Reply to comments of the first referee

First of all, there was no rebuttal to our interpretations and the comments raised by the first referee were important to improved our manuscript. We almost followed all of them.

1) *Some of the discussion parts are unnecessarily lengthy especially considering the insignificance of the problems in question. The proportion of natural vs. anthropogenic estimates have been done before and usually bear a large uncertainty and is not a critical problem. Those lengthy discussions and estimates are diluting the important discoveries in this study. I suggest trying to trim the text down to 50% of the current length. Focus on new things, the $\Delta^{33}S$, and the $\Delta^{33}S$ and $\Delta^{36}S$ correlation.*

   We think that before addressing if Δ^{33}S anomaly lies in a “process” rather than a “source”, it is important to check if S and O isotopic compositions are affected by processes (e.g. SO_2 partial oxidation, gypsum precipitation…) even if it only slightly overprint the source signatures. It would otherwise confuse the reader having two sections, one dealing with mixing (δ^{34}S-δ^{18}O-Δ^{17}O), the other dealing with processes (δ^{34}S-Δ^{33}S-Δ^{36}S). We added our developed reasoning L277-292. Given that δ^{34}S-δ^{18}O variation is explained by a mixing, representing source signatures and that Δ^{17}O-values are evidence for atmospheric aerosols, we know that Δ^{33}S signature is not overprinted and that the source or process leading to this anomaly is atmospheric (not coming from the host-rock). We merged δ^{34}S-δ^{18}O-Δ^{17}O sections, keeping the discussion about processes even if mixing is the main mechanism and reduced the text by ~ 1.5 pages for this part of the discussion, almost 20% of the 50% asked by the first referee, whereas the second referee leaves us the decision to reduce or not. Furthermore, we added details asked by both referees which does not allow us to reduce the text as much. The discussion is very detailed because we think that most of the time, the interpretation is limited to a mixing without discussing other processes. Furthermore, various scientific communities could be interested in this paper without understanding all concepts covered (e.g. black crusts, stable isotope geochemistry in the atmosphere, mass-independent fractionation). Consequently, we wish to keep a detailed, rigorous and accordingly developed discussion to allow everyone a good comprehension.

2) *I could guess from the text that at least two writers were writing this manuscript. Make sure the English and flow are consistent.*

   We agree and tried to homogenize the English in the manuscript.

3) We took into account all the syntactic comments suggested by the referee, not listed here.

4) *Line 26-29: This is inconsistent with the many published negative* $\Delta^{33}S$ *data from Beijing, e.g. Han et al., 2017.*

   We clarified this aspect, adding L27 that "except for a few samples", sulfate aerosols have mostly positive $\Delta^{33}S$.

5) For the following comments:

"Not necessarily going through a H_2SO_4 phase" we added "can" L32.

"Influent" is replaced by "efficient particles" L37.

"Not necessarily distant" we added "a local or more distant source" L45.

For the oxidants, we used the simplification suggested by the referee that is the three main pathways L44-47.

6) *Line 81: "Intrinsic" is a poor choice here.* and *Line 225: "extrinsic" and "intrinsic" are not ideal words here.*

   We agree but we only reported this term because used in the literature by Kramar et al., (2011) and Kloppmann et al., (2011). Because many distinctions exist for sulfate aerosols (primary/secondary; natural/anthropogenic…), we removed the intrinsic/extrinsic distinction in the discussion, only recalling its use in the literature L100-101.

7) *Line 88-89: Few sulfate samples have been measured for all the 4 sulfur and 3 oxygen isotope compositions together. Thus, this is not a significant thing to say.*

   We wanted to highlight that this is the first time for black crusts samples. We agree it is not the case for sulfate aerosols and we removed this emphasis L107.

8) *Line 105-114: There are numerous conceptual misunderstanding and inaccuracies in these writings. I suggest delete them all. Line 114-115: Some of the deviations maybe still be massdependent under this definition per se. Line 117: I suggest you use 0.5305 for the sake of internal consistency. Note that both 0.515 and 1.889 are the high-temperature limit values for quadruple sulfur isotope system. For triple oxygen isotope system at high-T limit, the exponent value is 0.5305.*

   We explained in another way, L23-128, the concept of mass-dependent vs mass-independent fractionation depending on how large is the deviation from the mass-dependent curve, without using the term "anomaly" which was indeed ambiguous. We also applied 0.5305 for $^{17}\beta$ (L119, L123 and Eq. 1), specifying that all the β used here are the high temperature limit (L123), as suggested by the referee.

9) *Line 158: We had a similar correction factor. This correction will have +/-2‰ error (1 sigma). Sample impurity and therefore O_2 yield has been the major source of errors. Thus, the actual error for ^{18}O of sulfate could be much larger.*

   We believe that our correction factor can be applied to all samples without such a significant error because 1) all samples were purified on an ion-exchange resin to get only the sulfates (protocol implemented by Legendre et al., 2017) and 2) the reproducibility between duplicate samples is ~ 0.3‰, lower than the NBS127 reproducibility. If the O_2 yield was different for one sample, we should notice it with the duplicate uncertainty. We added this explanation L189-191.

10) *Line 228-239: These discussions are not necessary because the Rayleigh process requires sampling from the residues or products of the same reservoir during evolution and the black crust gypsum is not.*

    Here, we modeled black crusts as the cumulated product, not as instantaneous product. The initial isotopic composition is the same for the whole Parisian basin, and black crusts from different places represent the cumulated products at different residual fraction F, which is the residual sulfates in water that leached the host-rock. Our gypsum precipitation model is now better specified L295-300.

11) *Line 240-252: I think this is because Harris et al (2012)'s fractionation factors are not for multiple steps with multiple oxygen sources and are only applicable in their particular experimental settings.*

    We agree that they are applicable in their particular experimental settings but they are the only existing parameters so far, we cannot therefore neglect them. We choose to keep our model in the manuscript L302-307.

12) *Line 256, 257, 261 ...: significant digits should reflect experimental error, in the case of $\delta^{34}S$, it should be at most at the second decimal points.*

    We modified the digits of all $\delta^{34}S$ and $\Delta^{33}S$ (second decimal points).

13) *Line 393-402: This O_3-H_2O_2 proportion exercise is not only too simplified but also invalid because you did not consider the contribution of Fe-Mn catalyzed oxidation by O_2 in aqueous condition, a pathway that is known to be significant.*

    In the new version, we removed this calculation because the main point was only to highlight the required presence of atmospheric sulfates in black crusts ($\Delta^{17}O > 0.65$‰).

14) *Line 444-445: The sentence "resulting in negative $\Delta 33S$-$\Delta 36S$ but not low enough to explain $\Delta 33S < -0.2‰$'" is ambiguous here.*

    We wrote it in another way L632-633, specifying the model on figure 6 "resulting in slightly negative $\Delta^{33}S$-$\Delta^{36}S$ that could not explain the $\Delta^{33}S$ as low as -0.34 ‰ measured in black crusts (yellow frames on Fig. 6)".

15) *Line 521-523: I'd rather see this "microbial ..." sentence deleted entirely.*

    As we do not know the reaction leading to MIE in black crusts, we think it is important to suggest some possibilities that rely on previous observations. We did not accordingly delete this sentence.

Reply to comments of Mang Lin, second referee

First of all, there was no rebuttal to our interpretations and the comments raised by the second referee were important to improved our manuscript. We almost followed all of them.

1) *The manuscript is too long and there is too much information. I think the most important finding of this manuscript is the observation of negative D33S values. I believe that the authors agree with me as they also put this information in the title. However, the authors used 5-6 pages to discuss d18O, d34S, and D17O data, which may be distracting. I agree that some discussions in those parts are important to support the authors' interpretation, but in my point of view, many of them may be unnecessary and even irrelevant (e.g., lines 370-375). I understand that everyone has his/her own writing style, but I am afraid that some readers will get lost in this manuscript. My suggestion is that the authors should shorten the d18O, d34S, and D17O discussions, and highlight their most important findings (i.e., the negative D33S data). Though I will leave it for the authors to decide as to whether they prefer keeping their writing style.*

    We think that before arguing that the origin of the $\Delta^{33}S$ anomaly lies in a « process » rather than a « source », it is a pre-requisite to address if S and O isotopic compositions are affected by processes (e.g. SO_2 partial oxidation, gypsum precipitation…) even if it only slightly overprints the source signatures. It would otherwise confuse the reader having two sections, one dealing with mixing ($\delta^{34}S$-$\delta^{18}O$-$\Delta^{17}O$), the other dealing with processes ($\delta^{34}S$-$\Delta^{33}S$-$\Delta^{36}S$). We added our developed reasoning L277-292. Given that $\delta^{34}S$-$\delta^{18}O$ variation is explained by a mixing, representing source signatures and that $\Delta^{17}O$-values are evidence for atmospheric aerosols, we know that $\Delta^{33}S$ signature is not overprinted and that the source or process leading to this anomaly is atmospheric (not coming from the host-rock). We merged $\delta^{34}S$-$\delta^{18}O$-$\Delta^{17}O$ sections, keeping the discussion about processes even if mixing is the main mechanism and reduced the text by ~ 1.5 pages for this part of the discussion, almost 20% of the 50% asked by the first referee. Furthermore, we added details asked by both referees which does not allow us to reduce the text as much. The discussion is very detailed and as the referee said, it is the writing style of our laboratory because we think that most of the time, the interpretation is limited to a mixing without discussing other processes. Furthermore, various scientific communities could be interested in this paper without understanding all concepts covered (e.g. black crusts, stable isotope geochemistry in the atmosphere, mass-independent fractionation). Consequently, we wish to keep a detailed, rigorous and accordingly developed discussion to allow everyone a good comprehension.

2) *In the introduction, the current state and gap of our knowledge on S-MIF (especially D33S) is not well presented. It is true that most aerosol measurements displayed positive D33S values, but negative D33S values were also noted by previous works by Lee et al. (2002), Shaheen et al. (2014), Han et al. (2017), and Lin et al. (2018a). In addition, I suggested the authors highlighted their recent work (Au Yang et al., 2019) in the introduction. Au Yang et al., (2019) suspected that photooxidation of SO2 on the surface of mineral dusts may produce large S-MIF signatures, and the gypsum layer on carbonate studied in this study is a natural laboratory to test their hypothesis and concept model.*

   We agree and referred to previous works (L60-75) reporting negative Δ^{33}S-values. As suggested by the referee, we also highlighted the study of Au Yang et al., (2019) which proposes a model to counterbalance $\Delta^{33}S > 0‰$ in sulfate aerosols.

3) *The authors listed some end-members to quantify the anthropogenic contribution, but it is not clear how the authors defined their isotopic values. For example, in line 289, it was mentioned that the end-member CAS/PL has a D17O value of zero per mil. Did the author measure the CAS/PL? Is this value just a simple predication? In line 291, it was mentioned that the other end-member possesses d18O values ranging from 5 to 15 per mil. I am not sure where the authors obtained these numbers. Can the authors clarify?*

   First, end-members were chosen graphically to encompass all our data on Fig. 4 and 5. This is the reason why the CAS/Pl end-member (which is inferred here) has a Δ^{17}O of 0‰, in agreement with marine sulfates Δ^{17}O. Then, we looked for sources in the literature that match those end-members. The way we chose our end-members is clarified in the text. Concerning the δ^{18}O, the ^{34}S-depleted end-member (anthropogenic sulfur) has a variable δ^{18}O from approximately 5 to 15‰ to encompass all our black crusts graphically. We chose to limit this mixing calculation to two end-members (explaining the large δ^{18}O range for An end-member) because the variation of oxygen isotopes ($\delta^{18}O$ and $\Delta^{17}O$) reflects various oxidation pathways.

The definition of anthropogenic emission endmember (d34S = -3 per mil) is also unclear and may be problematic. It is well-known that d34S values of anthropogenic emitted sulfur are highly variable. This fact is also noted by the authors in lines 314-316. Given that the 34S value could be from -30 per mil to 30 per mil as cited by the authors, I am confused why the value of -3 per mil was selected. I checked Montana et al. (2012) cited by the authors but cannot find the value of -3 per mil.

Anthropogenic sulfur, with its wide range of $\delta^{34}S$ from -30 to 30‰ can match both end-members but the sulfate aerosols in Paris measured by David Au Yang during his PhD show a narrow range between -0.57 and 11.33‰ (L399-401) and the vicinity of the plaster and occurrence of CAS with ^{34}S-enriched sulfates cannot be neglected as potential sources. Therefore, we decided to model a mixing between two different sources, ^{34}S-enriched and depleted. We took an An $\delta^{34}S$ end-member of -3‰ because it enables to encompass all our data graphically, it is the same as reported by Montana et al. (2008) (and not Montana et al., (2012), sorry for this mistake) and close to the most negative value measured in Paris ($\delta^{34}S$ = -0.57‰). To highlight the sensitivity of our calculation to the somewhat assumed $\delta^{34}S$ of -3‰, we also calculated mixing proportions with an An $\delta^{34}S$ end-member of -10‰, encompassing the black crusts of Antwerp supposed to trap higher amounts of anthropogenic sulfur (Torfs et al., 1997; L417).

4) *I would like to point out a possibility that pyrite could be oxidized by H2O2 or even O3. This is shown by a recent talk in the AGU fall meeting this month(https://agu.confex.com/agu/fm19/meetingapp.cgi/Paper/597703). I am not saying that such oxidation pathways play a dominant role in the authors' samples, but the authors should carefully consider this possibility. One can also argue that the authors' samples are mixed by three end-members (CAS/PL, anthropogenic sulfur, and oxidized pyrites).*

   We added this information in the manuscript L371-396. Pyrite oxidation by O_3 could explain $\Delta^{17}O > 0$‰ for the ^{34}S-depleted end-member but we argued that so far the fluxes of common atmospheric constituents for pyrite oxidation, i.e. O_2 and H_2O, are still higher than O_3 amounts.

5) *The D17O calculation in line 397 is wrong. The measured D17O value is also controlled by other oxidants such as OH radical and O2. In the calculation done by the authors, the*

estimated contribution of S(IV)+O3 oxidation pathway is just the lower limit as the authors assume the S(IV)+H2O2 reaction is the only other oxidation pathway. The authors can also estimate the maximum contribution of S(IV)+O3 oxidation pathway by assuming no contribution from H2O2.

In the new version, we removed this calculation because the main point was only to highlight the presence of atmospheric sulfates in black crusts (Δ^{17}O > 0.65‰).

6) *Line 514: This is a misleading statement. It is an "interpretation" instead of "observation". Non-zero D33S value is still a prediction and has not been confirmed by any measurement yet. It is important to do such measurements in the future to test the authors' prediction though.*

   This misleading is corrected in the new version L707-710: "SO_2 in the Paris basin still has to be measured to confirm this assumption but so far, this could be consistent with the interpretation that non-zero Δ^{33}S-values of residual/background atmospheric SO_2 are erased by anthropogenic SO_2 having zero Δ^{33}S-values (Au Yang et al., 2019) moving towards the local source(s) of anthropogenic SO_2."

7) *In the discussion of magnetic isotope effects, the authors suggested that they cannot rule out the effect of micro-organisms. If isotopic compositions in black crusts were linked to magnetic isotope effects from microbial activities, how do the authors explain the D17O data?*

   We think that oxygen isotope compositions are not affected by MIE because we should otherwise expect at least that the most ^{33}S-depleted sample corresponds to the most ^{17}O-depleted-values, which is not the case (added L716-720). Furthermore, sulfates from black crusts sampled in Paris have Δ^{17}O similar to sulfate aerosols also gathered in Paris by Lee et al., (2002), suggesting that oxygen isotopes record an atmospheric process, not affected by MIE on the surface of building stones.

8) *I suggest putting all legends on all figures. It is difficult to check the caption one by one.*

   We put all legends on all figures as it was suggested.

Oxygen and sulfur mass-independent isotopic signatures in black crusts: the complementary negative Δ^{33}S-reservoir of sulfate aerosols?

Isabelle Genot[1,2], David Au Yang[1,3,4], Erwan Martin[2], Pierre Cartigny[1], Erwann Legendre[2,5], Marc De Rafelis[6]

[1]Institut de physique du globe de Paris, Université de Paris, CNRS, F-75005 Paris, France.
[2]Sorbonne Université, CNRS-INSU, Institut des Sciences de la Terre de Paris, IsteP UMR7193 Paris, France.
[3]GEOTOP/Université du Québec à Montreal, Montreal H3C 3P8, Canada
[4]Department of Earth and Planetary Sciences, McGill University, Montréal, Canada.
[5]LATMOS-IPSL - Sorbonne Université - Université Versailles St.-Quentin, Paris, France.
[6]GET, Université Paul Sabatier, Toulouse, France.

Correspondence to: Isabelle Genot (genot@ipgp.fr)

Abstract To better understand the formation and the oxidation pathways leading to gypsum-forming "black crusts" and investigate their bearing on the whole atmospheric SO_2 cycle, we measured the oxygen (δ^{17}O, δ^{18}O and Δ^{17}O) and sulfur (δ^{33}S, δ^{34}S, δ^{36}S, Δ^{33}S and Δ^{36}S) isotopic compositions of black crust sulfates sampled on carbonate building stones along a NW-SE cross-section in the Parisian Basin. The δ^{18}O and δ^{34}S, ranging between 7.5 and 16.7 ± 0.5 ‰ (n = 27, 2σ) and between -2.66 and 13.99 ± 0.20 ‰ respectively, show anthropogenic SO_2 as the main sulfur source (from ~ 2 to 81 %, average ~ 30 %) with host-rock sulfates making the complement. This is supported by Δ^{17}O-values (up to 2.6 ‰, in average ~ 0.86 ‰), requiring > 60 % of atmospheric sulfates in black crusts. Both negative Δ^{33}S-Δ^{36}S-values between -0.34 and 0.00 ± 0.01 ‰ and between -0.76 and -0.22 ± 0.20 ‰ respectively were measured in black crust sulfates, that is typical of a magnetic isotope effect that would occur during the SO_2 oxidation on the building stone, leading to ^{33}S-depletion in black crust sulfates and subsequent ^{33}S-enrichment in residual SO_2. Except for a few samples, sulfate aerosols have mostly Δ^{33}S > 0 ‰ and no processes can yet explain this enrichment, resulting in a non-consistent S-budget: black crust sulfates could well represent the complementary negative Δ^{33}S-reservoir of the sulfate aerosols solving the atmospheric SO_2 budget.

Supprimé: Given that

1. Introduction

The oxidation of sulfur dioxide emitted into the atmosphere (between 100 and 110 Tg(SO_2).yr^{-1}, Klimont et al., 2013) can result in the formation of H_2SO_4 that forms sulfate aerosols; having light-scattering properties that alter the radiative balance of the planet. Furthermore, they also modify the microphysical properties of clouds through the number and size of cloud condensation nucleus process (CCN; e.g. (Weber et al., 2001). Although quantified with large uncertainties, the formation of sulfate aerosols results in an Earth surface cooling (Forster et al., 2007), with a negative radiative forcing from -0.62 to -0.21 W.m^{-2}, in average ~ -0.41 W.m^{-2}. Overall, sulfate aerosols are the most efficient particles that counterbalance the greenhouse effect (Stocker, 2014). Uncertainties regarding the formation of sulfate aerosols relate to the large variety of oxidants and conditions (e.g. pH) but in view of their major impact on climate, a more accurate understanding of the formation of these particles is necessary.

Primary sulfate aerosols consist of sulfates formed during their emission into the atmosphere (e.g. sea-salt sulfates, combustion products, volcanic sulfates) which involves therefore a local origin (Holt and Kumar, 1991). Secondary sulfate aerosols are formed later in the atmosphere following various oxidation pathways (e.g. oxidation via OH, O_2-Transition Metal Ion (TMI), O_3, H_2O_2, NO_2…) and relate to a local or more distant sulfur source (Seinfeld and Pandis, 2016). SO_2-oxidation can occur in the gas phase (homogeneous reaction, e.g. with OH), in the aqueous phase (e.g. with H_2O_2, O_3, O_2-TMI) or on a surface (heterogeneous reaction), resulting in different size and number of aerosols particles with distinct effects on radiative balance.

Stable isotope geochemistry is a central tool to both characterizing sulfur sources and quantify the different oxidants. The δ notation used here is defined as:

$\delta = [(R / R_{std}) - 1]$ with $R = {}^{18,17}O / {}^{16}O$ for $\delta^{18}O$ and $\delta^{17}O$ or $R = {}^{34,33,36}S/{}^{32}S$ for $\delta^{34}S$, $\delta^{33}S$ and $\delta^{36}S$

and isotope fractionation factors are expressed as follows:

${}^{18/16}\alpha_{A\text{-}B} = ({}^{18}O/{}^{16}O)_A/({}^{18}O/{}^{16}O)_B$ with A and B being two different phases.

Given that the oxidants have distinct $\delta^{18}O$ and $\Delta^{17}O$ signatures, the SO_2 oxidation pathways are commonly constrained using oxygen-multi isotope ratios ($\delta^{18}O$, $\delta^{17}O$ and $\Delta^{17}O$, defined in the following section) (Alexander et al., 2012; Bindeman et al., 2007; Jenkins and Bao, 2006; Lee and Thiemens, 2001; Savarino et al., 2000; Martin, 2018). Sulfur isotope fractionation during SO_2 oxidation by OH, O_2-TMI, H_2O_2, O_3 (Harris et al., 2012a; Harris et al., 2012b; Harris et al., 2013a; Harris et al., 2013b) and NO_2 (Au Yang et al., 2018) have been determined, so additional constraints can also be brought by S-multi isotopic compositions ($\delta^{34}S$, $\delta^{33}S$, $\delta^{36}S$, $\Delta^{33}S$ and $\Delta^{36}S$). At present, it is however difficult to reach a consistent budget for tropospheric SO_2 oxidation (chemically and isotopically). Indeed, most of rural and urban sulfate aerosols have positive $\Delta^{33}S$-values (Au Yang et al., 2019; Guo et al., 2010; Han et al., 2017; Lin et al., 2018b; Romero and Thiemens, 2003; Shaheen et al., 2014), implying either a source of SO_2 with $\Delta^{33}S > 0$ ‰ (which has not been identified yet as all known sources have $\Delta^{33}S \sim 0$ ‰; (Lin et al., 2018b), or more likely processes such as SO_2 photolysis in the stratosphere (e.g. Farquhar et al. (2001), contributing to the ^{33}S-enriched tropospheric sulfate reservoir from initial SO_2 with $\Delta^{33}S = 0$ ‰, that should be balanced by a ^{33}S-depleted reservoir, but which remain scarce (see Shaheen et al., 2014; Han et al., 2017; Lin et al., 2018a). Negative $\Delta^{33}S$-values were suggested to result specifically from combustion (Lee et al., 2002) and/or OCS-photolysis (Lin et al., 2011). Still, the mass balance among positive and negative $\Delta^{33}S$-values is not consistent. As none of the most significant tropospheric SO_2 oxidation reaction can either account for $\Delta^{33}S$ anomalies in sulfate aerosols (Au Yang et al., 2018; Guo et al., 2010; Han et al., 2017; Harris et al., 2013b; Lee et al., 2002; Lin et al., 2018a; Lin et al., 2018b; Romero and Thiemens, 2003; Shaheen et al., 2014), this leads to the suggestion that either some reactions or SO_2 sources have been overlooked. Finally, a recent study highlights the possibility of SO_2 oxidation on mineral dust surfaces resulting in ^{33}S-depleted sulfate deposit in rural environment and subsequent ^{33}S-enrichment of residual SO_2 transported to cities (Au Yang et al., 2019), but the negative $\Delta^{33}S$-values were still missing.

In this respect, black crusts potentially represent new ways to sample the atmosphere in urban regions at relatively global scale. They are generally formed by the sulfation of the underlying carbonate substrate resulting in a gypsum layer (Camuffo, 1995)(Fig. 1). Due to their degradation effects of monuments and buildings, in particular because the molar volume of $CaSO_4$ is larger than that of $CaCO_3$, several studies investigated sources of sulfur in black crusts, using primarily the isotopic composition of sulfur ($\delta^{34}S$) and oxygen ($\delta^{18}O$), microscopic and mineralogical aspects. Anthropogenic sulfur was found to be the major source contributing to monuments degradation in several localities compared to marine or volcanic sulfate sources (Longinelli and Bartelloni, 1978; Montana et al., 2012;

Supprimé: via OH radical occurs

Supprimé: oxidation) and induces the nucleation of new aerosols particles

Supprimé: atmosphere, while the oxidation reactions by

Supprimé: and

Supprimé: take place in aqueous-phase (

Supprimé: oxidation), leading to sulfates aerosols formation on preexisting particles including cloud droplets. Therefore, SO_2 oxidation by these different oxidation channels results

Supprimé:) or more likely processes forming ^{33}S-enriched sulfates reservoir from initial SO_2 with $\Delta^{33}S = 0$ ‰, that should be balanced by a ^{33}S-depleted reservoir. As none of the studied reaction process can account for positive $\Delta^{33}S$-values of

Supprimé: some oxidants or the SO_2 source either have been overlooked

Montana et al., 2008; Torfs et al., 1997). Sulfates from the host-rock, i.e. plaster, mortar or oxidized pyrite (defined as intrinsic in the literature; Klemm and Siedel, 2002; Kloppmann et al., 2011; Kramar et al., 2011; Vallet et al., 2006) and sulfates from aquifer rising by capillarity (Kloppmann et al., 2014) were also identified as sulfur sources in black crusts. Black crusts being sometimes the host of microbial activity (Gaylarde et al., 2007; Sáiz-Jiménez, 1995; Scheerer et al., 2009; Schiavon, 2002; Tiano, 2002), other studies investigated the role of bacteria in gypsum formation through sulfate reduction and/or SO_2 oxidation (Tiano, 2002; Tiano et al., 1975). Except the work of Šrámek (1980) measuring black crust sulfates $\delta^{34}S$ that rule out the implication of micro-organisms in their formation, no further constraint has been brought so far. In this paper, we present new oxygen and sulfur isotopic composition measurements of sulfate extracted from black crusts and report significant $\Delta^{17}O$, $\Delta^{33}S$, $\Delta^{36}S$ anomalies that help to discuss oxygen and sulfur isotopic variations both in term of source effects to elucidate their origin and in term of fractionation processes leading to black crusts formation in the Paris area.

2. Mass-dependent and independent fractionations

As many chemical reactions, O- and S-isotopic compositions of SO_2 vary during its oxidation. Most reactions are "mass-dependent", meaning the isotopic fractionation relies on the mass differences between the isotopes; this remains valid for most unidirectional (kinetic) and/or exchange (equilibrium) reactions. In a system with at least three isotopes, mass fractionation law at equilibrium and high temperature can be derived from their partition function (Bigeleisen and Mayer, 1947; Dauphas and Schauble, 2016; Urey, 1947; Young et al., 2002) as follows for instance with oxygen isotopes and SO_2 oxidation in sulfates:

$$^{17/18}\beta_{SO4\text{-}SO2} = \ln{}^{17/16}\alpha_{SO4\text{-}SO2} / \ln{}^{18/16}\alpha_{SO4\text{-}SO2} \sim (1/m_{16} - 1/m_{17}) / (1/m_{16} - 1/m_{18}) \sim 0.5305$$

with $^{17}\beta_{SO4\text{-}SO2}$, the mass exponent describing the relative fractionation between $^{17}O/^{16}O$ and $^{18}O/^{16}O$, m, the mass of each isotope and $^{17/16}\alpha_{SO4\text{-}SO2}$, the isotope fractionation factor between two phases (defined in the introduction). Same equations can be written for ^{33}S and ^{36}S.

Here, we will use $^{17}\beta$, $^{33}\beta$ and $^{36}\beta$-values ~ 0.5305, 0.515 and 1.889 respectively, the high-temperature limit, which has been shown to be applicable for a wide range of temperature phases (Dauphas and Schauble, 2016) and isotope systems (S, Fe, Mg, O, Si…). Thus, the β-exponent represents the slope in a δ-δ space, called the "mass-dependent fractionation line", which is actually approximated from a curve (this simplification is not used in this paper). Deviations from the reference "mass-dependent" curve do not imply necessarily isotopic variations that are independent from the isotopes masses. These deviations are quantified with the Δ-parameter expressed following Eq. (1), Eq. (2) and Eq. (3) (Farquhar and Wing, 2003; Thiemens, 1999):

$$\Delta^{17}O = \delta^{17}O - 1000 \times [(\delta^{18}O / 1000 + 1)^{0.5305} - 1] \qquad (1)$$

$$\Delta^{33}S = \delta^{33}S - 1000 \times [(\delta^{34}S/1000 + 1)^{0.515} - 1] \qquad (2)$$

$$\Delta^{36}S = \delta^{36}S - 1000 \times [(\delta^{34}S/1000 + 1)^{1.889} - 1] \qquad (3)$$

Small non-zero $\Delta^{17}O$-$\Delta^{33}S$-$\Delta^{36}S$-values (typically between - 0.1 and + 0.1 ‰) can result from mixing, mass-dependent processes such as Rayleigh distillation or mass conservation effects and non-equilibrium processes (Farquhar et al., 2007; Ono et al., 2006) whereas large non-zero $\Delta^{17}O$-$\Delta^{33}S$-$\Delta^{36}S$-values (higher than + 0.2 ‰ or lower than - 0.2 ‰) imply mass-independent fractionation (Cabral et al., 2013; Delavault et al., 2016; Farquhar et al., 2000; Farquhar et al., 2007b; Farquhar et al., 2002; Ono et al., 2003). Oxidation reactions would then change $\delta^{17}O$ and $\delta^{18}O$ but not the $\Delta^{17}O$, which would primarily vary through mixing of O-reservoirs with variable $\Delta^{17}O$.

Supprimé: Intrinsic sulfates, that are

Supprimé: (

Supprimé: Thus, black crusts were never investigated for all the oxygen ($\delta^{18}O$, $\delta^{17}O$ and therefore $\Delta^{17}O$) and sulfur ($\delta^{34}S$, $\delta^{33}S$, $\delta^{36}S$ and therefore $\Delta^{33}S$ and $\Delta^{36}S$) isotopic ratios contained in sulfate and more specifically, in quantifying the different oxidation channels involved in the sulfate aerosols formation in the troposphere. ... [1]

Supprimé: its

Supprimé: Mass exponent value then depends on the specific reaction considered but usually, $^{17}\beta$, $^{33}\beta$ and $^{36}\beta$-values used are close to 0.5305, 0.515 and 1.889 respectively.

Supprimé: . More rigorously, the trend of isotopic variations is a curve,

Supprimé: by a straight line. In this paper, we do not use

Supprimé: results therefore in an anomalous isotopic composition, Possible mechanisms producing non-zero $\Delta^{17}O$-$\Delta^{33}S$-$\Delta^{36}S$-values recorded in sulfate aerosols are discussed in the following sections. In this paper, we investigate the different processes responsible for the $\Delta^{17}O$, $\Delta^{33}S$ and $\Delta^{36}S$ recorded by black crust sulfates and what can be inferred in terms of black crust formation.

3. Sampling and Methods

3.1. Sampling sites

To access sulfate aerosols from the Parisian region, black crusts were sampled following the prevailing winds according to a NW-SE cross-section, from Fécamp to Sens (Fig. 2 b, c). Therefore, the studied area covers rural, urban and industrial zones including four power plants, major highways and the large Paris metropolis.

A total of 27 samples were collected on the external face of churches, monuments and on walls in the streets. The substrates were generally Lutetian and Cretaceous limestone, the typical building rocks in the Parisian Basin. To ensure a representative sample of sulfate aerosols, the sampling was carried out preferentially oriented to NW or, if possible, not directly exposed to traffic emission. Moreover, to avoid sulfate contamination from soils (i.e. salts by capillary action, water from run-off…), black crusts were sampled at least at a height of 1.50 m above ground level. More details about samples are summarized in Table 1.

3.2 Methods

X-ray diffractometry (XRD D2-phaser BRUCKER, ISTeP Sorbonne Université) was used to specify the mineralogical nature of each sample and therefore, to demonstrate the nature of sulfur. Structural and chemical aspects were subsequently investigated using Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM, ISTeP Sorbonne Université).

Sulfates were leached from 20-100 mg of black crusts and the conversion of gypsum into pure barite was performed according to the protocol developed at the Institut des Sciences de la Terre de Paris (ISTeP) as described by Le Gendre et al. (2017). The use of an ion-exchange resin in this protocol enables the concentration and separation of sulfates from other compounds such as nitrates that can affect the O-isotopic measurements. From about 3 mg of the pure barite samples, the sulfate O-isotopic ratios were measured using the laser fluorination line coupled to a Delta V IR-MS at the Institut de Physique du Globe de Paris (IPGP) (Bao and Thiemens, 2000). Due to SO_2F_2 formation during $BaSO_4$ fluorination, that leads to incomplete O_2 extraction, measured $\delta^{18}O$ and $\delta^{17}O$ are fractionated but were corrected as deduced from the analysis of the international barite standard NBS127 ($\delta^{18}O$ = 8.6 ‰, $\Delta^{17}O \sim 0$ ‰); no correction was applied on $\Delta^{17}O$, remaining unchanged (Bao and Thiemens, 2000). For two NBS127 measured each day during five days (n=10), we obtained a mean $\delta^{18}O = -0.43 \pm 0.54$ (2σ) and a mean $\Delta^{17}O = 0.044 \pm 0.020$ (2σ) within error of the recent value reported by Cowie and Johnston (2016). Bao (2006) reported up to 2‰ variation in the correction factor that would result from sample impurity but as our samples were purified with an ion-exchange resin and that the mean variation of the duplicates is lower than for NBS127, we applied a correction factor of 9.03 on $\delta^{18}O$ for all analyzed samples based on the certified value of NBS127.

The remaining $BaSO_4$ was reduced to hydrogen sulfide (H_2S) by reaction for 2 hours with a heated mixture of hydrochloric (HCl), hydroiodic (HI) and hypophosphorous (H_3PO_2) acids following the protocol described in Thode et al. (1961). H_2S was purged and precipitated as silver sulfide (Ag_2S) passing through a silver nitrate ($AgNO_3$) solution. Ag_2S was then converted to SF_6 and purified (Ono et al., 2006b) and quantified before being

Supprimé: (Bao and Thiemens, 2000).

