Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-2019-447-RC3, 2019 © Author(s) 2019. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License.





Interactive comment

Interactive comment on "Ice-nucleating particle versus ice crystal number concentration in altocumulus and cirrus embedded in Saharan dust: A closure study" by A. Ansmann et al.

Anonymous Referee #2

Received and published: 10 July 2019

Addendum:

I forgot to include a comment about the calculation of ICNC based on the quotient of the vertical ice crystal number flux (most direct observation) and the ice crystal terminal velocity vt (as stated in Table 2). More information about vt is needed. For example, vt should be based on the ice particle size distribution (PSD), and can be either numberor mass-weighted. Since ICNC is based on the number flux, vt should be the number-weighted ice fall speed:

 $\mathbf{vN} = \sum v(D)N(D)\Delta \mathsf{D} \ / \ \sum N(D)\Delta \mathsf{D} = \sum v(D)N(D)\Delta \mathsf{D} \ / \ \mathsf{N},$

where D = ice crystal maximum dimension, v(D) is the fall speed, N(D) is the PSD, N is

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper



the total PSD number concentration and ΔD is the size bin width of the PSD. Doppler lidar estimates of vN should be adequate, but estimating vN from radar reflectivity and the ice cloud extinction coefficient appears more dubious (Sect. 4.2.2). Please address this concern.

Interactive comment on Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-2019-447, 2019.

ACPD

Interactive comment

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper

