

Fig. S1: 96 hour back trajectories calculated on an hourly basis within the intervals of the aerosol particle filter sampling at the CVAO, using the NOAA HYSPLIT model (HYbrid Single-Particle Lagrangian Integrated Trajectory, http://www.arl.noaa.gov/ready/hysplit4.html, 26.07.19) in the ensemble mode at an arrival height of 500 m  $\pm$  200 m ((van Pinxteren, et al. 2010)).Starting time of the trajectories corresponded with the sampling time of the aerosol particles and was 21.00 UTC from 16<sup>th</sup> – 21<sup>st</sup> September and 16.00 UTC from 22<sup>nd</sup> September – 12<sup>th</sup> October.

#### 8 Helikite

9 The measurements were done next to the CVAO using a Helikite (Allsopp Helikites Ltd, Hampshire, UK). A Helikite is an unique combination of a tethered balloon and a kite. Helikites 10 are designed to be operated under extreme weather conditions. They fly stable even in strong 11 winds and due to the kite wing additional lift is generated in windy conditions. For the 12 measurements at the CVAO a 16 m<sup>3</sup> Skyhook Helikite was used. The kite was attached to a 3 13 14 mm Dyneema rope (2000m long, ~ 4,6kg/1000m, Lyros D-Pro 3mm, breaking load 950 daN, working elongation < 1%) and operated by a winch (TROPOS built). Under calm conditions 15 the Helikite has a net load capacity of ~ 8kg. At windy conditions the pull increases significantly 16 and reaches about 16 kg at 6 m s<sup>-1</sup>. Depending on the prevailing conditions, measurements up 17 to an altitude of about 1000 m could be carried out. The payload with meteorological sensors 18 was attached to rope about 20 m below the helikite. The payload here was a measuring system 19 for standard meteorological parameters (p, T, rH, wind direction and velocity). The device is 20 based on a microcontroller-based data logger (TROPOS). The sensors were digital sensors, 21 22 tested and selected in the TROPOS wind tunnel (LACIS-T). Wind speed was measured using a differential pressure sensor together with a pitot tube, wind direction was determined from an 23 24 orientation sensor (compass) of the wind vane. Data were recorded with a measuring frequency of 2 Hz, stored on SD card and additionally transmitted to a ground station (via XBee). In total 25 26 19 flights measured at 10 days are available.

27

#### 28 Meteorological parameters and trace gases

Temperature, relative humidity and wind measurements were measured using a meteorologicalstation fitted with various sensors (Campbell Scientific ltd, UK).

31 Ozone was measured using a UV absorption instrument (Model 49i Thermo Scientific).

VOCs and OVOCs were measured using a dual channel gas chromatograph with flame 32 ionization detection (Agilent 7890-A). The instrument has two parallel columns, which 33 simultaneously resolve C<sub>2</sub> - C<sub>8</sub> NMHCs and o-VOCS methanol and acetone using a more polar 34 35 LOWOX column. VOCs are pre-concentrated onto a multi-adsorbent bed at -30 °C and then rapidly desorbed at 350 °C into helium flow using a desorption unit (Markes International 36 Unity2). NMHCs are calibrated monthly using a multicomponent hydrocarbon standard 37 (National Physics Laboratory, UK, typical concentrations ~ 5 ppbV) whilst for OVOCs a 38 permeation system is used for calibration at levels of 8 to 25 ppbv in conjunction with relative 39 detector response. Weighings of the OVOC permeation tubes are typically carried out every 6 40 weeks. The accuracy of the OVOC calibration is estimated as 10% for methanol and 5% for 41 acetone. DMS was measured using a gas chromatograph with mass spectrometric detection 42 (Agilent 7890-A, 5977 MSD). A Unity2 (Markes International) was used for the 43 preconcentration of DMS onto a Tenax trap at -30° C which was desorbed at 350 °C into a flow 44 of helium onto the GC. DMS was calibrated every ten hours during the campaign using a 45 working standard manufactured at the University of York and quantified using relative detector 46 response to benzene (10 ppm DMS standard, Korea Research Institute of Standards and 47 Science, Republic of Korea (KRISS). All trace gas and meteorological measurements were 48 made from a height of 17.5 m asl. 49

- 50
- 51

#### 52 Plunging waterfall tank at the CVAO

The tank was designed to study the bubble driven transfer of organic material from the bulk 53 water via the SML into the aerosol phase. It consisted of a 1400 L basin with a 500 L aerosol 54 chamber on top. The basin (120 cm length x 110 cm width x 100 cm height) was made of 10 55 mm polyvinyl chloride (PVC) plates, held together by an aluminum frame. One side was 56 transparent allowing for visual inspection. To minimize contamination from the tank walls, the 57 58 entire basin was lined with a Teflon FEP bag. Inlet and sampling ports were made from Swagelok stainless steel fittings. PVC in contact with seawater was rinsed with artificial 59 seawater for at least two weeks prior to use inside the basin. The head air space was made of 60 61 Teflon FEP and had a total volume of 500 L (60 cm length, 110 cm width, 60 cm height). 62 Sampling ports for bulk water and the SML were located on top of the basin. Bulk water samples were taken from 50 cm above the bottom and sampled into 1 L Duran glass bottles 63 through a Teflon PFA tube using the hydrostatic pressure. Prior to each sampling, the tubing 64 was first flushed with 100 mL of bulk water. SML samples were taken with a boron silicate 65 66 glass plate (4 mm, 15 x 60 cm) via a slit in the enclosure. The SML sampling volume was limited to about 55 mL, corresponding to SML loss by about 50% when assuming SML layer 67 thickness to be 60 to 80 µm (Falkowska 1999). The glass plate was cleaned with ultrapure water 68 and ethanol prior to each sampling. Bubble driven transport of organic material was simulated 69 using a skimmer on a plunging waterfall. The plunging waterfall (Fig. SI3) was made from a 70 tubular pump (Osaga ORP 25000) that was placed at the bottom of the tank and a PVC tube of 71 100 cm length and 10 cm inner diameter. The tube towered 40 cm above the water surface. 72 Water was pumped at a flow rate of approximately 200 L min<sup>-1</sup> through the tube. The large 73 diameter of the tubular pump and the tube ensured resulted in small pressure fluctuations and 74 75 hence prevent the pelagic phytoplankton and microbial community from damage. The falling seawater simulated the process of wave breaking and was assumed to mimic the natural size 76 spectra of rising air bubbles. A stainless steel inlet was inserted in the headspace of the tank and 77 connected with three filter holders for offline aerosol particle sampling without size segregation 78 (TSP). In addition, the stainless steel inlet was connected to a SMPS (same type as used for 79 ambient aerosol particle characterisation) for online aerosol measurements. This method of 80 aerosol generation resulted in a very efficient generation of nascent sea salt aerosols with 81 aerosol particle size spectra centred around 100 nm as shown in Figure S2. 82 83



Fig. S2: Size distribution of the aerosols generated in the tank experiments in comparison to the size distribution of ambient aerosols at the CVAO.



88 Fig. S3: *View of the plunging waterfall.* 

89

#### 90 The MarParCat

91 The MarParCat is a remotely controllable catamaran designed as a platform for water and SML 92 sampling. It has a size of 245 x 180 x 140(l, w, h) and a total weight of 125 kg. The payload is 93 approximately 160 kg. The catamaran is made from two Technus PE-floats (Type 90/150, 245 94 x 31 x 38 cm) hold together by an aluminum frame. The catamaran is powered with a 12V 95 outboard motor (Minn Kota Traxxis 55) and uses two 12V 60 KwH lead batteries for power 96 supply.

The SML is sampled by means of rotating glass plates (Duran, DWK Life Sciences, Germany). 97 The glass plates have a diameter of 60cm and are placed 10 cm above the water surface in the 98 gravity center of the catamaran. The plates rotated at a speed of 8 rpm. Depending of the 99 thickness of the SML the sampling volume typically varied between 80 and 120 ml min<sup>-1</sup> 100 allowing to sample about 10 L SML within 2 h. The water collected in the wiper was transferred 101 into 2x 5 L Duran glass bottles with a peristaltic pump (Verder M25, 12V. Prior sampling the 102 SML sampling device was flushed for at least 15 minutes at the sampling site. Bulk water 103 samples were collected at a rate of 120 ml min<sup>-1</sup> from a depth of 70 cm using a peristaltic pump 104 (Verder M25, 12V) and collected in two 5 L Duran glass bottles. The material of the tubing is 105 Teflon PFA. 106

- 107 During the campaign, the catamaran was toweled to the sampling site with a fishing boat and
- 108 typically operated 20 m apart from the fishing boat where manual SML sampling took place.
- 109

#### 110 Aerosol particle sampling and chemical analysis of inorganic ions, OC/EC and WSOC

- 111 Aerosol particles ( $PM_1$  and  $PM_{10}$ ) were collected on preheated 150 mm quartz fiber filters
- 112 (Minktell, MK 360) at a flow rate of 700 L min<sup>-1</sup>. Size-resolved aerosol particles were sampled
- on pre-combusted aluminium rings with a Berner impactor. Sampling time was typically 24 h.
   After sampling, filters and aluminium foils were stored in aluminum boxes at -20 °C and
- 115 transported in dry ice to the TROPOS laboratories in Leipzig, Germany. The chemical analysis
- of inorganic ions, the water soluble organic carbon (in the aerosol particles as well as in the
- 117 ocean water and cloud water) and elemental carbon are described in detail in (van Pinxteren, et
- al. 2017; van Pinxteren, et al. 2015) and in Triesch, et al. (2019).
- 119

#### 120 Ice nucleating particles: sampling and analysis

The quartz fiber filters mentioned in the previous paragraph (PM10, PM1 at CVAO and Mt. 121 122 Verde) were used for INP measurements. INP concentrations were also analysed for bulk seawater and SML seawater collected at the seawater station Bahia das Gatas and in cloud water 123 124 collected during cloud events on the mountain top (Mt. Verde). All of the filter and water samples were stored at -20 °C at Cape Verde and cooled below -20 °C during transportationto 125 126 TROPOS, where all samples were again stored at -20 °C until they were prepared for the measurements. Two droplet freezing devices called LINA (Leipzig Ice Nucleation Array) and 127 INDA (Ice Nucleation Droplet Array) (Chen, et al. 2018; Hartmann, et al. 2019) were deployed 128 to characterize INP number concentrations (N<sub>INP</sub>) from filter samples and in bulk and SML 129 130 seawater, and cloud water, yielding results in the temperature range from roughly -5°C to -25°C. 131

132

## 133 SAS analysis

134 Phase sensitive alternating current (AC) voltammetry was applied for SAS analysis, being already used as a successful tool for the determination of SAS in a plethora of environmental 135 aquatic samples (Frka, et al. 2009; Frka, et al. 2012; Kroflič, et al. 2018). Measurements (out-136 of-phase mode, frequency 77 Hz, and amplitude 10 mV) were performed with µAutolab-type 137 II (Eco Chemie B. V., The Netherlands), GPES 4.6 software (Eco Chemie B. V., The 138 Netherlands), followed the method of Ćosović and Vojvodić (1998). A standard polarographic 139 Metrohm cell of 50 cm<sup>3</sup> with a three-electrode system was used: working electrode - hanging 140 mercury drop electrode (HMDE; Metrohm, Switzerland; A = 0.01245 cm<sup>2</sup>), reference electrode 141 - Ag/AgCl/3 mol L<sup>-1</sup> KCl, auxiliary electrode - platinum coil. Before each measurement, 142 previously purified (450 °C for 5 h; charcoal organic residue removal) saturated NaCl (Kemika, 143 Croatia) solution was added to the sample to adjust the electrolyte concentration to 0.55 mol 144  $L^{-1}$ . 145

146

#### 147 Lipid analysis: seawater

Total lipid and lipid class quantitation was performed by Iatroscan thin layer chromatography–
 flame ionization detection (TLC–FID) (Iatroscan MK-VI, Iatron, Japan). Lipids were separated

150 on Chromarods-SIII and quantified by an external calibration with a standard lipid mixture.

- 151 Quantified lipid classes include hydrocarbons (HC), lipid degradation indices (DI) (fatty acid
- methyl esters (ME), free fatty acids (FFA), alcohols (ALC), 1,3-diacylglycerols (1,3DG), 1,2-
- diacylglycerols (1,2DG) and monoacylglycerols (MG)), wax esters (WE), phytoplankton
- energy reserves (triacylglycerols (TG)), membrane lipids including three phospholipids (PL)
   (phosphatidylglycerols (PG), phosphatidylethanolamines (PE) and phosphatidylcholines (PC)),
- (phosphatidylglycerols (PG), phosphatidylethanolamines (PE) and phosphatidylcholines (PC)),
   glycolipids (GL) (sulfoquinovosyldiacylglycerols (SQDG), monogalactosyldiacylglycerols
- (MGDG) and digalactosyldiacylglycerols (DGDG)), sterols (ST) and pigments (PIG). Lipids
- indicating OM degradation (DegLip) comprise the sum of ME, FFA, ALC, DG, MG. The
- 159 standard deviation accounted for 3 to 11% of the signal magnitude of lipid classes (Gašparović,
- 160 et al. 2015; Gašparović, et al. 2017).
- 161

## 162 Lipid biomarker and isotope analysis: aerosol particles

Typically one half of the filter was used for the lipid analysis. The lipids were extracted with a 163 3:1 DCM mixture (4 times 40 ml) and the combined extracts were evaporated to a volume of 1 164 ml. The concentrated extract was dried with NaSO4 and subsequently saponified with 165 KOH/MeOH (50 g L<sup>-1</sup>, 1h, 80°C) and then evaporated to dryness. Afterwards the extract was 166 fractionated over an aminopropyl-column into four fractions of different polarity following 167 standard geochemical procedures (Hinrichs, et al. 2000). The fatty acids were converted to their 168 respective methylester using a BF<sub>3</sub>/MeOH reagent and the alcohols were sylilated with BSTFA 169 in the presence of pyridine. Each fraction was dried under nitrogen and picked up in a small 170 171 amount of hexane for the following analysis. Quantification was carried out with GC-FID and GC-MS was used for identification. Compound specific carbon isotope analysis was done by 172 GC-C-IRMS. 173

174

## 175 Trace metal analysis

Size resolved aerosol particles were collected on polycarbonate foils (Wicom, Heppenheim, 176 177 Germany) using a low-pressure Berner impactor with a PM10 isokinetic inlet at a flow rate of 75 1 min<sup>-1</sup>. The collected particles were impacted on the foils creating spots of compressed 178 particles. The impacted spots were analyzed for their trace metal content using a Total 179 Reflection X-Ray Fluorescence (TXRF) S2 PICOFOX (Bruker AXS, Berlin, Germany) 180 spectrometer equipped with a Molybdenum X-ray source. Trace metals including Fe, Mn, Ca, 181 Cu, Zn, Se, V, Cr, Pb, Ni, Ti, Rb, Sr, Ba, La, and Ce were analyzed with a detection limit of a 182 few picograms. The sample preparation procedure and instrument specifications are described 183 in detailed in Fomba, et al. (2013). Trace metals were used to identify days of mineral dust 184 influence and its estimation. 185

186

## 187 **Pigments and Chlorophyll-***a*

188 For pigment analysis, several liters of bulk water were collected in a water depth of approximately 30 cm by filling 5 L polypropylene bottles. The bulk water was filtered over GF-189 F filters (Whatman, Germany) and the filters were immediately frozen at -20 °C until analysis. 190 For analysis, the GF-F filters were extracted in 5 mL ethanol, and 20 µL of the extract were 191 192 injected into the HPLC (Dionex, Sunnyvale, CA, USA) under gradient elution using methanol/acetonitrile/water systems as eluents. Chlorophyll a, chlorophyll b, phaeophorbide a, 193 phaeophorbide b as well as chlorophyllide a were detected with fluorescence detection (FLD) 194 as described in van Pinxteren, et al. (2017). All other pigments were analyzed with a diode array 195 196 detector (DAD. Standard components were used for peak assignment and external calibration.

#### 198 DOM classes

SML, bulk and cloud samples and aqueous aerosol extracts for DOM classes were filtered 199 through 0.45 µm Polyethersulfone (PES) syringe filters and stored chilled (0 to 4 °C) in pre-200 combusted glass "TOC" vials until analysis, which occurred within 2 days of sample 201 preparation. LC-OCD-OND (Liquid chromatography with organic carbon detection and 202 organic nitrogen detection), allows ~1ml of whole water to be injected onto a size exclusion 203 column (SEC; 2 ml min<sup>-1</sup>; HW50S, Tosoh, Japan) with a phosphate buffer (potassium 204 dihydrogen phosphate 1.2 g L<sup>-1</sup> plus 2 g L<sup>-1</sup> di-sodium hydrogen phosphate x 2 H<sub>2</sub>O, pH 6.58) 205 and separated into five "compound-group specific" DOM fractions. The resulting fractions are 206 identified using unique detectors for organic carbon, UV-amenable carbon and organic nitrogen 207 208 Huber, et al. (2011). All peaks were identified and quantified with bespoke software (Labview, 2013) normalized to International Humic Substances Society humic and fulvic acid standards, 209 potassium hydrogenphthalate and potassium nitrate. Cloud water and aerosol samples were 210 211 blank corrected based on sample blanks that were extracted in the same way. No sample blanks were available for SML and bulk water samples. 212

213

## 214 **TEP**

215 Water samples (SML, cloud and bulk water) are then filtered onto 0.2um polycarbonate filters

- at low pressure and stained with alcian blue. We used the spectrophotometric method to
- analyse the stain (Passow and Alldredge 1995) which gives TEP in  $\mu$ g of xanthum gum
- 218 equivalent ( $\mu$ gXeqL<sup>-1</sup>).
- 219

## 220 Microbial cell counts

Prokaryotic cell numbers were counted via flow cytometry after water samples were fixed,
flash-frozen in liquid nitrogen, and stored at -20°C. Prior measurements, all samples were
stained with SYBR Green solution. Counting was performed after addition of latex beads
serving as an internal standard. Further details can be found in Robinson, et al. (2019).

Small autotrophic cells were counted after addition of red fluorescent latex beads (Polysciences,
Eppelheim, Germany) and were detected by their signature in a plot of red (FL3) vs. orange
(FL2) fluorescence, and red fluorescence vs. side scatter (SSC). This approach allows
discrimination between different groups of prokaryotic and eukaryotic autotrophs (Marie, et al.
2010) which in our case were size classes defined as *Synechococcus*-like cells and
Nanoeukaryotes.

231

## 232 Nitrous acid (HONO) using a LOng Path Absorption Photometer (LOPAP)

Nitrous acid (HONO) was continuously measured using a commercialized LOPAP HONO 233 analyzer (LOng Path Absorption Photometer, QUMA Elektronik & Analytik GmbH), which 234 has been described in more details elsewhere. The instrument was placed in a ventilated 235 236 aluminum box. The temperature of the stripping coil was held at 25°C using a thermostat. 237 HONO was collected into a stripping coil and immediately converted in azodye. HONO concentration is indirectly measured through the azodye absorption from 550 to 610 nm into 238 long path optical cell (2 meters). Temporal resolution of HONO was fixed to 30 seconds. 239 Sampling flow of the gas was 1 L min<sup>-1</sup>. The uncertainty of HONO concentration was 10% 240

241  $(2\sigma)$  with a detection limit of few ppt under our measurement condition. HONO concentration

- was calibrated using a standard solution of  $NO_2^-$  (Titritisol Nitrite standard, 1000 mg L<sup>-1</sup>  $NO_2^-$
- in water). The instrument was frequently calibrated over the measurement period. To account
- for zero drift, automatic zero air measurements were operated for 1 hour every 6.5 hours.
- 245

#### 246 GO: PAM

- The photochemical setup consisted of a Quartz cell (2 cm diameter and 5 cm length) half filled
- with SML samples collected the previous day and irradiated by means of a Xenon lamp. This
- reactor was flushed by a flow of air containing a large concentration of ozone (ppm levels),
- triggering O<sub>3</sub>/OH reactions at the surface, but also in the bilk of the SML samples. The gaseous
- 251 products of these reactions were then injected into the Go:PAM where aerosol production could 252 take place.
- 252

## 254 Characterization of cloud events at the Mt. Verde

- 255 Cloud events were frequently observed at MT. Verde and characterized by relative humidity
- (RH) values of 100 %. In addition, cloud events were verified from the PNSD as described in
- 257 Gong, et al. (2019).
- 258



259 260

Fig. S4: Time series of RH measured at the Mt Verde. A cloud event is indicated by RH of 100%.

#### 261

## 262 Simulations I

The meteorological model data by COSMO are used to define a vertical meteorological data 263 field as radio sounding data provided from the meteorological station Sal (Station number: 264 8594) are missing during the campaign. These data are used for meteorological 2D-simulations 265 with COSMO-MUSCAT (Wolke, et al. 2012) that is done to calculate if a cloud will also occur 266 over a flat surface area as from the 3D-simulations the effect of topography cannot be 267 268 completely ruled out. Therefore, the meteorological model data by the Consortium for Smallscale Modeling-Multiscale Chemistry Aerosol Transport Model (COSMO), (Baldauf, et al. 269 2011) were used to define a vertical meteorological data field. For analyzing important 270 multiphase chemical pathways, and the impact of the horizontal and vertical transport on the 271

aerosol and cloud droplet composition within the MBL, the first model approach will be a box 272 modeling study with the air parcel model SPACCIM (Spectral Aerosol Cloud Chemistry 273 Model, Wolke, et al. 2005). SPACCIM is designed to investigate complex atmospheric 274 multiphase chemistry processes. It has proven its excellent capability to investigate important 275 multiphase chemical pathways (Hoffmann, et al. 2016; Hoffmann, et al. 2019; Tilgner and 276 Herrmann 2010) Therefore, the simulations enable the determination of the most relevant 277 278 multiphase chemical pathways for the chemical processing of marine aerosols during the campaign. The second model approach will apply 2D-simulations of the marine multiphase 279 chemistry with the chemical transport model COSMO-MUSCAT (Baldauf, et al. 2011), which 280 numerical scheme is able to treat cloud droplet chemistry (Schrödner, et al. 2014). Hence, the 281 282 COSMO-MUSCAT simulations are able to investigate (i) the impact of horizontal and vertical transport on the chemical aerosol as well as cloud droplet composition and (ii) the direct and 283 indirect impact of clouds on multiphase chemistry within, above and below the cloud. At the 284 end of the simulations the model results will be compared with the measured aerosol 285 286 concentration and composition and the in-cloud measurements at top of the Monte Verde mountain. For this purpose, a novel reduced marine multiphase chemistry module will be 287 applied. 288

#### 289 Simulations II

The simulations for the marine boundary layer height were carried out on three domains centred on Sao Vicente, Cape Verde, applying one-way offline nesting. The outer domain "N0" has a horizontal resolution of around 14 km and the two inner nests "N1" and "N2" have a grid spacing of 3.5 km and 0.875 km, respectively (see Fig. S5). The results shown in this work only refer to the inner nest "N2".



