Observations of speciated isoprene nitrates in Beijing: implications for isoprene chemistry

Claire E. Reeves¹, Graham P. Mills¹, Lisa K. Whalley², W. Joe F. Acton³, William J. Bloss⁴, Leigh R. Crilley^{4,5}, Sue Grimmond⁶, Dwayne E. Heard⁷, C. Nicholas Hewitt³, James R. Hopkins⁸, Simone

5 Kotthaus^{6,9}, Louisa J. Kramer⁴, Roderic L. Jones¹⁰, James D. Lee⁸, Yanhui Liu¹, Bin Ouyang¹⁰, Eloise Slater⁷, Freya Squires¹¹, Xinming Wang¹², Robert Woodward-Massey¹³, and Chunxiang Ye¹³

¹Centre for Ocean and Atmospheric Sciences, School of Environmental Sciences, University of East Anglia, UK
 ²National Centre for Atmospheric Science, School of Chemistry, University of Leeds, UK
 ³Lancaster Environment Centre, Lancaster University, Lancaster, UK
 ⁴School of Geography, Earth and Environmental Sciences, the University of Birmingham, Birmingham, B15 2TT, UK

⁴School of Geography, Earth and Environmental Sciences, the University of Birmingham, Birmingham, B15 2TT, UK
 ⁵now at Department of Chemistry, York University, Toronto, Canada.
 ⁶Department of Meteorology, University of Reading, Reading, UK
 ⁷School of Chemistry, University of Leeds, UK
 ⁸National Centre for Atmospheric Science, Wolfson Atmospheric Chemistry Laboratories, Department of Chemistry,

15 University of York, UK

- ⁹Institut Pierre Simon Laplace, Ecole Polytechnique, France
- ¹⁰Department of Chemistry, University of Cambridge, UK
- ¹¹Wolfson Atmospheric Chemistry Laboratories, Department of Chemistry, University of York, UK
- ¹²Guangzhou Institute of Geochemistry, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Guangzhou, China
- 20 ¹³Beijing Innovation Center for Engineering Science and Advanced Technology, State Key Joint Laboratory for Environmental Simulation and Pollution Control, Center for Environment and Health, College of Environmental Sciences and Engineering, Peking University, Beijing, 100871, China

Correspondence to: Claire E. Reeves (c.reeves@uea.ac.uk)

Abstract. Isoprene is the most important biogenic volatile organic compound in the atmosphere. Its calculated impact on ozone (O₃) is critically dependent on the model isoprene oxidation chemical scheme, in particular the way the isoprene-derived organic nitrates (IN) are treated. By combining gas chromatography with mass spectrometry, we have developed a system capable of separating, and unambiguously measuring, individual IN isomers. In this paper we use measurements from its first field deployment, which took place in Beijing as part of the Atmospheric Pollution and Human Health in a Chinese Megacity programme, to test understanding of the isoprene chemistry as simulated in the

30 Master Chemical Mechanism (MCM) (v.3.3.1). Seven individual isoprene nitrates were identified and quantified during the campaign: two β -hydroxy nitrates (IHN); four δ -carbonyl nitrates (ICN); and propanone nitrate.

Our measurements show that in the summertime conditions experienced in Beijing the ratio of (1-OH, 2-ONO₂)-IHN to (4-OH, 3-ONO₂)-IHN (the numbers indicate the carbon atom in the isoprene chain to which the radical is added)

- 35 increases at NO mixing ratios below 2 ppb. This provides observational field evidence of the redistribution of the peroxy radicals derived from OH oxidation of isoprene away from the kinetic ratio towards a new thermodynamic equilibrium consistent with box model calculations. The observed amounts of δ -ICN demonstrate the importance of daytime addition of NO₃ to isoprene in Beijing but suggest that the predominant source of the δ -ICN in the model (reaction of NO with δ -nitrooxy peroxy radicals) may be too large. Our speciated measurements of the four δ -ICN
- 40 exhibit a mean C1:C4 isomer ratio of 1.4 and a mean *trans:cis* isomer ratio of 7 and provide insight into the isomeric distribution of the δ -nitrooxy peroxy radicals. Together our measurements and model results indicate that propanone nitrate was formed from the OH oxidation of δ -ICN both during the day and night, as well as from NO₃ addition to propene at night.

This study demonstrates the value of speciated IN measurements in testing understanding of the isoprene degradation 45 chemistry and shows how more extensive measurements would provide greater constraints. It highlights areas of the isoprene chemistry that warrant further study, in particular the impact of NO on the formation of the IHN, and the NO_3 initiated isoprene degradation chemistry as well as the need for further laboratory studies on the formation and the losses of IN, in particular via photolysis of δ -ICN and hydrolysis.

1 Introduction 50

55

Isoprene is the most important biogenic volatile organic compound (BVOC) in the atmosphere, with its emissions accounting for around 500 Tg yr⁻¹, about half of the global biogenic non-methane VOC emissions (Guenther et al., 2012). It is emitted by vegetation primarily during the daytime as a function of temperature and solar radiation and is readily oxidised by the hydroxyl (OH) and nitrate (NO_3) radicals and ozone (O_3). Through its degradation chemistry, isoprene impacts O_3 and the formation of secondary organic aerosols (SOA), which together impact the oxidising capacity of the atmosphere and radiative forcing. Global and regional model studies have shown that the calculated impact of isoprene on O_3 is critically dependent on the model isoprene oxidation chemical scheme, in particular the way the isoprene-derived nitrates (IN) are treated (e.g. Emmerson and Evans, 2009; Fiore et al., 2005; Squire et al., 2015; von Kuhlman et al., 2004; Wu et al., 2007; Bates and Jacob, 2019; Schwantes et al., 2020). Much of the uncertainty in 60 this chemistry is related to the yield and fate of IN, in particular whether NO_X (nitrogen oxides) and radicals, which are tied up in the nitrates, are later recycled or lost from the atmosphere.

First generation IN are formed following oxidation of isoprene by either OH or NO₃ (Wennberg et al., 2018) (Fig. 1). On oxidation by OH, peroxy radicals are formed which when they react with nitric oxide (NO) can lead to the formation 65 of hydroxy nitrates (IHN). These are dominated by β -IHN, but some δ -IHN are also formed. Depending on the fate of the peroxy radicals formed following NO₃ addition, a variety of IN can be produced: isoprene hydroperoxy nitrates (IPN); isoprene dinitrates (IDN); isoprene carbonyl nitrates (ICN); as well as IHN. The fate of first generation IN is poorly understood but much advancement in recent years has been made through new laboratory studies following the synthesis of some of the IN (Jacobs et al., 2014; Lee et al., 2014; Lockwood, et al., 2010; Teng et al., 2017; Xiong et al.,

- 70 2016), but these are still limited to specific IN isomers (six IHN and one ICN) and reaction rate constants for others are based on extrapolation and structural activity relationships. The IN are lost via reaction with OH, O₃ and NO₃ (Wennberg et al., 2018) and by photolysis (Xiong et al., 2016; Müller et al., 2014) and deposition (Nguyen et al., 2015), and have lifetimes of the order of a few hours. Reactions of the IHN and ICN with OH can lead to the formation of carbonyls and release of NO₂ as well as the formation of shorter chained nitrates such as methyl vinyl ketone nitrate,
- 75 methacrolein nitrate, propanone nitrate (acetone nitrate) and ethanal nitrate, with the ratio between these two pathways differing for specific IN isomers (Wennberg et al., 2018, hereafter referred to as W2018). More details of the chemistry of IN are given in Sect. 1 of the Supplementary Information (Supp. Info.).

In this study we deploy a new gas chromatography (GC) negative ionisation (NI) mass spectrometry (MS) system (Bew 80 et al., 2016; Mills et al., 2016) in the field for the first time. By separating and unambiguously measuring individual IHN and ICN isomers along with propanone nitrate during a field campaign in Beijing, we are then able to test the isoprene chemistry of the MCM using a box model. We examine how the ratios of the IHN, primarily the β -IHN, can provide insight into the peroxy radicals (ISOPOO) derived from the OH oxidation of isoprene and in particular their relationship with NO (left hand side of Fig 1a), and we use data on ICN, IHN and propanone nitrate to provide insight

into the chemistry of the δ -nitrooxy peroxy radicals (INO₂) formed from NO₃ addition to isoprene and the (right hand 85 side of Fig 1a).

2 Nomenclature

In this paper when naming the IN we have followed the nomenclature described by W2018. We assign numbers to the carbons of isoprene based on the conjugated butadiene backbone being comprised of carbons 1-4, with the methyl 90 substituent (carbon 5) connected to carbon 2. We refer to these carbons as "C#" without subscripts (e.g., "C2"). For functionalized isoprene oxidation products, we drop the "C" when describing substituent positions; for example, (1-OH, 2-ONO₂)-isoprene hydroxy nitrate (IHN) has a hydroxy group at C1 and a nitrooxy group at C2. This is different to the way we named the IN in Mills et al. (2016) in which the IHN naming followed that of Lockwood et al. (2010) and the ICN were named similarly to the equivalent IHN, except they have "-al" as a suffix. We referred to acetone nitrate as NOA in Mills et al. (2016) whereas here we refer to it as propanone nitrate. 95

3 Field campaign and measurements

3.1 Field campaign overview

The GC-NI-MS system was deployed at the Institute of Atmospheric Physics (IAP, Chinese Academy of Sciences) in the summer of 2017 (21st May to 22nd June) as part of the Atmospheric Pollution and Human Health in a Chinese 100 Megacity (APHH-Beijing) programme (Shi et al., 2019). The IAP is located at 39.97° N, 116.38° E in a residential area between the 3rd and 4th North ring roads of Beijing. The site contained small trees and grass, with roads 150 m away. During the campaign air quality was poor (Fig. S1) with average concentrations of $PM_{2.5}$ of ~30 µg m⁻³ and average mixing ratios of NO₂ of 15 ppb, CO of 450 ppb and O₃ of ~45 ppb (Shi et al., 2019). Details of the isoprene nitrate measurement technique are provided below, whilst details of instrumentation used for the supporting data are provided in the Supp. Info.

105

3.2 Isoprene nitrate measurement method

Seven individual isoprene nitrates were identified and quantified during the campaign (Figs. 1 and S2): two β -IHN ((1-OH, 2-ONO₂)-IHN, (4-OH, 3-ONO₂)-IHN); four ICN (E-(1-ONO₂, 4-CO)-ICN, Z-(1-ONO₂, 4-CO)-ICN, E-(4-ONO₂, 1-CO)-ICN, Z-(4-ONO₂, 1-CO)-ICN); and propanone nitrate.

110

Measurements were made approximately hourly. Air was drawn at 10 L min⁻¹ down a 2.5 m heated inlet (3/8" PFA and 45 °C) mounted on the roof (a height of approximately 3 m above the ground) of a mobile laboratory. Three different instrument setups were employed: (1) From the start of the measurements to 31 May, samples of 500 ml were taken off the inlet line down a 0.3 m length of 0.53 mm ID MxT-200 transfer line held at 50 °C and preconcentrated on a Tenax

- 115 adsorption trap at 35 °C and 50 ml min⁻¹, and injected onto the column via a metal six port Valco valve by heating to 150 °C (Mills et al. 2016). A 30.5 m, 0.32 mm (internal diameter (ID)) combination column was used which was comprised of 28 m of Rtx-200 followed by 2.5 m of Rtx-1701 column. The GC oven was temperature profiled from 40 °C to 200 °C, with a constant column flow of 4.5 ml min⁻¹ of helium; (2) Between 10th June and 16th June, the system was operated without a trap but instead direct injection of a 3 ml sample through a plastic Valco Cheminert valve
- connected to a short 0.32 mm ID combination column (2.5 m of Rtx-200 joined to 0.5 m of Rtx-1701). The GC oven 120 was temperature programmed from 10 °C to 200 °C and cooled with carbon dioxide (CO₂). A constant flow of 6.5 ml

min⁻¹ of helium was used as the carrier gas; (3) From 18th June to the end, the system again used the 30 m column and Tenax trapping as described above but the metal valve was replaced with the Cheminert valve that was used for the direct injections.

125

130

155

Of the compounds reported here, all but those of $(1-OH, 2-ONO_2)$ -IHN and E- $(1-ONO_2, 4-CO)$ -ICN were confirmed by injection of known isomers (Mills et al. 2016) post campaign. $(1-OH, 2-ONO_2)$ -IHN was identified based on its expected elution just before (4-OH, 3-ONO_2)-IHN (Nguyen et al., 2014) and the similarity of the observed ions to those of (4-OH, 3-ONO_2)-IHN. The E- $(1-ONO_2, 4-CO)$ -ICN peak was identified by its relative elution position compared to the other ICN (Schwantes et al., 2015), its expected retention time estimated from the relative retention times of known δ -IHN on this system and their aldehydic equivalents, and the similarity of observed ions to the other ICN.

During several comparisons of samples measured immediately before and after the valve was changed from metal to plastic and vice versa (1 h between samples), it was evident that the (4-OH, 3-ONO₂)-IHN and the ICN were lost to varying degrees on the metal valve as suggested by Crounse (J. D. Crounse, personal communication 2016), while simple alkyl nitrates were not. To account for this, all data obtained with the metal valve were scaled by the ratio of peak areas from the samples on either side of the valve changes to give results equivalent to those obtained when using

the Cheminert valve.
Calibrations for (4-OH, 3-ONO₂)-IHN and propanone nitrate were derived from the relative sensitivity of the compound to that of n-butyl nitrate (Mills et al., 2016) corrected for the relative ion abundances of the specific measurement ions

used for each compound (m/z 71 and 73, respectively). M/z 73 is a relatively minor ion for propanone nitrate, but we were unable to use a more major ion due to interferences from other compounds. N-butyl nitrate calibrations were performed every few days by attaching the transfer line to the standard in place of the inlet. We were unable to measure the relative sensitivities of (1-OH, 2-ONO₂)-IHN and the ICNs to n-butyl nitrate directly. To obtain an estimate, we have assumed that the ICN and propanone nitrate all have the same total ion yields compared to those of n-butyl nitrate and scaled this relative total ion yield by the fraction of the ion yield that the measurement ion represents. Similarly we

have assumed that the total ion yields of (1-OH, 2-ONO₂)-IHN and (4-OH, 3-ONO₂)-IHN are the same (and thus the n-butyl nitrate m/z 71: total IN ion ratio) and scaled this to reflect the proportion of the total ions that m/z 101 represents
for (1-OH, 2-ONO₂)-IHN.

3.3 Isoprene nitrate measurement uncertainties

We had previously determined the uncertainty for the measurement of the INs in the laboratory (including the GCMS precision and calibration uncertainties) to be ± 14 % (Mill et al, 2016), which includes an uncertainty of 5% for the GCMS precision. For determination of propanone nitrate in the field, we had to use a minor ion, and, with much smaller peaks, the precision was worse than it had been in the laboratory using more abundant ions. Based on the signal to noise on a peak, we estimate that the precision was 10% rather than the 5%. We obtained ion counts per ppt of NO_Y for three isoprene nitrates: (4-OH, 3-ONO₂)-IHN (2030), propanone nitrate (2202) Z-(4-OH, 1-ONO₂)-IHN (2365). Using this

- range, we assume an additional uncertainty of 17% for the electron capture / ionisation efficiency of (1-OH, 2-ONO₂)-IHN and the ICN. During the campaign, we swapped between a metal and plastic valve twice. Using the peak areas for the last sample with the old valve and first sample with the new valve we calculated loss correction factors as well as
- 160 the last sample with the old valve and first sample with the new valve we calculated loss correction factors as well as the uncertainties in these correction factors of: (4-OH, 3-ONO₂)-IHN (±5.2%), propanone nitrate (±6.4%), E-(1-ONO₂, 4-CO)-ICN (±14.8%), E-(4-ONO₂, 1-CO)-ICN (±9.0%), Z-(1-ONO₂, 4-CO)-ICN (±7.5%) and Z-(4-ONO₂, 1-CO)-ICN

 $(\pm 9.2\%)$. Loss corrections were applied to the data collected with the metal valve, and these additional uncertainties included in the overall uncertainties calculated for the periods when the metal valve was used. Based on Mills et al

- 165 (2016) the detection limit (DL) of our system with the column and trap is 0.1 ppt, but this increased to 1 ppt when run with direct injection. Combining these uncertainties, we get overall uncertainties for the measurements of the IN as shown in Table 1.
- When determining the uncertainties in the ratios between IN, we first calculated the uncertainties for each individual IN
 measurement excluding the calibration uncertainties that were common to both. We then combined the uncertainties in
 these to derive overall uncertainties in the ratios. We only assessed the ratios of 4-OH, 3-ONO₂)-IHN : (1-OH, 2-ONO₂)-IHN in period 2, when we the used the plastic valve and direct injection. I.e. for the ratio (4-OH, 3-ONO₂)-IHN : (1-OH, 2-ONO₂)-IHN, we considered the GCMS precision of 5% for each β-IHN and the additional 17% uncertainty for the electron capture / ionisation efficiency of (1-OH, 2-ONO₂)-IHN, plus the 1 ppt for the DL. We only assessed the GCMS precision of 5% and the additional 17% uncertainty for the electron capture / ionisation at the plastic valve, along with column and trap. I.e. we considered the GCMS precision of 5% and the additional 17% uncertainty for the electron capture / ionisation efficiency for each of the ICN

and 0.1 ppt for the DL.

