

S1 Source-to-receptor timescale estimations

This section describes the methods used to arrive at the approximate source-to-receptor timescales shown in Table 3. For L1, a semi-quantitative range was estimated by examining CAMS model output and MODIS imagery/data. The CAMS model and MODIS data both show that long-range transport from East Asia and Oregon wildfires during the first campaign (September 2017) took at a minimum several days to arrive at the sampling site from the approximate location of the respective sources. The upper end of the range for L1 is determined by the approximate maximum lifetime of BC as mentioned in previous literature (Bond et al., 2006). The upper end for L3, L8, L9, and L10 were also approximated by the same logic.

10 The timescale for L2 was also determined semi-quantitatively. Since it was determined that local sources were heavily impacting the measurements during this period, we estimated that a timescale on the order of minutes was appropriate, given that the closest source of substantial emissions would be right off the dock at the USC Wrigley Institute, which was ~100 m away from the inlet.

15 The lower end for L3 was determined by observing CAMS model output and determining how long it took for the PM_{2.5} emissions plume from the Thomas Fire (and urban emissions) to recirculate back to Catalina Island. See video 2 of the Video Supplement for visual support.

The approximations for L4 to L7 were determined using the following steps. First, four HYSPLIT back-trajectories were chosen for examination: (1) a back-trajectory starting an hour prior to the beginning of the LEO period, (2) a back-trajectory starting at the beginning of the LEO period, (3) a back-trajectory starting at the end of the LEO period, and finally (4) a back-trajectory starting an hour after the end of the LEO period. For each of these four back-trajectories, the first hour at which the trajectory crossed over the California coast was determined. From this, we approximated how many hours it took for a particle to travel between the inlet and the coastal edge of the Los Angeles basin. The mean “inlet-to-coast” timescale was then calculated for the four back-trajectories. The floor of this value is what is shown in Table 3 as the approximate characteristic timescale for the time periods, L4 to L7. The floor of the mean was used because this would conservatively round to the nearest integer value of the timescale by representing the *shortest* length of time it would take for a particle to travel from an emission source on land to the sampling site on Catalina Island.

30 For L8 to L10, CAMS model and MODIS imagery/data were used to establish an upper and lower limit of approximate timescales. For example, video 3 and 4 in the Video Supplement show that the plume of aerosols from the Camp Fire takes at least a few days to reach the Southern California region. This established the lower end limit of ~days. As mentioned

previously, the upper end of ~weeks is from established knowledge about the approximate lifetime of aerosols in the atmosphere.

35 S2 Details regarding section 3.1: source identification and meteorology

The red trajectories in Figure 3 represent seven-day back-trajectories starting at 00:00 Pacific Daylight Time for every day of the first campaign (September 2017). These back-trajectories show that the dominant wind-flows during the first campaign were westerly, which is consistent with the typical synoptic winds that blow toward the Los Angeles coast from the Pacific Ocean, as well as the westerly mesoscale flows driven by the sea breeze. Wind data from Los Angeles International Airport, Long Beach Airport, and Avalon (Figure 2) further confirm that winds were generally blowing from the west during the first campaign. The back-trajectories and wind data suggest that measured particles during the first campaign are “aged” since there are limited major nearby sources that are upwind of the sampling site. Although the exact sources of BC during this period cannot be ascertained, the plausible sources of rBC-containing particles would be from (1) nearby ships and aviation, (2) aged urban and/or biomass burning emissions, and/or (3) inter-continental transport from East Asia. Using CAMS model data, we further identified two long-range sources that likely contributed to measured rBC-containing particles; these model data identified large biomass burning events during the first campaign in Oregon and Northern California. Although these fires were much further away than the Southern California fires that were active during the second and third campaigns (December 2017, November 2018), close visual tracking of plumes from these fires with the CAMS visualization tool and NASA aerosol index product shows that $PM_{2.5}$ from these fires reached the coast of California, even as far south as Catalina Island (i.e., our sampling site) (see Figure S4). We also identified an example of inter-continental transport of $PM_{2.5}$ from East Asia around the time of the first campaign using CAMS data (see Figure S6). We did not attempt to determine which potential sources were dominant for the first campaign, but regardless of the source it is fair to say that measured rBC-containing particles were aged.

In strong contrast to the first campaign (September 2017), the second and third campaigns (December 2017, November 2018) included periods in which the sampling location was downwind of biomass burning and urban emissions. The yellow and green trajectories in Figures 3b and 3c represent 72-hour back-trajectories for each hour of the second and third campaigns. These back-trajectories, along with supporting airport wind data (Figure 2), confirm that winds were easterly-to-northerly for a significant fraction of these campaigns. These “Santa Ana” conditions, in which winds originate from dry desert regions north and east of Los Angeles and advect through the mountain ranges of Southern California to the Los Angeles basin (Small, 1995), are infamous for exacerbating wildfires. Figures 3d and 3e show several HYSPLIT trajectories either going through or coming within close proximity to active wildfires in the Southern California region (see Table 1 for information on wildfires). This provides evidence that the measured rBC-containing particles in the second and third

campaign included important contributions from both fresh biomass burning emissions, and fresh urban emissions from the
65 Los Angeles basin, which are largely from motor vehicles.