Fig. S5: Model domains of COSMO-MUSCAT simulations performed within the MarParCloud
project and geographical location of the Cape Verde Atmospheric Observatory (CVAO) at Sao
Vicente, Cape Verde. Nested 14 km (black line), 3.5 km (red line), and 0.875 km (blue line).

- 300 301
- 302
- 303
- 304
- 305
- ....
- 306

307 Table S1: Instruments employed at the CVAO during the	campaign.
---	-----------

Measured parameter	Instrument	Performance	No. of measurements /samples (incl. blanks)	Running period	Responsible Institution
Chemical and biological composition of aerosol particles (PM <sub>1</sub> . PM <sub>10</sub> . TSP h.v TSP l.v.). INP measurements	Digitel sampler. 5-stage Berner impactor.High volume (h.v.) sampler. Low Volume (l.v.) samplers	8h to 48 h sampling	PM <sub>1</sub> : 30 PM <sub>10</sub> : 30 TSP h.v.: 12 TSP l.v.: 23 Impactor: 18x5 stages	15 <sup>th</sup> Sept – 11 <sup>th</sup> Oct	TROPOS. Leipzig, Germany
Physical characterization of aerosol size distribution	MPSS, APS, PNSD, CCNc	15 min sampling		13 <sup>th</sup> Sep – 13 <sup>th</sup> October	TROPOS. Leipzig
Meteorology	Automatic weather station	Minute samples		Continuous	NCAS. University of York UK
VOCs, OVOCs,	Dual channel, gas chomatograph with flame ionization detection	Hourly samples		15 <sup>th</sup> Sep – 8 <sup>th</sup> October	NCAS. University of York. UK
Ozone	UV absorption	Minute samples		Continuous	NCAS. University of York, UK
Vertical profiles of meteorological parameters	Helikite	10 days of measurements	19 profiles	13 <sup>th</sup> Sep – 13 <sup>th</sup> October	TROPOS. Leipzig
SML and aerosol particles	Plunging waterfall tank	9 days of measurements	5 x SML and bulk water, 2 x 7 TSP	$2^{nd}$ Oct $-10^{th}$ Oct	ZMT, Bremen, Germany
HONO	LoPAP	Continuously	sampes	15 <sup>th</sup> Sept – 11 <sup>th</sup> Oct	ICARE, Orleans, France
SOA forming potential	Go:PAM	Continuously for ambient air sampling	3 SML samples	15 <sup>th</sup> Sept – 11 <sup>th</sup> Oct	IRCELYON, Lyon, France.

	Measured parameter	Instrument	Performance	No. of measurements /samples (incl. blanks) during campaign	Running period	Responsible Institution
	Chemical and biological composition of aerosol particles (PM <sub>1</sub> . PM <sub>10</sub> . TSP h.v TSP l.v.). INP measurements	Digitel sampler. 5-stage Berner impactor. Low Volume (l.v.) sampler	8h to 48 h sampling	PM <sub>1</sub> : 19 PM <sub>10</sub> : 19 TSP <sub>1</sub> ,.: 3 Impactor: 7x5 stages	21 <sup>th</sup> Sept – 09 <sup>th</sup> Oct	TROPOS. Leipzig
	Physical characterization of aerosol size distribution	MPSS, APS, PNSD, CCNc	15 min sampling		13 <sup>th</sup> Sep – 13 <sup>th</sup> October	TROPOS. Leipzig
	Meteorology	Automatic weather station (Davis)	5 min sampling		Continuous	TROPOS. Leipzig
	Cloud water for chemical. biological and INP measurements	Cloud water sampler (six samplers run in parallel)	2.5 – 13 h sampling	155	20 <sup>th</sup> Sept – 09 <sup>th</sup> Oct	TROPOS. Leipzig
317						
318						
319						
320						
321						
322						
323						
324						
325						
326						
327						
328						

# Table S2: Instruments employed at the Mt. Verde during the campaign.

## Table S3: Details on bulk water and SML sampling at Bahia das Gatas at N16°53. W24°54.

Samp- ling date	Local sampling time (UTC-1)	Exact coordinates	Sampling device	Sampling conditions	Bulk water		SML		Sample ID		
					salinity [ppt equals psU]	pH - value	temperature [°C]	salinity [ppt equals psU]	pH - value	temperature [°C]	
18.09.2 017	11:35 -12:00	No data	GP*	very windy, big waves	34.1	8.1	25.0	-	-	-	Seawater 1
19.09.2 017	8:38 -9:05	No data	GP	not reported	36.1	8.2	25.2	-	-	-	Seawater 2
20.09.2 017	8:32 -9:54	N16°53.341 W24°54.360	GP	Slick and foam at the end of the sampling	36.3	8.1	26.7	36.2	8.1	26.7	Seawater 3
22.09.2 017	8:56 -9:20	N16°53'48.002 W24°54'13.858	GP	very windy with big waves	-	-	-	-	-	-	Seawater 4
25.09.2 017	9:45 -10:48	N16°53.753 W24°54.117	GP	calm sea	36.4	8.2	26.0	36.4	8.1	25.5	Seawater 5
26.09.2 017	10:05-10:51	N16°53.934 W24.54.556	GP	not reported	36.3	8.2	25.1	36.1	8.1	26.4	Seawater 6
26.09.2 017	11:10-11:50	N16°53.742 W24°54.061	CAT**	not reported	36.2	8.0	26.1	36.4	8.0	26.1	Seawater 6 (2)

27.09.2 017	8:50 -10:03	N16°53.748 W24°54.134	GP	not reported	36.4	8.1	24.0	36.3	8.1	23.7	Seawater 7
27.09.2 017	8:50 -10:03	N16°53.623 W24°54.257	CAT	not reported	36.2	7.9	27.0	36.0	7.9	26.7	Seawater 7 (2)
28.09.2 017	9:15 -10:05	N16°53.623 W24°54.257	GP	not reported	36.5	8.1	27.8	36.6	8.1	26.8	Seawater 8
28.09.2 017	9:15 -10:05	N16°53.623 W24°54.257	CAT	not reported	36.3	8.1	27.1	36.0	8.1	27.8	Seawater 8 (2)
02.10.2 017	8:30 - 9:30	No data	GP	very windy, drift during sampling	36.0	8.2	20.9	36.5	8.3	20.9	Seawater 9
02.10.2 017	8:30 -9:30	No data	GP	very windy, drift during sampling	35.9	8.2	22.7	36.1	8.1	23.9	Seawater 9 (2)
03.10.2 017	8:15 - 9:35	N16°53.341 W24°54.360	GP	Not reported	36.1	8.2	22.8	36.6	8.2	21.0	Seawater 10
03.10.2 017	8:15 - 9:35	N16°53.341 W24°54.360	CAT	not reported	36.2	8.2	23.5	36.3	8.2	22.4	Seawater 10 (2)
04.10.2 017	8:15 - 9:00	N16°53'48.002 W24°54'13.858	GP	not reported	36.2	8.23	23.7	-	-	-	Seawater 11
04.10.2 017	8:15 - 9:55	N16°53.934 W24.54.556	CAT	not reported	36.3	8.2	22.8	-	-	-	Seawater 11 (2)

05.10.2 017	9:24 - 9:41	N16°53'44.824 W24°54'7.021	GP	smaller and higher waves in change	36.5	8.2	22.9	-	-	-	Seawater 12
06.10.2 017	08:04- 09:47	N16°53.753 W24°54.117	GP	windy	36.3	8.2	23.7	36.6	8.2	20.7	Seawater 13
07.10.2 017	09:22 - 10:35	N16°53.742 W24°54.061	GP	very windy with long and short waves in change	36.4	8.2	21.8	36.7	8.2	21.2	Seawater 14
07.10.2 017	9:17 - 10:46	N16°53.742 W24°54.061	GP CAT	very windy with long and short waves in change	36.5	8.2	22.4	36.7	8.2	24.5	Seawater 14 (2)
09.10.2 017	8:30 - 9:17	N16°53.623 W24°54.257	GP	windy	36.4	8.1	23.6	36.6	8.2	21.5	Seawater 15
10.10.2 017	8:30 - 9:30	N16°53.623 W24°54.257	GP	windy up to very windy with long waves	36.3	8.2	22.4	36.4	8.2	21.7	Seawater 16

\*GP = glass plate, \*\*cat = catamaran

# Table S4: Concentrations of pigments, DOC and microbial parameters in the SML and bulk water samples.

Sampling date		18.09. 2017	19.09. 2017	20.09. 2017	22.09. 2017	25.09. 2017	26.09 .2017	27.09. 2017	28.09. 2017	02.10 .2017	03.10. 2017	04.10. 2017	05.10. 2017	06.10. 2017	07.10. 2017 Sea-	09.10. 2017 Sea-	10.10. 2017 Sea-
Sample ID		Sea-water 1	Sea-water 2	Sea- water 3	Sea- water 4	Sea- water 5	Sea- water 6	Sea -water 7	Sea- water 8	Sea- water 9	Sea- water 10	Sea- water 11	Sea- water 12	Sea- water 13	water 14	water 15	water 16
Parameter	unit																
pigments																	
chlorophyll c <sub>2</sub>	$\mu g L^{-1}$	0.016	-	0.026	-	0.031	-	0.041	0.023	0.029	0.028	-	0.025	-	0.039	0.039	0.062
19 butanoyl oxyfucoxanthin 19	$\mu g \ L^{\text{-}1}$	0.000	-	0.017	-	0.002	-	0.018	0.004	0.019	0.012	-	0.013	-	0.026	0.031	0.058
hexanoyloxyfuco	ug I -1	0.015		0.044		0.018		0.045	0.027	0.045	0.022		0.021		0.062	0.060	0.110
shlorophyll h	μg L	0.015	-	0.044	-	0.018	-	0.045	0.027	0.043	0.055	-	0.031	-	0.002	0.009	0.110
	µg L	0.021	-	0.042	-	0.055		0.038	0.052	0.050	0.001	-	0.055	-	0.005	0.005	0.109
	μgĽ	0.112		0.216	-	0.323	-	0.335	0.184	0.264	0.298	-	0.192	-	0.346	0.437	0.604
fucoxanthin	μgĽ	0.014	-	0.044	-	0.093	-	0.171	0.040	0.045	0.060	-	0.037	-	0.105	0.153	0.223
phaeophorbide a	μg L <sup>-1</sup>	0.031	-	0.032	-	0.047	-	0.041	0.037	0.034	0.036	-	0.033	-	0.037	0.039	0.038
phaeophytin a	μg L <sup>-1</sup>	0.017	-	0.018	-	0.027	-	0.023	0.023	0.026	0.029	-	0.020	-	0.025	0.026	0.027
chlorophyllide a	μg L <sup>-1</sup>	0.000	-	0.010	-	0.010	-	0.010	0.000	0.000	0.010	-	0.000	-	0.010	0.010	0.000
violaxanthin	$\mu g L^{-1}$	0.000	-	0.003	-	0.005	-	0.003	0.003	0.004	0.006	-	0.003	-	0.005	0.006	0.007
diadinoxanthin	μg L <sup>-1</sup>	0.009	-	0.018	-	0.016	-	0.021	0.014	0.017	0.017	-	0.013	-	0.023	0.030	0.034
lutein	$\mu g L^{-1}$	0.001	-	0.002	-	0.005	-		0.003	0.002	0.003	-	0.002	-	0.003	0.003	
chlorophyll c3	$\mu g L^{-1}$	0.014	-	0.022	-	0.027	-	0.038	0.017	0.025	0.025	-	0.022	-	0.035	0.034	0.059
peridinin	μg L <sup>-1</sup>	0.003	-	0.005	-	0.007	-	0.006	0.003	0.007	0.005	-	0.006	-	0.005	0.005	0.008
zeaxanthin	μg L <sup>-1</sup>	0.108	-	0.106	-	0.134	-	0.089	0.136	0.141	0.206	-	0.165	-	0.185	0.148	0.129
ß-carotine	μg L <sup>-1</sup>	0.006	-	0.009	-	0.017	-	0.011	0.010	0.013	0.018	-	0.010	-	0.014	0.015	0.015
DOC																	
DOC SML (GP*) DOC SML	$\mu g \ L^{\text{-}1}$	3260	2240	2780	2580	1680	2390	2020	2270	2020	2190	2050	-	3330	1610	1940	1820
(cat**)	$\mu g L^{-1}$	-	-	-	-	-	2040	1450	1940	1520	1520	-	-	-	1850	-	-
DOC bulk water (GP)	μg L <sup>-1</sup>	2800	1480	1090	1419	2370	1640	1070	1370	1340	1370	1090	1140	1290	947	1160	1290

DOC bulk water (cat)	μg L <sup>-1</sup>	-	-	-	-	-	1810	1160	1280	1260	1260	1840	-	-	1480	-	-
microbial parameters																	
LNA (SML)	cells mL <sup>-1</sup>	-	-	1.06E+06	-	1.48E+06	9.34E+05	1.05E+06	1.20E+06	9.90E+05	1.30E+06	1.15E+06	-	1.06E+06	-	-	-
HNA (SML)	cells mL-1	-	-	8.91E+04	-	1.62E+05	5.85E+04	1.03E+05	1.23E+05	1.40E+05	7.84E+04	7.04E+04	-	9.58E+04	-	-	-
TCN (SML)	cells mL <sup>-1</sup>	-	-	1.15E+06	-	1.64E+06	9.92E+05	1.15E+06	1.33E+06	1.13E+06	1.38E+06	1.22E+06	-	1.16E+06	-	-	-
synechococcus (SML)	cells mL-1	-	-	4.42E+04	-	6.70E+04	2.71E+04	2.63E+04	2.61E+04	3.41E+04	7.40E+04	4.53E+04	-	2.42E+04	-	-	-
(SML) LNA (bulk	cells mL-1	-	-	6.76E+02	-	1.64E+02	5.16E+01	5.16E+01	5.16E+01	1.85E+02	1.02E+01	2.05E+01	-		-	-	-
water)	cells mL-1	-	-	9.70E+05	-	1.24E+06	1.06E+06	1.07E+06	1.05E+06	1.72E+06	1.32E+06	1.21E+06	1.24E+06	1.18E+06	-	-	-
HNA (bulk water) TCN (bulk	cells mL-1	-	-	7.19E+04	-	1.17E+05	7.49E+04	9.15E+04	8.66E+04	6.07E+05	1.44E+05	7.91E+04	9.31E+04	9.88E+04	-	-	-
water)	cells mL-1	-	-	1.04E+06	-	1.36E+06	1.13E+06	1.16E+06	1.14E+06	2.32E+06	1.46E+06	1.28E+06	1.34E+06	1.28E+06	-	-	-
synechococcus (bulk water) nanoeucarvotes	cells mL-1	-	-	2.45E+04	-	4.27E+04	2.05E+04	2.63E+04	1.99E+04	6.82E+04	5.31E+04	3.79E+04	2.96E+04	2.42E+04	-	-	-
(bulk water)	cells mL <sup>-1</sup>	-	-	5.16E+01	-	1.54E+02	6.18E+01	1.23E+02	1.03E+02	1.46E+03	1.74E+02	6.14E+01	6.18E+01	1.06E+01	-	-	-

*\*GP: glass plate; \*cat: catamaran (MarParCat)* 

TCN: total bacterial cell numbers

HNA: high nucleic acid containing cells

LNA : low nucleic acid containing cells - : no measurements available

*\*LOD: limit of detection* 

350 Table S5: Concentrations and standard deviations (ng m<sup>-3</sup>) of size resolved aerosol particle constituents measured in parallel during parallel measurements between

2.10 and 9.10 obtained from the CVAO (mean value of 5 blocks) and the Mt. Verde (mean value of 6 blocks) station. Aerosol particles were sampled in five different

size fractions with aerodynamic particle diameter  $D_P$  (50% cut-off) from: 0.05-0.14  $\mu$ m (stage 1), 0.14-0.42  $\mu$ m (stage 2), 0.42-1.2 $\mu$ m (stage 3), 1.2-3.5  $\mu$ m (stage 3

353 4) and 3.5-10  $\mu m$  (stage 5).

CVAO	Sodium	Chloride	MSA	Sulfate	Nitrate	Oxalate	Ammonium	Potassium	Magnesium	WSOM	EC
stage 1	<lod*< th=""><th><lod*< th=""><th><math>0.5\pm0.4</math></th><th><math>28.0{\pm}12</math></th><th><lod*< th=""><th><lod*< th=""><th>12.5±4</th><th><lod*< th=""><th><lod*< th=""><th>9.4±21</th><th>6.3±0.9</th></lod*<></th></lod*<></th></lod*<></th></lod*<></th></lod*<></th></lod*<>	<lod*< th=""><th><math>0.5\pm0.4</math></th><th><math>28.0{\pm}12</math></th><th><lod*< th=""><th><lod*< th=""><th>12.5±4</th><th><lod*< th=""><th><lod*< th=""><th>9.4±21</th><th>6.3±0.9</th></lod*<></th></lod*<></th></lod*<></th></lod*<></th></lod*<>	$0.5\pm0.4$	$28.0{\pm}12$	<lod*< th=""><th><lod*< th=""><th>12.5±4</th><th><lod*< th=""><th><lod*< th=""><th>9.4±21</th><th>6.3±0.9</th></lod*<></th></lod*<></th></lod*<></th></lod*<>	<lod*< th=""><th>12.5±4</th><th><lod*< th=""><th><lod*< th=""><th>9.4±21</th><th>6.3±0.9</th></lod*<></th></lod*<></th></lod*<>	12.5±4	<lod*< th=""><th><lod*< th=""><th>9.4±21</th><th>6.3±0.9</th></lod*<></th></lod*<>	<lod*< th=""><th>9.4±21</th><th>6.3±0.9</th></lod*<>	9.4±21	6.3±0.9
stage 2	<lod*< th=""><th><lod*< th=""><th>12.7±2</th><th><math>741.9 \pm 111</math></th><th><lod*< th=""><th>3.2±4</th><th>232±48</th><th>3.7±5</th><th>0.3±0.6</th><th><math>38.6\pm16</math></th><th><math>27.5 \pm 14</math></th></lod*<></th></lod*<></th></lod*<>	<lod*< th=""><th>12.7±2</th><th><math>741.9 \pm 111</math></th><th><lod*< th=""><th>3.2±4</th><th>232±48</th><th>3.7±5</th><th>0.3±0.6</th><th><math>38.6\pm16</math></th><th><math>27.5 \pm 14</math></th></lod*<></th></lod*<>	12.7±2	$741.9 \pm 111$	<lod*< th=""><th>3.2±4</th><th>232±48</th><th>3.7±5</th><th>0.3±0.6</th><th><math>38.6\pm16</math></th><th><math>27.5 \pm 14</math></th></lod*<>	3.2±4	232±48	3.7±5	0.3±0.6	$38.6\pm16$	$27.5 \pm 14$
stage 3	$148 \pm 27$	50.1±47	$6.6\pm07$	472.2±26	13.7±15	$0.9{\pm}1$	23.3±10	11.4±6	$16.0\pm 5.3$	49.1±3	$14.0{\pm}10$
stage 4	$1430 \pm 375$	2300±680	4.5±0.9	572±159	698±76	$22.1 \pm 8$	16.6±4	54.4±11	156±33	108±6	12.5±1
stage 5	$1520 \pm 306$	2820±697	0.9±0.3	503±123	536±59	$2.8 \pm 4$	17.6±5	56.9±14	181±42	91.5±13	3.1±4
Mt. Verde	Sodium	Chloride	MSA	Sulfate	Nitrate	Oxalate	Ammonium	Potassium	Magnesium	WSOM	EC
Mt. Verde stage 1	Sodium <lod*< th=""><th>Chloride <lod*< th=""><th>MSA 0.2±0.4</th><th>Sulfate 7.4±8</th><th>Nitrate <lod*< th=""><th>Oxalate <lod*< th=""><th>Ammonium 1.4±4</th><th>Potassium <lod*< th=""><th>Magnesium <lod*< th=""><th>WSOM 15.8±18</th><th>EC 1.8±2</th></lod*<></th></lod*<></th></lod*<></th></lod*<></th></lod*<></th></lod*<>	Chloride <lod*< th=""><th>MSA 0.2±0.4</th><th>Sulfate 7.4±8</th><th>Nitrate <lod*< th=""><th>Oxalate <lod*< th=""><th>Ammonium 1.4±4</th><th>Potassium <lod*< th=""><th>Magnesium <lod*< th=""><th>WSOM 15.8±18</th><th>EC 1.8±2</th></lod*<></th></lod*<></th></lod*<></th></lod*<></th></lod*<>	MSA 0.2±0.4	Sulfate 7.4±8	Nitrate <lod*< th=""><th>Oxalate <lod*< th=""><th>Ammonium 1.4±4</th><th>Potassium <lod*< th=""><th>Magnesium <lod*< th=""><th>WSOM 15.8±18</th><th>EC 1.8±2</th></lod*<></th></lod*<></th></lod*<></th></lod*<>	Oxalate <lod*< th=""><th>Ammonium 1.4±4</th><th>Potassium <lod*< th=""><th>Magnesium <lod*< th=""><th>WSOM 15.8±18</th><th>EC 1.8±2</th></lod*<></th></lod*<></th></lod*<>	Ammonium 1.4±4	Potassium <lod*< th=""><th>Magnesium <lod*< th=""><th>WSOM 15.8±18</th><th>EC 1.8±2</th></lod*<></th></lod*<>	Magnesium <lod*< th=""><th>WSOM 15.8±18</th><th>EC 1.8±2</th></lod*<>	WSOM 15.8±18	EC 1.8±2
Mt. Verde stage 1 stage 2	Sodium <lod* <lod*< th=""><th>Chloride <lod* <lod*< th=""><th>MSA 0.2±0.4 2.1±1</th><th>Sulfate 7.4±8 111±54</th><th>Nitrate <lod* <lod*< th=""><th>Oxalate <lod* 0.3±0.4</lod* </th><th>Ammonium 1.4±4 36.5±22</th><th>Potassium <lod* 0.3±0.7</lod* </th><th>Magnesium <lod* <lod*< th=""><th>WSOM 15.8±18 26.9±21</th><th>EC 1.8±2 15.0±12</th></lod*<></lod* </th></lod*<></lod* </th></lod*<></lod* </th></lod*<></lod* 	Chloride <lod* <lod*< th=""><th>MSA 0.2±0.4 2.1±1</th><th>Sulfate 7.4±8 111±54</th><th>Nitrate <lod* <lod*< th=""><th>Oxalate <lod* 0.3±0.4</lod* </th><th>Ammonium 1.4±4 36.5±22</th><th>Potassium <lod* 0.3±0.7</lod* </th><th>Magnesium <lod* <lod*< th=""><th>WSOM 15.8±18 26.9±21</th><th>EC 1.8±2 15.0±12</th></lod*<></lod* </th></lod*<></lod* </th></lod*<></lod* 	MSA 0.2±0.4 2.1±1	Sulfate 7.4±8 111±54	Nitrate <lod* <lod*< th=""><th>Oxalate <lod* 0.3±0.4</lod* </th><th>Ammonium 1.4±4 36.5±22</th><th>Potassium <lod* 0.3±0.7</lod* </th><th>Magnesium <lod* <lod*< th=""><th>WSOM 15.8±18 26.9±21</th><th>EC 1.8±2 15.0±12</th></lod*<></lod* </th></lod*<></lod* 	Oxalate <lod* 0.3±0.4</lod* 	Ammonium 1.4±4 36.5±22	Potassium <lod* 0.3±0.7</lod* 	Magnesium <lod* <lod*< th=""><th>WSOM 15.8±18 26.9±21</th><th>EC 1.8±2 15.0±12</th></lod*<></lod* 	WSOM 15.8±18 26.9±21	EC 1.8±2 15.0±12
Mt. Verde stage 1 stage 2 stage 3	Sodium <lod* <lod* 24.9±22</lod* </lod* 	Chloride <lod* <lod* <lod*< th=""><th>MSA 0.2±0.4 2.1±1 3.7±1</th><th>Sulfate 7.4±8 111±54 254±86</th><th>Nitrate <lod* <lod* <lod*< th=""><th>Oxalate <lod* 0.3±0.4 0.4±0.7</lod* </th><th>Ammonium 1.4±4 36.5±22 51.8±20</th><th>Potassium <lod* 0.3±0.7 1.3±2.1</lod* </th><th>Magnesium <lod* <lod* 1.5±2.6</lod* </lod* </th><th>WSOM 15.8±18 26.9±21 44.6±29</th><th>EC 1.8±2 15.0±12 21.4±11</th></lod*<></lod* </lod* </th></lod*<></lod* </lod* 	MSA 0.2±0.4 2.1±1 3.7±1	Sulfate 7.4±8 111±54 254±86	Nitrate <lod* <lod* <lod*< th=""><th>Oxalate <lod* 0.3±0.4 0.4±0.7</lod* </th><th>Ammonium 1.4±4 36.5±22 51.8±20</th><th>Potassium <lod* 0.3±0.7 1.3±2.1</lod* </th><th>Magnesium <lod* <lod* 1.5±2.6</lod* </lod* </th><th>WSOM 15.8±18 26.9±21 44.6±29</th><th>EC 1.8±2 15.0±12 21.4±11</th></lod*<></lod* </lod* 	Oxalate <lod* 0.3±0.4 0.4±0.7</lod* 	Ammonium 1.4±4 36.5±22 51.8±20	Potassium <lod* 0.3±0.7 1.3±2.1</lod* 	Magnesium <lod* <lod* 1.5±2.6</lod* </lod* 	WSOM 15.8±18 26.9±21 44.6±29	EC 1.8±2 15.0±12 21.4±11
Mt. Verde stage 1 stage 2 stage 3 stage 4	Sodium <lod* <lod* 24.9±22 163±110</lod* </lod* 	Chloride <lod* <lod* <lod* 277±171</lod* </lod* </lod* 	MSA 0.2±0.4 2.1±1 3.7±1 2.3±0.7	Sulfate 7.4±8 111±54 254±86 156±62	Nitrate <lod* <lod* <lod* 96.5±68</lod* </lod* </lod* 	Oxalate <lod* 0.3±0.4 0.4±0.7 0.3±0.8</lod* 	Ammonium 1.4±4 36.5±22 51.8±20 12.6±10	Potassium <lod* 0.3±0.7 1.3±2.1 2.9±5.1</lod* 	Magnesium <lod* <lod* 1.5±2.6 13.4±8.5</lod* </lod* 	WSOM 15.8±18 26.9±21 44.6±29 80.2±29	EC 1.8±2 15.0±12 21.4±11 3.6±5