4 MCM Box model set up

- 180 A zero dimensional box model, utilising a subset of the chemistry described within the Master Chemical Mechanism, MCMv3.3.1 (Jenkin et al., 2015), was used to calculate the concentrations of the various isoprene nitrates for the campaign. The MCMv3.3.1 includes an update of the isoprene degradation chemistry to reflect findings of recent laboratory and theoretical studies.
- 185 The model was constrained by measured values of water vapour, temperature, pressure, NO, NO₂, NO₃, O₃, CO, SO₂, HONO and HCHO. Speciated VOC measurements of alcohols, alkanes, alkenes, dialkenes (including isoprene), multi-functional aromatics, carbonyls and monoterpenes were included as further model constraints. The concentrations of H₂ and CH₄ were held constant at 500 ppb and 1.8 ppm, respectively. The photolysis rates for j(O¹D), j(NO₂) and j(HONO), calculated from the measured actinic flux and published absorption cross sections and quantum yields, were included as model inputs. Other photolysis frequencies used in the model were calculated. For UV-active species, such
- as HCHO and CH₃CHO, photolysis rates were calculated by scaling to the ratio of clear-sky $j(O^1D)$ to observed $j(O^1D)$ to account for clouds. For species able to photolyse further into the visible the ratio of clear-sky $j(NO_2)$ to observed $j(NO_2)$ was used. The variation of the clear-sky photolysis rates (*j*) with solar zenith angle (χ) was calculated within the model using the following expression:
- 195

$$j = l\cos(\chi)^m \times e^{-n\sec(\chi)}$$
(3)

with the parameters *l*, *m* and *n* optimised for each photolysis frequency (see Table 2 in Saunders et al. (2003)).

200 The model was run for the entirety of the campaign (21st May 2017 – 25th June 2017) in overlapping 7 day segments, with the model constraints updated every 15 minutes. By this method, a model time-series was produced which could be directly compared with observations and, from which, diel averages were generated. There was a spike of very high

concentrations of isoprene in the early hours of the morning of 16th June 2017, which led to extremely high concentrations of modelled ICN, propanone nitrate and (4-OH, 1-ONO₂)-IHN. These have been removed from the diel

205

5 averages presented in this paper. Fluxes through each reaction were calculated for every 15 minute period to allow an analysis of the production and loss terms of the chemical species.

The loss due to mixing of all non-constrained, model generated species, including the speciated isoprene nitrates, was parametrised and evaluated by comparing the model-predicted glyoxal concentration with the observed glyoxal

- 210 concentration. Applying a loss rate proportional to the observationally-derived mixed layer height (Fig. S4), the model was able to reproduce glyoxal observations reasonably well (Fig. S4). As a result of this first order loss process, the partial lifetime of the model generated species was ~2 h at night, then decreased rapidly to a lifetime of <30 min in the morning as the mixed layer grew, effectively simulating ventilation of the model box. With the collapse of the mixed layer in the late afternoon the model lifetime with respect to ventilation of glyoxal (and other model generated species)</p>
- 215 increased. However, the model has a tendency to underestimate glyoxal concentrations between 4 pm and midnight. This underestimation suggests that either the lifetime with respect to ventilation should be even longer or that the model is underestimating oxidation processes that lead to glyoxal production at these times. We do not consider uptake of glyoxal onto aerosol, the rate of which is highly uncertain (Volkamer et al., 2007; Washenfelder et al., 2011; Li et al., 2016). Including one would have led to use of a slower ventilation rate. The same first order ventilation loss was
- 220 applied to all species and no specific assumptions were made about the background concentrations. Consequently, the rate of mixing may be overestimated for longer-lived species with significant background concentrations and conversely underestimated for shorter-lived species.

5 Results and Discussion

5.1 β-IHN

- Figs. 2 and S3 compare the measured and modelled β -IHN. The shaded areas in Fig. 2 represent ±1 s.d. in the data for each hour of the day and illustrate the large day-to-day variability in the mixing ratios of β -IHN. Note that for (1-OH, 2-ONO₂)-IHN there are only 6 days of data, hence why the average diel patterns are strongly affected by the day-to-day variability. This is particularly the case for the measurements where three of the hourly bins contain just one measurement, and the rest have between three and eight measurements.
- 230

The observed β -IHN exhibit diel patterns that are broadly in agreement with those modelled and consistent with daytime formation from OH oxidation of isoprene and a shift in competition from the reactions of isoprene-derived peroxy radicals (ISOPOO) with NO to reaction with HO₂ as mixing ratios of NO decline from an early morning peak (Fig. S5) and those of the peroxy radicals maximise in the mid-afternoon (Whalley et al., 2020). The observed β -IHN

235 peak around midday and these levels are mostly maintained until around sunset when they decline to reach minimum values just after sunrise. This pattern is also broadly similar to that of total IHN observed during the Southern Oxidant and Aerosol Study (SOAS) (Xiong et al., 2015).

The absolute values of the observed (1-OH, 2-ONO₂)-IHN daytime mixing ratios are very similar to those modelled (Figs. 2 and S3). On some days, the observed and modelled (4-OH, 3-ONO₂)-IHN mixing ratios are reasonably similar, but on a few days the model simulates considerably larger mixing ratios than observed (Fig. S3) such that the mean daytime observed mixing ratios tend to be lower than modelled and exhibit far less day-to-day variability (Fig. 2). The observed evening mixing ratios of both β -IHN are higher than modelled suggesting that, like glyoxal, the model overestimates their loss with respect to ventilation. Alternatively, there may be greater production or slower chemical

245 loss than simulated. It is worth noting that the MCM assumes 8% of the OH addition to isoprene occurs at the C2 and C3 positions instead of the C1 and C4 positions so reducing the potential to form (1-OH, 2-ONO₂)-IHN or (4-OH, 3-ONO₂)-IHN, whereas W2018 recommend that the C2 and C3 addition are negligible.

To limit the impact of ventilation on the comparison between the model and observations Figs. 3 and 4 compare the 250 ratios of (1-OH, 2-ONO₂)-IHN to (4-OH, 3-ONO₂)-IHN. When looking at the times series (Fig. 3) of this ratio the model and measurements often agree within the measurement uncertainties, although there are times when the observed values are greater than modelled. The shaded areas in Fig. 4 represent ±1 s.d. in the data for each hour of the day. The large variability in the observed data is caused by some hours having very few data points, sometimes affected by a single high value (Fig. 3). The observed mean ratios are generally higher than the modelled mean, although there is 255 often agreement within the day-to-day variability.

It should be noted that the ratio we obtain from our measurements is not based on an independent calibration for (1-OH, 2-ONO₂)-IHN, but based on the assumption that the analytical system has the same sensitivity to (1-OH, 2-ONO₂)-IHN as it does to (4-OH, 3-ONO₂)-IHN. We have tried to account for this in the uncertainty calculations by assuming that the error in this sensitivity is equal to the percentage range of sensitivities that we observed for the other IN (see Sect. 3.3). It is possible that this is an underestimate.

260

There are four main factors that determine the ratio of the β-IHN: 1) the yields of their respective peroxy radicals (ISOPOO) following oxidation of isoprene by OH addition (φ); 2) the fraction of the respective ISOPOO that reacts with NO (γ); 3) the branching ratios for the formation of the IHN from the reaction of NO with the ISOPOO (α); and 4) the relative loss rates of the β-IHN, including via deposition.

For the first two factors, the concentration of NO is largely the determining influence. The adducts formed from OH addition to a specific C in isoprene can form a β-ISOPOO and either an E or Z δ-ISOPOO on reaction with O₂ (Fig. 5).
These reactions are reversible and, since the lifetimes of these peroxy radicals differ, they interconvert within two subgroups defined by the position of the OH (i.e. C1 on the left hand side of Fig. 5 and C4 on the right hand side). NO is often present in large amounts (Figs S2 and S5) so reaction with it is the dominant loss process for the ISOPOO. However, at low NO mixing ratios, other losses of the ISOPOO become relatively more important and, in particular, the different rates of 1,6 H atom shift isomerisation of the Z-(1-OH, 4-OO)-ISOPOO and Z-(4-OH, 1-OO)-ISOPOO means

- that redistribution of the ISOPOO within each sub-group differ such that (1-OH, 2-OO)-ISOPOO increases relative to (4-OH, 3-OO)-ISOPOO. Consequently, at lower NO mixing ratios the modelled ratio of φ -(1-OH, 2-OO)-ISOPOO to φ -(4-OH, 3-OO)-ISOPOO becomes larger (Fig. 6a). For mixing ratios of NO greater than ~2 ppb the ratio of the values of φ decreases approximately linearly from around 2 to about 1.7 at 100 ppb of NO. The ratio of the kinetic yields in the MCM is 1.58, which is the ratio of the values of φ that we get if we switch off the reverse pathway of the O₂
- reactions. This implies that even at 100 ppb of NO, the ratio of the yields of the (1-OH, 2-OO)-ISOPOO to (4-OH, 3-OO)-ISOPOO is shifted to values slightly greater than the kinetic ratio. At NO mixing ratios less than ~2 ppb the ratio of the values of φ increase with decreasing NO, such that at a few 10s of ppt of NO the ratio is typically between 2.5 and 4.

285 The rates at which the ISOPOO are assumed to be lost via the reactions with NO, HO₂ and NO₃ are the same for both β-ISOPOO. However, the rate constants for reaction of (1-OH, 2-OO)-ISOPOO with RO₂ and for its rate of isomerisation are slower than those of (4-OH, 3-OO)-ISOPOO. At lower NO mixing ratios, these reactions become relatively more important and so the modelled value of γ is lower for (4-OH, 3-OO)-ISOPOO than for (1-OH, 2-OO)-ISOPOO, and the ratio of γ-(1-OH, 2-OO)-ISOPOO to γ-(4-OH, 3-OO)-ISOPOO is larger (Fig. 6b). This is further enhanced as the concentrations of RO₂ can also be much greater at the lower NO concentrations, particularly below 1 ppb of NO (Fig. 6c), which leads to the ratio in the γ values being considerably greater than 1 at NO concentrations below a few 10s of

It should be noted that the MCM model underestimates the measured RO₂ mixing ratios (Whalley et al., 2020). This will lead to underestimation of the ratio of γ -(1-OH, 2-OO)-ISOPOO to γ -(4-OH, 3-OO)-ISOPOO, primarily at mixing ratios of NO below ~2 ppb. This might explain some of the differences between the MCM modelled and observed β -IHN ratios.

The net effect of these relationships is that the modelled ratio of (1-OH, 2-OO)-ISOPOO to (4-OH, 3-OO)-ISOPOO 300 increases with decreasing NO (Fig. 6d), i.e. for NO mixing ratios greater than 2 ppb the ratio is around 1.7-2.0, but at NO mixing ratios less than 2 ppb the ratio increases up towards a value of around 4. The ratio of the rate of production of (1-OH, 2-ONO₂)-IHN to (4-OH, 3-ONO₂)-IHN will have the same relationship with NO as the ratio of their precursor ISOPOO since the MCM assumes that the branching ratios for the formation of the two β-IHN from the reaction of NO with the ISOPOO (i.e. α, third factor) are the same. However, there are still considerable uncertainties 305 in these branching ratios (Sect. S1.1).

As for the loss processes of the β-IHN (fourth factor), the dominant loss in the model is the mixing term which is set at the same rate for both β-IHN. Photolysis is assumed to be faster for (1-OH, 2-ONO₂)-IHN than for (4-OH, 3-ONO₂)-IHN in the MCM, but is only a minor loss process. However, (1-OH, 2-ONO₂)-IHN reacts with both OH and O₃ more slowly than does (4-OH, 3-ONO₂)-IHN and since the dominant chemical loss process for the β-IHN are by far their reactions with OH, the net effect of these loss processes is to increase the ratio of (1-OH, 2-ONO₂)-IHN to (4-OH, 3-ONO₂)-IHN above their production ratio. The diel pattern in OH (Fig. S5) will tend to increase the ratio of (1-OH, 2-ONO₂)-IHN to (4-OH, 2-ONO₂)-IHN to (4-OH, 3-ONO₂)-IHN to (4-OH, 3-ONO₂)-IHN

315 Overall, this means that the modelled ratio of (1-OH, 2-ONO₂)-IHN to (4-OH, 3-ONO₂)-IHN increases with decreasing NO mixing ratios (Fig. 6e) (as also seen by Jenkin et al. (2015) in a box model using the MCM), and generally does not drop below the ratio of the β-ISOPOO (Fig. 6d). In the conditions modelled for Beijing it ranges from between 1.75 and 2.0 at NO mixing ratios above ~30 ppb rising up to typically between 2 and 3, but sometimes up to 4 at NO mixing ratios below 1 ppb. There are several cases at these low NO mixing ratios when the ratio of the β-IHN is below the ratio of the β-ISOPOO, but these occur at night when the production rates and the mixing ratios of the β-IHN are very small.

In comparison, the observed ratios of (1-OH, 2-ONO₂)-IHN to (4-OH, 3-ONO₂)-IHN show a similar, but weaker, relationship with NO (Fig. 6f). The strength of the observed relationship is limited by the number of data points and uncertainties in the measurements but shows a tendency for relatively more (1-OH, 2-ONO₂)-IHN at NO mixing ratios

325 of less than 1 ppb.

ppt.

Newland et al., (2020) point out that during the campaign a high NO_X environment existed in the morning but then switched to a low NO_X environment in the afternoon. The mean hourly NO mixing ratios were typically above 2 ppb between 06:00 and 12:00 local time, but mostly below this value in the afternoon (Fig. S5). The relationship between β -

330 IHN and NO as illustrated in (Fig. 6e) largely explains why the modelled ratio of the β -IHN (Fig. 4) is ~2 and exhibits little variability between about 06:00 and 09:00 and then rises up to around 2.5 in the afternoon.

Our mean observed (1-OH, 2-ONO₂)-IHN to (4-OH, 3-ONO₂)-IHN ratio of ~3.4 is higher than the daytime values reported by Vasquez et al. (2018) in the PROPHET campaign (~2.6) and in Pasadena (~1.4), but their data show a

- 335 similar pattern to ours in that the ratio is higher in the low NO_X environment of PROPHET compared to the high NO_X environment in Pasadena. We cannot rule out calibration differences affecting this comparison and, like us, Vasquez et al (2018) relied on relative calibrations estimates. Also, differences in the observed β -IHN ratios may be due to the amount and reactivity of the peroxy radicals present in the different studies. However, the ratio of 1.4 observed for Pasadena is lower than the kinetic φ ratios of 1.58 and 1.85 based on MCM and W2018 kinetic yields, respectively.
- 340 Xiong et al. (2015) calculate a ratio ranging from 2.6 to 6.0 based on the conditions experienced in SOAS.

5.2 δ-ICN

The MCM assumes all of the δ -ICN formed can be represented by a single species, (1-ONO₂, 4-CO)-ICN, called NC4CHO. We shall therefore compare the sum of the observed δ -ICN with the modelled NC4CHO and then look at the speciation as exhibited in the observations.

345

Both the observed and modelled total δ-ICN peak at night but the observed was typically less than modelled (Fig. 7 and S6), particularly on occasions at night (Fig. S6). This is also illustrated by the large day-to-day variability in the modelled diel patterns not seen in the observations (Fig. 7). The observed diel patterns in the ICN can be seen more clearly in Figs. 8 and S5 and show maximum values in the early night and minimum values during the daytime

350

355

The source of δ -ICN is via the addition of NO₃ to isoprene followed by addition of O₂. This produces δ -nitrooxy peroxy radicals (INO₂) (NISOPO2 in the MCM) and, in the conditions simulated for Beijing, the major loss of INO₂ is reaction with NO to form NO₂ and a δ -nitrooxy alkoxy radical (NISOPO in the MCM), which then reacts rapidly with O₂ to form the δ -ICN. Other production pathways for δ -ICN exist in the MCM, but the reaction of INO₂ with NO is by far the dominant source of δ -ICN in our simulations. There are some nights when the model simulates large sources of INO₂, but typically the modelled production of INO₂ maximises in the mid-afternoon. The model is constrained by the observed concentrations of isoprene and NO₃ and in the mid-afternoon the observed isoprene mixing ratios are still high and NO₃ is around 2 ppt (Fig. S5).

360 The dominant loss of δ -ICN in the model is the ventilation term, which is greatest during the daytime when the mixed layer is fully developed. The next most important loss processes for δ -ICN are simulated to be photolysis and reaction with OH, which are also both predominantly daytime losses.

The net effect of the production and loss terms is that the modelled δ -ICN increases during the afternoon and maximises during the night-time and the observed δ -ICN are broadly consistent with this (Fig. 7, S5 and S6). We observed around 1-2 ppt of E-(4-ONO₂, 1-CO)-ICN during the daytime and this requires a significant daytime source assuming a lifetime of around 30 minutes with respect to photolysis (based on a value of 4.6 x 10⁻⁴ s⁻¹ for a solar zenith angle of 0° (Xiong et al., 2016) and adjusting for latitude, time of year and time of day). This source is consistent with the observed presence of NO₃ during the daytime. However, the considerably larger modelled mixing ratios of δ -ICN compared to

370 the observed suggests that the modelled source via NO_3 oxidation of isoprene might be too fast, or the loss processes too slow.

