Retrieving the global distribution of threshold of wind erosion from satellite data and implementing it into the GFDL AM4.0/LM4.0 model

Bing Pu^{1, 2, *}, Paul Ginoux², Huan Guo^{2, 3}, N. Christine Hsu⁴, John Kimball⁵, Beatrice

Marticorena⁶, Sergey Malyshev², Vaishali Naik², Norman T. O'Neill⁷, Carlos Pérez

García-Pando⁸, Joseph M. Prospero⁹, Elena Shevliakova², Ming Zhao²

¹Atmospheric and Oceanic Sciences Program, Princeton University,

Princeton, New Jersey 08544

²NOAA Geophysical Fluid Dynamics Laboratory, Princeton, New Jersey 08540

³ Cooperative Programs for the Advancement of Earth System Science, University

Corporation for Atmospheric Research, Boulder, Colorado, 80301

⁴NASA Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt, Maryland, 20771

⁵ Department of Ecosystem and Conservation Sciences, University of Montana,

Missoula, Montana 59812

⁶LISA, Universités Paris Est-Paris Diderot-Paris

⁷ Département de géomatique appliquée, Université de Sherbrooke

⁸ Barcelona Supercomputing Center, Barcelona, Spain, 08034

⁹ Rosenstiel School of Marine and Atmospheric Sciences, University of Miami, Miami, Florida, 33149

* Current affiliation: Department of Geography and Atmospheric Science, the University of Kansas, Lawrence, Kansas, 66045

1 Abstract. Dust emission is initiated when surface wind velocities exceed the threshold 2 of wind erosion. Many dust models used constant threshold values globally. Here we use 3 satellite products to characterize the frequency of dust events and land surface properties. 4 frequency derived from Moderate Bv matching this Resolution Imaging 5 Spectroradiometer (MODIS) Deep Blue aerosol products with surface winds, we are able 6 to retrieve a climatological monthly global distribution of wind erosion threshold 7 $(V_{threshold})$ over dry and sparsely-vegetated surface. This monthly two-dimensional 8 threshold velocity is then implemented into the Geophysical Fluid Dynamics Laboratory 9 coupled land-atmosphere model (AM4.0/LM4.0). It is found that the climatology of dust 10 optical depth (DOD) and total aerosol optical depth, surface PM₁₀ dust concentrations, 11 and seasonal cycle of DOD are better captured over the "dust belt" (i.e. North Africa and 12 the Middle East) by simulations with the new wind erosion threshold than those using the 13 default globally constant threshold. The most significant improvement is the frequency 14 distribution of dust events, which is generally ignored in model evaluation. By using 15 monthly rather than annual mean $V_{threshold}$, all comparisons with observations are further 16 improved. The monthly global threshold of wind erosion can be retrieved under different 17 spatial resolutions to match the resolution of dust models and thus can help improve the 18 simulations of dust climatology and seasonal cycle as well as dust forecasting.

- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23

24 **1. Introduction**

25 Mineral dust is one of the most abundant aerosols by mass and plays an important 26 role in the climate system. Dust particles absorb and scatter solar and terrestrial radiation, 27 thus modifying local energy budget and consequently atmospheric circulation patterns. 28 Studies have shown that the radiative effect of dust can affect a wide range of 29 environmental processes. Dust is shown to modulate West African (e.g., Miller and 30 Tegen, 1998; Miller et al., 2004; Mahowald et al., 2010; Strong et al., 2015) and Indian 31 (e.g., Jin et al., 2014; Vinoj et al., 2014; Jin et al., 2015; Jin et al., 2016; Solmon et al., 32 2015; Kim et al., 2016; Sharma and Miller, 2017) monsoonal precipitation. During severe 33 droughts in North America, there is a positive feedback between dust and the 34 hydrological cycle (Cook et al., 2008, 2009; 2013). African dust is also found to affect 35 Atlantic tropical cyclone activities (e.g., Dunion and Velden, 2004; Wong and Dessler, 36 2005; Evan et al., 2006; Strong et al., 2018). When deposited on snow and ice, dust 37 reduces the surface reflectivity, enhancing net radiation and accelerating snow and ice 38 melting, and consequently affecting runoff (e.g., Painter et al., 2010; 2018; Dumont et al., 39 2014). Dust can serve as ice nuclei and affect the formation, lifetime, and characteristic 40 of clouds (e.g., Levin et al., 1996; Rosenfield et al., 1997; Wurzler et al., 2000; Nakajima 41 et al., 2001; Bangert et al., 2012), perturbing the hydrological cycle. Iron and phosphorus 42 enriched dust is also an important nutrient for the marine and terrestrial ecosystems and 43 thus interacts with the ocean and land biogeochemical cycles (e.g., Fung et al., 2000; 44 Jickells et al., 2005; Shao et al., 2011; Bristow et al., 2010; Yu et al., 2015).

45 Given the importance of mineral dust, many climate models incorporate dust 46 emission schemes to simulate the life cycle of dust aerosols (e.g., Donner et al., 2011;

47 Collins et al., 2011; Watanabe et al., 2011; Bentsen et al., 2013). Mineral dust particles 48 are lifted from dry and bare soils into the atmosphere by saltation and sandblasting. This 49 process is initiated when surface winds reach a threshold velocity of wind erosion. The 50 value of this wind erosion threshold depends on soil and surface characteristics, including 51 soil moisture, soil texture and particle size, and presence of pebbles, rocks, and 52 vegetation residue (e.g., Gillette et al., 1980; Gillette and Passi, 1988; Raupach et al., 1993; Fécan et al., 1999; Zender et al., 2003; Mahowald et al., 2005), and thus varies 53 54 spatially and temporally (Helgren and Prospero, 1987). Due to a lack of in-situ data at 55 global scale and uncertainties on these dependencies, most dust and climate models 56 prescribe a spatially and temporally constant threshold of wind erosion for surface 10 m wind (e.g., around 6 to 6.5 m s⁻¹) over dry surface for simplicity (e.g., Tegen and Fung, 57 58 1994; Takemura et al., 2000; Uno et al., 2001; Donner et al., 2011). For instance, in the 59 Geophysical Fluid Dynamics Laboratory coupled land-atmosphere model AM4.0/LM4.0 (Zhao et al., 2018a, b), a constant threshold of 6 m s⁻¹ is used. On the other hand, some 60 61 models, such as the ECHAM-HAM, HadGEM2-ES, and ICON-ART, parameterize the 62 constant dry threshold friction velocity (usually a function of soil particle size, soil and 63 air density) or threshold wind velocity with dependencies on soil moisture, surface roughness length, and vegetation coverage (e.g., Takemura et al. 2000; Ginoux et al. 64 65 2001; Zender et al. 2003; Cheng et al., 2008; Jones et al., 2011; Rieger et al., 2017). 66 The threshold of wind erosion may be approximately inferred using observations.

For instance, Chomette et al. (1999) used the Infrared Difference Dust Index (IDDI) and
10 m winds reanalysis from the European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts
(ECMWF) between 1990 and 1992 to calculate the threshold of wind erosion over seven

sites over the Sahel and Sahara. The IDDI was used to determine whether there was a dust event for subsequently calculating an emission index defined as the number of dust events to the total number of potential events. The distribution of surface wind speed was matched with the emission index, and the threshold of wind erosion was determined when the emission index was around 0.9. The resulting average threshold of wind erosion ranged from 6.63 m s⁻¹ at a Sahelian site to about 9.08 m s⁻¹ at a Niger site, consistent with the model results by Marticorena et al. (1997).

77 Later, Kurosaki and Mikami (2007) used World Meteorological Organization 78 (WMO) station data from March 1998 to June 2005 to examine the threshold wind speed 79 in East Asia. Using the distribution of surface wind speed and associated weather 80 conditions (i.e., with or without dust emission events), they approximated a dust emission 81 frequency by dividing number of dust events to the total number of observations for each 82 wind bin, and then determined threshold wind speeds at the 5% and 50% levels, 83 corresponding to the most favorable and normal land surface conditions for dust 84 emission, respectively. They found that the derived threshold wind speed varied in space 85 and time, with a larger seasonal cycle in grassland regions, such as northern Mongolia, 86 and smaller seasonal variations in desert regions, such as the Taklimakan and Gobi Deserts and the Loess Plateau. Cowie et al. (2014) applied a similar method over 87 88 northern Africa, using wind data observed between 1984 and 2012, and focused on 89 threshold winds at the 25%, 50%, and 75% levels.

Draxler et al. (2010) derived the distribution of threshold of friction velocity over
the U.S. by matching the frequency of occurrence (FoO) of Moderate Resolution Imaging
Spectroradiometer (MODIS) Deep Blue (Hsu et al., 2004) aerosol optical depth (AOD)

93 above 0.75 with the FoO of friction velocities extracted from the North American 94 Mesoscale (NAM) forecast model at each grid point. This new threshold and a soil 95 characteristics factor was then incorporated into the Hybrid Single-Particle Lagrangian 96 Integrated Trajectory (HYSPLIT) model (Draxier and Hess, 1998) to forecast dust 97 surface concentrations. It was found that major observed dust plume events in June and 98 July 2007 were successfully captured by the model. Later, Ginoux and Deroubaix (2017) 99 used FoO derived from the MODIS Deep Blue dust optical depth (DOD) record to 100 retrieve the wind erosion threshold of surface 10 m winds over East Asia.

101 For individual dust events, the threshold of friction velocity can also be 102 determined by fitting a second-order Taylor series to dust saltation flux measurements 103 (Barchyn and Hugenholtz, 2011; Kok et al., 2014b).

104 Nonetheless, a global distribution of threshold of wind erosion with observational 105 constraints that may be implemented in climate models is still lacking. In this study, we 106 propose a method to retrieve monthly global threshold of wind erosion (hereafter, 107 $V_{threshold}$) for dry and sparsely-vegetated surface (i.e., under favorable conditions for dust 108 emission) using high-resolution satellite products and reanalysis datasets. This two-109 dimensional threshold of surface 10 m winds is then implemented into the Geophysical 110 Fluid Dynamics Laboratory (GFDL) coupled land-atmosphere model, AM4.0/LM4.0 111 (Zhao et al., 2018a, b). The benefits of using this spatial and temporal varying threshold 112 in simulating present-day climatology and seasonal cycles of dust are analyzed by 113 comparing the model results with observations.

114 The data and method used to retrieve the threshold of wind erosion are detailed in 115 section 2. The distribution of the derived $V_{threshold}$ and its implication in the climate model

116	is presented in section 3. Section 4 discusses the uncertainties associated with this
117	method, and major conclusions are summarized in section 5.
118	
119	2. Data and Methodology
120	In this section we first introduce the satellite products, observational data, and
121	reanalyses used to retrieve the threshold of wind erosion and validate model output
122	(section 2.1). The processes to retrieve the threshold of wind erosion are detailed in
123	section 2.2. The uncertainties of $V_{threshold}$ associated with the retrieval criteria and
124	selection of surface wind datasets are discussed in section 2.3. Section 2.4 introduces
125	GFDL AM4.0/LM4.0 model, its dust emission scheme, and simulation designs.
126	
127	2.1 Data
128	2.1.1 Satellite products
129	1) MODIS Aqua and Terra dust optical depth
130	DOD is column-integrated extinction by mineral particles. Here daily DOD is
131	retrieved from MODIS Deep Blue aerosol products (collection 6, level 2; Hsu et al.,
132	2013; Sayer et al., 2013): aerosol optical depth (AOD), single-scattering albedo (ω), and
133	the Ångström exponent (a). All the daily variables are first interpolated to a 0.1° by 0.1°
134	grid using the algorithm described by Ginoux et al. (2010). We require that the single-
135	scattering albedo at 470 nm to be less than 0.99 for dust due to its absorption of solar
136	radiation. This separates dust from scattering aerosols, such as sea salt. Then a continuous
137	function relating the Ångström exponent, which is highly sensitive to particle size (Eck et

al., 1999), to fine-mode AOD established by Anderson et al. (2005; their Eq. 5) is used toseparate dust from fine particles. In short, DOD is retrieved using the following equation:

140 DOD= AOD ×
$$(0.98-0.5089\alpha+0.0512\alpha^2)$$
 . (1)

Details about the retrieval process and estimated errors are summarized by Pu and Ginoux (2018b). High-resolution MODIS DOD products (0.1° by 0.1°) have been used to identify and characterize dust sources (Ginoux et al., 2012; Baddock et al., 2016) and examine the variations in dustiness in different regions (e.g., Pu and Ginoux, 2016, 2017, 2018b).

146 Following the recommendation from Baddock et al. (2016), who found the 147 dust sources are better detected using DOD with a low-quality flag (i.e., quality assurance 148 flag, QA, equals 1, following the category of retrieval quality flags in MODIS Deep Blue 149 products; Hsu et al., 2013) than that with a high-quality flag (i.e., QA=3) as retrieved 150 aerosol products were poorly flagged over dust source regions, we also use DOD with the 151 flag of QA=1. Both daily DOD retrieved from Aqua and Terra platforms are used by 152 averaging the two when both products are available or using either one when only one 153 product is available. Since Terra passes the equator from north to south around 10:30 am 154 local time (LT) and Aqua passes the Equator from south to north around 13:30 pm LT, an 155 average of the two combines the information from both morning and afternoon hours. 156 This process also largely reduces missing data (Pu and Ginoux, 2018b). This combined 157 daily DOD, hereafter MODIS DOD, is available from January 2003 to December 2015 at 158 a resolution of 0.1° by 0.1° grid. Note that due to the temporal coverage of MODIS 159 products, the diurnal variations in dust (e.g., O'rgill and Sehmel, 1976; Mbourou et al., 160 1997; Knippertz, 2008; Schepanski et al., 2009) are not included in current study.

161 2) Soil moisture

162 Soil moisture is an important factor that affects dust emission (Fécan et al., 1999). 163 Daily surface volumetric soil moisture (VSM) retrievals derived from similar calibrated 164 microwave (10.7 GHz) brightness temperature observations from the Advanced 165 Microwave Scanning Radiometer-Earth Observing System (AMSR-E) onboard the 166 NASA Aqua satellite (from June 2002 to October 2011) and the Advanced Microwave 167 Scanning Radiometer 2 (AMSR2) sensor onboard the JAXA GCOM-W1 satellite (from 168 July 2012 to June 2017) from the University of Montana (Du et al., 2017a; Du et al., 169 2017b) was used to retrieve wind erosion threshold. Both AMSR-E and AMSR2 sensors 170 provide global measurements of polarized microwave emissions at six channels, with 171 ascending and descending orbits crossing the equator at around 1:30 pm and 1:30 am LT, 172 respectively. The VSM retrievals are derived from an iterative retrieval algorithm that 173 exploits the variable sensitivity of different microwave frequencies and polarizations, and 174 minimizes the potential influence of atmosphere, vegetation, and surface water cover on 175 the soil signal. The VSM record represents surface (top ~ 2 cm) soil conditions and shows 176 favorable global accuracy and consistent performance (Du et al. 2017b), particularly over 177 areas with low to moderate vegetation cover that are also more susceptible to wind 178 erosion, although cautions are needed when examining long-term trends due to the small 179 biases between AMSR-E and AMSR2. The horizontal resolution of the product is about 180 25 km by 25 km, and the daily product from January 2003 to December 2015 is used. 181 The ascending and descending obit VSM retrievals are averaged to get the mean VSM for 182 each day.

184 3) Snow cover

185 Snow cover may affect dust emission in the mid-latitudes during spring, for 186 instance, over northern China (Ginoux and Deroubaix, 2017). The interannual variation 187 of snow cover is also found to affect dust emission in regions, such as Mongolia 188 (Kurosaki and Mikami, 2004). Here monthly snow cover data from MODIS/Terra level 189 3 data (Hall and Riggs, 2015) with a resolution of 0.05° by 0.05° from 2003 to 2015 is 190 used. The high spatial resolution of the product is very suitable for this study.

