2

# Atmospheric fate of a series of saturated alcohols: kinetic and mechanistic study

# Inmaculada Colmenar<sup>1,2</sup>, Pilar Martin<sup>1,2</sup>, Beatriz Cabañas<sup>1,2</sup>, Sagrario Salgado<sup>1,2</sup>, Araceli Tapia<sup>1,2</sup>, Inmaculada Aranda<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Universidad de Castilla La Mancha, Departamento de Química Física, Facultad de Ciencias y Tecnologías
 Químicas, Avda. Camilo José Cela S/N, 13071 Ciudad Real, Spain

7 <sup>2</sup>Universidad de Castilla La Mancha, Instituto de Combustión y Contaminación Atmosférica (ICCA), Camino

8 Moledores S/N, 13071 Ciudad Real, Spain

9 Correspondence to: Pilar Martín (mariapilar.martin@uclm.es)

10 Keywords. Saturated alcohols, additives, biofuel, atmosphere, reactivity.

11 Abstract. The atmospheric fate of a series of saturated alcohols (SAs) has been evaluated through the kinetic and 12 reaction product studies with the main atmospheric oxidants. These SAs are alcohols that could be used as fuel additives. Rate coefficients (in cm<sup>3</sup> molecule<sup>-1</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> unit) measured at ~298K and atmospheric pressure (720  $\pm$  20 13 Torr) were as follows:  $k_1$  (E-4-methyl-cyclohexanol + Cl) =  $(3.70 \pm 0.16) \times 10^{-10}$ ,  $k_2$  (E-4-methyl-cyclohexanol + 14 OH) =  $(1.87 \pm 0.14) \times 10^{-11}$ , k<sub>3</sub> (E-4-methyl-cyclohexanol + NO<sub>3</sub>) =  $(2.69 \pm 0.37) \times 10^{-15}$ , k<sub>4</sub> (3,3-dimethyl-1-15 butanol + Cl) =  $(2.69 \pm 0.16) \times 10^{-10}$ , k<sub>5</sub> (3,3-dimethyl-1-butanol + OH) =  $(5.33 \pm 0.16) \times 10^{-12}$ , k<sub>6</sub> (3,3-dimethyl-1-butanol + OH) =  $(5.33 \pm 0.16) \times 10^{-12}$ , k<sub>6</sub> (3,3-dimethyl-1-butanol + OH) =  $(5.33 \pm 0.16) \times 10^{-12}$ , k<sub>6</sub> (3,3-dimethyl-1-butanol + OH) =  $(5.33 \pm 0.16) \times 10^{-12}$ , k<sub>6</sub> (3,3-dimethyl-1-butanol + OH) =  $(5.33 \pm 0.16) \times 10^{-12}$ , k<sub>6</sub> (3,3-dimethyl-1-butanol + OH) =  $(5.33 \pm 0.16) \times 10^{-12}$ , k<sub>6</sub> (3,3-dimethyl-1-butanol + OH) =  $(5.33 \pm 0.16) \times 10^{-12}$ , k<sub>6</sub> (3,3-dimethyl-1-butanol + OH) =  $(5.33 \pm 0.16) \times 10^{-12}$ , k<sub>6</sub> (3,3-dimethyl-1-butanol + OH) =  $(5.33 \pm 0.16) \times 10^{-12}$ , k<sub>6</sub> (3,3-dimethyl-1-butanol + OH) =  $(5.33 \pm 0.16) \times 10^{-12}$ , k<sub>6</sub> (3,3-dimethyl-1-butanol + OH) =  $(5.33 \pm 0.16) \times 10^{-12}$ , k<sub>6</sub> (3,3-dimethyl-1-butanol + OH) =  $(5.33 \pm 0.16) \times 10^{-12}$ , k<sub>6</sub> (3,3-dimethyl-1-butanol + OH) =  $(5.33 \pm 0.16) \times 10^{-12}$ , k<sub>6</sub> (3,3-dimethyl-1-butanol + OH) =  $(5.33 \pm 0.16) \times 10^{-12}$ , k<sub>6</sub> (3,3-dimethyl-1-butanol + OH) =  $(5.33 \pm 0.16) \times 10^{-12}$ , k<sub>6</sub> (3,3-dimethyl-1-butanol + OH) =  $(5.33 \pm 0.16) \times 10^{-12}$ , k<sub>6</sub> (3,3-dimethyl-1-butanol + OH) =  $(5.33 \pm 0.16) \times 10^{-12}$ , k<sub>6</sub> (3,3-dimethyl-1-butanol + OH) =  $(5.33 \pm 0.16) \times 10^{-12}$ , k<sub>6</sub> (3,3-dimethyl-1-butanol + OH) =  $(5.33 \pm 0.16) \times 10^{-12}$ , k<sub>6</sub> (3,3-dimethyl-1-butanol + OH) =  $(5.33 \pm 0.16) \times 10^{-12}$ , k<sub>6</sub> (3,3-dimethyl-1-butanol + OH) =  $(5.33 \pm 0.16) \times 10^{-12}$ , k<sub>6</sub> (3,3-dimethyl-1-butanol + OH) =  $(5.33 \pm 0.16) \times 10^{-12}$ , k<sub>6</sub> (3,3-dimethyl-1-butanol + OH) =  $(5.33 \pm 0.16) \times 10^{-12}$ , k<sub>6</sub> (3,3-dimethyl-1-butanol + OH) = (5.33 \pm 0.16) \times 10^{-12}, k<sub>6</sub> (3,3-dimethyl-1-butanol + OH) = (5.33 \pm 0.16) \times 10^{-12}, k<sub>6</sub> (3,3-dimethyl-1-butanol + OH) = (5.33 \pm 0.16) \times 10^{-12}, k<sub>6</sub> (3,3-dimethyl-1-butanol + OH) = (5.33 \pm 0.16) \times 10^{-12}, k<sub>6</sub> (3,3-dimethyl-1-butanol + OH) = (5.33 \pm 0.16) \times 10^{-12}, k<sub>6</sub> (3,3-dimethyl-1-butanol + OH) = (5.33 \pm 0.16) \times 10^{-12}, k<sub>6</sub> (3,3-dimethyl-1-butanol + OH) = (5.33 \pm 0.16) \times 10^{-12}, k<sub>6</sub> (3,3-dimethyl-1-butanol + OH) = (5.33 \pm 0.16) \times 10^{-16 2-butanol + Cl) =  $(1.21 \pm 0.07) \times 10^{-10}$  and k<sub>7</sub> (3,3-dimethyl-2-butanol + OH) =  $(10.50 \pm 0.25) \times 10^{-12}$ . The main 17 18 products detected in the reaction of SAs with Cl atoms (absence/presence of NO<sub>x</sub>), OH and NO<sub>3</sub> radicals were: E-19 4-methylcyclohexanone for the reactions of E-4-methyl-cyclohexanol, 3,3-dimethylbutanal for the reactions of 20 3,3-dimethyl-1-butanol and 3,3-dimethyl-2-butanone for the reactions of 3,3-dimethyl-2-butanol. Other products such as formaldehyde, 2,2-dimethylpropanal and acetone have also been identified in the reactions of Cl atoms 21 and OH radicals with 3,3-dimethyl-1-butanol and 3,3-dimethyl-2-butanol. In addition, the molar yields of the 22 23 reaction products were estimated. The products detected, indicate a hydrogen atom abstraction mechanism at 24 different sites on the carbon chain of alcohol in the case of Cl reactions and a predominant site in the case of OH 25 and NO<sub>3</sub> reactions, confirming the predictions of Structure Activity Relationship (SAR) methods. Tropospheric lifetimes ( $\tau$ ) of these SAs have been calculated using the experimental rate coefficients. Lifetimes are in the range 26 27 of 0.6-2 days for OH reactions, 7-13 days for NO<sub>3</sub> radical reactions and 1-3 months for Cl atoms. In coastal areas 28 the lifetime due to the reaction with Cl decreases to hours. The calculated global tropospheric lifetimes, and the 29 polyfunctional compounds detected as reaction products in this work, imply that the SAs could contribute to the formation of ozone and nitrated compounds at local, regional and even to global scale. Therefore, the use of 30 31 saturated alcohols as additives in diesel-blends should be considered with caution.

#### 1 **1. Introduction**

2 A multitude of scientific studies on combustion emissions confirm that fossil fuels, especially diesel fuel, are the 3 substances mainly responsible for air pollution. The loss of air quality and its consequences for health as well as 4 for global warming are some of the most important problems caused by air pollution (www.iea.org). These 5 consequences have led governments to set restrictive limits on the presence of certain pollutants in the atmosphere, 6 such as the case of particulate matter (PM) (EURO 6) and to develop biofuels (Sikarwara et al., 2017) as 7 alternatives to conventional ones. Biodiesel is obtained from the transesterification of oils animal or vegetable 8 origin. Also, the fermentation of vegetal biomass gives methanol and or ethanol (bioethanol). These lower alcohols 9 have been used as fuels and show advantages such as the reduction in smoke, due to the presence of the OH group 10 that increases the oxygen content during the combustion process (Ren et al., 2008; Lapuerta et al., 2010; Sarathy 11 et al., 2014; Sikarwara et al., 2017). However, several studies have shown certain complications in the use of lower 12 alcohols due to their low cetane number, the high latent heat of vaporization and high resistance to auto-ignition 13 (Karabektas and Hosoz, 2009). In order to avoid or to minimize these limitations, alcohol-diesel blends and 14 alcohol-diesel emulsions have been used in diesel engines (Ozsezen et al., 2011). Another alternative is the use of

- 15 longer-chain alcohols (propanol, n-butanol, isobutanol and n-pentanol) with superior fuel properties than lower
- alcohols when mixed with diesel fuel (Cheung, et al., 2014; Kumar and Saravanan, 2016; Li et al., 2017).
- 17 Alcohols are present in the atmosphere from a wide variety of anthropogenic and biogenic sources (Calvert et al.,
- 18 2011). Methanol, ethanol and isopropanol are some of the main alcohols detected in urban areas such as Osaka
- and Sao Paulo cities (Nguyen et al., 2001) with concentrations of 5.8–8.2 ppbv and 34.1–176.3 ppbv respectively.
- 20 Other alcohols, such as E-4-methylcyclohexanol, have been identified in the exhaust gas emissions resulting from
- burning fuel blends containing 7% v/v (B7) and 20% v/v (B20) of soy bean/palm biodiesel (84%/16%) (Lopes et
- al., 2014). 3,3-dimethyl-1-butanol is a glass-forming material used as a chemical intermediate in organic syntheses
- 23 (<u>www.capotchem.com</u>). 3,3-dimethyl-2-butanol is a potential precursor for prohibited chemical weapons such as
- soman, a nerve agent (Murty et al., 2010). It is also used in conversion of ribose-and glucose- binding proteins into
- receptors for pinacolyl methyl phosphonic acid (Allert et al. 2004). The use of long-chain alcohols as biofuels could present an additional important source of these alcohols in the atmosphere. Therefore, prior to use, it is
- 27 necessary to study the reactivity of long-chain alcohols in atmospheric conditions in order to establish and to
- 28 evaluate their atmospheric impact.
- In the case of small alcohols, the knowledge of their reactivity is well established and indicates that the main degradation process of saturated alcohols in the atmosphere is the reaction with hydroxyl radicals (OH) during the daytime (Grosjean et al., 1997; Atkinson and Arey, 2003; Atkinson et al., 2006; Calvert et al., 2011; Caravan et al., 2015; Mellouki et al, 2015). Kinetics with chlorine atoms (Cl) are expected to be high, therefore reactions with Cl could also be an important degradation route, especially in coastal areas where concentration peaks of Cl atoms can be found. Reactions with ozone molecular (O<sub>3</sub>) ( $k \le 10^{-20}$  cm<sup>3</sup> molecule<sup>-1</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>) and nitrate radical (NO<sub>3</sub>) (~10<sup>-15</sup>
- $cm^3$  molecule<sup>-1</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>) are too low to make a significant contribution to their degradation. However, the determination
- of the rate coefficients and the reaction products of alcohols with the nitrate radical are also necessary to understand
- better the general reactivity of alcohols in the atmosphere, since the reactions with this radical are a source of OH
- during the night-time (Finlayson-Pitts and Pitts, 2000).

- 1 Although in last years some studies into the reactivity of higher alcohols have been made (Ballesteros et al., 2007;
- 2 Hurley et al., 2009; Andersen et al., 2010; Calvert et al., 2011; Moreno et al., 2012, 2014, Mellouki et al., 2015)
- 3 the kinetic and mechanistic database is still scarce. In the case of 3,3-dimethyl-1-butanol and 3,3-dimethyl-2-
- 4 butanol (derivates of 1-butanol and 2-butanol) there is a lack of information with respect to the diurnal reactivity
- 5 (Moreno et al., 2014; Mellouki et al., 2015). Regarding cyclic alcohols, only data concerning the reactivity of
- 6 chlorine atoms and OH radicals with cyclohexanol (Bradley et al., 2001; Ceacero-Vega et al., 2012) and OH with
- 7 cyclopentanol (Wallington et al., 1988) have been reported.
- 8 Therefore, in the present work studies into the kinetics and the products of gas-phase reactions of some SAs, such
- 9 as E-4-methylcyclohexanol (4MCHexOH), 3,3-dimethyl-1-butanol (3,3DM1ButOH) and 3,3-dimethyl-2-butanol
- 10 (3,3DM2ButOH) with Cl atoms and OH and NO<sub>3</sub> radicals have been carried out. These SAs have been chosen for
- 11 their potential use as biofuels and because their reactivity has not yet been evaluated. So, our work will permit to
- 12 complete the kinetic and mechanism database, to improve our knowledge of the atmospheric chemistry of higher
- 13 alcohols and to assess their environmental chemical impact in the case of their future use as biofuels.

#### 14 2. Experimental Section

#### 15 2.1 Kinetic experiments

16 The reactions of a series of SAs with the main atmospheric oxidants have been studied:

$$+ OH \xrightarrow{R_2} Products$$
 (R2)

$$\frac{1}{4MCHexOH} + NO_3 \xrightarrow{k_3} Products$$
(R3)

$$\begin{array}{c} OH \\ + Cl \xrightarrow{k_4} Products \\ + OH \xrightarrow{k_5} Products \end{array}$$
(R4)

$$\begin{array}{c} OH \\ + Cl & \underbrace{k_6} \\ + OH & \underbrace{k_7} \\ + OH & \underbrace{k_7} \\ \end{array} Products (R6) \\ (R7)$$

(R8)

(R9)

3,3DM2ButOH

17 18

22

23

19 Rate coefficients were determined using a relative rate method. This method relies on the assumption that the

organic compound (SA) and the reference compound (R), are removed solely by their reactions with the oxidants
(Ox: OH and NO<sub>3</sub> radicals and Cl atoms):

 $Ox + SA \rightarrow Products (k_{SA})$ 

 $Ox + R \rightarrow Products (k_R)$ 

24 where  $k_{SA}$  and  $k_R$  are the rate coefficients of the SA and the reference compound, respectively.

25 On the assumption that the SA and the reference compound are only consumed by reaction with the oxidants, the

26 kinetic treatment for the reactions expressed by R8 and R9 gives the following relationship;

$$ln\left(\frac{[SA]_0}{[SA]_t}\right) = \frac{k_{SA}}{k_R} ln\left(\frac{[R]_0}{[R]_t}\right)$$
(1)

- where  $[SA]_0$ ,  $[R]_0$ ,  $[SA]_t$ , and  $[R]_t$  are the initial concentrations and those at time *t* for the SA and the reference compound, respectively. Two reference compounds were used with each oxidant to ensure that the reference
- 4 compound had no influence on overall rate coefficient.

