Importance of Dry Deposition Parameterization Choice in Global Simulations of Surface Ozone

3 Anthony Y.H. Wong¹, Jeffrey A. Geddes¹, Amos P.K. Tai^{2,3}, Sam J. Silva⁴

4 ¹Department of Earth and Environment, Boston University, Boston, MA, USA

5 ²Earth System Science Programme, Faculty of Science, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong

³Institute of Energy, Environment and Sustainability, and State Key Laboratory of Agrobiotechnology, The Chinese University
 of Hong Kong, Hong Kong

8 ⁴Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA, USA

9 Correspondence to: Jeffrey A. Geddes (jgeddes@bu.edu)

10 Abstract. Dry deposition is a major sink of tropospheric ozone. Increasing evidence has shown that ozone dry deposition 11 actively links meteorology and hydrology with ozone air quality. However, there is little systematic investigation on the 12 performance of different ozone dry deposition parameterizations at the global scale, and how parameterization choice can 13 impact surface ozone simulations. Here we present the results of the first global, multi-decade modelling and evaluation of 14 ozone dry deposition velocity (v_d) using multiple ozone dry deposition parameterizations. We model ozone dry deposition 15 velocities over 1982-2011 using four ozone dry deposition parameterizations that are representative of current approaches in 16 global ozone dry deposition modelling. We use consistent assimilated meteorology, land cover, and satellite-derived leaf area 17 index (LAI) across all four, such that the differences in simulated v_d are entirely due to differences in deposition model 18 structures or assumptions about how land types are treated in each. In addition, we use the surface ozone sensitivity to v_d 19 predicted by a chemical transport model to estimate the impact of mean and variability of ozone dry deposition velocity on 20 surface ozone. Our estimated v_d from four different parameterizations are evaluated against field observations, and while 21 performance varies considerably by land cover types, our results suggest that none of the parameterizations are universally 22 better than the others. Discrepancy in simulated mean v_d among the parameterizations is estimated to cause 2 to 5 ppby of 23 discrepancy in surface ozone in the Northern Hemisphere (NH) and up to 8 ppbv in tropical rainforests in July, and up to 8 24 ppbv in tropical rainforests and seasonally dry tropical forests in Indochina in December. Parameterization-specific biases 25 based on individual land cover type and hydroclimate are found to be the two main drivers of such discrepancies. We find 26 statistically significant trends in the multiannual time series of simulated July daytime v_d in all parameterizations, driven by 27 warming and drying (southern Amazonia, southern African savannah and Mongolia) or greening (high latitudes). The trend in 28 July daytime v_d is estimated to be 1 % yr⁻¹ and leads to up to 3 ppbv of surface ozone changes over 1982-2011. The interannual 29 coefficient of variation (CV) of July daytime mean v_d in NH is found to be 5%-15%, with spatial distribution that varies with 30 the dry deposition parameterization. Our sensitivity simulations suggest this can contribute between 0.5 to 2 ppbv to 31 interannual variability (IAV) in surface ozone, but all models tend to underestimate interannual CV when compared to long-32 term ozone flux observations. We also find that IAV in some dry deposition parameterizations are more sensitive to LAI while others are more sensitive to climate. Comparisons with other published estimates of the IAV of background ozone confirm that ozone dry deposition can be an important part of natural surface ozone variability. Our results demonstrate the importance of ozone dry deposition parameterization choice on surface ozone modelling, and the impact of IAV of v_d on surface ozone, thus making a strong case for further measurement, evaluation and model-data integration of ozone dry deposition on different

37 spatiotemporal scales.

38 1 Introduction

39 Surface ozone (O_3) is one of the major air pollutants that poses serious threats to human health (Jerrett et al., 2009) and plant productivity (Ainsworth et al., 2012; Reich, 1987; Wittig et al., 2007). Ozone exerts additional pressure on global food security 40 41 and public health by damaging agricultural ecosystems and reducing crop yields (Avnery et al., 2011; McGrath et al., 2015; 42 Tai et al., 2014). Dry deposition, by which atmospheric constituents are removed from the atmosphere and transferred to the 43 Earth's surface through turbulent transport or gravitational settling, is the second-largest and terminal sink of tropospheric O_3 44 (Wild, 2007). Terrestrial ecosystems are particularly efficient at removing O_3 via dry deposition through stomatal uptake and 45 other non-stomatal pathways (Wesely and Hicks, 2000) (e.g., cuticle, soil, reaction with biogenic volatile organic compounds 46 (BVOCs) (Fares et al., 2010; Wolfe et al., 2011). Meanwhile, stomatal uptake of O_3 inflicts damage on plants by initiating 47 reactions that impair their photosynthetic and stomatal regulatory capacity (Hoshika et al., 2014; Lombardozzi et al., 2012; 48 Reich, 1987). Widespread plant damage has the potential to alter the global water cycle (Lombardozzi et al., 2015) and suppress 49 the land carbon sink (Sitch et al., 2007), as well as to generate a cascade of feedbacks that affect atmospheric composition 50 including ozone itself (Sadig et al., 2017; Zhou et al., 2018). Ozone dry deposition is therefore key in understanding how 51 meteorology (Kavassalis and Murphy, 2017), climate, and land cover change (Fu and Tai, 2015; Ganzeveld et al., 2010; Geddes 52 et al., 2016; Heald and Geddes, 2016; Sadig et al., 2017; Sanderson et al., 2007; Young et al., 2013) can affect air quality and 53 atmospheric chemistry at large.

54

Analogous to other surface-atmosphere exchange processes (e.g., sensible and latent heat flux), O_3 dry deposition flux (F_{O3}) is often expressed as the product of ambient O_3 concentrations at the surface ([O_3]) and a transfer coefficient (dry deposition velocity, v_d) that describes the efficiency of transport (and removal) to the surface from the measurement height:

58

$$F_{O_3} = -[O_3] v_d(1)$$

Also analogous to other surface fluxes, F_{O3} , $[O_3]$, and hence v_d can be directly measured by the eddy covariance (EC) method (e.g. Fares et al., 2014; Gerosa et al., 2005; Lamaud et al., 2002; Munger et al., 1996; Rannik et al., 2012) with random uncertainty of about 20% (Keronen et al., 2003; Muller et al., 2010). Apart from EC, F_{O3} and v_d can also be estimated from the vertical profile of O₃ by exploiting flux-gradient relationship (Foken, 2006) (termed the gradient method, GM) (e.g. Gerosa et al., 2017; Wu et al., 2016, 2015). A recent study (Silva and Heald, 2018) complied 75 sets of ozone deposition measurement from the EC and GM across different seasons and land cover types over the past 30 years.

At the site level, ozone dry deposition over various terrestrial ecosystems can be simulated comprehensively by 1-D chemical transport models (Ashworth et al., 2015; Wolfe et al., 2011; Zhou et al., 2017), which are able to simulate the effects of vertical gradients inside the canopy environment, and gas-phase reaction with BVOCs in addition to surface sinks. Regional and global models, which lack the fine-scale information (e.g. vertical structure of canopy, in-canopy BVOCs emissions) and horizontal resolution for resolving the plant canopy in such detail, instead represent plant canopy foliage as 1 to 2 big leaves, and v_d is parameterized as a network of resistances, which account for the effects of turbulent mixing via aerodynamic (R_a), molecular diffusion via quasi-laminar sublayer resistances (R_b), and surface sinks via surface resistance (R_c):

$$v_d = \frac{1}{R_a + R_b + R_c}$$
(2)

74

75 A diverse set of parameterizations of ozone dry deposition are available and used in different models and monitoring networks. 76 Examples include the Wesely parameterization (1989) and modified versions of it (e.g. Wang et al., 1998), the Zhang et al. 77 parameterization (Zhang et al., 2003), the Deposition of O_3 for Stomatal Exchange model (Emberson et al., 2000; Simpson et 78 al., 2012), and the Clean Air Status and Trends Network (CASTNET) deposition estimates (Meyers et al., 1998). The 79 calculation of R_a (mostly based on Monin-Obukhov similarity theory) and R_b across these parameterizations often follow a 80 standard formulation from micrometeorology (Foken, 2006; Wesely and Hicks, 1977, 2000; Wu et al., 2011) and thus does 81 not vary significantly. The main difference between the ozone dry deposition parameterizations lies on the surface resistance 82 R_c . This resistance includes stomatal resistance (R_s), which can be computed by a Jarvis-type multiplicative algorithm (Jarvis, 1976) where R_s is the product of its minimum value and a series of response functions to individual environmental conditions. 83 84 Such conditions typically include air temperature (T), photosynthetically available radiation (PAR), vapour pressure deficit 85 (VPD) and soil moisture (θ) , with varying complexity and functional forms.

86

87 Such formalism is empirical in nature and does not adequately represent the underlying ecophysiological processes affect R_s 88 (e.g. temperature acclimation). An advance of these efforts includes harmonizing R_s with that computed by land surface models (Ran et al., 2017a; Val Martin et al., 2014), which calculate R_s by coupled photosynthesis-stomatal conductance $(A_n - g_s)$ models 89 (Ball et al., 1987; Collatz et al., 1992, 1991). Such coupling should theoretically give a more realistic account of 90 91 ecophysiological controls on R_s . Indeed, it has been shown that the above approach may better simulate v_d than the 92 multiplicative algorithms that only considers the effects T and PAR (Val Martin et al., 2014; Wu et al., 2011). The non-stomatal 93 part of R_c often consists of cuticular (R_{cut}), ground (R_e) and other miscellaneous types of resistances (e.g., lower canopy 94 resistance (R_{lc}) in Wesely (1989)). Due to very limited measurements and mechanistic understanding towards non-stomatal 95 deposition, non-stomatal resistances are often constants (e.g., R_g) or simply scaled with leaf area index (LAI) (e.g., R_{cul}) 96 (Simpson et al., 2012; Wang et al., 1998; Wesely, 1989), while some of the parameterizations (Zhang et al., 2003; Zhou et al., 97 2017) incorporate the observation of enhanced cuticular O₃ uptake under leaf surface wetness (Altimir et al., 2006; Potier et

- al., 2015, 2017; Sun et al., 2016). Furthermore, terrestrial atmosphere-biosphere exchange is also directly affected by CO₂, as CO₂ can drive increases in LAI (Zhu et al., 2016) while inhibiting g_s (Ainsworth and Rogers, 2007). These can have important implications on v_d , as shown by Sanderson et al. (2007), where doubling current CO₂ level reduces g_s by 0.5 – 2.0 mm s⁻¹, and by Wu et al. (2012) where v_d increases substantially due to CO₂ fertilization at 2100. Observations from the Free Air CO₂ Enrichment (FACE) experiments also confirm CO₂ fertilization and inhibition of g_s effects, but the impacts are variable and species specific such that extrapolation of these effects to global forest cover is cautioned (Norby and Zak, 2011).
- 104

105 Various efforts have been made to evaluate and assess the uncertainty in modelling ozone dry deposition using field 106 measurements. Hardacre et al. (2015) evaluate the performance of simulated monthly mean v_d and F_{O3} by 15 chemical transport 107 models (CTM) from the Task Force on Hemispheric Transport of Air Pollutant (TF HTAP) against seven long-term site 108 measurements, 15 short-term site measurements, and modelled v_d from 96 CASTNET sites. This work suggests that the 109 difference in land cover classification is the main source of discrepancy between models. In this case, most of the models in 110 TF HTAP use the same class of dry deposition parameterization (Wang et al., 1998; Wesely, 1989), so a global evaluation of 111 different deposition parameterizations was not possible. Also, the focus in this intercomparison study was on seasonal, but not 112 other (e.g. diurnal, daily, interannual) timescales. Using an extended set of measurements, Silva and Heald (2018) evaluate the 113 v_d output from the Wang et al. (1998) parameterization used by the GEOS-Chem chemical transport model. They show that 114 diurnal and seasonal cycles are generally well-captured, while the daily variability is not well-simulated. They find that 115 differences in land type and LAI, rather than meteorology, are the main reason behind model-observation discrepancy at the 116 seasonal scale, and eliminating this model bias results in up to 15% change in surface O₃. This study is also limited to a single 117 parameterization. Using parameterizations that are explicitly sensitive to other environmental variables (e.g. Simpson et al., 118 2012; Zhang et al., 2003) could conceivably lead to different conclusions.

119

120 Other efforts have been made to compare the performance of different parameterizations. Centoni (2017) find that two different 121 dry deposition parameterizations, Wesely (1989) versus Zhang et al. (2003), implemented in the same chemistry-aerosol model 122 (United Kingdom Chemistry Aerosol model, UKMA), result in up to a 20% difference in simulated surface O₃ concentration. 123 This study demonstrates that uncertainty in v_d can have large potential effect on surface O₃ simulation. Wu et al. (2018) 124 compare v_d simulated by five North-American dry deposition parametrizations to a long-term observational record at a single 125 mixed forest in southern Canada, and find a large spread between the simulated v_d , with no single parameterization uniformly outperforming others. They further acknowledge that as each parameterization is developed with its own set of limited 126 127 observations, it is natural that their performance can vary considerably under different environments, and advocate for an 128 "ensemble" approach to dry deposition modelling. This highlights the importance of parameterization choice as a key source 129 of uncertainty in modelling ozone dry deposition. Meanwhile, in another evaluation at a single site, Clifton et al. (2017) show 130 that the GEOS-Chem parameterization largely underestimates the interannual variability (IAV) of v_d in Harvard Forest based 131 on the measurement from 1990 to 2000, although they do not show how the IAV of v_d may contribute to the IAV of O₃.

These developments have made a substantial contribution to our understanding of the importance of O_3 dry deposition in atmospheric chemistry models. Still, pertinent questions remain about the impact of dry deposition model on simulations of the global distribution of ozone and its long-term variability. Here, we build on previous works by posing and answering the following questions:

- 137 1) How does the global distribution of mean v_d vary with different dry deposition parameterizations, and what drives the 138 discrepancies among them? How much might the choice of deposition parameterization affect spatial distribution of 139 surface ozone concentration simulated by a chemical transport model?
- 140 2) How are the IAV and long-term trends of v_d different across deposition parameterizations, and what drives the 141 discrepancies among them? Do they potentially contribute different predictions of the long-term temporal variability 142 in surface ozone?

The answers to such question could have important consequences on our ability to predict long-term changes in atmospheric O₃ concentrations as a function of changing climate and land cover characteristics. In general, there is a high computational cost to thorough and large-scale evaluations of different dry deposition parameterizations embedded in CTMs. In this study, we explore these questions using a strategy that combines an offline dry deposition modelling framework incorporating longterm assimilated meteorological and land surface remote sensing data, in combination with a set of CTM sensitivity simulations.

149 2 Method

150 **2.1 Dry deposition parameterization**

151 Here we consider several "big-leaf" models commonly used by global chemical transport models. More complex multilayer 152 models require the vertical profiles of leaf area density for different biomes which are generally not available for regional and 153 global models. From the wide range of literature on dry deposition studies, we observe that R_s is commonly modelled through 154 one of the following approaches:

155 1) Multiplicative algorithm that considers the effects of LAI, temperature and radiation (Wang et al., 1998).

- Multiplicative algorithm that considers the effects of LAI, temperature, radiation and water stress (e.g. Meyers et al.,
 1998; Pleim and Ran, 2011; Simpson et al., 2012; Zhang et al., 2003).
- 158 3) Coupled A_n - g_s model, which exploit the strong empirical relationship between photosynthesis (A_n) and stomatal 159 conductance (g_s) (e.g. Ball et al., 1987; Lin et al., 2015) and to simulate A_n and $g_s = 1/R_s$ simultaneously (e.g. Ran et 160 al., 2017b; Val Martin et al., 2014).
- 161 Similarly, their functional dependence of non-stomatal surface resistances can be classified into two classes:
- Mainly scaling with LAI, with in-canopy aerodynamics parameterized as function of friction velocity (*u**) or radiation
 (Mevers et al., 1998; Simpson et al., 2012; Wang et al., 1998)

- 164 165
- 2) Additional dependence of cuticular resistance on relative humidity (Pleim and Ran, 2011; Zhang et al., 2003)
- 166 With these considerations, we identify four common parameterizations that are representative of the types of approaches 167 described above:
- The version of Wesely (1989) with the modification from Wang et al. (1998) (hereafter referred to as W98), which is
 used extensively in global CTMs (Hardacre et al., 2015) and comprehensively discussed by Silva and Heald (2018).
 This represents Type 1 in both stomatal and non-stomatal parametrizations.
- The Zhang et al. (2003) parameterization (hereafter referred to as Z03), which is used in many North American air quality modelling studies (e.g. Huang et al., 2016; Kharol et al., 2018) and Canadian Air and Precipitation Monitoring Network (CAPMoN) (e.g. Zhang et al., 2009). This represents Type 2 in both stomatal and non-stomatal parameterizations
- 1753)W89 with R_s calculated from a widely-used coupled A_n - g_s model, the Ball-Berry model (hereafter referred to as176W98_BB) (Ball et al., 1987; Collatz et al., 1992, 1991), which is similar to that proposed by Val Martin et al. (2014),177and therefore the current parameterization in Community Earth System Model (CESM). This represents Type 3 in178stomatal and Type 1 in non-stomatal parametrization.
- 4) Z03 with the Ball-Berry model (Z03_BB), which is comparable to the configuration in Centoni (2017) implemented
 in United Kingdom Chemistry and Aerosol (UKCA) model. This represents Type 3 in stomatal and Type 2 in non stomatal parametrization.
- 182

183 Another important consideration in choosing Z03 and W98 is that they both have parameters for all major land types over the 184 globe, making them widely applicable in global modelling. We extract the source code (Wang et al., 1998) and parameters 185 (Baldocchi et al., 1987; Jacob et al., 1992; Jacob and Wofsy, 1990; Wesely, 1989) of W98 from GEOS-Chem CTM 186 (http://wiki.seas.harvard.edu/geos-chem/index.php/Dry deposition). The source code of Z03 are obtained through personal 187 communication with Zhiyong Wu and Leiming Zhang, which follows the series of papers that described the development and 188 formalism of the parameterization (Brook et al., 1999; Zhang et al., 2001, 2002, 2003). The Ball-Berry A_n -gs model (Ball et 189 al., 1987; Collatz et al., 1992, 1991; Farguhar et al., 1980) and its solver are largely based on the algorithm of CLM 190 (Community Land Model) version 4.5 (Oleson et al., 2013), which is numerically stable (Sun et al., 2012). We use identical 191 formulae of R_a and R_b (Paulson, 1970; Wesely and Hicks, 1977) for each individual parameterizations, allowing us to focus 192 our analysis on differences in parameterizations of R_c alone. Table S1 gives a brief description on the formalism of each of the 193 dry deposition parameterizations.

194 2.2 Dry deposition model configuration, inputs, and simulation

The above parameterizations are re-implemented in R language (R core team, 2017) in the modeling framework of the Terrestrial Ecosystem Model in R (<u>http://www.cuhk.edu.hk/sci/essc/tgabi/tools.html</u>), and driven by gridded surface 197 meteorology and land surface data sets. The meteorological forcing chosen for this study is the Modern-Era Retrospective 198 Analysis for Research and Application-2 (MERRA-2) (Gelaro et al., 2017), an assimilated meteorological product at hourly 199 time resolution spanning from 1980 to present day. MERRA-2 contains all the required surface meteorological fields except 200 VPD and RH, which can be readily computed from T, specific humidity (q) and surface air pressure (P). We use the CLM land 201 surface dataset (Lawrence and Chase, 2007), which contains information for land cover, per-grid cell coverage of each plant 202 functional type (PFT) and PFT-specific LAI, which are required to drive the dry deposition parameterizations, and soil 203 property, which is required to drive the A_n - g_s model in addition to PFT and PFT-specific LAI. CLM land types are mapped to 204 the land type of W98 following Geddes et al. (2016). The mapping between CLM and Z03 land types are given in Table S2. 205 Other relevant vegetation and soil parameters are also imported from CLM 4.5 (Oleson et al., 2013), while land cover specific roughness length (z_0) values follow Geddes et al. (2016). Leaf is set to be wet when either latent heat flux < 0 W m⁻² or 206 207 precipitation > 0.2 mm hr⁻¹. Fractional coverage of snow for Z03 is parameterized as a land-type specific function of snow 208 depth following the original manuscript of Z03, while W98 flags grid cells with albedo > 0.4 or permanently glaciated as 209 snow-covered.

- 210
- 211

212 As the IAV of LAI could be an important factor in simulating v_d , the widely-used third generation Global Inventory Modelling 213 and Mapping Studies Leaf Area Index product (GIMMS LAI3g, abbreviated as LAI3g in this paper) (Zhu et al., 2013), which 214 is a global time series of LAI with 15-day temporal frequency and 1/12 degree spatial resolution spanning from late 1981 to 215 2011, is incorporated in this study. We derive the interannual scaling factors that can be applied to scale the baseline CLM-216 derived LAI (Lawrence and Chase, 2007) for each month over 1982 to 2011. All the input data are aggregated into horizontal 217 resolution of $2^{\circ} \times 2.5^{\circ}$ to align with the CTM sensitivity simulation described in the next sub-section. To represent sub-grid 218 land cover heterogeneity, grid cell-level v_d is calculated as the sum of v_d over all sub-grid land types weighted by their 219 percentage coverage in the grid cell (a.k.a tiling or mosaic approach, e.g. Li et al., 2013). This reduces the information loss 220 when land surface data is aggregated to coarser spatial resolution, and allows us to retain PFT-specific results for each grid 221 box in the offline dry deposition simulations.

222

223 We run three sets of 30-years (1982-2011) simulations with the deposition parameterizations to investigate how v_d simulated 224 by different parameterizations responds to different environmental factors over multiple decades. The settings of the 225 simulations are summarized in Table 1. The first set, [Clim], focuses on meteorological variability alone, driven by MERRA-226 2 meteorology and a multivear (constant) mean annual cycle of LAI derived from LAI3g. The second set, [Clim+LAI], 227 combines the effects of meteorology and IAV in LAI, driven by the same MERRA-2 meteorology plus the LAI time series 228 from LAI3g. As the increase in atmospheric CO₂ level over multidecadal timescales may lead to significant reduction in g_s as 229 plants tend to conserve water (e.g. Franks et al., 2013; Rigden and Salvucci, 2017), we introduce the third set of simulation, 230 $[Clim+LAI+CO_2]$, which is driven by varying meteorology and LAI, plus the annual mean atmospheric CO₂ level measured 231 in Mauna Loa (Keeling et al., 2001) (for the first two sets of simulations, atmospheric CO₂ concentration held constant at 390

 $232 \quad \text{ppm}). \text{ Since W98 and Z03 do not respond to changes in CO_2 level, only W98_BB and Z03_BB are run with [Clim+LAI+CO_2] and Clim+LAI+CO_2] and Clim+LAI+CO$

to evaluate this impact. We focus on the daytime (solar elevation angle > 20°) v_d , as both v_d and surface O₃ concentration typically peak around this time. We calculate monthly means, filtering out the grid cells with monthly total daytime < 100 hours.

236

In summary, we present for the first time a unique set of global dry deposition velocity predictions over the last 30 years driven by identical meteorology and land cover, so that discrepancies (in space and time) among the predicted v_d are a result specifically of dry deposition parameterization choice, or assumptions about how land cover is treated in each.

240 **2.3 Chemical transport model sensitivity experiments**

241 We quantify the sensitivity of surface O_3 to variations in v_d using a global 3D CTM, GEOS-Chem version 11.01 (www.geos-242 chem.org), which includes comprehensive HO_x -NO_x-VOC-O₃-BrO_x chemical mechanisms (Mao et al., 2013) and is widely 243 used to study tropospheric ozone (e.g. Hu et al., 2017; Travis et al., 2016; Zhang et al., 2010). The model is driven by the 244 assimilated meteorological data from the GEOS-FP (Forward Processing) Atmospheric Data Assimilation System (GEOS-5 245 ADAS) (Rienecker et al., 2008), which is jointly developed by National Centers for Environmental Prediction (NCEP) of 246 National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) and the Global Modelling and Assimilation Office (GMAO). The model is run with a horizontal resolution of $2^{\circ} \times 2.5^{\circ}$, and 47 vertical layers. The dry deposition module, which has been 247 discussed above (W98), is driven by the monthly mean LAI retrieved from Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer 248 249 (MODIS) (Myneni et al., 2002) and the 2001 version of Olson land cover map (Olson et al., 2001). Both of the maps are 250 remapped from their native resolutions to $0.25^{\circ} \times 0.25^{\circ}$.

251

252 We propose to estimate the sensitivity of surface O_3 concentrations to uncertainty/changes in v_d by the following equation:

$$\Delta O_3 = \beta \frac{\Delta v_d}{v_d}$$

254 where ΔO_3 is the response of monthly mean daytime surface O_3 to fractional change in v_d ($\Delta v_d/v_d$), and β accounts for the 255 sensitivity of surface O₃ concentration in a grid box to the perturbation in v_d within that grid box. To estimate β , we run two 256 simulations for the year 2013, one with default setting and another where we perturb v_d by +30%. Thus, this approach could 257 represent a conservative estimate of O_3 sensitivity to v_d if the impacts on other species result in additional effects on O_3 . We 258 use this sensitivity to identify areas where local uncertainty and variability in v_d is expected to affect local surface O₃ 259 concentration, and we use the assumption of linearity to estimate those impacts to a first order (e.g. Wong et al. 2018). In the 260 Supplemental Methods, we justify this first order assumption mathematically, as well as demonstrate the impact of using a 261 second order approximation, and estimate the uncertainty using an assumption of linearity to be within 30%. However, we 262 note this first-order assumption may not be able to capture the effects of chemical transport, changes in background ozone and non-linearity in chemistry, which can contribute to response of O_3 concentration to v_d . Our experiment could help identify regions where more rigorous modelling efforts could be targeted in future work. We limit our analysis to grid cells where the monthly average v_d is greater than 0.25 cm s⁻¹ in the unperturbed GEOS-Chem simulation, since changes in surface O_3 elsewhere are expected to be attributed more to change in background O_3 rather than the local perturbation of v_d (Wong et al., 2018).

268 **3. Evaluation of Dry Deposition Parameterizations**

269 We first compare our offline simulations of seasonal mean daytime average v_d that result from the four parameterizations in 270 the [Clim] and [Clim+LAI] scenarios with an observational database largely based on the evaluation presented in Silva and 271 Heald (2018). We do not include the evaluation of v_d from [Clim+LAI+CO₂] scenario as we find that the impact of CO₂ 272 concentration on v_d is negligible over the period of concern, as we will show in subsequent sections. We use two unbiased and 273 symmetrical statistical metrics, normalized mean bias factor (NMBF) and normalized mean absolute error factor (NMAEF), to 274 evaluate our parameterizations. Positive NMBF indicates that the parameterization overestimates the observations by a factor 275 of 1 + NMBF and the absolute gross error is NMAEF times the mean observation, while negative NMBF implies that the 276 parameterization underestimates the observations by a factor of 1 - NMBF and the absolute gross error is NMAEF times the 277 mean model prediction (Yu et al., 2006). We use the simulated subgrid land type-specific predictions of v_d that correctly match 278 the land type and the averaging window indicated by the observations. We exclude instances where the observed land type 279 does not have a match within the model grid box. While this removes 1/3 of the original data sets used in Silva and Heald 280 (2018), this means that mismatched land-cover types can be ignored as a factor in model bias.

281

282 Figure 1 shows the fractional coverage within each grid cell and the geographic locations of O_3 flux observation sites for each 283 major land type. Nearly all the observations are clustered in Europe and North America, except three sites in the tropical 284 rainforest and one site in tropical deciduous forest in Thailand. For most major land types, there are significant mismatches 285 between the locations of flux measurements and the dominant land cover fraction, which may hinder the spatial 286 representativeness of our evaluation. The resulting NMBF and NMAEF for five major land type categories are shown in Table 287 2, and the list of sites and their descriptions are given in Table S3. In general, the numerical ranges of both NMBF and NMAEF 288 are similar to that of Silva and Heald (2018), and no single parameterization of the four parameterizations outperforms the 289 others across all five major land types.

290

291 The performance metrics of each parameterization at each land type are summarized in table 2. Comparing the two

292 multiplicative parameterizations (W98 and Z03), we find that W98 performs satisfactorily over deciduous forests and

293 tropical rainforests, while strongly underestimating daytime v_d over coniferous forests. In contrast, Z03 performs better in

294 coniferous forests but worse in tropical rainforests and deciduous forests. The severe underestimation of daytime v_d by Z03

295 over tropical rainforests has previously been attributed to persistent canopy wetness, and hence stomatal blocking imposed 296 by the parameterization (Centoni, 2017). We also note that even for the same location, v_d can vary significantly between 297 seasons (Rummel et al., 2007) and management practices (Fowler et al., 2011), which models may fail to capture due to 298 limited representations of land cover. Given the small sample size (N = 5), diverse environments, and large anthropogenic 299 intervention in the tropics, the disparity in performance metrics may not fully reflect the relative model performance. 300 Baseline cuticular resistances in Z03 under dry and wet canopy are 1.5 and 2 times that of coniferous forests, respectively 301 (Zhang et al., 2003), such that the enhancement of cuticular uptake by wetness may not compensate the reduced g_s over 302 tropical rainforests, and, to a lesser extent, deciduous forests.

303

Over grasslands, W98 has higher positive biases, while Z03 has higher absolute errors. This is because for datasets at high latitudes, the dominant grass PFT is arctic grass, which is mapped to "tundra" land type (Geddes et al., 2016). While tundra is parameterized similarly to grasslands in W98, this is not the case in Z03. Combined with the general high biases at other sites for these parameterizations, the large low biases for "tundra" sites in Z03 lower the overall high biases but leads to higher absolute errors.

309

310 Over croplands, the positive biases and absolute errors are relatively large for both W98 and Z03 (with Z03 performing worse 311 in general than W98). The functional and physiological diversity with the "crop" land type also contributes to the general 312 difficulty in simulating v_d over cropland. Even though Z03 has individual parameterizations for 4 specific crop types (rice, 313 sugar, maize and cotton), this advantage is difficult to fully leverage as most global land cover data sets do not resolve croplands 314 into such detail. Having land cover maps that distinguish between more crop types could potentially improve the performance 315 of Z03. The evaluation for herbaceous land types also suggests that as CLM PFT do not have exact correspondence with W98 316 and Z03 land types, our results over herbaceous land types are subject to the uncertainty in land type mapping (e.g. tundra vs 317 grassland, specific vs generic crops, C3 vs C4 grass).

318

319 Substituting the native g_s in W98 and Z03 by that simulated by Ball-Berry model (the W98 BB and Z03 BB runs) generally, 320 though not universally, leads to improvement in model performance against the observations. W98_BB has considerably 321 smaller biases and absolute errors than W98 over grassland. While having little effect on the absolute error, W98 BB improves 322 the biases over coniferous forest and cropland compared to W98, but worsens the biases over rainforests and deciduous forests. 323 In contrast, Z03_BB is able to improve the model-observation agreement over all 5 land types when compared to Z03. This finding echoes that from Wu et al. (2011), who explicitly show the advantage of replacing the g_s of Wesely (1989) with the 324 325 Ball-Berry model in simulating v_d over a forest site, and in addition shows the potential of Ball-Berry model in improving 326 spatial distribution of mean v_d . The different responses to substituting native g_s with that from Ball-Berry model highlight the 327 significant differences in parameterizing non-stomatal uptake between W98 and Z03, which further suggests that the 328 uncertainty in non-stomatal deposition should not be overlooked.

- 330 The minimal impact that results from using LAI that matches the time of observation is not unexpected, since the
- 331 meteorological and land cover information from a $2^{\circ} \times 2.5^{\circ}$ grid cell may not be representative of the typical footprint of a site
- 332 measurement (on the order of 10^{-3} to 10^{1} km², e.g. Chen et al., 2009, 2012). The mismatch between model resolution and the
- 333 footprint of site-level measurements has also been highlighted in previous evaluation efforts in global-scale CTMs (Hardacre
- 334 et al., 2015; Silva and Heald, 2018). Furthermore, the sample sizes for all land types are small (N \leq 16) and the evaluation
- 335 may be further compromised by inherent sampling biases.
- 336
- 337 In addition to the evaluation against field observation, we find good correlation ($R^2 = 0.94$) between the annual mean v_d from
- 338 GEOS-Chem at 2013 and the 30-year mean v_d of W98 run with static LAI, providing further evidence that our
- 339 implementation of W98 is reliable. Overall, our evaluation shows that the quality of our offline simulation of dry deposition
- 340 across the four parameterizations in this work is largely consistent with previous global modelling evaluation efforts.

341 4. Impact of Dry Deposition Parameterization Choice on Long-Term Averages

Here we summarize the impact that the different dry deposition parameterizations may have on simulations of the spatial distribution of v_d and on the inferred surface O₃ concentrations. We begin by comparing the simulated long-term mean v_d across parameterizations, then use a chemical transport model sensitivity experiment to estimate the O₃ impacts.

345

346 Figure 2 shows the 30-year July daytime average v_d simulated by W98 over vegetated surfaces (defined as the grid cells with 347 >50% plant cover), and Figure 3 shows the difference between the W98 and the W98 BB, Z03, Z03 BB predictions 348 respectively. We first focus on results from July because of the coincidence of high surface O₃ level, biospheric activity and 349 v_d in the Northern Hemisphere (NH), and will subsequently discuss the result for December, when such condition holds for 350 the Southern Hemisphere (SH). W89 simulates the highest July mean daytime v_d in Amazonia (1.2 to 1.4 cm s⁻¹), followed by 351 other major tropical rainforests, and temperate forests in northeastern US. July mean daytime v_d in other temperate regions in North America and Eurasia typically range from 0.5 to 0.8 cm s⁻¹, while in South American and African savannah, and most 352 353 parts of China, daytime v_d is around 0.4 to 0.6 cm s⁻¹. In India, Australia, western US, and polar tundra Mediterranean region, 354 July mean daytime v_d is low (0.2-0.5 cm s⁻¹).

355

The other three parameterizations (W98_BB, Z03, Z03_BB) simulate substantially different spatial distributions of daytime v_d . In North America, we find W98_BB, Z03 and Z03_BB produce lower v_d (by -0.1 to -0.4 cm s⁻¹) compared to W98 in deciduous forest-dominated northeastern US and slightly higher v_d in boreal forest-dominated regions of Canada. Z03 and Z03_BB produce noticeably lower v_d (by up to -0.2 cm s⁻¹) in arctic tundra and grasslands in western US. In southeastern US, W98_BB and Z03_BB simulate a slightly higher v_d (by up to +0.1 cm s⁻¹), while Z03 suggests a slightly lower v_d (by up to - 361 0.1 cm s⁻¹). W98_BB simulates a lower (-0.1 to -0.4 cm s⁻¹) v_d in tropical rainforests, with larger reductions concentrated in 362 southern Amazonia, where July is within the dry season, while the northern Amazonia is not (Malhi et al., 2008). Z03 and 363 Z03 BB simulate much smaller (-0.4 to -0.6 cm s⁻¹) v_d in all tropical rainforests.

364

365 Over the midlatitudes in Eurasia, Australia and South America except Amazonia, W98 BB, Z03 and Z03 BB generally simulate a lower daytime v_d by up to 0.25 cm s⁻¹, possibly due to the dominance of grasslands and deciduous forests, where 366 W98 tends to be more high-biased than other parameterizations when compared to the observations of v_d . In southern African 367 savannah, W98 BB and Z03 BB suggest a much lower daytime v_d (by -0.1 to -0.4 cm s⁻¹) because of explicit consideration of 368 369 soil moisture limitation to A_n and g_s (demonstrated by the spatial overlap with soil moisture stress factors shown in Fig. S2). Z03 BB simulates a particularly high daytime v_d over the high-latitude coniferous forests (+0.1 to +0.3 cm s⁻¹). W98 BB and 370 371 Z03 BB produce higher daytime daytime v_d (up to +0.15 cm s⁻¹) in India and South China due to temperature acclimation 372 (Kattge and Knorr, 2007), which allows more stomatal opening under the high temperature that would largely shut down the 373 stomatal deposition in W98 and Z03, as long as the soil does not become too dry to support stomatal opening. This is guaranteed 374 by the rainfall from summer monsoon in both regions. Low v_d is simulated by Z03 and Z03 BB in the grasslands near Tibetan 375 plateau because the grasslands are mainly mapped to tundra land type, which typically has low v_d as discussed in section 3.

376

Our results suggest that the global distribution of simulated mean v_d depends substantially on the choice of dry deposition parameterization, driven primarily by the response to hydroclimate-related parameters such as soil moisture, VPD and leaf wetness, in addition to land type-specific parameters, which could impact the spatial distribution of surface ozone predicted by chemical transport models. To estimate the impact on surface ozone of an individual parameterization "*i*" compared to the W98 predictions (which we use as a baseline), we apply the following equation:

$$\Delta O_{3,i} \approx \beta \frac{\Delta v_{d,i}}{\overline{v_{d_{W98}}}}$$
(3)

where $\Delta O_{3,i}$ is the estimated impact on simulated O_3 concentrations in a grid box, $\Delta \overline{v_{d,i}}$ is the difference between parameterization *i* and W98 simulated mean daytime v_d in that grid box, $\overline{v_{d_{W98}}}$ is W98 output mean daytime v_d for that grid box, and β is the sensitivity of surface ozone to v_d calculated by the method outlined in Section 2.3

Figure 4 shows the resulting estimates of ΔO_3 globally. We find ΔO_3 is the largest in tropical rainforests for all the parameterizations (up to 5 to 8 ppbv).Other hotspots of substantial differences are boreal coniferous forests, eastern US, continental Europe, Eurasian steppe and the grassland in southwestern China, where ΔO_3 is either relatively large or the signs disagree among parameterizations. In India, Indochina and South China, ΔO_3 is relatively small but still reaches up to up to -2 ppbv. We find that ΔO_3 is not negligible (1-4 ppbv) in many regions with relatively high population density, which suggests that the choice of dry deposition parameterization can be relevant to the uncertainty in the study of air quality and its implication on public health. We note that we have not estimated ΔO_3 for some regions with low GEOS-Chem-predicted v_d (< 0.25 cm s⁻ ¹, as described in section 2.3), but where the disagreement in v_d between parameterizations can be large (e.g., southern African savannah, see Figure 3). Given this limitation, the impacts on O₃ we have summarized may therefore be spatially conservative.

397 To explore the impact of different prediction of v_d on surface O₃ in different seasons, we repeat the above analyses for 398 December. Figure 5 shows the 1982-2011 mean December daytime v_d predicted by W98, while Figure 6 shows the difference 399 between W98 and the Z03, W98 BB, Z03 BB respectively. High latitudes in the NH are excluded due to the small number of 400 daytime hours. Z03 and Z03 BB simulate substantially lower in daytime v_d at NH midlatitudes because Z03 and Z03 BB 401 allow partial snow cover but W98 and W98 BB only allow total or no snow cover. At midlatitudes, the snow cover is not high 402 enough to trigger the threshold of converting vegetated to snow covered ground in W98 and W98 BB, resulting in lower 403 surface resistance, and hence higher daytime v_d comparing to Z03 and Z03 BB; in Amazonia, the hotspot of difference in 404 daytime v_d shifts from the south to the north relative to July, which is in the dry season (Malhi et al., 2008). These results for 405 December, together with our findings from July, suggest that the discrepancy in simulated daytime v_d between W98 and other parameterizations is due to the explicit response to hydroclimate in the former compared to the latter. Given that field 406 407 observations indicate a large reduction of v_d in dry season in Amazonia (Rummel et al., 2007), the lack of dependence of 408 hydroclimate can be a drawback of W98 in simulating v_d in Amazonia.

409

410 Figure 7 shows the resulting estimates of ΔO_3 globally for December using Equation 3. In all major rainforests, ΔO_3 is smaller 411 in December due to generally lower sensitivity compared to July. A surprising hotspot of both daytime Δv_d and ΔO_3 is the 412 rainforest/tropical deciduous forest in Myanmar and its eastern bordering region, which also has distinct wet and dry season. 413 The proximity of December to the dry season, which starts at January (e.g. Matsuda et al., 2005), indicates that the consistent 414 Δv_d between W98 and other parameterizations is driven by hydroclimate as in Amazonia. Comparison with field measurements 415 (Matsuda et al., 2005) suggests that the W98 BB and Z03 BB capture daytime v_d better than W98, while Z03 may overemphasize the effect of such dryness. The above reasoning also explains some of the Δv_d in India and south China across 416 417 the three parameterizations. These findings identify hydroclimate as a key driver of process uncertainty of v_d over tropics and 418 subtropics, and therefore its impact on the spatial distribution of surface ozone concentrations, independent of land type-based 419 biases, in these regions.

420

Overall, these results demonstrate that the discrepancy in the spatial distribution of simulated mean daytime v_d resulting from choice of dry deposition parameterization can have an important impact on the global distribution of surface O₃ predicted by chemical transport models. We find that the response to hydroclimate by individual parametrization not only affects the mean of predicted surface ozone, but also has different impacts in different seasons, which is complementary to the findings of Kavassalis and Murphy (2017) that mainly focus on how shorter-term hydrometeorological variability may modulate surface O₃ through dry deposition.

428 5. Impact of Dry Deposition Parameterization Choice on Trends and Interannual Variability

Here we explore the impact that different dry deposition parameterizations may have on predictions of IAV and trends in v_d and on the inferred surface O₃ concentrations. We use the Theil-Sen method (Sen, 1968), which is less susceptible to outliers than least-square methods, to estimate trends in July daytime v_d (and any underlying meteorological variables), and use p-value <0.05 to estimate significance.

433

Figure 8 shows the trend in July mean daytime v_d from 1982-2011 predicted by each of the parameterizations and scenarios ([Clim], [Clim + LAI], and [Clim + LAI + CO₂]). Figure 9 shows the potential impact of these trends in v_d on July daytime surface ozone, which we estimate to a first order using the following equation:

437 $\Delta O_{3_{30v_i}} \approx \beta \times m_{v_{d_i}} \times 30 \ (4)$

438 where $\Delta O_{3\,30y,i}$ and $m_{vd,i}$ are the absolute change in ozone inferred to a first order as a result of the trend of v_d and the normalized 439 Theil-Sen slope (% yr⁻¹) of v_d , for parameterization *i* over the 30-years (1982-2011).

440

In [Clim] simulations (where LAI is held constant), significant decreasing trends in July daytime v_d are simulated by the Z03, W98_BB and Z03_BB Mongolia, where significant increasing trend in *T* (warming) and decreasing trend in *RH* (drying) detected in the MERRA-2 surface meteorological field in July daytime. This trend is not present in the W98 parameterization as this formulation does not respond to the long-term drying. We find some decreasing trends in v_d across parts of central Europe and the Mediterranean to varying degrees across the parameterizations. In the SH, we find consistent decreasing trends across all four parameterizations in southern Amazonia and southern African savannah due to warming and drying, which we estimate could produce a concomitant increase in July mean surface ozone of between 1 to 3 ppbv (Figure 9).

448

449 In [Clim+LAI] scenario, all four parameterizations simulate a significant increasing trend of v_d over high latitudes, which is 450 consistent with the observed greening trend over the region (Zhu et al., 2016). We estimate this could produce a concomitant decrease in July mean surface ozone of between 1 to 3 ppbv. The parameterizations generally agree in terms of the spatial 451 452 distribution of these trends in O₃. Exceptions include a steeper decreasing trend in most of Siberia predicted by W98, while 453 the trend is more confined in the eastern and western Siberia in the other three parameterizations. Including the effect of CO₂-454 induced stomatal closure ([Clim+LAI+CO₂] runs) partially offset the increase of v_d in high latitudes, but does not lead to large 455 changes in both the magnitudes and spatial patterns of v_d trend. We find negligible trends in daytime v_d for December in all 456 cases. These results show that across all dry deposition model parameterizations, LAI and climate, more than increasing CO₂, 457 can potentially drive significant long-term changes in v_d and should not be neglected when analyzing the long-term change in 458 air quality over 1982-2011. We note that the importance of the CO₂ effect could grow as period of study further extend to 459 allow larger range of atmospheric CO₂ concentration (Hollaway et al., 2017; Sanderson et al., 2007).

We go on to explore the impact of parameterization choice in calculations of IAV in v_d . Figure 10 shows the coefficient of variation of linearly detrended July daytime v_d (CV_{vd}). Figure 11 shows the potential impact this has on IAV in surface ozone, which we estimate to a first order by the following equation:

464

where $\sigma_{O3,i}$ is the estimated interannual standard deviation in surface ozone resulting from IAV in v_d given predicted by dry deposition parameterization *i*. In both cases, we show only the [Clim] and [Clim+LAI] runs, since IAV in CO₂ has negligible impact on interannual variability in v_d .

 $\sigma_{0_3} \approx \beta \times CV_{v_{d_i}}$ (5)

468

Using the W98 parameterization, IAV in predicted v_d and O_3 is considerably smaller in the [Clim] run than that for the [Clim] 469 470 + LAI] run, since both the stomatal and non-stomatal conductance in W98 are assumed to be strong functions of LAI rather 471 than meteorological conditions. This implies that long-term simulations with W98 and constant LAI can potentially 472 underestimate the IAV of v_d and surface ozone. In contrast, IAV in v_d calculated by the Z03 parameterization is nearly the 473 same for the [Clim] and [Clim+LAI] runs. In Z03, g_s is also directly influenced by VPD in addition to temperature and radiation, and non-stomatal conductance in Z03 is much more dependent on meteorology than W98, leading to high sensitivity to climate. 474 Though the Ball-Berry model also responds to meteorological conditions, it considers relatively complex A_n -g, regulation and 475 476 includes temperature acclimation, which could dampen its sensitivity to meteorological variability compared to the direct 477 functional dependence on meteorology in the Z03 multiplicative algorithm. Thus, the climate sensitivity of W98 BB and 478 Z03_BB is in between Z03 and W98, as is indicated by more moderate difference between $\sigma_{O3,i}$ from [Clim] and [Clim+LAI] 479 runs in Figure 11.

480

For regional patterns of CV_{vd} and σ_{O3} , we focus on the [Clim+LAI] runs (Fig. 10e to 10h and Fig. 11e to 11h) as they allow for a comparison of all 4 parameterizations and contain all the important factors of controlling v_d . In North America, we estimate modest IAV in v_d across all 4 parameterizations ($CV_{vd} < 15\%$) in most places. We find this results in relatively low σ_{O3} in northeastern US, and larger σ_{O3} in central and southeast US (in the range of 0.3 to 2 ppbv). These results are of a similar magnitude to the standard deviation of summer mean background ozone suggested by Fiore et al. (2014) over similar time period, sugggesting that IAV of dry deposition can be a potentially important component of the IAV of surface ozone in summer over North America.

488

All parameterizations produce larger CV_{vd} (and therefore larger σ_{03}) in southern Amazonia compared to northern and central Amazonia, but we find substantial discrepancies across parameterizations. The estimated impact on IAV in O₃ (σ_{03}) in southern Amazonia ranges from less than 1 ppbv predicted by the W98 and W98_BB parameterizations, to exceeding 1.5 - 2.5 ppbv predicted by the Z03 parameterization. IAV is also relatively large in central Africa. We find that the parameterizations which include a Ball-Berry formulation (W98_BB and Z03_BB) estimate higher IAV in this region (with σ_{03} varying between 1 to 4 ppbv), compared to the W98 and Z03 parameterizations (σ_{03} up to 2ppbv). We also note that the Ball-Berry formulations show more spatial heterogeneity compared to W98 and Z03. In our implementation of the Ball-Berry model, impact of soil moisture on g_s is parameterized as a function of root-zone soil matric potential, which makes g_s very sensitive to variation in soil wetness when the its climatology is near the point that triggers limitation on A_n and g_s . Given the large uncertainty in global soil property map (Dai et al., 2019), such sensitivity could be potentially artificial, which should be taken into consideration when implementing Ball-Berry parameterizations in large-scale models despite their relatively good performance in site-level evaluation (Wu et al., 2011).

501

Across Europe, the magnitude of IAV predicted by all four parameterizations show relatively good spatial consistency. Simulated CV_{vd} is relatively low in western and northern Europe (<10%), which we estimate translates to less than 1 ppbv of σ_{O3} . We find larger CV_{vd} (and therefore large σ_{O3}) over parts of southern Russia and Siberia (σ_{O3} up to 2.5 ppbv) from all parameterizations except W98. The local geographic distribution of CV_{vd} and σ_{O3} also significantly differs among the parameterizations. Z03 and Z03_BB simulate larger CV_{vd} in eastern Siberia than W98_BB, while W98 BB and Z03_BB predict larger CV_{vd} over the southern Russian steppe then Z03. Finally, all four parameterizations estimate relatively low CV_{vd} and σ_{O3} in India, China and Southeast Asia.

509

We compare the simulated IAV July CV_{v_d} from all four deposition parameterizations with those recorded by publicly available long-term observations. Hourly v_d is calculated using eq. (1) from raw data. We filter out the data points with extreme (> 2 cm s⁻¹) or negative v_d , and without enough turbulence ($u_* < 0.25 \text{ m s}^{-1}$). As v_d in each daytime hours are not uniformly sampled in the observational datasets, we calculate the mean diurnal cycle, and then calculate the daytime average July of v_d for each year

from the mean diurnal cycle, from which CV_{vd} can be calculated.

515 The IAV predicted by all four parameterizations at Harvard Forest is between 3% to 7.9%, which is 2 to 6 times lower than that presented in the observations (18%). We find similar underestimates by all four parameterizations compared to the long-516 517 term observation from Hyytiala (Junninen et al., 2009; Keronen et al., 2003; https://avaa.tdata.fi/web/smart/smear/download), 518 where observed CV_{vd} (16%) is significantly higher than that predicted by the deposition parameterizations (3.5% - 7.1%). In 519 Blodgett Forest we find that the models underestimate the observed annual CV_{vd} more seriously (~1% – 3% compared to 18% 520 in the observations). This suggests that the IAV of v_d may be underestimated across all deposition parameterizations we 521 investigated (and routinely used in simulations of chemical transport). Clifton et al. (2019) attribute this to the IAV in deposition to wet soil and dew-wet leaves, and in-canopy chemistry under stressed condition for forests over northeastern U.S. 522 Some of these processes (e.g. in-canopy chemistry, wetness slowing soil ozone uptake) are not represented by existing 523 parameterizations, contributing to their difficulty in reproducing the observed IAV. The scarcity of long-term ozone flux 524 525 measurements (Fares et al., 2010, 2017; Munger et al., 1996; Rannik et al., 2012) limits our ability to benchmark the IAV in 526 our model simulations with observational datasets.

In summary, when both the variability in LAI and climate are considered, the IAV in simulated v_d translates to IAV in surface O₃ of 0.5 – 2ppbv in July for most regions. Such variability is predicted to be particularly strong in southern Amazonian and central African rainforest, where the predicted IAV in July surface O₃ due to dry deposition can be as high as 4 ppbv. This suggests that IAV of v_d can be an important part of the natural variability of surface O₃. The estimated magnitude of IAV is also dependent of the choice of v_d parameterization, which highlights the importance of v_d parameterization choice on modelling IAV of surface O₃.

534 6 Discussion and Conclusion

535 We present the results of multidecadal global modelling of ozone dry deposition using four different ozone deposition 536 parameterizations that are representative of the major types of approaches of gaseous dry deposition modelling used in global 537 chemical transport models. The parameterizations are driven by the same assimilated meteorology and satellite-derived LAI, 538 which minimizes the uncertainty of model input across parameterization and simplifies interpretation of inter-model 539 differences. The output is evaluated against field observations and shows satisfactory performance. One of our main goals was 540 to investigate the impact of dry deposition parameterization choice on long-term averages, trends, and IAV in v_d over a 541 multidecadal timescale, and estimate the potential concomitant impact on surface ozone concentrations to a first order using a 542 sensitivity simulation approach driven by the GEOS-Chem chemical transport model.

543

544 We find that the performance of the four dry deposition parameterizations against field observations varies considerably over 545 land types, and these results are consistent with other evaluations, reflecting the potential issue that dry deposition parameterizations can often be overfit to a particular set of available observations, requiring caution in their application at 546 547 global scales. We also find that using more ecophysiologically realistic output g_s predicted by the Ball-Berry model can 548 generally improve model performance, but at the cost of high sensitivity to relatively unreliable soil data. However, the number 549 of available datasets of ozone dry deposition observation are still small and concentrated in North America and Europe. We 550 know of only one multi-season direct observational record in Asia (Matsuda et al., 2005) and none in Africa, where air quality 551 can be an important issue. To better constrain regional O_3 dry deposition, effort must be made in making new observations of 552 gaseous dry deposition (Fares et al., 2017) especially in the under-sampled regions. Evaluation and development of ozone dry 553 deposition parameterizations will continue to benefit from publicly available ozone flux measurements and related 554 micrometeorological variables that allow for partitioning measured flux into individual deposition pathways (e.g. Clifton et 555 al., 2017, 2019; Fares et al., 2010; Wu et al., 2011, 2018)...

556

We find substantial disagreement in the spatial distribution between the mean daytime v_d predicted by the different parameterizations we tested. We find that these discrepancies are in general a function of both location and season. In NH summer, v_d simulated by the 4 parameterizations are considerably different in many regions over the world. We estimate that 560 this could lead to around 2 to 5 ppby in uncertainty of surface ozone concentration simulations over a vast majority of land in 561 the NH. In tropical rainforests, where leaf wetness is prevalent and the dry-wet season dynamics can have large impact on v_d 562 (Rummel et al., 2007), we estimate the uncertainty due to dry deposition model choice could even lead to an uncertainty in 563 surface ozone of up to 8 ppby. We also find noticeable impacts in parameterization choice during SH summer, but we note 564 that due to the unreliability of β at low v_d , we have not assessed its impact on surface ozone in many high-latitude regions of 565 the NH. In general, we find hydroclimate to be an important driver of the uncertainty. This demonstrates that the potential 566 impact of parameterization choice (or, process uncertainty) of v_d is neither spatiotemporally uniform nor negligible in many 567 regions over the world. More multi-seasonal observations are especially needed over seasonally dry ecosystems where the role 568 of hydroclimate in deposition parameterizations need to be evaluated. Recently, standard micrometeorological measurements 569 have been used to derive g_s and stomatal deposition of O_3 over North America and Europe (Ducker et al., 2018), highlighting 570 the potential of using global networks of micrometeorological observation (e.g. FLUXNET (Baldocchi et al., 2001)) to benchmark and calibrate g_s of drydeposition parameterizations, which could at least increase the spatiotemporal 571 572 representativeness, if not the absolute accuracy, of dry deposition parameterizations, since it would be difficult to constrain 573 non-stomatal sinks with this method. Further research is required to more directly verify whether better constrained g_s leads to 574 improved v_d simulation.

575

576 Over the majority of vegetated regions in the NH, we estimate the IAV of mean daytime v_d is generally on the order of 5 to 577 15% and may contribute between 0.5 to 2 ppbv of IAV in July surface O_3 over the thirty-year period considered here, with 578 each parameterization simulating different geographic distribution of where IAV is highest. The predicted IAV from all four 579 models is smaller than what long-term observations suggest, but its potential contribution to IAV in O₃ is still comparable to 580 the long-term variability of background ozone over similar timescales in U.S. summer (Brown-Steiner et al., 2018; Fiore et al., 581 2014). This would seem to confirm that v_d may be a substantial contributor to natural IAV of O₃ in summer, at least in U.S. In 582 the southern Hemisphere, the IAV mainly concentrates in the drier part of tropical rainforests. The Ball-Berry 583 parameterizations simulate large and spatially discontinuous CV_{vd} and σ_{O3} due to their sensitivity to soil wetness. Globally, we 584 find that IAV of v_d in W98 is mostly driven by LAI, while in other parameterizations climate generally plays a more important 585 role. We therefore emphasize that temporal matching of LAI is important for consistency when W98 is used in long-term simulations. While our results show notable impacts across the globe, in many regions there are no available long-term 586 587 observation to evaluate the model predictions over interannual timescales. This information is helpful in designing and 588 identifying sources of error in model experiments that involve variability of v_d .

589

We are also able to detect statistically significant trends in July daytime v_d over several regions. The magnitudes of trends are up to 1% per year and both climate and LAI contribute to the trend. All four deposition parameterizations identify three main hotspots of decreasing July daytime v_d (southern Amazonia, southern African savannah, Mongolia), which we link mainly to increasing surface air temperature and decreasing relative humidity. Meanwhile, extensive areas at high latitudes experience 594 LAI-driven increasing July daytime v_d , consistent with the greening trend in the region (Zhu et al., 2016). We don't find a 595 strong influence of CO₂-induced stomatal closure in the trend over this time period. Over the 30-years we estimate the trend 596 in July daytime v_d could translate approximately to 1 to 3 ppbv of ozone changes in the areas of impact, indicating the potential 597 effect of long-term changes in v_d on surface ozone. This estimate should be considered conservative, since we are unable to 598 reliably test the sensitivity of ozone to regions with low v_d with our approach.

599

600 While the approach we have presented here allows us to explore the role of dry deposition parameterization choice on 601 simulations of long-term means, trends, and IAV in ozone dry deposition velocity, there remain some limitations and 602 opportunities for development. First, we only used one LAI and assimilated meteorological product. The geographic 603 distribution of trend and IAV of v_d may vary considerably as the LAI and meteorological products used due to their inherent 604 uncertainty (e.g. Jiang et al., 2017). While we expect the qualitative conclusions about how LAI and climate controls the 605 modelled trend and IAV of v_d to be robust to the choice of data set, the magnitude and spatial variability could be affected. 606 Second, the estimated effects on surface O_3 are a first-order inference based on a linear approximation of the impact that v_d 607 has directly on O_3 . We have not applied our analysis to regions with low GEOS-Chem v_d , where other components of 608 parameterization (e.g. definition and treatment of snow cover, difference in ground resistance) may have major impact on v_d 609 prediction (Silva and Heald, 2018), nor accounted for the role that v_d variability can have on other chemical species which 610 would have feedbacks on O₃. Moreover, the sensitivity of surface ozone to v_d may be dependent on the choice of chemical 611 transport model (here, the GEOS-Chem model has been used), and possibly the choice of simulation year for the sensitivity 612 simulation. Finally, we have neglected the effect of land use and land cover change on global PFT composition at this stage, 613 which can be another source of variability for v_d , and even long-term LAI retrieval (Fang et al., 2013). Nevertheless, the 614 relatively high NMAEF of simulated v_d and the inherent uncertainty in input data (land cover, soil property, assimilated 615 meteorology and LAI) are considered as the major source of uncertainty in our predictions of v_d .

616

617 The impact of dry deposition parameterization choice may also have impacts which we have not explored in this study on 618 other trace gases with deposition velocity controlled by surface resistance, and for which stomatal resistance is an important 619 control of surface resistance (e.g. NO₂). As v_d has already been recognized as a major source of uncertainty in deriving global 620 dry deposition flux of NO₂ and SO₂ (Nowlan et al., 2014), systematic investigation on the variability and uncertainty of v_d for 621 other relevant chemical species does not only contribute to understanding the role of gaseous dry deposition on air quality, but also to biogeochemical cycling. Particularly, gaseous dry deposition has been shown to be a major component in nitrogen 622 623 deposition (Geddes and Martin, 2017; Zhang et al., 2012), highlighting the potential importance of understanding the role of 624 v_d parameterization in modelling regional and global nitrogen cycles.

625

Here we have built on the recent investigations of modelled global mean (Hardacre et al., 2015; Silva and Heald, 2018) and observed long-term variability (Clifton et al., 2017) of $O_3 v_d$. We are able to demonstrate the substantial impact of v_d parameterization on modelling the global mean and IAV of v_d , and their non-trivial potential impact on simulated seasonal mean and IAV of surface ozone. We demonstrate that the parameterizations with explicit dependence on hydroclimatic variables have higher sensitivity to climate variability than those without. Lin et al. (2019) likewise recently demonstrated the importance of accounting for water availability in O3 dry deposition modeling. Difficulties in evaluating predictions of v_d for many regions of the world (e.g. most of Asia and Africa) persist due to the scarcity of measurements. This makes a strong case for additional measurement and model studies of ozone dry deposition across different timescales, which would be greatly facilitated by an open data sharing infrastructure (e.g. Baldocchi et al., 2001; Junninen et al., 2009).

635 Code Availability

The source code and output of the dry deposition parameterizations can be obtained by contacting the corresponding author(jgeddes@bu.edu).

638

639 Competing Interests

640 The authors declare no competing interests.

641 Author Contributions

AYHW and JAG developed the ideas behind this study, formulated the methods, and designed the model experiments. AYHW wrote the dry deposition code and ran the chemical transport model simulations. Data analysis was performed by AYHW, with input and feedback from JAG. APKT provided the photosynthesis model code, and co-supervised the dry deposition code development. SJS compiled the dry deposition observations used for evaluation. Manuscript preparation was performed by AYHW, reviewed by JAG, and commented, edited, and approved by all authors.

647 Acknowledgement

This work was funded by an NSF CAREER grant (ATM-1750328) to project PI J.A. Geddes; and the Vice-Chancellor Discretionary Fund (Project ID: 4930744) from The Chinese University of Hong Kong (CUHK) given to the Institute of Environment, Energy and Sustainability. Funding support to SJS was provide by a National Science Foundation grant to C.L. Heald (ATM-1564495). We also thank the Global Modelling and Assimilation Office (GMAO) at NASA Goddard Flight Center for providing the MERRA-2 data, Ranga Myneni for GIMMS LAI3g product, Petri Keronen and Ivan Mammarella for the flux measurements in Hyytiala, Silvano Fares and Allen Goldstein for the flux measurement in Blodgett Forest, and Leiming Zhang and Zhiyong Wu for the source code of Z03.

- 655
- 656





0.7

0.8

0.6

Crop

0.9

Fractional Coverage (Unitless)

Grass

0.5

0.4

0.1

δ

0.2

0.3





Figure 2: 1982-2011 July mean daytime v_d (solar elevation angle > 20°) over vegetated land surface simulated by W98. 675



676

Figure 3: Differences of 1982-2011 July mean daytime v_d ($\Delta \bar{v_d}$) between three other parameterizations (Z03, W98_BB and Z03_BB) and W98 over vegetated land surface.



Figure 4: Estimated difference in July mean surface ozone (ΔO_3) due to the discrepancy of simulated July mean daytime v_d among the parameterizations.





Figure 5: 1982-2011 December mean daytime v_d (solar elevation angle > 20°) over vegetated land surface simulated by W98. The data over high latitudes over Northern Hemisphere is invalid due to insufficient daytime hours over the month (< 100 hours month⁻¹)



Figure 6: Differences of 1982-2011 December mean daytime $v_d (\Delta \overline{v_d})$ between three other parameterizations (Z03, W98_BB and Z03_BB) and W98 over vegetated land surface.



Figure 7: Estimated difference in December mean surface ozone (ΔO_3) due to the discrepancy of simulated December mean daytime v_d among the parameterizations.





Figure 8: Trends of July mean daytime v_d during 1982-2011 over vegetated land surface. Black dots indicate statistically

⁷⁰⁴ significant trends (p < 0.05)



705

Figure 9: Estimated impact of trends of July mean daytime v_d on July mean surface ozone during ($\Delta O_{3 30y}$) 1982-2011 over vegetated land surface. Only grid points with statistically significant trends (p < 0.05) in July mean daytime v_d are considered.



Figure 10: Interannual coefficient of variation of linearly detrended July mean daytime v_d (CV_{vd}) during 1982-2011 over

712 vegetated land surface.



Figure 11: Estimated contribution of IAV in July mean daytime v_d to IAV of July mean surface ozone (σ_{O3}) during 1982-

715	2011 over vegetated land surface.
-----	-----------------------------------

- /19

- ---

7	23

<i>v</i> _d simulation	Meteorology	LAI	Atmospheric CO ₂ concentration
[Clim]	MERRA-2 meteorology	LAI3g monthly climatology	390 ppm
[Clim+LAI]		LAI3g monthly time series	
[Clim+LAI+CO ₂]	gj		Manoa Loa time series

Table 1: List of v_d simulations with input data

Land types	Metrics	Static LAI				Dynamic LAI			
		W98	Z03	W89-BB	Z03_BB	W98	Z03	W89-BB	Z03_BB
Dec	NMBF	0.134	-0.367	-0.287	-0.142	0.119	-0.376	-0.299	-0.153
(<i>N</i> =8)	NMAEF	0.322	0.369	0.305	0.215	0.319	0.376	0.321	0.226
Con	NMBF	-0.362	-0.217	-0.252	-0.025	-0.355	-0.209	-0.248	-0.023

(<i>N</i> =16)	NMAEF	0.448	0.455	0.483	0.399	0.427	0.458	0.470	0.394
Tro	NMBF	0.080	-0.808	-0.086	-0.438	0.075	-0.813	-0.090	-0.441
(<i>N</i> =5)	NMAEF	0.423	0.831	0.404	0.569	0.422	0.832	0.399	0.567
Gra	NMBF	0.276	0.015	0.175	0.097	0.294	0.011	0.186	0.110
(<i>N</i> =10)	NMAEF	0.392	0.479	0.307	0.318	0.396	0.467	0.302	0.311
Cro	NMBF	0.297	0.360	0.241	0.282	0.318	0.371	0.255	0.292
(N=11)	NMAEF	0.473	0.541	0.474	0.570	0.485	0.550	0.480	0.576

Table 2: Performance metrics (*NMBF* and *NMAEF*) for daytime average v_d simulated by the four dry deposition

parameterizations, with N referring to number of data points (1 data points = 1 seasonal mean). "Static LAI" is the result

728 from [Clim] run, which uses 1982-2011 AVHRR monthly climatological LAI, while "Dynamic LAI" is the result from

729 [Clim+LAI], which uses 1982-2011 AVHRR LAI time series. Dec = deciduous forest, Con = coniferous forest, Tro =

730 tropical rainforest, Gra = grassland, Cro = cropland. N indicates the number of observational datasets involved in that

731 particular land type. The best performing parameterization for each land type has its performance metrics bolded.

- 732
- 733
- 734
- 735
- 736

737

738 References

- Ainsworth, E. A. and Rogers, A.: The response of photosynthesis and stomatal conductance to rising [CO 2]: Mechanisms and
 environmental interactions, Plant, Cell Environ., 30(3), 258–270, doi:10.1111/j.1365-3040.2007.01641.x, 2007.
- 741 Ainsworth, E. A., Yendrek, C. R., Sitch, S., Collins, W. J. and Emberson, L. D.: The Effects of Tropospheric Ozone on Net
- 742 Primary Productivity and Implications for Climate Change, Annu. Rev. Plant Biol., 63(1), 637–661, doi:10.1146/annurev-
- 743 arplant-042110-103829, 2012.
- Altimir, N., Kolari, P., Tuovinen, J.-P., Vesala, T., Bäck, J., Suni, T., Kulmala, M. and Hari, P.: Foliage surface ozone deposition: a role for surface moisture?, Biogeosciences Discuss., 2, 1739–1793, doi:10.5194/bgd-2-1739-2005, 2006.
- 746 Ashworth, K., Chung, S. H., Griffin, R. J., Chen, J., Forkel, R., Bryan, A. M. and Steiner, A. L.: FORest Canopy Atmosphere
- Transfer (FORCAsT) 1.0: A 1-D model of biosphere-atmosphere chemical exchange, Geosci. Model Dev., doi:10.5194/gmd8-3765-2015, 2015.
- 749 Avnery, S., Mauzerall, D. L., Liu, J. and Horowitz, L. W.: Global crop yield reductions due to surface ozone exposure: 1. Year
- 750 2000 crop production losses and economic damage, Atmos. Environ., 45(13), 2284-2296,

- 751 doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2010.11.045, 2011.
- 752 Baldocchi, D., Falge, E., Gu, L., Olson, R., Hollinger, D., Running, S., Anthoni, P., Bernhofer, C., Davis, K., Evans, R.,
- 753 Fuentes, J., Goldstein, A., Katul, G., Law, B., Lee, X., Malhi, Y., Meyers, T., Munger, W., Oechel, W., Paw, U. K. T.,
- 754 Pilegaard, K., Schmid, H. P., Valentini, R., Verma, S., Vesala, T., Wilson, K. and Wofsy, S.: FLUXNET: A New Tool to
- 755 Study the Temporal and Spatial Variability of Ecosystem-Scale Carbon Dioxide, Water Vapor, and Energy Flux Densities,
- 756 Bull. Am. Meteorol. Soc., doi:10.1175/1520-0477(2001)082<2415:FANTTS>2.3.CO;2, 2001.
- Baldocchi, D. D., Hicks, B. B. and Camara, P.: A canopy stomatal resistance model for gaseous deposition to vegetated
 surfaces, Atmos. Environ., 21(1), 91–101, doi:10.1016/0004-6981(87)90274-5, 1987.
- 759 Ball, J. T., Woodrow, I. E. and Berry, J. A.: A Model Predicting Stomatal Conductance and its Contribution to the Control of
- 760 Photosynthesis under Different Environmental Conditions, in Progress in Photosynthesis Research, pp. 221–224., 1987.
- 761 Brook, J. R., Zhang, L., Di-Giovanni, F. and Padro, J.: Description and evaluation of a model of deposition velocities for
- 762 routine estimates of air pollutant dry deposition over North America. Part I: Model development, Atmos. Environ.,

763 doi:10.1016/S1352-2310(99)00250-2, 1999.

