Atmospheric Physics, Chinese
- 7 Academy of Sciences, Beijing, 100029, China
- 8 ²University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, 100029, China

9 ³Jiangsu Key Laboratory of Atmospheric Environment Monitoring and Pollution

10 Control, Collaborative Innovation Center of Atmospheric Environment and

11 Equipment Technology, School of Environmental Science and Engineering, Nanjing

12 University of Information Science & Technology (NUIST), Nanjing, 210044, China

13 ⁴Laboratory for Climate and Ocean–Atmosphere Studies, Department of Atmospheric

- 14 and Oceanic Sciences, School of Physics, Peking University, Beijing, 100871, China
- 15 ⁵State Key Laboratory of Atmospheric Boundary Layer Physics and Atmospheric
- 16 Chemistry (LAPC), Institute of Atmospheric Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences,
- 17 Beijing, 100029, China
- 18 Correspondence to: Xu Yue (<u>yuexu@nuist.edu.cn</u>)
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22





23	Abstract: Fire is an important source of surface ozone (O_3) , which causes damage to
24	vegetation and reduces stomatal conductance. Such processes can feed back to inhibit
25	dry deposition and indirectly enhance surface O ₃ . Here, we apply a fully coupled
26	chemistry-vegetation model to estimate the indirect contributions of global fires to
27	surface O ₃ through O ₃ -vegetation feedback during 2005-2012. Fire emissions directly
28	increase the global mean annual O_3 by 1.2 ppbv (5.0%) with a maximum of 5.9 ppbv
29	(24.4%) averaged over central Africa by emitting substantial number of precursors.
30	Considering O3-vegetation feedback, fires additionally increase surface O3 by 0.5
31	ppbv averaged over the Amazon in October, 0.3 ppbv averaged over southern Asia in
32	April, and 0.2 ppbv averaged over central Africa in April. During extreme
33	O3-vegetation interactions, such feedback can rise to >0.6 ppbv in these fire-prone
34	areas. Moreover, large ratios of indirect-to-direct fire O3 are found in eastern China
35	(3.7%) and the eastern U.S. (2.0%), where the high ambient O_3 causes strong
36	O3-vegetation interactions. With likelihood of increasing fire risks in a warming
37	climate, fires may promote surface O3 through both direct emissions and indirect
38	chemistry-vegetation feedbacks. Such indirect enhancement will cause additional
39	threats to public health and ecosystem productivity.

40

41 Keywords: fires, surface ozone, dry deposition, ozone-vegetation feedback

- 42
- 43
- 44





45 1 Introduction

Fire plays an important role in disturbing the terrestrial carbon budget 46 (Bond-Lamberty et al., 2007; Amiro et al., 2009; Turetsky et al., 2011; Yue and Unger, 47 2018). Global fires directly emit 2-3 Pg (1 Pg = 10^{15} g) carbon into the atmosphere 48 49 every year (van der Werf et al., 2010). Moreover, fires contribute to the production of tropospheric ozone (O₃) by emitting substantial number of precursors (Cheng et al., 50 51 1998; Kita et al., 2000; Oltmans et al., 2010; Jaffe et al., 2013; Lu et al., 2016). Globally, fires account for 3-5% of the total tropospheric O₃ (Bey et al., 2001; Ziemke 52 53 et al., 2009; Jaffe and Wigder, 2012). Regionally, the influence of fires on O3 54 production is dependent on mixing with urban emissions (Jaffe et al., 2004; Singh et al., 2010). In some areas, fires can enhance surface O3 by 10-30 ppbv through 55 56 emissions of NO_x and VOCs (McKeen et al., 2002; Pfister et al., 2008; Yue and Unger, 2018). Model simulations project that future wildfire activity will likely increase due 57 to global warming, suggesting an increased risk of surface O₃ from wildfires (Amiro 58 et al., 2009; Balshi et al., 2009; Wang et al., 2016; Yue et al., 2017). 59

60

Tropospheric O₃ is a toxic air pollutant with detrimental effects on vegetation (Yue and Unger, 2014). Plant stomatal uptake of O₃ decreases both chlorophyll and Rubisco contents and increases the deformity rate of chloroplasts (Booker et al., 2007; Akhtar et al., 2010; Inada et al., 2012), which further reduces the leaf area index (LAI) and gross primary productivity (GPP) of ecosystems (Karnosky et al., 2007; Ainsworth et al., 2012). Modeling studies estimated that fire-induced O₃ reduces





- global GPP by 0.7% with regional maximum reductions of >4.0% over central Africa 67 (Yue and Unger, 2018). In turn, vegetation influences both the sources and sinks of O_3 68 69 through biogeochemical and biogeophysical feedbacks (Curci et al., 2009; Heald and Geddes, 2016; Fitzky et al., 2019). Emissions from biomass burning generate a large 70 71 amount of O₃ precursors (Jaffe and Wigder, 2012; Lu et al., 2016). Moreover, vegetation acts as an important sink for tropospheric O3 through stomatal uptake 72 73 (Wesely and Hicks, 2000; Val Martin et al., 2014). Globally, stomatal uptake 74 contributes to 40-60% of the canopy total O₃ deposition (Fowler et al., 2009).
- 75

76 Interactions between air pollution and terrestrial ecosystems remain challenging due to limited process-based knowledge and the separate development of chemistry and 77 78 vegetation models (He et al., 2020). At present, the feedbacks from O3-damaging vegetation on O_3 have only been examined by three papers. By implementing 79 steady-state O₃-induced LAI changes into a chemical transport model, Zhou et al. 80 (2018) quantified the influences of O₃-vegetation feedback and found that O₃-induced 81 82 damage to LAI can enhance O₃ by up to 3 ppbv in the tropics, eastern North America, and southern China. Moreover, plant stomatal conductance may decrease to prevent 83 excessive O₃ from entering plants (Manninen et al., 2003; Wittig et al., 2009). 84 Consequently, surface O₃ may increase due to reduced dry deposition (Val Martin et 85 86 al., 2014; Lin et al., 2019). Sadiq et al. (2017) implemented a parameterization of O₃ vegetation damage into a climate model and quantified online O₃-vegetation coupling. 87 Simulation results showed that surface O_3 can be enhanced by up to 4-6 ppbv over 88





- Europe, North America, and China mainly because of reduced dry deposition velocity following O₃ damage. Similarly, Gong et al. (2020) used a fully coupled chemistry-carbon-climate global model and found that O₃-induced inhibition of stomatal conductance can increase surface O₃ by 1.4-2.1 ppbv in eastern China and 1.0-1.3 ppbv in western Europe. All studies revealed strong positive O₃-vegetation feedback to surface O₃, although the magnitudes are different due to discrepancies in O₃ damaging schemes, as well as differences in the climate models.
- 96

97 Many studies have quantified the direct contributions of fires to tropospheric O₃ (Martin et al., 2006; Pfister et al., 2006; Ziemke et al., 2009; Yokelson et al., 2011; 98 Jaffe and Wigder, 2012; Larsen et al., 2018; Yue and Unger, 2018). However, the 99 100 feedback of fire-induced O3 vegetation damage to surface O3 remain unquantified. Here, we apply a fully coupled chemistry-vegetation model (GEOS-Chem-YIBs, 101 hereafter referred to as GC-YIBs) to examine the indirect contributions of fires to 102 surface O₃. Fire-induced O₃ affects plant photosynthesis and stomatal conductance. In 103 104 turn, predicted changes in LAI and canopy stomatal conductance influence both the 105 sources and sinks of tropospheric O3. Such O3-vegetation interactions result in additional enhancement in surface O3 caused by fire emissions (Fig. 1). Section 2 106 107 describes the GC-YIBs model and sensitivity experiments conducted in this study. 108 Section 3 quantifies the feedbacks of fire-induced O₃ vegetation damage on surface 109 O_3 concentrations. The last section summarizes the findings and discusses the 110 uncertainties.





111

112 2 Materials and Methods

113 2.1 The GC-YIBs model

GC-YIBs is a coupled chemistry-vegetation model developed by implementing the 114 115 Yale Interactive terrestrial Biosphere (YIBs) model into GEOS-Chem version 12.0.0 (Lei et al., 2020). GEOS-Chem is a widely used global 3-D chemical transport model 116 117 (CTM) for simulating atmospheric composition and air quality (Yue et al., 2015; Yan et al., 2018; David et al., 2019; Lu et al., 2019). This model uses a detailed 118 119 HO_x-NO_x-VOC-O₃-halogen-aerosol tropospheric chemistry to simulate tropospheric O₃ fluxes (Barret et al., 2016; Gong and Liao, 2019), while a simplified linearized 120 Linoz chemistry mechanism is applied to simulate stratospheric O₃ (McLinden et al., 121 122 2000). Aerosols simulated in GEOS-Chem include secondary inorganic aerosols, secondary organic aerosols, primary organic aerosols, black carbon, dust, and sea salt 123 (Dang and Liao, 2019; Li et al., 2019). The gas-aerosol partitioning of the 124 sulfate-nitrate-ammonium system is computed by the ISORROPIA v2.0 125 thermodynamic equilibrium model (Fountoukis and Nenes, 2007). The atmospheric 126 emissions from different sources, regions, and species on a user-defined grid are 127 calculated through the online Harvard NASA Emissions Component (HEMCO) 128 module (Keller et al., 2014). HEMCO is highly customizable in that it can 129 automatically combinate, overlay, and update emission inventories and scale factors 130 specified by the users. In general, the GEOS-Chem model overestimates summer 131 surface O₃ concentrations in the eastern U.S. and China (Zhang et al., 2011; Travis et 132





- 133 al., 2016; Schiferl and Heald, 2018).
- 134

YIBs is a vegetation model designed to dynamically simulate the changes in LAI and 135 tree height based on carbon assimilation, respiration, and allocation processes (Yue 136 137 and Unger, 2015). The model computes carbon uptake for 9 vegetation types, including evergreen needleleaf forest, deciduous broadleaf forest, evergreen broadleaf 138 139 forest, shrubland, tundra, C_3/C_4 grasses, and C_3/C_4 crops. The YIBs model applies a well-established Michaelis-Menten enzyme kinetics scheme to compute the leaf 140 141 photosynthesis for C3 and C4 plants (Farquhar et al., 1980; Von Caemmerer and 142 Farquhar, 1981). The leaf stomatal conductance was calculated based on the model of Ball and Berry (Baldocchi et al., 1987). The Spitters (1986) canopy radiative transfer 143 144 scheme is used to separate light use processes for sunlit and shaded leaves. The LAI and carbon allocation schemes are from the TRIFFID model (Clark et al., 2011). 145 Previous studies have shown that the YIBs model has good performance in simulating 146 the spatial pattern and temporal variability of GPP and LAI based on site observations 147 148 and satellite products (Yue and Unger, 2015, 2018).

149

The GC-YIBs model links atmospheric chemistry and vegetation in a two-way coupling. As a result, changes in chemical components or vegetation will simultaneously feed back to influence the other systems. In this study, the GC-YIBs model is driven with the meteorological fields from the Modern-Era Retrospective analysis for Research and Applications, version 2 (MERRA2) with a horizontal





155	resolution of 4° latitude by 5° longitude, as well as 47 vertical layers from the surface
156	to 0.01 hPa. Within GC-YIBs, the online-simulated surface O ₃ in GEOS-Chem affects
157	photosynthesis and canopy stomatal conductance; in turn, the online-simulated
158	vegetation parameters, such as LAI and stomatal conductance, in YIBs, affect both the
159	sources and sinks of O_3 by altering precursor emissions and dry deposition at the
160	1-hour integration time step. An earlier study evaluated the GC-YIBs model and
161	showed good performance in simulating surface O ₃ , GPP, LAI, and O ₃ dry deposition
162	(Lei et al., 2020).

163

164 **2.2 Scheme of O3 vegetation damage**

165 The GC-YIBs model calculates the impacts of O₃ exposure on photosynthesis based166 on a semi-mechanistic scheme (Sitch et al., 2007):

167 $A' = \alpha \cdot A \tag{1}$

where A' and A represent the O₃-damaging and original leaf photosynthesis, respectively. The O₃ damage factor is represented by α ; O₃ can cause damage to photosynthesis only if $\alpha < 1$. The factor α is calculated as a function of excessive O₃ flux and damaging sensitivity coefficient (β):

172 $\alpha = -\beta \cdot max (F_{0_3} - T_{0_3}, 0)$ (2)

The coefficient β can have two values for each vegetation type (Table S1), indicating low to high O₃ damaging sensitivities (Sitch et al., 2007). T_{O_3} represents the O₃ flux threshold, reflecting the O₃ tolerance of different vegetation types. F_{O_3} represents the stomatal O₃ flux and is calculated based on ambient $[O_3]$, aerodynamic resistance





177
$$(r_a)$$
, boundary layer resistance (r_b) and stomatal resistance (r_s) :

178
$$F_{O_3} = \frac{[O_3]}{r_a + r_b + k \cdot r_s'}$$
(3)

Here *k* represents the ratio of leaf resistance for O_3 to leaf resistance for water vapor. Parameters r_a and r_b are calculated by the GEOS-Chem model. O_3 -damaging leaf photosynthesis (A') is then integrated over all canopy layers to generate O_3 -damaging GPP:

$$GPP' = \int_0^{LAI} A' \, dI$$

183 The O₃-damaging stomatal resistance (r'_s) is calculated based on the model of Ball 184 and Berry (Baldocchi et al., 1987):

185
$$\frac{1}{r_{s}'} = g'_{s} = m \frac{A'_{net} \cdot RH}{c_{s}} + b$$
 (4)

where *m* and *b* represent the slope and intercept of empirical fitting to the Ball-Berry stomatal conductance equation, respectively. A'_{net} represents O₃-damaging net leaf photosynthesis, *RH* represents the relative humidity and c_s is the ambient CO₂ concentration. Previous studies have shown that this scheme within the framework of YIBs can reasonably capture the response of GPP and stomatal conductance to surface [O₃] based on hundreds of global observations (Yue et al., 2016; Yue and Unger, 2018).

193

194 2.3 Fire emissions

Fire Inventory from NCAR (FINN) version 1.5 is used by GC-YIBs to simulate fire-induced perturbations in O₃. FINN provides daily global emissions of many chemical species from open biomass burning at a resolution of 1 km² (Wiedinmyer et





198	al., 2011). The inventory estimates fire locations and biomass burned using satellite
199	observations of active fires and land cover, together with emission factors and fuel
200	loadings. For each land type, emission factors for different gaseous and particulate
201	species are taken from measurements (Andreae and Merlet, 2001; Andreae and
202	Rosenfeld, 2008; Akagi et al., 2011). Daily fire emissions for 2002-2012 are available
203	at http://bai.acom.ucar.edu/Data/fire/. In GC-YIBs, all biomass burning emissions are
204	emitted into the atmospheric boundary layer. The FINN inventory has been widely
205	used in regional and global chemical transport models (e.g., WRF-Chem and
206	GEOS-Chem) to quantify the impacts of fires on air quality and weather (Jiang et al.,
207	2012; Nuryanto, 2015; Vongruang et al., 2017; Brey et al., 2018; Watson et al., 2019).
208	

209 2.4 Site-level measurements

Measurements of surface [O₃] in the U.S. are provided by Air Quality System (AQS, <u>https://www.epa.gov/aqs</u>), those over Europe are provided by European Monitoring and Evaluation Programme (EMEP, <u>https://emep.int</u>). The observed [O₃] at Manaus, Tg Malim, and Welgegund sites are from earlier studies (Ahamad et al., 2014; Laban et al., 2018; Pope et al., 2020).