- 5 According to Eq (1), a plot of  $\ln([SA]_0/[SA]_t)$  versus  $\ln([R]_0/[R]_t)$  should be a straight line that passes through the
- 6 origin. The slope of this plot gives the ratio of rate coefficients  $k_{SA}/k_R$ . Therefore, the value of  $k_{SA}$  can be obtained
- 7 if the rate coefficient  $k_R$  of the reference compound is known.
- 8 The experimental systems have been described in previous works (Tapia et al., 2011; Martin et al., 2013) and only
- 9 a brief description is given here. Kinetic measurements were performed at room temperature (~298 K) and
  10 atmospheric pressure (720 ± 20 Torr) by employing two separate experimental set-ups: 1) A 50 L Pyrex® glass
- 11 reactor was coupled to an Fourier Transform Infrared spectrometer as a detection technique ("on-line" analysis).
- 12 Inside of the Pyrex® glass reactor there is a multi-reflection system with three mirrors that allows an infrared
- 13 radiation path of 2.8–200 m. This reactor is known as white cell (Saturn Series Multi-Pass cell). The FTIR
- spectrometer (Thermo Nicolet 6700) was equipped with a KBr beam splitter and liquid nitrogen-cooled MCT.
- 15 Typically, for each spectrum, 60 interferograms were co-added over 98 s and approximately 30–40 spectra were
- 16 recorded per experiment with a spectral resolution of  $1 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ . 2) A Teflon  $\otimes$  gas bag reactor of 500 L with solid
- 17 phase micro extraction (SPME) for sampling and gas chromatography with a time of flight mass spectrometer
- 18 (SPME/GC-TOFMS) (AccuTOF GCv, Jeol) ("off-line" analysis). Samples were collected by exposing a
- 19 50/30 mm DVB/CAR/PDMS SPME (SUPELCO) for 5 min during the reaction and then thermally desorbed for
- 20 15 min at 250 °C in the heated GC injection port. A capillary column (30 m  $\times$  0.3 mm id  $\times$  1.0 mm film thickness,
- 21 Tracsil TRB-1701, Teknokroma) was used to separate the compounds. The chromatographic conditions used for
- the analysis were as follows: injector, 250 °C; interface, 250 °C; initial oven temperature, 40 °C for 4 min; ramp,
- 23 30 °C min<sup>-1</sup> to 120 °C, held for 6 min; second ramp, 30 °C min<sup>-1</sup> to 200 °C, held for 3 min.
- 24 In each independent experiment, the reactants were injected into the reactors from a vacuum line by dragging with
- 25 a stream of carrier gas used in the reaction. Both reactors were inside a metallic housing in the walls of which there
- is a rack of actinic lamps (Philips, TL-40 W, Actinic BL,  $\lambda_{max} = 360$  nm). A scheme of the experimental systems
- 27 is shown in Fig. S1, Supplementary Material.
- 28 The kinetic experiments for the Cl and OH reactions were performed in the 50 L Pyrex® glass reactor coupled to
- an FTIR spectrometer. A spectral subtraction procedure was used to derive the concentrations of reactant and
- reference compounds at time t = 0 and time t. The reaction of NO<sub>3</sub> with 4MCHexOH was studied using a 500 L
- 31 Teflon® reactor in order to minimize the wall deposition and dilution effects of consecutive additions of  $N_2O_5$ .
- 32 Chlorine atoms and OH radicals were obtained by photolysis of Cl<sub>2</sub> in N<sub>2</sub> and methyl nitrite, CH<sub>3</sub>ONO, in the
- 33 presence of NO in air. Methyl nitrite was synthesized in the laboratory as described elsewhere (Taylor et al., 1980).
- Nitrate radicals were generated in situ in the dark by the thermal decomposition of  $N_2O_5$  (Atkinson et al., 1984,
- 1988). N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> was obtained mixing O<sub>3</sub> with an excess of NO<sub>2</sub> (Scott and Davidson, 1958). Prior to the kinetic
- 36 experiments a series of tests in the dark and photolytic conditions were carried out to evaluate secondary reactions,
- 37 such as wall depositions and photodegradation of all reactants.

- 1 The range concentrations of reactants employed were as follows: 2-16 ppm of 4MCHexOH, 3-9 ppm of
- 2 3,3DM1ButOH and 3,3DM2ButOH, 9–30 ppm of Cl<sub>2</sub>, 4–13 ppm of 1-butene and 2-methylpropene, 7–14 ppm of
- 3 propene and cyclohexene, 5–7 ppm of isopropanol, 3–5 ppm of 2-methyl-2-butanol, 26–55 ppm of CH<sub>3</sub>ONO,
- 4 20-60 ppm of NO, 3-4 ppm of 2-ethyl-1-hexanol, 4-5 ppm of 1-butanol. For reactions of 4MCHexOH with
- 5 nitrate radicals 2-5 additions of  $N_2O_5$  with concentrations between 8-36 ppm were made per experiment.

#### 6 2.2 Product experiments

- 7 The product study was carried out at room temperature (~ 298 K) and at a pressure of  $720 \pm 20$  Torr of synthetic
- 8 air employing the two experimental set-ups previously described. In some experiments carried out in the 50 L
- 9 Pyrex® reactor, simultaneous identification of products was performed using both detection techniques. For that,
- 10 one sample of reaction mixture was taken from this reactor using the SPME and subsequently analyzed with GC-
- 11 TOFMS. In addition, independent experiments using SPME/GC-TOFMS in a 150 L Teflon® reactor were
- 12 developed. Product analyses were carried out using the same procedure as for the kinetic experiments, without the
- 13 reference compound, and employing synthetic air as bath gas. On this occasion the heating of the oven was changed
- slightly in order to get a better separation and to detect the products generated. The temperature ramps of the oven
- employed in the chromatograph were: 40 °C for 4 min; ramp, 25 °C min<sup>-1</sup> to 120 °C, held for 10 min; second ramp,
- 16 25 °C min<sup>-1</sup> to 200 °C, held for 4 min.
- 17 The qualitative analysis in the FTIR experiments was carried out using the FTIR library that provided the FTIR
- 18 spectrophotometer (Aldrich vapor phase sample library,
- 19 <u>https://www.thermofisher.com/search/browse/results?customGroup=Spectral+Libraries</u>) and/or the FTIR
- 20 database of Eurochamp (<u>https://data.eurochamp.org/data-access/spectra/</u>).
- 21 For the SPME/GC-TOFMS experiments, the NIST webbook (<u>https://webbook.nist.gov/chemistry/</u>) and the mass
- 22 spectra database of the instrument were used to identify the products. Calibrated FTIR spectra and SPME/GC-
- 23 TOFMS chromatograms were used for quantification in those cases where the product was commercially available.
- 24 The molecular yields of the reaction products were estimated from the slopes of plots of the concentration of
- 25 product formed versus the amounts of SA ( $\Delta$ [SA]) consumed. In the cases where an important loss of the reaction
- 26 product by reaction of the oxidant and/or by photolytic process was observed, the concentration of the product was
- 27 corrected using the formulism of Tuazon et al. (1986) (See S1, Supplementary Material). Range of concentrations
- of reactants employed were as follows: 2–14 ppm of SA, 8–31 ppm of Cl<sub>2</sub>, 12–57 ppm of NO, 19–66 ppm of
- $\label{eq:ch3ONO} 29 \qquad CH_3ONO \ and \ 6-36 \ ppm \ of \ N_2O_5.$
- 30 Chemicals used were as follows: 4MCHexOH (97%, Aldrich), 3,3DM1ButOH and 3,3DM2ButOH (98%,
- 31 Aldrich); 1-butene, propene, 2-methyl-2-butanol, isopropanol, 2-methylpropene, 4-methylcyclohexanone and
- 32 cyclohexene (≥99%, Aldrich), 2-ethyl-1-hexanol (≥99%, Fluka), 1-butanol (99.8%, Aldrich), 3,3-dimethylbutanal
- 33 (95%, Aldrich) and 3,3-dimethyl-2-butanone (98%, Aldrich), NO (99%, Praxair), Cl<sub>2</sub> (> 99.8%, Praxair), synthetic
- 34 air (Praxair Ultrahigh purity 99.999%) and N<sub>2</sub> (99.999%, Praxair). For N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> synthesis, N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> was used (>99.5%)
- from Fluka, P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> (98%, such as desiccant) from Fluka and O<sub>3</sub> was synthesized by a generator model TRCE-5000,
- 36 5  $g_{03}$  h<sup>-1</sup> OZOGAS.

#### **37 3.** Results and Discussion

#### 1 3.1 Kinetic study

- 2 Preliminary test experiments indicated that dark heterogeneous reactions and photolytic losses of SAs and
- 3 reference compounds could be considered negligible in our experimental conditions ( $k \approx 10^{-6} \text{ s}^{-1}$ ). The kinetic
- 4 study was carried out at room temperature (~298 K) and at  $720 \pm 20$  Torr of N<sub>2</sub> gas for Cl atoms reactions and
- 5 synthetic air for OH radical reactions. Nitrate radical experiments were performed using N<sub>2</sub> gas in a 500 L Teflon
- 6 reactor and employing the SPME/GC-TOFMS system. A number of injections of the unreacted mixture were
- 7 carried out in order to determine the associated precision of the sampling method to be used in the error analysis
- 8 (Brauers and Finlayson-Pitts, 1997). The standard deviations ( $\sigma$ ) were as follows: 3.7% for 4MCHexOH, 1.7% for
- 9 1-butanol and 3.5% for 2-ethyl-1-hexanol.
- 10 Figure 1 shows examples of the kinetic data plotted according to Eq (1) for the reactions of SAs with different
- 11 atmospheric oxidants. A good correlation was obtained with an intercept close to zero, which indicated the absence
- 12 of secondary reactions. From the slopes of the plots  $(k_{SA}/k_R)$  and knowing the values of the rate coefficients for the
- 13 reference compounds employed  $(k_R)$ , the value of the absolute rate coefficient for each saturated alcohol  $(k_{SA})$  was
- 14 determined. Rate coefficients of reactions of reference compounds with Cl atoms reactions (in  $10^{-10}$  cm<sup>3</sup>
- 15 molecule<sup>-1</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> units) were: 2-methylpropene ( $3.40 \pm 0.28$ ), 1-butene ( $3.38 \pm 0.48$ ), (Ezzel et al., 2002) and propene
- 16  $(2.23 \pm 0.31)$  (Ceacero-Vega et al., 2009); with OH radicals (in  $10^{-11}$  cm<sup>3</sup> molecule<sup>-1</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> units): propene (2.66 ±
- 17 0.40) (Atkinson and Aschman, 1989), cyclohexene ( $6.77 \pm 1.69$ ) (Atkinson and Arey, 2003), isopropanol ( $0.51 \pm$
- 18 0.008) (IUPAC www.iupac-kinetic.ch.cam.ac.uk) and 2-methyl-2-butanol ( $0.36 \pm 0.06$ ) (Jiménez et al., 2005) and
- with NO<sub>3</sub> radicals (in  $10^{-15}$  cm<sup>3</sup> molecule<sup>-1</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> units): 1-butanol (3.14 ± 0.97) and 2-ethyl-1-hexanol (2.93 ± 0.92)
- 20 (Gallego-Iniesta et al., 2010).
- The ratios of the rate coefficients,  $k_{SA}/k_R$ , the absolute rate coefficients and the weighted averages are shown in Table 1. The error of  $k_{SA}/k_R$  was given by two times the statistical deviation calculated from the least squares fit of the plot of Eq. (1). The uncertainties for rate coefficients of SA ( $\sigma_{kSA}$ ) were calculated from the uncertainty of slope of plots ( $\sigma_{slope}$ ) and the uncertainty of the reference ( $\sigma_{kR}$ ) by using the propagation of uncertainties. The average value of the rate coefficients obtained with different reference compounds and their associated errors were obtained by weighted average (See footnote, Table 1). The rate coefficients obtained in this work are the first kinetic data reported for these SAs therefore, the results obtained cannot be compared with literature values.
- 2, kinete data reported for these 5715 therefore, the results obtained cannot be compared with inclutate values.
- 28 It is well established that the gas-phase reaction mechanism of saturated organic compounds (alkanes, alcohols,
- ethers, etc.) with atmospheric oxidants (Cl atoms, OH and NO<sub>3</sub> radicals) are initiated via hydrogen atom abstraction
- 30 from the organic compound to form a stable molecule and an alkyl radical (Finlayson-Pitts and Pitts, 2000;
- Atkinson and Arey, 2003; Calvert et al., 2011; Ziemann and Atkinson, 2012). The presence of the OH group in
- 32 saturated alcohols implies two types of hydrogens that can be abstracted: hydrogen bonded to a carbon (C–H) of
- the main chain or of an alkyl substituent and hydrogen bonded to oxygen of OH group. Two literature reviews of
- 34 the reactivity of saturated alcohols (Calvert et al., 2011; Mellouki et al., 2015) conclude that: 1 The reactions of
- 35 aliphatic alcohols with atmospheric oxidants proceed mainly by H atom abstraction from various C–H groups in
- the alkyl chain, abstraction of H atom from the O–H group being negligible; 2 Rate coefficients for the reactions
- of Cl, OH and NO<sub>3</sub> are higher for alcohols than those of the corresponding alkanes due to the activating effect of
- the OH group. This effect is extended over about four carbon atoms (Nelson et al., 1990); and 3 The attack

- 1 percentage of a radical to the different sites of the alcohol ( $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $\gamma$  and  $\delta$ ) depends on the oxidant, the structure of
- 2 saturated alcohol, the type and numbers of substituents, and temperature (Moreno et al., 2012, 2014; McGillen et

3 al., 2013, 2016).

- 4 In order to verify these remarks, the reactivity of the SAs studied in this work were analyzed and discussed by
- 5 comparing the rate coefficients of the SAs obtained with different oxidants; comparing the rate coefficients of the
- 6 SAs and the rate coefficients of their homologous alkanes available in literature, and comparing the rate
- 7 coefficients obtained in the reaction of the same oxidant but with different alcohols. The data used in the
- 8 comparison are summarized in Table S1 in Supplementary Material.
- 9 From the analysis of all data shown in Table S1, it can be observed that:
- a) The trend in the reactivity of SAs in relation to the different oxidants is the same that observed for other saturated alcohols:  $k_{Cl}$  ( $k \approx 10^{-10}$ ) >  $k_{OH}$  ( $k \approx 10^{-11}$ ) >>  $k_{NO3}$  ( $k \approx 10^{-15}$ ), (k in cm<sup>3</sup> molecule<sup>-1</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> units). This behavior could be explained by considering the geometry and electronic density of each oxidant, together with kinetic collision theory. As the Cl atom has spherical electronic density distribution, any collision orientation is suitable, in addition,
- 14 the Cl atoms present low steric hindrance. So the Cl reaction is comparatively less selective and faster, with values
- 15 for the rate coefficient, k, in the collision limit. However, the OH radical presents an asymmetric electron density
- 16 located mostly over its oxygen atom. Therefore, for the OH reaction the oxygen of the OH radical must be
- 17 specifically oriented toward the hydrogen of the SA that will be abstracted. The electronic density of nitrate radical
- 18 is distributed around the three oxygens, which implies several appropriate orientations, but since the nitrate radical
- 19 has a non-linear structure, the steric hindrance is much greater than for the OH radical, which reduces the reactivity
- 20 of NO<sub>3</sub> in relation to that of OH.
- 21 b) The rate coefficient for the reaction of 4MCHexOH with Cl atoms is similar to the rate coefficient of its 22 homologous alkane (E-1,4-dimethylcyclohexane):  $k_{4MCHexOH+Cl} = 37.0 \times 10^{-11} \approx k_{E-1,4-dimethylcyclohexane+Cl}$ =  $36.3 \times 10^{-11}$ . In the case of the reaction with OH radical, the rate coefficient of 4MCHexOH is 1.5 times higher 23 than with E-1,4-dimethylcyclohexane:  $k_{4MCHexOH+OH} = 18.7 \times 10^{-12} > k_{E-1,4-dimethylcyclohexane+OH} = 12.1 \times 10^{-12}$  (Table 24 25 S1). These results show that the activating effect of the OH group of the SA is less important for the reaction with Cl atoms than with the OH radical, behavior that agrees with that established by the Structure Activity 26 27 Relationships (SARs) methods (Kwok and Atkinson 1995; Calvert et al. 2011). There are no rate coefficient data 28 for the reactions with NO<sub>3</sub> radical of the alkanes homologous to the SAs studied in this work, and therefore it was 29 not possible to check the effect of OH group in the reaction with NO<sub>3</sub>. However, according to the SAR method 30 developed by Kerdouci et al. (2010) for the reactions of alcohols with NO<sub>3</sub>, this effect is greater than in the
- 31 corresponding Cl and OH reactions.
- 32 c) The activating effect of the chain length in the reactivity of alcohols is also different for the Cl and OH reactions
- 33 (See Table S1). Furthermore, if the rate coefficients of 3-methyl-1-butanol (3M1ButOH) and 3,3DM1ButOH with
- Cl and OH are compared, a slight increase in the rate coefficient for Cl reaction can be observed ( $k_{3M1BuOH+Cl} =$
- $25.0 \times 10^{-11}$ ;  $k_{3,3DM1ButOH+Cl} = 26.9 \times 10^{-11}$ ) together with an important decrease in the rate coefficient for the OH
- reactions  $(k_{3M1BuOH+OH} = 14 \times 10^{-12}; k_{3,3DM1ButOH+OH} = 5.33 \times 10^{-12})$ . This behavior could be explained by the
- different order of reactivity of the two oxidants. So, Cl atom, more reactive (k in the order of  $10^{-10}$  cm<sup>3</sup> molecule<sup>-1</sup>
- $s^{-1}$ ) but less selective than OH, an increase in the chain length or in the number of methyl groups in the SA implies
- 39 more hydrogens available to be abstracted and therefore an increase in the rate coefficient. However, for OH

- 1 radicals, less reactive (k in the order of  $10^{-11}$ – $10^{-12}$  cm<sup>3</sup> molecule<sup>-1</sup>s<sup>-1</sup>) and more selective than Cl, the attack for
- H-abstraction will be carried out at a specific position in the SA, so an increase in the chain length of the alcohol
  does not have a significant effect on the reactivity; the presence of a second methyl group even disfavors the
  reaction, probably due to steric hindrance near the attack position.
- reaction, probably due to stelle initialitie near the attack position.
- 5 In addition, as can be seen in Table S1, the position of the OH group of SA has a different effect on the reactivity
- 6 depending on the oxidant. In the case of the Cl atom reactions, the rate coefficients for primary alcohols (1-
- 7 propanol, 1-butanol, 1-pentanol, 3-methyl-1-butanol and 3,3-dimethyl-1-butanol) are higher than the those of
- 8 secondary alcohols (2-propanol, 2-butanol, 2-pentanol, 3-methyl-2-butanol and 3,3-dimethyl-2-butanol) contrary
- 9 to the OH and NO<sub>3</sub> radical reactions. This indicates that in the reaction of Cl atoms the formation of the most stable
- 10 radical seems to have less importance in the reactivity than the number of hydrogens in the  $\alpha$ -position available
- 11 to be abstracted.
- 12 All these observations could imply a different mechanism for the hydrogen abstraction process for Cl atoms versus
- the OH radical. Nelson et al. (1990) and Smith and Ravishankara (2002) indicate the possible formation of an
- 14 intermediate adduct between the OH radical and the oxygen of the OH group that will imply a specific orientation.
- 15 Theoretical studies found in the literature show this different hydrogen abstraction process in the reaction of
- saturated alcohols with Cl atoms (Garzon et al., 2006) and OH radical (Moc and Simmie, 2010). There are not
- 17 enough rate coefficient data for the reaction of SAs with NO<sub>3</sub> radicals to establish conclusions about the
- 18 mechanism. Different mechanisms in the hydrogen atom abstraction process for each oxidant will imply different
- 19 product distributions and molecular yields, as will be shown in the section on product and mechanism study.

#### 20 **3.1.1 Estimation of rate coefficients**

- 21 In order to estimate the rate coefficients of the reactions of organic compounds with the atmospheric oxidants, a 22 multitude of methods have been proposed (Vereecken et al., 2018). The most popular and widely used is the SAR 23 method developed initially by Kwok and Atkinson (1995) to estimate the rate coefficients at room temperature for 24 gas-phase reactions with the OH radical. This method has been updated for OH reactions (Jenkin et al., 2018) and 25 extended to reaction with NO<sub>3</sub> (Kerdouci et al., 2010, 2014) and Cl (Calvert et al., 2011; Poutsma 2013). The EPA 26 (United States Environmental Protection Agency) has developed the EPI Suite<sup>TM</sup>-Estimation Program Interface that allows estimation of the rate coefficients for the reactions of the OH radical with organic compounds using 27 28 the AOPWIN v1.92 program.
- In our work the rate coefficients of SAs with the three oxidants have been estimated using the SARs method (See S2, Supplementary Material). The results are shown in Table 2. The estimated values of rate coefficients agree with experimental data, with  $k_{exp}/k_{SAR}$  ratios between 0.9 and 1.28, except for the case of 3,3DM1ButOH and NO<sub>3</sub> radical, which showed a  $k_{exp}/k_{SAR}$  ratio of 3.24. In general, the SARs method when applied to alcohols predicts better rate coefficients for the Cl atom and the OH radical than for the NO<sub>3</sub> radical, especially for primary alcohols. It is important to note that the kinetic database for the NO<sub>3</sub> reactions is more limited than for Cl and OH reactions,
- so the estimated rate coefficient for NO<sub>3</sub> radical should be treated with caution (Kerdouci et al. 2010, 2014; Calver
  et al., 2011).
- 37 It is known that organic compounds that react in the same way with different atmospheric oxidants present a 38 correlation between their rate coefficients. In this sense, over the years, different correlations have been proposed

- to allow estimation of the unknown rate coefficient when the other is known (Wayne, 1991, 2000; Atkinson, 1994;
   Calvert et al., 2011; Gallego-Iniesta et al., 2014). The logk<sub>Cl</sub>-logk<sub>OH</sub> and logk<sub>NO3</sub>-logk<sub>OH</sub> correlations have been
- 3 built for a set of ethers and saturated alcohols by Calvert et al., (2011) obtaining the following relationships:
- 4 5

 $log(k_{Cl}/cm^{3} molecule^{-1} s^{-1}) = 0.634 \times log(k_{OH}/cm^{3} molecule^{-1} s^{-1}) - 2.71 \qquad (r^{2} = 0.72)$ (2)  $log(k_{NO3}/cm^{3} molecule^{-1} s^{-1}) = 1.11 \times log(k_{OH}/cm^{3} molecule^{-1} s^{-1}) - 2.42 \qquad (r^{2} = 0.66)$ (3)

6 These equations have been used to estimate the rate coefficients of the reactions of SAs with Cl and NO<sub>3</sub> radical 7 using the experimental rate coefficients measured in this work for OH reactions. The estimated rate coefficients, 8  $k_{\text{log}}$ , according to Eqs (2) and (3), and the ratios ( $k_{\text{exp}}/k_{\text{log}}$ ), are also shown in Table 2. This estimation method 9 obtains slightly better rate coefficient for  $3,3DM1ButOH + NO_3$  reaction ( $k_{exp}/k_{log.} = 1.53$ ) than the SAR method 10  $(k_{exp}/k_{SAR} = 3.24)$ . However, for Cl reactions the  $k_{exp}/k_{log}$  ratios are in the range of 0.6–1.97, indicating that Eq (2) 11 predicts the rate coefficients worse than SAR method. Again, this could be due to the different reaction mechanism 12 in the H-abstraction process for the Cl and OH reactions. It is important to indicate that in the case of Cl reactions, 13 other effects, such as thermochemistry and the polar effect, must be considered to estimate the rate coefficients for

14 hydrogen abstraction reactions (Poutsma, 2013).

#### 15 **3.2 Product and mechanistic study**

- A product study of the reaction of 4MCHexOH, 3,3DM1ButOH and 3,3DM2ButOH with chlorine atoms in the 16 absence/presence of NO<sub>x</sub>, hydroxyl and nitrate radicals has been performed. IR absorption bands of HCl, CO<sub>2</sub>, 17 18 CO, HNO<sub>3</sub>, N<sub>2</sub>O, NO<sub>2</sub>, HCOOH, HCOH, CINO, CINO<sub>2</sub> and CH<sub>3</sub>NO<sub>3</sub> were observed in the FTIR experiments. 19 Some of these compounds are products from the reactions of the SAs with oxidants. They can also be formed by 20 decomposition of the employed precursors (Cl<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>3</sub>ONO and N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>) and in some cases, by heterogeneous 21 reactions of these precursors with the Pyrex glass reactor walls. The formation of  $O_3$  and  $N_2O_5$  have also been 22 observed after long reaction times for the reactions of the SAs with Cl atoms in the presence of  $NO_x$ , due to the 23 high concentration of NO<sub>2</sub> in the reaction medium and the presence of radiation. Quantitative analysis was carried 24 out by linear subtraction of a spectrum's absorption bands and the peak areas of GC chromatograms by the use of 25 calibrated spectra and reference chromatograms. 26 The experimental conditions and molecular yields of the main products formed in the reactions of SAs and
- 27 analyzed by FTIR and SPME/GC-TOFMS are given in Tables 3–6. Molecular yields could be affected by large
- errors associated with the SPME sampling method and due to the presence of interfering IR absorption bands,
- 29 mainly associated with precursors of the OH and NO<sub>3</sub> radicals or by nitrated compounds formed.

#### 30 **3.2.1. 4MCHexOH**

- 31 E-4-methylcyclohexanone was identified in the reactions with Cl (absence/presence of NO<sub>x</sub>), OH and NO<sub>3</sub>. An
- 32 example of the product spectra obtained by FTIR is shown in Fig. 2. Formation of E-4-methylcyclohexanone was
- confirmed by introducing a sample of the commercial product (spectrum (e)). A set of experiments using the SPME
- 34 as sampling method and the GC-TOFMS as detection technique were also carried out for the reactions of
- 4MCHexOH with Cl atoms and OH and NO<sub>3</sub> radicals. An example of the chromatogram obtained for the reaction
- 36 of 4MCHexOH with chlorine atoms is shown in Fig. 3. In all the studied reactions, formation of a product peak at
- 37 10.35 min was observed. The peak (B) shown in Fig. 3 was assigned to E-4-methylcyclohexanone and confirmed

- by comparing with the retention time and MS of a commercial sample. In the reactions with Cl atoms (absence/presence of  $NO_x$ ) and OH radical two additional peaks at 19.80 min (C) and 20.25 min (D) were observed. The time-concentration profiles of 4MCHexOH and E-4-methylcyclohexanone obtained by FTIR analysis for the reaction with Cl atoms in the presence of  $NO_x$  are shown in Fig. S2. The concentrations of E-4methylcyclohexanone, corrected according to Eqs (S1), (S2) and (S3) were plotted versus the amounts of 4MCHexOH consumed in order to obtain the yield of 4-methylcyclohexanone from the slope. An example of the
- 7 plots obtained is shown in Fig. 4. Molecular yields, Y (%), of E-4-methylcyclohexanone obtained in all
- 8 experiments are listed in Table 3. Based on the average molecular yield of E-4-methylcyclohexanone, the carbon
- 9 balance was below to 50% for reactions with Cl and OH radical and  $\sim$ 60% for NO<sub>3</sub>.
- 10 Residual spectra after subtraction of E-4-methylcyclohexanone show IR absorption bands compatible with the
- 11 presence of hydroxycarbonyl compounds (~1750, 1720 and 1060  $\text{ cm}^{-1}$ ) and nitrated organic compounds (RONO<sub>2</sub>
- 12 ~1660, 1264 and 862 cm<sup>-1</sup>, and/or ROONO<sub>2</sub> ~1720, 1300 and 760 cm<sup>-1</sup>) (See residual spectra, Fig. S3 in
- 13 Supplementary Material). The amount of nitrated compounds was estimated using the average integrated
- 14 absorption coefficient of  $1.2 \times 10^{-17}$  cm molecule<sup>-1</sup> of similar compounds corresponding to the IR range
- 15 1260–1305  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  (Tuazon and Atkinson, 1990). The calculated yields of RONO<sub>2</sub> were 20% and 60% for Cl (in the
- 16 presence of  $NO_x$ ) and  $NO_3$  reactions, respectively. A yield of 10% of nitrated compounds was estimated for the
- 17 reaction with OH radical. This lower yield could be due to fact that the  $NO_x$ , present in the reaction medium reacts
- 18 faster with the  $CH_3O$  radical, formed by photolysis of  $CH_3ONO$ , than other alcoxy radicals. Table 6 shows a
- 19 summary of the average yields of reaction products quantified for 4MCHexOH reactions.
- Considering the products detected here and the those detected in the study of Bradley et al., (2001) relative to
   cyclohexanol with OH radical reactions, a degradation mechanism for 4MCHexOH with the atmospheric oxidants
   has been proposed. Figure 5A shows the paths that explain the formation of organic compounds (carbonyl,
- hydroxycarbonyl, etc), and Figure 5B shows an example of a path to explain the formation of nitrated organic
- 24 compounds (ROONO<sub>2</sub> and RONO<sub>2</sub>). Similar nitrated compounds could be formed by routes II–IV. The abstraction
- 25 of hydrogen atom at the  $\alpha$ -position with respect to OH group (route I) followed by the addition of oxygen, the
- 26 formation of a peroxy radical and the fast decomposition of this radical explains the formation of E-4-
- 27 methylcyclohexanone. Based on the molecular yield obtained for E-4-methylcyclohexanone for each oxidant (See
- Table 6), this route represents ~25/30%, ~40% and ~60% of the reaction mechanism of 4MCHexOH with Cl
- $29 \qquad (absence/presence of NO_x), OH and NO_3, respectively. Percentages are two-fold higher than those predicted by$
- 30 the SARs method in the case of the Cl reactions and 1.3- and 1.5-fold lower for the OH and  $NO_3$  reactions,
- 31 respectively. These data should be taken with caution, since they could include many sources of error.
- 32 Apart from E-4-methylcyclohexanone, other carbonyl and hydroxycarbonyl compounds could be formed by routes
- 33 II, III and IV. The presence of these types of compounds has been observed in the reactions with Cl and OH.
- 34 According to the electron ionization mass spectra (EIMS) (Fig. S4, Supplementary Material) an assignation of
- 35 peaks (C) and (D) shown in Fig. 3, to 2-hydroxy-5-methylcyclohexanone, 5-hydroxy-2-methylcyclohexanone
- 36 and/or 3-methyl-1,6-hexanedial has been proposed. However, according to the atmospheric reactivity (Finlayson
- and Pitts, 2000; Calvert et al., 2011; Ziemann and Atkinson, 2012) and the study of Bradley et al. (2001), the
- 38 compound that would be expected is 3-methyl-1,6-hexanedial, which arises from the decomposition of the alkoxy
- 39 radical formed in route II. However, confirmation was not possible since these compounds are not commercially

- 1 available. The detection about 9% of HCOH in the reaction with Cl atoms indicates that the elimination of the
- 2 methyl group in route IV is minor.
- 3 In the case of nitrate radical, the only carbonyl compound detected was E-4-methylcyclohexanone, suggesting that
- 4 route I may be the dominant pathway for this radical. The large difference between the yields of E-4-
- 5 methylcyclohexanone obtained using the SPME/GC-TOFMS system (~75%) or the FTIR (35%) could be due to

7 versus one large addition in the Pyrex® glass reactor). This procedure causes a lower initial concentration of

8 nitrated inorganic species (NO<sub>3</sub>, NO<sub>2</sub>, HNO<sub>3</sub>) in reactor of 150 L than in of 50 L reactor, favoring the formation

- 9 of carbonyl compounds instead of nitrated organic compounds. Taking into account the yields of E-4-
- 10 methylcyclohexanone and the nitrated compounds for the NO<sub>3</sub> reaction using FTIR analysis, a total carbon balance
- 11 of 100% is obtained (See Table 6).