- *\*LOD: limit of detection*

- \_ .

Table S6: Average concentrations and standard deviations of DOM fractions in the SML, bulk water and cloud water (ng L<sup>-1</sup>) and in aerosol particles ( $PM_{10}$ ) sampled at the CVAO and at the Mt. Verde (ng m<sup>-3</sup>) sampled in parallel within the periods: 26. – 27.09., 01. – 02.10., and 08. – 09.10.2017.

	N	Biopolymers	Humic Substances	Building Blocks	LMW Neutrals	LMW Acids
	11	Diopolymens	Substances	Dioteks	Livi i routiuis	Litt i riolas
SML	3	77±27	$205 \pm 11$	163±21	359±84	38±31
Bulk water	3	67±19	234±44	137±40	362±127	2±3
aerosol particles at CVAO	3	<lod*< th=""><th><lod*< th=""><th>114±25</th><th>84±38</th><th>2±3</th></lod*<></th></lod*<>	<lod*< th=""><th>114±25</th><th>84±38</th><th>2±3</th></lod*<>	114±25	84±38	2±3
aerosol particles at Mt. Verde	2	<lod*< th=""><th><lod*< th=""><th>55±23</th><th>58±22</th><th>6±4</th></lod*<></th></lod*<>	<lod*< th=""><th>55±23</th><th>58±22</th><th>6±4</th></lod*<>	55±23	58±22	6±4
Cloud water	4	27±21	354±222	242±224	301±227	58±98

- *\*LOD: limit of detection*

375 References:

376

Baldauf, M., Seifert, A., Forstner, J., Majewski, D., Raschendorfer, M., and Reinhardt, T.:
Operational Convective-Scale Numerical Weather Prediction with the COSMO Model:
Description and Sensitivities, Monthly Weather Review, 139, 3887-3905, 10.1175/mwr-d-1005013.1, 2011.

