



1 The increasing atmospheric burden of the greenhouse gas sulfur hexafluoride (SF₆)

- 2
- ³ Peter G. Simmonds¹, Matthew Rigby¹, Alistair J. Manning⁴, Sunyoung Park⁸, Kieran M.
- 4 Stanley^{1,10}, Archie McCulloch¹, Stephan Henne², Francesco Graziosi¹¹, Michela Maione¹¹,
- 5 Jgor Arduini¹¹, Stefan Reimann², Martin K. Vollmer², Jens Mühle³, Simon O'Doherty¹,
- 6 Dickon Young¹, Paul B. Krummel⁵, Paul J. Fraser⁵, Ray F. Weiss³, Peter K. Salameh³,
- 7 Christina M. Harth³, Mi-Kyung Park⁹, Hyeri Park⁹, Tim Arnold^{12,13}, Chris Rennick¹², L. Paul
- 8 Steele⁵, Blagoj.Mitrevski⁵, Ray H. J. Wang⁶, and Ronald G. Prinn⁷.
- 9
- ¹ School of Chemistry, University of Bristol, Bristol, UK.
- ¹¹ ² Swiss Federal Laboratories for Materials Science and Technology, Laboratory for Air
- 12 Pollution and Environmental Technology (Empa), Dübendorf, Switzerland.
- ³ Scripps Institution of Oceanography (SIO), University of California, San Diego, La Jolla,
- 14 California, USA.
- ⁴ Met Office Hadley Centre, Exeter, UK.
- ⁵ Climate Science Centre, Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation
- 17 (CSIRO), Oceans and Atmosphere, Aspendale, Victoria, Australia.
- ⁶ School of Earth, and Atmospheric Sciences, Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta,
 Georgia, USA.
- ⁷ Center for Global Change Science, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge,
 Massachusetts, USA.
- ⁸ Department of Oceanography, Kyungpook National University, Daegu, Republic of Korea.
- ⁹ Kyungpook Institute of Oceanography, Kyungpook National University, Daegu, Republic
 of Korea.
- ¹⁰ Institute for Atmospheric and Environmental Sciences, Goethe University Frankfurt,
- 26 Germany.
- ¹¹ Department of Pure and Applied Sciences (DISPEA) of the University of Urbino and
- 28 Institute of Atmospheric Sciences and Climate (ISAC) of the National Research Council
- 29 (CNR), Bologna, Italy.
- ¹²National Physical Laboratory, Teddington, United Kingdom.
- ¹³School of GeoSciences, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK.
- 32
- 33 Correspondence to: P.G. Simmonds (petergsimmonds@aol.com)
- 34
- 35
- 36





Abstract 37

38

We report a 40-year history of SF₆ atmospheric mole fractions measured at the 39 40 Advanced Global Atmospheric Gases Experiment (AGAGE) monitoring sites, combined with archived air samples to determine emission estimates from 1978-2018. Previously we 41 reported a global emission rate of 7.3 ± 0.6 Gigagrams (Gg) yr⁻¹ in 2008 and over the past 42 decade emissions have continued to increase by about 24% to 9.04 ± 0.35 Gg yr⁻¹ in 2018. 43 We show that changing patterns in SF_6 consumption from developed (Kyoto Protocol Annex-44 1) to developing countries (non-Annex-1) and the rapid global expansion of the electric 45 power industry, mainly in Asia, have increased the demand for SF₆-insulated switchgear, 46 circuit breakers and transformers. The large bank of SF_6 sequestered in this electrical 47 equipment provides a substantial source of emissions from maintenance, replacement and 48 continuous leakage. Other emissive sources of SF_6 occur from the magnesium, aluminium, 49 electronics industries and more minor industrial applications. More recently, reported 50 emissions, including those from electrical equipment and metal industries, primarily in the 51 Annex-1 countries, have steadily declined through substitution of alternative blanketing gases 52 and technological improvements in less emissive equipment and more efficient industrial 53 54 practices. Conversely, in the non-Annex-1 countries SF₆ emissions have increased due to an expansion in the growth of the electrical power, metal and electronics industries to support 55 their development. 56

There is an annual difference of 2.5-5 Gg yr⁻¹ (1990-2018) between our modelled top-57 down emissions and the UNFCCC reported bottom-up emissions, which we attempt to 58 reconcile through analysis of the potential contribution of emissions from the various 59 industrial applications which use SF₆. We also investigate regional emissions in East Asia 60 (China, S. Korea) and Western Europe and their respective contributions to the global 61 atmospheric SF_6 inventory. On an average annual basis, our estimated emissions from the 62 whole of China are approximately 10 times greater than emissions from Western Europe. In 63 2018, our modelled Chinese and Western European emissions accounted for ~36% and 3.1 64 %, respectively, of our global SF₆ emissions estimate. 65

66

1. Introduction 67

68 Of all the greenhouse gases regulated under the Kyoto Protocol, SF_6 is the most potent, with a global warming potential (GWP) of 23,500 over a 100-year time horizon (Myhre et al., 69 2013). In practical terms, this high GWP means that 1 ton of SF_6 released to the atmosphere 70 is equivalent to the release of 23,500 tons of carbon dioxide (CO₂). However, the low 71 72 atmospheric mixing ratio of SF_6 relative to CO_2 limits its current contribution to total anthropogenic radiative forcing to about 0.2 % (Engel and Rigby, 2019). Nevertheless, with a 73 74 long atmospheric residence time of 3,200 years, almost all the SF₆ released so far will have accumulated in the atmosphere and will continue to do so (Ravishankara et al., 1993). 75 Recently, it has been suggested that SF_6 may have a shorter atmospheric lifetime ranging 76 from 580-1400 years (Ray et al., 2017) or 1120-1475 years (Kovács et al., 2017). 77 The vertical distribution of SF_6 was measured during a balloon-borne cryogenic air sampler 78

79 experiment conducted from Hyderabad (17.5°N, 78.6°E) on April 16, 1994, in the altitude





region of about 8-37 km. The profile shows a faster decrease in SF_6 concentration in the

- region between the tropopause (10-17 km) and about 27 km, known as the transition region.
- 82 Above this region the decrease rate is almost an order of magnitude less than in the transition
- layer. These results indicate very little loss of SF_6 due to photochemistry in the stratosphere
- 84 with an SF_6 atmospheric lifetime inferred from the mixing ratio correlations with
- simultaneous measurements of N₂O, and CCl₂CF₂ (CFC-12), to be about 1937 \pm 432 years
- (Patra et al., 1997). However, these shorter, but still very long, SF_6 lifetimes would not
- significantly affect SF_6 emissions estimated from atmospheric trends (Engel and Rigby,
- 88 2019).

Since the 1970s, SF₆ has been mainly used in high voltage electrical equipment as a 89 90 dielectric and insulator in gas-insulated switchgear, gas circuit breakers, high voltage lines and transformers. Sales compiled from 1996-2003 by producers in Europe, Japan, USA, and 91 92 South Africa (not including China and Russia) showed that, as an annual average, 80% of the SF₆ produced during this period was consumed by electric utilities and equipment 93 manufacturers for electric power systems (EPA, 2018). Percentage sales, averaged from 94 95 1996-2003, for other end-use applications included the magnesium industry (4%), electronics industry (8%), and uses relating to SF₆'s adiabatic properties (3%) e.g., incorporating SF₆ 96 into tyres, tennis balls and the soles of trainers as a gas cushioning filler (Palmer, 1996). For 97 example, in 2000 Nike reported that it had used 200000 lbs (~ 0.09 Gigagrams, Gg) of SF₆ as 98 a filler in its shoes (Chem, Eng. News, Jan 8, 56, 2001). Other uses in particle accelerators, 99 100 optical fibre production, lighting, biotechnology, medical refining, pharmaceutical, laboratory, university research and sound-proof windows accounted for around 5% of sales 101 (Smythe, 2004). 102

Emissions from electrical equipment can occur during production, routine maintenance, refill, leakage, and disposal (Neimeyer and Chu, 1992; Ko et al., 1993). Random failure or deliberate or accidental venting of equipment may also cause unexpected and rapid high levels of emissions. For example, a ruptured seal caused the release of 113 kg of SF_6 in a single event in 2013 (Scottish Hydro Electric. 2013). We assume that such random events are generally not recorded when tabulating bottom-up emission estimates, which would lead to an under-estimate in the reported inventories.

Historically, significant emissions of SF_6 occurred in magnesium smelting, where it was 110 used as a blanketing gas to prevent oxidation of molten magnesium, in the aluminium 111 112 industry, also as a blanketing gas and in semi-conductor manufacturing, (Maiss and 113 Brenninkmeijer, 1998). These industries and the electrical power industry accounted for the majority of SF_6 usage in the United States (Ottinger et al., 2015). A report on limiting SF_6 114 emissions in the European Union also provided estimates of emissions from sound-proof 115 116 windows (60 Mg) and car tyres (125 Mg) in 1998, although these applications appear to have been largely discontinued due to environmental concerns, (Schwarz, 2000). 117

118 SF₆ has also been used as a tracer in atmospheric transport and dispersion studies (Collins 119 et al., 1965; Saltzman et al., 1966; Turk et al., 1968, Simmonds et al., 1972; Drivas et al., 120 1972; Drivas and Shair, 1974). The combined SF₆ emissions from reported tracer studies 121 (Martin et al., 2011) were approximately 0.002 Gg. Unfortunately, the amounts of tracer 122 released are often not reported and we conservatively assume that these also amounted to \sim 123 0.002 Gg, providing a total estimate of about 0.004 Gg (4 tons) released from historical SF₆





4

tracer studies. Emissions from natural sources are very small (Busenberg and Plummer.,

125 2000, Vollmer and Weiss 2002, Deeds et al., 2008).

The earliest measurements of SF₆ in the 1970's reported a mole fraction of < 1 pmol mol⁻¹ 126 (Krey et al., 1977; Singh et al., 1977, 1979). Intermittent campaign-based measurements during 127 the 1970s and 1980s reported an increasing trend. However, it was not until the 1990s that a near-128 129 linear increase in the atmospheric burden, throughout the 1980s, was reported (Maiss and Levin, 1994; Maiss et al., 1996, Geller et al., 1997). Fraser et al. (2004), described electron-capture-gas 130 chromatography (EC-GC) measurements of SF₆ at Cape Grim, Tasmania and noted a long-term 131 trend of 0.1 pmol mol⁻¹ yr⁻¹ in the late 1970s increasing to 0.24 pmol mol⁻¹ yr⁻¹ in the mid-1990s. 132 Subsequent reports noted a continuing growth in global mole fractions, with an average growth 133 rate of 0.29 ± 0.02 pmol mol⁻¹ yr⁻¹ after 2000 (Rigby et al., 2010), reaching 6.7 pmol mol⁻¹ at the 134 end of 2008 (Levin et al., 2009). This increase in the atmospheric burden of SF_6 was also reported 135 136 by Elkins and Dutton (2009). Measurement of SF_6 in the lower stratosphere and upper troposphere was reported to be 3.2 ± 0.5 pmol mol⁻¹ at 200 mbar in 1992 (Rinsland et al., 1993). 137 These atmospheric observations have been used to infer global emissions rates ('top-down' 138 estimates). Geller at al., (1997) derived a global emission rate of 5.9 ± 0.2 Gg yr⁻¹ in 1996, which 139 by 2008 had increased to 7.2 \pm 0.4 Gg yr⁻¹ (Levin et al., 2010) or 7.3 \pm 0.6 Gg yr⁻¹ (Rigby et al., 140 2010), and to 8.7 \pm 0.4 Gg yr⁻¹ by 2016 (Engel and Rigby, 2019). 141

Regional inverse modelling studies indicated that emissions have increased substantially 142 from non-Annex-1 parties to the UNFCCC, particularly in eastern Asia, and that these 143 increases have offset the reduction in emissions from Annex-1 countries (Rigby et al., 2011, 144 2014; Fang et al. 2014). Rigby et al. (2010) showed an increasing trend in emissions from 145 Asian countries growing from 2.7 ± 0.3 Gg yr⁻¹ in 2004–2005 to 4.1 ± 0.3 Gg yr⁻¹ in 2008. 146 This rise was large enough to account for all the global emissions growth between these two 147 periods. Similarly, Fang et al. 2014 found that eastern Asian emissions accounted for between 148 38 ± 5 % and 49 ± 7 % of the global total between 2006 and 2012, with China the major 149 contributor of emissions from this region. Consistent regional estimates, within the 150 uncertainties, were also reported for China by Vollmer et al. (2009); 0.8 (0.53-1.1) Gg yr⁻¹ 151 from October 2006-March 2008, Kim et al. (2010); 1.3 (0.23-1.7) Gg yr⁻¹ in 2008 and Li et 152 al., (2011); 1.2 (0.9 – 1.7) Gg yr⁻¹ from November 2007-December 2008. Emissions from 153 other Asian countries were found to be substantially smaller by Li et al., (2011) with South 154 Korea emitting 0.38 (0.33-0.44) Gg yr⁻¹ in 2008 and Japan 0.4 (0.3-0.5) Gg yr⁻¹. For North 155 America, SF₆ emission estimates of 2.4 ± 0.5 Gg yr⁻¹ were inferred in 1995 (Bakwin et al., 156 157 1997), whereas Hurst et al. (2006) reported emissions of 0.6 ± 0.2 Gg yr⁻¹ in 2003, consistent with an expectation of declining Annex-1 emissions during this period. Top-down SF_6 158 emissions for Western Europe have been reported by Ganesan et al., 2014, indicating larger 159 modelled emission estimates than those reported to the UNFCCC. 160

161

162 **2. Methods.**

Here, we use a 40-year (1978-2018) time series of SF_6 measurements made in situ, and in archived air samples, in combination with a global atmospheric box model and inverse modelling techniques to examine how the growth rate of SF_6 has changed, and estimate global and regional emissions in a top-down approach.





168 **2.1 In Situ AGAGE measurements**

In-situ high frequency (every 30 mins) measurements were recorded at Cape Grim, 169 Tasmania beginning in 2001 using a modified Shimadzu gas chromatograph (GC) fitted with 170 a Ni⁶³ electron capture detector (ECD), Fraser et al., 2004. Beginning in 2003 newly developed 171 gas chromatograph-mass spectrometers (GC-MS) equipped with an automated sample 172 173 processing system, known as the "Medusa" were progressively deployed at the AGAGE stations, thereby providing calibrated SF_6 measurements every 2 hours (Miller et al., 2008; 174 Arnold et al., 2012). Here we use "Medusa" measurements though 2018, acquired at the 5-core 175 AGAGE stations; Mace Head, Ireland (beginning in 2003); Trinidad Head, California 176 (beginning in 2005); Ragged Point, Barbados (beginning in 2005); Cape Matatula, Samoa 177 (beginning in 2006); and Cape Grim, Tasmania (beginning in 2005). At Monte Cimone, Italy 178 SF_6 measurements were measured every 15 minutes using a GC-MS equipped with an ECD. 179 180 Each real air sample is bracketed with a calibrated air sample analysis resulting in two calibrated measurements per hour, calibrated on the NOAA-2014 scale, with a precision of 181 0.6%. 182

183 A complete description of the equipment used in the AGAGE station network is given in Prinn et al. (2000, 2018). We combine these measurements with the Medusa-GC-MS analysis 184 of archived samples from the Cape Grim Air Archive (GCAA) and a collection of Northern 185 Hemisphere (NH) archived air samples, to extend the time series back to 1978 (Rigby et al., 186 2010). Estimated uncertainties during propagation of calibration standards from Scripps 187 Institution of Oceanography (SIO) to the AGAGE measurement sites was ~0.6% with a 188 calibration scale uncertainty of ~2.0% (Prinn et al., 2018). All archived air and in-situ 189 measurements are reported on the SIO-05 calibration scale. The difference between the SIO 190 and National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) calibration scales is <0.5% 191 $(0.03 \text{ pmol mol}^{-1}).$ 192

Measurements of SF₆ from the UK Deriving Emissions linked to Climate Change (DECC 193 https://www.metoffice.gov.uk/research/approach/monitoring/atmospheric-trends/index) network were 194 started in 2012 at Tacolneston (52.5° N, 1.1° E) and Ridge Hill (52° N, 2.5° W), and later in 195 2013 at Bilsdale (54.4° N, 1.2° W) and Heathfield (51° N, 0.2° E), using Agilent GC-ECDs 196 (Stanley et al. 2018; Stavert et al. 2019). At these four sites, SF₆ measurements were acquired 197 every 10 minutes and air samples are bracketed with calibrated air samples. In addition to the 198 GC-ECD at Tacolneston, a Medusa GC-MS was installed at the site and has been measuring 199 200 SF_6 since 2012. The GC-ECD at Tacolneston was decommissioned in spring-2018. All 201 calibration gases are on the same scale as the AGAGE stations. Stanley et al. (2018) and Stavert et al. (2019) provide a complete description of the UK DECC sites. 202

203

3. Bottom-up emission estimates

We compare our model-derived top-down emissions with bottom-up estimates, using reports from the 43 Annex-1 countries that submit annual emissions to the UNFCCC (April 2019, downloaded 1st May 2019). This contrasts with the non-Annex-1 countries that are not required to report to the UNFCCC (2010), however, some non-Annex-1 countries do voluntarily submit annual emissions, whereas others report infrequently. For infrequent reporting countries we have linearly interpolated emissions for missing years to provide revised non-Annex-1 emissions. Acknowledging that these bottom-up estimates will have





- large uncertainties, we see a substantial increase in total emissions from non-Annex-1
- countries after 2005, represented by 50-80% from China. We also compare our estimates
- vith those estimated in EDGAR v4.2 from 1970-2010 (EDGAR, November 2011).

In the next section we compile bottom-up emissions estimates based on the usage and

release of SF₆ in the electrical power, metal and electronics industries. Here we follow the approach of previous publications where SF₆ emissions are scaled to electrical production

- 217 approach of previous publications where SF_6 emissions are scaled to electrical production 218 (Fang et al., 2013; Victor and MacDonald, 1999) and attempt to calculate potential emissions
- from the electrical power industry in China and the rest of the World (ROW) using reported
- 220 emissions factors for each region.

221

3.1 Calculation of SF₆ emissions from the electrical power, metal and electronics industries in China and the Rest of the World (ROW).

224

225 3.1.1. Electrical Power

Chinese SF₆ emissions, mainly from electrical equipment, account for 60-72% of total 226 emissions from the East Asian region (Fang et al., 2014). Following the method of Zhou et al. 227 (2018) we first determine SF₆ consumption (Table 1) from the Chinese electric power 228 industry, using an initial filling factor (FF) of 52 t/GW (range 40-66 t/GW) and then calculate 229 emissions using the highest suggested emission factors, EFs (8.6% manufacture and 230 installation, 4.7% operation and maintenance). For the ROW we also use a median FF of 231 232 52t/GW and a 12% loss during manufacture and installation of new equipment and assume 3% loss from banked SF₆ in electrical equipment in 1980 and then decreasing linearly to 233 1.0% in 2018, reflecting the change from older to newer equipment, with the improved 234 tightness of SF₆-insulated installations, (Olivier and Bakker, 1999). 235

236

237 3.1.2 Magnesium Industry

238 In the magnesium industry (dye casting, sand casting and recycling), where SF_6 is used as a cover or blanketing gas to prevent oxidation, it is assumed that emissions are equal to 239 consumption and all the SF_6 historically used in the magnesium industry will already have 240 been emitted (<u>https://www.ipcc-nggip.iges</u>). The consumption of SF_6 in magnesium production 241 in China was apparently halted after 2010 and largely replaced with SO₂. (National Bureau of 242 243 Statistics, 2017). Average annual sales of SF_6 to the magnesium industry were estimated to be ~0.25 Gg yr⁻¹ from 1996-2003 (Smythe, 2004). Given current regulations and the availability 244 of substitute blanketing gases and the assumption that China and Russian producers use SO₂ 245 as the preferred blanketing gas, we assume that current emissions from the magnesium 246 industry are equal to or less than the 1996-2003 average of about 0.25 Gg yr⁻¹. 247

248 3.1.3 Aluminium Industry

For the aluminium industry, historical emissions of SF_6 are poorly understood, as it is generally assumed to be largely destroyed during the production process by reaction with the aluminium (Victor and MacDonald, 1994), nevertheless any surviving SF_6 will clearly be emitted (IPCC, 1997). Maiss and Brenninkmeijer (1998) roughly quantified SF_6 consumption from aluminium degassing (USA and Canada) and SF_6 -insulated windows (Europe,





- predominately Germany) and numerous small specialized applications to about 450 t yr⁻¹ in 1995. Since the use of SF₆ in these applications have been substantially reduced or eliminated in the Annex-1 countries, we assume that current global emissions primarily from aluminium
- degassing are unlikely to be greater than 0.2 Gg yr^{-1} .
- 258 3.1.4 Electronics Industry

SF₆ is used as a general etching agent in the electronics and semiconductor industry 259 including the production of thin film transistor liquid crystal displays (TFTs-LCD) and in the 260 cleaning of Chemical Vapor Deposition Chambers (CVD). Fang et. (2013) reported 261 emissions of 150 Mg in 2005 and 400 Mg in 2010 from the semiconductor industry which 262 has rapidly expanded in China, and emissions from this industry were reported to be 0.2 -263 0.25 Gg yr⁻¹ during 2004-2011 (Cheng et al., 2013). Due to commercial confidentiality, there 264 265 is very little information on the consumption of SF₆ in electronics manufacturing. However, Asian electronics industries, which dominate TFTs-LCD production, have adopted substitute 266 gases mainly NF₃, CF₄ and HFC-134a in preference to SF₆ in recent years. We therefore 267 assume that global emissions of SF_6 from these industries are currently similar to the 268 previously reported 0.15 - 0.4 Gg yr⁻¹ (Fang et al., 2013), in the absence of any new 269 information. 270

271 3.1.5 Production

We also need to consider losses of SF₆ that occur during production. Fugitive emissions during SF₆ production were estimated to be 0.5% for developed countries (IPCC, 2006). Chinese SF₆ production accounts for ~50% of global production and Fang et al., (2013) suggested an EF of 2.18% (1.71-3.25%) for China. We use these EFs for China and an EF of 0.5% for the rest of the world to estimate an average annual SF₆ loss from production of ~0.1 Gg yr⁻¹ (1990-2018).

The combined emissions from SF_6 production, magnesium, aluminium and electronics industries estimated above are approximately 0.95 Gg yr⁻¹, which are prone to large uncertainties. This assumes electronics emissions (0.4 Gg yr⁻¹) are the highest reported by Fang et., (2013).

282

283 4. Top-down global emissions estimates

Global emissions were derived using a two-dimensional box model of the atmosphere and 284 a Bayesian inverse method. The AGAGE 12-box model has been used extensively for global 285 286 emissions estimation and is described in Cunnold, et al. (1978, 1996) and Rigby et al. (2013). The model solves for advective and diffusive fluxes between four zonal average "bands" 287 separated at 30° north and south and the equator, and between three vertical levels separated 288 at 500 hPa and 200 hPa. A Bayesian inverse modelling approach was adopted that 289 constrained emissions growth rate a priori, as described in Rigby et al. (2011; 2014) and used 290 most recently to derive SF_6 emissions in Engel and Rigby (2019). Briefly, the approach 291 assumed a priori that emissions did not change from one year to the next, with a Gaussian 1-292 sigma uncertainty in the emissions growth rate set to 20% of the maximum EDGAR v4.2 293 294 emissions. The inversion then uses an analytical Bayesian method to find a solution that best 295 fits the observations and this prior constraint. This approach was chosen so that independent constraints on absolute emissions magnitudes (e.g. as in Rigby et al., 2010), which were not 296





available for the entire time period, were not required. Following Rigby et al., (2014), 297 uncertainties applied to the in situ data were assumed to be equal to the variability in the 298 299 monthly baseline data points, representing the sum of measurement repeatability and a model-data "mismatch" term parameterising the inability of the model to resolve sub-300 monthly timescales. For the archive air data, this mismatch uncertainty was taken to have the 301 same relative magnitude as the average mismatch error found during the in situ data period. 302 303 This term was added to the estimated measurement repeatability of the archive air samples. The influence of these uncertainties, and those of the prior constraint, was propagated 304 through to the a posteriori emissions estimate, the uncertainty in which was augmented by an 305 306 additional term representing the uncertainty in the calibration scale (2%, applied as described in Rigby, et al., 2014). 307

4.1. Regional emission estimates using the UK Met. Office (InTEM), Empa (EBRIS) and Urbino (FLITS) inverse modelling frameworks.

310

Three different inverse methods and two different chemical transport models were used to estimate regional SF₆ emissions. A brief description of the models is given below and a more detailed description of the Inverse Technique for Emission Modelling (InTEM) and the Swiss Federal Laboratories for Materials Science and Technology (Empa) Bayesian Regional Inversion System (EBRIS) models are provided in the supplementary information.

InTEM. (Arnold et al., 2018) uses the NAME (Numerical Atmospheric dispersion 316 Modelling Environment) [Jones et al., 2007] atmospheric Lagrangian transport model. 317 NAME is driven by analysis 3-D meteorology from the UK Met Office Unified Model 318 (Cullen, 1993). We provide estimated emissions for Western Europe (United Kingdom, 319 Ireland, Benelux countries (Belgium, the Netherlands, and Luxembourg), Germany, France, 320 Denmark, Switzerland, Austria, Spain, Italy, and Portugal)) and in a separate analysis, 321 322 emission estimates for China, using observations recorded at the Gosan station on Jeju Island, Korea (33°N, 126°E). Gosan receives air masses mainly from eastern mainland China during 323 the winter months, with winds from the north-northwest (Rigby, et al., 2019; Fang et al., 324 2013). We subsequently scale SF₆ emissions to a China total by population. 325 326

EBRIS. (Henne et al., 2016) employs source sensitivities as derived from the Lagrangian
particle dispersion model FLEXPART (Version 9.1, Stohl et al., 2005) and observed
atmospheric concentrations to optimally estimate spatially resolve surface emissions to the
atmosphere. Here, EBRIS was applied to Western Europe and provided country/region
estimates of a posteriori SF₆ emissions.

FLITS. A further modelling approach, Urbino, FLexpart Inversion iTalian System has been 332 used for regional inversion. The model is based on an inversion approach developed by Stohl 333 et al., (2009). The modelling cascade is composed of the Lagrangian particle dispersion model 334 (LPDM) FLEXPARTv9.1(http://www.flexpart.eu. Downloaded May 13th, 2019), in 335 336 conjunction with in-situ high-frequency observations from four atmospheric monitoring sites and a Bayesian inversion technique. Here, FLEXPART was driven by operational 3-hourly 337 meteorological data from the European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts 338 (ECMWF) at $1^{\circ}\times 1^{\circ}$ latitude and longitude resolution, from 2013 to 2018. We run the model in 339 340 backward mode, releasing from each measurement sites and every three hours 40,000 particles





followed backward in time for 20 days. Due to the long atmospheric lifetime of SF₆, the model simulation did not account for atmospheric removal process. Regarding the West European a priori emission field we disaggregated 2 Kt yr⁻¹ of SF₆ emissions within each country borders according to a gridded population density data set (CIESIN, Center for International Earth Science Information Network, <u>www.ciesin.org</u>), and we set 200% of uncertainty of the emissions for every grid cells. Parametrisation details used here are described in Graziosi et al., 2015.

In Table 2 we provide details of the East Asian setup of the inversion system (InTEM) and in Table 3 we provide details of the European setup of the inversion systems (InTEM, EBRIS,

350 FLITS).

351

352 **5. Results.**

353

Figure 1 and Table 4 shows the AGAGE SF₆ mole fractions from 1978-2018, averaged 354 355 into semi-hemispheres. In the lower panel of Fig.1 we report the annual SF_6 growth rate increasing from 0.1 ± 0.013 pmol mol⁻¹ yr⁻¹ in 1978 to reach an early maximum average 356 growth rate in 1995 of 0.24 ± 0.01 pmol mol⁻¹ yr⁻¹ (a Kolmogorov-Zurbenko filter was used to 357 estimate annual mean growth rates, as described in Rigby et al., 2014). The growth rate then 358 gradually drops to 0.2 ± 0.01 pmol mol⁻¹ yr⁻¹ in 2000, before increasing to reach 0.36 ± 0.01 359 pmol mol⁻¹ yr⁻¹ in 2018. Between 1978 and 2018, the SF₆ loading of the atmosphere has 360 increased by a factor of around fifteen. Assuming a radiative efficiency of 0.57 W m⁻² (nmol 361 mol⁻¹)⁻¹ (WMO, 2018), SF₆ contributed around 5.5 ± 0.12 mW m⁻² in 2018 to global radiative 362 forcing. In the supplementary material (Fig. S1), we show the model/measurement 363 comparison for the AGAGE 12-box model. 364







366

Figure 1. Observed and model-derived SF₆ mole fractions and annual growth rates from the 367 368 AGAGE 12-box model. Upper panel shows measured atmospheric SF_6 mole fractions in each semi-hemisphere (points with 1-sigma error bars) and archived air samples collected from 369 1978 in the NH (blue filled circles) and archived air samples collected at Cape Grim 370 Tasmania in the SH (red filled circles). Solid lines indicate modelled mole fractions using the 371 mean emissions derived in the global inversion. Semi-hemispheric averages for both the 372 model and data are shown for 30-90N (blue), 0N-30N (green), 30S-0S (purple) and 90S-373 30S (red). The lower panel shows the model-derived growth rate, smoothed with an 374 375 approximately 1-year filter, for each semi-hemisphere (dotted lines), and the global mean and its 1-sigma uncertainty (solid line, and shading, respectively). 376 377

Our model estimated annual global emissions are shown in Fig. 2 and listed in Table 5. Here 378 we extend and update the emission estimates prior to 2008, previously described in Rigby et 379 al. (2010), that reported a global SF₆ emission rate of 7.3 \pm 0.6 Gg yr⁻¹ (1- σ uncertainty unless 380 specified otherwise) in 2008 and Engel and Rigby (2019) estimated emissions of 8.7 ± 0.4 Gg 381 yr⁻¹ in 2016. We show that, during the last decade (2008-2018), emissions have increased by 382 approximately 24%, to 9.04 \pm 0.35 Gg yr⁻¹. At 2018 levels, SF₆ emissions are equivalent to 383 212.4 ± 8.2 Tg CO₂ (assuming a 23,500 100-year global warming potential, <u>Myhre</u> et al., 384 2013). Our results demonstrate that, relative to 1978, global SF_6 emissions have increased by 385 around 260%, with cumulative global emissions through 2018 of 234 ± 7.3 Gg (5.5 ± 0.17 Pg 386 387 CO₂-equivalent). Our estimates are in close agreement through 2008 with the independent top-down estimates of Levin et al. (2010) and are also consistent with bottom-up EDGAR 388





v4.2 estimates through 2010. In Fig. 2 and Table 3, we also record the individual Annex-1 389 and our revised non-Annex-1 emissions and their combined emissions. UNFCCC emissions 390 391 reported after 2008 for the non-Annex-1 countries exceed emissions from Annex-1 countries, as SF₆ consumption moved from Annex-1 countries to non-Annex-1 countries, particularly in 392 Asia. We note that the significant downward trend in our top-down emission estimate 393 between 1996-2000 matches the UNFCCC reported emissions, furthermore this decline is 394 395 also consistent with the drop in sales and prompt emissions listed in the Rand Report (Table S2). 396

The average annual difference between our global top-down estimates and UNFCCC reports (Annex-1 plus revised non-Annex-1) listed in Table 5 was 4.5 Gg yr⁻¹, reaching a maximum difference of 5.2 Gg in 2012. This difference subsequently decreased to an annual average of ~ 4 Gg yr⁻¹ between 2013-2018, implying improved or more comprehensive UNFCCC reporting, although we recognise that these differences are prone to large uncertainties, given the limited emissions data submitted to UNFCCC from the non-Annex-1 countries.

404



405 406

Figure 2. Optimised global SF₆ emissions using AGAGE measurements (solid blue line) and
shaded line shows the 1σ uncertainties. Emissions from Levin et al. (2010, red diamonds).
Edgar v4.2 emissions (green circles). UNFCCC Annex-1 reported emissions (orange
triangles) UNFCCC non-Annex-1 reported emissions (grey stars). Combined non-Annex-1
and Annex-1 UNFCC emissions (purple squares).





413 **5.1 Regional Emission Estimates**

Top-down regional emission estimates have been calculated for two major regions of the 414 world, East Asia (China, S. Korea) and North West Europe. As described below, observations 415 from Gosan (Jeju Island, Korea 33.3° N, 126.2° E) were used to estimate the Chinese 416 emissions, and for Europe, observations from the UK DECC (Deriving Emissions related to 417 418 Climate Change) network and three European AGAGE stations were used (Mace Head, Ireland, MHD; Jungfraujoch, JFJ, Switzerland and Monte Cimone CMN, Italy). Figure 3 419 records the high frequency mole fractions of SF₆ measured at two AGAGE sites, MHD (53° 420 N, 10° W) and Gosan, GSN, Jeju Island, Korea (33° N, 126° E). Compared to Mace Head, the 421 Gosan data show very large enhancements (10-30 pmol mol⁻¹, compared to 1–2 pmol mol⁻¹) 422 above the background mixing ratio of ~5-10 pmol mol⁻¹, reflecting significant regional 423 emissions. The Gosan enhancements are associated with the transport of polluted air masses 424 425 from the north-east part of China, the Korean peninsula and Japan (Kim, et al., 2010; Fang et

426 al., 2014).



Figure 3. Atmospheric mixing ratios (pmol mol⁻¹) recorded at Mace Head, Ireland (black)
printed on top of the Gosan, Jeju Island, South Korea (green). Elevated mixing ratios
represent pollution events associated with regional emissions.

Note: GSN occasionally shows a Southern Hemisphere (SH) influence during the summer,
which accounts for the cases in which GSN plots below MHD.

432

433 **5.2 East Asian estimated emissions**

Regional top-down estimated emissions for Eastern mainland China, inferred using
InTEM and Gosan measurements, are shown in Fig. 4 and listed in Table 5. Chinese
emissions (representing 34% of China's population) were subsequently scaled to the whole
country by population). China emissions increased from 1.4 (1.0-1.8) Gg yr⁻¹ in 2007 to 3.2
(2.6-3.8) Gg yr⁻¹ in 2018, an increase of 130 %. Based on the InTEM regional emission





estimates, China accounted for 36% (29-42%) of total global emissions relative to our model 439 440 estimated global 2018 emissions. Emissions estimates for China were also published by 441 (Fang et al., 2014), using 3 stations rather than 1, coarser and different meteorology, a detailed spatial prior and solved for the whole of China; that most likely accounts for the 442 difference between these two top-down inversions. Also shown in Fig. 4 are our bottom-up 443 estimated emissions calculated from the usage of SF_6 in the electrical power industry (Sect 444 445 3.1), following the methodology published in Zhou et al., (2018) for different filling factors (FF of 40, 52, and 66 t/GW) and high and low emission factors (EF). The assumed high EFs 446 were 8.6% (manufacture and installation and 4.7% operation and maintenance) and low EFs 447 of 1.7% (manufacture and installation and 0.7% operation and maintenance), Our bottom-up 448 estimated emissions, using the high EFs, are generally larger than the bottom-up estimated 449 China emissions determined by Fang et al., 2013. We also record in Fig. 4 the UNFCCC 450 451 reported and revised (interpolated) SF₆ emissions and China estimates based on the lower EFs suggested by Zhou et al. (2018), which are clearly much lower than the other Chinese 452 emission estimates. 453

China inventory compiled from biennial submissions to the UNFCCC National
Communications and Biennial Update Report (2018) are also included in Fig. 4 (black filled
circles and interpolated values grey filled circles for missing years). China reported emissions
to the UNFCCC, that were consistently lower than the observation based InTEM modelled
emission estimates through 2012, substantially increase in 2014 to within the uncertainties of
the modelled emissions.

460



461

Figure 4. Bottom-up and top-down estimated emissions for China.





Top-down Chinese emission estimates, reported by Fang et al., 2014, agree within the
 uncertainties, with our bottom-up emission estimates. Conversely our top-down InTEM
 Chinese emission estimates fall between our bottom-up and the Fang et al., 2013 bottom-up
 emission estimates. Regardless of the FF used our bottom-up emission estimates would
 require lower Chinese EFs to obtain closer agreement with our top-down emission estimate.

470 Figure 5 shows the footprint of the mapped China emission magnitudes determined from InTEM, based on measurements recorded at the Gosan station, South Korea. Although our 471 main focus has been on emissions from China, it is clear from Fig. 5 that there are also 472 emissions from South Korea The 2007-2018 average annual SF₆ InTEM emission estimates 473 for South Korea (population \sim 52 M) are 0.26 +- 0.05 Gg with a slight upward trend (+0.007 474 Gg yr⁻¹). This compares well with the reported average value of 0.36 Gg (second biennial 475 update report of the Republic of South Korea) over the period 2007 - 2014 (upward trend of 476 +0.006 Gg yr⁻¹). The emissions for South Korea are higher per head of population (~0.005 477 Gg/M) than that estimated for China (population ~1400 M, ~0.002 Gg/M in 2018). For 478 Western Europe, discussed in the next section, the equivalent value is ~0.001 Gg/M. 479 In Supplementary Table S3 we list the InTEM SF₆ emission estimates for South Korea. 480 The average annual emissions of South Korea (0.26 Gg yr⁻¹) are similar to those of Western 481

Europe (0.22 Gg yr⁻¹) and in both cases are approximately 1/10 of Chinese average annual emissions (2.2 Gg yr⁻¹).