- 764 Brown-Steiner, B., Selin, N. E., Prinn, R. G., Monier, E., Tilmes, S., Emmons, L. and Garcia-Menendez, F.: Maximizing ozone
- signals among chemical, meteorological, and climatological variability, Atmos. Chem. Phys., doi:10.5194/acp-18-8373-2018,
 2018.
- 767 Centoni, F.: Global scale modelling of ozone deposition processes and interaction between surface ozone and climate change768 A thesis presented for the degree The University of Edinburgh, University of Edinburgh, 2017.
- Chen, B., Black, T. A., Coops, N. C., Hilker, T., Trofymow, J. A. and Morgenstern, K.: Assessing tower flux footprint climatology and scaling between remotely sensed and eddy covariance measurements, Boundary-Layer Meteorol.,
- 771 doi:10.1007/s10546-008-9339-1, 2009.
 - 772 Chen, B., Coops, N. C., Fu, D., Margolis, H. A., Amiro, B. D., Black, T. A., Arain, M. A., Barr, A. G., Bourque, C. P. A.,
 - 773 Flanagan, L. B., Lafleur, P. M., McCaughey, J. H. and Wofsy, S. C.: Characterizing spatial representativeness of flux tower
 - eddy-covariance measurements across the Canadian Carbon Program Network using remote sensing and footprint analysis,
 - 775 Remote Sens. Environ., doi:10.1016/j.rse.2012.06.007, 2012.
 - 776 Clifton, O. E., Fiore, A. M., Munger, J. W., Malyshev, S., Horowitz, L. W., Shevliakova, E., Paulot, F., Murray, L. T. and
 - 777 Griffin, K. L.: Interannual variability in ozone removal by a temperate deciduous forest, Geophys. Res. Lett., 44(1), 542–552,
 - 778 doi:10.1002/2016GL070923, 2017.
 - Clifton, O. E., Fiore, A. M., Munger, J. W. and Wehr, R.: Spatiotemporal controls on observed daytime ozone deposition
 velocity over Northeastern U.S. forests during summer., 2019.
 - 781 Coe, H., Gallagher, M. W., Choularton, T. W. and Dore, C.: Canopy scale measurements of stomatal and cuticular 03 uptake
- 782 by sitka spruce, Atmos. Environ., doi:10.1016/1352-2310(95)00034-V, 1995.
- 783 Collatz, G., Ribas-Carbo, M. and Berry, J.: Coupled Photosynthesis-Stomatal Conductance Model for Leaves of C 4 Plants,
- 784 Aust. J. Plant Physiol., 19(5), 519, doi:10.1071/PP9920519, 1992.

- 785 Collatz, G. J., Ball, J. T., Grivet, C. and Berry, J. A.: Physiological and environmental regulation of stomatal conductance,
- 786 photosynthesis and transpiration: a model that includes a laminar boundary layer, Agric. For. Meteorol., 54(2-4), 107–136, 787
- doi:10.1016/0168-1923(91)90002-8, 1991.
- 788 Coyle, M., Nemitz, E., Storeton-West, R., Fowler, D. and Cape, J. N.: Measurements of ozone deposition to a potato canopy,
- 789 Agric. For. Meteorol., doi:10.1016/j.agrformet.2008.10.020, 2009.
- 790 Dai, Y., Shangguan, W., Wei, N., Xin, Q., Yuan, H., Zhang, S. and Liu, S.: SOIL A review of the global soil property maps
- 791 for Earth system models, , (2016), 137–158, 2019.
- 792 Droppo, J. G.: Concurrent measurements of ozone dry deposition using eddy correlation and profile flux methods., J. Geophys.
- 793 Res., doi:10.1029/JD090iD01p02111, 1985.
- 794 Ducker, J. A., Holmes, C. D., Keenan, T. F., Fares, S., Goldstein, A. H., Mammarella, I., William Munger, J. and Schnell, J.:
- 795 Synthetic ozone deposition and stomatal uptake at flux tower sites, Biogeosciences, doi:10.5194/bg-15-5395-2018, 2018.
- 796 Emberson, L. D., Wieser, G. and Ashmore, M. R.: Modelling of stomatal conductance and ozone flux of Norway spruce:
- 797 Comparison with field data, in Environmental Pollution., 2000.
- 798 Fang, H., Li, W. and Myneni, R. B.: The impact of potential land cover misclassification on modis leaf area index (LAI) 799 estimation: A statistical perspective, Remote Sens., doi:10.3390/rs5020830, 2013.
- 800 Fares, S., McKay, M., Holzinger, R. and Goldstein, A. H.: Ozone fluxes in a Pinus ponderosa ecosystem are dominated by
- 801 non-stomatal processes: Evidence from long-term continuous measurements, Agric. For. Meteorol., 150(3), 420-431,
- 802 doi:10.1016/j.agrformet.2010.01.007, 2010.
- 803 Fares, S., Savi, F., Muller, J., Matteucci, G. and Paoletti, E.: Simultaneous measurements of above and below canopy ozone
- 804 fluxes help partitioning ozone deposition between its various sinks in a Mediterranean Oak Forest, Agric. For. Meteorol., 198,
- 805 181-191, doi:10.1016/j.agrformet.2014.08.014, 2014.
- 806 Fares, S., Conte, A. and Chabbi, A.: Ozone flux in plant ecosystems: new opportunities for long-term monitoring networks to
- 807 deliver ozone-risk assessments, Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res., 1–9, doi:10.1007/s11356-017-0352-0, 2017.
- 808 Farquhar, G. D., Von Caemmerer, S. and Berry, J. A.: A Biochemical Model of Photosynthetic CO 2 Assimilation in Leaves
- 809 of C 3 Species, Planta, 149, 78–90, doi:10.1007/BF00386231, 1980.
- 810 Finkelstein, P. L., Ellestad, T. G., Clarke, J. F., Meyers, T. P., Schwede, D. B., Hebert, E. O. and Neal, J. A.: Ozone and sulfur
- 811 dioxide dry deposition to forests: Observations and model evaluation, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., doi:10.1029/2000JD900185, 812 2000.
- 813 Fiore, A. M., Oberman, J. T., Lin, M. Y., Zhang, L., Clifton, O. E., Jacob, D. J., Naik, V., Horowitz, L. W., Pinto, J. P. and 814 Milly, G. P.: Estimating North American background ozone in U.S. surface air with two independent global models:
- 815 Variability, uncertainties, and recommendations, Atmos. Environ., doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2014.07.045, 2014.
- 816 Foken, T.: 50 years of the Monin-Obukhov similarity theory, Boundary-Layer Meteorol., doi:10.1007/s10546-006-9048-6, 817 2006.
- 818 Fowler, D., Flechard, C., Cape, J. N., Storeton-West, R. L. and Coyle, M.: Measurements of ozone deposition to vegetation

- quantifying the flux, the stomatal and non-stomatal components, Water. Air. Soil Pollut., doi:10.1023/A:1012243317471,
 2001.
- 821 Fowler, D., Nemitz, E., Misztal, P., di Marco, C., Skiba, U., Ryder, J., Helfter, C., Neil Cape, J., Owen, S., Dorsey, J.,
- 822 Gallagher, M. W., Coyle, M., Phillips, G., Davison, B., Langford, B., MacKenzie, R., Muller, J., Siong, J., Dari-Salisburgo,
- 823 C., di Carlo, P., Aruffo, E., Giammaria, F., Pyle, J. A. and Nicholas Hewitt, C.: Effects of land use on surface-atmosphere
- 824 exchanges of trace gases and energy in Borneo: Comparing fluxes over oil palm plantations and a rainforest, Philos. Trans. R.
- 825 Soc. B Biol. Sci., doi:10.1098/rstb.2011.0055, 2011.
- 826 Franks, P. J., Adams, M. A., Amthor, J. S., Barbour, M. M., Berry, J. A., Ellsworth, D. S., Farquhar, G. D., Ghannoum, O.,
- 827 Lloyd, J., McDowell, N., Norby, R. J., Tissue, D. T. and von Caemmerer, S.: Sensitivity of plants to changing atmospheric
- CO2 concentration: From the geological past to the next century, New Phytol., 197(4), 1077–1094, doi:10.1111/nph.12104,
 2013.
- 830 Fu, Y. and Tai, A. P. K.: Impact of climate and land cover changes on tropospheric ozone air quality and public health in East
- Asia between 1980 and 2010, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 15(17), 10093–10106, doi:10.5194/acp-15-10093-2015, 2015.
- 832 Ganzeveld, L., Bouwman, L., Stehfest, E., van Vuuren, D. P., Eickhout, B. and Lelieveld, J.: Impact of future land use and
- land cover changes on atmospheric chemistry-climate interactions, J. Geophys. Res., 115(D23), D23301,
 doi:10.1029/2010JD014041, 2010.
- Gao, W. and Wesely, M. L.: Modeling gaseous dry deposition over regional scales with satellite observations-I. Model
 development, Atmos. Environ., 29(6), 727–737, doi:10.1016/1352-2310(94)00284-R, 1995.
- Geddes, J. A. and Martin, R. V.: Global deposition of total reactive nitrogen oxides from 1996 to 2014 constrained with satellite
 observations of NO2columns, Atmos. Chem. Phys., doi:10.5194/acp-17-10071-2017, 2017.
- Geddes, J. A., Heald, C. L., Silva, S. J. and Martin, R. V.: Land cover change impacts on atmospheric chemistry: Simulating
 projected large-scale tree mortality in the United States, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 16(4), 2323–2340, doi:10.5194/acp-16-23232016, 2016.
- 842 Gelaro, R., McCarty, W., Suárez, M. J., Todling, R., Molod, A., Takacs, L., Randles, C. A., Darmenov, A., Bosilovich, M. G.,
- 843 Reichle, R., Wargan, K., Coy, L., Cullather, R., Draper, C., Akella, S., Buchard, V., Conaty, A., da Silva, A. M., Gu, W., Kim,
- 844 G. K., Koster, R., Lucchesi, R., Merkova, D., Nielsen, J. E., Partyka, G., Pawson, S., Putman, W., Rienecker, M., Schubert, S.
- 845 D., Sienkiewicz, M. and Zhao, B.: The modern-era retrospective analysis for research and applications, version 2 (MERRA-
- 846 2), J. Clim., 30(14), 5419–5454, doi:10.1175/JCLI-D-16-0758.1, 2017.
- 847 Gerosa, G., Vitale, M., Finco, A., Manes, F., Denti, A. B. and Cieslik, S.: Ozone uptake by an evergreen Mediterranean Forest
- 848 (Quercus ilex) in Italy. Part I: Micrometeorological flux measurements and flux partitioning, Atmos. Environ., 39(18), 3255–
- 849 3266, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2005.01.056, 2005.
- 850 Gerosa, G., Marzuoli, R., Monteleone, B., Chiesa, M. and Finco, A.: Vertical ozone gradients above forests. Comparison of
- 851 different calculation options with direct ozone measurements above a mature forest and consequences for ozone risk
- 852 assessment, Forests, 8(9), doi:10.3390/f8090337, 2017.

- 853 Hardacre, C., Wild, O. and Emberson, L.: An evaluation of ozone dry deposition in global scale chemistry climate models,
- 854 Atmos. Chem. Phys., 15(11), 6419–6436, doi:10.5194/acp-15-6419-2015, 2015.
- Heald, C. L. and Geddes, J. A.: The impact of historical land use change from 1850 to 2000 on secondary particulate matter
 and ozone, Atmos. Chem. Phys., doi:10.5194/acp-16-14997-2016, 2016.
- Hole, L. R., Semb, A. and Tørseth, K.: Ozone deposition to a temperate coniferous forest in Norway; gradient method measurements and comparison with the EMEP deposition module, in Atmospheric Environment., 2004.
- Hollaway, M. J., Arnold, S. R., Collins, W. J., Folberth, G. and Rap, A.: Sensitivity of midnineteenth century tropospheric
 ozone to atmospheric chemistry-vegetation interactions, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 122(4), 2452–2473,
 doi:10.1002/2016JD025462, 2017.
- Hoshika, Y., Carriero, G., Feng, Z., Zhang, Y. and Paoletti, E.: Determinants of stomatal sluggishness in ozone-exposed
 deciduous tree species, Sci. Total Environ., 481(1), 453–458, doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2014.02.080, 2014.
- 864 Hu, L., Jacob, D. J., Liu, X., Zhang, Y., Zhang, L., Kim, P. S., Sulprizio, M. P. and Yantosca, R. M.: Global budget of
- 865 tropospheric ozone: Evaluating recent model advances with satellite (OMI), aircraft (IAGOS), and ozonesonde observations,
- 866 Atmos. Environ., 167, 323–334, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2017.08.036, 2017.
- Huang, L., McDonald-Buller, E. C., McGaughey, G., Kimura, Y. and Allen, D. T.: The impact of drought on ozone dry
 deposition over eastern Texas, Atmos. Environ., 127, 176–186, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2015.12.022, 2016.
- Jacob, D. J. and Wofsy, S. C.: Budgets of Reactive Nitrogen, Hydrocarbons, and Ozone Over the Amazon Forest during the
 Wet Season, J. Geophys. Res., 95, 16737–16754, doi:10.1029/JD095iD10p16737, 1990.
- Jacob, D. J., Fan, S.-M., Wofsy, S. C., Spiro, P. A., Bakwin, P. S., Ritter, J. A., Browell, E. V., Gregory, G. L., Fitzjarrald, D.
- 872 R. and Moore, K. E.: Deposition of ozone to tundra, J. Geophys. Res., doi:10.1029/91JD02696, 1992.
- Jarvis, P. G.: The Interpretation of the Variations in Leaf Water Potential and Stomatal Conductance Found in Canopies in the Field, Philos. Trans. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci., 273(927), 593–610, doi:10.1098/rstb.1976.0035, 1976.
- Jerrett, M., Burnett, R. T., Pope, C. A., Ito, K., Thurston, G., Krewski, D., Shi, Y., Calle, E. and Thun, M.: Long-Term Ozone
 Exposure and Mortality, N. Engl. J. Med., 360(11), 1085–1095, doi:10.1056/NEJMoa0803894, 2009.
- 877 Jiang, C., Ryu, Y., Fang, H., Myneni, R., Claverie, M. and Zhu, Z.: Inconsistencies of interannual variability and trends in
- 878 long-term satellite leaf area index products, Glob. Chang. Biol., doi:10.1111/gcb.13787, 2017.
- Junninen, H., Lauri, A., Keronen, P., Aalto, P., Hiltunen, V., Hari, P. and Kulmala, M.: Smart-SMEAR: On-line data exploration and visualization tool for SMEAR stations, Boreal Environ. Res., 14(4), 447–457, 2009.
- 881 Kattge, J. and Knorr, W.: Temperature acclimation in a biochemical model of photosynthesis: A reanalysis of data from 36
- 882 species, Plant, Cell Environ., 30(9), 1176–1190, doi:10.1111/j.1365-3040.2007.01690.x, 2007.
- 883 Kavassalis, S. C. and Murphy, J. G.: Understanding ozone-meteorology correlations: A role for dry deposition, Geophys. Res.
- 884 Lett., 44(6), 2922–2931, doi:10.1002/2016GL071791, 2017.
- 885 Keeling, C. D., Stephen, C., Piper, S. C., Bacastow, R. B., Wahlen, M., Whorf, T. P., Heimann, M. and Meijer, H. a.: Exchanges
- of atmospheric CO2 and 13CO2 with the terrestrial biosphere and oceans from 1978 to 2000, Glob. Asp. SIO Ref. Ser. Scripps

- 887 Inst. Ocean. San Diego, doi:10.1007/b138533, 2001.
- 888 Keronen, P., Reissell, a, Rannik, Ü., Pohja, T., Siivola, E., Hiltunen, V., Hari, P., Kulmala, M. and Vesala, T.: Ozone flux
- 889 measurements over a Scots pine forest using eddy covariance method: Performance evaluation and comparison with flux-
- 890 profile method, Boreal Environ. Res., 8(4), 425-443 [online] Available from:
- 891 http://www.scopus.com/inward/record.url?eid=2-s2.0-
- 892 0347884158&partnerID=40&md5=4ad114fb52c557d36cc8a0ec1ab8bb7e, 2003.
- 893 Kharol, S. K., Shephard, M. W., Mclinden, C. A., Zhang, L., Sioris, C. E., O'Brien, J. M., Vet, R., Cady-Pereira, K. E., Hare,
- 894 E., Siemons, J. and Krotkov, N. A.: Dry Deposition of Reactive Nitrogen From Satellite Observations of Ammonia and
- 895 Nitrogen Dioxide Over North America, Geophys. Res. Lett., doi:10.1002/2017GL075832, 2018.
- Kurpius, M. R., McKay, M. and Goldstein, A. H.: Annual ozone deposition to a Sierra Nevada ponderosa pine plantation,
 Atmos. Environ., doi:10.1016/S1352-2310(02)00423-5, 2002.
- 898 Lamaud, E., Brunet, Y., Labatut, A., Lopez, A., Fontan, J. and Druilhet, A.: The Landes experiment: Biosphere-atmosphere
- exchanges of ozone and aerosol particles above a pine forest, J. Geophys. Res., doi:10.1029/94JD00668, 1994.
- Lamaud, E., Carrara, A., Brunet, Y., Lopez, A. and Druilhet, A.: Ozone fluxes above and within a pine forest canopy in dry
 and wet conditions, Atmos. Environ., 36(1), 77–88, doi:10.1016/S1352-2310(01)00468-X, 2002.
- 902 Lawrence, P. J. and Chase, T. N.: Representing a new MODIS consistent land surface in the Community Land Model (CLM
- 903 3.0), J. Geophys. Res. Biogeosciences, 112(1), doi:10.1029/2006JG000168, 2007.
- Li, D., Bou-Zeid, E., Barlage, M., Chen, F. and Smith, J. A.: Development and evaluation of a mosaic approach in the WRF-
- 905 Noah framework, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 118(21), 11918–11935, doi:10.1002/2013JD020657, 2013.
- Lin, Y., Medlyn, B. and Duursma, R.: Optimal stomatal behaviour around the world, Nat. Clim. ..., (March), 1–6,
 doi:10.1038/NCLIMATE2550, 2015.
- 208 Lin, M., Malyshev, S., Shevliakova, E., Paulot, F., Horowitz, L. W., Fares, S., Mikkelsen, T. N. and Zhang, L.: Sensitivity of
- 909 ozone dry deposition to ecosystem-atmosphere interactions: A critical appraisal of observations and simulations, Global
- 910 Biogeochemical Cycles, 3, doi: 10.1029/2018GB006157.
- 911 Lombardozzi, D., Sparks, J. P., Bonan, G. and Levis, S.: Ozone exposure causes a decoupling of conductance and
- 912 photosynthesis: Implications for the Ball-Berry stomatal conductance model, Oecologia, 169(3), 651-659,
- 913 doi:10.1007/s00442-011-2242-3, 2012.
- Lombardozzi, D., Levis, S., Bonan, G., Hess, P. G. and Sparks, J. P.: The influence of chronic ozone exposure on global carbon
 and water cycles, J. Clim., 28(1), 292–305, doi:10.1175/JCLI-D-14-00223.1, 2015.
- 916 Malhi, Y., Roberts, J. T., Betts, R. A., Killeen, T. J., Li, W. and Nobre, C. A.: Climate change, deforestation, and the fate of
- 917 the Amazon, Science (80-.)., doi:10.1126/science.1146961, 2008.
- 918 Mao, J., Paulot, F., Jacob, D. J., Cohen, R. C., Crounse, J. D., Wennberg, P. O., Keller, C. A., Hudman, R. C., Barkley, M. P.
- 919 and Horowitz, L. W.: Ozone and organic nitrates over the eastern United States: Sensitivity to isoprene chemistry, J. Geophys.
- 920 Res. Atmos., 118(19), 11256–11268, doi:10.1002/jgrd.50817, 2013.

- 921 Matsuda, K., Watanabe, I., Wingpud, V., Theramongkol, P., Khummongkol, P., Wangwongwatana, S. and Totsuka, T.: Ozone
- 922 dry deposition above a tropical forest in the dry season in northern Thailand, Atmos. Environ., 39(14), 2571–2577,
- 923 doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2005.01.011, 2005.
- McGrath, J. M., Betzelberger, A. M., Wang, S., Shook, E., Zhu, X.-G., Long, S. P. and Ainsworth, E. A.: An analysis of ozone damage to historical maize and soybean yields in the United States, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., 112(46), 14390–14395,
- 925 damage to historical maize and soybean yields in the United States, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., 112(46), 14390–14395,
 926 doi:10.1073/pnas.1509777112, 2015.
- Mesźaros, R., Horvath, L., Weidinger, T., Neftel, A., Nemitz, E., Dammgen, U., Cellier, P. and Loubet, B.: Measurement and
 modelling ozone fluxes over a cut and fertilized grassland, Biogeosciences, doi:10.1029/2002GL016785
- Meyers, T. P., Finkelstein, P., Clarke, J., Ellestad, T. G. and Sims, P. F.: A multilayer model for inferring dry deposition using standard meteorological measurements, J. Geophys. Res., 103(98), 22645, doi:10.1029/98JD01564, 1998.
- Mikkelsen, T. N., Ro-Poulsen, H., Hovmand, M. F., Jensen, N. O., Pilegaard, K. and Egeløv, A. H.: Five-year measurements
 of ozone fluxes to a Danish Norway spruce canopy, in Atmospheric Environment., 2004.
- 933 Muller, J. B. A., Percival, C. J., Gallagher, M. W., Fowler, D., Coyle, M. and Nemitz, E.: Sources of uncertainty in eddy
- 934 covariance ozone flux measurements made by dry chemiluminescence fast response analysers, Atmos. Meas. Tech.,
- 935 doi:10.5194/amt-3-163-2010, 2010.
- 936 Munger, J. W., Wofsy, S. C., Bakwin, P. S., Fan, S.-M., Goulden, M. L., Daube, B. C., Goldstein, A. H., Moore, K. E. and
- Fitzjarrald, D. R.: Atmospheric deposition of reactive nitrogen oxides and ozone in a temperate deciduous forest and a subarctic
 woodland 1. Measurements and mechanisms, J. Geophys. Res., 101657(20), 639–12, doi:10.1029/96JD00230, 1996.
- 939 Myneni, R. B., Hoffman, S., Knyazikhin, Y., Privette, J. L., Glassy, J., Tian, Y., Wang, Y., Song, X., Zhang, Y., Smith, G. R.,
- 940 Lotsch, A., Friedl, M., Morisette, J. T., Votava, P., Nemani, R. R. and Running, S. W.: Global products of vegetation leaf area
- 941 and fraction absorbed PAR from year one of MODIS data, Remote Sens. Environ., 83(1-2), 214-231, doi:10.1016/S0034-
- 942 4257(02)00074-3, 2002.
- Norby, R. J. and Zak, D. R.: Ecological Lessons from Free-Air CO₂ Enrichment (FACE) Experiments, Annu. Rev. Ecol. Evol.
 Syst., doi:10.1146/annurev-ecolsys-102209-144647, 2011.
- 945 Nowlan, C. R., Martin, R. V., Philip, S., Lamsal, L. N., Krotkov, N. A., Marais, E. A., Wang, S. and Zhang, Q.: Global dry
- 946 deposition of nitrogen dioxide and sulfur dioxide inferred from space-based measurements, Global Biogeochem. Cycles,
 947 doi:10.1002/2014GB004805, 2014.
- 948 Oleson, K. W., Lawrence, D. M., Bonan, G. B., Drewniak, B., Huang, M., Koven, C. D., Levis, S., Li, F., Riley, J., Subin, Z.
- 949 M., Swenson, S. C., Thornton, P. E., Bozbiyik, A., Fisher, R. A., Heald, C. L., Kluzek, E., Lamarque, J.-F., Lawrence, P. J.,
- 950 Leung, L. R., Lipscomb, W., Muszala, S., Ricciuto, D. M., Sacks, W. J., Sun, Y., Tang, J. and Yang, Z.-L.: Technical
- 951 Description of version 4.5 of the Community Land Model (CLM)., 2013.
- 952 Olson, D. M., Dinerstein, E., Wikramanayake, E. D., Burgess, N. D., Powell, G. V. N., Underwood, E. C., D'amico, J. A.,
- 953 Itoua, I., Strand, H. E., Morrison, J. C., Loucks, C. J., Allnutt, T. F., Ricketts, T. H., Kura, Y., Lamoreux, J. F., Wettengel, W.
- 954 W., Hedao, P. and Kassem, K. R.: Terrestrial Ecoregions of the World: A New Map of Life on Earth, Bioscience,

- 955 doi:10.1641/0006-3568(2001)051[0933:TEOTWA]2.0.CO;2, 2001.
- 956 Padro, J., den Hartog, G. and Neumann, H. H.: An investigation of the ADOM dry deposition module using summertime
- 957 O3measurements above a deciduous forest, Atmos. Environ. Part A, Gen. Top., doi:10.1016/0960-1686(91)90027-5, 1991.
- 958 Padro, J., Massman, W. J., Shaw, R. H., Delany, A. and Oncley, S. P.: A comparison of some aerodynamic resistance methods
- 959 using measurements over cotton and grass from the 1991 California ozone deposition experiment, Boundary-Layer Meteorol.,
- 960 doi:10.1007/BF00712174, 1994.
- Paulson, C. A.: The Mathematical Representation of Wind Speed and Temperature Profiles in the Unstable Atmospheric
 Surface Layer, J. Appl. Meteorol., doi:10.1175/1520-0450(1970)009<0857:tmrows>2.0.co;2, 2002.
- Pilegaard, K., Hummelshøj, P. and Jensen, N. O.: Fluxes of ozone and nitrogen dioxide measured by eddy correlation over a
 harvested wheat field, Atmos. Environ., doi:10.1016/S1352-2310(97)00194-5, 1998.
- Pio, C. ., Feliciano, M. ., Vermeulen, A. . and Sousa, E. .: Seasonal variability of ozone dry deposition under southern European
 climate conditions, in Portugal, Atmos. Environ., doi:10.1016/S1352-2310(99)00276-9, 2000.
- 967 Pleim, J. and Ran, L.: Surface flux modeling for air quality applications, Atmosphere (Basel)., 2(3), 271–302,
 968 doi:10.3390/atmos2030271, 2011.
- 969 Potier, E., Ogée, J., Jouanguy, J., Lamaud, E., Stella, P., Personne, E., Durand, B., Mascher, N. and Loubet, B.: Multilayer
- 970 modelling of ozone fluxes on winter wheat reveals large deposition on wet senescing leaves, Agric. For. Meteorol., 211–212,
- 971 58-71, doi:10.1016/j.agrformet.2015.05.006, 2015.
- Potier, E., Loubet, B., Durand, B., Flura, D., Bourdat-Deschamps, M., Ciuraru, R. and Ogée, J.: Chemical reaction rates of
 ozone in water infusions of wheat, beech, oak and pine leaves of different ages, Atmos. Environ., 151, 176–187,
 doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2016.11.069, 2017.
- R core team: R: A language and environment for statistical computing., R Found. Stat. Comput. Vienna, Austria.,
 doi:http://www.R-project.org/, 2017.
- 977 Ran, L., Pleim, J., Song, C., Band, L., Walker, J. T. and Binkowski, F. S.: A photosynthesis-based two-leaf canopy stomatal
- 978 conductance model for meteorology and air quality modeling with WRF/CMAQ PX LSM, J. Geophys. Res., 122(3), 1930-
- 979 1952, doi:10.1002/2016JD025583, 2017a.
- 980 Ran, L., Pleim, J., Song, C., Band, L., Walker, J. T. and Binkowski, F. S.: A photosynthesis-based two-leaf canopy stomatal
- 981 conductance model for meteorology and air quality modeling with WRF/CMAQ PX LSM, J. Geophys. Res., 122(3), 1930-
- 982 1952, doi:10.1002/2016JD025583, 2017b.
- 983 Rannik, Ü., Altimir, N., Mammarella, I., Bäck, J., Rinne, J., Ruuskanen, T. M., Hari, P., Vesala, T. and Kulmala, M.: Ozone
- deposition into a boreal forest over a decade of observations: Evaluating deposition partitioning and driving variables, Atmos.
 Chem. Phys., 12(24), 12165–12182, doi:10.5194/acp-12-12165-2012, 2012.
- Reich, P. B.: Quantifying plant response to ozone: a unifying theory, Tree Physiol., 3(0), 63–91, doi:10.1093/treephys/3.1.63,
 1987.
- 988 Rienecker, M. M. and Coauthors: The GEOS-5 Data Assimilation System—Documentation of versions 5.0.1 and 5.1.0, and

