Atmospheric
Chemistry
and Physics
Discussions

Open Access

ACPD

**Interactive
comment**

Interactive comment on "Surface temperature response to the major volcanic eruptions in multiple reanalysis data sets" *by* Masatomo Fujiwara et al.

Fanglin Yang (Referee)

fanglin.yang@noaa.gov

Received and published: 8 July 2019

This study investigates changes of 2-m temperature over the globe following three major volcanic eruptions in the past few decades using 11 global atmospheric reanalysis data sets. Multiple linear regression (MLR) is used to remove variations of 2-m temperature that corresponds to or forced by seasonal harmonics, trends, QBO, solar cycle, and a combination of tropical SST modes. Then, residuals of the MLR is considered to be the signal of volcanic eruptions in the couple of years immediately following each of the three major eruptions.

Even though many investigations have been published in the past to understand the

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper

impact of volcanic eruption on atmospheric circulation and surface temperature, it still presents a great challenge to quantify the impact with certainty. For observational study, it is difficult to separate changes in temperature induced by volcanic eruption from those induced by atmospheric and oceanic internal variability and external forcing. For numerical modeling, models may not be able to capture all natural variability, and the specification of volcanic forcing is often inaccurate. This study is based on linear regression and bears the same shortcomings of all statistical analyses; however, it is for the first time multiple analyses are used, and the residuals from different reanalysis data sets all showed similar patterns of cooling over the globe in the summer and fall following the three major volcanic eruptions. The authors also compared their MLR approach with the SVD approach found in previous studies, and confirmed both approaches produced similar cooling patterns. The magnitude of the cooling documented in this study is in general smaller than that reported in previous studies. This has implications for how to quantify volcanic forcing in numerical models for climate change study.

This manuscript is well written and well organized. I would recommend it be accepted for publication in ACP after the following few minor comments are addressed.

Minor comments 1) Please add a paragraph to the Introduction session to describe how volcanic eruption affects the surface temperature through direct radiative forcing and/or indirect changes in atmospheric circulation. 2) Is the 2m temperature response documented in this study consistent with the atmospheric temperature changes described in Fujiwara et al. (ACP, 2015) ? 3) It has been know that CFSR was constructed from a few different streams of analyses covering different time periods. Discontinuities are often found across the streams. Have the authors noticed the same feature and applied any technique to reduce the jumpiness?

Interactive comment on Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-2019-384, 2019.