484

485



486 487

488

489

490

491

- 492
- 493

Figure 5. Map of the top-down emission estimate from China and East Asia. The red line indicates the boundary of the region we denote "eastern mainland China".





494 5.3 Western Europe emission estimates

InTEM estimated top-down emissions (2013-2018) for Western Europe (United 495 Kingdom, Ireland, Benelux, Germany, France, Denmark, Switzerland, Austria, Spain, Italy, 496 497 and Portugal) from measurements at 7 sites (Mace Head (MHD), Ireland, Bilsdale, UK 498 (BSD), Heathfield, UK (HFD), Ridge Hill, UK (RGL) and Tacolneston, UK (TAC), Jungfraujoch (JFJ), Switzerland, and Monte Cimone (CMN), Italy are presented in Fig. 6 and 499 listed in Table 6. EBRIS used observations from 4 sites (MHD, TAC, JFJ and CMN) to 500 501 estimate top-down emissions for the period 2013-2018. Emissions from the InTEM and ERBRIS inversion models are in close agreement with the level seen in the inventory 502 (UNFCCC 2019, link. Downloaded May 12, 2019). FLITS also used observations (2013-503 2018) from 4 sites (MHD, TAC, JFJ and CMN) and an inverse model to estimate top-down 504 505 emissions, which are higher than the other two results but follow a similar trend. The emission flux uncertainty decreases from 200% of the a priori to ~25 % of the a posteriori 506 emission field (average over the study period), supporting the reliability of the results. Top-507 508 down emissions for Western Europe from 4 inversion systems for the year 2011 were reported to be 47% higher than UNFCCC, with Germany identified as the principal emitter 509 (Brunner et al., 2017). 510

The contribution of Western European SF₆ emissions in 2018 (Table 6, average of all 511 512 inversions) to our 2018 model estimated global emissions was 3.1 % (2.4-3.9%). Comparing the model estimated SF_6 emissions from Western Europe and China it is apparent that China 513 514 is a much larger contributor to the global SF_6 inventory. On an annually averaged basis top-515 down Chinese emissions exceed those emitted from Western Europe by a factor of ~10. For Western Europe, EFs are generally expected to be lower, representing better maintenance 516 practices and more efficient SF₆ capture during re-filling. The faster uptake of SF₆ substitutes 517 518 and vacuum-insulated units would also explain the much lower emission estimates, by about a factor of 10, in Western European countries. 519 520







- Figure 6. SF₆: Western Europe emission estimates (Gg yr⁻¹) from the UNFCCC Inventory
- 523 black; InTEM inversion (2013-2018, blue, 7 sites MHD, JFJ, CMN, TAC, RGL, HFD, BSD);
- 524 EBRIS (2013-2018, orange, 4 sites MHD, TAC, JFJ, CMN). FLITS (2013-2018, green, 4
- 525 sites MHD, TAC, JFJ, CMN).
- 526 The uncertainty bars represent 1 std.

527 528

- 529 Figure 7 shows the footprint of the average emission estimates for Western Europe calculated
- using three inverse models (InTEM, EBRIS and FLITS), using baseline selected
- observations; illustrating that significant emissions are located in southern Germany, a region
- with a substantial number of semi-conductor producers (https://prtr.eea.europa.eu/#home).

533



534

Figure 7. Top-down inversion emission estimate for Western Europe (2013-2018).
Average of InTEM (7 observation sites), EBRIS (4 observation sites) and FLITS (4
observation sites).

538

539 6. Increasing global SF₆ emissions and the deficit between bottom-up and top-down 540 emissions estimates

541

Weiss and Prinn, (2011) noted that SF₆ bottom-up estimates derived from industrial
accounting and reported to the UNFCCC by Annex-1 countries are likely under-reported,

actually representing 80% of the total in the mid-1990s and 60% of the total in 2006, leading

to poor agreement (under-reported by a factor of 2) with top-down emissions estimates





determined from atmospheric observations. However, for Western Europe (Sect.5.3) our 546 estimated emissions (2013-2018) from the model inversions are in close agreement with the 547 548 UNFCCC reported inventory, suggesting an improvement in the accuracy of reported submissions to the UNFCCC. Limitations imposed by commercial secrecy and the lack of 549 consistent reporting of SF_6 emissions, both from Annex-1 and non-Annex-1 countries 550 continue to contribute to the discrepancies between bottom-up and top-down methods. We 551 next explore if the increasing global emissions of SF_6 may be related to changing patterns of 552 source location and usage in electrical equipment, magnesium smelting, aluminium 553 production and electronics manufacturing, and attempt to reconcile the large average annual 554 discrepancy of ~ 4.5 Gg yr^{-1} between bottom-up and top-down emissions estimates. 555 556 Previous reports on SF₆ emissions from the electrical power industry have noted that

emission factors (EFs) may vary widely depending on the type of equipment and different 557 558 maintenance and servicing practices (Capiel/Unipede, 1999). About 12% of SF_6 consumed in the manufacture and commissioning of electrical equipment is estimated to be directly 559 emitted. Industry assessments of the maximum leakage during operation from older 560 equipment (manufactured before 1980) was 3% yr⁻¹, although higher leakage rates for some 561 countries continued into the 1990s. For example, in 1995 USA annual refill and leakage of 562 circuit breakers was estimated to be 20% of total installed stock, however, with the 563 installation of improved self-contained equipment leakages steadily reduced to 0.5-1% yr⁻¹ 564 (Olivier and Bakker, 1999). A recent study of the SF_6 losses from gas-insulated electrical 565 equipment in the UK calculated an average annual leakage rate of 0.46% yr⁻¹ from the 566 inventory of SF₆ held in the installed equipment and 1.29% yr⁻¹ from the transmission 567 network, with an overall average (2010-2016) leakage rate of 1% yr⁻¹ from the UK electrical 568 power industry (Widger and Haddad., 2018). 569

Figure 8 shows the global installed electrical capacity and the percentage contribution of 570 wind and solar power capacity from 2000-2018. Installed electrical capacity grew by 2412.5 571 GW during this period (61.5%). Of this rise, ~45% was due to solar and wind, illustrating the 572 very rapid growth rate of the renewable sector, as utility companies invested in renewable 573 574 energy (GWEC, 2018; CWEA, 2018; IRENA, 2019). The inset panel records the percentage of solar and wind power by country during 2017 – led by China, USA, and Germany. The 575 global adoption of renewable technologies, especially hydroelectric, wind and solar power 576 has been particularly strong in the non-Annex-1 countries to support their continuing 577 development (Fang et al., 2013). For example, Chinese installed electrical capacity, relative 578 to the ROW, increased from about 3% in 1980 to ~43% in 2018, as noted in Table 1. 579

580 We assume that with the larger geographical distribution of renewables, compared with 581 an individual gas or oil-fired power station, this has resulted in many more connections to the 582 electricity grid and a consequent rise in the number of gas-insulated electrical switches, 583 circuit breakers, and transformers.







585 586

Figure 8. Global Installed Electrical Capacity (GW) and the percentage contribution from
wind power (blue bars) and from solar power (red bars) from 2000-2018. Insert: Percentage
of wind and solar power by country in 2017. (IRENA, 2019).

SF₆ in the electrical power industry is primarily used in high voltage gas-insulated 590 switchgear (GIS) which consumes > 80% of the SF₆ used, with medium voltage GIS 591 consuming only about 10% (Niemeyer, L. and Chu., 1992; Dervos and Vassiliou, 2000; Zu et 592 al., 2011; Xiao et al., 2018). Since this electrical equipment can be operational for 30-40 593 years there is a large bank of SF_6 in older equipment that will be a continuing source of 594 global SF₆ emissions through routine maintenance, decommissioning, catastrophic failure of 595 596 components (as noted previously) and long-term leakage. SF₆ is also used by the utility companies in gas-insulated transmission lines (Ecofys, 2018). 597

Recently, regulations have been introduced to mitigate the environmental impact of SF_6 598 599 emissions. The European Commission reinforced a 2006 F-Gas regulation in 2015 (No. 517/2014) with the aim of reducing the EU's F-gas emissions by two-thirds from 2014 levels 600 by 2030 (EU Commission, 2015). It is important to realise that under these current European 601 602 regulations there are no restrictions on the use of SF₆ in switchgear, but there are requirements to recover SF_6 where possible (Biasse, 2014). Historically, SF_6 has been the 603 preferred insulator and arc-quenching gas, although technological advances and alternative 604 gases to SF₆ have been introduced to reduce overall emissions. Substitute gases include 605 perfluoroketones, perfluoronitriles and CF₃I (Okubo, 2011; Li et al., 2018, Xiao et al., 2018). 606 Some wind turbine manufacturers have recently started to offer SF_6 -free equipment or 607 vacuum insulated switch gear. In 1995 the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA, 608 609 2018) established an SF₆ Emissions Reduction Partnership for electric power systems to improve equipment reliability and reduce SF₆ emissions by technological innovation. They 610 subsequently reported that by 2016 there had been a 74% reduction of SF_6 emissions by the 611





612 industrial partners (https://www.epa.gov/f-gas-partnership-programs/electric-power-systems-613 partnership).

The combined emissions estimated in Sect. 3.1 from SF_6 production and the various 614 industrial applications which use SF₆ are ~0.95 Gg yr⁻¹. Sales of SF₆ to these industries are 615 listed in the Rand report (Smythe, 2004) from 1996-2003 (Supplement: Table S2) which does 616 617 not include recent data and only covers an unspecified part of the World, implying a potential underestimation of actual emissions. Assuming SF_6 consumption from these industries are 618 emitted promptly (i.e. not banked), we calculate an average annual emission of 1.1 Gg yr⁻¹ 619 (1996-2003), that includes the magnesium, electronics, adiabatic and the fraction of "other 620 uses" that are emitted promptly and is close in magnitude to the sum of individual industry 621 emissions estimated above. Even accepting these many assumptions, the dominant emissions 622 are attributable to the electrical industry and its use of SF₆ insulated equipment. 623

624 We can also obtain an 'effective' EF for the electrical industry by first subtracting prompt emissions from our top-down emission estimate and then calculating the amount of SF₆ 625 required to match the remaining annual top-down emission estimate, given global installed 626 627 electrical capacity and an assumed FF (52t/GW, Zhou et al., 2018). Based on this simplified method we estimate an 'effective' average EF of 2.5% for the entire time period. In Figure 9 628 we compare our top-down emissions estimate and a bottom-up estimate with $\pm 25\%$ 629 uncertainty (prompt emissions + electrical industry emissions using a median FF of 52 t/GW 630 and the inferred effective EF). Notwithstanding some disagreement between the top-down 631 632 estimate and the simple bottom-up model during certain periods (e.g. an overestimate in the early 1980s and underestimate during the 1990s, it is notable that decadal trends in SF_6 can be 633 broadly explained by the rise in installed electrical capacity and a single effective emission 634 factor. This suggests that when considered on ~10 year timescales, reductions in EF achieved 635 in certain countries through new technologies or improved GIS management, have been 636 637 offset by growth in higher-EF GIS from other parts of the world, such that the effective EF has not changed substantially on a global scale. 638

639

640







642 643 644

645 646

647

Figure 9. Top-down emission estimate (solid black line) with 1-sigma uncertainties and bottom-up, based on SF₆ prompt emissions plus emissions estimate from the electrical power industry (FF=52 t/GW) and an inferred effective EF (2.5%) with \pm 25% uncertainty (dashed lines).

648 4. Conclusions

649

New atmospheric SF₆ mole fractions are presented which extend and update our 650 previously reported time series from the 1970s to 2008 by a further 10 years to 2018 in both 651 hemispheres. We estimate global emissions of SF₆ using data from the 5-core AGAGE 652 observing sites and archived air samples with a 12-box global chemical transport model and 653 an inverse method. SF₆ emissions exhibited an almost linear increase from 2008-2018 654 reaching 9.04 ± 0.35 Gg yr⁻¹ in 2018, a decadal increase of ~24%. Chinese emissions in 2018 655 based on InTEM regional emission estimates, with large uncertainties, account for 36% (29-656 42%) of total global emissions relative to our model estimated global 2018 emissions. 657

We find that on an annually averaged basis Chinese emissions are about 10 times larger than emissions from S. Korea and Western Europe. Relative to 1978 global SF₆ emissions have increased by ~260% with cumulative global emissions through December 2018 of 234.2 \pm 6.4 Gg or CO₂ equivalents 5.5 \pm 0.15 Pg. To further mitigate the large uncertainties will require an increase in the number of monitoring sites, improved transport models and a substantial improvement in the accuracy and transparency of emissions reporting.

664 We note that the rapid expansion of global power demand and the faster adoption of 665 renewable technologies, such as wind and solar capacity over the past decade, particularly in 666 the Asia region, has provided a large bank of SF₆ which currently contributes to the 667 atmospheric burden of SF₆ and will continue throughout the lifetime (30-40 years) of the





installed equipment. The resultant increase in SF6 emissions from the non-Annex-1 countries 668 has overwhelmed the substantial reductions in overall emissions in the Annex-1 countries, 669 670 where less emissive industrial practices are used in the handling of SF₆. This also suggests that any decrease in emission factor from Annex-1 countries has been offset by an increase in 671 non-Annex-1 emission factors. The non-Annex-1, countries are progressively using improved 672 and less emissive GIS electrical equipment, however, it is only in the last few years that 673 674 alternative gases to SF₆ or SF₆-free equipment have been commercially available for switchgear and other electrical systems. We conclude that the observed increase in global 675 installed electrical capacity, in both developed and developing countries, is consistent with 676 the temporal rise in SF₆ global emissions. 677 678

679

Table 1. Estimated SF_6 emissions (Gg) calculated from China and the Rest of the World (ROW) installed electrical capacity.

Year	¹ China	² China estimated	³ ROW	⁴ ROW Estimated	Global
	Installed	emissions (Gg)	Installed	Emissions (Gg)	Emissions
	Electrical		Electrical		(ROW + China)
	Capacity		Capacity		(Gg)
	(GW)		(GW)		
1980	66	0.17 (0.13-0.21)	1910.8	3.48 (2.79-4.28)	3.65 (2.93-4.50)
1981	69	0.18 (0.14-0.23)	1996.2	3.58 (2.75-4.54)	3.76 (2.89-4.77)
1982	72	0.19 (0.15-0.24)	2068.0	3.53 (2.72-4.48)	3.72 (2.87-4.72)
1983	76	0.20 (0.16-0.26)	2133.3	3.52 (2.71-4.46)	3.72 (2.87-4.72)
1984	80	0.21 (0.16-0.27)	2225.3	3.74 (2.88-4.75)	3.95 (3.04-5.02)
1985	87	0.24 (0.19-0.31)	2303.5	3.69 (2.84-4.68)	3.93 (3.02-4.99)
1986	94	0.26 (0.20-0.33)	2368.6	3.62 (2.78-4.59)	3.88 (2.98-4.92)
1987	103	0.29 (0.23-0.37)	2430.1	3.59 (2.76-4.56)	3.88 (2.99-4.93)
1988	115	0.33 (0.26-0.43)	2486.7	3.55 (2.73-4.51)	3.89 (2.99-4.93)
1989	127	0.36 (0.28-0.46)	2555.7	3.63 (2.79-4.61)	3.99 (3.07-5.07)
1990	138	0.39 (0.30-0.49)	2594.4	3.40 (2.62-4.32)	3.79 (2.91-4.81)
1991	151	0.43 (0.33-0.54)	2617.9	3.25 (2.50-4.12)	3.68 (2.93-4.66)
1992	167	0.48 (0.37-0.61)	2661.5	3.33 (2.56-4.23)	3.81 (2.93-4.84)
1993	184	0.53 (0.40-0.67)	2712.4	3.34 (2.57-4.24)	3.87 (2.98-4.91)
1994	201	0.57 (0.44-0.72)	2766.0	3.32 (2.56-4.22)	3.89 (2.99-4.94)
1995	219	0.62 (0.47-0.78)	2800.9	3.15 (2.42-4.00)	3.77 (2.90-4.78)
1996	238	0.67 (0.51-0.85)	2858.5	3.25 (2.50-4.13)	3.92 (3.02-4.98)
1997	256	0.71 (0.54-0.90)	2905.0	3.13 (2.41-3.98)	3.84 (2.95-4.87)
1998	289	0.85 (0.66-1.08)	2922.8	2.87 (2.21-3.64)	3.72 (2.87-4.73)
1999	302	0.80 (0.61-1.01)	2984.6	3.10 (2.393.94)	3.90 (3.00-4.95)
2000	319.3	0.86 (0.66-1.09)	3135.7	3.69 (2.84-4.68)	4.55 (3.50-5.77)
2001	338.5	0.91 (0.70-1.16)	3224.8	3.27 (2.52-4.15)	4.18 (3.22-5.31)
2002	357.6	0.96 (0.74-1.22)	3319.7	3.27 (2.52-4.15)	4.23 (3.26-5.37)





2003	392.4	1.11 (0.86-1.42)	3404.8	3.16 (2.43-4.02)	4.27 (3.29-5.43)
2004	443.5	1.31 (1.01-1.67)	3479.4	3.04 (2.34-3.85)	4.35 (3.35-5.52)
2005	517.8	1.60 (1.23-2.03)	3536.9	2.85 (2.19-3.62)	4.45 (3.42-5.65)
2006	624.1	2.00 (1.54-2.54)	3568.8	2.59 (1.99-3.29)	4.59 (3.53-5.83)
2007	720.6	2.19 (1.69-2.78)	3617.5	2.61 (2.00-3.31)	4.80 (3.69-6.09)
2008	798.5	2.30 (1.77-2.92)	3692.2	2.69 (2.07-3.41)	4.99 (3.84-6.33)
2009	883.1	2.54 (1.95-3.22)	3767.2	2.61 (2.01-3.31)	5.15 (3.96-6.53)
2010	966.4	2.73 (2.10-3.47)	3850.9	2.58 (1.98-3.27)	5.31 (4.09-6.74)
2011	1062.5	3.03 (2.33-3.84)	3929.5	2.45 (1.89-3,11)	5.48 (4.22-6.96)
2012	1146.8	3.18 (2.45-4.04)	4027.9	2.49 (1.91-3.16)	5.67 (4.36-7.19)
2013	1257.7	3.57 (2.75-4.53)	4107.9	2.27 (1.75-2.88)	5.84 (4.49-7.41)
2014	1369.2	3.84 (2.96-4.88)	4195.7	2.21 (1.70-2.81)	6.05 (4.66-7.69)
2015	1506.7	4.30 (3.31-5.46)	4265.8	1.99 (1.53-2.52)	6.29 (4.83-7.97)
2016	1645.8	4.64 (3.57-5.89)	4343.1	1.91 (1.47-2.42)	6.55 (5.04-8.32)
2017	1777.0	4.93 (3.79-6.26)	4424.6	1.81 (1.39-2.30)	6.74 (5.19-8.56)
2018	1899.7	5.19 (3.99-6.59)	4435.7	1.65 (1.39-1.96)	6.84 (5.38-8.55)

683

⁶⁸⁴ ¹ China installed electrical power capacity compiled from

685 www.statistica.com/statistics/302269 and www.iea.com, (IEA 2017).

 2 China estimated emissions derived from method of Zhou et al. (2018), using an initial

687 filling of 52 t/GW (in parenthesis, range 40-66 t/GW) and emission factors of 8.6%

688 (manufacture and installation) and 4.7% (operation and maintenance).

⁶⁸⁹ ³Rest of the World (ROW) installed electrical power capacity, compiled from

690 www.data.UN.org, (<u>www.iea.com</u>) and mecometer.com.

 4 ROW emissions estimated using an initial filling of 52 t/GW and a 12% loss during

manufacture and installation of new equipment and assuming 3% loss from banked SF₆ in

electrical equipment in 1980 and then decreasing linearly to 1.0% in 2018, reflecting the

change from older to newer equipment (Olivier and Bakker, 1999).

695

Table 2. The East Asian setup of the inversion system run 2007-2018 in 2-yr blocks. The

697 Meteorology, Transport Model (ATM), geographical domains over which the ATM is run,

number of particles released, inversion time-steps, prior information and observations used.

Inversion System	Atmospheric Transport Model	Driving Meteorology	Computational Domain	Inversion Domain	Particles Released	Release Time Step	Prior	Obs
InTEM	NAME	Unified Model 12-40 km horizontal	54.3°E to 192.0°E 5.3°S to 74.3°N	88.1°E to 145.9°E 16.0°N to 57.6°N	20,000 hr-1	2 hr	Population 2 kt over domain 300% uncertainty per sub-region	GSN

699

700 Note. GSN=Gosan station, Korea.





- Table 3. The European setup of each inversion system run each year 2013-2018: The
- 703 Meteorology, Transport Model (ATM), geographical domains over which the ATM's are run,
- number of particles released, inversion time-steps, prior information and observations used.
- 705

Inversion System	Atmospheric Transport Model	Driving Meteorology	Computational Domain	Inversion Domain	Particles Released	Release Time Step	Prior	Obs
InTEM	NAME	Unified Model 1.5 km nested in 12-17 km horizontal	98.1°W to 39.6°E 10.6°N to 79.2°N	14.3°W to 30.8°E 36.4°N to 66.3°N	20,000 hr ⁻¹	2 hr	Population 2 kt over domain 200% uncertainty per sub-region	MHD JFJ CMN TAC RGL BSD HFD
EBRIS	FLEXPART 9.1_Empa	ECMWF-IFS 0.2° x 0.2° (-4°E - 16°E, 39°N - 51°N) nested in 1° x 1°	Global	12.0°W to 26.4°E 36.0°N to 62.0°N	16,667 hr-1	3 hr	Population 2kt over domain 100 % uncertainty for whole inversion domain	MHD JFJ CMN TAC
FLITS	FLEXPART 9.0	ECMWF Operational 1° lat x 1° lon horizontal	Global	20.0°W to 50.0°E 0.0°N to 80.0°N	13,333 hr ⁻¹	3 hr	Population 2 kt over domain 200% uncertainty per sub-region	MHD JFJ CMN TAC

706

707 Note. Observing stations. MHD (Mace Head, Ireland); JFJ (Jungfraujoch, Switzerland);

708 CMN (Monte Cimone, Italy); TAC (Tacolneston, UK); RGL (Ridge Hill, UK); BSD

709 (Bilsdale, UK); HFD (Heathfield, UK).

710

711

712

713

714

715

716

717

718





YEAR	Global Mole	16%ile	84%ile
	(pmol mol ⁻¹)		
1978	0.659	0.639	0.677
1979	0.755	0.734	0.775
1980	0.857	0.834	0.881
1981	0.975	0.949	1.003
1982	1.102	1.075	1.131
1983	1.217	1.188	1.248
1984	1.341	1.309	1.370
1985	1.485	1.447	1.518
1986	1.646	1.606	1.681
1987	1.810	1.765	1.850
1988	1.975	1.925	2.020
1989	2.153	2.106	2.200
1990	2.349	2.297	2.400
1991	2.562	2.506	2.617
1992	2.773	2.712	2.829
1993	2.984	2.915	3.046
1994	3.207	3.128	3.274
1995	3.445	3.369	3.517
1996	3.687	3.601	3.763
1997	3.917	3.828	3.997
1998	4.136	4.038	4.218

Table 4. SF₆. Global mole fraction output from the AGAGE 12-box model.

774

YEAR	Global Mole Fraction	16%ile	84%ile
	(pmol mol ⁻¹)		
1999	4.341	4.245	4.429
2000	4.534	4.430	4.626
2001	4.730	4.623	4.827
2002	4.941	4.834	5.039
2003	5.166	5.057	5.265
2004	5.393	5.280	5.499
2005	5.623	5.499	5.735
2006	5.871	5.739	5.986
2007	6.139	5.996	6.257
2008	6.420	6.273	6.544
2009	6.702	6.553	6.837
2010	6.987	6.826	7.122
2011	7.280	7.118	7.419
2012	7.585	7.424	7.731
2013	7.903	7.730	8.059
2014	8.227	8.048	8.391
2015	8.557	8.368	8.729
2016	8.892	8.692	9.063
2017	9.238	9.033	9.422
2018	9.587	9.374	9.788

722 Note: Mole fractions are reported as the mid-point of the year.

723

724





- Table 5. Modelled global and Chinese SF_6 emissions. (Gg yr⁻¹) and UNFCCC reported
- 727 emissions.

YEAR	*This work	UNFCCC	UNFCCC	UNFCCC	InTEM: China
	Global Emissions	Annex-1	Revised	Combined	(Gosan site)
	$(Gg yr^{-1})$		Non -	Annex-1 +	
			Annex-1	Revised	
1978	2.51 (2.11-2.83)			Non-Annex-1	
1979	2.58 (2.29-2.84)				
1980	2.74 (2.47-2.93)				
1981	3.10 (2.83-3.40)				
1982	3.13 (2.86-3.41)				
1983	2.98 (2.65-3.15)				
1984	3.38 (3.08-3.60)				
1985	3.90 (3.61-4.18)				
1986	4.20 (3.92)4.42)				
1987	4.19 (3.89-4.43)				
1988	4.34 (4.05-4.59)				
1989	4.70 (4.41-4.97)				
1990	5.16 (4.85-5.46)	2.62	0.08	2.71	
1991	5.43 (5.12-5.75)	2.66	0.03	2.69	
1992	5.40 (5.07-5.63)	2.63	0.03	2.66	
1993	5.52 (5.20-5.81)	2.63	0.16	2.79	
1994	5.88 (5.49-6.14)	2.60	0.06	2.66	
1995	6.19 (5.86-6.54)	2.65	0.16	2.81	
1996	6.13 (5.75-6.35)	2.65	0.11	2.76	
1997	5.84 (5.55-6.13)	2.40	0.13	2.53	
1998	5.57 (5.31-5.82)	2.22	0.08	2.29	
1999	5.21 (4.94-5.49)	1.93	0.17	2.09	
2000	5.04 (4.68-5.32)	1.72	0.29	2.01	
2001	5.21 (4.89-5.43)	1.58	0.20	1.78	
2002	5.61 (5.31-5.89)	1.47	0.35	1.82	
2003	5.81 (5.53-6.03)	1.40	0.40	1.80	
2004	5.83 (5.57-6.05)	1.33	0.48	1.81	





2005	6.11 (5.87-6.35)	1.25	0.99	2.23	
2006	6.50 (6.21-6.72)	1.18	0.94	2.12	
2007	6.98 (6.64-7.20)	1.04	1.22	2.26	1.40 (1.01-1.79)
2008	7.25 (6.89-7.45)	0.94	1.22	2.16	1.48 (1.13-1.83)
2009	7.20 (6.92-7.47)	0.78	1.28	2.06	1.64 (1.31-1.96)
2010	7.37 (7.05-7.65)	0.79	1.77	2.56	1.77 (1.40-2.13)
2011	7.65 (7.35-7.98)	0.80	1.69	2.49	2.41 (2.01-2.82)
2012	7.95 (7.59-8.20)	0.95	1.76	2.71	2.57 (2.20-2.95)
2013	8.20 (7.86-8.50)	0.91	2.62	3.53	2.02 (1.65-2.40)
2014	8.39 (8.05-8.65)	0.72	3.22	3.94	2.09 (1.66-2.53)
2015	8.45 (8.11-8.73)	0.72	3.41	4.13	2.52 (2.03-3.02)
2016	8.73 (8.37-8.99)	0.75	4.18	4.93	2.81 (2.24-3.38)
2017	8.92 (8.56-9.24)	NR	NR	NR	2.71 (2.09-3.32)
2018	9.04 (8.63-9.34)	NR	NR	NR	3.22 (2.64-3.81)

Note: Global emissions are mid-year. NR = Not reported. Revised Non-Annex-1 includes

729 interpolated values for missing years. Uncertainties shown in parenthesis as 16% ile and

 730 84% ile. China SF₆ emissions estimated by InTEM were scaled to total China emissions by population.





	Inventory 1yr	InTEM	InTEM	EMPA	FLITS
		(3sites, 2yr)	(7sites, 1yr)	(4sites, 1yr)	(4sites, 1yr)
1990	0.48 (0.43-0.53)				
1991	0.5 (0.45-0.55)				
1992	0.54 (0.48-0.59)				
1993	0.57 (0.51-0.62)				
1994	0.61 (0.55-0.68)				
1995	0.66 (0.59-0.72)				
1996	0.65 (0.58-0.71)				
1997	0.58 (0.52-0.64)				
1998	0.55 (0.50-0.61)				
1999	0.45 (0.41-0.50)				
2000	0.45 (0.41-0.50)				
2001	0.42 (0.37-0.46)				
2002	0.37 (0.33-0.40)				
2003	0.34 (0.31-0.38)				
2004	0.35 (0.31-0.38)				
2005	0.33 (0.30-0.37)				
2006	0.31 (0.28-0.35)				
2007	0.29 (0.26-0.32)	0.25 (0.16-0.34)			
2008	0.28 (0.26-0.31)	0.23 (0.16-0.31)			
2009	0.27 (0.24-0.29)	0.19 (0.13-0.25)			
2010	0.27 (0.24-0.29)	0.19 (0.13-0.25)			
2011	0.26 (0.23-0.28)	0.21 (0.16-0.27)			
2012	0.26 (0.24-0.29)	0.21 (0.16-0.27)			
2013	0.26 (0.23-0.28)	0.22 (0.16-0.28)	0.26 (0.19-0.33)	0.32 (0.29-0.35)	0.37 (0.27-0.46)
2014	0.25 (0.23-0.28)	0.23 (0.16-0.29)	0.28 (0.22-0.33)	0.24 (0.21-0.27)	0.36 (0.26-0.45)
2015	0.26 (0.24-0.29)	0.22 (0.16-0.29)	0.29 (0.22-0.36)	0.32 (0.30-0.34)	0.37 (0.27-0.47)
2016	0.27 (0.24-0.30)	0.22 (0.15-0.30)	0.27 (0.21-0.33)	0.24 (0.21-0.27)	0.34 (0.25-0.43)
2017	0.28 (0.25-0.31)	0.21 (0.14-0.28)	0.30 (0.20-0.39)	0.36 (0.32-0.40)	0.45 (0.33-0.56)
2018	NR	0.21 (0.15-0.27)	0.23 (0.18-0.28)	0.20 (0.17-0.23)	0.30 (0.19-0.42)

- 746 Table 6. SF₆ emission estimates for Western Europe; UNFCCC inventory and InTEM,
- EMPA and FLITS emissions (Gg yr⁻¹). (Uncertainties in parenthesis). 747

NR= Not reported, 748

749

750

751

752

753

754

755

756





758 **References**

- 759 Arnold, T., Mühle, J., Salameh, P.K., Harth, C. M., Ivy, D. J., and Weiss, R.F.: Automated
- 760 Measurement of Nitrogen Trifluoride in Ambient Air, Anal. Chem., 84, 4798-4804,
- 761 <u>https://doi.org/10.1021/ac300373e,2012</u>.
- Arnold, T., Manning, A. J., Kim, J., Li, S., Webster, H., Thomson, D., Mühle, J., Weiss, R. F.,
- Park, S., and O'Doherty, S.: Inverse modelling of CF4 and NF3 emissions in East Asia, Atmos.
- 764 Chem. Phys., 18, 13305-13320, 10.5194/acp-18-13305-2018, 2018.765
- 766 Bakwin, P. S., Hurst, D.F., Tans, P.P., and Elkins, J.W.: Anthropogenic sources of
- halocarbons, sulfur hexafluoride, carbon monoxide and methane in the southeastern United
- 768 States, J. Geophys. Res., 102, 15915-15925, 1997.
- 769 Biasse, J.M., 2014. 'SF6 in Medium Voltage and High Voltage switchgear unaffected by new
- 770 EU F-gas regulation'. *Schneider Electric*. Available at: <u>https://blog.schneider-</u>
- 771 <u>electric.com/utilities/2014/10/28/sf6-mv-hv-switchgear-unaffected-new-eu-f-gas-regulation/</u>
- 772 Brunner, D., Arnold, T., Henne, S., Manning, A., Thompson, R.L, Maione, M., O'Doherty,
- S., and Reimann, S., 2017: Comparison of four inverse modelling systems applied to the
- estimation of HFC-125, HFC-134a, and SF₆ emissions over Europe. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, **17**,
- 10651-10674, doi: 10.5194/acp-17-10651-2017.
- 776 Busenberg, E. and L. N. Plummer, Dating Young Groundwater with Sulfur Hexafluoride:
- Natural and Anthropogenic Sources of Sulfur Hexafluoride, Water Resour. Res., 36(10),
- 778 3011–3030, doi:10.1029/2000WR900151, 2000.
- 779 CAPIEL/UNIPEDE (1999) Observations of CAPIEL-UNIPEDE concerning the Revised
- 780 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories. International Union of Producers
- and Distributors of Electrical Energy (UNIPEDE)/Co-ordinating Committee for Common
- 782 Market Associations of Manufacturers of Industrial Electrical Switchgear and Controlgear
- 783 (CAPIEL). January 1999. Note sent to the IPCC Greenhouse Gas Inventory Programme,
- 784 Paris.
- Cheng, J-H., Bartos, S.C., Lee, W.M., Li, S-N., and Lu, J.: SF₆ usage and emission trends in
 the TFT-LCD industry. International J. of Greenhouse Gas Control, 17, 106-110, 2013.
- Collins, C.F., Bartlett, F.E., Turk, A., Edmonds, S.M., and Mark, H.L.: A preliminary
 valuation of gas air tracers. J. Air Pollut. Contr. Ass., 15, 109 (1965).
- Cullen, M. J. P.: The unified forecast/climate model, Meteorol. Mag., 122, 81–94, 1993.
- Cunnold, D., Alyea, F., and Prinn, R.G.: A Methodology for Determining the Lifetime ofFluorocarbons. J. Geophys. Res., 83,5393-5500, 1978.
- 792 Cunnold D.M., Prinn, R.G., Rasmusssen, R.A. Simmonds, P.G., Alyea, F.N., Cardelono,
- 793 C.A., and Crawford, A.J., (1983).: The Atmospheric Lifetime Experiment: 4. Results for
- CF_2Cl_2 based on three years data. https://doi.org/10.1029/JC088iC13p08401.
- 795 CWEA 2018. (Chinese Wind Energy Association).





- Deeds, D. A., Vollmer, M. K., Kulongoski, J. T., Miller, B. R., Mühle, J., Harth, C. M.,
- 797 Izbicki, J. A., Hilton, D. R., and Weiss, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10, 10305–10320, 2010
- 798 www.atmos-chem-phys.net/10/10305/2010/
- 799 Dervos, C.T., and Vassiliou, P.: Sulfur Hexafluoride (SF6): Global Environmental Effects
- and Toxic By product Formation, Journal of the Air & Waste Management Association, 50:1,
- 801 137-141, 2000. DOI: 10.1080/10473289.2000.1046399.
- BO2 Drivas, P. J., Shair, F.H, and Simmonds P.G.: Experimental characterization of ventilation
 systems in buildings. Environ. Sci. Technol. 6, 609, 1972.
- Drivas, P.J., and Shair, F.H.: A tracer study of pollutant transport and dispersion in the Los
 Angeles area. 11, 1155-1163, 1974.
- 806 ECOFYS, 2018. Concept for SF6-free transmission and distribution of electrical energy Final
- 807 report by: Ecofys: Dr. Karsten Burges, Michael Döring, Charlotte Hussy, Jan-Martin
- 808 Rhiemeier ETH: Dr. Christian Franck, Mohamed Rabie Date: 28 February 2018 Project
- number: ESMDE16264 BMU reference: 03KE0017.
- 810 EDGAR v4.2. Global Emissions (November 2011), (https://edgar.jrc.ec.europa.eu).
- 811 Elkins, J. W. and Dutton, G. S.: Nitrous oxide and sulfur hexafluoride, [in State of the
- 812 Climate in 2008], B. Am. Meteor. Soc., 90(8), S1–S196, 2009.
- 813 Engel, A., and Rigby, M., (2019), (Lead Authors), J.B. Burkholder, R.P. Fernandez, L.
- 814 Froidevaux, B.D. Hall, R. Hossaini, T. Saito, M.K. Vollmer, and B. Yao, Update on Ozone-
- 815 Depleting Substances (ODSs) and Other Gases of Interest to the Montreal Protocol, Chapter 1
- 816 in Scientific Assessment of Ozone Depletion: 2018, Global Ozone Research and Monitoring
- 817 Project-Report no. 58, World Meteorological Organization, Geneva, Switzerland, 2018.
- 818 EPA 2018. Overview of SF₆ emissions sources and reduction options in electric power
- 819 systems. (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, April 2018). www.epa-gov/f-gas-
- 820 partnership-programs/electric-power-systems-partnership.
- 821 EU Commission, 2015. 'EU legislation to control F-gases'. *European Union*
- 822 Commission. Available at: https://ec.europa.eu/clima/policies/f-gas/legislation_en
- 823 Fang, X.; Hu, X.; Janssens-Maenhout, G.; Wu, J.; Han, J.; Su, S.; Zhang, J.; Hu, J.: Sulfur
- hexafluoride (SF6) emission estimates for China: An inventory for 1990–2010 and a
- 825 projection to 2020. Environ. Sci. Technol. 47, 3848–3855, 2013.
- 826 Fang, X.; Thompson, R.L.; Saito, T; Yokouchi, y.; Kim, J.; Li, S.; Kim, K.R.; Park, S.;
- 827 Graziosi, F.; and Stohl, A.: Sulfur hexafluoride (SF6) emission in East Asia determined by
- inverse modelling. Atmos. Chem. Phys, 14, 4779-4791, 2014. Doi:10.5194/acp-14-47792014.
- 830 Fraser, P. J., Porter, L. W., Baly, S. B., Krummel, P. B., Dunse, B. L., Steele, L. P., Derek,
- 831 N., Langenfelds, R. L., Levin, I., Oram, D. E., Elkins, J. W., Vollmer, M. K., and Weiss, R.
- 832 F.: Sulfur hexafluoride at Cape Grim: long term trends and regional emissions, in: Baseline
- 833 Atmospheric Program Australia 2001–2002, edited by: Cainey, J. M., Derek, N., and
- Krummel, P. B., Bureau of Meteorology and CSIRO Atmospheric Research, 18–23, 2004.





- Ganesan, A. L, Manning, A. J., Grant, D., Young, D., Oram, D. E., Sturges, W.T., Moncrieff,
- J.B., and O'Doherty. S.: Quantifying methane and nitrous oxide emissions from the UK and
- Ireland using a national-scale monitoring network. Atmos. Chem. Phys., 15, 6393–6406,
- 838 2015 www.atmos-chem-phys.net/15/6393/2015/ doi:10.5194/acp-15-6393-2015.
- 839 Geller, L., Elkins, J. W., Lobert, J., Clarke, A., Hurst, D. F., Butler, J., and Myers, R.:
- 840 Tropospheric SF6: Observed latitudinal distribution and trends, derived emissions and
- 841 interhemispheric exchange time, Geophys. Res. Lett., 24, 675–678, 1997.
- 842 Graziosi, F., Arduini, J., Furlani, F., Giostra, U., Kuijpers, L. J. M., Montzka, S. A., Miller,
- 843 B. R., O'Doherty, S. J., Stohl, A., Bonasoni, P., and Maione, M.: European emissions of
- 844 HCFC-22 based on eleven years of high frequency atmospheric measurements
- and a Bayesian inversion method, Atmos. Environ., 112, 196–207,
- 846 doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2015.04.042, 2015.
- 847
- 848 GWEC 2018. (Global Wind Report April 2018.
- 849 Henne, S., Brunner, D. Oney, B. Leuenberger, M. Eugster, W. Bamberger, I. Meinhardt, F.
- Steinbacher, M and Emmenegger, L., 2016: Validation of the Swiss methane emission
- inventory by atmospheric observations and inverse modelling. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*,
- 852 16, 3683-3710, doi:10.5194/acp-16-3683-2016.
- 853 Hurst, D. F., Lin, J. C., Romashkin, P. A., Daube, B. C., Gerbig, C., Matross, D. M., Wofsy,
- 854 S. C., Hall, B. D., and Elkins, J. W., Continuing global significance of emissions of Montreal
- 855 Protocol restricted halocarbons in the United States and Canada, J. Geophys. Res., 111,
- 856 D15302, doi:10.1029/2005JD006785, 2006.
- 857 IEA (International Energy Agency). World Energy Outlook. 2017. Available online:
- 858 IPCC (1997) Revised 1996 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories.
- 859 IEA/OECD, Paris. Now: TSU NGGIP, Japan.
- 860 IPCC (Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change): 2006 Guidelines for National
- Greenhouse Gas Inventories, edited by: Eggleston, S., Buendia, L., Miwa, K., Ngara, T., and
 Tanabe, K., IPCCTSU NGGIP, IGES, Japan, 2006.
- IRENA (2019), Renewable capacity statistics 2019, International Renewable Energy Agency
 (IRENA), Abu Dhabi.
- Jones, A., Thomson, D., Hort, M., and Devenish, B.: The UK Met Office's next-generation
- atmospheric dispersion model, NAME III, Air Pollution Modeling and Its Applications Xvii,
 edited by: Borrego, C. and Norman, A. L., 580–589, 2007.
- 868 Kim, J.; Li, S.; Kim, K. R.; Stohl, A.; Mühle, J.; Kim, S. K.; Park, M. K.; Kang, D. J.; Lee,
- 869 G.; Harth, C. M.; Salameh, P. K.; Weiss, R. F. Regional atmospheric emissions determined
- 870 from measurements at Jeju Island, Korea: Halogenated compounds from China. Geophys.
- 871 Res. Lett. 2010, 37, L12801.
- Ko, M.K.W., Sze, N.D., Wang, W.C., Shia, G., Goldman, A., Murcray, F.J., Murcray, D.G.,
- and Rinsland, C.P.: Atmospheric Sulfur Hexafluoride: sources, sinks and greenhouse
- warming, J. Geophys. Res., 98, 10499-10507, 1993.





- 875 Kovács, T., Feng, W., Totterdill, A., Plane, J. M. C., Dhomse, S., Gómez-Martín, J. C.,
- 876 Stiller, G. P., Haenel, F. J., Smith, C., Forster, P. M., García, R. R., Marsh, D. R., and
- 877 Chipperfield, M. P.: Determination of the atmospheric lifetime and global warming potential
- of sulfur hexafluoride using a three-dimensional model, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 17, 883–
- 879 898, <u>https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-17-883-2017</u>, 2017.
- Krey, P. W., R. J. Lagomarsino, and L. E. Toonkel.: Gaseous halogens in the atmosphere in
 1975, J. Geophys. Res., 82, 1753-1766, 1977.
- Levin, I., Naegler, T., Heinz, R., Osusko, D., Cuevas, E., Engel, A., Ilmberger, J.,
- Langenfelds, R. L., Neininger, B., Rohden, C. v., Steele, L. P., Weller, R., Worthy, D. E., and
- Zimov, S. A.: The global SF₆ source inferred from long-term high precision atmospheric
- measurements and its comparison with emission inventories, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10, 2655–
- 886 2662, doi:10.5194/acp-102655-2010, 2010.
- 887 Li, S., Kim, J., Kim, K. R., Mühle, J., Kim, S. K., Park, M. K., Stohl, A., Kang, D. J., Arnold,
- 888 T., Harth, C. M., Salameh, P. K., and Weiss, R. F.: Emissions of Halogenated Compounds in
- East Asia Determined from Measurements at Jeju Island, Korea, Environ. Sci. Technol., 45,
 5668–5675, doi:10.1021/Es104124k, 2011.
- Li, K., Zhao, H., Murphy, A.B.SF6-alternative gases for application in gas-insulated
 switchgear. J. Phys. D. Appl. Phys. 51, 15300, 2018.
- Maiss, M. and Levin, I.: Global Increase of SF6 Observed in the Atmosphere, Geophys. Res.
 Lett., 21, 569–572, 1994.
- 895 Maiss, M., Steele, L.P., Francey, R.J., Fraser, P.J., Langenfelds, R.L., Trivett, N.B.A., and
- Levin, I.: Sulfur hexafluoride A powerful new atmospheric tracer, Atmos. Environ., 30(10–11), 1621–1629, 1996.
- Maiss, M. and Brenninkmeijer, C.A.M.: Atmospheric SF6: Trends, Sources, and Prospects,
 Environ. Sci. Technol., 32, 3077–3086, 1998.
- Martin, D., Petersson, K.F., Shallcross, D.E.: The use of cyclic perfluoroalkanes and SF₆ in
 atmospheric dispersion experiments. Q.J.R. Meteorol. Soc. 137: 2047-2063, 2011.
- 902 Myhre, G., Shindell, D., Bréon, F,-M., Collins, W., Fuglestvedt, J., Huang, J., Koch, D.,
- 903 Lamarque, J.-F., Lee, D., Mendoza, B., Nakajima, T., Robock, A., Stephens, G., Takemura,
- and Zhang, H.: 2013. Anthropogenic and Natural Radiative Forcing, in: Climate Change
- 2013: The Physical Science Basis, Contribution of Working Group 1 to the Fifth Assessment
- 906 Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Cambridge University Press,
- 907 Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA. 2013.
- 908 Miller, B. R., Weiss, R. F., Salameh, P. K., Tanhua, T., Greally, B. R., Mühle, J., and
- 909 Simmonds, P. G.: Medusa: A sample preconcentration and GC/MS detector system for in situ
- 910 measurements of atmospheric trace halocarbons, hydrocarbons, and sulfur compounds, Anal.
- 911 Chem., 80, 1536–1545, doi:10.1021/ac702084k, http://pubs.acs.org/doi/abs/10.
- 912 1021/ac702084k, 2008.
- 913 National Bureau of Statistics. China Industry Economy Statistical Yearbook in 2016; China
- 914 Statistical Press, Beijing, China, 2017.





- 915 Niemeyer, L. and Chu, F.: SF6 and the Atmosphere, IEEE. T. Electr. Insul., 27(1),184–
- 916 187,1992. Nike: Corporate Responsibility Report, FY04, 2005.
- 917 Olivier, J.G.J. and J. Bakker (1999) SF6 from electrical equipment and other uses. Good
- 918 Practice Guidance and Uncertainty Management in National Greenhouse Gas Inventories.
- 919 Okubo, H.; Beroual, A. Recent trend and future perspectives in electrical insulation
- 920 techniques in relation to sulfur hexafluoride (SF6) substitutes for high voltage electric power
- equipment. IEEE Electr. Insul. Mag. 2011, 27, 34–42.
- 922 Ottinger, D., Mollie, A., and Harris, D.: US consumption and supplies of sulphur
- hexafluoride reported under the greenhouse gas reporting program. Journal of Integrative
- 924 Environmental Sciences ISSN: 1943-815X, 1943-8168, Journal homepage:
- 925 https://www.tandfonline.com/loi/nens20.
- Palmer, B., 1996. SF₆ Emissions from Magnesium. (www.ipcc-
- 927 nggip.iges.or.jp/.../3_4_SF6_Magnesium.pdf).
- Patra, P. K., Lai, S., Subbaraya, B.H., Jackman, C., and Rajaratnam, P.: Observed vertical
 profile of sulfur hexafluoride (SF6) and its atmospheric applications <u>J. of Geophys Res</u>
 <u>Atmospheres</u> 102(D7):8855-8859, 1997.DOI: 10.1029/96JD03503.
- 931 Prinn, R. G., Weiss, R. F., Fraser, P. J., Simmonds, P. G., Cunnold, D. M., Alyea, F. N.,
- 932 O'Doherty, S., Salameh, P., Miller, B. R., Huang, J., Wang, R. H. J., Hartley, D. E., Harth,
- 933 C., Steele, L. P., Sturrock, G., Midgley, P. M., and McCulloch, A.: A history of chemically
- and radiatively important gases in air deduced from ALE/GAGE/AGAGE, J. Geophys. Res.,
- 935 105, 17751–17792, doi:10.1029/2000jd900141, 2000.
- Prinn, R. G., Weiss, R. F., Arduini, J., Arnold, T., DeWitt, H. L., Fraser, P. J., Ganesan, A. L., 936 Gasore, J., Harth, C. M., Hermansen, O., Kim, J., Krummel, P. B., Li, S., Loh, Z. M., Lunder, 937 C. R., Maione, M., Manning, A. J., Miller, B. R., Mitrevski, B., Mühle, J., O'Doherty, S., Park, 938 S., Reimann, S., Rigby, M., Saito, T., Salameh, P. K., Schmidt, R., Simmonds, P. G., Steele, 939 L. P., Vollmer, M. K., Wang, R. H., Yao, B., Yokouchi, Y., Young, D., and Zhou, L.: History 940 941 of chemically and radiatively important atmospheric gases from the Advanced Global 942 Atmospheric Gases Experiment (AGAGE), Earth Syst. Sci. Data, 10, 2, 985-1018, 10.5194/essd-10-985-2018, 2018. 943
- Ravishankara, A., Solomon, S., Turnipseed, A., and Warren, R.: Atmospheric Lifetimes of
 Long-Lived Halogenated Species, Science, 259, 194–199, 1993.
- 946 Ray, E., Moore, F. L., Elkins, J. W., Rosenlof, K. H., Laube, J. C., Röckmann, T., Marsh, D.
- 947 R., and Andrews, A. E.: Quantification of the SF6 Lifetime Based on Mesospheric Loss
- 948 Measured in the Stratospheric Polar Vortex, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 122, 4626–
- 949 4638, <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/2016JD026198</u>, 2017.
- 950 Rigby, M., Mühle, J., Miller, B.R., Prinn, R.G., Krummel, P.B., Steele, L.P., Fraser, P.J.,
- 951 Salameh, P.K., Harth, C.M., Weiss, R. F. Greally, B.R., O'Doherty, S., Simmonds, P.G.,
- 952 Vollmer, M.K., Reimann, S., Kim, J., Kim, K-R., Wang, H.J., Olivier, J.G.J., Dlugokencky,
- E.J., Dutton, G.S., Hall, B.D., and Elkins. J.W.: History of atmospheric SF6 from 1973 to
- 954 2008. Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10, 10305–10320, 2010. doi:10.5194/acp-10-1030ű5-2010.





