

# Surface processes in the 7 November 2014 medicane from air–sea coupled high-resolution numerical modelling

Marie-Noëlle Bouin<sup>1,2</sup>, Cindy Lebeau-pin Brossier<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>CNRM, Université de Toulouse, Météo-France, CNRS, Toulouse, France

5 <sup>2</sup>Laboratoire d'Océanographie Physique et Spatiale, Ifremer, University of Brest, CNRS, IRD, Brest, France

*Correspondence to:* Marie-Noëlle Bouin (marie-noelle.bouin@meteo.fr)

**Abstract.** A medicane, or Mediterranean cyclone with characteristics similar to tropical cyclones, is simulated using a kilometre-scale ocean–atmosphere coupled modelling platform. A first phase leads to strong convective precipitation, with high potential vorticity anomalies aloft due to an upper-level trough. Then, the deepening and tropical transition of the cyclone result from a synergy of baroclinic and diabatic processes. Heavy precipitation result from uplift of conditionally unstable air masses due to low-level convergence at sea. This convergence is enhanced by cold pools, generated either by rain evaporation or by advection of continental air masses from North Africa. Backtrajectories show that air–sea heat exchanges moisten the low-level inflow towards the cyclone centre. However, the impact of ocean–atmosphere coupling on the cyclone track, intensity and lifecycle is very weak. This is due to a sea surface cooling one order of magnitude weaker than for tropical cyclones, even on the area of strong enthalpy fluxes. Surface currents have no impact. Analysing the surface enthalpy fluxes shows that evaporation is controlled mainly by the sea surface temperature and wind. Humidity and temperature at first level play a role during the development phase only. In contrast, the sensible heat transfer depends mainly on the temperature at first level throughout the medicane lifetime. This study shows that the tropical transition, in this case, is dependent on processes widespread in the Mediterranean Basin, like advection of continental air, rain evaporation and formation of cold pools, and dry air intrusion.

## 1 Introduction

Medicanes are small-size Mediterranean cyclones presenting, during their mature phase, characteristics similar to those of tropical cyclones. This includes a cloudless and almost windless column at the centre, spiral rain bands and a large-scale cold anomaly surrounding a smaller warm anomaly, extending at least up to the mid troposphere (~400 hPa, Picornell et al., 2014). However, they differ from their tropical counterparts by many aspects. First, their intensity is much weaker, with maximum wind speed reaching those of tropical storms, or Category 1 hurricane on the Saffir–Simpson scale for the most intense of them (Miglietta et al., 2013). Second, they are much smaller with typical radius ranging from 50 to 200 km (Picornell et al., 2014). Third, their mature phase lasts a few hours to 1 to 2 days because the small size of the Mediterranean basin leads them to landfall rapidly, and because the ocean heat capacity is weak. Fourth, they develop and sustain over sea surface temperature (SST) typically 15 to 23 °C (Tous and Romero, 2013), much colder than the 26 °C threshold of tropical cyclones (Trenberth, 2005; although tropical cyclones formed by a tropical transition can develop over colder water, McTaggart-Cowan et al. 2015). Finally, at their early stage, vertical wind shear and horizontal temperature gradient are necessary to their development (e.g. Flaounas et al., 2015).

In the last decade, several studies investigated their characteristics and conditions of formation, either from satellite observations (Claud et al., 2010; Tous and Romero, 2013), climatological studies (Gaertner et al., 2007; Cavicchia and Gualdi, 2014; Flaounas et al., 2015), or case studies based on simulations (Davolio et al., 2009; Miglietta et al., 2013; 2017; Miglietta and Rotunno, 2019). A feature common to many medicanes is the presence of an elongated upper-level trough

(also known as a PV streamer) bringing cold air with high values of potential vorticity (PV) from higher-latitude regions. Other local effects favouring their development are: lee cyclones forming south of the Alps or north of the North African reliefs (Tibaldi et al., 1990); coastal reliefs favouring deep convection (Moscatello et al., 2008); and relatively warm sea surface waters able to feed the process of latent heat release during their mature phase.

The medicane cases meeting all the previous criteria represent only a small portion of the Mediterranean cyclones (e.g. 13 over 200 cases of intense cyclones or roughly one per year in the study of Flaounas et al., 2015). Due to this scarcity, clearly defining the properties enabling to separate medicanes from other Mediterranean cyclones is still challenging. A study using dynamical criteria concluded that medicanes are very similar to other intense cyclones, with a slightly weaker upper-level and a stronger low-level PV anomaly (Flaounas et al., 2015). Recent comparative studies (e.g. Akhtar et al., 2014; Miglietta et al., 2017) showed a large diversity of duration, extension (size and vertical extent) and characteristics (dominant role of baroclinic versus diabatic processes) within the medicane category.

The role of the large-scale environment like the PV streamer and of the associated upper-level jet in medicane formation has been the subject of several studies (Reale and Atlas, 2001; Homar et al., 2006; Flaounas et al., 2015; Carrió et al., 2017). On a case study in September 2006, it was shown for the first time that the crossing of the upper-level jet by the cyclone resulted in its rapid deepening by interaction between low- and upper-level PV anomalies (Chaboureau et al., 2012). Recently, the ubiquitous presence of PV streamers and their key role in the development of medicanes have been confirmed on several cases (Miglietta et al., 2017). These studies concluded also that, during their lifecycle, medicanes can rely either on purely diabatic processes or on a combination of baroclinic and diabatic processes (Mazza et al., 2017; Fita and Flaounas, 2018; Miglietta and Rotunno, 2019).

Conversely, the investigation of the contribution of surface processes has motivated less studies. Some of them assessed the relative importance of surface heat extraction versus latent heat release and upper-level PV anomaly throughout the cyclone lifetime, by using adjoint models, or factor separation techniques (Reed et al., 2001; Homar et al., 2003; Moscatello et al., 2008; Carrió et al., 2017). They concluded that the presence of the upper-level trough during the earlier stage of the cyclone and the latent heat release during its developing and mature phases are necessary. In contrast, the role of surface heat fluxes is more elusive. Like in tropical cyclones, the latent heat fluxes always dominate the surface enthalpy processes (the sensible heat flux represents 25 to 30 % of the turbulent heat fluxes prior to the tropical transition, and 15 to 20 % during the mature phase, Pytharoulis, 2018). Early studies concluded that low-level instability controlled by surface heat fluxes may be “an important factor of intensification” (Reed et al., 2001, case of January 1982) and that the latent heat extraction from the sea is a “key factor of feeding of the latent-heat release” (Homar et al., 2003, case study of September 1996). Turning off the surface turbulent fluxes during different phases of the cyclone brought contrast to this view. Indeed, the role of surface enthalpy in feeding the cyclonic circulation revealed important during its earliest and mature phases, whereas its role is marginal during the deepening (Moscatello et al., 2008, case study of September 2006).

More recently, studies simulating several cyclones suggested that the impact of the surface fluxes on the cyclone are probably case-dependent (Tous and Romero, 2013; Miglietta and Rotunno, 2019). The latter work especially compared the medicanes of October 1996 (between the Balearic Islands and Sardinia) and December 2005 (north of Libya) to investigate the relative role of the WISHE-like mechanism (Wind Induced Surface Heat Exchange: Emanuel, 1986; Rotunno and Emanuel, 1987) and baroclinic processes. In the case of October 1996, the cyclone warm core is formed by latent heat release fed at low level by sea-surface heat fluxes. Surface fluxes are above  $1500 \text{ W m}^{-2}$  over large areas due to persistent orographic winds bringing cold and dry air for several days prior to the cyclone development, that contribute to destabilize the surface layer. The features characteristics of tropical cyclones are well marked: warm core extending up to 400 hPa, symmetry, low-level convergence and upper-level divergence, and strong contrast of equivalent potential temperature  $\theta_e$  ( $\sim 8$

80 °C) between the surface and 900 hPa as an evidence of latent heating. Conversely, in the December 2005 case, the cyclone develops within a large-scale baroclinic environment, with the PV streamer slowly evolving into a cut-off low. The tropical-like features are less evident: weaker warm core due to warm air seclusion, weaker gradient of  $\theta_e$  ( $\sim 3\text{--}4$  °C) between the surface and 900 hPa. The surface enthalpy fluxes play only a marginal role and peak around  $1000 \text{ W m}^{-2}$  for a few hours. The authors concluded that mechanisms of transition towards tropical-like cyclones are diverse, especially concerning the role of the air–sea heat exchanges.

85 As surface fluxes may strongly depend on the SST, a change of the oceanic surface conditions may, in theory, impact the development of a medicane. Several sensitivity studies investigated the impact of a uniform SST change, for instance to anticipate the possible effect of the warming of Mediterranean surface waters due to climate change. Consistent tendencies were obtained on different case studies (Homar et al., 2003, case of September 1996; Miglietta et al., 2011, case of September 2006; Pytharoulis, 2018, case of November 2014; Noyelle et al., 2019, case of October 1996). As expected, 90 warmer (respectively colder) SSTs lead to more (resp. less) intense cyclones even though changes of SST by less than  $\pm 2$  °C result in no significant change in the track, duration or intensity of the cyclone.

The impact of coupling atmospheric and oceanic models has been studied mainly using regional climate models on seasonal to interannual time scales. Comparing coupled and non-coupled simulations showed an impact of the coupling when the horizontal resolution of the model is at least  $0.08^\circ$  (Akhtar et al., 2014). This resolution is also necessary to reproduce in a 95 realistic way the characteristic processes of medicanes, including warm cores and strong winds at low level. Coupled simulations resulted in more intense surface heat fluxes, contrasting with what is usually obtained in tropical cyclones due to the strong cooling effect of the cyclone on the sea surface (Schade and Emanuel, 1999; D'Asaro et al., 2007). This can be due to the use of a 1D ocean model and its limited ability to reproduce the oceanic processes responsible of the cooling. The need of higher resolution to observe an impact of the coupling was confirmed by Gaertner et al. (2017), or Flaounas et al. (2018). 100 Both studies compared several simulations at the seasonal or interannual scale, both coupled and uncoupled and from several regional climate modelling platforms. The lack of impact they obtained was attributed to the relatively low horizontal resolution of the simulations, between 18 and 50 km. Finally, a case study based on higher-resolution (5 km) simulations of the medicane of November 2011 showed no strong impact of the surface coupling. The SST was 0.1 to 0.3°C lower only, the SLP minimum was 2 hPa higher and the maximum surface wind  $5 \text{ m s}^{-1}$  lower (Ricchi et al., 2017). The impact of ocean– 105 atmosphere coupling in high-resolution ( $\sim 1\text{--}2$  km), convection-resolving models has, to the best of our knowledge, not been evaluated yet.