The same ventilation process has been applied to all model generated species (Sect. 4). For glyoxal, (1-OH, 2-ONO₂)-IHN and (4-OH, 3-ONO₂)-IHN, the model tends to overestimate the decrease in concentrations from late afternoon onwards suggesting that the lifetime with respect to ventilation should be longer at these times but increasing the lifetime of δ-ICN would lead to their further overestimation. Applying the same loss term to all model species is of course an approximation, not least because the dilution term depends on the concentration of the species in the diluent air.

- 380 The MCM uses a photolysis frequency for δ-ICN based on that measured for propanone nitrate, which is equivalent to 3.16 x 10⁻⁴ s⁻¹ for a solar zenith angle of 0°. Xiong et al. (2016) determined a higher rate of 4.6 x 10⁻⁴ s⁻¹ for (4-ONO₂, 1-CO)-ICN for a solar zenith angle of 0°. Increasing rate of photolysis in the model would not only reduce the daytime increase in δ-ICN but would also reduce the amount of modelled δ-ICN that would persist into the night.
- 385 Reaction with OH constitutes a similar size loss for δ-ICN as photolysis in the model. The MCM treats all the δ-ICN as (1-ONO₂, 4-CO,)-ICN and uses a rate constant for reaction with OH of 4.16 x 10⁻¹¹ cm³ s⁻¹. W2018 suggests a lower rate constant for reaction of OH with (1-ONO₂, 4-CO)-ICN (3.4 x 10⁻¹¹ cm³ s⁻¹) but a similar rate for (4-ONO₂, 1-CO)-ICN (4.1 x 10⁻¹¹ cm³ s⁻¹). Therefore, treating the two separately in the model and using the W2018 recommended rate constants would, overall, reduce the loss of δ-ICN with respect to OH, increasing discrepancy with the model.

390

395

Night-time losses of δ -ICN are reaction with O₃ and NO₃. The MCM uses a rate coefficient of 2.4 x 10⁻¹⁷ cm³ s⁻¹ for the reaction of δ -ICN with O₃, which is 5 times faster than the value of 4.4 x 10⁻¹⁸ cm³ s⁻¹ recommended by W2018, giving a partial lifetime on the order of 12 hours for an O₃ mixing ratio of 40 ppb. On the other hand, the MCM uses a rate constant for the reaction of δ -ICN with NO₃ which is 10 times slower than that recommended by W2018, but even so the lifetime of δ -ICN with respect to reaction with NO₃ as estimated by W2018 is of the order of 4 days, so this loss pathway would have to be much faster to reduce the modelled night-time δ -ICN close to that observed.

Of the observed δ-ICN, the two *trans* (E) isomers have the highest mixing ratios with E-(1-ONO₂, 4-CO)-ICN being the most abundant (Fig. 8 and S2). Focusing on the last four days (three nights) of the campaign (Fig. 8), when we have
most confidence in the data (i.e. when the plastic valve was used (Sect. 3.2)), we see that the observed ICN C1:C4 isomer ratio, exhibits a diel cycle with higher values at night (mean of 2.0, standard deviation (s.d.) of 0.3) and an overall mean of 1.4 (s.d. of 0.6). These values are considerably lower than would be expected based solely on the addition of NO₃ to isoprene occurring in the C1 and C4 positions in a ratio of 6 (C1:C4) (W2018). Our observed ratios are more comparable to the C1:C4 isomer ratio of 2.8 reported in Schwantes et al. (2016) for their environmental chamber, although in their experiment the ICN mostly came from RO₂ + RO₂ reactions (see Sect. S1.2) because the NO and NO₃ concentrations were low. Turning to the E:Z ratios, we observed the E-ICN isomers to dominate over the Z-ICN isomers. The (1-ONO₂, 4-CO)-ICN isomers exhibit a mean night-time E:Z ratio of 8 (s.d. of 1.4), whilst the (4-ONO₂, 1-CO)-ICN isomers exhibit a mean night-time E:Z ratio of 11 (s.d. of 1.5), giving an overall mean night-time E:Z ratio of 9 (s.d. of 1.0). These values are far greater than the *trans:cis* ratio of 1 presumed by W2018 for the reaction

410 of NO_3 addition to isoprene, based on the OH addition to C1 of isoprene calculated by Peeters et al. (2009). However, it should be noted that the peroxy radicals formed from the reaction of the adducts with O₂ may be in a different ratio as these reactions are reversible, similar to those for peroxy radicals formed following OH addition to isoprene.

As noted above, the ratios of C1-ICN to C4-ICN ratios exhibit diel patterns (Fig. 8). The ratios are higher at night and lower in the daytime. The evening ratios are driven by the preferential addition of NO₃ to the C1 position as discussed 415 above. The decrease in this ratio during the morning could be explained if the lifetime of C1-ICN were shorter than for the other isomers. However, the rate coefficients for reaction with OH recommended by W2018 are about 20 % slower for C1-ICN than for C4-ICN. Photolysis is expected to be the largest daytime sink, but Xiong et al (2016) only determined this for E-(4-ONO₂, 1-CO)-ICN.

5.3 Propanone nitrate 420

Figures S6 and 7 show the time series and diel patterns of the measured and modelled propanone nitrate. The observed mixing ratios are generally higher than the modelled values. The chemical lifetime of propanone nitrate is calculated to be around 10 hours during the daytime and considerably longer at night, so transport is expected to play an important role in the distribution of propanone nitrate. The mixing term dominates the modelled lifetime and the resulting mixing

- 425 ratios are highly dependent on the assumptions regarding this term. As discussed in Sect. 4, the same first order mixing loss rate is used for all species. As significant concentrations of propanone nitrate are expected to remain in the residual layer, this may lead to an overestimation of the mixing, but reducing this would worsen the comparison with the observed propanone nitrate. Despite these issues the model can still provide insight into the dominant chemical production processes.
- 430

The primary source of propanone nitrate in the model is the OH oxidation of δ -ICN, which is formed from NO₃ oxidation of isoprene. Consequently, the modelled propanone nitrate and δ -ICN time series share many similarities (Fig. S6). As discussed above, the production of δ -ICN and its loss via OH oxidation occur mostly during the daytime, so this source of propanone nitrate is predominantly during the daytime. On nights when OH is present even at low 435 concentrations it can be a sizeable source due to the relatively large amounts of δ -ICN at night. Propanone nitrate is also formed from oxidation of δ -ICN by O₃. This is a relatively small source except on nights when O₃ was present (Fig. S1). Propanone nitrate can also be produced following the NO_3 addition to propene. Overall, the model results suggest this to be a relatively small source, but is often calculated to be the dominant source of some of the night-time peaks in propanone nitrate.

440

Both the modelled and observed propanone nitrate reflect the fact that production of propane nitrate can occur both during the daytime and at night (Figs. S6 and 8). Fig. 8 shows the temporal variation of the observed propanone nitrate, along with (4-ONO₂, 1-CO)-ICN for the last four days of the campaign. Propanone nitrate exhibits three peaks: two on the nights of the 19-20/06/2017 and 20-21/06/2017, and one during the daytime on the 21/06/2017. These peaks are 445 replicated, but to a lesser extent by the model, which suggests that the peak on the night of the 19-20/06/2017 was OH oxidation of δ -ICN and, to a lesser extent, NO₃ addition to propene. The next night NO₃ addition to propene was modelled to be the dominant source of the propanone nitrate with OH oxidation of δ -ICN being the main source during the following day. The consequence of this pattern in sources is that both the observed and modelled mixing ratios show no clear diel cycle, possibly a weak bimodal pattern in the mean, and large day-to-day variability (Fig. 7).

The modelled propanone nitrate is generally less than the δ -ICN. In contrast the observed propanone nitrate is typically a lot greater than total δ -ICN. This might, in part be due to the model being unable to simulate the mixing correctly, but, as discussed in Sect. 5.2, the model simulates considerably larger amounts of δ -ICN than observed and getting the wrong balance between the various production and loss terms of the δ -ICN will likely impact the modelled propanone nitrate.

455 nitrat

460

5.4 δ-IHN

Four δ -IHN can be formed from the OH addition to isoprene in the C1 and C4 positions: E-(1-OH, 4-ONO₂)-IHN, Z-(1-OH, 4-ONO₂)-IHN, E-(4-OH, 1-ONO₂)-IHN) and Z-(4-OH, 1-ONO₂)-IHN) (Fig. 5). The MCM treats the *trans* and *cis* δ -IHN isomers as a single species and so considers two δ -IHN: (1-OH, 4-ONO₂)-IHN and (4-OH, 1-ONO₂)-IHN. The modelled two δ -IHN are simulated to have very similar mixing ratios during the daytime with peaks values of around 1 ppt (Figs. 9 and S7). Whilst we have previously demonstrated that our system can measure the four δ -IHN (Mills et al., 2016) we found no evidence of them in Beijing despite the modelled daytime mixing ratios being above our detection limit of 0.1 ppt.

