Dear Editor:
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Abstract
The hydroxyl radical (OH), which is the dominant sink of methane (CH$_4$), plays a key role to close the global methane budget. Current top-down estimates of the global and regional CH$_4$ budget using 3D models usually apply prescribed OH fields and attribute model-observation mismatches almost exclusively to CH$_4$ emissions, leaving the uncertainties due to prescribed OH field less quantified. Here, using a variational Bayesian inversion framework and the 3D chemical transport model LMDz, combined with 10 different OH fields derived from chemistry-climate models (CCMI experiment), we evaluate the influence of OH burden, spatial distribution, and temporal variations on the global and regional CH$_4$ budget. The global tropospheric mean CH$_4$-reaction-weighted [OH] ([OH]$_{GM-CH4}$) ranges 10.3-16.3×10$^5$ molec cm$^{-3}$ across 10 OH fields during the early 2000s, resulting in inversion-based global CH$_4$ emissions between 518 and 757Tg yr$^{-1}$. The uncertainties in CH$_4$ inversions induced by the different OH fields are similar to the CH$_4$ emission range estimated by previous bottom-up syntheses and larger than the range reported by the top-down studies. The uncertainties in emissions induced by OH are largest over South America, corresponding to large inter-model differences of [OH] in this region. From the early to the late 2000s, the optimized CH$_4$ emissions increased by 22±6Tg yr$^{-1}$ (17-30Tg yr$^{-1}$), of which ~25% (on average) offsets the 0.7% (on average) increase in OH burden. If the CCMI models represent the OH trend properly over the 2000s, our results show that a higher increasing trend of CH$_4$ emissions is needed to match the CH$_4$ observations compared to the CH$_4$ emission trend derived using constant OH. This study strengthens the importance to reach a better representation of OH burden and of OH spatial and temporal distributions to reduce the uncertainties on the global and regional CH$_4$ budgets.
1 Introduction

Methane (CH$_4$) plays an important role in both climate change and air quality as a major greenhouse gas and tropospheric ozone precursor (Ciais et al., 2013). CH$_4$ is emitted from various anthropogenic sources including agriculture, waste, fossil fuel, and biomass burning, as well as natural sources including wetlands and other freshwater systems, geological sources, termites, and wild animals. CH$_4$ is removed from the atmosphere mainly by reaction with hydroxyl radical (OH) (Saunois et al. 2016, 2017).

Tropospheric CH$_4$ levels have more than doubled between the 1850s and present-day (Etheridge et al., 1998) in response to anthropogenic emissions and climate variabilities, leading to about 0.62 W m$^{-2}$ radiative forcing (Etminan et al., 2016) and increases in tropospheric ozone levels of ~5 ppbv (Fiore et al., 2008). The global CH$_4$ atmospheric mixing ratio stabilized in the early 2000s but resumed growing at a rate of ~5ppbv yr$^{-1}$ or more since 2007 (Dlugokencky, NOAA/ESRL, 2019).

Explaining the CH$_4$ stabilization and renewed growth requires an accurate estimation of the CH$_4$ budget and its evolution, as the source-sink imbalance that is responsible for the contemporary CH$_4$ yearly growth only accounts for 3% of the total CH$_4$ burden (Turner et al., 2019). To reconcile the uncertainties in the current estimation of CH$_4$ emissions from various sources, the Global Carbon Project integrates top-down and bottom-up approaches (Kirschke et al. 2013; Saunois et al., 2016; 2017; 2019). However, gaps remain in global and regional CH$_4$ emissions estimated by top-down and bottom-up approaches, as well as within each approach (Kirschke et al. 2013; Saunois et al., 2016; Bloom et al., 2017). The top-down method, which optimizes emissions by assimilating observations in an atmospheric inversion system, is expected to reduce uncertainties of bottom-up estimates. Among the remaining causes of uncertainties in the global methane budget, the representation of CH$_4$ sinks, mainly from OH oxidation, is one of the largest, as seen by process-based models for atmospheric chemistry (Saunois et al., 2017).

OH is the most important tropospheric oxidizing agent determining the lifetime of many pollutants and
greenhouse gases including CH$_4$ (Levy, 1971). A small perturbation of OH can result in significant changes in the budget of atmospheric CH$_4$ (Turner et al., 2019). At the global scale, tropospheric OH is mainly produced by the reaction of excited oxygen atoms (O($^1$D)) with water vapor (primary production) but also by the reaction of nitrogen oxide (NO) and ozone (O$_3$) with hydroperoxyl radicals (HO$_2$) and organic peroxy radicals (RO$_2$) (secondary production). At regional scales, photolysis of hydrogen peroxide and oxidized VOC photolysis can be important depending on the chemical environment (Lelieveld et al. 2016). OH is rapidly removed by carbon monoxide (CO), methane (CH$_4$), and non-methane volatile organic compounds (NMVOCs) (Logan et al., 1981; Lelieveld et al., 2004). Tropospheric OH has a very short lifetime of a few seconds (Logan et al., 1981; Lelieveld et al., 2004), hindering estimates of global OH concentrations ([OH]) through direct measurements and limiting our ability to estimate the global CH$_4$ sink.

