Atmos. Chem. Phys., 19, 1–14, 2019 https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-19-1-2019 © Author(s) 2019. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License.



Inferring the anthropogenic NO_x emission trend over the United States during 2003–2017 from satellite observations: was there a flattening of the emission trend after the Great Recession?

Jianfeng Li^{1,a} and Yuhang Wang¹

¹School of Earth and Atmospheric Sciences, Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, GA, USA ^anow at: Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, Richland, WA, USA

Correspondence: Yuhang Wang (yuhang.wang@eas.gatech.edu)

Received: 19 May 2019 – Discussion started: 16 July 2019 Revised: 21 October 2019 – Accepted: 22 October 2019 – Published:

Abstract. We illustrate the nonlinear relationships among anthropogenic NO_x emissions, NO_2 tropospheric vertical column densities (TVCDs), and NO_2 surface concentrations using model simulations for July 2011 over the contigu-5 ous United States (CONUS). The variations in NO_2 surface

- concentrations and TVCDs are generally consistent and reflect anthropogenic NO_x emission variations for high anthropogenic NO_x emission regions well. For low anthropogenic NO_x emission regions, however, nonlinearity in
- ¹⁰ the anthropogenic-emission–TVCD relationship due to emissions from lightning and soils, chemistry, and physical processes makes it difficult to use satellite observations to infer anthropogenic NO_x emission changes. The analysis is extended to 2003–2017. Similar variations in NO₂ surface mea-
- ¹⁵ surements and coincident satellite NO₂ TVCDs over urban regions are in sharp contrast to the large variation differences between surface and satellite observations over rural regions. We find a continuous decrease in anthropogenic NO_x emissions after 2011 by examining surface and satellite measure-²⁰ ments in CONUS urban regions, but the decreasing rate is
- lower by 9%–46% than the pre-2011 period.

1 Introduction

Anthropogenic emissions of nitrogen oxides $(NO_x = NO_2 + NO)$ adversely affect the environment, ²⁵ not only because of their direct detrimental impacts on human health (Greenberg et al., 2016, 2017; Heinrich et al., 2013; Weinmayr et al., 2009) but also because of their

fundamental roles in the formation of ozone, acid rain, and fine particles, all of which have negative environmental impacts (Crouse et al., 2015; Kampa and Castanas, 2008; 30 Myhre et al., 2013; Pandey et al., 2005; Singh and Agrawal, 2007). About 48.8 Tg N yr⁻¹ of NO_x are emitted globally from both anthropogenic (77%) and natural (23%) sources, such as fossil fuel combustion, biomass and biofuel burning, soil bacteria, and lightning (Seinfeld and Pandis, 2016). In 35 total, 3.85, 0.24, and 0.66 Tg N of anthropogenic, soil, and lightning NO_x , respectively, were emitted from the US in 2014 on the basis of the 2014 National Emission Inventory (NEI2014) and the GEOS-Chem model simulations (Silvern et al., 2019); vehicle sources and fuel combustions ac-40 counted for 93 % of the total anthropogenic NO_x emissions (EPA, 2017).

The US anthropogenic NO_x emissions during the 2010s declined dramatically compared to the mid-2000s (EPA, 2018b; Xing et al., 2013) due to stricter air quality regulations and emission control technology improvements, such as the phase-in of Tier II vehicles during 2004–2009 and the switch of power plants from coal to natural gas (De Gouw et al., 2014; McDonald et al., 2018). The overall reduction (about 30 %–50 %) of anthropogenic NO_x emissions from the mid-2000s to the 2010s was corroborated by observed decreases in vehicle NO_x emission factors, NO_2 surface concentrations, nitrate wet deposition flux (Fig. S1 in the Supplement), and NO_2 tropospheric vertical column densities (TVCDs) (Bishop and Stedman, 2015; Georgoulias et al., 2019; Li et al., 2018; McDonald et al., 2018; Miyazaki et al., 2017; Russell et al., 2012; Tong et al., 2015). However, the detailed NO_x emission changes after the Great Recession (from December 2007 to June 2009) are highly uncertain. On the one hand, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) estimated that the Great Recession had 5 a slight impact on the anthropogenic NO_x emission trend and the anthropogenic NO_x emissions decreased steadily

- from 2002 to 2017 (Fig. S2), although the emission decrease rate slowed down by about 20% after 2010 $(-5.8\% \text{ yr}^{-1} \text{ for } 2002-2010 \text{ and } -4.7\% \text{ yr}^{-1} \text{ for } 2010-2017; \text{ Table 1})$
- ¹⁰ (EPA, 2018b). Fuel-based emission estimates in Los Angeles also showed a steady decrease in anthropogenic NO_x emissions after 2000 and a small impact of the Great Recession on the anthropogenic NO_x emission decrease trend (Hassler et al., 2016). The continuous decrease in anthropogenic NO_x
- ¹⁵ emissions was consistent with the ongoing reduction in vehicle emissions (McDonald et al., 2018). On the other hand, Miyazaki et al. (2017) and Jiang et al. (2018) found that the US NO_x emissions derived from satellite NO₂ TVCDs, including OMI (the Ozone Monitoring Instrument), SCIA-
- ²⁰ MACHY (SCanning Imaging Absorption SpectroMeter for Atmospheric CHartography), and GOME-2A (Global Ozone Monitoring Experiment 2 onboard METOP-A), were almost flat from 2010–2015 and suggested that the decrease in NO_x emissions was only significant before 2010, which was com-
- ²⁵ pletely different from the bottom–up and fuel-based emission estimates.

A complicating factor in inferring anthropogenic NO_x emission trends from the observations of NO_2 surface concentrations and satellite NO_2 TVCDs is their nonlinear de-

- ³⁰ pendences on anthropogenic NO_x emissions (Gu et al., 2013, 2016; Lamsal et al., 2011). Although the decrease rates of both NO₂ surface concentrations and coincident OMI NO₂ TVCDs slowed down after the Great Recession over the United States, Tong et al. (2015), Lamsal et al. (2015), and
- Jiang et al. (2018) found that the slowdown of the decrease rates derived from NO₂ surface concentrations is 12 % - 79 %less than those of NO₂ TVCDs (Table 1). Secondly, the slowdown of the decrease rates of NO₂ surface concentrations and OMI TVCDs over cities and power plants (Rus-
- ⁴⁰ sell et al., 2012; Tong et al., 2015) is significantly less than those over the whole contiguous United States (CONUS) (Jiang et al., 2018; Lamsal et al., 2015). Moreover, Zhang et al. (2018) found that filtering out lightning-affected measurements could significantly improve the comparison of NO₂
 ⁴⁵ surface concentration and OMI NO₂ TVCD trends over the

⁵ surface concentration and OMI NO₂ TVCD trends ov CONUS.

In this study, we carefully investigate the relationships among anthropogenic NO_x emissions, NO_2 surface concentrations, and NO_2 TVCDs over the CONUS and evaluate the ⁵⁰ impact of the relationships on inferring anthropogenic NO_x emission changes and trends from surface and satellite observations. Section 2 describes the model and datasets used in this study, including the Regional chEmistry and trAnsport Model (REAM), the EPA Air Quality System (AQS) NO_2 ⁵⁵ surface observations, and NO_2 TVCD products from OMI, GOME-2A, GOME-2B (GOME2 onboard METOP-B), and SCIAMACHY. In Sect. 3, we examine the nonlinear relationships among anthropogenic NO_x emissions, NO_2 surface concentrations, and NO_2 TVCDs using model simulations. Accounting for the effects of background sources, physical processes, and chemical nonlinearity, we then investigate the anthropogenic NO_x emission trends and changes from 2003 to 2017 over the CONUS. Finally, Sect. 4 gives a summary of the study.

65

2 Model and data description

2.1 REAM

REAM has been applied and evaluated in many research applications including ozone simulation and forecast, emission inversion and evaluations, and mechanistic studies of chemical and physical processes (Alkuwari et al., 2013; Cheng 70 et al., 2017, 2018; Choi et al., 2008a, b; Gu et al., 2013, 2014; Koo et al., 2012; Liu et al., 2012, 2014; Wang et al., 2007; Yang et al., 2011; Zhang et al., 2017, 2018; Zhang and Wang, 2016; Zhao and Wang, 2009; Zhao et al., 2009a, 2010). REAM as used in this work, the model domain of 75 which is shown in Fig. 3, has 30 vertical layers in the troposphere, and the horizontal resolution is $36 \times 36 \text{ km}^2$. The model is driven by meteorology fields from a Weather and Research Forecasting (WRF, version 3.6) model simulation initialized and constrained by the NCEP coupled forecast 80 system model version 2 (CFSv2) products (Saha et al., 2011). The chemistry mechanism is based on GEOS-Chem v11.01 with updated reaction rates and aerosol uptake of isoprene nitrates (Fisher et al., 2016). Chemistry boundary conditions and initializations are from a GEOS-Chem $(2^{\circ} \times 2.5^{\circ})$ simulation. Hourly anthropogenic emissions on weekdays are based on the 2011 National Emission Inventory (NEI2011), while weekend anthropogenic emissions are set to be twothirds of the weekday emissions (Beirle et al., 2003; Choi et al., 2012). Biogenic volatile organic compound (VOC) emis-90 sions are estimated using the Model of Emissions of Gases and Aerosols from Nature (MEGAN) v2.10 (Guenther et al., 2012). NO_x emissions from soils are based on the Yienger and Levy (YL) scheme (Li et al., 2019; Yienger and Levy, 1995). The cloud-to-ground (CG) lightning flashes are cal-95 culated following Choi et al. (2005) and Zhao et al. (2009a) with the parameterization of the CG flash rate as a function of convective mass fluxes and convective available potential energy (CAPE). The ratios of intra-cloud (IC) lightning flashes to CG flashes are parameterized as a function of the height 100 between the freezing layer and the cloud top (Luo et al., 2017; Price and Rind, 1992). In this study, 250 mol of NO are emitted per CG or IC flash (Zhao et al., 2009a). As a result, on weekdays in July 2011, REAM has mean anthropogenic NO_x emissions of 7.4×10^{10} molecules cm⁻² s⁻¹, mean soil 105 NO_x emissions of 1.2×10^{10} molecules cm⁻² s⁻¹, and mean

