Responses to Editor's comments for manuscript

Measurement report: Molecular composition and volatility of gaseous organic compounds in a boreal forest: from volatile organic compounds to highly oxygenated organic molecules

Wei Huang^{1,†,*}, Haiyan Li^{2,†}, Nina Sarnela¹, Liine Heikkinen¹, Yee Jun Tham¹, Jyri Mikkilä³, Steven J. Thomas¹, Neil M. Donahue⁴, Markku Kulmala¹, and Federico Bianchi^{1,*}

¹Institute for Atmospheric and Earth System Research / Physics, Faculty of Science, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, 00014, Finland

²School of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Harbin Institute of Technology, Shenzhen, 518055, China

³Karsa Oy., A. I. Virtasen aukio 1, Helsinki, 00560, Finland

⁴Center for Atmospheric Particle Studies, Carnegie Mellon University, 5000 Forbes Avenue, Pittsburgh, PA 15213, USA

[†]*These authors contributed equally to this work.*

**Correspondence* to: Wei Huang (<u>wei.huang@helsinki.fi</u>) and Federico Bianchi (<u>federico.bianchi@helsinki.fi</u>)

Comments to the Author:

Dear Authors:

Thank you for your consideration of the referee comments. I think that the comments have largely been adequately addressed and I am happy to accept the paper subject to consideration of the minor comments below. Line numbers refer to the track changes version of the manuscript.

We thank the Editor for the positive and constructive feedback of our revision. Replies to the individual comments are directly added below in italics in green, and changes in the manuscript in italics in blue. Please note that only references that are part of the replies to the comments are listed in the bibliography at the end of this document. References in copied text excerpts from the manuscript are not included in the bibliography. Line numbers refer to the updated track changes version of the manuscript.

1) I agree with referee 1 that stacked bar charts using a log scale are confusing/can easily lead to misinterpretation. Here I am specifically referring to Figure 7b and Figures S11. In my opinion, the non-stacked plots of Fig 6 are easier to interpret. In a stacked bar chart, one wants to connect the size of the bar to the relative importance - something that is not easily done on a log scale. Personally, I am unsure what additional information Figure 7b adds that isn't captured by Fig. 7c and S10. In my opinion, Figure S10 does a better job of representing the relative contribution of each instrument. If more information about total concentrations wanted to be conveyed, one could consider sizing the pie charts to the total

concentration. I urge the authors to consider these points and to think about improving the representation of the data provided in Figures 7b and S11.

We agree with the Editor that the information we want to convey has already been captured by Figure 7c and S10. Therefore we deleted Figure 7b, S10a, and S10c, as well as the corresponding sentence in Line 386; and we added the total concentrations and relative contributions for each volatility group to the corresponding pie charts. The original Figure 7c, S10b, and S10d are now Figure 7b, S10a, and S10b, respectively.

2) I suggest clarifying the first sentence of the captions for Figures 6 and 7 to more clearly articulate how these figures show different data. The main text does this well, but the legends would be unclear to anyone skimming the figures only.

The first sentence of the caption for Figure 6 was changed to "Volatility distribution comparison for organic compounds detected by different measurement techniques and parameterized with the modified Li et al. (2016) approach (Daumit et al., 2013;Isaacman-VanWertz and Aumont, 2020)."; and the first sentence of the caption for Figure 7 caption was changed to "Combined 2-dimentional volatility distribution for all measured organic compounds (with the approach described in section 2.2.1) parameterized with the modified Li et al. (2016) approach (Daumit et al., 2013;Isaacman-VanWertz and Aumont, 2020)." In addition, in order to make it even more obvious that the pie charts described different instruments in Figure 6, we added stroke colors on the edge of the pie charts in Figure 6b-d which are corresponding to the colors of the histogram in Figure 6a, and reordered the pie charts so that they scan the same way as the VBS (Figure 6a).

3) Line 16: I suggest "In order to obtain a more complete ..." as there may be compounds not measured by any of these techniques (or compounds lost to inlets, etc.).

The Editor is right. The sentence was changed.

4) Line 94 "And to our knowledge" I suggest removing this added sentence as it detracts from the paper by overselling the work.

Sentence removed.

5) Line 122: Please explain the zeroing mode for the MION in slightly more detail. Zeroing for which configuration?

Zeroing mode was done by removing all natural ions with an ion-filter. The information for zeroing mode was added to the manuscript as following: "[...] followed by 2 min of ion-filter zeroing for the API mode before switching from API mode to the next mode."

6) Line 147): A few more details regarding how the high RH can cause uncertainties is warranted given how much of the manuscript focuses on quantification. Is the known bias consistent with the factor of 2 that the MION-Br is scaled by? How role do changes in ambient RH play?

We understand the Editor's concern about humidity effect on instrumental sensitivity. Due to that the sulphuric acid calibration method was originally developed for NO₃-CIMS (Kürten et al., 2012), potential interfering processes caused by the high water vapor concentrations inside the calibration kit $(\sim 5 \times 10^{14-16} \text{ cm}^{-3})$ might exist for the Br-CIMS case and therefore might lead to some uncertainties in its sulphuric acid calibration factor (Kürten et al., 2012; Hyttinen et al., 2018). This is also shown in the inconsistent time series of sulphuric acid concentrations measured by MION-Br and MION-NO3. Although the humidity dependence (i.e., water binding strength) using Br^- as the reagent ion is stronger than that using NO_3^- as the reagent ion (Hyttinen et al., 2018), the Br-CIMS sensitivity has been found to be invariant with RH higher than 10% for e.g. hydroperoxyl radicals (Sanchez et al., 2016), which is the case during our measurement period (RH between 20–100 %; see Figure R1). Despite of the large variability in ambient RH (Figure R1), the highly consistent and highly correlated time series of the concentrations of e.g. several dominant CHO and CHON species (including C7H10O4, C8H12O4, $C_{10}H_{15}NO_{6-7}$) measured by MION-Br with those measured by MION-NO₃ (see Figure S8 and Table S3) support this statement, indicating insignificant effect of RH on the OVOC measured by MION-Br at our measurement site. In addition, water clustered with Br⁻ has also been included in the signal normalization to account for the humidity effect on reagent ion competition, both for the calibration data and ambient data (see section 2.2.1, 1st paragraph). For better clarification, this sentence was rephrased as following: "[...] due to that the high water vapor concentrations in the calibration kit (~ $5 \times 10^{14-16}$ cm^{-3}) might cause some uncertainties in the H₂SO₄ calibration factor of MION-Br (Hyttinen et al., 2018; Kürten et al., 2012). However, the MION-Br sensitivity has been found to be invariant with the measured ambient RH at our measurement site (20–100 %) for e.g. hydroperoxyl radicals (Sanchez et al., 2016), and the water clustered with Br^- has also been included in the signal normalization of organic compounds to account for the humidity effect on reagent ion competition (see equation (1))."



Figure R1. Time series of relative humidity (RH) during the measurement period.



but it would be a better fit elsewhere. Perhaps in section 2.2.2.

The information was moved to the last sentence of section 2.2.2: "Besides, the fragmentation of organic compounds inside the instruments (e.g., Vocus) may also bias the C_{sat} results towards higher volatilities, resulted from the signal bias of parent ions towards lower values and of fragment ions towards higher values (Heinritzi et al., 2016)."

8) Line 350-352: Based on your measurements, you know the answer to the first possibility listed (different saturation levels) whereas the other two are more difficult to assess with the current instruments. Therefore, it isn't really appropriate to list the three together. And the first one should probably be investigated more quantitatively.

For compounds with the same number of carbon and oxygen atoms but different hydrogen atoms (i.e., different saturation level), we chose C₁₀H₂O₃ and C₁₀H₂NO₄ as examples to compare their behaviors. As shown in Figure R2, compounds with different saturation level varied, possibly due to different chemistry involved in their formation (Zhao et al., 2018; Molteni et al., 2019). Even compounds with the same molecular formula were found to behave differently among different measurement techniques (see also Figure 5), probably resulted from different parent compounds inside the instruments (e.g., Heinritzi et al., 2016; Zhang et al., 2017). Figure R2 was added to Figure S9, and the sentence was added/rephrased as following: "Compounds with the same number of carbon and oxygen atoms but different hydrogen atoms (i.e., different saturation level) were also found to behave differently (see Fig. S9c–d), possibly due to different chemistry involved in their formation (Zhao et al., 2018; Molteni et al., 2019). Even compounds with the same number of carbon and oxygen atoms but different hydrogen atoms (i.e., different saturation level) were also found to behave differently (see Fig. S9c–d), possibly due to different chemistry involved in their formation (Zhao et al., 2018; Molteni et al., 2019). Even compounds with the same molecular formula varied among different measurement techniques (see Fig. S9c–d and also Fig. 5). The differences can be likely resulted from different isomers detected by the different techniques, and/or fragmentation products from different parent compounds inside the instruments (e.g., Heinritzi et al., 2016; Zhang et al., 2017)."



Figure R2. Comparison of the daytime (between 10:00 and 17:00) and nighttime (between 22:00 and 05:00) levels of $C_{10}H_yO_3$ (a) and $C_{10}H_yNO_4$ (b) as a function of the number of hydrogen atoms measured by Vocus (in pluses), MION-Br (in circles), and MION-NO₃ (in crosses). Compounds with higher signals during the daytime are colored in red and those with higher signals during the nighttime are colored in red and those with higher signals during the nighttime are colored in

blue.

9) Line 385: I suggest replacing "obtain" with "estimate" given the methods used.

Done.

10) Line 407: I suggest adding "more" in between "obtaining a" and "complete picture" (see comment3).

Done.

11) Please double check that the SI figures are referenced in order. I believe that multiple SI figures are referenced before the first mention of Fig. S1.

We thank the Editor for the kind reminder! We have double checked the SI figures and referenced them in order.

References:

- Hyttinen, N., Otkjaer, R. V., Iyer, S., Kjaergaard, H. G., Rissanen, M. P., Wennberg, P. O., and Kurtén, T.: Computational comparison of different reagent ions in the chemical ionization of oxidized multifunctional compounds, J Phys Chem A, 122, 269-279, <u>https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpca.7b10015</u>, 2018.
- Kürten, A., Rondo, L., Ehrhart, S., and Curtius, J.: Calibration of a chemical ionization mass spectrometer for the measurement of gaseous sulfuric acid, J Phys Chem A, 116, 6375-6386, <u>https://doi.org/10.1021/jp212123n</u>, 2012.
- Molteni, U., Simon, M., Heinritzi, M., Hoyle, C. R., Bernhammer, A.-K., Bianchi, F., Breitenlechner, M., Brilke, S., Dias, A., Duplissy, J., Frege, C., Gordon, H., Heyn, C., Jokinen, T., Kürten, A., Lehtipalo, K., Makhmutov, V., Petäjä, T., Pieber, S. M., Praplan, A. P., Schobesberger, S., Steiner, G., Stozhkov, Y., Tomé, A., Tröstl, J., Wagner, A. C., Wagner, R., Williamson, C., Yan, C., Baltensperger, U., Curtius, J., Donahue, N. M., Hansel, A., Kirkby, J., Kulmala, M., Worsnop, D. R., and Dommen, J.: Formation of highly oxygenated organic molecules from α-pinene ozonolysis: chemical characteristics, mechanism, and kinetic model development, Acs Earth Space Chem, 3, 873-883, https://doi.org/10.1021/acsearthspacechem.9b00035, 2019.
- Sanchez, J., Tanner, D. J., Chen, D. X., Huey, L. G., and Ng, N. L.: A new technique for the direct detection of HO2 radicals using bromide chemical ionization mass spectrometry (Br-CIMS): initial characterization, Atmos Meas Tech, 9, 3851–3861, <u>https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-9-3851-2016</u>, 2016.
- Heinritzi, M., Simon, M., Steiner, G., Wagner, A. C., Kürten, A., Hansel, A., and Curtius, J.: Characterization of the mass-dependent transmission efficiency of a CIMS, Atmos Meas Tech, 9, 1449–1460, <u>https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-9-1449-2016</u>, 2016.
- Zhang, X., Lambe, A. T., Upshur, M. A., Brooks, W. A., Bé, A. G., Thomson, R. J., Geiger, F. M., Surratt, J. D., Zhang, Z. F., Gold, A., Graf, S., Cubison, M. J., Groessl, M., Jayne, J. T., Worsnop, D. R., and Canagaratna, M. R.: Highly oxygenated multifunctional compounds in α-pinene secondary organic aerosol, Environ Sci Technol, 51, 5932–5940, <u>https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.6b06588</u>, 2017.

Zhao, Y., Thornton, J. A., and Pye, H. O. T.: Quantitative constraints on autoxidation and dimer formation from

direct probing of monoterpene-derived peroxy radical chemistry, P Natl Acad Sci USA, 115, 12142–12147, <u>https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1812147115</u>, 2018.

Measurement report: Molecular composition and volatility of gaseous organic compounds in a boreal forest: from volatile organic compounds to highly oxygenated organic molecules

Wei Huang^{1,†,*}, Haiyan Li^{2,†}, Nina Sarnela¹, Liine Heikkinen¹, Yee Jun Tham¹, Jyri Mikkilä³, Steven J. Thomas¹, Neil M. Donahue⁴, Markku Kulmala¹, and Federico Bianchi^{1,*}

¹Institute for Atmospheric and Earth System Research / Physics, Faculty of Science, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, 00014, Finland

²School of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Harbin Institute of Technology, Shenzhen, 518055, China

³Karsa Oy., A. I. Virtasen aukio 1, Helsinki, 00560, Finland

10 ⁴Center for Atmospheric Particle Studies, Carnegie Mellon University, 5000 Forbes Avenue, Pittsburgh, PA 15213, USA

[†]*These authors contributed equally to this work.*

5

*Correspondence to: Wei Huang (wei.huang@helsinki.fi) and Federico Bianchi (federico.bianchi@helsinki.fi)

- Abstract. The molecular composition and volatility of gaseous organic compounds were investigated during
 April–July 2019 at the Station for Measuring Ecosystem Atmosphere Relations (SMEAR) II situated in a boreal forest in Hyytiälä, southern Finland. In order to obtain a more_complete picture and full understanding of the molecular composition and volatility of ambient gaseous organic compounds (from volatile organic compounds, VOCs, to highly oxygenated organic molecules, HOMs), two different instruments were used. A Vocus proton-transfer-reaction time-of-flight mass spectrometer (Vocus PTR-ToF; hereafter Vocus) was deployed to measure
 VOCs and less oxygenated VOCs (i.e., OVOCs). In addition, a multi-scheme chemical ionization inlet coupled to an atmospheric pressure interface time-of-flight mass spectrometer (MION API-ToF) was used to detect less oxygenated VOCs (using Br⁻ as the reagent ion; hereafter MION-Br) and more oxygenated VOCs (including HOMs; using NO₃⁻ as the reagent ion; hereafter MION-NO₃). The comparison among different measurement
- by the MION-NO₃ (0.9 ± 0.1 , average ± 1 standard deviation), followed by the MION-Br (0.8 ± 0.1); and lowest by Vocus (0.2 ± 0.1). Diurnal patterns of the measured organic compounds were found to vary among different measurement techniques, even for compounds with the same molecular formula, suggesting contributions of different isomers detected by the different techniques and/or fragmentation from different parent compounds inside the instruments. Based on the complementary molecular information obtained from Vocus, MION-Br, and MION-

techniques revealed that the highest elemental oxygen-to-carbon ratios (O:C) of organic compounds were observed

30 NO₃, a more complete picture of the bulk volatility of all measured organic compounds in this boreal forest was obtained. As expected, the VOC class was the most abundant (about 53.2 %), followed by intermediate-volatility organic compounds (IVOC, about 45.9 %). Although condensable organic compounds (low-volatility organic compounds, LVOC; extremely low-volatility organic compounds, ELVOC; and ultralow-volatility organic compounds, ULVOC) only comprised about 0.2 % of the total gaseous organic compounds, they play an important

35 role in new particle formation as shown in previous studies in this boreal forest. Our study shows the full characterization of the gaseous organic compounds in the boreal forest and the advantages of combining Vocus and MION API-ToF for measuring ambient organic compounds with different oxidation extent (from VOCs to HOMs). The results therefore provide a more comprehensive understanding of the molecular composition and volatility of atmospheric organic compounds as well as new insights in interpreting ambient measurements or 40 testing/improving parameterizations in transport and climate models.

1 Introduction

Organic aerosol (OA) has significant impacts on climate (IPCC, 2013), air quality (Boers et al., 2015), and human health (Nel, 2005;Rückerl et al., 2011). Large amounts of biogenic and anthropogenic volatile organic compounds (VOCs) are emitted into the atmosphere (Atkinson and Arey, 2003), with biogenic VOCs (BVOCs) emissions 45 greatly surpassing anthropogenic VOC emissions globally (Heald et al., 2008). The global BVOC emissions are dominated by terpenes (isoprene (C_5H_8), 594 Tg C a⁻¹; monoterpenes ($C_{10}H_{16}$), 95 Tg C a⁻¹; and sesquiterpenes $(C_{15}H_{24})$, 20 Tg C a⁻¹) (Sindelarova et al., 2014), which are mainly emitted by vegetation and can be influenced by meteorological conditions, such as temperature and light (Guenther et al., 1995;Kaser et al., 2013). After emission, they can undergo gas-phase oxidation with ozone (O₃), hydroxyl radical (OH), or nitrate radical (NO₃) forming

- 50 thousands of oxygenated VOCs (i.e., OVOCs) with diverse functionalities that can be grouped into different volatility classes; Intermediate-volatility (IVOC), semi-volatile (SVOC), low-volatility (LVOC), extremely lowvolatility (ELVOC), and ultralow-volatility (ULVOC). Organic compounds with sufficiently low volatility (e.g., LVOC, ELVOC, and ULVOC) can either form new particles or partition into the particle phase contributing to particulate growth and mass (Ehn et al., 2014;Bianchi et al., 2016;Bianchi et al., 2019;Simon et al., 2020;Schervish
- 55 and Donahue, 2020;Kulmala et al., 2013). Recent studies have shown that highly oxygenated organic molecules (HOMs; Bianchi et al., 2019) are a major source of condensing or nucleating compounds and they play an important role in atmospheric new particle formation (Ehn et al., 2014;Bianchi et al., 2016;Kirkby et al., 2016;Tröstl et al., 2016;Bianchi et al., 2019;Kulmala et al., 1998). However, as a result of the complexity and analytical challenges of the precursor VOCs as well as the chemical composition and physicochemical properties of the resulting 60 oxidation products (i.e., OVOCs), skill predicting their effects on air quality and climate is still limited.

65

Mass spectrometric techniques represent one general approach to investigate the chemical composition of organic compounds (Sullivan and Prather, 2005;Nash et al., 2006). One common ionization technique used in aerosol research is chemical ionization (CI; e.g., Caldwell et al., 1989;Ehn et al., 2014;Lopez-Hilfiker et al., 2014; Huang et al., 2019a). It is a soft ionization method (Gross, 2017) that utilizes the reactivity of the analyte towards the reagent ion to ionize molecules via transfer of an electron, proton, or other ions such as bromide and nitrate (Caldwell et al., 1989;Ehn et al., 2014;Sanchez et al., 2016;Yuan et al., 2017;Krechmer et al., 2018). Different chemical ionization mass spectrometers (CIMS) have different capabilities and sensitivities for detecting organic compounds (Riva et al., 2019). Proton-transfer-reaction mass spectrometry (PTR-MS) has been widely used to measure VOCs in the atmosphere (Yuan et al., 2017). The recently developed Vocus PTR time-of-flight

70 mass spectrometer (Vocus PTR-ToF) has greatly enhanced sensitivity due to a newly designed chemical ionization source (Krechmer et al., 2018), and can detect a broader spectrum of VOCs (even diterpenes) and their oxygenated products (up to 6 to 8 oxygen atoms for monoterpene oxidation products; Li et al., 2020b). However, Vocus PTR-ToF is not preferred for detecting HOMs or dimers (Li et al., 2020b;Riva et al., 2019). The potential reason for the latter case could be resulted from the fragmentation inside the instrument (Heinritzi et al., 2016) and/or losses in

- 75 the sampling lines and on the walls of the inlet (Riva et al., 2019). The detection of less oxygenated VOCs (including less oxygenated dimers) and more oxygenated VOCs (including HOMs) can be well achieved by another instrument: an Atmospheric Pressure Interface Time-of-Flight mass spectrometer (API-ToF) coupled to a novel chemical ionization inlet, Multi-scheme chemical IONization inlet (MION; Rissanen et al., 2019). Via the fast switching between multiple reagent ion schemes (i.e., bromide and nitrate), it has been found that MION API-
- 80 ToF is able to provide a more complete picture of the OVOCs for laboratory experiments performed in flow tube reactors (Rissanen et al., 2019). Br-CIMS has been found to have similar or even higher sensitivities than that of iodide-CIMS towards OVOCs depending on humidity (Hyttinen et al., 2018). It has also been used for the detection of hydroperoxyl radicals (Sanchez et al., 2016) and peroxy radicals formed by autoxidation (Rissanen et al., 2019). In addition to the molecular composition of organic compounds itself provided by the abovementioned state-of-
- 85 the-art instruments (i.e., Vocus PTR-ToF and MION API-ToF), these information can also be used in volatility parameterizations to calculate effective saturation mass concentrations (C_{sat}) of individual organic compounds (Li et al., 2016;Donahue et al., 2011;Mohr et al., 2019), which can be then grouped into different volatility classes (or bins), i.e., volatility basis sets (VBS; e.g., Donahue et al., 2006;2011;2012;Cappa and Jimenez, 2010). However, due to the different instrumental capabilities and sensitivities as well as the lack of calibration standards for the
- 90 majority of organic compounds for the different measurement techniques as abovementioned, it still remains challenging to provide a comprehensive understanding of the molecular composition and volatility of both VOCs and OVOCs, particularly in the field. And to our knowledge this has never been achieved so far.

In the present work, we investigate the chemical composition and volatility of gaseous organic compounds (VOCs and OVOCs) measured during April and July 2019 in a boreal forest in Hyytiälä, southern Finland. The capabilities of the recently developed MION API-ToF for measuring ambient OVOCs are reported for the first time. Besides, the molecular composition and volatility of the OVOCs measured by MION API-ToF are compared and complemented with those as well as their precursor VOCs observed with Vocus PTR-ToF. With the combination of the organic compounds measured by both instruments, we present a more comprehensive picture of the molecular composition and volatility of the gaseous organic compounds in this boreal forest.

100 2 Methodology

2.1 Site description

The measurements were conducted between April 16–July 26, 2019 at the University of Helsinki Station for Measuring Ecosystem – Atmosphere Relations (SMEAR) II (Hari and Kulmala, 2005), which is located in a boreal forest in Hyytiälä, southern Finland (61°51'N, 24°17'E, 181 m a.s.l.). This station is dominated by Scots pine (*Pinus sylvestris*), and monoterpenes are found to be the dominating emitted biogenic non-methane VOCs (Barreira et al., 2017;Hakola et al., 2012). The measurement station has been considered as a rural background site (Manninen et al., 2010;Williams et al., 2011), and the nearest big city is Tampere, with more than 200,000 inhabitants and located ~60 km in the SW of our measurement site. A sawmill which is located 6–7 km away to the SE of our measurement site can contribute significantly to the OA loading in the case of SE winds, and the sawmill OA composition has been found to resemble biogenic OA a lot (Liao et al., 2011;Äijälä et al.,

2017;Heikkinen et al., 2020).

2.2 Measurements, quantification, and volatility calculation of gaseous organic compounds

All mass spectrometers were set up in a temperature-controlled measurement container kept at ~ 25 °C. Sampling inlets were located about 1.5 m a.g.l. All data are reported in Eastern European Time (UTC+2).

115 2.2.1 Measurements and quantification of gaseous organic compounds

An API-ToF (Tofwerk Ltd.; equipped with a long ToF with a mass resolving power of ~9000) coupled to a recently developed multi-scheme chemical ionization inlet (MION, Karsa Ltd.; Rissanen et al., 2019) was used to analyze the molecular composition of OVOCs at a time resolution of 30 min. During the 30-min cycles of measurements, MION API-ToF switched modes among nitrate (NO_3^- , 8 min), bromide (Br^- , 8 min), and API (measuring natural

- 120 ions, 10 min) modes, followed by 2 min of <u>ion-filter</u> zeroing for the API mode before switching from API mode to the next mode. More details about the instrument are well described by Rissanen et al. (2019). Gaseous organic compounds were sampled via a stainless steel tube (1 inch outer diameter) of ca. 0.9 m length and a flow rate of 20 L min⁻¹. Due to the large inlet diameter and flow rate, the SVOC and HOM losses are expected to be insignificant. Through the fast switching between the two reagent ion schemes, Br⁻ and NO₃⁻, less oxygenated
- 125 VOCs (including less oxygenated dimers) and more oxygenated VOCs (including HOMs) can be measured, respectively (Rissanen et al., 2019). Data were analysed with the software packages, "tofTools" (developed by Junninen et al. (2010)) and "Labbis" (developed by Karsa Ltd.), which run in the MATLAB environment (MathWorks Inc., USA). Hereafter results from these two reagent ion schemes are abbreviated as MION-Br and MION-NO₃. The quantification of gaseous organic compounds measured with MION-Br and MION-NO₃ was
- **130** calculated as in equation (1) and (2), respectively:

$$[\text{org}] = \frac{\text{org}(\text{Br}^{-})}{\text{Br}^{-} + \text{H}_2 \text{O}(\text{Br}^{-})} \times C_{Br^{-}},$$
(1)
$$[\text{org}] = \frac{\text{org}(\text{NO}_3^{-})}{\sum_{i=0}^{2} (\text{HNO}_2^{-})_i (\text{NO}_2^{-})} \times C_{\text{NO}_3^{-}}.$$
(2)

where [org] is the concentration (unit: cm⁻³) of the gaseous organic compound (obtained from high resolution fitting of each nominal mass) to be quantified; the numerators on the right-hand side are its detected signal clustered 135 with bromide or nitrate, and the denominators are the sum of the reagent ion signals; C_{Br} and C_{NO_2} are the calibration factors representing the sensitivity of organic compound. The two stable isotopes of bromide (⁷⁹Br⁻ and 81 Br⁻) share similar relative isotopic abundance, but only the compound clustered with 79 Br⁻ was used for the quantification (Sanchez et al., 2016), as the calibration factor, C_{Br^-} , was also calculated in a similar way. Following the approach by Rissanen et al. (2019), the calibration factors, C_{Br^-} and $C_{NO_2^-}$, for sulphuric acid (H₂SO₄, 140 compound representing the kinetic limit sensitivity; Viggiano et al., 1997; Berresheim et al., 2000) were determined to be 2.33×10^{11} cm⁻³ and 4.68×10^{10} cm⁻³, respectively. The calibration factors are higher than those reported by Rissanen et al. (2019) due to different instrumental settings and inlet setup. By comparing the ambient H₂SO₄ concentrations measured by MION-Br and MION-NO₃, the median value (0.53) was used to scale down the H_2SO_4 concentration measured by MION-Br, due to that the high RH-water vapor concentrations in the 145 calibration kit ($\sim 5 \times 10^{14-16}$ cm⁻³) mighteould cause some uncertainties in its-the H₂SO₄ calibration factor of MION-Br (Hyttinen et al., 2018; Kürten et al., 2012). However, the MION-Br sensitivity has been found to be invariant with the measured ambient RH at our measurement site (20-100 %) for e.g. hydroperoxyl radicals (Sanchez et al., 2016), and the water clustered with Br⁻ has also been included in the signal normalization of organic compounds to account for the humidity effect on reagent ion competition (see equation (1)). With the maximum sensitivity