Since meteorology varied a lot more during the second and third campaigns compared to the first campaign, different periods
of interest within these campaigns were examined more carefully in an attempt to assess the relative impact of different
known sources. For the second campaign (December 2017), we examined the time periods defined by unique peaks in rBC
70 loading (discussed in section 3.2 and labeled in Figure 5). After investigating HYSPLIT back-trajectories, CAMS model
data, and airport wind data, we concluded that contributions from the Thomas Fire (Table 1) and urban emissions from the
Los Angeles basin were too difficult to accurately distinguish from one another. The Thomas Fire and Los Angeles urban
plumes were within ~110 km (~70 miles) of each other, and even though the CAMS model output (Figure S5) shows two
distinct plumes around the time of Peak P1 from the second campaign (Figure 5 and discussed in Section 3.2), both plumes
75 likely interacted and impacted measurements throughout the second campaign. Thus, we conclude that both the Thomas Fire
and Los Angeles urban emissions were the dominant sources of measured rBC during the second campaign, when Santa Ana
wind conditions were blowing emissions towards the sampling site.

For the third campaign (November 2018), the CAMS model and MODIS satellite imagery show a large plume of aerosols
80 from Northern and Central California fires (particularly the Camp Fire, Table 1) reaching Southern California (see video 3 of
Video Supplement). Figure S7 confirms that back-trajectories from this period originate from the area of the plume that is
visible in the eight-day mean AOD overlay (9 to 16 November 2018). The AOD overlay represents the general extent of the
Camp Fire plume ~1-4 days before the start of the period with increased concentrations. The back-trajectories overlaid on the
AOD layer suggest that the measured rBC-containing aerosols during the last two days of the third campaign did indeed
85 originate from within the Camp Fire plume. This particular period can be further examined in the supplemental video of
animated PM_{2.5} concentration isopleths output by the CAMS model for the third campaign (see video 1 of Video
Supplement). In the first part of the third campaign prior to Camp Fire contributions, measured rBC likely included
contributions from a mixture of fresh biomass burning and urban emissions because the Woolsey fire was located within ~45
km (~30 miles) of the broader Los Angeles urban plume. We could not isolate contributions from the Woolsey Fire
90 (Ventura) and Los Angeles urban emissions during this period.

S3 Supplemental figures



95 **Figure S1.** Photo showing the sample inlet, which was positioned on the roof of USC’s Wrigley Institute on Catalina Island. © Google.




Figure S2. rBC mass and number concentration for the September campaign. The circle markers indicate all points, including the spikes. The solid lines represent the concentration time series after filtering out the spikes.






Figure S3. Median number concentrations (a) and mass concentration (b) for the September campaign, shown as a function of the spike threshold cut-off values (see Section 2.5). The median concentrations display an asymptotic behaviour.



110 **Figure S4.** Aerosol optical depth (AOD) and aerosol index layers (NASA MODIS) imposed on top of each other, from 1 to
 115 14 September 2017 (during the first campaign). Darker red indicates higher concentrations of aerosols. The large aerosol
 plume is largely from the Oregon fires that were active during this period. The blue star indicates the approximate location of
 the sampling site, and the progression of images show that the plume from the Oregon fires likely contributed to measured
 rBC during the first campaign (September 2017). Aerosol index was layered below the AOD layer in order to visually “fill”
 some gaps in the qualitative representation of the aerosol plume. Gaps in the AOD and aerosol index layer are due to cloud
 cover, and spatial variation in the gaps are due to NASA satellite routes.




Figure S5. CAMS PM_{2.5} model visualizations from 06 to 11 Pacific Time, on 21 December 2017, ordered chronologically for every hour, starting from the top-left and ending at the bottom-right sub-figure. This time period corresponds to Peak P1 of the December campaign (see Figure 5 in the main body). The blue circle shows the distinct plume from the Thomas Fire in Santa Barbara/Ventura County. The red circle shows a less pronounced, but distinct, plume from emissions in the Los Angeles urban basin, presumably mostly from motor vehicle emissions. The green circle denotes the sampling location on Catalina Island. Concentration colorbar gradient ranges from red to blue, where red represents high concentrations and vice versa.





Figure S6. CAMS $PM_{2.5}$ model visualizations showing a plume originating from the East Asia region and advecting across the Pacific Ocean towards California during the time of the first campaign (September 2017). The first sub-figure is a snapshot at 11 Pacific Time on 2 September 2017. The last sub-figure is a snapshot at 03 Pacific Time on 10 September 2017. The red arrows track the movement of the $PM_{2.5}$ plume originating from East Asia. The time elapsed between the first and the last frame is approximately eight days. The movement of the plume illustrates that inter-continental transport from East Asia could contribute to heavily coated rBC-containing particles measured during the first campaign. Concentration colorbar gradient ranges from red to blue, where red represents high concentrations and vice versa.

135



140 **Figure S7.** Week-long back-trajectories starting every six hours for the period of elevated f_{BC} between November 16-18, 2018 shown on top of an eight-day averaged AOD layer (9 to 16 November 2018) from MODIS. The AOD scale is shown in the colorbar at the bottom-right corner. The fire symbols represent active fires in Northern and Central California during the time of measurements. The northern-most fire on the map represents the Camp Fire, which encompassed a burn area of more than 600 km². © Google.




Figure S8. Meteorological variables and rBC concentrations during the third campaign (November 2018). Panel (a) shows wind speed and (b) shows wind direction measured by a NOAA weather station located at Los Angeles International Airport (LAX). Panel (c) shows rBC mass and number concentrations.




Figure S9. Median rBC core diameter versus median coating thickness. Each of the diamonds on the plot represent the median value for each of the ten LEO periods (L1 to L10). The line represents the least-squares linear regression to the data points. There is a modest positive correlation shown between the rBC core diameter and the coating thickness.