Answers to Anonymous Reviewer #1

"Near East Desertification: Impact of Dead Sea drying on convective rainfall" by Khodayar and Hoerner submitted to Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics

Dear Reviewer1:
Thanks for your comments and suggestions. We understand your point and we agree with it. We have modified the manuscript to better reflect the "story line". In the following you can find a more detail answer to your comments (in blue).
Kind regards
Samiro Khodayar

I have read the author response to the comments. I understand there are limitations related to possibility to repeat simulations and availability of simulation data. But in the present state, the main message of this work, in my opinion, is basing on simulations that are not representing reality in few ways, that are already mentioned in my previous major comments (4 out of 5) and are summarized below (points 1 to 4 below).

We understand and agree with the point of view and comments of the reviewer. We believe incorporating this point of view in the "story line" of the manuscript will improve it and make it clearer to the reader. Therefore, we have tried to better explain and clarify in every relevant part of the manuscript the fact that we are assuming certain aspects of the modelling exercise, which do not represent accurately reality. This is due to model and external input data limitations.

1) Precipitation totals are substantially underestimated by the REF simulation, especially on the shore but also in other regions. The authors show the same situation also when comparing to other datasets. They can further check it using stations from the Israeli Meteorological Service (IMS) which is freely available and includes many stations. Please see below the 1981-2010 mean annual rainfall from IMS (right) compared to the REF climatological run (left). My worry is that this underestimation may indicate a problem with the main moisture source for the precipitation in the Dead Sea. I am not clear how this discrepancy is handled. The authors refer to Rostkier-Edelstein 2014 paper, but in this work the fit with observations is much better and at the coast there is actually an overestimation of precipitation rather than underestimation.

In the first review, the reviewer1 and 2 asked us about these discrepancies in the precipitation field. As a consequence of this, we performed an intensive validation exercise with all adequate available data sets we could find at the time, EOBS suggested by the reviewer as well as *APHRODITE* data set suggested by experts in the area. The results show a general underestimation with particular focus on the near-coast flat areas and better results over the complex areas. We additionally performed new simulations demonstrating that neither the simulation domain, the forcing data or

the grid spacing used are the main reason for this bias. Indeed, closer results were obtained for the finer resolution simulations.
We additionally focused on particular periods, example 2007, common to all data sets and new simulations, and demonstrated that despite discrepancies in the mean spatial precipitation field, the comparison of the temporal evolution and the yearly sum for the particular periods quite well represented the precipitation events in the region.



In addition to that, the revision of past modelling investigations / literature in the region showed different biases in different models and resolutions. Some pointed out inaccuracies in the SST as the reason for the biases in the precipitation field in the Mediterranean coastal area, which is out of the scope of this paper to be demonstrated for our particular simulation.
We agree with the reviewer that these biases could affect the moisture sources for precipitation in the Dead Sea, however there are two relevant aspects to point out,

a) The same bias is present in the ref and in the sen simulations; therefore, the bases for studying the sensitivity of HP in the region to modified conditions in the Dead sea (drying out the water) will be the same.
b) The dominant wind conditions in the period and for the investigated cases are from the south, which reduces the possible impact of a dry bias on the north-western Mediterranean shore.

Nevertheless, we do agree with the reviewer that this is a relevant point to be discussed and further investigated. We have been able to identify several modelling aspects, which are not responsible of the biases present in the simulations of precipitation, namely, domain size, forcing data, grid spacing. We have included in the manuscript a discussion about the need to further investigate and correct this biases pointing out the possible impact on HP modelling in the region.

2) Lake evaporation: If I understood correctly, the lake evaporation is handled as regular sea water. But the Dead Sea is much more saline than sea water! Therefore, evaporation at the REF run should be lower than the simulated for regular sea.

The authors refer to Metzger et al. 2017 claiming that vapor pressure deficit being an important factor, rather than salinity, but exactly here salinity is considered, because saturated vapor pressure near the water is multiplied by the water activity that is reduced with salinity, and thus affecting the deficit. A good way to check the reference run would be to compare the simulated the annual lake evaporation with values published by Hamdani et al. (2018) [about 1130 mm/year for 2016-2017]. It seems that lake evaporation is computed, and is painted in magenta in Figure 5a, but this presents a range of 500-2000 mm/year, so it is hard to tell. I suggest to provide the annual lake evaporation and check how does it fit with observations. If it well fits, this is a very good indication for the model ability to represent this process, but otherwise, it would be a serious problem for the main claim of this study.

Yes, the model handles Dead Sea water as sea water. We already included in the previous version a comparison with observations as suggested by the reviewers

"Over the Dead Sea, the simulated average annual evaporation for the period under consideration is in the order of 1500-1800 mm/y, in contrast to the values in the deserts east and south, where the evaporation is less than 20 mm/y. Observed annual evaporation of this lake is known to be about 1500 mm, about 1130 mm/year for 2016-2017, and to vary with the salinity at the surface of the lake and freshening by the water inflow (Dayan and Morin 2006; Hamdani et al. 2018)."

3) The elevation of 405 and missing exposed steep slopes of the empty lake: I could not understand the authors reply. Yes, the lake area would be a bit smaller when is filled by soil, but not a lot, since the lake bottom is wide and the lake slopes are steep (e.g., Sirota et al., 2017). Yes, the bottom would not be at 720 mbsl (I did not claim it will) due to precipitation of NaCl, but surely not at 405 mbsl, which is already 25 m higher than present day lake level. I did not find an answer to why an elevation of 405 mbsl was selected and what about the exposed steep slopes and their potential effect on precipitation generation.

Again here we are limited by the ability of the model and the external data set to represent the reality of the region, and clearly this is the case. As described in the text, the shape, depth, soil types and characteristics of the Dead Sea itself and the region in general are imposed by the choice of external data sets, such as GLOBE from NOAA and HWSD from FAO in addition to the model grid spacing. We agree with the reviewer that a more realistic description of the area and conditions would be more advisable, we are trying to improve this point in our future simulations for the area, but it will also require future efforts in this direction.

4) Separating real effects from noise: the authors write in response to this comment that they obtained the same result in two different machines and that they "observe the same results in the 10-year long simulations and in the events simulated for several days, furthermore in many different events confirms that the effects presented in this paper are not random errors or noise". I would appreciate showing this in more details. What do you mean – the same result in 10-years? do you get this pattern for each year separately? Also, the authors did not answer why we do not see the expected larger effect on the eastern side comparing to the western side.

We had two performed twice the same simulation in two different machines due to problems with the original machine in which the simulations were performed. To prove that not large differences were present in the different simulations due to the machine used we performed comparisons of some of the model outputs, e.g. the precipitation field. We did not find any significant deviation in our simulations. Unfortunately, because of storage capacity limitations we deleted the "old" simulations and the comparisons performed.

Regarding the sentence "*the fact that we observe the same results in the 10-year long simulations and in the events simulated for several days… confirms that the effects presented in this paper are not random errors or noise.* ", means that the same HPEs are simulated when performing the NWP simulations for short periods of days, than in the 10-year long simulations.

We could not give a definitive answer based on the information we have concerning the symmetrical differences on the west-east axis in Figure 5b (right), however, we believe the precipitation field itself shows also a symmetrical distribution, which has clearly an impact on the difference field, and the differences we are referring to are less than about 2-3 mm/y.

In summary – based on my understanding – at its present state, the paper shows:
Comparison of modeled precipitation in the Dead Sea region under two different hypothetical land use scenarios: 1) The Dead Sea is a lake with regular sea water, 2) The lake area is filled by soil to a level of 405 mbsl
Unless it is proved otherwise: scenario 1 is not representing the present conditions (points 1 and 2 above) and scenario 2 is not representing Dead Sea drying (point 3 above).
If this is the case, it is ok to leave the results as they are BUT the title, the "story" told in this paper, the objectives and the conclusions must be adjusted.

As mentioned before, we agree with the reviewer that certain assumptions have been made, which do not represent accurately the present or future reality. Therefore, we have accordingly modified the manuscript to clarify this point and the purpose of the manuscript to the reader.

We believe in most modelling realizations the limitations given and previously discussed will make very difficult to accurately represent the true conditions, although improvements could be applied. Moreover, although the topography and depth of the Dead Sea are not well represented in the model scenario, we have demonstrated that local conditions are sensible to the drying out of the lake. Therefore, we propose the following change in the title of the manuscript:

"Near East Desertification: sensitivity of the local conditions leading to convection to the Dead Sea drying out"

The objective of the paper has been defined as follows: *"the sensitivity of the local conditions to the drying out of the Sea is investigated focusing on the conditions leading to heavy precipitating convection in the region."*

We included in the methodology and few sentences regarding the limitations of the model and the biases found for the reader to be aware of this issue *"We have to point out that the external data sets commonly employed describing relevant features of the Dead Sea region, such as the depth, shape and orography of the Dead Sea, as well as water characteristics at the reference run, do not accurately represent the reality. Also biases in relation to the precipitation field and evaporation over the Dead Sea have to be considered."*

Also we reflected in the conclusions this issue, for example in: "*Furthermore, a more realistic representation of the lake shape, water salinity and temperature, as well as Dead Sea abundance and depth must be addressed to more accurately describe present and expected future conditions. In the present study, limitations found in this direction in relation to model and external data set descriptions, as well as identified biases regarding for example moisture sources for HP in the region, MSB and Dead Sea evaporation, are expected to impact our results, and have to be improved in future efforts in the region. In a further step, the authors will investigate some of these issues in more detail, ….*"

Answers to Anonymous Reviewer #2

"Near East Desertification: Impact of Dead Sea drying on convective rainfall" by Khodayar and Hoerner submitted to Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics

Dear Reviewer2:
Thanks for your comments and suggestions. In the following you can find a more detail answer to your comments (in blue).
Kind regards
Samiro Khodayar

This is the 2nd round of reviews of the manuscript that presents an impact of Dead sea on the precipitation and evaporation in the surrounding areas. The authors have addressed satisfactorily my previous comments, but I still have a few specific comments. I list them below.