- applied, the concentrations therefore represent a lower limit. The uncertainties in the measured organic compound concentrations using calibration factors for H_2SO_4 have been reported to be ± 50 % (Ehn et al., 2014) or a factor of 2 (Berndt et al., 2015). However, the uncertainties could be higher with variations in e.g. temperature and relative humidity (RH) in the field.
- A Vocus PTR-ToF (Aerodyne Research Inc.; hereafter Vocus) was deployed to measure VOCs and less
 oxygenated VOCs at a time resolution of 5 s. During the measurements, the Vocus ionization source was operated at a pressure of 1.5 mbar. The ambient air was sampled via a polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) tube of ca. 1 m length and a total sample flow of 4.5 L min⁻¹. Of the total sample flow, around 100–150 cm³ min⁻¹ went into the Vocus and the remainder was directed to the exhaust. The Vocus was automatically calibrated every three hours using a multi-component standard cylinder. The standard gases were diluted by the injection of zero air with a built-in active carbon filter, producing the VOCs mixing ratio of around 5 ppb. The sensitivity of VOCs measured by PTR instruments has been shown to relate to their elemental composition and functionality (Sekimoto et al., 2017). Some compounds were calibrated using authentic standards, including isoprene, monoterpenes, and some aromatic
- compounds. Compounds without authentic standards were divided into four different molecular groups, the CH (compounds with only carbon and hydrogen atoms), CHO (compounds with only carbon, hydrogen, and oxygen atoms), CHON (compounds with only carbon, hydrogen, oxygen, and nitrogen atoms), and others. Compounds with the formula of CH and CHO were quantified with the average sensitivities of the standards CH and CHO, respectively. For the groups of CHON and others, there was no standard available in the calibration mixture. We used the average sensitivity of all the CH and CHO standards to quantify CHON compounds and others.
- Quantification using the relationship between the kinetic reaction rate constants and calibrated sensitivity
 (Sekimoto et al., 2017; Yuan et al., 2017) did not show huge differences (slopes between 0.59–0.75; see Figure S2S1) for the concentrations of several CH species (e.g., sesquiterpenes and diterpenes) and several dominant CHO and CHON species (e.g., C₇H₁₀O₄, C₈H₁₂O₄, and C₁₀H₁₅NO₆₋₇), compared to the above-mentioned quantification method we used. The Vocus data analysis was performed using the software package "Tofware" (provided by Tofwerk Ltd.) that runs in the Igor Pro environment (WaveMetrics Inc., USA). Signals were pre-averaged over 30 min before the analysis. We stress here that the fragmentation of organic compounds inside the
- 175 averaged over 30 min before the analysis. We stress here that the fragmentation of organic compounds inside the instrument (Heinritzi et al., 2016) may bias the signals of parent ions towards lower values and the signals of fragment ions towards higher values.

When combining the organic compounds measured by the three different ionization techniques (i.e., MION-Br, MION-NO₃, and Vocus), for organic compounds observed in all ionization techniques the highest
180 concentration was used. Background subtraction was performed for all spectra and therefore a lower signal for the same compound detected by any of the ionization techniques suggests a lower ionisation efficiency of the corresponding method (Stolzenburg et al., 2018).

2.2.2 Volatility calculation of gaseous organic compounds

185

Effective saturation mass concentrations (
$$C_{sat}$$
), a measure for volatility of a compound, were parameterized for each organic compound using the approach by Li et al. (2016) as in equation (3):

$$\log_{10} C_{\text{sat}} (298 \text{ K}) = (n_{\text{C}}^0 - n_{\text{C}}) b_{\text{C}} - n_0 b_0 - 2 \frac{n_{\text{C}} n_0}{(n_{\text{C}} + n_0)} b_{\text{C}0} - n_{\text{N}} b_{\text{N}} - n_{\text{S}} b_{\text{S}}$$
(3)

where $n_{\rm C}$, $n_{\rm O}$, $n_{\rm N}$, and $n_{\rm S}$ are the number of carbon, oxygen, nitrogen, and sulfur atoms in the organic compound, respectively; $n_{\rm C}^0$ is the reference carbon number; $b_{\rm C}$, $b_{\rm O}$, $b_{\rm N}$, and $b_{\rm S}$ are the contribution of each atom to $\log_{10}C_{\rm sat}$,

respectively; b_{CO} is the carbon-oxygen nonideality (Donahue et al., 2011). These "b" values depend on the

- 190 composition of precursor gases, depending largely on whether the precursors are aliphatic (including terpenes) or aromatic. In addition to being derived from literature structure activity relations (i.e., SIMPOL; Pankow and Asher, 2008), the relations have been quantitatively confirmed for both aliphatic and aromatic systems using filter inlet for gases and aerosols (FIGAERO) thermal desorption CIMS measurements on carefully controlled precursor oxidation experiments at the CLOUD (Cosmics Leaving Outdoor Droplets) facility at CERN (European
- 195 Organization for Nuclear Research) (Ye et al., 2019; Wang et al., 2020). For the boreal forest conditions in this work we use the aliphatic (more volatile) parameterization and these "b" values can be found in Li et al. (2016). Due to that the empirical approach by Li et al. (2016) was derived with very few organonitrates and could therefore lead to bias for the estimated vapor pressure (Isaacman-VanWertz and Aumont, 2020), we modified the C_{sat} (298 K) of CHON compounds by replacing all NO₃ groups as OH groups (Daumit et al., 2013).
- 200 To obtain the C_{sat} (T), we adjusted the C_{sat} (298 K) (Donahue et al., 2011;Epstein et al., 2010) to the measured ambient temperature as in equations (4) and (5):

$$\log_{10} C_{\text{sat}}(T) = \log_{10} C_{\text{sat}}(298 \text{ K}) + \frac{\Delta H_{\text{vap}}}{R\ln(10)} \left(\frac{1}{298} - \frac{1}{T}\right),\tag{4}$$

(5)

$$\Delta H_{\rm vap}(\rm kJ\ mol^{-1}) = -11 \cdot \log_{10} C_{\rm sat}(298\ \rm K) + 129.$$

where T is the temperature in Kelvin; C_{sat} (298 K) is the saturation mass concentrations at 298 K; ΔH_{vap} is the vaporization enthalpy; *R* is the gas constant (8.3143 J K^{-1} mol⁻¹).

Uncertainties arising from the potential presence of isomers is limited within this dataset, since they cannot be differentiated using the formula-based parameterization with the only input being the molecular composition. Accuracy to within 1 order of magnitude for terpene oxidation products has been confirmed by calibrated thermal desorption measurement (Wang et al., 2020) and by closure with size-resolved growth rate measurements at the

205

210 CLOUD experiment (Stolzenburg et al., 2018). Besides, the fragmentation of organic compounds inside the instruments (e.g., Vocus) may also bias the C_{sat} results towards higher volatilities, resulted from the signal bias of parent ions towards lower values and of fragment ions towards higher values (Heinritzi et al., 2016).

2.3 Additional co-located measurements

fluorescence analyzer (TEI 43CTL, Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc.).

The meteorological parameters were continuously monitored at this measurement site. Temperature was monitored 215 with a Pt100 sensor (Platinum resistance thermometer with a resistance of 100 ohms (Ω) at 0 °C) inside ventilated custom-made radiation shield, while wind directions and wind speed with a 2D Ultrasonic anemometer (Adolf Thies GmbH & Co. KG), and the global radiation with an EQ08 pyranometer (Carter-Scott Manufacturing Pty. Ltd.). The main wind direction above the canopy during the measurement period was southwest (see Figure \$3\$2). The mixing ratios of ozone (O_3) and nitrogen oxides (NO and NO₂) were measured with an ultraviolet light 220 absorption analyzer (TEI 49C, Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc.) and a chemiluminescence analyzer (TEI 42CTL, Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc.), respectively. The mixing ratios of sulfur dioxide (SO₂) were measured with a

An aerosol chemical speciation monitor (ACSM; Aerodyne Research Inc.; Ng et al., 2011) was deployed to continuously measure the non-refractory sub-micrometer aerosol particle chemical composition. The ACSM, 225 which contains a quadrupole mass spectrometer, provided unit-mass resolution mass spectra every 30 min. This information was chemically speciated to organic, sulfate, nitrate, ammonium, and chloride concentrations by the ACSM analysis software. The mass concentrations of each species were calculated based on frequently conducted ionization efficiency calibrations. The data were corrected for collection efficiency, which was ca. 60 % during the measurement period. The sampling was conducted through a $PM_{2.5}$ cyclone and a Nafion dryer (RH < 30 %)

230 with a stainless steel tube of ca. 3 m length and a flow rate of 3 L min⁻¹ (only 1.4 cm³ s⁻¹ into the ACSM). The recorded data were analyzed using the ACSM local v. 1.6.0.3 toolkit (provided by Aerodyne Research Inc.) within the Igor Pro v. 6.37 (Wavemetrics Inc., USA). More details about ACSM operation and data processing can be found in Heikkinen et al. (2020).

3 Results and discussion

235 3.1 Overview of the measurements

Figure 1 shows the overview of the time series of meteorological parameters (temperature, global radiation, and wind direction and wind speed), trace gas concentrations (SO₂, O₃, NO, and NO₂), and total gaseous organic compounds measured by MION-Br, MION-NO₃, and Vocus, as well as total particulate organics measured by ACSM. Note that relatively long-lived compounds like ethanol, acetone, and acetic acid, are excluded from Vocus 240 data presented in this study in order to focus on compounds actively involved in the fast photochemistry (all excluded compounds are listed in Table S1 and the time series of total organic compound concentrations including them are shown in Figure <u>\$4\$3</u>). As we can see from Figure 1a, most of the measurement days had strong photochemical activity with ambient temperature exhibiting clear diurnal patterns ranging between -3 and 32 °C. In general, the time series of the total organics (both gas phase and particle phase; see Fig. 1e-f) measured by 245 MION-Br, MION-NO₃, Vocus, and ACSM were similar during the measurement period. Elevated levels of total gaseous and particulate organics (e.g., May 17–24 and June 7–10; see Fig. 1e–f) were observed at warmer days with strong global radiation and the main wind direction coming from southeast (the direction of the sawmill; for e.g. May 17-24) or southwest (for e.g. June 7-10; see Fig. 1a-b). Besides, higher concentrations of oxidants of VOCs (such as O₃) and/or anthropogenic pollutants (such as SO₂ and NO_x) also followed some of the elevated 250 concentrations of gaseous and/or particulate organics (e.g., April 19-May 3, May 17-24, and June 7-10; see Fig. 1c-d). The observations of the elevated organics could be resulted from higher VOC emissions (e.g., terpenes, the typically observed VOCs, Li et al., 2020a; Figure <u>\$4\$3</u>) influenced by meteorological conditions (i.e., temperature and/or light; Guenther et al., 1995;Kaser et al., 2013), different air mass origins (e.g., terpene pollutions from the sawmill in the case of SE winds; Liao et al., 2011;Äijälä et al., 2017;Heikkinen et al., 2020), as well as chemistry 255 initiated by/related with different trace gases (Yan et al., 2016;Massoli et al., 2018;Huang et al., 2019b;Heikkinen et al., 2020). The results suggest the important roles meteorological parameters, trace gases, and air masses play in the emission and oxidation reactions of organic compounds. Due to the soft ionization processes of organic molecules in the Vocus, MION-Br, and MION-NO₃, molecular composition of organic compounds was obtained. In the next section we will discuss the molecular composition of gaseous organic compounds measured by Vocus,

260 MION-Br, and MION-NO₃.

3.2 Molecular composition of gaseous organic compounds

During the measurement period, Vocus identified 72 CH compounds $(C_{x\geq 1}H_{y\geq 1})$ and 431 CHOX compounds $(C_{x\geq 1}H_{y\geq 1}O_{z\geq 1}X_{0-n})$, with X being different atoms like N, S, or a combination thereof, while MION-Br and MION-NO₃ detected 567 and 687 CHOX compounds, respectively. Substantial overlaps of organic compounds were

- 265 observed for these three ionization techniques while distinct organic compounds were also detected with individual method (Figure <u>\$1\$4</u>). The average mass-weighted chemical compositions for organic compounds measured by Vocus, MION-Br, and MION-NO3 were C5.3H7.5O1.1N0.1, C6.7H10.7O4.3N0.3, and C7.5H11.4O5.4N0.3, respectively. We stress here that the fragmentation of organic compounds inside the Vocus may bias the chemical composition towards shorter carbon backbone. And the average mass-weighted chemical composition representing the bulk of
- 270 all measured gaseous organic compounds (with the approach described in section 2.2.1) in this boreal forest was calculated to be C_{6.0}H_{8.7}O_{1.2}N_{0.1}, indicative of the short carbon backbone and relatively low oxidation extent. Similar to previous laboratory results (Riva et al., 2019), MION-NO3 observed the most oxidized compounds with the highest elemental oxygen-to-carbon ratios (O:C; 0.9 ± 0.1 , average ± 1 standard deviation), followed by the MION-Br (0.8 ± 0.1); the O:C of the organics detected by Vocus were lowest (0.2 ± 0.1). In addition, CHO group 275 comprised the largest fraction of the total organic compounds (Vocus: 43.6 ± 9.4 %; MION-Br: 75.4 ± 5.3 %; MION-NO₃: 71.8 \pm 7.9 %; see Table 1). The second most abundant group for Vocus was CH group making up 35.2 ± 15.1 % of its total organic compounds; while it was CHON group for MION-Br (24.1 ± 5.2 %) and MION-NO₃ (28.1 ± 7.9 %; see Table 1), indicating active NO_x or NO₃ radical related chemistry (Yan et al., 2016). CHON group only accounted for 8.1 ± 2.7 % of the total organic compounds measured by Vocus, possibly due to its lower 280 sensitivity towards larger organonitrates (see also Fig. S5) caused by their losses in the sampling lines and on the walls of the inlet (Riva et al., 2019) and/or fragmentation inside the instrument (Heinritzi et al., 2016).

The mass defect plots for organic compounds measured by Vocus, MION-Br, and MION-NO3 are shown in Figure 2. Similar to previous studies (e.g., Yan et al., 2016;Li et al., 2020a), multiple series of organic compounds with different number of carbon atoms (such as C₅, C₁₀, C₁₅, and C₂₀) and oxygen atoms (up to 20; see also Fig. 285 S5) were measured in this boreal forest environment. Organics with the lowest oxidation extent were better observed by Vocus, while organics with the largest molecular weights and highest oxidation extent were better observed by MION-NO₃ (Fig. 2a). Figure 2b shows the mass defect plots of organic compounds grouped into

different categories. The markers are color-coded with different compound groups, such as CH, CHO, CHON, and

- others. The size of the markers is proportional to the logarithm of the concentration of each compound. Generally, 290 similar to previous laboratory results (Riva et al., 2019; Rissanen et al., 2019), Vocus and MION-Br detected better the CHO compounds in the mass range of 50-100 Da and CHON compounds in the mass range of 50-150 Da, and MION-Br even CHON compounds in the mass range of 350-425 Da, which are most likely to be less oxygenated monomers or dimers; while MION-NO3 was more sensitive towards the CHO and CHON compounds in the mass range of 425-600 Da, which are most likely to be more oxygenated HOM dimers (see Fig. 2b and Fig. S5).
- 295 We further investigated the contributions of the measured CHOX compounds with different number of oxygen atoms per molecule to total CHOX compounds as a function of the number of carbon atoms (Figure 3). Organic compounds which were detected with higher sensitivity by Vocus were those with the number of carbon atoms between 3 and 10 and the number of oxygen atoms between 1 and 3 (i.e., less oxygenated monomers); compounds with larger number of carbon atoms (i.e., >10) and oxygen atoms (i.e., >3) were much better detected by MION-
- 300 Br and MION-NO₃; the former particularly for CHON compounds with the number of carbon atoms between 15 and 20 and oxygen atoms between 4 and 8 (i.e., larger less oxygenated monomers and dimers; see Fig. S5b) and the latter particularly for compounds with the number of oxygen atoms larger than 9 (i.e., HOM monomers and dimers; Rissanen et al., 2019; Riva et al., 2019; Li et al., 2020b; see Fig. 3 and Fig. S5). In the MION-Br and MION-NO₃ data, CHOX compounds with the number of carbon atoms of 5, 10, 15, and even 20 exhibited relatively elevated contributions compared to their neighbours (Fig. 3), indicating contributions of their potential

corresponding precursors, i.e., isoprene, monoterpenes, sesquiterpenes, and diterpenes (together accounting for 38.3 ± 12.5 % of total CH compounds; see Table S2, Fig. <u>84S3</u>, and Fig. S6). We emphasize here that using the number of carbon atoms as a basis to relate the CHOX to their precursor VOCs is a simplified assumption, as negative or positive artifacts can arise from fragmentation or accretion reactions (Lee et al., 2016). Similar pattern

310 was also observed by Huang et al. (2019a) in a rural area in southwest Germany, based on filter inlet for gases and aerosols high-resolution time-of-flight chemical ionization mass spectrometer (FIGAERO-HR-ToF-CIMS) data. The consistency and complement of the results demonstrate the different capabilities of these instruments for

3.3 Diurnal characteristics of gaseous organic compounds

315 Median diurnal variations of total CH, total CHO, and total CHON compounds measured by Vocus, MION-Br, and MION-NO₃ are shown in Figure 4. In general, the CH and CHO group measured by Vocus exhibited higher levels during the night (see Fig. 4a–b), mainly driven by the boundary layer height dynamics (Baumbach and Vogt, 2003;Zha et al., 2018). Besides, CHO compounds measured by Vocus were dominated by O₁₋₂ compounds (see Fig. 3 and Fig. S5) and have also been reported to follow more the CH trends (Li et al., 2020b). Their relatively

measuring gaseous organic compounds with different oxidation extent (from VOCs to HOMs).

- 320 flat diurnal pattern could be resulted from the smearing effect after summing up the much less oxygenated CHO molecules (mostly peak at night) and comparatively more oxygenated CHO molecules (mostly peak during daytime) (Li et al., 2020b). In contrast, the CHO and CHON group measured by MION-Br and MION-NO₃ exhibited higher levels during the day (see Fig. 4b), due to strong photochemical oxidation caused by different meteorological parameters (i.e., temperature and global radiation; see Fig. 1a and Fig. S7) and/or elevated trace
- 325 gas levels (e.g., O₃ and SO₂; see Fig. 1c and Fig. S7; Yan et al., 2016;Massoli et al., 2018;Huang et al., 2019b;Bianchi et al., 2017). However, the CHON group measured by Vocus showed relatively stable signals throughout the day (see Fig. 4c). The potential reason could be partly due to its lower sensitivity towards larger organonitrates (see Fig. S5) caused by their losses in the sampling lines and on the walls of the inlet (Riva et al., 2019) and/or their fragmentation inside the instrument (Heinritzi et al., 2016). Another potential reason could be
- 330 resulted from the smearing effect after summing up the much less oxygenated CHON molecules (mostly peak at night or early morning) and comparatively more oxygenated CHON molecules (mostly peak during daytime) (Li et al., 2020b).

Different diurnal patterns among different measurement techniques can also be found for individual organic compounds with the same molecular formula, such as several dominant CHO and CHON species, C7H10O4 335 (molecular formula corresponding to 3,6-oxoheptanoic acid identified in the laboratory as limonene oxidation product by Faxon et al., 2018;Hammes et al., 2019), C8H12O4 (molecular formula corresponding to terpenylic acid identified in monoterpene oxidation product by Zhang et al., 2015; Hammes et al., 2019), and $C_{10}H_{15}NO_{6-7}$ (identified in the laboratory as monoterpene oxidation products by Boyd et al., 2015; Faxon et al., 2018; see Figure 5). The inconsistent trends in time series and the varying correlations of these above-mentioned dominant CHO 340 and CHON species indicate different isomer contributions detected by different measurement techniques (Figure S8 and Table S3). Similar behaviors were also evident for OVOCs with varying oxidation extent, like the terpenerelated C_xHO and C_xHON compounds (x = 5, 10, 15, and 20; see Figure. S9), which in total accounted for up to 27 % and 39 % of their corresponding CHO and CHON groups (see Table S2). Most of the terpene-related C_xHO(N) groups (x = 5, 10, 15, and 20) with different oxidation extent behaved similar among different measurement techniques, but some were also found to vary (see Fig. S9). Compounds with the same number of carbon and 345

oxygen atoms but different hydrogen atoms (i.e., different saturation level) were also found to behave differently (see Fig. S9c–d), possibly due to different chemistry involved in their formation (Zhao et al., 2018; Molteni et al., 2019). Even compounds with the same molecular formula varied among different measurement techniques (see Fig. S9c–d and also Fig. 5). This-The differences can be likely resulted from contributions of compounds with

350 same number of carbon and oxygen atoms but different hydrogen atoms (i.e., different saturation level), different isomers detected by the different techniques, and/or fragmentation products from different parent compounds inside the instruments (e.g., Heinritzi et al., 2016;Zhang et al., 2017).

The results indicate that organic compounds may behave differently among different measurement techniques during different time period. In the next section, we will investigate the volatility of these gaseous organic compounds, which can influence their lifetime and roles in the atmosphere.

3.4 Volatility of organic compounds

355

Based on the log₁₀C_{sat} values of all organic compounds parameterized with the modified Li et al. (2016) approach (Daumit et al., 2013;Isaacman-VanWertz and Aumont, 2020) described in section 2.2.2, they were grouped into a 25-bin volatility basis set (VBS; Donahue et al., 2006) (Figure 6a). Organic compounds with C_{sat} lower than 10^{-8.5} µg m⁻³, between 10^{-8.5} and 10^{-4.5} µg m⁻³, between 10^{-0.5} µg m⁻³, between 10^{-0.5} µg m⁻³, between 10^{-0.5} and 10^{2.5} µg m⁻³, between 10^{2.5} and 10^{6.5} µg m⁻³, and higher than 10^{6.5} µg m⁻³ are termed ULVOC, ELVOC, LVOC, SVOC, IVOC, and VOC, respectively (Donahue et al., 2009;Schervish and Donahue, 2020). The VBS resulting pie charts for these compound groups and their mean contributions are shown in Figure 6b–d and Table 2. Organic compounds with C_{sat} of 10⁴ µg m⁻³ made up the biggest mass contributions for MION-Br and MION-NO₃, and the dominating C_{sat} bin measured by Vocus was organic compounds with C_{sat} of 10⁶ µg m⁻³, whereas MION-NO₃ higher contributions of VOC with C_{sat} higher than 10⁸ µg m⁻³ (See Fig. 6a). And MION-NO₃ observed much higher contributions of compounds with C_{sat} between 10⁻⁷ and 10⁷ µg m⁻³. We stress here that the

370 IVOC, which include generally less oxygenated VOCs, comprised the significant fraction of total organics (Vocus: $45.8 \pm 5.4 \%$; MION-Br: $65.8 \pm 8.5 \%$; MION-NO₃: $56.3 \pm 10.6 \%$), indicating substantial oxidation extent of the precursor VOC, which made up $53.7 \pm 5.5 \%$ of the total organics measured by Vocus but much less by MION-Br ($10.4 \pm 8.2 \%$) and MION-NO₃ ($5.4 \pm 2.4 \%$; see Fig. 6b–d and Table 2). SVOC, which include slightly more oxygenated VOCs, constituted substantially (Vocus: $0.4 \pm 0.2 \%$; MION-Br: $16.2 \pm 4.9 \%$; MION-NO₃: 23.9

fragmentation of organic compounds inside the Vocus may bias the C_{sat} results towards higher volatilities.

- 375 ± 5.1 %) to the measured organic compounds. LVOC and ELVOC, which include OVOCs with higher oxidation degrees and mainly contribute to the growth of embryonic clusters in the atmosphere (Donahue et al., 2012;Bianchi et al., 2019), accounted for >8 % of the corresponding total organics measured by MION-Br and MION-NO₃; while ULVOC, which include OVOCs with even higher oxidation extent that are the most effective drivers of pure biogenic nucleation (Schervish and Donahue, 2020;Simon et al., 2020), accounted for 0.5 \pm 0.6 % of total organics
- 380 measured by MION-NO₃ (see Fig. 6b–d and Table 2). Differences in the contribution of these compound groups (Fig. 6b–d and Table 2) could be due to different sensitivities of the instruments towards organic compounds with varying oxidation extent (Riva et al., 2019).

With the complementary molecular information of organic compounds from Vocus, MION-Br, and MION-NO₃, a combined volatility distribution was plotted to <u>obtain-estimate</u> the bulk volatility of all measured organic

- 385 compounds (with the approach described in section 2.2.1) at our measurement site (Figure 7). The combined volatility distribution covers very well from VOCs to HOMs, with varying O:C ratios and volatility ranges (Figure 7a). It therefore provides a more complete picture of the volatility distribution of gaseous organic compounds in this boreal forest. The average mass-weighted $\log_{10}C_{sat}$ value representing the bulk of all measured gaseous organic compounds in this boreal forest was ~6.1 µg m⁻³. In general, MION-NO₃ measured >91 % of the ULVOC while
- MION-Br measured >70 % of the ELVOC, and Vocus >98 % of the IVOC, and VOC (Figure S10). As we can see from Fig. 7b, organic compounds with C_{sat} of 10⁶ µg m⁻³ accounted for the biggest contributions. T<u>i</u>he VOC class was found to be the most abundant (about 53.2 %), followed by the IVOC (about 45.9 %), indicating that the bulk gaseous organic compounds observed in this boreal forest were relatively fresh, which is also consistent with the bulk molecular composition's relatively low oxidation extent. Differences of the bulk volatility of organic compounds between daytime (between 10:00 and 17:00) and nighttime (between 22:00 and 05:00) were not significant (Figure S11). Given the location of the measurement station that is inside a boreal-forested area, the gaseous organic compounds were expected to be dominated by VOC and IVOC. The abundance of the CH compounds such as terpenes (see Table 1, Table S2, Fig. <u>84S3</u>, and Fig. S6) as well as less oxygenated VOC (see
- Fig. 3 and Fig. S5) support this conclusion. Although the condensable vapors (LVOC, ELVOC, and ULVOC) only
 comprised about 0.2 % of the total gaseous organic compounds, they contribute significantly to forming new particles via nucleation and further particulate growth and mass via condensation in this boreal forest (Kulmala et al., 2013;Ehn et al., 2014;Mohr et al., 2019). The results from the combined VBS could provide a better basis to test and improve parameterizations for predicting organic compound evolutions in transport and climate models.

4 Conclusions

- In this paper, with an aim of obtaining a <u>more</u> complete picture from VOCs to HOMs, the molecular composition and volatility of gaseous organic compounds were investigated with the deployment of a Vocus and a MION API-ToF during April–July 2019 at the SMEAR II station situated in a boreal forest in Hyytiälä, southern Finland. Similar to previous laboratory results (Riva et al., 2019), highest elemental O:C ratios of organic compounds were observed by the MION-NO₃ (0.9 ± 0.1), followed by the MION-Br (0.8 ± 0.1), and lowest by the Vocus ($0.2 \pm$
- 410 0.1). Different from the pattern observed by Vocus which were mostly dominated by compounds with the number of carbon atoms between 3 and 10 and the number of oxygen atoms between 1 and 3 (i.e., less oxygenated monomers), compounds with larger number of carbon atoms (i.e., >10) and oxygen atoms (i.e., >3) were much better detected by MION-Br (particularly for larger less oxygenated monomers and dimers) and MION-NO₃ (particularly for HOM monomers and dimers). The average mass-weighted chemical composition representing the
- bulk of all measured gaseous organic compounds in this boreal forest was C_{6.0}H_{8.7}O_{1.2}N_{0.1}, indicative of the short carbon backbone and relatively low oxidation extent. Besides, diurnal patterns of the measured organic compounds were found to vary among different measurement techniques, even for compounds with the same molecular formula. The results indicate contributions of different isomers detected by the different techniques and/or fragmentation products from different parent compounds inside the instruments (e.g., Heinritzi et al., 2016;Zhang et al., 2017).
 - From the more complete picture of the bulk volatility of all measured organic compounds in this boreal forest, the average mass-weighted $\log_{10}C_{sat}$ value representing the bulk of all measured gaseous organic compounds in this boreal forest was ~6.1 µg m⁻³. In addition, the VOC class was found to be the most abundant (about 53.2 %),

followed by the IVOC (about 45.9 %), indicating that the bulk gaseous organic compounds were relatively fresh,

425 consistent with the bulk molecular composition's relatively low oxidation extent. Although condensable organic compounds (LVOC, ELVOC, and ULVOC) only comprised about 0.2 % of the total gaseous organic compounds, they play an important role, forming new particles via nucleation and contributing to particulate growth and mass via condensation in this boreal forest (Kulmala et al., 2013;Ehn et al., 2014;Mohr et al., 2019).

The results show the full characterization of the gaseous organic compounds in the boreal forest, and the 430 advantages of combining Vocus and MION API-ToF for measuring ambient gaseous organic compounds with different oxidation extent (from VOCs to HOMs). Our study provides a more comprehensive understanding of the molecular composition and volatility of atmospheric organic compounds, as well as new insights when interpreting ambient measurements or using them as input to test and improve parameterizations for predicting organic compound evolutions in transport and climate models.

435 Data availability

Data are available upon request to the corresponding authors.

Author contributions

WH analyzed the MION API-ToF data, produced all figures, and wrote and edited the paper; HL operated and calibrated Vocus, analysed the Vocus data, provided suggestions for the data analysis, interpretation and discussion, and edited the paper; NS operated and calibrated MION API-ToF, preprocessed the MION API-ToF data, and provided suggestions for the data analysis, interpretation, and discussion; LH performed ACSM measurements, analyzed the ACSM data, and provided suggestions for the data interpretation and discussion; JM helped with the MION measurements and provided suggestions for the data interpretation and discussion; SJT helped with the Vocus measurements; NMD
provided suggestions for the data interpretation and discussion; MK organized the campaign and provided

suggestions for the data interpretation and discussion; MK organized the campaign and provided suggestions for the data interpretation and discussion; FB organized the campaign, provided suggestions for the data analysis, interpretation, and discussion, and edited the paper. All authors contributed to the final text.

Competing interests

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

450 Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the staff at INAR. Hyytiälä personnel is acknowledged for their help in conducting the measurements. J. Hakala is acknowledged for his help with MION measurements. J. Ma is acknowledged for his technical help with data analysis. We thank the tofTools team and Karsa labbis team for providing tools for mass spectrometry data analysis. This work was supported by the Academy of Finland (Nr. 311932), the European

Research Council with the grant CHAPAs (Nr. 850614), European Research Council via ATM-GTP 266 (742206),Jane and Aatos Erkko Foundation, and US NSF grant AGS1801897.

References

- Äijälä, M., Heikkinen, L., Fröhlich, R., Canonaco, F., Prévôt, A. S. H., Junninen, H., Petäjä, T., Kulmala, M., Worsnop,D., and Ehn, M.: Resolving anthropogenic aerosol pollution types deconvolution and exploratory classification
- of pollution events, Atmos Chem Phys, 17, 3165–3197, <u>https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-17-3165-2017</u>, 2017.
 Atkinson, R., and Arey, J.: Atmospheric degradation of volatile organic compounds, Chem Rev, 103, 4605–4638, <u>https://doi.org/10.1021/cr0206420</u>, 2003.
 - Barreira, L. M. F., Duporte, G., Parshintsev, J., Hartonen, K., Jussila, M., Aalto, J., Back, J., Kulmala, M., and Riekkola,M. L.: Emissions of biogenic volatile organic compounds from the boreal forest floor and understory: a study by
- 465 solid-phase microextraction and portable gas chromatography-mass spectrometry, Boreal Environ Res, 22, 393– 413, 2017.
 - Baumbach, G., and Vogt, U.: Influence of inversion layers on the distribution of air pollutants in urban areas, Water, Air, & Soil Pollution: Focus, 3, 67–78, <u>https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1026098305581</u>, 2003.
- Berresheim, H., Elste, T., Plass-Dülmer, C., Eisele, F. L., and Tanner, D. J.: Chemical ionization mass spectrometer470 for long-term measurements of atmospheric OH and H2SO4, Int J Mass Spectrom, 202, 91-109,

https://doi.org/10.1016/S1387-3806(00)00233-5, 2000.

- Berndt, T., Richters, S., Kaethner, R., Voigtlander, J., Stratmann, F., Sipila, M., Kulmala, M., and Herrmann, H.: Gasphase ozonolysis of cycloalkenes: formation of highly oxidized RO2 radicals and their reactions with NO, NO2, SO2, and other RO2 radicals, J Phys Chem A, 119, 10336–10348, https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpca.5b07295, 2015.
- 475 Bianchi, F., Tröstl, J., Junninen, H., Frege, C., Henne, S., Hoyle, C. R., Molteni, U., Herrmann, E., Adamov, A., Bukowiecki, N., Chen, X., Duplissy, J., Gysel, M., Hutterli, M., Kangasluoma, J., Kontkanen, J., Kürten, A., Manninen, H. E., Münch, S., Peräkylä, O., Petäjä, T., Rondo, L., Williamson, C., Weingartner, E., Curtius, J., Worsnop, D. R., Kulmala, M., Dommen, J., and Baltensperger, U.: New particle formation in the free troposphere: a question of chemistry and timing, Science, 352, 1109–1112, https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aad5456 2016.
- 480 Bianchi, F., Garmash, O., He, X. C., Yan, C., Iyer, S., Rosendahl, I., Xu, Z. N., Rissanen, M. P., Riva, M., Taipale, R., Sarnela, N., Petaja, T., Worsnop, D. R., Kulmala, M., Ehn, M., and Junninen, H.: The role of highly oxygenated molecules (HOMs) in determining the composition of ambient ions in the boreal forest, Atmos Chem Phys, 17, 13819–13831, <u>https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-17-13819-2017</u>, 2017.
 - Bianchi, F., Kurtén, T., Riva, M., Mohr, C., Rissanen, M. P., Roldin, P., Berndt, T., Crounse, J. D., Wennberg, P. O.,
- 485 Mentel, T. F., Wildt, J., Junninen, H., Jokinen, T., Kulmala, M., Worsnop, D. R., Thornton, J. A., Donahue, N., Kjaergaard, H. G., and Ehn, M.: Highly oxygenated organic molecules (HOM) from gas-phase autoxidation involving peroxy radicals: a key contributor to atmospheric aerosol, Chem Rev, 119, 3472–3509, https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.chemrev.8b00395, 2019.

Boers, R., van Weele, M., van Meijgaard, E., Savenije, M., Siebesma, A. P., Bosveld, F., and Stammes, P.:

- 490 Observations and projections of visibility and aerosol optical thickness (1956-2100) in the Netherlands: impacts of time-varying aerosol composition and hygroscopicity, Environmental Research Letters, 10, 015003, https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/10/1/015003, 2015.
 - Boyd, C. M., Sanchez, J., Xu, L., Eugene, A. J., Nah, T., Tuet, W. Y., Guzman, M. I., and Ng, N. L.: Secondary organic aerosol formation from the beta-pinene+NO3 system: effect of humidity and peroxy radical fate, Atmos
- 495 Chem Phys, 15, 7497–7522, <u>https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-15-7497-2015</u>, 2015.

Caldwell, G. W., Masucci, J. A., and Ikonomou, M. G.: Negative-ion chemical ionization mass-spectrometry binding of molecules to bromide and iodide Anions, Org Mass Spectrom, 24, 8–14, https://doi.org/10.1002/oms.1210240103, 1989.

Cappa, C. D., and Jimenez, J. L.: Quantitative estimates of the volatility of ambient organic aerosol, Atmos Chem
Phys, 10, 5409–5424, <u>https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-10-5409-2010</u>, 2010.

- Daumit, K. E., Kessler, S. H., and Kroll, J. H.: Average chemical properties and potential formation pathways of highly oxidized organic aerosol, Faraday Discuss, 165, 181-202, https://doi.org/10.1039/C3FD00045A, 2013.
- Donahue, N. M., Robinson, A. L., Stanier, C. O., and Pandis, S. N.: Coupled partitioning, dilution, and chemical aging of semivolatile organics, Environ Sci Technol, 40, 2635–2643, <u>https://doi.org/10.1021/es052297c</u>, 2006.
- 505 Donahue, N. M., Robinson, A. L., and Pandis, S. N.: Atmospheric organic particulate matter: from smoke to secondary organic aerosol, Atmos Environ, 43, 94–106, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2008.09.055, 2009.
 - Donahue, N. M., Epstein, S. A., Pandis, S. N., and Robinson, A. L.: A two-dimensional volatility basis set: 1. organicaerosol mixing thermodynamics, Atmos Chem Phys, 11, 3303–3318, <u>https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-11-3303-2011</u>, 2011.
- 510 Donahue, N. M., Kroll, J. H., Pandis, S. N., and Robinson, A. L.: A two-dimensional volatility basis set Part 2: diagnostics of organic-aerosol evolution, Atmos Chem Phys, 12, 615–634, <u>https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-12-615-2012</u>, 2012.
 - Ehn, M., Thornton, J. A., Kleist, E., Sipilä, M., Junninen, H., Pullinen, I., Springer, M., Rubach, F., Tillmann, R., Lee,B., Lopez-Hilfiker, F., Andres, S., Acir, I. H., Rissanen, M., Jokinen, T., Schobesberger, S., Kangasluoma, J.,
- 515 Kontkanen, J., Nieminen, T., Kurtén, T., Nielsen, L. B., Jørgensen, S., Kjaergaard, H. G., Canagaratna, M., Dal Maso, M., Berndt, T., Petäjä, T., Wahner, A., Kerminen, V. M., Kulmala, M., Worsnop, D. R., Wildt, J., and Mentel, T. F.: A large source of low-volatility secondary organic aerosol, Nature, 506, 476–479, https://doi.org/10.1038/nature13032, 2014.

Epstein, S. A., Riipinen, I., and Donahue, N. M.: A semiempirical correlation between enthalpy of vaporization and

- saturation concentration for organic aerosol, Environ Sci Technol, 44, 743–748, https://doi.org/10.1021/es902497z, 2010.
 - Faxon, C., Hammes, J., Le Breton, M., Pathak, R. K., and Hallquist, M.: Characterization of organic nitrate constituents of secondary organic aerosol (SOA) from nitrate-radical-initiated oxidation of limonene using highresolution chemical ionization mass spectrometry, Atmos Chem Phys, 18, 5467–5481, <u>https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-</u>
- **525** <u>18-5467-2018</u>, 2018.

Gross, J. H.: Mass Spectrometry, 3 ed., Springer International Publishing, Cham, 2017.

- Guenther, A., Hewitt, C. N., Erickson, D., Fall, R., Geron, C., Graedel, T., Harley, P., Klinger, L., Lerdau, M., Mckay, W. A., Pierce, T., Scholes, B., Steinbrecher, R., Tallamraju, R., Taylor, J., and Zimmerman, P.: A global model of natural volatile organic compound emissions, J Geophys Res-Atmos, 100, 8873–8892, https://doi.org/10.1020/04/102050.1005
- 530 <u>https://doi.org/10.1029/94jd02950</u>, 1995.
 - Hakola, H., Hellen, H., Hemmila, M., Rinne, J., and Kulmala, M.: In situ measurements of volatile organic compounds in a boreal forest, Atmos Chem Phys, 12, 11665–11678, <u>https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-12-11665-2012</u>, 2012.
 - Hammes, J., Lutz, A., Mentel, T., Faxon, C., and Hallquist, M.: Carboxylic acids from limonene oxidation by ozone and hydroxyl radicals: insights into mechanisms derived using a FIGAERO-CIMS, Atmos Chem Phys, 19, 13037–
- 535 13052, <u>https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-19-13037-2019</u>, 2019.

- Heald, C. L., Henze, D. K., Horowitz, L. W., Feddema, J., Lamarque, J.-F., Guenther, A., Hess, P. G., Vitt, F., Seinfeld, J. H., Goldstein, A. H., and Fung, I.: Predicted change in global secondary organic aerosol concentrations in response to future climate, emissions, and land use change, J Geophys Res-Atmos, 113, D05211, https://doi.org/10.1029/2007JD009092, 2008.
- 540 Heikkinen, L., Aijala, M., Riva, M., Luoma, K., Dallenbach, K., Aalto, J., Aalto, P., Aliaga, D., Aurela, M., Keskinen, H., Makkonen, U., Rantala, P., Kulmala, M., Petaja, T., Worsnop, D., and Ehn, M.: Long-term sub-micrometer aerosol chemical composition in the boreal forest: inter- and intra-annual variability, Atmos Chem Phys, 20, 3151–3180, <u>https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-20-3151-2020</u>, 2020.
 - Heinritzi, M., Simon, M., Steiner, G., Wagner, A. C., Kürten, A., Hansel, A., and Curtius, J.: Characterization of the
- 545 mass-dependent transmission efficiency of a CIMS, Atmos Meas Tech, 9, 1449–1460, <u>https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-9-1449-2016</u>, 2016.
 - Huang, W., Saathoff, H., Shen, X., Ramisetty, R., Leisner, T., and Mohr, C.: Chemical characterization of highly functionalized organonitrates contributing to night-time organic aerosol mass loadings and particle growth, Environ Sci Technol, 53, 1165–1174, <u>https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.8b05826</u>, 2019a.
- 550 Huang, W., Saathoff, H., Shen, X. L., Ramisetty, R., Leisner, T., and Mohr, C.: Seasonal characteristics of organic aerosol chemical composition and volatility in Stuttgart, Germany, Atmos Chem Phys, 19, 11687–11700, <u>https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-19-11687-2019</u>, 2019b.
- Hyttinen, N., Otkjaer, R. V., Iyer, S., Kjaergaard, H. G., Rissanen, M. P., Wennberg, P. O., and Kurtén, T.: Computational comparison of different reagent ions in the chemical ionization of oxidized multifunctional compounds, J Phys Chem A, 122, 269-279, https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpca.7b10015, 2018.
- IPCC: Climate change 2013: The physical scientific basis, in, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England, 622–623, 2013.
 - Isaacman-VanWertz, G., and Aumont, B.: Impact of structure on the estimation of atmospherically relevant physicochemical parameters, Atmos Chem Phys Discuss, 1-35, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-2020-1038, 2020.
- 560 Junninen, H., Ehn, M., Petäjä, T., Luosujärvi, L., Kotiaho, T., Kostiainen, R., Rohner, U., Gonin, M., Fuhrer, K., Kulmala, M., and Worsnop, D. R.: A high-resolution mass spectrometer to measure atmospheric ion composition, Atmos Meas Tech, 3, 1039–1053, <u>https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-3-1039-2010</u>, 2010.
 - Kaser, L., Karl, T., Guenther, A., Graus, M., Schnitzhofer, R., Turnipseed, A., Fischer, L., Harley, P., Madronich, M., Gochis, D., Keutsch, E. N., and Hansel, A.: Undisturbed and disturbed above canopy ponderosa pine emissions:
- 565 PTR-TOF-MS measurements and MEGAN 2.1 model results, Atmos Chem Phys, 13, 11935–11947, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-13-11935-2013, 2013.
 - Kirkby, J., Duplissy, J., Sengupta, K., Frege, C., Gordon, H., Williamson, C., Heinritzi, M., Simon, M., Yan, C., Almeida, J., Tröstl, J., Nieminen, T., Ortega, I. K., Wagner, R., Adamov, A., Amorim, A., Bernhammer, A.-K., Bianchi, F., Breitenlechner, M., Brilke, S., Chen, X. M., Craven, J., Dias, A., Ehrhart, S., Flagan, R. C., Franchin,
- A., Fuchs, C., Guida, R., Hakala, J., Hoyle, C. R., Jokinen, T., Junninen, H., Kangasluoma, J., Kim, J., Krapf, M., Kürten, A., Laaksonen, A., Lehtipalo, K., Makhmutov, V., Mathot, S., Molteni, U., Onnela, A., Peräkylä, O., Piel, F., Petäjä, T., Praplan, A. P., Pringle, K., Rap, A., Richards, N. A. D., Riipinen, I., Rissanen, M. P., Rondo, L., Sarnela, N., Schobesberger, S., Scott, C. E., Seinfeld, J. H., Sipilä, M., Steiner, G., Stozhkov, Y., Stratmann, F., Tomé, A., Virtanen, A., Vogel, A. L., Wagner, A. C., Wagner, P. E., Weingartner, E., Wimmer, D., Winkler, P.
- 575 M., Ye, P. L., Zhang, X., Hansel, A., Dommen, J., Donahue, N. M., Worsnop, D. R., Baltensperger, U., Kulmala,

M., Carslaw, K. S., and Curtius, J.: Ion-induced nucleation of pure biogenic particles, Nature, 533, 521–526, https://doi.org/10.1038/nature17953, 2016.

- Krechmer, J., Lopez-Hilfiker, F., Koss, A., Hutterli, M., Stoermer, C., Deming, B., Kimmel, J., Warneke, C., Holzinger, R., Jayne, J., Worsnop, D., Fuhrer, K., Gonin, M., and de Gouw, J.: Evaluation of a new reagent-ion source and
- 580 focusing ion-molecule reactor for use in proton-transfer-reaction mass spectrometry, Analytical Chemistry, 90, 12011–12018, <u>https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.analchem.8b02641</u>, 2018.
 - Kulmala, M., Toivonen, A., Mäkelä, J. M., and Laaksonen, A.: Analysis of the growth of nucleation mode particles observed in boreal forest, Tellus B, 50, 449–462, <u>https://doi.org/10.3402/tellusb.v50i5.16229</u>, 1998.
- Kulmala, M., Kontkanen, J., Junninen, H., Lehtipalo, K., Manninen, H. E., Nieminen, T., Petäjä, T., Sipilä, M.,
 Schobesberger, S., Rantala, P., Franchin, A., Jokinen, T., Järvinen, E., Äijälä, M., Kangasluoma, J., Hakala, J.,
 Aalto, P. P., Paasonen, P., Mikkilä, J., Vanhanen, J., Aalto, J., Hakola, H., Makkonen, U., Ruuskanen, T., Mauldin III, R. L., Duplissy, J., Vehkamäki, H., Bäck, J., Kortelainen, A., Riipinen, I., Kurtén, T., Johnston, M. V., Smith,
 J. N., Ehn, M., Mentel, T. F., Lehtinen, K. E. J., Laaksonen, A., Kerminen, V.-M., and Worsnop, D. R.: Direct observations of atmospheric aerosol nucleation, Science, 339, 943–946, https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1227385,
- **590** 2013.
 - Kürten, A., Rondo, L., Ehrhart, S., and Curtius, J.: Calibration of a chemical ionization mass spectrometer for the measurement of gaseous sulfuric acid, J Phys Chem A, 116, 6375-6386, https://doi.org/10.1021/jp212123n, 2012.
 - Lee, B. H., Mohr, C., Lopez-Hilfiker, F. D., Lutz, A., Hallquist, M., Lee, L., Romer, P., Cohen, R. C., Iyer, S., Kurtén, T., Hu, W. W., Day, D. A., Campuzano-Jost, P., Jimenez, J. L., Xu, L., Ng, N. L., Guo, H. Y., Weber, R. J., Wild,
- R. J., Brown, S. S., Koss, A., de Gouw, J., Olson, K., Goldstein, A. H., Seco, R., Kim, S., McAvey, K., Shepson, P. B., Starn, T., Baumann, K., Edgerton, E. S., Liu, J. M., Shilling, J. E., Miller, D. O., Brune, W., Schobesberger, S., D'Ambro, E. L., and Thornton, J. A.: Highly functionalized organic nitrates in the southeast United States: Contribution to secondary organic aerosol and reactive nitrogen budgets, P Natl Acad Sci USA, 113, 1516–1521, https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1508108113, 2016.
- 600 Li, H., Canagaratna, M. R., Riva, M., Rantala, P., Zhang, Y., Thomas, S., Heikkinen, L., Flaud, P.-M., Villenave, E., Perraudin, E., Worsnop, D., Kulmala, M., Ehn, M., and Bianchi, F.: Source identification of atmospheric organic vapors in two European pine forests: Results from Vocus PTR-TOF observations, Atmos Chem Phys Discuss, 1– 39, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-2020-648, 2020a.
 - Li, H. Y., Riva, M., Rantala, P., Heikkinen, L., Daellenbach, K., Krechmer, J. E., Flaud, P. M., Worsnop, D., Kulmala,
- 605 M., Villenave, E., Perraudin, E., Ehn, M., and Bianchi, F.: Terpenes and their oxidation products in the French Landes forest: insights from Vocus PTR-TOF measurements, Atmos Chem Phys, 20, 1941–1959, <u>https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-20-1941-2020</u>, 2020b.
 - Li, Y., Pöschl, U., and Shiraiwa, M.: Molecular corridors and parameterizations of volatility in the chemical evolution of organic aerosols, Atmos Chem Phys, 16, 3327–3344, <u>https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-16-3327-2016</u>, 2016.
- 610 Liao, L., Dal Maso, M., Taipale, R., Rinne, J., Ehn, M., Junninen, H., Äijälä, M., Nieminen, T., Alekseychik, P., Hulkkonen, M., Worsnop, D. R., Kerminen, V.-M., and Kulmala, M.: Monoterpene pollution episodes in a forest environment: indication of anthropogenic origin and association with aerosol particles, Boreal Environ Res, 16, 288–303, 2011.

Lopez-Hilfiker, F. D., Mohr, C., Ehn, M., Rubach, F., Kleist, E., Wildt, J., Mentel, T. F., Lutz, A., Hallquist, M.,Worsnop, D., and Thornton, J. A.: A novel method for online analysis of gas and particle composition: description

and evaluation of a Filter Inlet for Gases and AEROsols (FIGAERO), Atmos Meas Tech, 7, 983-1001, https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-7-983-2014, 2014.

