



1 Why models perform differently on particulate matter over East

2 Asia? – A multi-model intercomparison study for MICS-Asia III

3 Jiani Tan¹, Joshua S. Fu¹, Gregory R. Carmichael², Syuichi Itahashi³, Zhining Tao⁴, Kan

- 4 Huang^{1,5}, Xinyi Dong¹, Kazuyo Yamaji⁶, Tatsuya Nagashima⁷, Xuemei Wang⁸, Yiming Liu⁸,
- 5 Hyo-Jung Lee⁹, Chuan-Yao Lin¹⁰, Baozhu Ge¹¹, Mizuo Kajino¹², Jia Zhu¹¹, Meigen Zhang¹¹,
- 6 Liao Hong¹³ and Zifa Wang¹¹

8 ² Center for Global and Regional Environmental Research, University of Iowa, Iowa City, IA, 52242, USA

- ⁹ ³ Central Research Institute of Electric Power Industry, Abiko, Chiba, 270-1194, Japan
- 10 ⁴ Universities Space Research Association, Columbia, MD, 21046, USA
- 11 ⁵ Department of Environmental Science and Engineering, Fudan University, Shanghai, 200433, China
- 12 ⁶ Graduate School of Maritime Sciences, Kobe University, Kobe, Hyogo, 658-0022, Japan
- 13 ⁷ National Institute for Environmental Studies, Tsukuba, Ibaraki, 305-8506, Japan
- 14 ⁸ Institute for Environment and Climate Research, Jinan University, Guangzhou, 511443, China
- ⁹ Department of Atmospheric Sciences, Pusan National University, Busan, 609-735, South Korea
- 16 ¹⁰ Research Center for Environmental Changes Academia Sinica, 11529, Taiwan
- 17 ¹¹ Institute of Atmospheric Physics, Chinese Academy of Science, 100029, China
- 18 ¹² Meteorological Research Institute, Japan Meteorological Agency, 305-0052, Japan
- 19 ¹³ School of Environmental, Science and Engineering, Nanjing University of Information Science & Technology,
- 20 Nanjing, 210044, China
- 21
- 22 Correspondence to: Joshua S. Fu (jsfu@utk.edu)

23 Abstract. This study compares the performances of twelve regional chemical transport models

- 24 (CTM) from the third phase of Model Inter-Comparison Study for Asia (MICS-Asia III) on
- simulating the particulate matter (PM) over East Asia (EA) in 2010. The participating models
- 26 include WRF-CMAQ (v4.7.1 and v5.0.2), WRF-Chem (v3.6.1 and v3.7.1), GEOS-Chem, NHM-
- 27 Chem, NAQPMS and NU-WRF. Evaluations with ground measurements and satellite data show
- that the mean biases of multi-model mean (MMM) are $-25 \ \mu g \ m^{-3} (-30\%)$, $-7 \ \mu g \ m^{-3} (-15\%)$. -0.7
- 29 μ g m⁻³ (-19%), -0.05 μ g m⁻³ (-3%) and 0.1 μ g m⁻³ (12%) for surface PM₁₀, PM_{2.5}, SO₄²⁻, NO₃⁻ and
- 30 NH₄⁺ concentrations, respectively. This study investigates four model processes as the possible
- reasons for different model performances on PM: (1) Using different natural emissions (i.e. dust
- and sea-salt emissions) brings upmost 0.25 μ g m⁻³ (70%) of inter-model differences to domain-
- average black carbon concentrations at surface layer and 756 ppb (22%) of inter-model differences
- to domain-average CO column. Adopting different initial/boundary conditions results in 10-20%
- 35 differences in PM concentrations in the center of the simulation domain. (2) Models perform very
- differently in the gas-particle conversion of sulphur (S) and oxidized nitrogen (N). The model

^{7 &}lt;sup>1</sup> Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, University of Tennessee, Knoxville, TN, 37996, USA





differences in sulphur oxidation ratio (50%) is of the same magnitude as that in SO_4^{2-} 37 concentrations. The gas-particle conversion is one the main reasons for different model 38 performances on fine mode PM. (3) Models without dust emissions/modules can perform well on 39 PM_{10} at non-dust-affected sites, but largely underestimate (upmost 50%) the PM_{10} concentrations 40 at dust sites. The implementation of dust emissions/modules in models has largely improved the 41 model accuracies at dust sites (reduce model bias to -20%). However, both the magnitudes and 42 distributions of dust pollutions are not fully captured. (4) The amounts of modelled depositions 43 vary among models by 75%, 39%, 21% and 38% for S wet, S dry, N wet and N dry depositions, 44 45 respectively. Large inter-model differences are found in the washout ratios of wet deposition (at most 170% in India) and dry deposition velocities (general 0.3-2 cm s⁻¹ differences over inland 46 regions). This study investigates the reasons for different model performances on PM over EA and 47 offers suggestions for future model development. 48

49 1 Introduction

Atmospheric pollution due to particulate matter (PM) has raised world-wide attention for its 50 51 relationship with environmental and public health issues (Fuzzi et al., 2015;Nel, 2005). Fine 52 particles (PM_{2.5}) are associated with cardiovascular and respiratory related cancer and premature 53 deaths (Hoek and Raaschou-Nielsen, 2014; Knol et al., 2009). Outdoor PM2.5 pollution is estimated to cause 2.1-5.2 million premature deaths worldwide annually (Lelieveld et al., 2015;Rao et al., 54 2012; Silva et al., 2013). It accounts for eight percent of global mortality in 2015 and ranks fifth in 55 the global mortality risk (Cohen et al., 2017). East Asia (EA) has been suffering from severe PM 56 pollutions due to anthropogenic emissions and natural dust emissions (Akimoto, 2003). China and 57 India are the top two countries suffering from outdoor air pollutions, which altogether account for 58 20% of global mortalities caused by PM_{2.5} exposure in 2010 (Lelieveld et al., 2015). The mixing 59 of dust with anthropogenic pollutants can even enlarge the effects of pollution (Li et al., 2012). 60 However, the impact evaluation on PM pollution is of high uncertainty due to unclearness in the 61 toxicity of PM components (Lippmann, 2014) and difficulty in the measurement and prediction of 62 PM concentrations. 63

For a better understanding of PM pollution, modelling approach has been adopted to study
the spatial distributions of PM with the aid of measurements. Multi-model ensemble approach,
which interprets modelling results with combined information from several models, has been





67 proven to increase the reliability of model accuracy (Tebaldi and Knutti, 2007). This method has been widely used for studies in Europe (Bessagnet et al., 2016; Vivanco et al., 2017) and at global 68 scales (Lamarque et al., 2013;Galmarini et al., 2017) on air quality issues. The Model Inter-69 Comparison Study Asia Phase (MICS-Asia) aims at understanding the air quality issues over EA. 70 The first phase of MICS-Asia (MICS-Asia I) was carried out in the 1990s with eight regional 71 chemical transport models (CTMs). The study focused on air pollution issues related to sulphur 72 (S) (including SO₂, SO_4^{2-} and wet SO_4^{2-} deposition). The second phase of MICS-Asia (MICS-Asia 73 II) was launched in early 2000s with nine CTMs (Carmichael and Ueda, 2008). The study covered 74 75 the chemistry and transport of S, nitrogen (N), PM and acid deposition. Multi-model results on 76 SO_4^{2-} , NO_3^{-} and NH_4^+ (SNA) were evaluated with measurements from fourteen sites of Acid Deposition Monitoring Network in East Asia (EANET) and the Fukue site in Japan. However, a 77 non-exhaustive evaluation on PM₁₀ concentrations in China with scarce datasets left an unclear 78 79 view of models' ability in this area, a region recognized as one of the most heavily polluted in EA. Meanwhile, model results were found with high inconsistencies on simulating both gas and aerosol 80 phases of S and N (Havami et al., 2008). Further efforts are needed to investigate the reasons for 81 model differences to improve model accuracies. 82

This study compares the performances of twelve regional models participated in the third 83 phase of MICS-Asia (MICS-Asia III) on simulating PM over EA. Measurements from 54 EANET 84 site, 86 sites of the Air Pollution Indices (API) and 35 local sites are used for model evaluation to 85 provide a comprehensive view on model performances. The comparison among models aims at 86 quantifying the model biases with observation and identifying the reasons for different model 87 performances. The models involved in this study include WRF-CMAQ (version 4.7.1 and v5.0.2), 88 WRF-Chem (v3.6.1 and v3.7.1), GEOS-Chem, NHM-Chem, NAOPMS and NU-WRF. The multi-89 model mean (MMM) performance on simulating the spatial distributions and monthly variations 90 of PM₁₀, PM_{2.5}, SNA and aerosol optical depth (AOD) are evaluated with site and satellite 91 observations. The evaluation results are demonstrated briefly in sect. 3.1 and details can be found 92 in supplementary sect. S2. Sections 3.2-3.5 examine the influences of four model processes on 93 94 model performances: (1) Source of particles: uncertainties brought by inconsistent model inputs and initial/boundary conditions (IC/BC) for simulations. (2) Formation of fine particles: model 95 differences in the gas-particle conversion. (3) Formation of coarse particles: model improvements 96 by implementing dust emissions/modules on simulating PM and the remaining problems. (4) 97





98 Removal processes of particles from the atmosphere: uncertainties lay on the efficiencies of wet 99 and dry depositions. Section 4 concludes the findings of this study and provides suggestion for 100 further study.

101 2 Methodology

102 2.1 Framework of MICS-Asia

MICS-Asia is a model intercomparison study with contributions from international modelling 103 groups to simulate the air quality and deposition over EA. During MICS-Asia I, eight models 104 105 simulated the air qualities for January and May of 1993. The study focused on air quality issues related to S. The multi-model performances on simulating SO₂ and SO₄²⁻ concentrations and SO₄²⁻ 106 wet deposition were evaluated with observation from eighteen stations (Carmichael et al., 2002). 107 A source-receptor relationship of S deposition was developed based on the sensitivity simulations 108 for seven prescribed receptor regions: Komae, Oki, Fukue, Yangyang, Beijing, Nanjing and 109 Taichung (Carmichael et al., 2002). 110

111 MICS-Asia II was initiated in 2003. Nine regional models simulated the air qualities for four months (March, July and December of 2001 and March of 2002) to study the chemistry and 112 transport of air pollutants and acid deposition (Carmichael and Ueda, 2008). All modelling groups 113 were enforced to use the same emission, the Transport and chemical Evolution over the Pacific 114 115 (TRACE-P) emission of 2000, and common BC to facilitate a comparison on the physical and chemical mechanisms of models. The modelling species expanded to S, N, O₃, PM and acid 116 deposition. Model evaluations and major findings can be found in literature (Carmichael et al., 117 2008;Fu et al., 2008;Han et al., 2008;Hayami et al., 2008). 118

119 MICS-Asia III is launched in 2010. The simulation time covers the whole year of 2010. All modelling groups are required to use the prescribed anthropogenic emission inputs (Li et al., 120 2017), but the natural emissions such as dust and sea-salt emissions are not defined. Three purposes 121 are set for this project- topic I: evaluating the strengths and weaknesses of current multi-scale air 122 quality models in simulating air qualities over EA and providing suggestion to reduce uncertainty 123 for future simulations, topic II: developing a reliable anthropogenic emission inventory for EA, 124 topic III: investigating the interaction of aerosol-weather-climate by using online coupled air 125 126 quality models. This study focuses on topic I.





127 2.2 Model configurations

There are altogether fourteen modeling groups (M1-M14) participated, but M3 and M9 are not 128 included in this study due to uncompleted model submission. Table 1 shows the set-ups of the 129 twelve models. All models have submitted the monthly average concentrations of PM₁₀, PM_{2.5} and 130 131 SNA at surface layer except PM_{10} from M13 and NO_3^- and NH_4^+ from M10. Since the spin-up time is not required, several models use downscale results from global models as IC and BC. The 132 impacts of using different emission inputs and IC/BC on model performances are discussed in sect. 133 3.2. This study examines three model processes related to the formation and removal pathways of 134 135 PM:

Gas and aerosol modules and gas-aerosol equilibrium. One of the main sources of fine-136 (1)mode particles (PMF) is newly formed particles from nucleation of vapours. The gas modules in 137 138 the models control the formation rate of gases and the aerosol module determines the conversion between gas and particle phases. This study includes four gas modules: Statewide Air Pollution 139 Research Center (SAPRC99) (Carter, 2000), Regional Atmospheric Deposition Model (RADM) 140 141 (Stockwell et al., 1990), Regional Atmospheric Chemistry Mechanism (RACM) (Stockwell et al., 1997) and Carbon-Bond Mechanism version Z (CBMZ) (Zaveri and Peters, 1999). Different 142 modules generally use similar reaction rates in the homogenous production of SO4²⁻ and NO3⁻ 143 aerosols, but have significant differences in the rates of the heterogeneous reactions among NO₂, 144 HONO, HNO₃ and N₂O₅ (supplementary fig. S1). The aerosol modules used in this study are 145 AERO5/6 with ISORROPIA (Nenes et al., 1998, 1999), Modal Aerosol Dynamics for Europe 146 (MADE) (Ackermann et al., 1998) coupled with SOA scheme based on the Volatility Basis Set 147 (VBS) approach (SOA VBS) (Murphy and Pandis, 2009) and Goddard Chemistry Aerosol 148 Radiation & Transport Model (GOCART) (Chin et al., 2002). The ISORROPIA module has two 149 versions. The second version (ISORROPIAv2) comes out after CMAQv5.0 with updates in the 150 thermodynamics of crustal species, the speciation scheme and formation pathway of SO₄²⁻ 151 (Fountoukis and Nenes, 2007). These updates are supposed to lead different model performances 152 on PM between CMAQv4.7.1 (with first version of ISORROPIA) and CMAQv5.0.2 (with second 153 version). The GOCART module does not include formations of NO₃⁻ and NH₄⁺, therefore it builds 154 the total PM by combining SO_4^{2-} , OC and BC. Please refer to supplementary sect. S1.1 for more 155 156 information.





Emissions/modules of dust. Another important pathway of forming particles is the 157 (2)disruption or weathering of solid (i.e. soil and rocks) and bursting bubbles of liquid (i.e. sea spray). 158 The particles formed in this way are generally in coarse mode. Dust emissions have affected large 159 extension of areas in China. The floating dust of the Takalmakan Desert and Gobi Desert in north-160 western China can transport a long distance over the northern China and even reach the Pacific 161 Ocean (Huang et al., 2008;Iwasaka et al., 2003;Liu et al., 2003;Wang et al., 2018). The 162 heterogeneous reactions taken place on the surface of dust make it more complicated to simulate 163 164 dust in models (Dong, et al., 2016;Dong, et al., 2018;Wang et al., 2017;Wang et al., 2018). Four 165 models in this study employ dust emissions/modules. All modules adopt parameterization methods 166 to estimate the floating dust as response to winds (Foroutan et al., 2017; Wang et al., 2012). The biggest differences lay on the estimation of the dust uplifting processes. Parameters such as dust 167 168 source maps and algorithms for friction velocity could result in large differences in model 169 performances (Ma et al., 2019). M12 and M14 models adopt the same module based on dust uplifting theory of Gillette and Passi (1988) and modified by Han et al. (2004). M10 model uses 170 the online generated emission of dust by the GOCART model (Ginoux et al., 2001). M11 model 171 employs the module of dust with heterogeneous reactions on dust surface (Wang et al., 2017). 172 173 Please refer to supplementary sect. S1.2 for more information.

(3) Removal processes of PM. Wet and dry depositions are the most important pathways to remove PM from the atmosphere. Wet deposition removes gases and aerosols with rain droplets and dry deposition is mainly driven by gravitation. The efficiencies of depositions affect the amounts of aerosols remained in the atmosphere, therefore the removal processes influence the model accuracies on predicting PM. In this study, all models except M12 use the same dry deposition scheme from Wesely (1989). M12 adopts the updated scheme by Zhang et al. (2003) based on Wesely (1989). Please refer to supplementary sect. S1.3 for more information.

181 **3 Result and discussion**

182 **3.1 Brief results of model performance evaluation**

Figure 1 and table 2 show the MMM performances on PM₁₀, PM_{2.5} and SNA over EA. This section summaries the major findings of model evaluation since this article focuses more on model intercomparison. Please refer to supplementary sect. S2 for detailed evaluation results. Evaluation of model performance on aerosols can also be found in Chen et al., 2019. In the following content,





the model biases are presented by mean bias (MB) and normalized mean bias (NMB). The intermodel variations are demonstrated by 1 standard deviation among models (1sd) and 1sd%
(calculated as 100%×1sd/MMM).

Overall, the MB and NMB of surface PM_{10} , $PM_{2.5}$ and SNA are -25 µg m⁻³ (-30%), -7 µg 190 m^{-3} (-15%). -0.7 µg m^{-3} (-19%), -0.05 µg m^{-3} (-3%) and 0.1 µg m^{-3} (12%), respectively. For central 191 EA (China), the PM₁₀ concentrations in northwest China are largely underestimated by 40 μ g m⁻³ 192 (MB) and 300% (NMB). The inter-model variations are high around the Taklamakan Desert 193 (supplementary fig. S2) and Gobi Desert (supplementary fig. S2) (80-110 µg m⁻³ (1sd) and >210% 194 195 (1sd%)), due to the implementation of dust emissions/modules in four models. Underestimation of PM₁₀ concentrations is also found in the Hebei-Beijing-Tianjin (HBT) region (supplementary 196 fig. S2) in northeast China (-68 μ g m⁻³ and -46%). However, the inter-model variations of PM₁₀ 197 (20-30 μ g m⁻³ and 10-30%) of this region are not as high as the model bias, which indicates a 198 systematic underestimation of PM_{10} by models. On the other hand, the model bias of $PM_{2.5}$ (-8 μ g 199 m⁻³ and -11%) in this region is much lower than that of PM₁₀, which reveals model underestimation 200 of coarse mode of particles (PMC). The PM_{10} concentrations are also generally under-predicted 201 by 30-40 µg m⁻³ (50-100%) at the sites near the east coast of China. 202

203 For eastern EA (Japan and Korea), the PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5} concentrations are underestimated by 15 μ g m⁻³ (52%) and 4 μ g m⁻³ (40%), respectively. The SO₄²⁻ concentrations are underestimated 204 at most sites, with high inter-model variations in Japan (3 μ g m⁻³ and 90-100%). The monthly 205 trends of SO_4^{2-} and NO_3^{-} are poorly simulated due to the underestimation of SO_4^{2-} concentrations 206 during January to March and the underestimation of NO₃⁻ concentrations during May to July 207 (supplementary fig. S5). For northern EA (Russia and Mongolia), only model performances on 208 SNA are evaluated due to lack of PM_{10} and $PM_{2.5}$ observations during the research periods. The 209 model biases for different sites vary largely for SO_4^{2-} (-80% to 36%), NO_3^{-} (-72% to 237%) and 210 NH_4^+ (-81% to 58%), which indicates high uncertainties in the emission inputs. Localized data are 211 212 required to update the current emissions in this region, which is derived from Regional Emission Inventory in Asia version 2.1 for 2000-2008 (Li et al., 2017) (see more details in supplementary 213 sect. S2). For southern EA (Cambodia, Lao PDR, Myanmar, Thailand, Vietnam, Indonesia, 214 Malaysia and Philippines), the PM_{10} concentrations are slightly underestimated by 18 µg m⁻³ 215 (45%), while SNA concentrations are overestimated by 0.5 μ g m⁻³ (14%), 0.4 μ g m⁻³ (28%) and 216





1.2 μ g m⁻³ (124%) for SO₄²⁻, NO₃⁻ and NH₄⁺, respectively. It is hard to give a comprehensive review on this region due to insufficient observations.

The AOD columns (supplementary figs. S6-S7) in north-western EA (near Taklamakan desert) and south EA (especially around the Himalayas Mountains (supplementary fig. S2)) are somewhat underestimated, especially in the spring season, which agrees with the underestimation of PM_{10} in these regions. On the other hand, the overestimation of AOD column in southeast China in spring and winter (upmost 0.4) is not in accordance with the good model performances on PM_{10} in this region. This inconsistency may correlate with the large inter-model variations of AOD column in spring (1sd = 0.7) and winter (1sd = 0.4) in this region.

We also compare the model performances with global-scale model study. The Task Force 226 on Hemispheric Transport of Air Pollution (TF HTAP) is an inter-comparison study of global and 227 228 regional models to assess the impact of hemispheric transport of air pollutants on regional atmosphere. The second phase of HTAP (HTAP-II) involved more than twenty global models to 229 simulate the air quality in 2010 (Galmarini et al., 2017). Most models utilize coarse-resolution 230 231 grids at about 2°-3°. The HTAP-II and MICS-Asia III share some common points like using the 232 same emission inventory in East Asia (Li et al., 2017) and using the same observation dataset to 233 evaluate PM₁₀ (more than 100 EANET and API sites) and PM_{2.5} (two EANET sites) (Dong et al., 2018). The MB of PM_{10} over EA is -30.7 µg cm⁻³ and -11.2 µg cm⁻³ for HTAP-II and this study. 234 respectively. And the MB of PM_{2.5} is -1.6 µg cm⁻³ and -4.3 µg cm⁻³ for HTAP-II and this study, 235 respectively. Both studies find underestimation of PM₁₀ concentrations, while PM_{2.5} 236 concentrations are well produced. Models of MICS-Asia III perform slightly better than those of 237 HTAP-II with lower model bias in PM₁₀, probably taking the advantage of finer resolutions of 238 model grids. 239

240 The so-call "diagnostic evaluation" approach is adopted to check the model bias oriented

241 by individual process (Dennis et al., 2010). According to the evaluation above, the following

four processes are identified as the main reasons for the model bias with observation and the

243 possible reasons for model differences:

(1) Source of PM: sect. 3.2 quantifies the uncertainties brought by model inputs, including thespatial and vertical allocations of emissions and IC/BC.





- (2) Formation of PMF: sect. 3.3 investigates the gas-particle conversion of S and N amongdifferent models and the impacts on model performances.
- (3) Formation of PMC: sect. 3.4 assesses the model abilities in reproducing the spatial and
 temporal distributions of PM in regions affected by dust storm. A comparison is conducted
 between models with and without dust emissions/modules.
- (4) Removal of PM from the atmosphere: sect. 3.5 compares the model performances in simulating
 the amounts of deposition and the efficiencies of wet and dry depositions.

253 **3.2 Model inputs and initial/boundary conditions**

The model inputs determine the sources of PM. The anthropogenic emissions (including biomass 254 burning emission), biogenic emissions and volcanic emissions are provided by topic II of MICS-255 Asia. But some natural emissions such as dust and sea salt emissions are prepared by each 256 257 modelling group. It is important to quantify the influences brought by model inputs before further comparison. Most models did not submit the simulation emission files, therefore the black carbon 258 and CO concentrations are used as indicators of emissions since they weakly react with other 259 260 species. The modelled concentrations of black carbon at first layer are shown in supplementary 261 fig. S9. Note that the heights of the first layer are 57 meters for all WRF-CMAQ models and M8, 262 but vary from 29 meters to 100 meters for the others (Table 1). Most models produce similar domain-average concentrations of black carbon (ranging from 0.33-0.44) except M5 (0.18) and 263 M10 (0.58). For the six models with 57 meters as the height of the surface layer, the largest 264 difference is about 0.25 μ g m⁻³ (70%). The spatial distributions of black carbon are highly 265 consistent among models. We plot the domain-average CO concentrations at each vertical laver 266 for models (since models do not provide layer height) to compare the vertical allocations of 267 emissions among models (supplementary fig. S10). The CO columns (sum of all vertical layers) 268 among models can vary by up to 756 ppb (22%) for the seven models with 40 vertical layers. 269

To assess the impacts brought by using different IC/BC, the results of M1 and M2 models are compared since they use the same model configurations except the IC and BC (supplementary fig. S11). M1 uses the downscale results from GEOS-Chem global model while M2 model uses the default values of CMAQ. The difference between two models are upmost $\pm 3 \ \mu g \ m^{-3}$ for black carbon, 20-40 $\ \mu g \ m^{-3}$ for PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5} (high in northern Indian and Southeast Asia), -8 $\ \mu g \ m^{-3}$ for SO₄²⁻, 2-6 $\ \mu g \ m^{-3}$ for NO₃⁻ (high in middle China and northern India) and about 2 $\ \mu g \ m^{-3}$ for





 NH_4^+ (high in eastern China). Overall, the results from M1 are about 40-50% higher than M2 276 around the edges of the simulation domain. This agrees with what we have expected since the 277 inputs from GEOS-Chem include the long-range transport of pollutions from outside of the 278 simulation domain. On the other hand, the differences in the centre domain are relatively smaller. 279 M1 model produces 20-30% higher concentrations of PM_{10} and $PM_{2.5}$ in south EA and 10% higher 280 concentrations of PM₁₀, PM_{2.5} and NO₃⁻ in centre China than M2. The 10-20% negative differences 281 in SO₄²⁻ and NH₄⁺ concentrations between M1 and M2 are probably results of changes in chemical 282 283 reactions.

The results demonstrate considerable impacts of emission inputs and IC/BC on model results. In the following analyses, indicators (i.e. sulphur oxidation ratio (SOR)) are used in addition to direct model outputs (i.e. SO_4^{2-} concentrations) to exclude the influences and focus more on the differences caused by model mechanisms.

288 **3.3 Gas-particle conversion**

289 The following two indicators are calculated to illustrate the gas-particle conversions of S and N.

(1)

290
$$SOR = \frac{n - SO_4^2}{n - SO_4^{2^2} + n - SO_2}$$

291
$$C(NO_2) = \frac{n - NO_3^{-1}}{n - NO_3^{-1} + n - NO_2}$$
(2)

where $n-SO_4^{2-}$, $n-SO_2$, $n-NO_3^{-}$ and $n-NO_2$ are the mole concentrations of SO_4^{2-} particle, SO_2 gas, NO₃⁻ particle and NO₂ gas. The *C(NO₂)* indicator only has NO₃⁻ and NO₂ in the denominator due to the limitation of observation data. But it still can portrait the conversion of N between gas phase and particle phase.

The SOR values (supplementary fig. S12) are lowest around the HBT region in north-eastern China 296 (10-40%) and highest in south-western China (60-80%). The X-CMAQ models (including WRF-297 CMAQ and RAMS-CMAQ) produce similar SOR patterns, except that the CMAQv5.0.2 models 298 (M1 and M2) predict 10% higher SOR in the HBT region than the CMAQv4.7.1 models (M4, M5 299 and M6). For the X-Chem models (including WRF-Chem, GEOS-Chem and NHM-Chem), the 300 two WRF-Chem models (M7 and M8) produce similar magnitudes and distributions of SOR in all 301 regions, except the south-western China (around Tibet (supplementary fig. S2)) and the open 302 oceans, while the NHM-Chem (M12) and GEOS-Chem (M13) models produce slightly higher 303





- SOR values over the whole simulation domain. The differences between the X-CMAQ and the X-Chem models are significant over the inland regions of northern and eastern China, Japan and southern EA, where the X-CMAQ models generally predict 5-20% higher *SOR* than the X-Chem models. Similarly, the X-CMAQ models generally give 20% higher $C(NO_2)$ values (supplementary fig. S13) than the WRF-Chem models, especially in eastern EA. The $C(NO_2)$ of M8 is extremely low due to unreasonably low NO₃⁻ concentrations, which is considered as outlier in this study.
- 310 Figure 2 shows the gas-particle conversions of S and N by models and observation at the EANET sites. The red bars represent concentrations of gases and the black bars represent 311 312 concentrations of aerosols. The values with blue color above the bars are observed and modelled SOR and $C(NO_2)$ values. Results for individual sites are available in supplementary fig. S14. 313 According to fig. 2(a), the total amount of S (SO₂ gas+SO₄²⁻ particle) is about 0.15 μ mole(S) m⁻³. 314 315 Most models have biases on this value, especially the moderate underestimation by M7, M8 and M13. On the other hand, the SOR value (0.25) is well simulated by M1 (0.26), M2 (0.20), M10 316 (0.29) and M13 (0.26). Other models generally under-predict the SOR value except M12 (0.33) 317 and M14 (0.57). The WRF-CMAQv5.0.2 models (M1 and M2) produce higher SOR than WRF-318 319 CMAQv4.7.1 models (M4, M5 and M6), probably attributed to the updates in the formation pathway of SO₄²⁻. 320
- Figure 2(b-e) show the results in different regions. In northern EA, the total amount of S is 321 underestimated by all models except M13 and M14. However, the SOR value (0.12) is well 322 reproduced by most models (0.08-0.20) except M12 (0.25) and M10 (0.32). After checking the 323 model performances at the five sites in northern EA (supplementary fig. S14 (a-e)), we found that 324 the SO₂ concentrations at three out of the five sites are largely underestimated by most models, 325 while the SO_4^{2-} concentrations are well simulated. Therefore, the model biases in northern EA sites 326 could come from insufficient S in emission inputs, which agrees with our finding in the emission 327 inputs of this region as mentioned in sect. 3.1 and supplementary sect. S2. There is only one site 328 available for central EA. Most models (except M12 and M13) have largely underestimated the 329 SOR value, while M14 has largely overestimated it. For eastern EA, the total amount of S is well 330 captured by all models except M11, M12 and M14. The SOR value (0.55) is generally 331 underestimated by all models except M10 (0.55) and M14 (0.71). For southern EA, the total 332 333 amount of S is generally overestimated by all models except M13, while the SOR value is





underestimated by all models except M13 and M14. Overall, the models have both positive and negative biases in simulating the total amounts of S, but generally underestimated the *SOR* values in all regions. Furthermore, the modelled *SOR* values vary largely among models (ranging from 0.12 to 0.57), resulting in a large inter-model difference (1sd% = 50%). This variation is of the same magnitude as the variation of SO_4^{2-} concentration (1sd% = 50%). The results suggest that differences in gas-particle conversion among models could account largely for the models' inconsistency in simulating the SO_4^{2-} concentrations.

341 Figure 2(f-h) compares the gas-particle conversion of N with the $C(NO_2)$ indicator. Only 342 one site in China and one site in Japan have both NO_2 and NO_3^- observations. At the Hongwen sites in China, all models except M5 underestimate the sum of NO₂ and NO₃, but the modelled 343 $C(NO_2)$ values are close to the observation (0.18) except M5 (0.07), M8 (0.00) and M12 (0.40). 344 345 Similar to the results of S conversion, the newer version of WRF-CMAQ model generally produces higher $C(NO_2)$ than the older version, but the differences between the two are smaller. At the 346 Banryu site in Japan, the sum of NO_2 and NO_3^- is well simulated by all models except M8. The 347 $C(NO_2)$ (0.19) value is also well simulated by all models except M8 (0.00), M12 (0.53) and M14 348 349 (0.77). Overall, the model accuracy on $C(NO_2)$ is slightly higher than that on SOR according to the comparison with observed values. Models also have higher consistencies on $C(NO_2)$ than SOR 350 351 (also shown in supplementary figs. S12-S13). However, further validation is required due to the 352 limited number of observations for the conversion of N.

353 **3.4 Implementation of dust emissions/modules in models**

The PMC concentrations at surface layer are calculated by subtracting PM_{2.5} from PM₁₀ 354 (supplementary fig. S15). Most models show very low ($< 2\mu g m^{-3}$) concentrations of PMC around 355 356 the Takalmakan Desert and the Gobi Desert in northern China except M10, M11 and M14. According to table 1, these three models use dust emissions/modules in simulations (M12 also 357 358 includes dust emissions, but its PM₁₀ concentrations over northern China are much lower than the three models). However, the predicted PMC concentrations for the three models largely differ. The 359 domain-average concentrations of PMC are 21, 7 and 12 µg m⁻³ for M10, M11 and M14, 360 respectively. The distributions of PMC also differ largely over north-west China, where the 361 impacts of dust are most significant. Different PMC concentrations are also found over oceans, 362 mainly attributed to the sea-salt emissions in this study. The sea-salt emissions are parameterized 363





in the models with various formula. In this study, the WRF-Chem models (M7 and M8) do not 364 account for sea-salt emissions, thus their PMC concentrations over the oceans and seas are not 365 defined. The two WRF-CMAQ models use the in-line sea-salt emission module of Gong (2003) 366 and updated by Kelly et al. (2010). They predict consistent distributions of PMC over oceans. M10 367 368 and M11 use the same module as the CMAQ models (Gong, 2003), but produce higher PMC on oceans. M12 adopts the method of breaking wave over seashore by Clarke et al. (2006) and 369 produces the highest PMC over oceans among all models. Detailed description of the sea-salt 370 371 modules can be found in supplementary sect. S1.2.

372 The implementation of dust emission is expected to improve the model performances, but how significant could the improvement be? And can models predict the PM concentrations 373 perfectly at regions affected by dust with current dust emissions/modules? To answer these 374 375 questions, all sites are grouped to dust and non-dust sites according to their locations. The sites located in regions that have been reported to receive severe impacts and rapid deposition of dust 376 are marked as dust sites (Wang et al., 2004; Wang et al., 2005; Shao and Dong, 2006) (grey-color 377 shaded areas in supplementary fig. S2). Figure 3(a-b) and table 3 compare the model performances 378 379 at the dust and non-dust sites. For the non-dust sites (fig. 3(b)), most models have well captured the magnitudes of PM_{10} at the "API non-coastal, non-dust" sites (MB = -8% and NMB = -8%). 380 381 The sites marked as "API coastal" sites, which are located close to the coastal regions, are all slightly underestimated by about 25 µg cm⁻³ (30%). Similarly, the PRD and Taiwan sites, which 382 383 are also located near the coastal regions, are all underestimated by about 20 μ g cm⁻³ (37%). Bias in sea-salt emissions is the possible reason. Sea-salt emission is reported to contribute to 20-40% 384 of SNA and PM₁₀ over coastal regions (Liu et al., 2015). Including the sea-salt emission in model 385 simulation can improve the model accuracy with 8-20% increase in PM₁₀, SNA, Na⁺ and Cl⁻ (Kelly 386 et al., 2010;Im, 2013). The influence of sea-salt emission is not the focus of this study, but further 387 study is strongly recommended. 388

For the dust sites (fig. 3(a)), most models have generally underestimated the PM_{10} concentrations by 10-40 µg cm⁻³ (15-50%). And the three models with dust module perform better than the others at the dust sites, especially A2, A30, A68, A69, R5 and R18. However, they miss the high PM_{10} concentrations at sites like R1-R3 and R11, and overestimate the PM_{10} concentrations at sites such as A60 and A80. This indicates that the dust emissions/modules





involved in this study can't fully capture the magnitudes and distributions of dust pollutions over
EA. In addition, the modelled PMC differ a lot with different dust emissions/modules
(supplementary fig. S15). M10 model produces very high PMC over the whole eastern China,
while M11 model only predicts high PMC around the HBT region. Overall, the model performance
on PM over dust regions can be improved largely by including dust emissions/modules. However,
the concentrations and distributions are not yet well captured and large inconsistencies are found
among different dust emissions/modules.