Specific comments:

1. Lines 51-54: Please reformulate the sentence. It is too long and is using "being" two times – sounds a bit strange.
"Since the Dead Sea is a terminal lake of the Dead Sea Valley, no natural outflow exists, evaporation is the main loss of water. The wind velocity and vapour pressure deficit are identified as the main governing factors of evaporation throughout the year (Metzger et al. 2017)."

2. Line 135: "... to have into..." -> "... to take into..."
Corrected

3. Line 160: "..., but with additional hourly output."
Corrected

4. Line 161: This is a bit strange line, and I would omit it.
Corrected

5. Lines 163-168: You already write that in the previous section. Only once is enough... either here or in the previous section.
Thanks for the observation, we removed these lines here.

6. Lines 175-192: Although I would prefer that you show the evaluation of the simulation as a subsection in the results (or even as supplementary information), I find this part here a bit out of blue. It is too detailed and too long for something that you do not show, and this is still the methodology section. In addition, you only focus on the precipitation, but later in the results, you also look into other fields. I wonder if this can be summarized in a few lines, something like: "Comparison of the simulation with observations shows better/improved results at higher resolution, especially for precipitation, although some biases exist. These biases occur over... and could be related to...(refs)"
Following the reviewer´s advice we have shortened this part.

7. Lines 265-267: This is a bit strange line and I think it needs a reformulation in order to properly present what you did.

We have tried to improve this explanation.

8. Line 298: Decrease of 0.5 % is quite small, but this is (I assume) for mean precipitation. Maybe you should write then that this is for the mean precipitation, but you could also estimate that number for heavy precipitation.

We have indicated this value is in relation to the mean areal and temporal precipitation. To avoid misleading information we did not include a percentage for HP since this will be highly dependent on the season and/or type of events considered.

9. Lines 321-324: Note that these are now negative differences, so please carefully revise this part and all others where this change has an impact on the results.

Thanks for noticing, we have carefully read again the text and made the appropriate changes.

10: Table 1: Again, the event of 14.11 is missing. Instead, there is 15.11. Are these the same events and why are they marked differently in the table and in the text?
Thanks for noticing, this is just a mistake, the whole event covers the 14 to 15.11. We have corrected this information.

11. Line 1118: SEN(14.11) – This should be 19.11... the same as REF.
Corrected

12. Lines 1148-1149: Again, wrong REF and SEN... It should be 19.11 and not 14.11.
Corrected

13. Lines 1163-1164: The same as comment 11.
Corrected

14: Regarding the general comment 4 from a previous revision and replies to Reviewer 1: You should then also mention the spin-up period in the methodology... how you define it and if you discard some years from the analysis.
The spin-up time selection was already mentioned in L173-174.

Near East Desertification: ~~impact of Dead Sea drying on~~ sensitivity of the local conditions leading to convection to the Dead Sea drying out

Samiro Khodayar[1,2] and Johannes Hoerner[2]

[1]Institute of Meteorology and Climate Research (IMK-TRO), Karlsruhe Institute of Technology (KIT), Karlsruhe, Germany

[2]Mediterranean Centre for Environmental Studies (CEAM), Valencia, Spain

Submitted to Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics

(HyMeX Inter-journal SI)

* Corresponding author. E-mail address: Khodayar_sam@gva.es (S. Khodayar)

Mediterranean Centre for Environmental Studies (CEAM),

Technological Park, Charles R. Darwin Street, 14 46980 - Paterna - Valencia - Spain

Abstract

The Dead Sea desertification-threatened region is affected by continual lake level decline and occasional, but life-endangering flash-floods. Climate change has aggravated such issues in the past decades. In this study, the impact on local conditions ~~impact of the Dead Sea drying~~leading ~~on the~~to ~~severe convection generating~~ heavy precipitation ~~in the region~~of the drying out of the Dead Sea is investigated. Sensitivity simulations with the high-resolution convection-permitting regional climate model COSMO-CLM and several numerical weather prediction (NWP) runs on an event time scale are performed over the Dead Sea area. A reference simulation covering the 2003 to 2013 period and a twin sensitivity experiment, in which the Dead Sea is dried out and set to bare soil, are compared. NWP simulations focus on heavy precipitation events exhibiting relevant differences between the reference and the sensitivity decadal realization to assess the impact on the underlying convection-related processes.

The drying out of the Dead Sea is seen to affect the atmospheric conditions leading to convection in two ways: (a) the local decrease in evaporation reduces moisture availability in the lower boundary layer locally and in the neighbouring, directly affecting atmospheric stability. Weaker updrafts characterize the drier and more stable atmosphere of the simulations where the Dead Sea has been dried out. (b) Thermally driven wind system circulations and resulting divergence/convergence fields are altered preventing in many occasions convection initiation because of the omission of convergence lines. On a decadal scale, the difference between the simulations suggests ~~that in future regional climate, under ongoing lake level decline, a~~a decrease in evaporation, higher air temperatures and less precipitation ~~may be expected~~.

Key Words: Dead Sea drying, climate change, convection, heavy precipitation, boundary layer, wind systems, high-resolution modelling

1. Introduction

The Eastern Mediterranean and the Middle East is a sensitive climate change area (Smiatek et al. 2011). The anticipated warming in the 21st century combined with the general drying tendency, suggest important regional impacts of climate change, which should be investigated to assess and mitigate local effects on society and ecosystems. The Dead Sea basin is dominated by semi-arid and arid climates except by the north-western part that is governed by Mediterranean climate (Greenbaum et al. 2006). It is an ideal area to study climate variation in the Near East. It was already discussed by Ashbel (1939) the influence of the Dead Sea on the climate of its neighbouring regions. The change in the climate of the Dead Sea basin caused by the drying of the Dead Sea has also been evidenced in the last decades (Alpert et al. 1997; Cohen and Stanhill 1996; Stanhill 1994). The Dead Sea is the lowest body of water in the world (~ -430 m) surrounded by the Judean Mountains (up to ~ 1 km amsl) to the west and to the east by the Maob Mountains (up to ~ 3 km amsl). The area in between is rocky desert. The complex topography of the area favours the combined occurrence of several wind regimes in addition to the general synoptic systems, namely valley and slope winds, Mediterranean breezes and local lake breezes (e.g. Shafir and Alpert 2011). These wind systems are of great importance for the living conditions in the region since they influence the visibility and the air quality (e.g. Kalthoff et al. 2000; Corsmeier et al. 2005) as well as the atmospheric temperature and humidity. Since the Dead Sea is a terminal lake of the Dead Sea Valley, no natural outflow ~~exists,~~exists; ~~being~~ evaporation is the main loss of water. ~~, being t~~The wind velocity and vapour pressure deficit are identified as the main governing factors of evaporation throughout the year (Metzger et al. 2017). Through the high evaporation the lake level declines and results in a desertification of the shoreline and a changing fraction of water and land surface in the valley. The documented Dead Sea water level drop of about 1 m/y in the last decades (Gavrieli et al. 2005) is mainly due to the massive water consumption at its upstream having climate changes a small contribution to the lake level decrease (Lensky and Dente 2015). This situationseverely affects agriculture, industry and the environmental conditions in the area, thus, leading to substantial economic losses (Arkin and Gilat 2000).

The Jordan River catchment and Dead Sea-exhibit in the north, annual precipitation in the order of 600-800 mm, whereas in the south, there is an all year arid climate with an annual precipitation of <150 mm (Schaedler and Sasse 2006). Rain occurs between October and May and can be localized or widespread (Dayan and Sharon 1980) (Sharon and Kutiel 1986). Rainfall varies seasonally and annually, and it is often concentrated in intense showers (Greenbaum et al. 2006) caused mainly by severe convection (Dayan and Morin 2006). Flash floods are among the most dangerous meteorological hazards affecting the Mediterranean countries (Llasat et al 2010), thus, knowledge about the processes shaping these events is of high value. This is particularly relevant in arid climates, where rainfall is scarce, and often, local and highly variable. In flood-producing rainstorms, atmospheric processes often act in concert at several scales. Synoptic-scale processes transport and redistribute the excess sensible and latent heat accumulated over the region and subsynoptic scale processes determine initiation of severe convection and the resulting spatio-temporal rainfall characteristics. The main responsible synoptic weather patterns leading to heavy rainfall in the region are in general well known and described in previous publications (e.g. Belachsen et al. 2017; Dayan and Morin 2006). Belachsen et al. (2017) pointed out that three main synoptic patterns are associated to these heavy rain events: Cyprus low accounting for 30% of the events, Low to the east of the study region for 44%, and Active Read Sea Trough for 26%. The first two originate from the Mediterranean Sea, while the third is an extension of the Africa monsoon. Houze (2012) showed that orographic effects lead to enhanced rainfall generation; rain cells are larger where topography is higher. Sub-synoptic scale processes play a decisive role in deep convection generation in the region. Convection generated by static instability seems to play a more important role than synoptic-scale vertical motions (Dayan and Morin 2006). The moisture for developing intensive convection over the Dead Sea region can be originated from the adjacent Mediterranean Sea (Alpert und Shay-EL 1994) and from distant upwind sources (Dayan and Morin 2006).

In this study, the sensitivity of the local conditions in the Dead Sea region to the drying out of the ~~Dead~~ Sea is investigated focusing on the ~~impact on atmospheric~~ conditions leading to heavy precipitating convection in the region. The relevance of the Dead Sea as a local source of moisture for precipitating convection as well as the impact of the energy balance partitioning changes and related processes caused by the drying of the Dead Sea are investigated. With this purpose, a sensitivity experiment with the high-resolution regional climate model COSMO-CLM [Consortium for Small scale Modelling model (COSMO)-in Climate Mode (CLM); Böhm et al. 2006] is conducted. The high horizontal grid spacing used (~ 2.8 km) resolves relevant orographic and small-scale features of the Dead Sea basin, which is not the case when coarser resolution simulations are performed. Moreover, at this resolution convection is explicitly resolved instead of being parametrized, which has been already extensively demonstrated to be highly beneficial for the simulation of heavy precipitation and convection-related processes. The benefit of employing high-resolution convection permitting simulations is mainly in sub-daily time-scales, (e.g., Prein et al., 2013; Fosser et al., 2014; Ban et al., 2014), however, daily precipitation is also positively affected, particularly in winter time (Fosser et al., 2014). Previous studies in the area applying high-resolution modelling agree with the ~~benefitial~~beneficial impact of finer resolution against coarser ones (e.g. *Rostkier-Edelstein et al. 2014; Hochman et al. 2018; Kunin et al. 2019*).