- Manninen, H. E., Nieminen, T., Asmi, E., Gagne, S., Hakkinen, S., Lehtipalo, K., Aalto, P., Vana, M., Mirme, A., Mirme, S., Horrak, U., Plass-Dulmer, C., Stange, G., Kiss, G., Hoffer, A., Toeroe, N., Moerman, M., Henzing, B.,
- de Leeuw, G., Brinkenberg, M., Kouvarakis, G. N., Bougiatioti, A., Mihalopoulos, N., O'Dowd, C., Ceburnis, D., Arneth, A., Svenningsson, B., Swietlicki, E., Tarozzi, L., Decesari, S., Facchini, M. C., Birmili, W., Sonntag, A., Wiedensohler, A., Boulon, J., Sellegri, K., Laj, P., Gysel, M., Bukowiecki, N., Weingartner, E., Wehrle, G., Laaksonen, A., Hamed, A., Joutsensaari, J., Petaja, T., Kerminen, V. M., and Kulmala, M.: EUCAARI ion spectrometer measurements at 12 European sites analysis of new particle formation events, Atmos Chem Phys, 10, 7907–7927, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-10-7907-2010, 2010.
 - Massoli, P., Stark, H., Canagaratna, M. R., Krechmer, J. E., Xu, L., Ng, N. L., Mauldin, R. L., Yan, C., Kimmel, J., Misztal, P. K., Jimenez, J. L., Jayne, J. T., and Worsnop, D. R.: Ambient measurements of highly oxidized gasphase molecules during the Southern Oxidant and Aerosol Study (SOAS) 2013, Acs Earth Space Chem, 2, 653– 672, https://doi.org/10.1021/acsearthspacechem.8b00028, 2018.
- 630 Mohr, C., Thornton, J. A., Heitto, A., Lopez-Hilfiker, F. D., Lutz, A., Riipinen, I., Hong, J., Donahue, N. M., Hallquist, M., Petaja, T., Kulmala, M., and Yli-Juuti, T.: Molecular identification of organic vapors driving atmospheric nanoparticle growth, Nature Communications, 10, 4442, <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-12473-2</u>, 2019.
 - Molteni, U., Simon, M., Heinritzi, M., Hoyle, C. R., Bernhammer, A.-K., Bianchi, F., Breitenlechner, M., Brilke, S., Dias, A., Duplissy, J., Frege, C., Gordon, H., Heyn, C., Jokinen, T., Kürten, A., Lehtipalo, K., Makhmutov, V.,
- 635 Petäjä, T., Pieber, S. M., Praplan, A. P., Schobesberger, S., Steiner, G., Stozhkov, Y., Tomé, A., Tröstl, J., Wagner, A. C., Wagner, R., Williamson, C., Yan, C., Baltensperger, U., Curtius, J., Donahue, N. M., Hansel, A., Kirkby, J., Kulmala, M., Worsnop, D. R., and Dommen, J.: Formation of highly oxygenated organic molecules from α-pinene ozonolysis: chemical characteristics, mechanism, and kinetic model development, Acs Earth Space Chem, 3, 873–883, https://doi.org/10.1021/acsearthspacechem.9b00035, 2019.
- 640 Nash, D. G., Baer, T., and Johnston, M. V.: Aerosol mass spectrometry: an introductory review, Int J Mass Spectrom, 258, 2–12, <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijms.2006.09.017</u>, 2006.
 - Nel, A.: Air pollution-related illness: effects of particles, Science, 308, 804–806, https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1108752 2005.
 - Ng, N. L., Herndon, S. C., Trimborn, A., Canagaratna, M. R., Croteau, P. L., Onasch, T. B., Sueper, D., Worsnop, D.
- 645 R., Zhang, Q., Sun, Y. L., and Jayne, J. T.: An aerosol chemical speciation monitor (ACSM) for routine monitoring of the composition and mass concentrations of ambient aerosol, Aerosol Sci Tech, 45, 780–794, <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/02786826.2011.560211</u>, 2011.
- Pankow, J. F., and Asher, W. E.: SIMPOL.1: a simple group contribution method for predicting vapor pressures and enthalpies of vaporization of multifunctional organic compounds, Atmos Chem Phys, 8, 2773–2796, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-8-2773-2008, 2008.
 - Rissanen, M. P., Mikkila, J., Iyer, S., and Hakala, J.: Multi-scheme chemical ionization inlet (MION) for fast switching of reagent ion chemistry in atmospheric pressure chemical ionization mass spectrometry (CIMS) applications, Atmos Meas Tech, 12, 6635–6646, <u>https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-12-6635-2019</u>, 2019.
 - Riva, M., Rantala, P., Krechmer, J. E., Perakyla, O., Zhang, Y. J., Heikkinen, L., Garmash, O., Yan, C., Kulmala, M.,
- 655 Worsnop, D., and Ehn, M.: Evaluating the performance of five different chemical ionization techniques for

detecting gaseous oxygenated organic species, Atmos Meas Tech, 12, 2403–2421, <u>https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-12-2403-2019</u>, 2019.

- Rückerl, R., Schneider, A., Breitner, S., Cyrys, J., and Peters, A.: Health effects of particulate air pollution: a review of epidemiological evidence, Inhal Toxicol, 23, 555–592, <u>https://doi.org/10.3109/08958378.2011.593587</u>, 2011.
- 660 Sanchez, J., Tanner, D. J., Chen, D. X., Huey, L. G., and Ng, N. L.: A new technique for the direct detection of HO2 radicals using bromide chemical ionization mass spectrometry (Br-CIMS): initial characterization, Atmos Meas Tech, 9, 3851–3861, <u>https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-9-3851-2016</u>, 2016.
 - Schervish, M., and Donahue, N. M.: Peroxy radical chemistry and the volatility basis set, Atmos Chem Phys, 20, 1183–1199, <u>https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-20-1183-2020</u>, 2020.
- 665 Sekimoto, K., Li, S. M., Yuan, B., Koss, A., Coggon, M., Warneke, C., and de Gouw, J.: Calculation of the sensitivity of proton-transfer-reaction mass spectrometry (PTR-MS) for organic trace gases using molecular properties, Int J Mass Spectrom, 421, 71–94, <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijms.2017.04.006</u>, 2017.
 - Simon, M., Dada, L., Heinritzi, M., Scholz, W., Stolzenburg, D., Fischer, L., Wagner, A. C., Kürten, A., Rörup, B., He, X.-C., Almeida, J., Baalbaki, R., Baccarini, A., Bauer, P. S., Beck, L., Bergen, A., Bianchi, F., Bräkling, S.,
- Brilke, S., Caudillo, L., Chen, D., Chu, B., Dias, A., Draper, D. C., Duplissy, J., El-Haddad, I., Finkenzeller, H., Frege, C., Gonzalez-Carracedo, L., Gordon, H., Granzin, M., Hakala, J., Hofbauer, V., Hoyle, C. R., Kim, C., Kong, W., Lamkaddam, H., Lee, C. P., Lehtipalo, K., Leiminger, M., Mai, H., Manninen, H. E., Marie, G., Marten, R., Mentler, B., Molteni, U., Nichman, L., Nie, W., Ojdanic, A., Onnela, A., Partoll, E., Petäjä, T., Pfeifer, J., Philippov, M., Quéléver, L. L. J., Ranjithkumar, A., Rissanen, M. P., Schallhart, S., Schobesberger, S.,
- Schuchmann, S., Shen, J., Sipilä, M., Steiner, G., Stozhkov, Y., Tauber, C., Tham, Y. J., Tomé, A. R., Vazquez-Pufleau, M., Vogel, A. L., Wagner, R., Wang, M., Wang, D. S., Wang, Y., Weber, S. K., Wu, Y., Xiao, M., Yan, C., Ye, P., Ye, Q., Zauner-Wieczorek, M., Zhou, X., Baltensperger, U., Dommen, J., Flagan, R. C., Hansel, A., Kulmala, M., Volkamer, R., Winkler, P. M., Worsnop, D. R., Donahue, N. M., Kirkby, J., and Curtius, J.: Molecular understanding of new-particle formation from α-pinene between -50 and +25 °C, Atmos Chem Phys,
- 680 20, 9183–9207, <u>https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-20-9183-2020</u>, 2020.
 - Sindelarova, K., Granier, C., Bouarar, I., Guenther, A., Tilmes, S., Stavrakou, T., Müller, J.-F., Kuhn, U., Stefani, P., and Knorr, W.: Global data set of biogenic VOC emissions calculated by the MEGAN model over the last 30 years, Atmos Chem Phys, 14, 9317–9341, <u>https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-14-9317-2014</u>, 2014.
- Stolzenburg, D., Fischer, L., Vogel, A. L., Heinritzi, M., Schervish, M., Simon, M., Wagner, A. C., Dada, L., Ahonen,
 L. R., Amorim, A., Baccarini, A., Bauer, P. S., Baumgartner, B., Bergen, A., Bianchi, F., Breitenlechner, M.,
 Brilke, S., Mazon, S. B., Chen, D. X., Dias, A., Draper, D. C., Duplissy, J., Haddad, I., Finkenzeller, H., Frege, C.,
 Fuchs, C., Garmash, O., Gordon, H., He, X., Helm, J., Hofbauer, V., Hoyle, C. R., Kim, C., Kirkby, J., Kontkanen,
 J., Kürten, A., Lampilahti, J., Lawler, M., Lehtipalo, K., Leiminger, M., Mai, H., Mathot, S., Mentler, B., Molteni,
 U., Nie, W., Nieminen, T., Nowak, J. B., Ojdanic, A., Onnela, A., Passananti, M., Petäjä, T., Quéléver, L. L. J.,
- Rissanen, M. P., Sarnela, N., Schallhart, S., Tauber, C., Tomé, A., Wagner, R., Wang, M., Weitz, L., Wimmer, D., Xiao, M., Yan, C., Ye, P., Zha, Q., Baltensperger, U., Curtius, J., Dommen, J., Flagan, R. C., Kulmala, M., Smith, J. N., Worsnop, D. R., Hansel, A., Donahue, N. M., and Winkler, P. M.: Rapid growth of organic aerosol nanoparticles over a wide tropospheric temperature range, P Natl Acad Sci USA, 115, 9122–9127, https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1807604115, 2018.

- 695 Sullivan, R. C., and Prather, K. A.: Recent advances in our understanding of atmospheric chemistry and climate made possible by on-line aerosol analysis instrumentation, Analytical Chemistry, 77, 3861–3885, https://doi.org/10.1021/ac050716i, 2005.
 - Tröstl, J., Chuang, W. K., Gordon, H., Heinritzi, M., Yan, C., Molteni, U., Ahlm, L., Frege, C., Bianchi, F., Wagner, R., Simon, M., Lehtipalo, K., Williamson, C., Craven, J. S., Duplissy, J., Adamov, A., Almeida, J., Bernhammer,
- A.-K., Breitenlechner, M., Brilke, S., Dias, A., Ehrhart, S., Flagan, R. C., Franchin, A., Fuchs, C., Guida, R., Gysel, M., Hansel, A., Hoyle, C. R., Jokinen, T., Junninen, H., Kangasluoma, J., Keskinen, H., Kim, J., Krapf, M., Kürten, A., Laaksonen, A., Lawler, M., Leiminger, M., Mathot, S., Möhler, O., Nieminen, T., Onnela, A., Petäjä, T., Piel, F. M., Miettinen, P., Rissanen, M. P., Rondo, L., Sarnela, N., Schobesberger, S., Sengupta, K., Sipilä, M., Smith, J. N., Steiner, G., Tomè, A., Virtanen, A., Wagner, A. C., Weingartner, E., Wimmer, D., Winkler, P. M., Ye, P. L.,
- 705 Carslaw, K. S., Curtius, J., Dommen, J., Kirkby, J., Kulmala, M., Riipinen, I., Worsnop, D. R., Donahue, N. M., and Baltensperger, U.: The role of low-volatility organic compounds in initial particle growth in the atmosphere, Nature, 533, 527–531, <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/nature18271</u>, 2016.
- Viggiano, A. A., Seeley, J. V., Mundis, P. L., Williamson, J. S., and Morris, R. A.: Rate constants for the reactions of XO3-(H2O)(n) (X = C, HC, and N) and NO3-(HNO3)(n) with H2SO4: implications for atmospheric detection of H2SO4, J Phys Chem A, 101, 8275-8278, https://doi.org/10.1021/jp971768h, 1997.
- Wang, M. Y., Chen, D. X., Xiao, M., Ye, Q., Stolzenburg, D., Hofbauer, V., Ye, P. L., Vogel, A. L., Mauldin III, R. L., Amorim, A., Baccarini, A., Baumgartner, B., Brilke, S., Dada, L., Dias, A., Duplissy, J., Finkenzeller, H., Garmash, O., He, X. C., Hoyle, C. R., Kim, C., Kvashnin, A., Lehtipalo, K., Fischer, L., Molteni, U., Petäjä, T., Pospisilova, V., Quéléver, L. L. J., Rissanen, M., Simon, M., Tauber, C., Tomé, A., Wagner, A. C., Weitz, L.,
- 715 Volkamer, R., Winkler, P. M., Kirkby, J., Worsnop, D. R., Kulmala, M., Baltensperger, U., Dommen, J., El-Haddad, I., and Donahue, N. M.: Photo-oxidation of aromatic hydrocarbons produces low-volatility organic compounds, Environ Sci Technol, 54, 7911–7921, <u>https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.0c02100</u>, 2020.
 - Williams, J., Crowley, J., Fischer, H., Harder, H., Martinez, M., Petäjä, T., Rinne, J., Bäck, J., Boy, M., Dal Maso, M., Hakala, J., Kajos, M., Keronen, P., Rantala, P., Aalto, J., Aaltonen, H., Paatero, J., Vesala, T., Hakola, H., Levula,
- 720 J., Pohja, T., Herrmann, F., Auld, J., Mesarchaki, E., Song, W., Yassaa, N., Nölscher, A., Johnson, A. M., Custer, T., Sinha, V., Thieser, J., Pouvesle, N., Taraborrelli, D., Tang, M. J., Bozem, H., Hosaynali-Beygi, Z., Axinte, R., Oswald, R., Novelli, A., Kubistin, D., Hens, K., Javed, U., Trawny, K., Breitenberger, C., Hidalgo, P. J., Ebben, C. J., Geiger, F. M., Corrigan, A. L., Russell, L. M., Ouwersloot, H. G., de Arellano, J. V. G., Ganzeveld, L., Vogel, A., Beck, M., Bayerle, A., Kampf, C. J., Bertelmann, M., Köllner, F., Hoffmann, T., Valverde, J., González, D.,
- 725 Riekkola, M. L., Kulmala, M., and Lelieveld, J.: The summertime Boreal forest field measurement intensive (HUMPPA-COPEC-2010): an overview of meteorological and chemical influences, Atmos Chem Phys, 11, 10599–10618, <u>https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-11-10599-2011</u>, 2011.
 - Yan, C., Nie, W., Aijala, M., Rissanen, M. P., Canagaratna, M. R., Massoli, P., Junninen, H., Jokinen, T., Sarnela, N., Hame, S. A. K., Schobesberger, S., Canonaco, F., Yao, L., Prevot, A. S. H., Petaja, T., Kulmala, M., Sipila, M.,
- 730 Worsnop, D. R., and Ehn, M.: Source characterization of highly oxidized multifunctional compounds in a boreal forest environment using positive matrix factorization, Atmos Chem Phys, 16, 12715–12731, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-16-12715-2016, 2016.
 - Ye, Q., Wang, M. Y., Hofbauer, V., Stolzenburg, D., Chen, D. X., Schervish, M., Vogel, A., Mauldin, R. L., Baalbaki,R., Brilke, S., Dada, L., Dias, A., Duplissy, J., El Haddad, I., Finkenzeller, H., Fischer, L., He, X. C., Kim, C.,
- 735 Kürten, A., Lamkaddam, H., Lee, C. P., Lehtipalo, K., Leiminger, M., Manninen, H. E., Marten, R., Mentler, B.,

Partoll, E., Petäjä, T., Rissanen, M., Schobesberger, S., Schuchmann, S., Simon, M., Tham, Y. J., Vazquez-Pufleau, M., Wagner, A. C., Wang, Y. H., Wu, Y. S., Xiao, M., Baltensperger, U., Curtius, J., Flagan, R., Kirkby, J., Kulmala, M., Volkamer, R., Winkler, P. M., Worsnop, D., and Donahue, N. M.: Molecular composition and volatility of nucleated particles from alpha-pinene oxidation between-50 °C and+25 °C, Environ Sci Technol, 53,

- 740 12357–12365, <u>https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.9b03265</u>, 2019.
 - Yuan, B., Koss, A. R., Warneke, C., Coggon, M., Sekimoto, K., and de Gouw, J. A.: Proton-transfer-reaction mass spectrometry: applications in atmospheric sciences, Chem Rev, 117, 13187–13229, <u>https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.chemrev.7b00325</u>, 2017.
 - Zha, Q. Z., Yan, C., Junninen, H., Riva, M., Sarnela, N., Aalto, J., Quelever, L., Schallhart, S., Dada, L., Heikkinen,
- L., Perakyla, O., Zou, J., Rose, C., Wang, Y. H., Mammarella, I., Katul, G., Vesala, T., Worsnop, D. R., Kulmala, M., Petaja, T., Bianchi, F., and Ehn, M.: Vertical characterization of highly oxygenated molecules (HOMs) below and above a boreal forest canopy, Atmos Chem Phys, 18, 17437–17450, <u>https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-18-17437-2018</u>, 2018.
 - Zhang, X., McVay, R. C., Huang, D. D., Dalleska, N. F., Aumont, B., Flagan, R. C., and Seinfeld, J. H.: Formation
- 750 and evolution of molecular products in α-pinene secondary organic aerosol, P Natl Acad Sci USA, 112, 14168–14173, <u>https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1517742112</u>, 2015.
 - Zhang, X., Lambe, A. T., Upshur, M. A., Brooks, W. A., Bé, A. G., Thomson, R. J., Geiger, F. M., Surratt, J. D.,
 Zhang, Z. F., Gold, A., Graf, S., Cubison, M. J., Groessl, M., Jayne, J. T., Worsnop, D. R., and Canagaratna, M.
 R.: Highly oxygenated multifunctional compounds in α-pinene secondary organic aerosol, Environ Sci Technol,
 51, 5932–5940, https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.6b06588, 2017.
- Zhao, Y., Thornton, J. A., and Pye, H. O. T.: Quantitative constraints on autoxidation and dimer formation from direct probing of monoterpene-derived peroxy radical chemistry, P Natl Acad Sci USA, 115, 12142–12147,

https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1812147115, 2018.

760



Figure 1. Overview of the time series from April 16 to July 26, 2019. (a) temperature and global radiation; (b) wind direction and wind speed; (c) mixing ratios of SO₂ and O₃; (d) mixing ratios of NO and NO₂; (e) total gaseous organics measured by MION-Br and MION-NO₃; and (f) total gaseous organics measured by Vocus as well as total particulate organics measured by ACSM. The data gap between MION-Br and MION-NO₃ (e.g., around May 17) was due to that the MION API-ToF was only running with API mode and NO₃ mode because of a mass flow controller issue for Br mode at that time.

Compound group	Vocus	MION-Br	MION-NO ₃
СН	35.2 ± 15.1 %	-	-
СНО	43.6 ± 9.4 %	75.4 ± 5.3 %	71.8 ± 7.9 %
CHON	8.1 ± 2.7 %	24.1 ± 5.2 %	28.1 ± 7.9 %
others	13.1 ± 3.9 %	0.5 ± 0.6 %	0.1 ± 0.1 %

Table 1. Contribution (%, average ± 1 standard deviation) of different compound groups to total organics measuredby different measurement techniques.



Figure 2. (a) Mass defect plots for organic compounds measured by Vocus, MION-Br, and MION-NO₃; (b) mass defect plots for organic compounds (separated into CH, CHO, CHON, and others) measured by Vocus, MION-Br, and MION-NO₃. Markers in (b) were all sized by the logarithm of their corresponding concentrations.



Figure 3. Contribution of measured CHOX compounds with different number of oxygen atoms to total CHOX compounds as a function of the number of carbon atoms for Vocus (upper panel), MION-Br (middle panel), and MION-NO₃ (bottom panel). Vocus panel has excluded CHX compounds (i.e., O₀ compounds).



Figure 4. The median diurnal patterns of the total CH compounds measured by Vocus (**a**), CHO (**b**), and CHON compounds (**c**) measured by Vocus, MION-Br, and MION-NO₃ during the whole measurement period. Signals were normalized to their maximum values.



Figure 5. The median diurnal patterns of C₇H₁₀O₄ (a), C₈H₁₂O₄ (b), C₁₀H₁₅NO₆ (c), and C₁₀H₁₅NO₇ (d) measured
by Vocus, MION-Br, and MION-NO₃ during the whole measurement period. Signals were normalized to their maximum values.

Table 2. Contribution (%, average ± 1 standard deviation) of different compound groups to total organics measuredby different measurement techniques based on the modifiedLi et al. (2016) approach (Daumit et al.,2013;Isaacman-VanWertz and Aumont, 2020).

Compound group	Vocus	MION-Br	MION-NO ₃
ULVOC	/	0.02 ± 0.04 %	0.5 ± 0.6 %
ELVOC	/	2.0 ± 1.8 %	$2.3\pm1.7~\%$
LVOC	0.02 ± 0.01 %	5.6 ± 2.9 %	11.6 ± 5.1 %
SVOC	0.4 ± 0.2 %	16.2 ± 4.9 %	23.9 ± 5.1 %
IVOC	45.8 ± 5.4 %	65.8 ± 8.5 %	56.3 ± 10.6 %
VOC	53.7 ± 5.5 %	10.4 ± 8.2 %	5.4 ± 2.4 %





Figure 6. (a) Volatility distribution <u>comparison</u> for <u>measured</u> organic compounds <u>detected by different</u> <u>measurement techniques and</u> parameterized with the modified Li et al. (2016) approach (Daumit et al., 2013;

Isaacman-VanWertz and Aumont, 2020); resulting pie charts for the contributions of VOC, IVOC, SVOC, LVOC,
 ELVOC, and ULVOC for VocusMION-NO₃ (b), MION-Br (c), and MION-NO₃-Vocus (d). Contribution of LVOC for Vocus (0.02 ± 0.01 %) and ULVOC for MION-Br (0.02 ± 0.04 %) were not labeled in the pie chart.



800 Figure 7. (a) Combined 2-dimentional volatility distribution for all measured organic compounds (with the approach described in section 2.2.1) parameterized with the modified Li et al. (2016) approach (Daumit et al., 2013; Isaacman-VanWertz and Aumont, 2020). Markers were sized by the logarithm of their corresponding concentrations, and marker color represents that either the compound was only measured by that instrument or the maximum concentration of the compound observed in common was detected by that instrument; (b) Stacked bar 805 plot of combined volatility distribution; (c) resulting pie chart for the contributions of VOC, IVOC, SVOC, LVOC, ELVOC, and ULVOC.



Figure S1. Overlaps of all organic compounds (a), CHO compounds (b), and CHON compounds (c) measured by Vocus, MION-Br, and MION-NO₃.



Figure S2S1. Comparison for the Vocus quantification based on the kinetic reaction rate constant and calculated sensitivity (Sekimoto et al., 2017; Yuan et al., 2017) vs. the Vocus quantification without using it.



Figure <u>S3S2</u>. Wind speeds and directions during the measurement period.

Table S1. Full list of relatively long-lived species excluded from Vocus data present in this study.

Detected ion	Potential name
C ₂ H ₇ O+	Ethanol
C ₃ H ₇ O+	Acetone
C ₂ H ₅ O ₂ +	Acetic acid
$C_2H_5O_3+$	Glycolic acid
$C_{3}H_{9}O_{2}+$	Acetone with water cluster



Figure S4<u>S3</u>. Time series of terpenes (isoprene, monoterpenes, sesquiterpenes, and diterpenes), all organic compounds (for comparison, same as in Fig. 1f), and all organic compounds + relatively long-lived compounds measured by Vocus.



Figure S4. Overlaps of all organic compounds (a), CHO compounds (b), and CHON compounds (c) measured by Vocus, MION-Br, and MION-NO₃.



Figure S5. Distribution of CHO compounds (a) and CHON compounds (b) measured by Vocus, MION-Br, and MION-NO₃ as a function of number of oxygen atoms vs. number of carbon atoms. Markers were sized by the logarithm of their corresponding concentrations.



Figure S6. Contribution of organic compounds with different number of oxygen atoms to all organic compounds (including CHX compounds) as a function of the number of carbon atoms measured by Vocus.

Compound group	Vocus	MION-Br	MION-NO ₃
C _x H/CH	38.3 ± 12.5 %	-	-
C _x HO/CHO	27.4 ± 3.2 %	20.0 ± 4.4 %	26.8 ± 5.7 %
C _x HON/CHON	16.2 ± 2.3 %	34.9 ± 4.2 %	38.6 ± 4.5 %

Table S2. Sum contribution (%, average ± 1 standard deviation) of C_xH, C_xHO, and C_xHON groups (x = 5, 10, 15, and 20) to total CH, CHO and CHON compounds measured by different measurement techniques.



Figure S7. The median diurnal patterns of temperature (a), global radiation (b), mixing ratios of SO₂ (c), O₃ (d), NO (e), and NO₂ (f) during the measurement period.



Figure S8. Time series of the dominant CHO and CHON species measured by Vocus, MION-Br, and MION-NO₃.

Table S3. Pearson's R correlations for dominant CHO and CHON species among different measurement techniques.

Compound	Vocus vs. MION-Br	Vocus vs. MION-NO ₃	MION-Br vs. MION-NO ₃
$C_7 H_{10} O_4$	0.63	0.65	0.96
$C_8H_{12}O_4$	0.64	0.66	0.96
$C_{10}H_{15}NO_{6}$	0.35	0.36	0.93
$C_{10}H_{15}NO_{7}$	0.47	0.43	0.94



Figure S9. Comparison of the daytime (between 10:00 and 17:00) and nighttime (between 22:00 and 05:00) levels of C_x HO (**a**) and C_x HON (**b**) compounds (x = 5, 10, 15, 20) as a function of the number of oxygen atoms measured by Vocus (in pluses), MION-Br (in circles), and MION-NO₃ (in crosses),; comparison of the daytime and nighttime levels of of $C_{10}H_yO_3$ (**c**) and $C_{10}H_yNO_4$ (**d**) as a function of the number of hydrogen atoms measured by Vocus (in pluses), MION-Br (in circles), and MION-NO₃ (in crosses). Compounds with higher signals during the daytime are colored in red and those with higher signals during the nighttime are colored in blue.



Figure S10. Fraction of ULVOC (**a**), ELVOC (**b**), LVOC (**c**), SVOC (**d**), IVOC (**e**), and VOC (**f**) <u>of all measured</u> organic compounds (with the approach described in section 2.2.1) which were only detected by or highestly detected by Vocus, MION-Br, and MION-NO₃.



55

Figure S11. Stacked bar plots of combined volatility distribution for measured organic compounds parameterized with the modified Li et al. (2016) approach (Daumit et al., 2013; Isaacman VanWertz and Aumont, 2020) during the daytime (a) and nighttime (c); resulting pPie charts for the contributions of VOC, IVOC, SVOC, LVOC, ELVOC, and ULVOC of all measured organic compounds (with the approach described in section 2.2.1) parameterized with the modified Li et al. (2016) approach (Daumit et al., 2013; Isaacman-VanWertz and Aumont,

<u>2020)</u> during the daytime (**b**<u>a</u>) and nighttime (**d**<u>b</u>).

References

- Li, Y., Pöschl, U., and Shiraiwa, M.: Molecular corridors and parameterizations of volatility in the chemical evolution of organic aerosols, Atmos Chem Phys, 16, 3327–3344, <u>https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-16-3327-2016</u>, 2016.
- 60

physicochemical parameters, Atmos Chem Phys Discuss, 1-35, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-2020-1038, 2020.

- 65 Sekimoto, K., Li, S. M., Yuan, B., Koss, A., Coggon, M., Warneke, C., and de Gouw, J.: Calculation of the sensitivity of proton-transfer-reaction mass spectrometry (PTR-MS) for organic trace gases using molecular properties, Int J Mass Spectrom, 421, 71–94, <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijms.2017.04.006</u>, 2017.
 - Yuan, B., Koss, A. R., Warneke, C., Coggon, M., Sekimoto, K., and de Gouw, J. A.: Proton-transfer-reaction mass spectrometry: applications in atmospheric sciences, Chem Rev, 117, 13187–13229, https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.chemrev.7b00325, 2017.

Daumit, K. E., Kessler, S. H., and Kroll, J. H.: Average chemical properties and potential formation pathways of highly oxidized organic aerosol, Faraday Discuss, 165, 181-202, https://doi.org/10.1039/C3FD00045A, 2013.
 Isaacman-VanWertz, G., and Aumont, B.: Impact of structure on the estimation of atmospherically relevant