465 The model also simulates enhancements of (4-OH, 1-ONO₂)-IHN of around 15-30 ppt on several nights coincident with enhanced mixing ratios of the δ-ICN (Figs. 9 and S7), because (4-OH, 1-ONO₂)-IHN is also formed when the INO₂ radicals react with organic peroxy radicals. As discussed in Sect. 5.2, INO₂ is mostly produced during the daytime, but on some nights INO₂ mixing ratios were simulated to be high leading to these elevated mixing ratios of both (4-OH, 1-ONO₂)-IHN and δ-ICN. We were only making measurements on a few of the nights when the model simulates these enhancements in (4-OH, 1-ONO₂)-IHN, but again we did not detect it and although we saw small enhancements in δ-ICN they were far smaller than modelled.

6 Conclusions

Following OH oxidation of isoprene, the concentration of NO is critical in determining the lifetime, fate and redistribution of the isoprene-derived peroxy radicals, ISOPOO. Measuring IHN, products of the reactions between NO
and ISOPOO, provide observational insight into this chemistry. Our measurements show that in the summertime conditions experienced in Beijing the β-IHN ratio ((1-OH, 2-ONO₂)-IHN to (4-OH, 3-ONO₂)-IHN) increases at NO mixing ratios below 2 ppb providing observational field evidence of the redistribution of the ISOPOO away from the kinetic ratio towards a new thermodynamic equilibrium consistent with box model calculations.

- 480 There are absolute discrepancies between the modelled and observed ratio of β -IHN, with the observed values being higher. Some of this might be resolved with a more accurate calibration of (1-OH, 2-ONO₂)-IHN. However, there may be issues with the chemical scheme and it is noteworthy that the model underestimates the measured RO₂ mixing ratios, for both those classed as simple and those as complex, the latter which include ISOPOO (Whalley et al., 2020). Whilst an underestimation of the β -ISOPOO might impact the absolute mixing ratios of modelled β -IHN, an underestimation
- of the total RO₂ can affect the β -IHN ratio as the rate constant for the reaction of RO₂ with (1-OH, 2-OO)-ISOPOO is slower than that for reaction of RO₂ with (4-OH, 3-OO)-ISOPOO and this contributes to the higher β -IHN ratios at the lower NO mixing ratios.

The diel pattern in observed NO (along with OH and peroxy radicals) in Beijing suggests that the ratio of (1-OH, 2-ONO₂)-IHN to (4-OH, 3-ONO₂)-IHN should have increased during the afternoon, but, unfortunately, we were unable to make enough measurements to observe this given the day-to-day variability in atmospheric composition. However, our observations demonstrate that more extensive measurements of individual β-IHN should provide insight into the β-ISOPOO speciation, and how it changes under different chemical regimes.

- 495 Like the β -IHN, δ -IHN are formed following OH addition to isoprene in the C1 and C4 positions and their rates of production are dependent on the kinetic yields of their respective ISOPOO from O₂ reaction with the C1 and C4 adducts, and redistribution amongst the ISOPOO. The rapid isomerization of the Z- δ -ISOPOO leads to a greater β : δ ISOPOO ratio than the kinetic one. Whilst the model strongly favours production of β -IHN over the δ -IHN it still suggests that there should be enough δ -IHN present during the daytime for us to detect with our measurement system.
- 500 However, we found no evidence of their presence, which may suggest that the model underestimates the β : δ ISOPOO ratio, or underestimates the δ -IHN losses.

The observed amounts of δ -ICN demonstrate the importance of daytime addition of NO₃ to isoprene in Beijing. Hamilton et al. (2021) have also shown this source of organic nitrates to be important for the formation secondary organic aerosol in Beijing. We did, however, observed far less δ -ICN than we modelled which may suggest that the predominant source of the δ -ICN in the model (reaction of NO with δ -nitrooxy peroxy radicals) is too large or the sink too small. The main source of propanone nitrate in the model is the OH oxidation of δ -ICN so the atmospheric budgets of these two nitrates are linked. Observations of propanone nitrate suggest it can be a marker of this chemistry, although other sources, such as the NO₃ addition to propene and the transport of propane nitrate need to be taken into 510 consideration. The model suggests that reaction of the δ -nitrooxy peroxy radicals with organic peroxy radicals is a significant source of (4-OH, 1-ONO₂)-IHN at night, but one that is not supported by our observations.

Our speciated measurements of the four δ-ICN isomers provide insight into the isomeric distribution of the δ-nitrooxy peroxy radicals. The two *trans* δ-ICN isomers are observed to have the highest mixing ratios, with E-(1-ONO₂, 4-CO)515 ICN being the most abundant. However, the mean C1:C4 isomer ratio is 1.4, which is considerably lower than would be expected based solely on the addition of NO₃ to isoprene occurring in the C1 and C4 positions in a 6:1 ratio. This raises the question as to whether it is appropriate to represent the δ-ICN by a single C1 nitrated isomer, as done in the MCM. We observed the *trans*-ICN isomers to dominate over the *cis*-ICN isomers with a mean ratio of 7, far greater than the *trans:cis* ratio of 1 presumed by W2018 for the reaction of NO₃ addition to isoprene. This suggests that thermodynamic 520 redistribution of the δ-nitrooxy peroxy radicals may also be important.

This study demonstrates the value of speciated IN measurements in testing understanding of the isoprene degradation chemistry and more measurements would provide more robust constraints. One reason for the limited data was the need for a different instrument set up for the measurement of (1-OH, 2-ONO₂)-IHN. Resolving this would increase data

- 525 capture and provide concurrent measurements of a range of speciated IN that can be used to test different aspects of the isoprene degradation system simultaneously, including the balance between OH and NO₃ oxidation of isoprene. Observations of speciated IN in a wide range of NO/VOC chemical space at different times of day would provide greater constraint on their chemistry, in particular the isomeric distribution and fate of the peroxy radicals. The chemistry of the isoprene degradation chemistry is complex involving multiple species and reactions. Analysis of field
- 530 measurements of IN can help constrain aspects of this, but interpretation would be enhanced by simultaneous

measurements of other chemical species (e.g. other products of the nitrooxy-peroxy radicals), as well as improved quantification of several reaction rate constants. Further laboratory studies are required to improve quantification of the IN lifetimes, in particular with respect to photolysis of the ICN, deposition and hydrolysis, and to better constrain the peroxy radical reactions, including the branching ratio of the NO reaction that leads to IHN.

535

Code Availability. The MCM code is available from the authors on request.

Data Availability. The observational data and diel cycles from the MCM in the figures are in the Supplementary Information. The 15 minute data from the MCM is available from the authors on request.

540

545

Supplement.

Author contributions. CER led the data interpretation and writing of the manuscript. GPM made the measurements of the IN with the assistance of YL. LKW did the MCM modelling. CER, WJB, SG, DEH, CNH, RLJ, JDL, XW and CY were involved in the project planning and leading the measurement groups. WJA, LRC, JRH, SK, LJK, BO, ES, FS and RW-M provided measurement data. All commented on the manuscript.

Competing interests. The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

550 Acknowledgements. We are grateful for funding provided by the UK Natural Environment Research Council (NERC), UK Medical Research Council and the Natural Science Foundation of China (NSFC) under the framework of the Newton Innovation Fund (NERC grants NE/N006909/1, NE/N006895/1, NE/N006976/1 and NE/N00700X/1; NSFC grant 41571130031). ES and RW-M are grateful to the NERC SPHERES Doctoral Training Programme for funding PhD studentships. CER acknowledges Andrew Rickard (NCAS, University of York) for providing information on the 555 MCM.

References

Bates, K. H., and Jacob, D. J., A new model mechanism for atmospheric oxidation of isoprene: global effects on oxidants, nitrogen oxides, organic products, and secondary organic aerosol, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 19, 9613–9640, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-19-9613-2019, 2019.

560 Bew, S. P., Hiatt-Gipson, G. D., Mills, G. P., and Reeves, C. E.: Efficient syntheses of climate impacting isoprene nitrates and (1R,5S)-(-)-myrtenol nitrate, Beilstein J. Org. Chem., 12, 1081–1095, doi:10.3762/bjoc.12.103, 2016.

Emmerson, K. M., and Evans, M. J.: Comparison of tropospheric gas-phase chemistry schemes for use within global models, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9, 1831-1845, 2009.

Fiore, A. M., Horowitz, L. W., Purves, D. W., Levy II, H., Evans, M. J., Wang, Y., Li, Q., and Yantosca, R. M.:
Evaluating the contribution of changes in isoprene emissions to surface ozone trends over the eastern United States, J. Geophys. Res., 110, D12303, doi: 10.1029/2004JD005485, 2005.

Guenther, A., Jiang, X., Heald, C. L., Sakulyanontvittaya, T., Duhl, T., Emmons, L. K., and Wang, X.: The Model of Emissions of Gases and Aerosols from Nature version 2.1 (MEGAN 2.1): An Extended and Updated Framework for Modeling Biogenic Emissions. Geosci. Model Dev., 5, 1471–1492, 2012.

