Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss.,
https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-2020-1142-EC1, 2021
© Author(s) 2021. This work is distributed under
the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License.

Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics Discussions

Interactive comment on "Analysis of variability in divergence and turn-over induced by three idealized convective systems with a 3D cloud resolving model" *by* Edward Groot and Holger Tost

Jerome Brioude (Editor)

jerome.brioude@univ-reunion.fr

Received and published: 25 February 2021

Dear authors, Thank you again for considering ACP for your publication. I have read the paper and the reviewer' comments. I agree with the reviewers that the paper will need a lot of work in the present form to be publishable. I'm also wondering if CM1 is the right model to address the scientific questions of your paper.

I think it will be difficult for you to meet the deadlines set by the review process of ACP if you submit a revised paper that addresses all the comments given by the reviewers.

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper

I think the right decision is to reject the paper in the present form, so it will give you all the time you need to revise and improve your manuscript for another submission.

Best regards, Jerome Brioude

Interactive comment on Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-2020-1142, 2020.

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper

CC BY