In the present study, we assess the feedback of the ocean surface on the atmosphere in the case of the medicane of November 2014 (also known as Qendresa) using a kilometre-scale ocean–atmosphere coupled model. We investigate the role of the surface processes, especially during the mature phase of the medicane, and we examine the role of the different parameters 110 (including SST) controlling these fluxes throughout the lifecycle of the cyclone.

A brief description of the medicane, of the modelling tools and of the simulation strategy are given in Sect. 2. In Section 3, the results of the reference simulation are used to describe the medicane characteristics and lifecycle and to present the impact of the coupling. The role of the surface conditions and mechanisms controlling the air–sea fluxes during the different phases are assessed in Sect. 4. These results are discussed in Sect. 5, and some conclusions are given.

## 115 2 Case study and simulations

The case study is the Qendresa medicane that affected the region of Sicily on 7 November 2014. It has been the subject of several studies based on simulations. They investigated the role of SST anomalies or the impact of a uniform SST change (Pytharoulis, 2018), the respective role of upper-air instability, surface exchanges and latent heat release (Carrió et al., 2017)

or the predictability of the event, depending on the initial conditions and horizontal resolution of the model (Cioni et al.,  
120 2018). All those studies showed that the predictability of this event and especially of its track is rather low, even with high  
horizontal (1–2 km) and vertical (50 to 80 levels) grid resolutions of current operational numerical weather prediction  
(NWP) centres. A recent study based on the ensemble forecasts of the ECMWF (European Centre for Medium-Range  
Weather Forecasts, Di Muzio et al., 2019) showed that the predictability of occurrence (with respect to the operational  
analysis) is good as early as 7.5 days lead time, but the predictability of the position is weak, especially between 4 and 1 days  
125 lead time (their Fig. 6). The predicted central pressure is also consistently 10 to 14 hPa higher than the analysed one,  
whatever the lead time considered.

## 2.1 The 7 November 2014 medicane

On 5 and 6 November 2014, a PV streamer extended from Northern Europe to North Africa, bringing cold air ( $-23\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ ) and  
enhancing instability aloft. A general cyclonic circulation developed over the Western Mediterranean basin while Eastern  
130 Mediterranean was dominated by high pressures (Fig. 1a). At low level on 6 November, the cold and warm fronts associated  
with the baroclinic disturbance reinforced due to a northward advection of warmer and moist air from North Africa (Fig. 1b).  
The system moved towards the Sicily Strait and deepened during the night of 6 to 7 November. On the early hours of 7  
November, the upper-level PV trough and the low-level cyclone progressively aligned (Fig. 1c), reinforcing the PV transfer  
from above and the low-level instability. Strong convection developed, with heavy precipitation in the Sicily area. The low-  
135 level system rapidly deepened in the morning of 7 November, with a sudden drop of 8 hPa in 6 hours, and evolved to the  
quasi-circular structure of a tropical cyclone with spiral rain bands and a cloudless eye-like centre. The maximum intensity  
was reached around 12:00 UTC on 7 November north of Lampedusa (see Fig. 3 for main place names). The system drifted  
eastwards and slowly weakened during the afternoon of the 7 November with a first landfall at Malta around 17:00. It then  
moved northeastwards to reach the Sicilian coasts in the evening. It continued its decay during the following night close to  
140 the Sicily coasts, and lost its circular shape and tropical cyclone appearance around 12:00 UTC on 8 November.

## 2.2 Simulations

Three numerical simulations of the event were performed using the state-of-the-art atmospheric model Meso-NH (Lac et al.,  
2018) and the oceanic model NEMO (Madec and the NEMO Team, 2016).

### 2.2.1 Atmospheric model

145 The non-hydrostatic French research model Meso-NH version 5.3.0 is used here with a fourth-order centred advection  
scheme for the momentum components and the piecewise parabolic method advection scheme from Colella and Woodward  
(1984) for the other variables, associated with a leapfrog time scheme. A C grid in the Arakawa convention (Mesinger and  
Arakawa, 1976) is used for both horizontal and vertical discretizations, with a conformal projection system of horizontal  
coordinates. A fourth-order diffusion scheme is applied to the fluctuations of the wind variables, which are defined as the  
150 departures from the large-scale values. The turbulence scheme (Cuxart et al., 2000) is based on a 1.5 order closure coming  
from the system of second-order equations for the turbulent moments derived from Redelsperger and Sommeria (1986) in a  
one-dimensional simplified form assuming that the horizontal gradients and turbulent fluxes are much smaller than their  
vertical counterparts. The mixing length is parameterized according to Bougeault and Lacarrere (1989) who related it to the  
distance that a parcel with a given turbulent kinetic energy at level  $z$  can travel downwards or upwards before being stopped  
155 by buoyancy effects. Near the surface, these mixing lengths are modified according to Redelsperger et al. (2001) to match  
both the Monin–Obukhov similarity laws and the free-stream model constants. The radiative transfer is computed by solving

long-wave and short-wave radiative transfer models separately using the ECMWF operational radiation code (Morcrette, 1991). The surface fluxes are computed within the SURFEX module (Surface Externalisée, Masson et al., 2013) using over sea the iterative bulk parametrization ECUME (Belamari et al., 2005; Belamari and Pirani, 2007) linking the surface  
160 turbulent fluxes to the meteorological gradients through the appropriate transfer coefficients. The Meso-NH model shares its physical representation of parameters, including the surface fluxes parametrization, with the French operational model AROME (Seity et al., 2011) used for the Météo-France NWP with a current horizontal grid spacing of 1.3 km. In this configuration, deep convection is explicitly represented while shallow convection is parametrized using the eddy diffusivity Kain–Fritsch scheme (Pergaud et al., 2009).

165 In the present study, a first atmosphere-only simulation with a grid spacing of 4 km has been performed on a larger domain of 3200 km × 2300 km (D1, see Fig. 2). This simulation started at 18:00 UTC the 6 November and lasted 42 h until 12:00 UTC the 8 November. Its initial and boundary conditions come from the ECMWF operational analyses Cy40R1 (horizontal resolution close to 16 km, 137 vertical levels) every 6 h.

As described in the following, this 4 km simulation provides initial and boundary conditions for simulations on a smaller  
170 domain of 900 km × 1280 km (D2, Fig. 2). This domain extension was chosen as a trade-off between computing time and an extension large enough to represent the physical processes involved in the cyclone lifecycle, including the influence of the coasts. All simulations on the inner domain D2 share a time step of 3 s and their grid (with horizontal grid resolution of 1.33 km and 55 stretched terrain-following levels). Atmospheric and surface parameter fields are issued every 30 minutes.

### 2.2.2 Oceanic model

175 The ocean model used is NEMO (version 3\_6) (Madec and the NEMO Team, 2016) with physical parametrizations as follows. The total variance dissipation scheme is used for tracer advection in order to conserve energy and enstrophy (Barnier et al., 2006). The vertical diffusion follows the standard turbulent kinetic energy formulation of NEMO (Blanke and Delecluse, 1993). In case of unstable conditions, a higher diffusivity coefficient of 10 m<sup>2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> is applied (Lazar et al., 1999). The sea-surface height is a prognostic variable solved thanks to the filtered free-surface scheme of Roullet and Madec  
180 (2000). A no-slip lateral boundary condition is applied and the bottom friction is parameterized by a quadratic function with a coefficient depending on the 2D mean tidal energy (Lyard et al., 2006; Beuvier et al., 2012). The diffusion is applied along iso-neutral surfaces for the tracers using a Laplacian operator with the horizontal eddy diffusivity value  $\nu_h$  of 30 m<sup>2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>. For the dynamics, a bi-Laplacian operator is used with the horizontal viscosity coefficient  $\eta_h$  of  $-1.10^9$  m<sup>4</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>.

The configuration used here is sub-regional and eddy-resolving, with a 1/36° horizontal resolution over an ORCA grid from  
185 2.2 to 2.6 km resolution named SICIL36 (ORCA is a tripolar grid with variable resolution, Madec and Imbard, 1996), that was extracted from the MED36 configuration domain (Arsouze et al., 2013) and shares the same physical parametrizations with its “sister” configuration WMED36 (Lebeaupin Brossier et al., 2014; Rainaud et al., 2017). It uses 50 stretched  $z$ -levels in the vertical, with level thickness ranging from 1 m near the surface to 400 m at the sea bottom (i.e. around 4000 m depth) and a partial step representation of the bottom topography (Barnier et al., 2006). It has 4 open boundaries corresponding to  
190 those of the D2 domain shown in Figure 2, and its time step is set to 300 s. The initial and open boundary conditions come from the global 1/12° resolution PSY2V4R4 daily analyses from Mercator Océan International (Lellouche et al., 2013).

### 2.2.3 Configuration of simulations

The three-hourly outputs of the large-scale simulation on D1 are used as boundary and initial conditions for 3 different simulations on the smaller domain D2, based on the previously described atmospheric and oceanic configurations. These  
195 three simulations start at 00:00 UTC on 7 November and last 36 h until 12:00 UTC on 8 November. The first atmosphere-only simulation called NOCPL uses a fixed SST forcing, while the CPL and NOCUR simulations are two-way coupled between Meso-NH and NEMO-SICIL36. In CPL, the SURFEX-OASIS coupling interface (Voldoire et al., 2017) enables to exchange the SST and two-dimensional surface currents from NEMO to Meso-NH and the two components of the momentum flux, the solar and non-solar heat fluxes and the freshwater flux from Meso-NH to NEMO every 15 minutes. The  
200 NOCUR run is similar, except that the surface currents are not transmitted from NEMO to Meso-NH.

In order to ensure that the impact of the coupling in the NOCUR and CPL configurations originates from the time evolution of the SST rather than from a change in the initial SST field, the SST field used as a surface forcing in NOCPL is produced by the CPL run, 1 h after the beginning of the simulation (i.e. after the initial adjustment of the oceanic model). This field (Fig. 3) is kept constant throughout the simulation.