Supprimé: Thus, a correction factor of 9.03 was applied for analyzed by isotope ratio mass spectrometry (Thermo-Fisher MAT-253) at McGill University. The δ^{34}S-values are expressed versus V-CDT assuming a $\delta^{34}S_{S1}$= -0.30 ‰ vs CDT isotope composition. Our data were then expressed against CDT following the method described by Defouilloy et al. (2016). Analysis of the IAEA-S1 in the laboratory yielded: δ^{34}S = -0.30 ‰, Δ^{33}S = 0.09 ‰ and Δ^{36}S = -0.70 ‰ vs V-CDT. Analysis of the IAEA-S3 (n = 8) gave: δ^{34}S = -32.44 ± 0.30 ‰, Δ^{33}S = 0.069 ± 0.023 ‰ and Δ^{36}S = -0.970 ± 0.277 ‰ vs V-CDT. All values are within the ranges of δ^{34}S, Δ^{33}S, Δ^{36}S accepted or measured by other laboratories for these international standards (Au Yang et al., 2016; Farquhar et al., 2007b; Labidi et al., 2014; Ono et al., 2006b; Geng et al., 2019).

4. Results

4.1. Morphological and chemical aspects

After having confirmed the gypsum nature of the sample by X-ray diffraction, the structural and chemical aspects of black crusts from four different environments were investigated on the basis of SEM observations. In agreement with previous studies (Fronteau et al., 2010; Siegesmund et al., 2007), all samples display two distinct layers. An opaque layer (few tens of µm) comprising massive and sparse gypsum crystals as well as aggregates of clay minerals and particulate matter overlying a layer (~100 µm) composed of more crystallized acicular and rosette-like crystals gypsum (tens of µm, Fig. 3a). As shown on Fig. 3b, soot is both present in urban and rural encrustations being consistent with previous observations (Guo et al., 2010). Moreover, fly ash particles resulting from coal or oil combustion are present in all environments. Parisian samples (PA13-2 and PA14-1) show many fly ashes of a diameter size < 10 µm (primarily composed of Fe) with small gypsum crystals (few micrometers) on their surfaces (Fig. 3c). This is consistent with the catalyzer effect of combustion particles released by diesel and gasoline vehicles, which increases the rate of SO_2 fixation as sulfate (Rodriguez-Navarro and Sebastian, 1996). Scarce fly ashes were also observed in samples from the city of Mantes-la-Jolie (northwest of Paris). The sample MR27-1 shows isolated halite crystals (< 10 µm, Fig. 3e), which can result from marine aerosols, in agreement with its location near the sea as well as numerous fly ashes (Fig. 3d), most likely from power plants and traffic roads. The dissolution of rhomboedric calcite and subsequent precipitation of gypsum crystals is also illustrated on Fig. 3f. In summary, the presence of particulate matter and salts highlights several local or distant sources of S-bearing compounds and a prevailing anthropogenic source in the whole Parisian Basin atmosphere, which may be distinguished and quantified with the isotopic composition of sulfate.

Supprimé: highlight that even the more rural environments are not free of anthropogenic emissions.

4.2. Isotopic composition of black crusts sulfates

The sulfur and oxygen isotopic compositions of black crust sulfates are reported in Table 2. The δ^{18}O and δ^{34}S values cover a wide range from 7.5 to 16.7 ‰ ± 0.5 ‰ (2σ) and from -2.66 to 13.99 ‰ ± 0.20 ‰ (2σ) with a mean of 11.3 ± 2.4 ‰ and 3.78 ± 4.79 ‰ respectively. All samples have positive Δ^{17}O values, ranging from 0.08 to 2.56 ‰ ± 0.05 ‰ (2σ) with an average value of 0.86 ‰. Furthermore, it is noteworthy that 67 % of black crusts samples have Δ^{17}O > 0.65 ‰. The Δ^{33}S and Δ^{36}S are both negative and vary between -0.34 and 0.00 ± 0.01 ‰ and between -0.76 and -0.22 ± 0.20 ‰ (2σ) respectively. No obvious correlation exists between δ^{18}O, Δ^{17}O, δ^{34}S, Δ^{33}S and the distance from coastline (Fig. S1).

5. Discussion

5.1. The $\delta^{34}S$-$\delta^{18}O$-$\Delta^{17}O$ systematic

Sulfate in black crusts may have multiple origins that could be either primary and/or secondary. We refer to primary sulfates here as sulfates that are not formed in the atmosphere from SO_2-oxidation. They can originate from the host-rock itself where sulfur occurs both as sulfide such as pyrite that would be subsequently dissolved and oxidized as sulfate, and as carbonate-associated sulfates (CAS), which substitute for carbonate in the lattice. Sulfates in black crusts can also have been directly emitted into the atmosphere for instance by sea-spay, resulting in sea-salt sulfate aerosols, or as products of combustion by refineries, vehicle exhaust or biomass burning; these commonly correspond to “primary sulfates” in the literature. On the contrary secondary sulfates result from the oxidation of tropospheric S-bearing gases (mainly SO_2) and other compounds including Dimethyl sulfide (DMS, $(CH_3)_2S$) by various oxidants (O_3, H_2O_2, OH, O_2-TMI, NO_2, …). As black crusts are mainly constituted of gypsum ($CaSO_4$ $2H_2O$), coupled $\delta^{34}S$-$\delta^{18}O$ variations can be used to trace natural vs anthropogenic sources of sulfates in black crusts. Constrains on the primary/secondary origins of sulfate aerosols can also be brought by $\Delta^{17}O$-values. Indeed, large positive $\Delta^{17}O$ anomalies in sulfate aerosols are inherited from their atmospheric oxidants that were produced during O_3-photochemically induced genesis. In theory, other mechanisms exist such as magnetic isotope effect (see section 5.2.2) but have not been recognized yet. Resulting from photochemical reactions, O_3 molecules possess O-MIF compositions with $\Delta^{17}O$ ~ 35 ‰ (Janssen et al., 1999; Lyons, 2001; Mauersberger et al., 1999) with lower value in the troposphere ~26 ‰ (Vicars and Savarino, 2014). Every molecule inheriting oxygen atoms from O_3 will also have positive $\Delta^{17}O$ including H_2O_2 with an average $\Delta^{17}O$ ~ 1.3 ‰ (Savarino and Thiemens, 1999). OH, which isotopically exchanges with water vapor, and O_2-TMI have mass-dependent composition with $\Delta^{17}O$ ~ 0 (Dubey et al., 1997; Holt et al., 1981; Lyons, 2001) and ~ -0.34 ‰ (Barkan and Luz, 2005) respectively. Savarino (2000) measured the O-isotopic compositions of sulfates derived from these oxidation pathways and showed that OH and O_2-TMI oxidation channels do not result in mass-independent fractionation signatures ($\Delta^{17}O$ = 0 and -0.09 ‰ respectively) whereas O_3 and H_2O_2 radicals transfer ¼ and ½ respectively of their isotopic anomaly to the sulfate thus resulting in mass-independent fractionation signatures ($\Delta^{17}O$ = 8.75 ‰ and 0.65 ‰ respectively) (e.g. Bao et al., 2001a; Bao et al., 2000; Bao et al., 2001b; Bao et al., 2010; Jenkins and Bao, 2006; Lee et al., 2002; Lee and Thiemens, 2001; Li et al., 2013; Martin et al., 2014). Mass-dependent isotopic fractionation during SO_2 oxidation may change $\delta^{17}O$ and $\delta^{18}O$ but not the $\Delta^{17}O$ that only depends on the mixing of O-reservoirs with variable $\Delta^{17}O$. The fact that most black crusts have $\Delta^{17}O$ > 0.6 ‰ demonstrates that a significant amount of sulfates is of atmospheric origin.

Black crusts sulfates analyzed in this study have O and S isotopic compositions that overlap other black crusts from Europe (Fig. 4; Longinelli & Bartelloni, 1978; Torfs et al., 1997, Kramar et al., 2011, Vallet et al., 2006) and sulfate aerosols from USA and China (Fig. 5; Bao et al., 2001a; Jenkins and Bao, 2006; Lee and Thiemens, 2001; Li et al., 2013; Romero and Thiemens, 2003). In particular, there is a positive correlation between $\delta^{34}S$ and $\delta^{18}O$ covering a large range of variation of ~17 and ~9 ‰ respectively (Fig. 4), which can be interpreted in two ways: either a process leads to a variable enrichment or depletion of ^{18}O and ^{34}S in the crusts and/or it reflects a mixing between at least one depleted (in both ^{18}O and ^{34}S) and one enriched end-member. In the following paragraphs, we discuss

Supprimé: as a sulfate sources tracer

Supprimé: .

Déplacé (insertion) [1]

Déplacé (insertion) [2]

Déplacé (insertion) [3]

Supprimé: , $2H_2O$), the $\delta^{34}S$ associated with the $\delta^{18}O$ are often used to trace the sources of the initial SO_2 from which the sulfate and then black crusts formed. Previous works discussed the distinction between natural and anthropogenic sources (Montana et al., 2012; Montana et al., 2008) as well as between extrinsic (atmospheric) and intrinsic (mortars, plasters) sulfates (Vallet et al., 2006; Klemm & Siedel, 2002; Kloppmann et al., 2011). Sulfates from black crusts analyzed in this study have oxygen and sulfur in details the respective roles of several processes (e.g. partial SO_2 oxidation, mixing) that could lead to this correlation and overprint (or not) the source signatures. As previous studies, we will conclude that $\delta^{34}S$-$\delta^{18}O$-$\Delta^{17}O$ do record a mixing between different (natural and anthropogenic) sources, but addressing the role of processes is important (a pre-requisite) to assess the consistency of the $\Delta^{33}S$ anomaly origin.

5.1.1. Processes affecting O and S isotopic compositions

Firstly, gypsum precipitation would fractionate both O and S isotopes following a slope of 0.67 ± 0.02 (Fig. 4) when considering fractionation factors for $^{18}O/^{16}O$ between the dissolved sulfate and the gypsum ~ 1.002 or 1.0036 (experimental and natural values respectively) (Lloyd, 1968) and for $^{34}S/^{32}S$ ranges between 1.000 and 1.0024 (Ault and Kulp, 1959; Nielsen, 1974; Raab and Spiro, 1991; Thode et al., 1961) and a Rayleigh-type process in which black crusts represent the cumulated (precipitated) product at different residual fraction F of dissolved sulfates that are leached. However, the slope defined by the samples is steeper, ~ 1.52 ($R^2 = 0.58$) implying that the gypsum precipitation is not the main mechanism driving $\delta^{34}S$ and $\delta^{18}O$ variations in black crusts. Another process that could affect $\delta^{34}S$-$\delta^{18}O$-values is the partial oxidation of SO_2 by different oxidants (e.g. O_2-TMI, H_2O_2, O_3, OH). Using the fractionation factors $^{34}\alpha_{SO4\text{-}SO2}$ obtained experimentally at 19°C by Harris et al. (2012a) for each oxidant ($^{34}\alpha_{SO4\text{-}SO2}$ (OH) = 1.0113 ± 0.0024; $^{34}\alpha_{SO4\text{-}SO2}$ (H_2O_2) = 1.0151 ± 0.0013; $^{34}\alpha_{SO4\text{-}SO2}$ (O_2-TMI) = 0.9894 ± 0.0043; $^{34}\alpha_{SO4\text{-}SO2}$ (O_3) = 1.0174 ± 0.0028) and their respective proportions from Sofen et al. (2011), usually cited in the literature for present day atmosphere (27 % OH; 18 % O_2-TMI; 50 % H_2O_2; 5 % O_3), we calculated a global fractionation factor of 1.0097. It is worth noting that these values produce a $\Delta^{17}O$ of 0.66 ‰ in agreement with our mean $\Delta^{17}O$ in black crusts. Consequently, following a Rayleigh distillation model and an initial SO_2 with $\delta^{34}S$ = 0 ‰, the cumulated sulfates representing the black crusts would increase up to ~ 9 ‰ at maximum when < 10 % SO_2 is oxidized, which cannot explain the ~ 17 ‰ $\delta^{34}S$-variation, especially since 40 % oxidized SO_2 is reported (Chin et al., 2000). To generate $\delta^{34}S$-values as high as 17 ‰, O_3 and H_2O_2 oxidation pathways should increase drastically (i.e. requiring the absence of O_2-TMI pathway), predicting an increase of $\Delta^{17}O$ up to ~ 6.5 ‰, which is not consistent with $\Delta^{17}O$ ~ 0 ‰ associated with high $\delta^{34}S$ (Fig. 5). Therefore, SO_2 partial oxidation can explain a part of the data but not the whole isotope variations. The large $\delta^{34}S$ range could also reflect temporal variation, since in Greenland ice cores $\delta^{34}S$ was > 10 ‰ before the Industrial period (Patris et al., 2002), dominated by SO_2 from DMS (Sofen et al., 2011) and then decreased < 4 ‰ in the 1960's, dominated by anthropogenic SO_2. Following this variation, black crusts on churches recently renovated should display low $\delta^{34}S$ and those renovated before the Industrial period should display higher $\delta^{34}S$. However, samples ME77-2 ($\delta^{34}S$ = -0.54 ‰) and EV27-1 ($\delta^{34}S$ = 6.60 ‰) compared to PY89-1 ($\delta^{34}S$ = 0.46 ‰) gathered on churches restored after World War II and in 1772 respectively, present no significant temporal variation, that might be due to higher proportions of anthropogenic SO_2 emitted since recently (0.5 Tg $S.yr^{-1}$ before Industrial period and up to 69 Tg $S.yr^{-1}$ at present day; Sofen et al. (2011) and references therein). Thus, black crusts do not seem to record temporal isotopic variation, even if samples with $\delta^{34}S$ = -2.66 and $\delta^{34}S$ = 13.99 ‰ should be dated to confirm this assumption. Alternatively, with well-exposed surfaces to precipitation emphasizing wash-out and subsequent reprecipitation, black crusts could rather probe "recent" SO_2-oxidation. So far, no known processes seem to affect the isotopic compositions, which rather probe the source signatures.

Supprimé: S isotopes of sulfate. Therefore, if the gypsum precipitation follows

Supprimé: a scenario not realistic, implying also

Supprimé: Church Saint Aspais of Melun (

Supprimé: Cathedral Notre-dame of Évreux (

Supprimé: compared to Church Notre-Dame of Pont sur Yonne restored for the last time

5.1.2 Source effects

If $\delta^{34}S$-$\delta^{18}O$ variation reflects mixing of sources, at least two end-members are required. Determined graphically on Fig. 4 and 5, a first one would be ^{18}O-^{34}S-enriched both around 18 ‰ with a near-zero $\Delta^{17}O$, which in view of the sampling cross-section from NW to SE and west-dominating winds could correspond to the sea-sprays isotopic signature but available data usually display $\delta^{18}O \sim 9$ ‰ (Markovic et al., 2016) and $\delta^{34}S \sim 21$ ‰ (Rees et al., 1978), ruling out sea-sprays occurrence. The DMS produced by phytoplankton and oxidized in the atmosphere (11-25 TgS.yr^{-1}) being higher than sea-salt emissions (6-12 TgS.yr^{-1} (Alexander et al., 2005) and references therein)), with $\delta^{34}S$ of 15-20 ‰ (Calhoun et al., 1991), sulfate aerosols deriving from DMS oxidation could rather represent this ^{18}O-^{34}S-enriched end-member. However, the absence of correlation between $\delta^{34}S$, $\delta^{18}O$, $\Delta^{17}O$ and the distance from coastline (Fig. S1) and near-zero $\Delta^{17}O$ for high $\delta^{34}S$-values (Fig. 5) are not consistent with significant DMS contribution, mostly oxidized by O_3 (see above; Alexander et al., 2012). Despite some isolated halite crystals observed in one sample (Fig. 3e), we conclude that, overall, marine aerosols (DMS, sea-salt sulfates) do not relate to the high $\delta^{34}S$-$\delta^{18}O$-end-member. Major element contents (e.g. Na, Cl) have not been measured here even if they could further constrain and quantify the presence of marine aerosols. The structural analyses of black crusts emphasize dissolution of the underlying carbonate. Carbonate-associated sulfates (with S abundances varying between a few tens to thousand ppm (Kampschulte and Strauss (2004) and references therein)) would also be dissolved and reprecipitated in black crusts and may well represent the enriched $\delta^{34}S$-$\delta^{18}O$ end-member with near-zero $\Delta^{17}O$. CAS analyses from Atlantic and Pacific oceans over the last 25 Myr and in the middle Cretaceous Tethys ocean show $\delta^{34}S$ from 11 to 24 ‰ with $\delta^{18}O$ from 5 to 21 ‰ similar to barite isotopic composition (Rennie and Turchyn, 2014; Turchyn et al., 2009). Furthermore, marine sulfates have typically $\Delta^{17}O \sim 0$ and > -0.2 ‰ in the geological record (Bao et al., 2008). CAS would thus perfectly match this end-member. Plaster used to seal blocks of carbonate stones are made through Lutetian gypsum dehydration and could also well represent the ^{18}O-^{34}S-rich end-member. Indeed, Kloppmann et al. (2011) measured $\delta^{34}S$ between 12.6 and 18.3 ‰ and $\delta^{18}O$ from 14.6 to 21.5 ‰ for mortars and plasters from French churches and castle. Thus, the surrounding plaster also matches this end-member, named CAS/Pl on Fig. 4 and 5.

The depleted end-member is graphically characterized by $\delta^{34}S \leq -3$ ‰ with little constrained $\delta^{18}O$, from 5 to 15 ‰ (Fig. 4, dashed box An) and $\Delta^{17}O$ from ~ 0 to 2.6 ‰ (Fig. 5, dashed box An). Sulfates from dissolved and oxidized sedimentary pyrites contained in the building carbonate stone are known to have $\delta^{34}S < -12$ ‰ (since at least the last 500 Myr; Canfield, 2004). Despite a sulfide content that can vary between a few tens to a thousand ppm, our sampled carbonate stones are very whitish, suggesting a low sulfide content. Even if it would certainly not affect the mass balance, we took into account pyrite oxidation, as other studies did on black crusts (Kramar et al., 2011; Vallet et al., 2006). The S isotope fractionation factor during pyrite oxidation being negligible (between 0.996 and 1; Thurston et al. (2010) and references therein) compared to O isotopes, we modeled the $\delta^{18}O$ variation according to a Rayleigh distillation to represent the sulfide oxidation, commonly occurring via $O_2 + H_2O$, at the atmosphere-carbonate building stone interface. With an initial $\delta^{18}O \sim -6$ ‰ of rainwater in Paris Basin and a mean $^{18}\alpha_{water\text{-}sulfate}$ of 1.010 (Gomes and Johnston, 2017), sulfates from pyrite oxidation would have $\delta^{18}O \sim 4$ ‰ and as low as -6 ‰ if water would be in limited amounts (i.e. residual fraction of water F ~ 0). Very recently, pyrite oxidation was

Supprimé: 3 different sites in

Supprimé: Umbria-Marche Apennines formation in central Italy recording

Supprimé: measured the O and S isotopic compositions of black crusts, mortars and plasters from several French churches and castle. With $\delta^{34}S$-values varying between 12.6 and 18.3 ‰ and $\delta^{18}O$-values around 14.6 and 21.5 ‰, it appears that the plaster would also represent a matching end-member. Coming from the host rock or plaster, this enriched end-member (CAS/PL on Fig. 4) has a $\Delta^{17}O \sim 0$ ‰, consistent with the absence of atmospheric sulfate aerosols ($\Delta^{17}O > 0$ ‰), a conclusion in agreement with that of Kloppmann et al. (2011).

Supprimé: would be

Supprimé: . Their dissolution and oxidation by rainwater produce sulfates that can be incorporated into black crusts.

Supprimé: . Besides, the sulfur

Supprimé: residual fraction of water F ~ 0). Thus, the depleted end-member could result from sedimentary pyrite oxidation.

hypothesized to occur via O_3 (Hemingway et al., 2019), which would lead to positive $\Delta^{17}O$ of sulfates with low $\delta^{34}S$, explaining the depleted end-member. However, our data are strikingly higher than for black crusts from Ljubljana (Slovenia; (Kramar et al., 2011)), which show $\delta^{34}S$ as low as -20 ‰ and $\delta^{18}O$ between -2 and 5 ‰ (Fig. 4) that would be typical for pyrite oxidation. Besides, there is so far no evidence for a higher oxidation flux of pyrite via O_3 than major constituents as H_2O and O_2. This means that another source should have negative $\delta^{34}S$. Anthropogenic sulfur represent ~ 60 % of the total sulfur released worldwide and includes primary sulfates as oil, coal and biomass combustion products as well as SO_2 emission that can be oxidized into secondary sulfates. When considering coal and oil combustion, $\delta^{34}S$ can vary largely between -30 and 32‰ (e.g. Faure (1986)). More locally, a recent study reported a narrow range between -0.57 and 11.33 ‰ for sulfur emitted by transport and industries in Paris (Au Yang et al., *in prep.*). Lee et al., (2002) carried out herbs and diesel combustions resulting in $\delta^{34}S$ and $\delta^{18}O$ values between 9.55 and 16.42 ‰, and between 5.5 and 10.5 ‰ respectively, with $\Delta^{17}O$ ~ 0 ‰, forming primary sulfates without mass-independent signatures. Because sulfate aerosols can be either primary or secondary with various SO_2 oxidation pathways having distinct $\delta^{18}O$-$\Delta^{17}O$-values and O-fractionation factors, atmospheric aerosols would result in variable $\delta^{18}O$-$\Delta^{17}O$-values. Therefore, the depleted end-member with $\delta^{34}S < -3$ ‰ and $0 < \Delta^{17}O < 0.65$ ‰ could be typified by primary anthropogenic sulfate aerosols and/or SO_2 oxidized by OH or O_2-TMI and/or a subtle mixing of oxidation pathways to yield near-zero $\Delta^{17}O$ whereas samples with $\Delta^{17}O > 0.65$ ‰ rather point to a significant anthropogenic SO_2 fraction oxidized by O_3 and H_2O_2 or by O_3 and to a lesser extent by O_2-TMI and OH, depending on the water pH (Lee and Thiemens, 2001) corresponding to secondary sulfate aerosols (named An on Fig. 4 and Fig. 5). As the distinction between primary/secondary sulfate aerosols having near-zero $\Delta^{17}O$ is not possible, we assume a mixing with only two end-members, CAS/Plaster and Anthropogenic sulfur (primary and secondary). Furthermore, in view of the O isotope variability caused by the oxidation, mixing proportions were calculated based only on $\delta^{34}S$-values. We chose the end-members graphically and in agreement with the literature, i.e, a CAS/PL $\delta^{34}S$-value of 18 ‰, in the range from 11 to 24 ‰ (Kloppmann et al., 2011; Rennie and Turchyn, 2014; Turchyn et al., 2009)(Fig. 4) and an An $\delta^{34}S$-value of -3 ‰, similar to Montana et al. (2008) as well the closest to sulfates measured in Paris. CAS/PL proportions range between 2 and 81 % with an average ~ 32 %. With an extreme $\delta^{34}S$ of -10 ‰ for An end-member, encompassing black crusts from Antwerp, CAS/PL proportion average at 49 %. This highlights that host rock sulfate is on average not the main S-provider and that black crusts record atmospheric sulfate aerosols. Excluding the most "contaminated" samples by CAS/PL and assuming that those having $\Delta^{17}O > 0.65$ ‰ obviously represent SO_2 oxidized by O_3 and H_2O_2, the minimum proportion of MIF-bearing sulfates, and hence secondary sulfates can be estimated ~ 63 % which is close to estimations of Lee and Thiemens (2001) and Sofen et al. (2011). In summary, black crusts sample significant amounts of atmospheric SO_2 and complement existing sampling such as aerosols, which allow us to address the origin of $\Delta^{33}S$ anomaly.

5.2. Black crusts S-MIF signature

5.2.1 Processes implicated in black crust formation

$\Delta^{33}S$-$\Delta^{36}S$-values recorded by black crust sulfates range between -0.34 and 0.00 ± 0.01 ‰ for $\Delta^{33}S$ and between -0.76 and -0.22 ± 0.20 ‰ (2σ) for $\Delta^{36}S$ (Table 2). These values are quite unusual compared with anthropogenic and

Supprimé: This model would also not be able to account for non-zero $\Delta^{17}O$ discussed in the following section.

Supprimé: emitted worldwide and includes combustion products from oil, coal as well as biomass and thus display both large $\delta^{34}S$ and $\delta^{18}O$ variations. The combustion of herbs and diesel results in $\delta^{34}S$ and $\delta^{18}O$ values varying between 9.55 and 16.42 ‰, and between 5.5 and 10.5 ‰ respectively (Lee et al., 2002). A lower range of $\delta^{34}S$ was measured for sulfur emitted by transport, incinerator and cement factories in Paris (between -0.57 and 11.33 ‰; unpublished data) and a narrow range between -2.1 and 2.8 ‰ was reported for vehicles emissions (Torfs et al., 1997). However, oxygen isotopic compositions were not measured in these two studies. When considering coal and oil combustion, $\delta^{34}S$-values can be as low as -10 ‰ (Nielsen, 1974) while

Supprimé: . Therefore, the depleted end-member

Supprimé: a negative $\delta^{34}S$-value below -3 ‰ could be typified by anthropogenic sulfur emissions (An on Fig. 4). This is in agreement with the variable non-zero

Déplacé vers le bas [4]: a CAS/PL $\delta^{34}S$-value of 18 ‰, in the range from 11 to 24 ‰ (Kloppmann et al., 2011; Rennie and Turchyn, 2014; Turchyn et al., 2009)(Fig. 4) and an An $\delta^{34}S$-value of -3 ‰, similar to

Supprimé: Montana et al. (2012). Using a mass balance calculation, CAS/plaster proportions range between 2 and 81 % with an average ~ 30 %. Therefore, the host rock sulfate is on average not the main S-provider, highlighting atmospheric sulfate aerosols sampling by black crusts. In order to go further in the anthropogenic sources (primary and/or secondary sulfate aerosols) and oxidation pathways ... [2]

Déplacé vers le haut [1]: -MIF compositions with $\Delta^{17}O$ ~ 35 ‰ (Janssen et al., 1999; Lyons, 2001; Mauersber ... [3]

Déplacé vers le haut [2]: -TMI have mass-dependent composition with $\Delta^{17}O$ ~ 0 (Dubey et al., 1997; Holt e ... [4]

Supprimé: different oxidation pathways and showed that the OH and O_2-TMI oxidation channels do not result i ... [5]

Déplacé vers le haut [3]: Bao et al., 2001a; Bao et al., 2000; Bao et al., 2001b; Bao et al., 2010; Jenkins and I ... [6]

Supprimé: Therefore, samples with low $\delta^{34}S$-values, consisting mostly of anthropogenic sulfur (section 5.1) ... [7]

Déplacé (insertion) [5]

Déplacé (insertion) [4]

Supprimé: and correspond to samples with significant atmospheric sulfate aerosols. As the combustion does ... [8]

Déplacé vers le haut [5]: 5).

Supprimé: Samples having the highest $\delta^{34}S$ values were identified as being representative of host-rock sulfates ... [9]

Déplacé vers le bas [6]: as opposed to $\Delta^{17}O$-anomaly being inherited from SO_2 oxidants.

Supprimé: However, it is worth noting that

Déplacé vers le bas [7]: Lee et al. (2002) also measured

Supprimé: recalculated here for $f_{MI} + f_{MD} = 1$ where MI and MD denote mass-independent and mass-depende ... [10]

Supprimé: . Considering now $\Delta^{17}O_{O3} = 8.75$ ‰ and $\Delta^{17}O_{H2O2} = 0.65$ ‰ of sulfates derived from the SO_2 ... [11]

Supprimé: $\Delta^{17}O_{measured} = f(O_3) \times \Delta^{17}O_{O3} + f(H_2O_2) \times \Delta^{17}O_{H2O2}$ (4) ... [12]

Supprimé: The $\Delta^{17}O$-parameter provides key evidence for SO_2 oxidation by "atmospheric" oxidants but does n ... [13]

natural aerosols. As illustrated by Fig. 6, black crust sulfates Δ^{33}S-values are all negative and it is worth noting that this depletion occurs with near constant Δ^{36}S-values. This is somewhat distinct from most aerosols, which display almost exclusively positive Δ^{33}S up to ~0.5 ‰ and both positive and negative Δ^{36}S (Au Yang et al., 2019; Guo et al., 2010; Lin et al., 2018b; Romero and Thiemens, 2003; Shaheen et al., 2014). So far the only negative Δ^{33}S-values down to -0.6 ‰ were measured in sulfate aerosols from Beijing (China) during one winter month (Han et al., 2017), (no Δ^{36}S-values provided) and these values were assumed to result from incomplete combustion of coal. This assumption ultimately relies on the work of Lee et al. (2002), which showed that primary anthropogenic aerosols formed by high temperature combustion (e.g. diesel) result in near-zero Δ^{33}S-Δ^{36}S-values whereas those formed by low temperature combustion (e.g. biomass burning) result in Δ^{33}S down to -0.2 ‰ and Δ^{36}S-values varying between -1.9 and 0.2 ‰ (data recalculated with $^{36}\beta = 1.9$). Negative Δ^{36}S-values well correlated with biomass burning proxies are also reported in East China (Lin et al., 2018b) although Δ^{33}S ~ 0 ‰. As many other cities, Paris has long been affected by coal and wood burning, we can hypothesize that Δ^{33}S-Δ^{36}S variations result from high and/or low temperature combustion processes. Some black crust sulfates with near-zero Δ^{33}S-Δ^{36}S-values could result from high temperature combustion but this would not explain negative Δ^{33}S-Δ^{36}S. Furthermore, according to Lin et al. (2018b), low temperature combustion would preferentially fractionate ^{36}S over ^{33}S, which should result in a steep slope in a Δ^{33}S-Δ^{36}S space. The trend defined by our black crust samples shows higher ^{33}S fractionation than ^{36}S with Δ^{33}S-values lower than that obtained by available low temperature combustion experiments (< -0.2 ‰; Lee et al., 2002) and with Δ^{36}S-values in the range of aerosols. Furthermore, no Δ^{33}S evolution is observed in black crusts sampled on churches with different ages of renovation (see section 5.1; ME77-2 Δ^{33}S = -0.21 ‰; EV27-1 Δ^{33}S = -0.05 ‰; and PY89-1 Δ^{33}S = -0.21 ‰) whereas we would expect a Δ^{33}S-increase in black crusts from -0.2 ‰ and 0 ‰ due to the reduction of sulfur emission from low temperature replaced by high temperature combustion processes. Therefore, available data highlight that neither high nor low temperature combustion processes are responsible for low Δ^{33}S measured in black crusts.

Supprimé: yet showed

Supprimé: ‰) and with Δ^{36}S-values in the range of aerosols.

Part of black crust sulfates being atmospheric in origin, isotopic effects during SO_2 oxidation could be responsible for Δ^{33}S-Δ^{36}S variations. To better address this issue, we calculated the Δ^{33}S-Δ^{36}S-values of sulfates predicted by each of the main SO_2 oxidation pathways and by a mixing of them in the proportions given by Sofen et al. (2011). We used $^{33}\beta$ and $^{36}\beta$ determined by experiments of SO_2 oxidation by O_2-TMI, H_2O_2, O_3, OH (Harris et al. (2013b) and values cited in Au Yang et al. (2018); see caption text) and NO_2 (Au Yang et al., 2018) and T-dependent equations determined by Harris et al. (2013b) to calculate each $^{34}\alpha$ with initial sulfur dioxide Δ^{33}S and Δ^{36}S of 0 ‰ (Lin et al., 2018b). As mentioned earlier (Au Yang et al., 2018; Harris et al., 2013b), none of these models can account for anomalous Δ^{33}S-Δ^{36}S values in either aerosols or in black crusts (Fig. 6). Although oxidation with O_2-TMI at T = 50°C could produce negative Δ^{33}S down to -0.37‰ which would account for the lowest Δ^{33}S observed in black crust, this oxidation pathway would also produce larger Δ^{36}S down to -1.50 ‰ at odds with the Δ^{36}S reported in the black crust. Their potential combination cannot account for sulfate aerosols data from the literature (Au Yang et al., 2019; Guo et al., 2010; Lin et al., 2018b; Romero and Thiemens, 2003; Shaheen et al., 2014), neither for the black crust as it would result in slightly negative Δ^{33}S-Δ^{36}S that could not explain the Δ^{33}S as low as -0.34 ‰ (yellow frames on Fig. 6). Available literature data are therefore not consistent with the anomalous Δ^{33}S-Δ^{36}S-values recorded in black crust sulfates.

Supprimé: but

Supprimé: low enough to

Mass-dependent processes can also result in small $\Delta^{33}S$-$\Delta^{36}S$ variations, depending on the magnitude of the ^{34}S fractionation (Ono et al., 2006a). As mentioned in section 5.1.2, a mixing between a $^{33,34}S$ depleted end-member (An) consisting of anthropogenic sulfur ($\delta^{34}S$ = -3 ‰, $\Delta^{33}S$ = 0 ‰) and a $^{33,34}S$ enriched sulfates end-member (CAS/PL) from plaster or CAS ($\delta^{34}S$ = 18 ‰, $\Delta^{33}S$ = 0 ‰) would result in small $\Delta^{33}S$ of -0.01 ‰ for 50 % mixing, which is far from the maximum measured $\Delta^{33}S$ ~ -0.34. Moreover, the slope between $\Delta^{33}S$-$\Delta^{36}S$ would be about -7 at odd with our observations. Therefore, we conclude that mixing cannot account for the black crusts $\Delta^{33}S$-$\Delta^{36}S$ variations.

5.2.2 A new oxidation pathway implying magnetic isotope effect

Several studies proposed that positive $\Delta^{33}S$ measured in sulfate aerosols, with $\Delta^{33}S$ up to 0.5 ‰, from e.g. East China and California could result from stratospheric fallout of SO_2 (with $\Delta^{33}S$ potentially up to 10 ‰ higher; Ono et al. (2013)), which underwent UV photolysis by short wavelength (Romero and Thiemens, 2003; Lin et al., 2018a; Lin et al., 2018b). This suggestion primarily relies on the similarities between $\Delta^{33}S$-$\Delta^{36}S$ values of sulfate aerosols and laboratory experiments of SO_2 photolysis conducted at different wavelengths (Romero and Thiemens, 2003) and on the correlation between ^{35}S specific activity and $\Delta^{33}S$-values (Lin et al., 2018b). However, these studies never addressed the absence of the complementary negative $\Delta^{33}S$-reservoir, which is required to balance the positive $\Delta^{33}S$-reservoir (see Au Yang et al., 2019). In this respect, it is worth mentioning that volcanic and stratospheric aerosols trapped in Antarctic ice cores (see Gautier et al. (2018) and references therein) show both positive $\Delta^{33}S$ (up to ~ 2 ‰) and complementary negative $\Delta^{33}S$-values (down to -1 ‰) and weighed average $\Delta^{33}S \neq$ 0 ‰ explained by prior partial deposition. Stratospheric fluxes are actually too low to account for $\Delta^{33}S$ > 0.1 ‰ (Lin et al., 2016; Au Yang et al., 2019). Accordingly, some other authors rather tried to explain the positive anomalies of most aerosols with 'tropospheric' chemical reactions, that are SO_2 oxidation by the main oxidant including NO_2, H_2O_2, OH, O_3 and O_2-TMI, but experimental data results in a maximum $\Delta^{33}S$ ~ 0.2 ‰ for all studied reactions (Au Yang et al., 2018; Harris et al., 2013b). Isotope effects associated with SO_2-oxidation by minor species, such as Criegee radicals remains to be investigated (Au Yang et al., 2018). In summary, whatever the stratospheric vs. tropospheric origin of positive $\Delta^{33}S$-values recorded by most aerosols, there is a ^{33}S-isotope imbalance and a missing reservoir with negative $\Delta^{33}S$ that must exist. Han et al. (2017) reported $\Delta^{33}S$-values down to -0.6 ‰ in sulfate aerosols from Beijing. As discussed above, the authors' suggestion calling for low temperature combustion is little supported by available data, and clearly the very restricted location and time interval, over a month, where these anomalies occurred cannot counter balance, both spatially and temporally, the common positive $\Delta^{33}S$-values of most aerosols; the missing reaction/reservoir requires, instead, to be ubiquitous worldwide.

Supprimé:) and it is also worth mentioning that previous experimental work mentioned above did not involve any 'tropospheric-type' photochemical reactions that could well be associated with mass-independent isotope effects.

In this study, black crust sulfates display negative $\Delta^{33}S$-values (from ~ 0 ‰ down to -0.34 ‰). These values are certainly produced by tropospheric chemical reactions. They would otherwise, according to the stratospheric origin model, have the same sign as those measured among aerosols. Furthermore, the(se) chemical reaction(s) involved in the formation of black crusts must be distinct than those leading to the formation of tropospheric aerosols. As developed thoroughly, black crust could well represent the missing sulfur reservoir.

An additional observation is that negative $\Delta^{33}S$-values occur with near constant $\Delta^{36}S$ (from -0.76 to -0.22 ± 0.20 ‰; Fig. 6). This signature is typical of magnetic isotope effects (MIE), which involve a radical pair, where coupling between the nuclear magnetic moment of the nucleus of odd isotopes and the electron occurs, allowing for electron

Déplacé (insertion) [8]

spin transition from singlet to triplet (or vice-versa) (Buchachenko et al., 1976). This leads to distinct half-lives between odd and even isotopes resulting in specific odd over even isotope enrichment (or depletion). MIE has been so far reported for various reactions occurring on a surface (Buchachenko, 2001, 2000; Turro, 1983) like sulfate thermochemical reduction (Oduro et al., 2011) or Fe reduction in magneto-tactic bacteria (Amor et al., 2016) for the most geologically relevant. It is worth pointing out that MIE could also be responsible for positive $\Delta^{17}O$ measured in black crusts, i.e. as opposed to $\Delta^{17}O$-anomaly being inherited from SO_2 oxidants. However, Lee et al. (2002) also measured the O-multi isotopic compositions of sulfate aerosols (i.e. from the atmosphere as opposed to reaction on a solid substrate) from Paris and obtained $\Delta^{17}O = 0.2$ and 0.8 ‰ for the Paris highway and in the 13th zone respectively, which is in good agreement with our three samples collected in Paris (from 0.17 to 0.89 ‰). Thus, this is consistent with black crust formation recording mostly an atmospheric signal and no significant magnetic isotope effect on $\Delta^{17}O$.

Magnetic effect could occur on a surface such as on mineral dust suspended in the atmosphere, during aerosols formation, leading to residual ^{33}S-depleted atmospheric SO_2 from which black crusts would subsequently formed. This model would however predict some sulfate aerosols formed subsequently to display negative $\Delta^{33}S$: such values are extremely uncommon being primarily restricted to the Beijing winter month (Han et al., 2017). Instead, magnetic effect could occur during black crust formation, on the carbonate building stone, leading to residual ^{33}S-enriched atmospheric SO_2 from which tropospheric aerosols would subsequently formed; which is consistent with available observations. This model would however predict some black crust formed subsequently to display positive $\Delta^{33}S$: such values have not been found yet and this may well reflect sample bias, our data being the first reported for such samples. Both scenario imply non-zero $\Delta^{33}S$ of residual atmospheric SO_2 which contrast with the data by Lin et al. (2018b) showing $\Delta^{33}S \sim 0$ ‰ (n = 5, $\Delta^{33}S$ varying from -0.04 to 0.01 ± 0.01 ‰). Given that, in the study of Lin et al. (2018b), SO_2 was sampled close to the third largest Chinese megacity, such non-zero $\Delta^{33}S$-values may thus be rather symptomatic of emitted (i.e. anthropogenic) SO_2 rather than residual/background (i.e. after significant black crust and aerosols formation). SO_2 in the Paris basin still has to be measured to confirm this assumption but so far, this could be consistent with the interpretation that non-zero $\Delta^{33}S$-values of residual/background atmospheric SO_2 are erased by anthropogenic SO_2 having zero $\Delta^{33}S$-values (Au Yang et al., 2019) moving towards the local source(s) of anthropogenic SO_2.

In the absence of additional observations, proposing a chemical reaction, and hence a radical pair that breaks and recombines, would be very speculative, but our data clearly point towards the occurrence of magnetic effect occurring during the formation of black crust, involving ubiquitous heterogeneous chemical reactions. This is supported by previous recognition of sulfur radicals such as SO_x^- (Herrmann, 2003), or S-S (see Babikov (2017) but note that their $\Delta^{36}S/\Delta^{33}S$ slope is distinct from ours). Clearly, the reaction does not occur after sulfate formation such as during dissolution/precipitation mechanisms, which does not involve any radical species. As mentioned above, magneto-tactic bacteria can produce MIE when reducing Fe (Amor et al., 2016). Microbial activity being sometimes present on black crusts (Gaylarde et al., 2007; Sáiz-Jiménez, 1995; Scheerer et al., 2009; Schiavon, 2002; Tiano, 2002), the involvement of micro-organisms, affecting only the sulfur isotopes as the most negative $\Delta^{33}S$ does not correspond to the most negative $\Delta^{17}O$, represents another possibility to investigate. Another implication that can be tested in future work is that the kinetics of heterogeneous reactions leading to sulfate and

Déplacé vers le haut [8]: involve a radical pair, where coupling between the nuclear magnetic moment of the nucleus of odd isotopes and the electron occurs, allowing for electron spin transition from singlet to triplet (or vice-versa) (Buchachenko et al., 1976). This leads to distinct half-lives between odd and even isotopes resulting in specific odd over even isotope enrichment (or depletion).

Supprimé: ... [14]

Déplacé (insertion) [6]

Déplacé (insertion) [7]

Supprimé:). For more complex reactions, such as the UV photolysis of phenacylphenylsulfone (Kopf and Ono, 2012) the product can be enriched at the beginning of the reaction and become depleted as the reaction proceeds; the authors hypothesized a series of combined reactions involving at least two radical pairs produced through a series of distinct chemical pathways. Even if this latter reaction requires photochemistry, MIE is not necessarily produced by photochemical reaction (Amor et al., 2016; Oduro et al., 2011).

Supprimé: (being photochemically-induced or not),

Supprimé: (being photochemically-induced or not),

Supprimé: observation

Mis en forme: Indice

Supprimé: .

Supprimé: we cannot rule out a MIE caused by micro-organisms.

black crust formation should be comparable or faster than those leading to aerosol formation. So far, Li et al. (2006) showed comparable loss of atmospheric SO_2 by heterogeneous oxidation on calcium carbonate substrate and by gas phase oxidation. Our conclusions show strong analogy with the model of Au Yang et al. (2019) who suggest SO_2 photo-oxidation on mineral dust could form sulfate aerosols depleted in ^{33}S that would then be deposited. The residual SO_2 would be subsequently enriched in ^{33}S, then be oxidized by common O_3, H_2O_2, O_2, OH oxidants. Their ^{33}S-depletion mechanism was not further constrained, except that it was speculated to be photochemical in origin.

If correct, this view requires reassessing the overall S-isotope fractionation during SO_2 atmospheric reaction. So far, previous studies assumed that the overall sulfur isotopic fractionation between the wet/dry deposit and oxidized SO_2 was equal to 1 (i.e. no isotope effect), but negative $\Delta^{33}S$ in black crusts is inconsistent with such an assumption. Starting with SO_2 $\Delta^{33}S$-value of 0 ‰ (Au Yang et al., 2018; Lin et al., 2018b) and forming oxidized (sampled by secondary aerosols) and wet/dry deposit (sampled by black crusts) reservoirs with $\Delta^{33}S$-values up to 0.50 ‰ down to -0.34 ‰ respectively, mass balance imposes that SO_2 dry/wet depositions and secondary sulfate aerosols represents ~60 and 40 % respectively. This is in good agreement with proportions obtained by Chin et al. (2000) and quoted by Harris et al. (2013b). Therefore, we conclude that MIE happening during SO_2 dry and wet depositions could be a viable mechanism responsible for ^{33}S-enrichment of secondary sulfate aerosols and that black crusts could represent the ^{33}S-negative complementary reservoir.

In order to better apprehend the aerosols/black crust complementarity, we modeled the S-isotopic fractionation of both black crusts and aerosols during SO_2 oxidation (Fig. 6 and 7). We assumed a Rayleigh distillation model to represent the atmosphere-building stone interface open system. The global fractionation factor between residual SO_2 and oxidized (secondary aerosols) + deposited (black crusts) SO_2 is defined as $\alpha_{global} = A\ \alpha_{BC\text{-}SO2}$ x $B\ \alpha_{aerosols\text{-}SO2}$ with A and B the proportions of SO_2 deposited and oxidized, being equal to 60 and 40 % respectively. This allows us to deduce the $^{33,\ 34,\ 36}\alpha_{BC\text{-}SO2}$ and the associated $^{33,36}\beta$ factor. A $\delta^{34}S$ of 1 ‰ for the initial SO_2 was considered to obtain black crusts of at least -3 ‰ (see section 5.1.2) and $\Delta^{33}S$-$\Delta^{36}S$ = 0 ‰; $^{34}\alpha_{aerosols\text{-}SO2}$ was taken as 1.0097 as calculated using the different oxidation channels proportions of Sofen et al. (2011). The oxidation being mass-dependent, we chose $^{33,\ 36}\beta_{\ aerosols\text{-}SO2}$ of 0.515 and 1.9 respectively (Harris et al., 2012). The best fit is obtained for $^{34}\alpha_{BC\text{-}SO2} = 0.9985$, $^{33}\alpha_{BC\text{-}SO2} = 0.9986$ and $^{36}\alpha_{BC\text{-}SO2} = 0.9972$ with $^{33,\ 36}\beta = 0.9$ and 1.9 respectively. The ^{33}S-enrichment in secondary sulfate aerosols is well represented by this parameterization (instantaneous and cumulated products; Fig. 6 and 7). The concomitant ^{33}S-depletion in modeled cumulated deposit is also well represented. The ^{33}S-isotopic fractionation occurring during the MIE is higher than the one observed in black crusts. At first order this model works, predicting the total cumulated products of black crusts and aerosols to have $\Delta^{33}S$-values of -0.23 and 0.35 ‰ respectively. The match is not perfect, capturing not entirely black crusts isotopic compositions, but remember that black crusts are produced from anthropogenic Parisian SO_2 whereas aerosols formed in other locations possibly formed from distinct anthropogenic SO_2 $\delta^{34}S$-values. In addition, we are aware that our model strongly depends on oxidation pathways estimated by Sofen et al. (2011), which vary spatially and temporally. The main weakness is the too little constrained estimate of intrinsic S-bearing compounds (CAS/plaster end-member) in the host-rock as well as sulfate aerosols ($\Delta^{33}S > 0$ ‰) which dilutes the ^{33}S anomaly, lowering the overall black crust $\Delta^{33}S$. Ultimately, black crusts result mainly from the deposition followed by oxidation of SO_2 on the building stone rather than aerosols accumulation. The $\delta^{34}S$-value of initial anthropogenic SO_2 is another poorly constrained parameter whose variability might be difficult to estimate both spatially and temporally.

Supprimé: but does not entirely capture black crusts isotopic compositions.

Supprimé: but remain the most relevant so far.

In conclusion, black crusts could represent the complementary sulfur end-member to sulfate aerosols. Its fractionation factor is relatively restricted (-1.5 ‰) and is thus likely identifiable from its negative Δ^{33}S-values. Our model is actually consistent with assumption that the global SO_2 oxidation occurs with little fractionation ($^{34}\alpha_{global}$ = 1.00298) as commonly done in the literature. Finally, figure 8 summarizes the different sulfur sources involved in the black crusts as well as the processes leading to their formation. Black crusts isotopic compositions could thus be explained by a mixing between sulfates from CAS/plaster (δ^{34}S ~ 18 ‰ and Δ^{33}S = 0 ‰, see section 5.1 and 1 on Fig. 8), primary anthropogenic sulfates (δ^{34}S ~ -3 ‰ and Δ^{33}S = 0 ‰; see 2 on Fig. 8) and wet/dry deposition of SO_2 undergoing MIE during its oxidation on the building stone combined with secondary aerosols (see red triangle on Fig. 7 and 3 on Fig. 8).

Supprimé: then

6. Conclusion

Our study shows that black crusts do preserve an atmospheric signal of SO_2 oxidation, inferred from the non-zero Δ^{17}O. Part of the sulfate originates from the surrounding plaster and/or from the stone itself but overall > 60 % originate from anthropogenic activities. We also discovered negative Δ^{33}S with near constant Δ^{36}S signatures, which probably reflect magnetic isotope effect involving a new oxidation pathway. Magnetic isotope effect is supposed to occur during the deposit of SO_2 on building stone surface (most likely carbonate), where SO_2 is oxidized into sulfate leading to a ^{33}S-depletion in black crust sulfates. Therefore, the resulting ^{33}S-enrichment of residual SO_2 could account for positive Δ^{33}S-values of sulfate aerosols observed worldwide, making black crust sulfates their complementary Δ^{33}S reservoir.

Data availability

All data needed to draw the conclusions in the present study are shown in this paper and/or the Supplement. For additional data related to this study, please contact the corresponding author (genot@ipgp.fr).

Author contributions

IG conducted oxygen isotope measurements under the supervision of EM and ELG at IPGP. DAY conducted sulfur isotope measurements at McGill University. IG and EM collected the samples. IG, PC, EM and DAY interpreted the data. IG wrote the paper with contributions from all coauthors. EM and MR conceived the project.

Acknowledgments

This project was supported by a grant from the Agence Nationale de la Recherche (ANR) via contract 14-CE33-0009-02-FOFAMIFS. We thank the editor Eliza Harris, Mang Lin and an anonymous reviewer for their insightful comments as well as Mark Thiemens for his remarks on MIE that helped improving our manuscript. We thank David Whiteley and Rémi Leprêtre for their help during the sampling, Mattauer for inspiration, Omar Boudouma for the SEM analysis, Boswell Wing for accessing his laboratory and Hao Thi Bui for assistance during analyses performed in Montreal, Nelly Assayag and Guillaume Landais for those performed at IPGP.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

References

Alexander, B., Allman, D., Amos, H., Fairlie, T., Dachs, J., Hegg, D. A., and Sletten, R. S.: Isotopic constraints on the formation pathways of sulfate aerosol in the marine boundary layer of the subtropical northeast Atlantic Ocean, Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 117, 2012.

Alexander, B., Park, R. J., Jacob, D. J., Li, Q., Yantosca, R. M., Savarino, J., Lee, C., and Thiemens, M.: Sulfate formation in sea-salt aerosols: Constraints from oxygen isotopes, Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 110, 2005.

Amor, M., Busigny, V., Louvat, P., Gélabert, A., Cartigny, P., Durand-Dubief, M., Ona-Nguema, G., Alphandéry, E., Chebbi, I., and Guyot, F.: Mass-dependent and-independent signature of Fe isotopes in magnetotactic bacteria, Science, 352, 705-708, 2016.

Ault, W. U. and Kulp, J.: Isotopic geochemistry of sulphur, Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 16, 201-235, 1959.

Au Yang, D., Bardoux, G., Assayag, N., Laskar, C., Widory, D., and Cartigny, P.: Atmospheric SO2 oxidation by NO2 plays no role in the mass independent sulfur isotope fractionation of urban aerosols, Atmospheric Environment, 193, 109-117, 2018.

Au Yang, D., Cartigny, P., Desboeufs, K., and Widory, D.: Seasonality in the Δ33 S measured in urban aerosols highlights an additional oxidation pathway for atmospheric SO2, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 19, 3779-3796, 2019.

Au Yang, D., Landais, G., Assayag, N., Widory, D., and Cartigny, P.: Improved analysis of micro-and nanomole-scale sulfur multi-isotope compositions by gas source isotope ratio mass spectrometry, Rapid Communications in Mass Spectrometry, 30, 897-907, 2016.

Babikov, D.: Recombination reactions as a possible mechanism of mass-independent fractionation of sulfur isotopes in the Archean atmosphere of Earth, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 114, 3062-3067, 2017.

Bao, H.: Purifying barite for oxygen isotope measurement by dissolution and reprecipitation in a chelating solution, Analytical chemistry, 78, 304-309, 2006.

Bao, H., Lyons, J., and Zhou, C.: Triple oxygen isotope evidence for elevated CO2 levels after a Neoproterozoic glaciation, Nature, 453, 504, 2008.

Bao, H., Michalski, G. M., and Thiemens, M. H.: Sulfate oxygen-17 anomalies in desert varnishes, Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 65, 2029-2036, 2001a.

Bao, H. and Thiemens, M. H.: Generation of O2 from BaSO4 Using a CO2− Laser Fluorination System for Simultaneous Analysis of δ18O and δ17O, Analytical chemistry, 72, 4029-4032, 2000.

Bao, H., Thiemens, M. H., Farquhar, J., Campbell, D. A., Lee, C. C.-W., Heine, K., and Loope, D. B.: Anomalous 17 O compositions in massive sulphate deposits on the Earth, Nature, 406, 176, 2000.

Bao, H., Thiemens, M. H., and Heine, K.: Oxygen-17 excesses of the Central Namib gypcretes: spatial distribution, Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 192, 125-135, 2001b.

Bao, H., Yu, S., and Tong, D. Q.: Massive volcanic SO2 oxidation and sulphate aerosol deposition in Cenozoic North America, Nature, 465, 909, 2010.

Barkan, E. and Luz, B.: High precision measurements of 17O/16O and 18O/16O ratios in H2O, Rapid Communications in Mass Spectrometry: An International Journal Devoted to the Rapid Dissemination of Up-to-the-Minute Research in Mass Spectrometry, 19, 3737-3742, 2005.

Bigeleisen, J. and Mayer, M. G.: Calculation of equilibrium constants for isotopic exchange reactions, The Journal of Chemical Physics, 15, 261-267, 1947.

Bindeman, I., Eiler, J., Wing, B., and Farquhar, J.: Rare sulfur and triple oxygen isotope geochemistry of volcanogenic sulfate aerosols, Geochimica et cosmochimica acta, 71, 2326-2343, 2007.

Buchachenko, A., Galimov, E., Ershov, V., Nikiforov, G., and Pershin, A.: Isotopic enrichment induced by magnetic-interactions in chemical-reactions, Doklady Akademii Nauk Sssr, 228, 379-381, 1976.

Buchachenko, A. L.: Magnetic isotope effect: Nuclear spin control of chemical reactions, The Journal of Physical Chemistry A, 105, 9995-10011, 2001.

Buchachenko, A. L.: Recent advances in spin chemistry, Pure and applied chemistry, 72, 2243-2258, 2000.

Cabral, R. A., Jackson, M. G., Rose-Koga, E. F., Koga, K. T., Whitehouse, M. J., Antonelli, M. A., Farquhar, J., Day, J. M., and Hauri, E. H.: Anomalous sulphur isotopes in plume lavas reveal deep mantle storage of Archaean crust, Nature, 496, 490, 2013.

Calhoun, J. A., Bates, T. S., and Charlson, R. J.: Sulfur isotope measurements of submicrometer sulfate aerosol particles over the Pacific Ocean, Geophysical Research Letters, 18, 1877-1880, 1991.

Camuffo, D.: Physical weathering of stones, Science of the Total Environment, 167, 1-14, 1995.

Canfield, D. E.: The evolution of the Earth surface sulfur reservoir, American Journal of Science, 304, 839-861, 2004.

Chin, M., Rood, R. B., Lin, S. J., Müller, J. F., and Thompson, A. M.: Atmospheric sulfur cycle simulated in the global model GOCART: Model description and global properties, Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 105, 24671-24687, 2000.

Cowie, B. R. and Johnston, D. T.: High-precision measurement and standard calibration of triple oxygen isotopic compositions (δ18O, Δ′ 17O) of sulfate by F2 laser fluorination, Chemical Geology, 440, 50-59, 2016.

Dauphas, N. and Schauble, E. A.: Mass fractionation laws, mass-independent effects, and isotopic anomalies, Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences, 44, 709-783, 2016.

Defouilloy, C., Cartigny, P., Assayag, N., Moynier, F., and Barrat, J.-A.: High-precision sulfur isotope composition of enstatite meteorites and implications of the formation and evolution of their parent bodies, Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 172, 393-409, 2016.

Delavault, H., Chauvel, C., Thomassot, E., Devey, C. W., and Dazas, B.: Sulfur and lead isotopic evidence of relic Archean sediments in the Pitcairn mantle plume, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 113, 12952-12956, 2016.

Dubey, M. K., Mohrschladt, R., Donahue, N. M., and Anderson, J. G.: Isotope specific kinetics of hydroxyl radical (OH) with water (H2O): Testing models of reactivity and atmospheric fractionation, The Journal of Physical Chemistry A, 101, 1494-1500, 1997.

Farquhar, J., Bao, H., and Thiemens, M.: Atmospheric influence of Earth's earliest sulfur cycle, Science, 289, 756-758, 2000.

Farquhar, J., Savarino, J., Airieau, S., and Thiemens, M. H.: Observation of wavelength-sensitive mass-independent sulfur isotope effects during SO2 photolysis: Implications for the early atmosphere, Journal of Geophysical Research: Planets, 106, 32829-32839, 2001.

Farquhar, J., Johnston, D. T., and Wing, B. A.: Implications of conservation of mass effects on mass-dependent isotope fractionations: influence of network structure on sulfur isotope phase space of dissimilatory sulfate reduction, Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 71, 5862-5875, 2007a.

Farquhar, J., Peters, M., Johnston, D. T., Strauss, H., Masterson, A., Wiechert, U., and Kaufman, A. J.: Isotopic evidence for Mesoarchaean anoxia and changing atmospheric sulphur chemistry, Nature, 449, 706, 2007b.

Farquhar, J., Wing, B., McKeegan, K., Harris, J., Cartigny, P., and Thiemens, M.: Mass-independent sulfur of inclusions in diamond and sulfur recycling on early Earth, Science, 298, 2369-2372, 2002.

Farquhar, J. and Wing, B. A.: Multiple sulfur isotopes and the evolution of the atmosphere, Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 213, 1-13, 2003.

Faure, G.: Isotope systematics in two-component mixtures, John Wiley and Sons, 1986.

Forster, P., Ramaswamy, V., Artaxo, P., Berntsen, T., Betts, R., Fahey, D. W., Haywood, J., Lean, J., Lowe, D. C., and Myhre, G.: Changes in atmospheric constituents and in radiative forcing. Chapter 2. In: Climate Change 2007. The Physical Science Basis, 2007.

Fronteau, G., Schneider-Thomachot, C., Chopin, E., Barbin, V., Mouze, D., and Pascal, A.: Black-crust growth and interaction with underlying limestone microfacies, Geological Society, London, Special Publications, 333, 25-34, 2010.

Gautier, E., Savarino, J., Erbland, J., and Farquhar, J.: SO2 Oxidation Kinetics Leave a Consistent Isotopic Imprint on Volcanic Ice Core Sulfate, Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 123, 9801-9812, 2018.

Gaylarde, C. C., Ortega-Morales, B. O., and Bartolo-Perez, P.: Biogenic black crusts on buildings in unpolluted environments, Curr Microbiol, 54, 162-166, 2007.

Gomes, M. L. and Johnston, D. T.: Oxygen and sulfur isotopes in sulfate in modern euxinic systems with implications for evaluating the extent of euxinia in ancient oceans, Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 205, 331-359, 2017.

Guo, Z., Li, Z., Farquhar, J., Kaufman, A. J., Wu, N., Li, C., Dickerson, R. R., and Wang, P.: Identification of sources and formation processes of atmospheric sulfate by sulfur isotope and scanning electron microscope measurements, Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 115, 2010.

Han, X., Guo, Q., Strauss, H., Liu, C., Hu, J., Guo, Z., Wei, R., Peters, M., Tian, L., and Kong, J.: Multiple sulfur isotope constraints on sources and formation processes of sulfate in Beijing PM2. 5 aerosol, Environmental science & technology, 51, 7794-7803, 2017.

Harris, E., Sinha, B., Foley, S., Crowley, J., Borrmann, S., and Hoppe, P.: Sulfur isotope fractionation during heterogeneous oxidation of SO 2 on mineral dust, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 12, 4867-4884, 2012a.

Harris, E., Sinha, B., Hoppe, P., Crowley, J., Ono, S., and Foley, S.: Sulfur isotope fractionation during oxidation of sulfur dioxide: gas-phase oxidation by OH radicals and aqueous oxidation by H2O2, O3 and iron catalysis, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 12, 407-423, 2012b.

Harris, E., Sinha, B., Van Pinxteren, D., Tilgner, A., Fomba, K. W., Schneider, J., Roth, A., Gnauk, T., Fahlbusch, B., and Mertes, S.: Enhanced role of transition metal ion catalysis during in-cloud oxidation of SO2, Science, 340, 727-730, 2013a.

Harris, E., Sinha, B. r., Hoppe, P., and Ono, S.: High-precision measurements of 33S and 34S fractionation during SO2 oxidation reveal causes of seasonality in SO2 and sulfate isotopic composition, Environmental science & technology, 47, 12174-12183, 2013b.

Hemingway, J., Olson, H., v Turchyn, A., Tipper, E., and Johnston, D. T.: Interpreting triple oxygen isotope signals from geologically preserved sulfate: Insights from modern Himalayan rivers, 2019.

Herrmann, H.: Kinetics of aqueous phase reactions relevant for atmospheric chemistry, Chemical reviews, 103, 4691-4716, 2003.

Holt, B. and Kumar, R.: Oxygen isotope fractionation for understanding the sulphur cycle, Stable Isotopes: Natural and Anthropogenic Sulphur in the Environment. John Wiley & Sons, 1991. 27-41, 1991.

Holt, B. D., Cunningham, P. T., and Kumar, R.: Oxygen isotopy of atmospheric sulfates, Environmental Science & Technology, 15, 804-808, 1981.

Janssen, C., Guenther, J., Krankowsky, D., and Mauersberger, K.: Relative formation rates of 50 O 3 and 52 O 3 in 16 O–18 O mixtures, The Journal of chemical physics, 111, 7179-7182, 1999.

Jenkins, K. A. and Bao, H.: Multiple oxygen and sulfur isotope compositions of atmospheric sulfate in Baton Rouge, LA, USA, Atmospheric Environment, 40, 4528-4537, 2006.

Kampschulte, A. and Strauss, H.: The sulfur isotopic evolution of Phanerozoic seawater based on the analysis of structurally substituted sulfate in carbonates, Chemical Geology, 204, 255-286, 2004.

Klemm, W. and Siedel, H.: Evaluation of the origin of sulphate compounds in building stone by sulphur isotope ratio, Geological Society, London, Special Publications, 205, 419-429, 2002.

Klimont, Z., Smith, S. J., and Cofala, J.: The last decade of global anthropogenic sulfur dioxide: 2000–2011 emissions, Environmental Research Letters, 8, 014003, 2013.

Kloppmann, W., Bromblet, P., Vallet, J., Vergès-Belmin, V., Rolland, O., Guerrot, C., and Gosselin, C.: Building materials as intrinsic sources of sulphate: a hidden face of salt weathering of historical monuments investigated through multi-isotope tracing (B, O, S), Science of the total environment, 409, 1658-1669, 2011.

Kloppmann, W., Rolland, O., Proust, E., and Montech, A.: Soluble salt sources in medieval porous limestone sculptures: A multi-isotope (N, O, S) approach, Science of the Total Environment, 470, 559-566, 2014.

Kramar, S., Mirtič, B., Knöller, K., and Rogan-Šmuc, N.: Weathering of the black limestone of historical monuments (Ljubljana, Slovenia): Oxygen and sulfur isotope composition of sulfate salts, Applied geochemistry, 26, 1632-1638, 2011.

Labidi, J., Cartigny, P., Birck, J., Assayag, N., and Bourrand, J.: Determination of multiple sulfur isotopes in glasses: A reappraisal of the MORB δ34S, Chemical Geology, 334, 189-198, 2012.

Labidi, J., Cartigny, P., Hamelin, C., Moreira, M., and Dosso, L.: Sulfur isotope budget (32S, 33S, 34S and 36S) in Pacific–Antarctic ridge basalts: A record of mantle source heterogeneity and hydrothermal sulfide assimilation, Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 133, 47-67, 2014.

Le Gendre, E., Martin, E., Villemant, B., Cartigny, P., and Assayag, N.: A simple and reliable anion-exchange resin method for sulfate extraction and purification suitable for multiple O-and S-isotope measurements, Rapid Communications in Mass Spectrometry, 31, 137-144, 2017.

Lee, C.-W., Savarino, J., Cachier, H., and Thiemens, M.: Sulfur (32S, 33S, 34S, 36S) and oxygen (16O, 17O, 18O) isotopic ratios of primary sulfate produced from combustion processes, Tellus B: Chemical and Physical Meteorology, 54, 193-200, 2002.

Lee, C. C. W. and Thiemens, M. H.: The δ17O and δ18O measurements of atmospheric sulfate from a coastal and high alpine region: A mass-independent isotopic anomaly, Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 106, 17359-17373, 2001.

Li, L., Chen, Z., Zhang, Y., Zhu, T., Li, J., and Ding, J.: Kinetics and mechanism of heterogeneous oxidation of sulfur dioxide by ozone on surface of calcium carbonate, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 6, 2453-2464, 2006.

Li, X., Bao, H., Gan, Y., Zhou, A., and Liu, Y.: Multiple oxygen and sulfur isotope compositions of secondary atmospheric sulfate in a mega-city in central China, Atmospheric environment, 81, 591-599, 2013.

Lin, Y., Sim, M., and Ono, S.: Multiple-sulfur isotope effects during photolysis of carbonyl sulfide, 2011. 2011.

Lin, M., Kang, S., Shaheen, R., Li, C., Hsu, S.-C., and Thiemens, M. H.: Atmospheric sulfur isotopic anomalies recorded at Mt. Everest across the Anthropocene, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 115, 6964-6969, 2018a.

Lin, M., Zhang, X., Li, M., Xu, Y., Zhang, Z., Tao, J., Su, B., Liu, L., Shen, Y., and Thiemens, M. H.: Five-S-isotope evidence of two distinct mass-independent sulfur isotope effects and implications for the modern and Archean atmospheres, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 115, 8541-8546, 2018b.

Lloyd, R.: Oxygen isotope behavior in the sulfate-water system, Journal of Geophysical Research, 73, 6099-6110, 1968.

Longinelli, A. and Bartelloni, M.: Atmospheric pollution in Venice, Italy, as indicated by isotopic analyses, Water, Air, and Soil Pollution, 10, 335-341, 1978.

Lyons, J. R.: Transfer of mass-independent fractionation in ozone to other oxygen-containing radicals in the atmosphere, Geophysical Research Letters, 28, 3231-3234, 2001.

Markovic, S., Paytan, A., Li, H., and Wortmann, U. G.: A revised seawater sulfate oxygen isotope record for the last 4 Myr, Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 175, 239-251, 2016.

Martin, E., Bekki, S., Ninin, C., and Bindeman, I.: Volcanic sulfate aerosol formation in the troposphere, Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 119, 12,660-612,673, 2014.

Martin, E.: Volcanic plume impact on the atmosphere and climate: O-and S-isotope insight into sulfate aerosol formation, Geosciences, 8, 198, 2018.

Mauersberger, K., Erbacher, B., Krankowsky, D., Günther, J., and Nickel, R.: Ozone isotope enrichment: Isotopomer-specific rate coefficients, Science, 283, 370-372, 1999.

Montana, G., Randazzo, L., and Mazzoleni, P.: Natural and anthropogenic sources of total suspended particulate and their contribution to the formation of black crusts on building stone materials of Catania (Sicily), Environmental Earth Sciences, 67, 1097-1110, 2012.

Montana, G., Randazzo, L., Oddo, I. A., and Valenza, M.: The growth of "black crusts" on calcareous building stones in Palermo (Sicily): a first appraisal of anthropogenic and natural sulphur sources, Environmental geology, 56, 367-380, 2008.

Nielsen, H.: Isotopic composition of the major contributors to atmospheric sulfur, Tellus, 26, 213-221, 1974.

Oduro, H., Harms, B., Sintim, H. O., Kaufman, A. J., Cody, G., and Farquhar, J.: Evidence of magnetic isotope effects during thermochemical sulfate reduction, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 108, 17635-17638, 2011.

Ono, S., Eigenbrode, J. L., Pavlov, A. A., Kharecha, P., Rumble III, D., Kasting, J. F., and Freeman, K. H.: New insights into Archean sulfur cycle from mass-independent sulfur isotope records from the Hamersley Basin, Australia, Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 213, 15-30, 2003.

Ono, S., Whitehill, A., and Lyons, J.: Contribution of isotopologue self-shielding to sulfur mass-independent fractionation during sulfur dioxide photolysis, Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 118, 2444-2454, 2013.

Ono, S., Wing, B., Johnston, D., Farquhar, J., and Rumble, D.: Mass-dependent fractionation of quadruple stable sulfur isotope system as a new tracer of sulfur biogeochemical cycles, Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 70, 2238-2252, 2006a.

Ono, S., Wing, B., Rumble, D., and Farquhar, J.: High precision analysis of all four stable isotopes of sulfur (^{32}S, ^{33}S, ^{34}S and ^{36}S) at nanomole levels using a laser fluorination isotope-ratio-monitoring gas chromatography - mass spectrometry, Chemical geology, 225, 30-39, 2006b.

Patris, N., Delmas, R., Legrand, M., De Angelis, M., Ferron, F. A., Stiévenard, M., and Jouzel, J.: First sulfur isotope measurements in central Greenland ice cores along the preindustrial and industrial periods, Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 107, ACH 6-1-ACH 6-11, 2002.

Raab, M. and Spiro, B.: Sulfur isotopic variations during seawater evaporation with fractional crystallization, Chemical Geology: Isotope Geoscience section, 86, 323-333, 1991.

Rees, C., Jenkins, W., and Monster, J.: The sulphur isotopic composition of ocean water sulphate, Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 42, 377-381, 1978.

Rennie, V. C. and Turchyn, A. V.: The preservation of δSSO434 and δOSO418 in carbonate-associated sulfate during marine diagenesis: A 25 Myr test case using marine sediments, Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 395, 13-23, 2014.

Rodriguez-Navarro, C. and Sebastian, E.: Role of particulate matter from vehicle exhaust on porous building stones (limestone) sulfation, Science of the total environment, 187, 79-91, 1996.

Romero, A. B. and Thiemens, M. H.: Mass-independent sulfur isotopic compositions in present-day sulfate aerosols, Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 108, 2003.

Sáiz-Jiménez, C.: Deposition of anthropogenic compounds on monuments and their effect on airborne microorganisms, Aerobiologia, 11, 161-175, 1995.

Savarino, J., Lee, C. C., and Thiemens, M. H.: Laboratory oxygen isotopic study of sulfur (IV) oxidation: Origin of the mass-independent oxygen isotopic anomaly in atmospheric sulfates and sulfate mineral deposits on Earth, Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 105, 29079-29088, 2000.

Savarino, J. and Thiemens, M. H.: Analytical procedure to determine both δ18O and δ17O of H2O2 in natural water and first measurements, Atmospheric Environment, 33, 3683-3690, 1999.

Scheerer, S., Ortega-Morales, O., and Gaylarde, C.: Microbial Deterioration of Stone Monuments—An Updated Overview, 66, 97-139, 2009.

Schiavon, N.: Biodeterioration of calcareous and granitic building stones in urban environments, Geological Society, London, Special Publications, 205, 195-205, 2002.

Seinfeld, J. H. and Pandis, S. N.: Atmospheric chemistry and physics: from air pollution to climate change, John Wiley & Sons, 2016.

Shaheen, R., Abaunza, M. M., Jackson, T. L., McCabe, J., Savarino, J., and Thiemens, M. H.: Large sulfur-isotope anomaly in nonvolcanic sulfate aerosol and its implications for the Archean atmosphere, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 111, 11979-11983, 2014.

Siegesmund, S., Török, A., Hüpers, A., Müller, C., and Klemm, W.: Mineralogical, geochemical and microfabric evidences of gypsum crusts: a case study from Budapest, Environmental Geology, 52, 385-397, 2007.

Sofen, E., Alexander, B., and Kunasek, S.: The impact of anthropogenic emissions on atmospheric sulfate production pathways, oxidants, and ice core Δ 17 O (SO 4 2–), Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 11, 3565-3578, 2011.

Šrámek, J.: Determination of the source of surface deterioration on tombstones at the Old Jewish Cemetery in Prague, Studies in Conservation, 25, 47-52, 1980.

Stocker, T.: Climate change 2013: the physical science basis: Working Group I contribution to the Fifth assessment report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, Cambridge University Press, 2014.

Thiemens, M. H.: Mass-independent isotope effects in planetary atmospheres and the early solar system, Science, 283, 341-345, 1999.

Thode, H., Monster, J., and Dunford, H.: Sulphur isotope geochemistry, Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 25, 159-174, 1961.

Thomazo, C., Brayard, A., Elmeknassi, S., Vennin, E., Olivier, N., Caravaca, G., Escarguel, G., Fara, E., Bylund, K., and Jenks, J.: Multiple sulfur isotope signals associated with the late Smithian event and the Smithian/Spathian boundary, Earth-Science Reviews, 2018. 2018.

Thurston, R. S., Mandernack, K. W., and Shanks III, W. C.: Laboratory chalcopyrite oxidation by Acidithiobacillus ferrooxidans: oxygen and sulfur isotope fractionation, Chemical Geology, 269, 252-261, 2010.

Tiano, P.: Biodegradation of cultural heritage: decay mechanisms and control methods, 2002, 7-12.

Tiano, P., Bianchi, R., Gargani, G., and Vannucci, S.: Research on the presence of sulphurcycle bacteria in the stone of some historical buildings in Florence, Plant and soil, 43, 211-217, 1975.

Torfs, K. M., Van Grieken, R. E., and Buzek, F.: Use of stable isotope measurements to evaluate the origin of sulfur in gypsum layers on limestone buildings, Environmental science & technology, 31, 2650-2655, 1997.

Turchyn, A. V., Schrag, D. P., Coccioni, R., and Montanari, A.: Stable isotope analysis of the Cretaceous sulfur cycle, Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 285, 115-123, 2009.

Turro, N. J.: Influence of nuclear spin on chemical reactions: magnetic isotope and magnetic field effects (a review), Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 80, 609-621, 1983.

Urey, H. C.: The thermodynamic properties of isotopic substances, Journal of the Chemical Society (Resumed), 1947. 562-581, 1947.

Vallet, J.-M., Gosselin, C., Bromblet, P., Rolland, O., Vergès-Belmin, V., and Kloppmann, W.: Origin of salts in stone monument degradation using sulphur and oxygen isotopes: First results of the Bourges cathedral (France), Journal of geochemical exploration, 88, 358-362, 2006.

Vicars, W. C. and Savarino, J.: Quantitative constraints on the 17O-excess (Δ17O) signature of surface ozone: Ambient measurements from 50° N to 50° S using the nitrite-coated filter technique, Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 135, 270-287, 2014.

Weber, R., Chen, G., Davis, D., Mauldin III, R., Tanner, D., Eisele, F., Clarke, A., Thornton, D., and Bandy, A.: Measurements of enhanced H2SO4 and 3–4 nm particles near a frontal cloud during the First Aerosol Characterization Experiment (ACE 1), Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 106, 24107-24117, 2001.

Young, E. D., Galy, A., and Nagahara, H.: Kinetic and equilibrium mass-dependent isotope fractionation laws in nature and their geochemical and cosmochemical significance, Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 66, 1095-1104, 2002.

Fig. 1 Thin layer of black crusts formed on a carbonate building stone, on a church wall in Fécamp city.



Fig. 2 Maps with the sampling location. **a** Location of the studied area in the Northern Paris Basin on the map of France. **b** The NW-SE cross-section from Fécamp to Sens with the 27 samples and the four power plants (in red). **c** Focus on the samples located in the Paris area.



Fig. 3 SEM images of black crusts samples from Paris (PA14-1, PA13-2) and Montfort-sur-Risles (MR27-1). **a** Two distinct layers into the crust: an upper opaque one with aggregates of particulate matter and clay minerals (left and right sides of the picture) and a more crystallized one with acicular gypsum crystals perpendicular to the host substrate. **b** Presence of soot (arrow) on the two layers (PA14-1). **c** Fly ashes (arrow) with the formation of small gypsum crystals on their surfaces (PA13-2). **d** Large amount of fly ashes (arrow and smaller not indicated) and soot in MR27-1 sample, located in a rural place. **e** Isolated cubic crystals of halite (NaCl) in MR27-1 sample, at 28 km from the coastline. **f** Dissolution of the underlying limestone (on the bottom) and subsequently the precipitation of gypsum (on the top).



Fig. 4 Evolution of $\delta^{34}S$ with $\delta^{18}O$ in black crusts (BC) sulfates. Modern seawater $\delta^{18}O$ and $\delta^{34}S$ are from Markovic et al., (2016) and Rees et al., (1978), respectively. The extreme anthropogenic sulfate $\delta^{18}O$ and $\delta^{34}S$ are from Lee et al. (2002) and Faure (1986) respectively. The carbonate-associated sulfates compositions are from Rennie and Turchyn, (2014) and Turchyn et al., (2009) and those of gypsum plaster come from Kloppmann et al., (2011). Isotopic compositions determining the oxidized pyrite field is from Canfield (2004) for sulfur and is calculated following a Rayleigh distillation model with an initial H_2O $\delta^{18}O$ = 6 ‰ and a mean fractionation factor of 1.010 (Gomes and Johnston, 2017) for oxygen. The black arrow represents the fractionation induced by gypsum precipitation where F=1 mean that all sulfates are dissolved and F=0 means that all sulfates are precipitated. The dashed fields represent the sulfur isotopic composition of the two anthropogenic (An) and CAS/plaster (CAS/PL) end-members.



Supprimé: ... [15]

Mis en forme: Police :(par défaut) Times New Roman, 11 pt

Mis en forme: Police :(par défaut) Times New Roman

Supprimé: (

Supprimé: ;

Supprimé: .

Supprimé: isotopic compositions

Supprimé: for oxygen

Supprimé: from

Supprimé: for sulfur

Supprimé: (

Supprimé: ;

Supprimé: (



Fig. 5 Evolution of $\delta^{34}S$ with $\Delta^{17}O$ in black crusts (BC) sulfates and sulfate aerosols (SA). The limit between mass-dependent and mass-independent fractionation (dashed line) is defined for $\Delta^{17}O$ ~0.65 ‰, where H_2O_2 will be the major oxidant, giving its O-anomaly to sulfates (Savarino et al., 2000). When $\Delta^{17}O < 0.65$ ‰, black crusts sulfates result from a mixing between primary sulfates (gypsum plaster and CAS and/or anthropogenic sulfur) and secondary sulfate aerosols where SO_2 is oxidized by H_2O_2 ($\Delta^{17}O = 0.65$ ‰), OH ($\Delta^{17}O = 0$ ‰) or O_2-TMI ($\Delta^{17}O = -0.09$ ‰) mainly (grey bar). When $\Delta^{17}O > 0.65$ ‰, black crusts sulfates represent secondary sulfate aerosols mainly, resulting from a mixing between SO_2 oxidized by O_3 ($\Delta^{17}O = 8.75$ ‰) and H_2O_2 (red bar). The yellow array represents gypsum plaster and CAS isotopic compositions. The dashed fields represent the sulfur isotopic composition of the two anthropogenic (An) and CAS/plaster (CAS/PL) end-members.



Supprimé:

Mis en forme: Police :(par défaut) Times New Roman, 11 pt

Mis en forme: Police :(par défaut) Times New Roman

Supprimé: in function of

Supprimé: .

Supprimé: The data of Baton Rouge are from Jenkins and Bao (2006), those of Bakerfield, La Jolla and WMRS (White Mountain Research Station) are from Lee and Thiemens (2001); Romero and Thiemens (2003) and those of Wuhan are from (Li et al., 2013). The dashed fields represent the sulfur isotopic composition of the two anthropogenic (An) and CAS/plaster (CAS/PL) end-members.



Fig. 6 $\Delta^{33}S$ and $\Delta^{36}S$ of the black crust, compared to sulfates formed by different oxidation pathways and by a mixing of them in the proportions estimated by Sofen et al. (2011). We took $^{33}\beta_{H2O2/O3} = 0.511$, $^{33}\beta_{OH} = 0.503$, $^{33}\beta_{O2\text{-}TMI} = 0.498$ (for T < 20°C), $^{33}\beta_{O2\text{-}TMI} = 0.547$ (for T > 20°C), $^{33}\beta_{NO2} = 0.514$ and $^{36}\beta_{H2O2/O3} = 1.82$, $^{36}\beta_{OH} = 1.97$, $^{36}\beta_{O2\text{-}TMI} = 1.98$ (for T < 20°C and T > 20°C), and $^{36}\beta_{NO2} = 1.90$ (Au Yang et al., 2018; Harris et al., 2013b). As $^{33}\beta_{O3}$ and $^{36}\beta_{O3}$ are unknown, we modified the proportions of Sofen et al. (2011) as follows: 27 % OH, 18 % O_2-TMI, 55 % H_2O_2 and 0 % O_3. The urban aerosols isotopic compositions are a compilation from Au Yang et al. (2019); Guo et al. (2010); (Lin et al., 2018b); Romero and Thiemens (2003); Shaheen et al. (2014) while the combustion process reflect samples from (Lee et al., 2002). Modeled $\Delta^{33}S$-$\Delta^{36}S$-values of cumulated black crusts (BC) sulfates formed by SO_2 wet/dry deposition with a MIE (^{33}S-depletion compared to initial SO_2 with constant negative $\Delta^{36}S$) and of cumulated secondary aerosols formed by SO_2 oxidation by O_3, O_2-TMI, OH, H_2O_2 (^{33}S-enrichment compared to initial SO_2) from an initial SO_2 with $\Delta^{33}S$-$\Delta^{36}S = 0$ ‰ are reported with corresponding β exponents (see section 5.2.2 for model explanation). Residual SO_2 and global cumulated BC + secondary aerosols isotopic compositions were not reported for better readability. Percentages indicate the fraction of produced cumulated BC and secondary aerosols.

Supprimé: ... [16]

Mis en forme: Police :(par défaut) Times New Roman, 11 pt

Mis en forme: Police :(par défaut) Times New Roman, 11 pt

Mis en forme: Couleur de police : R,V,B (0,0,10)

Mis en forme: Justifié

Supprimé: 3



Fig. 7 a Modeled $\delta^{34}S$ and $\Delta^{33}S$-values of black crusts (BC) sulfates (instantaneous and cumulated) formed by SO_2 wet/dry deposition with a MIE (^{33}S-depletion compared to initial SO_2) and of secondary aerosols (instantaneous and cumulated) formed by SO_2 oxidation by O_3, O_2-TMI, OH, H_2O_2 (^{33}S-enrichment compared to initial SO_2) from an initial SO_2 with $\delta^{34}S$ = 1 ‰ and $\Delta^{33}S$ = 0 ‰ (red point). ^{33}S-enrichment of residual SO_2 and global cumulated BC + secondary aerosols isotopic compositions are also reported. Percentages indicate the fraction of produced cumulated BC and secondary aerosols (see section 5.2.2 for model explanation). Black crust sulfate isotopic compositions (dark grey points) can be explained by a mixing (red triangle) between sulfates from CAS/plaster (dashed square, $\delta^{34}S$ = 18 ‰ and $\Delta^{33}S$ = 0 ‰, see section 5.1), primary anthropogenic sulfates (dashed square, $\delta^{34}S$ = -3 ‰ and $\Delta^{33}S$ = 0 ‰) and sulfates formed by wet/dry deposition of SO_2 undergoing a MIE and oxidized SO_2 forming secondary aerosols (cumulated BC sulfates and cumulated BC + secondary aerosols). Urban aerosols (light grey points) isotopic compositions are a compilation from Au Yang et al. (2019); Guo et al. (2010); (Lin et al., 2018b); Romero and Thiemens (2003); Shaheen et al. (2014).



Fig. 8 Scheme summarizing the sulfur sources and processes that lead to black crusts formation. Sulfur dioxide releases by anthropogenic activities can either be oxidized in the atmosphere by H_2O_2, O_3, OH, O_2-TMI and formed secondary sulfate aerosols that will react with the carbonate building stone to produce ^{33}S-enriched black crusts sulfates or be deposited, as dry/wet deposit, on the carbonate substrate where its oxidation into SO_x^- then sulfates through MIE will produce ^{33}S-depleted black crusts sulfates and a ^{33}S-enriched residual SO_2 (source 3). Primary sulfates emitted by anthropogenic activities (source 2) or carbonate-associated sulfates and/or plaster of the host-rock (source 1) are also likely sources contributing to black crusts formation.