Global tropospheric [OH] is approximately $1 \times 10^6$ molec cm$^{-3}$ as calculated by atmospheric chemistry models (Naik et al., 2013; Voulgarakis et al., 2013, Zhao et al., 2019) and inversions of 1,1,1-trichloroethane (methyl chloroform, MCF) (Prinn et al., 2001; Bousquet et al., 2005; Montzka et al., 2011; Cressot et al., 2014), resulting in a chemical lifetime of ~9 years for tropospheric CH$_4$ (Prather et al., 2012; Naik et al., 2013). However, accurate estimation of [OH] magnitude, distributions, and year-to-year variations needed for CH$_4$ emission optimizations are still pending (Prather et al., 2017; Turner et al., 2019). For global tropospheric [OH], both MCF inversions and atmospheric chemistry model inter-comparisons give a 10%-15% uncertainty (Prinn et al., 2001; Bousquet et al., 2005; Naik et al., 2013; Zhao et al., 2019). For [OH] spatial distributions, MCF-based inversions generally infer similar mean [OH] over both hemispheres (Bousquet et al., 2005; Patra et al., 2014), while atmospheric chemistry models generally give [OH] Northern hemisphere to Southern hemisphere (N/S) ratios above 1 (e.g. Naik et al., 2013; Zhao et al., 2019). For [OH] year-to-year variations, some studies have estimated magnitudes significant enough to help explain part of the stagnation in atmospheric CH$_4$ concentrations during the
early 2000s (Rigby et al., 2008; McNorton et al., 2016; Dalsøren et al., 2016; Rigby et al., 2017; Turner et al., 2017), whereas others show smaller trends and inter-annual variations of [OH] (Montzka et al., 2011; Naik et al., 2013; Voulgarakis et al., 2013; Zhao et al., 2019). In a recent study, Zhao et al. (2019) simulated atmospheric CH$_4$ with an ensemble of OH fields and showed that uncertainties in [OH] variations could explain up to 54% of model-observation discrepancies in surface CH$_4$ mixing ratio changes from 2000 to 2016.

Current top-down estimates of the global CH$_4$ budget usually apply prescribed and constant [OH] simulated by atmospheric chemistry models and attribute model-observation mismatches exclusively to CH$_4$ emissions (Saunois et al., 2017). However, the OH fields simulated by atmospheric chemistry models show some uncertainties in both global burden and spatial-temporal variations (Naik et al., 2013; Zhao et al., 2019). The role of OH variations on the top-down estimates of CH$_4$ emissions has been evaluated using two box-model inversions with surface observations (e.g. Rigby et al., 2017; Turner et al., 2017, Naus et al., 2019) and 3D models that optimize CH$_4$ emissions together with [OH] by assimilating surface observations (Bousquet et al., 2006) or satellite data (Cressot et al., 2014, McNorton et al., 2018; Zhang et al., 2018; Maasakkers et al., 2019). The proxy-based constraints usually optimize [OH] on a global or latitudinal scale, the impact of OH vertical and horizontal distributions being less quantified to date. Also, proxy methods do not allow to access underlying processes as direct chemistry modeling (Zhao et al., 2019). This paper follows the work of Zhao et al. (2019), where we analyzed in details 10 OH fields derived from atmospheric chemistry models considering different chemistry, emissions, and dynamics (Patra et al., 2011; Szopa et al., 2013; Hegglin and Lamarque, 2015; Morgenstern et al., 2017; Zhao et al., 2019; Terrenoire et al., 2019). We now aim to build on this previous paper to estimate the impact of these OH fields on methane emissions as inferred by an atmospheric 4D variational inversion system. To do so, we use each of the OH fields in the 4D variational inversion system PYVAR-LMDz based on LMDZ-SACS (Laboratoire de Météorologie Dynamique model with Zooming capability-Simplified Atmospheric
Chemistry System) 3D chemical transport model to evaluate the influence of OH distributions and variations on the top-down estimated global and regional CH$_4$ budget. Section 2 briefly describes the OH fields and their characteristics and underlying processes (see also Zhao et al., 2019 for more details), the inversion method, and the setups of inversion experiments. Section 3 illustrates the influence of OH on the top-down estimation of CH$_4$ budgets and variations, specifically: i) global, regional, and sectoral CH$_4$ emissions (Section 3.1), ii) emission changes between the early 2000s and late 2000s (Section 3.2), and iii) year-to-year variations in methane emissions (Section 3.3). Section 4 summarizes the results and discusses the impact of OH on the current CH$_4$ budget.

2 Method

2.1 OH fields

In this study, we test the 10 OH fields presented in by Zhao et al. (2019), including 7 OH fields simulated by chemistry-transport and chemistry-climate models from Phase 1 of the Chemistry-Climate Model Initiative (CCMI) (Hegglin and Lamarque, 2015; Morgenstern et al., 2017), 2 OH fields simulated by the Interaction with Chemistry and Aerosols (INCA) model coupled to the general circulation model of the Laboratoire de Météorologie Dynamique (LMD) model (Hauglustaine et al., 2004; Szopa et al., 2013), and 1 OH field from the TRANSCOM-CH4 inter-comparison exercise (Patra et al., 2011)(Table 1).

The CCMI project conducted simulations with 20 state-of-the-art atmospheric chemistry-climate and chemistry-transport models to evaluate the model’s projections of atmospheric composition (Hegglin and Lamarque, 2015; Morgenstern et al., 2017). To force atmospheric inversions during 2000-2010, we use OH fields from 7 of the 20 CCMI model simulations of REF-C1 experiments (Table 1), which were driven by observed sea surface temperatures and state-of-the-art historical forcings (covering 1960-2010). For the inversions after 2010 (only with the CESM1-WACCM model, see Section 2.3), we apply inter-annual variations of OH generated from REF-C2 experiments, which were driven by sea surface conditions.
calculated by the online-coupled ocean and sea ice modules. Although all of the CCMI models use the same anthropogenic emission inventories, the simulated OH fields show different spatial and vertical distributions. The inter-model differences of OH burden and vertical distributions are mainly attributed to differences in chemical mechanisms related to NO production and loss. The differences in [OH] spatial distributions are due to applying different natural emissions: for example, primary biogenic VOC emissions and NO emissions from soil and lightning (Zhao et al., 2019). As a result, the regions dominated by natural emissions (e.g. South America, central Africa) show the largest inter-model differences in [OH] (Fig.S1). The CCMI models consistently simulated positive OH trend during 2000-2010, mainly due to more OH production by NO than loss by CO over the East and Southeast Asia and positive trend of water vapor over the tropical regions (Zhao et al., 2019; Nicely et al., 2020). More details can be found in Zhao et al. (2019) and the herein cited literature.

The two INCA OH fields, INCA NMHC-AER-S and INCA NMHC are simulated by two different versions of the INCA (Interaction with Chemistry and Aerosols) chemical model coupled to LMDz (Szopa et al., 2013; Terrenoire et al., 2019). The main difference between the two simulations is that INCA NMHC-AER-S includes both gas-phase and aerosol chemistry in the whole atmosphere while INCA NMHC only includes gas-phase chemistry in the troposphere (Szopa et al., 2013; Terrenoire et al., 2019). We also include the climatological OH field used in the TransCom simulations (Patra et al., 2011), which uses the semi-empirical, observation-based OH field computed by Spivakovsky et al. (2000) in the troposphere.

Table 1 summarizes the global tropospheric mean CH₄-reaction-weighted [OH] ([OH]_{GM-CH₄}, [OH] weighted by reaction rate of OH with CH₄ (K_{OH+CH₄} × dry air mass, Lawrence et al., 2001) and dry air mass-weighted [OH] ([OH]_{GM-M}), as well as inter-hemispheric ratios (N/S ratios) calculated with [OH]_{GM-CH₄} for the 10 OH fields used in this study. The tropopause height is assumed at 200hPa following Naik
et al. (2013) and the 3D temperature field used to compute \([\text{OH}]_{\text{GM-CH}_4}\) is from ERA Interim re-analysis meteorology data (Dee et al., 2011). The volume-weighted \([\text{OH}]\) was given by Zhao et al. (2019). The \([\text{OH}]_{\text{GM-CH}_4}\) is from ERA Interim re-analysis meteorology data (Dee et al., 2011). Both the mean value ($12 \pm 3.8 \times 10^5$ molec cm$^3$) and absolute range ($10.3-16.3 \times 10^5$ molec cm$^3$) of \([\text{OH}]_{\text{GM-CH}_4}\) calculated for the 10 OH fields are larger than those of \([\text{OH}]_{\text{GM-M}}\) ($11 \pm 2.8 \times 10^5$ molec cm$^3$ and $9.4-14.4 \times 10^5$ molec cm$^3$, respectively). This is mainly because MOCAGE and SOCOL3 OH fields show much higher [OH] near the surface than in the upper troposphere (Zhao et al., 2019). The inter-hemispheric OH ratios range from 1.0 to 1.5, larger than ones derived from MCF inversions (e.g. Bousquet et al., 2005; Patra et al., 2014), partly explained by the underestimation of CO in the northern hemisphere by atmospheric chemistry models (Naik et al., 2013). A comprehensive analysis of spatial and vertical distributions of these OH fields was presented in Zhao et al. (2019).

### 2.2 Inverse method

We conduct an ensemble of variational inversions of CH$_4$ budget that rely on Bayes’ theorem (Chevallier et al., 2005) with the same set of atmospheric observations of CH$_4$ mixing ratios but different prescribed monthly mean OH fields as described in Sect. 2.1. A variational data assimilation system optimizes CH$_4$ emissions and sinks by minimizing the cost function $J$, defined as:

$$J(x) = \frac{1}{2} (x - x^b)^T B^{-1} (x - x^b) + \frac{1}{2} (H(x) - y)^T R^{-1} (H(x) - y)$$  

where $x$ is the control vector that includes total CH$_4$ emissions per 10 days at the model resolution of 3.75°(in longitude)$\times$1.85°(in latitude) and initial conditions at longitudinal and latitudinal bands of 20°$\times$15°; $x^b$ is the prior of the control vector $x$; $y$ is the observation vector of observed CH$_4$ mixing ratios, here at the surface; and $H(x)$ represents the sensitivity of simulated CH$_4$ to emissions, for comparison with $y$. $B$ and $R$ represent the prior and observation error covariance matrix, respectively. The cost
function $J$ is minimized iteratively by the M1QN3 algorithm (Gilbert and Lemaréchal, 1989). We do not include sinks in the control vector $x$ but prescribe the different OH fields mentioned above.

Prior knowledge ($x^b$) on CH$_4$ emissions is provided by the Global Carbon Project (GCP, Saunois et al., 2019). The GCP emission inventory includes time-varying anthropogenic and fire emissions and climatology of the natural emissions. Global total CH$_4$ emissions of the GCP inventory are 511Tg yr$^{-1}$ in 2000, increased to 562Tg yr$^{-1}$ in 2010, and 581Tg yr$^{-1}$ in 2016 (with soil uptake excluded). The soil uptake of CH$_4$ is estimated to be 38Tg yr$^{-1}$ with seasonal variations. Averaged over 2000-2016, the anthropogenic sources (including biofuel emissions, agriculture, and waste) and wetlands contribute 56% and 32% of total CH$_4$ emissions, respectively (Fig. S2). The prior information of emissions by sector in each grid cell is used to separate the total optimized CH$_4$ emissions into four broad categories: wetlands, agriculture and waste, fossil fuel, and other natural sources (biomass burning, termite, geological, and ocean emissions).

The spatial distributions of the prior emissions from the four categories averaged over 2000-2016 are shown in Fig. S2. A detailed description of the GCP emission inventory can be found in Zhao et al. (2019) and Saunois et al. (2019). The prior error of CH$_4$ fluxes is set to 100% of $x^b$, and the error correlation is calculated with a correlation length of 500km over land and 1000km over the oceans for CH$_4$ fluxes.

The vector of observations ($y$) is generated from surface measurements of the World Data Centre for Greenhouse Gases (WDCGG, https://gaw.kishou.go.jp/) through the WMO Global Atmospheric Watch (WMO-GAW) program. The surface measurements include both continuous time series of hourly afternoon observations and flask data. In total, 97 sites are included here, covering different time periods, including 68 sites from the Earth System Research Laboratory from the U.S. National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA/ESRL, Dlugokencky et al. (1994)), 14 sites from the Laboratoire des Sciences du Climat et de l'Environnement (LSCE), 8 sites from Environment and Climate Change Canada (ECCC), 4 sites from the Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation (CSIRO,
Francey et al. (1999), and 3 from the Japan Meteorological Agency (JMA: http://www.jma.go.jp/jma/indexe.html). The location of the sites is shown in Fig. S3.

Atmospheric CH$_4$ sensitivities to fluxes ($H(x)$) are simulated by LMDz5B, an offline version of the LMDz atmospheric model (Locatelli et al., 2015), which has been widely used for CH$_4$ studies (e.g. Bousquet et al., 2005; Pison et al., 2009; Lin et al., 2018; Zhao et al., 2019). LMDz5B is associated with the simplified chemistry module SACS (Pison et al., 2009), which calculates the CH$_4$ chemical sink using prescribed 4D OH and O(1D) fields. The CH$_4$ sink by reaction with chlorine is not considered in our LMDz model simulations. The deep convection is parametrized based on the Tiedtke (1989) scheme. Air mass fluxes simulated by the general circulation model LMDz with temperature and wind nudged to ERA Interim re-analysis meteorology data (Dee et al, 2011) are used to force the transport of chemical tracers in LMDz5B every 3 hours.

### 2.3 Model experiments

As shown in Fig. 1, we performed six groups of inversions (Inv1 to Inv6, 34 inversions in total). The impacts of OH on the top-down estimation of CH$_4$ emissions are comprehensively analyzed by comparing the inversion results within one group or between two different groups. We analyze the overall impacts of differences in OH burden, spatial distribution, and temporal change on CH$_4$ emissions (colored boxes on the right in Fig. 1), and separate the impacts of OH spatial distribution and temporal variations (colored boxes on the left in Fig. 1). The results are presented and discussed in three sections as shown in different colors in Fig. 1.

We perform four groups of 3-year CH$_4$ inversion experiments using 6 to 10 OH fields (Inv1 to Inv4, Fig. 1), and two groups of 17-year CH$_4$ inversions from 2000 to 2016 (Inv5 and Inv6, Fig. 1) using only CESM1-WACCM OH fields. For the short-term inversions, the first and last six months are treated as
spin-up and spin-down periods and discarded from the following analyses (to avoid edge effect). Thus, we only analyze the results over 2000/07-2002/06 (i.e. the early 2000s) for Inv1 and Inv2 and 2007/07-2009/06 (i.e. the late 2000s) for Inv3 and Inv4. The early 2000s and the late 2000s represent the time periods with stagnant and resumed growth of atmospheric CH$_4$ mixing ratios, respectively. For the long-term inversions, we take a one-year spin-up and spin-down and analyze the 15-year results from 2001 to 2015.

The aim of Inv1, conducted for 2000-2002 with 10 OH fields, is to quantify the influence of both OH global burden and spatial distributions on top-down estimates of global, regional, and sectoral CH$_4$ emissions (the brown box with the solid line, Fig. 1). Because of the long lifetime of CH$_4$ relative to OH, the top-down estimates of regional CH$_4$ emissions can be influenced by both global total OH burden and OH spatial and seasonal distributions. To separate the influence of OH spatial distributions (including their seasonal variations) from that of the global annual mean [OH], we conduct Inv2, where all the prescribed OH fields are globally scaled to the global [OH]$_{GM-CH_4}$ value of the INCA NMHC OH field in 2000 to get the same loss of CH$_4$ by OH (scaled OH fields). As such, Inv2 provides the uncertainty range of CH$_4$ emissions induced by OH spatial distribution in both horizontal and vertical directions as well as seasonal variations when assuming that the global total burden of OH can be precisely constrained (the brown box with the dashed line, Fig. 1). Thus, Inv1 (the inversions using original OH fields) and Inv2 (the inversions using scaled OH fields) yield upper (uncertainties from both global OH burden and spatial distributions) and lower (uncertainties only from OH spatial and seasonal distributions) limits of influences of OH on regional CH$_4$ emissions, respectively.

To quantify the influence of OH on CH$_4$ interannual emission changes, we also conduct Inv3 and Inv4 over 2007-2009, with 6 scaled OH fields (instead of 10 to limit computational time). While both of the inversions are done for 2007-2009 (Inv3 and Inv4), the OH variations during 2007-2009 (Inv3) and 2000-
2002 (Inv4) are used for the two inversions, respectively. Therefore, the difference Inv3—Inv2 reveal the impact of OH on CH₄ emission changes between the early and late 2000s (the yellow box with solid lines of Fig. 1), Inv3—Inv4 separates the impact of OH interannual variations, and the difference Inv4—Inv2 allows assessing the uncertainties of optimized CH₄ emission changes due to different OH spatial and seasonal distributions (the yellow boxes with dashed lines in Fig. 1).

Finally, we test the impact of OH year-to-year variations and trends on CH₄ emissions over 2001-2015 by running two long-term inversions (Inv5 and Inv6) with the OH fields simulated by CESM-WACCM only (the green box with dashed lines in Fig. 1). Inv5 is forced by the OH fields with both year-to-year variations and trends, while Inv6 is forced by the OH fields for the year 2000. For each group, only one experiment was done for computational reasons. We chose OH fields simulated by CESM1-WACCM because it shows the largest year-to-year OH variations and a positive trend of 0.4% yr⁻¹ during 2000-2010 among the CCMI OH fields (Zhao et al., 2019). Therefore, inversions using CESM1-WACCM OH are expected to yield an upper limit of the influence of OH variations on CH₄ emissions as seen from atmospheric chemistry models.

We evaluate the optimized CH₄ emissions by comparing the simulated CH₄ mixing ratios using prior and posterior CH₄ emissions with independent measurements from the NOAA/ESRL Aircraft Project. The location of the observation site (Table S1) and the vertical profile of the model bias in CH₄ mixing ratios compared with the aircraft observations (model minus observations) are shown in the supplement (Fig. S4a for Inv1 and Fig. S4b for Inv2). The comparisons with independent aircraft observations confirm the improvement of model-simulated CH₄ mixing ratios when using posterior emissions. All of the inversions in Inv1 and Inv2 reach small biases when compared with aircraft observations (right panel of Fig. S4a and Fig. S4b), which means that it is hard to distinguish which OH spatial and vertical distributions are more realistic in terms of quality of fit to these aircraft CH₄ observations. For Inv1, the root mean square errors
RMSE = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n}(model_{i} - observation_{i})^2}{n_{obs}}}, \ n_{obs} \text{ is the number of observations} \text{ are reduced from up to more than 100ppbv (prior) emissions to ~10ppbv (posterior). For Inv2, although the CH}_4 \text{ mixing ratios simulated using prior emissions already match well with aircraft observations (MSE=8-17ppbv), the posterior emissions still reduce the RMSE by up to 10ppbv.}

In the following sections, to quantify uncertainties in top-down estimations of CH}_4 \text{ emissions due to OH, we calculate OH-induced CH}_4 \text{ emission differences and uncertainties as the standard deviation and the maximum minus minimum values of the inversion results, respectively.}

3 Results
3.1 The impacts of OH burden and spatial distributions on CH}_4 \text{ emissions in 2000-2002
3.1.1 Global total CH}_4 emissions

Based on the ensemble of the 10 different OH fields listed in Table 1, global total emissions inverted by our system in Inv1 vary from 518 to 757Tg CH}_4 \text{ yr}^{-1} \text{ during the early 2000s (2000/07-2002/06). The highest CH}_4 \text{ emissions exceeding 700Tg yr}^{-1} \text{ are calculated using MOCAGE and SOCOL3 OH fields, for which \([OH]_{GM-CH4} (15.0 \times 10^5 \text{ and } 16.3 \times 10^5 \text{ molec cm}^{-3}) \text{ are much higher than those of other OH fields (10.3-12.6 \times 10^5 \text{ molec cm}^{-3}), leading to a larger CH}_4 \text{ sink, and as a consequence larger CH}_4 \text{ emissions due to the mass balance constraint of atmospheric inversions. The high \([OH]_{GM-CH4} \text{ simulated by SOCOL3 and MOCAGE are mainly due to high surface and mid-tropospheric NO mixing ratio simulated by these two models (Zhao et al., 2019). As analyzed in Zhao et al. (2019), the lack of N}_2O_5 \text{ heterogeneous hydrolysis (by both SOCOL3 and MOCAGE) and the overestimation of tropospheric NO production by NO}_2 \text{ photolysis (by SOCOL3) are the major factors behind the overestimation of NO and OH.}

The minimum-maximum range of the CH}_4 \text{ emissions estimated by the 10 OH fields is almost similar to
the range estimated by previous bottom-up studies (542-852 Tg yr\(^{-1}\) given by Kirschke et al., 2013 and 583-861 Tg yr\(^{-1}\) given by Saunois et al., 2016) from GCP syntheses and much larger than that reported by an ensemble of top-down studies for 2000-2009 in Kirschke et al. (2013) (526-569 Tg yr\(^{-1}\)), Saunois et al. (2016) (535-566 Tg yr\(^{-1}\)) or the recent Saunois et al. (2019) (522-559 Tg yr\(^{-1}\)) (Table 2 and Fig. 2). In the three top-down model ensembles, most of the inversion systems use TransCom OH fields, and the reported differences are mainly from different model transport and set-up of the inversion systems (e.g. the observations used in the inversions). Excluding the two outliers (MOCAGE and SOCOL-3) in Inv1, we find an uncertainty of about 17% in global methane emissions (518 to 611 Tg yr\(^{-1}\)) due to OH global burden and distributions, while transport model errors lead to only 5% of the uncertainty of the global methane budget (Table 3, Locatelli et al. (2013)). Our results indicate that considering different OH fields within top-down CH\(_4\) inversions would lead to larger uncertainty on the top-down CH\(_4\) budget.

Plotting top-down estimated CH\(_4\) emissions against \([OH]_{GM-CH4}\), which directly reflects the global OH oxidizing efficiency with respect to CH\(_4\) (Lawrence et al., 2001), reveals that the global total CH\(_4\) emissions vary linearly with \([OH]_{GM-CH4}\) \((r^2 > 0.99\), Fig. 2, right panel\). The top-down estimation of global total CH\(_4\) emissions (EMIS\(_{CH4}\)) can be approximately calculated as:

\[
EMIS_{CH4} = 40 \times [OH]_{GM-CH4} + 104
\]

(1)

Where a 1 × 10\(^5\) molec cm\(^{-3}\) (1\%) increase in \([OH]_{GM-CH4}\) will increase the top-down estimated CH\(_4\) emissions (EMIS\(_{CH4}\)) by 40 Tg yr\(^{-1}\), consistent with that given by He et al. (2020) using full-chemistry modeling and a mass balance approach. Other CH\(_4\) sinks including soil uptake and oxidation by O\(^1\)(D), which are prescribed in this study, remove 104 Tg yr\(^{-1}\) CH\(_4\). If uncertainties in all the CH\(_4\) sinks were also considered, the correlation between optimized CH\(_4\) emissions and the \([OH]_{GM-CH4}\) would be reduced.

Using box-model inversions, previous studies calculated that a 4\% (0.4 × 10\(^5\)molec cm\(^{-3}\)) decrease in \([OH]_{GM}\) is equivalent to an increase of 22 Tg yr\(^{-1}\) CH\(_4\) emissions (Rigby et al., 2017; Turner et al., 2017,
If we apply the same $[\text{OH}]_{\text{GM}}$ changes in Eq.1 ($0.4 \times 10^5 \text{molec cm}^{-3}$), the equivalent emissions change is 16Tg yr$^{-1}$, about 25% smaller than that given by Turner et al., (2017). This difference probably results from the different hemispheric mean reaction rates of OH+CH$_4$ applied in box models, but could also be due to different treatments of inter-hemispheric transport and stratospheric CH$_4$ loss in global 3D transport models compared to simplified box-models (Naus et al., 2019).

With the OH fields scaled to the same $[\text{OH}]_{\text{GM,CH}_4}$ ($11.1 \times 10^5 \text{molec cm}^{-3}$), the Inv2 simulations (assuming a global total OH burden well constrained) estimated global CH$_4$ emissions of 551±2Tg yr$^{-1}$ (Table 3), as expected by the scaling. Differences in OH spatial distributions only lead to negligible uncertainty in global total CH$_4$ emissions estimated by top-down inversions.

### 3.1.2 Regional CH$_4$ emissions

**Inv1 and Inv2**

Since MOCAGE and SOCOL3 OH fields show much higher $[\text{OH}]_{\text{GM}}$ than constrained by MCF observations ($\sim 10 \times 10^5$ molec cm$^{-3}$, e.g. Prinn et al., 2001; Bousquet et al., 2005) and give much higher estimates of CH$_4$ emissions ($>700$Tg yr$^{-1}$) than other OH fields, we exclude inversion results with these two OH fields from the following analyses.

In response to both global total OH burden and inter-hemispheric OH ratios (Table 1), CH$_4$ emissions over northern and southern hemispheres calculated by Inv1 (Table 2) vary from 368 to 424Tg yr$^{-1}$ ($401 \pm 21$Tg yr$^{-1}$) and 138 to 187Tg yr$^{-1}$ ($166 \pm 15$Tg yr$^{-1}$), respectively; resulting in a range in inter-hemispheric CH$_4$ emission difference (NH−SH) of 206-254Tg yr$^{-1}$ ($236 \pm 14$Tg yr$^{-1}$). When scaling all OH fields to the same loss for Inv2, the standard deviations of hemispheric CH$_4$ emissions are reduced to 7Tg CH$_4$ yr$^{-1}$ for both hemispheres (Table 2), much smaller than those derived in Inv1 (21Tg yr$^{-1}$ and 15Tg yr$^{-1}$ over the northern and southern hemisphere, respectively). However, the CH$_4$ emission inter-hemispheric
difference calculated by Inv2 remains at 236 ± 14 Tg yr⁻¹, similar to that calculated by Inv1, which indicates that the hemispheric CH₄ emissions differences are mainly determined by OH spatial distributions. Without the TransCom OH simulation, the inter-hemispheric CH₄ emission difference ranges between 232 and 246 Tg yr⁻¹. The TransCom OH field, for which OH N/S ratio is 1.0, leads to an inter-hemispheric CH₄ emission difference of 205 Tg yr⁻¹, 35 Tg yr⁻¹ (27 Tg yr⁻¹) smaller than the mean (minimum) inter-hemispheric difference calculated using other OH fields (OH N/S ratio = 1.2-1.3). Previous studies show that differences in atmospheric transport models can lead to ±28 Tg yr⁻¹ uncertainties in the top-down calculation of the inter-hemispheric CH₄ emission difference, using a single OH field – TransCom (Locatelli et al., 2013). Here, using a single atmospheric transport model, but different OH fields, we find a ±14 Tg yr⁻¹ uncertainty, about half of the atmospheric transport model uncertainty. Combining the two studies, one could expect more than 30 Tg yr⁻¹ uncertainty in top-down estimates of the inter-hemispheric CH₄ emission difference, based on different atmospheric models and different OH fields.