~~The impact of completely drying the Dead Sea on the regional atmospheric conditions and precipitating convection is discussed.~~ A decadal simulation and several event-based Numerical Weather Prediction (NWP) runs covering the eastern Mediterranean are carried out. A process understanding methodology is applied to improve our knowledge about how sub-synoptic scale processes leading to severe convection are affected by the drying of the Dead Sea. The article is organized as follows. Section 2 provides an overview of the data and the methodology used. Then, in section 3, the climatology of the region based on the high-resolution convection-permitting decadal simulation is presented and the impact of drying out the Dead Sea is examined across scales. Finally, conclusions are discussed in section 4.

2. Data and methodology

2.1 The COSMO-CLM model

In this investigation, the regional climate model (RCM) of the non-hydrostatic COSMO model, COSMO-CLM (CCLM), is used (Version 5.0.1). It has been developed by the Consortium for Small-scale modeling (COSMO) and the Climate Limited-area Modeling Community (CLM) (Böhm et al., 2006). It uses a rotated geographical grid and a terrain-following vertical coordinate. The model domain covers the southern half of the Levant, centred around the Dead Sea, with a horizontal resolution of 7 km and 2.8 km, 60 vertical levels and a time step of 60 and 20 seconds, respectively. Using IFS (Integrated Forecasting System) analysis, the spectral weather model of ECMWF (European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecast) as driving data for the simulations, a double nesting procedure was employed. The coarsest nest at 0.0625º resolution (about 7 km) covers 250 grid points in x direction and 250 grid points in y direction. The size and location of the 7 km domain has been considered large enough to ~~have~~ take into consideration all possible synoptic situations relevant for the development of extreme phenomena in the study area as well as the influence of the Mediterranean Sea. The finest nest at 0.025º (circa 2.8 km) covers 150 x 150 grid points, thus a total area of 22500 grid points and includes the study area (72 grid point in x direction and 92 in y direction) centred around the Dead Sea.

A Tiedtke (1989) mass-flux scheme is used for moist convection in the 7 km, and reduced Tiedke mass-flux scheme for shallow convection. Contrary to the CCLM-7 km simulation, where convection is parameterized, in the CCLM-2.8 km convection is explicitly resolved (Doms and Baldauf, 2015), so only the reduced Tiedke mass-flux scheme is used for shallow convection. The model physics includes a cloud physics parameterization with 5 types of hydrometeors (water vapor, cloud water, precipitation water, cloud ice, precipitation ice), a radiative transfer scheme based on a delta-two-stream solution (Ritter and Geleyn, 1992) and a roughness-length dependent surface flux formulation based on modified Businger relations (Businger et al., 1971).

Orography data from GLOBE (Global Land One-km Base Elevation Project) of NOAA (National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration) and soil data from HWSD (Harmonized Worlds Soil Database) TERRA is used. HWSD is a global harmonization of multiple regional soil data sets with a spatial resolution of 0.008° (FAO, 2009), resulting in 9 different soil types in the model, namely ‘ice and glacier', 'rock / lithosols', 'sand', 'sandy loam', 'loam', 'loamy clay', 'clay', 'histosols', and 'water'.

Multiple model runs have been performed. A 7 km run from 2003 to 2013 with daily output is used as nesting for two 2.8 km runs over the same time span. The Dead Sea is dried out and replaced with soil types from the surrounding area in one of them (SEN), the other one is used as reference (CLIM). For the detailed investigation of convective events on 14.11.2011 and 19.11.2011, sub-seasonal simulations have been performed with the same settings as the decadal simulation, but with additional hourly output~~s due to the limitations imposed by the daily output.~~.

2.2 Methodology

~~In order to assess the impact of the drying of the Dead Sea on the atmospheric conditions leading to severe convection in the region, a set of sensitivity experiments was performed.~~ A decadal simulation covering the 2003 to 2013 time period was carried out with the convection permitting 2.8 km COSMO-CLM model. Lateral boundary conditions and initial conditions are derived from the European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts (ECMWF) data. The COSMO-CLM 7 km is used as nesting step in between the forcing data and the 2.8 km run. This reference simulation will be hereafter referred to as REF^{CLIM} simulation. Parallel to this, a sensitivity experiment (hereafter SEN^{CLIM}) is carried out in which the Dead Sea is dried out and set to bare soil on -405 m level (depth of the Dead Sea in the external data set, GLOBE (Hastings and Dunbar, 1999)). After examination of the results, the first year of simulations is considered spin-up time, thus, our analysis covers the 2004-2013 period.

The precipitation field has been validated using the EOBS dataset (resolution of 0.1° and available for the period 1980-2011; Haylock et al. 2008)~~ with a resolution of 0.1° and available for the period 1980-2011~~, and the APHRODITE's (Asian Precipitation - Highly-Resolved Observational Data Integration Towards Evaluation: Yatagai et al. 2008, 2012) daily gridded precipitation, ~~which is the only long-term continental-scale daily product that contains a dense network of daily rain-gauge data for Asia. It has a~~ resolution of 0.25º and ~~is~~ available for 1980-2007. The APHRODITE data shows generalized lower precipitation values than EOBS, but still higher than our simulation particularly close to the northern Mediterranean shoreline, over coastal-flat terrain, whereas the best agreement is at areas dominated by complex terrain. ~~This agrees with previous high-resolution modelling activities in the region such as Rostkier-Edelstein et al. (2014) using WRF at 2 km. They suggest in this publication that inaccuracies in the gridded SST dataset used in the simulations could be responsible for the observed bias highlighting the strong sensitivity of precipitation in the Mediterranean basin to very small differences in the SST (Miglietta et al. 2011).~~ Despite these biases the comparison of the temporal areal-mean of the model simulations at 7 km and 2.8 km and the APHRODITE dataset demonstrates that in general the model ~~quiete~~quite well captures the precipitation events. An improvement is seen at the finer resolution.

Regional dry and wet periods are identified and quantified in the simulations by means of the Effective Drought Index (EDI; Byun and Wilhite 1999; Byun and Kim 2010). The EDI is an intensive measure that considers daily water accumulations with a weighting function for time passage normalizing accumulated precipitation. The values are accumulated at different time scales and converted to standard deviations with respect to the average values. Here we use an accumulation period of 365 days. EDI dry and wet periods are categorized as follows: moderate dry periods $-1.5 < EDI < -1$, severe dry periods $-2 < EDI < -1.5$, and extreme dry periods $EDI < -2$. Normal periods are revealed by $-1 < EDI < 1$ values.

Based on daily mean values, precipitation and evapotranspiration distribution and possible tendencies in the 10-year period are assessed. To further asses the most affected areas in our study area, this is divided in four subdomains surrounding the Dead Sea and trying to respect the orographic pattern in the area (Figure 3). Annual cycles are thus separately investigated to take into consideration the relevant differences in orography, soil types, and distance to the coast among others (Figure1), which are known to have a significant impact in the precipitation distribution in the region (e.g. Belachsen 2017; Houze 2012). . Differences in the annual cycle and temporal evolution of precipitation and evapotranspiration between the REF^{CLIM} and SEN^{CLIM} are discussed. Also, differences in the near-surface and boundary layer conditions and geopotential height patterns are examined. Geographical patterns of mean evapotranspiration and precipitation and differences with respect to the reference simulation are assessed. Probability distribution functions (PDFs), and the Structure, Amplitude and Location (SAL: Wernli et al. 2008) analysis methodologies are used to illustrate differences in the mean and extreme precipitation between the reference and the sensitivity experiments. The SAL is an object-based rainfall verification method. This index provides a quality measure for the verification of quantitative precipitation forecasts considering three relevant aspects of precipitation pattern: the structure (S), the amplitude (A), and the location (L). The A component measures the relative deviation of the domain-averaged rainfall; positive values indicate an overestimation of total precipitation, negative values an underestimation. The component L measures the distance of the center of mass of precipitation from the modelled one, and the average distance of each object from the center of mass. The component S is constructed in such a way that positive values occur if precipitation objects are too large and/or too flat and negative values if the objects are too small and/or too peaked, quantifying the physical distance between the centres of mass of the two rainfall fields to be compared. Perfect agreement between prediction and reference are characterized by zero values for all components of SAL. Values for the amplitude and structure are in the range (−2, 2), where ±0.66 represents a factor of 2 error. The location component ranges from 0 to 2, where larger values indicate a greater separation between centres of mass of the two rainfall fields. This is done by selecting a threshold value of 1/15 of the maximum rainfall accumulation in the domain (following Wernli et al. 2008). The structure and location components are thus independent of the total rainfall in the domain.

Differences in the temporal evolution of precipitation between the REF^{CLIM} and SEN^{CLIM} are identified. In Table 1, those events in which an area-mean (study area, Figure 1) difference between both simulations higher than ±0.1 mm/d exists are selected as potential heavy precipitation events and classified attending to their synoptic scale environment and atmospheric stability conditions (Table 1).

Although Dayan and Morin (2006) discuss that in general large-scale vertical motions do not provide the sufficient lifting necessary to initiate convection, it was demonstrated by Dayan and Sharon (1980) that a relationship exists between the synoptic-scale weather systems and deep moist convection, being those systems responsible for the moisturizing and destabilization of the atmosphere prior to convective initiation. They pointed out that indices of instability proved the most efficient determinants of the environment characterizing each rainfall type in the region. Thus, two indicators of the atmospheric degree of stability/instability, namely the Convective Available Potential Energy (CAPE; Moncrieff and Miller 1976) and the KO-index (Andersson et al. 1989), are examined in this study. The CAPE is a widely known index indicating the degree of conditional instability. Whereas, the KO-index, which is estimated based on the equivalent potential temperature at 500, 700, 850 and 1000 hPa (following the recommendations by Bolton 1980), describes the potential of deep convection to occur as a consequence of large-scale forcing (Andersson et al. 1989; Khodayar et al. 2013). Generally, regions with KO-index < 2 K and large-scale lifting are identified as favourable for deep convection. Parcel theory (50 hPa ML (Mixed Layer) parcel) and virtual temperature correction (Doswell and Rasmussen 1994) are applied to these calculations.