215

216 2.5 Model simulations

In this study, eight simulations (Table 1) are performed to examine both the direct and
indirect contributions of fires to surface O₃. These simulations can be divided into two
main groups:





220	1.	CTRL_FIRE and CTRL_NOFIRE are the control runs using the same emissions
221		except that the latter omits fire emissions. These runs calculate and output offline
222		O_3 damage, which decreases instantaneous leaf photosynthesis but does not feed
223		back to affect plant growth and O ₃ dry deposition.
224	2.	O3CPL_FIRE and O3CPL_NOFIRE are the sensitive experiments that consider
225		online coupling between O3 and vegetation. These runs include online O3 damage
226		to plant photosynthesis, which feeds back to affect both vegetation and air
227		pollution. The two simulations apply the same emissions, except that the latter
228		omits fire emissions.
229		

For each of these four configurations, two runs are conducted with either high (HS) or 230 231 low (LS) O₃ damaging sensitivities. All simulations are performed from 2002-2012 using the GC-YIBs model driven by MERRA2 meteorological fields. The first 3 years 232 are used as spin up, and the results of the last 8 years are analyzed. For the same 233 configurations, the results from low and high O3 damaging sensitivities are averaged. 234 The differences between CTRL NOFIRE and O3CPL NOFIRE represent the surface 235 O3 enhancements through O3-vegetation feedback without fire emissions. The 236 differences between CTRL FIRE and CTRL NOFIRE, named O3OFF, represent the 237 direct contributions of fires to surface O3. The differences between O3CPL FIRE and 238 O3CPL_NOFIRE, named O3CPL, represent both direct and indirect contributions of 239 fires to surface O₃. The differences between O3CPL and O3OFF represent the indirect 240 contributions of fires to surface O₃ through O₃-vegetation interactions. 241





242

244 **3.1 Model validation**

Simulated surface daily maximum 8-hour average O3 concentrations (MDA8 [O3], 245 246 short for [O₃] hereafter) are evaluated using measurements from the AQS and EMEP datasets over the period of 2005-2012 (Fig 2). The model well captures the observed 247 248 spatial distribution of annual $[O_3]$ in the U.S. and Europe, with a high correlation 249 coefficient of 0.51 (p<0.01). Although GC-YIBs overestimates the [O₃] in the eastern 250 U.S. while underestimating it in western Europe, the normalized mean bias (NMB) is 251 only 4.0%, with a root mean square error (RMSE) of 5.4 ppbv. Therefore, the simulated O₃ vegetation damage in our study is slightly overestimated in the eastern 252 253 U.S. but underestimated in western Europe.

254

255 **3.2 Direct contributions of fires to O**₃

Without fire emissions, the simulated global mean annual [O₃] is 23.9 ppbv, with a 256 257 grid maximum of 63.7 ppbv over the Beijing-Tianjin-Hebei region averaged for 2005-2012 (Fig. 3a). Most high [O₃] is distributed in the Northern Hemisphere, where 258 anthropogenic emissions make the dominant contributions. The inclusion of fire 259 emissions increases global annual [O₃] by an average of 1.2 ppbv (5.0%). Regionally, 260 the largest enhancement of [O₃] by 5.9 ppbv (24.4%) is averaged over central Africa, 261 with smaller enhancements of 5.7 ppbv (38.2%) averaged over the Amazon, and 3.8 262 ppbv (10.2%) averaged over southern Asia. Smaller enhancements of 1.1 ppbv (2.2%), 263





264	0.9 ppbv (2.1%), and 0.8 ppbv (2.2%) are averaged respectively over eastern China,
265	western Europe, and the eastern U.S. (Fig. 3b). The predicted fire-induced
266	enhancements in [O ₃] agree well with the simulations using the same model but with
267	fire emissions from the Global Fire Emission Database (GFED) version 3 (Yue and
268	Unger, 2018).
269	
270	We further evaluated the model performance in simulating fire-induced $\Delta[O_3]$ at three

sites across biomass burning regions (Fig. S1). Without fire emissions, the $[O_3]$ is obviously underestimated, with NMBs of -25.5% at Tg Malim, -53.6% at Manaus, and -21.3% at Welgegund. As a comparison, simulations with fire emissions show NMBs in fire seasons of -8.7% at Tg Malim, -1.4% at Manaus, and -15.1% at Welgegund, suggesting improved O₃ simulations by including fire emissions.

276

277 3.3 Fire-induced O₃ damages to GPP

Surface O₃ causes strong damage to ecosystem productivity (Fig. 4). Without fire 278 emissions, surface O3 reduces global annual GPP by 1.7% (3899.8 Tg C yr⁻¹, Figs. 4a 279 and 4c). Regional maximum reductions of 10.9% (372.0 Tg C yr⁻¹), 6.1% (366.1 Tg C 280 yr⁻¹), and 4.9% (323.8 Tg C yr⁻¹) are averaged respectively over eastern China, the 281 eastern U.S., and western Europe; these reductions are attributed to the high ambient 282 [O₃] level and the large stomatal conductance over these regions. The patterns of 283 O₃-induced GPP reductions agree with previous estimates using different models 284 (Sitch et al., 2007; Yue and Unger, 2015). The inclusion of fire emissions causes 285





286	additional GPP reductions. Globally, fire-induced ΔO_3 decreases annual GPP by 0.4%
287	(1312.0 Tg C yr ⁻¹ , Figs. 4b and 4d). Regionally, the largest GPP reduction of 1.4%
288	(370.3 Tg C yr ⁻¹) is averaged over the Amazon due to the largest enhancement of $[O_3]$
289	caused by fires. Furthermore, fire $\Delta[O_3]$ causes additional annual GPP reductions of
290	1.3% (358.0 Tg C yr ⁻¹), averaged over central Africa, and 1.0% (77.1 Tg C yr ⁻¹),
291	averaged over southern Asia. In contrast, limited damage is found in eastern China,
292	western Europe, and the eastern U.S. due to low fire $\Delta[O_3]$. Following the changes in
293	GPP, fire-induced O_3 damage to LAI shows a regional maximum of 0.3-0.7% in
294	central Africa and a global reduction of 0.02-0.5% (Fig. S2).

295

296 **3.4 Indirect contributions of fires to O**₃

297 Vegetation parameters such as LAI and stomatal conductance play important roles in modulating surface $[O_3]$. The O₃-induced changes in these variables interactively feed 298 back to alter local [O₃] (Fig. 5). Without fire emissions, the annual Δ [O₃] from 299 300 O₃-vegetation interactions is limited to eastern China by 0.5 ppbv, the eastern U.S. by 0.3 ppbv, and western Europe by 0.2 ppbv. The largest grid positive feedback of up to 301 302 0.8 ppbv is found in the eastern U.S. (Figs. 5a and 5c). Sensitivity experiments further 303 show that such enhancement of surface [O₃] mainly results from the inhibition of 304 stomatal conductance by O₃ stomatal uptake (Fig. S3a), which reduces the O₃ dry deposition velocity (Fig. S4). Consequently, large Δ [O₃] (Figs. 5a and 5c) are 305 collocated with areas enduring high levels of O₃ vegetation damage (Figs. 4a and 4c). 306 As a comparison, the feedback of LAI changes is generally small (Fig. S3b), which is 307





. . .