- Hamiltion, J. F., Bryant, D. J., Edwards, P. E., Quyang, B., Bannan, T. J., Mehra, A., Mayhew, A. W., Hopkins, J. R., Dunmore, R. E., Squires, F. A., Lee, J. D., Newland, M. J., Worrall, S. D., Bacak, A., Coe, H., Percival, C., Whalley, L. K., Heard, D. E., Slater, E. J., Jones, R. L., Cui, T., Surratt, J. D., Reeves, C. E., Mills, G. P., Grimmond, S., Sun, Y., Xu, W., Shi, Z., Rickard, A. R.: Key Role of NO3 Radicals in the Production of Isoprene Nitrates and Nitrooxyorganosulfates in Beijing, Environ. Sci. Technol., 55, 2, 842–853, doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.0c05689, 2021.
- 575 Jacobs, M. I., Burke, W. J., and Elrod, M. J.: Kinetics of the reactions of isoprene-derived hydroxynitrates: gas phase epoxide formation and solution phase hydrolysis, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 14, 8933-8946, doi: 10.5194/acp-14-8933-2014, 2014.

Jenkin, M. E., Young, J. C., and Rickard, A. R.: The MCM v3.3.1 degradation scheme for isoprene, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 15, 11433-11459, doi: 10.5194/acp-15-11433-2015, 2015.

- 580 Lee, L., Teng, A. P., Wennberg, P. O., Crounse, J. D., and Cohen, R. C.: On Rates and Mechanisms of OH and O₃ Reactions with Isoprene Derived Hydroxy Nitrates, J. Phys. Chem., 118, 1622-1637, doi: 10.1021/jp4107603, 2014.
 - Li J., Jingqiu Mao, J., Min, K.-E., Washenfelder, R. A., Steven S. Brown, S. S., Kaiser, J., Keutsch, F. N., Volkamer, R., Wolfe, G. M., Hanisco, T. F., Pollack, I. B., Ryerson, T. B., Graus, M., Gilman, J. B., Lerner, B. M., Warneke, C., de Gouw, J. A., Middlebrook, A. M., Liao, J., Welt, A., Henderson, B. H., McNeill, V. F., Hall, S. R., Ullmann, K.,
- 585 Donner, L. J., Paulot, F., and Horowitz, L. W.: Observational constraints on glyoxal production from isoprene oxidation and its contribution to organic aerosol over the Southeast United States, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos, 121, 9849–9861, doi:10.1002/2016JD025331, 2011.

Lockwood, A. L., Shepson, P. B., Fiddler, M. N., and Alaghmand, M.: Isoprene nitrates: preparation, separation, identification, yields, and atmospheric chemistry, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10, 6169-6178, doi: 10.5194/acp-10-6169-2010, 2010.

590

Mills, G. P., Hiatt-Gipson, G. D., Bew, S. P., and Reeves, C. E.: Measurement of isoprene nitrates by GCMS, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 9, 4533-4545, doi: 10.5194/amt-9-4533-2016, 2016.

Müller, J.-F., Peeters, J., and Stavrakou, T.: Fast photolysis of carbonyl nitrates from isoprene, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 14, 2497-2508, doi: 10.5194/acp-14-2497-2014, 2014.

- 595 Newland, M. J., Bryant, D. J., Dunmore, R. E., Bannan, T. J., Acton, W. J. F., Langford, B., Hopkins, J. R., Squires, F. A., Dixon, W., Drysdale, W. S., Ivatt, P. D., Evans, M. J., Edwards, P. M., Whalley, L. K., Heard, D. E., Slater, E. J., Woodward-Massey, R., Ye, C., Mehra, A., Worrall, S. D., Bacak, A., Coe, H., Percival, C. J., Hewitt. C. N., Lee, J. D., Cui, T., Surratt, J. D., Wang, X., Lewis, A. C., Rickard. A. R., Hamilton, J. F., Rainforest-like Atmospheric Chemistry in a Polluted Megacity, Atmos. Chem, Phys., under review, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-2020-35, 2020.
- 600 Nguyen, T. B., Crounse, J. D., Schwantes, R. H., Teng, A. P., Bates, K. H., Zhang, X., St. Clair, J. M., Brune, W. H., Tyndall, G. S., Keutsch, F. N., Seinfeld, J. H., and Wennberg, P. O.: Overview of the Focused Isoprene eXperiment at the California Institute of Technology (FIXCIT): mechanistic chamber studies on the oxidation of biogenic compounds, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 14, 13531-13549, doi: 10.5194/acp-14-13531-2014, 2014.
- Nguyen, T. B., Crounse, J. D., Teng, A. P., St Clair, J. M., Paulot, F., Wolfe, G. M., and Wennberg, P. O.: Rapid deposition of oxidized biogenic compounds to a temperate forest, P. Natl. Acad. Sci., 112, E392-E401, doi: 10.1073/pnas.1418702112, 2015.

Peeters, J., Nguyen, T. L., and Vereecken, L.: HOx radical regeneration in the oxidation of isoprene, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., 28, 5935–5939, 2009.

Saunders, S. M., Jenkin, M. E., Derwent, R. G., and Pilling, M. J.: Protocol for the development of the Master Chemical

610 Mechanism, MCM v3 (Part A): tropospheric degradation of non-aromatic volatile organic compounds, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 3, 161-180, 2003.

Schwantes, R. H., Teng, A. P., Nguyen, T. B., Coggon, M. M., Crounse, J. D., St Clair, J. M., Zhang, X., Schilling, K. A., Seinfeld, J. H. and Wennberg, P. O.: Isoprene NO₃ Oxidation Products from the RO₂ + HO₂ Pathway, J. Phys. Chem., 119, 10158-10171, doi: 10.1021/acs.jpca.5b06355, 2015.

615 Schwantes, R. H., Emmons, L. K., Orlando, J. J., Barth, M. C., Tyndall, G. S., Hall, S. R., Ullmann, K., St. Clair, J. M., Blake, D. R., Wisthaler, A., and Bui, T. P. V., Comprehensive isoprene and terpene gas-phase chemistry improves simulated surface ozone in the southeastern US, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 20, 3739–3776, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-20-3739-2020, 2020.

Shi, Z., Vu, T., Kotthaus, S., Harrison, R. M., Grimmond, S., Yue, S., Zhu,T., Lee,J., Han,Y., Demuzere,M.,
Dunmore,R.E., Ren, L., Liu,D., Wang,Y., Wild,O., Allan,J., Acton,W.J., Barlow,J., Barratt, B., Beddows, D., Bloss, W.
J., Calzolai, G., Carruthers, D., Carslaw, D. C., Chan, Q., Chatzidiakou, L., Chen, Y., Crilley, L., Coe, H., Dai, T.,
Doherty, R., Duan, F., Fu, P., Ge, B., Ge, M., Guan, D., Hamilton, J. F., He, K., Heal, M., Heard, D., Hewitt, C. N.,
Hollaway, M., Hu, M., Ji, D., Jiang, X., Jones, R., Kalberer, M., Kelly, F. J., Kramer, L., Langford, B., Lin, C., Lewis,
A. C., Li, J., Li, W., Liu, H., Liu, J., Loh, M., Lu, K., Lucarelli, F., Mann, G., McFiggans, G., Miller, M. R., Mills, G.,

Monk, P., Nemitz, E., O'Connor, F., Ouyang, B., Palmer, P. I., Percival, C., Popoola, O., Reeves, C., Rickard, A. R., Shao, L., Shi, G., Spracklen, D., Stevenson, D., Sun, Y., Sun, Z., Tao, S., Tong, S., Wang, Q., Wang, W., Wang, X., Wang, X., Wang, Z., Wei, L., Whalley, L., Wu, X., Wu, Z., Xie, P., Yang, F., Zhang, Q.,Zhang, Y.,Zhang,Y., and Zheng, M.: Introduction to the special issue "In-depth study of air pollution sources and processes within Beijing and its surrounding region (APHH-Beijing)", Atmos. Chem. Phys., 19, 7519–7546, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp19-7519-2019, 2019.

Squire, O. J., Archibald, A. T., Griffiths, P. T., Jenkin, M. E., Smith, D., and Pyle, J. A: Influence of isoprene chemical mechanism on modelled changes in tropospheric ozone due to climate and land use over the 21st century, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 15, 5123-5143, doi: 10.5194/acp-15-5123-2015, 2015.

Teng, A. P., Crounse, J. D., and Wennberg, P. O.: Isoprene Peroxy Radical Dynamics, J. Am. Che. Soc., 139, 5367-5377, doi: 10.121/jacs.6b12838, 2017.

Vasquez, K. T., Allen, H. M., Crounse, J. D., Praske, E., Xu, L., Noelscher, A. C., and Wennberg, P. O.: Low-pressure gas chromatography with chemical ionization mass spectrometry for quantification of multifunctional organic compounds in the atmosphere, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 11, 6815-6832, doi: 10.5194/amt-11-6815-2018, 2018.

Volkamer, R., San Martini, F., Molina, L. T., Salcedo, D. Jimenez, J. L., Molina, M. J.: A missing sink for gas-phase
glyoxal in Mexico City: Formation of secondary organic aerosol, Geophys. Res. Letts., 34, L19807, doi: 10.1029/2007GL030752, 2007.

Von Kuhlmann, R., Lawrence, M. G., Pöschl, U., and Crutzen, P. J.: Sensitivities in global scale modeling of isoprene, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 4, 1-17, 2004.

Washenfelder, R. A., Young, C. J., Brown, S. S., Angevine, W. M. Atlas, E. L., Blake, D, R., Bon, D. M., Cubison, M.
J., de Gouw, J. A., Dusanter, S., Flynn, J., Gilman, J. B., Graus, M., Griffith, S., Grossberg, N., Hayes, P. L., Jimenez, J. L., Kuster, W. C., Lefer, B. L. Pollack, I. B., Ryerson, T. B., Stark, H., Stevens, P. S., and Trainer, M. K: The glyoxal budget and its contribution to organic aerosol for Los Angeles, California, during CalNex 2010, J. Geophys. Res., 116, D00V02, doi:10.1029/2011JD016314, 2011.

Wennberg, P. O., Bates, K. H., Crounse, J. D., Dodson, L. G., McVay, R. C., Mertens, L. A., Nguyen, T. B., Praske, E.,
Schwantes, R. H., Smarte, M. D., St Clair, J. M., Teng, A. P., Zhang, X., and Seinfeld, J. H.: Gas-Phase Reactions of Isoprene and Its Major Oxidation Products, Chem. Rev., 118, 3337-3390, doi: 10.1021/acs.chemrev.7b00439, 2018.

Whalley, L. K., Slater, E. J., Woodward-Massey, R., Ye, C., Lee, J. D., Squires, F., Hopkins, J. R., Dunmore, R. E., Shaw, M., Hamilton, J. F., Lewis, A. C., Mehra, A., Worrall, S. D., Bacak, A., Bannan, T. J., Coe, H., Ouyang, B., Jones, R. L., Crilley, L. R., Kramer, L. J., Bloss, W. J., Vu, T., Kotthaus, S., Grimmond, S., Sun, Y., Xu, W., Yue, S.,

655 Ren, L., Acton, W. J. F., Hewitt, C. N., Wang, X., Fu, P., and Heard, D. E.: Evaluating the sensitivity of radical chemistry and ozone formation to ambient VOCs and NOx in Beijing, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 21, 2125–2147, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-21-2125-2021, 2021.

Wu, S., Mickley, L. J., Jacob, D. J., Logan, J. A. Yantosca, R. M., and Rind, D.: Why are there large differences between models in global budgets of tropospheric ozone?, J. Geophys. Res., 112, D5, D05302, doi: 10.1029/2006JD007801, 2007.

Xiong, F., McAvey, K. M., Pratt, K. A., Groff, C. J., Hostetler, M. A., Lipton, M. A., Starn, T. K., Seeley, J. V., Bertman, S. B., Teng, A. P., Crounse, J. D., Nguyen, T. B., Wennberg, P. O., Misztal, P. K., Goldstein, A. H., Guenther, A. B., Koss, A. R., Olson, K. F., de Gouw, J. A., Baumann, K., Edgerton, E. S., Feiner, P. A., Zhang, L., Miller, D. O., Brune, W. H., and Shepson, P. B.: Observation of isoprene hydroxynitrates in the southeastern United States and implications for the fate of NOx, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 15, 11257-11272, doi: 10.5194/acp-15-11257-2015, 2015.

665

660

Xiong, F., Borca, C. H., Slipchenko, L. V., and Shepson, P. B.: Photochemical degradation of isoprene-derived 4,1nitrooxy enal, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 16, 5595-5610, doi: 10.5194/acp-16-5595-2016, 2016.

Table 1: Uncertainties in the measurements of the isoprene nitrates

Isoprene nitrate	Period 1 (metal valve,	Period 2 (plastic valve	Period 3 (plastic valve,
	column and trap)	and direct injection)	column and trap)
(4-OH, 3-ONO ₂)-IHN	15% + 0.1 ppt	14% + 1 ppt	14% +0.1 ppt
(1-OH, 2-ONO ₂)-IHN	-	22% + 1 ppt	-
E-(1-OH, 4-ONO ₂)-ICN	27% + 0.1 ppt	-	22% +0.1 ppt
E-(4-OH, 1-ONO ₂)-ICN	24% + 0.1 ppt	-	22% +0.1 ppt
Z-(1-OH, 4-ONO ₂)-ICN	23% + 0.1 ppt	-	22% +0.1 ppt
Z-(4-OH, 1-ONO ₂)-ICN	24% + 0.1 ppt	-	22% +0.1 ppt
Propanone nitrate	18% + 0.1 ppt	-	17% +0.1 ppt

Figures



Figure 1. (a) Formation of IN (red boxes) from isoprene oxidation by OH and NO₃: isoprene hydroxy nitrates (IHN):
isoprene hydroperoxy nitrates (IPN); isoprene dinitrates (IDN); isoprene carbonyl nitrates (ICN); and propanone nitrate.
(b) The skeletal formula of the specific IN discussed in this paper. Box colours: Green - measured in Beijing; Pink - measured by the analytical system previously in the laboratory, but not discernible in Beijing.



Figure 2. Modelled and observed mixing ratios of (a) (4-OH, 3-ONO₂)-IHN and (b) (1-OH, 2-ONO₂)-IHN. Data points are the means and the shaded areas represent ± 1 s.d. in the variability of values for each hour of the day.



Figure 3. (a) Measured β-IHN mixing ratios. (b) Measured and modelled ratio (1-OH, 2-ONO₂)-IHN:(4-OH, 3-ONO₂)-IHN. Error bars are the measurement uncertainties (see Sect. 3.3 for details).



Figure 4: Modelled and observed (1-OH, 2-ONO₂)-IHN / (4-OH, 3-ONO₂)-IHN ratio. Data points are the means and 690 the shaded areas represent ± 1 s.d. in the variability of values for each hour of the day.



Figure 5: Schematic of the formation of the IHN following addition of OH to the C1 and C4 positions.



Figure 6: MCM modelled and measured parameters as a function of NO mixing ratio: (a) Modelled ratio of φ -(1-OH, 2-OO)-ISOPOO to φ - (4-OH, 3-OO)-ISOPOO; (b) Modelled ratio of γ -(1-OH, 2-OO)-ISOPOO to γ -(4-OH, 3-OO)-ISOPOO; (c) Modelled RO₂ number density; (d) Modelled ratio of (1-OH, 2-OO)-ISOPOO to (4-OH, 3-OO)-ISOPOO; (e) Modelled ratio of (1-OH, 2-ONO₂)-IHN to (4-OH, 3-ONO₂)-IHN; (f) Measured ratio of (1-OH, 2-ONO₂)-IHN to (4-OH, 3-ONO₂)-IHN to (4-OH, 3-ONO₂

OH, 3-ONO₂)-IHN (error bars are the measurement uncertainties (see Sect. 3.3 for details)). In panel e the orange line is a trend line produced by plotting the mean modelled (1-OH, 2-ONO₂)-IHN to (4-OH, 3-ONO₂)-IHN ratio for each bin of one hundred NO mixing ratios. This same line is plotted in panel f for comparison with the observed data. The blue dashed line in panel f is a trend line produced by plotting the mean measured (1-OH, 2-ONO₂)-IHN to (4-OH, 3-ONO₂)-IHN ratio for each bin of nine NO mixing ratios.

705



Figure 7: (a) Diel pattern of total ICN as modelled using the MCM and measured. For the MCM this is the specie NC4CHO, whilst the measurements are the sum of the four δ -ICN (E and Z-(1-ONO₂, 4-CO)-ICN and E and Z-(4-ONO₂, 1-CO)-ICN). (b) Diel pattern of propanone as modelled using the MCM and measured. Data points are the means and the shaded areas represent ±1 s.d. in the variability of values for each hour of the day.



Figure 8: Measured δ-ICN mixing ratios and ratios of C1-ICN to C4-ICN, along with (4-OH, 3-ONO₂)-IHN and propanone nitrate mixing ratios during the last four days of the summer campaign. Error bars are the measurement
uncertainties (see Sect. 3.3 for details). The vertical grid lines indicate midnight on each day.



Figure 9: Diel pattern of MCM modelled δ -IHN ((1-OH, 4-ONO₂)-IHN and (4-OH, 1-ONO₂)-IHN). Data points are the means and the shaded areas represent ± 1 s.d. in the variability of values for each hour of the day.