Samples	Location	Orientation of sampled faces	Distance from the sea (km)	Height above the ground (m)	Exposition to traffic road
PA14-1	48° 49' 37.97" N 2° 20' 5.21" E	65° N	170	1.5 - 2.0	Directly exposed
PA13-2	48° 49' 26.42" N 2° 22' 33.48" E	150° N	170	2.0	Directly exposed
PA5-1	48° 50' 37.09" N 2° 20' 25.77" E	14° N	170	1.5	Directly exposed
BR91-1	48° 42' 11.15" N 2° 30' 28.82" E	107° N	190	1.5	Directly exposed
PO78-2	48° 55' 42.41" N 2° 2' 16.94" E	100° N	150	1.5 - 2.0	Directly exposed
MLJ78-1	48° 59' 32.40" N 1° 42' 31.78" E	295° N	135	1.2 - 1.5	Directly exposed
SM94-1	48° 48' 47.00" N 2° 28' 28.84" E	0° N	175	2.0 - 3.5	Directly exposed
TO77-1	48° 44' 18.74" N 2° 46' 7.78" E	21° N	202	1.5 – 2.0	Directly exposed
MV95-1	49° 9' 3.57" N 1° 47' 13.53" E	343° N	110	1.5	Not directly exposed
TV27-1	49° 14' 8.17" N 1° 36' 27.30" E	340° N	95	1.5 – 2.0	Directly exposed
BU76-2	49° 35' 2.94" N 1° 21' 23.79" E	313° N	45	1.5 – 2.0	Directly exposed
YV76-1	49° 37' 1.12" N 0° 45' 16.36" E	275° N	28	1.5 – 2.0	Not directly exposed
FE76-1	49° 45' 31.47" N 0° 22' 2.74" E	190° N	0,5	1.5 – 2.0	Directly exposed
FE76-2	49° 45' 29.26" N 0° 22' 35.97" E	0° N	1,1	1.5 – 2.0	Not directly exposed
BG76-1	49° 35' 30.11" N 0° 25' 39.22" E	151° N	21	1.5	Not directly exposed
CC76-1	49° 31' 32.55" N 0° 43' 50.12" E	191° N	37	< 2.0	Directly exposed
JU76-1	49° 25' 56.69" N 0° 49' 8.42" E	317° N	50	1.5 – 2.0	Directly exposed
MR27-1	49° 17' 40.51" N 0° 39' 52.77" E	120° N	28	< 2.0	Directly exposed
EV27-1	49° 1' 25.82" N 1° 8' 29.45" E	158° N	84	2.0	Not directly exposed
NO27-1	48° 46' 15.78" N 1° 11' 53.81" E	13° N	103	1.5	Not directly exposed
DR28-1	48° 44' 9.39" N 1° 22' 5.06" E	233° N	115	1.5 – 2.0	Not directly exposed

Tableau mis en forme

ME77-2	48° 32’ 20’’ N 2° 39’ 33 ‘’ E	22° N	210	1.3	Not directly exposed
AV77-1	48° 24’ 15 ‘’ N 2° 43’ 2’’ E	73° N	230	1.3 – 2.3	Directly exposed
SE89-1	48° 12’ 8’’ N 3° 16’ 24’’ E	263° N	270	1.7	Not directly exposed
PY89-1	48° 17’ 16’’ N 3° 12’ 16’’ E	343° N	263	1.5 – 2.1	Not directly exposed
PO77-1	48° 33’ 38’’ N 3° 17’ 29’’ E	23° N	230	1.5 – 2.0	Not directly exposed
NA77-1	48° 33’ 26’’ N 3° 0’ 25’’ E	351° N	230	2.5	Not directly exposed

Table 1 Characteristics of black crusts samples. Their name was given according to the city and the department where they are located and following the number of samples gathered at the same place (NA77-1: NA = Nangis; 77 = department; -1 = first sample collected).

	$\delta^{18}O$	$\Delta^{17}O$	$\delta^{34}S$	$\Delta^{33}S$	$\Delta^{36}S$	Distance from
(2σ)	± 0.5 ‰	± 0.05 ‰	± 0.20 ‰	± 0.01 ‰	± 0.20 ‰	coastline (km)
PO78-2	9.7	0.27	1.34	-0.17	-0.68	150
AV77-1	9.8	1.03	2.25	-0.07	-0.33	230
PY89-1	9.8	0.84	0.46	-0.21	-0.47	263
PO77-1	12.4	2.56	-2.66	-0.07	-0.50	231
BG76-1	13.1	1.65	4.94	-0.10	-0.47	21
MV95-1	15.5	0.46	10.17	-0.04	-0.40	110
BR91-1	14.8	0.18	13.51	-0.02	-0.22	190
JU76-1	9.9	0.78	2.93	-0.01	-0.24	50
TV27-1	16.7	0.27	13.99	-0.02	-0.54	95
YV76-1	12.9	1.01	10.51	-0.04	-0.27	28
DR28-1	7.5	0.70	-2.22	-0.30	-0.34	115
FE76-1	10.3	1.35	0.95	-0.16	-0.59	0.5
NO27-1	14.2	1.64	1.78	-0.04	-0.45	103
ME77-2	10.5	1.07	-0.54	-0.21	-0.29	210
PA13-2	7.9	0.81	-0.87	-0.10	-0.58	170
MLJ78-1	15.2	0.36	8.30	0.00	-0.52	135
EV27-1	10.4	0.79	6.60	-0.05	-0.37	84
FE76-2	8.7	1.19	-1.15	-0.04	-0.76	1.1
SE89-1	10.4	0.81	3.15	-0.11	-0.41	270
BU76-2	10.3	1.43	-0.11	-0.11	-0.50	45
MR27-1	11.2	0.84	2.82	-0.15	-0.49	28
PA14-1	9.2	0.17	1.55	-0.21	-0.56	171
TO77-1	13.3	0.08	12.03	-0.02	-0.64	202
NA77-1	9.8	1.42	2.40	-0.11	-0.49	232
PA5-1	10.5	0.89	0.47	-0.34	-0.32	172
SM94-1	10.4	0.43	3.60	-0.13	-0.28	175
CC76-1	9.6	0.25	5.82	-0.07	-0.43	37

Table 2 $\delta^{18}O$, $\delta^{34}S$, $\Delta^{17}O$, $\Delta^{33}S$ and $\Delta^{36}S$ measures of each sample with the distance from coastline.

Tableau mis en forme
Supprimé: 2
Supprimé: 2
Supprimé: 3
Supprimé: 2
Supprimé: 5
Supprimé: 7
Supprimé: 5.0
Supprimé: 2
Supprimé: 5
Supprimé: 3.0
Supprimé: 14.0
Supprimé: 5
Supprimé: 2
Supprimé: 9
Supprimé: 8
Supprimé: 5
Supprimé: 9
Supprimé: 3
Supprimé: 6
Supprimé: 1
Supprimé: 2
Supprimé: 1
Supprimé: 8
Supprimé: 5
Supprimé: 0
Supprimé: 4
Supprimé: 5
Supprimé: 6
Supprimé: 8

Page 3 : [1] Supprimé Utilisateur de Microsoft Office 21/02/2020 15:48:00

Thus, black crusts were never investigated for all the oxygen (δ^{18}O, δ^{17}O and therefore Δ^{17}O) and sulfur (δ^{34}S, δ^{33}S, δ^{36}S and therefore Δ^{33}S and Δ^{36}S) isotopic ratios contained in sulfate and more specifically, in quantifying the different oxidation channels involved in the sulfate aerosols formation in the troposphere.

Page 9 : [2] Supprimé Utilisateur de Microsoft Office 21/02/2020 15:48:00

Montana et al. (2012). Using a mass balance calculation, CAS/plaster proportions range between 2 and 81 % with an average ~ 30 %. Therefore, the host rock sulfate is on average not the main S-provider, highlighting atmospheric sulfate aerosols sampling by black crusts. In order to go further in the anthropogenic sources (primary and/or secondary sulfate aerosols) and oxidation pathways characterization, we combined, in the following section, the measured Δ^{17}O to δ^{34}S-δ^{18}O systematic.

The Δ^{17}O values as a proxy for SO_2 oxidation pathways

Figure 5 shows samples having near zero Δ^{17}O-values with δ^{34}S-values from -3 up to 14 ‰ and Δ^{17}O-values up to 2.6 ‰, with δ^{34}S-values that are < 10 ‰ when $\Delta^{17}O > 1$ ‰. These values are consistent with Δ^{17}O-values of sulfate aerosols worldwide, that range from 0.14 to 3 ‰ with a mean ~ 0.78 ‰, collected in rainwater or on filters in La Jolla (USA), Baton Rouge (USA), Bakerfield (USA), White Mountain Research Station (WMRS, USA), Wuhan (China) (Bao et al., 2001a; Jenkins and Bao, 2006; Lee and Thiemens, 2001; Li et al., 2013; Romero and Thiemens, 2003). The lack of correlation between Δ^{17}O and the distance from coastline (Fig. S1b) is consistent with the evidence that δ^{34}S-values are also not correlated with distance from coastline, confirming that DMS, which is mostly oxidized by ozone and carries high Δ^{17}O-values (Alexander et al., 2012), is less significant compared to anthropogenic sulfur.

Large positive Δ^{17}O anomalies in sulfate aerosols are inherited from their atmospheric oxidants, that were ultimately produced during O_3-photochemically induced genesis. In theory, other mechanisms exist such as magnetic isotope effect (see section 5.3.2) but have not been recognized yet. Sulfur dioxide will undergo oxidation via OH radical (in gaseous phase) or H_2O_2, O_3 or O_2 catalyzed by TMI (in aqueous phase) as well as other potential oxidants such as NO_2 or Criegee radicals, in the troposphere. As NO_2 and Criegee radicals are minor species, these have accordingly been less studied with respect to Δ^{17}O and are generally omitted. Resulting from photochemical reactions, O_3 molecules possess oxygen

Page 9 : [3] Déplacé vers la page 6 (Déplacement n°1) Utilisateur de Microsoft Office 21/02/2020 15:48:00

-MIF compositions with Δ^{17}O ~ 35 ‰ (Janssen et al., 1999; Lyons, 2001; Mauersberger et al., 1999)

Page 9 : [4] Déplacé vers la page 6 (Déplacement n°2) Utilisateur de Microsoft Office 21/02/2020 15:48:00

-TMI have mass-dependent composition with $\Delta^{17}O$ ~ 0 (Dubey et al., 1997; Holt et al., 1981; Lyons, 2001) and ~ -0.34 ‰ (Barkan and Luz, 2005) respectively. Savarino (2000) measured the O-isotopic compositions of sulfates derived from these

Page 9 : [5] Supprimé Utilisateur de Microsoft Office 21/02/2020 15:48:00

different oxidation pathways and showed that the OH and O_2-TMI oxidation channels do not result in a mass-independent fractionation ($\Delta^{17}O$ = 0 and -0.09 ‰ respectively) whereas O_3 and H_2O_2 radicals transfer ¼ and ½ respectively of their isotopic anomaly to the sulfate thus resulting in a mass-independent fractionation ($\Delta^{17}O$ = 8.75 ‰ and 0.65 ‰ respectively) (e.g.

Page 9 : [6] Déplacé vers la page 6 (Déplacement n°3) Utilisateur de Microsoft Office 21/02/2020 15:48:00

Bao et al., 2001a; Bao et al., 2000; Bao et al., 2001b; Bao et al., 2010; Jenkins and Bao, 2006; Lee et al., 2002; Lee and Thiemens, 2001; Li et al., 2013; Martin et al., 2014). Mass-dependent isotopic fractionation during SO_2 oxidation may change $\delta^{17}O$ and $\delta^{18}O$ but not the $\Delta^{17}O$ that only depends on the mixing of O-reservoirs with variable $\Delta^{17}O$.

Page 9 : [7] Supprimé Utilisateur de Microsoft Office 21/02/2020 15:48:00

Therefore, samples with low $\delta^{34}S$-values, consisting mostly of anthropogenic sulfur (section 5.1), and $\Delta^{17}O$ > 0.65 ‰ obviously point to a significant anthropogenic SO_2 fraction oxidized by O_3 + H_2O_2 or by O_3 and to a lesser extent by O_2

Page 9 : [8] Supprimé Utilisateur de Microsoft Office 21/02/2020 15:48:00

and correspond to samples with significant atmospheric sulfate aerosols. As the combustion does not produce sulfates with mass-independent signatures (Lee et al., 2002), primary sulfate aerosols have $\Delta^{17}O$ ~ 0 ‰, sea-salt sulfates as well, thus samples with $\Delta^{17}O$ < 0.65 ‰ and low $\delta^{34}S$-values then either represent primary anthropogenic sulfate aerosols and/or SO_2 oxidized by OH or O_2-TMI and/or a subtle mixing of oxidants to yield near-zero $\Delta^{17}O$ (Fig.

Page 9 : [9] Supprimé Utilisateur de Microsoft Office 21/02/2020 15:48:00

Samples having the highest $\delta^{34}S$ values were identified as being representative of host-rock sulfates (CAS/PL end-member) and their $\Delta^{17}O$-values near zero is in agreement with this origin. Indeed, marine sulfates can have $\Delta^{17}O$-values down to -0.70 ‰ in the geological record (a consequence of high pCO_2) during the Marinoan, ~ 635 Myr ago, but most of the time, $\Delta^{17}O$-values are typically around 0 and > -0.2 ‰ (Bao et al., 2008).

Our $\Delta^{17}O$-values representing the first data in black crusts, it could be speculated that some unexpected processes such as magnetic isotope effects (see section 5.3.2) occurred during black crust formation, i.e.

Page 9 : [10] Supprimé Utilisateur de Microsoft Office 21/02/2020 15:48:00

recalculated here for $f_{MI} + f_{MD} = 1$ where MI and MD denote mass-independent and mass-dependent fractionation respectively) and ~ 55 % modeled by

Page 9 : [11] Supprimé **Utilisateur de Microsoft Office** **21/02/2020 15:48:00**

. Considering now $\Delta^{17}O_{O3}$ = 8.75 ‰ and $\Delta^{17}O_{H2O2}$ = 0.65 ‰ of sulfates derived from the SO_2 oxidation by O_3 and H_2O_2 respectively, we can calculate the proportions (or fluxes) of the two oxidation channels around 4 (O_3) and 96 % (H_2O_2), following mass balance Eq. (4) Lee and Thiemens (2001) :

Page 9 : [12] Supprimé **Utilisateur de Microsoft Office** **21/02/2020 15:48:00**

$$\Delta^{17}O_{measured} = f(O_3) \times \Delta^{17}O_{O3} + f(H_2O_2) \times \Delta^{17}O_{H2O2} \qquad (4)$$

It is noteworthy that the estimated proportion of secondary sulfate in the black crust is highly dependent on the oxidation channel fluxes. For instance, a 5% increase in the O_3 oxidation channel flux decreases the secondary sulfate proportion in the black crust by 30%. Therefore, even if precise quantification is not possible, we can assume that secondary sulfate aerosols, mostly formed by oxidation of SO_2 by H_2O_2, dominate in black crusts and hence result from aqueous phase reaction.

5.3. Black crusts S-MIF signature

5.3

Page 9 : [13] Supprimé **Utilisateur de Microsoft Office** **21/02/2020 15:48:00**

The $\Delta^{17}O$-parameter provides key evidence for SO_2 oxidation by “atmospheric” oxidants but does not allow distinction between (1) SO_2 oxidized in the atmosphere generating secondary sulfate aerosols or (2) SO_2 deposited and oxidized on the building stone. This question can be addressed using $\Delta^{33}S$-$\Delta^{36}S$ systematics.

Page 12 : [14] Supprimé **Utilisateur de Microsoft Office** **21/02/2020 15:48:00**

Review of available literature shows that either product or residue

Page 23 : [15] Supprimé **Utilisateur de Microsoft Office** **21/02/2020 15:48:00**



Fig. 4 Evolution of $\delta^{34}S$ in function of $\delta^{18}O$ in black crusts sulfates. Grey points are from this study, green and red points represent the isotopic compositions of black crusts from Antwerp (Torfs et al., 1997) and Venice (Longinelli and Bartelloni, 1978) respectively. Orange and blue points represent the isotopic compositions of black crusts from Ljubljana (Kramar et al., 2011) and Bourges (Vallet et al., 2006) respectively, probing potentially an oxidized pyrite source. The yellow star represents the modern seawater

Page 25 : [16] Supprimé Utilisateur de Microsoft Office 21/02/2020 15:48:00


3
2
1
0
−1
−2
−3
−0.4
−0.2
0
0.2
0.4
Δ36S (‰)
Δ33S (‰)
Urban aerosols
Cumulated secondary aerosols
33β = 0.515
36β = 1.9
100 %
Cumulated BC sulfates
33β = 0.9
36β = 1.9
1 %
100 %
OH
NO2
H2O2
O2-TMI (T < 20°C)
O2-TMI (T > 20°C)
Black crust (this study)
Urban aerosols
Combustion process
Theoretical isotopic compositions of sulfates formed by only one oxidation pathway for 10 °C < T < 50 °C
OH
H2O2
O2-TMI
NO2
Theoretical isotopic compositions of sulfates formed following 27 % OH; 18 % O2-TMI; 55 % H2O2 (Sofen et al. 2011)
T>20°C
T<20°C