| Ś | |
|---|--|
| e | |
| ÷= | |
| Ĕ | |
| Ę | |
| S. | |
| Ħ | |
| 5 | |
| Ľ | |
| , o | |
| Ĥ | |
| ·Ξ | |
| q | |
| D | |
| | |
| 2 | |
| Ę. | |
| ~ | |
| č | |
| 5 | |
| · Ξ | |
| S | |
| · – | |
| 8 | |
| - 55 | |
| | |
| ్ | |
| \circ | |
| Z | |
| | |
| . <u> </u> | |
| 3 | |
| e | |
| 60 | |
| 0 | |
| Ū. | |
| <u>,</u> | |
| н | |
| tł | |
| ц | |
| ъ | |
| _ | |
| ~ | |
| E. | |
| щ | |
| Ч | |
| ă | |
| ອ | |
| | |
| S | |
| Б | |
| - 60 | |
| Ē | |
| - 5 | |
| e. | |
| | |
| = | |
| m | |
| asu | |
| easu | |
| neasu | |
| measu | |
| e measu | |
| ce measu | |
| face measu | |
| rface measu | |
| urface measu | |
| surface measu | |
| 2 surface measu | |
| D ₂ surface measure | |
| 102 surface measu | |
| NO ₂ surface measu | |
| , NO ₂ surface measu | |
| s, NO ₂ surface measu | |
| cts, NO ₂ surface measu | |
| ucts, NO ₂ surface measu | |
| ducts, NO ₂ surface measu | |
| oducts, NO ₂ surface measu | |
| roducts, NO ₂ surface measu | |
| products, NO ₂ surface measu | |
|) products, NO ₂ surface measu | |
| D products, NO2 surface measu | |
| CD products, NO ₂ surface measur | |
| VCD products, NO ₂ surface measure | |
| FVCD products, NO ₂ surface measu | |
| TVCD products, NO ₂ surface measu | |
| ² TVCD products, NO ₂ surface measu | |
| D ₂ TVCD products, NO ₂ surface measu | |
| 102 TVCD products, NO2 surface measu | |
| NO ₂ TVCD products, NO ₂ surface measu | |
| a NO ₂ TVCD products, NO ₂ surface measu | |
| te NO ₂ TVCD products, NO ₂ surface measu | |
| lite NO ₂ TVCD products, NO ₂ surface measu | |
| ellite NO ₂ TVCD products, NO ₂ surface measu | |
| tellite NO ₂ TVCD products, NO ₂ surface measu | |
| satellite NO ₂ TVCD products, NO ₂ surface measu | |
| ⁷ satellite NO ₂ TVCD products, NO ₂ surface measu | |
| of satellite NO ₂ TVCD products, NO ₂ surface measu | |
| of satellite NO ₂ TVCD products, NO ₂ surface measu | |
| ls of satellite NO ₂ TVCD products, NO ₂ surface measu | |
| ids of satellite NO ₂ TVCD products, NO ₂ surface measu | |
| inds of satellite NO2 TVCD products, NO2 surface measu | |
| ends of satellite NO ₂ TVCD products, NO ₂ surface measu | |
| trends of satellite NO2 TVCD products, NO2 surface measu | |
| f trends of satellite NO2 TVCD products, NO2 surface measu | |
| of trends of satellite NO2 TVCD products, NO2 surface measu | |
| / of trends of satellite NO2 TVCD products, NO2 surface measu | |
| ry of trends of satellite NO ₂ TVCD products, NO ₂ surface measu | |
| ary of trends of satellite NO2 TVCD products, NO2 surface measu | |
| nary of trends of satellite NO ₂ TVCD products, NO ₂ surface measu | |
| mary of trends of satellite NO2 TVCD products, NO2 surface measu | |
| mmary of trends of satellite NO ₂ TVCD products, NO ₂ surface measu | |
| ummary of trends of satellite NO2 TVCD products, NO2 surface measu | |
| Summary of trends of satellite NO2 TVCD products, NO2 surface measu | |
| . Summary of trends of satellite NO2 TVCD products, NO2 surface measu | |
| 1. Summary of trends of satellite NO ₂ TVCD products, NO ₂ surface measu | |
| 1. Summary of trends of satellite NO ₂ TVCD products, NO ₂ surface measu | |
| le 1. Summary of trends of satellite NO2 TVCD products, NO2 surface measu | |
| ble 1. Summary of trends of satellite NO ₂ TVCD products, NO ₂ surface measu | |
| able 1. Summary of trends of satellite NO ₂ TVCD products, NO ₂ surface measu | |

| Studies | Datasets | | Period 1 ¹ | | Period 2 | | Period 3 | Slowdown |
|---|--|--|--|---|--|--|---|--|
| | | Time | Trend $(yr^{-1})^2$ | Time | Trend (yr ⁻¹) | Time | Trend (yr ⁻¹) | ratio ³ |
| This study for CONUS urban sites ⁴ | GOME-2B ⁵ (36 × 36 km ²) SCIAMACHY (36 × 36 km ²) OMI-NASA (36 × 36 km ²) OMI-NASA (36 × 36 km ²) OMI-QEHR (36 × 36 km ²) OMI-QA4ECV (36 × 36 km ²) Updated EPA NO _x emissions ⁶ | 2003–2011 2005–2011 2005–2011 2005–2011 2003–2011 | $\begin{array}{c} -6.3 \ \% \pm 1.1 \ \% \\ -8.6 \ \% \pm 1.2 \ \% \\ -8.2 \ \% \pm 1.3 \ \% \\ -7.7 \ \% \pm 1.4 \ \% \\ -6.5 \ \% \pm 0.8 \ \% \end{array}$ | | | 2013–2017 2011–2016 2011–2016 2011–2017 2011–2017 | $-8.2 \% \pm 3.0 \%$ $-6.1 \% \pm 3.6 \%$ $-4.4 \% \pm 1.6 \%$ $-4.2 \% \pm 0.5 \%$ $-5.14 \% \pm 0.3 \%$ | 29% 46% 22% |
| This study for AQS urban sites | GOME-2B (36 × 36 km ²) SCIAMACHY (36 × 36 km ²) OMI-NASA (36 × 36 km ²) OMI-NASA (36 × 36 km ²) OMI-BEHR (36 × 36 km ²) OMI-QA4ECV (36 × 36 km ²) NO ₂ surface volume mixing ratio (VMR) | 2003–2011 2005–2011 2005–2011 2005–2011 2003–2011 | $\begin{array}{c} -7.6\%\pm1.1\%\\ -9.0\%\pm0.8\%\\ -8.9\%\pm0.3\%\\ -9.0\%\pm0.3\%\\ -9.0\%\pm0.8\%\\ -6.5\%\pm1.2\%\end{array}$ | | | 2013-2017 2011-2016 2011-2016 2011-2017 2011-2017 | $-10.2 \% \pm 2.9 \%$ $-7.2 \% \pm 3.8 \%$ $-6.2 \% \pm 2.6 \%$ $-5.4 \% \pm 0.9 \%$ $-5.9 \% \pm 0.8 \%$ | -20% -30% -9% |
| Russell et al. (2012) ⁸ | BEHR v2.1 NO ₂ TVCD (0.05° × 0.05°) Updated EPA NO _x emissions | 2005–2007 | $\begin{array}{c} -6 \ \% \pm 5 \ \% \ (-6.2 \ \%)^9 \\ -6.0 \ \% \end{array}$ | 2007–2009 | $\begin{array}{c} -8 \ \% \pm 5 \ \% \ (-8.4 \ \%) \\ -10.0 \ \% \end{array}$ | 2009–2011 | $-3\% \pm 4\% (-3.0\%) \\ -2.4\%$ | -52% -60% |
| Tong et al. (2015) ¹⁰ | NASA v2.1 NO ₂ TVCD (pixels < $50 \times 24 \mathrm{km}^2$) BEHR v2.1 NO ₂ TVCD (pixels < $50 \times 24 \mathrm{km}^2$) NO ₂ surface VMR Updated EPA NO _x emissions | 2005-2007 | $\begin{array}{c} -7.3 \ \% \ (-7.6 \ \%) \\ -8.9 \ \% \ (-9.3 \ \%) \\ -6.0 \ \% \ (-6.2 \ \%) \\ -6.0 \ \% \end{array}$ | 2008–2009 | $\begin{array}{c} -9.2\%(-11.4\%)\\ -9.1\%(-11.8\%)\\ -9.1\%(-11.8\%)\\ -10.8\%(-13.2\%)\\ -10.0\%\end{array}$ | 2010-2012 | $\begin{array}{l} -2.8\%(-4.4\%)\\ -3.6\%(-6.0\%)\\ -3.4\%(-5.4\%)\\ -3.4\%(-3.4\%)\end{array}$ | -42% -35% -13% -43% |
| Lamsal et al. (2015) ¹¹ | NASA v2.1 NO ₂ TVCD (0.1° × 0.1°) NO ₂ surface VMR Updated EPA NO _x emissions | 2005–2008 | $\begin{array}{c} -4.8 \ \% \pm 1.9 \ \% \ (-5.1 \ \%) \\ -3.7 \pm 1.5 \ \% \ (-3.8 \ \%) \\ -6.4 \ \% \end{array}$ | | | 2010-2013 | $\begin{array}{c} -1.2 \ \% \pm 1.2 \ \% \ (-1.2 \ \%) \\ -2.1 \ \% \pm 1.4 \ \% \ (-2.1 \ \%) \\ -4.0 \ \% \end{array}$ | -76% -45% -38% |
| Jiang et al. (2018) ¹¹ | NASA v3 NO ₂ TVCD (0.5° × 0.667°) QA4ECV <mark>IS3</mark> v2 ¹² NO ₂ TVCD (0.5° × 0.667°) BEHR v2.1 NO ₂ TVCD (0.5° × 0.667°) NO ₂ surface VMR Updated EPA NO _x emissions | 2005-2009 | $\begin{array}{l} -10.2\ \%\ \pm1.8\ \%\ (-9.8\ \%)\\ -9.6\ \%\ \pm1.7\ \%\ (-9.3\ \%)\\ -8.5\ \%\ \pm1.7\ \%\ (-9.3\ \%)\\ -8.5\ \%\ \pm1.8\ \%\ (-8.2\ \%)\\ -6.6\ \%\ \pm1.4\ \%\ (-6.4\ \%)\\ -7.8\ \%\end{array}$ | | | 2011-2015 | $\begin{array}{c} -3.2\%\pm1.6\%(-3.2\%)\\ -2.6\%\pm1.8\%(-2.6\%)\\ -2.1\%\pm1.6\%(-2.1\%)\\ -2.1\%\pm1.5\%(-2.1\%)\\ -2.6\%\pm1.5\%(-2.6\%)\\ -5.0\%\end{array}$ | -67% -72% -74% -59% -36% |
| ¹ Since different studies used diffe denotes the value at the initial year summarized in Table S.2. ⁶ We upo, averaged trends of 13:00 and 10:00, average trends of 01:300 and 10:00, average trends of 01:300 and 10:00 average concentrations from LL | rent time division methods, we list the period of each study in the t, and t - 11 is the relative trend. 3 Stowdown ratios = trend in "peri- ated EPA anthropogenic NO _c emissions with the newest Continuo to 10 cad fine (LT) based on the values in Table 3. ⁸ The study used) to carellate the trends based on the above exponential model, wh scheles, Datles, Houston, Atlanta, Philadelphia, Washington, D Motes. | able. ² Trends are 1 od 3"/trend in "per us Emission Monite 402 TVCD from ur tch makes all the re ich makes all the re .C., New York, and | assed on an exponential model: $E(y) = \log 1^{-1}$. Thends in our study are call ond T-1.4. Thends in our study are call and Systems (CEMS) datasets. Figure ban and power plant grid cells across that consistent. Our results are the bol Boston. ¹¹ The two studies used the E | $E_0 \times r^{y-y_0}$, where $E_0 \times r^{y-y_0}$, where the loulated based on the S2 shows the com the US. ⁹ Since prevers in parent d numbers in parent gPA Air Quality Systems | e "y," denotes year and "y0," den te national seasonal trends showr parison between our updated and parison between our updated and theses, while the numbers in norr then (AQS) NO ₂ surface measurt tem (AQS) NO ₂ surface measurt | otes the initial year in Table 3. ⁵ The original EPA anth original EPA anth original EPA anth anthe trends a nal font are from t ments and coincid | "τ" "E(y)" denotes the value at year "y information on subtle products uses ropogenic NO ₄ emissions (EPA, 201) and the results are sensitive to their ca the original publications. ¹⁰ The study ent satellite NO ₂ TVCD data over the ent satellite NO ₂ TVCD data over the ent satellite NO ₂ TVCD data over the | "and " E_0 " in this study is b). ⁷ Denotes the culation methods uses NO ₂ TVCD US. |

lightning NO_x emissions of 3.4×10^{10} molecules cm⁻² s⁻¹ over the CONUS.