308	mainly attributed to limited O_3 damage on LAI (Fig. S2). The enhancement of $[O_3]$
309	from fires causes additional feedback to the surface $[O_3]$. The largest annual $\Delta[O_3]$ of
310	0.13 ppbv due to O ₃ -vegetation feedback is averaged on over the Amazon (Figs. 5b
311	and 5d), where the highest GPP reductions by fire-induced O ₃ are predicted (Figs. 4b
312	and 4d). Such feedback additionally enhances local [O ₃] by 0.12 ppbv, averaged over
313	central Africa, and 0.09 ppbv, averaged over southern Asia. However, limited
314	O3-vegetation feedback is found in the eastern U.S., eastern China, and western
315	Europe, either because of low fire-induced Δ [O ₃] (Fig. 3b) or low Δ GPP (Figs. 4b and
316	4d). The changes in O ₃ dry deposition velocity broadly match the pattern of
317	O3-vegetation feedback (Fig. S4), suggesting that reduced dry deposition velocity due
318	to O ₃ -induced inhibition of stomatal conductance is the dominant driver for the
319	enhanced surface [O ₃].

...

320

Fig. 6 shows seasonal variations in O3-vegetation feedback. Without fire emissions, 321 322 O3-vegetation feedback in eastern China, the eastern U.S., and western Europe shows similar seasonal variations, increasing from January to July and then decreasing (Fig. 323 324 6a). For these regions, surface [O₃] and stomatal conductance reach maximums during 325 the growth season (May-October), resulting in instantaneous O3 uptake. Therefore, 326 O₃-vegetation interactions are expected to be stronger during the growth season in the Northern Hemisphere. However, O3-vegetation feedback driven by fires in the 327 Amazon and Southern Asia reaches a maximum during August-December and 328 February-June, respectively. Moreover, double peaks are shown in central Africa, with 329





- maximums during February-April and July-September (Fig. 6b). The distinct seasonal variations in biomass burning regions are attributed to fire emissions. At low latitudes, stomatal conductance shows limited seasonal variations. Therefore, O_3 -vegetation feedback driven by fires is mainly dependent on fire-induced $\Delta[O_3]$.
- 334

Fire-induced O₃ shows stronger interactions with vegetation under favorable 335 336 meteorological conditions. We sort daily $\Delta[O_3]$ from O₃-vegetation feedback and calculate the average of $\Delta[O_3]$ above the 95th percentile (Fig. S5). The spatial pattern 337 338 of $\Delta[O_3]$ during extreme O₃-vegetation feedback is broadly consistent with that of the 339 annual average, albeit with much stronger O3-vegetation feedback. Without fire emissions, O₃-vegetation feedback enhances [O₃] by 2.0 ppbv averaged over eastern 340 341 China, 1.8 ppbv averaged over the eastern U.S., and 1.1 ppbv averaged over western Europe (Figs. S5a and S5c). Fire emissions alone enhance $[O_3]$ through O₃-vegetation 342 interactions by 1.1 ppbv averaged over the Amazon, 0.8 ppbv averaged over southern 343 Asia, and 0.6 ppbv averaged over central Africa during extreme O3-vegetation 344 345 feedback (Figs. S5b and S5d).

346

347 3.5 Indirect vs. direct contributions of fires to O₃

We further compare the indirect and direct contributions of fire emissions to surface [O₃]. Here, the direct contributions indicate Δ [O₃] caused by fire emissions of chemical precursors, while the indirect contributions represent additional Δ [O₃] from O₃-vegetation interactions caused by fire-induced O₃. Without fire emissions,





352	O ₃ -vegetation interactions cause enhancement of [O ₃] by 1.0% averaged over eastern
353	China, 0.8% averaged over the eastern U.S., and 0.5% averaged over western Europe
354	(Figs. 7a and 7c). Compared to nonfire sources, fire emissions cause larger
355	perturbations in surface [O ₃] through O ₃ -vegetation interactions (Figs. 7b and 7d). The
356	ratios of indirect to direct annual Δ [O ₃] are 3.7% averaged over eastern China, 2.0%
357	averaged over the eastern U.S., and 1.6% averaged over western Europe. For these
358	regions, the absolute $\Delta[O_3]$ from direct fire emissions is usually lower than 1 ppbv
359	(Fig. 3b). However, the high level of ambient [O ₃] (Fig. 3a) provides a sensitive
360	environment in which moderate increases in [O ₃] from fires can cause large indirect
361	contributions to regional [O ₃] through vegetation damage. For fire-prone regions, the
362	ratios of indirect to direct annual $\Delta[O_3]$ are 2.6% averaged over southern Asia, 1.9%
363	averaged over the eastern U.S., and 1.4% averaged over central Africa.

364

365 **3.6 Aggravated O₃ damage to GPP through O₃-vegetation feedback**

The additional O₃ enhancement can exacerbate the damaging effects on vegetation. 366 Without fire emissions, online O3 causes a global annual GPP reduction of 0.2% 367 (299.6 Tg C yr⁻¹, Figs. S6a and S6c) from the offline O3. Regionally, additional 368 369 reductions are mainly found in eastern China, the eastern U.S., and western Europe, where GPP is further decreased by 27.1 Tg C yr⁻¹, 40.8 Tg C yr⁻¹ and 28.4 Tg C yr⁻¹, 370 respectively. For fire emissions, the online fire-induced ΔO_3 results in a higher GPP 371 reduction by 25.0 Tg C yr⁻¹ averaged over the Amazon, and 24.3 Tg C yr⁻¹ averaged 372 over central Africa, and 7.1 Tg C yr⁻¹ averaged over southern Asia compared to the 373





- 374 offline fire-induced ΔO_3 (Figs. S6b and S6d). Such spatial patterns are broadly
- 375 consistent with Δ [O₃] induced by O₃-vegetation feedback (Fig. 5).
- 376

377 4 Conclusions and discussion

378 Many studies have explored the direct contributions to surface O₃ by fire emissions. However, the feedback of fire-induced O₃ vegetation damage to surface [O₃] remains 379 380 unquantified. In this study, we find that fire-induced O_3 causes a positive feedback to 381 surface [O₃] mainly because of the inhibition effects on stomatal conductance. 382 Regionally, O_3 -vegetation feedback driven by fires enhances surface annual $[O_3]$ by 0.13 ppbv averaged over the Amazon, 0.12 ppbv averaged over central Africa, and 383 0.09 ppbv averaged over southern Asia. Such feedback exhibit large seasonal 384 385 variations, with the maximums of 0.5 ppbv averaged over the Amazon in October, 0.3 ppbv averaged over southern Asia in April, and 0.2 ppbv averaged over central Africa 386 in April. During extreme O₃-vegetation interactions, the feedback can rise to >0.6 387 ppbv in these fire-prone areas. Although direct formations of O₃ from fires are limited 388 389 in eastern China and the eastern U.S., the feedback of O3-vegetation coupling results in additional enhancement of surface [O₃] by 3.7% and 2.0% upon the fire-induced 390 Δ [O₃]. Such large ratios in these regions are attributed to the high level of ambient [O₃] 391 that provides a sensitive environment in which moderate increases in [O₃] from fires 392 393 can cause large indirect contributions to regional [O₃] through vegetation damage.

394

395 Some uncertainties may affect the conclusions of this study. First, we employed a





396	model resolution of $4^{\circ \times 5^{\circ}}$ due to the limitations in computational resources. We
397	performed a one-year sensitivity simulation at a $2^{\circ} \times 2.5^{\circ}$ resolution. The comparisons
398	show that fire-induced direct O ₃ enhancement is very similar between the simulations
399	with low and high resolutions, although the former runs predict slightly higher
400	changes in [O ₃] than the latter (Fig. S7). Second, different biomass burning datasets
401	may affect the estimated O3-vegetation feedback in our study. At present, the
402	FINNv1.5 and GFEDv4.1 inventories are available in the public-release of
403	GEOS-Chem v12.0.0. Compared with the FINNv1.5 inventory, simulations using the
404	GFEDv4.1 inventory predict a lower O ₃ -vegetation feedback in the Amazon (Fig. S8a)
405	and southern Asia (Fig. S8c) but a higher O3-vegetation feedback in central Africa
406	(Fig. S8b). Finally, fires can decrease VOC emissions from biogenic sources by
407	burning vegetation. However, compared to the VOCs emitted by fires, the VOC loss
408	from burned vegetation is generally smaller (Fig. S9). Therefore, the influence of
409	reduced VOCs from vegetation loss on surface [O ₃] can be ignored.

410

Despite these uncertainties, we present the first estimate of O_3 enhancement by fire emissions through O_3 -vegetation interactions. Such enhancement is not limited to fire-prone regions, but is also significant over downwind areas with high ambient $[O_3]$ levels. Although the absolute perturbations may be moderate for the whole fire season, O_3 -vegetation interactions can largely increase surface O_3 during extreme O_3 -vegetation interactions, leading to additional threats to public health and ecosystem productivity.





418

419 Data availability

- 420 The site-level [O₃] in the U.S. can be download from AQS (https://www.epa.gov/aqs).
- 421 The site-level [O₃] in the Europe can be download from EMEP (https://emep.int). The
- 422 observed [O₃] at Manaus, Tg Malim, and Welgegund sites are from earlier studies
- 423 (Ahamad et al., 2014; Laban et al., 2018; Pope et al., 2020). The GC-YIBs simulation
- 424 results are available from the corresponding authors on request.
- 425
- 426 **Competing interests.** The authors declare no competing financial interests.
- 427

428 Author Contributions. XY conceived the study. YL conducted the model
429 simulations. YL and XY were responsible for results analysis. HL, LZ, and YY
430 revised and improved the manuscript. HZ, CT, and CG helped prepare model input.
431 YM, LG, and YC helped prepare observation dataset.

432

Acknowledgements. This work was supported by Jiangsu Science Fund for 433 Distinguished Young Scholars (grant no. BK20200040), the National Natural Science 434 Foundation of China (grant no. 41975155), and the National Key Research and 435 Development Program of China (grant 2019YFA0606802 and 436 nos. 2017YFA0603802). 437

- 438
- 439





440 References

- 441 Ahamad, F., Latif, M. T., Tang, R., Juneng, L., Dominick, D., and Juahir, H.: Variation of surface ozone
- 442 exceedance around Klang Valley, Malaysia, Atmospheric research, 139, 116-127, 2014.
- 443 Ainsworth, E. A., Yendrek, C. R., Sitch, S., Collins, W. J., and Emberson, L. D.: The Effects of
- Tropospheric Ozone on Net Primary Productivity and Implications for Climate Change, Annu RevPlant Biol, 63, 637-661, 2012.
- 446 Akagi, S., Yokelson, R. J., Wiedinmyer, C., Alvarado, M., Reid, J., Karl, T., Crounse, J., and Wennberg,
- P.: Emission factors for open and domestic biomass burning for use in atmospheric models, AtmosChem Phys, 11, 4039-4072, 2011.
- 449 Akhtar, N., Yamaguchi, M., Inada, H., Hoshino, D., Kondo, T., and Izuta, T.: Effects of ozone on
- 450 growth, yield and leaf gas exchange rates of two Bangladeshi cultivars of wheat (Triticum aestivum L.),
- 451 Environ Pollut, 158, 1763-1767, 2010.
- Amiro, B. D., Cantin, A., Flannigan, M. D., and de Groot, W. J.: Future emissions from Canadian
 boreal forest fires, Can J Forest Res, 39, 383-395, 2009.
- 454 Andreae, M. and Rosenfeld, D.: Aerosol-cloud-precipitation interactions. Part 1. The nature and
- sources of cloud-active aerosols, Earth-Science Reviews, 89, 13-41, 2008.
- 456 Andreae, M. O. and Merlet, P.: Emission of trace gases and aerosols from biomass burning, Global
- 457 biogeochemical cycles, 15, 955-966, 2001.
- 458 Baldocchi, D. D., Hicks, B. B., and Camara, P.: A Canopy Stomatal-Resistance Model for Gaseous
- 459 Deposition to Vegetated Surfaces, Atmospheric Environment, 21, 91-101, 1987.
- 460 Balshi, M. S., McGuirez, A. D., Duffy, P., Flannigan, M., Walsh, J., and Melillo, J.: Assessing the 461 response of area burned to changing climate in western boreal North America using a Multivariate
- 462 Adaptive Regression Splines (MARS) approach, Global Change Biol, 15, 578-600, 2009.
- 463 Barret, B., Sauvage, B., Bennouna, Y., and Le Flochmoen, E.: Upper-tropospheric CO and O3 budget
- 464 during the Asian summer monsoon, Atmos. Chem. Phys, 16, 9129-9147, 2016.
- 465 Bey, I., Jacob, D. J., Logan, J. A., and Yantosca, R. M.: Asian chemical outflow to the Pacific in spring:
- 466 Origins, pathways, and budgets, J Geophys Res-Atmos, 106, 23097-23113, 2001.
- 467 Bond-Lamberty, B., Peckham, S. D., Ahl, D. E., and Gower, S. T.: Fire as the dominant driver of
- 468 central Canadian boreal forest carbon balance, Nature, 450, 89-92, 2007.
- Booker, F. L., Burkey, K. O., Pursley, W. A., and Heagle, A. S.: Elevated carbon dioxide and ozone
 effects on peanut: I. Gas-exchange, biomass, and leaf chemistry, Crop Sci, 47, 1475-1487, 2007.
- 471 Brey, S. J., Barnes, E. A., Pierce, J. R., Wiedinmyer, C., and Fischer, E. V.: Environmental Conditions,
- 472 Ignition Type, and Air Quality Impacts of Wildfires in the Southeastern and Western United States,
- 473 Earth's Future, 6, 1442-1456, 2018.
- 474 Cheng, L., McDonald, K. M., Angle, R. P., and Sandhu, H. S.: Forest fire enhanced photochemical air
- 475 pollution. A case study, Atmospheric Environment, 32, 673-681, 1998.
- 476 Clark, D., Mercado, L., Sitch, S., Jones, C., Gedney, N., Best, M., Pryor, M., Rooney, G., Essery, R.,
- 477 and Blyth, E.: The Joint UK Land Environment Simulator (JULES), model description-Part 2: carbon
- 478 fluxes and vegetation dynamics, Geosci Model Dev, 4, 701-722, 2011.
- 479 Curci, G., Beekmann, M., Vautard, R., Smiatek, G., Steinbrecher, R., Theloke, J., and Friedrich, R.:
- 480 Modelling study of the impact of isoprene and terpene biogenic emissions on European ozone levels,
- 481 Atmospheric Environment, 43, 1444-1455, 2009.
- 482 Dang, R. and Liao, H.: Severe winter haze days in the Beijing-Tianjin-Hebei region from 1985 to 2017