- 955 Rigby, M., Manning, A.J., and Prinn, R.G.: Inversion of long-lived trace gas emissions using
- combined Eulerian and Lagrangian transport models. Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11, 9887-9898,2011.
- 958 Rigby, M., Prinn, R. G., O'Doherty, S., Montzka, S. A., McCulloch, A., Harth, C. M.,
- 959 Mühle, J., Salameh, P. K., Weiss, R. F., Young, D., Simmonds, P. G., Hall, B. D.,
- 960 Dutton, G. S., Nance, D., Mondeel, D. J., Elkins, J. W., Krummel, P. B., Steele, L. P., and
- 961 Fraser, P. J.: <u>Re-evaluation of the lifetimes of the major CFCs and CH₃CCl3 using</u>
- 962 <u>atmospheric trends</u>, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 13, 2691-2702, doi:10.5194/acp-13-2691-2013,
- 963 2013.
- 964 Rigby, M., R. G. Prinn, S. O'Doherty, B. R. Miller, D. Ivy, J. Mühle, C. M. Harth, P. K.
- 965 Salameh, T. Arnold, R. F. Weiss, P. B. Krummel, L. P. Steele, P. J. Fraser, D. Young & P. G.
- 966 Simmonds.: Recent and future trends in synthetic greenhouse gas radiative forcing, Geophys.
- 967 Res. Letts., 41(7), 2623-2630, doi:10.1002/2013GL059099, 2014.
- 968 Rigby, M., Park, S., Saito, T., Western, L. M., Redington, A. L., Fang, X., Henne, S.,
- 969 Manning, A. J., Prinn, R. G., Dutton, G. S., Fraser, P. J., Ganesan, A. L., Hall, B. D., Harth,
- 970 C. M., Kim, J., Kim, K.-R., Krummel, P. B., Lee, T., Li, S., Liang, Q., Lunt, M. F., Montzka,
- 971 S. A., Mühle, J., O'Doherty, S., Park, M.-K., Reimann, S., Salameh, P. K., Simmonds, P.,
- 972 Tunnicliffe, R. L., Weiss, R. F., Yokouchi, Y. and Young, D.: Increase in CFC-11 emissions
- 973 from eastern China based on atmospheric observations, Nature, 569(7757), 546–550,
- 974 doi:<u>10.1038/s41586-019-1193-4</u>, 2019.
- 975 Rinsland, C.P., Gunson, M.R., Abrams, M.C., Lowes, L.L., Zander, R., and Mathieu, E.:
- ATMOS/Atlas 1 Measurements of sulphur hexafluoride in the lower stratosphere and upper
 troposphere, 98, J. Geophys. Res, 20491-20494, 1993.
- Saltzman, B.E., Coleman, A.I., and Clemmons, C.A.: Halogenated compounds as gaseous
 meteorological tracers. Anal. Chem., 38, 753 (1966).
- 980 Schwarz, W., European Efforts to Limit Emissions of HFCs, PFCs, and SF6. EU Workshop.
- 981 Luxembourg, 2000. Öko-Recherche.
- 982 Scottish Hydro Electric, 2013. Scottish Hydro Electric Transmission plc: Annual
- 983 Performance Report 2013/14, (page 14.).
- 984 Simmonds, P.G., Shoemake, G.R., Lovelock J.E., and Lord, H.C.: Improvements in the
- determination of Sulfur Hexafluoride for use as a meteorological tracer. Anal Chem, 44, 860863. (1972).
- Singh, H. B., L. J. Salas, and L. A. Cavanagh.: Distribution, sources and sinks of atmospheric
 halogenated compounds, J. Air Pollut. Control Assoc., 27, 333-336, 1977.
- 989 Singh, H. B., L. J. Salas, H. Shigeishi, and E. Scribner.: Atmospheric halocarbons,
- hydrocarbons, and sulfur hexafluoride: Global distributions, sources, and sinks, Science, 203,
 899-903, 1979.
- 992 Stanley, K. M., Grant, A., O'Doherty, S., Young, D., Manning, A. J., Stavert, A. R., Spain, T.
- 993 G., Salameh, P. K., Harth, C. M., Simmonds, P. G., Sturges, W. T., Oram, D. E., and
- 994 Derwent, R. G.: Greenhouse gas measurements from a UK network of tall towers: technical





- description and first results, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 11, 1437-1458, 995
- https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-11-1437-2018, 2018. 996
- Stavert, A. R., O'Doherty, S., Stanley, K., Young, D., Manning, A. J., Lunt, M. F., Rennick, 997
- C., and Arnold, T.: UK greenhouse gas measurements at two new tall towers for aiding 998
- emissions verification, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 12, 4495-4518, https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-12-999 1000 4495-2019, 2019.
- Stohl, A., C. Forster, A. Frank, P. Seibert, and G. Wotawa, 2005: Technical note: The 1001 Lagrangian particle dispersion model FLEXPART version 6.2. Atmos. Chem. Phys., 5, 2461-1002 2474, doi: 10.5194/acp-5-2461-2005.
- 1003
- Smythe, K.: Trends in SF₆ Sales and End-Use Applications: 1961-2003. Rand Corporation. 1004 1005 3rd International Conf. on SF₆ and the Environment 1-2 December 2004.
- Turk, A., Edmonds, S.M., and Mark, H.L.: Sulfur Hexafluoride as a gas air tracer. Environ. 1006 Sci. Technol., 2, 44-48, (1968). 1007
- UNFCCC (United Nations Framework on Climate Change): Data (1990-2007) from CRF 1008
- data files submitted by Annex I countries to the UN Framework Convention on Climate 1009
- Change as part of their 2009 National Inventory Report submission, Bonn, Switzerland, 2010. 1010
- UNFCCC 2019 Annex I Party GHG Inventory Submissions (April 2019 submission). 1011
- https://unfccc.int/process-and-meetings/transparency-and-reporting/reporting-and-review-1012
- 1013 under-the-convention/national-communications-and-biennial-update-reports-non-annex-i-
- parties/national-communication-submissions-from-non-annex-i-parties) or Biannual Update 1014 Reports (https://unfccc.int/BURs). 1015
- 1016 United Nations, "Kyoto Protocol to the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change," United Nations, Kyoto, Japan, 1998. 1017
- 1018 Victor, D.G. and McDonald. G.J.: A Model for Estimating Future Emissions of Sulfur Hexafluoride and Perfluorocarbons, IIASA, Interim Report, IR-98-053/July 1999. 1019
- Vollmer, M. K. and Weiss, R. F.: Simultaneous determination of sulfur hexafluoride and 1020 1021 three chlorofluorocarbons in water and air, Mar. Chem., 78, 137-148, 2002.
- Vollmer, M. K., Zhou, L. X., Greally, B. R., Henne, S., Yao, B., Reimann, S., Stordal, F., 1022
- Cunnold, D. M., Zhang, X. C., Maione, M., Zhang, F., Huang, J., and Simmonds, P. G.: 1023
- 1024 Emissions of ozone-depleting halocarbons from China, Geophys. Res. Lett., 36, L15823, 1025 doi:10.1029/2009GL038659, 2009.
- 1026 Weiss, R.F., and Prinn, R.G., 2011. 'Quantifying greenhouse-gas emissions from atmospheric measurements: a critical reality check'. Phil. Trans. R. Soc. A (2011) 369, 1925-1942. 1027
- Widger, P., and A. Haddad.: Evaluation of SF6 Leakage from Gas-Insulated Equipment on 1028 Electricity networks in Great Britain., Energies, 11, 2037, 2018; doi:10.3390/en11082037. 1029
- WMO (World Meteorological Organization). Scientific Assessment of Ozone Depletion 2018. 1030
- Global Ozone Research and Monitoring Project -Report No. 58. Appendix Table A-1. 1031 Geneva, Switzerland, 2018. 1032
- Xiao, S., Zhang, X., Tang, J., and Liu., S.: A review on SF₆ substitute gases and research 1033





- 1035 Zhou, S., Teng, F., and Tong, Q. Mitigating Sulphur Hexafluoride (SF₆) Emission from
- 1036 Electrical Equipment in China. Sustainability 10, 2402, 2018. Doi: 10.3390/ su10072402.
- 1037 Xu, C.; Zhou, T.; Chen, X.; Li, X.; Kang, C. Estimating of sulfur hexafluoride gas emission
- 1038 from electric equipment. In Proceedings of the 1st International Conference on Electric
- Power Equipment—Switching Technology, Xi'an, China, 23–27 October 2011; pp. 299–303.
 30.

1041 Author contributions

- 1042 S.P., S.O'D., P.B.K., P.J.F., L.P.S., S.H., S.R., M.M., J.A., J,M., R.F.W., C.M.H., M.K.V.,
- 1043 M.P., H.P., T.A., D.Y., and C.R contributed observational data. M.R., A.J.M., F.G., and
- 1044 R.G.P., carried out atmospheric model simulations and inverse analysis with support from
- 1045 P.K.S., and R.H.J.W. The authors A.Mc., and K.M.S., made valuable data analysis
- 1046 contributions to the work.

1047 Competing interests

1048 We confirm that there are no competing interests.

1049 Data availability

- 1050 The entire ALE/GAGE/AGAGE data base comprising every calibrated measurement
- 1051 including pollution events is archived on the Carbon Dioxide Information and Analysis
- 1052 Center (CDIAC) at the US Department of Energy, Oak Ridge National Laboratory. UK
- 1053 DECC data is available from the UK Natural Environment Research Council's (NERC)
- 1054 Centre for Environmental Data Analysis.
- 1055

1056 Acknowledgements.

1057 We specifically acknowledge the cooperation and efforts of the station operators (G. Spain, 1058 MHD; R. Dickau, THD; P. Sealy, RPB; NOAA officer-in-charge, SMO) at the AGAGE 1059 stations and all other station managers and support staff at the different monitoring sites used 1060 in this study. We particularly thank NOAA and NILU for supplying some of the archived air 1061 samples shown, allowing us to fill important gaps. The operation of the AGAGE stations was supported by the National Aeronautical and Space Administration (NASA, USA) (grants 1062 NAG5-12669, NNX07AE89G and NNX11AF17G to MIT; grants NAG5-4023, 1063 1064 NNX07AE87G, NNX07AF09G, NNX11AF15G and NNX11AF16G to SIO); the 1065 Department for Business, Energy & Industrial Strategy (BEIS, UK formerly the Department of Energy and Climate Change (DECC)) (contracts GA01103, 1028/06/2015, 1537/06/2018 1066 to the University of Bristol); the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA, 1067 USA) (contract RA-133R-15-CN-0008 to the University of Bristol for Barbados, in addition 1068 to the operations of American Samoa station); and the Commonwealth Scientific and 1069 Industrial Research Organisation (CSIRO, Australia), Bureau of Meteorology (Australia), for 1070 their ongoing long-term support of the Cape Grim station and the Cape Grim science 1071 program. The measurements at Gosan, South Korea were supported by the Basic Science 1072 Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) funded by the 1073 Ministry of Education (No. NRF-2019R1A2B5B02070239). Financial support for the 1074 Zeppelin measurements is acknowledged from the Norwegian Environment Agency. 1075 1076 Financial support for the Jungfraujoch measurements is acknowledged from the Swiss 1077 national programmes HALCLIM and CLIMGAS-CH (Swiss Federal Office for the





- 1078 Environment, FOEN) as well as by ICOS-CH (Integrated Carbon Observation System
- 1079 Research Infrastructure). Support for the Jungfraujoch station was provided by International
- 1080 Foundation High Altitude Research Stations Jungfraujoch and Gornergrat (HFSJG). M.
- 1081 Rigby is supported by a NERC Advanced Fellowship NE/I021365/1. M. Rigby is supported
- by a NERC Advanced Fellowship NE/I021365/1. We also thank Dr R Derwent for valuable
 discussions on certain aspects of the paper.