2.2 Satellite NO₂ TVCDs

In this study, we use NO₂ TVCD products from four satellite ⁵ sensors in the past decade, including SCIAMACHY, GOME-2A, GOME-2B, and OMI – the spectrometers onboard sunsynchronous satellites to monitor atmospheric trace gases. The SCIAMACHY instrument onboard the Environmental Satellite (ENVISAT) has an Equator overpass time of 10:00 ¹⁰ local time (LT) and a nadir pixel resolution of $60 \times 30 \text{ km}^2$. The GOME-2 instruments on Metop-A (named GOME-2A) and Metop-B (GOME-2B) satellites cross the Equator at 09:30 LT and have a nadir resolution of $80 \times 40 \text{ km}^2$. Af-

- ter 15 July 2013, the nadir resolution of GOME-2A be-¹⁵ came 40 × 40 km² with a smaller scanning swath. The OMI onboard the EOS-Aura satellite has a nadir resolution of $24 \times 13 \text{ km}^2$ and overpasses the Equator around 13:45 LT. More detailed information about these instruments is summarized in Table S1. These instruments measure backscat-
- ²⁰ tered solar radiation from the atmosphere in the ultraviolet and visible wavelength. The radiation measurements in the wavelength of 402–465 nm are then used to retrieve NO_2 vertical column densities (VCDs). The retrieval process consists of three steps: (1) converting radiation observations to
- ²⁵ NO₂ slant column densities (SCDs) by using the differential optical absorption spectroscopy (DOAS) spectral fitting method; (2) separating tropospheric SCDs and stratospheric SCDs from the total NO₂ SCDs; (3) dividing the NO₂ tropospheric SCDs by the tropospheric air mass factors (AMFs) to ³⁰ compute TVCDs.
- The product archives we use in this study include GOME-2B (TM4NO2A v2.3), SCIAMACHY (QA4ECV v1.1), GOME-2A (QA4ECV v1.1), OMI (QA4ECV v1.1, hereafter referred to as OMI-QA4ECV), OMNO2 (SPv3, here-³⁵ after referred to as OMI-NASA), and the Berkeley High-
- Resolution NO₂ products (v3.0B, hereafter referred to as OMI-BEHR). OMI-BEHR uses the tropospheric SCDs from OMI-NASA products but updates some inputs for the tropospheric AMF calculation (Laughner et al., 2018b). These
- ⁴⁰ product archives have been previously validated (Boersma et al., 2018; Drosoglou et al., 2017, 2018; Krotkov et al., 2017; Laughner et al., 2018b; Wang et al., 2017; Zara et al., 2018). Generally, the pixel-size uncertainties of these products are > 30 % over polluted regions under clear-sky conditions. We
- ⁴⁵ summarize the basic information about these products in Table S2. To keep the high quality and sampling consistency of NO₂ TVCD datasets, we chose pixel-size NO₂ TVCD data using the criteria listed in Table S3. After the selection, we re-gridded the pixel-size data into the REAM $36 \times 36 \text{ km}^2$
- ⁵⁰ grid cells and calculated the seasonal means of each grid cell with corresponding daily values on weekdays (winter: January, February, and December; spring: March, April, and May; summer: June, July, and August; autumn: September,

October, and November). We excluded weekend data in this study to minimize the impacts of weekend NO_x emission reduction, leading to different NO_2 TVCDs between weekdays and weekends (Fig. S3).

Satellite TVCD measurements can show large variations and apparent discontinuities due in part to the effects of cloud, lightning NO_x, the shift in satellite pixel coverage, ⁶⁰ and retrieval uncertainties (Fig. S3; e.g., Boersma et al., 2018; Zhang et al., 2018). However, continuous and consistent measurements are required for reliable trend analyses. In addition to the criteria of data selection in Table S3, we compute the seasonal relative 90th percentile confidence interval, ⁶⁵ defined as RCI = (X(95th percentile) – X(5th percentile)) / mean(X), where X is the daily NO₂ TVCD for a given season. To compute the seasonal trend, we require that RCI is < 50 % for the selected season every year in the analysis period (Table S3). About 45 % of data are removed as a result. ⁷⁰

2.3 Surface NO₂ measurements

Hourly surface NO₂ measurements from 2003 to 2017 are from the EPA AQS monitoring network (archived on https: //www.epa.gov/outdoor-air-quality-data, last access: 27 June 2018). Most AQS monitoring sites use the Federal Reference 75 Method (FRM) gas-phase chemiluminescence to measure NO₂. Few sites use the Federal Equivalent Method (FEM) photolytic chemiluminescence or the cavity attenuated phase shift spectroscopy (CAPS) method. FRM and FEM are indirect methods, in which NO₂ is first converted to NO and 80 then NO is measured through chemiluminescence measurement of NO_2^* produced by $NO + O_3$. The difference is that FRM uses heated catalysts for the conversion of NO₂ to NO and FEM uses photolysis of NO₂ to NO. The conversion to NO in the FRM instruments is not specific to NO₂, and non- 85 NO_x active nitrogen compounds (NO_z) can also be reduced by the catalysts, which would cause high biases of NO2 measurements, while the FEM is sensitive to the photolysis conversion efficiency of NO₂ to NO (Beaver et al., 2012, 2013; Lamsal et al., 2015). The CAPS method directly determines 90 NO₂ concentrations based on an NO₂-induced phase shift measured by a photodetector. The CAPS instrument operates at a wavelength of about 450 nm and may overestimate NO₂ concentrations due to the absorption of other molecules at the same wavelength (Beaver et al., 2012, 2013; Kebabian 95 et al., 2005).

Due to the different characteristics of the above three methods and demonstrated biases between the FRM and the FEM by Lamsal et al. (2015), we firstly investigate the measurement discrepancies among the above three methods. ¹⁰⁰ There are three sites having FRM and FEM measurements simultaneously during some periods from 2013 to 2014, two sites having both FRM and CAPS data during some periods from 2015 to 2016, and one site using all three measurement methods during some periods in 2015. Figure S4 shows ¹⁰⁵ the hourly averaged ratios of FEM and CAPS to FRM data



Figure 1. Region definitions and locations of NO₂ surface observation sites used in this study.

for four seasons during 2013–2016. The CAPS/FRM ratios are in the range of 0.94–1.06, and the FEM/FRM ratios are 0.86–1.11. Furthermore, Zhang et al. (2018) discussed that the relative trends are not affected by scaling the observation

⁵ data. As in the work by Zhang et al. (2018), we analyze the relative trends in the surface NO₂ data. We, therefore, did not scale the FRM data. At sites with FEM or CAPS measurements, we use these measurements in place of FRM data. If both FEM and CAPS data are available, we use the averages ¹⁰ of the two datasets.

Since NO₂ surface concentrations have significant diurnal variations (Fig. S5), we choose the data at 09:00– 10:00 LT for comparison with GOME-2A/2B data, those at 10:00–11:00 LT for comparison with SCIAMACHY data, ¹⁵ and those at 13:00–14:00 LT for OMI data. The seasonal RCI < 50 % requirement is also used here to be consistent with the analysis of satellite TVCD data, and thus about 1.5 % of the data is removed. We also require that the measurement site must have valid measurements in the afore-

²⁰ mentioned 3 h for at least one season from 2003 to 2017. The locations of the 179 selected sites using the site selection criteria are shown in Fig. 1. The region definitions follow the U.S. Census Bureau (https://www2.census.gov/geo/pdfs/maps-data/maps/reference/us_regdiv.pdf, last access: 6 February 2019).

3 Results and discussions

3.1 Nonlinear relationships among anthropogenic NO_x emissions, NO₂ surface concentrations, and NO₂ TVCDs

- ³⁰ NO₂ surface concentrations and NO₂ TVCDs are not linearly correlated with NO_x emissions due to chemical nonlinearity, NO₂ hydrolysis on aerosols (NO₂ $\xrightarrow{\text{aerosol},\text{H}_2\text{O}} 0.5\text{HNO}_3 + 0.5\text{HNO}_2$), dry deposition, transport effects, and background sources (Gu et al., 2013; Lamsal et al., 2011). Therefore, it
- ³⁵ is necessary to first investigate the nonlinearities among NO_x emissions, NO₂ surface concentrations, and TVCDs over the CONUS before we compare the trends between NO₂ surface

concentrations and TVCDs. The nonlinearity between NO_x emission and NO₂ TVCD is analyzed by examining the local sensitivity of NO₂ TVCD to NO_x emissions (Gu et al., 2013; ⁴⁰ Lamsal et al., 2011; Tong et al., 2015), which is defined as β in Eq. (1). We further define γ as the sensitivity of NO₂ surface concentration to NO_x emission:

$$\frac{\Delta E}{E} = \beta \frac{\Delta \Omega}{\Omega},\tag{1}$$

$$\frac{\Delta E}{E} = \gamma \frac{\Delta c}{c},\tag{2}$$

where *E* denotes NO_{*x*} emission and *E* denotes the change in NO_{*x*} emission; Ω denotes NO₂ TVCD, *c* denotes surface NO₂ concentration, and $\Delta\Omega$ and Δc denote the corresponding changes.

We computed β and γ values for July 2011 over the CONUS using REAM. To compute local β and γ values, we added another independent group of chemistry species ("group 2") in REAM in order to compute the standard and sensitivity simulations concurrently. The original chemical 55 species in the model ("group 1") were used in the standard simulation. For group 2 chemical species, anthropogenic NO_x emissions were reduced by 15 %. In the model simulation, we first computed the advection of group 1 tracers. The horizontal tracer fluxes were therefore available. All influxes 60 into a grid cell for the group 2 tracer simulation were from the group 1 tracer simulation; only outfluxes were computed using group 2 tracers. The outflux was one way in that nitrogen species were transported out but the transport did not affect adjacent grid cells because the influxes were from the 65 group 1 tracer simulation. Using this procedure, the effects of anthropogenic NO_x emission reduction were localized. The β and γ values were computed by the ratio of TVCD and surface concentration changes to a 15% change in anthropogenic NO_x emissions. 70

Figure 2 shows the distributions of our β and γ ratios as a function of anthropogenic NO_x emissions for July 2011 over the CONUS. Results essentially the same as Fig. 2 were obtained when a perturbation of 10% was used for anthropogenic NO_x emissions. Figure S6 shows the distributions of 75 NO2 TVCD fraction in the boundary layer at 13:00-14:00 LT and 10:00–11:00 LT and the fraction of soil NO_x emissions in all surface sources (soil + anthropogenic) on weekdays for July 2011. In Fig. S7, we analyzed the contributions of background sources, chemical nonlinearity, and other fac-80 tors (transport, NO₂ hydrolysis on aerosols, and dry deposition) to the nonlinear relationships (β and γ) among anthropogenic NO_x emissions, NO_2 surface concentrations, and NO₂ TVCDs. While the model simulation is for 1 summer month, several key points on the surface and column concentration sensitivities to anthropogenic NO_x emissions have implications for comparing the trends of AQS and satellite TVCD data.

45

5

15

20

25

30

35

- Both β and γ values are negatively correlated with anthropogenic NO_x emissions due to chemical nonlinearity, transport, and background NO_x contributions (Figs. 2, S6, and S7) (Gu et al., 2016; Lamsal et al., 2011). It is consistent with the distribution of β as a
- function of NO_x emissions in China (Gu et al., 2013), although the β ratios for the US are generally larger than for China due primarily to different emission distributions of NO_x and VOCs and regional circulation patterns (Zhao et al., 2009b).
 - 2. The uncertainties of β and γ values increase significantly as anthropogenic NO_x emissions decrease, which means regions with low anthropogenic NO_x emissions are more sensitive to environmental conditions, such as NO_x transport from nearby regions which may even produce negative β and γ values (Figs. 2 and S7).
 - 3. The value of γ is generally less than β , especially for low anthropogenic NO_x emission regions, which reflects the significant contribution of free tropospheric NO₂ to NO₂ TVCD but not to NO₂ surface concentrations (Figs. 2, S6, and S7).
 - 4. Generally, the standard deviations of β and γ tend to be larger at 10:00–11:00 LT than at 13:00–14:00 LT, reflecting a stronger transport effect due to weaker chemical losses in the morning (Figs. 2 and S7).
 - β 5. Both and γ values are significantly less than 1 at 13:00-14:00 LT $(\beta = 0.75)$ and $\gamma = 0.84$) when anthropogenic NO_x emissions are $> 4 \times 10^{12}$ molecules cm⁻² s⁻¹, but they are close to 1 at 10:00–11:00 LT ($\beta = 0.97$ and $\gamma = 1.03$), which reflects stronger chemistry nonlinearity at noontime than in the morning (Figs. 2 and S7).
 - 6. Both background sources and non-emission factors contribute much more to β and γ values in low anthropogenic NO_x emission regions than in high anthropogenic NO_x emission regions (Fig. S7).
 - 7. Chemical nonlinearity contributes much less to β and γ values than background sources and transport effects in low anthropogenic NO_x emission regions (Fig. S7).
- ⁴⁰ 8. Generally, non-emission factors (mainly transport) contribute more to β and γ values than background sources in low anthropogenic NO_x emission regions (Fig. S7c and d) except for the first bin where background sources contribute more to β and γ values than non-emission
- factors at 10:00–11:00 LT, which is partly caused by some grid cells with extremely low anthropogenic NO_x emissions, increasing the mean contributions of background sources in the first bin.

The largely varying β and γ values for anthropogenic ⁵⁰ NO_x emissions $< 10^{11}$ molecules cm⁻² s⁻¹ imply that the



Figure 2. Distributions of β (a) and γ (b) ratios as a function of anthropogenic NO_x emissions on weekdays for July 2011 over the CONUS. "13:00–14:00 LT" is for OMI, and "10:00–11:00 LT" is for SCIAMACHY and GOME-2A/2B. The data are binned into nine groups based on anthropogenic NO_x emissions: $E \in (0, 2^1)$, $[2^1, 2^2), [2^2, 2^3), [2^3, 2^4), [2^4, 2^5), [2^5, 2^6), [2^6, 2^7), [2^7, 2^8), [2^8, 2^9) \times 10^{10}$ molecules cm⁻² s⁻¹. Here, $(0, 2^1)$ denotes $0 < \text{emissions} < 2^1$, and $[2^1, 2^2)$ denotes $2^1 \le \text{emissions} < 2^2$, similar to other intervals. The green dashed line denotes a value of 1. Error bars denote standard deviations.

trends derived from satellite TVCD data do not directly represent anthropogenic NO_x emissions and that the variations in TVCD data may not be comparable to the corresponding surface NO₂ concentrations. We define a region a "urban" if anthropogenic NO_x emissions from NEI2011 are 55 $> 10^{11}$ molecules cm⁻² s⁻¹. All the other regions are defined as "rural". Figure 3 shows the distributions of anthropogenic NO_x emissions and urban and rural regions defined in this study. Such defined urban regions account for 69.8 % of the total anthropogenic NO_x emissions over the CONUS, the 60 trend of which is, therefore, representative of anthropogenic emission changes. A caveat is that some urban regions would become rural if anthropogenic NO_x emissions decreased after 2011 as the EPA anthropogenic NO_x emission trend suggested (Fig. S2). In a sensitivity study, we define an urban 65 region using a stricter criterion of anthropogenic NO_x emissions > 2×10^{11} molecules cm⁻² s⁻¹, and the analysis results are similar to those shown in the next section.

3.2 Trend comparisons between NO₂ AQS surface concentrations and coincident satellite NO₂ tropospheric VCD over urban and rural regions

By using anthropogenic NO_x emissions of 10^{11} molecules cm⁻² s⁻¹ as the threshold value, 157 AQS sites are urban, and the remaining 22 sites are rural. Their properties are summarized in Table 2. Figure 4 shows 75 the relative annual variations in AQS NO₂ surface measurements at 13:00–14:00 LT and coincident OMI-QA4ECV NO₂ TVCD data from 2005 to 2017 in each season for urban and rural regions. The contrast between the two regions is apparent in all seasons. For comparison purposes, we scale the time series of TVCD and AQS surface NO₂ to



Figure 3. Spatial distributions of (**a**) anthropogenic NO_x emissions (unit: 10^{10} molecules cm⁻² s⁻¹) and (**b**) urban regions satisfying our selection criteria. In (**b**), light green and blue denote the resulting urban and rural regions, respectively.

their corresponding 2005 values, and the resulting data are therefore unitless. Over urban regions, NO₂ surface concentrations are highly correlated with NO₂ TVCDs (TVCD = $1.03 \times AQS + 0.11$, $R^2 = 0.98$), reflecting the ⁵ comparable and stable β and γ values (Fig. 2). However, over rural regions, the scaled TVCD data significantly deviate from AQS NO₂ data (TVCD = $1.15 \times AQS + 0.09$, $R^2 = 0.87$). It is noteworthy that the discrepancies between urban and rural data are smaller in winter than in spring, ¹⁰ summer, and autumn due to a more dominant role of

transport than chemistry and lower natural NO_x emissions in winter.

We also examine the correlations of AQS NO₂ surface concentrations with coincident OMI-NASA, OMI-BEHR, ¹⁵ SCIAMACHY, GOME-2A, and GOME-2B TVCD mea-

surements. The results of OMI-NASA and OMI-BEHR are similar to those of OMI-QA4ECV (Fig. 4). SCIA-MACHY and GOME-2B TVCD observations at 09:00– 11:00 LT also show a large contrast between urban 20 (SCIAMACHY: TVCD = $0.92 \times AQS - 0.005$, $R^2 = 0.94$;



Figure 4. Relative annual variations in AQS NO₂ surface concentrations and coincident OMI-QA4ECV NO₂ TVCD in each season from 2005 to 2017 for urban (left column) and rural (right column) regions. The observation data are scaled by the corresponding 2005 values. Black and red lines denote AQS surface observations and OMI-QA4ECV NO₂ TVCDs, respectively. Shading in a lighter color is added to show the standard deviation of the results; when uncertainty is small due in part to a large number of data points, shading may not show up.

GOME-2B: TVCD = $0.54 \times AQS + 0.56$, $R^2 = 0.96$) and rural regions (SCIAMACHY: TVCD = $0.77 \times AQS + 0.83$, $R^2 = 0.63$; GOME-2B: TVCD = $0.46 \times AQS + 0.73$, $R^2 =$ 0.59). The correlation of coincident GOME-2A NO₂ TVCD data with AQS surface concentrations is poor for rural (TVCD = $0.65 \times AQS + 0.56$, $R^2 = 0.44$) and urban (TVCD = $0.31 \times AQS + 0.56$, $R^2 = 0.21$) regions (Fig. S8), which likely reflects the degradation of the GOME-2A instrument causing a significant increase in NO₂ SCD uncertainties (Boersma et al., 2018). Therefore, we excluded ³⁰ GOME-2A in the analysis hereafter.

| Туре | Surface area fraction ¹ | Anthropogenic NO _x emissions $(\times 10^{10} \text{ molecules cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1})$ | β at 13:00–14:00 LT | γ at 13:00–14:00 LT | β at 10:00–11:00 LT | γ at 10:00–11:00 LT |
|--------------------------|--|---|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| Urban/CONUS ² | 17.3 % | 29.9 | 2.5 ± 1.0 | 1.5 ± 0.4 | 2.6 ± 1.9 | 1.6 ± 1.2 |
| Rural/CONUS | 82.7 % | 2.7 | 16.9 ± 16.4 | 8.5 ± 11.7 | 12.2 ± 14.0 | 6.4 ± 11.6 |
| Urban/AQS | 87.7 % | 71.0 | 1.6 ± 0.8 | 1.2 ± 0.4 | 1.7 ± 1.1 | 1.3 ± 0.6 |
| Rural/AQS | 12.3 % | 5.7 | 8.7 ± 9.9 | 5.2 ± 8.8 | 5.4 ± 15.1 | 3.8 ± 11.7 |

Table 2. Properties of urban and rural regions in July 2011.

¹ "Fraction" denotes the percentages of urban or rural data points for the whole CONUS or all AQS sites. ² "Urban-CONUS" denotes CONUS urban grid cells; "Urban-AQS" denotes AQS urban site grid cells.

We further investigate OMI-QA4ECV NO₂ TVCD relative annual variations from 2005 to 2017 over the regions with different anthropogenic NO_x emissions in Fig. 5. We find clear flattening of NO₂ TVCD variations as anthro-⁵ pogenic NO_x emissions decrease, which is consistent with the above analysis. Similar to Fig. 4, the spread of TVCD variation is much less in winter than the other seasons. The differences between Figs. 5 and 4 are due to a much larger dataset used in the former than the latter. Only coincident ¹⁰ AQS and OMI-QA4ECV data are used in Fig. 4, but all OMI-

QA4ECV data are used in Fig. 5.

