

## ***Interactive comment on “Measurement and model analyses of the ozone variation during 2006 to 2015 and its response to emission change in megacity Shanghai, China” by Jianming Xu et al.***

### **Anonymous Referee #1**

Received and published: 5 April 2019

This manuscript studied O<sub>3</sub> trend during the past 10 years and ascribed the increasing O<sub>3</sub> trend to emission changes (i.e., decrease of NO<sub>x</sub> emissions) because currently O<sub>3</sub> formation in Shanghai is VOC-limited. Following these observational analysis, WRF-Chem simulations for September 2009 with different emission scenarios were conducted to investigate impact of future emission changes on O<sub>3</sub> in Shanghai. The sensitivity WRF-Chem simulations indicate that O<sub>3</sub> formation in Shanghai may change to NO<sub>x</sub>-limited at certain point (2020) and O<sub>3</sub> may decrease since then if further reduction of NO<sub>x</sub> emission would happen.

The scientific story is interesting. The structure of the manuscript is logically con-

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper



structured, and the English writing is good. I would recommend publish after addressing the following concerns/comments:

### Major comments

1. This manuscript apparently focused on the daytime O<sub>3</sub> formation mechanisms (VOC-limited or NO<sub>x</sub>-limited). But most of the analysis is conducted for daily mean O<sub>3</sub> concentration. Daytime O<sub>3</sub> and nighttime O<sub>3</sub> are affected by totally different processes/mechanisms and they may have different variations/trends.

The trend of daily mean O<sub>3</sub> discussed mostly in this manuscript may be dominated by the trend of nighttime O<sub>3</sub>, which are not governed by the daytime O<sub>3</sub> formation mechanisms (VOC-limited or NO<sub>x</sub>-limited).

Given that this manuscript wants to focus on NO<sub>x</sub>-limited or VOC-limited, I would recommend the authors change their analysis to focus on daily maximum O<sub>3</sub>.

2. Showing change of mean diurnal variation of O<sub>3</sub> would be helpful to identify the different trends of daytime/nighttime O<sub>3</sub>. In the few places when daytime/nighttime O<sub>3</sub> are separately discussed in this manuscript, the time ranges for the “daytime”/“nighttime” are not specified. Thus, it is hard for this reviewer to judge whether the “daytime” O<sub>3</sub> is only affected by O<sub>3</sub> formation mechanisms or the “daytime” O<sub>3</sub> is still affected by the O<sub>3</sub> removal processes during nighttime and early morning. Thus, showing the trend of mean diurnal variation is critical.

3. The current writing sounds like nighttime O<sub>3</sub> is only affected by NO titration. Actually nighttime O<sub>3</sub> is affected by three main processes, i.e., NO titration, dry deposition and vertical mixing (Hu et al., 2013). Both of the latter two processes are related to nighttime turbulence, which are further related to extent of urbanization. Thus the increasing trend of nighttime O<sub>3</sub> may reflect reduced NO titration, as well as enhanced nighttime vertical mixing, or say less stable nighttime boundary layer, which may be induced by enhanced urban effects through the years (Hu et al., 2016).

Other minor comments:

LN33 in -> for

LN48-49, I believe these should be in one sentence.

LN76, what is the definition of “non-attainment days” in terms of O<sub>3</sub> in China?

LN81, what is the “Chinese National Ambient Air Quality Stand” in terms of O<sub>3</sub>?

LN95, anti-correlation for what time period? Nighttime anti-correlation does not indicate VOC-limited mechanism.

LN104 “However, such O<sub>3</sub> variation responding to emission change has not been clearly investigated”. You just wrote “Gao et al. (2017) reported that O<sub>3</sub> concentration in Shanghai downtown increased 67% from 2006 to 2015, whereas NO<sub>x</sub> concentration decreased about 38%”

LN120, I thought Gao et al. analyzed 10 yr data as in this study.

LN124, high-resolution? Figure 7 looks like very coarse resolution. What is the resolution in Fig. 7?

Fig. 7 should be combined into Fig. 1

LN141-142, why these point sources do not show up on Fig. 7? Please mark these major point sources in Fig. 7

LN153, either remove this sentence or move it to the beginning of this paragraph.

LN193, what aerosol module?

LN197, what is the “anon-traditional SOA module”

LN204, Fig. 7 appears to have a resolution coarser than 6km.

LN329-342, these basical O<sub>3</sub> reactions should be put in the introduction, rather than in the results.

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper



LN355-356, or more intensified urbanization in XJH (thus more enhanced downward mixing of O<sub>3</sub> (Hu et al., 2013))

#### References:

Hu, X.-M., Klein, P. M., & Xue, M. (2013). Evaluation of the updated YSU planetary boundary layer scheme within WRF for wind resource and air quality assessments. *Journal of Geophysical Research-Atmospheres*, 118(18), 10490-10505. 10.1002/jgrd.50823

Hu, X. M., Xue, M., Klein, P. M., Illston, B. G., & Chen, S. (2016). Analysis of Urban Effects in Oklahoma City using a Dense Surface Observing Network. *Journal of Applied Meteorology and Climatology*, 55(3), 723-741. 10.1175/jamc-d-15-0206.1

---

Interactive comment on *Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss.*, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-2019-160>, 2019.

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper

