Supporting Information for

Sensitivity of modeled Indian Monsoon to Chinese and Indian aerosol emissions

Peter Sherman¹, Meng Gao^{2,3}, Shaojie Song³, Alex T. Archibald^{4,5}, Nathan Luke Abraham^{4,5},

Jean-François Lamarque⁶, Drew Shindell⁷, Gregory Faluvegi^{8,9}, Michael B. McElroy^{1,3}

¹Department of Earth and Planetary Sciences, Harvard University, Cambridge, Massachusetts,

United States

²Department of Geography, Hong Kong Baptist University, Hong Kong SAR, China

³School of Engineering and Applied Sciences, Harvard University, Cambridge, Massachusetts,

United States

⁴National Centre for Atmospheric Science, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, UK

⁵Department of Chemistry, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, UK

⁶National Center for Atmospheric Research, Boulder, Colorado, USA

⁷Nicholas School of the Environment, Duke University, Durham, NC, USA

⁸NASA Goddard Institute for Space Studies, New York, NY

⁹Center for Climate Systems Research, Earth Institute, Columbia University, New York NY

Contents of this file

• Figures S1 to S10



Figure S1. (a-k) CMIP6 JJAS percent difference in precipitation after three decades of simulation between a 1% annual increase in preindustrial CO_2 concentrations (1pctCO2) and preindustrial control (piControl) runs. (l) CMIP6 ensemble mean percent difference between 1pctCO2 and piControl. Stippled grid cells denote regions where 70% of the models agree on the sign of the change.



Figure S2. The mean monthly source of anthropogenic emissions $[kg m^{-2} s^{-1}]$ of (a) SO₂ and (b) BC in South and East Asia used as the control input for the PDRMIP and RAEI experiments averaged over the period 2000 to 2015. Note the logarithmic color bar axis in both figures.





Figure S4. Mean JJAS BC vertical profiles over land in India in the BC10xASIA PDRMIP experiments. Each line indicates a different model within the PDRMIP ensemble. Asterisks denote models that change emissions in the PDRMIP experiments.



Figure S5. PDRMIP JJAS difference in downward surface shortwave radiation $[W/m^2]$ between BC10xASIA and CTRL_{PDRMIP}.



Figure S6. PDRMIP JJAS difference in (a-f) TOA net downward flux [Wm⁻²] between BC10xASIA and CTRL_{PDRMIP}. (g-l) are identical plots for cloud radiative effect.



Figure S7. Percentage difference in SO₄ burden between the RAEI SO₂ emissions scenarios and the CTRL runs. The columns represent the different models and rows represent the different emissions scenarios.



Figure S8. JJAS precipitation percentage difference between the BC regional emissions scenarios and the CTRL runs. The columns represent the different models and rows represent the different emissions scenarios. Stippled regions denote areas where the difference is significant at a 90% confidence level for a two-sample t-test.



Figure S9. (a-i) Surface temperature difference [°C] between the RAEI SO₂ emissions scenarios and the CTRL runs. The columns represent the different models and rows represent the different emissions scenarios. Stippled regions denote areas where the difference is significant at a 90% confidence level for a two-sample t-test. (j) Contributions from the SO₂ emissions scenarios on JJAS land temperature and land precipitation. Contributions are represented by the difference between the SO₂ regional emissions scenarios and the CTRL runs over India. Different shapes indicate the different scenarios and different colors indicate the different models as noted in the legend.



Figure S10. Cumulative summer (JJAS) precipitation [cm] over India from 1900 to 2016 for two observational datasets: (red) University of Delaware (UDel; Willmot and Matsuura, 2001) (blue) the Global Precipitation Climatology Center (GPCC; Schneider et al. 2018). Data are smoothed using a moving mean with a window size of five years. Each panel indicates historical precipitation at a populous city in different regions of India: a) Chennai (southeast), b) Delhi (northeast) and c) Mumbai (northwest).