191

192 4) Leaf area index (LAI)

193 Vegetation protects soil from the effects of wind and thus modulates dust 194 emission (e.g., Marticorena and Bergametti, 1995; Zender et al., 2003). While dense 195 vegetation coverage can increase surface roughness and reduce near surface wind speed, 196 the roots of vegetation can increase soil cohesion and further reduce wind erosion. LAI 197 describes the coverage of vegetation with a unit of m^2/m^2 , i.e., leaf area per ground area. 198 Here monthly LAI retrieved by Boston University from MODIS onboard Aqua (Yan et 199 al., 2016a; Yan et al., 2016b; via personal communication with Ranga Myneni and Taejin 200 Park; Boston University, 2016) with a resolution of 0.1° by 0.1° from 2003 to 2015 is 201 used. The root mean square error of the product is 0.66, with some overestimation of LAI 202 in sparsely vegetated regions (Yan et al. 2016b; Garrigues et al., 2008).

203

204 2.1.2 Reanalysis

Surface wind speed is a critical factor that affects wind erosion. Here 6-hourly 10
m wind speed from the NCEP/NCAR reanalysis (Kalnay et al., 1996, hereafter NCEP1)

on a T62 Gaussian grid (i.e., 192 longitude grids equally spaced and 94 latitude grids
unequally spaced) is used. The NCEP1 is a global reanalysis with relatively long
temporal coverage, from 1948 to the present. We chose to use the NCEP1 reanalysis
mainly because surface winds in the GFDL AM4.0 model are nudged toward the NCEP1,
and we preferred to use the reanalysis surface wind that is closest to the model
climatology.

ERA-Interim (Dee et al., 2011) is a global reanalysis produced from ECMWF. It provides high spatial resolution (about 0.75° or 80 km) 6-hourly, daily, and monthly reanalysis from 1979 to present day. Soil temperature from the ERA-Interim is used to determine the regions where wind erosion may be prohibited by the frozen surface. Monthly temperature of the first soil layer (0 to 0.07 m) from 2003 to 2015 is used.

In order to quantify the uncertainties of the retrieved threshold wind erosion in association with the selection of reanalysis products, surface 10 m winds from 6-hourly ERA-Interim and hourly ERA5 (Hersbach and Dee, 2016) are both examined. The ERA5 is the latest reanalysis product from the ECMWF, with a horizontal resolution of about 31 km and hourly temporal resolution.

223

224 **2.1.3 Station data**

225 Multiple ground-based datasets are used to validate AM4.0/LM4.0 simulated 226 aerosol and dust optical depth and surface dust concentrations.

227

228 1) AERONET

229 The AErosol RObotic NETwork (AERONET; Holben et al., 1998) provides 230 quality assured cloud-screened (level 2) aerosol measurements from sunphotometer 231 records. In this paper we used the data products of the version 3.0 AERONET processing 232 routine. To examine model simulated DOD, we used coarse mode AOD (COD; i.e., 233 radius $> 0.6 \,\mu\text{m}$) at 500 nm processed by the Spectral Deconvolution Algorithm (O'Neill 234 et al., 2003; hereafter SDA). SDA COD monthly data is first screened to remove those 235 months with less than five days of records. To get the annual means, years with less than 236 five months of records were removed. Only stations with records of at least three years 237 during the period were used to calculate the 2003-2015 climatology (the same time 238 period when MODIS DOD is available). Overall, records from 313 stations were 239 obtained.

240 AERONET monthly aerosol optical thickness (AOT) data around 550 nm (e.g., 241 500 nm, 551 nm, 531 nm, 440 nm, 675 nm, 490 nm, 870 nm, etc.) and the Ångström 242 exponents across the dual wavelength of 440-675 nm, 440-870 nm, and 500-870 nm are 243 used to calculate AOD at 550 nm (τ_{550}). If AOT for 551 nm, 555 nm, 531 nm or 532 nm 244 exist, then these values are directly used as AOD 550 nm. Otherwise, the AOT at 245 wavelength λ_A (less than 550 nm), i.e., τ_A , AOT at wavelength λ_B (larger than 550 nm), i.e., τ_B , and Ångström exponent between wavelengths λ_A and λ_B (α) are used to derive 246 247 AOD 550 nm using the following equations:

248
$$\tau_{550} = \tau_A \left(\frac{550}{\lambda_A}\right)^{-\alpha} \qquad \text{if } \tau_A \text{ is available}, \qquad (2)$$

249

250
$$au_{550} = \tau_B \left(\frac{550}{\lambda_B}\right)^{-\alpha}$$
 if τ_B is available. (3)

In a manner similar to the process of screening SDA COD data, monthly AOD 550 nm data with less than three days of records in a given month are removed. When calculating the annual means we excluded years having less than five months of records. Finally, to calculate the climatology of 2003-2015, only stations with at least three years of records during this period are used totaling to 351.

257 We also developed a method to derive DOD at 550 nm from AOD at 550 nm 258 based on the relationship between Ångström exponent and fine-mode AOD established 259 by Anderson et al. (2005; their Eq. 5). This adds a few more sites over the Sahel than the 260 SDA COD stations. DOD is calculated by subtracting the fine-mode AOD from the total 261 AOD. Due to the large uncertainties of single scattering albedo in AERONET records 262 over regions where AOD is lower than 0.4 (e.g., Dubovik and King, 2000; Holben et al., 263 2006; Andrews et al., 2017), we did not use single scattering albedo to screen AOD to 264 further separate dust from scattering aerosols. Therefore, the derived AERONET DOD 265 over coastal stations may be contaminated by sea salt.

266

267 2) RSMAS surface dust concentration

The Rosenstiel School of Marine and Atmospheric Science (hereafter RSMAS dataset) at University of Miami collected mass concentration of dust, sea salt, and sulfate over stations globally, with most of stations on islands (Savoie and Prospero, 1989). The dataset has been widely used for model evaluation (e.g., Ginoux et al., 2001; Huneeus et al., 2011).

273 Only stations with records longer than four years were used and of those stations 274 only those years with at least eight months of data are used for calculating climatological

annual means. So, totally 16 stations are used. Station names, locations, and record length are listed in Table S1 of the Supplement. We compare the climatology of annual mean surface dust concentration with model output during 2000-2015. Note that since most station records end earlier than 1998, the dataset largely represents the climatology during the 1980s and 1990s. Thus the discrepancies between model output and the RSMAS data include both model biases and the difference in surface dust concentration from the 1980s to the 2000s.

282

283 3) IMPROVE surface fine dust concentration

284 The Interagency Monitoring of Protected Visual Environments (IMPROVE) 285 network has collected near-surface particulate matter 2.5 (PM_{2.5}) samples in the U.S. 286 since 1988 (Malm et al., 1994; Hand et al., 2011). IMPROVE stations are located in 287 national parks and wilderness areas, and PM_{2.5} sampling is performed twice weekly 288 (Wednesday and Saturday; Malm et al., 1994) prior to 2000 and every third day 289 afterwards. Fine dust (with aerodynamic diameter less than 2.5 µm) concentration is 290 calculated using the concentrations of aluminum (Al), silicon (Si), calcium (Ca), iron 291 (Fe), and titanium (Ti) by assuming oxide norms associated with predominant soil 292 species (Malm et al., 1994; their Eq. 5). This dataset has been widely used to study 293 variations in surface fine dust in the U.S. (e.g., Hand et al., 2016; Hand et al., 2017, Tong 294 et al., 2017; Pu and Ginoux, 2018a). Here only monthly data with at least 50% of daily 295 data available in a month (i.e., at least 5 records) are used. Since station coverage over the 296 central U.S. increases after 2002 (e.g., Pu and Ginoux, 2018a), monthly station data from 297 2002 to 2015 are used and interpolated to a 0.5° by 0.5° grid using inverse distance weighting interpolation. The gridded data are used to evaluate modeled surface fine dustconcentrations.

300

301 4) LISA PM₁₀ surface concentration

302 Surface PM_{10} concentration from stations from the Sahelian Dust Transect, which 303 was deployed in 2006 under the framework of African Monsoon Multidisciplinary 304 Analysis International Program (Marticorena et al., 2010), were used to examine the 305 surface dust concentration over the Sahelian region. The data are maintained by 306 Laboratoire Interuniversitaire des Systèmes Atmosphériques (LISA) in the framework of 307 the International Network to study Deposition and Atmospheric composition in Africa 308 (INDAAF; Service National d'Observation de l'Institut National des Sciences de 309 l'Univers, France). Three stations are located within the pathway of Saharan and Sahelian 310 dust plumes moving towards the Atlantic Ocean. Here hourly PM₁₀ concentrations from 311 these stations, Banizoumbou (Niger, 13.54° N, 2.66° E), Cinzana (Mali, 13.28° N, 5.93° 312 W), and M'Bour (Senegal, 14.39° N, 16.96° W), from 2006 to 2014 are used. The hourly 313 station data are averaged to obtain daily and monthly mean records to compare with 314 model output.

315

316 **2.1.4 Other data**

Soil depth from the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations
(FAO/IIASA/ISRIC/ISS-CAS/JRC, 2009) on a 0.08° by 0.08° resolution is used to
examine whether the soil depth is too shallow (i.e., less than 15 cm) for wind erosion.

321 **2.2** Retrieving threshold of wind erosion

The monthly climatological threshold of wind erosion is retrieved by matching the frequency distribution of the MODIS DOD at certain level, namely, DOD_{thresh} , with the frequency distribution of surface 10 m winds from the NCEP1 reanalysis over the period from 2003 to 2015. The process can be summarized by the following steps:

326 Step1: Since dust is emitted from the dry and sparsely-vegetated surface, the daily 327 DOD data is first masked out to remove the influences of non-erodible factors and 328 unfavorable environmental conditions that are known to prevent dust emission using criteria as follows: daily VSM less than 0.1 cm³ cm⁻³; monthly LAI less than 0.3; 329 330 monthly snow cover less than 0.2% (since snow cover percentage is round-up to integer 331 in MODIS product, this criterion actually requires no snow cover); monthly top-layer soil 332 temperature higher than 273.15 K, i.e., over unfrozen surface; and soil depth thicker than 333 15 cm. These criteria approximate the most favorable land surface conditions for wind 334 erosion.

335 Similar criteria have been used in previous studies to detect or confine dust source 336 regions. For instance, Kim et al. (2013) used NDVI less than 0.15, soil depth greater than 337 10 cm, surface temperature greater than 260 K, and without snow cover to mask 338 topography based dust source function. LAI less than 0.3 has been used as a threshold for 339 dust emission in the Community Land Model (Mahowald et al., 2010; Kok et al., 2014a), 340 while gravimetric soil moisture ranging from 1.01 to 11.2 % depending on soil clay content is recommended to constrain dust emission (Fécan et al., 1999). The uncertainties 341 342 associated with small variations in the retrieval criteria are further quantified and 343 discussed in section 2.3.

344 Step 2: Masked daily DOD from Step 1 is then interpolated to a 0.5° by 0.5° grid 345 using bilinear interpolation. This is close to the horizontal resolution of the GFDL 346 AM4.0/LM4.0 model used in this study. Then the cumulative frequency distribution of 347 daily DOD from 2003 to 2015 is derived at each grid point for each month.

348 Step 3: Daily maximum surface wind speed is first derived from 6-hourly NCEP1 349 surface winds and then interpolated to a 0.5° by 0.5° grid. Following Ginoux and 350 Deroubaix (2017), we use maximum daily wind speed instead of daily mean wind speed, 351 largely because dust emission only occur when wind speed is strong enough, and the 352 emission magnitude is roughly proportional to the third power of surface wind speed in 353 empirical estimations. The cumulative frequency distribution of daily maximum surface 354 wind from 2003 to 2015 is then calculated at each grid point for each month.

355 Step 4: A minimum value of DOD (i.e., *DOD*_{thresh}) is used to separate dust events 356 from background dust. The cumulative frequency (in %) of dust events passing this 357 threshold is compared to the cumulative frequency of surface winds. The minimum 358 surface winds with the same frequency correspond to the threshold of wind erosion, 359 $V_{threshold}$ (see a schematic diagram in Figure S1 in the Supplement). This operation is 360 performed for all grid points for each month. Ginoux et al. (2012) used $DOD_{thresh} = 0.2$ to 361 separate dust events from background dust and quantify the FoO of local dust events. 362 Similarly, $DOD_{thresh} = 0.2$ is used here in major dusty regions (North Africa, Middle East, 363 India, northern China), while for less dusty regions, such as the U.S., South America, 364 South Africa, and Australia, $DOD_{thresh} = 0.02$ is used. The reason to use a lower DOD_{thresh} 365 for less dusty regions is because: i) the overall dust emission in these regions are at least 366 ten times smaller than major dusty regions, such as North Africa (e.g., Huneeus et al., 367 2011); ii) the frequency distribution of DOD in these regions also peaks at a much lower 368 DOD band (see discussion in section 3.3). We also tested the $DOD_{thresh} = 0.5$ for dusty 369 regions and $DOD_{thresh} = 0.05$ for less dusty regions, and results are discussed in sections 370 2.3 and 3.1.

371 Figures 1a-e show the seasonal and annual mean FoO (days when DOD is greater 372 than DOD_{thresh}) using $DOD_{thresh} = 0.2$ or 0.02. The shaded area covers major dust sources, 373 and the pattern is very similar to that obtained by Ginoux et al. (2012; their Fig. 5), 374 although there are some differences, largely due to the masked DOD (i.e., from Step 1) 375 used in this study and a lower threshold in less dusty regions. The higher FoO in North 376 Africa during summer in comparison with other seasons is consistent with the summer 377 peak of the frequency of dust source activation derived from the Meteosat Second 378 Generation (MSG) images (Schepanski et al., 2007; their Fig. 1). The relatively high 379 value of FoO over the northern Sahel to southern Sahara is also consistent with dust 380 emission frequency derived from the Meteosat Second Generation Spinning Enhanced 381 Visible and InfraRed Imager (Evan et al., 2015; their Fig. 1).

Note that the selections of masking criteria in Step 1 and DOD_{thresh} in Step 4 are empirical and can add uncertainties to this method. Also, we approximate dust emission using cumulative frequency of DOD, which may overestimate dust emission in regions where the contribution of transported dust is significant and thus underestimate the $V_{threshold}$ in those regions. These uncertainties are further discussed in the following section.

389 2.3 Sensitivities of V_{threshold} to retrieval criteria and the selection of reanalysis surface 390 winds

Table 2 shows variations in derived annual mean V_{threshold} averaged in nine dust 391 392 source regions (see Table 1 for locations) following slight changes of retrieval criteria: 393 soil moisture, LAI, snow coverage, and *DOD*_{thresh}. When the soil moisture threshold is changed from 0.1 to 0.15 cm³ cm⁻³ or without the soil moisture constraint, the variations 394 395 in $V_{threshold}$ are quite small, ranging from 0.01 to about 0.73 m s⁻¹ (Table 2). Similarly, changes of LAI criteria from 0.15 to 0.5 m² m⁻² or snow coverage from 0.2% to 10% 396 slightly change $V_{threshold}$ — within 1 m s⁻¹ over most regions. On the other hand, $V_{threshold}$ 397 398 is quite sensitive to the selection of the DOD_{thresh}. V_{threshold} would increase about 1 to 3 m 399 s⁻¹ if using $DOD_{thresh} = 0.5$ for dusty regions (0.05 for less dusty regions) instead of 400 $DOD_{thresh} = 0.2$ (or 0.02). For instance, using $DOD_{thresh} = 0.5$ increases the averaged annual

401 mean $V_{threshold}$ over the Sahara from 4.6 m s⁻¹ (using $DOD_{thresh}=0.2$) to about 7.6 m s⁻¹. 402 As mentioned earlier, dust event frequency can be overestimated in regions wit

As mentioned earlier, dust event frequency can be overestimated in regions with 403 high ratio of transported dust and consequently $V_{threshold}$ would be underestimated. Here we provide a rough estimation about the influence of transported dust on $V_{threshold}$ over 404 405 North Africa. It is hard to separate local dust emission and transported dust in the column 406 integrated DOD, so we use surface DOD data (sDOD; personal communication with 407 Juliette Paireau), i.e., DOD form surface to about 400 m, to approximate the component 408 of DOD due to local emission. sDOD is derived by using DOD vertical profile from the 409 Cloud-Aerosol Lidar with Orthogonal Polarization (CALIOP; Winker et al., 2004; 410 Winker et al., 2007) to first calculate a ratio of near surface DOD (0~400 m) to total 411 DOD (0~12km) and then multiplying the ratio to daily MODIS Aqua DOD over North 412 Africa from 2003-2014. Using sDOD, $V_{threshold}$ over the Sahel would increase from 3.2 to 413 6.0 m s⁻¹, while over the Sahara, $V_{threshold}$ would increase from 4.6 to 7.7 m s⁻¹ (Table 2, 414 last column).

