# <u>Variability of NO<sub>2</sub> concentrations over China and effect on air</u> <u>quality derived from satellite and ground-based observations</u>

Cheng Fan<sup>1,3</sup>, Zhengqiang Li<sup>1</sup>, Ying Li<sup>2</sup>, Jiantao Dong<sup>4</sup>, Ronald van der A<sup>5,6</sup> and Gerrit de Leeuw <sup>5,1,6,7</sup>

<sup>1</sup>State Environment Protection Key Laboratory of Satellite Remote Sensing, Aerospace Information Research Institute, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100101, China

<sup>2</sup>China Academy of Culture and Tourism, Beijing International Studies University, Beijing 100024, China <sup>3</sup>University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China

<sup>4</sup>School of Surveying and Land Information Engineering, Henan Polytechnic University

<sup>5</sup>Royal Netherlands Meteorological Institute (KNMI), R&D Satellite Observations, 3731GA De Bilt, The Netherlands

<sup>6</sup>Nanjing University of Information Science & Technology (NUIST), School of Atmospheric Physics, No.219, Ningliu Road, Nanjing, Jiangsu, China

<sup>7</sup>University of Mining and Technology (CUMT), School of Environment Science and Spatial Informatics, Xuzhou, Jiangsu 221116, China

Correspondence to: Ying Li (living20190063@bisu.edu.cn)

Abstract. The variation of NO<sub>2</sub> concentrations in mainland China, is analyzed on different time scales, from j decadal to weekly, using both satellite data and data from ground-based monitoring networks. TROPOMI
 (TROPOspheric Monitoring Instrument) data were used to study the spatial variations of tropospheric NO<sub>2</sub> vertical column densities (TVCDs) over the study area during 16-20 weeks after the Chinese Spring Festival (25 January 2020). These data were used to select 11 regions for more detailed analysis of the variation of NO<sub>2</sub> TVCDs on a decadal time scale. In this analysis, monthly and annual averaged NO<sub>2</sub> TVCDs derived from OMI (Ozone Monitoring Instrument) observations were used for the years 2011 to 2019. The results show the NO<sub>2</sub>

- 25 TVCD trends for different regions, all decreasing in response to emission reduction policies, but with a different onset and a possible halt of the decrease in recent years; trends and period in the south of the study area are different from those in the north. Variations of NO<sub>2</sub> TVCDs on shorter time scales, monthly and weekly, were analyzed using TROPOMI data. In addition, the variations of weekly averaged ground-based NO<sub>2</sub> concentrations in 11 major cities were analyzed together with those for O<sub>3</sub> and PM<sub>2.5</sub>. In particular these data were used to
- 30 determine their effect on the air quality as expressed by the air quality index (AQI). For quantitative estimates the use of weekly concentrations is more accurate than the use of monthly values, and the effects of long term trends and their reversal needs to be taken into account for the separation of effects of the lockdown and the Spring Festival. Neglecting the possible reversal of the trends leads to overestimation of the lockdown effect in the south and underestimation in the north. The ground-based data confirm earlier reports, based on satellite
- 35 observations, that the expected improvement of air quality due to the reduction of NO<sub>2</sub> concentrations was offset by the increase of the concentrations of O<sub>3</sub> and the different effects of the lockdown measures on PM<sub>2.5</sub>, as well as effects of meteorological influences and heterogeneous chemistry. The study shows the different behavior in city clusters in the north and south of China, and inland in the Sichuan and Guanzhong basins. Effects of other holidays and events are small, except in Beijing where the air quality in 2020 was notably better than in previous
- 40 years. This study was undertaken for east China, but the methodology can also be used for other areas and part of the conclusions are generally applicable.

| Deleted: E | Fast China (dafinad a | ( n  |  |  |
|------------|-----------------------|------|--|--|
| Deletea: E | ast China (defined a  | 1S ) |  |  |

Deleted: a decadal

Deleted: averaged ground

#### Formatted: Subscript

Deleted: air pollution in China is among the highest in the world. However, legislation to reduce pollutant emission have been successful and concentrations of SO2 (since 2007), aerosols (since 2011) and NO2 (since 2012) have decreased substantially as deduced from satellite and ground-based observations. The strong reduction of the emissions by the end of January 2020, first caused by the Spring Festival holidays and enhanced and extended by the COVID-19 lockdown, offered an opportunity to study the effects on air quality across the country. In particular the reduction of NO2 concentrations observed using the TROPOspheric Monitoring Instrument (TROPOMI) on board the Copernicus Sentinel-5 Precursor satellite was used to quantitatively estimate the lockdown effect. To determine a baseline for the expected concentrations in 2020, we used Ozone Monitoring Instrument (OMI) NO2 TVCD time series from 2011 to 2019 and determined trends for different regions, noticing a possible halt of the decrease in recent years, but for different periods in the south than in the north of east China. ...

# Deleted: is leveling

Deleted: on the concentrations

Deleted: , neglecting the trends may lead to

**Deleted:** We also looked at the temporal resolution used in studies on the reduction of the concentrations and noticed the gradual decrease ahead of the Spring Festival, which actually continued during 3 weeks into the lockdown. Using satellite observations of other species and ground-based monitoring data, it was ...noticed that t

Deleted: aerosols and

Formatted: Subscript

Deleted: ascribed to

Deleted: complex

Deleted: heterogenous

**Deleted:** . In the current study we use TROPOMI tropospheric NO<sub>2</sub> vertical column densities (TVCDs) together with ground-based monitoring data for NO<sub>2</sub>, SO<sub>2</sub>, CO, O<sub>3</sub>, PM<sub>2.5</sub> and PM<sub>10</sub> in 11 regions around large cities to evaluate the evolution of their concentrations during 19 weeks after the Spring Festival and their effect on air quality. For comparison, and in an attempt to average out short term (e.g., meteorological) influences, ground based monitoring data were used for the same period in 2015-2019. The results confirm that the strong reduction of NO<sub>2</sub> does not always imply good air quality because concentrations of other pollutants may increase. The study shows the different

1

5

#### 1. Introduction

- Concentrations of aerosols and trace gases in the atmosphere over <u>China have been increasing in response to</u>
  industrial development and urbanization and are among the highest worldwide. However, during the last decade, air pollution control strategies were implemented as part of a series of government plans to reduce the concentrations of pollutants (Jin et al., 2016; van der A et al., 2017; Zheng, 2018) and thus improve air quality. Indeed, the concentrations of SO<sub>2</sub>, NO<sub>2</sub> and aerosols decreased and the trends, from the on-set of the reduction until recent years, have been quantified using satellite observations (Krotkov et al., 2016; Koukouli et al., 2016; van der A et al., 2017; de Leeuw et al., 2018; Sogacheva et al., 2018; <u>Zhao et al. 2017</u>; Zhang et al., 2018). In addition, an unprecedented reduction of the concentrations of NO<sub>2</sub> was observed at the end of January, 2020, by the TROPOspheric Monitoring Instrument (TROPOMI), on board the Copernicus Sentinel-5 Precursor satellite following the nationwide lockdown in response to the COVID-19 outbreak (e.g., Fan et al., 2020; Liu et al., 2020; Bauwens et al., 2020). The decrease of anthropogenic NO<sub>2</sub> emissions in early 2020 was quantified <u>by</u>, e.g.,
  105 Ding et al.(2020) and Zhang et al. (2020a). In response to the reduction of the NO<sub>2</sub> emissions, observations at
- ground-based monitoring stations showed the increase of O<sub>3</sub> concentrations (e.g., Fan et al., 2020a; Shi and Brasseur, 2020; Le et al., 2020), indicating the increase of the oxidizing capacity of the atmosphere (e.g., Huang et al., 2020; Diamond and Wood, 2020; Le et al., 2020; Zhao et al., 2020). The increased oxidizing capacity of the atmosphere resulted in the increase of secondary aerosol formation which in part explains the increase of the
- 110 aerosol optical depth (AOD) over the North China Plain (NCP), observed from satellites (e.g., Fan et al., 2020a; Huang et al., 2020; Le et al., 2020; Diamond and Wood, 2020), and the concentrations of PM<sub>2.5</sub> observed in situ by ground-based monitoring networks (e.g., Fan et al., 2020a; Shi and Brasseur, 2020; Le et al., 2020), Over the NCP, aerosol formation was further promoted by meteorological conditions like low wind speed and high relative humidity, conducive of the formation of haze (e.g., Zhao et al., 2020), Furthermore, aerosol emissions
- 115 were much less affected by the lockdown than NO2 (Diamond and Wood, 2020), Hence, different species contributing to air pollution were affected by the lockdown in different ways. Several authors concluded that, in spite of the strong reduction of anthropogenic emissions, pollution still occurred over China due to the combination of meteorological influences, economic impacts and complex chemistry (e.g., Shi and Brasseur, 2020; Huang et al., 2020; Diamond and Wood, 2020; Le et al., 2020; Zhao et al., 2020; Li et al., 2020),
- Here we extend earlier work (Fan et al., 2020a) on the concentrations of trace gases and aerosols during the Spring Festival (25 January 2020) and the initial phase of the lockdown during the following month. It is noted that the lockdown started during the Spring Festival holidays, during which concentrations of trace gases and aerosols usually change in response to changing socio-economic conditions during 1-2 weeks. This Spring Festival holiday effect was enhanced and extended over a longer period of time due to the lockdown. In the current study we focus on the variations of NO<sub>2</sub> concentrations both in the years before the 2020 Spring Festival,
  - and during an extended period of 16-20 weeks thereafter, for reasons explained below. In Fan et al. (2020a) we considered all species contributing to the air quality index (AQI, see Appendix A for definition), a measure used in air quality management. However, air quality or the AQI were not directly
- considered in Fan et al. (2020a), we only looked at the change of the concentrations of PM<sub>2.5</sub>, PM<sub>40</sub>, NO<sub>2</sub>, SO<sub>2</sub>,
   130 CO and O<sub>3</sub> due to the lockdown. For all species we used satellite data (TROPOMI), except for tropospheric O<sub>3</sub> which is not available over China from TROPOMI, and ground based monitoring observations. TROPOMI data were used for 2019 and 2020, as monthly averages for the period before and after the Spring Festival in these

# Deleted: East

Deleted: emissions and thus

Deleted: As a result, the

Deleted: have been decreasing during the last decade

Deleted: as concluded from the analysis of

Moved (insertion) [1]

**Deleted:** The nationwide lockdown in response to the COVID-19 outbreak in January 2020 resulted in ...

# Deleted: ,

# Deleted: in

**Deleted:** , was reported in the media (!!! INVALID CITATION !!! (e.g., NASA Earth Observatory, 2020; The New York Time, 2020; ESA, 2020; Journalistic platform TU Delft, 2020)) and the scientific literature ...

**Deleted:** (!!! INVALID CITATION !!! (e.g., NASA Earth Observatory, 2020; The New York Time, 2020; ESA, 2020; Journalistic platform TU Delft, 2020)) and the scientific literature ...

Deleted: and the scientific literature

Field Code Changed

Deleted: .a sudden

Deleted: in

Deleted: h

Deleted: (2020b)

**Deleted:** (e.g., Fan et al., 2020a; Shi and Brasseur, 2020; Le et al., 2020)...

**Deleted:** (e.g., Huang et al., 2020; Diamond and Wood, 2020; Le et al., 2020; Zhao et al., 2020)...

Formatted: Subscript

**Deleted:** (e.g., Fan et al., 2020a; Shi and Brasseur, 2020; Le et al., 2020)...

Moved (insertion) [3]

| <b>Deleted:</b> increase of aerosol concentrations in the nort [ [1]  |
|---|
| Deleted: haze (   |
| Deleted: e.g., Zhao et al 2020)   |
| Deleted: (Diamond and Wood, 2020)   |
| Deleted: (e.g., Shi and Brasseur, 2020; Huang et al., 2   |
| Deleted: The  |
| Moved up [1]: unprecedented reduction of the  |
| Moved up [3]: increase of aerosol concentrations in the   |
| Moved down [2]: East China offers a natural laboratory to   |
|   |
| <b>Deleted:</b> NO <sub>2</sub> is an important trace gas for atmospheric [3]   |
| <b>Deleted:</b> NO <sub>2</sub> is an important trace gas for atmospheric [3]<br><b>Deleted:</b> (Le et al., 2020). Therefore the sudden reduct [4]   |
| Deleted: NO <sub>2</sub> is an important trace gas for atmospheri( [3]<br>Deleted: (Le et al., 2020). Therefore the sudden reduct ( [4]<br>Deleted: (Fan et al., 2020a)                       |
| Deleted: NO <sub>2</sub> is an important trace gas for atmospheri( [3]<br>Deleted: (Le et al., 2020). Therefore the sudden reduc( [4]<br>Deleted: (Fan et al., 2020a)<br>Formatted: Subscript |

Deleted: during

230

was used as reference to separate the lockdown effect from the overall reduction during the period including both the Spring Festival and the lockdown in the winter of 2020. We concluded that the use of 30-days averages leads to underestimation of the Spring Festival effect and overestimation of the COVID-19 lockdown effect and that for more reliable estimates shorter periods should be used. Therefore, in the current study, weekly maps of tropospheric NO<sub>2</sub> vertical column densities (NO<sub>2</sub> TVCDs) were produced as a compromise between increased time resolution, showing the progressive decrease of the concentrations, and data quality. In Fan et al. (2020a) we concluded that the TROPOMI SO<sub>2</sub> data showed the reduction of SO<sub>2</sub> but the signal was too noisy to deduce a clear quantitative effect, while we also showed that the lockdown did not have a clear effect on the CO TVCDs (except in the south of China). Therefore, and because of the interactions between NO<sub>2</sub>, O<sub>3</sub> and aerosols, the current study focuses on these three species, using both satellite data and ground-based data from bir quality monitoring stations. Instead of the 26 provincial capitals, 11 areas in different parts of China were selected where

years. The change resulting from reduced anthropogenic activities during the Spring Festival holidays in 2019

235 satellite data showed large changes in the NO<sub>2</sub> TVCDs).

