¹ **Speciated atmospheric mercury and sea-air exchange of**

² **gaseous mercury in the South China Sea**

Chunjie Wang¹, Zhangwei Wang¹, Fan Hui², Xiaoshan Zhang¹ 3

¹ 4 Research Center for Eco-Environmental Sciences, Chinese Academy of Sciences, 18 Shuangqing Road, Beijing,

5 China

² 6 China University of Petroleum (Beijing), 18 Fuxue Road, Beijing, China

7 Correspondence to: Xiaoshan Zhang (zhangxsh@rcees.ac.cn)

8 **Abstract**

9 The characteristics of the reactive gaseous mercury (RGM) and particulate mercury (Hg^P) in the 10 marine boundary layer (MBL) is poorly understood due in part to sparse data from sea and ocean. 11 Gaseous elemental Hg (GEM), RGM and size-fractioned Hg^P in marine atmosphere, and dissolved 12 gaseous Hg (DGM) in surface seawater were determined in the South China Sea (SCS) during an 13 oceanographic expedition (3–28 September 2015). The mean concentrations of GEM, RGM and 14 Hg^P_{2.5} were 1.52 ± 0.32 ng m⁻³, 6.1 ± 5.8 pg m⁻³ and 3.2 ± 1.8 pg m⁻³, respectively. Low GEM 15 level indicated that the SCS suffered less influence from fresh emissions, which could be due to 16 the majority of air masses coming from the open oceans as modeled by backward trajectories. 17 Atmospheric reactive Hg (RGM + $Hg_{2.5}^P$) represented less than 1 % of total atmospheric Hg, 18 indicating that atmospheric Hg existed mainly as GEM in the MBL. The GEM and RGM 19 concentrations in the northern SCS (1.73 \pm 0.40 ng m⁻³ and 7.1 \pm 1.4 pg m⁻³ respectively) were 20 significantly higher than those in the western SCS (1.41 \pm 0.26 ng m⁻³ and 3.8 \pm 0.7 pg m⁻³), and 21 the Hg^P_{2.5} and Hg^P₁₀ levels (8.3 and 24.4 pg m⁻³) in the Pearl River Estuary (PRE) were 0.5–6.0 22 times higher than those in the open waters of the SCS, suggesting that the PRE was polluted to 23 some extent. The size distribution of Hg^P in PM_{10} was observed to be three-modal with peaks 24 around $\langle 0.4, 0.7-1.1 \rangle$ and 5.8–9.0 µm, respectively, but the coarse modal was the dominant size, 25 especially in the open SCS. There was no significant diurnal pattern of GEM and $Hg_{2.5}^P$, but we 26 found the mean RGM concentration was significantly higher in daytime $(8.0 \pm 5.5 \text{ pg m}^{-3})$ than in 27 nighttime (2.2 \pm 2.7 pg m⁻³) mainly due to the influence of solar radiation. In the northern SCS, the DGM concentrations in nearshore area $(40-55 \text{ pg } \text{L}^{-1})$ were about twice as high as those in the 29 open sea, but this pattern was not significant in the western SCS. The sea-air exchange fluxes of 30 Hg⁰ in the SCS varied from 0.40 to 12.71 ng m⁻² h⁻¹ with a mean value of 4.99 \pm 3.32 ng m⁻² h⁻¹. 31 The annual emission flux of Hg^0 from the SCS to the atmosphere was estimated to be 159.6 tons 32 yr^{-1} , accounting for about 5.54 % of the global Hg^{0} oceanic evasion although the SCS only 33 represents 1.0 % of the global ocean area. Additionally, the annual dry deposition flux of 34 atmospheric reactive Hg represented more than 18 % of the annual evasion flux of Hg⁰, and therefore the dry deposition of atmospheric reactive Hg was an important pathway for the input of atmospheric Hg to the SCS.

1 Introduction

 Mercury (Hg) is a naturally occurring metal, and it is generally released to the environment through both the natural and anthropogenic pathways (Schroeder and Munthe, 1998). However, since the Industrial Revolution, the anthropogenic emissions of Hg increased drastically. Continued rapid industrialization has made Asia the largest source region of Hg emissions to air, with East and Southeast Asia accounting for about 40 % of the global total (UNEP, 2013). Three operationally defined Hg forms are present in the atmosphere: gaseous elemental Hg (GEM or 44 Hg⁰), reactive gaseous Hg (RGM) and particulate Hg (Hg^P) (Schroeder and Munthe, 1998; Landis et al., 2002), while they have different physicochemical characteristics. GEM is very stable with a 46 residence time of 0.2–1.0 yr due to its high volatility and low solubility (Radke et al., 2007; Selin et al., 2007; Horowitz et al., 2017). Therefore, GEM can be transported for a long-range distance in the atmosphere, and this makes it well-mixed on a regional and global scale. Generally, GEM 49 makes up more than 95 % of total atmospheric Hg (TAM), while the RGM and Hg^P concentrations 50 (collectively known as atmospheric reactive mercury) are typically $2-3$ orders of magnitude smaller than GEM in part because they are easily removed from ambient air by wet and dry deposition (Laurier and Mason, 2007; Holmes et al., 2009; Gustin et al., 2013), and they can also 53 be reduced back to Hg^0 . It should be noted that all of the acronyms in this article have been listed in the Appendix.

55 Numerous previous studies have shown that Hg^0 in the marine boundary layer (MBL) can be rapidly oxidized to form RGM in situ (Laurier et al., 2003; Sprovieri et al., 2003, 2010; Laurier and Mason, 2007; Soerensen et al., 2010a; Wang et al., 2015; Mao et al., 2016; Ye et al., 2016). Ozone and OH could potentially be important oxidants on aerosols (Ariya et al., 2015; Ye et al., 2016), while the reactive halogen species (e.g., Br, Cl and BrO, generating from sea salt aerosols) 60 may be the dominant sources for the oxidation of Hg^0 in the MBL (Holmes et al., 2006, 2010; Auzmendi-Murua et al., 2014; Gratz et al., 2015; Steffen et al., 2015; Shah et al., 2016; Horowitz et al., 2017). However, a recent study showed that Br and BrO became dominant GEM oxidants in 63 the marine atmosphere with mixing ratios reaching 0.1 and 1 pptv, respectively, and contributing \sim 64 70 % of the total RGM production during midday, while O_3 dominated GEM oxidation (50–90 % of RGM production) when Br and BrO mixing ratios were diminished (Ye et al., 2016). The wet and dry deposition (direct or uptake by sea-salt aerosol) represents a major input of RGM and Hg^P to the sea and ocean due to their special and unique characteristics (i.e., high reactivity and water solubility) (Landis et al., 2002; Holmes et al., 2009). Previous studies also showed that 69 atmospheric wet and dry deposition of RGM (mainly HgBr₂, HgCl₂, HgO, Hg-nitrogen and sulfur compounds) was the greatest source of Hg to open oceans (Holmes et al., 2009; Mason et al., 2012; Huang et al., 2017). A recent study suggested that approximately 80 % of atmospheric reactive Hg sinks into the global oceans, and most of the deposition takes place to the tropical oceans (Horowitz et al., 2017).

 The atmospheric reactive Hg deposited to the oceans follows different reaction pathways. One important process is that divalent Hg can be combined with the existing particles followed by sedimentation, or be converted to methylmercury (MeHg), the most bioaccumulative and toxic form of Hg in seafood (Ahn et al., 2010; Mason et al., 2017). Another important process is that the divalent Hg can be converted to dissolved gaseous Hg (DGM) through abiotic and biotic mechanisms (Strode et al., 2007). It is well known that almost all DGM in the surface seawater is $Hg⁰$ (Horvat et al., 2003), while the dimethylmercury is extremely rare in the surface seawater (Bowman et al., 2015). It has been found that a majority of the surface seawater was supersaturated with respect to Hg^0 (Soerensen et al., 2010b, 2013, 2014), and parts of this Hg^0 83 may be emitted to the atmosphere. Evasion of Hg^0 from the oceanic surface into the atmosphere is partly driven by the solar radiation and aquatic Hg pools of natural and anthropogenic origins (Andersson, et al., 2011). Sea-air exchange is an important component of the global Hg cycle as it mediates the rate of increase in ocean Hg and therefore the rate of change in level of MeHg. 87 Consequently, Hg^0 evasion from sea surface not only decreases the amount of Hg available for methylation in waters but also has an important effect on the redistribution of Hg in the global 89 environment (Strode et al., 2007).

 In recent years, speciated atmospheric Hg has been monitored in coastal areas (Xu et al., 2015; Ye et al., 2016; Howard et al., 2017; Mao et al., 2017) and open seas and oceans (e.g., Chand et al., 2008; Soerensen et al., 2010a; Mao et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2016a, b). However, there exists a dearth of knowledge regarding speciated atmospheric Hg and sea-air exchange of Hg^0 in tropical seas, such as the South China Sea (SCS). The highly time-resolved ambient GEM 95 concentrations were measured using a Tekran[®] system. Simultaneously, the RGM, Hg^P and DGM were measured using manual methods. The main objectives of this study are to identify the spatial-temporal characteristics of speciated atmospheric Hg and to investigate the DGM 98 concentrations in the SCS during the cruise in September 2015, and then to calculate the Hg^0 flux based on the meteorological parameters as well as the concentrations of GEM in air and DGM in surface seawater. These results will raise our knowledge of the Hg cycle in tropical marine atmosphere and waters.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Study area

 The SCS is located in the downwind of Southeast Asia (Fig. 1a), and it is the largest semi-enclosed marginal sea in the western tropical Pacific Ocean. The SCS is connected with the East China Sea (ECS) to the northeast and the western Pacific Ocean to the east (Fig. 1a). The SCS is surrounded by numerous developing and developed countries (Fig. 1a). An open cruise was organized by the South China Sea Institute of Oceanology (Chinese Academy of Sciences) and conducted during the period of 328 September 2015. The sampling campaign was conducted on R/V *Shiyan 3*, which departed from Guangzhou, circumnavigated the northern and western SCS and then returned to Guangzhou. The DGM sampling stations and R/V tracks were plotted in Fig. 1b. In this study, meteorological parameters (including photosynthetically available radiation (PAR) 113 (Li-COR®, Model: Li-250), wind speed, air temperature and RH) were measured synchronously with atmospheric Hg onboard the R/V.

2.2 Experimental methods

2.2.1 Atmospheric GEM measurements

 In this study, GEM was measured using an automatic dual channel, single amalgamation cold 118 vapor atomic fluorescence analyzer (Model 2537B, Tekran®, Inc., Toronto, Canada), which has been reported in our previous studies (Wang et al., 2016a, b, c). In order to reduce the 120 contamination from ship exhaust plume as possible, we installed the Tekran[®] system inside the 121 ship laboratory (the internal air temperature was controlled to 25 \degree C using an air conditioner) on the fifth deck of the R/V and mounted the sampling inlet at the front deck 1.5 m above the top deck (about 16 m above sea level) using a 7 m heated (maintained at 50 °C) polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) tube (¼ inch in outer diameter). The sampling interval was 5 min 125 and the air flow rate was 1.5 l min⁻¹ in this study. Moreover, two PTFE filters (0.2 µm pore size, 47 mm diameter) were positioned before and after the heated line, and the soda lime before the 127 instrument was changed every 3 days during the cruise. The Tekran® instrument was calibrated every 25 h using the internal calibration source and these calibrations were checked by injections 129 of certain volume of saturated Hg^0 before and after this cruise. The relative percent difference between manual injections and automated calibrations was < 5 %. The precision of the analyzer 131 was determined to > 97 %, and the detection limit was < 0.1 ng m⁻³.

 The meteorological and basic seawater parameters were collected onboard the R/V, which was equipped with meteorological and oceanographic instrumentations. To investigate the influence of air masses movements on the GEM levels, 72-h backward trajectories of air masses were calculated using the Hybrid Single Particle Lagrangian Integrated Trajectory (HYSPLIT) model (Draxler and Rolph, 2012) and TrajStat software (Wang et al., 2009) based on Geographic Information System. Global Data Assimilation System (GDAS) meteorological dataset 138 (ftp://arlftp.arlhq.noaa.gov/pub/archives/gdas1/) with $1° \times 1°$ latitude and longitude horizontal spatial resolution and 23 vertical levels at 6-h intervals was used as the HYSPLIT model input. It should be noted that the start time of each back trajectory was identical to the GEM sampling time (UTC) and the start height was set at 500 m above sea level to represent the approximate height of 142 the mixing MBL where atmospheric pollutants were well mixed.

2.2.2 Sampling and analysis of RGM and Hg^P 143

144 The $Hg_{2.5}^P(Hg^P)$ in PM_{2.5}) was collected on quartz filter (47 mm in diameter, Whatman), which has 145 been reported in several previous studies (Landis et al., 2002; Liu et al., 2011; Kim et al., 2012). It 146 should be pointed out that the KCl coated denuders were heated at 500 \degree for 1 h and the quartz 147 filters were pre-cleaned by pyrolysis at 900 \degree C for 3 h to remove the possible pollutant. The RGM 148 and $Hg_{2.5}^P$ were sampled using a manual system (URG-3000M), which has been reported in 149 previous studies (Landis et al., 2002; Liu et al., 2011; Wang et al., 2016b). The sampling unit 150 includes an insulated box (Fig. S1), two quartz annular denuders, two Teflon filter holder (URG Corporation) and a pump etc. The sampling flow rate was 10 l min−1 151 (Landis et al., 2002), and the 152 sampling inlet was 1.2 m above the top deck of the R/V. In this study, one $Hg_{2.5}^P$ sample was 153 collected in the daytime $(6:00-18:00)$ and the other in the nighttime $(18:00-6:00$ (next day)), 154 while two RGM samples were collected in the daytime $(6:00-12:00$ and $12:00-18:00$, local time) 155 and one RGM sample in the nighttime. Quality assurance and quality control for Hg^P and RGM 156 were carried out using field blank samples and duplicates. The field blank denuders and quartz 157 filters were treated similarly to the other samples but not sampling. The mean relative differences 158 of duplicated Hg^P_{2.5} and RGM samples (n = 6) were 13 \pm 6 % and 9 \pm 7 %, respectively.

 Meanwhile, we collected different size particles using an Andersen impactor (nine-stage), which has been widely used in previous studies (Feddersen et al., 2012; Kim et al., 2012; Zhu et al., 2014; Wang et al., 2016a). The Andersen cascade impactor was installed on the front top deck 162 of the R/V to sample the size-fractioned particles in PM_{10} . In order to diminish the contamination from exhaust plume of the ship as much as possible, we turned off the pump when R/V arrived at stations, and then switched back on when the R/V went to next station. The sample collection began in the morning (10:00 am) and continued for 2 days with a sampling flow rate of 28.3 l 166 min⁻¹. Field blanks for Hg^P were collected by placing nine pre-cleaned quartz filters (81 mm in diameter, Whatman) in another impactor for 2 days without turning on the pump. After sampling, the quartz filters were placed in cleaned plastic boxes (sealing in Zip Lock plastic bags), and then 169 were immediately preserved at -20 °C until the analysis.

170 The detailed analysis processes of RGM and Hg^P have been reported in our previous studies 171 (Wang et al., 2016a, b). Briefly, the denuder and quartz filter were thermally desorbed at 500 °C 172 and 900 °C, respectively, and then the resulting thermally decomposed Hg^0 in carrier gas (zero air, 173 i.e., Hg-free air) was quantified. The method detection limit was calculated to be 0.67 pg m⁻³ for 174 RGM based on 3 times the standard deviation of the blanks (n = 57) for the whole dataset. The 175 average field blank value of denuders was 1.2 \pm 0.6 pg (n = 6), while the average blank values (n = 176 6) of Hg^P_{2.5} and Hg^P₁₀ were 1.4 pg (equivalent of < 0.2 pg m⁻³ for a 12 h sampling time) and 3.2 177 pg (equivalent of < 0.04 pg m⁻³ for a 2-day sampling time) of Hg per filter, respectively. The 178 detection limits of $Hg_{2.5}^P$ and Hg_{10}^P were all less than 1.5 pg m⁻³ based on 3 times the standard 179 deviation of field blanks. It should be noted that all the observed RGM and Hg^P values were

180 higher than the corresponding blank values, and the average blank values for RGM and Hg^P were 181 subtracted from the samples.

182 **2.2.3 Determination of DGM in surface seawater**

183 In this study, the analysis was carried out according to the trace element clean technique, all 184 containers (borosilicate glass bottles and PTFE tubes, joints and valves) were cleaned prior to use 185 with [detergent,](file:///C:/æéè¯å¸/Dict/7.5.2.0/resultui/dict/) followed by trace-metal-grade HNO₃ and HCl, and then rinsed with Milli-Q water 186 (> 18.2 M Ω cm⁻¹), which has been described in our previous study (Wang et al., 2016c). DGM 187 were measured in situ using a manual method (Fu et al., 2010; Ci et al., 2011). The detailed 188 sampling and analysis of DGM has been elaborated in our previous study (Wang et al., 2016c). 189 The analytical blanks were conducted onboard the R/V by extracting Milli-Q water for DGM. The 190 mean concentration of DGM blank was 2.3 \pm 1.2 pg l⁻¹ (n = 6), accounting for 3-10 % of the raw 191 DGM in seawater samples. The method detection limit was 3.6 pg I^{-1} on the basis of three times 192 the standard deviation of system blanks. The relative standard deviation of duplicate samples 193 generally $< 8\%$ of the mean concentration (n = 6).

2.2.4 Estimation of sea-air exchange flux of Hg⁰ 194

195 The sea-air flux of Hg^0 was calculated using a thin film gas exchange model developed by Liss 196 and Slater (1974) and Wanninkhof (1992). The detailed calculation processes of Hg^0 flux have 197 been reported in recent studies (Ci et al., 2011; Kuss, 2014; Wang et al., 2016c; Kuss et al., 2018). 198 It should be noted that the Schmidt number for gaseous Hg (Sc_{He}) is defined as the following 199 equation: $Sc_{\text{Hg}} = \nu/D_{\text{Hg}}$, where *v* is the kinematic viscosity (cm²s⁻¹) of seawater calculated using 200 the method of Wanninkhof (1992), D_{Hg} is the Hg⁰ diffusion coefficient (cm² s⁻¹) in seawater, 201 which is calculated according to the recent research (Kuss, 2014). The degree of Hg^0 saturation (S_a) 202 was calculated using the following equation: $S_a = H'DGM_{conc}/GEM_{conc}$, and the calculation of *H'* 203 (the dimensionless Henry's Law constant) has been reported in previous studies (Ci et al., 2011, 204 2015; Kuss, 2014).

205 **3 Results and discussion**

206 **3.1 Speciated atmospheric Hg concentrations**

207 Figure 2 shows the time series of speciated atmospheric Hg and meteorological parameters during 208 the cruise in the SCS. The GEM concentration during the whole study period ranged from 0.92 to 209 4.12 ng m⁻³ with a mean value of 1.52 \pm 0.32 ng m⁻³ (n = 4673), which was comparable to the 210 average GEM levels over the global oceans $(1.4-1.6 \text{ ng m}^{-3})$, Soerensen et al., 2010a, 2013) and 211 Atlantic Ocean (1.63 \pm 0.02 ng m⁻³, Laurier and Mason, 2007), and higher than those at 212 background sites in [the](file:///C:/æéè¯å¸/Dict/7.5.2.0/resultui/dict/) [Southern](file:///C:/æéè¯å¸/Dict/7.5.2.0/resultui/dict/) [Hemisphere](file:///C:/æéè¯å¸/Dict/7.5.2.0/resultui/dict/) $(0.85-1.05 \text{ ng m}^{-3})$, Slemr et al., 2015; Howard et 213 al., 2017), and also higher than those in remote oceans, such as the Cape Verde Observatory

214 station (1.19 \pm 0.13 ng m⁻³, Read et al., 2017), equatorial Pacific Ocean (1.15-1.05 ng m⁻³, 215 Soerensen et al., 2014) and Indian Ocean $(1.0-1.2 \text{ ng m}^{-3})$, Witt et al., 2010; Angot et al., 2014), but lower than those in marginal seas, such as the Bohai Sea (BS), Yellow Sea (YS) and ECS (Table 1). However, previous studies conducted in the northern SCS showed that the average 218 GEM concentrations in their study period $(2.6-3.5 \text{ ng m}^{-3})$, Fu et al., 2010; Tseng et al., 2012) were higher than that in this study. This is due to the fact that the GEM level in the northern SCS (Fu et al., 2010; Tseng et al., 2012) were considerably higher than that in the western SCS (this study).

222 The Hg^P_{2.5} concentrations over the SCS ranged from 1.2 to 8.3 pg m⁻³ with a mean value of 3.2 ± 1.8 pg m⁻³ (n = 39) (Fig. 2), which was higher than those observed at Nam Co (China) and the Amsterdam Island, and were comparable to those in other coastal areas, such as the Okinawa Island, Nova Scotia, Adriatic Sea, Ontario lake and Weeks Bay (see Table 1), but lower than those in the BS and YS (Wang et al., 2016b), and considerably lower than those in rural and urban sites, 227 such as Xiamen, Seoul (see Table 1), Guiyang and Waliguan (Fu et al., 2011, 2012). The results showed that the SCS suffered less influence from fresh emissions. The RGM concentration over 229 the SCS ranged from 0.27 to 27.57 pg m⁻³ with a mean value of 6.1 \pm 5.8 pg m⁻³ (n = 58), which was comparable to those in other seas, such as the North Pacific Ocean, North Atlantic Ocean and Mediterranean Sea (including the Adriatic Sea) (Table 1), and higher than the global mean RGM concentration in the MBL (Soerensen et al., 2010a), and also higher than those measured at a few rural sites (Valente et al., 2007; Liu et al., 2010; Cheng et al., 2013, 2014), but significantly much 234 lower than those polluted urban areas in China and South Korea, such as Guiyang (35.7 \pm 43.9 pg m^{-3} , Fu et al., 2011), Xiamen, and Seoul (Table 1). Furthermore, Figure 2 shows that the 236 long-lived GEM has smaller variability compared to the short-lived species like RGM and $Hg_{2.5}^P$, indicating that atmospheric reactive Hg was easily scavenged from the marine atmosphere due not only to their characteristics (high activity and solubility) but also due to their sensitivity to meteorological conditions and chemical environments. This pattern was consistent with our previous observed patterns in the BS and YS (Wang et al., 2016b). Moreover, we found that atmospheric reactive Hg represents less than 1 % of TAM in the atmosphere, which was comparable to those measured in other marginal and inner seas, such as the BS and YS (Wang et al., 2016b), Adriatic Sea (Sprovieri and Pirrone, 2008), Okinawa Island (located in the ECS) (Chand et al., 2008), but was significantly lower than those at the urban sites (Table 1).

3.2 Spatial distribution of atmospheric Hg

3.2.1 Spatial distributions of GEM and RGM

 The spatial distribution of GEM over the SCS was illustrated in Fig. 3a. The mean GEM 248 concentration in the northern SCS (1.73 \pm 0.40 ng m⁻³ with a range of 1.01–4.12 ng m⁻³) was 249 significantly higher than that in the western SCS (1.41 \pm 0.26 ng m⁻³ with a range of 0.92–2.83 ng

 m^{-3}) (*t*-test, $p < 0.01$). Additionally, we found that the GEM concentrations in the PRE (the 251 average value > 2.00 ng m⁻³) were significantly higher than those in the open SCS (see Figs. 2 and 3a), indicating that this nearshore area suffered from high GEM pollution in our study period probably due to the surrounding human activities. Figure 3a showed that there was large 254 difference in GEM concentration between stations $1-10$ and stations $16-31$. The 72-h back-trajectories of air masses showed that the air masses with low GEM levels between stations 1 and 10 mainly originated from the SCS (Fig. S2a), while the air masses with high GEM levels at 257 stations 16–31 primarily originated from East China and ECS, and then passed over the southeast coastal regions of China (Fig. S2b). Additionally, it could be found that there was small variability of GEM concentrations over the western SCS except the measurements near the station 79 (Fig. 3a). The back-trajectories showed that the air masses with elevated GEM level near the station 79 originated from the south of the Taiwan Island, while the other air masses mainly originated from the West Pacific Ocean (Fig. S3a) and the Andaman Sea (Fig. S3b). Therefore, the air masses dominantly originated from sea and ocean in this study period, and this could be the main reason for the low GEM level over the SCS. In conclusion, GEM concentrations showed a conspicuous dependence on the sources and movement patterns of air masses during this cruise.

 The spatial distribution of RGM over the SCS was plotted in Fig. 3b. The mean RGM 267 concentration in the northern SCS (7.1 \pm 1.4 pg m⁻³) was also obviously higher than that in the 268 western SCS (3.8 \pm 0.7 pg m⁻³) (*t*-test, *p* < 0.05), indicating that a portion of RGM in the northern SCS maybe originated from the anthropogenic emission. We observed elevated RGM concentrations in the PRE, and this spatial distribution pattern was consistent with that of GEM, indicating that part of the RGM near PRE probably originated from the surrounding human activities. This is confirmed by the following fact: The RGM concentrations in nighttime of the 273 two days in the PRE were 11.3 and 5.2 pg m^{-3} (Figs. 3b and S4), and they were significantly higher than those in the open SCS. Another obvious feature was that the amplitude of RGM concentration was much greater than the GEM, and this further indicated that the RGM was easily removed from the atmosphere through both the wet and dry deposition. In addition, we found that the RGM concentrations in the nearshore area were not always higher than those in the open sea except the measurements in the PRE, suggesting that the RGM in the remote marine atmosphere 279 presumably not originated from land but from the in situ photo-oxidation of Hg^0 , which had been reported in previous studies (e.g., Hedgecock and Pirrone, 2001; Lindberg et al., 2002; Laurier et al., 2003; Sprovieri et al., 2003, 2010; Sheu and Mason, 2004; Laurier and Mason, 2007; Soerensen et al., 2010a; Wang et al., 2015).

283 3.2.2 Spatial distributions of $\text{Hg}_{2.5}^{\text{P}}$ **and** $\text{Hg}_{10}^{\text{P}}$

284 The concentrations and spatial distribution of $Hg_{2.5}^P$ in the MBL were illustrated in Fig. 4a. The 285 highest Hg^P_{2.5} value (8.3 pg m⁻³) was observed in the PRE during daytime on 4 September 2015 286 presumably due to the local human activities. The homogeneous distribution and lower level of 287 Hg^P_{2.5} in the open SCS indicated that the Hg^P_{2.5} not originated from the land and the SCS suffered 288 less influence from human activities especially in the open sea. This is due to the fact that the 289 majority of air masses in the SCS during this study period came from the seas and oceans. The 290 spatial distribution pattern of $Hg_{2.5}^P$ in this study was different from our previous observed 291 patterns in the BS and YS (Wang et al., 2016b), which showed that $Hg_{2.5}^{P}$ concentrations in 292 nearshore area were higher than those in the open sea both in spring and fall mainly due to the 293 outflow of atmospheric Hg^P from East China.

294 The concentrations and spatial distributions of Hg_{10}^{P} in the MBL of the SCS were illustrated 295 in Fig. 4b. We found that the Hg_{10}^P concentration was considerably (1–6 times) higher in the PRE 296 than those of other regions of the SCS probably due to the large emissions of anthropogenic Hg in 297 surrounding areas of the PRE. Moreover, the highest $Hg_{2,1}^P/Hg_{10}^P$ ratio (41 %) was observed in the 298 PRE and coastal sea area of Hainan Island, while lowest ratio (22 %) was observed in the open sea 299 (Fig. 4b). The Hg^P₁₀ concentrations and Hg^P_{2.1}/Hg^P₁₀ ratios were higher in the nearshore area 300 compared to those in the open sea, demonstrating that coastal sea areas are polluted by 301 anthropogenic Hg to a certain extent. Interestingly, we found the mean $Hg_{2,1}^P$ concentration (3.16) 302 \pm 2.69 pg m⁻³, n = 10) measured using the Andersen sampler was comparable to the mean Hg^P_{2.5} 303 concentration (3.33 \pm 1.89 pg m⁻³, n = 39) measured using a 47 mm Teflon filter holder (*t*-test, *p* > 304 0.1). This indicated that the fine Hg^P level in the MBL of the SCS was indeed low, and there might 305 be no significant difference in Hg^P concentration in the SCS between 12 h and 48 h sampling time.

306 The concentrations of all size-fractioned Hg^P were summarized in Table S1. The size 307 distribution of Hg^P in the MBL of the SCS was plotted in Fig. 5. One striking feature was that the 308 three-modal pattern with peaks around < 0.4 , 0.7–1.1 and 5.8–9.0 µm was observed for the size 309 distributions of Hg^P in the open sea (Fig. 5a) if we excluded the data in the PRE. The three-modal pattern was more obvious when we consider all the data (Fig. 5b). Generally, the Hg^P 310 311 concentrations in coarse particles were significantly higher than those in fine particles, and $Hg_{2,1}^P$ 312 contributed approximately 32 % (22–41 %, see Fig. 4b) to the Hg^P₁₀ for the whole data, indicating 313 that the coarse mode was the dominant size during this study period. This might be explained by 314 the sources of the air masses. Since air masses dominantly originated from sea and ocean (Figs. S1, 315 S2) and contained high concentrations of sea salts which generally exist in the coarse mode $(1-10)$ 316 μ m) (Athanasopoulou et al., 2008; Mamane et al., 2008), the $Hg_{2,1}^{P}/Hg_{10}^{P}$ ratios were generally 317 lower in the SCS compared to those in the BS, YS and ECS (Wang et al., 2016a).

3.3 Dry deposition fluxes of RGM and Hg^P 318

319 The dry deposition flux of Hg_{10}^{P} was obtained by summing the dry deposition fluxes of each 320 size-fractionated Hg^P in the same set. The dry deposition flux of Hg^P₁₀ is calculated using the following equation: $F = \sum CHg^{P} \times V_d$, the *F* is the dry deposition flux of $Hg^{P_{10}}$ (ng m⁻² d⁻¹), $CHg^{P_{20}}$ 321

322 is the concentration of Hg^P in each size fraction (pg m⁻³), and *V*_d is the corresponding dry 323 deposition velocity (cm s⁻¹). In this study, the dry deposition velocities of 0.03, 0.01, 0.06, 0.15 324 and 0.55 cm s^{-1} (Giorgi, 1988; Pryor et al., 2000; Nho-Kim et al., 2004) were chosen for the 325 following size-fractioned particles: < 0.4 , $0.4-1.1$, $1.1-2.1$, $2.1-5.8$ and $5.8-10$ um, respectively (Wang et al., 2016a). The average dry deposition flux of Hg_{10}^P was estimated to be 1.08 ng m⁻² d⁻¹ 326 327 based on the average concentration of each size-fractionated Hg^P in the SCS (Table S2), which 328 was lower than those in the BS, YS and ECS (Wang et al., 2016a). The dry deposition velocity of 329 RGM was $4.0-7.6$ cm s⁻¹ because of its characteristics and rapid uptake by sea salt aerosols 330 followed by deposition (Poissant et al., 2004; Selin et al., 2007). The annual dry deposition fluxes 331 of Hg^P₁₀ and RGM to the SCS were calculated to be 1.42 and 27.39–52.05 tons yr^{-1} based on the 332 average Hg^P₁₀ and RGM concentrations and the area of the SCS (3.56 \times 10¹² m²). The result 333 showed that RGM contributed more than 95 % to the total dry deposition of atmospheric reactive 334 Hg. The annual dry deposition flux of RGM was considerably higher than that of the Hg_{10}^P due to 335 the higher deposition rate and concentration of RGM in the SCS.

336 **3.4 Temporal variation of atmospheric Hg**

337 **3.4.1 diurnal variation of GEM**

338 The diurnal variation of GEM concentration during the whole study period was illustrated in Fig. 339 6. It was notable that there was no significant variability of the mean $(\pm SD)$ GEM concentration 340 in a whole day during this study period, and the GEM concentration dominantly fell in the range 341 of 1.3-1.7 ng m^{-3} (Fig. 6). The statistical result showed that the mean GEM concentration in the 342 daytime (6:00–18:00) (1.49 \pm 0.06 pg m⁻³) was comparable to that in the nighttime (1.51 \pm 0.06 343 pg m⁻³) (*t*-test, $p > 0.05$). The lower GEM concentrations and smaller variability over the SCS 344 further revealed that the SCS suffered less influence of fresh emissions.

345 **3.4.2 Daily variation of RGM**

 The average RGM concentrations in the daytime and nighttime were illustrated in Fig. 7. Firstly, it could be found that RGM showed a diurnal variation with higher concentrations in the daytime and lower concentrations in the nighttime during the whole study period. The mean RGM 349 concentration in the daytime $(8.0 \pm 5.5 \text{ pg m}^{-3})$ was significantly and considerably higher than that 350 in the nighttime $(2.2 \pm 2.7 \text{ pg m}^{-3})$ (*t*-test, $p < 0.001$). This diurnal pattern was in line with the previous studies (Laurier and Mason, 2007; Liu et al., 2007; Engle et al., 2008; Cheng et al., 2014). This is due to the fact that the oxidation of GEM in the MBL must be photochemical, which have been evidenced by the diurnal cycle of RGM (Laurier and Mason, 2007). Another reason was that there was more Br (gas phase) production during daytime (Sander et al., 2003). Figure S3 showed that the RGM concentration in the nighttime was lower than those in corresponding forenoon and afternoon except the measurements in the PRE. This further indicated that: (1) the RGM

357 originated from the photo-oxidation of Hg^0 in the atmosphere and (2) the transfer of RGM to Hg^P due to higher RH and lower air temperature in nighttime (Rutter and Schauer, 2007; Lee et al., 2016).

 In addition, we found that the difference in RGM concentration between day and night in the SCS was higher than those in the BS and YS (Wang et al., 2016b), and one possible reason was that the solar radiation and air temperature over the SCS were stronger and higher compared to those over the BS and YS (Wang et al., 2016b) as a result of the specific location of the SCS (tropical sea) and the different sampling season (the SCS: September 2015, the BS and YS: 365 April–May and November 2014). Secondly, it could be found that the higher the RGM concentrations in the daytime, and the higher the RGM concentrations in the nighttime, but the concentrations in daytime were higher than that in the corresponding nighttime throughout the sampling period (see Figs. 7 and S3). This is partly because the higher RH and lower air temperature in nighttime were conductive to the removal of RGM (Rutter and Schauer, 2007; Amos et al., 2012). Thirdly, we found that the difference in RGM concentration between different days was large though there was no significantly difference in PAR values (Fig. 7). However, here again divide two kinds of cases: the first kind of circumstance was that the higher RGM in the PRE (day and night) presumably mainly originated from the surrounding human activities (i.e., 45 September 2015), and the second scenario was that RGM in open waters mainly originated from the in situ oxidation of GEM in the MBL (Soerensen et al., 2010a; Sprovieri et al., 2010). The main reason for the large difference in RGM concentration between different days was that there was large difference in wind speed and RH between different days (see Fig. 2), and the discussion can be found in the following paragraphs.

3.4.3 Daily variation of Hg^P 2.5

380 Figure 8 shows the $Hg_{2.5}^P$ concentrations in the daytime and nighttime during the entire study 381 period. The Hg^P_{2.5} value in the daytime (3.4 \pm 1.9 pg m⁻³, n = 20) was slightly but not significantly 382 higher than that in the nighttime $(2.4 \pm 0.9 \text{ pg m}^{-3}, \text{ n} = 19)$ (*t*-test, $p > 0.1$), and this pattern was consistent with the result of our previous study conducted in the open waters of YS (Wang et al., 384 2016b). The elevated $Hg_{2.5}^P$ concentrations in the PRE and nearshore area of the Hainan Island (Figs. 4 and 8) indicated that the nearshore areas were readily polluted due to the anthropogenic 386 Hg emissions, while the low $Hg_{2.5}^P$ level in the open sea further suggested that the open areas of 387 the SCS suffered less anthropogenic Hg^P . Therefore, we speculated that the $Hg^P_{2.5}$ over the open SCS mainly originated from the in situ formation.

389 During the cruise in the western SCS (16–28 September 2015), we found elevated $Hg_{2.5}^P$ 390 concentrations when the RGM concentrations were high at lower wind speed (e.g., $20-22$) September 2015, it was sunny all these days) (see Figs. 2, 7, 8). This is probably due to the 392 transferring of RGM from the gas to the particle phase. In contrast, we found that the $Hg_{2.5}^P$

- 393 concentrations were elevated when the RGM concentrations were low at higher wind speed (e.g.,
- 394 25-27 September 2015, it was cloudy these days, and there was a transitory drizzly on 26
- 395 September 2015) (see Figs. 2, 7 and 8). On the one hand, high wind speed may increase the levels
- 396 of halogen atoms (Br and Cl etc.) and sea salt aerosols in the marine atmosphere, which in turn
- 397 were favorable to the production of RGM and formation of $Hg_{2.5}^P$ (Auzmendi-Murua et al., 2014).
- 398 On the other hand, high wind speed was favorable to the removal of RGM and $Hg_{2.5}^P$ in the
- 399 atmosphere, this was probably the reason for lower RGM and $Hg_{2.5}^P$ concentrations during 25–27
- 400 September as compared to those observed during 20–22 September (see Figs. 2, 7 and 8).

401 **3.5 Relationship between atmospheric Hg and meteorological parameters**

402 Pearson's correlation coefficients were calculated between speciated Hg and meteorological 403 parameters to identify the relationships between them (Table 2). According to the correlation 404 analysis, the Hg^P_{2.5} was significantly positively correlated with RGM. Part of the reason was that 405 RGM could be adsorbed by particulate matter under high RGM concentrations and then enhanced 406 the Hg^P concentrations. Similarly, the Hg^P_{2.5} had a significantly positive correlation with GEM. On 407 the one hand, GEM and Hg^P probably originated from the same sources (including but not limited 408 to anthropogenic and oceanic sources) especially in the PRE and nearshore areas. On the other 409 hand, it was probably due to the fact that GEM could be oxidized to form RGM and then Hg^P , 410 which might be the reason for the positive but not significant correlation between RGM and GEM 411 since higher GEM level may result in higher RGM level in daytime.