3.3 Trend analysis of AQS NO₂ surface concentrations, satellite TVCDs, and updated EPA NO_x emissions

We first updated the Continuous Emission Monitoring Sys-¹⁵ tems (CEMS) measurement data used in the EPA NO_x emission trend datasets with the newest datasets obtained from https://ampd.epa.gov/ampd/ (last access: 17 June 2018). As shown in Fig. S2, the updated CEMS data lead to a reduction in anthropogenic NO_x emissions during the Great Re-²⁰ cession (2008–2009) and a recovery period in 2010–2011. The sharp drop during the Great Recession and the flattening trend right after the Great Recession are captured by OMI NO₂ and SCIAMACHY TVCD products (Figs. 4, 6, and S9) and AQS NO₂ surface measurements (Figs. 4, 6, and S5) and ²⁵ are also noted by Russell et al. (2012) and Tong et al. (2015)

(Table 1). In Fig. 6, we show the comparisons among the relative variations in the updated EPA anthropogenic NO_x emissions, AQS NO₂ surface measurements at 10:00–11:00 LT and 13:00–14:00 LT, and coincident satellite NO₂ TVCDs for urban regions in four seasons from 2003 to 2017. Also shown are the comparisons among the updated EPA anthropogenic NO_x emissions and satellite NO₂ TVCDs. There are many more data points for the latter comparison because the

³⁵ data selection is no longer limited to those coincident with the AQS surface data, and therefore, the uncertainty spread is much lower. The comparisons, in general, show that the updated EPA anthropogenic NO_x emissions, AQS surface measurements, and satellite TVCD data are in agreement.

40 The agreement of decreasing trends among the datasets is

just as good for the post-2011 period as the pre-2011 period. This result differs from Miyazaki et al. (2017) and Jiang et al. (2018), who suggested no significant decreasing trend for OMI TVCD data and inversed NO_x emissions after 2010. The disagreement can be explained by the results of Fig. 5. 45 Including the low anthropogenic NO_x emission regions leads to underestimates of NO_x decreases. Since the area of low anthropogenic NO_x emission regions is larger than high anthropogenic NO_x emission regions (Table 2), the arithmetic averaging will lead to a large weighting of rural observations, 50 which do not reflect anthropogenic NO_x emission changes. Miyazaki et al. (2017) and Jiang et al. (2018) included all regions in their analyses, but we exclude rural regions. Figure S9 shows the seasonal variations if the TVCDs over rural regions are included; the result shows a much lower de-55 creasing rate of TVCDs over the CONUS. The much slower satellite TVCD trends for regions with low NO_x emissions was previously discussed by Zhang et al. (2018). In addition, Miyazaki et al. (2017) and Jiang et al. (2018) conducted NO_x emission inversions by using the Model for Interdisciplinary 60 Research on Climate (MIROC)-Chem with a coarse resolution of $2.8^{\circ} \times 2.8^{\circ}$, which was insufficient to separate urban and rural regions and might distort predicted NO₂ TVCDs and inversed NO_x emissions due to nonlinear effects (Valin et al., 2011; Yu et al., 2016), which is another possible reason 65 for their finding of flattening NO_x emission trends after 2010.

We summarize the decreasing rates of NO₂ after the Great Recession in Table 3. To minimize the effect of the sharp decrease and the subsequent recovery, we chose to analyze the post-2011 period. Table 3 summarizes the results for each 70 season, while Table 1 gives the averaged annual decreasing trends. Generally, Tables 1 and 3 confirm the continuous decreases in AQS surface observations, satellite NO₂ TVCD, and updated EPA anthropogenic NO_x emissions after 2011 as in Fig. 6, but the decreasing rates are lower than the pre-75 2011 period. Over the AQS urban sites, the slowdown magnitudes are 9 % for AQS surface observations and 20 %-40 % for satellite NO2 TVCD measurements, which may reflect in part smaller γ than β values (Table 2). Our estimated slowdown magnitudes are significantly lower than Lamsal et 80 al. (2015) and Jiang et al. (2018) (Table 1), which might be caused by their different data processing methods, such as

www.atmos-chem-phys.net/19/1/2019/

| 2005 2008 2011 2014 2017 2005 2008 2011 2014 2017 4 | | AOS | 主 10.5 11 12.5 12.5 |
|--|--------|----------|---|
| Figure 5. Relative annual variations in OMI-QA4ECV NO2 \bigcirc TVCD for different anthropogenic NOx emission groups \bigcirc | | | -5.2% -6.0% |
| based on NEI2011 in each season from 2005 to 2017. " $E >= 64$ " denotes grid cells with anthropogenic NO _x emis- sions over 64×10^{10} molecules cm ⁻² s ⁻¹ . " $E >= 32$ " de- notes grid cells with anthropogenic NO _x emissions equal to | | CONUS | |
| or larger than 32×10^{10} molecules cm ⁻² s ⁻¹ but less than 64×10^{10} molecules cm ⁻² s ⁻¹ . " $E >= 16$ " and " $E >= 8$ " have similar meanings as " $E >= 32$ ". " $E < 8$ " denotes grid cells with anthropogenic NO _x emissions less than 8×10^{10} molecules cm ⁻² s ⁻¹ . Shading in a lighter color is added to show the standard deviation of the results; when uncer- tainty is small due in part to a large number of data points, shading | Autumn | AOS site | $-6.7\% \pm 1.8\%$ -7.3\% \pm 2.5\% |
| may not show up. | | CONUS | |
| including AQS sites with incomplete measurement records (Silvern et al., 2019). Over the CONUS urban regions, updated EPA anthro- pagenia NO, emissions show a slowdown of 22 % compared | Summer | AOS site | $\pm 0.9\%$ $\pm 1.2\%$ |
| to 29 %-46 % for three OMI NO ₂ TVCD products. The dif- ference is partially due to the β ratio of 2.5 ± 1.0 at 13:00- | | | -7.4 % -6.4 % |
| 14:00 LT over the CONUS urban regions (Table 2). Satel- lite NO ₂ TVCD measurement uncertainties also contribute to the difference. From 2013 to 2017, GOME-2B NO ₂ TVCDs decrease more than OMI products, especially in spring, au- | | CONUS | |
| tumn, and winter (Tables 1 and 3). Finally, trend analyses in different regions (Fig. 7 and Table S4) indicate that gener- ally, the Midwest has the least slowdown of the decreasing rate for urban OMI NO ₂ TVCD (-14% on average) after 2011 compared to the Northeast (-30%), South (-34%), | Spring | AOS site | $ \begin{array}{c c} -7.3 \% \pm 1.4 \% \\ -5.3 \% \pm 1.6 \% \end{array} $ |
| and West (-28%) . The results presented in this study are qualitatively in agreement with the work by Silvern et al (2019). The two | | | 003–2011 011–2017 |
| studies were independent. Therefore, the foci of the stud- ies are different despite reaching similar conclusions. While | | | MR 2 0LT 2 |
| we focused on understanding the detailed data analysis of Jiang et al. (2018) and limited the use of model simulation results so that our results can be compared to the previous | | | AQS NO ₂ VN at 13:00–14:0 |

| | | Spri | ng | Sumi | mer | Autı | um | Win | iter |
|---|------------------------|---|---|---|---|--|---|--|---|
| | | AQS site | CONUS | AQS site | CONUS | AQS site | CONUS | AQS site | CONUS |
| AQS NO ₂ VMR at 13:00–14:00LT | 2003–2011 2011–2017 | $\begin{array}{c} -7.3 \% \pm 1.4 \% \\ -5.3 \% \pm 1.6 \% \end{array}$ | | $\begin{array}{c} -7.4 \ \% \pm 0.9 \ \% \\ -6.4 \ \% \pm 1.2 \ \% \end{array}$ | | $\begin{array}{c} -6.7 \ \% \pm 1.8 \ \% \\ -7.3 \ \% \pm 2.5 \ \% \end{array}$ | | $\begin{array}{c} -5.2\%\pm0.8\%\\ -6.0\%\pm2.8\%\end{array}$ | |
| AQS NO ₂ VMR at 10:00–11:00LT | 2003–2011 2011–2017 | $\begin{array}{c} -7.1 \ \% \pm 1.6 \ \% \\ -4.4 \ \% \pm 1.4 \ \% \end{array}$ | | $\begin{array}{c} -7.6 \ \% \pm 1.5 \ \% \\ -6.1 \ \% \pm 1.8 \ \% \end{array}$ | | $\begin{array}{c} -6.2 \ \% \pm 2.2 \ \% \\ -6.3 \ \% \pm 2.5 \ \% \end{array}$ | | $\begin{array}{c} -4.4\%\pm1.6\%\\ -5.2\%\pm2.4\%\end{array}$ | |
| SCIAMACHY | 2003–2011 2011–2017 | $-8.8\% \pm 3.4\%$ | $-6.9\% \pm 1.1\%$ | $-8.2\% \pm 1.6\%$ | $-5.2\% \pm 1.2\%$ | $-6.8\% \pm 2.4\%$ | $-5.6\% \pm 2.1\%$ | $-6.4\% \pm 7.4\%$ | $-7.5\% \pm 5.5\%$ |
| GOME2B | 2003–2011 2013–2017 | $-10.2\% \pm 7.8\%$ | $-8.3\% \pm 16.9\%$ | $-6.4\% \pm 14.0\%$ | $-5.3\% \pm 4.0\%$ | $-10.5\% \pm 41.6\%$ | $-6.9\% \pm 13.2\%$ | $-13.6\% \pm 15.1\%$ | $-12.3\% \pm 78.9\%$ |
| OMI-QA4ECV | 2005–2011 2011–2017 | $\begin{array}{c} -9.3 \ \% \pm 5.6 \ \% \\ -5.3 \ \% \pm 6.0 \ \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c} -8.3 \ \% \pm 4.6 \ \% \\ -4.3 \ \% \pm 6.5 \ \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c} -8.3 \ \% \pm 2.4 \ \% \\ -4.2 \ \% \pm 3.0 \ \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c} -5.9 \ \% \pm 5.2 \ \% \\ -4.9 \ \% \pm 9.2 \ \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c} -10.0\%\pm4.2\%\\ -6.0\%\pm1.8\%\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c} -7.4 \% \pm 2.4 \% \\ -3.8 \% \pm 1.8 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c} -8.3\%\pm2.1\%\\ -6.1\%\pm25.6\%\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c} -9.3 \ \% \pm 5.2 \ \% \\ -3.8 \ \% \pm 3.5 \ \% \end{array}$ |
| OMI-NASA | 2005–2011 2011–2016 | $\begin{array}{c} -9.4\%\pm5.0\%\\ -4.4\%\pm18.9\%\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c} -9.6 \ \% \pm 5.3 \ \% \\ -3.8 \ \% \pm 7.5 \ \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c} -9.4 \ \% \pm 2.8 \ \% \\ -5.7 \ \% \pm 6.7 \ \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c} -7.1 \ \% \pm 2.9 \ \% \\ -4.5 \ \% \pm 5.3 \ \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c} -9.4 \ \% \pm 3.2 \ \% \\ -6.0 \ \% \pm 3.1 \ \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c} -8.1 \ \% \pm 2.8 \ \% \\ -4.6 \ \% \pm 3.9 \ \% \end{array}$ | $-7.8\% \pm 3.6\%$ $-12.8\% \pm 7.8\%$ | $\begin{array}{c} -9.5\%\pm16.6\%\\ -11.4\%\pm6.6\%\end{array}$ |
| OMI-BEHR | 2005–2011 2011–2016 | $\begin{array}{c} -9.1 \ \% \pm 5.3 \ \% \\ -3.8 \ \% \pm 4.4 \ \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c} -8.9 \ \% \pm 5.8 \ \% \\ -3.0 \ \% \pm 4.0 \ \% \end{array}$ | -8.7 % ±2.4 % -5.4 % ±7.0 % | $\begin{array}{c} -6.4 \ \% \pm 3.2 \ \% \\ -3.9 \ \% \pm 6.6 \ \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c} -9.2 \ \% \pm 3.2 \ \% \\ -5.6 \ \% \pm 13.2 \ \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c} -8.0 \% \pm 3.1 \% \\ -4.1 \% \pm 14.0 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c} -8.5 \ \% \pm 10.6 \ \% \\ -9.9 \ \% \pm 5.2 \ \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c} -9.4\%\pm23.0\%\\ -6.7\%\pm5.9\%\end{array}$ |
| EPA | 2003–2011 2011–2017 | | | | -6.5 -5.1 | % ± 0.8 % % ± 0.3 % | | | |



1.0

0.8

0.6

0.4

Normalized NO₂ TVCD

Autumn

Normalized NO₂ TVCD

1.0

0.8

0.6

0.4

| J. Li and Y. | Wang: Inferring | the US NO_x em | ission trend from | satellite observations |
|--------------|-----------------|------------------|-------------------|------------------------|
|--------------|-----------------|------------------|-------------------|------------------------|

Winter



Figure 6. Relative variations in AQS NO₂ surface measurements at 13:00–14:00 LT and 10:00–11:00 LT, updated EPA anthropogenic NO_x emissions, and satellite NO₂ TVCD data over the AQS urban sites (left column) and the CONUS urban regions (right column) for four seasons. AQS NO₂ surface measurements are not included in the right column. All datasets are scaled by their corresponding values in 2011 except for GOME-2B. For GOME-2B, we firstly normalized the values in each season to the corresponding 2013 values and plotted the relative changes from the 2013 EPA point of each season to make the GOME-2B relative variations comparable to the other datasets. Shading in a lighter color is added to show the standard deviation of the results; when uncertainty is small due in part to a large number of data points, shading may not show up.

study directly, Silvern et al. (2019) relied more on multiyear model simulations. As a result, Silvern et al. (2019) can clearly identify the contributions of the NO₂ columns by natural emissions and make use of additional observations such 5 as nitrate deposition fluxes. They also identified model biases



Figure 7. Pre- and post-2011 OMI NO₂ TVCD trends for four seasons in the urban regions of Northeast, Midwest, South, and West. Black bars denote OMI-QA4ECV NO₂ TVCD trends from 2005 to 2011; gray bars denote the corresponding trends during 2011–2017. Blue bars denote OMI-NASA trends from 2005 to 2011; cyan bars denote OMI-NASA trends from 2011 to 2016. Red bars denote OMI-BEHR trends from 2005 to 2011; pink bars denote OMI-BEHR trends from 2016.

in simulating the trends of NO_2 TVCDs by missing natural emissions in the free troposphere. Our study, on the other hand, explored the data analysis procedure through which the trend of anthropogenic emissions can be derived from satellite observations and its limitations.

10

4 Conclusions

Using model simulations for July 2011, we demonstrate the nonlinear relationship of NO₂ surface concentration and TVCD with anthropogenic NO_x emissions. Over low anthropogenic NO_x emission regions, the ratios of anthropogenic 15 NO_x emission changes to the changes in surface concentrations (γ) and TVCDs (β) have very large variations and $\beta > \gamma \gg 1$. Therefore, for the same emission changes, surface concentration and TVCD changes are much smaller and more variable than urban regions, making it difficult to use 20 the observations to directly infer anthropogenic NO_x emission trends. We find that defining urban regions where anthropogenic NO_x emissions are > 10^{11} molecules cm⁻² s⁻¹ and using surface and TVCD observations over these regions can infer the trends that can be compared with the EPA emis-25 sion trend estimates.

We evaluate the anthropogenic NO_x emission variations from 2003 to 2017 over the CONUS by using satellite NO₂ TVCD products from GOME-2B, SCIAMACHY, OMI-QA4ECV, OMI-NASA, and OMI-BEHR, over the urban regions of CONUS. We find broad agreement among the decreases in AQS NO₂ surface observations, satellite NO₂

- ⁵ TVCD products, and the EPA anthropogenic NO_x emissions with the CEMS dataset updated. After 2011, they all show a slowdown of the decreasing rates. Over the AQS urban sites, NO₂ surface concentrations have a slowdown of 9% and OMI products show a slowdown of 20%–40%. Over
- ¹⁰ the CONUS urban regions, OMI TVCD products indicate a slowdown of 29 %–46 %, and the updated EPA anthropogenic NO_x emissions have a slowdown of 22 %. The different slowdown magnitudes between OMI TVCD products and the other two datasets may be caused by the nonlinear
- ¹⁵ response of TVCD to anthropogenic emissions and the uncertainties of satellite measurements (e.g., GOME-2B TVCD data show a larger decreasing trend than OMI products from 2013 to 2017).

We did not find observation evidence supporting the notion

²⁰ that anthropogenic NO_x emissions have not been decreasing after the Great Recession. In future studies, we recommend that the nonlinear relationships of NO_x emissions with NO_2 TVCD and surface concentration be carefully evaluated when applying satellite and surface measurements to infer

 $_{25}$ the changes in anthropogenic NO_x emissions.

Data availability. The NCEP CFSv2 products are from https://doi.org/10.5065/D61C1TXF (last access: 10 March 2015). The EPA AQS hourly surface NO₂ measurements are downloaded from https://aqs.epa.gov/aqsweb/airdata/download_files.html#Raw ³⁰ (last access: 27 June 2018; EPA, 2018a). QA4ECV 1.1 NO₂

- VCD products (OMI-QA4ECV, GOME-2A, and SCIAMACHY) are from http://temis.nl/qa4ecv/no2col/data/ (last access: 20 August 2018; ESA, 2017). GOME-2B NO₂ VCD products are from http://www.temis.nl/airpollution/no2col/no2colgome2b.php
- ³⁵ (last access: 20 August 2018; ESA, 2013). OMI-BEHR and OMI-NASA archives are from http://behr.cchem.berkeley.edu/ DownloadBEHRData.aspx (last access: 18 August 2018; Laughner et al., 2018a). REAM simulation results for this study are available upon request.
- ⁴⁰ *Supplement*. The supplement related to this article is available online at: https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-19-1-2019-supplement.

Author contributions. JL and YW designed the study. JL conducted model simulations and data analyses with discussions with YW. JL and YW wrote the paper.

45 *Competing interests.* The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgements. We thank Ruixiong Zhang for discussions with Jianfeng Li. We thank Benjamin Wells, Alison Eyth, Lee Tooly from EPA, the EPA MOVES team, Betty Carter from COORDI-NATING RESEARCH COUNCIL, INC., Brian McDonald from 50 NOAA, and Zhe Jiang from the University of Science and Technology of China for helping us understand the NEI MOVES mobile source emissions.

Financial support. This research has been supported by the National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA ACMAP program).

Review statement. This paper was edited by Andreas Richter and reviewed by three anonymous referees.

References

- Alkuwari, F. A., Guillas, S., and Wang, Y.: Statistical downscaling of an air quality model using Fitted Empirical Orthogonal Functions, Atmos. Environ., 81, 1–10, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2013.08.031, 2013.
- Beaver, M., Long, R., and Kronmiller, K.: Characterization and Development of Measurement Methods for Ambient Nitrogen 65 Dioxide (NO₂), National Air Quality Conference – Ambient Air Monitoring 2012, Denver, CO, US, 2012.
- Beaver, M., Kronmiller, K., Duvall, R., Kaushik, S., Morphy, T., King, P., and Long, R.: Direct and Indirect Methods for the Measurement of Ambient Nitrogen Dioxide, AWMA Measurement 70 Technologies meeting, Sacramento, CA, US, 2013.
- Beirle, S., Platt, U., Wenig, M., and Wagner, T.: Weekly cycle of NO2 by GOME measurements: a signature of anthropogenic sources, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 3, 2225–2232, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-3-2225-2003, 2003.
- Bishop, G. A. and Stedman, D. H.: Reactive nitrogen species emission trends in three light-/medium-duty United States fleets, Environ. Sci. Technol., 49, 11234–11240, https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.5b02392, 2015.
- Boersma, K. F., Eskes, H. J., Richter, A., De Smedt, I., Lorente, ⁸⁰ A., Beirle, S., van Geffen, J. H. G. M., Zara, M., Peters, E., Van Roozendael, M., Wagner, T., Maasakkers, J. D., van der A, R. J., Nightingale, J., De Rudder, A., Irie, H., Pinardi, G., Lambert, J.-C., and Compernolle, S. C.: Improving algorithms and uncertainty estimates for satellite NO₂ retrievals: results from the quality assurance for the essential climate variables (QA4ECV) project, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 11, 6651–6678, https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-11-6651-2018, 2018.
- Cheng, Y., Wang, Y., Zhang, Y., Chen, G., Crawford, J. H., Kleb, M. M., Diskin, G. S., and Weinheimer, A. J.: 90 Large biogenic contribution to boundary layer O₃-CO regression slope in summer, Geophys. Res. Lett., 44, 7061–7068, https://doi.org/10.1002/2017GL074405, 2017.
- Cheng, Y., Wang, Y., Zhang, Y., Crawford, J. H., Diskin, G. S., Weinheimer, A. J., and Fried, A.: Estimator of surface ozone using formaldehyde and carbon monoxide concentrations over the eastern United States in summer, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 123, 7642–7655, https://doi.org/10.1029/2018JD028452, 2018.