When the lock-down measures were gradually relaxed, the emissions and thus air pollution increased. Several studies reported that air quality was "back to normal" after 40 days (Bauwens et al., 2020; Filonchyk et al., 2020; Wang and Su, 2020). In the current study we address the question what is "normal", using satellite observations over the last decade over selected regions, extending to <u>16-20</u> weeks after the 2020 Spring Festival. In addition to satellite data, we use ground-based observations from the Chinese air quality monitoring network providing detailed information in different regions, and compare those for 2020 with similar observations in the last 5 years (2015-2019). The reason for this study is the gradual decrease of NO<sub>2</sub> TVCDs and AOD during extended periods in the last decades, as mentioned above, in response to policy measures by the Chinese Government to reduce emissions and improve air quality. In the estimates of the lock-down effects on air pollution such trends
were accounted for by comparison of 2020 with the previous year or years. However, the NO<sub>2</sub> TVCDs in early 2020, before the Spring Festival, were much lower than those in 2019 and the question argse whether the trends

- derived in earlier studies were continued in more recent years. In other words, how well can the expected baseline concentrations, serving as reference to determine the reduction of the concentrations during the lock down period with respect to the "normal" situation, be determined?
- 250 Another question was whether air quality (AQ) was really improved, in spite of the enormous reduction of NO<sub>2</sub> as observed by satellites and confirmed by ground-based monitoring networks. As discussed above, in response to the reduced NO<sub>2</sub> concentrations shifting the oxidizing capacity, surface O<sub>3</sub> concentrations increased, and also aerosol concentrations were affected, or even increased over the NCP. Taking into account the different behavior of NO<sub>2</sub>, O<sub>3</sub> and PM<sub>2</sub>, the question arose, what the effect of the lockdown was on the air quality, as expressed by
- 255 the air quality index and how AQ or AQI reacted to the gradual release of the socio-economic restrictions. The objectives of the current study are thus (1) to extend the time series from previous studies to evaluate whether earlier trends can be used to determine baseline concentrations; (2) to determine whether the air quality was indeed improved as much as anticipated from the reduction of NO<sub>2</sub> TVCDs deduced from satellite observations; (3) to evaluate whether the pollutant concentrations had returned to normal levels during the study period of <u>16-20</u> weeks after the COVID-19 outbreak, the subsequent lock-down and gradual relaxation of the measures. (4) during these 19 weeks, two significant events occurred in China: the Tomb Sweeping Festival (4-6

# Formatted: Subscript

# Deleted: ¶

The outbreak of the Corona virus SARS-CoV-2, causing a disease named COVID-19, in the winter of 2019/2020, resulted in a pandemic with strong consequences all around the world. The unknown effect of the virus on health, its virality spreading and the lack of medicine or vaccine resulted in unprecedented measures to contain the virus which strongly affected social life and economics. Schools, non-essential businesses and factories were closed, traffic and public transport were restricted, air traffic was limited and in many countries people were confined to their homes except for essential trips. ¶

The virus was first discovered in China in December 2019 and reported to the World Health Organization on 31 December 2019 (Bao and Zhang, 2020; Word Health Organization, 2020b). The first strong spreading event was the outbreak in Wuhan in January 2020 when many people were infected and admitted to hospitals. This led to the lockdown of Wuhan (23<sup>rd</sup> Jan, 2020) and additional prefecture cities in Hubei (24<sup>th</sup> Jan, 2020) and further restrictions all over China (Bao and Zhang, 2020). Not long after, the virus traveled to other continents resulting in the lockdown of many countries. The World Health Organization (WHO) declared the pandemic on March 11<sup>th</sup> 2020 (Word Health Organization, 2020a).¶

One side effect of the virus containment measures was the reduction of anthropogenic emissions resulting in smaller concentrations of pollutants as observed from space. In particular, reports on the strong reduction of tropospheric NO<sub>2</sub> vertical column densities (TVCDs) appeared for China (e.g., Fan et al., 2020a; Liu et al., 2020; Pei et al., 2020; Zhang et al., 2020a; Liu et al., 2020; Measa-Carrascosa et al., 2020; Sicard et al., 2020; Filippini et al., 2020; Menut et al., 2020) and later over other continents (e.g., Bauwens et al., 2020; Chen et al., 2020; Dantas et al., 2020; Kanniah et

al., 2020; Patel et al., 2020; Sharma et al., 2020; Mahato et al., 2020). Reductions in NO<sub>2</sub> TVCDs on the order of 50% [... [5]

#### Moved (insertion) [2]

**Deleted:** (2020a) this yearly event resulted in 2020 (and the preceding three years) in a decrease of the NO<sub>2</sub> TVCDs in east China by about 50% with extremes of up to 70-80% in some areas. Due to the progressive lock-down of China  $\left[ \dots \right] [6]$ 

# Deleted: s

**Deleted:** East(defined as u)Chinese(Chen et al., 2016)The satellite measurements showed the reduction of SO<sub>2</sub> but the signal was too noisy to deduce a clear quantitative effect. The lockdown did not have a clear effect on the CO TVCDs $\left( ... [7] \right)$ 

**Deleted:** the COVID-19 was under control, i.e. the number of new infections decreased, the lock-down measures w ... [8] **Deleted:** i

#### Deleted: s

**Deleted:** Like for NO<sub>2</sub>, also SO<sub>2</sub> VCDs and surface concentrations dropped during the Spring Festival. However, the SO<sub>2</sub> surface concentrations were rather low before the 2020 Spring Festival and thereafter they were well into [... [9]]

#### Formatted: Subscript

**Deleted:** ises...what the effect of the lockdown was (....[10] **Deleted:** tropospheric NO<sub>2</sub> TVCDs deduced from sat (....[11]) April) and the May holidays (1-5 May). In addition the Party Congress took place in Beijing (21-28 May). How did these events influence the air quality?

1135

1140

These objectives are addressed by studying satellite measurements of NO2 TVCDs and ground-based monitoring data of PM2.5, NO2, and O3, and the air quality index (AQI). Time series of monthly averaged NO2 TVCDs for the period 2011-2020 are used, and weekly averages in 2020. The study focuses on 11 regions in China, mainly around provincial capitals, selected based on the NO2 TVCD levels after about 3 months. It is noted that the methodology and part of the ensuing results have generally applicability and do not only apply over China.

## 2. Methods

#### 2.1 Study area

4

In the current study we focus on the part of mainland China cast of the HU line (Figure 1), further referred to in this paper as east China, where 94% of the Chinese population lives (Chen et al., 2016). This part of China is one 1145 of the most polluted regions in the world, for which the air quality was much improved during the COVID-19 lockdown. To monitor the rebound of the concentrations when the lock-down measures were gradually released, maps were used of weekly-averages of NO2 TVCDs derived from TROPOMI (see Sect. 3.1, Figure 3) and their differences with respect to week 0, i.e. the Spring Festival week from 25 to 31 January, 2020 (week numbers are listed in Table A1, difference maps are presented in Figure A1). The difference map for week 12 is shown in 1150 Figure 1. The yellow background in this map indicates no changes with respect to week 0, red an increase and green a decrease of the NO<sub>2</sub> TVCD. Based on the occurrence of a strong increase or decrease, 11 regions were

selected for the study on regional differences in which satellite data are complemented with ground-based data. The names of the regions shown in Figure 1 and their geographical locations are listed in Table 1 and include well-known centers such as the Beijing-Tianjin area, Shijiazhuang in west Hebei and Jinan in Shandong, all in 1155 the North China Plain (NCP), Shanghai in the Yangtze River Delta (YRD), Guangdong in the Pearl River Delta (PRD), Chongqing and Chengdu, and Wuhan. Each region includes a large city for which monitoring data are available for comparison with the satellite data (Fan et al., 2020a). The selected regions provide a reasonable geographical spread across the study area with the NCP, the YRD and the PRD, as well as mountain areas with large basins such as the Chongqing/Sichuan and the Guanzhong Basins, all with high pollution levels, population 160 density and level of industrialization, but different climatological and meteorological influences on air quality.

# Deleted: PM<sub>10</sub>, Deleted: SO2 Deleted: CO Deleted: Ground-based data are daily averages. Deleted: east Deleted: However, i

| Deleted: East               |     |
|-----------------------------|-----|
| Deleted:                    |     |
| Deleted: ,                  |     |
| Deleted: e study area is ea | ast |
| Deleted: ,                  |     |

| -{     | Deleted: The rectangles are the                                  |
|--------|--|
| $\neg$ | Deleted: areas   |
|        |  |
| _      | <b>Deleted:</b> the current study because of the occurrence of a |

#### Deleted: also

Deleted: the country

strong increase or decrease. The

Deleted: and cover different climate zones





Figure 1. Study area showing the NO<sub>2</sub> TVCD difference map for week 12 (i.e. NO<sub>2</sub> TVCD for week 12 minus NO<sub>2</sub> TVCD for week 0). 11 focus regions are indicated with numbers, names and coordinates which are listed in Table 1. The black diagonal line is the Hu line (Chen et al., 2016).

185 Table 1. Focus regions of the current study. <u>The locations corresponding to the numbers in the first column are shown on the map in Figure 1</u>. Coordinates in columns 3 and 4 are the left upper corner of each region, the size around the corner of each region is indicated in columns 5 and 6. Regions are indicated with the name of the central city.

| Nr | Name            | Longitude(°) | Latitude(°) | $\Delta$ Lon(°) | $\Delta$ Lat(°) |
|----|-----------------|--------------|-------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| 1  | Beijing-Tianjin | 108.0        | 35.0        | 1.5             | 1.5             |
| 2  | Shijiazhuang    | 114.0        | 39.0        | 1.5             | 2.5             |
| 3  | Jinan           | 117.0        | 37.5        | 2.0             | 1.5             |
| 4  | Zhengzhou       | 112.0        | 35.5        | 2.0             | 1.5             |
| 5  | Shanghai        | 119.5        | 32.0        | 2.0             | 2.0             |
| 6  | Guangzhou       | 112.5        | 24.0        | 2.0             | 2.0             |

Deleted: provided Deleted: (Chen et al., 2016) Deleted: , see Figure 1 for

| Nanchang  | 115.0  | 29.0   | 1.5   | 1.5  |
|-----------|--|--|---|--|
| Wuhan     | 113.0  | 31.5   | 2.0   | 1.5  |
| Xi'an     | 108.0  | 35.0   | 1.5   | 1.5  |
| Chongqing | 106.0  | 30.0   | 1.5   | 1.5  |
| Chengdu   | 103.0  | 31.5   | 2.0   | 1.5  |
|           | Nanchang<br>Wuhan<br>Xi'an<br>Chongqing<br>Chengdu | Nanchang         115.0           Wuhan         113.0           Xi'an         108.0           Chongqing         106.0           Chengdu         103.0 | Nanchang115.029.0Wuhan113.031.5Xi'an108.035.0Chongqing106.030.0Chengdu103.031.5 | Nanchang115.029.01.5Wuhan113.031.52.0Xi'an108.035.01.5Chongqing106.030.01.5Chengdu103.031.52.0 |

#### 2.2. Satellite data

Two satellite products were used in this study, i.e. the tropospheric NO<sub>2</sub> vertical column densities (NO<sub>2</sub> TVCDs) from OMI and TROPOMI. These products are briefly discussed in the following sub-sections. The OMI NO<sub>2</sub>
 TVCDs were used for time series analysis over the period 2011-2019, the TROPOMI NO<sub>2</sub> TVCDs, with better spatial resolution, were used to visualize weekly averaged spatial variations of the NO<sub>2</sub> TVCDs and discuss their evolution over the study area. OMI and TROPOMI products thus provide complementary information for different periods of time and were used for different purposes.

#### 2.2.1 OMI

- The Ozone Monitoring Instrument (OMI) onboard NASA's Aura satellite was launched in July 2004 (Schoeberl et al., 2006; Levelt et al., 2018). Aura is in a sun-synchronous polar orbit with an equator-crossing time at 13:30 local time (LT). The OMI instrument employs hyperspectral imaging in a push-broom mode to observe solar radiation backscattered by the Earth's atmosphere and surface at 740 wavelengths over the entire range from 270 to 500 nm with a spectral resolution of about 0.5 nm (https://projects.knmi.nl/omi/research/instrument/index.php,
   last access: 30 January 2021). With a 2600 km wide swath, OMI provides daily global coverage in 14 orbits. In
- this study the OMI Quality Assurance for Essential Climate Variance (QA4ECV) version 1.1 product (doi:10.21944/qa4ecv-no2-omi-v1.1) with a 13 x 24 km<sup>2</sup> spatial resolution is used (Boersma et al., 2018). This product was validated by, e.g., Lorente et al. (2017) and Zara et al. (2018). The measurement of NO<sub>2</sub> is one of the explicit objectives of the Aura OMI mission. The monthly mean tropospheric NO<sub>2</sub> column density data are 1210 derived from satellite observations based on slant column NO<sub>2</sub> retrievals with the DOAS technique and the KNMI combined modelling/retrieval/assimilation approach (Boersma et al., 2011). NO<sub>2</sub> TVCDs for the years 2011 2019 were downloaded from the following website: http://www.temis.nl/airpollution/no2.html (last access: 30 January 2021).

#### 2.2.2 TROPOMI

1215 TROPOMI is a passive hyperspectral nadir-viewing imager aboard the Sentinel-5 Precursor satellite (also known as Sentinel-5P) launched on 13 October 2017 (Veefkind et al., 2012). Sentinel-5P is a near-polar orbiting sunsynchronous satellite flying at an altitude of 817 km in an ascending node with an equator crossing time at 13:30 LT and a repeat cycle of 17 days. The swath width is approximately 2600 km, resulting in daily global coverage, with an along-track resolution of 7 km (Veefkind et al., 2012). TROPOMI products used in this study are L3 off1220 line (OFFL) version products (see <a href="http://www.TROPOMI.eu/data-products/">http://www.TROPOMI.eu/data-products/</a> for more detail), in particular tropospheric NO<sub>2</sub> vertical column density data for the period around the 2020 Spring Festivals. The spatial

| Formatted: Subscript |  |
|----------------------|--|
| Formatted: Subscript |  |

#### **Field Code Changed**

| Field Code Changed |  |
|--------------------|--|
| Deleted: viewed    |  |
| Deleted: September |  |
| Deleted: 2020      |  |

| -{ | Field Code Changed         |
|----|----------------------------|
| -{ | Deleted: 2020              |
| 1  | Field Code Changed         |
| 1  | Deleted: 27 September 2020 |

| Field | Code | Change  |   |
|-------|------|---------|---|
| rieiu | coue | Changed | μ |

Field Code Changed Field Code Changed

resolution at nadir for most products used in this study is 1 km, (https://developers.google.com/earthengine/guides/scale; last access: 30 January 2021). The operational validation results are reported every 3 months at the S5P-MOC-VDAF website (http://mpc-

1230

vdaf.TROPOMI.eu/, last access: <u>30 January 2021</u>). The TROPOMI/S5P tropospheric NO<sub>2</sub> column is operationally validated by the S5P-MPC-VDAF (S5P–Mission Performance Centre – Validation Data Analysis Facility) using the Pandora NO<sub>2</sub> total columns from the Pandonia Global Network (PGN). The comparison shows a negative bias of roughly 30%.

#### 2.3 Ground-based data

- The ground-based data used in this research were downloaded from http://www.pm25.in/ (last access: 30 January 2021), which is the National Real-time Air Quality Publishing Platform public website for air quality monitoring data maintained by the China National Environmental Monitoring Center (CNEMC) of the Ministry of Ecology and Environment of China (MEE, see http://www.mee.gov.cn/, last access: 30 January 2021, for more detail). This website provides PM<sub>2.5</sub>, PM<sub>10</sub>, SO<sub>2</sub>, NO<sub>2</sub>, O<sub>3</sub>, and CO hourly and 24-hour moving averages for each site or city. Measurement techniques used at the stations, reliability of the data and quality control were briefly described by Silver et al., (2018) and Zhai et al., (2019); see also Ministry of Environmental Protection of People's Republic of China (MEE, 2012). The data from these websites are provided by local governments and have been used in several studies related to air pollution, air quality, and other aspects in China (Xue et al., 2020; Fan et al., 2020b) (http://www.pm25.in/sharer, last access: 30 January 2021). For the current study, we collected hourly PM<sub>2.5</sub>, NO<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>3</sub> data for the large cities in the 11 study regions indicated in Sect. 2.1 (Figure 1, Table 1), for up to 20 weeks after the Spring Festival during the years 2015-2020. In the current
  - study, the data collected at different locations in each city were averaged to get a spatially representative number for the whole city, as daily (24 h) averages which subsequently were averaged to weekly values.

## 3. Results

# 1250 3.1 Satellite Observations

#### 3.1.1 Evolution of NO2 spatial distributions after the 2020 Spring Festival

255

1260

NO2 TVCDs derived from TROPOMI observations, averaged over 30 days before and after the 2020 Spring Festival<sub>a</sub> are presented in Figure 2. Figure 2 shows the large difference in the NO<sub>2</sub> TVCDs before and after the 2020 Spring Festival, similar to those used in Fan et al. (2020a) for all China to illustrate and analyze the effect of the COVID-19 containment policy measures. Fan et al. (2020a) concluded that the use of 30-days averages leads to underestimation of the Spring Festival effect and overestimation of the COVID-19 lockdown effect and that for more reliable estimates shorter periods should be used. Therefore, in the current study, weekly NO<sub>2</sub> TVCD maps were produced as shown in Figure 3. Here week numbers relate to the Spring Festival which was, on Saturday January 25, 2020, i.e. week 0 is January 25-31, week 1 is February 1-7, etc. (see Table A1 for an overview of week numbers and dates). Weeks -1 to -3 are included as references for the NO<sub>2</sub> TVCDs during the period before the Spring Festival. The comparison of the monthly TVCDs in Figure 2 with the weekly TVCDs in Figure 3 (top row, week§ -3 to -1) clearly illustrates the advantage of using better time resolution to show the advancing decline of the NO<sub>2</sub> TVCDs in east China before the Spring Festival. The first lockdown in Wuhan was on 23 January, toward the end of week -1 and therefore the decline was mainly due to the decreasing economic

Deleted: . Deleted: 24

Deleted: 27 September 2020

| 1   | Field Code Changed  |
|-----|---|
| 1   | Deleted: 27 September 2020  |
| λ   | Field Code Changed  |
| 1   | Deleted: 27 September 2020  |
| X   | Field Code Changed  |
| λ   | Formatted: English (United States)  |
| 1   | Field Code Changed  |
|     | Formatted: English (United States)  |
| -   | Field Code Changed  |
| 4   | Formatted: English (United States)  |
| Y   | Field Code Changed  |
| ľ   | Formatted: English (United States)  |
| ľ   | Field Code Changed  |
| Ň   | Deleted: 27 September 2020  |
| Ŋ   | Deleted: PM <sub>10</sub> , SO <sub>2</sub> ,   |
| 1// | Deleted: ,  |
| //  | Deleted: , and CO   |
|     | Deleted: 370  |
|     | <b>Deleted:</b> , with the main focus on the 11 provincial capitals in east and central Chinain the 11 st                           |
|     | Deleted: as   |
|     | Deleted: Section  |
|     | Deleted: and  |
|     | Deleted: 19   |
| V.  | Deleted: , for  |
|     | <b>Deleted:</b> NO <sub>2</sub> tropospheric vertical column densities (TVCDs) were derived from TROPOMI observations. Monthly mean |
|     | <b>Deleted:</b> NO <sub>2</sub> TVCDs   |
|     | Deleted: Fan et al.   |
| 1   | Deleted:  |
|     | Deleted: (2020)   |
|     | Deleted: (Fan et al., 2020a)  |
| 1   | Deleted: (Fan et al. 2020a)   |

Deleted:

activity associated with the Spring Festival. The combined effects of the Spring Festival and progressive lockdown in east China (Bao and Zhang, 2020) is visible in weeks 1-3, when the NO<sub>2</sub> TVCDs were lowest. The slight recovery in week 2 in the south of the study area may reflect the progressive nature of the lockdowns in different areas in China, i.e. toward the end of the Spring Festival holidays when people travelled back to their work places when it was still possible.

The maps in Figure 3, and the difference plots with respect to week 0 in Figure A1, show that overall the NO<sub>2</sub>

1300

1295

TVCDs remained low over the whole study area during the first two weeks. Also in week 3 the NO<sub>2</sub> TVCDs were low, although some increase occurred over industrialized and populated areas north of the Yangtze River, and in the Guangzhou area, which intensified every week from week 4 until week 8. In week 8 the NO<sub>2</sub> TVCDs reached high values and the spatial distributions and concentrations changed little during the next 5 weeks, except in week 10 when the NO<sub>2</sub> TVCDs were lower (although not in the YRD and Guangzhou). These reduced concentrations may be a sign of reduced emissions during the Tomb Sweeping Festival on 4-6 April. In week 13 the NO<sub>2</sub> concentrations were substantially lower than in the weeks before, and this continued in week 14. These weeks encompass the May Festival holiday (1-5 May), another very large national festival in China when many people travel home to their families: the associated change in socio-economic activities may explain the lower NO<sub>2</sub> concentrations during that time. After week 14 the NO<sub>2</sub> TVCDs increased in the southern provinces like Hunan and Guizhou as well as in the east around Shanghai, Jiangsu and Shandong, whereas in the northeast the NO<sub>2</sub> TVCDs changed, likely due to changes in economic activity and meteorological influences, but they did not reach values similar to those before the Spring Festival. However, this would not be expected as discussed in <u>Sect. 3.1.2</u>.

1310

1305



Figure 2. NO2 tropospheric vertical column densities derived from TROPOMI data over east China, averaged over 30 days before (a) and after (b) the 2020 Spring Festival. The 2020 Spring Festival was on 25 January 2020 and thus the <u>30-days</u> period before started on 26 December and the 30-days period after ended on 24 February 2020.

Deleted: all east China Deleted: in east China (

Deleted: )

Deleted: . Emissions and

Deleted: may be lower than in the weeks before

Deleted: Section

Deleted:





Figure 3. Maps of weekly averages of NO2 TVCDs <u>derived from TROPOMI data</u>, for weeks -3 to -1 and week 0 (top row) and weeks 1-19 in the following rows. Note that week number refers to the 2020 Spring Festival, i.e. week 0 starts on Saturday 25 January 2020 (see also Table S1).

#### 3.1.2 NO<sub>2</sub> TVCD time series and trends for different regions between 2011 and 2019

# 1330 3.1.2.1 Monthly mean NO<sub>2</sub> TVCD time series

The Spring Festival occurs in the winter when NO<sub>2</sub> concentrations reach their highest values. Figure 4 shows time series of monthly mean TVCDs for tropospheric NO<sub>2</sub> derived from OMI data, for the period from January 1<sup>st</sup>, 2011 until May 31<sup>st</sup>, 2020 for the 11 regions defined in Table 1. <u>The NO<sub>2</sub> TVCDs vary strongly by region</u>, with the highest TVCDs in Shijiazhuang, Zhengzhou and Jinan (in 2012), although the relative differences changed from year to year. For each region, the time series show the strong seasonal variations with sharp peaks in the winter and shallow minima in the summer. The winter TVCD maxima are about a factor of 5 larger than

the summer minima, with the ratio varying somewhat by region, with higher values in Shijiazhuang (7.2) and Zhengzhou (6.0), and lowest values of about 2.5 in Guangzhou and Chengdu. These numbers are in reasonable agreement with the factor of 3 reported by Shah et al. (2020) for the NO<sub>2</sub> TVCD averaged over central-east China.

1340

1345

335

On a monthly scale, the TVCD maximum varies a little between regions and years, but in general the peaks occur in the winter. For the study of the COVID-19 effects, the fast decrease of the NO<sub>2</sub> TVCDs from December/January toward the summer, implies that, if there would be no restrictions, the NO<sub>2</sub> TVCDs would have decreased by a factor of 2.5-7, depending on the region, from the pre-lockdown period to the time when all measures were released. This needs to be taken into account in any study on the effect on air quality during different stages of the COVID-19 lockdown.

Deleted: December/January and decrease fast toward the summer. For the study of the COVID-19 effects, th
Deleted: TVCDs from
Deleted:
Deleted: is



 
 Figure 4. Time series of monthly mean NO2 TVCDs derived from OMI data, for 11 regions from January 2011 to May 2020. The NO2 TVCDs are plotted on a logarithmic scale to better visualize the differences between different regions as well as the gradual variation of the TVCDs during the summer months.

#### 3.1.2.2 Trends of annually averaged tropospheric NO<sub>2</sub> TVCDs

Figure 4 shows an overall decrease of the winter-peak TVCDs between 2012 and 2017, whereas in the years 2017-2019 they are of similar magnitude, i.e. the decrease seems to have come to a halt. Similar behavior is observed in the summer months. However, the time series suggest that the period of decreasing NO2 TVCDs and 1360 the occurrence of the maximum and minimum values was not the same for all regions. To further investigate trends in different regions and the differences between them, time series were plotted for each region and, to reduce effects of short term (monthly) variations, this was done for annual mean NO2 TVCDs. The results in Figure 5 show a grouping with very high NO<sub>2</sub> TVCDs in the north of the study area and Shanghai, with a clear separation from the lower TVCDs in the other regions as expected from the spatial maps in, e.g., Figure 2a. 1365 Another noticeable difference between the regions is the clear distinction between the temporal behavior in the north and in the south. In the regions in the north, i.e. in the NCP (Shijiazhuang, Beijing, Jinan and Zhengzhou) and Xi'an, the TVCDs 2011, 2012 and 2013 were similar in; from 2013 they decreased exponentially until 2018 (Xi'an until 2016). In the other regions, i.e. Shanghai and those in the south and west, the TVCDs decreased exponentially from 2011 until they reached a minimum value in 2015 or 2016 after which they remained low 1370 (e.g., Shanghai, Nanchang) or even increased somewhat (Chongqing). Overall, after 2016 the TVCDs in these regions fluctuated from year to year but remained within 10% of the values in 2015 (except in Chongqing). In view of these differences, trend lines to the annual mean NO2 TVCD data in regions in the north were fitted for

the years 2013-2018, whereas for the other regions trend lines were fitted for the years 2011-2015 or 2016. The results are presented in Table 2, where the trend (year<sup>-1</sup>) describes an exponential decrease of the TVCDs
following the relationship y=a;e<sup>bt</sup>, where y= TVCD, a is the intercept (TVCD in first year of the fitting period, i.e. year1 = 2011 or 2013), b is the trend (year<sup>-1</sup>) and t is the number of years after year1. Coefficients of determination (R<sup>2</sup>) are all high and the trend lines in Figure 5 show the good fit. The data in Figure 5 also show

that beyond the fit interval the TVCDs do not follow the trend for that region and level off as discussed above.

**Deleted:** that the NO<sub>2</sub> TVCDs vary strongly by region, with the highest TVCDs in Shijiazhuang, Zhengzhou and Jinan (in 2012), although the relative differences changed from year to year. T...

Deleted: he

Deleted: seem to have decreased

Deleted: were

Deleted: were similar in

Deleted: e.g.,

Deleted:



Figure 5. Time series of annual mean NO<sub>2</sub> TVCDs, <u>derived from OMI data</u>, for each of the 11 regions, plotted on a semilogarithmic scale and fitted exponential trend lines (<u>solid lines</u>,  $y = a \cdot e^{bt}$ ) for different periods as described in the text. The periods and trends (<u>b</u>) are listed in Table 2. <u>The data points for each region are connected with dotted lines for easy identification</u>.

1395 **Table 2.** NO<sub>2</sub> TVCD trends determined for the period in the 3rd column. Trends are determined using exponential fits (y =

| Number | Region          | Period    | Trend (year-1) | R <sup>2</sup> |
|--------|-----------------|-----------|----------------|----------------|
| 1      | Beijing-Tianjin | 2013-2018 | -0.090         | 0.96           |
| 2      | Shijiazhuang    | 2013-2018 | -0.125         | 0.92           |
| 3      | Jinan           | 2013-2018 | -0.136         | 0.96           |
| 4      | Zhengzhou       | 2013-2018 | -0.140         | 0.81           |
| 5      | Shanghai        | 2011-2016 | -0.090         | 0.97           |
| 6      | Guangzhou       | 2011-2015 | -0.050         | 0.95           |
| 7      | Nanchang        | 2011-2015 | -0.080         | 0.90           |
| 8      | Wuhan           | 2011-2016 | -0.100         | 0.96           |
| 9      | Xi'an           | 2012-2016 | -0.120         | 0.99           |
| 10     | Chongqing       | 2011-2016 | -0.090         | 0.92           |
| 11     | Chengdu         | 2011-2016 | -0.053         | 0.88           |

Having established that the annually averaged TVCDs decrease exponentially during a certain period of time and change little during more recent years (after 2015/2016, in the southern regions) or the last year (2019, in the

- 1405
- which effects of the lock-down on the concentrations of atmospheric trace gases are studied. As a compromise between high time resolution and reducing meteorological effects on concentration differences, monthly averaged NO<sub>2</sub> TVCDs were selected and plotted as time series for the 11 study areas. Because of the focus of this study on the recovery of the air quality after the <u>release of the</u> lockdown measures, and because the signals in the summer months are relatively weak, this was only done for the winter months. Furthermore, to exclude the effect of the Spring Festival on the NO<sub>2</sub> TVCDs, January and February were <u>not used</u>. This left November,

northern regions), we need to determine whether these conclusions also apply to shorter periods of time during

December, March and April for 2011-2019 and the results are presented in Figure A2. The data in Figure A2 show the overall decline of the NO<sub>2</sub> TVCDS, following the yearly trends and variations of the annual mean TVCDs in Figure 5 and the differences between the 11 regions for different periods. Overall, the periods when the NO<sub>2</sub> TVCDs decreased are similar to those indicated in Table 2. For Beijing and Shijiazhuang a strong

minimum is observed in 2014 which may be associated with emission reduction because of the Asia-Pacific

Economic Cooperation (APEC) meeting in Beijing in November 2014 and the China Victory Day Parade in

September 2015. Interannual variability is stronger in the monthly mean data than in the annual means, as

expected. Because of these variations, trend lines for monthly mean NO2 TVCDs were not computed. The main

message is that the TVCDs follow the tendencies in the annual means with leveling toward the end of the study

1415

period.

#### 3.2 Effects on air quality: ground-based observations

#### 3.2.1 Time series of air quality index for 11 regions

The air quality index (AQI) is based on the mass concentrations of PM<sub>2.5</sub>, PM<sub>10</sub>, NO<sub>2</sub>, SO<sub>2</sub>, CO and O<sub>3</sub> as
described in Appendix A. AQI is determined by only one pollutant, i.e. the pollutant with the highest AQI. Time series for the AQI in the 11 cities identified in Table 1 were plotted for the first 16 weeks (weeks 0-15) after the Spring Festival in 2020. Tianjin was added as a second megacity in the metropolitan agglomeration because of its potentially different air quality due to large industrial activities as opposed to the capitol city (Beijing). AQI time series for the same weeks in the five previous years (2015-2019) were plotted to form a plume which serves as reference for the 2020 time series. The results are presented in Figure A3 which shows that there are large variations between the years and there is no specific ordering indicating a systematic temporal variation (tendency). Hence the plume is representative for the range of variations that can be expected in 2020 from other factors influencing the AQI than the lockdown, such as meteorological factors (provided that 2020 is not an exceptional year in regard of these other factors). It is noted, that the AQIs are weekly averages over all
measurements in each city, created from 24-hour averages at each site.

Figure A3 shows the similarity between the AQI time series in the five cities in the NCP (Beijing, Tianjin, Shijiazhuang, Jinan and Zhengzhou), for the cities Shanghai, Guangzhou and Wuhan, and for Nanchang, Xi'an, Chongqing and Chengdu. In view of these similarities, one city was selected to represent each group for further analysis, i.e. Shijiazhuang represents the first group (Group 1), Wuhan represents Group 2 and Chongqing represents Group 3. It is noted that in the selection of these cities not only the AQI was considered but also time series of 6 individual pollutants (see below). The AQI time series for these three cities are included in Figures 6-78.

Deleted: were released

Deleted: excluded

## Deleted: , although f

**Deleted:** The NO<sub>2</sub> TVCDs are highest in December of almost all years, followed by November (it is noted that January was not included in these figures because of expected deviations in response to reduced emissions during Spring Festival holidays). During March and April the NO<sub>2</sub> TVCDs are approaching the summer minima and although the TVCDs decline between 2012 and 2019, the trends are quite small. ¶

#### Deleted: a

Deleted: in Figure 6,

| Deleted: 5 |  |
|------------|--|
|------------|--|

Deleted: AQ

Deleted: Also plotted are

**Deleted:** . Together the time series of the five previous years **Deleted:** As shown

# Deleted: 6

# Deleted: ,

**Deleted:** that influence the AQ, such as meteorological factors). Figure 6 also shows that the width of the plume **Deleted:** Figure 6 also shows that the width of the plume varies between different cities. It is noted, that the AQI

Deleted: Comparison of the time series in

Deleted: 6

Deleted: (group 2)

Deleted: (group 3)

**Deleted:** a grouping is made between these cities

# Moved (insertion) [5]

# Deleted: T

Deleted: are selected after inspection of

# Deleted: the

**Deleted:** ; it is noticed that dissimilarities may occur between cities in each group. This detail may be discussed when necessary.The AQI time series for these three cities are

**Deleted:** In the NCP, the behavior of most pollutants contributing to the AQI in 2020, as well as that with respect to the plumes, is similar. For the other two groups this is not always obvious and some deviations may occur for different pollutants.¶

In 2020, the AQI in the cities in <u>Group 1 fluctuated</u> in the first 3 weeks and then stayed low until week 9; increased toward the plume in week 10 and then stayed at the bottom of the plume. Except in the first 3 weeks, the AQI is smaller than 100, indicating good air quality. For <u>Group 2</u>, the AQI fluctuated and the values indicate excellent to good AQ until week 10 when the AQI index moved into the plume or occasionally above (Guangzhou) but still indicating good AQ. For <u>Group 3 the AQI indicated good AQ</u>, except in Xi'an (moderate) and decreased somewhat (Xi'an became "good") but remains in the plume throughout the whole study period. In other words, the AQI did not indicate better AQ for these cities in response to COVID-19 containment measures.

## 485

## 3.2.2 Time series of aerosols and trace gases affecting air quality

With the AQI determined by the pollutant with the highest AQI, which may not necessarily be the species observed from satellites such as NO2, the behavior of individual pollutants contributing to the AQI will be considered using time series similar to those for the AQI. As discussed in Sect. 1, we focus here on NO2, O3 and 490 PM2.5 as the species which were most affected by the lockdown. Time series of the weekly averaged concentrations of these species in Shijiazhuang, Wuhan and Chongqing are presented in Figures 6, 7 and 8, respectively. Note that for some species the vertical scales may be different between the three cities. The concentrations during the five reference years (2015-2019) (further referred to as the plume) are used as reference to determine how the concentrations in 2020 were influenced by the lockdown. Overall, the 495 concentrations of most pollutants in the plume were higher in Shijiazhuang than in Wuhan and Chongqing. This applies to PM2.5 (as well as for PM10.5O2 and CO which are not shown here), but not for NO2 and O3 for which the concentrations in the plumes in these three cities were similar. This is remarkable because the satellite NO2 TVCDs in 2016 were about a factor of 3 higher in Shijiazhuang than in Wuhan which in turn were about 30% higher than in Chongqing (Figures 4 and 5) whereas in 2019 the NO2 TVCDs were a factor of 2.3 higher in 1500 Shijiazhuang than in the other two regions where the TVCDs were similar. However, for an adequate comparison between satellite data and surface concentrations, and thus effects on AQ, factors influencing the relation between near surface concentrations and TVCDs need to be accounted for, such as meteorological factors driving vertical mixing. Also long-term trends, inter-annual variations, seasonal variations, local emissions and meteorological effects influencing (photo)\_chemical reactions determining the overall 1505 concentrations need to be considered. This comparison is however out of the scope of the current study.

In all three cities the surface NO<sub>2</sub> concentrations are overall decreasing during the study period following the seasonal variation which is also observed in the satellite data (Figure 4). However, in the satellite data the decrease is largest when concentrations are highest but, as discussed above, for the ground-based data the concentration differences between the three cities are not large. Yet, the seasonal effect seems more pronounced in Shijiazhuang than in Wuhan than in Chongqing. This is also different from the satellite data (see Figure 4) and may be a life time effect related to lower temperatures in the north than in Wuhan and Chongqing.

Another difference between the three cities is the effect of the lock-down on the <u>evolution of the</u> surface NO<sub>2</sub> concentrations. In Shijiazhuang the plume decreased after week 3 whereas the 2020 concentrations increased steadily from week 0 and the curve joined the plume in week 6 although remaining near the bottom of the plume.

1515 In Wuhan the 2020 concentrations were far below the plume (20 μg·m<sup>-3</sup> vs 40-60 μg·m<sup>-3</sup>) until week 9 after which they suddenly increased in week 10 to remain just below the plume (~40 μg·m<sup>-3</sup>). In Chongqing the NO<sub>2</sub>

| - Deleted: the NCP   |
|--|
| Deleted: g   |
| Deleted: fluctuates  |
| Deleted: s   |
| Deleted: s   |
| Deleted: s   |
| Deleted: g   |
| Deleted: s   |
| Deleted: s   |
| Deleted: s   |
| Deleted: g   |
| Deleted: s   |
| Deleted: s   |
| Deleted: becomes   |
| Moved down [4]: ¶  |
| Deleted: oes   |
| Deleted: ¶   |
| Deleted: ¶   |
| Formatted: Font: (Asian) +Body Asian (DengXian)                    |
| Deleted: the   |
| Deleted: in Figure 6   |
| Formatted: Subscript   |
| Formatted: Subscript   |
| Formatted: Subscript   |
| <b>Deleted:</b> Because of the similarity between the time s [12   |
| <b>Moved up [5]:</b> These cities are selected after inspection of |
| Deleted: ¶   |
| Deleted: for   |
| Deleted: 6 pollutants measured                                     |
| Deleted: ,   |
| Deleted: and 9   |
| Deleted: pollutants  |
| <b>Deleted:</b> during the five reference years                    |
| <b>Deleted:</b> (further referred to as the plume)                 |
| Deleted: aerosols (  |
| Deleted: and PM <sub>10</sub> )                                    |
| Formatted: Subscript   |
| Deleted:   |

- 1565 concentrations were low (20 μg·m<sup>-3</sup>) during the first 3 weeks, then increased and remained close to the plume in weeks 6 to 9 and merged into the plume from week 10. The temporal behavior of the ground-based NO<sub>2</sub> concentrations was similar to the temporal development in the satellite TVCDs in Figure 3.

When NO<sub>2</sub> concentrations decreased, O<sub>3</sub> concentrations increased as observed for all three cities. However, there was no substantial difference between the temporal variation of the O<sub>3</sub> concentrations in 2020 and the other years.

- 1570 The 2020 concentrations were inside the plume during the whole study period and no anomalous behavior was observed in spite of the reduced NO<sub>2</sub> concentrations during the first 6-10 weeks. Rather, in Shijiazhuang and Chongqing both the NO<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>3</sub> concentrations were low in the plume. The O<sub>3</sub> concentrations in Shijiazhuang and Wuhan were similar and a bit higher than in Chongqing.
- For aerosols the situation was different than for the trace gases. The data in Fig <u>6</u> show that in Shijiazhuang
  PM<sub>2.5</sub> was relatively high during the first 3-4 weeks during all 5 years, and those in 2020 were well inside the plume. Thereafter the PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentrations dropped and, apart from some fluctuations, remained low (on average about half of those in the first weeks) and those in 2020 were almost every week near the bottom of the plume. It is noted that the PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentrations in 2017 and 2019 were substantially higher than in other years. In contrast, the 2020 concentrations of PM<sub>2.5</sub> in Wuhan were lower in weeks 2 and 3 than in any other week during the study period and also lower than in all 5 years before (about 1/3 of the plume average). In Chongqing the PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentrations were well inside the plume (around the average) and the plume decreased gradually as expected from the common seasonal behavior of PM<sub>2.5</sub>. Hence in Chongqing the COVID-19 lockdown measures did not have an evident effect on the aerosol concentrations, in spite of the strong reduction of NO<sub>2</sub> concentrations. The PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentrations in week 0 were 150 µg m<sup>-3</sup> in Shijiazhuang, 50 µg m<sup>-3</sup> in Wuhan and 1585



## Deleted: rise Deleted: is

Deleted. IS

Deleted: The SO<sub>2</sub> concentrations in the three cities were different by a factor of 2-3. In Shijiazhuang they were highest followed by Wuhan where the SO2 concentrations were similar to those in Chongqing. The common seasonal variation with high concentrations in the winter and low in the summer (Koukouli et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2018b; Zhang et al., 2019) is reflected by the relatively fast decrease in the first 8-10 weeks after which they remained low at 20-30 µg·m<sup>-3</sup> in Shijiazhuang and around 10 µg·m<sup>-3</sup> in the other two cities. In all three cities there was much interannual variation with substantially lower concentrations in 2018 and 2019 than in the years before. In 2020, the variation of the SO2 concentrations during the study period and the behavior with respect to the plume in the three cities were different. In Shijiazhuang the SO2 concentrations were low (<20 µg·m-3) throughout the whole study period, with small variations, and did not merge with the plume. It is noticed that also in 2019 the SO2 concentrations in Shijiazhuang were low. In Chongqing the concentrations during the first 5 weeks ( ~7 µg·m-3) were below the plume and merged with the plume after week 7. In contrast, in Wuhan there was no evident lockdown effect on the SO2 concentrations, i.e. during the lockdown period they were similar to those in 2019 and 2020 (7-8 µg·m<sup>-3</sup>). After week 6 the 2020 concentrations increased somewhat and merged inside the plume of the previous 4 years. ¶

The plume concentrations of CO during the first weeks of the study period were higher in Shijiazhuang than in Wuhan and Chongqing, whereas toward the end they were lowest in Shijiazhuang (between 0.5 and 1 mg·m<sup>-3</sup>). As opposed to the behavior of the other trace gases, in 2020 the CO concentrations were low in the plume but not clearly affected by the lockdown.

**Deleted:** (Koukouli et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2018b; Zhang et al., 2019) is reflected by the relatively fast decrease in the first 8-10 weeks after which they remained low at 20-30  $\mu$ g·m<sup>-3</sup> in Shijiazhuang and around 10  $\mu$ g·m<sup>-3</sup> in the other two cities. In all three cities there was much interannual va ... [13] **Deleted:** 7

#### Deleted:

**Deleted:** PM10 behaved qualitatively similar to PM2.5 but the concentrations in the first weeks were not much higher than later in the study period. In contrast

#### Deleted: both

Deleted: and PM10

## Deleted: were lower

**Deleted:** PM<sub>10</sub> was also inside the plume although somewhat closer to the bottom. Hence in Chongqing the

**Deleted:** , for PM<sub>10</sub> the concentrations were 200, 50 and 50  $\mu$ g m<sup>-3</sup>, respectively...







#### Figure & As Figure 6 but for Chongqing.

# 4. Discussion

The satellite data on tropospheric NO<sub>2</sub> VCDs and ground-based monitoring data for the concentrations of NO<sub>26</sub>.  $O_{35}$ , PM<sub>2.5</sub> and AQI all indicate the different behavior of atmospheric composition in the north and south of China and the selected regions have been grouped to discuss the characteristic behavior within each group. This relates both to long-term variations (trends in the satellite data) and the influence of the COVID-19 lockdown. Hence the answers to the questions we set out with for this study at the end of Sect. 1 will be different for each of the regional clusters which emerged in the course of the study.

## 4.1 Estimation of lockdown effects: effects of temporal resolution

1700

705

1695

Many studies on the COVID-19 lockdown effect on atmospheric concentrations are based on comparison of a period before and after the start of the lockdown or on comparison with the same period in previous years. The lockdown occurred during the Spring Festival holidays during which the concentrations of NO<sub>2</sub>, often used in studies on the effect of the COVID-19 lockdown, were substantially reduced. Hence, in many studies the Spring Festival effect was separated from the total effect to determine the effect of the lockdown only. One way to do this was presented in Fan et al. (2020a) for tropospheric NO<sub>2</sub> VCDs. In contrast AOD was observed to increase.

The AOD increase was anticipated to be due to meteorological factors conducive to the formation of haze. Also

# Deleted: 9 Deleted: 7

| Deleted:                                 |  |
|--|--|
| Formatted: Subscript                     |  |
| Formatted: Subscript                     |  |
| Deleted: other trace gases, aerosols and |  |
| Deleted: reaction                        |  |
| Deleted: to                              |  |
| Deleted: the introduction                |  |

| D | eleted: a   |
|---|---|
| D | eleted: typically                                 |
| D | eleted: by 50%                                    |
| D | <b>eleted:</b> (2020)                             |
| D | eleted: and total column SO2 and CO VCDs, while i |

1730

1720

years (in Beijing PM<sub>2.5</sub> even increased by a factor of 2.2) and were higher in north China than in the south. In their estimates on the lockdown effects from satellite data, Fan et al. (2020a) (and others) used averages over the months before and after the Spring Festival. The current study clearly shows that during the weeks before the lockdown the NO<sub>2</sub> TVCDs gradually decreased and varied also after the lockdown. The post Spring Festival variations are also observed in the surface concentrations of NO<sub>2</sub> which varied by more than a factor of 2. This also applies to aerosols, and to a lesser extend to  $Q_3$ . Hence the actual effect of the lockdown on the concentrations of aerosols and trace gases will be influenced by the separation from the Spring Festival effect, the temporal resolution chosen for the data analysis, as well as the correction for meteorological and other factors such as reduction of emissions and related concentration trends, as well as chemistry. The influence of emissions and the impact of the lockdown on different economic sectors on NO<sub>2</sub> and aerosol concentrations was discussed by Diamond et al. (2020).

the ratio of the PM2.5 concentrations before the Spring Festival to those thereafter were higher than in previous

#### 4.2 Long term trends, trend reversal and meteorological influences on the estimation of lockdown effects.

Decadal time series of monthly and annual mean NO2 TVCDs for the 11 regions and the annual trends derived from these were presented in Sect. 3.1.2 (Figures 5 and S2, Table 2). For the calculation of the baseline concentrations in 2020, i.e. the concentrations expected if there would not have been a lockdown, the seasonal 1735 variation needs to be taken into account. However, monthly trendlines are difficult to determine with some accuracy due to interannual variations and due to the Spring Festival effect which occurs at different dates in the solar calendar. Therefore trends for January and February were nor considered. Furthermore, as Figure 5 shows, the decline in the NO2 TVCDs seems to level off in recent years, i.e. from 2015/2016 in the south of China and possibly after 2018 in the north. The years when the trends were changing are similar for the monthly and annual 1740 mean data. Hence the baseline could be determined using an average over the years after the trend change. The uncertainty in these averages is about 10% (Figure 5). Ignoring the trend change, i.e. assuming that the trend would continue to 2020, would result in an underestimation of the baseline for 2020. Extrapolation of the trend for Wuhan to 2020 would result in an estimated baseline of  $4_4 \times 10^{15}$  molec cm<sup>-2</sup> and for Chongqing  $3_{.6 \times 10^{15}}$ molec-cm<sup>-2</sup>, whereas using the average over 2016-2019 for 2020, i.e. assuming that the decrease has halted as suggested by the data in Figure 5, would provide a baseline of  $6.6 \times 10^{15}$  molec cm<sup>-2</sup> for Wuhan and  $5.6 \times 10^{15}$ 745 molec-cm<sup>-2</sup> for Chongqing. In other words, ignoring the trend change would result in a baseline lower by about 35% and thus in an overestimation of the lockdown effect on the NO2 TVCD. Similar considerations may apply to Shijiazhuang, but considering that a change in the annual trend did not occur until 2018, the variation in following years is difficult to estimate. In view of this discussion, the use of a climatology over recent years for 1750 comparison with the 2020 concentrations may be a good strategy for regions in the south of China, whereas for the north, where concentrations were decreasing until 2018, the climatological concentrations may be too high. The use of ground-based data leads to larger uncertainties. As the ground-based data in Figures 7 and 8 for Wuhan and Chongqing show, the NO2 concentrations in the plumes vary strongly from week to week and the plume width is therefore rather large, with an uncertainty which is much larger than the 10% uncertainty in the 1755 trend since 2015/2016.

Meteorological influences may be twofold. Meteorological conditions may be conducive of the formation of haze in stagnant air as often observed in north China during the winter (e.g., Li et al., 2018; Wang et al., 2019;

Deleted: and SO<sub>2</sub> concentrations Deleted: CO and

Deleted: shutdown

Deleted: What is the baseline for Deleted: ?

Deleted: Section

Deleted: after

| Deleted: 0                |  |
|---------------------------|--|
| Deleted:                  |  |
| Deleted: <sup>3</sup>     |  |
| Deleted: 57               |  |
| Deleted:                  |  |
| Deleted: 10 <sup>13</sup> |  |
| Deleted: 0                |  |
| Deleted:                  |  |
| Deleted: 10 <sup>13</sup> |  |
| Deleted: 1                |  |
| Deleted:                  |  |
| Deleted: 10 <sup>13</sup> |  |
| Deleted: 8                |  |
| Deleted: 9                |  |

1785

Wang et al., 2018a; Wang et al., 2020a). On the other hand, large scale weather systems influence the transport of air masses from different origins transporting <u>either</u> clean air or pollution contributing to local air quality (e.g., Wang et al., 2019; Li et al., 2018; <u>Hou et al., 2020</u>). Another aspect is the influence of air temperature, humidity and radiation on chemistry which affects NO<sub>2</sub>, O<sub>3</sub> and aerosols, in particular for the situation during the COVID-19 lockdown with the strong reduction of NO<sub>2</sub> concentrations. A reduction of NO<sub>2</sub> (or NO<sub>x</sub> = NO + NO<sub>2</sub>, where NO is only a small fraction of NO<sub>x</sub>) leads to an increase in O<sub>3</sub>, as observed in the ground-based data. The enhanced O<sub>3</sub> concentrations result in the increase of the oxidizing capacity of the atmosphere which in turn leads to the production of secondary organic aerosol (SOA) as explained in, e.g., Diamond et al. (2020) and Le et al. (2020). The increased aerosol concentrations result in the attenuation of solar irradiation due to more scattering

and absorption which in turn may further influence the meteorology (Zhong et al., 2018) and photochemical reactions.
1790 In view of the decisive role of meteorology in haze formation in north China (Le et al., 2020) it is surprising that both Le et al. (2020) and Diamond et al. (2020) used meteorological data averaged over 1 month (February 2020). Haze occurs episodically and less than 25% of the episodes last longer than 4 days (Wang et al., 2018b;

# 4.3 Lockdown effect on air quality and rebound

Wang et al., 2020b).

| 1795  | The similarity in the temporal behavior of the air quality index (Figure A3) was the basis for the subdivision of                   |
|-------|---|
|       | the regions in three groups. In Group 1 (NCP) the AQI fluctuated in the first 3 weeks and reached a peak value in                   |
| 1     | week 2, then remained low. The peak was highest (170, moderately polluted) in Beijing where it exceeded the                         |
|       | value of previous years. Obviously, this was due to a haze episode with strongly enhanced PM2.5 with respect to                     |
|       | the period before the Spring Festival (Fan et al., 2020a) and a concentration of ca. 140 µg·m-3, almost double the                  |
| 1800  | 24-h class 2 (for cities) air quality standard in China specified in GB 3095-2012   |
| 1     | (https://www.transportpolicy.net/standard/china-air-quality-standards/, last access 30 January 2021). In other                      |
|       | cities in Group 1 (not shown) the peak values were lower, decreased with distance to Beijing, and were also                         |
| 1     | lower than in 2017 and 2019. Only in Jinan and Zhengzhou the PM <sub>2.5</sub> values were within the 24-h class 2 (for             |
|       | cities) air quality standard. After week 3 the PM <sub>2</sub> 5 concentrations were within air quality standard limits and the     |
| 1805  | AOI was between 50 and 100 (good) and lower than in the previous years, for all cities in Group 1. However,                         |
| · · · | closer inspection shows that the $\Omega_2$ concentrations exceeded the air quality standard of 100 µs·m <sup>-3</sup> (1-hour mean |
|       | value) between weeks 5 (in the centre of the NCD) and 7 (in the next). Einthermore, in all cities in the NCD the                    |
|       | value) between weeks 5 (in the south of the NCP) and 7 (in the north). Furthermore, in an crues in the NCP the                      |
|       | O <sub>3</sub> concentrations in 2020 were well inside the plume. Hence, in the NCP the strong emission reduction during            |
|       | the lockdown and the strong decrease of NO <sub>2</sub> concentrations observed both from space and from the surface                |
| 1810  | monitoring network, were offset by the increase of other pollutants. Early in the lockdown the aerosol                              |
|       | concentrations were high due to meteorological conditions and complex chemical influences, and later the $\mathrm{O}_3$             |
|       | concentrations exceeded the limiting values. However, the latter were not reflected in the AQI, which followed                      |
|       | the variations in PM2.5 but remained low when O3 concentrations were high. In fact, for all cities in the NCP the                   |
|       | AQI was below or just inside the plume during the whole study period, whereas the NO2 concentrations moved                          |
| 1815  | into the plume toward the end, except in Beijing. The relatively low NO2 concentrations might be expected based                     |
|       | on both the decreasing trends in the NO2 TVCDs until 2018 in the north of China and from the seasonal decrease.                     |
| 1     | With these considerations, it is hard to determine whether the pollutant concentrations in the NCP returned to                      |

#### Deleted: b

Deleted: a

Deleted: 6 Deleted: the NCP Deleted: g

#### **Field Code Changed**

**Deleted:** 27 September 2020 **Deleted:** the NCP

Deleted: the NCP

**Deleted:** Also SO<sub>2</sub> concentrations remained low, close to those in 2019. With these considerations, it is hard to

their normal levels, which in regard of seasonal variations are expected to be lower than before the lockdown and in regard of their decreasing trends are expected to be lower than in other years or, considering that the trends level off, similar to those in the last couple of years.

For the Group 2 cities, Shanghai, Guangzhou and Wuhan, the AQI during the lockdown varied and AQ was good until week 10, with the largest effect in Wuhan. NO<sub>2</sub> concentrations in Wuhan were very low with 20 µg·m<sup>-3</sup> during the first 9 weeks (3 times lower than the plume average). In Shanghai and Guangzhou the concentrations were initially similar but increased slowly. In all three cities the NO<sub>2</sub> concentrations merged into

- 1835 the plumes after week 10, more or less coincident with the end of the lockdown after 76 days, on April 8, 2020. PM<sub>2.5</sub> was not reduced as much as NO<sub>2</sub> but was also below the plume and overall traced the NO<sub>2</sub> concentrations, moving into the plume after week 10. Being further south than the NCP, O<sub>3</sub> concentrations were close to the air quality standard of 100 µg·m<sup>-3</sup> and exceeded that limit around week 5, as in the NCP. Hence, also in the Group 2 cities the reduction of other pollutant concentrations was offset by the increase of O<sub>3</sub> which is not reflected in the
- 1840 AQI. The rebound at the end of the lockdown period is clear with all indicators returning to levels similar to those in the earlier years, i.e. inside or close to the plume. Group 3 includes three cities in the Sichuan/Chongqing and Guanzhong Basins and Nanchang. In these cities the

AQI was not substantially affected by the lockdown, except in the very beginning when it was low inside (or even below) the plume but overall remained inside the plume. Yet, the NO<sub>2</sub> concentrations were around  $20 \,\mu g \,m^{-1}$ 

- 1845 <sup>3</sup> during the first 3 weeks, initially some 40-60% lower than the plume for which the concentrations actually increased during these 3 weeks and then gradually decreased. Between week 3 and 7 the NO<sub>2</sub> concentrations in 2020 increased in all 4 cities to about 50 μg·m<sup>-3</sup> in week 7, close to the plume, and later merged into the plume.
- $PM_{2.5}$  was not much different from the plume throughout the whole period and in all three cities. Decreasing somewhat in the basins and fluctuating around 40  $\mu$ g·m<sup>-3</sup> in Nanchang. O<sub>3</sub> concentrations were lower than 100
- 1850  $\mu g \cdot m^{-3}$  (50  $\mu g \cdot m^{-3}$  in Chongqing) and gradually increased to above 100  $\mu g \cdot m^{-3}$  around week 7. Overall, the lockdown had little effect on the air quality in Group 3 <u>cities</u> in spite of the significant reduction of the NO<sub>2</sub> concentrations. The latter returned to normal levels after about 9 weeks.
- The differences between the lockdown effects on the air quality in the three clusters have not been analyzed in detail. The duration of the lockdown was not exactly the same in each city. For instance, in Xi'an peoples' lives gradually returned to normal during a period of 1 month ending on 27 March (Zhang et al., 2020h), whereas in Wuhan the lockdown ended on 8 April. The effects of the gradual increase of activities depends on the kind of activity and resulting emissions. The effect of the emissions on the concentrations depends on meteorological conditions and other factors influencing dispersion of the pollutants such as the local topography in the basin area which limits transport as well as effects on atmospheric chemistry.

1860 4.4 Effects of national holidays and other events

The 16 weeks study period covered the lockdown from the beginning (week 0) to the end of the lockdown in Wuhan on 8 April (week 10) and the last weeks were included to monitor the rebound of the pollutant concentrations. For Beijing, four more weeks were included because of the Party Congress which took place during 21-28 May, 2020 (i.e. during weeks 16-17). During the study period, also two national holidays occurred, the Tomb Sweeping Festival (4-6 April, i.e. in week 10) and the May holidays (1-5 May, i.e. during weeks 13-

**Deleted:** SO<sub>2</sub> concentrations were close to those in 2017.

| -[ | Deleted: s                     |
|----|--------------------------------|
| -[ | Deleted: lifves                |
| -[ | Deleted: a                     |
|    | Deleted: (Zhang et al., 2020a) |

Deleted: 5

**Deleted:** and, for Beijing and Tianjin, to include the Party Congress which took place in Beijing, 21-28 May, 2020 (i.e. during weeks 16-17). During th

Deleted: e 15 week

20

1865

| 1880

1885

890

14). These periods are marked in Figures 6-8 with rectangles for easy identification. The Tomb Sweeping Festival was just before the end of the lockdown in Wuhan when NO<sub>2</sub> concentrations were observed to rise from very low to close to the values observed in previous years (Figure 7) and also concentrations of other pollutants as well as AQI peaked, as discussed in <u>Sect. 3.2</u>. As shown in Figures 6-8, in most other cities the AQI was a little higher in week 10 but the effect was not strong. Also during the May holidays in weeks 13-14 the AQI and the concentrations of other pollutants do not stand out. Although during these holidays families usually get together there was no significant effect on AQ, possibly because the concentrations were already lower and had not fully recovered, while also travel was still restricted.

The situation in Beijing was different. With the regular occurrence of large (inter)national meetings, emission control measures are often enforced in Beijing and, except during the haze event in the first weeks of the lockdown, the AQI was low (around 50), well below the plume, until week 13 (Figure 2). In weeks 13 and 14 the AQI merged into the plume as did the concentrations of some of the contributing pollutants (only shown for  $PM_2$ s;  $O_3$  was already inside the plume), except NO<sub>2</sub> which however also increased in that period. The subsequent decrease of the concentrations resulted in minima in the concentrations of NO<sub>2</sub>,  $O_3$  and PM<sub>2.5</sub> in Beijing



| Deleted: on the other hand |
|----------------------------|
| Deleted: in 2020           |
|                            |
| Deleted: 6                 |
| Deleted: all               |
| Formatted: Subscript       |
| Formatted: Subscript       |
| Deleted: 10                |
| Deleted:                   |
| Formatted: Subscript       |

Deleted: 8

Deleted: Section



| Å | Deleted: 10   |
|---|---|
|   | Deleted: Time series of AQI and the concentrations of NO2 |
|   | and PM2.5 in Beijing,                                     |



21

#### 910 5. Summary and conclusions

China is a fast developing country with a high degree of urbanization and industrialization, especially in the east of China (here defined as east of the Hu line, Figure 1). China also offers a large variety of meteorological, climatic and geographical conditions with fast plains, large mountainous terrain and desert areas. The country can roughly be divided by the Yangtze River, with different influences on atmospheric processes in the north 915 than in the south. These effects came out in the analysis of both the satellite and ground-based monitoring data of trace gases and aerosols affecting air quality. In addition, the Sichuan and Guanzhong basins have their own characteristics due to the influence of the surrounding mountains. The focus of the current study was the evolution of the concentrations of the pollutants during the last decade in response to emission reduction policies, and in particular the effect of the sudden reduction in economic activities and peoples' mobility during the 920 lockdown in response to the COVID-19 outbreak in China in January 2020. The study area was mainland China east of the Hu line (Figure 1). On a decadal scale, column-integrated concentrations of tropospheric NO2 were analyzed, using OMI\_TVCD data, showing the difference in both the variation of the concentrations and the response to emission reduction policies in regions north and south of the Yangtze River. This analysis was made for 11 regions (Figure 1, Table 1) selected using weekly averaged TROPOMI NO2\_TVCD data, showing the 925 spatial variations over the whole study area during the first 16-20 weeks after the Chinese New Year (25 January 2020). Ground-based monitoring data were collected for a large city in each of the 11 study areas, i.e. NO2, O2 and PM2.5, which were most affected by the lockdown as shown in our earlier study (Fan et al., 2020a), Based on the similarities in the evolution of the AQI and pollutant concentrations, the 11 regions were divided in three groups: Group 1 in the NCP, Group 2 in the south, and Group 3 including two major basin areas. The large 930 reduction of the concentrations of NO2 was observed, both the surface and TVCD data, whereas the concentrations of  $\Omega_3$  were observed to increase and for the concentrations of  $PM_{2.5}$  the behavior varied by region. Hence the question came up whether the air quality was really improved as much as suggested from the NO2 data, or that this reduction was offset by the increase of the concentrations of other pollutants? To answer these questions, satellite and ground-based data were analyzed for 11 regions in east China, leading to the following 1935 conclusions.

- 1. The effect of the lockdown is often determined by comparison of the concentrations before and after the lockdown, averaged over a certain period of time which often is taken as 1 month to average out short-term effects. However, as shown in Figure 3, the concentrations evolved over much shorter time scales and, for NQ<sub>2</sub>, decreased from week to week, both before and after the lockdown. A complicating factor for the determination of the lockdown effect was that the lockdown happened during the Spring Festival holidays during which the NQ<sub>2</sub> concentrations already decreased substantially. The lockdown added more severe reductions during a much extended period of time. Hence, for a proper determination of the evolution of the concentrations a temporal resolution of 1 week is more suitable than 1 month. The choice of shorter time scales is even more important when episodical events occur, such as the haze event early in the lockdown in the north of China, and for the use of meteorological data.
- Time series of annual mean tropospheric NO<sub>2</sub> VCDs for 2011-2019 for the 11 regions show the decreasing trend in the north between 2014 and 2018, and in the south between 2011 and 2015/2016. After these periods, the decrease was halted and concentrations fluctuated but remained <u>within 10% of</u> the 2015 values. Trends vary between -0.05 and -0.14 per year (see Table 2).

**Deleted:** Conclusions

| Del | eted: | East |
|-----|-------|------|
|-----|-------|------|

| -{ | Moved (insertion) [6] |
|----|-----------------------|
| -{ | Deleted: the          |
| -{ | Deleted: data         |
| -{ | Deleted: the          |
|    |                       |

| Deleted: TCVD        |  |  |  |
|----------------------|--|--|--|
| Deleted: to emission |  |  |  |
| Deleted: TCVD        |  |  |  |
| Deleted: TCVD        |  |  |  |

| 1                 | Formatted: Subscript  |
|-------------------|---|
| 1                 | Formatted: Subscript  |
| 1                 | Formatted: Subscript  |
| Ľ                 | Deleted: (Fan et al., 2020)   |
| ľ                 | Deleted: ecause of  |
| V)                | Deleted: the evolution  |
| V)                | Deleted: cities   |
| $\langle \rangle$ | Deleted: grouped  |
| $\langle \rangle$ | Deleted: group  |
|                   | <b>Moved up [6]:</b> The focus of the current study was the evolution of the concentrations of the pollutants during the last decade in response to emission reduction policies, and in particular the effect of the sudden reduction in economic activities and peoples' mobility during the lockdown in response to the COVID-19 outbreak in China. Clearly, a very |
|                   | Deleted: Clearly, a very large effect was observed on   |
|                   | Formatted: Not Superscript/ Subscript   |
|                   | Deleted: ,  |
|                   | Deleted: near the   |
|                   | Deleted: tropospheric column densities  |
|                   | Deleted: TCVD   |
| $\left \right $   | Deleted: aerosols and   |
| $\langle \rangle$ | Formatted: Subscript  |
| $\left  \right $  | Formatted: Subscript  |
| 1                 | Deleted:  |
| 1                 | Formatted: Subscript  |

Deleted: below

22

1940

- 3. To determine the effect of the lockdown on the concentrations, a baseline must be determined. This baseline depends on both long term trends and short term variations. Ignoring the trend change in the south would result in an underestimation of the baseline concentrations of the order of 35% as compared to using the average of the concentrations in 2016-2019 as baseline. In the north the baseline is difficult to determine because the NO<sub>2</sub> TVCDs continued to decrease until 2018. Using the average of the concentrations in 2016-2019 as baseline would result in an overestimate of the baseline concentrations.
- 4. The effect of the strong reduction of the NO<sub>2</sub> concentrations on the air quality is offset by the increase of O<sub>3</sub> concentrations and, in some part of China, aerosols (PM<sub>2.5</sub>). The increase of aerosols in the north of China has two reasons: the meteorological conditions conducive of the formation of haze and the complicated chemistry involving NO<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>3</sub>, leading to the formation of secondary aerosols (e.g., Huang et al., 2020; Diamond and Wood, 2020; Le et al., 2020; Zhao et al., 2020).
- 5. The effect of the lockdown is different in cities in Groups 1, 2 and 3, with an AQI increase in the first weeks in the Group 1 (NCP) and improved AQ later. The concentrations did not return to the levels in the previous four years (the plume). In Group 2 the AQ was substantially improved during about 10 weeks, although after week 5 the effect of the reduced concentrations was offset by the increase of O<sub>3</sub> exceeding National Ambient Air Quality Standards. After the lockdown the concentrations returned to levels similar to those in the plume. For Group 3 cities the concentrations were initially reduced but after a few weeks increased to inside the plume. Hence, apart from the first weeks, the lockdown did not have a significant effect on the AQ in the Group 3 cities, in spite of the substantial reduction of the NO<sub>2</sub> concentrations which returned to normal levels after about 9 weeks. The use of AQI is questionable because its definition is not following a physical quantity: even when AQI indicates good AQ, limits may be exceeded.
  - 6. Holidays like the Tomb Sweeping Festival and the May holidays are expected to have some effect on the air quality, but in 2020 this was hardly noticeable. However, in Beijing the air quality during the Party Congress, at the end of May, was better than during the weeks before. It is noted that throughout the whole study period of 19 weeks, the NO<sub>2</sub> concentrations in Beijing were strongly reduced with respect to those in the preceding 4 years.

This study was undertaken for China, but the methodology and results can in part also be applied to other areas. In particular this applies to the temporal resolution, which in this study was taken as 1 week, as opposed to 1 day or 1 month in earlier studies such as Fan et al. (2020a). As discussed above, meteorological variations influencing air quality, such as formation and dissipation of haze, take place on rather short time scales. Whereas, for the determination of effects of sudden changes in emissions on pollutant concentrations such short term meteorological effects need to be considered, as well as interannual changes. The baseline correction using multi-annual data also needs to account for (changes in) long term trends and seasonal variations as discussed in detail for NO<sub>2</sub>. Deleted: which

Deleted: to

| <b>Deleted:</b> (e.g., Huang et al., 2020; Diamond and Wood, 2020; Le et al., 2020; Zhao et al., 2020). |
|---|
| Deleted: the NCP Group, G   |
| Deleted: Group  |
| Deleted: AQI  |
| Deleted: group  |
| <b>Deleted:</b> , but it is hard to determine which baseline to expect. In                              |
| Deleted: G  |
|   |

| Formatted: Normal, No bullets or numbering |  |  |
|--|--|--|
| Deleted: east                              |  |  |
| Deleted: (2020)                            |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| Deleted: needs to consider                 |  |  |
| Deleted: , t                               |  |  |

Formatted: Subscript

23

2005

2010

## 2030 Appendix A.

2045

The air quality index (AQI) is based on measured mass concentrations of aerosols and trace gases. The method to determine the AQI is described in (Yuan and Yang, 2019) and is calculated according to the National Standards on Air Quality Measurement published by the Chinese Ministry of Environmental Protection on 29 February 2012 -Ambient air quality standards (GB 3095-2012) (Ministry of Environmental Protection of the People's Republic of China, MEE, 2012) and the Technical Regulation on Ambient Air Quality Index (on trial) (HJ 633-2012) (MEP(Ministry of Environmental Protection of the People's Republic of China), 2012) that became effective on January 1st, 2016). The aerosol mass concentrations considered are PM<sub>2.5</sub> and PM<sub>10</sub> and the trace gases are NO<sub>2</sub>, SO<sub>2</sub>, O<sub>3</sub> and CO. The AQI is calculated using the method described in (MEP(Ministry of Environmental Protection of the People's Republic of china), 2012). The individual AQI of each of these 6 pollutants (IAQI<sub>P</sub>) is calculated using (Yuan and Yang, 2019):

 $IAQI_P = \frac{IAQI_{HI} - IAQI_{Lo}}{BP_{HI} - BP_{Lo}} (C_P - BP_{Lo}) + IAQI_{Lo},$ (A1)

Where  $C_P$  is the mass concentration of pollutant P,  $BP_{Hi}$  and  $BP_{Lo}$  are the higher and lower threshold of pollutant concentration near  $C_P$  corresponding to specified IAQI (Individual Air Quality Index) regulated by government policy. IAQI<sub>Hi</sub> and IAQI<sub>Lo</sub> are the corresponding IAQI to  $BP_{Hi}$  and  $BP_{Lo}$ , respectively. The AQI is the highest of the 6 individual IAQI<sub>P</sub>:

| $AQI = \max \{ IAQI_1, IAQI_2, \dots, IAQI_6 \}$ | (A2) |
|--|------|
|--|------|

Eq (A2) shows that the AQI reflects only one pollutant, with the highest IAQI, and is not a combination of all 6 (Yuan and Yang, 2019).

An AQI of 50 means that the air quality is excellent, and AQI between 50 and 100 means it is good. When 100
 2050 AQI< 150 the AQ is lightly polluted, for 150<AQI<200 AQ is moderately polluted and for 200<AQI<300 AQ is heavily polluted. AQI > 300 indicates severe pollution (MEP(Ministry of Environmental Protection of the People's Republic of China), 2012).

Table A1. Definition of the weeks around the Chinese Spring Festival, as used in this study, from 2015 to 2020. The first day2055of week 0 in each year is defined as the first day of the Lunar New Year, which in the solar calendar changes from year to

| -      |             |             |             |             |             |             |
|--------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
|        | 2015        | 2016        | 2017        | 2018        | 2019        | 2020        |
| Week-3 | 01.29-02.04 | 01.18-01.24 | 01.07-01.13 | 01.26-02.01 | 01.15-01.21 | 01.04-01.10 |
| Week-2 | 02.05-02.11 | 01.25-01.31 | 01.14-01.20 | 02.02-02.08 | 01.22-01.28 | 01.11-01.17 |
| Week-1 | 02.12-02.18 | 02.01-02.07 | 01.21-01.27 | 02.09-02.15 | 01.29-02.04 | 01.18-01.24 |
| Week 0 | 02.19-02.25 | 02.08-02.14 | 01.28-02.03 | 02.16-02.22 | 02.05-02.11 | 01.25-01.31 |
| Week 1 | 02.26-03.04 | 02.15-02.21 | 02.04-02.10 | 02.23-03.01 | 02.12-02.18 | 02.01-02.07 |
| Week 2 | 03.05-03.11 | 02.22-02.28 | 02.11-02.17 | 03.02-03.08 | 02.19-02.25 | 02.08-02.14 |
| Week 3 | 03.12-03.18 | 02.29-03.06 | 02.18-02.24 | 03.09-03.15 | 02.26-03.04 | 02.15-02.21 |
| Week 4 | 03.19-03.25 | 03.07-03.13 | 02.25-03.03 | 03.16-03.22 | 03.05-03.11 | 02.22-02.28 |
| Week 5 | 03.26-04.01 | 03.14-03.20 | 03.04-03.10 | 03.23-03.29 | 03.12-03.18 | 02.29-03.06 |
| Week 6 | 04.02-04.08 | 03.21-03.27 | 03.11-03.17 | 03.30-04.05 | 03.19-03.25 | 03.07-03.13 |
| Week 7 | 04.09-04.15 | 03.28-04.03 | 03.18-03.24 | 04.06-04.12 | 03.26-04.01 | 03.14-03.20 |
| Week 8 | 04.16-04.22 | 04.04-04.10 | 03.25-03.31 | 04.13-04.19 | 04.02-04.08 | 03.21-03.27 |
| Week 9 | 04.23-04.29 | 04.11-04.17 | 04.01-04.07 | 04.20-04.26 | 04.09-04.15 | 03.28-04.03 |
|        |             |             |             |             |             |             |

24

year.

| Week 10 | 04.30-05.06 | 04.18-04.24 | 04.08-04.14 | 04.27-05.03 | 04.16-04.22 | 04.04-04.10 |
|---------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Week 11 | 05.07-05.13 | 04.25-05.01 | 04.15-04.21 | 05.04-05.10 | 04.23-04.29 | 04.11-04.17 |
| Week 12 | 05.14-05.20 | 05.02-05.08 | 04.22-04.28 | 05.11-05.17 | 04.30-05.06 | 04.18-04.24 |
| Week 13 | 05.21-05.27 | 05.09-05.15 | 04.29-05.05 | 05.18-05.24 | 05.07-05.13 | 04.25-05.01 |
| Week 14 | 05.28-06.03 | 05.16-05.22 | 05.06-05.12 | 05.25-05.31 | 05.14-05.20 | 05.02-05.08 |
| Week 15 | 06.04-06.10 | 05.23-05.29 | 05.13-05.19 | 06.01-06.07 | 05.21-05.27 | 05.09-05.15 |
| Week 16 | 06.11-06.17 | 05.30-06.05 | 05.20-05.26 | 06.08-06.14 | 05.28-06.03 | 05.16-05.22 |
| Week 17 | 06.18-06.24 | 06.06-06.12 | 05.27-06.02 | 06.15-06.21 | 06.04-06.10 | 05.23-05.29 |
| Week 18 | 06.25-07.01 | 06.13-06.19 | 06.03-06.09 | 06.22-06.28 | 06.11-06.17 | 05.30-06.05 |
| Week 19 | 07.02-07.08 | 06.20-06.26 | 06.10-06.16 | 06.29-07.05 | 06.18-06.24 | 06.06-06.12 |



2060 Figure A1. Difference plots for weekly averages of NO<sub>2</sub> TVCDs minus that for week 0 (Figure 3) for weeks 1-19. Note that week number refers to the 2020 Spring Festival, i.e. week 0 starts on Saturday 25 January 2020.



Figure A2. Time series of monthly averaged NO<sub>2</sub> TVCDs over each of the 11 regions, for each of the months November, December, March and April from 2011 to 2019. The data points for each month are connected with dotted lines for easy identification.





Moved (insertion) [4]





2100 Cairo University for the Google Earth Engine technical support. The authors thank anonymous reviewers for their effort to critically review the manuscript and providing constructive comments.

#### **Financial support**

This work was supported by the National Outstanding Youth Foundation of China (Grant No. 41925019) and the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 41671367).

#### 2105 References

- Bao, R., and Zhang, A.: Does lockdown reduce air pollution? Evidence from 44 cities in northern China, Science of The Total Environment, 731, 139052, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.139052, 2020.
- Bauwens, M., Compernolle, S., Stavrakou, T., Müller, J.-F., van Gent, J., Eskes, H., Levelt, P. F., van
  der A, R., Veefkind, J. P., Vlietinck, J., Yu, H., and Zehner, C.: Impact of Coronavirus Outbreak on
  NO2 Pollution Assessed Using TROPOMI and OMI Observations, Geophys Res Lett, 47,
  e2020GL087978, 10.1029/2020gl087978, 2020.
- Boersma, K., Eskes, H., Richter, A., De Smedt, I., Lorente, A., Beirle, S., van Geffen, J., Zara, M., Peters, E., Van Roozendael, M., Wagner, T., Maasakkers, J., van der A, R., Nightingale, J., Rudder, A.,
  Irie, H., Pinardi, G., Lambert, J.-C., and Compernolle, S.: Improving algorithms and uncertainty estimates for satellite NO<sub>2</sub> retrievals: Results from the Quality Assurance for Essential Climate Variables (QA4ECV) project, Atmospheric Measurement Techniques Discussions, 1-70, 10.5194/amt-2018-200, 2018.
- Boersma, K. F., Eskes, H. J., Dirksen, R. J., van der A, R. J., Veefkind, J. P., Stammes, P., Huijnen, V.,
  Kleipool, Q. L., Sneep, M., Claas, J., Leitão, J., Richter, A., Zhou, Y., and Brunner, D.: An improved tropospheric NO<sub>2</sub> column retrieval algorithm for the Ozone Monitoring Instrument, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 4, 1905-1928, 10.5194/amt-4-1905-2011, 2011.
- Chen, M., Gong, Y., Li, Y., Lu, D., and Zhang, H.: Population distribution and urbanization on both sides of the Hu Huanyong Line: Answering the Premier's question, Journal of Geographical Sciences, 26, 1593-1610, 10.1007/s11442-016-1346-4, 2016.
- de Leeuw, G., Sogacheva, L., Rodriguez, E., Kourtidis, K., Georgoulias, A., Alexandri, G., Amiridis, V., Proestakis, E., Marinou, E., Xue, Y., and van der A, R.: Two decades of satellite observations of AOD over mainland China using ATSR-2, AATSR and MODIS/Terra: Data set evaluation and large-scale patterns, Atmos Chem Phys, 18, 1573-1592, 10.5194/acp-18-1573-2018, 2018.
- Diamond, M., and Wood, R.: Limited Regional Aerosol and Cloud Microphysical Changes Despite Unprecedented Decline in Nitrogen Oxide Pollution During the February 2020 COVID-19 Shutdown in China, Geophys Res Lett, 47, 10.1029/2020GL088913, 2020.
- Ding, J., van der A, R. J., Eskes, H. J., Mijling, B., Stavrakou, T., van Geffen, J. H. G. M., and Veefkind, J. P.: NOx Emissions Reduction and Rebound in China Due to the COVID-19 Crisis, Geophys Res Lett, 47, e2020GL089912, 10.1029/2020gl089912, 2020.
- Fan, C., Li, Y., Guang, J., Li, Z., Elnashar, A., Allam, M., and de Leeuw, G.: The Impact of the Control Measures during the COVID-19 Outbreak on Air Pollution in China, Remote Sensing, 12, 1613, 2020a.
- Fan, H., Zhao, C. F., and Yang, Y. K.: A comprehensive analysis of the spatio-temporal variation of
   urban air pollution in China during 2014-2018, Atmos Environ, 220, 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2019.117066,
   2020b.

Filonchyk, M., Hurynovich, V., Yan, H., Gusev, A., and Shpilevskaya, N.: Impact Assessment of COVID-19 on Variations of SO2, NO2, CO and AOD over East China, Aerosol and Air Quality Research, 20, 10.4209/aaqr.2020.05.0226, 2020.

Hou, X., Zhu, B., Kumar, K. R., de Leeuw, G., Lu, W., Huang, Q., & Zhu, X. : Establishment of conceptual schemas of surface synoptic meteorological situations affecting fine particulate pollution across eastern China in the winter, Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 125, e2020JD033153. https://doi.org/10.1029/2020JD033153., 2020. Formatted: English (United States)

#### Field Code Changed

- Formatted: English (United States)
- Formatted: English (United States)

Huang, X., Ding, A., Gao, J., Zheng, B., Zhou, D., Qi, X., Tang, R., Wang, J., Ren, C., and Nie, W.:
Enhanced secondary pollution offset reduction of primary emissions during COVID-19 lockdown in China, National Science Review, 2020.
Jin, Y., Andersson, H., and Zhang, S.: Air Pollution Control Policies in China: A Retrospective and

Jin, Y., Andersson, H., and Zhang, S.: Air Pollution Control Policies in China: A Retrospective and Prospects, International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 12, 1219, 10.3390/ijerph13121219, 2016.

- 2155 Koukouli, M., Balis, D., van der A, R., Theys, N., Hedelt, P., Richter, A., Krotkov, N., Li, C., and Taylor, M.: Anthropogenic sulphur dioxide load over China as observed from different satellite sensors, Atmos Environ, 145, 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2016.09.007, 2016.
- Krotkov, N. A., McLinden, C. A., Li, C., Lamsal, L. N., Celarier, E. A., Marchenko, S. V., Swartz, W. H., Bucsela, E. J., Joiner, J., Duncan, B. N., Boersma, K. F., Veefkind, J. P., Levelt, P. F., Fioletov, V. E., Dickerson, R. R., He, H., Lu, Z., and Streets, D. G.: Aura OMI observations of regional SO2 and NO2 pollution changes from 2005 to 2015, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 16, 4605-4629, 10.5194/acp-16-4605-2016, 2016.

Le, T., Wang, Y., Liu, L., Yang, J., Yung, Y., Li, G., and Seinfeld, J.: Unexpected air pollution with marked emission reductions during the COVID-19 outbreak in China, Science, 369, eabb7431, 10.1126/science.abb7431, 2020.

- Levelt, P., Joanna, J., Tamminen, J., Veefkind, J., Bhartia, P., Carn, S., et al.; The Ozone Monitoring Instrument: Overview of 14 years in space, <u>Atmos. Chem. Phys.</u>, 18, 5699–5745, <u>https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-18-5699-2018</u>, 2018.
- Li, K., Liao, H., Cai, W., and Yang, Y.: Attribution of Anthropogenic Influence on Atmospheric Patterns Conducive to Recent Most Severe Haze Over Eastern China, Geophys Res Lett, 45, 2072-2081, 10.1002/2017gl076570, 2018.

Li, M., Wang, T., Xie, M., Li, S., Zhuang, B., Fu, Q., Zhao, M., Wu, H., Liu, J., Saikawa, E., and Liao, K.: Drivers for the poor air quality conditions in North China Plain during the COVID-19 outbreak, Atmos Environ, 246, 118103, 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2020.118103, 2020.

- Liu, F., Page, A., Strode, S. A., Yoshida, Y., Choi, S., Zheng, B., Lamsal, L. N., Li, C., Krotkov, N. A., Eskes, H., van der A, R., Veefkind, P., Levelt, P. F., Hauser, O. P., and Joiner, J.: Abrupt decline in tropospheric nitrogen dioxide over China after the outbreak of COVID-19, Science Advances, 6, 10.1126/sciadv.abc2992, 2020.
- Lorente, A., Folkert Boersma, K., Yu, H., Dörner, S., Hilboll, A., Richter, A., Liu, M., Lamsal, L. N.,
  Barkley, M., De Smedt, I., Van Roozendael, M., Wang, Y., Wagner, T., Beirle, S., Lin, J.-T., Krotkov,
  N., Stammes, P., Wang, P., Eskes, H. J., and Krol, M.: Structural uncertainty in air mass factor calculation for NO<sub>2</sub> and HCHO satellite retrievals, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 10, 759–782, https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-10-759-2017, 2017.
- MEP(Ministry of Environmental Protection of the People's Republic of China): Technical Regulation on Ambient Air Quality Index (on Trial): HJ 633-2012, 2012
  - Ministry of Environmental Protection of the People's Republic of China: Ambient Air Quality Standards (GB3095-2012), MEE, 2012

Schoeberl, M., Douglass, A., Hilsenrath, E., Bhartia, P., Beer, R., Waters, J., Gunson, M., Froidevaux, L., Gille, J., Barnett, J., Levelt, P., and DeCola, P.: Overview of the EOS Aura mission, Geoscience and Remote Sensing, IEEE Transactions on, 44, 1066-1074, 10.1109/TGRS.2005.861950, 2006.

- and Remote Sensing, IEEE Transactions on, 44, 1066-1074, 10.1109/TGRS.2005.861950, 2006. Shah, V., Jacob, D., Ke, L., Silvern, R., Zhai, S., Liu, M., Lin, J., and Zhang, Q.: Effect of changing NOx lifetime on the seasonality and long-term trends of satellite-observed tropospheric NO2 columns over China, Atmos Chem Phys, 20, 1483-1495, 10.5194/acp-20-1483-2020, 2020.
- Shi, X., and Brasseur, G. P.: The Response in Air Quality to the Reduction of Chinese Economic Activities During the COVID-19 Outbreak, Geophys Res Lett, 47, e2020GL088070, 10.1029/2020gl088070, 2020.

Silver, B., Reddington, C., Arnold, S., and Spracklen, D.: Substantial changes in air pollution across China during 2015 to 2017, Environmental Research Letters, 13, 10.1088/1748-9326/aae718, 2018.

 Sogacheva, L., Rodriguez, E., Kolmonen, P., Virtanen, T., Saponaro, G., de Leeuw, G., Georgoulias,
 A., Alexandri, G., Kourtidis, K., and van der A, R.: Spatial and seasonal variations of aerosols over China from two decades of multi-satellite observations – Part 2: AOD time series for 1995–2017 combined from ATSR ADV and MODIS C6.1 and AOD tendency estimations, Atmos Chem Phys, 18, 16631-16652, 10.5194/acp-18-16631-2018, 2018. Formatted: English (United States)

Deleted: and al, Deleted: e.

Deleted: Lorente, A., Boersma, K., Yu, H., Dörner, S., Hilboll, A., Richter, A., Liu, M., Lamsal, L., Barkley, M., De Smedt, I., Van Roozendael, M., Wang, Y., Wagner, T., Beirle, S., Lin, J., Krotkov, N., Stammes, P., Wang, P., Eskes, H., and Krol, M.: Structural uncertainty in air mass factor calculation for NO2 and HCHO satellite retrievals, Atmospheric Measurement Techniques Discussions, 10, 759-782, 10.5194/amt-10-759-2017, 2017....

Formatted: English (United States)

Formatted: English (United States)

van der A, R., Mijling, B., Ding, J., Koukouli, M., Liu, F., Li, Q., Mao, H., and Theys, N.: Cleaning up
the air: effectiveness of air quality policy for SO2 and NOx emissions in China, Atmos Chem Phys, 17, 1775-1789, 10.5194/acp-17-1775-2017, 2017.

Veefkind, J. P., Aben, I., McMullan, K., Förster, H., de Vries, J., Otter, G., Claas, J., Eskes, H. J., de Haan, J. F., Kleipool, Q., van Weele, M., Hasekamp, O., Hoogeveen, R., Landgraf, J., Snel, R., Tol, P., Ingmann, P., Voors, R., Kruizinga, B., Vink, R., Visser, H., and Levelt, P. F.: TROPOMI on the ESA Sentinel-5 Precursor: A GMES mission for global observations of the atmospheric composition for climate, air quality and ozone layer applications, Remote Sens Environ, 120, 70-83,

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2011.09.027, 2012. Wang, H., Jianghao, L., Yue, P., Meng, Z., Huizheng, C., and Xiaoye, Z.: The impacts of the meteorology features on PM2.5 levels during a severe haze episode in central-east China, Atmos Environ, 197, 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2018.10.001, 2018a.

