

Re-review of Fadnavis et al.

Once again, I am encouraged by the effort of the authors. They have extended the study to a whole year, which is more relevant for climate.

L165: In the authors' response, the authors mention that the uncertainty they are quoting is based on the 99% confidence interval. But the revised manuscript contains the newly inserted: "significant at 99% level". Is this related or are the authors trying to express that the trend is positive and significant? If the authors are simply stating the confidence interval (C. I.), the text should read "(99% confidence interval)". It is fine to state there is an increasing trend that is statistically significant but the reader needs to know what the uncertainty represents. So, if 3.2% is not the 99% C. I., then what is this uncertainty?

L477: It should be explicitly stated that the temperature uncertainties in this paragraph are simply obtained by determining the variability within the 10-member ensemble (if I am correctly understanding how the uncertainties were determined).

L1007: back -> black