#### 12 **3.2.2. 3,3DM1ButOH**

13 For the reaction of 3,3DM1ButOH with the three atmospheric oxidants, 3,3-dimethylbutanal was identified as the 14 main reaction product. Figure S5A shows the FTIR spectra obtained for the reactions of 3,3DM1ButOH with Cl 15 (absence/presence of NO<sub>x</sub>), OH and NO<sub>3</sub>. Residual FTIR spectra after subtraction of 3,3-dimethylbutanal (Fig. S5B), the SPME/GC-TOFMS chromatograms (Fig. S6) and the EIMS spectra (Fig. S7), show that other reaction 16 17 products such as carbonyl, hydroxycarbonyl and nitrated compounds are formed. These reaction products could be formaldehyde, 2,2-dimethylpropanal, glycolaldehyde, acetone, and peroxy-3,3-dimethylbutyryl nitrate 18 19 (P33DMBN) (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>CCH<sub>2</sub>C(O)OONO<sub>2</sub>. These compounds can be formed as primary products (See Fig. 6) and/or 20 secondary products from the degradation of 3,3-dimethylbutanal (See Fig. S8). The SPME/GC-TOFMS 21 chromatograms show common peaks for the three oxidants, but the numbers of peaks and their distribution are 22 very different, especially for OH reactions. In the case of the SPME/GC-TOFMS system, a set of experiment using 23 field ionization was carried out in order to help establish the identification of reaction products.

- Time-concentration profiles of 3,3DM1ButOH, 3,3-dimethylbutanal and those reaction products positively identified by FTIR analysis were made to test whether the profiles correspond to primary or secondary reaction products. An example of the reactions with Cl atoms in the absence and presence of  $NO_x$  is presented in Fig. 6, showing that in the absence of  $NO_x$  the profiles of acetone and formaldehyde have two trends. It indicates that these compounds are formed as primary and secondary products. This profile is clearly observed for nitrated
- 29 compounds in the reaction with Cl atoms in the presence of  $NO_x$  (Fig. 6B).
- 30 A commercial sample of 3,3-dimethylbutanal was used to estimate the molecular yields in both experimental
- systems. These are shown in Table 4. The yields of acetone and formaldehyde were calculated using an FTIR
   reference spectrum of a commercial sample and FTIR reference spectra from Eurochamp database
- 33 (<u>https://data.eurochamp.org/data-access/spectra/</u>), respectively. An FTIR reference spectrum of 2-methylpropanal
- 34 (from this same database) was used to estimate the molecular yield of 2,2-dimethylpropanal.
- 35 The amounts of 3,3-dimethylbutanal formed were corrected by their reactions with Cl atoms, and with OH and
- 36 NO<sub>3</sub> radicals using the rate coefficients available in literature or for reactions of structurally similar compounds
- 37 (see footnote, Table 4). Estimated yields of formaldehyde, acetone, 2,2-dimethylpropanal and nitrated compounds
- are summarized in Table 6 along with an average yield of 3,3-dimethylbutanal. The higher yield of nitrated

- 1 compounds in the reaction of 3,3DM1ButOH with nitrate radical could indicate an extra formation of nitrated
- 2 compounds from secondary reactions (See Fig. S8). Total of carbon yields of 60%, 81% and 36% have been
- 3 justified for Cl, OH and NO<sub>3</sub> reactions respectively. It must be noted that there are reaction products that could not
- 4 be quantified as dihydroxy or hydroxycarbonyl compounds in the reactions with Cl atoms in the absence of  $NO_x$
- 5 and primary nitrated compounds in the reactions with Cl atoms in the presence of  $NO_x$  and with  $NO_3$  radical.

6 This work is the first study of the products of reaction of 3,3DM1ButOH with atmospheric oxidants, so there is no
7 other study with which to compare. Figure 7 shows the reaction mechanism proposed based on literature studies

8 of saturated alcohols reactions with Cl atoms and the OH radical (Cavalli et al., 2002; Hurley et al., 2009; McGillen

9 et al., 2013; Welz et al., 2013) and considering the reaction products identified in our work. Table S2 in

Supplementary Material, shows a summary of the reaction products, either observed or tentatively identified
 proposed in this mechanism of the reaction of 3,3DM1ButOH with the atmospheric oxidants.

- 12 As it can see in Table 4, the estimated molecular yields of 3,3-dimethylbutanal (formed by H atom abstraction at
- 13 the  $\alpha$ -position of 3,3DM1BuOH) are very similar to the one predicted by the SARs method for the Cl and OH
- reactions. In the case of NO<sub>3</sub> radical a large difference between yields was observed (36% estimated in this work,
- 15 86% predicted by SAR). This discrepancy could be explained by the fact that the SAR method applied to the NO<sub>3</sub>
- 16 radical reaction with primary alcohols (Kerdouci et al., 2010, 2014) underestimates the attack of  $NO_3$  at the
- 17  $\beta$ -position, since it does not consider the possible activating effect of the -CH<sub>2</sub>OH group (See S2,Supplementary
- 18 Material). This could also explain the large difference observed between the estimated and measured rate
- 19 coefficients of the reaction of 3,3DM1ButOH with NO<sub>3</sub> as shown in Table 2. On the other hand, the volume of the
- 20 reactor could also have an influence on formation of 3,3-dimethylbutanal.
- According to the molecular yields of the products quantified and/or observed in the SPME/GC-TOFMS chromatograms, it can be concluded that for the OH radical reaction, route I (attack at the  $\alpha$ -position) seems to be the main reaction route. For Cl atoms the three routes can occur to a significant extent. The major molecular yields of formaldehyde and acetone (route III) in the reactions with Cl atoms in the presence of NO<sub>x</sub> versus those of in the absence of NO<sub>x</sub> could indicate that in the absence of NO<sub>x</sub> the self reactions of peroxy radicals (RO<sub>2</sub>·) via the molecular pathway is more favored than via the radical pathway. For the NO<sub>3</sub> radical, routes I and II (attack at the
- 27  $\beta$ -position) with the formation of nitrated compounds seem to be the major routes.

#### 28 **3.2.3. 3,3DM2ButOH**

Analysis of the FTIR spectra obtained for the reactions of 3,3DM2ButOH with Cl atoms in the presence and 29 30 absence of NO<sub>x</sub>, OH radical and NO<sub>3</sub> radical shows the formation of 3,3-dimethyl-2-butanone as a main product (see Fig. S9). Other compounds, such as formaldehyde, acetone, 2,2-dimethylpropanal and peroxyacetyl nitrate 31 32 (PAN), were also observed. The residual FTIR spectra after subtraction of all known IR bands again shows the presence of carbonyl compounds (IR absorption in the range 1820–1700 cm<sup>-1</sup>); hydroxy compounds 33 (1060–1040 cm<sup>-1</sup>) in the reaction with Cl atoms in the absence of NO<sub>x</sub>, and also nitrated compounds (RONO<sub>2</sub>; 34 1650, 1305–1260, 890 cm<sup>-1</sup>) in the reaction with Cl in the presence of NO<sub>x</sub> and NO<sub>3</sub> radical (Fig. S9C). The 35 36 presence in the residual FTIR spectra of an IR absorption band around 1800 cm<sup>-1</sup> for the reaction with Cl atoms 37 at long reaction times could be due to the formation of chlorine compounds by reaction of 3,3-dimethyl-2-butanone with Cl<sub>2</sub> (Ren et al., 2018) or the formation of cyclic compounds such as hydrofurans. The SPME/GC-TOFMS 38

- 1 chromatograms and MS spectra (Fig. S10 and S11) confirm the presence of other reaction products apart from 3,3-
- 2 dimethyl-2-butanone in the case of Cl (absence/presence of NO<sub>x</sub>) and NO<sub>3</sub> reactions. Only one significant peak is
- 3 observed in chromatograms of the OH reactions.
- 4 The estimated molecular yields of 3,3-dimethyl-2-butanone for all individual experiments are given in Table 5,
- 5 where the measured concentrations have been corrected for secondary reactions. Acetone, formaldehyde, 2,2-
- 6 dimethylpropanal, nitrated compounds and acetaldehyde were also quantified. Plots of concentration versus time
- 7 for formaldehyde, acetone (Fig. S12A) and nitrated compounds in the reactions of Cl in the presence of  $NO_x$  (Fig.
- 8 S12B) show profiles with two trends. This type of profile indicates that formaldehyde and acetone could also be
- 9 formed by degradation of 3,3-dimethyl-2-butanone (Fig. S13). Table 6 summarizes the molecular yields of all
- 10 quantified products.
- 11 Total carbon yields of ~60%/100%, 90% and 60% have been accounted for Cl (in the absence and presence of
- 12 NO<sub>x</sub>), OH and NO<sub>3</sub> reactions, respectively (See Table 6). It is important to note that in the case of the reaction of
- 13 Cl atoms in the absence of  $NO_x$ , where the total carbon yield was lower than 100%, there were many reaction
- 14 products that could not be quantified, such as dihydroxy and/or hydroxycarbonyl compounds. In the reaction with
- 15 NO<sub>3</sub> radical, due to our experimental conditions, significant number of primary nitrated compounds was expected
- to be formed (Fig. S9C).
- A mechanism of hydrogen atom abstraction at different positions on the carbon chain has been proposed for the reaction of 3,3DM2ButOH with Cl, OH and NO<sub>3</sub>. The mechanism is shown in Figure 8. Table S3, in Supplementary Material, shows a summary of the reaction products either observed or tentatively identified
- 20 proposed by this mechanism of the reactions of 3,3DM2ButOH with the atmospheric oxidants.
- 21 Molecular yields of 3,3-dimethyl-2-butanone obtained in this work imply percentages of attack of the oxidant at
- 22 the  $\alpha$ -position (route II) of: 43%/44% in the case of Cl atom (absence/presence of NO<sub>x</sub>); 81% for the OH radical
- and 58% for the  $NO_3$  radical. Percentages are very similar to those predicted by SARs except for the  $NO_3$  radical
- 24 (See Table 5). High NO<sub>2</sub> concentration present in the reactions with NO<sub>3</sub> radical would greatly favor the formation
- of nitrated compounds over 3,3-dimethyl-2-butanone. It could justify the low estimated molecular yield for 3,3-
- 26 dimethyl-2-butanone.
- 27 The main reaction products observed in the reaction with Cl atoms in the presence of NO<sub>x</sub> (3,3-dimethyl-2-
- butanone, formaldehyde, 2,2-dimethylpropanal, acetone and acetaldehyde) confirm the attack of Cl atoms at other
- 29 sites apart from the  $\alpha$ -position. Based on the estimated molecular yield of acetone, attack at the  $\delta$ -position with
- 30 abstraction of hydrogen atom from methyl groups (route III) could be ~58%, and based on the estimated molecular
- 31 yield of 2,2-dimethylpropanal, the attack at the  $\beta$ -position (route I) could account for 10%. These data agree with
- 32 the SAR predictions for Cl atom reactions. On the other hand, the major molecular yields of acetone, formaldehyde
- 33 and acetaldehyde (route III) in the reaction with Cl atoms in the presence of  $NO_x$  rather than in its absence could
- 34 indicate that in the presence of  $NO_x$  the self-reactions of peroxy radicals via the molecular pathway is negligible.
- 35 The lower yield estimated (17 %) of acetaldehyde versus 58% of its coproduct (acetone) is due to its fast
- degradation by reaction with Cl atoms with the formation PAN as observed in the FTIR experiments (See Fig.
- 37 S9B).

#### 38 4. Atmospheric Implications

Pollutants in the atmosphere, can create serious environmental problems, such photochemical smog, acid rain and degradation of the ozone layer (Finlayson-Pitts and Pitts, 2000). So, it is important to evaluate the parameters that help us to understand the impact of the presence of these compounds in the atmosphere. These parameters are the time that such compounds remain in the atmosphere, their global warming potential (GWP) and their mechanisms

5 of degradation, in order to estimate the atmospheric effect of products formed.

6 The first important parameter of the environmental impact of oxygenated volatile organic compounds in the 7 atmosphere is the global lifetime,  $\tau_{global}$ , which considers all the degradation processes that could affect these 8 compounds in the troposphere. This parameter can be obtained from the sum of the individual sink processes such 9 as reactions initiated by OH and NO<sub>3</sub> radicals, Cl atoms, and O<sub>3</sub> molecules; photolysis and dry and wet deposition, 10 Eq (4):

11 
$$\tau_{global} = \left[\frac{1}{\tau_{OH}} + \frac{1}{\tau_{Cl}} + \frac{1}{\tau_{NO_3}} + \frac{1}{\tau_{O_3}} + \frac{1}{\tau_{photolysis}} + \frac{1}{\tau_{other processes}}\right]^{-1}$$
(4)

The tropospheric lifetime (τ) of 4MCHexOH, 3,3DM1ButOH and 3,3DM2ButOH for each process have been
estimated by considering Eqs (4) and (5):

14 
$$\tau = \frac{1}{k_{Ox}[Ox]}$$
(5)

where  $k_{0x}$  and [0x] are the rate coefficients obtained in this work for each oxidant and the typical atmospheric 15 concentrations of the oxidants Cl, OH and NO<sub>3</sub>, respectively. Concentrations employed were as follows: for 24 h 16 average:  $1 \times 10^3$  atoms cm<sup>-3</sup> (Platt and Janssen, 1995) for Cl, 12 h average daytime concentration of  $1 \times 10^6$ 17 radicals cm<sup>-3</sup> for OH (Prinn et al., 2001) and 5  $\times$  10<sup>8</sup> radicals cm<sup>-3</sup> for NO<sub>3</sub> (Atkinson, 2000), and a peak 18 concentration of Cl of  $1.3 \times 10^5$  atoms cm<sup>-3</sup> in the coastal marine boundary layer at dawn (Spicer et al., 1998). 19 20 Reactions with O<sub>3</sub> and photolysis are negligible loss processes for this type of compound (Mellouki et al., 2015). Other processes are referred to as dry and wet deposition. To estimate the lifetime associated with wet deposition, 21 22 Eq (6) proposed by (Chen et al. 2003) was used:

 $\tau_{wet} = \frac{H_{atm}}{v_{pm}RTk_H} \tag{6}$ 

where  $k_{\rm H}$  is the Henry's law constant,  $H_{\rm atm}$  is the height of the troposphere, taking a value of 630 m,  $v_{\rm pm}$  is the average precipitation rate for Ciudad Real (Spain) (402 mm yr<sup>-1</sup>) (www.aemet.es), R is the gas constant and T is the temperature, considered to be constant and equal to 298 K. In the literature there are only data of Henry's constant for 3,3DM2ButOH ( $5.6 \times 10^{-1}$  mol m<sup>-3</sup> Pa<sup>-1</sup>) (Sander, 2015). Comparing the available data for similar compounds approximated values of K<sub>H</sub> of 3 mol m<sup>-3</sup> Pa<sup>-1</sup> and 0.4 mol m<sup>-3</sup> Pa<sup>-1</sup> for 4MCHexOH and 3,3DM1ButOH, respectively have been used.

The calculated lifetimes of the three alcohols studied in this work are shown in Table 7. It can be seen that the dominant tropospheric loss process for the three alcohols is clearly their reaction with OH radicals followed by their reaction with NO<sub>3</sub> radicals at night. However, in places where there is a peak concentration of chlorine atoms (coastal areas) the reaction of these alcohols with chlorine atoms may compete with that with OH radicals as their main degradation process. The global lifetime of the three alcohols is of the order of ~ 1–2 days, indicating that these compounds will

36 probably be degraded near their sources. These global lifetimes also indicate that SAs do not make a significant

- 1 contribution to the radiative forcing of climate change (Mellouki et al., 2015), which is supported through the
- 2 estimation of their GWP values. For a time-horizon of 20 years, the estimated values are:  $8.33 \times 10^{-4}$ ,  $1.78 \times 10^{-2}$
- 3 and  $5.80 \times 10^{-3}$  for 4MCHexOH, 3,3DM1ButOH and 3,3DM2ButOH respectively, which are very low. So, these
- 4 compounds will only have an important impact in the troposphere at a local or regional level.
- 5 Their degradation products (mostly carbonyl-containing compounds and nitro-compounds in polluted areas) must 6 be considered. Thus, the nitrated compounds generated can act as NOx reservoir species especially during the 7 night (Altshuller, 1993) and could have an influence at the global scale. Moreover, since 4MCHexOH, 8 3,3DM1ButOH and 3,3DM2ButOH react quickly with Cl atoms and OH radicals, their contribution to the 9 formation of photochemical smog might be important. For that reason, the contribution of these three alcohols to 10 the formation of smog was estimated by obtaining the average ozone production during 99% of their reactions with OH radical, using the equation indicated by Dash and Rajakumar (2013). The values obtained were 3.24, 0.90 11 12 and 1.69 ppm for 4MCHexOH, 3,3DM1ButOH and 3,3DM2ButOH, respectively. These values suggest that these 13 compounds may be potential generators of tropospheric ozone and could contribute significantly to the formation
- 14 of photochemical smog depending on their concentrations in the atmosphere.

#### 15 5. Conclusions

- 16 The main conclusions obtained from the present study, are the following:
- 17 The kinetic and product study support that: 1 The atmospheric degradation mechanism for SAs, and possibly
- 18 for other unstudied saturated alcohols, proceeds by abstraction of a hydrogen atom bonded to a carbon rather than
- 19 a hydrogen atom bonded to the oxygen atom of the alcohol group, and 2 The reaction mechanism in the H atom
- 20 abstraction process depends on the oxidant. Cl atoms abstract any type of hydrogen ( $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $\delta$ ) from SAs with a high
- 21 percentage compared to the OH and the NO<sub>3</sub> radicals. The OH and NO<sub>3</sub> radicals abstract mainly the hydrogen
- 22 atom at the  $\alpha$ -position, if the saturated alcohols are secondary. For primary alcohols, the abstraction of a hydrogen
- 23 atom at the  $\beta$ -position could also be important in the reaction with NO<sub>3</sub> radical. Therefore, more kinetic studies
- 24 of the NO<sub>3</sub> radical reaction with primary alcohols are necessary to quantify the effect of the OH group at the
- 25  $\beta$ -position (-CH<sub>2</sub>OH) and to update the SAR method developed by Kerdouci et al.
- Theoretical ab-initio studies of the reactions of SAs with atmospheric oxidants should be performed in order to
   obtain more information about their reaction mechanisms in the H atom abstraction process.
- 28 - The atmospheric conditions determine the reaction mechanism and therefore the reaction products obtained in 29 the degradation of SAs. So, in polluted environments with high concentrations of NOx, the peroxy radicals react 30 mainly with NO to form the alkoxy radical instead of molecular compounds. In these conditions, nitrated organic 31 compounds (RONO<sub>2</sub>) are formed as well as polyfunctional organic compounds. Also, when the concentration of 32  $NO_2$  is higher than that of NO, ozone is formed. In a clean atmosphere, as in the case of the experiments with Cl 33 atoms in the absence of NO<sub>x</sub>, the reaction products are different because, peroxy radicals could react mainly via a 34 self-reaction molecular pathway instead of via a self-reaction radical pathway with formation of dihydroxy and 35 hydroxycarbonyl compounds.
- 36 The unquantified polyfunctional organic compounds could explain the low carbon balance obtained in the Cl or
- $NO_3$  reactions. The carbon balance must be taken with caution since the calculated molecular yields have a high
- 38 degree of uncertainty.

Calculated lifetimes for saturated alcohols (in the order of ~1 day) imply that these compounds are pollutants at
 a local-regional scale, but it is also important to indicate that SAs are sources of stable nitrated compounds
 (ROONO<sub>2</sub>), depending on environmental conditions, that can travel large distances from their sources and
 contribute to form ozone in clean areas, for example in forest or rural areas.

- 5 The main products of the degradation of the SAs, aldehydes and ketones, develop a very important secondary
- 6 chemistry with the formation of products of special relevance, such as the PAN observed in the degradation of 3,3-
- 7 dimethyl-2-butanol. More experiments should be carried out using other detection techniques in order to evaluate
- 8 the formation of secondary organic aerosol (SOA) because it is well known that polyfunctional organic compounds
- 9 are important SOA precursors.
- From the environmental point of view, this work shows that the degradation of SAs is an important source of
   pollutants in the atmosphere of greater or lesser impact depending on the environmental conditions and the
   quantities of these alcohols present in the atmosphere. Therefore, the use of SAs as additives in the production of
   biofuels should be controlled, as poor handling could result in high concentrations of these alcohols in the
- 14 atmosphere.

15 – The rate coefficients and reaction products reported in this work are the first available data, so this work 16 contributes to a better understanding of the atmospheric chemistry of oxygenated compounds, expands the kinetic 17 and mechanistic database, and contributes to developing or to improving predictive models that help us to avoid 18 or mitigate the effects of climate change or air quality. However, kinetic experiments in the tropospheric 19 temperature range are necessary to obtain more information about the reaction mechanism and to extrapolate the 20 rate coefficient data to other typical atmospheric conditions and thus be able to better establish the atmospheric 21 impact of these alcohols.

#### 22 6. Supplementary material.

23 Attached in a separated file.

#### 24 7. Author contribution

Salgado S. and Martín P. designed the experiments. Cabañas B. is the leader of the group and the responsible to
control the research and got the financial support for the project leading to this publication. Colmenar I. carried
out the experiments of 4MCHexOH. Tapia A. carried out the kinetic experiments of 3,3DM1ButOH and
3,3DM2ButOH and Aranda I. carried out the product experiments of 3,3DM1ButOH. Martín
P. supervised all analysis of data and prepared the manuscript with contributions from all co-authors.

#### **30 8. Competing interests**

31 The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

### 32 9. Acknowledgment

- 33 The authors would like to thank the financial support provided by Junta de Comunidades de Castilla-La Mancha
- 34 (Projects SBPLY/17/180501/000522).

#### 1 10. References

- 2 -Allert, M., Rizk, S. S., Looger, L. L., Hellinga, H. W., and Wells, J.. A Computational Design of Receptors for
- an Organophosphate Surrogate of the Nerve Agent Soman. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 101, 21. 7907-7912,
- 4 <u>https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0401309101</u>, 2004.
- 5 -Altshuller A. P. PANs in the Atmosphere, *Air & Waste*, 43:9, 1221-1230,
  6 https://doi.org/10.1080/1073161X.1993.10467199, 1993.
- 7 -Andersen, V. F., Wallington, T. J., Nielsen, O. J. Atmospheric Chemistry of i-Butanol. J. Phys. Chem. A., 114,
- 8 12462–12469, https://doi.org/10.1021/jp107950d, 2010.
- 9 -AOPWIN, v1.92. <sup>©</sup>2000 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency.
- -Aschmann, S. M. Arey, J. and Atkinson R. Kinetics and Products of the Reactions of OH Radicals with 4,4-
- 11 Dimethyl-1-pentene and 3,3-Dimethylbutanal at 296 ±2 K. J. Phys. Chem. A, 114, 5810–5816,
- 12 <u>https://doi.org/10.1021/jp101893g</u>, 2010.
- -Atkinson, R. Gas-phase tropospheric chemistry of organic compounds. J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data. Monograph, 1 216, 1994.
- Atkinson, R. Atmospheric chemistry of VOCs and NO(x). *Atmos. Environ.* 34(12-14), 2063-2101, https://doi.org/10.1016/S1352-2310(99)00460-4, 2000.
- 17 -Atkinson, R. Kinetics of the gas-phase reactions of OH radicals with alkanes and cycloalkanes. Atmos. Chem.
- 18 Phys. 3, 2233-2307, <u>https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-3-2233-2003</u>, 2003.
- -Atkinson, R. and Arey J. Atmospheric degradation of volatile organic compounds. *Chem Rev* 103:4605–4638, https://doi.org/10.1021/cr0206420, 2003.
- 21 -Atkinson, R., Aschmann, S.M., Pitts Jr., J.N. Rate constants for the gas-phase reactions of the NO<sub>3</sub> radical with a
- series of organic compounds at 296 ± 2 K. J. Phys. Chem. 92, 3454-3457, <u>https://doi.org/10.1021/j100323a028</u>,
   1988.
- -Atkinson, R., Aschmann, S.M., Pitts Jr. J.N. Rate Constants for the Gas-Phase Reactions of the OH Radical with
- a Series of Aromatic Hydrocarbons at 296 ± 2 K. Int. J.Chem. Kinet. 21, 355-365, https://doi.org/10.1002/kin.550210506, 1989.
- -Atkinson, R., Baulch, D. L., Cox, R. A., Crowley, J. N., Hampson, R. F., Hynes, R. G., Jenkin, M. E., Rossi, M.
- 28 J., Troe, J., and IUPAC Subcommittee. Evaluated kinetic and photochemical data for atmospheric chemistry:
- Volume II gas phase reactions of organic species, *Atmos. Chem. Phys*, 6, 3625-4055, <u>https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-</u>
   <u>6-3625-2006</u>, 2006.
- 31 -Atkinson, R., Plum, C.N., Carter, W.P.L., Winer, A.M., Pitts Jr. J.N. Rate constants for the gas-phase reactions
- 32 of nitrate radicals with a series of organics in air at 298 ± 1 K. J. Phys. Chem. 88, 1210-1215,
- 33 https://doi.org/10.1021/j150650a039, 1984.
- 34 -Ballesteros, B., Garzón, A., Jiménez, E., Notario, A., Albaladejo, J. Relative and absolute kinetic studies of 2-
- butanol and related alcohols with tropospheric Cl atoms J. Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 9 (10), 1210-1218,
- 36 <u>https://doi.org/10.1039/B614531K</u>, 2007.
- 37 -Bradley, W.R., Wyatt, S.E., Wells, J.R., Henley, M.V., Graziano, G.M.The Hydroxyl Radical Reaction Rate
- 38 Constant and Products of Cyclohexanol. Int. J. Chem. Kinet., 33, 108-117, https://doi.org/10.1002/1097-
- **39** <u>4601(200102)33:2<108::AID-KIN1002>3.0.CO;2-%23</u>, 2001.

- Brauers, T., Finlayson-Pitts, B.J. Analysis of relative rate measurements. *Int. J. Chem. Kinet.* 29 (9), 665-672,
   https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1097-4601(1997)29:9<665::AID-KIN3>3.0.CO;2-S, 1997.
- 3 -Calvert, J.G., Mellouki, A., Orlando, J.J., Pilling, M.J., Wallington, T.J. The mechanisms of atmospheric
- 4 oxidation of the oxygenates. Oxford University Press, New York, 2011.
- 5 -Caravan, R. L., Shannon, R. J., Lewis, T., Blitz, M. A., and Heard, D. E. Measurements of Rate Coefficients for
- 6 Reactions of OH with Ethanol and Propan-2-ol at Very Low Temperatures. J. Phys. Chem. A, 119, 7130–7137,
- 7 <u>https://doi.org/10.1021/jp505790m</u>, 2015.
- 8 -Cavalli, F., Geiger, H., Barnes, I., Becker, K. H. FTIR Kinetic, Product, and Modeling Study of the OH-Initiated
- 9 Oxidation of 1-Butanol in Air. *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 36, 1263–1270, <u>https://doi.org/10.1021/es010220s</u>, 2002.
- 10 -Ceacero-Vega, A. Ballesteros, B., Albaladejo, J., Bejan, I., and Barnes, I. Temperature dependence of the gas-
- 11 phase reactions of Cl atoms with propene and 1-butene between 285 < T < 313 K. Chem. Phys.Lett. 484(1-3):10–
- 12 13, <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cplett.2009.10.080</u>, 2009.
- 13 -Ceacero-Vega, A.A., Ballesteros, B., Bejan, I., Barnes, I., Jiménez, E., Albaladejo, J. Kinetics and Mechanisms
- 14 of the Tropospheric Reactions of Menthol, Borneol, Fenchol, Camphor, and Fenchone with Hydroxyl Radicals
- 15 (OH) and Chlorine Atoms (Cl). J. Phys. Chem, A., 116, 4097-4107, <u>https://doi.org/10.1021/jp212076g</u>, 2012.
- -Chen, L., Takenaka N., Bandow, H. Maeda, Y. Henry's law constants for C2–C3 fluorinated alcohols and their
  wet deposition in the atmosphere. *Atmos. Environ.* 37, 34,4817-4822,
- 18 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2003.08.002, 2003.
- 19 -Cheung C.S., Huang Z. Effect of n-pentanol addition on the combustion, performance and emission characteristics
- of a direct-injection diesel engine. *Energy*; 70:172–80, <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2014.03.106</u>, 2014.
- 21 -D'Anna, B., Andresen, W., Gefen, Z., Nielsen, C.J. 2001. Kinetic study of OH and NO3 radical reactions with 14
- 22 aliphatic aldehydes. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 3, 15, 3057-3063, <u>https://doi.org/10.1039/B103623H</u>, 2001.
- -Dash, M.R. and Rajakumar, B. Experimental and theoretical rate coefficients for the gas phase reaction of  $\beta$ -
- 24 Pinene with OH radical. Atmos. Environ, 79, 161-171, <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2013.05.039</u>, 2013.
- 25 -Ezzel, M.J., Wang, W., Ezell, A.A., Soskin, G., Finlayson-Pitts, B.J. 2002. Kinetics of reactions of chlorine atoms
- with a series of alkenes at 1 atm and 298 K: structure and reactivity. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 1, 5813-5820,
  https://doi.org/10.1039/B207529F, 2002.
- -Farrugia, L.N., Bejan, I., Smith, S.C., Medeiros, D.J., Seakins, P.W. Revised structure activity parameters derived
- from new rate coefficient determinations for the reactions of chlorine atoms with a series of seven ketones at 290
- 30 K and 1 atm. *Chem. Phys. Lett.* 640 87–93, <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cplett.2015.09.055</u>, 2015.
- 31 -Finlayson-Pitts, B. J. and Pitts, J.N. Chemistry of the Upper and Lower Atmosphere: Theory, Experiments, and
- 32 Applications" Academic Press, San Diego, 2000.
- -Gallego-Iniesta, M.P., Moreno, A., Martín, P., Tapia, A., Cabañas, B., Salgado. M.S. Reactivity of 2-ethyl-1-
- 34 hexanol in the atmosphere. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 12, 3294-3300, <u>https://doi.org/10.1039/B923899A</u>, 2010.
- -Gallego-Iniesta, M.P., Cabañas, B., Salgado. M.S. Martinez, E., Martin, P. Estimation of gas-phase rate
- 36 coefficients for the reactions of a series of  $\alpha$ , $\beta$ -unsaturated esters with OH, NO<sub>3</sub>, O<sub>3</sub> and Cl. *Atmos. Environ.* 90,
- 37 133-145, <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2014.03.036</u>, 2014.
- -Garzón, A., Cuevas, C.A., Ceacero, A.A., Notario, A., Albaladejo, J. and Fernández-Gómez, M. Atmospheric
- reactions Cl +CH3 –(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>n</sub>–OH (n=0-4): A kinetic and theoretical study. J. Chem. Phys. 125., 104305-104315,
- 40 <u>https://doi.org/10.1063/1.2244556</u>, 2006.