### 205 2.3 Validation

Figure 3 compares the tracks of Qendresa obtained in the three different simulations with the best track based on observations (brightness temperature from radiance in the 10.8  $\mu\text{m}$  channel measured by the SEVIRI instrument aboard the MSG satellite, see Cioni et al., 2018). All the simulated tracks are shifted northwards with respect to the observations since the beginning of the simulations. The mean distance between the simulated and observed tracks is close to 85 km with no  
210 significant difference between the simulations. Cioni et al. (2018) showed that using horizontal resolutions finer than 2.5 km is mandatory to accurately represent the fine-scale structure of this cyclone and its time evolution. Sensitivity studies showed that better resolution results in simulated track closer to observations. The best agreement is obtained with a nested configuration and an inner domain at 300 m resolution. In the present study, several sensitivity tests were performed on the smaller domain to improve the simulated track: i) the starting time of the simulation was changed between 12:00 UTC on 6  
215 November and 00:00 UTC on 7 November with increment of 3 h; ii) the number of vertical levels in Meso-NH was increased to 100, with a stretching ensuring a better sampling in the atmospheric boundary layer; iii) the atmospheric simulation was performed without nesting, initial and boundary conditions from ECMWF, and horizontal resolution of 2 km. Note that our inner domain D2 is close in its extension to the domain used by Cioni et al. (2018). None of these tests (8 in total) significantly improved the track, the northward shifting of the cyclone occurring in every case in the early hours of the  
220 7 November.

The deepening and maximum intensity of the simulated cyclone are nevertheless close to the observed ones, even if a direct (i.e. co-localized) comparison is not possible due to the northward shift of its track. A strong deepening of almost 15 hPa is obtained in the first 12 h of the CPL simulation (Fig. 4b) with a minimum value at 12:30 UTC on the 7 November close to the minimum observed at Linosa station. This station is the closest point to the best track at the time of the observed  
225 maximum intensity of the storm. The surface wind speed peaks at the same time (Fig. 4a), and its time evolution agrees well with METAR observations at the stations of Lampedusa, Pantelleria or Malta. Also, the time evolution of the wind speed averaged over a 50 km radius around the cyclone centre is in good agreement with the control simulation of Cioni et al. (2018). Despite the northward shift of its track, the medicane simulated by Meso-NH is very realistic and can be used to explore the processes at play, especially concerning the role of the sea surface thanks to the CPL simulation.

This part presents first the successive phases of the event based on an analysis of upper-level and mid-troposphere processes. Then, we assess the impact of accounting for the short-time evolution of the SST on the atmospheric surface processes.

### 3.1 Chronology of the simulated event

We use the methodology of Fita and Flaounas (2018) based on upper-level and low-level dynamics, asymmetry and thermal  
 235 wind, to characterize the phases of the medicane. Figure 5 shows the 300 hPa PV anomaly, SLP, surface wind and equivalent  
 potential temperature  $\theta_e$  at 850 hPa from the NOCPL simulation. Phase space diagrams are commonly used to describe in a  
 synthetic way the symmetric characteristics of the cyclone, as well as the thermal characteristics and extent of its core. The  
 present version in Figure 6 showing the evolution of Qendresa from 01:00 UTC on 7 November to 12:00 UTC on 8  
 November is derived from the original work of Hart (2003) using the adaptation of Picornell et al. (2014) for smaller-scale  
 240 cyclones. The radius used for computing the low-troposphere thickness asymmetry  $B$ , the low-troposphere and upper-  
 troposphere thermal winds ( $-V_{TL}$  and  $-V_{TU}$  respectively) has been fitted to the radius of maximum wind at 850 hPa and is  
 close to 100 km, and the low troposphere and upper troposphere are defined here as the 925–700 hPa and 700–400 hPa  
 levels respectively. The radius value of 100 km is in agreement with several other studies focusing on medicanes and avoid a  
 smooth-out of the warm-core structure (Chaboureaud et al., 2012; Miglietta et al. 2011, Cavicchia 2013, Picornell et al. 2014)  
 245 but may lead to an underestimation of the cyclone extension. Indeed, the radius of maximum wind is ill defined or larger  
 during the first stage of the cyclone, but is steady and close to 90 km during the major part of its lifetime. As a result, the  
 diagram obtained is likely less representative of the cyclone structure during its first hours but suits well from 10:00 UTC.

At 06:00 UTC on 07 November, the PV streamer has moved northwards from Libya and is located south of the SLP  
 minimum (Fig. 5a). A south-north cold front is visible in the 850 hPa  $\theta_e$ , east of the cyclone centre, and the medicane centre  
 250 is located under the left exit of the upper-level jet (Fig. 5b). The minimum SLP starts to decrease to reach 985 hPa around  
 11:00 UTC, corresponding to a strong deepening rate of 1.4 hPa hr<sup>-1</sup> for 10 hours. This phase also marks the increase of the  
 maximum wind at low level, and of the wind speed averaged over a 100 km radius around the cyclone centre (Fig. 4). It is  
 referred to as “development phase” in the following. The heaviest rainfall occur here (Fig. 7) with 10 h accumulated rain  
 above 200 mm locally and instantaneous values above 50 mm h<sup>-1</sup> east of Sicily and at sea between Pantelleria and Malta. As  
 255 in Fita and Flaounas (2018), the maximum thermal wind is obtained during this phase (Fig. 6).

Then, the upper-level jet moves further over the Ionian Sea and Sicily. The SLP minimum is aligned with the 300 hPa PV  
 anomaly at 11:00 UTC on 7 November (Fig. 5c). This marks the beginning of the “mature phase”, with a maximum intensity  
 around 12:00 UTC (Fig. 4). The medicane presents the circular shape typical of tropical cyclones with spiral rainbands, and a  
 warm, symmetric core (Fig. 5d) extended up to 400 hPa (Fig. 6). The upper-level PV anomaly stays wrapped around the SLP  
 260 until 17:00 UTC, and both structures drift eastwards south of Italy (Fig. 5e). The medicane slowly decreases in intensity  
 (Fig. 4) until it makes landfall in the southeast of Sicily at 18:00 UTC. The cold front drifts eastwards away of the cyclone  
 centre, evolving into an occluded front wrapped around the SLP minimum (Fig. 5f). This mature phase, although the most  
 intense of the cyclone, produces more scattered rainfall than the development phase (Fig. 7).

The cyclone then moves northeastwards towards the Ionian Sea and continuously weakens until 12:00 UTC on 8 November  
 265 (“decay phase” hereafter). The SLP minimum steadily increases (Fig. 4), the upper-level PV anomaly has evolved into a cut-  
 off and is still aligned with the cyclone centre (Fig. 5g). The 850 hPa warm core has extended ~250 km around the cyclone  
 centre (Fig. 5h).

In the following, the impact of the ocean–atmosphere coupling on the cyclone intensity is assessed by comparing the results of the CPL, NOCUR, and NOCPL simulations. The time period for this comparison is the 7 November only, as the medicane  
270 has lost a large part of its intensity in the evening of the 7 November.

### 3.2 SST evolution

Taking into account the effect of the SST change only (NOCUR) results in a slightly slower and weaker deepening by 1.5 hPa and a maximum wind speed  $3 \text{ m s}^{-1}$  higher (Fig. 4). Including the effect of the surface currents on the atmospheric boundary layer gives a slightly more intense cyclone (1.5 hPa less and  $8 \text{ m s}^{-1}$  stronger maximum wind). Figure 3 shows no  
275 significant difference on the tracks between the NOCPL, NOCUR and CPL simulations, except when the cyclone centre loops east of Sicily at the end of the day. The median values of the SST difference between CPL and NOCPL over the whole domain, and the values of the 5 %, 25 %, 75 % and 95 % quantiles are shown in Figure 8. The median surface cooling is very weak ( $0.1 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$  at the end of the development phase,  $\sim 0.2 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$  at the beginning of the decay phase). Its evolution during the decay phase is also weak with values of  $0.25 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$  at 23:00 UTC, on 07 November. The maximum cooling is  $0.6 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ . To  
280 focus on the effects of this surface cooling on the surface processes feeding the cyclone, we used a conditional sampling technique to isolate the areas with enthalpy flux above  $600 \text{ W m}^{-2}$  (this corresponds to the mean value of the 80 % quantile of the enthalpy flux on the day of the 7 November). The enthalpy flux is defined here as the sum of the latent heat flux  $LE$  and the sensible heat flux  $H$ . On this area (EF600 hereafter), the SST difference and its time evolution are slightly larger with a median difference of  $-0.2 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$  at the beginning of the mature phase and  $-0.4 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$  at the end of 7 November. In NOCUR, the  
285 SST difference on EF600 is slightly larger than in CPL but the difference is not significant. The SST cooling on this area of less than  $0.4 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$  (median value) is much weaker than typical cooling values observed under tropical cyclones, that commonly reach 3 to  $4 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$  (e.g. Black and Dickey, 2008). In addition, the spatial extent of the cooling does not form a wake as in tropical cyclones (not shown).

The conclusion of this part is that surface cooling is one order of magnitude smaller than what is obtained under tropical  
290 cyclone, with no significant impact of the surface currents. But, quantifying the surface cooling in other medicanes could lead to contrasting results. For instance, a surface cooling of  $2 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$  was obtained in an ocean–atmosphere–waves coupled simulation of a strong storm in the Gulf of Lion (Renault et al., 2012). Investigating the reasons of such a discrepancy are beyond the scope of the present work. The stronger cooling could be due to the storm track staying at the same place in the Gulf of Lion for a long time. The difference can also come from a different oceanic preconditioning (their case occurred in  
295 May), with stronger stratification or a shallower mixed layer that amplifies cooling due to mixing/entrainment process.