- 483 and the roles of anthropogenic emissions and meteorology, Atmos Chem Phys, 19, 10801-10816, 2019.
- 484 David, L. M., Ravishankara, A., Brewer, J. F., Sauvage, B., Thouret, V., Venkataramani, S., and Sinha,
- 485 V.: Tropospheric ozone over the Indian subcontinent from 2000 to 2015: Data set and simulation using
- 486 GEOS-Chem chemical transport model, Atmospheric Environment, 219, 117039, 2019.
- 487 Farquhar, G. D., von Caemmerer, S. v., and Berry, J. A.: A biochemical model of photosynthetic CO₂
- 488 assimilation in leaves of C₃ species, Planta, 149, 78-90, 1980.
- 489 Fitzky, A. C., Sandén, H., Karl, T., Fares, S., Calfapietra, C., Grote, R., Saunier, A., and Rewald, B.:
- 490 The interplay between ozone and urban vegetation-BVOC emissions, ozone deposition and tree
- 491 ecophysiology, Frontiers in Forests and Global Change, 2, 50, 2019.
- Fountoukis, C. and Nenes, A.: ISORROPIA II: a computationally efficient thermodynamic equilibrium
 model for K⁺- Ca ²⁺-Mg²⁺-NH₄⁺-Na⁺-SO₄²⁻ NO₃⁻-Cl⁻-H₂O aerosols, Atmos Chem Phys, 7, 4639-4659,
 2007.
- 495 Fowler, D., Pilegaard, K., Sutton, M. A., Ambus, P., Raivonen, M., Duyzer, J., Simpson, D., Fagerli, H.,
- 496 Fuzzi, S., Schjoerring, J. K., Granier, C., Neftel, A., Isaksen, I. S. A., Laj, P., Maione, M., Monks, P. S.,
- 497 Burkhardt, J., Daemmgen, U., Neirynck, J., Personne, E., Wichink-Kruit, R., Butterbach-Bahl, K.,
- 498 Flechard, C., Tuovinen, J. P., Coyle, M., Gerosa, G., Loubet, B., Altimir, N., Gruenhage, L., Ammann,
- 499 C., Cieslik, S., Paoletti, E., Mikkelsen, T. N., Ro-Poulsen, H., Cellier, P., Cape, J. N., Horvath, L.,
- 500 Loreto, F., Niinemets, U., Palmer, P. I., Rinne, J., Misztal, P., Nemitz, E., Nilsson, D., Pryor, S.,
- 501 Gallagher, M. W., Vesala, T., Skiba, U., Brueggemann, N., Zechmeister-Boltenstern, S., Williams, J.,
- 502 O'Dowd, C., Facchini, M. C., de Leeuw, G., Flossman, A., Chaumerliac, N., and Erisman, J. W.:
- Atmospheric composition change: Ecosystems-Atmosphere interactions, Atmospheric Environment, 43,
 5193-5267, 2009.
- 505 Gong, C., Lei, Y., Ma, Y., Yue, X., and Liao, H.: Ozone-vegetation feedback through dry deposition and
- isoprene emissions in a global chemistry-carbon-climate model, Atmos Chem Phys, 20, 3841-3857,2020.
- Gong, C. and Liao, H.: A typical weather pattern for ozone pollution events in North China, Atmos
 Chem Phys, 19, 13725-13740, 2019.
- 510 He, C., Clifton, O., and Coauthors: Interactions between Air Pollution and Terrestrial Ecosystems:
- 511 Perspectives on Challenges and Future Directions, Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society,
- 512 doi: doi: https://doi.org/10.1175/BAMS-D-20-0066.1., 2020. 2020.
- Heald, C. L. and Geddes, J. A.: The impact of historical land use change from 1850 to 2000 on
 secondary particulate matter and ozone, Atmos Chem Phys, 16, 14997-15010, 2016.
- 515 Inada, H., Kondo, T., Akhtar, N., Hoshino, D., Yamaguchi, M., and Izuta, T.: Relationship between
- 516 cultivar difference in the sensitivity of net photosynthesis to ozone and reactive oxygen species
- scavenging system in Japanese winter wheat (Triticum aestivum), Physiol Plantarum, 146, 217-227,2012.
- 519 Jaffe, D., Bertschi, I., Jaegle, L., Novelli, P., Reid, J. S., Tanimoto, H., Vingarzan, R., and Westphal, D.
- L.: Long-range transport of Siberian biomass burning emissions and impact on surface ozone inwestern North America, Geophys Res Lett, 31, 2004.
- 522 Jaffe, D. A., Wigder, N., Downey, N., Pfister, G., Boynard, A., and Reid, S. B.: Impact of wildfires on
- 523 ozone exceptional events in the Western US, Environ Sci Technol, 47, 11065-11072, 2013.
- 524 Jaffe, D. A. and Wigder, N. L.: Ozone production from wildfires: A critical review, Atmospheric
- 525 Environment, 51, 1-10, 2012.
- 526 Jiang, X. Y., Wiedinmyer, C., and Carlton, A. G.: Aerosols from Fires: An Examination of the Effects