412 The correlation analysis showed that the $Hg_{2.5}^P$ and RGM were all negatively correlated with 413 wind speed and RH (Table 2), and the higher wind speed was favorable to the removal of $Hg_{2.5}^P$ 414 over the RGM. This is because the high wind speed might increase the RH levels and then 415 elevated wind speed and RH may accelerate the removal of $Hg_{2.5}^P$ and RGM (Cheng et al., 2014; 416 Wang et al., 2016b). Moreover, both the air temperature and PAR were positively correlated with 417 RGM and $Hg_{2.5}^P$. However, the significantly positive correlation between PAR and RGM 418 indicated that the role of solar radiation played on the production of RGM was more obvious than 419 that on the formation of $Hg_{2.5}^P$, which was consistent with the previous study at coastal and marine 420 sites (Mao et al., 2012).

3.6 Sea-air exchange of Hg⁰ 421 **in the SCS**

422 The spatial distributions of DGM and Hg^0 fluxes in the SCS were illustrated in Fig. 9. The DGM 423 concentrations in nearshore area (40–55 pg 1^{-1}) were about twice as high as those in the open sea, 424 and this pattern was similar to our previous study conducted in the ECS (Wang et al., 2016c). The 425 DGM concentration in this study varied from 23.0 to 66.8 pg 1^{-1} with a mean value of 37.1 \pm 9.0 426 pg 1^{-1} (Fig. 9a and Table S3), which was higher than those in other open oceans, such as the 427 Atlantic Ocean (11.6 \pm 2.0 pg l⁻¹, Anderson et al., 2011), South Pacific Ocean (9–21 pg l⁻¹,

428 Soerensen et al., 2014), but considerably lower than that in the Minamata Bay (116 \pm 76 pg l⁻¹, 429 Marumoto et al., 2015). The mean DGM concentration in the northern SCS (41.3 \pm 10.9 pg l⁻¹) 430 was significantly higher than that in the western SCS (33.5 \pm 5.0 pg l⁻¹) (*t*-test, *p* < 0.01). The 431 reason was that DGM concentrations in the nearshore areas of the PRE and Hainan Island were 432 higher than those in the western open sea (see Fig. 9a). The DGM in surface seawater of the SCS 433 was supersaturated with a saturation of 501 % to 1468 % with a mean value of 903 \pm 208 %. 434 which was approximately two thirds of that measured in the ECS (Wang et al., 2016c). The result 435 indicated that: (1) the surface seawater in the SCS was supersaturated with gaseous Hg and (2) 436 Hg^0 evaporated from the surface seawater to the atmosphere during our study period.

437 The sea-air exchange fluxes of Hg^0 at all stations were presented in Table S3, including GEM, 438 DGM, PAR, surface seawater temperature, wind speed and saturation of Hg^0 . Sea-air exchange 439 fluxes of Hg⁰ in the SCS ranged from 0.40 to 12.71 ng m⁻² h⁻¹ with a mean value of 4.99 \pm 3.32 $\text{ng m}^{-2} \text{h}^{-1}$ (Fig. 9b and Table S3), which was comparable to the previous measurements obtained in the Mediterranean Sea, northern SCS and West Atlantic Ocean (Andersson et al., 2007; Fu et al., 2010; Soerensen et al., 2013), but lower than those in polluted marine environments, such as the Minamata Bay, Tokyo Bay and YS (Narukawa et al., 2006; Ci et al., 2011; Marumoto et al., 2015), while higher than those in some open sea environments, such as the Baltic Sea, Atlantic Ocean and South Pacific Ocean (Kuss and Schneider, 2007; Andersson et al., 2011; Kuss et al., 2011; 446 Soerensen et al., 2014). Interestingly, we found the Hg^0 flux near the station 99 were higher than those in open water as a result of higher wind speed (Table S3).

448 In order to better understand the important role of the SCS, we relate the Hg^0 flux in the SCS 449 to the global estimation, an annual sea-air flux of Hg^0 was calculated based on the assumption that 450 there was no seasonal variation in Hg^0 emission flux from the SCS. The annual emission flux of 451 Hg⁰ from the SCS was estimated to be 159.6 tons yr⁻¹ assuming the area of the SCS was 3.56 \times 452 10^{12} m² (accounting for about 1.0 % of the global ocean area), which constituted about 5.5 % of 453 the global Hg^0 oceanic evasion (Strode et al., 2007; Soerensen et al., 2010b; UNEP, 2013). We 454 attributed the higher Hg^0 flux in the SCS to the specific location of the SCS (tropical sea) and the 455 higher DGM concentrations in the SCS (especially in the northern area). Therefore, the SCS may 456 actually play an important role in the global Hg oceanic cycle. Additionally, we found that the 457 percentage of the annual dry deposition flux of atmospheric reactive Hg to the annual evasion flux 458 of Hg⁰ was approximately 18–34 %, indicating that the dry deposition of atmospheric reactive Hg 459 was an important pathway for the atmospheric Hg to the ocean.

460 **4 Conclusions**

461 During the cruise aboard the R/V *Shiyan 3* in September 2015, GEM, RGM and Hg^P were 462 determined in the MBL of the SCS. The GEM level in the SCS was comparable to the background 463 level over the global oceans due to the air masses dominantly originated from seas and oceans. 464 GEM concentrations were closely related to the sources and movement patterns of air masses 465 during this cruise. Moreover, the speciated atmospheric Hg level in the PRE was significantly 466 higher than those in the open SCS due to the fresh emissions. The Hg^P concentrations in coarse 467 particles were significantly higher than those in fine particles, and the coarse modal was the 468 dominant size although there were three peaks for the size distribution of Hg^P in PM₁₀, indicating 469 that most of the Hg_{10}^P originated from in situ production. There was no significant difference in 470 GEM and $Hg_{2.5}^P$ concentrations between day and night, but RGM concentrations were 471 significantly higher in daytime than in nighttime. RGM was positively correlated with PAR and air 472 temperature, but negatively correlated with wind speed and RH. The DGM concentrations in 473 nearshore areas of the SCS were higher than those in the open sea, and the surface seawater of the 474 SCS was supersaturated with respect to Hg^0 . The annual flux of Hg^0 from the SCS accounted for 475 about 5.5 % of the global Hg^0 oceanic evasion although the area of the SCS just represents 1.0 % 476 of the global ocean area, suggesting that the SCS played an important role in the global Hg cycle. 477 Additionally, the dry deposition of atmospheric reactive Hg was a momentous pathway for the 478 atmospheric Hg to the ocean because it happens all the time.

479 **5 Appendix A**

480 **Table A1** List of acronyms and symbols

481 Data are available from the first author Chunjie Wang [\(888wangchunjie888@163.com\)](mailto:888wangchunjie888@163.com).

482 *Author contributions.* XZ and ZW designed the study. CW and FH organized the mercury

483 measurements. CW performed the data analysis, and wrote the paper. All authors contributed to

484 the manuscript with discussions and comments.

Competing interests. The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

 Acknowledgments. This research was funded by the National Basic Research Program of China (No. 2013CB430002), National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 41176066) and "Strategic Priority Research Program" of the Chinese Academy of Sciences, Grant No. XDB14020205. We gratefully acknowledge the open cruise organized by the South China Sea Institute of Oceanology, Chinese Academy of Sciences. The technical assistance of the staff of the R/V *Shiyan 3* is gratefully acknowledged.

References

- Ahn, M. C., Kim, B., Holsen, T. M., Yi, S. M., and Han, Y. J.: Factors influencing concentrations of dissolved gaseous mercury (DGM) and total mercury (TM) in an artificial reservoir, Environ. Pollut., 158, 347–355, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2009.08.036, 2010.
- Amos, H. M., Jacob, D. J., Holmes, C. D., Fisher, J. A., Wang, Q., Yantosca, R. M., Corbitt, E. S., Galarneau, E.,
- Rutter, A. P., Gustin, M. S., Steffen, A., Schauer, J. J., Graydon, J. A., St. Louis, V. L., Talbot, R. W., Edgerton, E.
- S., Zhang, Y., and Sunderland, E. M.: Gas-particle partitioning of atmopsheric Hg(II) and its effect on global
- mercury deposition, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 12, 591–603, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-12-591-2012, 2012.
- Andersson, M. E., Gårdfeldt, K., Wängberg, I., Sprovieri, F., Pirrone, N., and Lindqvist, O.: Seasonal and daily variation of mercury evasion at coastal and off shore sites from the Mediterranean Sea, Mar. Chem., 104, 214–226, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marchem.2006.11.003, 2007.
- Andersson, M. E., Sommar, J., Gårdfeldt, K., and Jutterström, S.: Air–sea exchange of volatile mercury in the North Atlantic Ocean, Mar. Chem., 125, 1–7, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marchem.2011.01.005, 2011.
- Angot, H., Barret, M., Magand, O., Ramonet, M., Dommergue, A.: A 2-year record of atmospheric mercury
- species at a background Southern Hemisphere station on Amsterdam Island, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 14, 11461–11473, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-14-11461-2014, 2014
- Ariya, P. A., Amyot, M., Dastoor, A., Deeds, D., Feinberg, A., Kos, G., Poulain, A., Ryjkov, A., Semeniuk, K., Subir, M., and Toyota, K.: Mercury physicochemical and biogeochemical transformation in the atmosphere and

 at atmospheric interfaces: A review and future directions, Chem. Rev., 115, 3760–3802, https://doi.org/10.1021/cr500667e, 2015.

- Athanasopoulou, E., Tombrou, M., Pandis, S. N., and Russell, A. G.: The role of sea-salt emissions and
- heterogeneous chemistry in the air quality of polluted coastal areas, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 8, 5755–5769,
- https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-8-5755-2008, 2008.
- Auzmendi-Murua, I., Castillo, Á., and Bozzelli, J. W.: Mercury oxidation via chlorine, bromine, and iodine under
- atmospheric conditions: Thermochemistry and kinetics, J. Phys. Chem. A, 118, 2959−2975, https://doi.org/10.1021/jp412654s, 2014.
- Bowman, K. L., Hammerschmidt, C. R., Lamborg, C. H., and Swarr, G.: Mercury in the North Atlantic Ocean: The U.S. GEOTRACES zonal and meridional sections, Deep Sea Res. Part II, 116, 251–261,
- [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr2.2014.07.004,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr2.2014.07.004) 2015.
- Chand, D., Jaffe, D., Prestbo, E., Swartzendruber, P. C., Hafner, W., Weiss-Penzias, P., Kato, S., Takami, A.,
- Hatakeyama, S., and Kajii, Y.: Reactive and particulate mercury in the Asian marine boundary layer, Atmos.
- Environ., 42, 7988–7996, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2008.06.048, 2008.
- Cheng, I., Zhang, L., Blanchard, P., Graydon, J. A., and St. Louis, V. L.: Source-receptor relationships for speciated
- atmospheric mercury at the remote Experimental Lakes Area, northwestern Ontario, Canada, Atmos. Chem.
- Phys., 12, 1903–1922, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-12-1903-2012, 2012.
- Cheng, I., Zhang, L., Blanchard, P., Dalziel, J., Tordon, R., Huang, J., and Holsen, T. M.: Comparisons of mercury
- 528 sources and atmospheric mercury processes between a coastal and inland site, J. Geophys. Res., 118, 2434-2443,
- https://doi.org/10.1002/jgrd.50169, 2013.
- Cheng, I., Zhang, L., Mao, H., Blanchard, P., Tordon, R., and Dalziel, J.: Seasonal and diurnal patterns of speciated
- atmospheric mercury at a coastal-rural and a coastal-urban site, Atmos. Environ., 82, 193–205, https://doi.org[/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2013.10.016,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2013.10.016) 2014.
- Ci, Z., Zhang, X., Wang, Z., Niu, Z., Diao, X., and Wang, S.: Distribution and air–sea exchange of mercury (Hg) in the Yellow Sea, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11, 2881–2892, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-11-2881-2011, 2011.
- Ci, Z., Wang, C., Wang, Z., and Zhang, X.: Elemental mercury (Hg(0)) in air and surface waters of the Yellow Sea
- during late spring and late fall 2012: Concentration, spatial-temporal distribution and air/sea flux, Chemosphere,
- 119, 199–208, [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2014.05.064,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2014.05.064) 2015.
- de Foy, B., Tong, Y., Yin, X., Zhang, W., Kang, S., Zhang, Q., Zhang, G., Wang, X., and Schauer, J. J.: First
- field-based atmospheric observation of the reduction of reactive mercury driven by sunlight, Atmos. Environ.,

134, 27-39, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2016.03.028, 2016.

- Draxler, R. R., and Rolph, G. D.: HYSPLITModel access via NOAA ARL READY Website (http://www.arl.noaa.gov/ready/hysplit4.html), NOAA Air Resources Laboratory, Silver Spring, MD, 2012.
- Engle, M. A., Tate, M. T., Krabbenhoft, D. P., Kolker, A., Olson, M. L., Edgerton, E. S., DeWild, J. F., and
- McPherson, A. K.: Characterization and cycling of atmospheric mercury along the central US Gulf Coast, Appl.
- Geochem., 23, 419–437, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apgeochem.2007.12.024, 2008.
- Feddersen, D. M., Talbot, R., Mao, H., and Sive, B. C.: Size distribution of particulate mercury in marine and coastal atmospheres, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 12, 10899–10909, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-12-10899-2012, 2012.
- Fu, X., Feng, X., Zhang, G., Xu, W., Li, X., Yao, H., Liang, P., Li, J., Sommar, J., Yin, R., and Liu, N.: Mercury in
- the marine boundary layer and seawater of the South China Sea: Concentrations, sea/air flux, and implication for
- land outflow, J. Geophys. Res., 115, D06303, https://doi.org/10.1029/2009JD012958, 2010.
- Fu, X., Feng, X., Qiu, G., Shang, L., and Zhang, H.: Speciated atmospheric mercury and its potential source in Guiyang, China, Atmos. Environ., 45, 4205–4212, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2011.05.012, 2011.
- Fu, X., Feng, X., Liang, P., Deliger, Zhang, H., Ji, J., and Liu, P.: Temporal trend and sources of speciated atmospheric mercury at Waliguan GAW station, Northwestern China, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 12, 1951–1964,
- https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-12-1951-2012, 2012.
- Giorgi, F.: Dry deposition velocities of atmospheric aerosols as inferred by applying a particle dry deposition
- parameterisation to a general circulation model, Tellus, 40B, 23–41,
- https://doi.org[/10.1111/j.1600-0889.1988.tb00210.x,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0889.1988.tb00210.x) 1988.
- Gratz, L. E., Ambrose, J. L., Jaffe, D. A., Shah, V., Jaegle, L., Stutz, J., Festa, J., Spolaor, M., Tsai, C., Selin, N. E.,
- Song, S., Zhou, X., Weinheimer, A. J., Knapp, D. J., Montzka, D. D., Flocke, F. M., Campos, T. L., Apel, E.,
- Hornbrook, R., Blake, N. J., Hall, S., Tyndall, G. S., Reeves, M., Stechman, D., and Stell, M.: Oxidation of
- mercury by bromine in the subtropical Pacific free troposphere, Geophys. Res. Lett., 42, 10494–10502,
- https://doi.org/10.1002/2015GL066645, 2015.
- Gustin, M. S., Huang, J., Miller, M. B., Peterson, C., Jaffe, D. A., Ambrose, J., Finley, B. D., Lyman, S. N., Call,
- K., Talbot, R., Feddersen, D., Mao, H., and Lindberg, S. E.: Do we understand what the mercury speciation instruments are actually measuring? Results of RAMIX, Environ. Sci. Technol., 47, 7295–7306, https://doi.org/10.1021/es3039104, 2013.
- Hedgecock, I. and Pirrone, N.: Mercury and photochemistry in the marine boundary layer-modelling studies suggest the in situ production of reactive gas phase mercury, Atmos. Environ., 35, 3055–3062, https://doi.org[/10.1016/S1352-2310\(01\)00109-1,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1352-2310(01)00109-1) 2001.
- Holmes, C. D., Jacob, D. J., and Yang, X.: Global lifetime of elemental mercury against oxidation by atomic
- bromine in the free troposphere, Geophys. Res. Lett., 33, L20808, https://doi.org/10.1029/2006gl027176, 2006.
- Holmes, C. D., Jacob, D. J., Mason, R. P., and Jaffe, D. A.: Sources and deposition of reactive gaseous mercury in the marine atmosphere, Atmos. Environ., 43, 2278–2285, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2009.01.051, 2009.
- Holmes, C. D., Jacob, D. J., Corbitt, E. S., Mao, J., Yang, X., Talbot, R., and Slemr, F.: Global atmospheric model
- for mercury including oxidation by bromine atoms, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10, 12037−12057,
- https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-10-12037-2010, 2010.
- Horowitz, H. M., Jacob, D. J., Zhang, Y., Dibble, T. S., Slemr, F., Amos, H. M., Schmidt, J. A., Corbitt, E. S.,
- Marais, E. A., and Sunderland, E. M.: A new mechanism for atmospheric mercury redox chemistry: implications
- for the global mercury budget, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 17, 6353-6371, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-17-6353-2017,
- 2017.
- Horvat, M., Kotnik, J., Logar, M., Fajon, V., Zvonarić, T., and Pirrone, N.: Speciation of mercury in surface and deep-sea waters in the Mediterranean Sea, Atmos. Environ., 37, S93–S108, https://doi.org/10.1016/S1352-2310(03)00249-8, 2003.
- Howard, D., Nelson, P. F., Edwards, G. C., Morrison, A. L., Fisher, J. A., Ward, J., Harnwell, J., van der Schoot, M.,
- Atkinson, B., Chambers, S. D., Griffiths, A. D., Werczynski, S., and Williams, A. G.: Atmospheric mercury in
- the Southern Hemisphere tropics: seasonal and diurnal variations and influence of inter-hemispheric transport,
- Atmos. Chem. Phys., 17, 11623-11636, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-17-11623-2017, 2017.
- Huang, J., Miller, M. B., Edgerton, E., and Sexauer Gustin, M.: Deciphering potential chemical compounds of gaseous oxidized mercury in Florida, USA, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 17, 1689-1698, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-17-1689-2017, 2017.
- Kim, S. H., Han, Y. J., Holsen, T. M., and Yi, S. M.: Characteristics of atmospheric speciated mercury
- concentrations (TGM, Hg(II) and Hg(p)) in Seoul, Korea, Atmos. Environ., 43, 3267–3274, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2009.02.038, 2009.
- Kim, P. R., Han, Y. J., Holsen, T. M., and Yi, S. M.: Atmospheric particulate mercury: Concentrations and size distributions, Atmos. Environ., 61, 94–102, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2012.07.014, 2012.
- Kuss, J.: Water–air gas exchange of elemental mercury: An experimentally determined mercury diffusion

598 coefficient for Hg^0 water–air flux calculations, Limnol. Oceanogr., 59, 1461–1467,

- https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.2014.59.5.1461, 2014.
- Kuss, J., and Schneider, B.: Variability of the gaseous elemental mercury sea-air flux of the Baltic Sea, Environ.

601 Sci. Technol., 41, 8018-8023, https://doi.org[/10.1021/es0716251,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/es0716251) 2007.

- Kuss, J., Zülicke, C., Pohl, C., and Schneider, B.: Atlantic mercury emission determined from continuous analysis
- 603 of the elemental mercury sea-air concentration difference within transects between 50° N and 50° S, Global Biogeochem. Cycles, 25, GB 3021, https://doi.or[g/10.1029/2010GB003998,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2010GB003998) 2011.
- Kuss, J., Krüger, S., Ruickoldt, J., and Wlost, K.-P.: High-resolution measurements of elemental mercury in
- surface water for an improved quantitative understanding of the Baltic Sea as a source of atmospheric mercury,

Atmos. Chem. Phys., 18, 4361-4376, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-18-4361-2018, 2018.

- Landis, M. S., Stevens, R. K., Schaedlich, F., and Prestbo, E. M.: Development and characterization of an annular
- denuder methodology for the measurement of divalent inorganic reactive gaseous mercury in ambient air,
- Environ. Sci. Technol., 36, 3000–3009, https://doi.org/10.1021/es015887t, 2002.
- Laurier, F. J. G., Mason, R. P., Whalin, L., and Kato, S.: Reactive gaseous mercury formation in the North Pacific Ocean's marine boundary layer: A potential role of halogen chemistry, J. Geophys. Res., 108, 4529, https://doi.org/10.1029/2003JD003625, 2003.
- Laurier, F., and Mason, R.: Mercury concentration and speciation in the coastal and open ocean boundary layer, J.

Geophys. Res., 112, D06302, https://doi.org/10.1029/2006JD007320, 2007.

- Lee, G.-S., Kim, P.-R., Han, Y.-J., Holsen, T. M., Seo, Y.-S., and Yi, S.-M.: Atmospheric speciated mercury
- concentrations on an island between China and Korea: sources and transport pathways, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 16,
- 4119-4133, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-16-4119-2016, 2016.
- Lindberg, S. E., Brooks, S., Lin, C. J., Scott, K. J., Landis, M. S., Stevens, R. K., Goodsite, M., and Richter, A.:
- Dynamic oxidation of gaseous mercury in the Arctic troposphere at polar sunrise, Environ. Sci. Technol., 36, 12451256, https://doi.org/10.1021/es0111941, 2002.
- Liss, P. W., and Slater, P. G.: Flux of gases across the air-sea interface, Nature, 247, 181–184, https://doi.org/10.1038/247181a0, 1974.
- Liu, B., Keeler, G. J., Dvonch, J. T., Barres, J. A., Lynam, M. M., Marsik, F. J., and Morgan, J. T.: Temporal variability of mercury speciation in urban air, Atmos. Environ., 41, 1911–1923, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2006.10.063, 2007.
- Liu, B., Keeler, G. J., Dvonch, J. T., Barres, J. A., Lynam, M. M., Marsik, F. J., and Morgan, J. T.: Urban-rural
- 628 differences in atmospheric mercury speciation, Atmos. Environ., 44, 2013-2023,
- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2010.02.012, 2010.
- Liu, N., Qiu, G., Landis, M., Feng, X., Fu, X., and Shang, L.: Atmospheric mercury species measured in Guiyang,
- Guizhou province, southwest China, Atmos. Res., 100, 93–102, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosres.2011.01.002,

2011.

- Mamane, Y., Perrino, C., Yossef, O., and Catrambone, M.: Source characterization of fine and coarse particles at the East mediterranean coast, Atmos. Environ., 42, 6114–6130, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2008.02.045, 2008.
- Mao, H., Talbot, R., Hegarty, J., and Koermer, J.: Speciated mercury at marine, coastal, and inland sites in New
- 636 England Part 2: Relationships with atmospheric physical parameters, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 12, 4181–4206,
- https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-12-4181-2012, 2012.
- Mao, H., Cheng, I., and Zhang, L.: Current understanding of the driving mechanisms for spatiotemporal variations
- 639 of atmospheric speciated mercury: a review, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 16, 12897-12924, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-16-12897-2016, 2016.
- Mao, H., Hall, D., Ye, Z., Zhou, Y., Felton, D., and Zhang, L.: Impacts of large-scale circulation on urban ambient
- 642 concentrations of gaseous elemental mercury in New York, USA, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 17, 11655-11671, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-17-11655-2017, 2017.
- Marumoto, K., and Imai, S.: Determination of dissolved gaseous mercury in seawater of Minamata Bay and 645 estimation for mercury exchange across air-sea interface, Mar. Chem., 168, 9–17, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marchem.2014.09.007, 2015.
- Mason, R. P., Choi, A. L., Fitzgerald, W. F., Hammerschmidt, C. R., Lamborg, C. H., Soerensen, A. L., and Sunderland, E. M.: Mercury biogeochemical cycling in the ocean and policy implications, Environ. Res., 119, 101–117, do[i:10.1016/j.envres.2012.03.013,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2012.03.013) 2012.
- Mason, R. P., Hammerschmidt, C. R., Lamborg, C. H., Bowman, K. L., Swarr, G. J., and Shelley, R. U.: The air-sea
- exchange of mercury in the low latitude Pacific and Atlantic Oceans, Deep Sea Res. Part I, 122, 17–28,

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr.2017.01.015, 2017.

- Narukawa, M., Sakata, M., Marumoto, K., and Asakura, K.: Air-sea exchange of mercury in Tokyo Bay, J.
- Oceanogr., 62, 249–257, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10872-006-0049-3, 2006.
- Nho-Kim, E. Y., Michou, M., and Peuch, V. H.: Parameterization of size-dependent particle dry deposition
- velocities for global modeling, Atmos. Environ., 38, 1933–1942, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2004.01.002, 2004.
- Poissant, L., Pilote, M., Xu, X., Zhang, H. and Beauvais C.: Atmospheric mercury speciation and deposition in the
- Bay St. François wetlands, J. Geophys. Res., 109, D11301, https://doi.org[/10.1029/2003JD004364,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2003JD004364) 2004.
- Pryor, S. C., and Sorensen, L. L.: Nitric acid-sea salt reactions: Implications for nitrogen deposition to water
- 661 surfaces, J. Appl. Meteorol., 39, 725–731, https://doi.or[g/10.1175/1520-0450-39.5.725,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/1520-0450-39.5.725) 2000.
- Radke, L. F., Friedli, H. R., and Heikes, B. G.: Atmospheric mercury over the NE Pacific during spring 2002:
- Gradients, residence time, upper troposphere lower stratosphere loss, and long-range transport, J. Geophys. Res., 112, D19305, https://doi.org/10.1029/2005JD005828, 2007.
- Read, K. A., Neves, L. M., Carpenter, L. J., Lewis, A. C., Fleming, Z. L., and Kentisbeer, J.: Four years
- (2011–2015) of total gaseous mercury measurements from the Cape Verde Atmospheric Observatory, Atmos.
- Chem. Phys., 17, 5393-5406, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-17-5393-2017, 2017.
- Rutter, A. P., and Schauer, J. J.: The effect of temperature on the gas–particle partitioning of reactive mercury in
- atmospheric aerosols, Atmos. Environ., 41, 8647–8657, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2007.07.024, 2007.
- Sander, R., Keene, W. C., Pszenny, A. A. P., Arimoto, R., Ayers, G. P., Baboukas, E., Cainey, J. M., Crutzen, P. J.,
- Duce, R. A., Hönninger, G., Huebert, B. J., Maenhaut, W., Mihalopoulos, N., Turekian, V. C., and Van Dingenen,
- 672 R., Inorganic bromine in the marine boundary layer: a critical review, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 3, 1301–1336,

https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-3-1301-2003, 2003.

- 674 Schroeder, W. H., and Munthe, J.: Atmospheric mercury An overview, Atmos. Environ., 32, 809-822, https://doi.org[/10.1016/S1352-2310\(97\)00293-8,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1352-2310(97)00293-8) 1998.
- Selin, N. E., Jacob, D. J., Park, R. J., Yantosca, R. M., Strode, S., Jaeglé, L., and Jaffe, D.: Chemical cycling and
- deposition of atmospheric mercury: Global constraints from observations, J. Geophys. Res., 112, D02308, https://doi.org/10.1029/2006JD007450, 2007.
- Shah, V., Jaeglé, L., Gratz, L. E., Ambrose, J. L., Jaffe, D. A., Selin, N. E., Song, S., Campos, T. L., Flocke, F. M.,
- Reeves, M., Stechman, D., Stell, M., Festa, J., Stutz, J., Weinheimer, A. J., Knapp, D. J., Montzka, D. D.,
- Tyndall, G. S., Apel, E. C., Hornbrook, R. S., Hills, A. J., Riemer, D. D., Blake, N. J., Cantrell, C. A., and
- Mauldin III, R. L.: Origin of oxidized mercury in the summertime free troposphere over the southeastern US,
- Atmos. Chem. Phys., 16, 1511-1530, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-16-1511-2016, 2016.
- Sheu, G. R., and Mason, R. P.: An examination of the oxidation of elemental mercury in the presence of halide 685 surfaces, J. Atmos. Chem., 48, 107-130, https://doi.org/10.1023/B:JOCH.0000036842.37053.e6, 2004.
- Slemr, F., Angot, H., Dommergue, A., Magand, O., Barret, M., Weigelt, A., Ebinghaus, R., Brunke, E.-G.,
- Pfaffhuber, K. A., Edwards, G., Howard, D., Powell, J., Keywood, M., and Wang, F.: Comparison of mercury
- concentrations measured at several sites in the Southern Hemisphere, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 15, 3125-3133,
- https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-15-3125-2015, 2015.
- Soerensen, A. L., Skov, H., Jacob, D. J., Soerensen, B. T., and Johnson, M. S.: Global concentrations of gaseous
- elemental mercury and reactive gaseous mercury in the marine boundary layer, Environ. Sci. Technol., 44,
- 74257430, https://doi.org/10.1021/es903839n, 2010a.
- Soerensen, A. L., Sunderland, E. M., Holmes, C. D., Jacob, D. J., Yantosca, R. M., Skov, H., Christensen, J. H.,
- Strode, S. A., and Mason, R. P.: An improved global model for air-sea exchange of mercury: high concentrations
- 695 over the North Atlantic, Environ. Sci. Technol., 44, 8574-8580, https://doi.org/10.1021/es102032g, 2010b.
- Soerensen, A. L., Mason, R. P., Balcom, P. H., and Sunderland, E. M.: Drivers of surface ocean mercury 697 concentrations and air-sea exchange in the west Atlantic Ocean, Environ. Sci. Technol., 47, 7757–7765,
- https://doi.org/10.1021/es401354q, 2013.
- Soerensen, A. L., Mason, R. P., Balcom, P. H., Jacob, D. J., Zhang, Y., Kuss, J., and Sunderland, E. M.: Elemental mercury concentrations and fluxes in the tropical atmosphere and ocean, Environ. Sci. Technol., 48, 701 11312-11319, https://doi.org/10.1021/es503109p, 2014.
- Sprovieri, F., Pirrone, N., Gärdfeldt, K., and Sommar, J.: Mercury speciation in the marine boundary layer along a
- 703 6000 km cruise path around the Mediterranean Sea, Atmos. Environ., 37, S63-S71, https://doi.org/10.1016/S1352-2310(03)00237-1, 2003.
- Sprovieri, F., and Pirrone, N.: Spatial and temporal distribution of atmospheric mercury species over the Adriatic
- Sea, Environ. Fluid Mech., 8, 117–128, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10652-007-9045-4, 2008.
- Sprovieri, F., Hedgecock, I. M., and Pirrone, N.: An investigation of the origins of reactive gaseous mercury in the
- Mediterranean marine boundary layer, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10, 3985–3997, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-10-3985-2010, 2010.
- Steffen, A., Lehnherr, I., Cole, A., Ariya, P., Dastoor, A., Durnford, D., Kirk, J., and Pilote, M.: Atmospheric
- mercury measurements in the Canadian Arctic Part 1: A review of recent field measurements, Sci. Total Environ.,
- 509–510, 3–15, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2014.10.109, 2015.
- Strode, S. A., Jaeglé, L., Selin, N. E., Jacob, D. J., Park, R. J., Yantosca, R. M., Mason, R. P., and Slemr, F.: Air-sea
- exchange in the global mercury cycle, Global Biogeochem. Cycles, 21, GB1017, https://doi.org/10.1029/2006GB002766, 2007.
- Tseng, C. M., Liu, C. S., and Lamborg, C.: Seasonal changes in gaseous elemental mercury in relation to monsoon
- cycling over the northern South China Sea, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 12, 7341–7350, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-12-7341-2012, 2012.
- UNEP: Global Mercury Assessment: Sources, Emissions, Releases and Environmental Transport, UNEP Chemicals Branch, Geneva, Switzerland, 2013.
- Valente, R. J., Shea, C., Humes, K. L., and Tanner, R. L.: Atmospheric mercury in the Great Smoky Mountains compared to regional and global levels, Atmos. Environ., 41, 1861–1873, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2006.10.054, 2007.
- Wang, Y. Q., Zhang, X. Y., and Draxler, R. R.: TrajStat: GIS-based software that uses various trajectory statistical
- analysis methods to identify potential sources from long-term air pollution measurement data, Environ. Model.

Softw., 28, 938–939, https://doi.org[/10.1016/j.envsoft.2009.01.004,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016%2Fj.envsoft.2009.01.004) 2009.

- Wang, S., Schmidt, J. A., Baidar, S., Coburn, S., Dix, B., Koenig, T. K., Apel, E., Bowdalo, D., Campos, T. L.,
- Eloranta, E., Evans, M. J., DiGangi, J. P., Zondlo, M. A., Gao, R. S., Haggerty, J. A., Hall, S. R., Hornbrook, R.
- S., Jacob, D., Morley, B., Pierce, B., Reeves, M., Romashkin, P., ter Schure, A., and Volkamer, R.: Active and
- widespread halogen chemistry in the tropical and subtropical free troposphere, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.,
- 112, 9281–9286, https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1505142112, 2015.
- Wang, C., Wang, Z., Ci, Z., Zhang, X., and Tang, X.: Spatial-temporal distributions of gaseous element mercury
- and particulate mercury in the Asian marine boundary layer, Atmos. Environ., 126, 107–116, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2015.11.036, 2016a.
- Wang, C., Ci, Z., Wang, Z., Zhang, X., and Guo, J.: Speciated atmospheric mercury in the marine boundary layer of the Bohai Sea and Yellow Sea, Atmos. Environ., 131, 360–370, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2016.02.021, 2016b.
- Wang, C., Ci, Z., Wang, Z., and Zhang, X.: Air-sea exchange of gaseous mercury in the East China Sea, Environ.
- Pollut., 212, 535–543, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2016.03.016, 2016c.
- Wanninkhof, R.: Relationship between wind speed and gas exchange over the ocean, J. Geophys. Res., 97,
- 7373–7382, https://doi.org/10.1029/92JC00188, 1992.
- Witt, M. L. I., Mather, T. A., Baker, A. R., De Hoog, J. C. M., and Pyle, D. M.: Atmospheric trace metals over the
- south-west Indian Ocean: Total gaseous mercury, aerosol trace metal concentrations and lead isotope ratios, Mar.
- Chem., 121, 2–16, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marchem.2010.02.005, 2010.
- Xu, L., Chen, J., Yang, L., Niu, Z., Tong, L., Yin, L., and Chen, Y.: Characteristics and sources of atmospheric
- mercury speciation in a coastal city, Xiamen, China, Chemosphere, 119, 530–539,
- [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2014.07.024,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2014.07.024) 2015.
- Ye, Z., Mao, H., Lin, C.-J., and Kim, S. Y.: Investigation of processes controlling summertime gaseous elemental
- 749 mercury oxidation at midlatitudinal marine, coastal, and inland sites, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 16, 8461-8478,
- https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-16-8461-2016, 2016.
- Zhu, J., Wang, T., Talbot, R., Mao, H., Yang, X., Fu, C., Sun, J., Zhuang, B., Li, S., Han, Y., and Xie, M.:
- Characteristics of atmospheric mercury deposition and size-fractionated particulate mercury in urban Nanjing,
- 753 China, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 14, 2233-2244, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-14-2233-2014, 2014.
-

Figures and Tables

 Figure 1. Map of the South China Sea (a) (1: China, 2: Philippines, 3: Brunei, 4: Malaysia, 5: Indonesia, 6: Myanmar, 7: Thailand, 8: Laos, 9: Cambodia, 10: Vietnam, 11: Singapore). The locations of the Pearl River Estuary (PRE), DGM sampling stations and R/V tracks (b). It should be noted that the black solid points represent the sampling stations, and the number near the black solid point represents the name of the station.

Figure 2. Time (local time) series of GEM, $Hg_{2.5}^P$, RGM and some meteorological parameters, including relative humidity (RH), air temperature and wind speed ("A" represents the data measured in the PRE, "B" represents the data measured in the northern SCS, "C" represents the data obtained in the port of Sanya, "D" represents the data measured in the western SCS). It was rainy day on the days of 8 and 26 September 2015.

 Figure 3. The concentrations and spatial distributions of GEM (a) and RGM (b) in the MBL of the SCS.

Figure 5. Size distributed concentrations of Hg^P (PM₁₀) in the MBL of the SCS, (a) represents all 777 the data excepting the measurements in the PRE; (b) represents all the data. The data shown are 778 the mean and standard error.

780 **Figure 6.** Diurnal variation of GEM concentration (mean \pm SD) over the SCS.

Figure 7. Daily variation of RGM concentration over the SCS.

Figure 8. Daily variation of $Hg_{2.5}^P$ in the MBL of the SCS. The light gray area represents the data in the PRE, while the light magenta area represents the data in the nearshore area of the Hainan Island.

788

Figure 9. DGM concentrations (a) and sea-air exchange flux of Hg^0 (b) in the SCS.

| 790 | | Table 1. The GEM, $Hg_{2.5}^P$ and RGM concentrations in this study and other literature. |
|-----|--|--|
|-----|--|--|

791 \overline{a} NA: No data available.

792 **b** ATARS: Australian Tropical Atmospheric Research Station.

793 **Table 2.** Correlation coefficients for speciated atmospheric Hg and meteorological parameters (one asterisk

| Speciation | GEM | | Hg^P ₂₅ RGM | | | | Wind speed Air temperature RH | | | | PAR | |
|--|-----|---------------|-----------------------------|--|--|---|-------------------------------|--|--|--|-----|--|
| | | | | | | rprprprprprprprp | | | | | | |
| RGM | | 0.069 0.294 | | | | < 0.01 0.453^{**} 0.123 -0.251 0.053 0.313 0.065 -0.299 < 0.01 0.638^{**} | | | | | | |
| $Hg_{2.5}^P$ < 0.01 0.539 ^{**} < 0.01 0.453 ^{**} | | | | | | $0.037 -0.335$ 0.621 0.082 $0.434 -0.129$ 0.432 0.130 | | | | | | |

794 denotes significant correlation in *p* < 0.05, double asterisks denotes significant correlation in *p* < 0.01).