Based on the above criteria, a separation was made between events with widespread rainfall and those more localized. Among the latter, we selected two events to illustrate the local impacts on the boundary layer conducive to deep moist convection. Particularly, differences in the amount, structure and location of precipitation are assessed by examining the spatial patterns and the SAL verification method. The two selected events for detail analysis in this study are those showing the larger SAL deviations. Those two cases occur close in time, but they are not the same event. No differences in the soil and atmospheric conditions have been found in the period between the events when the REF and SEN simulations are compared. ~~Carefull inspection of the atmospheric conditions after the first event shows no significant differences between simulations suggesting no connetion between both events.~~ Even though a more detail analysis is provided for the two selected cases, all convective-events listed in Table 1 have been examined to assess the main impacts on the mechanisms leading to convection. High-resolution simulations with the NWP COSMO 2.8 km model are performed with hourly output temporal resolution and covering a 3-day period (including 48-h prior to the day of the event, from 00 UTC) to capture atmospheric pre-conditions conducive to deep moist convection. For this, a reference simulation, REF^{NWP}, and a sensitivity experiment, SEN^{NWP}, are carried out for each event.

We have to point out that the external data sets commonly employed describing relevant features of the Dead Sea region, such as the depth, shape and orography of the Dead Sea, as well as Dead Sea water characteristics at the reference run, do not accurately represent the reality. In the same direction, biases in relation to different variables such as the precipitation field and evaporation over the Dead Sea have to be considered.

3. Results and discussion

3.1 Climatology of the Dead Sea region

Annual cycle

To assess the climatology of the study region (Figure 1) the annual evaporation and precipitation cycles based on daily means of the respective quantities are investigated (Figure 2). Additionally, we examine the evolution of specific humidity (Qv_{2m}) and temperature at 2 m (T_{2m}) as well as total column integrated water vapour (IWV) and low-boundary layer (< 900 hPa) equivalent potential temperature (Θ_e). Possible changes in the atmospheric stability conditions are evaluated by examination of the CAPE and KO-index. In Figure 2, all grid points over the study region (Figure 1) and the time period 2004-2013 are considered. Differences between the REF^{CLIM} and the SEN^{CLIM} simulations are also discussed.

The annual cycle of evaporation shows minimum values in the autumn season (around October, ~ 0.1 mm/d) and maximum evaporation in spring (around March, ~ 0.4 mm/d). The dependency with the precipitation cycle is clear with maximum values of the latter around March and rain occurring between October and May (Figure 2a) in agreement with observations in the area (Dayan and Sharon 1980). The difference between the evaporation in the REF^{CLIM} and the SEN^{CLIM} simulations indicates a mean decrease in the order of 0.02 (February) to ~ 0.1 (August) mm/d in the absence of the Dead Sea water (SEN^{CLIM}). The largest difference is in the dry period (May to October) when water availability is less dependent on precipitation, and evaporation is higher over the Dead Sea in contrast to the minimum values over land (Metzger et al. 2017). In general, there is a decrease of about 0.5 % in mean precipitation in the SEN^{CLIM}

simulation. In contrast to the differences in evaporation, precipitation differences between the reference and the sensitivity experiment occur in both directions during the rain period, from October to May. Examining the total number over the whole decadal simulation it is seen that the number of dry or wet days (> 0.1 mm/d) or heavy precipitation events is not largely affected in the sensitivity experiment. In general, the number of dry days increases (fewer wet days) in the SEN^{CLIM} simulation, whereas the number of high intensity events show almost no variation. For each simulation, the difference between precipitation and evaporation is negative mainly in spring and summer contributing to the dryness in the region. Furthermore, the ~~negative~~ difference between the REF^{CLIM} and SEN^{CLIM} simulations indicates that ~~the~~ PREC-EVAP ~~difference~~ is higher in the SEN^{CLIM} simulation probably in relation to the reduced evaporation over the dry sea area and the general decrease in the precipitation amount in the region.

In addition to the reduced evaporation and precipitation (about 0.5 %) in the whole domain in the SEN^{CLIM} simulation a drier and warmer lower-troposphere is identified (Figure 2b) in agreement with the observational assessment by Metzger et al. (2017) of the cooling effect of evaporation on air temperature in the region. The annual cycle of IWV and $\Theta_{e<900hPa}$ in Figure 2c show that the impact of the dry Dead Sea resulting evaporation is less pronounced when a deeper atmospheric layer is considered. Indeed, $\Theta_{e<900hPa}$ evolution evidences that the warming effect due to the decreased evaporation in the SEN^{CLIM} simulation is restricted to the near surface.

In Figure 2d, the annual cycle of areal mean CAPE displays larger values in the period from August to November, being this the period more favourable for convection. ~~Positive~~ Negative CAPE differences between the REF^{CLIM} and the SEN^{CLIM} simulations are presumably in relation to the identified distinct lower-atmospheric conditions, being these more favourable and consequently CAPE values higher in the REF^{CLIM} simulation. In the same period, the KO-index indicates a more potentially unstable atmosphere, i.e. prone to deep convection because of large-scale forcing, and larger differences between simulations.

In agreement with the well-known precipitation distribution in the region most of the events occur in A1 (north-west) and A2 (north-east). Also, in these subdomains larger differences between the REF^{CLIM} and SEN^{CLIM} simulations are identified pointing out the relevance of the Dead Sea evaporation in the pre-convective environment for rainfall episodes over the study area (Figure3a). Considering only land grid points almost no difference between simulations is found in the evaporation annual cycle of A1 and A2

(Figure3b) suggesting the distinct amount of moisture advected towards A1 and A2 from the Dead Sea in REF^{CLIM} and SEN^{CLIM} as responsible for the differences in the boundary layer conditions conducive to convection. Also, in these subdomains the dryer and warmer lower boundary layer and the reduced instability in the SEN^{CLIM} are recognized

Inter-annual variability

In Figures 4 we discuss the inter-annual variability (based on monthly-daily areal mean values) of evaporation, precipitation as well as drought evolution.

The reduced evaporation in the annual cycle of the SEN^{CLIM} simulation for the whole investigation domain, resulting from the drying of the Dead Sea and affected evaporation, remains from year to year (Figure 4a). Larger differences between the simulations occur in the May to November months in agreement with the annual cycle in Figure 2a. This, and the time period of the maximum/minimum is constant over the years. A tendency towards lower evaporation at each simulation and higher differences between both at the end of the period are identified. An inter-annual fluctuation is observed in both REF^{CLIM} and SEN^{CLIM} simulations. The yearly rate of evaporation shows, for example, in REF^{CLIM} maximum values of about 7 mm in 2011 and around 17 mm in 2012. This is in agreement with the positive correlation expected between precipitation and evaporation, a trend towards decreased precipitation and a correspondence between drier years such as the 2011-2012 period and lower annual evaporation are seen in Figure 4b. Year to year EDI calculations in Figure 4c help us identify the regional extreme dry and wet periods. The EDI range of variation from about -1 to 2 for the whole period of simulation indicates that the dry condition is the common environment in the area, while the wet periods, EDI up to 6, could be identified as extreme wet periods (relative to the area), in this case in the form of heavy precipitation events. Maximum positive EDI values are in the first months of the year in agreement with the precipitation annual cycle in Figure 2, whereas minimal EDI values occur in summer and autumn indicative of the dry conditions in these periods. Differences in the EDI calculations from both simulations reveal distinct precipitation evolutions and denote timing differences in the occurrence of the precipitation events. When the regional climate evolution is examined in combination with the impact on the number of heavy precipitation events (Table 1) the impact is stronger in the dry period of 2011 (Figure 4a). About six events show relevant differences in this period, contrary to the average 3 episodes per year.

Spatial distribution

The geographical patterns of evaporation and precipitation are presented in Figure 5. Over the Dead Sea, the simulated average annual evaporation for the period under consideration is in the order of 1500-1800 mm/y, in contrast to the values in the deserts east and south, where the evaporation is less than 20 mm/y. Observed annual evaporation of this lake is known to be about 1500 mm and to vary with the salinity at the surface of the lake and freshening by the water inflow (Dayan and Morin 2006; Hamdani et al. 2018). Over land, higher evaporation is seen over the Judean Mountains and the Jordanian Highlands. High correlation with the orography and soil types is seen (Figure 1). Evaporation is probably correlated with rainfall which in turn is correlated with topography. Particularly, in the Jordanian Highlands where maximum evaporation is around 200 mm/y, the complex topography coincides with sandy loam soils, whereas most of the soil in study region is defined as loamy clay or clay (Figure 1). The evaporative difference field between simulations in Figure 5a shows a highly inhomogeneous patchiness not evidencing any relationship with orography or soil type, but rather with changes in the precipitation pattern in the SEN^{CLIM} simulation as seen in Figure 5b.

In agreement with the temporal series of areal mean precipitation in Figure 3 higher annual precipitation are in the north-west and -east, with respect to the southern regions. Topographic features exert a large impact on precipitation distribution with maxima of about 175 to 300 mm/y over the Judean Mountains and the Jordanian Highlands. To the northern end of the Dead Sea valley, the largest precipitation difference between the REF^{CLIM} and the SEN^{CLIM} simulations is identified, rather than directly over the Dead Sea area noting the importance of advected moisture from the Dead Sea evaporative flux upslope and along the Dead Sea valley as well as the indirect effects of a different spatial distribution of low-tropospheric water vapour in the occurrence of precipitating convection.

Regarding the impact on the large-scale conditions, differences in the spatial pattern and strength of the 500 hPa geopotential height field are identified over the Dead Sea (not shown). In the 10-year mean, differences up to 0.002gpdm higher in SEN than in REF are observed. Around the Dead Sea area, the differences are smaller and more irregular. Generally, the differences are higher in the east of the Dead Sea than in the west.

Precipitation probability distribution function

While the probability for lower intensity precipitation is very similar in the REF^{CLIM} and the SEN^{CLIM} simulations differences are recognized in the higher precipitation intensities, from about 150 mm/d (Figure 6a). Particularly, above 180 mm/d extreme precipitation values occur less frequent at the SEN^{CLIM} simulation where a drier, warmer and more stable atmosphere is identified (Figure 2).

SAL

The use of the SAL method in this study differs from the approach frequently presented in literature since it is here not our purpose to examine differences between the simulated field and observations (adequate observations for this comparison are not available in the area), but to compare changes regarding the structure, amount and location of the precipitation field between our reference and sensitivity experiments. Figure 6b shows that when the mean precipitation over the whole simulation period is considered all three SAL components are close to zero, meaning that very small differences are found. However, when single precipitation events in the REF^{CLIM} simulation are compared with the same period at the SEN^{CLIM} simulation, larger differences regarding structure, amount and location of rainfall events are found. For further examination of this issue two exemplary heavy precipitation events (indicated by boxes in Figure 6b) are analysed in detail. In both cases, a negative A-component is recognized, that is, less precipitation falls in the SEN^{CLIM} simulation. The S-component also evidences the change in the structure of the convective cells. The L-component is low meaning that the convective location does not change significantly in the SEN^{CLIM} simulation, in contrast to the intensity and structure of the cells.

3.2 Sensitivity of atmospheric conditions to the Dead Sea drying: episodic investigation

Among those events exhibiting differences in the precipitation field between both simulations (Table 1 and Figure 6b) two situations occurring in the time period of the 14 to 19 November 2011 are investigated in the following.

In this term, the synoptic situation is characterized by a Cyprus low and its frontal system located over the Dead Sea at about 00 UTC on the 15 November 2011 and at 12 UTC on the 18 November 2011. The low-pressure system and its frontal system induced strong south-westerly to westerly winds with mean wind velocities up to 15 m/s.

In the first situation (hereafter CASE1), in association with the western movement of the cold front a convective system develops over the Jordanian Highlands with precipitation starting at about 21 UTC on the 14 November 2011. This convective system is of high interest because of the large difference in its development between the REF$^{14.11}$ and the SEN$^{14.11}$ simulations.

In Figure 7a the 24-h accumulated precipitation, from 14.11 09 UTC to 15.11 08 UTC, in the investigation area is shown for the REF$^{14.11}$ and the SEN$^{14.11}$ simulations. Two precipitation areas are seen, on the north-western and north-eastern of the Dead Sea. Larger difference between models is on the north-eastern region (24-h accumulated precipitation > 100 mm/d in REF$^{14.11}$, while < 50 mm/d in SEN$^{14.11}$), which is the focus of our analysis.

The REF$^{14.11}$ simulation shows that in the 6 hours period prior to the initiation of convection the pre-convective atmosphere and more specifically the lower boundary layer exhibit a moist (IWV ~ 24-30 mm, qvPBLmax ~ 7-10 g/kg) and unstable (CAPE ~ 1100 J/kg; KO-index ~ -8 K; not shown) air mass on the western side of the investigation area, particularly close to the western Mediterranean coast, and drier (IWV~ 8-16; qvPBLmax ~ 4-6 g/kg) and more stable conditions (CAPE< 200 J/kg; KO-index ~ 0-2 K) on the eastern side of the domain (Figure 7b). A maximum difference of about 5 g/kg from west to east is established in the lower boundary layer.

Main differences between both simulations are over the Dead Sea (IWV difference up to 2 mm and qvPBL up to 1.5 g/kg) and north and north-east of it, but almost similar conditions everywhere else. In our target area (subdomain of investigation where the convection episode takes place (red box in Figure 7)), north-east of the Dead Sea, a drier and a more stable atmosphere is identified at the SEN$^{14.11}$ simulation.

The evolution of the wind circulation systems in the area is similar in both simulations (Figure 7c). The 700 hPa, 850 and 950 hPa winds dominantly blow from the south south-west during the pre-convective environment advecting the moist unstable air mass towards the Dead Sea valley and north-east of it, directly affecting the atmospheric conditions at the target area (for a comparison with a climatology of the wind conditions in the region please see Metzger et al. 2017). In both simulations, the passage of the cold front over the Dead Sea establishes a strong southerly wind from about 10 UTC on the 14 November 2011.

Prior to this time, dry air was advected below about 850 hPa towards the target area from the east. The turning of the low-level winds and the resulting moistening of the atmosphere is well and equally captured by both simulations (Figure 8a). Furthermore, at the near-surface, from about 16 UTC, ~ 5 h prior to convection initiation in the target area, a near-surface convergence line forms at the foothills of the northern part of the

Jordanian Highlands, which is also well and equally captured by both simulations (Figure 8b). The lifting provided by the convergence line triggers convection in the area. However, the drier and more stable atmosphere in the SEN$^{14.11}$simulation results in less intense convection, weaker updrafts, and reduced precipitation at the eastern slope of the valley.

In the second case, CASE2, we address an episode of localized convection taking place on the north-western edge of the Dead Sea in the REF simulation, whereas no convection develops in the SEN simulation. The isolated convection in the REF simulation left about 50 mm rain in 3 h starting at about 03 UTC on the 19 November 2011 (Figure 9).

In contrast to CASE1, the modification of the pre-convective environment relevant for convective initiation is in this case dominated by dynamical changes in the mesoscale circulations. Differences in the evolution and strength of the Mediterranean Sea Breeze (MSB), the Dead Sea breeze and orographic winds influence atmospheric conditions in the target area leading to the assistance to or to the absence of convection. The most significant difference observed between the simulations is in the development of a strong near-surface convergence line in the REF simulation (which is not present in the SEN simulation hindering convection in the area), which forms about 2 h before convective initiation (Figure 10).

Even in the first hours of the 18 November 2011 differences in the speed and direction of the near-surface winds over the Dead Sea and on the eastern flank of the Jordanian Highlands could be identified. A fundamental difference between simulations occurs from about 17 UTC when strong westerly winds indicating the arrival of the MSB reach the western shore of the Dead Sea. One hour later, in the REF$^{19.11}$ run the MSB strongly penetrates the Dead Sea valley reaching as far as the eastern coast in the centre to south areas. However, in the SEN$^{19.11}$ simulation the MSB does not penetrate downward, instead strong northerly winds flow along the valley (Figure 10a). Numerous observational and numerical studies carried out to investigate the dynamics of the MSB (e.g. Naor et al. 2017; Vuellers et al. 2018) showed that the downward penetration of the MSB results from temperature differences between the valley air mass, which is warmer than the maritime air mass. An examination of temperature differences along a near-surface north-south valley transect (positions in Figure 10a) indicates a decrease of about 4 ºC at the near-surface over the dried Dead Sea area in contrast to negligible changes on a parallel transect inland, on the western coast of the Dead Sea. These evidences the notorious impact of the absence of water in the valley temperature, thus, gradients in the region. The colder valley temperatures do not favour the downward penetration of the MSB, which strongly affects the atmospheric conditions in the valley. Moreover, a north-easterly land breeze is visible from about 20 UTC on the eastern shore of the Dead Sea in the REF$^{19.11}$ simulation, but not in the SEN$^{19.11}$ simulation (Figure 10b). This situation reflects an interesting case different from the ones generally presented in former investigations in the area (e.g. Alpert et al. 1997 ; and Alpert et al. 2006b) in which due to the recent weakening of the Dead-Sea breeze, mainly because of the drying and shrinking of the Sea, the Mediterranean breeze penetrates stronger and earlier into the Dead-Sea Valley increasing the evaporation because of the strong, hot and dry wind.

Mountain downslope winds develop in both simulations from about 22 UTC. One hour later, strong northerly valley flow in the northern part of the Dead Sea contrasts with the westerly flow in the SEN$^{19.11}$ simulation (Figure 10c). As the valley cools down during night time in the SEN simulation, T2m decreases about 1 K from 20 UTC to 03 UTC in contrast with the 0.1 K decrease of the Dead Sea in the REF simulation, the temperature gradient weakens and the northerly valley flow present in the REF simulation is absent in the SEN simulation. During the night, the synoptic conditions gain more influence than the local wind systems governing the conditions in the valley during day time. South-easterly winds prevail in the valley in both simulations. Much stronger wind velocities are reached in the REF simulation, confirming the sensitivity of large-scale dynamics to near-surface climate change-induced impacts.

The encounter of the north north-westerly and south south-easterly winds over the Dead Sea area in the REF$^{19.11}$ simulation induces the formation of a convergence zone, which intensifies and extends offshore over the next hours and determines the location of convective initiation. Meanwhile, homogeneous south-easterly winds are observed in the SEN simulation (Figure 10d).

The differences in the wind circulations contribute to a different distribution of the atmospheric conditions in the target area, particularly, low-tropospheric water vapour as seen in the vertical cross sections in Figure 11. The evolution of the atmospheric conditions in the 3-h period prior to convective initiation evidences the deeper and wetter boundary layer in the REF$^{19.11}$ simulation at the north-western foothills of the ridge at the Jordanian Highlands. Differences of IWV up to 2 mm, and of instability (CAPE) close to 200 J/kg are found in this area (not shown). This is the location of the convergence line where convective updrafts, which start close to the ground, are triggered reaching a maximum vertical velocity of about 5 m/s above the convergence zone in the REF$^{19.11}$ simulation.

4. Conclusions

The drying and shrinking of the Dead Sea has been extensively investigated in the last decades from different points of view. This process has been related to significant local climate changes which affect the Dead Sea valley and neighboring regions. The climate of the Dead Sea is very hot and dry. But occasionally the Dead Sea basin is affected by severe convection generating heavy precipitation, which could lead to devastating flash floods.

In this study, high-resolution COSMO model simulations are used to assess the sensitivity ~~impact~~ of ~~the~~ Dead Sea changes on the occurrence of convective precipitation in the region. A set of high-resolution, ~ 2.8 km, climate simulations covering the period 2003 to 2013, and several numerical weather prediction (NWP) runs on an event time scale (~ 48-36 h) are performed over the Dead Sea area. On a decadal time scale, two simulations are carried out. The first “reference” run with the Dead Sea area, and a second run “sensitivity” in which the Dead Sea is dried out and set to bare soil. The NWP simulations focus on two heavy precipitation events exhibiting relevant differences between the reference and the sensitivity decadal runs. A total of four simulations are performed in this case.

As the energy balance partitioning of the Earth’s surface changes due to the drying of the Dead Sea, relevant impacts could be identified in the region. From a climatological point of view, ~~in a future regional climate under ongoing~~the drying out of the Dead Sea results in ~~level decline,~~ less evaporation, higher air temperatures and less precipitation ~~is to expect~~. Reduced evaporation over the Dead Sea occurs from May to October. The cooling effect of evaporation in the neighboring areas results in an increase of T-2m ~~in the absence of the Dead Sea~~. Atmospheric conditions, such as air temperature and humidity, are mostly affected in the lower-tropospheric levels, which in turn influence atmospheric stability conditions, hence, precipitating convection. In general, the number of dry/wet days is not largely affected by the drying out of the Dead Sea, although these differences could be larger for hourly precipitation; rather the structure and intensity of the heavier precipitation events is changed. While a general and homogeneous decrease in evaporation is seen at the SEN^{CLIM} simulation, precipitation deviations occur in both directions, which could suggest and impact on the timing of the events. A relevant year to year variability is observed in evaporation-precipitation which indicates the need of long time series of observations to understand local conditions and to validate model simulations.

The detailed analysis of two heavy precipitation events allowed us to further assess the possible causes and the processes involved regarding the decrease in precipitation intensity or the total omission of convection with respect to the reference simulation in the absence of the Dead Sea water. Two main components, strongly affected by the drying out of the Dead Sea, are found to be highly relevant for the understanding of the environmental processes in the Dead Sea region.

(a) First, the lower-atmospheric boundary layer conditions. Changes in the energy balance affect the atmosphere through the heat exchange and moisture supply. The drying of the Dead Sea in the SEN simulations and the resulting decrease in local evaporation, impact the Dead Sea Basin conditions and the neighbouring areas. A reduction in boundary layer humidity and an increase in temperature result in a general decrease of atmospheric instability and weaker updrafts indicating reduced deep-convective activity. Main differences on the atmospheric conditions are directly over the Dead Sea, but these conditions are frequently advected to neighbouring areas by the thermally driven wind systems in the region which play a key role for the redistribution of these conditions and the initiation of convection.

(b) Secondly, wind systems in the valley. In the arid region of the Dead Sea Basin with varied topography, thermally and dynamically driven wind systems are key features of the local climate. Three different scales of climatic phenomena coexist: The Mediterranean Sea Breeze (MSB), the Dead Sea breeze and the orographic winds, valley-, and slope-winds, which are known to temper the climate in the Dead Sea valley (Shafir and Alpert, 2011). The drying of the Dead Sea in the SEN simulation disturbs the Dead Sea thermally driven wind circulations. The Dead Sea breezes are missing, weaker wind speeds characterize the region and along valley winds are consequently affected. Furthermore, the dynamics of the Mediterranean breeze penetration into the Jordan Valley are affected.

Consequently, the impacts on convection initiation and development are twofold:

(i) Distinct redistribution of atmospheric conditions, locally or remotely, which yields to different atmospheric conditions that in the absence of the Dead Sea result in a reduced moisture availability in the lower atmospheric levels and increased stability hindering convection or reducing the intensity of the events.

(ii) Modification of the divergence/convergence field. The absence of the Dead Sea substantially modifies the wind circulation systems over the Dead Sea valley, which leads to the omission of convergence lines which act as triggering mechanism for convection.

We can conclude that in general the lack of sufficient low-atmospheric moisture in relation to the drying out of the Dead Sea, the increase of atmospheric stability in addition to an absence or reduction in the intensity of the convergence zones, works against initiation or intensification of precipitating convection in the area. The relevance of the small-scale variability of moisture and the correct definition and location of convergence lines for an accurate representation of convective initiation illustrates the limitation and the lack of adequate observational networks in the area and the need for high-resolution model simulations of boundary layer processes to predict intense and localised convection in the region.

These results contribute to gain a better understanding of ~~expected~~ the sensitivity of local conditions in the Dead Sea valley and neighbouring areas ~~under~~ to ~~continual~~ lake level decline. Energy balance partitioning and wind circulation systems are determinant for local climatic conditions, e.g. temperature and humidity fields as well as aerosol redistribution, therefore, any change should be well understood and properly represented in model simulations of the region. Our results point out, in agreement with past modelling activities in the region, the need to further improve the representation of precipitation fields in the area, particularly close to the Mediterranean coastline. More accurate Mediterranean SST input fields have been suggested as relevant to reduce the model inaccuracies. Furthermore, a more realistic representation of the lake shape, water salinity and temperature, as well as Dead Sea abundance and depth must be addressed to more accurately describe present and expected future conditions. In the present study, limitations found in this direction in relation to model and external data set descriptions, as well as identified biases regarding for example moisture sources for HP in the region, MSB and Dead Sea evaporation, are expected to impact our results, and have to be improved in future efforts in the region. ~~the impact on the simulation results.~~ In a further step, the authors will investigate some of these issues ~~performing sensitivity experiments~~in more detail, and will assess the impact of model grid resolution on the horizontal and vertical flow field in the region across scales, including the impact on large-scale dynamics. We will also put emphasis in trying to better understand the dynamics of the MSB under lake level decline using high-resolution modelling, especially the contrasting behaviour pointed out in this study. Fine resolution simulations up to 100 m will be performed for this purpose. Furthermore, we will provide a verification of the complex chain of processes in the area using unique measurements in the framework of the interdisciplinary virtual institute Dead Sea Research VEnue (DESERVE; Kottmeier et al., 2016).

Author contribution

SK wrote the manuscript, analysed the data, interpreted the results and supervised the work. JH carried out data analysis, interpretation of results and prepared all the figures.

Acknowledgements

The first author's research was supported by the Bundesministerium für Bildung und Forschung (BMBF; German Federal Ministry of Education and Research). The authors acknowledge the colleagues at the Karlsruhe Institute of Technology (KIT) involved in the interdisciplinary virtual institute Dead Sea Research VEnue (DESERVE) for their support and interesting discussions. We acknowledge Sebastian Helgert and Alberto Caldas Alvarez for their assistance in the preparation of the simulations. This article is a contribution to the HyMeX program.

References

Alpert, P., and Shay-EL, Y.,: The Moisture Source for the Winter Cyclones in the Eastern Mediterranean. Israel Meteorological Research Papers, 5, 20-27, 1994.

Alpert, P., and Coauthors: Relations between climate variability in the Mediterranean region and the tropics: ENSO, South Asian and African monsoons, hurricanes and Saharan dust. Developments in Earth and Environmental Sciences, 4, 149-177, https://doi.org/10.1016/S1571-9197(06)80005-4, 2006.

Alpert, P., Shafir, H., and Issahary, D.,: Recent Changes in the Climate At the Dead Sea – a Preliminary Study. Climatic Change, 37(3), 513-537, https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1005330908974, 1997.

Andersson, T., Andersson, M., Jacobsson, C., Nilsson, S.: Thermodynamic indices for forecasting thunderstorms in southern Sweden. Meteorol. Mag.

116, 141-146, 1989.

Arkin, Y., and Gilat, A.: Dead Sea sinkholes - an ever-developing hazard. Environmental Geology, 39(7), 711-722, https://doi.org/10.1007/s002540050485, 2000.

Ashbel, D., and Brooks, C.: The influence of the dead sea on the climate of its neighbourhood. Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society, 65(280), 185-194, https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.49706528005, 1939.

Ban, N., Schmidli, J., and Schär, C.: Evaluation of the convection-resolving regional climate modeling approach in decade-long simulations, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 119, 7889– 7907, https://doi.org/10.1002/2014JD021478, 2014.

Belachsen, I., Marra, F., Peleg, N., and Morin, E.: Convective rainfall in dry climate: relations with synoptic systems and flash-flood generation in the Dead Sea region. Hydrology and Earth System Sciences Discussions, 21, 5165-5180, https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-21-5165-2017, 2017.

Böhm, U., and Coauthors: The Climate Version of LM: Brief Description and Long-Term Applications. COSMO Newsletter, 6, 225-235, 2006.

Businger, J., Wyngaard, J., Izumi, Y., and Bradley, E.: Flux-Profile Relationships in the Atmospheric Surface Layer. Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 28(2), 181-189, https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0469(1971)028<0181:FPRITA>2.0.CO;2, 1971.

Byun, H., and Kim, D.: Comparing the Effective Drought Index and the Standardized Precipitation Index. Options Méditerranéennes. Séries A. Mediterranean Seminars, 95, 85-89, 2010.

Byun, H., and Wilhite, D.: Objective quantification of drought severity and duration. J. Climate, 12(9), 2747-2756, https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0442(1999)012<2747:OQODSA>2.0.CO;2, 1999.

Cohen, S., and Stanhill, G.: Contemporary Climate Change in the Jordan Valley. J. Appl. Meteor., 35(7), 1051-1058, https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0450(1996)035<1051:CCCITJ>2.0.CO;2, 1996.

Corsmeier, U., Behrendt, R., Drobinski, P., Kottmeier, C.: The mistral and its effect on air pollution transport and vertical mixing, Atmos. Res., 74, 275–302, https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosres.2004.04.010, 2005.

Código de campo cambiado

Dayan, U., and Morin, E.: Flash flood – producing rainstorms over the Dead Sea: A review. Geological Society of America, 401(4), 53-62, https://doi.org/10.1130/2006.2401(04) , 2006.

Dayan, U., and Sharon, D.: Meteorological parameters for discriminating between widespread and spotty storms in the Negev. Israel Journal of Earth Sciences, 29(4), 253-256, 1980.

Dayan, U., Ziv, B., Margalit, A., Morin, E., and Sharon, D.: A severe autumn storm over the middle-east: synoptic and mesoscale convection analysis. Theoretical and Applied Climatology, 69(1-2), 103-122, https://doi.org/10.1007/s007040170038, 2001.

Doms, G., and Baldauf, M.: A Description of the Nonhydrostatic Regional COSMO-Model. Part I: Dynamics and Numerics. Deutscher Wetterdienst, 2015.