401 Figure 3(c-d) compares the modelled monthly trends of PM_{10} with observations at the dust 402 and non-dust sites and figure 3(e) shows the correlations (R) values between models and observation. For the non-dust sites (Fig. 3(d)), the trends are well caught by most models. The R 403 values are close to 0.70 for all models except M7 (0.62), M8 (0.58) and M14 (0.63). The WRF-404 405 Chem models (M7 and M8) simulate too low PM₁₀ concentrations in winter. M14 model overestimates the PM₁₀ concentrations during March to May. Most models have much lower R 406 values at the dust sites than the non-dust sites (fig. 3(e)), due to underestimation of the PM₁₀ 407 concentrations during winter. For instance, R values of M10 drop from 0.7 at the non-dust sites to 408 409 0.11 at the dust sites. Spring (March, April and May) has the largest model biases at the dust sites, which is coincident with the dust storm season in Asia (Arimoto et al., 2006). M10 and M14 410 411 models perform well in most months at both the dust and non-dust sites, taking the advantage of their dust emissions/modules. But their R values at the dust sites are very low. Future study is 412 413 strongly suggested on a better understanding of the seasonal variations of dust pollutions.

414 **3.5 Wet and dry depositions**

This section compares the main removal processes of PM in the models: wet and dry depositions. 415 416 Only M2, M4, M6, M11 and M12 have submitted the main components of S and N depositions, therefore the following analysis are based on these five models. The model performances on wet 417 418 deposition are evaluated with observation data from EANET. Please refer to supplementary sect. S2.5, table S1 and fig. S8 for details. Overall, wet SO_4^{2-} deposition is generally well simulated by 419 MMM with NMB of -9%. Wet NO₃⁻ deposition is underestimated by 29%, due to the large under-420 prediction in southern EA. Wet NH_4^+ deposition is also underestimated by 40%, especially at the 421 sites in China, Thailand and Philippine. Large inter-model disagreements are found in simulating 422 the wet deposition of SO_4^{2-} and NO_3^{-} at the sites in eastern EA (JP and KR), where the WRF-423





CMAQ models (M2, M4 and M6) underestimate the deposition and M11 and M12 models
overestimate the deposition. Models also have large disagreements in simulating wet NH4⁺
deposition in southern EA. Dry deposition is not evaluated in this study due to lack of observation
(measurement data are available after 2013).

The total S deposition includes wet depositions of SO₂, H₂SO₄ and SO₄²⁻ and dry 428 depositions of SO₂, H_2SO_4 and SO_4^{2-} . The total N deposition includes wet depositions of NO₃⁻. 429 NH4⁺, HNO3, NH3 and dry depositions of NO, NO2, NO3⁻, NH4⁺, HNO3 and NH3. Table 4 lists the 430 domain-total annual-accumulated amounts of S and N depositions by models. The total amounts 431 of wet S deposition (D_{Swet}) range from 10.5 to 31.3 Tg(S) yr⁻¹ among models (1sd%=75%). The 432 estimation by M11 model is two folds higher than the other four models. The inter-model 433 difference is significant even among the same-type of models with different versions. The 434 CMAQv4.7.1 models (M4 and M6) produce 12.5 Tg(S) yr⁻¹ (M4) and 13.8 Tg(S) yr⁻¹ (M6) of 435 D_{swet}, while the prediction by CMAQv5.0.2 model (M2) is 25% lower. Despite the large 436 discrepancies in the total amount, all five models agree that over 95% of wet S deposition is wet 437 SO_4^{2-} deposition. The total amounts of S dry deposition (D_{Sdry}) range from 4.3 to 10.6 Tg(S) yr⁻¹ 438 439 among models (1sd% =39%). M11 predicts higher D_{Sdry} than other models and the CMAQv5.0.2 model (M2) predicts 45% lower D_{Sdrv} than the two CMAQv4.7.1 models (M4 and M6). Similar to 440 441 D_{Swet}, all models have high agreements on the proportions of the components.

The total amounts of N wet deposition (D_{Nwet}) range from 12.2 to 20.0 Tg(N) yr⁻¹ among 442 models (1sd%=21%). The CMAO models (M2, M4 and M6) simulate close results (12-15 Tg(N) 443 vr^{-1}), while M11 (20.0 Tg(N) vr^{-1}) and M12 (16.5 Tg(N) vr^{-1}) simulate slightly higher amounts. As 444 for the proportion of components, M2, M4, M6 and M12 models predict high proportions of wet 445 NO_3 and wet NH_4^+ depositions (particle phase), while M11 model produces higher percentages of 446 wet HNO₃ and wet NH₃ depositions (gas phase). The total amounts of dry N deposition (D_{Ndry}) 447 range from 3.9 to 14.1 Tg(N) yr⁻¹ (1sd%=38%). M12 gives a considerably lower amount than the 448 other models. Models are quite consistent on the proportions of components. 449

450 The modelled deposition is affected by the emission inputs as mentioned in sect. 3.2. 451 Therefore, two indicators are adopted to exclude the influences: washout ratio of wet deposition 452 (λ_{wet}) and dry deposition velocity (V_d) as calculated by Eqs. 3-4.





454

$$\lambda_{wet} = \frac{c_{depo}}{c_{surface_air}} \times 100\%$$
(3)

$$V_d = -F_c / C_{surface_air} \tag{4}$$

 $\lambda_{wat} = \frac{C_{depo}}{100\%} \times 100\%$

where λ_{wet} is the washout ratio for wet deposition, C_{depo} is the concentration of particles in 455 456 deposition and $C_{surface air}$ is the concentration of particles at near surface atmosphere. F_c is the vertical flux of dry deposition and V_d is the deposition velocity. The negative mark indicates the 457 direction of the dry deposition velocity. V_d is determined by the resistances of air layers. Please 458 refer to supplementary sect. S1.3 for more information. 459

Figure 4(a-e) show λ_{wet} of S deposition ($\lambda_{s_{wet}}$) by models. The CMAQ models (M2, M4 and 460 M6) have similar patterns in λs_{wet} over the inland regions, while M12 model predicts 30-90% lower 461 ratios in India. M11 model generally predicts about 20-70% lower λs_{wet} than the other four models 462 463 except India, where the difference could reach upmost 170%. For λ_{wet} of N deposition (λ_{Nwet}) (fig. 4(f-j)), the CMAQv4.7.1 models (M4 and M6) and M12 perform similarly, but the CMAQv5.0.2 464 model (M2) predicts 30% lower λ_{Nwet} in India, Japan and Korea. M11 generally predicts lower 465 ratios in India (60% lower), Indonesia and Philippines (120% lower) than the CMAQ models. 466 Figure 5 shows the spatial distributions of V_d . For V_d of S deposition (V_{Sd}) (fig. 5(a-e)), the CMAQ 467 468 models (M2, M4 and M6) simulate very similar spatial distributions. M11 and M12 models predict 0.5 cm s^{-1} lower V_{Sd} than the CMAQ models over the whole inland regions, especially in east China 469 and India peninsular. For V_d of N deposition (V_{Nd}) (fig. 5(f-j)), the CMAQ models (M2, M4 and 470 M6) predict very similar distributions. M11 and M12 predict about 0.3 cm s⁻¹ and 1-2 cm s⁻¹ lower 471 V_{Nd} than the CMAQ models over the inland regions. Overall, large inter-model differences are 472 found in predicting both the amounts of depositions and the efficiencies of depositions. 473

474 **4** Conclusion

The topic I of the MICS-Asia III aims at (i) evaluating the strengths and weaknesses of current 475 multiscale air quality models in simulating concentration and deposition fields over East Asia and 476 477 (ii) providing suggestions for future model developments. This study compares the performances of twelve regional models for the prediction of PM concentrations over EA. The participating 478 models includes WRF-CMAQ (v4.7.1 and v5.0.2), WRF-Chem (v3.6.1 and v3.7.1), GEOS-Chem, 479 NHM-Chem, NAQPMS and NU-WRF. Evaluation of model performances shows that the mean 480 biases of MMM are -25 µg m⁻³ (-30%), -7 µg m⁻³ (-15%). -0.7 µg m⁻³ (-19%), -0.05 µg m⁻³ (-3%) 481





and 0.1 μ g m⁻³ (12%) for surface PM₁₀, PM_{2.5}, SO₄²⁻, NO₃⁻ and NH₄⁺ concentrations, respectively. Four processes/mechanisms are investigated to identify the model biases with observation and the causes of inter-model differences:

- (1) For the sources of PM, we assess the influences of unprescribed natural emissions (i.e. dust 485 486 and sea-salt emissions), IC and BC on model performances. The inter-model differences in surface domain-average black carbon can reach upmost 0.25 μ g m⁻³ (70%) and those in 487 domain-average CO column is about 756 ppb (22%). Using different IC/BC causes about 10-488 20% differences in the center of the simulation domain and upmost 40-50% differences at the 489 490 edges of the simulation domain for the concentrations of PM and components (based on comparison between two models). Indicators such as SOR are recommended for model 491 intercomparison to exclude the influences of inconsistent model inputs and IC/BC. 492
- (2) For the formations of PMF, SOR and $C(NO_2)$ values are used to demonstrate the inter-model 493 differences in gas-particle conversions. The SOR values are generally underestimated by most 494 models at the EANET sites. A generally trend is found that the WRF-CMAQv5.0.2 models 495 produce the highest SOR values among all models, followed by the WRF-CMAQv4.7.1 models 496 497 (10% lower in HBT region), the WRF-Chem models and other models (5-20% lower over inland regions). The inter-model variation on SOR (1sd% = 50%) is of the same magnitude as 498 that on SO_4^{2-} concentration. Similar results are found in $C(NO_2)$, but models have higher 499 agreements on $C(NO_2)$ than SOR. The different treatments of gas-particle conversions account 500 501 largely for the different model performances on PMF.
- 502 (3) For the formations of PMC, the models without dust emissions/modules generate very low 503 ($<2\mu g m^{-3}$) PMC concentrations. They can well capture the PM₁₀ concentrations at non-dust-504 affected sites but underestimate the PM₁₀ concentrations at sites affected by dust storms by 505 upmost 50%. This underestimation is largely improved by implementing dust 506 emissions/modules (bias reduced to around -20%). However, both the magnitudes and 507 distributions of dust pollutions are not fully captured. In addition, models employing different 508 dust emissions/modules show large disagreements on the distributions of PMC.
- (4) For the removal of PM from the atmosphere, the amounts of atmospheric deposition vary
 largely among models (1sd%) by 75%, 39%, 21% and 38% for *D_{Swet}*, *D_{Sdry}*, *D_{Nwet}* and *D_{Ndry}*,
 respectively. The λ_{wet} and V_d indicators are used to exclude the influences brought by model
 inputs. For λ_{wet}, models agree more on the *D_{Swet}* than *D_{Nwet}*. The largest model inconsistencies





are found in India (upmost 170%), Indonesia and Philippines (upmost 120%). For V_d , models differ more on D_{Ndry} than D_{Sdry} , which is opposite to λ_{wet} . The inter-model differences are widely found over the inland regions for D_{Sdry} (about 0.5 cm s⁻¹) and D_{Ndry} (0.3-2 cm s⁻¹).

This paper aims at investigating the potential reasons for model differences on simulating PM_{10} 516 517 over EA. The main contributions can be concluded as: (1) providing a comprehensive view on the total budget of S and N aerosols, by including the analysis on model inputs, atmospheric 518 519 conversion processes and removal processes. It turns out that the aerosol removal processes can bring significant uncertainties to inter-model differences; (2) comparing the conversions of S and 520 521 N between gas and particle phases among different models as well as with observations. The comparison with observation makes it possible to both quantify the inter-model differences and 522 tell which module might be more reasonable. The results can provide important information to 523 both the model developers and model users; (3) giving an ensemble view on the new updates on 524 dust modules/emission. Several new updates on dust modules have been published in recent 525 literature, but there is limited study on the inter-comparison. However, except the processes 526 mentioned in this study, other factors such as vertical diffusion can also contribute to model 527 528 differences. Meanwhile, this study focuses on comparing the model abilities in simulating PM in 2010. The chemical regimes may have changed drastically due the rapid changes of emissions and 529 530 implementation of control policies in Asia. Studies on more recent years and heavily polluted episodes are under preparation. 531

532

Author Contributions. JT and JSf designed the study. JT processed and analysed the data. JSF,
GRC, SI and ZT contributed to the results and discussions. JSF, ZT, KH, SI, KY, TN, YM, XW,
YL, HJL, JEK, CYL, BG, MK, JZ, MZ, LH and ZW provided modelling data. All co-authors
provided comments to the manuscript.

537

538 Data Availability. The observation data are introduced with details in supplementary sect. S2.1

with web links of public available datasets. The model data are available upon request.

540

541 *Competing interests.* The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.





- 542 Acknowledgements. We thank all participating modeling groups of MICS-Asia III. We
- acknowledge the support by the Advanced Computing Facility (ACF), Joint Institute for 543
- Computational Sciences of the University of Tennessee. We also thank the support by the Oak 544
- Ridge National Laboratory for computational resources, which is supported by the Office of 545
- Science of the U.S. Department of Energy (contract DE-AC05-00OR22725). 546
- 547

Reference 548

- Ackermann, I. J., Hass, H., Memmesheimer, M., Ebel, A., Binkowski, F. S., and Shankar, U.: 549 Modal aerosol dynamics model for Europe: Development and first applications. Atmospheric 550 551 Environment, 32, 2981-2999, https://doi.org/10.1016/S1352-2310(98)00006-5, 1998
- Akimoto, H.: Global air quality and pollution, Science, 302, 1716-1719, DOI: 552
- 10.1126/science.1092666, 2003. 553
- Arimoto, R., Kim, Y. J., Kim, Y. P., Quinn, P. K., Bates, T. S., Anderson, T. L., Gong, S., Uno, 554 I., Chin, M., Huebert, B. J., Clarke, A. D., Shinozuka, Y., Weber, R. J., Anderson, J. R., 555
- Guazzotti, S. A., Sullivan, R. C., Sodeman, D. A., Prather, K. A., and Sokolik, I. N.: 556
- 557 Characterization of Asian Dust during ACE-Asia, Global Planet Change, 52, 23-56,
- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloplacha.2006.02.013, 2006. 558
- Bessagnet, B., Pirovano, G., Mircea, M., Cuvelier, C., Aulinger, A., Calori, G., Ciarelli, G., 559 Manders, A., Stern, R., Tsyro, S., Vivanco, M. G., Thunis, P., Pay, M. T., Colette, A., 560
- Couvidat, F., Meleux, F., Rouil, L., Ung, A., Aksoyoglu, S., Baldasano, J. M., Bieser, J., 561
- Briganti, G., Cappelletti, A., D'Isidoro, M., Finardi, S., Kranenburg, R., Silibello, C., 562
- Carnevale, C., Aas, W., Dupont, J. C., Fagerli, H., Gonzalez, L., Menut, L., Prevot, A. S. H., 563
- 564 Roberts, P., and White, L.: Presentation of the EURODELTA III intercomparison exercise -
- evaluation of the chemistry transport models' performance on criteria pollutants and joint 565
- analysis with meteorology, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 16, 12667-12701, 566 https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-16-12667-2016, 2016. 567
- Carmichael, G. R., Calori, G., Hayami, H., Uno, I., Cho, S. Y., Engardt, M., Kim, S. B., 568 569 Ichikawa, Y., Ikeda, Y., Woo, J. H., Ueda, H., and Amann, M.: The MICS-Asia study: model 570 intercomparison of long-range transport and sulfur deposition in East Asia, Atmospheric
- Environment, 36, 175-199, https://doi.org/10.1016/S1352-2310(01)00448-4, 2002. 571
- Carmichael, G. R., Sakurai, T., Streets, D., Hozumi, Y., Ueda, H., Park, S. U., Fung, C., Han, Z., 572 573 Kajino, M., Engardt, M., Bennet, C., Hayami, H., Sartelet, K., Holloway, T., Wang, Z.,
- Kannari, A., Fu, J., Matsuda, K., Thongboonchoo, N., and Amann, M.: MICS-Asia II: The 574
- 575 model intercomparison study for Asia Phase II methodology and overview of findings,
- Atmospheric Environment, 42, 3468-3490, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2007.04.007, 576 2008.
- 577
- 578 Carmichael, G. R., and Ueda, H.: MICS-Asia II: The model intercomparison study for Asia phase II, Atmospheric Environment, 42, 3465-3467, 579
- http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2007.10.003, 2008. 580
- 581 Carter: Implementation of the SAPRC-99 Chemical Mechanism into the Models-3 Framework, Report to the United States Environmental Protection Agency, January 29. Available at 582 583 http://www.cert.ucr.edu/~carter/absts.htm#s99mod3. 2000.
- Chen, L., Gao, Y., Zhang, M., Fu, J. S., Zhu, J., Liao, H., Li, J., Huang, K., Ge, B., Wang, X., 584
- LAM, Y. F., Lin, C. Y., Itahashi, S., Nagashima, T., Kajino, M., Yamaji, K., Wang, Z., and 585





586 587 588 589 590 591 592 593 594 595	 Kurokawa, JI.: MICS-Asia III: Multi-model comparison and evaluation of aerosol over East Asia, Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-2018-1346, in review, 2019. Chin, M., Ginoux, P., Kinne, S., Torres, O., Holben, B. N., Duncan, B. N., Martin, R. V., Logan, J. A., Higurashi, A., and Nakajima, T.: Tropospheric aerosol optical thickness from the GOCART model and comparisons with satellite and Sun photometer measurements, Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 59, 461-483, https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0469(2002)059<0461:TAOTFT>2.0.CO;2, 2002. Clarke, A. D., Owens, S. R., and Zhou, J. C.: An ultrafine sea-salt flux from breaking waves: Implications for cloud condensation nuclei in the remote marine atmosphere, J Geophys Res-Atmos, 111, https://doi.org/10.1029/2005JD006565,2006.
596	Cohen, A. J., Brauer, M., Burnett, R., Anderson, H. R., Frostad, J., Estep, K., Balakrishnan, K.,
597	Brunekreef, B., Dandona, L., Dandona, R., Feigin, V., Freedman, G., Hubbell, B., Jobling,
598	A., Kan, H., Knibbs, L., Liu, Y., Martin, R., Morawska, L., Pope, C. A., Shin, H., Straif, K.,
599	Shaddick, G., Thomas, M., van Dingenen, R., van Donkelaar, A., Vos, T., Murray, C. J. L.,
600	and Forouzanfar, M. H.: Estimates and 25-year trends of the global burden of disease
601	attributable to ambient air pollution: an analysis of data from the Global Burden of Diseases
602	Study 2015, Lancet, 389, 1907-1918, 10.1016/s0140-6736(17)30505-6, 2017.
603	Dennis, R., Fox, T., Fuentes, M., Gilliland, A., Hanna, S., Hogrefe, C., Irwin, J., Rao, S. T.,
604	Scheffe, R., Schere, K., Steyn, D., and Venkatram, A.: A framework for evaluating regional-
605	scale numerical photochemical modeling systems, Environ Fluid Mech, 10, 471-489, doi:
606	10.1007/s10652-009-9163-2, 2010.
607	Dong, X. Y., Fu, J. S., Zhu, Q. Z., Sun, J., Tan, J. N., Keating, T., Sekiya, T., Sudo, K., Emmons,
608	L., Tilmes, S., Jonson, J. E., Schulz, M., Bian, H. S., Chin, M., Davila, Y., Henze, D.,
609	Takemura, T., Benedictow, A. M. K., and Huang, K.: Long-range transport impacts on
610	surface aerosol concentrations and the contributions to haze events in China: an HTAP2
611	multi-model study, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 18, 15581-15600,
612	https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-18-15581-2018, 2018.
613	Dong, X., J. S. Fu, K. Huang, NH. Lin, SH. Wang, CE. Yang: Analysis of the Co-existence
614	of Long-range Transported Biomass Burning and Dust in the West Pacific. Scientific
615	Reports. 8:8962, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-27129-2, 2018.
616	Dong, X, J. S. Fu, K. Huang, D. Tong, G. Zhuang: Model development of dust emission and
617	heterogeneous chemistry within the Community Multiscale Air Quality modeling system and
618	it's application over East Asia. Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics. 16, 8157-8180.
619	doi:10.5194/acp-16-8157-2016, 2016.
620	Foroutan, H., Young, J., Napelenok, S., Ran, L., Appel, K. W., Gilliam, R. C., and Pleim, J. E.:
621	Development and evaluation of a physics-based windblown dust emission scheme
622	implemented in the CMAQ modeling system, Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth
623	Systems, 9, 585-608, 10.1002/2016ms000823, 2017.
624	Fountoukis, C., and Nenes, A.: ISORROPIA II: a computationally efficient thermodynamic
625	equilibrium model for K+-Ca2+-Mg2+-Nh(4)(+)-Na+-SO42NO3ClH2O aerosols,
626	Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 7, 4639-4659, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-7-4639-2007,
627	2007.
628	Fu, J. S., Jang, C. J., Streets, D. G., Li, Z., Kwok, R., Park, R., and Han, Z.: MICS-Asia II:
629	Modeling gaseous pollutants and evaluating an advanced modeling system over East Asia,
630	Atmospheric Environment, 42, 3571-3583,
631	http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2007.07.058, 2008.