- 989 5.2.0, NASA Tech. Rep. Ser. Glob. Model. Data Assim. NASA/TM-2008-104606, doi:10.2759/32049, 2008.
- 990 Rigden, A. J. and Salvucci, G. D.: Stomatal response to humidity and CO2implicated in recent decline in US evaporation,
- 991 Glob. Chang. Biol., doi:10.1111/gcb.13439, 2017.
- 992 Rummel, U., Ammann, C., Kirkman, G. A., Moura, M. A. L., Foken, T., Andreae, M. O. and Meixner, F. X.: Seasonal variation
- of ozone deposition to a tropical rain forest in southwest Amazonia, Atmos. Chem. Phys., doi:10.5194/acp-7-5415-2007, 2007.
- 994 Sadiq, M., Tai, A. P. K., Lombardozzi, D. and Val Martin, M.: Effects of ozone-vegetation coupling on surface ozone air 995 quality via biogeochemical and meteorological feedbacks, Atmos, Chem. Phys., 17(4), 3055–3066, doi:10.5194/acp-17-3055-
- quality via biogeochemical and meteorological feedbacks, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 17(4), 3055–3066, doi:10.5194/acp-17-30552017, 2017.
- Sanderson, M. G., Collins, W. J., Hemming, D. L. and Betts, R. A.: Stomatal conductance changes due to increasing carbon
 dioxide levels: Projected impact on surface ozone levels, Tellus, Ser. B Chem. Phys. Meteorol., 59(3), 404–411,
 doi:10.1111/j.1600-0889.2007.00277.x, 2007.
- 1000 Sen, P. K.: Estimates of the Regression Coefficient Based on Kendall's Tau, J. Am. Stat. Assoc.,
- 1001 doi:10.1080/01621459.1968.10480934, 1968.
- Silva, S. J. and Heald, C. L.: Investigating Dry Deposition of Ozone to Vegetation, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 123(1), 559–573,
 doi:10.1002/2017JD027278, 2018.
- 1004 Simpson, D., Benedictow, A., Berge, H., Bergström, R., Emberson, L. D., Fagerli, H., Flechard, C. R., Hayman, G. D., Gauss,
- 1005 M., Jonson, J. E., Jenkin, M. E., Nyíri, A., Richter, C., Semeena, V. S., Tsyro, S., Tuovinen, J.-P., Valdebenito, A. and Wind,
- 1006 P.: The EMEP MSC-W chemical transport model technical description, Atmos. Chem. Phys. Atmos. Chem. Phys., 12, 7825–
- 1007 7865, doi:10.5194/acp-12-7825-2012, 2012.
- Sitch, S., Cox, P. M., Collins, W. J. and Huntingford, C.: Indirect radiative forcing of climate change through ozone effects on
 the land-carbon sink, Nature, 448(7155), 791–794, doi:10.1038/nature06059, 2007.
- 1010 Song-Miao, F., Wofsy, S. C., Bakwin, P. S., Jacob, D. J. and Fitzjarrald, D. R.: Atmosphere-biosphere exchange of CO2 and
- 1011 O3 in the central Amazon forest, J. Geophys. Res., doi:10.1029/JD095iD10p16851, 1990.
- 1012 Stella, P., Personne, E., Loubet, B., Lamaud, E., Ceschia, E., B??ziat, P., Bonnefond, J. M., Irvine, M., Keravec, P., Mascher,
- 1013 N. and Cellier, P.: Predicting and partitioning ozone fluxes to maize crops from sowing to harvest: The Surfatm-O 3 model,
- 1014 Biogeosciences, 8(10), 2869–2886, doi:10.5194/bg-8-2869-2011, 2011.
- 1015 Stocker, D. W., Stedman, D. H., Zeller, K. F., Massman, W. J. and Fox, D. G.: Fluxes of nitrogen oxides and ozone measured 1016 by eddy correlation over a shortgrass prairie, J. Geophys. Res., doi:10.1029/93JD00871, 1993.
- 1017 Sun, S., Moravek, A., Trebs, I., Kesselmeier, J. and Sörgel, M.: Investigation of the influence of liquid surface films on O3
- and PAN deposition to plant leaves coated with organic/inorganic solution, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 121(23), 14,239-14,256,
- 1019 doi:10.1002/2016JD025519, 2016.
- 1020 Sun, Y., Gu, L. and Dickinson, R. E.: A numerical issue in calculating the coupled carbon and water fluxes in a climate model,
- 1021 J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., doi:10.1029/2012JD018059, 2012.
- 1022 Tai, A. P. K., Martin, M. V. and Heald, C. L.: Threat to future global food security from climate change and ozone air pollution,

- 1023 Nat. Clim. Chang., 4(9), 817–821, doi:10.1038/nclimate2317, 2014.
- 1024 Travis, K. R., Jacob, D. J., Fisher, J. A., Kim, P. S., Marais, E. A., Zhu, L., Yu, K., Miller, C. C., Yantosca, R. M., Sulprizio,
- 1025 M. P., Thompson, A. M., Wennberg, P. O., Crounse, J. D., St Clair, J. M., Cohen, R. C., Laughner, J. L., Dibb, J. E., Hall, S.
- 1026 R., Ullmann, K., Wolfe, G. M., Pollack, I. B., Peischl, J., Neuman, J. A. and Zhou, X.: Why do models overestimate surface
- 1027 ozone in the Southeast United States?, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 16(21), 13561–13577, doi:10.5194/acp-16-13561-2016, 2016.
- Turnipseed, A. A., Burns, S. P., Moore, D. J. P., Hu, J., Guenther, A. B. and Monson, R. K.: Controls over ozone deposition to a high elevation subalpine forest, Agric. For. Meteorol., doi:10.1016/j.agrformet.2009.04.001, 2009.
- 1030 Val Martin, M., Heald, C. L. and Arnold, S. R.: Coupling dry deposition to vegetation phenology in the {Community} {Earth}
- 1031 {System} {Model}: {Implications} for the simulation of surface {O} 3, Geophys. Res. Lett., 41(8), 2988–2996, 1032 doi:10.1002/2014GL059651, 2014.
- Wang, Y., Jacob, D. J. and Logan, J. A.: Global simulation of tropospheric O $_3$ -NO $_x$ -hydrocarbon chemistry: 1. Model formulation, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 103(D9), 10713–10725, doi:10.1029/98JD00158, 1998.
- 1035 Wesely, M. L.: Parameterization of surface resistances to gaseous dry deposition in regional-scale numerical models, Atmos.
- 1036 Environ., 41(SUPPL.), 52–63, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2007.10.058, 1989.
- 1037 Wesely, M. L. and Hicks, B. B.: Some Factors that Affect the Deposition Rates of Sulfur Dioxide and Similar Gases on
- 1038 Vegetation, J. Air Pollut. Control Assoc., 27(11), 1110–1116, doi:10.1080/00022470.1977.10470534, 1977.
- Wesely, M. L. and Hicks, B. B.: A review of the current status of knowledge on dry deposition, Atmos. Environ., 34(12–14),
 2261–2282, doi:10.1016/S1352-2310(99)00467-7, 2000.
- 1041 Wild, O.: Modelling the global tropospheric ozone budget: exploring the variability in current models, Atmos. Chem. Phys.,
- 1042 7(10), 2643–2660, doi:10.5194/acp-7-2643-2007, 2007.
- 1043 Wittig, V. E., Ainsworth, E. A. and Long, S. P.: To what extent do current and projected increases in surface ozone affect
- 1044 photosynthesis and stomatal conductance of trees? A meta-analytic review of the last 3 decades of experiments, Plant, Cell
- 1045 Environ., 30(9), 1150–1162, doi:10.1111/j.1365-3040.2007.01717.x, 2007.
- 1046 Wolfe, G. M., Thornton, J. A., McKay, M. and Goldstein, A. H.: Forest-atmosphere exchange of ozone: Sensitivity to very
- reactive biogenic VOC emissions and implications for in-canopy photochemistry, Atmos. Chem. Phys., doi:10.5194/acp-117875-2011, 2011.
- Wong, A. Y. H., Tai, A. P. K. and Ip, Y.-Y.: Attribution and Statistical Parameterization of the Sensitivity of Surface Ozone
 to Changes in Leaf Area Index Based On a Chemical Transport Model, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 1–16,
 doi:10.1002/2017JD027311, 2018.
- Wu, S., Mickley, L. J., Kaplan, J. O. and Jacob, D. J.: Impacts of changes in land use and land cover on atmospheric chemistry
 and air quality over the 21st century, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 12(3), 1597–1609, doi:10.5194/acp-12-1597-2012, 2012.
- 1054 Wu, Z., Wang, X., Chen, F., Turnipseed, A. A., Guenther, A. B., Niyogi, D., Charusombat, U., Xia, B., William Munger, J.
- 1055 and Alapaty, K.: Evaluating the calculated dry deposition velocities of reactive nitrogen oxides and ozone from two community
- 1056 models over a temperate deciduous forest, Atmos. Environ., 45(16), 2663–2674, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2011.02.063, 2011.

- 1057 Wu, Z., Staebler, R., Vet, R. and Zhang, L.: Dry deposition of O3 and SO2 estimated from gradient measurements above a 1058 temperate mixed forest, Environ. Pollut., 210, 202–210, doi:10.1016/j.envpol.2015.11.052, 2016.
- Wu, Z., Schwede, D. B., Vet, R., Walker, J. T., Shaw, M., Staebler, R. and Zhang, L.: Evaluation and intercomparison of five
 North American dry deposition algorithms at a mixed forest site, J. Adv. Model. Earth Syst., 1–16,
 doi:10.1029/2017MS001231, 2018.
- 1062 Wu, Z. Y., Zhang, L., Wang, X. M. and Munger, J. W.: A modified micrometeorological gradient method for estimating
- 1063 O<sub>3</sub> dry depositions over a forest canopy, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 15(13), 7487–7496, doi:10.5194/acp-
- 1064 15-7487-2015, 2015.
- Young, P. J., Archibald, A. T., Bowman, K. W., Lamarque, J.-F., Naik, V., Stevenson, D. S., Tilmes, S., Voulgarakis, A.,
 Wild, O., Bergmann, D., Cameron-Smith, P., Cionni, I., Collins, W. J., Dalsøren, S. B., Doherty, R. M., Eyring, V., Faluvegi,
- 1067 G., Horowitz, L. W., Josse, B., Lee, Y. H., MacKenzie, I. A., Nagashima, T., Plummer, D. A., Righi, M., Rumbold, S. T.,
- 1068 Skeie, R. B., Shindell, D. T., Strode, S. A., Sudo, K., Szopa, S. and Zeng, G.: Pre-industrial to end 21st century projections of
- 1069 tropospheric ozone from the Atmospheric Chemistry and Climate Model Intercomparison Project (ACCMIP), Atmos. Chem.
- 1070 Phys., doi:10.5194/acp-13-2063-2013, 2013.
- Yu, S., Eder, B., Dennis, R., Chu, S.-H. and Schwartz, S. E.: New unbiased symmetric metrics for evaluation of air quality
 models, Atmos. Sci. Lett., doi:10.1002/asl.125, 2006.
- Zhang, L., Moran, M. D. and Brook, J. R.: A comparison of models to estimate in-canopy photosynthetically active radiation
 and their influence on canopy stomatal resistance, Atmos. Environ., doi:10.1016/S1352-2310(01)00225-4, 2001.
- 1075 Zhang, L., Brook, J. R. and Vet, R.: On ozone dry deposition With emphasis on non-stomatal uptake and wet canopies,
- 1076 Atmos. Environ., 36(30), 4787–4799, doi:10.1016/S1352-2310(02)00567-8, 2002.
- Zhang, L., Brook, J. R. and Vet, R.: A revised parameterization for gaseous dry deposition in air-quality models, Atmos. Chem.
 Phys. Discuss., 3(2), 1777–1804, doi:10.5194/acpd-3-1777-2003, 2003.
- Zhang, L., Vet, R., O'Brien, J. M., Mihele, C., Liang, Z. and Wiebe, A.: Dry deposition of individual nitrogen species at eight
 Canadian rural sites, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., doi:10.1029/2008JD010640, 2009.
- 1081 Zhang, L., Jacob, D. J., Liu, X., Logan, J. A., Chance, K., Eldering, A. and Bojkov, B. R.: Intercomparison methods for satellite
- 1082 measurements of atmospheric composition: Application to tropospheric ozone from TES and OMI, Atmos. Chem. Phys.,
- 1083 10(10), 4725–4739, doi:10.5194/acp-10-4725-2010, 2010.
- 1084 Zhang, L., Jacob, D. J., Knipping, E. M., Kumar, N., Munger, J. W., Carouge, C. C., Van Donkelaar, A., Wang, Y. X. and
- 1085 Chen, D.: Nitrogen deposition to the United States: Distribution, sources, and processes, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 1086 doi:10.5194/acp-12-4539-2012, 2012.
- 1087 Zhou, P., Ganzeveld, L., Rannik, U., Zhou, L., Gierens, R., Taipale, D., Mammarella, I. and Boy, M.: Simulating ozone dry
- 1088 deposition at a boreal forest with a multi-layer canopy deposition model, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 17(2), 1361–1379,
- 1089 doi:10.5194/acp-17-1361-2017, 2017.
- 1090 Zhou, S. S., Tai, A. P. K., Sun, S., Sadiq, M., Heald, C. L. and Geddes, J. A.: Coupling between surface ozone and leaf area

- 1091 index in a chemical transport model: Strength of feedback and implications for ozone air quality and vegetation health, Atmos.
- 1092 Chem. Phys., doi:10.5194/acp-18-14133-2018, 2018.
- 1093 Zhu, Z., Bi, J., Pan, Y., Ganguly, S., Anav, A., Xu, L., Samanta, A., Piao, S., Nemani, R. R. and Myneni, R. B.: Global data
- 1094 sets of vegetation leaf area index (LAI)3g and fraction of photosynthetically active radiation (FPAR)3g derived from global
- 1095 inventory modeling and mapping studies (GIMMS) normalized difference vegetation index (NDVI3G) for the period 1981 to
- 1096 2, Remote Sens., doi:10.3390/rs5020927, 2013.
- 1097 Zhu, Z., Piao, S., Myneni, R. B., Huang, M., Zeng, Z., Canadell, J. G., Ciais, P., Sitch, S., Friedlingstein, P., Arneth, A., Cao,
- 1098 C., Cheng, L., Kato, E., Koven, C., Li, Y., Lian, X., Liu, Y., Liu, R., Mao, J., Pan, Y., Peng, S., Peñuelas, J., Poulter, B., Pugh,
- 1099 T. A. M., Stocker, B. D., Viovy, N., Wang, X., Wang, Y., Xiao, Z., Yang, H., Zaehle, S. and Zeng, N.: Greening of the Earth
- 1100 and its drivers, Nat. Clim. Chang., 6(8), 791–795, doi:10.1038/nclimate3004, 2016.
- 1101