Doswell, C., and Rasmussen, E.: The Effect of Neglecting the Virtual Temperature Correction on CAPE Calculations. Weather and Forecasting, 9(4), 625-629, https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0434(1994)009<0625:TEONTV>2.0.CO;2, 1994.

FAO/IIASA/ISRIC/ISSCAS/JRC.: Harmonized World Soil Database (version 1.2). FAO, Rome, Italy and IIASA, Laxenburg, Austria, (accessed 01.02.2017) , 2009.

Fosser, G., Khodayar, S., and Berg, P., 2014: Benefit of convection permitting climate model simulations in the representation of convective precipitation, Clim. Dyn., 44(1– 2), 45– 60.

Gavrieli, I., Bein, A., and Oren, A., 2005: The expected impact of the “Peace Conduit” project (the Red Sea - Dead Sea pipeline) on the Dead Sea. Mitigation and Adaptation Strategies for Global Change, 10(4), 759-777, https://doi.org/10.1007/s11027-005-5144-z.

European Commission, Joint Research Centre, 2003: Global Land Cover 2000 database, (accessed 01.02.2017).

GLOBE National Geophysical Data Center, 1999: Global Land One-kilometer Base Elevation (GLOBE) v.1. Hastings, D. and P.K. Dunbar. National Geophysical Data Center, NOAA, (accessed 01.02.2017).

Greenbaum, N., Ben-Zvi, A., Haviv, I., and Enzel, Y., 2006: The hydrology and paleohydrology of the Dead Sea tributaries. Geological Society of America, 401(4), 63-93, https://doi.org/10.1130/2006.2401(05).

Haylock, M.R., Hofstra, N., Klein Tank, A.M.G., Klok, E.J., Jones, P.D. and New, M. 2008, A European daily high-resolution gridded dataset of surface temperature and precipitation. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 113, D20119. https://doi.org/10.1029/2008JD10201.

Hochman, A., Mercogliano, P., Alpert, P., Saaroni, H. and Bucchignani, E., 2018. High-resolution projection of climate change and extremity over Israel using COSMO-CLM. International Journal of Climatology, 38(14), pp.5095-5106.

Houze, R., 2012: Orographic effects on precipitating clouds. Reviews of Geophysics, 50(1), https://doi.org/10.1029/2011RG000365.

Kalthoff, N., Horlacher, V., Corsmeier, U., Volz-Thomas, A., Kolahgar, B., Geiß, H., Möllmann-Coers, M., and Knaps, A. 2000: Influence of valley winds on transport and dispersion of airborne pollutants in the Freiburg-Schauinsland area, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos, 105, 1585–1597, https://doi.org/10.1029/1999jd900999.

Khodayar, S., Kalthoff, N., and Schaedler, G., 2013: The impact of soil moisture variability on seasonal convective precipitation simulations. Part I: validation, feedbacks, and realistic initialisation. Meteorologische Zeitschrift, 22(4), 489-505, https://doi.org/10.1127/0941-2948/2013/0403.

Kunin, P., Alpert, P. and Rostkier-Edelstein, D., 2019. Investigation of sea-breeze/foehn in the Dead Sea valley employing high resolution WRF and observations. Atmospheric Research.

Lensky, N. and Dente, E., 2015. The hydrological proecesses driving the accelerated Dead Sea level decline in the past decades. Geological Survey of Israel Report.

Llasat, M., and Coauthors, 2010: High-impact floods and flash floods in Mediterranean countries: the FLASH preliminary database. Advances in Geosciences, 23, 47-55, https://doi.org/10.5194/adgeo-23-47-2010.

Metzger, J., Nied, M., Corsmeier, U., Kleffmann, J., and Kottmeier, C., 2017: Dead Sea evaporation by eddy covariance measurements versus aerodynamic, energy budget, Priestley-Taylor, and Penman estimates. Hydrology and Earth System Sciences Discussions, 22(2), 1135-1155, https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-2017-187.

Miglietta MM, Conte D, Mannarini G, Lacorata G, Rotunno R. 2011. Numerical analysis of a Mediterranean ‘hurricane’ over south-eastern Italy: sensitivity experiments to sea surface temperature. *Atmos. Res.* **101**: 412–426.

Moncrieff, M., and Miller, M., 1976: The dynamics and simulation of tropical cumulonimbus and squall lines. Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society, 102(432), 373-394, https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.49710243208, 2014.

Naor, R., Potchter, O., Shafir, H., and Alpert, P.: An observational study of the summer Mediterranean Sea breeze front penetration into the complex topography of the Jordan Rift Valley, Theor. Appl. Climatol., 127, 275–284, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00704-015-1635-3, 2017.

Prein, A., Gobiet, A., Suklitsch, M., Truhetz, H., Awan, N., Keuler, K., and Georgievski, G. : Added value of convection permitting seasonal simulations, Clim. Dyn., 41(9– 10), 2655– 2677, 2013.

Ritter, B., and J.-F. Geleyn, 1992. A comprehensive radiation scheme for numerical weather prediction models with potential applications in climate simulations. Mon. Wea. Rev., 120, 303–325.

Rostkier-Edelstein, D., Liu, Y., Wu, W., Kunin, P., Givati, A. and Ge, M., 2014. Towards a high-resolution climatography of seasonal precipitation over Israel. International Journal of Climatology, 34(6), pp.1964-1979.

Schaedler, G., and Sasse, R.: Analysis of the connection between precipitation and synoptic scale processes in the Eastern Mediterranean using self-organizing maps. Meteorologische Zeitschrift, 15(3), 273-278, https://doi.org/10.1127/0941-2948/2006/0105, 2006.

Shafir, H., and Alpert, P.: Regional and local climatic effects on the Dead-Sea evaporation. Climatic Change, 105(3-4), 455-468, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10584-010-9892-8, 2011.

Sharon, D., and Kutiel, H.: The distribution of rainfall intensity in Israel, its regional and seasonal variations and its climatological evaluation. International Journal of Climatology, 6(3), 277-291, https://doi.org/10.1002/joc.3370060304, 1986.

Smiatek, G., Kunstmann, H., and Heckl, A.: High-resolution climate change simulations for the Jordan River area. Journal of Geophysical Research, 116(D16), https://doi.org/10.1029/2010JD015313, 2011.

Stanhill, G.: Changes in the rate of evaporation from the dead sea. International Journal of Climatology, 14(4), 465-471, https://doi.org/10.1002/joc.3370140409,1994.

Vicente-Serrano, S., Beguería, S., López-Moreno, J.: A Multiscalar Drought Index Sensitive to Global Warming: The Standardized Precipitation Evapotranspiration Index. J. Climate, 23(7), 1696-1718, https://doi.org/10.1175/2009JCLI2909.1, 2010.

Vüllers, J., Mayr, G. J., Corsmeier, U., and Kottmeier, C.: Characteristics and evolution of diurnal foehn events in the Dead Sea valley. Atmos. Chem. Phys., 18, 18169-18186, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-18-18169-2018, 20, 2018.

Wernli H, Paulat M, Hagen M, Frei C. SAL – a novel quality measure for the verification of quantitative precipitation forecasts. Mon. Weather Rev. 136: 4470–4487, 2008.

Yatagai, A., Alpert, P. and Xie, P. (2008) Development of a daily gridded precipitation data set for the Middle East. Advances in Geosciences, 12, 1–6.

Yatagai, A., Kamiguchi, K., Arakawa, O., Hamada, A., Yasutomi, N. and Kitoh, A., 2012: APHRODITE: constructing a long-term daily gridded precipitation dataset for Asia based on a dense network of rain gauges. Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society, 93, 1401–1415.

Tables

	PREC diffmn	REF PMX	SEN PMX	PREC relative diff [%]	Synoptic Situation	REF CAPEmx	SEN CAPEmx	REF KOmn	SEN KOmn	Localised/ Widespread (Subarea affected)
08.12.2004	0,10	30,09	31,31	2,76	ARST	1	1	4,85	4,85	W (A1, A2)
13.01.2006	-0,11	45,64	54,64	-4,26	Cyprus Low	239	225	6,57	6,54	L/W (A1, A3)
16.04.2006	0,11	57,41	56,09	4,89	Syrian Low	43	47	1,97	1,94	L (A1, A4)
10.04.2007	0,29	42,61	70,20	30,78	Cyprus Low	686	679	-4,77	-4,70	L (A2, A4)
13.04.2007	0,12	134,36	127,79	1,62	Cyprus Low	573	576	-1,95	-1,92	L (A1, A2, A3, A4)
12.05.2007	-0,16	41,82	47,90	-8,24	Syrian Low	436	81	-5,30	-5,29	L (A1, A2)
27.01.2008	-0,14	23,11	17,24	-17,25	Syrian Low	7	7	5,12	5,12	W (A1, A3)
25.10.2008	-0,23	139,01	125,73	-16,52	ARST	1274	1361	-5,50	-4,08	L (A3)
13.11.2008	0,30	40,83	45,55	25,68	ARST	25	7	1,37	1,38	L (A2, A4)
14.05.2009	-0,39	59,28	68,84	-8,49	Syrian Low	433	429	-3,90	-3,91	L (A1, A2, A3, A4)
15.05.2009	0,20	49,23	42,28	13,50	Syrian Low	208	203	-2,30	-2,36	L (A1, A2, A3)
31.10.2009	-0,19	166,21	111,79	-7,65	Cyprus Low	435	445	-5,03	-4,46	L (A1, A2)
15.01.2011	0,11	73,02	72,03	3,74	Syrian Low	49	37	7,82	7,83	L/W (A1, A4)
28.05.2011	-0,24	44,51	32,73	-14,33	Cyprus Low	158	170	-10,27	-10,26	W (A2)
145.11.2011	-0,11	42,65	9,34	-65,90	Cyprus Low	2	0	-7,14	-7,12	L (A1,
17.11.2011	0,11	90,07	93,04	4,76	Cyprus Low	386	304	-9,14	-9,16	L (A1)
18.11.2011	-0,11	28,68	34,69	-8,67	Cyprus Low	356	378	-8,61	-8,65	L (A1)
19.11.2011	0,03	58,11	12,36	4,09	Cyprus Low	133	81	-7,60	-7,46	L (A2, A4)
22.10.2012	0,20	29,88	41,64	51,21	ARST	2068	2097	-5,83	-5,59	L (A1, A2)
09.11.2012	-0,11	27,20	22,56	-18,29	Cyprus Low	218	215	3,97	3,98	W (A1)
23.11.2012	-0,21	155,77	117,81	-10,17	ARST	189	286	-2,18	-1,95	L (A1, A2, A3)
25.11.2012	-0,11	41,48	54,33	-7,87	ARST	354	332	4,19	4,37	L (A3, A4)

Con formato: Sangría: Izquierda: 0 cm, Sangría francesa: 1,27 cm

Table 1: Classification of heavy precipitation cases in the decadal simulation covering the period 2004 to 2013. The areal-mean (study area, Figure 1) difference ($PREC_{diffmn}$) and maximum grid precipitation in the reference (REF_{PMX}) and sensitivity (SEN_{PMX}) realizations, the precipitation relative difference in %, the synoptic situation, and the stability conditions illustrated by maximum grid point CAPE (CAPEmx) and minimum grid point KO-index (KOmn) are summarized. Additionally, the nature of the precipitation, localized (L) or widespread (W) and the main subarea affected (following division in Figure 1; A1, A2, A3, A4) are listed.

Figures

(a)



(b)



Figure 1: (a) Topography (m above msl), simulation domains (dashed lines, CCLM7km and CCLM2.8km) and study area (bold line). (b) Model soil types (colour scale), topography (black isolines) and study area (black bold line) including the 4 subdomains to be examined, A1-4 (Area 1-4).

(a)


REF^CLIM
SEN^CLIM
DIF
EVAP [mm d-1]
EVAP Diff [mm d-1]
Jan Feb Mar Apr May Jun Jul Aug Sep Oct Nov Dec Jan
Month


REF^CLIM
SEN^CLIM
DIF
PREC [mm d-1]
PREC Diff [mm d-1]
Jan Feb Mar Apr May Jun Jul Aug Sep Oct Nov Dec Jan
Month


REF^CLIM
SEN^CLIM
DIF
PREC-EVAP [mm d-1]
PREC-EVAP Diff [mm d-1]
Jan Feb Mar Apr May Jun Jul Aug Sep Oct Nov Dec Jan
Month

(b)


REF^CLIM
SEN^CLIM
DIF
2m q [g kg-1]
2m q Diff [g kg-1]
14
12
10
8
6
4
2
0.1
0.05
0
-0.05
-0.1
-0.15
Jan Feb Mar Apr May Jun Jul Aug Sep Oct Nov Dec Jan
Month


REF^CLIM
SEN^CLIM
DIF
2m T [K]
2m T Diff [K]
300
295
290
285
280
275
270
0.1
0.05
0
-0.05
-0.1
Jan Feb Mar Apr May Jun Jul Aug Sep Oct Nov Dec Jan
Month

(c)


REF^CLIM
SEN^CLIM
DIF
Θe(950hPa) [K]
Θe(950hPa) Diff [K]
340
330
320
310
300
290
0.5
0.4
0.3
0.2
0.1
0
-0.1
-0.2
-0.3
-0.4
-0.5
Jan Feb Mar Apr May Jun Jul Aug Sep Oct Nov Dec Jan
Month


REF^CLIM
SEN^CLIM
DIF
IWV [kg m-2]
IWV Diff [kg m-2]
28
24
20
16
12
8
4
0.06
0.04
0.02
0
-0.02
-0.04
-0.06
Jan Feb Mar Apr May Jun Jul Aug Sep Oct Nov Dec Jan
Month

(d)





Figure 2: Annual cycle of the areal-daily averaged (and differences (black dashed line; SEN-REF)) of (a) evaporation, precipitation, and precipitation minus evaporation (b) specific humidity and temperature at 2-m, and (c) Θ_e below 950 hPa and IWV, and (d) CAPE and KO-index, from the REF^{CLIM} (full black line) and the SEN^{CLIM} (full grey line) simulations. All grid points in the study area (Figure 1) and the period 2004 to 2013 are considered.

(a)

Area1 (NW) Area2 (NE)





Area3 (SW) Area4 (SE)





(b)

Area1 (NW) Area2 (NE)

















Figure 3: Annual cycle of the areal-daily averaged (and differences (black dashed line; SEN-REF)) of (a) precipitation for areas A1, A2, A3, A4 (see Figure 1b), and (b) evaporation, specific humidity and temperature at 2-m, and CAPE for areas A1 and A2, from the REF^{CLIM} (full black line) and the SEN^{CLIM} (full grey line) simulations. Only land points in the study area (Figure 1) for evaporation, and all grid points for the rest of variables and the period 2004 to 2013 are considered.

(a)



(b)



(c)



Figure 4: Temporal evolution of the monthly-daily accumulated areal mean values of (a) Evaporation, (b) Precipitation, (c) Effective Drought Index (EDI), from the REF^{CLIM} (full black line) and the SEN^{CLIM} (full grey line) simulations and differences depicted with black dashed lines. The light grey band in (c) indicates the common soil state (-1<EDI<+1). All grid points in the study area (Figure 1) and the period 2004 to 2013 are considered.

(a)



(b)



Figure 5: Spatial distribution of (a) evaporation in the REF^{CLIM} simulation (left) and the difference between the SEN^{CLIM} and the REF^{CLIM} simulations (right), and (b) precipitation in the REF^{CLIM} simulation (left) and the difference between the SEN^{CLIM} and the REF^{CLIM} simulations (right). The period 2004 to 2013 is considered.

(a) (b)



Figure 6: (a) Probability density function of daily precipitation intensities. All grid points in the investigation domain (Figure 1) and the period 2004 to 2013 are considered. (b) SAL diagram between REF^{CLIM} and SEN^{CLIM} simulations. Every circle corresponds to a simulated heavy precipitation event (listed in Table 1). The diamond (close to the zero-zero) illustrates the mean of all events. A-component (amplitude), S-component (structure), L-component (location). The inner colour indicates the L-component. Boxes point out the two events examined in this study, CASE1 and CASE2 (see section 3.2).

(a)


latitude [°]
longitude [°]
Precipitation [mm d-1]

(b)


latitude [°]
longitude [°]
q(950hPa) [g kg-1]

(c)


REF950hPa
14Nov2011 16-21UTC
REF850hPa
14Nov2011 16-21UTC
REF700hPa
14Nov2011 16-21UTC
N (0°)
W (270°)
E (90°)
S (180°)
0%
20%
40%
60%
80%
100%
SEN950hPa
14Nov2011 16-21UTC
SEN850hPa
14Nov2011 16-21UTC
SEN700hPa
14Nov2011 16-21UTC
Wind Speeds in m/s
W_S ≥ 15
12.5 ≤ W_S < 15
10 ≤ W_S < 12.5
7.5 ≤ W_S < 10
5 ≤ W_S < 7.5
4 ≤ W_S < 5
3 ≤ W_S < 4
2 ≤ W_S < 3
1 ≤ W_S < 2
0 ≤ W_S < 1

Figure 7: Spatial distribution of (a) 24-h accumulated precipitation from 14.11 09 UTC to 15.11 08 UTC from the $REF^{14.11}$ simulation (left) and the $SEN^{14.11}$ simulation (right) and (b) specific humidity below 950 hPa, from the $REF^{14.11}$ simulation (left) and the difference between the $REF^{14.11}$ and $SEN^{14.11}$ simulations, as a mean for the 6-h period prior to convection initiation in the target area (14 November 16 UTC to 21 UTC), and (c) wind conditions at 700 hPa, 850 hPa, and 950 hPa (no relevant differences with respect to the 10-m field) for the same time period. Wind roses are centred at about 35.82°E-32.07°N in our target area.

(a)



(b)



Figure 8: (a) Vertical-temporal cross-section of equivalent potential temperature (colour scale; K), specific humidity (black isolines; g/kg), horizontal wind vectors (north-pointing upwards, m/s) and vertical velocity (white dashed contours with 0.1 m/s increments) of the REF$^{14.11}$ (left) and SEN$^{14.11}$ (right) simulations, over a representative grid point in the sub-study region, 32.05°N 35.79°E. (b) Spatial distribution of 10-m horizontal wind (wind vectors; m/s) and corresponding divergence/convergence field (colour scale; s^{-1}) at 18 UTC on the 14 November 2011 from the REF$^{14.11}$ (left) and SEN$^{14.11}$ (right) simulations.



Figure 9: 24-h mean spatial distribution of precipitation from the REF[19.11] simulation (top-left; zoom top-right) and the SEN[19.11] simulation (bottom-left; zoom bottom-right) for the period 18 November 2011 11 UTC to 19 November 2011 10 UTC.

(a)


REF Wind_10M 18.11.2011 18:00 UTC
SEN Wind_10M 18.11.2011 18:00 UTC
latitude [°]
longitude [°]
divergence [s⁻¹]
×10⁻³
10 m/s
B
C
D

(b)


REF Wind_10M 18.11.2011 20:00 UTC
SEN Wind_10M 18.11.2011 20:00 UTC
latitude [°]
longitude [°]
divergence [s⁻¹]
×10⁻³
10 m/s

(c)



(d)



Figure 10: Spatial distribution of 10-m horizontal wind (wind vectors; m/s) and corresponding divergence/convergence field (colour scale; s^{-1}) at 18 UTC, 20 UTC, 23 UTC on the 19 November, and 03 UTC on the 20 November 2011 from the $REF^{19.11}$ (left) and $SEN^{19.11}$ (right) simulations. The topography is indicated by the black full isolines. The transects (B-C-D and B'-C'-D') corresponding to the locations in which temperature comparisons are made are indicated in Figure 10a. The green line indicates the position of the vertical cross-section in Figure 11.



Figure 11: Vertical cross-section of equivalent potential temperature (colour scale; K), specific humidity (black isolines; g/kg), horizontal wind vectors (north-pointing upwards, m/s) and vertical velocity (white dashed contours with 1 m/s increments) of the REF$^{19.11}$ (top) and SEN$^{19.11}$ (bottom) simulations at 01 UTC (left), 02 UTC (middle) and 03 UTC (right). The location of the cross-section is indicated in Figure 10.