- Chen, J., Wu, Z. J., Augustin-Bauditz, S., Grawe, S., Hartmann, M., Pei, X. Y., Liu, Z. R., Ji,
  D. S., and Wex, H. K.: Ice-nucleating particle concentrations unaffected by urban air pollution
  in Beijing, China, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 18, 3523-3539, 10.5194/acp-18-35232018, 2018.
- Ścosović, B., and Vojvodić, V.: Voltammetric analysis of surface active substances in natural
  seawater, Electroanalysis, 10, 429-434, 10.1002/(sici)1521-4109(199805)10:6<429::Aid-</li>
  elan429>3.3.Co;2-z, 1998.
- Falkowska, L.: Sea surface microlayer: a field evaluation of teflon plate, glass plate and screen
  sampling techniques. Part 2. Dissolved and suspended matter, Oceanologia, 41, 223-240, 1999.
- Fomba, K. W., Müller, K., van Pinxteren, D., and Herrmann, H.: Aerosol size-resolved trace
  metal composition in remote northern tropical Atlantic marine environment: case study Cape
  Verde islands, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 13, 4801-4814, 10.5194/acp-13-4801-2013, 2013.
- Frka, S., Kozarac, Z., and Ćosović, B.: Characterization and seasonal variations of surface
  active substances in the natural sea surface micro-layers of the coastal Middle Adriatic stations,
  Estuarine Coastal and Shelf Science, 85, 555-564, 10.1016/j.ecss.2009.09.023, 2009.
- Frka, S., Pogorzelski, S., Kozarac, Z., and Ćosović, B.: Physicochemical Signatures of Natural
  Sea Films from Middle Adriatic Stations, Journal of Physical Chemistry A, 116, 6552-6559,
  10.1021/jp212430a, 2012.
- 399 Gašparović, B., Kazazić, S. P., Cvitešić, A., Penezić, A., and Frka, S.: Improved separation and 400 analysis of glycolipids by Iatroscan thin-layer chromatography-flame ionization detection,
- 401 Journal of Chromatography A, 1409, 259-267, 10.1016/j.chroma.2015.07.047, 2015.
- Gašparović, B., Kazazić, S. P., Cvitešić, A., Penezić, A., and Frka, S.: Improved separation and 402 analysis of glycolipids by Iatroscan thin-layer chromatography-flame ionization detection (vol 403 259, 2015), Journal Chromatography 168-169, 404 1409. pg of A, 1521. 10.1016/j.chroma.2017.09.038, 2017. 405
- Gong, X., Wex, H., van Pinxteren, M., Triesch, N., Fomba, K. W., Lubitz, J., Stolle, C.,
  Robinson, T.-B., Müller, T., Herrmann, H., and Stratmann, F.: Characterization of aerosol
  particles at Cape Verde close to sea and cloud level heights Part 2: ice nucleating particles in
  air, cloud and seawater, Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-2019-729,
  2019.
- 411 Hartmann, M., Blunier, T., Brugger, S.O., Schmale, J., Schwikowski, M., Vogel, A., Wex,
- H., and Stratmann, F.: Variation of Ice Nucleating Particles in the European Arctic Over the
  Last Centuries, Geophysical Research Letters, 46: 4007-16, 2019.
- 414
- Hinrichs, K. U., Summons, R. E., Orphan, V., Sylva, S. P., and Hayes, J. M.: Molecular and

- 416 isotopic analysis of anaerobic methane-oxidizing communities in marine sediments, Organic
- 417 Geochemistry, 31: 1685-701, 2000.
- 418
- Hoffmann, E. H., Tilgner, A., Schrödner, R., Brauer, P., Wolke, R., and Herrmann, H.: An
  advanced modeling study on the impacts and atmospheric implications of multiphase dimethyl
  sulfide chemistry, Proc Natl Acad Sci USA, 113, 11776-11781, 10.1073/pnas.1606320113,
- 422 2016.
- Hoffmann, E. H., Tilgner, A., Wolke, R., and Herrmann, H.: Enhanced chlorine and bromine
  atom activation by hydrolysis of halogen nitrates from marine aerosols at polluted coastal areas,
  Environmental Science & Technology, 53, 771-778, 10.1021/acs.est.8b05165, 2019.
- Huber, S. A., Balz, A., Abert, M., and Pronk, W.: Characterisation of aquatic humic and nonhumic matter with size-exclusion chromatography–organic carbon detection–organic nitrogen
  detection (LC-OCD-OND), Water research, 45, 879-885, 2011.
- 429 Kroflič, A., Frka, S., Simmel, M., Wex, H., and Grgić, I.: Size-Resolved Surface-Active
- 430 Substances of Atmospheric Aerosol: Reconsideration of the Impact on Cloud Droplet
- 431 Formation, Environmental Science & Technology, 52, 9179-9187, 10.1021/acs.est.8b02381,
- 432 2018.433
- 434 Marie, D., Shi, X.L., Rigaut-Jalabert, F., and Vaulot, D.: Use of flow cytometric sorting to
- better assess the diversity of small photosynthetic eukaryotes in the English Channel, Fems
  Microbiology Ecology, 72: 165-78, 2010.
- 437
- Passow, U., and Alldredge, A. L.: A dye-binding assay for the spectrophotometric measurement
  of transparent exopolymer particles (TEP), Limnology and Oceanography, 40, 1326-1335,
  10.4319/lo.1995.40.7.1326, 1995.
- 441 Robinson, T.-B., Wurl, O., Bahlmann, E., Jürgens, K., and Stolle, C.:. 2019 b. 'Rising bubbles
- 442 enhance the gelatinous nature of the air–sea interface', Limnology and Oceanography,
  443 10.1002/lno.11188, 2019.
- 444

Schrödner, R., Tilgner, A., Wolke, R., and Herrmann, H.: Modeling the multiphase processing
of an urban and a rural air mass with COSMO–MUSCAT, Urban Climate, 10, 720-731,
10.1016/j.uclim.2014.02.001, 2014.

- Tilgner, A., and Herrmann, H.: Radical-driven carbonyl-to-acid conversion and acid
  degradation in tropospheric aqueous systems studied by CAPRAM, Atmos. Environ., 44, 54155422, 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2010.07.050, 2010.
- 451 Triesch, N, van Pinxteren, M., Engel, A., and Herrmann, H.: Concerted measurements of free
- 452 amino acids at the Cape Verde Islands: High enrichments in submicron sea spray aerosol
- 453 particles and cloud droplets, submitted to Atmos. Chem. Phys., 2019.
- 454

van Pinxteren, D., Brueggemann, E., Gnauk, T., Mueller, K., Thiel, C., and Herrmann, H.: A
GIS based approach to back trajectory analysis for the source apportionment of aerosol
constituents and its first application, Journal of Atmospheric Chemistry, 67, 1-28,
10.1007/s10874-011-9199-9, 2010.

- van Pinxteren, M., Fiedler, B., van Pinxteren, D., Iinuma, Y., Koertzinger, A., and Herrmann,
  H.: Chemical characterization of sub-micrometer aerosol particles in the tropical Atlantic
  Ocean: marine and biomass burning influences, Journal of Atmospheric Chemistry, 72, 105125 10 1007/c10874 015 0207 3, 2015
- 462 125, 10.1007/s10874-015-9307-3, 2015.

van Pinxteren, M., Barthel, S., Fomba, K., Müller, K., von Tümpling, W., and Herrmann, H.:
The influence of environmental drivers on the enrichment of organic carbon in the sea surface
microlayer and in submicron aerosol particles – measurements from the Atlantic Ocean, Elem
Sci Anth, 5, <u>https://doi.org/10.1525/elementa.225</u>, 2017.

- Wolke, R., Sehili, A. M., Simmel, M., Knoth, O., Tilgner, A., and Herrmann, H.: SPACCIM:
  A parcel model with detailed microphysics and complex multiphase chemistry, Atmos.
  Environ., 39, 4375-4388, 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2005.02.038, 2005.
- Wolke, R., Schroder, W., Schrodner, R., and Renner, E.: Influence of grid resolution and
  meteorological forcing on simulated European air quality: A sensitivity study with the modeling
  system COSMO-MUSCAT, Atmos. Environ., 53, 110-130, 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2012.02.085,
  2012.
- 474
- 475
- 476