- Choi, Y., Wang, Y., Zeng, T., Martin, R. V., Kurosu, T. P., and Chance, K.: Evidence of lightning NO_x and convective transport of pollutants in satellite observations over North America, Geophys. Res. Lett., 32, L02805, https://doi.org/10.1029/2004GL021436, 2005.
- Choi, Y., Wang, Y., Yang, Q., Cunnold, D., Zeng, T., Shim, C., Luo, M., Eldering, A., Bucsela, E., and Gleason, J.: Spring to summer northward migration of high O₃ over the western North Atlantic, Geophys. Res. Lett., 35, L04818, https://doi.org/10.1029/2007GL032276, 2008a.
- Choi, Y., Wang, Y., Zeng, T., Cunnold, D., Yang, E. S., Martin, R., Chance, K., Thouret, V., and Edgerton, E.: Springtime transitions of NO₂, CO, and O₃ over North America: Model evaluation and analysis, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 113, D20311, https://doi.org/10.1020/2007/D000622.2008b
- 15 https://doi.org/10.1029/2007JD009632, 2008b.
- Choi, Y., Kim, H., Tong, D., and Lee, P.: Summertime weekly cycles of observed and modeled NO_x and O₃ concentrations as a function of satellite-derived ozone production sensitivity and land use types over the Continental United States, At ²⁰ mos. Chem. Phys., 12, 6291–6307, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-
- 12-6291-2012, 2012. Crouse, D. L., Peters, P. A., Hystad, P., Brook, J. R., van Donke-
- Crouse, D. L., Peters, P. A., Hystad, P., Brook, J. R., Van Donkelaar, A., Martin, R. V., Villeneuve, P. J., Jerrett, M., Goldberg, M. S., and Pope III, C. A.: Ambient PM_{2.5}, O₃, and
- NO₂ exposures and associations with mortality over 16 years of follow-up in the Canadian Census Health and Environment Cohort (CanCHEC), Environ. Health Perspect., 123, 1180, https://doi.org/10.1289/ehp.1409276, 2015.
- De Gouw, J. A., Parrish, D. D., Frost, G. J., and Trainer, M.: Reduced emissions of CO₂, NO_x, and SO₂ from US power plants owing to switch from coal to natural gas with combined cycle technology, Earth's Future, 2, 75–82, https://doi.org/10.1002/2013EF000196, 2014.
- Drosoglou, T., Bais, A. F., Zyrichidou, I., Kouremeti, N., Poup-
- kou, A., Liora, N., Giannaros, C., Koukouli, M. E., Balis, D., and Melas, D.: Comparisons of ground-based tropospheric NO₂ MAX-DOAS measurements to satellite observations with the aid of an air quality model over the Thessaloniki area, Greece, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 17, 5829–5849, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-17-5829-2017, 2017.
- Drosoglou, T., Koukouli, M. E., Kouremeti, N., Bais, A. F., Zyrichidou, I., Balis, D., van der A, R. J., Xu, J., and Li, A.: MAX-DOAS NO₂ observations over Guangzhou, China; ground-based and satellite comparisons, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 11, 2239–2255, https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-11-2239-2018, 2018.
- EPA: PROFILE OF VERSION 1 OF THE 2014 NATIONAL EMIS-SIONS INVENTORY, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, available at: https://www.epa.gov/sites/production/files/2017-04/ documents/2014neiv1_profile_final_april182017.pdf (last access: 10 January 2019), 2017.
- EPA: Air Data Pre-Generated Data Files, available at: https: //aqs.epa.gov/aqsweb/airdata/download_files.html#Raw, last access: 27 June 2018a.
- EPA: Air Pollutant Emissions Trends Data, avail-
- able at: https://www.epa.gov/air-emissions-inventories/ air-pollutant-emissions-trends-data, last access: 13 August 2018b.

- ESA: Total and Tropospheric NO₂ columns from GOME2 (METOP-B), available at: http://www.temis.nl/airpollution/ no2col/no2colgome2b.php (last access: 20 August 2018), 2013. 60
- ESA: Index of /qa4ecv/no2col/data, available at: http://temis.nl/ qa4ecv/no2col/data/ (last access: 20 August 2018), 2017.
- Fisher, J. A., Jacob, D. J., Travis, K. R., Kim, P. S., Marais, E. A., Chan Miller, C., Yu, K., Zhu, L., Yantosca, R. M., Sulprizio, M. P., Mao, J., Wennberg, P. O., Crounse, J. D., Teng, 65
 A. P., Nguyen, T. B., St. Clair, J. M., Cohen, R. C., Romer, P., Nault, B. A., Wooldridge, P. J., Jimenez, J. L., Campuzano-Jost, P., Day, D. A., Hu, W., Shepson, P. B., Xiong, F., Blake, D. R., Goldstein, A. H., Misztal, P. K., Hanisco, T. F., Wolfe, G. M., Ryerson, T. B., Wisthaler, A., and Mikoviny, T.: Organic nitrate chemistry and its implications for nitrogen budgets in an isoprene- and monoterpene-rich atmosphere: constraints from aircraft (SEAC4RS) and ground-based (SOAS) observations in the Southeast US, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 16, 5969–5991, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-16-5969-2016, 2016.
- Georgoulias, A. K., van der A, R. J., Stammes, P., Boersma, K. F., and Eskes, H. J.: Trends and trend reversal detection in 2 decades of tropospheric NO₂ satellite observations, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 19, 6269–6294, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-19-6269-2019, 2019.

80

- Greenberg, N., Carel, R. S., Derazne, E., Bibi, H., Shpriz, M., Tzur, D., and Portnov, B. A.: Different effects of long-term exposures to SO₂ and NO₂ air pollutants on asthma severity in young adults, J. Toxicol. Environ. Health, A, 79, 342–351, https://doi.org/10.1080/15287394.2016.1153548, 2016.
- Greenberg, N., Carel, R. S., Derazne, E., Tiktinsky, A., Tzur, D., and Portnov, B. A.: Modeling long-term effects attributed to nitrogen dioxide (NO₂) and sulfur dioxide (SO₂) exposure on asthma morbidity in a nationwide cohort in Israel, J. Toxicol. Environ. Health, A, 80, 326–337, ⁹⁰ https://doi.org/10.1080/15287394.2017.1313800, 2017.
- Gu, D., Wang, Y., Smeltzer, C., and Liu, Z.: Reduction in NO_x emission trends over China: Regional and seasonal variations, Environ. Sci. Technol., 47, 12912–12919, https://doi.org/10.1021/es401727e, 2013.
- Gu, D., Wang, Y., Smeltzer, C., and Boersma, K. F.: Anthropogenic emissions of NO_x over China: Reconciling the difference of inverse modeling results using GOME-2 and OMI measurements, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 119, 7732–7740, https://doi.org/10.1002/2014JD021644, 2014.
- Gu, D., Wang, Y., Yin, R., Zhang, Y., and Smeltzer, C.: Inverse modelling of NO_x emissions over eastern China: uncertainties due to chemical non-linearity, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 9, 5193–5201, https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-9-5193-2016, 2016.
- Guenther, A. B., Jiang, X., Heald, C. L., Sakulyanontvittaya, ¹⁰⁵ T., Duhl, T., Emmons, L. K., and Wang, X.: The Model of Emissions of Gases and Aerosols from Nature version 2.1 (MEGAN2.1): an extended and updated framework for modeling biogenic emissions, Geosci. Model Dev., 5, 1471–1492, https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-5-1471-2012, 2012. ¹¹⁰
- Hassler, B., McDonald, B. C., Frost, G. J., Borbon, A., Carslaw, D. C., Civerolo, K., Granier, C., Monks, P. S., Monks, S., and Parrish, D. D.: Analysis of long-term observations of NO_x and CO in megacities and application to constraining emissions inventories, Geophys. Res. Lett., 43, 9920–9930, ¹¹⁵ https://doi.org/10.1002/2016GL069894, 2016.

J. Li and Y. Wang: Inferring the US NO_x emission trend from satellite observations

- Heinrich, J., Thiering, E., Rzehak, P., Krämer, U., Hochadel, M., Rauchfuss, K. M., Gehring, U., and Wichmann, H.-E.: Longterm exposure to NO_2 and PM_{10} and all-cause and causespecific mortality in a prospective cohort of women, Occup. En-
- viron. Med., 70, 179–186, https://doi.org/10.1136/oemed-2012-100876, 2013.
- Jiang, Z., McDonald, B. C., Worden, H., Worden, J. R., Miyazaki, K., Qu, Z., Henze, D. K., Jones, D. B. A., Arellano, A. F., and Fischer, E. V.: Unexpected slowdown of US pollutant emission
 reduction in the past decade, P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 115, 5099–
- reduction in the past decade, P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 115, 509 5104, https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1801191115, 2018.
- Kampa, M. and Castanas, E.: Human health effects of air pollution, Environ. Pollut., 151, 362–367, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2007.06.012, 2008.
- ¹⁵ Kebabian, P. L., Herndon, S. C., and Freedman, A.: Detection of nitrogen dioxide by cavity attenuated phase shift spectroscopy, Anal. Chem., 77, 724–728, https://doi.org/10.1021/ac048715y, 2005.

Koo, J.-H., Wang, Y., Kurosu, T. P., Chance, K., Rozanov, A.,

- Richter, A., Oltmans, S. J., Thompson, A. M., Hair, J. W., Fenn, M. A., Weinheimer, A. J., Ryerson, T. B., Solberg, S., Huey, L. G., Liao, J., Dibb, J. E., Neuman, J. A., Nowak, J. B., Pierce, R. B., Natarajan, M., and Al-Saadi, J.: Characteristics of tropospheric ozone depletion events in the Arctic spring: analysis
- of the ARCTAS, ARCPAC, and ARCIONS measurements and satellite BrO observations, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 12, 9909–9922, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-12-9909-2012, 2012.
- Krotkov, N. A., Lamsal, L. N., Celarier, E. A., Swartz, W. H., Marchenko, S. V., Bucsela, E. J., Chan, K. L., Wenig, M.,
- and Zara, M.: The version 3 OMI NO₂ standard product, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 10, 3133–3149, https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-10-3133-2017, 2017.
- Lamsal, L. N., Martin, R. V., Padmanabhan, A., Van Donkelaar, A., Zhang, Q., Sioris, C. E., Chance, K., Kurosu,
- T. P., and Newchurch, M. J.: Application of satellite observations for timely updates to global anthropogenic NO_x emission inventories, Geophys. Res. Lett., 38, L05810, https://doi.org/10.1029/2010GL046476, 2011.

Lamsal, L. N., Duncan, B. N., Yoshida, Y., Krotkov, N.