### 3.3 Impact on turbulent surface exchanges

A comparison of the time evolution of the turbulent fluxes in the NOCPL and CPL simulations shows very weak differences even on the EF600 area (Fig. 9a). At the end of the run, the mean difference of the enthalpy flux is  $25 \text{ W m}^{-2}$ , with a standard deviation of  $13 \text{ W m}^{-2}$ . This is weak compared to the values of the turbulent fluxes on this area, between 500 and  $800 \text{ W m}^{-2}$   
300 for  $LE$  and 100 and  $250 \text{ W m}^{-2}$  for  $H$ . Expressed in percent of the fluxes, the relative difference is  $\sim 2 \%$  at the beginning of the mature phase and  $5 \%$  at 21:00 UTC on 7 November. The difference of  $H$  is  $7 \pm 4 \text{ W m}^{-2}$  (relative difference between 4 and 10 %). So, coupling has a very weak impact on the turbulent heat fluxes even in the EF600 area. Again, the effect of the surface currents (CPL versus NOCUR in Fig. 9b) is not significant.

In the following, except if otherwise specified, the results of the NOCPL simulation are used to investigate the medicane  
305 behaviour, focusing on the area of interest (AI in Fig. 2).



## 4 Role of surface fluxes and mechanisms

This section investigates which surface parameters control the surface heat fluxes during the different phases of the medicane, among the SST, surface wind, temperature and humidity.

### 4.1 Representation of surface fluxes and methods

310 In numerical atmospheric models, the turbulent heat fluxes are classically computed as a function of surface parameters using bulk formulae:

$$H = \rho c_p C_h \Delta U \Delta \theta \quad (1)$$

$$LE = \rho L_v C_e \Delta U \Delta q. \quad (2)$$

Here,  $\rho$  is the air density,  $c_p$  the air thermal capacity and  $L_v$  the vaporization heat constant. The gradient  $\Delta U$  corresponds to  
 315 the wind speed at first level with respect to the sea surface,  $\Delta \theta$  is the difference between the SST and the potential temperature at first level  $\theta$ , and  $\Delta q$  is the difference between the specific humidity at saturation with temperature equal to SST and the specific humidity at first level. The transfer coefficients  $C_h$  and  $C_e$  are defined as

$$C_h^{1/2} = \frac{C_{hn}^{1/2}}{1 - \frac{C_{hn}^{1/2}}{\kappa} \psi_T(z/L)} \quad (3)$$

and

$$320 \quad C_e^{1/2} = \frac{C_{en}^{1/2}}{1 - \frac{C_{en}^{1/2}}{\kappa} \psi_q(z/L)} \quad (4)$$

with  $\kappa$  the von Karman's constant,  $\psi_T$  and  $\psi_q$  empirical functions describing the stability dependence,  $C_{hn}$  and  $C_{en}$  the neutral transfer coefficient for heat and moisture and  $L$  the Obukhov length (which depends, in turn, on the virtual potential temperature at first level and on the friction velocity  $u_*$ ). In the ECUME parameterization used in this study, the neutral transfer coefficients  $C_{hn}$  and  $C_{en}$  are defined as polynomial functions of the 10 m equivalent neutral wind speed (defined as in  
 325 Geernaert and Katsaros, 1986). They also depend on the wind speed at 10 m and on the Obukhov length through the stability functions. The Obukhov length is expressed as in Liu et al. (1979):

$$L = - \frac{T_v^2 u_*^2}{\kappa g T_{v*}} \quad (5)$$

with  $T_v$  the virtual temperature at the first level, depending on the temperature and specific humidity, and  $T_{v*}$  the scale parameter for virtual temperature depending on the temperature and humidity at the first level. As a consequence, the  
 330 transfer coefficients depend as the fluxes on the wind speed, on the temperature and specific humidity at the first level, and on the SST. In the following, we do not distinguish between the temperature and potential temperature at first level.

The time evolution of the median values, and 5 %, 25 %, 75 % and 95 % quantiles of the latent and sensible heat fluxes is given in Figure 10a for the 7 November, on the EF600 area, and the time evolution of the median values and quantiles of the SST in Figure 10b. The latent heat flux is always much higher than the sensible heat flux, as this is generally the case at sea  
 335 when the SST is above 15 °C (e.g. Reale and Atlas, 2001). The sensible heat flux represents here 22 % of the enthalpy flux during the development phase, 12 to 15 % during the decay phase. Both fluxes have asymmetric distributions with upper tails (95 %) longer than lower tails (5 %). This is partly due to the conditional sampling ( $LE + H > 600 \text{ W m}^{-2}$ ) used here, as low fluxes are cut off. The median value of  $H$  is maximum at the end of the development phase (180  $\text{W m}^{-2}$  at 08:00 UTC), while its 95 % quantile is maximum at the beginning of the development phase (332  $\text{W m}^{-2}$  at 04:00 UTC). During the

340 mature phase, both the median and 95 % quantile values of  $H$  decrease continuously. Conversely, the median value of  $LE$  is maximum ( $635 \text{ W m}^{-2}$ ) at 09:00 UTC during the development phase and it stays approximately constant until 15:00 UTC. The 95 % quantile is maximum ( $845 \text{ W m}^{-2}$ ) at the end of the development phase.  $LE$  starts to decrease later and more slowly than  $H$  (around 15:00, as the system has started to weaken). The median values of  $LE$  in this EF600 sampling are constant or slightly increasing until the evening (20:00 UTC), whereas the minimum values (5 % quantile) increase continuously until  
345 the end of the day. Again, this is probably partly due to the sampling used here.

The distributions of the SST are asymmetric throughout the event, with lower tails much longer than upper tails (Fig. 10b). The SST maximum (close to  $24 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ ) is almost constant with time. The lower and median values vary due to the conditional sampling EF600 and the motion of the cyclone away from the warm SST area.

To investigate the mutual dependencies and co-variabilities of the fluxes and parameters listed above, we used the rank correlation of Spearman, which corresponds to the linear correlation between the rank of the two variables in their respective  
350 sampling (Myers et al., 2010). This metrics enables relating monotonically rather than linearly the variables of interest and is more appropriate in the case of non-linear relationships..

The co-variabilities are analysed in the whole domain first, to determine the main contribution to the fluxes globally, then in the EF600 area to isolate surface processes controlling the growth and maturity of the medicane. The values are given in  
355 Tables 1 to 3 for the EF600 area, and for 3 time periods of the development, mature and decay phases respectively, i.e. 09:00, 13:00 and 18:00 UTC on 7 November.

## 4.2 Development phase

At low level, this phase corresponds to a low-pressure system resulting from the evolution of the instability generated by the lee cyclone of the North African relief, with strong baroclinic structures. During the first hours, the areas of heavy  
360 precipitation are co-localized with frontal structures. A warm sector is visible east of the domain, with a cold front extending south-east from the south of Italy and a very strong low-level convergence between the southeasterly flow in the warm sector and the south to southwesterly flow in the cold sector (see Fig. 5b).

At 08:30 UTC on 7 November (Fig. 11), strong convergence lines develop close to the cyclonic centre, between Sicily and Tunisia. The low-level virtual potential temperature  $\theta_v$  superimposed to the equivalent potential temperature  $\theta_e$  is used here  
365 as a marker of cold pools (with an upper limit of  $19^\circ\text{C}$  for  $\theta_v$  – Ducrocq et al., 2008; Bresson et al., 2012). Some of these cold pools result from evaporation under convective precipitation, while those located at sea along the North African coast originate from dry and cold air advected from inland. The discrimination between these two kinds of cold pools was done using a simulation without the latent heat transfer due to rain evaporation (not shown here). The cold and moist air spreads to the surface following density currents and is advected northeastwards by the low-level flow. On the west and south of the  
370 domain, cold pools were formed at night by radiative processes over land, and were advected over sea with a vertical extent of  $\sim 1000 \text{ m}$  (see the westernmost part of the W-E transect, Fig. 11b).

The upwind edge of the cold pools is the place of strong horizontal convergence at low level, leading to uplift and deep convection of air masses with high  $\theta_e$ . During the development phase, the cold pools move northwards with the southerly flow, towards the centre of the cyclone. Then, they contribute to trigger convection in the northwesterly low-level flow with  
375 high  $\theta_e$  (Fig. 11b). The warm surface anomaly propagates close to the cyclone centre (now located under the 300 hPa PV anomaly) up to 3000 m and generates a low- to mid-troposphere PV anomaly. At the same time, a dry air intrusion from the upper levels brings air masses with low  $\theta_e$  and relative humidity below 20 % to 3000 m, resulting in an upper-to-mid-troposphere PV anomaly (Fig. 15a and c).

To identify the surface parameters controlling evaporation at sea, the time evolution of the Spearman's rank correlations between  $LE$ ,  $U_{10}$ ,  $\theta$ , the SST and  $q$  is given in Figure 12 and Tables 1 to 3.

During this phase, on the whole domain, the parameters governing  $LE$  are the SST and the wind (positively correlated), the specific humidity (negatively) and the potential temperature (negatively). Potential temperature and humidity are also strongly positively correlated ( $r_s = 0.55$  over the whole domain), because cold and dry air is advected from the Tunisian and Libyan continental surface by the southerly low-level flow (Fig. 13b, c and f, at 09:00 UTC). This air mass progressively charges itself in heat and moisture on the area of strongest enthalpy fluxes at sea north of Libya (Fig. 13a). The EF600 area, with strong fluxes and cold/dry air, corresponds also to warm SSTs (Fig. 13e). Here,  $LE$  is mainly controlled by the wind and by the SST,  $\theta$  has no effect (weak or negative correlations, Fig. 12b, Table 1), and  $q$  a weak effect.

$LE$  is always much higher than  $H$  (Fig. 10a), resulting in the “strong flux area” EF600 being controlled by  $LE$  rather than  $H$ .  $LE$  is also more homogeneous than  $H$  on EF600. However,  $H$  can be strong locally (Fig. 13d). During this development phase,  $H$  is controlled mainly by  $\theta$  at first level (Fig. 14), partly indirectly through the stratification and transfer coefficient (not shown). On the EF600 area also,  $H$  is mainly governed by  $\theta$  ( $r_s = -0.70$  at 09:00 UTC), the SST influence is always weak, and the wind plays a secondary role. The enhanced control by the potential temperature is partly due to the continental air masses advected from North Africa, and partly to the presence of the cold pools under the areas of deep convection and strong wind.

### 4.3 Mature phase

At 13:00 on 7 November, the PV anomalies at 700 hPa and 300 hPa are aligned (Fig. 15c, e). A zonal cross section on the SLP minimum shows that a low-level PV anomaly above 5 PVU has formed around the cyclone centre, extending from the surface up to the 300 hPa anomaly (Fig. 15). The warm core extends up to 850 hPa (Fig. 15a). Its upward development is limited by colder air (low  $\theta_e$ ) brought from aloft. There is low-level convergence (up to 800 hPa) towards the cyclone centre, deep convection close to the centre, but no or very weak divergence at mid to upper troposphere. The cyclonic circulation has reinforced with horizontal wind speed above  $8 \text{ m s}^{-1}$  at every level more than 10 km away from the cyclone centre.

During this phase and the previous one, over the whole domain as in the EF600 area, evaporation is controlled equivalently by the SST and the wind speed, with a decreasing influence of the humidity (Fig. 12, Table 2). The EF600 area extends further north, closer to the cyclone centre, away from the area of cold and dry low-level air. This cold air inflow starts to warm and moisten under the combined impact of the diurnal warming of the continental surfaces (not shown) and of the strong enthalpy fluxes offshore (Fig. 16a, c and f). The sensible heat flux is still controlled by the temperature, with an increasing influence of the wind (Table 2).

### 4.4 Decay phase

In the afternoon of the 7 November, the cyclone first moves towards colder SSTs in the east of the Sicily Strait (Fig. 3). Then, it crosses Sicily and reaches the Ionian Sea with even colder SSTs around 20:00 UTC, before slowly decaying and losing its tropical-like characteristics. Backtrajectories are used to check whether warm and moist air extraction from the sea-surface contributes to high  $\theta_e$  values obtained around the cyclone centre. They are based on the method of Schär and Wernli, (1993) adapted by Gheusi and Stein, (2005). The chosen trajectories originate from three different places and arrive at the same place, at three vertical levels surrounding the level closest to 1500 m, at 23:00 on the 7 November (Fig. 17). Their equivalent potential temperature ranges from 31 to 38 °C at their first appearance in the domain and is close to 45 °C on average at their final point. On these trajectories,  $\theta_e$  increases almost continuously, with a strong jump during their transit at low level (below 500 m) above sea in the EF600 area (white contour in Fig. 17). A separate analysis of the two different

stages in the trajectories has been performed. Stage 1 corresponds to the period when the particles remain in the low-level flow (between 200 and 1200 m above sea level) south and east of Sicily and stage 2 to their convective ascent from  $\sim 300$  m to 1500 m. During stage 1, the potential temperature of the particles decreases of  $1\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$  in average while the mixing ratio increases of  $2.8\text{ g kg}^{-1}$ . This shows that the increase in  $\theta_e$  is due to strong surface evaporation. During stage 2, the mixed ratio of the particles decreases of  $2\text{ g kg}^{-1}$  and their potential temperature increases of  $4.1\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ . This indicates condensation and latent heating. This demonstrates the strong role of the sea surface in increasing the moisture and heat of the low-level flow before its approach of the cyclone centre, and of diabatic processes in reinforcing its warm core.

During the decay phase and in the whole domain the influence of the humidity on  $LE$  is weak (Fig. 12a). EF600 is still located on warm SSTs south of the domain (Fig. 18a, e), and corresponds also to the strongest winds on the right-hand side of the cyclone (Fig. 18b). Within this area, there is almost no influence of the temperature or humidity on  $LE$  (Table 3). The influence of the wind speed is decreasing, the role of the SST is strong until 21:00 UTC. After that, the cyclone reaches the northern Ionian Sea with much colder SSTs, and the effect of the wind speed becomes dominant at the very end (Fig. 12b). The sensible heat flux is governed by the wind (see the strong NS gradient in Fig. 18b) rather than by the low-level temperature, except in the northern part of EF600 (where the wind speed is also the highest).

In summary, at the scale of the domain, both strong winds (in the cold sector during the development phase, then close to the cyclone centre and in its right side) and warm SSTs (in the south of the domain) are necessary to strong latent heat fluxes. Within the area of strong fluxes (also strong winds and warm SSTs), the evaporation is mainly controlled by the wind (development and mature phases) then by the SST (decay phase). In contrast, the sensible heat flux depends mainly on the potential temperature in the surface layer. Colder air masses lead to strong sensible heat flux, rather than strong wind or warmer SST. During the two first phases, cold air is either advected from North Africa or created by evaporation under convective precipitation (cold pools). During the decay phase, strong latent heat transfer over high SSTs warms the near-surface atmospheric layer and lowers the sensible heat transfer.

## 5 Discussion and conclusion

The comparison of the simulations with and without ocean coupling shows no significant impact of the evolution of the SST on the track, intensity or lifecycle of the medicane. The weak SST cooling, notably during the first 24 h of the simulation, is likely responsible for that. On the strong flux area, where the enthalpy flux feeding the cyclone in heat and moisture maintains the convection and the latent heat release, the median value of the SST cooling is between  $0.2^{\circ}\text{C}$  and  $0.4\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ . The effect on  $H$  is  $-7\text{ W m}^{-2}$  during the mature phase,  $-12\text{ W m}^{-2}$  at 23:00 UTC on the 7 November (less than 10 %). On  $LE$ , it is  $-19\text{ W m}^{-2}$ , and  $-37\text{ W m}^{-2}$  for the same two time periods (less than 5 %). Coupling with the surface currents has no significant impact of the simulation.

Nevertheless, in this specific case, the SST exerts a strong control on the latent heat flux that dominates the surface heat transfer, throughout the event. During the development phase, there is also a strong influence of peculiarities of the Central Mediterranean: the transition between deep convection and heavy precipitation associated with baroclinic processes and the cyclone taking place downwind of the dry and cold low-level flow from North Africa. These air masses with low  $\theta_e$ , encounter moist and warm air at sea and enhance the deep convection, together with the cold pools formed by rain evaporation and downdrafts. These cold pools of various origin displace the deep convection at sea. Uplift of warm air masses increases the low-level PV, and reinforces the vortex, which is moved northeastwards closer to the PV anomaly aloft.

455

Better knowing the intensity and the role of air-sea exchanges and the related mechanisms could permit to sort medicanes, as proposed by Miglietta and Rotunno (2019). Indeed, is the present case governed by WISHE-like mechanisms or rather by diabatic and baroclinic processes throughout its lifetime (second category in Miglietta and Rotunno, 2019)? Strong air-sea exchanges at the surface and latent heat release act at building the warm core anomaly, as seen in Sect. 4.3 and 4.4. The surface enthalpy fluxes take intermediate values with maximum above  $1500 \text{ W m}^{-2}$  for a few hours on areas with warm SST and strong winds downwind of the dry low-level flow from North Africa. Thermal features characteristic of tropical cyclones are present, like low-level cold air advection from the south to the east, and warm air advection from the south to the north (Reale and Atlas, 2001). The gradient of  $\theta_e$  between the surface and 900 hPa is around  $6\text{--}7 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ . The wrapping of the PV streamer around the cyclone centre evolves into an upper-level cut-off at the end of the decay phase. Conversely, some typical features are not present: even if there is weak low-level convergence around the cyclone centre, no divergence is seen at upper level. The maximum latent heat flux within the EF600 area is more controlled by the SST than by the wind speed (Fig. 12b and 13a, b, and e). No minimum of potential temperature or potential vorticity develop at 300 hPa close to the cyclone centre during the mature phase, as a marker of the PV anomaly erosion by the convective activity, and the upper-level PV anomaly never completely detaches from the large scale structure.

Figure 19 shows the vertical profiles of wet PV and dry PV (WPV and DPV, defined as in Miglietta et al., 2017) averaged on the 100 km radius circle around the cyclone centre. WPV is produced diabatically by latent heat release (their Eq. 4) and DPV is generated by intrusion of stratospheric air into the upper troposphere (their Eq. 3). The vertical profiles of PV, DPV and WPV show a minimum of WPV between 700 and 400 hPa during the decay phase, and a clear difference between DPV and WPV at low level (Fig. 19b). The DPV is weak up to the mid troposphere and increases sharply above 400 hPa. The WPV anomaly at low level develops up to 700 hPa during the development phase but its vertical extent reduces to 800 hPa during the mature phase (13:00 UTC – see also Fig. 15e). This is due to a dry air intrusion during the mature and decay phases, which is limited downwards by the warm core (Fig. 15a). At the beginning of the decay phase, at 18:00 UTC, the latent heating within the cyclone core increases the low-level WPV and erodes the dry and cold ( $\theta_e$ ) air masses up to 650 hPa. The warm core and WPV anomaly extend upwards (Fig 15b, f), and the DPV anomaly is pushed up to 700 hPa (Fig. 15c, d).

This suggests that the medicane of November 2014 as simulated in this study presents characteristics close to an extratropical cyclone, or medicane of the second category as in Miglietta and Rotunno (2019). Its development phase is triggered by a PV streamer bringing instability at upper level, and baroclinic processes followed by strong convection at sea. This convection is enhanced and maintained by cold pools due to rain evaporation at low level or by advection of dry and cold air from North Africa. The conjunction of advection of continental air masses with evaporation under storms has not been identified as leading to tropical transition of Mediterranean cyclones so far, even though it is probably rather ubiquitous. Indeed both phenomena are rather widespread in the Mediterranean. Surface fluxes are strong and contribute to enhance the convection potential till the mature phase of the cyclone. Evaporation is mainly controlled by the SST and by the wind speed during the whole event, while the temperature difference between the SST and the cold air advected from North Africa during the development and mature phase play a strong role during its development. The vertical development of the warm core is limited by a dry air intrusion that does not reach the lowest levels of the troposphere. Dry air intrusions have been recognized as common processes in Mediterranean cyclones by Flaounas et al. (2015) but their role in the cyclone lifecycle was not clearly assessed. Here, we suggest that they can act at limiting the extent of the convection at the beginning of the mature phase. The convective activity is stronger during the development than during the mature phase of the cyclone, resulting in heavy rainfall 12 to 6 h before the maximum wind speed, in consistency with previous studies based on observations (Miglietta et al., 2013; Dafis et al., 2018). Finally, these results are consistent with those of Carrió et al. (2017)

which show by using a factor separation technique that while the role of the upper-level PV anomaly is crucial in preconditioning the event, its rapid deepening is due to the synergy of latent heat release and upper-level dynamics.

500 Coupling the atmospheric model with a 3D high-resolution oceanic model shows that, in the present case, the surface cooling is too weak to impact the atmospheric destabilization processes at low level. Nevertheless, the effect of the medicane on the oceanic surface layer is probably significant. To better understand the sea surface evolution and the role of coupling, the ocean mixed layer response to the medicane and the mechanisms involved will be investigated in more details in future work.

505

**Author contributions.** MNB and CLB designed the simulations. MNB performed the simulations. Both authors interpreted the results and wrote the paper.

**Competing interests.** The author declare that they have no conflict of interest.

## 510 Acknowledgments

This work is a contribution to the HyMeX program (Hydrological cycle in the Mediterranean EXperiment - <http://www.hymex.org>) through INSU-MISTRALS support. The authors acknowledge the Pôle de Calcul et de Données Marines for the DATARMOR facilities (storage, data access, computational resources). The authors acknowledge the MISTRALS/HyMeX database teams (ESPRI/IPSL and SEDOO/OMP) for their help in accessing to the surface weather station data. The PSY2V4R4 daily analyses were made available by the Copernicus Marine Environment Monitoring Service (<http://marine.copernicus.eu>). The ERA5 reanalysis at hourly timescales (doi: 10.24381/cds.bd0915c6) are produced by the European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts (ECMWF) and made available by the Copernicus Climate Change Service (<https://cds.climate.copernicus.eu>). METAR observations of SLP and wind were retrieved through the Weather Wunderground portal <https://www.wunderground.com>. The authors thank J.-L. Redelsperger (LOPS) for valuable discussions. We also thank E. Flaounas and two anonymous reviewers whose comments helped to greatly improve this paper.

520

## References

- Akhtar, N., Brauch, J., Dobler, A., Béranger, K. and Ahrens, B.: Medicanes in an ocean–atmosphere coupled regional climate model, *Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci.*, 14, 2189–2201, 2014.
- 525 Arsouze, T., Beuvier, J., Béranger, K., Somot, S., Lebeaupin Brossier, C., Bourdallé-Badie, R., Sevault, F., and Drillet, Y.: Sensibility analysis of the Western Mediterranean Transition inferred by four companion simulations, The Mediterranean Science Commission, Monaco, in Proceedings of the 40th CIESM Congress, November 2013, Marseille, France, 2013.
- Barnier, B., Madec, G., Penduff, T., Molines, J.-M., Treguier, A.-M., Le Sommer, J., Beckmann, A., Biastoch, A., Böning, C., Dengg, J., Derval, C., Durand, E., Gulev, S., Remy, E., Talandier, C., Theetten, S., Maltrud, M., McClean, J., and De
- 530 Cuevas, B.: Impact of partial steps and momentum advection schemes in a global ocean circulation model at eddy-permitting resolution. *Ocean Dyn.* 56 (5), 543–567, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10236-006-0082-1>, 2006.
- Belamari, S.: Report on uncertainty estimates of an optimal bulk formulation for surface turbulent fluxes, Marine EnviRonment and Security for the European Area–Integrated Project (MERSEA IP), Deliverable D, 4, 2005.

- Belamari, S. and Pirani, A.: Validation of the optimal heat and momentum fluxes using the ORCA2-LIM global ocean-ice model, Marine Environment and Security for the European Area–Integrated Project (MERSEA IP), Deliverable D, 4, 2007.
- 535 Beuvier, J., Béranger, K., Lebeaupin Brossier, C., Somot, S., Sevault, F., Drillet, Y., Bourdallé-Badie, R., Ferry, N., and Lyard, F.: Spreading of the Western Mediterranean deep water after winter 2005: time scales and deep cyclone transport, *J. Geophys. Res.: Oceans* 117 (C7), <https://doi.org/10.1029/2011JC007679>. C07022, 2012.
- 540 Black, W. J., and Dickey, T.D.: Observations and analyses of upper ocean responses to tropical storms and hurricanes in the vicinity of Bermuda, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 113, C08009, doi:10.1029/2007JC004358, 2008.
- Blanke, B., and Delecluse, P.: Variability of the tropical Atlantic Ocean simulated by a general circulation model with two different mixed-layer physics. *J. Phys. Oceanogr.* 23 (7), 1363–1388. <https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0485023<1363:VOTTAO>2.0.CO;2>, 1993.
- 545 Bougeault, P. and Lacarrère, P.: Parameterization of Orography-Induced Turbulence in a Mesobeta-Scale Model. *Mon. Weather Rev.*, 117, 1872-1890. [https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0493\(1989\)117<1872:POOITI>2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0493(1989)117<1872:POOITI>2.0.CO;2), 1989.
- Bresson, E., Ducrocq, V., Nuissier, O., Ricard, D., and de Saint-Aubin, C.: Idealized numerical study of southern France heavy precipitating events: Identification of favouring ingredients. *Q. J. R. Meteorol. Soc.*, 138: 1751–1763, 2012.
- Carrió, D. S., Homar, V., Jansà, A., Romero, R. and Picornell, M. A.: Tropicalization process of the 7 November 2014 Mediterranean cyclone: numerical sensitivity study, *Atmospheric Research*, 197, 300–312, 2017.
- 550 Cavicchia, L. and Gualdi, S: A long-term climatology of medicanes. *Climate Dyn.*, 43, 1183–1195, doi:10.1007/s00382-013-1893-7, 2014.
- Chaboureau, J.-P., Pantillon, F., Lambert, D., Richard, E. and Claud, C.: Tropical transition of a Mediterranean storm by jet crossing, *Q. J. Roy. Meteorol. Soc.*, 138, 596–611, 2012.
- 555 Cioni, G., Cerrai D., and Klocke D.: Investigating the predictability of a Mediterranean tropical-like cyclone using a storm-resolving model, *Q. J. Roy. Met. Soc.*, 144, 1598-1610, doi: 10.1102/qj.3322, 2018.
- Claud, C., Alhamoud, B., Funatsu, B. M., and Chaboureau, J. P.: Mediterranean hurricanes: large-scale environment and convective and precipitating areas from satellite microwave observations. *Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci.*, 10(10), 2199, 2010.
- 560 Colella, P. and Woodward, P.R.: The Piecewise Parabolic Method (PPM) for Gas-Dynamical Simulations. *Journal of Computational Physics*, 54, 174-201, [https://doi.org/10.1016/0021-9991\(84\)90143-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/0021-9991(84)90143-8), 1984.
- Cuxart, J., Bougeault, P. and Redelsperger, J.L.: A turbulence scheme allowing for mesoscale and large-eddy simulations, *Q. J. Roy. Meteorol. Soc.*, 126, 1–30. <https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.49712656202>, 2000.
- D'Asaro, E. A., Sanford, T. B., Niiler, P. P., and Terrill, E.J.: Cold wake of Hurricane Frances. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 34, L15609, doi:10.1029/2007GL030160, 2007.
- 565 Dafis, S., Rysman, J. F., Claud, C., and Flaounas, E.: Remote sensing of deep convection within a tropical-like cyclone over the Mediterranean Sea. *Atmospheric Science Letters*, 19(6), e823, 2018.
- Davolio S, Miglietta MM, Moscatello A, Pacifico F, Buzzi A, and Rotunno R.: Numerical forecast and analysis of a tropical-like cyclone in the Ionian Sea. *Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci.* 9: 551–562, 2009.
- 570 Di Muzio, E., Riemer, M., Fink, A. H., and Maier-Gerber, M.: Assessing the predictability of Medicanes in ECMWF ensemble forecasts using an object-based approach. *Q. J. R. Meteorol. Soc.*, 145(720), 1202-1217, 2019.

- Ducrocq, V., Nuissier, O., and Ricard, D.: A numerical study of three catastrophic precipitating events over southern France. Part II: Mesoscale triggering and stationarity factors. *Q. J. R. Meteorol. Soc.* 134: 131–145, doi.org/10.1002/qj.199, 2008.
- 575 Emanuel, K.A.: An air–sea interaction theory for tropical cyclones. Part I: Steady-state maintenance, *J. Atmos. Sci.*, 43, 585–604, 1986.
- Fita, L., and Flaounas, E.: Medicanes as subtropical cyclones: the December 2005 case from the perspective of surface pressure tendency diagnostics and atmospheric water budget, *Q. J. Roy. Meteorol. Soc.*, 144, 1028–1044, doi: 10.1002/qj.3273, 2018.
- 580 Flaounas, E., Raveh-Rubin, S., Wernli, H., Drobinski, P. and Bastin, S.: The dynamical structure of intense Mediterranean cyclones, *Clim. Dynam.*, 44, 2411–2427, doi: 10.1007/s00382-014-2330-2, 2015.
- Flaounas, E., et al.: Assessment of an ensemble of ocean–atmosphere coupled and uncoupled regional climate models to reproduce the climatology of Mediterranean cyclones. *Clim. Dynam.*, 51(3), 1023-1040, 2018
- Gaertner, M. A., Jacob, D., Gil, V., Domínguez, M., Padorno, E., Sánchez, E., and Castro, M.: Tropical cyclones over the  
585 Mediterranean Sea in climate change simulations. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 34(14), 2007.
- Gaertner, M.A., Gonzalez-Aleman, J.J., Romera, R., Dominguez, M., Gil, V., Sanchez, E., Gallardo, C., Miglietta, M.M., Walsh, K., Sein, D., Somot, S., dell’Aquila, A., Teichmann, C., Ahrens, B., Buonomo, E., Colette, A., Bastin, S., van Meijgaard, E. and Nikulin, G.: Simulation of medicanes over the Mediterranean Sea in a regional climate model ensemble: impact of ocean-atmosphere coupling and increased resolution, *Clim. Dynam.*, 51, 1041–1057, 2017.
- 590 Geernaert, G., and Katsaros, K. B.: Incorporation of stratification effects on the oceanic roughness length in the derivation of the neutral drag coefficient. *J. Phys. Oceanogr.*, 16(9), 1580-1584, 1986.
- Gheusi, F., and Stein, J.: Lagrangian trajectory and air-mass tracking analyses with Meso-NH by means of Eulerian passive tracers, *Techn. Doc.*, [http://mesonh.aero.obs-mip.fr/mesonh/dir\\_doc/lag\\_m46\\_22avril2005/lagrangian46.pdf](http://mesonh.aero.obs-mip.fr/mesonh/dir_doc/lag_m46_22avril2005/lagrangian46.pdf), accessed on 18/02/2019, 2005.
- 595 Hart, R.E.: A cyclone phase space derived from thermal wind and thermal asymmetry, *Mon. Weather Rev.*, 131, 585–616, 2003.
- Homar, V., Romero, R., Stensrud, D.J., Ramis, C. and Alonso, S.: Numerical diagnosis of a small, quasi-tropical cyclone over the western Mediterranean: dynamical vs. boundary factors, *Q. J. Roy. Meteorol. Soc.*, 129, 1469–1490, 2003.
- Lac, C., Chaboureaud, J.-P., Masson, V., et al.: Overview of the Meso-NH model version 5.4 and its applications, *Geosci. Model Dev.*, 1, 1929–1969, <https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-11-1929-2018>, 2018.
- 600 Lazar, A., Madec, G., and Delecluse, P.: The deep interior downwelling, the Veronis effect, and mesoscale tracer transport parameterizations in an OGCM. *J. Phys. Oceanogr.* 29 (11), 2945–2961. [https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0485\(1999\)029<2945:TDIDTV>2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0485(1999)029<2945:TDIDTV>2.0.CO;2), 1999.
- Lebeaupin Brossier, C., Arsouze, T., Béranger, K., Bouin, M.-N., Bresson, E., Ducrocq, V., Giordani, H., Nuret, M.,  
605 Rainaud, R., and Taupier-Letage, I.: Ocean Mixed Layer responses to intense meteorological events during HyMeX-SOP1 from a high-resolution ocean simulation, *Ocean Model.*, 84, 84–103. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ocemod.2014.09.009>, 2014.
- Lellouche, J.-M., Le Galloudec, O., Drévillon, M., Régnier, C., Greiner, E., Garric, G., Ferry, N., Desportes, C., Testut, C.-E., Bricaud, C., Bourdallé-Badie, R., Tranchant, B., Benkiran, M., Drillet, Y., Daudin, A., and De Nicola, C.: Evaluation



- 610 of global monitoring and forecasting systems at Mercator Océan, *Ocean Sci.*, 9, 57–81, <https://doi.org/10.5194/os-9-57-2013>, 2013.
- Liu, W. T., Katsaros, K. B., and Businger, J. A.: Bulk parameterization of air-sea exchanges of heat and water vapor including the molecular constraints at the interface, *J. Atmos. Sci.*, 36, 1722-1735, 1979.
- Lyard, F., Lefevre, F., Letellier, T., and Francis, O.: Modelling the global ocean tides: modern insights from FES2004.  
615 *Ocean Dyn.*, 56 (5), 394–415. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10236-006-0086-x>, 2006.
- Madec, G., and Imbard, M.: A global ocean mesh to overcome the north pole singularity. *Clim. Dyn.*, 12, 381-388, 1996.
- Madec, G., and the NEMO Team: NEMO ocean engine. Note du Pole de modélisation, Institut Pierre-Simon Laplace (IPSL), France, ISSN No 1288–1619. 27, 2016.
- Masson, V., Le Moigne, P., Martin, E., Faroux, S., Alias, A., Alkama, R., Belamari, S., Barbu, A., Boone, A., Bouysse, F.,  
620 Brousseau, P., Brun, E., Calvet, J.C., Carrer, D., Decharme, B., Delire, C., Donier, S., Essaouini, K., Gibelin, A.L., Giordani, H., Habets, F., Jidane, M., Kerdraon, G., Kourzeneva, E., Lafaysse, M., Lafont, S., Lebeaupin-Brossier, C., Lemonsu, A., Mahfouf, J.-F., Marguinaud, P., Mokhtari, M., Morin, S., Pigeon, G., Salgado, R., Seity, Y., Taillefer, F., Tanguy, G., Tulet, P., Vincendon, B., Vionnet, V. and Voldoire, A.: The SURFEXv7.2 land and ocean surface platform for coupled or offline simulation of Earth surface variables and fluxes, *Geosci. Model Dev.*, 6, 929–960,  
625 <https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-6-929-2013>, 2013.
- Mazza, E., Ulbrich, U. and Klein, R.: The tropical transition of the October 1996 medicane in the western Mediterranean Sea: a warm seclusion event, *Mon. Weather Rev.*, 145, 2575–2595, 2017.
- McTaggart-Cowan, R., Davies, E. L., Fairman Jr, J. G., Galarneau Jr, T. J., and Schultz, D. M.: Revisiting the 26.5 C sea surface temperature threshold for tropical cyclone development. *Bull. American Meteorol. Soc.*, 96(11), 1929-1943,  
630 2015.
- Mesinger, F. and Arakawa, A.: Numerical Methods Used In Atmospheric Models, Global Atmospheric Research Program Publication Series 17(1), 1976
- Miglietta, M. M., Moscatello, A., Conte, D., Mannarini, G., Lacorata, G., and Rotunno, R: Numerical analysis of a Mediterranean “hurricane” over south-eastern Italy: Sensitivity experiments to sea surface temperature, *Atmos. Res.*,  
635 101, 412–426, 2011.
- Miglietta, M.M., Laviola, S., Malvaldi, A., Conte, D., Levizzani, V. and Price, C.: Analysis of tropical-like cyclones over the Mediterranean Sea through a combined modelling and satellite approach, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 40, 2400–2405, 2013.
- Miglietta, M.M., Cerrai, D., Laviola, S., Cattani, E. and Levizzani, V.: Potential vorticity patterns in Mediterranean “hurricanes”. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 44, 2537–2545, 2017.
- 640 Miglietta, M.M., and Rotunno, R.: Development mechanisms for Mediterranean tropical-like cyclones (medicanes), *Q. J. Roy. Meteorol. Soc.*, 1–17. <https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.3503>, 2019.
- Morcrette, J.-J.: Radiation and cloud radiative properties in the ECMWF operational weather forecast model. *J. Geophys. Res.*, 96D, 9121-9132, 1991.
- Moscatello, A., Miglietta, M.M. and Rotunno, R.: Numerical analysis of a Mediterranean “hurricane” over southeastern  
645 Italy, *Mon. Weather Rev.*, 136, 4373–4397, 2008.
- Myers, J. L., Well, A. D., and Lorch, R.F. Jr: *Research Design and Statistical Analysis* (3rd ed.), Taylor and Francis Eds., New York, 2010.

- Noyelle, R., Ulbrich, U., Becker, N., and Meredith, E. P.: Assessing the impact of sea surface temperatures on a simulated medicane using ensemble simulations, *Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci.*, 19, 941–955, <https://doi.org/10.5194/nhess-19-941-2019>, 2019.
- 650 Pergaud, J., Masson, V., Malardel, S. and Couvreur, F.: A parameterization of dry thermals and shallow cumuli for mesoscale numerical weather prediction, *Bound.-Layer. Meteor.*, 132, 83-106, doi: 10.1007/s10546-009-9388-0, 2009.
- Picornell, M. A., Campins, J., and Jansà, A.: Detection and thermal description of medicanes from numerical simulation, *Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci.*, 14, 1059–1070, 2014.
- 655 Pytharoulis, I.: Analysis of a Mediterranean tropical-like cyclone and its sensitivity to the sea surface temperatures. *Atmospheric Research*, 208, 167–179, 2018.
- Rainaud, R., Lebeau-pin Brossier, C., Ducrocq, V., and Giordani, H.: High-resolution air-sea coupling impact on two heavy precipitation events in the Western Mediterranean. *Quart. J. Roy. Meteor. Soc.*, 143, 2448–2462, <https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.3098>, 2017.
- 660 Reale, O. and Atlas, R.: Tropical cyclone-like vortices in the extratropics: observational evidence and synoptic analysis. *Weather and Forecasting*, 16, 7–34, 2001.
- Redelsperger, J.L. and Sommeria, G.: Three-dimensional simulation of a convective storm: Sensitivity studies on subgrid parameterization and spatial resolution, *J. Atmos. Sci.*, 43, 2619–2635, [https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0469\(1986\)043, 2619:TDSOAC.2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0469(1986)043<2619:TDSOAC.2.0.CO;2), 1986.
- 665 Redelsperger, J.-L., Mahé, F. and Carlotti, P.: A simple and general subgrid model suitable both for surface layer and free-stream turbulence. *Bound.-Layer. Meteor.*, 101, 375–408. <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1019206001292>, 2001.
- Reed, R.J., Kuo, Y.-H., Albright, M.D., Gao, K., Guo, Y.-R. and Huang, W.: Analysis and modeling of a tropical-like cyclone in the Mediterranean Sea, *Meteorol. Atmos. Phys.*, 76, 183–202, 2001.
- Renault, L., Chiggiato, J., Warner, J.C., Gomez, M., Vizoso, G. and Tintoré, J.: Coupled atmosphere-ocean-wave simulations of a storm event over the Gulf of Lion and Balearic Sea, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 117, C09019, doi:10.1029/2012JC007924, 2012.
- 670 Ricchi, A., Miglietta, M.M., Barbariol, F., Benetazzo, A., Bergamasco, A., Bonaldo, D., Cassardo, C., Falcieri, F.M., Modugno, G., Russo, A., Sclavo, M. and Carniel, S.: Sensitivity of a Mediterranean tropical-like cyclone to different model configurations and coupling strategies, *Atmosphere*, 8(5), 92, 1–32, <https://doi.org/10.3390/atmos8050092>, 2017.
- 675 Rotunno, R. and Emanuel, K.: An air–sea interaction theory for tropical cyclones. Part II: Evolutionary study using a nonhydrostatic axisymmetric numerical model. *J. Atmos. Sci.*, 44, 542–561, 1987.
- Roulet, G., and Madec, G.: Salt conservation, free surface and varying levels: a new formulation for ocean general circulation models. *J. Geophys. Res.* 105 (C10), 23927–23942. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2000JC900089>, 2000.
- Schade, L. R., and Emanuel, K. A.: The ocean’s effect on the intensity of tropical cyclones: Results from a simple coupled atmosphere–ocean model. *J. Atmos. Sci.*, 56, 642–651, 1999.
- 680 Schär, C., and Wernli, H.: Structure and evolution of an isolated semi-geostrophic cyclone. *Q. J. R. Meteorol. Soc.*, 119(509), 57-90, 1993.
- Seity, Y., Brousseau, P., Malardel, S., Hello, G., Bénard, P., Bouttier, F., Lac, D. and Masson, V.: The AROME-France convective-scale operational model. *Mon. Weather Rev.*, 139, 976–991. <https://doi.org/10.1175/2010MWR3425.1>, 2011.
- 685 Tibaldi, S., Buzzi, A. and Speranza, A.: Orographic cyclogenesis, in *Extratropical cyclones, The Palmen Memorial volume*, edited by: Newton, C., and Holopainen, E. O. American Meteorological Society, Boston, 107-127, 1990.

Tous, M. and Romero, R.: Meteorological environments associated with medicane development, *Int. J. Climatol.*, 33, 1–14, 2013.

690 Trenberth, K.: Uncertainty in hurricanes and global warming, *Science*, 308 (5729), 1753-1754, doi:10.1126/science.1112551, 2005.

Voldoire, A., Decharme, B., Pianezze, J., Lebeaupin Brossier, C., Sevault, F., Seyfried, L., Garnier, V., Bielli, S., Valcke, S., Alias, A., Accensi, M., Arduin, F., Bouin, M.-N., Ducrocq, V., Faroux, S., Giordani, H., Léger, F., Marsaleix, P., Rainaud, R., Redelsperger, J.-L., Richard, E., and Riette, S.: SURFEX v8.0 interface with OASIS3-MCT to couple atmosphere with hydrology, ocean, waves and sea-ice models, from coastal to global scales, *Geosci. Model Dev.*, 10, 4207–4227, <https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-10-4207-2017>, 2017.

695

## Tables

	$U_{10}$	$\theta$	SST	$q$
$H+LE$	0.66	-0.20	0.35	0.48
$LE$	0.65	0.10	0.36	0.33
$H$	0.38	-0.70	0.21	
$U_{10}$		-0.10	-0.25	0.84
$\theta$			-0.04	-0.03
SST				-0.18

700 **Table 1:** Spearman's rank correlations between the enthalpy flux, latent and sensible heat flux and related parameters (10 m wind speed  $U_{10}$ , potential temperature at 10 m  $\theta$ , SST and humidity at 10 m  $q$ ) at 09:00 UTC on 7 November, from the CPL simulation, on the EF600 area.

	$U_{10}$	$\theta$	SST	$q$
$H+LE$	0.62	-0.14	0.28	0.49
$LE$	0.49	0.22	0.42	0.23
$H$	0.55	-0.72	-0.10	
$U_{10}$		-0.19	-0.38	0.87
$\theta$			0.41	-0.32
SST				-0.34

705

**Table 2:** Same as Table 1 at 13:00 UTC on 7 November.

710

	$U_{10}$	$\theta$	SST	$q$
$H+LE$	0.31	-0.09	0.32	0.17
$LE$	0.16	0.26	0.46	-0.03
$H$	0.37	-0.75	-0.20	
$U_{10}$		-0.02	-0.52	0.93
$\theta$			0.40	-0.04
SST				-0.49

715 **Table 3:** Same as Table 1 at 18:00 UTC on 7 November.

## Figure captions

720

**Figure 1:** Potential vorticity (PV) anomaly at 300 hPa (colour scale) and SLP (isocontours every 4 hPa) at 12:00 UTC on 6 November (a) and 06:00 UTC on 7 November (c), temperature (colour scale, °C) and wind at 850 hPa at 06:00 UTC on 6 November (b) from the ERA5 reanalysis.

725 **Figure 2:** Map of the large-scale domain D1, with the domain D2 indicated by the solid-line frame and the area of interest (AI) indicated by the dashed-line frame.

**Figure 3:** Comparison of the simulated tracks (triangles) of the non-coupled run (NOCPL, red), coupled run with SST only (NOCUR, cyan) and fully coupled run (CPL, blue) with the best track (black closed circles) based on observations as in Cioni et al., (2018). The position is shown every hour with time labels every 3 h, starting at 09:00 UTC on 7 November until 12:00 UTC on 8 November. In  
730 colours, initial Sea Surface Temperature (SST, °C) at 01:00 UTC on 7 November.

**Figure 4:** Time series of the maximum of the 10 m wind speed, and of the 10 m wind averaged over a 100 km radius around the cyclone centre (a) and minimum sea-level pressure (b) as obtained in the different simulations on the 7 November and 8 November until 12:00  
735 UTC. The thin red line in (a) indicates the 18 m s<sup>-1</sup> wind speed threshold. The background shading (here and in the following time-series plots) indicates the development (light blue), mature (orange) and decay (grey) phases. The observations of SLP in Linosa (black plain circles) are shown for comparison in (b), the observations of wind speed from Malta, Lampedusa and Pantelleria are shown in (a) – see text.

740 **Figure 5:** Potential vorticity at 300 hPa (colour scale) and SLP (isocontours every 4 hPa, the 1000 hPa isobar is in bold), (a, c, e, g) and equivalent potential temperature (°C, colour scale) and wind at 850 hPa, SLP, and 6 PVU at 300 hPa isocontours (red), (b, d, f, h) from the NOCPL simulation.

**Figure 6:** Phase diagram of the NOCPL simulated cyclone from 01:00 UTC on 7 November till 12:00 UTC on 8 November, with low-  
745 tropospheric thickness asymmetry inside the cyclone ( $B$ ) with respect to low-tropospheric thermal wind ( $-V_{LT}$ ) (a), and upper-tropospheric thermal wind ( $-V_{UT}$ ) with respect to low-tropospheric thermal wind (b). The development phase is in blue, the mature phase in red, and the decay phase in black.

750 **Figure 7:** Histogram of the mean rain rate distribution (in number of grid points) for the development (blue) and mature (red) phases in the NOCPL simulation. The enclosed figure shows a zoom on the highest rates.

755 **Figure 8:** Time series of the median differences between the SST in the CPL and NOCPL simulations, on the whole domain (red) and on the EF600 area (blue, see text for definition), on the 7 November. The boxes indicates the 25 and 75 % quantiles and the whiskers the 5 and 95 % quantiles. The SST differences on the EF600 area between the NOCUR and NOCPL simulations are also shown (cyan). Some of the boxes have been slightly shifted horizontally for clarity.

760 **Figure 9:** Time series of the mean values and standard deviations (error bars) of the total turbulent heat flux (blue), latent (cyan) and sensible heat flux (red) in the CPL (open circles) and NOCPL (triangles) simulations (a) and of the mean difference between CPL and NOCPL turbulent fluxes (open circles, same colour code) and between NOCUR and NOCPL turbulent fluxes, in percent relative to the NOCPL values (b) on the EF600 area.

**Figure 10:** Time series of the median values of latent (blue) and sensible heat fluxes (red, a) and of SST (b) on the EF600 area (see text), in the NOCPL run on the 7 November. The boxes corresponds to the 25 and 75 % quantiles, the whiskers to the 5 and 95 % quantiles.

765 **Figure 11:** Map of equivalent potential temperature (warm colours) and virtual potential temperature below 19 °C (blue shades) at first level, horizontal convergence rate above  $1 \cdot 10^{-3} \text{ m s}^{-2}$  at 100 m (yellow contours), 10 m wind (arrows) and SLP (black contours) at 08:30 UTC on 7 November (a), and vertical cross-section of equivalent potential temperature and virtual potential temperature (colour scale), tangential wind (black vectors, the vertical component is amplified by a factor 20), potential vorticity anomaly (white contour at 5 PVU) along a west-east transect (b) (dashed line in (a)). Grey stars indicate the position of the SLP minimum.

770

**Figure 12:** Time series of Spearman's rank-order correlation  $r_s$  between the latent heat flux  $LE$  and 10 m wind speed (green), potential temperature at 10 m (red), SST (blue) and specific humidity at 2 m (cyan) on the whole domain (a) and on the EF600 area (b), in the CPL simulation.

775 **Figure 13:** Maps of the turbulent heat fluxes  $LE$  (a),  $H$  (d), 10 m wind  $U_{10}$  (b), 10 m potential temperature (c), SST (e) and specific humidity at 2 m (f) at 09:00 UTC on 7 November, in the CPL simulation.

**Figure 14:** Same as Figure 12 but between the sensible heat flux  $H$  and 10 m wind speed (green), potential temperature at 10 m (red), and SST (blue).

780 **Figure 15:** Vertical cross-sections of equivalent potential temperature  $\theta_e$  ( $^{\circ}\text{C}$ , colour scale) and relative humidity (% , isolines), (a, b), DPV (intensity), (c, d) and WPV (intensity), (e, f) on a west-east transect across the cyclone centre, at 13:00 (a, c, e) and 18:00 UTC (b, d, f) on 7 November, in the CPL simulation. The black contours in (c) to (f) correspond to intensities 1 and 3 (as defined in Miglietta et al., 2017).

**Figure 16:** Same as Figure 13 but at 13:00 UTC on 7 November.

785

**Figure 17:** Map of the backtrajectories of air parcels arriving south of the cyclone centre at 23:00 UTC on 7 November, 1500 m above sea level, at 3 different levels (circles, squares and diamonds). The first point of the trajectories correspond to the start of the D2 domain simulation (00 UTC the 07 November). The colour scale indicates the equivalent potential temperature ( $^{\circ}\text{C}$ ) and the size of the symbol is inversely proportional to altitude between 0 and 1000 m, and constant above 1000 m. Are also shown the values of the final equivalent potential temperature, of the initial equivalent potential temperatures, the wind field at 900 hPa (black vectors), and the surface enthalpy flux (grey shades) with a threshold at  $600 \text{ W m}^{-2}$  (white contour) at 15:30 UTC when the particles arrive at sea south of Sicily.

790

**Figure 18:** Same as Figure 13 but at 18:00 UTC on 7 November.

795 **Figure 19:** Vertical profiles of PV (a), and DPV and WPV (b) averaged within a 100 km radius circle around the cyclone centre at 09:00 (red), 13:00 (green) and 18:00 UTC (blue) on 7 November, in the CPL simulation.