632	Fuzzi, S., Baltensperger, U., Carslaw, K., Decesari, S., van Der Gon, H. D., Facchini, M. C.,
633	Fowler, D., Koren, I., Langford, B., Lohmann, U., Nemitz, E., Pandis, S., Riipinen, I.,
634	Rudich, Y., Schaap, M., Slowik, J. G., Spracklen, D. V., Vignati, E., Wild, M., Williams, M.,
635	and Gilardoni, S.: Particulate matter, air quality and climate: lessons learned and future
636	needs, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 15, 8217-8299, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-15-
637	8217-2015, 2015.
638	Galmarini, S., Koffi, B., Solazzo, E., Keating, T., Hogrefe, C., Schulz, M., Benedictow, A.,
639	Griesfeller, J. J., Janssens-Maenhout, G., Carmichael, G., Fu, J., and Dentener, F.: Technical
640	note: Coordination and harmonization of the multi-scale, multi-model activities HTAP2,
641	AOMEII3, and MICS-Asia3: simulations, emission inventories, boundary conditions, and
642	model output formats, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 17, 1543-1555, 10.5194/acp-17-1543-2017,
643	2017.
644	Gillette, D. A., and Passi, R.: Modeling Dust Emission Caused by Wind Erosion, J Geophys Res-
645	Atmos, 93, 14233-14242, https://doi.org/10.1029/JD093iD11p14233, 1988.
646	Ginoux, P., Chin, M., Tegen, I., Prospero, J. M., Holben, B., Dubovik, O., and Lin, S. J.: Sources
647	and distributions of dust aerosols simulated with the GOCART model, J Geophys Res-
648	Atmos, 106, 20255-20273, https://doi.org/10.1029/2000JD000053, 2001.
649	Gong, S. L.: A parameterization of sea-salt aerosol source function for sub- and super-micron
650	particles, Global Biogeochem Cy, 17, https://doi.org/10.1029/2003GB002079, 2003.
651	Han, Z., Sakurai, T., Ueda, H., Carmichael, G. R., Streets, D., Hayami, H., Wang, Z., Holloway,
652	T., Engardt, M., Hozumi, Y., Park, S. U., Kajino, M., Sartelet, K., Fung, C., Bennet, C.,
653	Thongboonchoo, N., Tang, Y., Chang, A., Matsuda, K., and Amann, M.: MICS-Asia II:
654	Model intercomparison and evaluation of ozone and relevant species, Atmospheric
655	Environment, 42, 3491-3509, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2007.07.031, 2008.
656	Han, Z. W., Ueda, H., Matsuda, K., Zhang, R. J., Arao, K., Kanai, Y., and Hasome, H.: Model
657	study on particle size segregation and deposition during Asian dust events in March 2002, J
658	Geophys Res-Atmos, 109, https://doi.org/10.1029/2004JD004920, 2004.
659	Hayami, H., Sakurai, T., Han, Z., Ueda, H., Carmichael, G. R., Streets, D., Holloway, T., Wang,
660	Z., Thongboonchoo, N., Engardt, M., Bennet, C., Fung, C., Chang, A., Park, S. U., Kajino,
661	M., Sartelet, K., Matsuda, K., and Amann, M.: MICS-Asia II: Model intercomparison and
662	evaluation of particulate sulfate, nitrate and ammonium, Atmospheric Environment, 42,
663	3510-3527, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2007.08.057, 2008.
664	Hoek, G., and Raaschou-Nielsen, O.: Impact of fine particles in ambient air on lung cancer,
665	Chinese Journal of Cancer, 33, 197-203, 10.5732/cjc.014.10039, 2014.
666	Huang, J., Minnis, P., Chen, B., Huang, Z. W., Liu, Z. Y., Zhao, Q. Y., Yi, Y. H., and Ayers, J.
667	K.: Long-range transport and vertical structure of Asian dust from CALIPSO and surface
668	measurements during PACDEX, J Geophys Res-Atmos, 113, 10.1029/2008jd010620, 2008.
669	Im, U.: Impact of sea-salt emissions on the model performance and aerosol chemical
670	composition and deposition in the East Mediterranean coastal regions, Atmospheric
671	Environment, 75, 329-340, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2013.04.034, 2013.
672	Iwasaka, Y., Shi, G. Y., Yamada, M., Matsuki, A., Trochkine, D., Kim, Y. S., Zhang, D.,
673	Nagatani, T., Shibata, T., Nagatani, M., Nakata, H., Shen, Z., Li, G., and Chen, B.:
674	Importance of dust particles in the free troposphere over the Taklamakan Desert: Electron
675	microscopic experiments of particles collected with a balloonborne particle impactor at
676	Dunhuang, China, J Geophys Res-Atmos, 108, 1-10, 10.1029/2002jd003270, 2003.





Kelly, J. T., Bhave, P. V., Nolte, C. G., Shankar, U., and Foley, K. M.: Simulating emission and 677 678 chemical evolution of coarse sea-salt particles in the Community Multiscale Air Quality

- (CMAO) model. Geoscientific Model Development. 3, 257-273. 679
- https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-3-257-2010, 2010. 680
- Knol, A. B., de Hartog, J. J., Boogaard, H., Slottje, P., van der Sluijs, J. P., Lebret, E., Cassee, F. 681
- R., Wardekker, A., Ayres, J. G., Borm, P. J., Brunekreef, B., Donaldson, K., Forastiere, F., 682
- 683 Holgate, S. T., Kreyling, W. G., Nemery, B., Pekkanen, J., Stone, V., Wichmann, H. E., and Hoek, G.: Expert elicitation on ultrafine particles: likelihood of health effects and causal 684
- 685 pathways, Particle and Fibre Toxicology, 6, 10.1186/1743-8977-6-19, 2009.
- Lamarque, J. F., Shindell, D. T., Josse, B., Young, P. J., Cionni, I., Evring, V., Bergmann, D., 686 Cameron-Smith, P., Collins, W. J., Doherty, R., Dalsoren, S., Faluvegi, G., Folberth, G., 687
- Ghan, S. J., Horowitz, L. W., Lee, Y. H., MacKenzie, I. A., Nagashima, T., Naik, V., 688
- 689 Plummer, D., Righi, M., Rumbold, S. T., Schulz, M., Skeie, R. B., Stevenson, D. S., Strode,
- S., Sudo, K., Szopa, S., Voulgarakis, A., and Zeng, G.: The Atmospheric Chemistry and 690
- Climate Model Intercomparison Project (ACCMIP): overview and description of models, 691 simulations and climate diagnostics. Geoscientific Model Development, 6, 179-206. 692 693
 - 10.5194/gmd-6-179-2013, 2013.
- Lelieveld, J., Evans, J. S., Fnais, M., Giannadaki, D., and Pozzer, A.: The contribution of outdoor 694 695 air pollution sources to premature mortality on a global scale, Nature, 525, 367-+, 10.1038/nature15371, 2015. 696
- 697 Li, J., Wang, Z. F., Zhuang, G., Luo, G., Sun, Y., and Wang, Q.: Mixing of Asian mineral dust 698 with anthropogenic pollutants over East Asia: a model case study of a super-duststorm in March 2010, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 12, 7591-7607, DOI: 10.5194/acp-12-699 700 7591-2012, 2012.
- 701 Li, M., Zhang, Q., Kurokawa, J. I., Woo, J. H., He, K., Lu, Z., Ohara, T., Song, Y., Streets, D. 702 G., Carmichael, G. R., Cheng, Y., Hong, C., Huo, H., Jiang, X., Kang, S., Liu, F., Su, H., and Zheng, B.: MIX: a mosaic Asian anthropogenic emission inventory under the international 703 704 collaboration framework of the MICS-Asia and HTAP, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 17, 935-963, 10.5194/acp-17-935-2017, 2017. 705
- Lippmann, M.: Toxicological and epidemiological studies of cardiovascular effects of ambient 706 707 air fine particulate matter (PM2.5) and its chemical components: Coherence and public 708 health implications, Crit Rev Toxicol, 44, 299-347, DOI: 10.3109/10408444.2013.861796, 709 2014.
- Liu, M., Westphal, D. L., Wang, S. G., Shimizu, A., Sugimoto, N., Zhou, J., and Chen, Y.: A 710 high-resolution numerical study of the Asian dust storms of April 2001, J Geophys Res-711 Atmos, 108, 10.1029/2002jd003178, 2003. 712
- 713 Liu, Y. M., Zhang, S. T., Fan, Q., Wu, D., Chan, P. W., Wang, X. M., Fan, S. J., Feng, Y. R., and 714 Hong, Y. Y.: Accessing the Impact of Sea-Salt Emissions on Aerosol Chemical Formation 715 and Deposition over Pearl River Delta, China, Aerosol Air Qual Res, 15, 2232-2245, doi: 716 10.4209/aagr.2015.02.0127, 2015.
- Ma, S., Zhang, X., Gao, C., Tong, D. Q., Xiu, A., Wu, G., Cao, X., Huang, L., Zhao, H., Zhang, 717 S., Ibarra-Espinosa, S., Wang, X., Li, X., and Dan, M.: Multi-model simulations of 718 719 springtime dust storms in East Asia: Implications of an evaluation of four commonly used air
- 720 quality models (CMAQv5.2.1, CAMxv6.50, CHIMEREv2017r4, and WRF-Chem v3.9.1),
- Geosci. Model Dev. Discuss., 2019, 1-35, 10.5194/gmd-2019-57, 2019. 721





- Murphy, B. N., and Pandis, S. N.: Simulating the Formation of Semivolatile Primary and 722 723 Secondary Organic Aerosol in a Regional Chemical Transport Model, Environmental science & technology, 43, 4722-4728, DOI: 10.1021/es803168a. 2009. 724 Nel, A.: Air pollution-related illness: Effects of particles, Science, 308, 804-806, DOI: 725 726 10.1126/science.1108752.2005. Nenes, A., Pandis, S. N., and Pilinis, C.: ISORROPIA: A new thermodynamic equilibrium model 727 728 for multiphase multicomponent inorganic aerosols, Aquat Geochem, 4, 123-152, DOI: 10.1023/A:1009604003981, 1998. 729 Nenes, A., Pandis, S. N., and Pilinis, C.: Continued development and testing of a new 730 thermodynamic aerosol module for urban and regional air quality models, Atmospheric 731 Environment, 33, 1553-1560, https://doi.org/10.1016/S1352-2310(98)00352-5, 1999. 732 Rao, S., Chirkov, V., Dentener, F., Van Dingenen, R., Pachauri, S., Purohit, P., Amann, M., 733 734 Heyes, C., Kinney, P., Kolp, P., Klimont, Z., Riahi, K., and Schoepp, W.: Environmental Modeling and Methods for Estimation of the Global Health Impacts of Air Pollution, 735 Environmental Modeling & Assessment, 17, 613-622, 10.1007/s10666-012-9317-3, 2012. 736 Silva, R. A., West, J. J., Zhang, Y. Q., Anenberg, S. C., Lamarque, J. F., Shindell, D. T., Collins, 737 W. J., Dalsoren, S., Faluvegi, G., Folberth, G., Horowitz, L. W., Nagashima, T., Naik, V., 738 Rumbold, S., Skeie, R., Sudo, K., Takemura, T., Bergmann, D., Cameron-Smith, P., Cionni, 739 I., Doherty, R. M., Evring, V., Josse, B., MacKenzie, I. A., Plummer, D., Righi, M., 740 Stevenson, D. S., Strode, S., Szopa, S., and Zeng, G.: Global premature mortality due to 741 742 anthropogenic outdoor air pollution and the contribution of past climate change, Environ Res Lett, 8, 10.1088/1748-9326/8/3/034005, 2013. 743 Stockwell, W. R., Middleton, P., Chang, J. S., and Tang, X. Y.: The 2nd Generation Regional 744 745 Acid Deposition Model Chemical Mechanism for Regional Air-Quality Modeling, J Geophys Res-Atmos, 95, 16343-16367, https://doi.org/10.1029/JD095iD10p16343, 1990. 746 747 Stockwell, W. R., Kirchner, F., Kuhn, M., and Seefeld, S.: A new mechanism for regional atmospheric chemistry modeling, J Geophys Res-Atmos, 102, 25847-25879, 748 https://doi.org/10.1029/97JD00849, 1997. 749 Tebaldi, C., and Knutti, R.: The use of the multi-model ensemble in probabilistic climate 750 projections, Philos T R Soc A, 365, 2053-2075, https://doi.org/10.1098/rsta.2007.2076, 2007. 751 Vivanco, M. G., Bessagnet, B., Cuvelier, C., Theobald, M. R., Tsyro, S., Pirovano, G., Aulinger, 752 A., Bieser, J., Calori, G., Ciarelli, G., Manders, A., Mircea, M., Aksovoglu, S., Briganti, G., 753 Cappelletti, A., Colette, A., Couvidat, F., D'Insidoro, M., Kranenburg, R., Meleux, F., Menut, 754 755 L., Pay, M. T., Rouil, L., Silibello, C., Thunis, P., and Ung, A.: Joint analysis of deposition fluxes and atmospheric concentrations of inorganic nitrogen and sulphur compounds 756 predicted by six chemistry transport models in the frame of the EURODELTAIII project, 757 758 Atmospheric Environment, 151, 152-175, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2016.11.042, 759 2017. Wang, K., Zhang, Y., Nenes, A., and Fountoukis, C.: Implementation of dust emission and 760 chemistry into the Community Multiscale Air Quality modeling system and initial 761 application to an Asian dust storm episode, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 12, 10209-762 10237, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-12-10209-2012, 2012. 763 Wang, Q., X. Dong, J. S. Fu, J. Xu, C. Deng, Y. Jiang, Q. Fu, Y. Lin, K. Huang, and G. Zhuang: 764 765 Environmentally dependent dust chemistry of a super Asian dust storm in March 2010: observation and simulation. Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics. 18, 3505-3521, 766
- 767 https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-18-3505-2018, 2018.





- 768 Wang, Z., Pan, X. L., Uno, I., Li, J., Wang, Z. F., Chen, X. S., Fu, P. Q., Yang, T., Kobayashi,
- H., Shimizu, A., Sugimoto, N., and Yamamoto, S.: Significant impacts of heterogeneous
- reactions on the chemical composition and mixing state of dust particles: A case study during
- dust events over northern China, Atmospheric Environment, 159, 83-91,
- 772 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2017.03.044, 2017.
- Wang, Z., Pan, X. L., Uno, I., Chen, X. S., Yamamoto, S., Zheng, H. T., Li, J., and Wang, Z. F.:
- Importance of mineral dust and anthropogenic pollutants mixing during a long-lasting high
 PM event over East Asia, Environ Pollut, 234, 368-378,
- 776 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2017.11.068, 2018.
- Wesely, M. L.: Parameterization of Surface Resistances to Gaseous Dry Deposition in Regional Scale Numerical-Models, Atmospheric Environment, 23, 1293-1304,
- 779 https://doi.org/10.1016/0004-6981(89)90153-4, 1989.
- Zaveri, R. A., and Peters, L. K.: A new lumped structure photochemical mechanism for large scale applications, J Geophys Res-Atmos, 104, 30387-30415,
- 782 https://doi.org/10.1029/1999JD900876, 1999.
- Zhang, L., Brook, J. R., and Vet, R.: A revised parameterization for gaseous dry deposition in
 air-quality models, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 3, 2067-2082,
- 785 https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-3-2067-2003, 2003.

786







788 **Figures and tables**

790

791 Figure 1 (a,c,e,g,i) Comparison of annual average concentrations of PM and components between MMM (contour)

792 and observation (markers). The unit is μ g m⁻³. (b,d,f,h,j) The inter-model variations among models for PM and

793 components. 1sd is the standard deviation among models and 1sd% is calculated by dividing 1sd by MMM. The unit 794 is %.







797 798

798 Figure 2 Gas-particle conversions of S and N of observation and models at EANET sites. The unit is μ mole (S or N) m⁻³. The red bars and black bars represent the concentrations of gases and aerosols. The blue-color values above the

bars are observed/modelled *SOR* and $C(NO_2)$. Values are calculated with annual average concentrations. The concentrations of gases and aerosols are all transferred to μ mole (S or N) m⁻³ before calculation. The blue-color

numbers on top-right (e.g. E22) are site numbers. The locations of the sites are illustrated in supplementary fig. S2.
 Results for individual sites are shown in supplementary fig. S14.







805

806 Figure 3 Multi-model performances on (a-b) annual average PM₁₀ concentrations at the dust sites and non-dust sites 807 and (c-d) monthly average PM₁₀ concentrations at the dust sites and non-dust sites. X axis for (a-b) indicates site 808 numbers. The locations of the sites are illustrated in supplementary fig. S2. The yellow bars are observations, the 809 blue lines are the MMM and different markers represent individual model results. (e) R values of models with 810 observations at the dust and non-dust sites.















Figure 5 Dry deposition velocities (V_d) of (a-e) S deposition and (f-j) N deposition of models. Values are calculated with annual accumulated depositions. The unit is cm s⁻¹.





822	Table	1							
823		Table 1	Summary of the	set-ups of partici	pating models				
	Model	M1	M2	M4	M5	M6	M14		
N	Iodel Version	WRF-CMAQv5.0.2	WRF-CMAQv5.0.2	WRF-CMAQv4.7.1	WRF-CMAQv4.7.1	WRF-CMAQv4.7.1	RAMS-CMAQ		
	Gas chemistry	SAPRC99	SAPRC99	SAPRC99	SAPRC99	SAPRC99	SAPRC99		
Gaa	Number of species	77	77	77	77	77	-		
Gas	Number of reactions	226	226	226	226	226	-		
	Aerosol chemistry	Aero6	Aero6	AEO5	AEO5	AEO5	AERO5		
	(inorganic)	ISORROPIA(v2.0)	ISORROPIA(v2.0)	ISORROPIA(v1.7)	ISORROPIA(v1.7)	ISORROPIA(v1.7)	ISORROPIA (v1.7)		
Aerosol	Aerosol chemistry (organic)	Same as inorganic	Same as inorganic	updated SOA yield parameterization/Ca rlton et al (2010)	Same as inorganic	Same as inorganic	Same as inorganic		
	Cloud & Aqueous- phase chemistry	acm_ae6	acm_ae6	acm_ae5	acm_ae5	acm_ae5	acm_ae5		
	Dry deposition	Wesely (1989)	Wesely (1989)	Wesely (1989)	Wesely (1989)	Wesely (1989)	Aero_depv2		
	Wet scavenging	Henry's law	Henry's law	Henry's law	Henry's law	Henry's law	Chang et al (1987)		
	Horizontal advection	Yamo	Yamo	Yamo	Yamo	Yamo	Hyamo		
Physica	Vertical advection	PPM	PPM	PPM	PPM	Yamo	Vyamo		
1	Horizontal diffusion	multiscale	multiscale	multiscale	multiscale	multiscale	multiscale		
	Vertical diffusion	ACM2	ACM2	ACM2	ACM2	ACM2	eddy		
Meteorolo	ogy	IAP ¹	IAP^1	IAP ¹	IAP ¹	IAP ¹	RAMS/NCEP ²		
	Two way	No	No	No	No	No	No		
	ICBC	GEOS-Chem	CMAQ default	CHASER	CHASER	CHASER	GEOS-Chem		
	Vertical layers	40	40	40	40	40	15		
	Height of 1st layer	57 m	57 m	57 m	57 m	57 m	100 m		
Model	Height of top layer	19,963 m	19,963 m	19,963 m	19,963 m	19,963 m	-		
set-up	Grid Size	45 km	45 km	45 km	45 km	45 km	45 km		
	Dust emission	No	No	No	No	No	Yes		
	Sea-salt emission	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		
	Additional emissions	No	No	No	No	No	No		

824





826			Cont	inue table 1				
	Model	M7	M8	M10	M11	M12	M13	
Ν	Iodel Version	WRF-Chem 3.7.1	WRF-Chem3.6.1	NU-WRF v7lis7-3- 3.5.1	NAQPMS	NHM-Chem	GEOS-Chem	
6	Gas chemistry	RACM-ESRL with KPP	RACM	RADM2	CBMZ	SAPRC99	NOx-Ox-HC chemistry mechanism	
Gas	Number of species	84	84	63	-	72	-	
	Number of reactions	About 249	About 249	157	-	214	-	
	Aerosol chemistry (inorganic)	MADE/VBS	MADE/VBS	GOCART	ISORROPIAv1.7	ISORROPIA2 /MADMS (Kajino et al., 2011)	ISORROPIAv1.7	
	Aerosol chemistry (organic)	Same as inorganic	Same as inorganic	Same as inorganic	Same as inorganic	Edney et al. (2007)/MADMS (Kajino, 2011)	Same as inorganic	
Aerosol	Cloud & Aqueous- phase chemistry	CMAQ simplified aqueous chemistry	AQCHEM	None	RADM2	Walcek and Taylor (1986), Carlton et al. (2007)	-	
	Dry deposition	Wesely (1989)	Wesely (1989)	Wesely (1989)	Wesely (1989)	Kajino et al. (2012)	Wesely (1989)	
	Wet scavenging	Henry's law	AQCHEM	Grell	Henry's law	Grid scale (Kajino et al., 2012), sub- grid scale convection and deposition (Pleim and Chang, 1992)	Henry's law	
	Horizontal advection	WRF	WRF	Monotonic	Walcek and Aleksic	Walcek and Aleksic	PPM	
	Vertical advection	5th order monatomic	5th order monatomic	3rd order	(1998)	(1998)		
Physical	Horizontal diffusion	WRF	WRF	2 nd order	K-theory	FTCS, Byun and Schere (2006)	Lin and McElroy	
	Vertical diffusion	3 rd order	3 rd order	YSU	2	FICS, Mellor- Vamada Janijo	(2010)	
Meteorolo)9V	WRF/NCEP ²	WRF/NCEP ²	WRF/MERRA2 ²	IAP ¹	I amada-Janjic IAP ¹	GEOS-5 ²	
meteoron	Two way	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	
	ICBC	Default	CHASER	MOZART+GOCA RT	CHASER	CHASER	-	
	Vertical layers	40	40	60	20	40	47	
Madal	Height of 1st layer	29 m	57 m	44 m	48 m	27 m	-	
set-up	Height of top layer	19,857 m	-	26,168 m	-	-	-	
set-up	Grid Size	45 km	45 km	45 km	45 km	45 km	$0.5^{\circ} \times 0.667^{\circ}$	
	Dust emission	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	-	
	Sea-salt emission	No	No	Yes	-	-	-	
	Additional emissions	No	No	Online biogenic + fire	-	-	-	

827 Note:

830 IAP.

- 831 3. References in the table
- Byun, D., and Schere, K. L.: Review of the governing equations, computational algorithms, and other components
 of the models-3 Community Multiscale Air Quality (CMAQ) modeling system, Appl Mech Rev, 59, 51-77,
 2006.
- Carlton, A. G., Turpin, B. J., Altieri, K. E., Seitzinger, S., Reff, A., Lim, H.-J., and Ervens, B.: Atmospheric oxalic
 acid and SOA production from glyoxal: Results of aqueous photooxidation experiment, Atmos. Environ., 41,
 7588–7602, 2007
- Chang, J. S., Brost, R. A., Isaksen, I. S. A., Madronich, S., Middleton, P., Stockwell, W. R., and Walcek, C. J.: A 3 Dimensional Eulerian Acid Deposition Model Physical Concepts and Formulation, J Geophys Res-Atmos, 92, 14681-14700, 1987.
- 841 Edney, E. O., Kleindienst, T. E., Lewandowski, M., and Offenberg, J. H.: Updated SOA chemical mechanism for
- the Community Multiscale Air Quality model, EPA 600/X-07/025, US Environ. Prot. Agency, Durham, NC, 2007.

^{828 1.} Models use meteorology inputs provided by the Institute of Atmospheric Physics (IAP), China.

^{829 2.} Models use own simulated meteorology fields, but applied the same model set-ups as suggested by





- Kajino, M.: MADMS: Modal Aerosol Dynamics model for multiple Modes and fractal Shapes in the free-molecular
 and near-continuum regimes, Journal of Aerosol Science, 42, 224-248, 2011.
- Kajino, M., Deushi, M., Maki, T., Oshima, N., Inomata, Y., Sato, K., Ohizumi, T., and Ueda, H.: Modeling wet
 deposition and concentration of inorganics over Northeast Asia with MRI-PM/c, Geoscientific Model
 Development, 5, 1363-1375, 2012.
- Lin, J. T., and McElroy, M. B.: Detection from space of a reduction in anthropogenic emissions of nitrogen oxides
 during the Chinese economic downturn, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 11, 8171-8188, 2011.
- Pleim, J. E. and Chang, J. S.: A non-local closure model for vertical mixing in the convective boundary layer, Atmos. Environ., 26A, 965–981, 1992.
- Walcek, C. J., and Taylor, G. R.: A Theoretical Method for Computing Vertical Distributions of Acidity and Sulfate
 Production within Cumulus Clouds, Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 43, 339-355, 1986.
- Walcek, C. J., and Aleksic, N. M.: A simple but accurate mass conservative, peak-preserving, mixing ratio bounded
 advection algorithm with Fortran code, Atmospheric Environment, 32, 3863-3880, 1998.
- Wesely, M. L.: Parameterization of Surface Resistances to Gaseous Dry Deposition in Regional-Scale Numerical Models, Atmospheric Environment, 23, 1293-1304, 1989.





859 Table 2
860 Table 2 MMM performances on annual average PM and components at surface layer (unit: μg m⁻³).

				PM	PM _{2.5}								
Data source	All	Central EA ¹					Eastern EA ¹	Souther n EA ¹	All	Central EA ¹			Eastern EA ¹
		EANET ²	API ²	Ref ² (HBT)	Ref ² (PRD)	Ref ² (TW)	EANET 2	EANET 2	sites	Ref ² (HBT)	Ref ² (PRD)	Ref ² (TW)	EANET 2
Mean Obs	83.8	53.8	85.8	145.8	67.6	38.1	28.1	40.2	45.2	70.2	40.5	17.5	10.9
Mean MMM	58.6	61.8	65.8	78.3	44.4	45.5	13.5	22.2	38.4	62.6	33.7	10.2	6.6
S^3	0.5	-2.5	0.6	0.1	0.2	0.4	0.4	0.3	0.8	0.2	0.7	0.5	0.3
MB^3	-25.3	8.0	-20.1	-67.6	-23.2	7.4	-14.6	-18.0	-6.8	-7.6	-6.8	-7.2	-4.3
R^3	0.6	-0.6	0.4	0.1	0.3	1.0	0.9	1.0	0.8	0.3	1.0	1.0	0.4
F^3	74.6	66.7	80.9	61.1	92.3	66.7	46.2	100.0	82.4	75.0	100.0	100.0	66.7
NMB ³ (%)	-30.1	14.9	-23.4	-46.3	-34.3	19.3	-51.9	-44.8	-15.1	-10.9	-16.7	-41.4	-39.7
NME ³ (%)	34.7	50.1	29.0	46.3	34.3	19.3	51.9	44.8	26.1	25.9	16.7	41.4	39.7
<i>MFB</i> ³ (%)	-40.7	5.8	-32.2	-61.6	-40.1	20.6	-67.8	-57.6	-25.7	-10.0	-18.0	-51.6	-49.3
MFE ³ (%)	45.3	45.2	37.9	61.6	40.1	20.6	67.8	57.6	33.0	25.5	18.0	51.6	49.3
Number of Sites	142	3	89	18	13	3	13	3	17	8	3	3	3

861 Note:

862 1. Definition of regions: northern EA (Russia and Mongolia), central EA (China), western EA (Japan and Korea)

and southern EA (Cambodia, Lao PDR, Myanmar, Thailand, Vietnam, Indonesia, Malaysia and Philippines).

864 2. Monitoring networks: Acid Deposition Monitoring Network in East Asia (EANET) (http://www.eanet.asia/<u>, last</u>

access: 28 May 2018), Air Pollution Indices (API) and Reference dataset provided by the Institute of
 Atmospheric Physics Chinese Academy of Science (Ref). Please refer to supplementary S2.1 for detailed
 information.

868 3. Statistical metrics calculated as following Eqs. 1-5:

869	$MB \text{ (mean bias)} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (M_i - O_i)$	(1)
870	<i>NMB</i> (normalized mean bias) = $\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (M_i - O_i)}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} O_i} \times 100\%$	(2)
871	<i>NME</i> (normalized mean error) = $\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} M_i - O_i }{\sum_{i=1}^{n} O_i} \times 100\%$	(3)
872	MFB (mean fractional bias) = $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{M_i - O_i}{(M_i + O_i)/2} \times 100\%$	(4)
873	MFE (mean fractional gross error) = $\frac{1}{\Sigma_{i}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{ M_i - O_i }{ M_i - O_i } \times 100\%$	(5)

where M_i is the model result, O_i is the observation and n is the sample size. In addition, we use linear fit slope (S),

875 correlation coefficient (R) and fraction (of model results) within \pm 50% of observation (F) as statistical materics to 876 enable comparison with other studies.





878

Continue Table 2												
			SO42-				NO ₃ -					
Data source	All	North EA ¹	Centra 1 EA ¹	East EA ¹	South EA ¹	All	North EA ¹	Centra 1 EA ¹	East EA ¹	South EA ¹		
	sites		EAN	ET^2		sites		EAN	ET ²			
Mean Obs	3.6	2.5	14.1	3.4	3.4	1.6	0.6	11.7	1.1	1.6		
Mean MMM	3.0	1.3	6.0	2.7	3.9	1.5	0.8	4.3	1.4	2.0		
S^3	0.3	0.2	-	0.3	0.3	0.4	0.5	-	0.9	0.4		
MB^3	-0.7	-1.2	-8.1	-0.7	0.5	-0.05	0.1	-7.3	0.2	0.4		
R^3	0.6	0.5	-	0.6	0.6	0.7	0.2	-	0.8	0.6		
F^3	73.1	80.0	-	90.9	55.6	50.0	20.0	-	72.7	42.9		
<i>NMB</i> ³ (%)	- 18.7	-46.6	-57.6	-21.7	14.0	-3.0	16.2	-62.8	21.1	27.6		
NME ³ (%)	51.3	50.9	57.6	31.5	72.7	70.9	109.6	62.8	41.5	101.4		
MFB ³ (%)	-5.7	-39.4	-80.9	-19.4	38.2	23.9	-3.6	-91.6	24.5	59.3		
MFE ³ (%)	50.3	54.0	80.9	38.1	59.8	71.3	81.4	91.6	48.7	96.7		
Number of Sites	26	5	1	11	9	24	5	1	11	7		

879

880

Continued Table 2

	$\mathrm{NH_4^+}$										
Data source	All	North EA ¹	Central EA ¹	East EA ¹	South EA ¹						
	sites		EANET ²								
Mean Obs	1.1	0.8	6.7	0.7	1.0						
Mean MMM	1.2	0.5	2.5	0.8	2.2						
S^3	0.3	0.04	-	1.0	0.6						
MB^3	0.1	-0.3	-4.2	0.1	1.2						
R^3	0.5	0.1	-	0.9	0.5						
F^3	63.6	50.0	-	90.9	33.3						
<i>NMB</i> ³ (%)	11.9	-34.9	-62.2	10.9	123.5						
NME ³ (%)	63.5	66.2	62.2	19.5	123.5						
$MFB^{3}(\%)$	21.3	-28.1	-90.2	13.4	87.3						
MFE ³ (%)	49.8	63.5	90.2	20.7	87.3						
Number of Sites	22	4	1	11	6						

881





883	Table 3
005	1 abic 0

Table 3 Multi-model performance on annual average concentrations of PM_{10} at the dust and non-dust sites (unit: ug m⁻³) 884

dust sites (unit: µg m ²)												
Dust site	M1	M2	M4	M5	M6	M7	M8	M10	M11	M12	M14	MMM
Mean Obs	120.7											
Mean MMM	77.2	82.2	81.6	51.7	65.6	47.5	44.3	102.5	73.5	77.3	92.1	69.2
S	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.3
MB	-43.5	-38.5	-39.2	-69.0	-55.1	-73.2	-76.4	-18.2	-47.2	-43.4	-28.6	-51.5
R	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.3
F	66.7	69.2	69.2	38.5	56.4	35.9	33.3	84.6	59.0	66.7	66.7	66.7
NMB (%)	-36.1	-31.9	-32.4	-57.2	-45.7	-60.6	-63.3	-15.1	-39.1	-36.0	-23.7	-42.6
NME (%)	38.3	35.4	36.4	57.2	46.2	60.6	63.3	32.8	42.3	40.5	36.1	42.7
MFB (%)	-49.4	-44.6	-44.6	-83.4	-64.1	-92.9	-98.8	-19.3	-51.8	-46.8	-31.7	-56.9
MFE (%)	51.8	48.3	48.7	83.4	64.7	92.9	98.8	36.1	55.3	51.7	44.5	56.9
Number of Sites						2	39					

886 887

	Continue Table 3											
Non-dust site	M1	M2	M4	M5	M6	M7	M8	M10	M11	M12	M14	MMM
Mean Obs						77	7.2					
Mean MMM	58.2	58.5	66.5	45.2	55.2	44.8	39.0	90.0	64.4	66.3	89.5	57.8
S	1.0	1.1	1.2	0.8	1.0	0.7	0.6	1.0	1.0	0.9	1.1	0.9
MB	-19.0	-18.7	-10.8	-32.1	-22.1	-32.5	-38.3	12.7	-12.9	-10.9	12.2	-19.4
R	0.7	0.8	0.7	0.8	0.8	0.6	0.6	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.6	0.8
F	82.5	81.0	84.1	66.7	82.5	52.4	46.0	85.7	90.5	93.7	84.1	82.5
NMB (%)	-24.6	-24.2	-14.0	-41.5	-28.6	-42.0	-49.5	16.5	-16.6	-14.1	15.8	-25.1
NME (%)	30.7	30.7	27.3	41.5	31.4	43.9	50.7	25.7	26.3	26.1	30.8	28.0
MFB (%)	-36.8	-37.5	-25.1	-59.2	-41.8	-62.0	-75.0	13.1	-24.9	-20.3	8.3	-34.6
MFE (%)	42.0	42.8	35.3	59.2	44.4	64.0	76.1	23.4	33.5	31.3	29.1	37.5
Number of Sites						6	53					

888





890 Table 4

- 891
- 892

Table 4 Domain-total annual-accumulated S and N depositions of models (Tg(S or N) yr⁻¹).

2			

Empty values mean no model submissions or the values are 0.									
Model		Wet S	S deposi	tion	Dry S deposition				
	SO_2	H_2SO_4	SO4 ²⁻	Total Wet S	SO ₂	H_2SO_4	SO42-	Total Dry S	
M1	0.06	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
M2	0.04	-	10.4	10.5	3.4	0.01	0.9	4.3	
M4	0.06	-	12.5	12.5	6.6	0.01	1.1	7.6	
M5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
M6	0.05	-	13.7	13.8	6.3	0.01	1.4	7.7	
M7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
M8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
M10	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
M11	1.1	0.3	29.9	31.3	6.9	2.2	1.5	10.6	
M12	-	-	16.3	16.3	3.7	-	0.4	4.2	
M13	6.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
M14	0.02	-	6.2	-	5.4	-	3.2	-	

893 894

Continue Table 4

		Wet N deposition					Dry N deposition						
Model	NO ₃ -	$\mathrm{NH_4}^+$	HNO ₃	NH3	Total Wet N	NO	NO_2	NO ₃ -	$\mathrm{NH_4^+}$	HNO ₃	NH3	Total Dry N	
M1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4.3	6.9	-	
M2	4.0	8.3	-	-	12.2	0.03	0.4	0.6	0.6	2.0	7.5	11.0	
M4	5.4	7.4	-	-	12.8	0.03	0.3	0.7	0.5	2.8	4.7	9.0	
M5	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.5	-	-	-	-	-	
M6	5.6	9.1	-	-	14.6	0.02	0.3	0.8	0.7	2.9	6.5	11.1	
M7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
M8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
M10	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
M11	1.5	2.8	8.1	7.6	20.0	-	-	1.3	2.4	3.3	7.1	14.1	
M12	5.4	11.0	-	-	16.5	0.04	0.4	0.4	0.3	0.5	2.2	3.9	
M13	-	-	4.1	-	-	-	-	-	-	4.5	4.6	-	
M14	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	

895