How $V_{threshold}$ would change when using surface winds from different reanalyses are examined in Table 3. Surface winds from the ERA-Interim produce higher $V_{threshold}$ than the NCEP1 by 0.2 to 2.2 m s⁻¹. Using surface winds from the ERA5 also would increase $V_{threshold}$ by 1 to 1.6 m s⁻¹ over North Africa and about 1.5 m s⁻¹ over Australia but create smaller differences in other regions.

In short, $V_{threshold}$ are less sensitive to small changes in the criteria to define a favorable, dry, and sparsely vegetated land surface condition for wind erosion than the choices of DOD_{thresh} or surface wind speeds from different reanalysis products. Over North Africa, not separating transported dust from total DOD may lead to an underestimation of $V_{threshold}$ up to 3 m s⁻¹ based on a rough estimation. However, due to the large uncertainties in quantifying transported dust and the regional converge of sDOD dataset, we chose not to incorporate the results from sDOD to the global $V_{threshold}$.

427

428 **2.4 Simulation design**

We will examine if the observation-constrained, spatial and temporal varying $V_{threshold}$ would improve dust simulation in the GFDL AM4.0/LM4.0. The AM4.0/LM4.0 is a coupled land-atmosphere model newly developed at GFDL (Zhao et al., 2018a,b). It uses the recent version of the GFDL Finite-Volume Cubed-Sphere dynamical core (FV³; Putman and Lin, 2007), which is developed for weather and climate applications with both hydrostatic and non-hydrostatic options. Some substantial updates have been incorporated into the AM4.0, such as an updated version of the model radiation transfer
code, an alternate topographic gravity wave drag formulation, a double-plume model
representing shallow and deep convection, a "light" chemistry mechanism, and
modulation on aerosol wet removal by convection and frozen precipitation (Zhao et al.,
2018a,b). Here we used a model version with 33 vertical levels (with model top at 1hPa)
and cube-sphere with 192×192 grid boxes per cube face (approximately 50 km grid size).

The aerosol physics is based in large part on that of the GFDL AM3.0 (Donner et al., 2011), but with a simplified chemistry where ozone climatology from AM3.0 simulation (Naik et al., 2013) is prescribed. AM4.0 simulates the mass distribution of five aerosols: sulfate, black carbon, organic carbon, dust, and sea salt. Dust is partitioned into five size bins based on radius: $0.1 \sim 1 \mu m$ (bin 1), $1 \sim 2 \mu m$ (bin 2), $2 \sim 3 \mu m$ (bin 3), $3 \sim 6 \mu m$ (bin 4), and $6 \sim 10 \mu m$ (bin 5). The dust emission scheme follows the parameterization of Ginoux et al. (2001), as shown in the following equation:

448

$$F_p = C \times S \times s_p \times V_{10m^2} (V_{10m} - V_t) \qquad (\text{if } V_{10m} > V_t), \qquad (4)$$

449

450 where F_p is flux of dust of particle size class p, C is a scaling factor with a unit of $\mu g s^2$ 451 m⁻⁵, here C is set to 0.75×10^{-9} . S is the source function based on topographic depressions 452 (Ginoux et al., 2001), s_p is fraction of each size class, and V_{10m} is surface 10 m wind 453 speed, and $V_t = 6 \text{ m s}^{-1}$ is the threshold of wind erosion.

Three simulations with prescribed sea surface temperature (SST) and sea ice (Table 4) were conducted from 1999 to 2015, with the first year discarded for spin up. The Atmospheric Model Intercomparison Project (AMIP)-style SST and sea ice data (Taylor et al., 2000) are from the Program for Climate Model Diagnosis and 458 Intercomparison (PCMDI), which combined HadISST (Rayner et al., 2003) from UK Met 459 Office before 1981 and NCEP Optimum Interpolation (OI) v2 SST (Reynolds et al., 460 2002) afterwards. The surface winds in the simulations are nudged toward the NCEP1 461 reanalysis with a relaxation timescale of 6 hours (Moorthi and Suarez, 1992). Note that 462 the nudged surface winds are actually weaker than the surface wind speed simulated by 463 the standard version of AM4.0/LM4.0 without nudging, so the overall magnitude of dust 464 emission is lower than the standard version. Here we choose not to retune the dust emission scheme but instead test the usage of $V_{threshold}$, which theoretically provides a 465 466 more physics-based way to improve dust simulation. We also choose to keep the tuning 467 factor C (Eq. 4) the same in all simulations to better examine the effects of implementing 468 the newly developed $V_{threshold}$.

469 In the Control run, the default model setting is used for dust emission, with a prescribed 6 m s⁻¹ threshold of wind erosion (cf. Ginoux et al., 2019). In the V_{thresh}12mn 470 471 simulation, the observation based climatological monthly V_{threshold} is used to replace the 472 constant wind erosion threshold. The default source function S in Eq. 4 only allows dust 473 emission over bare ground by masking out regions with vegetation cover. Since LAI 474 masking is already applied in the retrieval of $V_{threshold}$ (i.e., LAI<0.3), we choose to use a 475 source function that is the same as the default source function S but without vegetation 476 masking, i.e., S' (Figure S2 in the supplement). This allows the influence of the spatial 477 and temporal variations in $V_{threshold}$ to be fully examined. The combination of source 478 function S' and $V_{threshold}$ also extends dust source from bare ground to sparsely vegetated 479 area as outlined by V_{threshold}, e.g., over central North America, central India, and part of 480 Australia, and can increase dust emission in these regions. The pattern of extended dust

481 source area largely resembles the vegetated dust source identified by Ginoux et al. (2012; 482 their Fig. 15b) and Kim et al. (2013; their Fig. 9). All the other settings are the same as 483 the Control run. The V_{thresh}Ann simulation is the same as the V_{thresh}12mn but uses the 484 annual mean $V_{threshold}$ for each month. Since the same SST and sea ice are prescribed for 485 all simulations and land use dose not change much during the short duration of 486 simulation, the differences in simulated dynamic vegetation by LM4.0 among the three 487 simulations are actually very small and can be ignored (see Figures S3-4 in the 488 Supplement).

489

490 **3. Results**

491 3.1 Thresholds of wind erosion with $DOD_{thresh} = 0.2$ (or 0.02) and $DOD_{thresh} = 0.5$ (or 492 0.05)

493 Figures 1f-j show the derived threshold of wind erosion for each season and 494 annual mean using $DOD_{thresh} = 0.2$ (or 0.02). The seasonal variations in wind erosion 495 threshold are largely due to the variations in DOD and surface wind frequency 496 distributions that are in turn associated with variations in land surface features, such as 497 soil moisture, soil temperature, snow cover, and vegetation coverage in each month. 498 V_{threshold} is generally lower in MAM and JJA (SON and DJF) for Northern (Southern) 499 Hemisphere dusty regions than in other seasons, consistent with higher FoO in these 500 seasons. *V_{threshold}* values are also lower in regions with a high FoO (Figs. 1a-e).

501 The distributions of $V_{threshold}$ for annual mean (black bars) and dusty seasons 502 (color lines; MAM and JJA for the Northern Hemisphere and SON and DJF for the 503 Southern Hemisphere) for each dust source region (see Fig. 1f and Table 1 for locations)

are shown in Figs. 2a-i. In the Sahel and Sahara, the annual mean V_{threshold} peaks around 4 504 and 4.5-5.5 m s⁻¹, respectively (Figs. 2a-b). This magnitude is lower than indicated from 505 506 previous studies based on station observations in the region, e.g., Helgren and Prospero 507 (1987) found the threshold velocity over eight stations in Northwest Africa ranged from 6.5 to 13 m s⁻¹ during summer in 1974. Chomette et al. (1999) and Marsham et al. (2013) 508 also reported higher wind erosion thresholds around 6-9 m s⁻¹ at individual stations. On 509 510 the other hand, Cowie et al. (2014) found that the annual threshold of wind erosion at the 511 25% level, i.e., when surface condition is favorable for dust emission, can be lower than 6 m s⁻¹ at some sites in the Sahel (their Fig. 5). Several factors may contribute to the 512 513 discrepancies. Firstly, studies suggest that reanalysis datasets may underestimate surface 514 wind speed in spring and for monsoon days in Africa (e.g., Largeron et al., 2015), and 515 therefore could lead to a lower value of $V_{threshold}$ than that derived from station 516 observations. In fact, Bergametti et al. (2017) found even 3-hourly wind speed record at 517 stations may miss short events with high wind speed. As shown in Table 3, among the 518 reanalysis wind products tested here, the NCEP1 actually produced a lower $V_{threshold}$ in 519 North Africa than the other two reanalyses. Secondly, using DOD frequency to 520 approximate dust emission may lead to an overestimation of dust emission over regions 521 such as the southern Sahel where transported dust is a large component and consequently 522 an underestimation of V_{threshold}. Based on our rough estimation, V_{threshold} in North Africa can be underestimated by up to 3 m s⁻¹ (section 2.3). In addition, different analysis time 523 524 periods or methods to retrieve the wind erosion threshold may also contribute to the 525 differences.

The annual mean V_{threshold} in Arabian Peninsula is a bit higher, with mean values 526 at 5.2 m s⁻¹ (Fig. 2c). The $V_{threshold}$ over northern China is even higher, with an annual 527 528 mean of 7.8 m s⁻¹. This is consistent with the results of Kurosaki and Mikami (2007), 529 who found that under favorable land surface conditions the threshold wind speed ranges from 4.4 ± 0.6 m s⁻¹ in Taklimakan Desert to 6.9 ± 1.2 m s⁻¹ over the Loess Plateau and 530 around 9.8 ± 1.6 m s⁻¹ in the Gobi Desert. These values are also consistent with Ginoux 531 532 and Deroubaix (2017) who found that regional mean wind erosion threshold over 533 northern China ranges from 6.5 to 9.1 m s⁻¹. In India, the $V_{threshold}$ peaks at about 4.5 m s⁻¹ ¹ and 6.5 m s⁻¹, respectively (Fig. 2e). The second peak is probably related to 534 535 anthropogenic dust sources over the central Indian subcontinent (Ginoux et al., 2012). 536 We also note that in the Northern Hemisphere, the $V_{threshold}$ in dusty seasons is shifted towards lower values than the annual mean (blue and green lines in Figs. 2a-f), but is 537 538 similar to the annual mean in the Southern Hemisphere (especially South America and 539 Australia), indicating stronger influences of surface variability in the Northern 540 Hemisphere.

Fig. 3 shows the seasonal mean and annual mean global V_{threshold} using DOD_{thresh} 541 =0.5 (or 0.05). The corresponding distribution of annual mean $V_{threshold}$ in each region is 542 543 shown in Figure S5 in the Supplement. The derived $V_{threshold}$ is generally higher than using DOD_{thresh} =0.2 (or 0.02), especially over North Africa, the Arabian Peninsula, 544 545 India, and Asia (Fig. 3 and Table 2). The results are thus closer to previous station based studies over North Africa. On the other hand, over northern China, V_{threshold} is around or 546 greater than 8 m s⁻¹ (Fig. 3e), slighter higher than previous estimates (e.g., Kurosaki and 547 548 Mikami 2007; Ginoux and Deroubaix 2017).

In the following section, we will exam if the spatial and temporal varying $V_{threshold}$ would improve model simulation of DOD spatial pattern, seasonal variations, frequency distribution and surface dust concentrations in the GFDL AM4.0/LM4.0. Results using $V_{threshold}$ with $DOD_{thresh} = 0.2$ (or 0.02) are shown in sections 3.2 to 3.3 and results using $V_{threshold}$ with $DOD_{thresh} = 0.5$ (or 0.05) are briefly discussed in section 4.

554

555 **3.2** *V*_{threshold} in the GFDL AM4.0/LM4.0 model

In this section we analyze the model output using the default setting (Control; Table 4), 12-month ($V_{thresh}12mn$), and annual mean $V_{threshold}$ ($V_{thresh}Ann$) by comparing model results with multiple observational datasets and MODIS DOD.

559

560 3.2.1 Climatology of AOD and DOD

561 In order to compare the model results with observations, we first show the 562 climatology of AERONET AOD and COD from 2003 to 2015. The length of records for 563 each station is shown in Figure S6 in the Supplement. As shown in Figure 4, annual mean 564 global AOD is highest over Africa, the Arabian Peninsula, Indian subcontinent, and 565 Southeast Asia. In the latter two regions, high sulfate concentrations (e.g., Ginoux et al., 566 2006) and organic carbon from biomass burning in Southeast Asia (e.g., Lin et al., 2014) 567 contribute substantially to the total AOD. The SDA COD shows the optical depth due to 568 coarse aerosols, which includes both dust and sea salt, and sea salt over coastal regions or 569 islands can be a major contributor. Here, high values (>0.2) are largely located over 570 dusty regions such as North Africa, the Arabian Peninsula, and northern India (Fig. 4b).

571 Figures 5a-b show the scatter plots of modeled AOD and COD in the Control run 572 versus AERONET AOD and COD, respectively. Here column-integrated extinction from 573 both dust and sea salt is used to calculated COD in the model. The relative differences 574 (%) between AM4.0 output and AERONET station data are also shown (Figs. 5c-d). The 575 percentage of DOD to total COD in the model is displayed at the bottom (Fig. 5e). The 576 simulated AOD is lower than that from the AERONET over North Africa, the Middle 577 East, and western India, largely due to low values of COD simulated in these regions 578 (Fig. 5d). Besides these regions, the COD over North America, South America, South 579 Africa, and northern Eurasia is also, for the most part, underestimated by the model. Dust 580 is the dominant contributor to the COD value over most of these low COD regions, 581 except over the central to eastern North America and central South America (Fig. 5e).

582 COD (and effectively DOD given its dominance in most regions) was better 583 simulated in the subsequent model run using a prescribed 12-month $V_{threshold}$ in terms of 584 both magnitude and spatial pattern. Figure 6 shows the results from the V_{thresh}12mn 585 simulation. COD is better captured while the AOD effectively moves from a negative to a 586 slightly positive bias (Figs. 6a-d). Most sites over North Africa and the Middle East show 587 a relatively small difference with AERONET COD (Fig. 6d). Over the Indian 588 subcontinent, COD is overestimated, while over North America excluding the east coast, 589 northern Eurasia, and part of South America, COD is also better captured than in the 590 Control run.

591 These improvements are largely associated with a better simulation of DOD in the 592 "dust belt" (i.e., North Africa and the Middle East). Figure 7 shows the DOD at 550 nm 593 derived from AERONET AOD (see methodology for details) versus that from the

594 $V_{thresh}12mn$ simulation. Over most stations in the Sahel, Mediterranean coasts, and 595 central Middle East, the relative differences between modeled and observed DOD is 596 within $\pm 25\%$.

597 Figure 8 shows the regional averaged annual mean DOD over nine dusty regions 598 from MODIS and three simulations. The Control run largely underestimates DOD in all 599 regions, while the magnitude of DOD is better captured in the V_{thresh}12mn and V_{thresh}Ann 600 simulations, although slightly overestimated in the Sahel and greatly overestimated over 601 Australia. In general, DOD simulated by the V_{thresh}Ann run using a constant annual mean 602 $V_{threshold}$ is higher than that simulated by the V_{thresh}12mn run, consistent with the higher 603 dust emission in the V_{thresh}Ann run (Table S2 in the Supplement). Lack of soil moisture 604 constraint in the model, which is a very important element in capturing the variation of 605 DOD in Australia (Evans et al., 2016), may contribute to the large overestimation of 606 DOD in Australia.

607

608 **3.2.2 Climatology of surface dust concentration**

609 While DOD is a key parameter associated with the climate impact of dust, surface 610 dust concentration is an important factor affecting local air quality. Here we compare the 611 modeled surface dust concentration with RSMAS station observations. Model output is 612 averaged from 2000 to 2015 to form the annual climatology. Consistent with the DOD 613 output, the Control run largely underestimates surface dust concentrations at almost all of 614 the sites (except sites 9 and 15; Figure 9 top panel). The underestimation is reduced in the 615 V_{thresh}Ann simulation (Fig. 9, middle panel), with seven stations having 616 model/observation ratios between 0.5 and 2 (white triangles). Over the coastal U.S. (e.g.,

617 sites 16 and 13), dust concentrations are overestimated, consistent with the 618 overestimation of DOD over the U.S. and the Sahel (Fig. 8). Dust concentrations in 619 Australia and the east coast of China are also overestimated by more than five-folds. 620 Surface dust concentration is further improved in the $V_{thresh}12mn$ simulation (Fig. 9, 621 bottom), with eight stations showing a model/observation ratio between 0.5 and 2 and 622 only four stations overestimating or underestimating dust concentrations by more than 623 five times.

624 Simulated surface fine dust concentration (calculated as dust bin 1+0.25×dust bin 625 2) in the U.S. is compared with gridded IMPROVE data (Figure 10). While the Control 626 run largely underestimates surface fine dust concentration, the simulated concentration is 627 overall too high in the V_{thresh}Ann run. The spatial pattern of fine dust concentration is 628 better captured in the V_{thresh}12mn run, with higher values over the southwestern U.S., but 629 the magnitude is still overestimated, and additional dust hot spots are simulated over the 630 northern Great plains and the Midwest, which are not shown in the IMPROVE data. Such 631 an overall overestimation may be attributed to lack of soil moisture modulation in the 632 dust emission scheme. The way in which dust bins are partitioned in the model can add 633 uncertainties to model's representation of surface fine dust concentrations as well. On 634 the other hand, the relatively low spatial coverage of IMPROVE sites over the northern 635 Great Plains and Midwest (e.g., Pu and Ginoux, 2018a) may also add uncertainties to the 636 data itself.

637 **3.2.3 Seasonal cycles**

Figure 11 compares the seasonal cycle of DOD from three simulations withMODIS DOD in nine dusty regions. The seasonal cycle of gridded AERONET COD (as

640 an approximation to DOD; on a 0.5° by 0.5° grid) is also shown. Since the gridded COD 641 may have large uncertainties over regions with only a few stations, such as the Sahel, 642 Sahara, northern China, and South Africa, MODIS DOD is used as the main reference in 643 the comparison. Seasonal cycles are better captured by the V_{thresh}12mn simulation in the 644 Sahel, the Sahara, and the Arabian Peninsula (Figs. 11a-c), although the spring and 645 summer peak in the Sahel is overestimated and winter minimum in the Sahara is 646 underestimated. The MAM peak of MODIS DOD in northern China is missed by both 647 V_{thresh}12mn and V_{thresh}Ann simulations (Fig. 11d), while the JJA peak over India is 648 largely overestimated (Fig. 11e). Over the U.S. dusty region, the seasonal cycle in the 649 V_{thresh}12mn simulation is slightly underestimated compared to MODIS DOD but 650 overestimated from May to August in the V_{thresh}Ann simulation (Fig. 11f). DOD is 651 underestimated in South Africa in all three simulations (Fig. 11g). Over South America, 652 the peak from October to February is roughly captured by the V_{thresh}12mn run but is 653 overestimated by the V_{thresh}Ann run (Fig. 11h). The seasonal cycles of DOD in Australia 654 are very similar in all three simulations and largely resemble that in the MODIS, although 655 both the V_{thresh}12mn and V_{thresh}Ann simulations overestimate the DOD by about an order 656 of magnitude.

Figure 12 shows the seasonal cycle of COD from 12 AERONET SDA sites over North Africa and nearby islands (see Figure S7 in the Supplement for site locations) along with MODIS DOD and DOD simulated in three runs. The magnitude of AERONET COD and MODIS DOD in these sites are very similar, despite missing values at sites 1, 4, 5, 8, 11, and a smaller value at site 2 in MODIS. Over most of the sites, the seasonal cycle is better captured in the V_{thresh}12mn and V_{thresh}Ann simulations than the

663 Control run, although the peak over Cairo_EMA_2 (site 12) is slightly underestimated, 664 which is consistent with the underestimation of annual mean DOD in the area (Fig. 7).

665 We also examined the seasonal cycle of PM_{10} surface concentration at three 666 Sahelian INDAAF stations (see Figure S7 in the Supplement for site locations) from the 667 LISA project. Figures 13a-c show PM₁₀ surface dust concentration (here dust dominates 668 total PM₁₀ concentration) from the Control, V_{thresh}12mn, and V_{thresh}Ann simulations 669 versus observed PM_{10} concentration from three LISA sites. PM_{10} concentrations in these 670 sites peak during boreal winter and spring and reach minima from July to September. 671 These seasonal variations are associated with the dry northerly Harmattan wind in boreal 672 winter and spring that transports Saharan dust southward to the Guinean coast and the 673 scavenging effect of monsoonal rainfall in boreal summer that removes surface dust 674 (Marticorena et al., 2010; Fiedler et al., 2015). While the Control run does not capture the seasonal cycles in these sites, the V_{thresh}12mn run largely captures the spring peak and 675 676 summer minimum, although the magnitude is overestimated. In all three sites, the 677 simulated concentration in the V_{thresh}Ann run is larger than that in the V_{thresh}12mn run, 678 especially in boreal fall to early spring. Such an overestimation is probably due to the 679 prescribed constant annual mean V_{threshold}, which is lower than it would be during the less 680 dusty season (i.e., boreal fall to winter) and thus increases dust emission and surface 681 concentration.

Figs. 13d-f show the seasonal cycle of DOD from three AERONET sites colocated with LISA INDAAF stations and from three simulations. The $V_{thresh}12mn$ and $V_{thresh}Ann$ simulations largely captured the seasonal cycle of DOD at these sites. The overestimation of near surface PM₁₀ dust concentration (Figs. 13a-c) and the generally well-captured column integrated DOD (Figs. 13d-f) indicate that model likely
underestimates dust concentration in the atmospheric column above the surface, which
needs further investigation in future studies.

689

690 **3.2.4** A dust storm over U.S. northern Great Plains on October 18th, 2012

691 Can the AM4.0/LM4.0 with prescribed $V_{threshold}$ better represent individual dust 692 events? Here we examine a major dust storm captured by MODIS Aqua true color-image 693 on Oct. 18th, 2012 (https://earthobservatory.nasa.gov/images/79459/dust-storm-in-the-694 great-plains) over the U.S. northern Great Plains. There was a severe drought in 2012 695 with anomalously low precipitation centered over the central U.S. (e.g., Hoerling et al., 696 2014). The dry conditions favored dust storm development when there were intensified 697 surface winds. However, this storm was not predicted by the forecast models, such as the 698 Goddard Earth Observing System version 5 (GEOS-5; Rienecker et al., 2008) and Navy 699 Aerosol Analysis Prediction System (NAAPS; Witek et al., 2007; Reid et al., 2009; 700 Westphal et al., 2009).

701 As shown in Figure 14, MODIS DOD captures this event, with a peak value 702 above 0.5 over southwest Nebraska and northern Kansas on Oct. 18th, 2012. The 703 V_{thresh}12mn run also largely captures this event (Fig. 14 bottom panel), although the 704 Control run totally misses it (not shown). In the model, the dust storm appears in South 705 Dakota and Nebraska on Oct. 17th, 2012, along with the anomalous southwesterly winds. 706 It reaches a maximum on Oct. 18th, in association with intensified anomalous 707 southwesterly winds at the surface and an anomalous low-pressure system at 850 hPa 708 (Figure S8 in the Supplement). Note that the modeled dust storm center is located a bit northeastward compared to the MODIS DOD pattern and it also has greater magnitude and covers a larger area. On Oct. 19th, both the anomalous low-pressure system and surface wind speeds weaken and the dust storm dissipates, with slightly elevated DOD levels over a region extending over the lower Mississippi River basin and the Midwest. This is somewhat consistent with MODIS records, which also shows slightly higher DOD levels over Tennessee and northern Alabama on Oct. 19th, regardless of large area of missing values.

716

717 **3.3** Frequency distribution of DOD in the model versus that from MODIS

718 Figure 15 shows the frequency distribution of regional mean DOD during one 719 dusty season (MAM in the Northern Hemisphere and SON in the Southern Hemisphere) 720 for nine regions. Results from MODIS, the Control, and Vthresh12mn runs are shown in 721 black, blue, and orange lines, respectively. In most dusty regions, such as the Sahara, 722 Sahel, Arabian Peninsula, India, and northern China, MODIS DOD frequency largely 723 peaks between 0.2 to 0.4, while DOD frequency peaks at a much lower level between 724 0.02 to 0.08 in less dusty regions, such as the U.S., South America, South Africa and 725 Australia. This also justifies our selection of DOD_{thresh} of 0.02 (instead of 0.2) in the less 726 dusty regions. The DOD distribution in the Control run is biased low and peaks around 727 0.05 in those dusty regions and between 0 and 0.01 in less dusty regions. The frequency 728 is much better captured in the V_{thresh}12mn run over the Arabian Peninsula and the Sahel, 729 slightly improved but still biased low over the Sahara, northern China, India, and the U.S. 730 The modeled frequency in the V_{thresh}12mn run is biased high in Australia (peaks outside 731 the maximum of x-axis, not shown) and shows little improvement over South Africa and South America. The overall improvement of DOD frequency using the time-varying 2D $V_{threshold}$ occurs mostly over major dusty regions, which is consistent with the improvements in DOD climatology and seasonal cycle in the model simulations.

735

736 **4. Discussion**

737 A global distribution of the threshold of wind erosion is retrieved using high 738 resolution MODIS DOD and land surface constraints from relatively high-resolution 739 satellite products and reanalyses. While this climatological monthly $V_{threshold}$ provides 740 useful information about the spatial and temporal variations in wind erosion threshold, 741 there are some uncertainties associated with it. Here DOD frequency is derived using 742 MODIS and other satellite products, thus the uncertainties in the satellite products are 743 inherited in the derived DOD frequency distribution. Due to the cloud screening 744 processes of MODIS products, dust activities over cloud-covered regions may be 745 underestimated. Also, DOD frequency is derived based on daily observations over a 13-746 year record, so that some variability of dust emission associated with alluvial sediments 747 deposited by seasonal flooding may be not captured. Diurnal variability of dust emission 748 and short-duration events such as haboobs are also not included. Since DOD is a column 749 integrated variable, it includes both local emitted and remotely transported dust. When 750 using DOD frequency distribution to approximate dust emission, it may overestimate dust 751 emission in regions where transported dust is dominated and lead to an underestimation 752 of $V_{threshold}$. Future studies to better quantify the influences of transported dust would 753 further improve quantitative retrieval of V_{threshold},

754 Previous study found that over regions such as North Africa, reanalysis products 755 may underestimate surface wind speed in spring and monsoon seasons but overestimate it 756 during dry nights (e.g., Largeron et al., 2015). This is largely because mechanisms such 757 as density current that can enhance surface wind speed are not parameterized in the 758 atmospheric models to produce the reanalysis products, while coarse spatial and temporal 759 sampling may also contribute to the underestimation of reanalysis wind speeds. The 760 selection of surface winds from different reanalysis products also affects the derived $V_{threshold.}$ Among the three reanalyses examined here, $V_{threshold.}$ derived from the NCEP1 761 762 reanalysis shows slightly lower values than others.

In addition, $V_{threshold}$ is derived by matching the frequency distribution of DOD at certain levels (i.e., DOD_{thresh}) with the frequency distribution of daily maximum wind. An issue is that selecting a value of DOD_{thresh} is quite empirical. The influences of soil properties such as soil cohesion, particle size, and particle compositions on the threshold of wind erosion (e.g., Fécan et al., 1999; Alfaro and Gomes, 2001; Shao, 2001; Kok et al., 2014b) are not explicitly examined here and will need further investigation.

769 The influences of V_{threshold} on AM4.0/LM4.0 results are twofold. On the one hand, 770 it modifies the default constant threshold of wind erosion (V_t in Eq. 4) by allowing spatial 771 and temporal variations of wind erosion threshold over bare ground, i.e., within the 772 domain of default dust source function S (Figs. S9a-e in the Supplement). On the other 773 hand, it slightly extends the potential emission area to sparsely-vegetated regions as 774 outlined by $V_{threshold}$ (Figs. S9f-j in the Supplement). Which effect dominates? Taking the 775 V_{thresh}12mn simulation as an example, Figure S10 shows the differences of dust emission 776 with the Control run. The increase of dust emission in the V_{thresh}12mn simulation (also summarized in Table S2 in the Supplement) is largely associated with the enhanced emission over the bare ground (Figs. S10a-e in the Supplement), mainly over the regions with reduced wind erosion threshold (Figs. S9a-e in the Supplement). The increased emission over sparsely-vegetated area over regions such as the southern Sahel, India, and Australia plays a minor role. This is consistent with Kim et al. (2013), who found global dust emission in the Georgia Institute of Technology–Goddard Ozone Chemistry Aerosol Radiation and Transport (GOCART) model is dominated by emission from bare ground.

The major benefit of using the spatial and temporal varying $V_{threshold}$ is that it improves the simulation of DOD spatial pattern (Figs. 6-7), seasonal cycle (Figs. 11-13), and frequency distribution (Fig. 15) as well as the spatial pattern of surface dust concentrations (Figs. 9-10), which cannot be achieved by simply modifying the global tuning factor (i.e., *C* in Eq. 4) to fit the observations such as surface concentrations or optical depth.

790 The default setting in the Control run produced a relatively low global dust 791 emission (978 Tg yr⁻¹) in comparison with the AeroCom multi-model median (1123 Tg yr⁻¹; Huneeus et al. 2011) or a previous estimation based on MODIS DOD (1223 Tg yr⁻¹; 792 793 Ginoux et al. 2012). So we also conducted a test run (Control II) to increase global dust 794 emission in the Control run to about 1232 Tg yr⁻¹ by enlarging C in Eq. 4. The magnitude 795 of DOD slightly increases, e.g., over the Sahel annual mean increases from 0.07 to 0.09, 796 however, there's no improvement in terms of seasonal cycle or spatial pattern, as 797 expected.

798 We also examined the performance of $V_{threshold}$ using $DOD_{thresh}= 0.5$ (or 0.05) in 799 the AM4.0/LM4.0. Similarly, we conducted simulations with 12-month $V_{threshold}$
800 (Vthresh12mn II) and annual mean Vthreshold (VthreshAnn II), all using the same tuning factor 801 as in the Control II. We found similar improvement in DOD seasonal cycle and weaker 802 improvement in DOD spatial pattern and frequency distribution and surface dust 803 concentrations (except with the IMPROVE data over the U.S. and surface concentrations 804 over the Sahel, where dust concentrations are previously overestimated). This is largely because higher $V_{threshold}$ leads to lower global dust emissions in the VthreshAnn II (1961) 805 806 Tg yr⁻¹) and V_{thresh}12mn II simulations (1705 Tg yr⁻¹) and overall lower DOD. Over 807 Mediterranean coast, Europe, and northern Asia, DOD spatial pattern is not as well 808 captured in the Vthresh12mn II run as in the Vthresh12mn run, likely due to relatively high 809 *V*_{threshold} in these regions.

810

811 **5.** Conclusion

812 While dust aerosols play important roles in the Earth's climate system, large 813 uncertainties exist in modeling its lifecycle (e.g., Huneeus et al., 2011; Pu and Ginoux, 814 2018b). Constant thresholds of wind erosion are widely used in climate models for 815 simplicity. Here, high-resolution MODIS Deep Blue dust optical depth (DOD) and 816 surface wind speeds from the NCEP1 reanalysis, along with other land surface factors 817 that affect wind erosion, such as soil moisture, vegetation cover, snow cover, soil 818 temperature, and soil depth, were used to develop a time-varying two-dimensional 819 climatological threshold of wind erosion, $V_{threshold}$, based on the seasonal variations of 820 DOD and surface wind distribution frequencies. V_{threshold} is generally lower in dusty 821 seasons, i.e., MAM and JJA (SON and DJF) in the Northern (Southern) Hemisphere.

822 The climatological monthly $V_{threshold}$ was then incorporated into the GFDL 823 AM4.0/LM4.0 model to examine the potential benefits relative to the use of a constant 824 threshold. In comparison with the simulation using the default setting of a globally 825 constant threshold of wind erosion (6 m s⁻¹), both the magnitude of DOD and surface dust 826 concentrations are increased and closer to observations. However, different from 827 modifying the global tuning factor (i.e., C in Eq. 4) to increase the overall magnitudes of 828 DOD or surface dust concentrations, we found the spatial and temporal varying $V_{threshold}$ 829 largely improves the simulation of the spatial pattern, seasonal cycle, and frequency 830 distribution of DOD over Northern Hemisphere dusty regions, such as North Africa and 831 the Arabian Peninsula, and slightly improves over India, the western to central U.S., and 832 northern China. The seasonal cycle of DOD are also slightly improved in South America, 833 although change little in South Africa. The incorporation of V_{threshold} leads to an 834 overestimation of DOD in Australia, likely in association with the absence of soil 835 moisture constraints on dust emission in the model.

The spatial pattern of surface dust concentrations is also improved when spatial and temporal varying $V_{threshold}$ is incorporated. The fine dust concentration in the U.S. is also better captured, with the maximum of annual mean largely located over the southwestern U.S., although the magnitude is overestimated.

A constant annual mean $V_{threshold}$ is also tested in the model, and is found to overestimate DOD over dusty seasons in the Arabian Peninsula, U.S., India, Australia, and South America. Surface PM₁₀ concentrations in the Sahel during boreal fall and winter seasons are also largely overestimated with this setting. The results indicate the importance of including the seasonal cycle of $V_{threshold}$ in the model. Using time-varying

845 2D $V_{threshold}$, the model was also able to capture a strong dust storm in the U.S. Great 846 Plains in October 2012, which created deadly accidents, while some dust forecasting 847 models failed to reproduce it.

Finally, this method to retrieve global threshold of wind erosion can be conducted under different resolutions or surface wind reanalsyses or being applied to surface friction velocity datasets to match the resolution/scheme of dust models and may help improve their simulations and forecasting of dust distribution. As discussed in section 4, there are uncertainties associated with this method, and future studies to better quantify the influence of transported dust to overall DOD frequency distribution and incorporating station based surface wind records into the retrieval process will further improve the dataset.

867 *Data availability.* Both the monthly and annual mean $V_{threshold}$ data at a 0.5° by 0.5° 868 resolution in NetCDF format is archived at: <u>https://www.gfdl.noaa.gov/pag-</u> 869 <u>homepage/</u>

870

Author contributions. PG and BP conceived the study. PG processed the MODIS Deep Blue aerosol data and guided model simulations. HG, SM, VN, ES, and MZ assisted with model configurations, while CH, JK, BM, NO, CG, and JP provided guidance on data usage and analysis. BP conducted model simulations, analyzed data and model results, and wrote the paper with contributions from all other co-authors.

876

877 Acknowledgements.

878 This research is supported by NOAA and Princeton University's Cooperative 879 Institute for Climate Science and NASA under grant NNH14ZDA001N-ACMAP and 880 NNH16ZDA001N-MAP. The authors thank Drs. Veronica Chan and Hyeyum Shin for 881 their helpful comments on the early version of this paper and Dr. Sophie Vandenbussche 882 for her valuable suggestions. The helpful comments from two anonymous reviewers and 883 the co-editor improved the paper. We also thank the AERONET program for establishing 884 and maintaining the sunphotometer sites used in this study and the IMPROVE network 885 for the data. IMPROVE is a collaborative association of state, tribal, and federal agencies 886 and international partners. The US Environmental Protection Agency is the primary 887 funding source, with contracting and research support from the National Park Service. 888 The Air Quality Group at the University of California, Davis is the central analytical

- laboratory, with ion analysis provided by Research Triangle Institute, and carbon analysisprovided by Desert Research Institute.
- 891 The AERONET aerosol optical depth data and SDA data are downloaded from
- 892 https://aeronet.gsfc.nasa.gov/new_web/download_all_v3_aod.html (last access: June
- 893 2018; Holben et al. 1998). IMPROVE fine dust data are downloaded from
- 894 http://views.cira.colostate.edu/fed/DataWizard/ (last access: March 2017, Malm et al.,
- 895 1994; Hand et al., 2011). MODIS LAI data may be requested by contacting Dr. Ranga

896 Myneni at Boston University.

- ,10

912 913	Reference
913 914	Alfaro, S. C., and Gomes, L.: Modeling mineral aerosol production by wind erosion:
915	Emission intensities and aerosol size distributions in source areas, J Geophys Res-
916	Atmos, 106, 18075-18084, Doi 10.1029/2000jd900339, 2001.
917	Anderson, T. L., Wu, Y. H., Chu, D. A., Schmid, B., Redemann, J., and Dubovik, O.:
918	Testing the MODIS satellite retrieval of aerosol fine-mode fraction, J Geophys
919	Res-Atmos, 110, 10.1029/2005jd005978, 2005.
920	Andrews, E., Ogren, J. A., Kinne, S., and Samset, B.: Comparison of AOD, AAOD and
921	column single scattering albedo from AERONET retrievals and in situ profiling
922	measurements, Atmos Chem Phys, 17, 6041-6072, 10.5194/acp-17-6041-2017,
923	2017.
924	Baddock, M. C., Ginoux, P., Bullard, J. E., and Gill, T. E.: Do MODIS-defined dust
925	sources have a geomorphological signature?, Geophys Res Lett, 43, 2606-2613,
926	10.1002/2015gl067327, 2016.
927	Bangert, M., Nenes, A., Vogel, B., Vogel, H., Barahona, D., Karydis, V. A., Kumar, P.,
928	Kottmeier, C., and Blahak, U.: Saharan dust event impacts on cloud formation
929	and radiation over Western Europe, Atmos Chem Phys, 12, 4045-4063,
930	10.5194/acp-12-4045-2012, 2012.
931	Barchyn, T. E., and Hugenholtz, C. H.: Comparison of four methods to calculate aeolian
932	sediment transport threshold from field data: Implications for transport prediction
933	and discussion of method evolution, Geomorphology, 129, 190-203,
934	10.1016/j.geomorph.2011.01.022, 2011.

935	Bentsen, M., Bethke, I., Debernard, J. B., Iversen, T., Kirkevag, A., Seland, O., Drange,
936	H., Roelandt, C., Seierstad, I. A., Hoose, C., and Kristjansson, J. E.: The
937	Norwegian Earth System Model, NorESM1-M - Part 1: Description and basic
938	evaluation of the physical climate, Geosci Model Dev, 6, 687-720, 10.5194/gmd-
939	6-687-2013, 2013.

- Bristow, C. S., Hudson-Edwards, K. A., and Chappell, A.: Fertilizing the Amazon and
 equatorial Atlantic with West African dust, Geophys Res Lett, 37,
 10.1029/2010gl043486, 2010.
- Cheng, T., Peng, Y., Feichter, J., and Tegen, I.: An improvement on the dust emission
 scheme in the global aerosol-climate model ECHAM5-HAM, Atmos Chem Phys,
 8, 1105-1117, DOI 10.5194/acp-8-1105-2008, 2008.
- Chomette, O., Legrand, M., and Marticorena, B.: Determination of the wind speed
 threshold for the emission of desert dust using satellite remote sensing in the
 thermal infrared, J Geophys Res-Atmos, 104, 31207-31215, Doi
 10.1029/1999jd900756, 1999.
- 950 Collins, W. J., Bellouin, N., Doutriaux-Boucher, M., Gedney, N., Halloran, P., Hinton,
- 951 T., Hughes, J., Jones, C. D., Joshi, M., Liddicoat, S., Martin, G., O'Connor, F.,
 952 Rae, J., Senior, C., Sitch, S., Totterdell, I., Wiltshire, A., and Woodward, S.:
- 953 Development and evaluation of an Earth-System model-HadGEM2, Geosci
 954 Model Dev, 4, 1051-1075, 10.5194/gmd-4-1051-2011, 2011.
- Cook, B. I., Miller, R. L., and Seager, R.: Dust and sea surface temperature forcing of the
 1930s "Dust Bowl" drought, Geophys Res Lett, 35, 10.1029/2008gl033486, 2008.

- Cook, B. I., Miller, R. L., and Seager, R.: Amplification of the North American "Dust
 Bowl" drought through human-induced land degradation, P Natl Acad Sci USA,
 106, 4997-5001, 10.1073/pnas.0810200106, 2009.
- Cook, B. I., Seager, R., Miller, R. L., and Mason, J. A.: Intensification of North
 American Megadroughts through Surface and Dust Aerosol Forcing, J Climate,
 26, 4414-4430, 10.1175/Jcli-D-12-00022.1, 2013.
- Cowie, S. M., Knippertz, P., and Marsham, J. H.: A climatology of dust emission events
 from northern Africa using long-term surface observations, Atmos Chem Phys,
 14, 8579-8597, 10.5194/acp-14-8579-2014, 2014.
- 966 Dee, D. P., Uppala, S. M., Simmons, A. J., Berrisford, P., Poli, P., Kobayashi, S., Andrae,
- 967 U., Balmaseda, M. A., Balsamo, G., Bauer, P., Bechtold, P., Beljaars, A. C. M.,
- 968 van de Berg, L., Bidlot, J., Bormann, N., Delsol, C., Dragani, R., Fuentes, M.,
- 969 Geer, A. J., Haimberger, L., Healy, S. B., Hersbach, H., Holm, E. V., Isaksen, L.,
- 970 Kallberg, P., Kohler, M., Matricardi, M., McNally, A. P., Monge-Sanz, B. M.,
- 971 Morcrette, J. J., Park, B. K., Peubey, C., de Rosnay, P., Tavolato, C., Thepaut, J.
- 972 N., and Vitart, F.: The ERA-Interim reanalysis: configuration and performance of
- 973 the data assimilation system, Q J Roy Meteor Soc, 137, 553-597, 10.1002/qj.828,
 974 2011.
- Donner, L. J., Wyman, B. L., Hemler, R. S., Horowitz, L. W., Ming, Y., Zhao, M., Golaz,
 J. C., Ginoux, P., Lin, S. J., Schwarzkopf, M. D., Austin, J., Alaka, G., Cooke, W.
 F., Delworth, T. L., Freidenreich, S. M., Gordon, C. T., Griffies, S. M., Held, I.
 M., Hurlin, W. J., Klein, S. A., Knutson, T. R., Langenhorst, A. R., Lee, H. C.,
- 979 Lin, Y. L., Magi, B. I., Malyshev, S. L., Milly, P. C. D., Naik, V., Nath, M. J.,

980	Pincus, R., Ploshay, J. J., Ramaswamy, V., Seman, C. J., Shevliakova, E., Sirutis,
981	J. J., Stern, W. F., Stouffer, R. J., Wilson, R. J., Winton, M., Wittenberg, A. T.,
982	and Zeng, F. R.: The Dynamical Core, Physical Parameterizations, and Basic
983	Simulation Characteristics of the Atmospheric Component AM3 of the GFDL
984	Global Coupled Model CM3, J Climate, 24, 3484-3519, 10.1175/2011jcli3955.1,
985	2011.
986	Draxier, R. R., and Hess, G. D.: An overview of the HYSPLIT_4 modelling system for
987	trajectories, dispersion and deposition, Aust Meteorol Mag, 47, 295-308, 1998.
988	Draxler, R. R., Ginoux, P., and Stein, A. F.: An empirically derived emission algorithm
989	for wind-blown dust, J Geophys Res-Atmos, 115, 10.1029/2009jd013167, 2010.
990	Du, J., Jones, L. A., and Kimball, J. S.: Daily Global Land Parameters Derived from
991	AMSR-E and AMSR2, Version 2, https://doi.org/10.5067/RF8WPY0PJKL2,
992	2017a.
993	Du, J. Y., Kimball, J. S., Jones, L. A., Kim, Y., Glassy, J., and Watts, J. D.: A global
994	satellite environmental data record derived from AMSR-E and AMSR2
995	microwave Earth observations, Earth Syst Sci Data, 9, 791-808, 10.5194/essd-9-
996	791-2017, 2017b.
997	Dubovik, O., and King, M. D.: A flexible inversion algorithm for retrieval of aerosol
998	optical properties from Sun and sky radiance measurements, J Geophys Res-
999	Atmos, 105, 20673-20696, Doi 10.1029/2000jd900282, 2000.
1000	Dumont, M., Brun, E., Picard, G., Michou, M., Libois, Q., Petit, J. R., Geyer, M., Morin,
1001	S., and Josse, B.: Contribution of light-absorbing impurities in snow to

- 1002 Greenland's darkening since 2009, Nat Geosci, 7, 509-512, 10.1038/Ngeo2180,
 1003 2014.
- Dunion, J. P., and Velden, C. S.: The impact of the Saharan air layer on Atlantic tropical
 cyclone activity, B Am Meteorol Soc, 85, 353-+, 10.1175/Bams-85-3-353, 2004.
- 1006 Eck, T. F., Holben, B. N., Reid, J. S., Dubovik, O., Smirnov, A., O'Neill, N. T., Slutsker,
- I., and Kinne, S.: Wavelength dependence of the optical depth of biomass
 burning, urban, and desert dust aerosols, J Geophys Res-Atmos, 104, 3133331349, Doi 10.1029/1999jd900923, 1999.
- Evan, A. T., Dunion, J., Foley, J. A., Heidinger, A. K., and Velden, C. S.: New evidence
 for a relationship between Atlantic tropical cyclone activity and African dust
 outbreaks, Geophys Res Lett, 33, 10.1029/2006gl026408, 2006.
- 1013 Evan, A. T., Fiedler, S., Zhao, C., Menut, L., Schepanski, K., Flamant, C., and Doherty,
- 1014 O.: Derivation of an observation-based map of North African dust emission,
 1015 Aeolian Res, 16, 153-162, 10.1016/j.aeolia.2015.01.001, 2015.
- 1016 Evans, S., Ginoux, P., Malyshev, S., and Shevliakova, E.: Climate-vegetation interaction
- 1017 and amplification of Australian dust variability, Geophys Res Lett, 43, 11823-
- 1018 11830, 10.1002/2016gl071016, 2016.
- Fécan, F., Marticorena, B., and Bergametti, G.: Parametrization of the increase of the
 aeolian erosion threshold wind friction velocity due to soil moisture for arid and
 semi-arid areas, Ann Geophys-Atm Hydr, 17, 149-157, DOI
 10.1007/s005850050744, 1999.

- Fiedler, S., Kaplan, M. L., and Knippertz, P.: The importance of Harmattan surges for the
 emission of North African dust aerosol, Geophys Res Lett, 42, 9495-9504,
 1025 10.1002/2015gl065925, 2015.
- Fung, I. Y., Meyn, S. K., Tegen, I., Doney, S. C., John, J. G., and Bishop, J. K. B.: Iron
 supply and demand in the upper ocean, Global Biogeochem Cy, 14, 281-295, Doi
 10.1029/1999gb900059, 2000.
- 1029 Garrigues, S., Lacaze, R., Baret, F., Morisette, J. T., Weiss, M., Nickeson, J. E., 1030 Fernandes, R., Plummer, S., Shabanov, N. V., Myneni, R. B., Knyazikhin, Y., and 1031 Yang, W.: Validation and intercomparison of global Leaf Area Index products 1032 derived from remote sensing Geophys Res-Biogeo, data, J 113. 10.1029/2007jg000635, 2008. 1033
- Gillette, D. A., Adams, J., Endo, A., Smith, D., and Kihl, R.: Threshold Velocities for
 Input of Soil Particles into the Air by Desert Soils, J Geophys Res-Oceans, 85,
 5621-5630, 10.1029/JC085iC10p05621, 1980.
- Gillette, D. A., and Passi, R.: Modeling Dust Emission Caused by Wind Erosion, J
 Geophys Res-Atmos, 93, 14233-14242, DOI 10.1029/JD093iD11p14233, 1988.
- 1039 Ginoux, P., Chin, M., Tegen, I., Prospero, J. M., Holben, B., Dubovik, O., and Lin, S. J.:
- 1040Sources and distributions of dust aerosols simulated with the GOCART model, J1041Geophys Res-Atmos, 106, 20255-20273, Doi 10.1029/2000jd000053, 2001.
- 1042 Ginoux, P., Horowitz, L. W., Ramaswamy, V., Geogdzhayev, I. V., Holben, B. N.,
- 1043 Stenchikov, G., and Tie, X.: Evaluation of aerosol distribution and optical depth
- 1044 in the Geophysical Fluid Dynamics Laboratory coupled model CM2.1 for present
- 1045 climate, J Geophys Res-Atmos, 111, 10.1029/2005jd006707, 2006.

- Ginoux, P., Garbuzov, D., and Hsu, N. C.: Identification of anthropogenic and natural
 dust sources using Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS)
 Deep Blue level 2 data, J Geophys Res-Atmos, 115, 10.1029/2009jd012398,
 2010.
- Ginoux, P., Prospero, J. M., Gill, T. E., Hsu, N. C., and Zhao, M.: Global-Scale
 Attribution of Anthropogenic and Natural Dust Sources and Their Emission Rates
 Based on MODIS Deep Blue Aerosol Products, Rev Geophys, 50,
 10.1029/2012rg000388, 2012.
- Ginoux, P., and Deroubaix, A.: Space observations of dust in East Asia, Air pollution in
 Eastern Asia: an integrated perspective, edited by: Bouarar, I., Wang, X., and
 Brasseur, G. P., Springer, 2017.
- Ginoux, P., Malyshev, S., Shevliakova, E., Chan, H. G., Guo, H., Milly, C., Naik, V.,
 Pascale, S., Paulot, F., Pu, B., Zhao, M., and Kapnick, S.: Distribution of
 absorbing aerosols in snow over high mountain ranges in GFDL AM4/LM4, in
 preparation, 2019.
- 1061 Hand, J. L., Copeland, S. A., Day, D. E., Dillner, A. M., Indresand, H., Malm, W. C.,
- 1062McDade, C. E., Moore, C. T., Pitchford, M. L., Schichtel, B. A., and Watson, J.1063G.: IMPROVE (Interagency Monitoring of Protected Visual Environments):1064Spatial and seasonal patterns and temporal variability of haze and its constituents
- in the United States, 2011.
- 1066 Hand, J. L., White, W. H., Gebhart, K. A., Hyslop, N. P., Gill, T. E., and Schichtel, B. A.:
- Earlier onset of the spring fine dust season in the southwestern United States,
 Geophys Res Lett, 43, 4001-4009, 10.1002/2016gl068519, 2016.

- Hand, J. L., Gill, T. E., and Schichtel, B. A.: Spatial and seasonal variability in fine
 mineral dust and coarse aerosol mass at remote sites across the United States, J
 Geophys Res-Atmos, 122, 3080-3097, 10.1002/2016jd026290, 2017.
- 1072 Helgren, D. M., and Prospero, J. M.: Wind Velocities Associated with Dust Deflation

Events in the Western Sahara, J Clim Appl Meteorol, 26, 1147-1151, Doi

1074 10.1175/1520-0450(1987)026<1147:Wvawdd>2.0.Co;2, 1987.

- 1075 Hersbach, H., and Dee, D.: ERA5 reanalysis is in production, ECMWF Newsletter, No.
 1076 147, 7, 2016.
- Hoerling, M., Eischeid, J., Kumar, A., Leung, R., Mariotti, A., Mo, K., Schubert, S., and
 Seager, R.: Causes and Predictability of the 2012 Great Plains Drought, B Am
 Meteorol Soc, 95, 269-282, 10.1175/Bams-D-13-00055.1, 2014.
- 1080 Holben, B. N., Eck, T. F., Slutsker, I., Tanre, D., Buis, J. P., Setzer, A., Vermote, E.,
- 1081 Reagan, J. A., Kaufman, Y. J., Nakajima, T., Lavenu, F., Jankowiak, I., and
 1082 Smirnov, A.: AERONET A federated instrument network and data archive for
 1083 aerosol characterization, Remote Sens Environ, 66, 1-16, Doi 10.1016/S00341084 4257(98)00031-5, 1998.
- Holben, B. N., Eck, T. F., Slutsker, I., Smirnov, A., Sinyuk, A., Schafer, J., Giles, D., and
 Dubovik, O.: AERONET's Version 2.0 quality assurance criteria, 2006.
- Hsu, N. C., Tsay, S. C., King, M. D., and Herman, J. R.: Aerosol properties over brightreflecting source regions, Ieee T Geosci Remote, 42, 557-569,
 10.1109/Tgrs.2004.824067, 2004.
- Hsu, N. C., Jeong, M. J., Bettenhausen, C., Sayer, A. M., Hansell, R., Seftor, C. S.,
 Huang, J., and Tsay, S. C.: Enhanced Deep Blue aerosol retrieval algorithm: The

1092 second generation, J Geophys Res-Atmos, 118, 9296-9315, 10.1002/jgrd.50712,
1093 2013.

Huneeus, N., Schulz, M., Balkanski, Y., Griesfeller, J., Prospero, J., Kinne, S., Bauer, S.,

- Boucher, O., Chin, M., Dentener, F., Diehl, T., Easter, R., Fillmore, D., Ghan, S.,
 Ginoux, P., Grini, A., Horowitz, L., Koch, D., Krol, M. C., Landing, W., Liu, X.,
 Mahowald, N., Miller, R., Morcrette, J. J., Myhre, G., Penner, J., Perlwitz, J.,
 Stier, P., Takemura, T., and Zender, C. S.: Global dust model intercomparison in
 AeroCom phase I, Atmos Chem Phys, 11, 7781-7816, 10.5194/acp-11-77812011, 2011.
- 1101 Jickells, T. D., An, Z. S., Andersen, K. K., Baker, A. R., Bergametti, G., Brooks, N., Cao,
- 1102 J. J., Boyd, P. W., Duce, R. A., Hunter, K. A., Kawahata, H., Kubilay, N.,
- 1103 laRoche, J., Liss, P. S., Mahowald, N., Prospero, J. M., Ridgwell, A. J., Tegen, I.,
- and Torres, R.: Global iron connections between desert dust, ocean
 biogeochemistry, and climate, Science, 308, 67-71, DOI
 10.1126/science.1105959, 2005.
- Jin, Q., Wei, J., Yang, Z. L., Pu, B., and Huang, J.: Consistent response of Indian summer
 monsoon to Middle East dust in observations and simulations, Atmos Chem Phys,
 15, 9897-9915, 10.5194/acp-15-9897-2015, 2015.
- Jin, Q. J., Wei, J. F., and Yang, Z. L.: Positive response of Indian summer rainfall to
 Middle East dust, Geophys Res Lett, 41, 4068-4074, 10.1002/2014gl059980,
 2014.

- Jin, Q. J., Yang, Z. L., and Wei, J. F.: Seasonal Responses of Indian Summer Monsoon to
 Dust Aerosols in the Middle East, India, and China, J Climate, 29, 6329-6349,
 10.1175/Jcli-D-15-0622.1, 2016.
- 1116 Jones, C. D., Hughes, J. K., Bellouin, N., Hardiman, S. C., Jones, G. S., Knight, J.,
- 1117 Liddicoat, S., O'Connor, F. M., Andres, R. J., Bell, C., Boo, K. O., Bozzo, A.,
- 1118 Butchart, N., Cadule, P., Corbin, K. D., Doutriaux-Boucher, M., Friedlingstein,
- 1119 P., Gornall, J., Gray, L., Halloran, P. R., Hurtt, G., Ingram, W. J., Lamarque, J. F.,
- 1120 Law, R. M., Meinshausen, M., Osprey, S., Palin, E. J., Chini, L. P., Raddatz, T.,
- 1121 Sanderson, M. G., Sellar, A. A., Schurer, A., Valdes, P., Wood, N., Woodward,
- 1122 S., Yoshioka, M., and Zerroukat, M.: The HadGEM2-ES implementation of
- 1123 CMIP5 centennial simulations, Geosci Model Dev, 4, 543-570, 10.5194/gmd-41124 543-2011, 2011.
- 1125 Kalnay, E., Kanamitsu, M., Kistler, R., Collins, W., Deaven, D., Gandin, L., Iredell, M.,
- 1126 Saha, S., White, G., Woollen, J., Zhu, Y., Chelliah, M., Ebisuzaki, W., Higgins,
- 1127 W., Janowiak, J., Mo, K. C., Ropelewski, C., Wang, J., Leetmaa, A., Reynolds,
- 1128 R., Jenne, R., and Joseph, D.: The NCEP/NCAR 40-year reanalysis project, B Am
- 1129
 Meteorol
 Soc,
 77,
 437-471,
 Doi
 10.1175/1520

 1130
 0477(1996)077<0437:Tnyrp>2.0.Co;2, 1996.
- Kim, D., Chin, M. A., Bian, H. S., Tan, Q., Brown, M. E., Zheng, T., You, R. J., Diehl,
 T., Ginoux, P., and Kucsera, T.: The effect of the dynamic surface bareness on
 dust source function, emission, and distribution, J Geophys Res-Atmos, 118, 871886, 10.1029/2012jd017907, 2013.

- Kim, M. K., Lau, W. K. M., Kim, K. M., Sang, J., Kim, Y. H., and Lee, W. S.:
 Amplification of ENSO effects on Indian summer monsoon by absorbing aerosols, Clim Dynam, 46, 2657-2671, 10.1007/s00382-015-2722-y, 2016.
- Knippertz, P.: Dust emissions in the West African heat trough the role of the diurnal
 cycle and of extratropical disturbances, Meteorol Z, 17, 553-563, 10.1127/09412948/2008/0315, 2008.
- Kok, J. F., Albani, S., Mahowald, N. M., and Ward, D. S.: An improved dust emission
 model Part 2: Evaluation in the Community Earth System Model, with
 implications for the use of dust source functions, Atmos Chem Phys, 14, 1304313061, 10.5194/acp-14-13043-2014, 2014a.
- Kok, J. F., Mahowald, N. M., Fratini, G., Gillies, J. A., Ishizuka, M., Leys, J. F., Mikami,
 M., Park, M. S., Park, S. U., Van Pelt, R. S., and Zobeck, T. M.: An improved
 dust emission model Part 1: Model description and comparison against
 measurements, Atmos Chem Phys, 14, 13023-13041, 10.5194/acp-14-130232014, 2014b.
- Kurosaki, Y., and Mikami, M.: Effect of snow cover on threshold wind velocity of dust
 outbreak, Geophys Res Lett, 31, 10.1029/2003gl018632, 2004.
- Kurosaki, Y., and Mikami, M.: Threshold wind speed for dust emission in east Asia and
 its seasonal variations, J Geophys Res-Atmos, 112, 10.1029/2006jd007988, 2007.
- 1154 Largeron, Y., Guichard, F., Bouniol, D., Couvreux, F., Kergoat, L., and Marticorena, B.:
- 1155 Can we use surface wind fields from meteorological reanalyses for Sahelian dust
- emission simulations?, Geophys Res Lett, 42, 2490-2499, 10.1002/2014gl062938,
- 1157 2015.

- Levin, Z., Ganor, E., and Gladstein, V.: The effects of desert particles coated with sulfate
 on rain formation in the eastern Mediterranean, J Appl Meteorol, 35, 1511-1523,
 Doi 10.1175/1520-0450(1996)035<1511:Teodpc>2.0.Co;2, 1996.
- Lin, C. Y., Zhao, C., Liu, X. H., Lin, N. H., and Chen, W. N.: Modelling of long-range
 transport of Southeast Asia biomass-burning aerosols to Taiwan and their
 radiative forcings over East Asia, Tellus B, 66, 10.3402/tellusb.v66.23733, 2014.
- 1164 Mahowald, N. M., Baker, A. R., Bergametti, G., Brooks, N., Duce, R. A., Jickells, T. D.,
- Kubilay, N., Prospero, J. M., and Tegen, I.: Atmospheric global dust cycle and
 iron inputs to the ocean, Global Biogeochem Cy, 19, 10.1029/2004gb002402,
 2005.
- 1168 Mahowald, N. M., Kloster, S., Engelstaedter, S., Moore, J. K., Mukhopadhyay, S.,
- 1169 McConnell, J. R., Albani, S., Doney, S. C., Bhattacharya, A., Curran, M. A. J.,
- 1170 Flanner, M. G., Hoffman, F. M., Lawrence, D. M., Lindsay, K., Mayewski, P. A.,
- 1171 Neff, J., Rothenberg, D., Thomas, E., Thornton, P. E., and Zender, C. S.:
 1172 Observed 20th century desert dust variability: impact on climate and
 1173 biogeochemistry, Atmos Chem Phys, 10, 10875-10893, 10.5194/acp-10-108751174 2010, 2010.
- Malm, W. C., Sisler, J. F., Huffman, D., Eldred, R. A., and Cahill, T. A.: Spatial and
 Seasonal Trends in Particle Concentration and Optical Extinction in the UnitedStates, J Geophys Res-Atmos, 99, 1347-1370, Doi 10.1029/93jd02916, 1994.
- 1178 Marsham, J. H., Hobby, M., Allen, C. J. T., Banks, J. R., Bart, M., Brooks, B. J.,
- 1179 Cavazos-Guerra, C., Engelstaedter, S., Gascoyne, M., Lima, A. R., Martins, J. V.,
- 1180 McQuaid, J. B., O'Leary, A., Ouchene, B., Ouladichir, A., Parker, D. J., Saci, A.,

1181	Salah-Ferroudj, M., Todd, M. C., and Washington, R.: Meteorology and dust in
1182	the central Sahara: Observations from Fennec supersite-1 during the June 2011
1183	Intensive Observation Period, J Geophys Res-Atmos, 118, 4069-4089,
1184	10.1002/jgrd.50211, 2013.
1185	Marticorena, B., and Bergametti, G.: Modeling the Atmospheric Dust Cycle .1. Design of
1186	a Soil-Derived Dust Emission Scheme, J Geophys Res-Atmos, 100, 16415-16430,
1187	Doi 10.1029/95jd00690, 1995.
1188	Marticorena, B., Bergametti, G., Aumont, B., Callot, Y., NDoume, C., and Legrand, M.:
1189	Modeling the atmospheric dust cycle .2. Simulation of Saharan dust sources, J
1190	Geophys Res-Atmos, 102, 4387-4404, Doi 10.1029/96jd02964, 1997.
1191	Marticorena, B., Chatenet, B., Rajot, J. L., Traore, S., Coulibaly, M., Diallo, A., Kone, I.,
1192	Maman, A., Diaye, T. N., and Zakou, A.: Temporal variability of mineral dust
1193	concentrations over West Africa: analyses of a pluriannual monitoring from the
1194	AMMA Sahelian Dust Transect, Atmos Chem Phys, 10, 8899-8915, 10.5194/acp-
1195	10-8899-2010, 2010.
1196	Mbourou, G. N., Bertrand, J. J., and Nicholson, S. E.: The diurnal and seasonal cycles of
1197	wind-borne dust over Africa north of the equator, J Appl Meteorol, 36, 868-882,
1198	Doi 10.1175/1520-0450(1997)036<0868:Tdasco>2.0.Co;2, 1997.
1199	Miller, R. L., and Tegen, I.: Climate response to soil dust aerosols, J Climate, 11, 3247-
1200	3267, Doi 10.1175/1520-0442(1998)011<3247:Crtsda>2.0.Co;2, 1998.
1201	Miller, R. L., Tegen, I., and Perlwitz, J.: Surface radiative forcing by soil dust aerosols
1202	and the hydrologic cycle, J Geophys Res-Atmos, 109, 10.1029/2003jd004085,
1203	2004.

- Moorthi, S., and Suarez, M. J.: Relaxed Arakawa-Schubert a Parameterization of Moist
 Convection for General-Circulation Models, Mon Weather Rev, 120, 978-1002,
 Doi 10.1175/1520-0493(1992)120<0978:Rasapo>2.0.Co;2, 1992.
- Naik, V., Horowitz, L. W., Fiore, A. M., Ginoux, P., Mao, J. Q., Aghedo, A. M., and
 Levy, H.: Impact of preindustrial to present-day changes in short-lived pollutant
 emissions on atmospheric composition and climate forcing, J Geophys ResAtmos, 118, 8086-8110, 10.1002/jgrd.50608, 2013.
- 1211 Nakajima, T., Higurashi, A., Kawamoto, K., and Penner, J. E.: A possible correlation
 1212 between satellite-derived cloud and aerosol microphysical parameters, Geophys
 1213 Res Lett, 28, 1171-1174, Doi 10.1029/2000gl012186, 2001.
- O'Neill, N. T., Eck, T. F., Smirnov, A., Holben, B. N., and Thulasiraman, S.: Spectral discrimination of coarse and fine mode optical depth, J Geophys Res-Atmos, 108, 10.1029/2002jd002975, 2003.
- 1217 O'rgill, M., and Sehmel, G.: Frequency and diurnal variation of dust storms in the
 1218 contiguous U.S.A., Atmospheric Environment, 10, 813-825, 1976.
- 1219 Painter, T. H., Deems, J. S., Belnap, J., Hamlet, A. F., Landry, C. C., and Udall, B.:
- 1220 Response of Colorado River runoff to dust radiative forcing in snow, P Natl Acad
 1221 Sci USA, 107, 17125-17130, 10.1073/pnas.0913139107, 2010.
- Painter, T. H., Skiles, S. M., Deems, J. S., Brandt, W. T., and Dozier, J.: Variation in 1222 1223 Rising Limb of Colorado River Snowmelt Runoff Hydrograph Controlled by Dust 1224 Radiative Geophys Forcing in Snow, Res Lett. 45, 797-808, 1225 10.1002/2017gl075826, 2018.

- Pu, B., and Ginoux, P.: The impact of the Pacific Decadal Oscillation on springtime dust
 activity in Syria, Atmos Chem Phys, 16, 13431-13448, 10.5194/acp-16-134312016, 2016.
- Pu, B., and Ginoux, P.: Projection of American dustiness in the late 21st century due to
 climate change, Scientific reports, 7, 10.1038/s41598-017-05431-9, 2017.
- Pu, B., and Ginoux, P.: Climatic factors contributing to long-term variations in surface
 fine dust concentration in the United States, Atmos Chem Phys, 18, 4201-4215,
 10.5194/acp-18-4201-2018, 2018a.
- Pu, B., and Ginoux, P.: How reliable are CMIP5 models in simulating dust optical
 depth?, Atmos Chem Phys, 18, 12491-12510, 10.5194/acp-18-12491-2018,
 2018b.
- Putman, W. M., and Lin, S. H.: Finite-volume transport on various cubed-sphere grids, J
 Comput Phys, 227, 55-78, 10.1016/j.jcp.2007.07.022, 2007.
- Raupach, M. R., Gillette, D. A., and Leys, J. F.: The Effect of Roughness Elements on
 Wind Erosion Threshold, J Geophys Res-Atmos, 98, 3023-3029, Doi
 10.1029/92jd01922, 1993.
- Rayner, N. A., Parker, D. E., Horton, E. B., Folland, C. K., Alexander, L. V., Rowell, D.
 P., Kent, E. C., and Kaplan, A.: Global analyses of sea surface temperature, sea
 ice, and night marine air temperature since the late nineteenth century, J Geophys
 Res-Atmos, 108, 10.1029/2002jd002670, 2003.
- 1246 Reid, J. S., Hyer, E. J., Prins, E. M., Westphal, D. L., Zhang, J. L., Wang, J., Christopher,
- 1247 S. A., Curtis, C. A., Schmidt, C. C., Eleuterio, D. P., Richardson, K. A., and 1248 Hoffman, J. P.: Global Monitoring and Forecasting of Biomass-Burning Smoke:

- Description of and Lessons From the Fire Locating and Modeling of Burning
 Emissions (FLAMBE) Program, Ieee J-Stars, 2, 144-162,
 10.1109/Jstars.2009.2027443, 2009.
- Reynolds, R. W., Rayner, N. A., Smith, T. M., Stokes, D. C., and Wang, W. Q.: An
 improved in situ and satellite SST analysis for climate, J Climate, 15, 1609-1625,
 Doi 10.1175/1520-0442(2002)015<1609:Aiisas>2.0.Co;2, 2002.
- Rieger, D., Steiner, A., Bachmann, V., Gasch, P., Forstner, J., Deetz, K., Vogel, B., and
 Vogel, H.: Impact of the 4 April 2014 Saharan dust outbreak on the photovoltaic
 power generation in Germany, Atmos Chem Phys, 17, 13391-13415,
 10.5194/acp-17-13391-2017, 2017.
- 1259 Rienecker, M. M., Suarez, M. J., Todling, R., Bacmeister, J., Takacs, L., Liu, H.-C., Gu,
- 1260 W., Sienkiewicz, M., Koster, R. D., Gelaro, R., Stajner, I., and Nielsen, J. E.: The
- 1261 GEOS 5 Data Assimilation System–Documentation of versions 5.0.1, 5.1.0, and
- 1262 5.2.0, Technical Report Series on Global Modeling and Data Assimilation, 27
 1263 (available at http://gmao.gsfc.nasa.gov/pubs/docs/Rienecker369.pdf), 2008.
- 1264 Rosenfield, J. E., Considine, D. B., Meade, P. E., Bacmeister, J. T., Jackman, C. H., and
- Schoeberl, M. R.: Stratospheric effects of Mount Pinatubo aerosol studied with a
 coupled two-dimensional model, J Geophys Res-Atmos, 102, 3649-3670, Doi
 10.1029/96jd03820, 1997.
- Savoie, D. L., and Prospero, J. M.: Comparison of Oceanic and Continental Sources of
 Non-Sea-Salt Sulfate over the Pacific-Ocean, Nature, 339, 685-687, DOI
 10.1038/339685a0, 1989.

- 1271 Sayer, A. M., Hsu, N. C., Bettenhausen, C., and Jeong, M. J.: Validation and uncertainty
- 1272 estimates for MODIS Collection 6 "Deep Blue" aerosol data, J Geophys Res1273 Atmos, 118, 7864-7872, 10.1002/jgrd.50600, 2013.
- Schepanski, K., Tegen, I., Laurent, B., Heinold, B., and Macke, A.: A new Saharan dust
 source activation frequency map derived from MSG-SEVIRI IR-channels,
 Geophys Res Lett, 34, 10.1029/2007gl030168, 2007.
- Schepanski, K., Tegen, I., Todd, M. C., Heinold, B., Bonisch, G., Laurent, B., and
 Macke, A.: Meteorological processes forcing Saharan dust emission inferred from
 MSG-SEVIRI observations of subdaily dust source activation and numerical
 models, J Geophys Res-Atmos, 114, 10.1029/2008jd010325, 2009.
- Shao, Y.: A model for mineral dust emission, J Geophys Res-Atmos, 106, 20239-20254,
 Doi 10.1029/2001jd900171, 2001.
- 1283 Shao, Y. P., Wyrwoll, K. H., Chappell, A., Huang, J. P., Lin, Z. H., McTainsh, G. H.,
- 1284 Mikami, M., Tanaka, T. Y., Wang, X. L., and Yoon, S.: Dust cycle: An emerging 1285 core theme in Earth system science, Aeolian Res, 2, 181-204, 1286 10.1016/j.aeolia.2011.02.001, 2011.
- Sharma, D., and Miller, R. L.: Revisiting the observed correlation between weekly
 averaged Indian monsoon precipitation and Arabian Sea aerosol optical depth,
 Geophys Res Lett, 44, 10006-10016, 10.1002/2017gl074373, 2017.
- Solmon, F., Nair, V. S., and Mallet, M.: Increasing Arabian dust activity and the Indian
 summer monsoon, Atmos Chem Phys, 15, 8051-8064, 10.5194/acp-15-80512015, 2015.

- Strong, J. D., Vecchi, G. A., and Ginoux, P.: The Climatological Effect of Saharan Dust
 on Global Tropical Cyclones in a Fully Coupled GCM, Journal of Geophysical
 Research Atmospheres, 123, https://doi.org/10.1029/2017JD027808, 2018.
- Strong, J. D. O., Vecchi, G. A., and Ginoux, P.: The Response of the Tropical Atlantic
 and West African Climate to Saharan Dust in a Fully Coupled GCM, J Climate,
 28, 7071-7092, 10.1175/Jcli-D-14-00797.1, 2015.
- Takemura, T., Okamoto, H., Maruyama, Y., Numaguti, A., Higurashi, A., and Nakajima,
 T.: Global three-dimensional simulation of aerosol optical thickness distribution
 of various origins, J Geophys Res-Atmos, 105, 17853-17873, Doi
 10.1029/2000jd900265, 2000.
- Taylor, K., Williamson, D., and Zwiers, F.: The sea surface temperature and sea ice
 concentration boundary conditions for AMIP II simulations (PCMDI Rep. 60, pp.
 1–25), Livermore, CA:Program for Climate Model Diagnosis and
 Intercomparison, Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, 2000.
- Tegen, I., and Fung, I.: Modeling of Mineral Dust in the Atmosphere Sources,
 Transport, and Optical-Thickness, J Geophys Res-Atmos, 99, 22897-22914, Doi
 10.1029/94jd01928, 1994.
- Tong, D. Q., Wang, J. X. L., Gill, T. E., Lei, H., and Wang, B. Y.: Intensified dust storm
 activity and Valley fever infection in the southwestern United States, Geophys
 Res Lett, 44, 4304-4312, 10.1002/2017gl073524, 2017.
- Uno, I., Amano, H., Emori, S., Kinoshita, K., Matsui, I., and Sugimoto, N.: Trans-Pacific
 yellow sand transport observed in April 1998: A numerical simulation, J Geophys
 Res-Atmos, 106, 18331-18344, Doi 10.1029/2000jd900748, 2001.

1316 Vinoj, V., Rasch, P. J., Wang, H. L., Yoon, J. H., Ma, P. L., Landu, K., and Singh, B.:
1317 Short-term modulation of Indian summer monsoon rainfall by West Asian dust,

1318 Nat Geosci, 7, 308-313, 10.1038/ngeo2107, 2014.

- 1319 Watanabe, S., Hajima, T., Sudo, K., Nagashima, T., Takemura, T., Okajima, H., Nozawa,
- 1320 T., Kawase, H., Abe, M., Yokohata, T., Ise, T., Sato, H., Kato, E., Takata, K.,
- 1321 Emori, S., and Kawamiya, M.: MIROC-ESM 2010: model description and basic
- results of CMIP5-20c3m experiments, Geosci Model Dev, 4, 845-872,
 10.5194/gmd-4-845-2011, 2011.
- Westphal, D. L., Curtis, C. A., Liu, M., and Walker, A. L.: Operational aerosol and dust
 storm forecasting, in WMO/GEO Expert Meeting on an International Sand and
 Dust Storm Warning System, IOP Conference Series Earth and Environmental
 Science, 2009.
- Winker, D. M., Hunt, W., and Hostetler, C.: Status and performance of the CALIOP
 lidar, Bba Lib, 5575, 8-15, 10.1117/12.571955, 2004.
- Winker, D. M., Hunt, W. H., and McGill, M. J.: Initial performance assessment of
 CALIOP, Geophys Res Lett, 34, 10.1029/2007gl030135, 2007.
- Witek, M. L., Flatau, P. J., Quinn, P. K., and Westphal, D. L.: Global sea-salt modeling:
 Results and validation against multicampaign shipboard measurements, J
 Geophys Res-Atmos, 112, 10.1029/2006jd007779, 2007.
- Wong, S., and Dessler, A. E.: Suppression of deep convection over the tropical North
 Atlantic by the Saharan Air Layer, Geophys Res Lett, 32, 10.1029/2004gl022295,
 2005.

1338	Wurzler, S., Reisin, T. G., and Levin, Z.: Modification of mineral dust particles by cloud
1339	processing and subsequent effects on drop size distributions, J Geophys Res-
1340	Atmos, 105, 4501-4512, Doi 10.1029/1999jd900980, 2000.
1341	Yan, K., Park, T., Yan, G. J., Chen, C., Yang, B., Liu, Z., Nemani, R. R., Knyazikhin, Y.,
1342	and Myneni, R. B.: Evaluation of MODIS LAI/FPAR Product Collection 6. Part
1343	1: Consistency and Improvements, Remote Sens-Basel, 8, 10.3390/rs8050359,
1344	2016a.
1345	Yan, K., Park, T., Yan, G. J., Liu, Z., Yang, B., Chen, C., Nemani, R. R., Knyazikhin, Y.,

- and Myneni, R. B.: Evaluation of MODIS LAI/FPAR Product Collection 6. Part
 2: Validation and Intercomparison, Remote Sens-Basel, 8, 10.3390/rs8060460,
 2016b.
- 1349 Yu, H. B., Chin, M., Yuan, T. L., Bian, H. S., Remer, L. A., Prospero, J. M., Omar, A.,
- Winker, D., Yang, Y. K., Zhang, Y., Zhang, Z. B., and Zhao, C.: The fertilizing
 role of African dust in the Amazon rainforest: A first multiyear assessment based
 on data from Cloud-Aerosol Lidar and Infrared Pathfinder Satellite Observations,
 Geophys Res Lett, 42, 1984-1991, 10.1002/2015gl063040, 2015.
- Zender, C. S., Bian, H. S., and Newman, D.: Mineral Dust Entrainment and Deposition
 (DEAD) model: Description and 1990s dust climatology, J Geophys Res-Atmos,
 108, 10.1029/2002jd002775, 2003.
- 1357 Zhao, M., Golaz, J. C., Held, I. M., Guo, H., Balaji, V., Benson, R., Chen, J. H., Chen,
- 1358 X., Donner, L. J., Dunne, J. P., Dunne, K., Durachta, J., Fan, S. M., Freidenreich,
- 1359 S. M., Garner, S. T., Ginoux, P., Harris, L. M., Horowitz, L. W., Krasting, J. P.,
- 1360 Langenhorst, A. R., Liang, Z., Lin, P., Lin, S. J., Malyshev, S. L., Mason, E.,

1361	Milly, P. C. D., Ming, Y., Naik, V., Paulot, F., Paynter, D., Phillipps, P.,
1362	Radhakrishnan, A., Ramaswamy, V., Robinson, T., Schwarzkopf, D., Seman, C.
1363	J., Shevliakova, E., Shen, Z., Shin, H., Silvers, L. G., Wilson, J. R., Winton, M.,
1364	Wittenberg, A. T., Wyman, B., and Xiang, B.: The GFDL Global Atmosphere and
1365	Land Model AM4.0/LM4.0:1. Simulation Characteristics With Prescribed SSTs, J
1366	Adv Model Earth Sy, 10, 691-734, 10.1002/2017ms001208, 2018a.
1367	Zhao, M., Golaz, J. C., Held, I. M., Guo, H., Balaji, V., Benson, R., Chen, J. H., Chen,
1368	X., Donner, L. J., Dunne, J. P., Dunne, K., Durachta, J., Fan, S. M., Freidenreich,
1369	S. M., Garner, S. T., Ginoux, P., Harris, L. M., Horowitz, L. W., Krasting, J. P.,
1370	Langenhorst, A. R., Liang, Z., Lin, P., Lin, S. J., Malyshev, S. L., Mason, E.,
1371	Milly, P. C. D., Ming, Y., Naik, V., Paulot, F., Paynter, D., Phillipps, P.,
1372	Radhakrishnan, A., Ramaswamy, V., Robinson, T., Schwarzkopf, D., Seman, C.
1373	J., Shevliakova, E., Shen, Z., Shin, H., Silvers, L. G., Wilson, J. R., Winton, M.,
1374	Wittenberg, A. T., Wyman, B., and Xiang, B.: The GFDL Global Atmosphere and
1375	Land Model AM4.0/LM4.0:2. Model Description, Sensitivity Studies, and Tuning
1376	Strategies, J Adv Model Earth Sy, 10, 735-769, 10.1002/2017ms001209, 2018b.
1377	

Table 1 Major dust source regions shown in Figure 1. Note that region names such asIndia and northern China are not exactly the same as their geographical definitions butalso cover some areas from nearby countries.

1381

Table 2 Sensitivity of annual mean wind erosion threshold (m s⁻¹) to the selection of different retrieval criteria. Note the setting of the last column is the same as $DOD_{thresh}=0.2$ or 0.02, except surface DOD (sDOD) from Aqua is used over North Africa. Here $DOD_{thresh}=0.2$ or 0.5 is applied to dusty regions, i.e., the Sahel, Sahara, Arabian Peninsula, northern China, and India, while $DOD_{thresh}=0.02$ or 0.05 is applied to

1387 less dusty regions, i.e., the U.S., South Africa, South America, and Australia.

1388

1389

1390 Table 3 Sensitivity of annual mean wind erosion threshold (m s⁻¹) to surface wind speeds

1391	from different reana	lyses	(DOD _{thresh} =	0.2 or ().02).
------	----------------------	-------	--------------------------	----------	--------

1392

1393 Table 4 Simulation design

1394

1395

1396

1397

1398

1399

Figure 1. (a)-(e) Frequencies of occurrence (FoO; unit: days per season) in each season and annual mean. (f)-(j) Threshold of wind erosion ($V_{threshold}$; unit: m s⁻¹) derived from satellite products and reanalyses for each season and annual mean using $DOD_{thresh}=0.2$ (or 0.02). Black boxes in (f) denote nine dust source regions as listed in Table 1.

1405

Figure 2. (a)-(i) Frequency distribution of annual mean $V_{threshold}$ (black bars) in each region (black boxes in Fig. 1) and for dusty seasons, i.e., MAM (green) and JJA (blue) for regions in the Northern Hemisphere and SON (orange) and DJF (grey) for regions in the Southern Hemisphere. The mean (averaged over all grid points in the region, without area weight) and \pm one standard deviations of $V_{threshold}$ in each region are shown on the top right of each plot.

1412

1413Figure 3. (a)-(e) Threshold of wind erosion ($V_{threshold}$; unit: m s⁻¹) derived from satellite1414products and reanalyses for each season and annual mean using $DOD_{thresh}=0.5$ (or 0.05).

1415 Black boxes in (a) denote nine dust source regions as listed in Table 1.

1416

1417 Figure 4. Climatology of annual mean AERONET (a) AOD (550 nm) and (b) SDA COD

1418 (500 nm) averaged over 2003-2015.

1419

1420 Figure 5. Scatter plot of simulated annual mean (a) AOD and (b) COD in the Control run

1421 versus AERONET AOD and COD (left), and the relative difference (in percentage) (c)

1422 between modeled AOD and AERONET AOD and (d) between modeled COD and

1423 AERONET COD (right). (e) The relative contribution of DOD to COD in the model.

1424 Figure 6. Same as Fig. 5 but for the V_{thresh}12mn simulation.

1425

Figure 7. (a) Climatology (2003-2015) of AERONET DOD (550 nm) over major dusty
regions and (b) scatter plot of modeled DOD in the V_{thresh}12mn simulation versus
AERONET DOD, and (c) the relative difference (in percentage) between modeled DOD
and AERONET DOD in the V_{thresh}12mn simulation.

1430

1431 Figure 8. Regional averaged annual mean DOD (2003-2015) over nine regions from the

1432 Control (grey), Vthresh12mn (orange), and VthreshAnn (yellow) simulations and MODIS

1433 (black).

1434

1435 Figure 9. Scatter plots (left column) of model simulated (from top to bottom are the 1436 Control, V_{thresh}Ann, and V_{thresh}12mn simulations) surface dust concentration versus the 1437 climatology of observed surface dust concentration from RSMAS stations (Savoie and 1438 Prospero 1989), and spatial pattern of surface dust concentration from model output 1439 (shading; right column) and the ratio between modeled and RSMAS station observed 1440 surface dust concentration (color triangles, with upward triangles indicating 1441 overestimation and downward triangles indicating underestimation). 16 stations were 1442 used, and numbers in each triangle (right) and grey dots (left) indicate the stations. The 1443 one-one, one-two and one-five lines are plotted in solid, dashed and dash-dotted lines in 1444 the scatter plots. Statistics in the scatter plots are calculated in logarithmic space.

1446 Figure 10. Annual mean surface fine dust concentration ($\mu g m^{-3}$) from IMPROVE 1447 stations (left column) and three simulations (middle column) and the differences between 1448 model and observation (right column) for 2002-2015.

1449

1450 Figure 11. Seasonal cycle of DOD from MODIS (black), the Control (grey), $V_{thresh}12mn$

(orange), and V_{thresh}Ann (yellow) runs, and gridded AERONET SDA COD (blue)
averaged over nine regions. The annual mean of each dataset in each region is listed on
the top of the plot.

1454

Figure 12. Seasonal cycle of DOD over 12 AERONET SDA sites (see Fig. S7 in the Supplement for locations) from the Control (grey), V_{thresh}12mn (orange), and V_{thresh}Ann (yellow) simulations, along with DOD from MODIS (blue), and COD from AERONET (black dotted line). All values are averaged over 2003-2015. The location (lat/long) and the name (due to space, only first seven characters are shown) of the sites are listed at the top of each plot.

1461

Figure 13. (a)-(c) Seasonal cycle of PM_{10} surface concentration (black) over three sites from the LISA project, along with PM_{10} surface dust concentration from the Control (grey), $V_{thresh}12mn$ (orange), and $V_{thresh}Ann$ (yellow) simulations. Error bars are \pm one standard deviations of daily mean in each month averaged over 2006-2014. Unites: $\mu g m^{-1}$ (d)-(f) seasonal cycle of DOD (550 nm) from three AERONET sites co-located with LISA sites (blue) versus that modeled by the Control (grey), $V_{thresh}12mn$ (orange), and $V_{thresh}Ann$ (yellow) simulations. 1469 Figure 14. Daily DOD from MODIS (top panel), daily DOD simulated by the $V_{thresh}12mn$

run along with anomalies (with reference to the 2000-2015 mean) of surface wind vectors

1471 (m s⁻¹; bottom panel) from Oct. 17th to Oct. 19th, 2012. Only DOD over land is shown.

1472 Missing values in MODIS DOD (top panel) are plotted in grey shading.

Figure 15. Frequency (%) distribution of regional averaged daily DOD from MODIS (black) versus that from the Control (light blue) and V_{thresh}12mn (orange) simulations for the Sahara, the Sahel, the Arabian Peninsula, northern China, India, western to central U.S., South America, South Africa, and Australia from 2003 to 2015. X-axis denotes the ranges of DOD (the bin spacing for dusty regions is 0.05 and for less dusty regions is 0.01), and y-axis is percentage of occurrence. The light green boxes denote the averaging areas. For regions in the Northern Hemisphere frequency in MAM is shown, while for regions in the Southern Hemisphere frequency in SON is shown.

Table 1 Major dust source regions shown in Figure 1. Note that region names such as
India and northern China are not exactly the same as their geographical definitions but
also cover some areas from nearby countries.

No.	Regions	Lat/long
1	Sahel	10°-20°N, 18°W-35°E
2	Sahara	20°-35°N, 15°W-25°E
3	Arabian Peninsula	15°-35°N, 35°-60°E
4	Northern China (N. China)	35°-45°N, 77°-103°E
5	India	20°-35°N, 60°-85°E
6	U.S.	25°-45°N, 102°-125°W
7	South Africa (S. Africa)	17°-35°S, 15°-30°E
8	South America (S. America)	18°-55°S, 65°-75°W
9	Australia	15°-35°S, 128-147°E

Table 2 Sensitivity of annual mean wind erosion threshold (m s⁻¹) to the selection of different retrieval criteria. Note the setting of the last column is the same as *DOD_{thresh}=*0.2 or 0.02, except surface DOD (sDOD) from Aqua is used over North Africa. Here *DOD_{thresh}=*0.2 or 0.5 is applied to dusty regions, i.e., the Sahel, Sahara, Arabian Peninsula, northern China, and India, while *DOD_{thresh}=*0.02 or 0.05 is applied to less dusty regions, i.e., the U.S., South Africa, South America, and Australia.

Regions	Soil Moisture (cm ³ cm ⁻³)		LAI (m ² m ⁻²)		Snow coverage (%)			DODthresh				
	< 0.1	< 0.15	None	< 0.15	< 0.3	< 0.5	<=0.2 <=2 <=10		<=10	=0.2 (0.02)	=0.5 (0.05)	sDOD
Sahel	3.21	3.19	3.22	3.24	3.21	3.19	3.21	3.21	3.21	3.21	4.93	6.05
Sahara	4.61	4.56	4.49	4.54	4.61	4.59	4.61	4.61	4.61	4.61	7.59	7.66
AP	5.37	5.26	5.26	5.26	5.37	5.37	5.37	5.36	5.35	5.37	8.00	5.57
N. China	7.73	7.64	7.07	7.79	7.73	7.71	7.73	7.56	7.44	7.73	10.15	7.73
India	5.63	5.12	4.99	6.46	5.63	5.63	5.63	5.61	5.60	5.63	8.59	5.63
U.S.	5.71	5.23	4.98	6.53	5.71	5.56	5.71	5.60	5.41	5.71	7.04	5.71
S. Africa	5.41	5.23	5.20	6.72	5.41	5.10	5.41	5.40	5.40	5.41	6.46	5.41
S. America	6.46	6.32	6.20	6.88	6.46	6.39	6.46	6.39	6.35	6.46	8.20	6.46
Australia	5.19	5.16	5.14	5.66	5.19	5.22	5.19	5.19	5.19	5.19	6.49	5.19

1521	from different reanalyses (DOD _{thresh} $= 0.2$ or 0.02).						
1522		•	`	,			
1523				<u>.</u>			
1524	Regions		Reanalysis				
1525		NCEP	ERA-Interim	ERA5			
1526	Sahel	3.21	4.54	4.80			
1527	Sahara	4.61	5.56	5.63			
1528	AP	5.37	6.12	5.50			
1529	N. China	7.73	7.94	7.05			
1530	India	5.63	7.01	5.70			
1531	U.S.	5.71	6.82	6.18			
1532	S. Africa	5.41	7.17	6.26			
1533	S. America	6.46	7.51	6.36			
1534	Australia	5.19	7.36	6.68			
1535							
1536							
1537							
1538							
1539							
1540							
1541							
1542							
1543							
1544							
1545	Tab	ole 4 Simul	ation design				
1546							
	Simulations	Wind er	osion threshold	Source	function		
	Control		6 m s ⁻¹		S		
	V _{thresh} 12mn	12-m	onth V _{threshold}	Å	S'		
	V _{thresh} Ann	Annual	mean V _{threshold}	Å	S'		
1547							
1548							
1549							
1550							
1551							
1552							
1553							
1554							
1555							
1556							

1519
1520 Table 3 Sensitivity of annual mean wind erosion threshold (m s⁻¹) to surface wind speeds
1521 from different reanalyses (DOD_{thresh}= 0.2 or 0.02).



Figure 1. (a)-(e) Frequencies of occurrence (FoO; unit: days per season) in each season and annual mean. (f)-(j) Threshold of wind erosion ($V_{threshold}$; unit: m s⁻¹) derived from satellite products and reanalyses for each season and annual mean using $DOD_{thresh} = 0.2$ (or 0.02). Black boxes in (f) denote nine dust source regions as listed in Table 1.





1570 Figure 2. (a)-(i) Frequency distribution of annual mean $V_{threshold}$ (black bars) in each 1571 region (black boxes in Fig. 1) and for dusty seasons, i.e., MAM (green) and JJA (blue) 1572 for regions in the Northern Hemisphere and SON (orange) and DJF (grey) for regions in 1573 the Southern Hemisphere. The mean (averaged over all grid points in the region, without 1574 area weight) and \pm one standard deviations of $V_{threshold}$ in each region are shown on the 1575 top right of each plot.

- 1576
- 1577
- 1578
- 1579 1580
- 1581
- 1582
- 1583
$V_{threshold} (0.5^{\circ} \times 0.5^{\circ})$



Figure 3. (a)-(e) Threshold of wind erosion ($V_{threshold}$; unit: m s⁻¹) derived from satellite products and reanalyses for each season and annual mean using $DOD_{thresh}=0.5$ (or 0.05). Black boxes in (a) denote nine dust source regions as listed in Table 1.

2003-2015 (a) AERONET AOD (550nm)



1589 Figure 4. Climatology of annual mean AERONET (a) AOD (550 nm) and (b) SDA COD(500 nm) averaged over 2003-2015.



Figure 5. Scatter plot of simulated annual mean (a) AOD and (b) COD in the Control run versus AERONET AOD and COD (left), and the relative difference (in percentage) (c)
between modeled AOD and AERONET AOD and (d) between modeled COD and AERONET COD (right). (e) The relative contribution of DOD to COD in the model.



1619	Figure 6.	Same as	Fig. 5	5 but	for the	Vthresh	12mn	simulation.
1017	I iguite 0.	Same as	1 1g	Jour	101 the	• thresh	1211111	sinnulation.



Figure 7. (a) Climatology (2003-2015) of AERONET DOD (550 nm) over major dusty regions and (b) scatter plot of modeled DOD in the V_{thresh}12mn simulation versus AERONET DOD, and (c) the relative difference (in percentage) between modeled DOD and AERONET DOD in the V_{thresh}12mn simulation.



Figure 8. Regional averaged annual mean DOD (2003-2015) over nine regions from the
 Control (grey), V_{thresh}12mn (orange), and V_{thresh}Ann (yellow) simulations and MODIS

- 1648 (black).



1655 Figure 9. Scatter plots (left column) of model simulated (from top to bottom are the 1656 Control, $V_{\text{thresh}}Ann$, and $V_{\text{thresh}}12mn$ simulations) surface dust concentration (µg m⁻³) 1657 versus the climatology of observed surface dust concentration from RSMAS stations 1658 (Savoie and Prospero 1989), and spatial pattern of surface dust concentration from model output (shading; right column) and the ratio between modeled and RSMAS station 1659 1660 observed surface dust concentration (color triangles, with upward triangles indicating 1661 overestimation and downward triangles indicating underestimation). 16 stations were 1662 used, and numbers in each triangle (right) and grey dots (left) indicate the stations. The 1663 one-one, one-two and one-five lines are plotted in solid, dashed and dash-dotted lines in 1664 the scatter plots. Statistics in the scatter plots are calculated in logarithmic space. 1665



1667 Figure 10. Annual mean surface fine dust concentration ($\mu g m^{-3}$) from IMPROVE 1668 stations (left column) and three simulations (middle column) and the differences between 1669 model and observation (right column) for 2002-2015.

Dust optical depth (2003-2015)



Figure 11. Seasonal cycle of DOD from MODIS (black), the Control (grey), V_{thresh}12mn (orange), and V_{thresh}Ann (yellow) runs, and gridded AERONET SDA COD (blue) averaged over nine regions. The annual mean of each dataset in each region is listed on the top of the plot.



Dust optical depth (2003-2015) N. Africa



1716Figure 12. Seasonal cycle of DOD over 12 AERONET SDA sites (see Fig. S7 in the1717Supplement for locations) from the Control (grey), $V_{thresh}12mn$ (orange), and $V_{thresh}Ann$ 1718(yellow) simulations, along with DOD from MODIS (blue), and COD from AERONET1719(black dotted line). All values are averaged over 2003-2015. The location (lat/long) and1720the name (due to space, only first seven characters are shown) of the sites are listed at the1721top of each plot.



Figure 13. (a)-(c) Seasonal cycle of PM_{10} surface concentration (black) over three sites from the LISA project, along with PM_{10} surface dust concentration from the Control (grey), V_{thresh}12mn (orange), and V_{thresh}Ann (yellow) simulations. Error bars are \pm one standard deviations of daily mean in each month averaged over 2006-2014. Unites: $\mu g m^{-3}$. (d)-(f) seasonal cycle of DOD (550 nm) from three AERONET sites co-located with LISA sites (blue) versus that modeled by the Control (grey), V_{thresh}12mn (orange), and V_{thresh}Ann (yellow) simulations.



Figure 14. Daily DOD from MODIS (top panel), daily DOD simulated by the V_{thresh}12mn
run along with anomalies (with reference to the 2000-2015 mean) of surface wind vectors
(m s⁻¹; bottom panel) from Oct. 17th to Oct. 19th, 2012. Only DOD over land is shown.
Missing values in MODIS DOD (top panel) are plotted in grey shading.



Frequency of DOD

Figure 15. Frequency (%) distribution of regional averaged daily DOD from MODIS (black) versus that from the Control (light blue) and V_{thresh}12mn (orange) simulations for the Sahara, the Sahel, the Arabian Peninsula, northern China, India, western to central U.S., South America, South Africa, and Australia from 2003 to 2015. X-axis denotes the ranges of DOD (the bin spacing for dusty regions is 0.05 and for less dusty regions is 0.01), and y-axis is percentage of occurrence. The light green boxes denote the averaging areas. For regions in the Northern Hemisphere frequency in MAM is shown, while for regions in the Southern Hemisphere frequency in SON is shown.

- 1 9 0 0