- 527 on Ozone Photochemistry in the Western United States, Environmental Science & Technology, 46,
- 528 11878-11886, 2012.
- 529 Karnosky, D. F., Skelly, J. M., Percy, K. E., and Chappelka, A. H.: Perspectives regarding 50 years of
- research on effects of tropospheric ozone air pollution on US forests, Environ Pollut, 147, 489-506,2007.
- 532 Keller, C. A., Long, M. S., Yantosca, R. M., Da Silva, A., Pawson, S., and Jacob, D. J.: HEMCO v1. 0:
- 533 a versatile, ESMF-compliant component for calculating emissions in atmospheric models, Geosci.
- 534 Model Dev., 7, 1409-1417, 2014.
- 535 Kita, K., Fujiwara, M., and Kawakami, S.: Total ozone increase associated with forest fires over the
- Indonesian region and its relation to the El Nino-Southern oscillation, Atmospheric Environment, 34,2681-2690, 2000.
- 538 Laban, T. L., Van Zyl, P. G., Beukes, J. P., Vakkari, V., Jaars, K., Borduas-Dedekind, N., Josipovic, M.,
- 539 Thompson, A. M., Kulmala, M., and Laakso, L.: Seasonal influences on surface ozone variability in
- 540 continental South Africa and implications for air quality, Atmos Chem Phys, 18, 15491-15514, 2018.
- Larsen, A. E., Reich, B. J., Ruminski, M., and Rappold, A. G.: Impacts of fire smoke plumes on
 regional air quality, 2006-2013, J Expo Sci Env Epid, 28, 319-327, 2018.
- 543 Lei, Y., Yue, X., Liao, H., Gong, C., and Zhang, L.: Implementation of Yale Interactive terrestrial
- Biosphere model v1.0 into GEOS-Chem v12.0.0: a tool for biosphere-chemistry interactions, Geosci
 Model Dev, 13, 1137-1153, 2020.
- 546 Li, S., Chen, L., Huang, G., Lin, J., Yan, Y., Ni, R., Huo, Y., Wang, J., Liu, M., and Weng, H.: Retrieval
- 547 of surface PM_{2.5} mass concentrations over North China using visibility measurements and 548 GEOS-Chem simulations, Atmospheric Environment, 2019. 117121, 2019.
- Lin, M., Malyshev, S., Shevliakova, E., Paulot, F., Horowitz, L. W., Fares, S., Mikkelsen, T. N., and
 Zhang, L.: Sensitivity of Ozone Dry Deposition to Ecosystem-Atmosphere Interactions: A Critical
- Appraisal of Observations and Simulations, Global Biogeochemical Cycles, 33, 1264-1288, 2019.
- 552 Lu, X., Zhang, L., Chen, Y., Zhou, M., Zheng, B., Li, K., Liu, Y., Lin, J., Fu, T.-M., and Zhang, Q.:
- 553 Exploring 2016-2017 surface ozone pollution over China: source contributions and meteorological 554 influences, Atmos Chem Phys, 19, 8339-8361, 2019.
- 555 Lu, X., Zhang, L., Yue, X., Zhang, J., Jaffe, D. A., Stohl, A., Zhao, Y., and Shao, J.: Wildfire influences
- on the variability and trend of summer surface ozone in the mountainous western United States, Atmos
- 557 Chem Phys, 16, 14687-14702, 2016.
- Manninen, S., Siivonen, N., Timonen, U., and Huttunen, S.: Differences in ozone response between
 two Finnish wild strawberry populations, Environ Exp Bot, 49, 29-39, 2003.
- 560 Martin, M. V., Honrath, R., Owen, R. C., Pfister, G., Fialho, P., and Barata, F.: Significant
- enhancements of nitrogen oxides, black carbon, and ozone in the North Atlantic lower free troposphere
 resulting from North American boreal wildfires, Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 111,
- 563 D23S60, 2006.
- 564 McKeen, S. A., Wotawa, G., Parrish, D. D., Holloway, J. S., Buhr, M. P., Hubler, G., C., F. F., and
- 565 Meagher, J. F.: Ozone production from Canadian wildfires during June and July of 1995, Journal of 566 Geophysical Research, 107, 4192, 2002.
- 567 McLinden, C., Olsen, S., Hannegan, B., Wild, O., Prather, M., and Sundet, J.: Stratospheric ozone in
- 568 3-D models: A simple chemistry and the cross-tropopause flux, Journal of Geophysical Research:
- 569 Atmospheres, 105, 14653-14665, 2000.
- 570 Nuryanto, D. E.: Simulation of forest fires smoke using WRF-Chem model with FINN fire emissions





- 571 in Sumatera, Procedia Environ Sci, 24, 65-69, 2015.
- 572 Oltmans, S. J., Lefohn, A. S., Harris, J. M., Tarasick, D. W., Thompson, A. M., Wernli, H., Johnson, B.
- 573 J., Novelli, P. C., Montzka, S. A., Ray, J. D., Patrick, L. C., Sweeney, C., Jefferson, A., Dann, T.,
- 574 Davies, J., Shapiro, M., and Holben, B. N.: Enhanced ozone over western North America from biomass
- burning in Eurasia during April 2008 as seen in surface and profile observations, Atmospheric
 Environment, 44, 4497-4509, 2010.
- 577 Pfister, G. G., Emmons, L. K., Hess, P. G., Honrath, R., Lamarque, J. F., Martin, M. V., Owen, R. C.,
- 578 Avery, M. A., Browell, E. V., Holloway, J. S., Nedelec, P., Purvis, R., Ryerson, T. B., Sachse, G. W.,
- and Schlager, H.: Ozone production from the 2004 North American boreal fires, J Geophys Res-Atmos,
 111, D24S07, 2006.
- 581 Pfister, G. G., Wiedinmyer, C., and Emmons, L. K.: Impacts of the fall 2007 California wildfires on
- surface ozone: Integrating local observations with global model simulations, Geophys Res Lett, 35,L19814, 2008.
- 584 Pope, R. J., Arnold, S. R., Chipperfield, M. P., Reddington, C. L., Butt, E. W., Keslake, T. D., Feng, W.,
- Latter, B. G., Kerridge, B. J., and Siddans, R.: Substantial increases in Eastern Amazon and Cerrado biomass burning-sourced tropospheric ozone, Geophys Res Lett, 47, e2019GL084143, 2020.
- 587 Sadiq, M., Tai, A. P. K., Lombardozzi, D., and Martin, M. V.: Effects of ozone-vegetation coupling on
- 588 surface ozone air quality via biogeochemical and meteorological feedbacks, Atmos Chem Phys, 17, 589 3055-3066, 2017.
- Schiferl, L. D. and Heald, C. L.: Particulate matter air pollution may offset ozone damage to globalcrop production, Atmos Chem Phys, 18, 5953-5966, 2018.
- 592 Singh, H. B., Anderson, B. E., Brune, W. H., Cai, C., Cohen, R. C., Crawford, J. H., Cubison, M. J.,
- 593 Czech, E. P., Emmons, L., Fuelberg, H. E., Huey, G., Jacob, D. J., Jimenez, J. L., Kaduwela, A., Kondo,
- 594 Y., Mao, J., Olson, J. R., Sachse, G. W., Vay, S. A., Weinheimer, A., Wennberg, P. O., Wisthaler, A., and
- 595 Team, A. S.: Pollution influences on atmospheric composition and chemistry at high northern latitudes:
- 596 Boreal and California forest fire emissions, Atmospheric Environment, 44, 4553-4564, 2010.
- Sitch, S., Cox, P. M., Collins, W. J., and Huntingford, C.: Indirect radiative forcing of climate change
 through ozone effects on the land-carbon sink, Nature, 448, 791-794, 2007.
- 599 Spitters, C.: Separating the diffuse and direct component of global radiation and its implications for
- modeling canopy photosynthesis Part II. Calculation of canopy photosynthesis, Agricultural and Forest
 meteorology, 38, 231-242, 1986.
- 602 Travis, K. R., Jacob, D. J., Fisher, J. A., Kim, P. S., Marais, E. A., Zhu, L., Yu, K., Miller, C. C.,
- Yantosca, R. M., and Sulprizio, M. P.: Why do models overestimate surface ozone in the southeastern
 United States?, Atmos Chem Phys, 16, 13561, 2016.
- 605 Turetsky, M. R., Kane, E. S., Harden, J. W., Ottmar, R. D., Manies, K. L., Hoy, E., and Kasischke, E. S.:
- Recent acceleration of biomass burning and carbon losses in Alaskan forests and peatlands, Nat Geosci,4, 27-31, 2011.
- 608 Val Martin, M., Heald, C., and Arnold, S.: Coupling dry deposition to vegetation phenology in the
- Community Earth System Model: Implications for the simulation of surface O₃, Geophys Res Lett, 41,
 2988-2996, 2014.
- 611 Val Martin, M., Heald, C. L., and Arnold, S. R.: Coupling dry deposition to vegetation phenology in the
- 612 Community Earth System Model: Implications for the simulation of surface O₃, Geophys Res Lett, 41,
- 613 2988-2996, 2014.
- 614 van der Werf, G. R., Randerson, J. T., Giglio, L., Collatz, G. J., Mu, M., Kasibhatla, P. S., Morton, D.

- C., DeFries, R. S., Jin, Y., and van Leeuwen, T. T.: Global fire emissions and the contribution of
 deforestation, savanna, forest, agricultural, and peat fires (1997-2009), Atmos Chem Phys, 10,
 11707-11735, 2010.
- 618 Von Caemmerer, S. v. and Farquhar, G. D.: Some relationships between the biochemistry of 619 photosynthesis and the gas exchange of leaves, Planta, 153, 376-387, 1981.
- 620 Vongruang, P., Wongwises, P., and Pimonsree, S.: Assessment of fire emission inventories for
- simulating particulate matter in Upper Southeast Asia using WRF-CMAQ, Atmos Pollut Res, 8,921-929, 2017.
- 623 Wang, X. L., Parisien, M. A., Taylor, S. W., Perrakis, D. D. B., Little, J., and Flannigan, M. D.: Future
- burn probability in south-central British Columbia, Int J Wildland Fire, 25, 200-212, 2016.
- 625 Watson, G. L., Telesca, D., Reid, C. E., Pfister, G. G., and Jerrett, M.: Machine learning models
- 626 accurately predict ozone exposure during wildfire events, Environ Pollut, 254, 112792, 2019.
- Wesely, M. L. and Hicks, B. B.: A review of the current status of knowledge on dry deposition,
 Atmospheric Environment, 34, 2261-2282, 2000.
- 629 Wiedinmyer, C., Akagi, S. K., Yokelson, R. J., Emmons, L. K., Al-Saadi, J. A., Orlando, J. J., and Soja,
- 630 A. J.: The Fire INventory from NCAR (FINN): a high resolution global model to estimate the
- emissions from open burning, Geosci Model Dev, 4, 625-641, 2011.
- 632 Wittig, V. E., Ainsworth, E. A., Naidu, S. L., Karnosky, D. F., and Long, S. P.: Quantifying the impact
- 633 of current and future tropospheric ozone on tree biomass, growth, physiology and biochemistry: a
- duantitative meta-analysis, Global Change Biol, 15, 396-424, 2009.
- Yan, Y., Lin, J., and He, C.: Ozone trends over the United States at different times of day, Atmos ChemPhys, 18, 1185, 2018.
- 637 Yokelson, R. J., Burling, I. R., Urbanski, S. P., Atlas, E. L., Adachi, K., Buseck, P. R., Wiedinmyer, C.,
- Akagi, S. K., Toohey, D. W., and Wold, C. E.: Trace gas and particle emissions from open biomass
 burning in Mexico, Atmos Chem Phys, 11, 6787-6808, 2011.
- 40 Yue, X., Keenan, T. F., Munger, W., and Unger, N.: Limited effect of ozone reductions on the 20-year
- 641 photosynthesis trend at Harvard forest, Global Change Biol, 22, 3750-3759, 2016.
- 642 Yue, X., Mickley, L., Logan, J., Hudman, R., Martin, M. V., and Yantosca, R.: Impact of 2050 climate
- change on North American wildfire: consequences for ozone air quality, Atmos Chem Phys, 15,10033-10055, 2015.
- Yue, X., Strada, S., Unger, N., and Wang, A. H.: Future inhibition of ecosystem productivity by increasing wildfire pollution over boreal North America, Atmos Chem Phys, 17, 13699-13719, 2017.
- Yue, X. and Unger, N.: Fire air pollution reduces global terrestrial productivity, Nat Commun, 9, 5413,2018.
- Yue, X. and Unger, N.: Ozone vegetation damage effects on gross primary productivity in the United
 States, Atmos Chem Phys, 14, 9137-9153, 2014.
- 651 Yue, X. and Unger, N.: The Yale Interactive terrestrial Biosphere model version 1.0: description,
- evaluation and implementation into NASA GISS ModelE2, Geosci Model Dev, 8, 2399-2417, 2015.
- 53 Zhang, L., Jacob, D. J., Downey, N. V., Wood, D. A., Blewitt, D., Carouge, C. C., van Donkelaar, A.,
- 54 Jones, D. B., Murray, L. T., and Wang, Y.: Improved estimate of the policy-relevant background ozone
- 655 in the United States using the GEOS-Chem global model with $1/2 \times 2/3$ horizontal resolution over North
- America, Atmospheric Environment, 45, 6769-6776, 2011.
- 557 Zhou, S. S., Tai, A. P. K., Sun, S. H., Sadiq, M., Heald, C. L., and Geddes, J. A.: Coupling between
- 658 surface ozone and leaf area index in a chemical transport model: strength of feedback and implications

- 659 for ozone air quality and vegetation health, Atmos Chem Phys, 18, 14133-14148, 2018.
- 660 Ziemke, J. R., Chandra, S., Duncan, B. N., Schoeberl, M. R., Torres, O., Damon, M. R., and Bhartia, P.
- 661 K.: Recent biomass burning in the tropics and related changes in tropospheric ozone, Geophys Res Lett,
- 662 36, L15819, 2009.
- 663
- 664
- 665
- 666
- 667
- 668

Table 1 Summary of simulations using the GC-YIBs model

Name	Emissions	O ₃ damaging	O ₃ sensitivities
CTRL_FIRE_HS	All including fires	Offline	High
CTRL_FIRE_LS	All including fires	Offline	Low
CTRL_NOFIRE_HS	All but without fires	Offline	High
CTRL_NOFIRE_LS	All but without fires	Offline	Low
O3CPL_FIRE_HS	All including fires	Online	High
O3CPL_FIRE_LS	All including fires	Online	Low
O3CPL_NOFIRE_HS	All but without fires	Online	High
O3CPL_NOFIRE_LS	All but without fires	Online	Low

- 681
- 682 Figure 1 Diagram of the impacts of fires on surface O₃ through direct emissions and
- 683 O₃-vegetation feedback.
- 684
- 685

Figure 2 Spatial pattern of (a) simulated and (b) observed surface [O₃]. (c) Scatter plot of surface [O₃] over measurements in two regions. The black line shows the linear regression between the observed and simulated [O₃]. The regression fit, correlation coefficient (R), root mean square error (RMSE), and normalized mean bias (NMB) are shown in the bottom panel with an indication of site numbers (N) used for statistics.

694

686 687

Figure 3 Annual surface [O₃] from (a) nonfire and (b) fire-alone sources. The six
subregions are marked with black boxes: Eastern U.S. (EUS, 30°N-50°N,
95°W-70°W), Western Europe (WEU, 40°N-60°N, 0°-40°E), Eastern China (ECH,
20°N-35°N, 108°E-120°E), Amazon (AMZ, 25°S-0°, 80°W-50°W), Central Africa
(CAF, 10°S-10°N, 10°E-40°E), and Southern Asia (SAS, 10°N-30°N, 95°E-110°E).

Figure 4 Annual percentage of reductions in GPP caused by O_3 from (a, c) nonfire and (b, d) fire alone sources with (a, b) high and (c, d) low O_3 sensitivities. Please note the differences in color scales.

716

Figure 5 Annual feedback to surface O₃ caused by O₃ vegetation damage with (a, b)
high and (c, d) low O₃ sensitivities. (a) and (c) represent feedback by O₃ from nonfire
sources; (b) and (d) represent feedback by O₃ from fire emissions alone. Please note
the differences in color scales.

722

Figure 6 Seasonal variations in O₃-vegetation feedback driven by (a) nonfire and (b)

- 725 fire-alone sources. The error bars represent low to high O₃ damaging sensitivities.

Figure 7 Annal ratios of indirect $\Delta[O_3]$ to ambient $[O_3]$ from (a, c) nonfire emissions and the ratios of indirect to direct $\Delta[O_3]$ from (b, d) fire emissions alone with (a, b) high and (c, d) low O₃ damaging sensitivities. Please note the differences in color scales.

740

735

741