- -Grosjean, D. Atmospheric chemistry of alcohols. J. Braz. Chem. Soc. 8, 433-442, http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/S0103-50531997000500002, 1997.
- 3 -Herath, N.T., Orozco, I., Clinch, E.C., Marshall, P. Relative Rate Studies of the Reactions of Atomic Chlorine
- 4 with Acetone and Cyclic Ketones. Int. J. Chem. Kinet. 50, 41-46, https://doi.org/10.1002/kin.21138, 2018.
- 5 -Hurley, M. D., Wallington, T. J., Laursen, L., Javadi, M. S., Nielsen, O. J., Yamanaka, T., Kawasaki, M.
- 6 Atmospheric Chemistry of n-Butanol: Kinetics, Mechanisms, and Products of Cl Atom and OH Radical Initiated
- 7 Oxidation in the Presence and Absence of NOx. J. Phys. Chem. A, 113, 7011-7020,
- 8 <u>https://doi.org/10.1021/jp810585c</u>, 2009.
- 9 -Jenkin, M.E., Valorso, R., Aumont, B., Rickard, A.R., Wallington, T.J. Estimation of rate coefficients and
- 10 branching ratios for gas-phase reactions of OH with aliphatic organic compounds for use in automated mechanism
- 11 construction. J. Atmos. Chem. Phys. 18, 9297-9328, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-18-9297-2018, 2018.
- 12 -Jiménez. E., Lanza, B., Garzón, A., Ballesteros, B., Albaladejo, J. Atmospheric Degradation of 2-Butanol, 2-
- 13 Methyl-2-butanol, and 2,3-Dimethyl-2-butanol: OH Kinetics and UV Absorption Cross Sections. J. Phys. Chem.
- 14 *A*.109, 10903-10909, <u>https://doi.org/10.1021/jp054094g</u>, 2005.
- 15 -Karabektas, M. and Hosoz, M. Performance and emission characteristics of a diesel engine using isobutanol-
- diesel fuel blends, *Renew Energy*, 34 (6), 1554-1559, <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2008.11.003</u>, 2009.
- 17 -Kerdouci, J., Picquet-Varrault, B., Doussin, J.F. Prediction of Rate Constants for Gas-Phase Reactions of Nitrate
- 18 Radical with Organic Compounds: A New Structure–Activity Relationship. *Chem. Phys.Chem.*, 11, 3909-3920,
  19 https://doi.org/10.1002/cphc.201000673, 2010.
- 20 -Kerdouci, J., Picquet-Varrault, B., Doussin, J.F. Structure–activity relationship for the gas-phase reactions of NO<sub>3</sub>
- 21 radical with organic compounds: Update and extension to aldehydes. Atmos. Environ. 84, 363-372,
- 22 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2013.11.024</u>, 2014.
- -Kumar., B.R. and Saravanan, S. Use of higher alcohol biofuels in diesel engines: A review. *Renew. Sust. Energ.*
- 24 *Rev.* 60, 84–115, <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2016.01.085</u>, 2016.
- 25 -Kwok, E.S.C. and Atkinson R. Estimation of Hydroxyl Radical Reaction Rate Constants For Gas-Phase Organic
- Compounds Using A Structure-Reactivity Relationship: An Update. *Atmos. Environ.*, 29, 1685-1695, https://doi.org/10.1016/1352-2310(95)00069-B, 1995.
- 28 -Lapuerta, M., García-Contreras, R., Campos-Fernández, J., and Dorado P. Stability, lubricity, viscosity, and cold-
- flow properties of alcohol-diesel blends, *Energy Fuels*, 24, 4497-4502, <u>https://doi.org/10.1021/ef100498u</u>, 2010.
- 30 -Li, F., Yi, B., Song, L., Fu, W., Liu, T., Hu, H., & Lin, Q. Macroscopic spray characteristics of long-chain alcohol-
- 31 biodiesel fuels in a constant volume chamber. Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers, Part A:
- 32 *JPE*, 232(2), 195–207. <u>https://doi.org/10.1177/0957650917721336</u>, 2017.
- 33 -Lopes, M., Serrano, L., Ribeiro, I., Cascao, P., Pires, N. Emissions characterization from EURO 5 diesel/biodiesel
- passenger car operating under the new European driving cycle. *Atmos. Environ.* 84, 339-348,
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2013.11.071, 2014.
- Martin, P., Cabañas, B., Colmenar, I., Salgado, M.S., Villanueva, F., Tapia, A. Reactivity of E-butenedial with
- the major atmospheric oxidants. Atmos. Environ. 70, 351-360, <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2013.01.041</u>,
- 38 2013

- 1 McGillen, M. R., Baasandorj, M., Burkholder, J. B. Gas-Phase Rate Coefficients for the OH + n-, i-, s-, and t-
- 2 Butanol Reactions Measured Between 220 and 380 K: Non-Arrhenius Behavior and Site- Specific Reactivity. J.
- 3 Phys. Chem. A, 117, 4636–4656, <u>https://doi.org/10.1021/jp402702u</u>, 2013.
- 4 -McGillen, M.R., Tyndall, G.S., Orlando, J.J, Pimentel, A.S., Medeiros, D.J., and Burkholder J.B. Experimentally
- 5 Determined Site-Specific Reactivity of the Gas-Phase OH and Cl + i-Butanol Reactions Between 251 and 340 K.
- 6 J. Phys. Chem. A, 120, 9968–9981, https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpca.6b09266, 2016.
- 7 -Mellouki, A., Wallington, T. J., Chen, J. Atmospheric chemistry of oxygenated volatile organic compounds:
- 8 impacts on air quality and climate. *Chem Rev.*, 115:3984–4014, https://doi.org/10.1021/cr500549n, 2015.
- 9 -Moc, J and Simmie, J.M. Hydrogen Abstraction from n-Butanol by the Hydroxyl Radical: High Level Ab Initio
- 10 Study of the Relative Significance of Various Abstraction Channels and the Role of Weakly Bound Intermediates.
- 11 J. Phys. Chem. A, 114, 5558–5564, https://doi.org/10.1021/jp1009065, 2010.
- 12 -Moreno, A., Salgado, S., Martin, P., Martinez, E., and Cabañas, B. Kinetic Study of the Gas Phase Reactions of
- 13 a Series of Alcohols with the  $NO_3$  Radical. J. Phys. Chem. A, 116, 42, 10383-10389,
- 14 <u>https://doi.org/10.1021/jp305682h</u>, 2012.
- 15 -Moreno, A., Salgado, S., Taccone, R., Martín, P., Cabañas, B. Atmospheric degradation of saturated alcohols:
- room temperature rate coefficients for NO<sub>3</sub> radical reactions. *Atmos. Environ.*, 96, 229-235,
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2014.07.037, 2014.
- 18 -Murty, M. R. V. S, Prasada, R. N., Prabhakar, S., and Vairamani, M. Chemical ionization mass spectral analysis
- of pinacolyl alcohol and development of derivatization method using p-tolyl isocyanate. *Anal Methods* 2:1599–
   1605, <u>https://doi.org/10.1039/C0AY00346H</u>, 2010.
- 21 -Nelson, L., Rattigan, O., Neavyn, R., Sidebottom, H., Treacy, J., Nielsen, O. J. Absolute and Relative Rate
- 22 Constants for the Reactions of Hydroxyl Radicals and Chlorine Atoms with a Series of Aliphatic Alcohols and
- 23 Ethers at 298 K. Int. J. Chem. Kinet., 22, 1111–1126. https://doi.org/10.1002/kin.550221102, 1990.
- -Nguyen, H.T., Takenaka, N., Bandow, H., Maeda, Y., Oliva, S.T., Botelho, M.M. and Tavares T.M. Atmospheric
- alcohols and aldehydes concentrations measured in Osaka, Japan and in Sao Paulo, Brazil. *Atmos. Environ*, 35,
- 26 3075-3083. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S1352-2310(01)00136-4</u>, 2001.
- 27 -Ozsezen, N. A., Turkcan, A., Sayin, C. and Canakci, M. Comparison of performance and combustion parameters
- in a heavy-duty diesel engine fueled with iso-butanol/diesel fuel blends. *Energy. Explor. Exploit*, 29, 525-541,
- 29 <u>https://doi.org/10.1260/0144-5987.29.5.525</u>, 2011.
- 30 -Poutsma, M. L. Evolution of Structure-Reactivity Correlations for the Hydrogen Abstraction Reaction by
- 31 Chlorine Atom J. Phys. Chem. A, 117(4), 687–703. <u>https://doi.org/10.1021/jp310970t</u>, 2013.
- -Platt U. and Janssen C. 1995. Observation and role of the free radicals NO<sub>3</sub>, ClO, BrO and IO in the troposphere.
- **33** *Faraday Discussions*. <u>https://doi.org/100:175-198,10.1039/FD9950000175</u>, 1995.
- -Prinn, R.G., Huang, J., Weiss, R.F., Cunnold, D.M., Fraser, P.J., Simmonds, P.G., McCulloch, A., Harth, C.,
- 35 Salameh, P., O'Doherty, S., Wang, R.H.J., Porter, L., Miller, R.B. Evidence for Substantial Variations of
- 36 Atmospheric Hydroxyl Radicals in the Past Two Decades Science 292, 1882-1888,
- **37** <u>https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1058673</u>, 2001.
- -Ren, Y., Huang, Z., Miao, H., Di, Y., Jiang, D., Zeng, K., Liu, B., Wang, X. Combustion and emissions of a DI
- 39 diesel engine fuelled with diesel-oxygenate blends, *Fuel*, 87, 2691-2697,
- 40 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fuel.2008.02.017</u>, 2008.

- 1 -Ren Y. Wang J. Grosselin B. Daele V. and Mellouki A. Kinetic and product studies of Cl atoms reactions with a
- 2 series of branched ketones. J. Environ. Science. 71, 271-282, <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jes.2018.03.036</u>, 2018.
- 3 -Sander, R. Compilation of Henry's law constants (version 4.0) for water as solvent Atmos. Chem. Phys, 15, 8,
- 4 4399-4981, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-15-4399-2015, 2015.
- 5 -Sarathy, M., Oßwald P., Hansen, N., and Kohse-Höinghaus, K. Alcohol combustion chemistry. Prog. Energy
- 6 Combust. Sci. 44. 40-102, <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pecs.2014.04.003</u>, 2014.
- 7 -Schott, G., and Davidson, N. Shock Waves in Chemical Kinetics: The Decomposition of N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> at High
- 8 Temperatures, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 80, 1841-1853, https://doi.org/10.1021/ja01541a019, 1958.
- 9 -Sikarwara, V.S, Zhao M., Fennell, P.S., Shah, N., Anthony, E.J. Progress in biofuel production from gasification.
- 10 Prog. Energ. Combust. 61, 189-248, <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pecs.2017.04.001</u>, 2017.
- 11 -Smith, I. W. M., Ravishankara, A. R. J. Role of Hydrogen-Bonded Intermediates in the Bimolecular Reactions
- 12 of the Hydroxyl Radical. *Phys. Chem. A*, 106, 4798-4807, <u>https://doi.org/10.1021/jp014234w</u>, 2002.
- 13 -Spicer, C., Chapman, E.G., Finalysson-Pitts, B.J., Plastridege, R.A., Hybbe, J.M., Fast, J.D., Berkowitz, C.M.
- 14 Unexpectedly high concentrations of molecular chlorine in coastal air Nature, 394, 353-356,
- 15 <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/28584</u>, 1998.
- 16 -Tapia, A., Villanueva, F., Salgado, S., Cabañas, B., Martinez, E. and Martin, P. Atmospheric degradation of 3-
- methylfuran: kinetic and products study. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 2011, 11, 3227–3241. <u>https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-</u>
  11-3227-2011.
- 19 -Taylor, W.D., Alston, T.D., Moscato, M.J., Fazekas, G.B., Kozlowski, R., Takacs, G.A Atmospheric
- 20 photodissociation lifetimes for nitromethane, methyl nitrite, and methyl nitrate. Int. J. Chem. Kinet. 12, 231-240,
- 21 <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/kin.550120404</u>, 1980.
- 22 -Thevenet, R., Mellouki, A., Bras, G. L. Kinetics of OH and Cl Reactions with a Series of Aldehydes. Int. J. Chem.
- 23 *Kinet.*, 32, 676–685, <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/1097-4601(2000)32:11<676::AID-KIN3>3.0.CO;2-V</u>, 2000.
- -Tuazon E. C. and Atkinson, R. A Product Study of the Gas-Phase Reaction of Isoprene with the OH Radical in
- 25 the Presence of NOx. Int. J. Chem. Kinet. 22, 1221-1236, https://doi.org/10.1002/kin.550221202, 1990.
- -Tuazon E. C., Leod, H.M, Atkinson, R. and Carter W.P.L. α-Dicarbonyl Yields from the NO<sub>x</sub> Air Photooxidations
- 27 of a Series of Aromatic Hydrocarbons in Air. *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 20, 4, 383-387,
   28 https://doi.org/10.1021/es00146a010, 1986.
- 29 -Vereecken, L., Aumont, B., Barnes, I., Bozzelli, J.W., Goldman, M.J., Green, W.H., Madronich, S., Mcgillen,
- 30 M.R., Mellouki, A., Orlando, J. J., Picquet-Varrault, B., Rickard, R., Stockwell, W. R., Wallington, T.J., Carter,
- 31 W.P.L. Perspective on Mechanism Development and Structure-Activity Relationships for Gas-Phase Atmospheric
- 32 Chemistry. Int. J. Chem. Kinet. 50, 435-469, http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/kin.21172, 2018.
- 33 -Wallington, T.J. and Kurylo, M.J. Flash Photolysis Resonance Fluorescence Investigation of the Gas-Phase
- Reactions of OH Radicals with a Series of Aliphatic Ketones over the Temperature Range 240-440 K. J. Phys.
   *Chem.*, 91, 19, 5050-5054, https://doi.org/10.1021/j100303a033, 1987.
- -Wallington, T.J., Skwes, L.M., Siegl, W.O, Wu. C., Japar, S.M. Gas phase reaction of Cl atoms with a series of
- 37 oxygenated organic species at 295 K, Int. J. Chem. Kinet., 20, 867-875, <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/kin.550201105</u>,
- 38 1988.