- Wang, X., Wei, W., Cheng, S., Li, J., Zhang, H., and Lv, Z.: Characteristics and classification of PM2.5 pollution episodes in Beijing from 2013 to 2015, Science of The Total Environment, 612, 170-179, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.08.206, 2018b.
- WWang, H., Li, J., Peng, Y., Zhang, M., Che, H., and Zhang, X.: The impacts of the meteorology features on PM2.5 levels during a severe haze episode in central-east China, Atmos Environ, 197, 177-189, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2018.10.001, 2019.
- Wang, Q., and Su, M.: A preliminary assessment of the impact of COVID-19 on environment A case study of China, Science of The Total Environment, 728, 138915, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.138915, 2020.
  Wang, Y., Yu, M., Wang, Y., Tang, G., Song, T., Zhou, P., Liu, Z., Hu, B., Ji, D., Wang, L., Zhu, X.,
- Wang, Y., Yu, M., Wang, Y., Tang, G., Song, T., Zhou, P., Liu, Z., Hu, B., Ji, D., Wang, L., Zhu, X., Yan, C., Ehn, M., Gao, W., Pan, Y., Xin, J., Sun, Y., Kerminen, V. M., Kulmala, M., and Petäjä, T.: Rapid formation of intense haze episodes via aerosol-boundary layer feedback in Beijing, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 20, 45-53, 10.5194/acp-20-45-2020, 2020a.

Wang, P., Chen, K., Zhu, S., Wang, P., and Zhang, H.: Severe air pollution events not avoided by
 reduced anthropogenic activities during COVID-19 outbreak, Resources, Conservation and Recycling, 158, 104814, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resconrec.2020.104814, 2020b.

Xue, Y., Li, Y., Guang, J., Tugui, A., She, L., Qin, K., Fan, C., Che, Y., Xie, Y., Wen, Y., and Wang,
 Z.: Hourly PM2.5 Estimation over Central and Eastern China Based on Himawari-8 Data, Remote
 Sensing, 12, 10.3390/rs12050855, 2020.

- Yuan, G., and Yang, W.: Evaluating China's Air Pollution Control Policy with Extended AQI Indicator System: Example of the Beijing-Tianjin-Hebei Region, Sustainability, 11, 939, 10.3390/su11030939, 2019.
- Zara, M., Boersma, K., De Smedt, I., Richter, A., Peters, E., van Geffen, J., Beirle, S., Wagner, T., Van Roozendael, M., Marchenko, S., Lamsal, L., and Eskes, H.: Improved slant column density
   retrieval of nitrogen dioxide and formaldehyde for OMI and GOME-2A from QA4ECV: Intercomparison, uncertainty characterisation, and trends, Atmos Meas Tech, 11, 4033-4058, 10.5194/amt-11-4033-2018, 2018.

Zhai, S., Jacob, D., Wang, X., Shen, L., Ke, L., Zhang, Y., Gui, K., Zhao, T., and Liao, H.: Fine particulate matter (PM2.5) trends in China, 2013–2018: separating contributions from anthropogenic emissions and meteorology, Atmos Chem Phys, 19, 11031-11041, 10.5194/acp-19-11031-2019, 2019.

emissions and meteorology, Atmos Chem Phys, 19, 11031-11041, 10.5194/acp-19-11031-2019, 2019. Zhang, J., Reid, J. S., Alfaro-Contreras, R., and Xian, P.: Has China been exporting less particulate air pollution over the past decade?, Geophys. Res. Lett., 44, 2941–2948, https://doi.org/10.1002/2017GL072617, 2017.

 Zhang, R., Zhang, Y., Lin, H., Feng, X., Fu, T.-M., and Wang, Y.: NOx Emission Reduction and Recovery during COVID-19 in East China, Atmosphere-Basel, 11, 10.3390/atmos11040433, 2020a.
 Zhang, K., de Leeuw, G., Yang, Z., Chen, X., and Jiao, J.: The Impacts of the COVID-19 Lockdown on Air Quality in the Guanzhong Basin, China, Remote Sensing, 12, 3042, 2020b.

- Zhao, B., Jiang, J. H., Gu, Y., Diner, D., Worden, J., Liou, K.- N., Su, H., Xing, J., Garay, M., and Huang, L.: Decadalscale trends in regional aerosol particle properties and their linkage to emission changes, Environ. Res. Lett., 12, 054021, https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/aa6cb2, 2017.
  - Zhao, N., Wang, G., Li, G., Lang, J., and Zhang, H.: Air pollution episodes during the COVID-19 outbreak in the Beijing–Tianjin–Hebei region of China: An insight into the transport pathways and source distribution, Environmental pollution, 267, 10.1016/j.envpol.2020.115617, 2020.

Field Code Changed

Formatted: English (United States)

Formatted: English (United States)

Moved (insertion) [7]

Formatted: English (United States)

Field Code Changed

Formatted: English (United States)

Formatted: English (United States)

Deleted: Wang, P., Chen, K., Zhu, S., Wang, P., and Zhang, H.: Severe air pollution events not avoided by reduced anthropogenic activities during COVID-19 outbreak, Resources, Conservation and Recycling, 158, 104814, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resconrec.2020.104814, 2020a.¶

Field Code Changed

Formatted: English (United States)

Formatted: English (United States)

Moved up [7]: Wang, X., Wei, W., Cheng, S., Li, J., Zhang, H., and Lv, Z.: Characteristics and classification of PM2.5 pollution episodes in Beijing from 2013 to 2015, Science of The Total Environment, 612, 170-179, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.08.206, 2018b.¶

Formatted: English (United States)

Formatted: English (United States)

Formatted: English (United States)

Formatted: English (United States)

Deleted: b

Formatted: English (United States)

Formatted: English (United States)
Deleted: a

**Deleted:** Zhang, R., Zhang, Y., Lin, H., Feng, X., Fu, T.-M., and Wang, Y.: NOx Emission Reduction and Recovery during COVID-19 in East China, Atmosphere-Basel, 11, 10.3390/atmos11040433. 2020b.¶ Zheng, B., Tong, D., Li, M., Liu, F., Hong, C., Geng, G., Li, H., Li, X., Peng, L., Qi, J., Yan, L., Zhang, Y., Zhao, H., Zheng, Y., He, K., and Zhang, Q.: Trends in China's anthropogenic emissions since 2010 as the consequence of clean air actions, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 18, 14095–14111, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-18-14095-2018, 2018.

Zhong, J., Zhang, X., Yunsheng, D., Wang, Y., Liu, C., Wang, J., Zhang, Y., and Che, H.: Feedback effects of boundary-layer meteorological factors on cumulative explosive growth of PM2.5 during winter heavy pollution episodes in Beijing from 2013 to 2016, Atmos Chem Phys, 18, 247-258, 10.5194/acp-18-247-2018, 2018. **Deleted:** Zheng, B.: Trends in China's anthropogenic emissions since 2010 as the consequence of clean air actions, 2018.Zheng, B., Tong, D., Li, M., Liu, F., Hong, C., Geng, G.,

| Page 2: [1] Deleted   | Gerrit de Leeuw  | 19-1-2021 13:58:00 |
|-----------------------|------------------|--------------------|
| •                     |                  |                    |
| Page 2: [2] Deleted   | TGP              | 29-1-2021 17:27:00 |
| v                     |                  |                    |
| A Dage 2: [2] Deleted | Corrit de Leounu | 10 1 2021 16:20:00 |
| Page 2: [5] Deleted   | Gernt de Leeuw   | 19-1-2021 10:59:00 |
| <b>A</b>              |                  |                    |
| Page 2: [4] Deleted   | Gerrit de Leeuw  | 19-1-2021 16:39:00 |
| V                     |                  |                    |
| Page 3: [5] Deleted   | Gerrit de Leeuw  | 20-1-2021 12:26:00 |
|                       |                  |                    |
| Page 3: [5] Deleted   | Gerrit de Leeuw  | 20-1-2021 12:26:00 |
|                       |                  |                    |
| A                     |                  |                    |
| Page 3: [5] Deleted   | Gerrit de Leeuw  | 20-1-2021 12:26:00 |
| ¥                     |                  |                    |
| Page 3: [5] Deleted   | Gerrit de Leeuw  | 20-1-2021 12:26:00 |
|                       |                  |                    |
| Page 3: [5] Deleted   | Gerrit de Leeuw  | 20-1-2021 12:26:00 |
|                       |                  |                    |
|                       |                  | 20 1 2021 12 20 00 |
| Page 5: [5] Deleted   | Gerrit de Leeuw  | 20-1-2021 12:28:00 |
| A                     |                  |                    |
| Page 3: [5] Deleted   | Gerrit de Leeuw  | 20-1-2021 12:26:00 |
| ↓                     |                  |                    |
| Page 3: [5] Deleted   | Gerrit de Leeuw  | 20-1-2021 12:26:00 |
|                       |                  |                    |
| Page 3: [5] Deleted   | Gerrit de Leeuw  | 20-1-2021 12:26:00 |
|                       |                  |                    |
| A                     |                  |                    |
| Page 3: [5] Deleted   | Gerrit de Leeuw  | 20-1-2021 12:26:00 |
| ×                     |                  |                    |
| Page 3: [5] Deleted   | Gerrit de Leeuw  | 20-1-2021 12:26:00 |
|                       |                  |                    |
| Page 3: [5] Deleted   | Gerrit de Leeuw  | 20-1-2021 12:26:00 |
|                       |                  |                    |
|                       |                  | 20 1 2021 12 21 02 |
| Page 3: [6] Deleted   | Gerrit de Leeuw  | 20-1-2021 12:24:00 |
| 1                     |                  |                    |

| Page 3: [6] Deleted                   | Gerrit de Leeuw | 20-1-2021 12:24:00 |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------|--------------------|
| •                                     |                 |                    |
| Page 3: [6] Deleted                   | Gerrit de Leeuw | 20-1-2021 12:24:00 |
| *                                     |                 |                    |
| Page 3: [6] Deleted                   | Gerrit de Leeuw | 20-1-2021 12:24:00 |
| ·                                     |                 |                    |
| Page 3: [6] Deleted                   | Gerrit de Leeuw | 20-1-2021 12:24:00 |
|                                       |                 |                    |
| Page 3: [7] Deleted                   | Gerrit de Leeuw | 29-1-2021 17:01:00 |
|                                       |                 |                    |
| Page 3: [8] Deleted                   | Gerrit de Leeuw | 20-1-2021 12:31:00 |
| <b>A</b>                              |                 |                    |
| Page 3: [8] Deleted                   | Gerrit de Leeuw | 20-1-2021 12:31:00 |
| <u> </u>                              |                 |                    |
| Page 3: [8] Deleted                   | Gerrit de Leeuw | 20-1-2021 12:31:00 |
| <u> </u>                              |                 |                    |
| Page 3: [8] Deleted                   | Gerrit de Leeuw | 20-1-2021 12:31:00 |
| <b>_</b>                              |                 |                    |
| Page 3: [8] Deleted                   | Gerrit de Leeuw | 20-1-2021 12:31:00 |
|                                       |                 |                    |
| Page 3: [8] Deleted                   | Gerrit de Leeuw | 20-1-2021 12:31:00 |
| A Dago 2: [9] Deleted                 |                 | 20 1 2021 12:21:00 |
| rage 5. [0] Deleteu                   | Genn de Leeuw   | 20-1-2021 12.51.00 |
|                                       |                 |                    |
| Page 3: [8] Deleted                   | Gerrit de Leeuw | 20-1-2021 12:31:00 |
|                                       |                 |                    |
| Page 3: [8] Deleted                   | Gerrit de Leeuw | 20-1-2021 12:31:00 |
| A                                     |                 |                    |
| Page 3: [8] Deleted                   | Gerrit de Leeuw | 20-1-2021 12:31:00 |
| <u> </u>                              |                 |                    |
| Page 3: [8] Deleted                   | Gerrit de Leeuw | 20-1-2021 12:31:00 |
| <u> </u>                              |                 |                    |
| Page 3: [8] Deleted                   | Gerrit de Leeuw | 20-1-2021 12:31:00 |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |                 |                    |

| Page 3: [9] Deleted                         | Gerrit de Leeuw | 20-1-2021 12:42:00 |
|---|-----------------|--------------------|
| ▼   |                 |                    |
| <b>A</b>                                    |                 |                    |
| Page 3: [9] Deleted                         | Gerrit de Leeuw | 20-1-2021 12:42:00 |
| ▼   |                 |                    |
| <b>A</b>                                    |                 |                    |
| Page 3: [9] Deleted                         | Gerrit de Leeuw | 20-1-2021 12:42:00 |
| •   |                 |                    |
|   |                 |                    |
| Page 3: [9] Deleted                         | Gerrit de Leeuw | 20-1-2021 12:42:00 |
| •   |                 |                    |
|   |                 |                    |
| Page 3: [9] Deleted                         | Gerrit de Leeuw | 20-1-2021 12:42:00 |
| <u> </u>                                    |                 |                    |
| ×   |                 |                    |
| Page 2: [0] Deleted                         | Corrit de Leouw | 20 1 2021 12:42:00 |
| Page 5. [9] Deleted                         | Gennt de Leedw  | 20-1-2021 12:42:00 |
| ▼   |                 |                    |
| A   |                 |                    |
| Page 3: [9] Deleted                         | Gerrit de Leeuw | 20-1-2021 12:42:00 |
| •   |                 |                    |
| <u> </u>                                    |                 |                    |
| Page 3: [10] Deleted                        | Gerrit de Leeuw | 24-1-2021 17:48:00 |
| •   |                 |                    |
| <u>ــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ</u> |                 |                    |
| Page 3: [10] Deleted                        | Gerrit de Leeuw | 24-1-2021 17:48:00 |
|   |                 |                    |
|   |                 |                    |
| Page 3: [10] Deleted                        | Gerrit de Leeuw | 24-1-2021 17:48:00 |
| Tage 5. [10] Deleted                        | Contrac Lecan   |                    |
| ▼   |                 |                    |
|   |                 |                    |
| Page 3: [11] Deleted                        | Gerrit de Leeuw | 31-1-2021 13:25:00 |
| ▼   |                 |                    |
| A   |                 |                    |
| Page 3: [11] Deleted                        | Gerrit de Leeuw | 31-1-2021 13:25:00 |
| ▼   |                 |                    |
| <u> </u>                                    |                 |                    |
| Page 14: [12] Deleted                       | Gerrit de Leeuw | 22-1-2021 15:27:00 |
| •   |                 |                    |
| ·   |                 |                    |
| Page 15: [13] Deleted                       | Gerrit de Leeuw | 22-1-2021 15:55:00 |
|   |                 |                    |