- A., Pickering, K. E., Streets, D. G., and Lu, Z.: US NO₂ trends (2005–2013): EPA Air Quality System (AQS) data versus improved observations from the Ozone Monitoring Instrument (OMI), Atmos. Environ., 110, 130–143, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2015.03.055, 2015.
- ⁴⁵ Laughner, J. L., Zhu, Q., and Cohen, R. C.: Berkeley High Resolution (BEHR) OMI NO₂ – Native pixels, monthly profiles, UC Berkeley, Dataset, https://doi.org/10.6078/D1N086 (previously available at: http://behr.cchem.berkeley.edu/ DownloadBEHRData.aspx, last access: 18 August 2018), 2018a.
- ⁵⁰ Laughner, J. L., Zhu, Q., and Cohen, R. C.: The Berkeley High Resolution Tropospheric NO₂ product, Earth Syst. Sci. Data, 10, 2069–2095, https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-10-2069-2018, 2018b.
 - Li, J., Mao, J., Fiore, A. M., Cohen, R. C., Crounse, J. D., Teng, A. P., Wennberg, P. O., Lee, B. H., Lopez-Hilfiker, F. D., Thornton,
- J. A., Peischl, J., Pollack, I. B., Ryerson, T. B., Veres, P., Roberts, J. M., Neuman, J. A., Nowak, J. B., Wolfe, G. M., Hanisco, T. F., Fried, A., Singh, H. B., Dibb, J., Paulot, F., and Horowitz, L. W.: Decadal changes in summertime reactive oxidized nitrogen and surface ozone over the Southeast United States, At-

mos. Chem. Phys., 18, 2341–2361, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp- 60 18-2341-2018, 2018.

- Li, J., Wang, Y., and Qu, H.: Dependence of summertime surface ozone on NO_x and VOC emissions over the United States: Peak time and value, Geophys. Res. Lett., 46, 3540–3550, https://doi.org/10.1029/2018GL081823, 2019.
- Liu, Z., Wang, Y., Vrekoussis, M., Richter, A., Wittrock, F., Burrows, J. P., Shao, M., Chang, C. C., Liu, S. C., and Wang, H.: Exploring the missing source of glyoxal (CHOCHO) over China, Geophys. Res. Lett., 39, L10812, https://doi.org/10.1029/2012GL051645, 2012.
- Liu, Z., Wang, Y., Costabile, F., Amoroso, A., Zhao, C., Huey, L. G., Stickel, R., Liao, J., and Zhu, T.: Evidence of aerosols as a media for rapid daytime HONO production over China, Environ. Sci. Technol., 48, 14386–14391, https://doi.org/10.1021/es504163z, 2014.
- Luo, C., Wang, Y., and Koshak, W. J.: Development of a self-consistent lightning NO_x simulation in large-scale 3-D models, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 122, 3141–3154, https://doi.org/10.1002/2016JD026225, 2017.
- McDonald, B., McKeen, S., Cui, Y. Y., Ahmadov, R., Kim, S.-W., Frost, G. J., Pollack, I., Peischl, J., Ryerson, T. B., and Holloway, J.: Modeling Ozone in the Eastern US using a Fuel-Based Mobile Source Emissions Inventory, Environ. Sci. Technol., 52, 7360– 7370, https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.8b00778, 2018.
- Miyazaki, K., Eskes, H., Sudo, K., Boersma, K. F., Bowman, K., ⁸⁵ and Kanaya, Y.: Decadal changes in global surface NO_x emissions from multi-constituent satellite data assimilation, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 17, 807–837, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-17-807-2017, 2017.
- Myhre, G., Shindell, D., Bréon, F.-M., Collins, W., Fuglestvedt, ⁹⁰ J., Huang, J., Koch, D., Lamarque, J.-F., Lee, D., Mendoza, B., Nakajima, T., Robock, A., Stephens, G., Takemura, T., and Zhang, H.: Anthropogenic and natural radiative forcing, in: Climate change 2013: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA, 659–740, 2013.
- Pandey, J. S., Kumar, R., and Devotta, S.: Health risks of NO₂, SPM and SO₂ in Delhi (India), Atmos. Environ., 39, 6868–6874, 100 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2005.08.004, 2005.
- Price, C. and Rind, D.: A simple lightning parameterization for calculating global lightning distributions, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 97, 9919–9933, https://doi.org/10.1029/92JD00719, 1992.
- Russell, A. R., Valin, L. C., and Cohen, R. C.: Trends in OMI 105 NO₂ observations over the United States: effects of emission control technology and the economic recession, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 12, 12197–12209, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-12-12197-2012, 2012.
- Saha, S., Moorthi, S., Wu, X., Wang, J., Nadiga, S., Tripp, P., 110 Behringer, D., Hou, Y., Chuang, H., Iredell, M., Ek, M., Meng, J., Yang, R., Mendez, M. P., van den Dool, H., Zhang, Q., Wang, W., Chen, M., and Becker, E.: NCEP Climate Forecast System Version 2 (CFSv2) 6-hourly Products, Research Data Archive at the National Center for Atmospheric Research, Computational and Information Systems Laboratory, https://doi.org/10.5065/D61C1TXF, 2011.

70

- Seinfeld, J. H., and Pandis, S. N.: Atmospheric chemistry and physics: from air pollution to climate change, John Wiley & Sons, Inc, Hoboken, New Jersey, 2016.
- Silvern, R. F., Jacob, D. J., Mickley, L. J., Sulprizio, M. P., Travis,
- K. R., Marais, E. A., Cohen, R. C., Laughner, J. L., Choi, S., Joiner, J., and Lamsal, L. N.: Using satellite observations of tropospheric NO₂ columns to infer long-term trends in US NO_x emissions: the importance of accounting for the free tropospheric NO₂ background, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 19, 8863–8878, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-19-8863-2019, 2019.
- Singh, A., and Agrawal, M.: Acid rain and its ecological consequences, J. Environ. Biol., 29, 15–24, 2007.
- Tong, D., Lamsal, L., Pan, L., Ding, C., Kim, H., Lee, P., Chai, T., Pickering, K. E., and Stajner, I.: Long-term NO_x trends
- over large cities in the United States during the great recession: Comparison of satellite retrievals, ground observations, and emission inventories, Atmos. Environ., 107, 70–84, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2015.01.035, 2015.

Valin, L. C., Russell, A. R., Hudman, R. C., and Cohen, R.

- 20 C.: Effects of model resolution on the interpretation of satellite NO2 observations, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11, 11647–11655, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-11-11647-2011, 2011.
- Wang, Y., Choi, Y., Zeng, T., Davis, D., Buhr, M., Huey, L. G., and Neff, W.: Assessing the photochemical impact of snow NO_x
- emissions over Antarctica during ANTCI 2003, Atmos. Environ., 41, 3944–3958, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2007.01.056, 2007.
 - Wang, Y., Beirle, S., Lampel, J., Koukouli, M., De Smedt, I., Theys, N., Li, A., Wu, D., Xie, P., Liu, C., Van Roozendael, M.,
- Stavrakou, T., Müller, J.-F., and Wagner, T.: Validation of OMI, GOME-2A and GOME-2B tropospheric NO₂, SO₂ and HCHO products using MAX-DOAS observations from 2011 to 2014 in Wuxi, China: investigation of the effects of priori profiles and aerosols on the satellite products, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 17, 5007– 5033, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-17-5007-2017, 2017.
- Weinmayr, G., Romeo, E., De Sario, M., Weiland, S. K., and Forastiere, F.: Short-term effects of PM_{10} and NO_2 on respiratory health among children with asthma or asthma-like symptoms: a systematic review and meta-analysis, Environ. Health
- ⁴⁰ Perspect., 118, 449–457, https://doi.org/10.1289/ehp.0900844, 2009.
- Xing, J., Pleim, J., Mathur, R., Pouliot, G., Hogrefe, C., Gan, C.-M., and Wei, C.: Historical gaseous and primary aerosol emissions in the United States from 1990 to 2010, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 13, 7531–7549, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-13-7531-2013, 2013.
- Yang, Q., Wang, Y., Zhao, C., Liu, Z., Gustafson Jr., W. I., and Shao, M.: NO_x emission reduction and its effects on ozone during the 2008 Olympic Games, Environ. Sci. Technol., 45, 6404–6410, https://doi.org/10.1021/es200675v, 2011.

- Yienger, J. J. and Levy, H.: Empirical model of global soil-biogenic 50 NO_x emissions, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 100, 11447–11464, https://doi.org/10.1029/95JD00370, 1995.
- Yu, K., Jacob, D. J., Fisher, J. A., Kim, P. S., Marais, E. A., Miller, C. C., Travis, K. R., Zhu, L., Yantosca, R. M., Sulprizio, M. P., Cohen, R. C., Dibb, J. E., Fried, A., Mikoviny, T., Ryerson, T. 55.
 B., Wennberg, P. O., and Wisthaler, A.: Sensitivity to grid resolution in the ability of a chemical transport model to simulate observed oxidant chemistry under high-isoprene conditions, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 16, 4369–4378, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-16-4369-2016, 2016.
- Zara, M., Boersma, K. F., De Smedt, I., Richter, A., Peters, E., van Geffen, J. H. G. M., Beirle, S., Wagner, T., Van Roozendael, M., Marchenko, S., Lamsal, L. N., and Eskes, H. J.: Improved slant column density retrieval of nitrogen dioxide and formaldehyde for OMI and GOME-2A from QA4ECV: intercomparison, uncertainty characterisation, and trends, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 11, 4033–4058, https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-11-4033-2018, 2018.
- Zhang, R., Wang, Y., He, Q., Chen, L., Zhang, Y., Qu, H., Smeltzer, C., Li, J., Alvarado, L. M. A., Vrekoussis, M., Richter, A., Wittrock, F., and Burrows, J. P.: Enhanced trans-Himalaya pollution transport to the Tibetan Plateau by cut-off low systems, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 17, 3083–3095, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-17-3083-2017, 2017.
- Zhang, R., Wang, Y., Smeltzer, C., Qu, H., Koshak, W., and Boersma, K. F.: Comparing OMI-based and EPA AQS 75 in situ NO₂ trends: towards understanding surface NO_x emission changes, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 11, 3955–3967, https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-11-3955-2018, 2018.
- Zhang, Y. and Wang, Y.: Climate-driven ground-level ozone extreme in the fall over the Southeast United ⁸⁰ States, P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 113, 10025–10030, https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1602563113, 2016.
- Zhao, C. and Wang, Y.: Assimilated inversion of NO_x emissions over east Asia using OMI NO₂ column measurements, Geophys. Res. Lett., 36, L06805, https://doi.org/10.1029/2008GL037123, 85 2009.
- Zhao, C., Wang, Y., Choi, Y., and Zeng, T.: Summertime impact of convective transport and lightning NO_x production over North America: modeling dependence on meteorological simulations, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9, 4315–4327, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-90-9-4315-2009, 2009a.
- Zhao, C., Wang, Y., and Zeng, T.: East China plains: A "basin" of ozone pollution, Environ. Sci. Technol., 43, 1911–1915, https://doi.org/10.1021/es8027764, 2009b.
- Zhao, C., Wang, Y., Yang, Q., Fu, R., Cunnold, D., and Choi, Y.: 95 Impact of East Asian summer monsoon on the air quality over China: View from space, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 115, D09301, https://doi.org/10.1029/2009JD012745, 2010.

Remarks from the typesetter

- **Editor approval necessary: Request to change 5.14 to 5.1.**
- **Editor approval necessary: Request to change QA4ECV to DOMINO (Dutch OMI NO₂ products).**