- 1 -Wayne R.P., Barnes I., Biggs P., Burrows J.P., Canosa-Mas C.E., Hjorth J., LeBras G., Moortgat G.K., Perner
- 2 D., Poulet G., Restelli G., Sidebottom H. The nitrate radical: Physics, chemistry, and the atmosphere. Atmos.
- 3 Environ., 25A, 1-203, https://doi.org/10.1016/0960-1686(91)90192-A, 1991.
- 4 -Wayne, R.P. Chemistry of Atmospheres. Oxford University Press, New York, 2000.
- 5 -Welz, O., Klippenstein, S., O., J., Harding, L. B., Taatjes, C. A., Zádor, J. Unconventional Peroxy Chemistry in
- 6 Alcohol Oxidation: The Water Elimination Pathway. J. Phys. Chem. Lett., 43, 350-354,
- 7 <u>https://doi.org/10.1021/jz302004w</u>, 2013.
- 8 -Ziemann P., and Atkinson R. Kinetics, products, and mechanisms of secondary organic aerosol formation. Chem
- 9 Soc Rev. 41(19), 6582-6605, <u>https://doi.org/10.1039/C2CS35122F</u>, 2012.
- 10 -<u>www.aemet.es</u>
- 11 -<u>www.capotchem.com</u>
- 12 -<u>www.iea.org</u>. Energy and Air Pollution. World Energy Outlook 2016 Special Report Workshop International
- 13 Energy Agency.
- 14 -<u>www.iupac-kinetic.ch.cam.ac.uk</u> IUPAC Subcommittee on Gas Kinetic Data Evaluation.
- 15 -<u>www.thermofisher.com/search/browse/results?customGroup=Spectral+Libraries</u>)
- 16 -<u>webbook.nist.gov/chemistry/</u>
- 17 -<u>https://data.eurochamp.org/data-access/spectra/</u>
- 18

1 Table 1. Rate coefficient ratios, absolute rate coefficients and average rate coefficients for the reactions of a series

of SAs with Cl atoms and OH and NO<sub>3</sub> radicals at 298 K and 720  $\pm$  20 Torr of pressure. Rate coefficients, k, in cm<sup>3</sup> molecule<sup>-1</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>.

| Reaction    | Reference         | $(k_{SA}/k_R)\pm 2\sigma$ | $(k_{SA}\pm 2\sigma)^{a}/10^{-10}$ | $(\overline{k}_{\mathrm{SA}}\pm 2\sigma)^{\mathrm{b}}/10^{-10}$ |
|-------------|-------------------|---------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------|
|             |                   | $0.85\pm0.03$             | $2.89\pm0.42$                      |                                                                 |
|             | 1-butene          | $0.79\pm0.02$             | $2.68\pm0.38$                      |                                                                 |
| 3,3DM1ButOH |                   | $0.76\pm0.02$             | $2.58\pm0.37$                      |                                                                 |
| + Cl        |                   | $1.18\pm0.02$             | $2.63\pm0.37$                      | $2.69\pm0.16$                                                   |
|             | Dronana           | $1.21\pm0.03$             | $2.70\pm0.38$                      |                                                                 |
|             | Propene           | $1.22\pm0.03$             | $2.71\pm0.38$                      |                                                                 |
|             |                   | $0.42 \pm 0.01$           | $1.42 \pm 0.21$                    |                                                                 |
|             | 1-butene          | $0.35 \pm 0.01$           | $1.17 \pm 0.17$                    |                                                                 |
| 3,3DM2ButOH |                   | $0.41 \pm 0.01$           | $1.38 \pm 0.20$                    | $1.21 \pm 0.07$                                                 |
| + Cl        |                   | $0.48 \pm 0.01$           | $1.08 \pm 0.15$                    |                                                                 |
|             | Propene           | $0.50 \pm 0.02$           | $1.12 \pm 0.16$                    |                                                                 |
|             | Ĩ                 | $0.56\pm0.03$             | $1.26\pm0.19$                      |                                                                 |
|             |                   | $1.08 \pm 0.03$           | $3.69 \pm 0.32$                    |                                                                 |
| 4MCHexOH    | 2-methylpropene   | $1.16 \pm 0.02$           | $3.95 \pm 0.33$                    |                                                                 |
| + Cl        | 2                 | $0.98 \pm 0.05$           | $335 \pm 032$                      |                                                                 |
| + CI        |                   | $1.14 \pm 0.03$           | $3.86 \pm 0.56$                    | $3.70 \pm 0.16$                                                 |
|             | 1-butene          | $1.12 \pm 0.03$           | $3.78 \pm 0.55$                    |                                                                 |
|             | 1 0 000000        | $1.15 \pm 0.04$           | $3.90 \pm 0.57$                    |                                                                 |
| Reaction    | Reference         | $(k_{SA}/k_R)\pm 2\sigma$ | $(k_{SA}\pm 2\sigma)/10^{-12}$     | $\overline{k}_{\mathrm{SA}}\pm2\sigma$ /10 <sup>-12</sup>       |
|             |                   | $1.00 \pm 0.04$           | $5.09 \pm 0.20$                    |                                                                 |
|             | Isopropanol       | $1.13 \pm 0.09$           | $5.78\pm0.47$                      |                                                                 |
| 3 3DM1BuOH  |                   | $1.12 \pm 0.08$           | $5.72 \pm 0.40$                    |                                                                 |
| + OH        | 2-methyl-2-       | $1.60 \pm 0.09$           | $5.78 \pm 1.01$                    | $5.33 \pm 0.16$                                                 |
|             | butanol           | $1.57\pm0.08$             | $5.65 \pm 1.00$                    |                                                                 |
|             |                   | $1.61\pm0.09$             | $5.79 \pm 1.02$                    |                                                                 |
|             |                   | $2.33\pm0.09$             | $11.90 \pm 0.48$                   |                                                                 |
|             | Isopropanol       | $2.05\pm0.08$             | $10.50\pm0.45$                     |                                                                 |
|             |                   | $1.95\pm0.08$             | $9.95 \pm 0.43$                    | $10.50 \pm 0.25$                                                |
| 3,3DM2BuOH  | 2-methyl-2-       | $2.39\pm0.09$             | $8.61 \pm 1.50$                    |                                                                 |
| + OH        | butanol           | $2.92\pm0.09$             | $10.50 \pm 1.78$                   |                                                                 |
|             |                   | $2.25\pm0.09$             | $8.12 \pm 1.34$                    |                                                                 |
|             |                   | $0.64\pm0.01$             | $17.10\pm2.59$                     |                                                                 |
|             | Propene           | $0.76\pm0.03$             | $20.30\pm3.19$                     |                                                                 |
| 4MCHexOH    |                   | $0.76\pm0.02$             | $20.40 \pm 3.10$                   |                                                                 |
| + OH        |                   | $0.27\pm0.01$             | $18.20\pm4.55$                     | $18.70 \pm 1.42$                                                |
|             | Cyclohexene       | $0.27\pm0.01$             | $18.40 \pm 4.62$                   |                                                                 |
|             | -                 | $0.27\pm0.01$             | $18.00\pm4.46$                     |                                                                 |
| Reaction    | Reference         | $(k_{SA}/k_R)\pm 2\sigma$ | $(k_{SA}\pm 2\sigma)/10^{-15}$     | $\overline{k}_{ m SA}\pm 2\sigma$ /10 <sup>-15</sup>            |
|             |                   | $1.08\pm0.12$             | $3.39 \pm 1.11$                    |                                                                 |
|             | 1-butanol         | $1.81\pm0.15$             | $5.70 \pm 1.82$                    |                                                                 |
| 4MCHevOH    |                   | $0.79\pm0.07$             | $2.51\pm0.80$                      | <b>a</b> <i>c</i> o <b>a a =</b>                                |
| $+ NO_3$    |                   | $0.71\pm0.10$             | $2.08\pm0.72$                      | $2.69 \pm 0.37$                                                 |
| 1103        | 2-ethyl-1-hexanol | $1.00\pm0.10$             | $2.93\pm0.96$                      |                                                                 |
|             |                   | $0.86\pm0.08$             | $2.52\pm0.82$                      |                                                                 |

4 a The uncertainties for rate coefficients of SAs ( $\sigma_{SA}$ ) were calculated from the uncertainty of slope of plots ( $\sigma_{slope}$ )

5 and the uncertainty of the reference ( $\sigma_{kR}$ ) by using the propagation of uncertainties. <sup>b</sup>Weighted average according

7  $(1/w_1+1/w_2+...)^{-0.5}$ 

<sup>6</sup> to the equation  $(w_1k_1+w_2k_2+...)/(w_1+w_2...)$ ;  $(w_i=1/\sigma_1^2)$ . The uncertainty of weighted average ( $\sigma$ ) was given by

Table 2. Estimated and experimental rate coefficients ( $k_{SAR}$ ,  $k_{log}$  and  $k_{exp}$ ) for the reaction of SAs with atmospheric 1

oxidants and ratio of rate coefficients ( $k_{exp}/k_{SAR}$  and  $k_{exp}/k_{log}$ ).  $k_{Cl}/10^{-11}$ ,  $k_{OH}/10^{-12}$  and  $k_{NO3}/10^{-15}$  in cm<sup>3</sup> molecule<sup>-1</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> 2

3 units.

4

|                                    | 4                      | 4MCHexO           | H                 | 3,3DM1ButOH            |                   |                   | 3,3DM2ButOH       |                   |                   |
|------------------------------------|------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
|                                    | <i>k</i> <sub>Cl</sub> | k <sub>OH</sub>   | k <sub>NO3</sub>  | <i>k</i> <sub>Cl</sub> | k <sub>OH</sub>   | k <sub>NO3</sub>  | k <sub>Cl</sub>   | k <sub>OH</sub>   | k <sub>NO3</sub>  |
| <i>k<sub>exp</sub></i>             | 37.0 <sup>a</sup>      | 18.7ª             | 2.69 <sup>a</sup> | 26.9 <sup>a</sup>      | 5.33ª             | 1.78 <sup>b</sup> | 12.1ª             | 10.5ª             | 3.4 <sup>b</sup>  |
| k <sub>SAR</sub>                   | 34.2 <sup>c</sup>      | 19.2 <sup>c</sup> | 2.27 <sup>c</sup> | 21.0 <sup>c</sup>      | 6.08 <sup>c</sup> | 0.55°             | 15.2°             | 9.16 <sup>c</sup> | 3.86 <sup>c</sup> |
| k <sub>exp</sub> /k <sub>SAR</sub> | 1.08                   | 0.97              | 1.18              | 1.28                   | 0.88              | 3.24              | 0.80              | 1.15              | 0.88              |
| $k_{log}$                          | 30.7 <sup>d</sup>      | -                 | 4.69 <sup>d</sup> | 13.9 <sup>d</sup>      | -                 | 1.16 <sup>d</sup> | 21.4 <sup>d</sup> | -                 | 3.19 <sup>d</sup> |
| k <sub>exp</sub> /k <sub>log</sub> | 1.21                   | -                 | 0.57              | 1.94                   | -                 | 1.53              | 0.57              | -                 | 1.07              |

5 <sup>a</sup>Data obtained in this work

6 7 <sup>b</sup>Data obtained by Moreno et al., 2014

<sup>c</sup>See S2 Supplementary Material

8 9 <sup>d</sup>Estimated using the correlations log<sub>Cl</sub>-log<sub>OH</sub> (Eq. (2)) and log<sub>NO3</sub>-log<sub>OH</sub> (Eq. (3)) described by Calvert et al, 2011.

10

1 Table 3. Experimental conditions and molecular yields of E-4-methylcyclohexanone for the reaction

2 of 4MCHexOH with atmospheric oxidants.

| SA       | Oxidant                      | Exp | [SA]<br>(ppm) | [Precursor]<br>(ppm) | [NO]<br>(ppm) | Carbonyl<br>compound<br><sup>d</sup> Yield<br>(%) | Technique                      | Average <sup>f</sup><br>(%) | SAR<br>Yield<br>(%) |
|----------|------------------------------|-----|---------------|----------------------|---------------|---------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|
|          | Cla                          | 1   | 3             | 21                   | -             | 24.8±0.9                                          | FTIR                           | 25.2±1.9                    |                     |
|          |                              | 2   | 8             | 22                   | -             | 23.8±0.6                                          | FTIR                           |                             |                     |
|          |                              | 3   | 13            | 16                   | -             | 27.5±0.2                                          | SPME/GC-<br>TOFMS <sup>e</sup> |                             | 14                  |
|          | $Cl^a + NO$                  | 1   | 11            | 23                   | 30            | 30.4±0.9                                          | FTIR                           | 29.5±0.7                    |                     |
|          |                              | 2   | 5             | 25                   | 19            | 30.0±0.6                                          | FTIR                           |                             |                     |
|          |                              | 3   | 7             | 13                   | 12            | 31.6±1.3                                          | SPME/GC-<br>TOFMS <sup>e</sup> |                             |                     |
| 4MCHexOH | $OH^b$                       | 1   | 7             | 36                   | 23            | 35.1±1.3                                          | FTIR                           |                             |                     |
|          |                              | 2   | 13            | 31                   | 29            | 38.2±1.5                                          | FTIR                           |                             | 50                  |
|          |                              | 3   | 11            | 28                   | 28.5          | 47.8±0.4                                          | FTIR                           | 40.2±5.4                    | 53                  |
|          |                              | 4   | 6             | 19                   | 12            | 39.8±0.9                                          | SPME/GC-<br>TOFMS <sup>e</sup> |                             |                     |
|          | NO <sub>3</sub> <sup>c</sup> | 1   | 3             | 6                    | -             | 56.8±11.4                                         | SPME/GC-<br>TOFMS <sup>e</sup> |                             |                     |
|          |                              | 2   | 6             | 34                   | -             | 88.3±7.0                                          | SPME/GC-<br>TOFMS <sup>e</sup> | 58.0±23.5                   | 86                  |
|          |                              | 3   | 4             | 30                   | -             | 77.1±4.6                                          | SPME/GC-<br>TOFMS <sup>e</sup> |                             |                     |
|          |                              | 4   | 4             | 21                   | -             | 34.6±0.5                                          | FTIR                           |                             |                     |
|          |                              | 5   | 7             | 10                   | -             | 33.4±0.6                                          | FTIR                           |                             |                     |

3 <sup>a</sup> Rate coefficient k (in cm<sup>3</sup> molecule<sup>-1</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> unit) used to correct the concentration of E-4-methylcyclohexanone by

4 loss with the reaction of Cl atoms =  $11.2 \times 10^{-11}$  (data of 2-methylcyclohexanone and Cl atoms (Herath et al., 5 2018)). Photolysis rate coefficient estimated for E-4-methylcyclohexanone under our experimental conditions,  $k_p = 5 \times 10^{-5} \text{ s}^{-1}$ 

<sup>b</sup> Rate coefficient k (in cm<sup>3</sup> molecule<sup>-1</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> unit) used to correct the concentration of E-4-methylcyclohexanone by loss with the reaction of OH radical =13.7 ×  $10^{-12}$  (estimated using AOPWIN, v1.92). Photolysis rate coefficient estimated for E-4-methylcyclohexanone under our experimental conditions,  $k_p = 5 \times 10^{-5} s^{-1}$ 

<sup>c</sup> Rate coefficient k (in cm<sup>3</sup> molecule<sup>-1</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> unit) used to correct the concentration of E-4-methylcyclohexanone by loss with the reaction of NO<sub>3</sub> radical =  $2.28 \times 10^{-16}$  (estimated using SAR method, Kerdouci et al., 2014)

<sup>d</sup> Indicated errors are the associated error to the slope of plots obtained in the least squares analysis

<sup>e</sup> Experiment using a Teflon gas bag of 150L

14 <sup>f</sup> Standard deviations  $1\sigma$ 

1 Table 4. Experimental conditions and molecular yields of 3,3-dimethylbutanal for the reaction of

2 3,3DM1ButOH with atmospheric oxidants.

| SA          | Oxidant     | Exp | [SA]<br>(ppm) | [Precursor]<br>(ppm) | [NO]<br>(ppm) | Carbonyl<br>compound<br><sup>f</sup> Yield (%) | Technique          | Average <sup>g</sup><br>(%) | SAR<br>Yield<br>(%) |
|-------------|-------------|-----|---------------|----------------------|---------------|------------------------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|
|             | Cla         | 1   | 11            | 24                   | -             | 40.3±0.2                                       | FTIR               |                             |                     |
|             |             |     |               |                      |               | 41.8±4.6                                       | SPME/GC-           |                             |                     |
|             |             |     |               |                      |               |                                                | TOFMS <sup>d</sup> | 39.4±15.0                   |                     |
|             |             | 2   | 2.6           | 8                    | -             | 19.6±0.5                                       | SPME/GC-           |                             |                     |
|             |             |     |               |                      |               |                                                | TOFMS <sup>e</sup> |                             |                     |
|             |             | 3   | 6             | 25                   | -             | 55.9±1.7                                       | FTIR               |                             |                     |
|             | $Cl^a + NO$ | 1   | 10            | 21                   | 21            | 61.6±3.4                                       | FTIR               |                             | 40                  |
|             |             |     |               |                      |               | 34.7±4.4                                       | SPME/GC-           |                             |                     |
|             |             |     |               |                      |               |                                                | TOFMS <sup>d</sup> | 43.3±17.7                   |                     |
| 3,3DM1ButOH |             | 2   | 4             | 9                    | 8             | 23.0±4.2                                       | SPME/GC-           |                             |                     |
|             |             |     |               |                      |               |                                                | TOFMS <sup>e</sup> |                             |                     |
|             |             | 3   | 10            | 25                   | 25            | 48.8±0.6                                       | FTIR               |                             |                     |
|             | $OH^{b}$    | 1   | 10            | 60                   | 36            | 82.1±4.2                                       | FTIR               |                             |                     |
|             |             |     |               |                      |               | 40.8±2.7                                       | SPME/GC-           |                             |                     |
|             |             |     | _             |                      |               |                                                | TOFMS <sup>a</sup> | $62.2\pm15.0$               | 66                  |
|             |             | 2   | 7             | 35                   | 57            | 67.4±1.4                                       | FTIR               |                             |                     |
|             |             | 3   | 11            | 28                   | 55            | 61.9±0.9                                       | FTIR               |                             |                     |
|             |             | 4   | 11            | 29                   | 30            | 59.1±3.8                                       | FTIR               |                             |                     |
|             | $NO_3^c$    | 1   | 11            | 36                   | -             | 29.2±0.5                                       | FTIR               |                             |                     |
|             |             |     |               |                      |               | 53.9 <sup> h</sup>                             | SPME/GC-           | 36.2±14.6                   | 86                  |
|             |             |     |               |                      |               |                                                | TOFMS <sup>d</sup> |                             |                     |
|             |             | 2   | 11            | 32                   | -             | 26.5±1.6                                       | FTIR               |                             |                     |

<sup>a</sup> Rate coefficient k (in cm<sup>3</sup> molecule<sup>-1</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> unit) used to correct the concentration of 3,3-dimethylbutanal by loss

4 with the reaction of Cl atoms =  $1.7 \times 10^{-10}$  (data of iso-Butyraldehyde and Cl atoms (Thevenet et al., 2000)).

5 Photolysis rate coefficient estimated for 3,3-dimethylbutanal under our experimental conditions,  $k_p = 1 \times 10^{-4} \text{ s}^{-1}$ 

<sup>b</sup> Rate coefficient k (in cm<sup>3</sup> molecule<sup>-1</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> unit) used to correct the concentration of 3,3-dimethylbutanal by loss with the reaction of OH radical =  $2.73 \times 10^{-11}$  (Aschmann et al., 2010). Photolysis rate coefficient estimated for 3,3-dimethylbutanal under our experimental conditions,  $k_p = 1 \times 10^{-4} s^{-1}$ 

9 <sup>c</sup> Rate coefficient k (in cm<sup>3</sup> molecule<sup>-1</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> unit) used to correct the concentration of 3,3-dimethylbutanal by loss 10 with the reaction of NO<sub>3</sub> radical =  $1.27 \times 10^{-14}$  (D'Anna, 2001).

-

- <sup>d</sup> Experiment using a FTIR gas cell of 50 L
- <sup>e</sup> Experiment using a Teflon gas bag of 150 L

13 <sup>f</sup>Indicated errors are the associated error to the slope of plots obtained in the least squares analysis

- 14 g Standard deviations  $1\sigma$
- 15 <sup>h</sup> Yield estimated using only one data
- 16

**Table 5.** Experimental conditions and molecular yields of 3,3-dimethyl-2-butanone for the reaction

| 2 | of 3,3DM2ButOH with atmospheric oxidants |  |
|---|------------------------------------------|--|
|---|------------------------------------------|--|

| SA          | Oxidant           | Exp | [SA]<br>(ppm) | [Precursor]<br>(ppm) | [NO]<br>(ppm) | Carbonyl<br>compound<br><sup>f</sup> Yield (%) | Technique                              | Average <sup>g</sup><br>(%) | SAR<br>Yield<br>(%) |
|-------------|-------------------|-----|---------------|----------------------|---------------|------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|
|             | Cla               | 1   | 14            | 31                   | -             | 42.8±0.7                                       | FTIR                                   |                             |                     |
|             |                   |     |               |                      |               | 45.2±1.1                                       | SPME/GC-<br>TOFMS <sup>d</sup>         | 43 2+1 8                    |                     |
|             |                   | 2   | 2.3           | 8                    | -             | 41.7±3.2                                       | SPME/GC-<br>TOFMS <sup>e</sup>         | +J.2±1.0                    |                     |
|             | $Cl^a + NO$       | 1   | 14            | 28                   | 20            | 36.7±5.0                                       | FTIR                                   |                             | 40                  |
|             |                   |     |               |                      |               | 49.6±4.5                                       | TOFMS <sup>d</sup>                     | 44.2±7.4                    |                     |
| 3,3DM2ButOH |                   | 2   | 3             | 7                    | 6             | 39.0±6.1                                       | SPME/GC-<br>TOFMS <sup>e</sup>         |                             |                     |
|             |                   | 3   | 8             | 28                   | 27            | 51.5±3.9                                       | FTIR                                   |                             |                     |
|             | $OH^b$            | 1   | 8             | 55                   | 42            | 82.8±3.1                                       | FTIR                                   |                             |                     |
|             |                   |     |               |                      |               | 71.2±2.6                                       | SPME/GC-<br>TOFMS <sup>d</sup>         | 80.7±6.5                    | 91                  |
|             |                   | 2   | 5             | 66                   | 36            | 85.4±5.8                                       | FTIR                                   |                             |                     |
|             |                   | 3   | 11            | 28                   | 29            | 83.6±3.0                                       | FTIR                                   |                             |                     |
|             | NO <sub>3</sub> ° | 1   | 12            | 30                   | -             | 66.7±2.05<br>45.9±1.6                          | FTIR<br>SPME/GC-<br>TOFMS <sup>d</sup> | 58.0±10.9                   | 99                  |
|             |                   | 2   | 9             | 30                   | -             | 61.5±1.4                                       | FTIR                                   |                             |                     |

3 <sup>a</sup> Rate coefficient k (in cm<sup>3</sup> molecule<sup>-1</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> unit) used to correct the concentration of 3,3-dimethyl-2-butanone by

4 loss with the reaction of Cl atoms =  $4.8 \times 10^{-11}$  (Farrugia et al., 2015)). Photolysis rate coefficient estimated for 5 3,3-dimethyl-2-butanone under our experimental conditions,  $k_p = 7 \times 10^{-5} \text{ s}^{-1}$ 

<sup>6</sup> <sup>b</sup> Rate coefficient k (in cm<sup>3</sup> molecule<sup>-1</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> unit) used to correct the concentration of 3,3-dimethyl-2-butanone by 7 loss with the reaction of OH radical =  $1.21 \times 10^{-12}$  (Wallington and Kurylo., 1987). Photolysis rate coefficient

estimated for 3,3-dimethyl-2-butanone under our experimental conditions,  $k_p = 7 \times 10^{-5} \text{ s}^{-1}$ 

- 9 <sup>c</sup> No corrected
- <sup>d</sup> Experiment using a FTIR gas cell of 50 L

<sup>e</sup> Experiment using a Teflon gas bag of 150 L

<sup>12</sup> <sup>f</sup> Indicated errors are the associated error to the slope of plots obtained in the least squares analysis

- 13 <sup>g</sup> Standard deviations  $1\sigma$
- 14
- 15

Table 6: Summary of molecular yields (%) of reaction products identified in the reaction of SAs with
 atmospheric oxidants and the total carbon balance (%).

| Product                              |                 | S               | Α               |                 |  |  |  |  |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|--|--|--|--|
|                                      |                 | 4MC             | СНехОН          |                 |  |  |  |  |
|                                      | Cl              | Cl + NO         | ОН              | NO <sub>3</sub> |  |  |  |  |
| E-4-methylcyclohexanone <sup>1</sup> | $25.2\pm1.9$    | $29.5\pm0.7$    | $40.2\pm5.4$    | $58.0\pm23.5$   |  |  |  |  |
| HCOH <sup>2</sup>                    | 9               | -               | -               | -               |  |  |  |  |
| Nitrated compounds                   | -               | 20              | 10              | 60              |  |  |  |  |
| Total Carbon <sup>3</sup>            | 26              | 50              | 50              | ~100            |  |  |  |  |
|                                      | 3,3DM1ButOH     |                 |                 |                 |  |  |  |  |
|                                      | Cl              | Cl + NO         | ОН              | NO <sub>3</sub> |  |  |  |  |
| 3,3-dimethylbutanal <sup>1</sup>     | $39.4 \pm 15.0$ | $43.3 \pm 17.7$ | $62.2\pm15.0$   | $36.2 \pm 14.6$ |  |  |  |  |
| HCOH <sup>2</sup>                    | 10              | 22              | -               | -               |  |  |  |  |
| 2,2-dimethylpropanal <sup>2</sup>    | 22              | 8               | 23              | -               |  |  |  |  |
| Acetone <sup>2</sup>                 | 5               | 17              | -               | -               |  |  |  |  |
| Nitrated compounds                   | -               | 40 <sup>5</sup> | 35 <sup>6</sup> | 2007            |  |  |  |  |
| Total Carbon <sup>3,4</sup>          | 61              | 62              | 81              | 36              |  |  |  |  |
|                                      |                 | 3,3DM           | I2ButOH         |                 |  |  |  |  |
|                                      | Cl              | Cl + NO         | ОН              | NO <sub>3</sub> |  |  |  |  |
| 3,3-dimethyl-2-butanone <sup>1</sup> | $43.2\pm1.8$    | $44.2\pm7.4$    | $80.7\pm6.5$    | $58.0\pm10.9$   |  |  |  |  |
| HCOH <sup>2</sup>                    | 10              | 64              | -               | -               |  |  |  |  |
| 2,2-dimthylpropanal <sup>2</sup>     | 14              | 10              | 14              | -               |  |  |  |  |
| Acetone <sup>2</sup>                 | 3               | 58              | -               | -               |  |  |  |  |
| Acetaldehyde <sup>2</sup>            | -               | 17              | -               | -               |  |  |  |  |
| Nitrated compounds                   | -               | 30              | 20              | 120             |  |  |  |  |
| Total Carbon <sup>3,4</sup>          | ~60             | 98              | 93              | 58              |  |  |  |  |

<sup>1</sup>Average Tables 3-5; <sup>2</sup>Molecular yield obtained in earlier step of the reaction;

4 <sup>3</sup>Total Carbon (%) =  $\sum_{1}^{i} \left( \frac{n^{\circ} of \ carbon \ of \ product_{i}}{n^{\circ} \ of \ carbon \ of \ SA} \times molar \ yield_{i}(\%) \right)$ 

<sup>4</sup>Nitrate compounds were not accounted for; <sup>5</sup>From analysis of the experiment number 3 for the reaction of Cl in
 the presence of NO<sub>x</sub>; <sup>6</sup>From average of experiments number 2, 3 and 4 for the reaction with OH; <sup>7</sup>From analysis
 of the experiments number 1 and 2 for reaction with NO<sub>3</sub>.

|             | тон (days) | $\tau_{Cl}$ <sup>a</sup> (days) | τcı <sup>b</sup> (days) | τ <sub>NO3</sub> (days) | $\tau_{wet}$ (years) | $	au_{global}^{a}(days)$ |
|-------------|------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|
| 4MCHexOH    | 0.62       | 31.28                           | 0.24                    | 8.61                    | ~2.1                 | 0.58                     |
| 3,3DM1ButOH | 2.17       | 43.03                           | 0.33                    | 13°                     | ~15.8                | 1.78                     |
| 3,3DM2ButOH | 1.10       | 95.65                           | 0.74                    | 6.73°                   | 11.3                 | 0.94                     |

## Table 7. Lifetimes of 4MCHexOH, 3,3DM1ButOH and 3,3DM2ButOH.

<sup>a</sup>Determined with the 24 hours average of chlorine atoms.

7 <sup>b</sup>Determined with the peak concentration of chlorine atoms.

8 <sup>c</sup>Determined using the rate coefficient obtained by Moreno et al., 2014.

1 A)



6 Fig.1: Relative rate plots for the reaction of (A) SAs with chlorine atoms employing 1-butene as a reference 7 compound (B) 3,3-dimethylbutanols and OH radical with isopropanol as a reference compound and (C) 8 4MCHexOH and NO<sub>3</sub> with two reference compounds. Data for 1-butanol have been vertically displaced for clarity.





Fig. 2: Product spectra for reaction of 4MCHexOH with: (a) chlorine atoms at 10 min (x 2 to clarity), (b) Cl atoms in presence of NOx at 7 min. (c) OH radical at 40 min and (d) NO<sub>3</sub> radical at 32 min. (e) Spectrum of 4methylcyclohexanone commercial sample.





Fig. 3: SPME/GC-TOFMS chromatogram for the reaction of 4MCHexOH with Cl atoms after 15 minutes of reaction. Peak (A) 4MCHexOH. Peak (B) E-4-methylcyclohexanone. Peaks (C) and (D) reaction products.



Fig. 4: Plots of corrected concentration of E-4-methylcyclohexanone ([E-4-methylcyclohexanone]<sub>corr</sub>) against 4MCHexOH consumed ( $\Delta$ [4MCHexOH]) for Cl atoms (in the absence of NOx) and OH and NO<sub>3</sub> radical reactions.





Fig. 5: Reaction mechanism for the degradation of 4MCHexOH with X (Cl atom, OH and NO<sub>3</sub> radicals). (A)
Mechanism for the formation of carbonyl compounds, (B) Mechanism for the formation of nitrated compounds.

7 Compounds marked with solid line are positively identified. Compounds marked with dotted lines are not

8 positively identified.



Fig. 6: Concentration-time profiles of SA (3,3DM1ButOH) and reaction products formed in the reaction of 3,3DM1ButOH with Cl atoms in the absence (A) and in the presence of  $NO_x$  (B).



Fig. 7: Reaction mechanism for the degradation of 3,3DM1ButOH with X (Cl atom, OH and NO<sub>3</sub> radical). Mechanism for the formation of carbonyl compounds. Compounds marked with solid line are positively identified. Compounds marked with dotted lines are not positively identified. 



Fig. 8: Reaction mechanism for the degradation of 3,3DM2ButOH with X (Cl atom, OH and NO3 radical). Mechanism to form carbonyl compounds. Compounds marked with solid line are positively identified. Compounds marked with dotted lines are not positively identified.