Fig. 3 shows the optimized and prior CH₄ emissions calculated by Inv1 (top) and Inv2 (bottom) over latitudinal intervals (left panels) and large emitting regions (right panels). Compared with prior emissions, nearly all the optimized latitudinal and regional emissions show the same increment direction from prior emissions, but the magnitudes of the increment largely vary. The CH₄ emissions calculated by Inv1 amount to i) 147±14 Tg yr⁻¹ and are 1-47 Tg yr⁻¹ higher than the prior estimate over the southern tropical regions (30°S-0°), ii) 199±14 Tg yr⁻¹ and are 6-45 Tg yr⁻¹ higher than the prior estimate over the northern tropical regions (0°-30°N), and iii) 174±8 Tg yr⁻¹ and are 1-26 Tg yr⁻¹ lower than the prior estimate over the northern mid-latitude regions (30°N-60°N) (Table 3). The uncertainties in global OH burden and distributions lead to larger uncertainty (maximum—minimum) in top-down estimated CH₄ emissions over the tropics (>20% of multi-inversion mean) and smaller uncertainty over the northern mid-latitude regions (14%) compare with that arising from transport model errors and different observations given by
Saunois et al. (2016) (13% over tropics and 20% over northern mid-latitude regions) (Table 3).

Over the large emitting regions Europe (EU), Canada (CAN), and China (CHN), optimized emissions are lower than the prior. The emissions calculated by Inv1 show the largest absolute OH induced differences over South America (SA, 73±9Tg yr\(^{-1}\)), South Asia (SAS, 59±6Tg yr\(^{-1}\)), and China (42±5Tg yr\(^{-1}\)) (Fig. 3, right panels and Table 3), of which the uncertainty (maximum—minimum) account for more than 20% of the multi-inversion mean emission over the corresponding regions (Table 3). Over high-latitude regions (Canada, Europe, and Russia), OH leads to small uncertainty ranges (<10Tg yr\(^{-1}\)). At the model grid-scale, the uncertainty range due to OH can be much larger than the regional mean (middle panel of Fig. 3), for example, larger than 50% of the multi-inversion mean emissions over South America and East Asia. As shown in Table 3, at regional scales, the uncertainty (maximum—minimum) in top-down estimated CH\(_4\) emissions due to different OH global burden and distributions over Asia and South America (~37% of multi-inversion mean) are of the same order than those arising from transport errors (25% and 48%) or given by Saunois et al. (2016) (~40%). Over other regions, using different OH fields leads to smaller uncertainties (11%-18%) compared to other causes of errors (23%-70%) (Table 3).

The uncertainties in the top-down estimated regional emissions are not only due to inter-model differences of the regional OH fields but also rely on the distribution of the surface observations used in the inversions. Over the regions with large prior emissions but less constrained by observations (e.g. South America, South Asia, and China), our OH analysis leads to larger uncertainties than regions that are well constrained by observations (e.g. the North America and Canada) (Fig. S3). The results may indicate that on the regional scale, the top-down estimated CH\(_4\) emissions and the uncertainties arising from OH are specific to the observation system retained. If more surface observations (e.g. in the southern hemisphere) or satellite columns with a more even global coverage were included in our inversions, spatial patterns of the top-down estimated CH\(_4\) emissions and their uncertainties (as shown by Fig.3) could be different.
Comparing Inv1 and Inv2

We now compare the inversion results using the original OH fields (Inv1) with those using scaled OH fields (Inv2) to estimate how much the optimized regional CH$_4$ emission differences of Inv1 are dominated by OH spatial and seasonal distributions versus the global OH burden. Applying one single global scaling factor per model reduces the inter-model differences of original OH fields by 33%, 67%, and 33% in the southern tropics (0°-30°S), northern tropics (0°-30°N), and northern mid and high latitudes (30°-90°N) (Table S2). This scaling results in 57%, 93%, and 22% reduction of OH induced latitudinal CH$_4$ emission differences, respectively for the southern tropics (0°-30°S), northern tropics (0°-30°N), and northern mid and high latitudes (30°-90°N) (Fig. 3, left panels comparing standard deviations of Inv1 and Inv2). At the regional scale (Fig. 3, right panel and Table 3), the OH spatial distribution-induced CH$_4$ emission differences (standard deviation of Inv2) account for 50% of the differences due to both OH burden and spatial distributions (standard deviation of Inv1) over northern mid-latitude regions (China, North America) and South America. Over northern tropical regions (Southern Asia and Southeast Asia), the OH spatial distribution induces negligible CH$_4$ emission differences.

The comparison of Inv1 and Inv2 reveals that methane emissions in tropical regions are less sensitive to OH spatial distribution than mid- and high-latitude regions in our framework. One possible explanation could be the location of monitoring sites. Over tropical regions, CH$_4$ emissions are less constrained (with few to none observation sites near source regions) than in the northern extra-tropics, where several monitoring sites located at or near the regions with high CH$_4$ emission rates and high OH uncertainties (e.g. North America, Europe, and downwind of East Asia). Thus, CH$_4$ emissions over the tropical regions mainly contribute to match the global total CH$_4$ sinks (instead of the sinks over the tropical regions only) estimated by inversion systems. When all OH fields are scaled to the same CH$_4$ losses (Inv2), differences of emissions over the tropical regions are therefore largely reduced.
3.1.3 Global and regional CH$_4$ emissions per source category

Fig. 4 compares optimized and prior global total CH$_4$ emissions and the difference between the prior and optimized CH$_4$ emissions for four broad source categories: wetlands, agriculture and waste (named Agri-waste), fossil fuels, and other natural sources. We attribute the optimized emissions to different source sectors depending on the relative strength of individual prior sources in each grid-cell. With original OH fields, Inv1 calculates CH$_4$ emissions of $203\pm15$Tg yr$^{-1}$ for wetlands, $209\pm12$Tg yr$^{-1}$ for Agri-waste, $89\pm4$Tg yr$^{-1}$ for fossil fuel, and $66\pm3$Tg yr$^{-1}$ for other natural sources. Optimized emissions of the four sectors are $23\pm15$Tgyr$^{-1}$ ($-2$-$42$Tg yr$^{-1}$), $13\pm12$Tg yr$^{-1}$ ($-3$-$29$Tg yr$^{-1}$), $5\pm4$Tg yr$^{-1}$ ($-1$-$9$Tg yr$^{-1}$), and $4\pm3$Tg yr$^{-1}$ ($0$-$8$Tg yr$^{-1}$) higher than the prior emissions, respectively. Although Inv2 is conducted with scaled OH fields and all inversions calculate similar global total CH$_4$ emissions ($551\pm2$Tg yr$^{-1}$), optimized CH$_4$ emissions still show some uncertainties due to OH (as standard deviation) ($3$Tg yr$^{-1}$ for wetland emissions and $2$Tg yr$^{-1}$ for agriculture and waste, yellow boxplots in Fig. 4), in response to different OH spatial distributions.

We have further calculated CH$_4$ emissions per source category and per region estimated by Inv1 (Fig. 5), to explore the contribution of each region to the OH-induced sectoral emission uncertainties. Wetland CH$_4$ emissions mainly dominate emissions over Northern South America, Africa, South and East Asia, and Canada. Northern South America ($53\pm7$Tg yr$^{-1}$) and Africa ($30\pm2$Tg yr$^{-1}$) contribute most of the global total OH induced wetland emission differences and are $1$-$22$Tg yr$^{-1}$ and $1$-$8$Tg yr$^{-1}$ higher than prior emissions, respectively. In contrast to the higher wetland emissions than prior ones over tropical regions, optimized boreal wetland emissions (in Canada) are $6$-$9$Tg yr$^{-1}$ lower than prior emissions, consistent with lower top-down estimations than the prior given by Saunois et al. (2016). Agriculture and waste emissions are most intensive over China ($25\pm3$Tg yr$^{-1}$) and South Asia (SAS) ($39\pm3$Tg yr$^{-1}$). The optimized inventories show lower agriculture and waste emissions over China ($0.6$-$10$Tg yr$^{-1}$) and Europe ($1$-$3$Tg yr$^{-1}$).
and much higher emissions over SAS (4-13Tg yr\(^{-1}\)) compared with the prior emission inventory. The OH induced differences in fossil fuel emissions are found mainly in China and Africa, which are 0.8-5Tg yr\(^{-1}\) lower and 0.6-3Tg higher than prior emissions, respectively. In agreement with the previous regional discussion, scaling the OH (Inv2) highly reduces the uncertainties attributable to different OH over the tropical regions but not for the mid-high latitude regions. In Inv2, the largest CH\(_4\) emission differences due to different OH spatial distribution are found for wetland emissions in South America (60±4Tg yr\(^{-1}\)), agriculture and waste emissions in South Asia (17±1Tg yr\(^{-1}\)) and China (24±2Tg yr\(^{-1}\)), and fossil fuel emissions in China (8±0.7Tg yr\(^{-1}\)) and Russia (9±0.4Tg yr\(^{-1}\)).

Previous studies have highlighted that anthropogenic emissions over China are largely overestimated by bottom-up emissions inventories compared with top-down estimates (Kirschke et al., 2013; Tohjima et al., 2014; Saunois et al., 2016). In our study, total anthropogenic emissions (agriculture, waste, and fossil fuel) over China are 1-15Tg yr\(^{-1}\) lower than the prior bottom-up inventory as calculated by Inv1, and 7-14Tg yr\(^{-1}\) as calculated by Inv2, with the lowest emissions calculated with the TransCom OH field (for both Inv1 and Inv2). The TransCom OH field is the one most widely used in current top-down CH\(_4\) emission estimations but shows much lower [OH] over China than other OH fields (Zhao et al., 2019), which may be due to the use of the same NO\(_x\) profile over East Asia as for remote regions based on the observations of 1990s when constructing the TransCom OH field (Spivakovskiy et al., 2000). Thus, the large reduction of top-down estimated anthropogenic CH\(_4\) emissions over China as compared to the prior emissions may be partly due to an underestimation of [OH] over China in the TransCom field.

### 3.2 Impact of OH on CH\(_4\) emission changes between 2000-2002 and 2007-2009

As shown in Table 4, the global mean [OH] simulated by CCMI models increased by 0.7%-1.8% from 2000-2002 to 2007-2009, in response to anthropogenic emissions and climate change (Zhao et al., 2019), whereas the INCA-NMHC model-simulated global [OH] shows a slight decrease of 0.5%. The TransCom
OH field, being constant over time, shows no change. The increase in global mean [OH] mainly results from the combination of a higher increase in the tropics compared to the northern extra-tropics and a slight decrease in the southern extra-tropics. As a result, the changes in OH between the two periods show different patterns between regions. We have conducted inversions for 2007-2009 with scaled OH fields (Inv3) to explore how uncertainties in OH (both spatial and seasonal distribution and interannual changes) can influence the top-down estimates of temporal CH$_4$ emission changes from the early 2000s (2000/07-2002/06, Inv2) to the late 2000s (2007/07-2009/06, Inv3) (Inv3 — Inv2). We have also performed Inv4 for 2007-2009 but using OH fields of 2000-2002 to separate the contribution of OH from different time periods (Inv3 — Inv4).

3.2.1 Global total emission changes between 2000-2002 and 2007-2009

Total emission changes. From the early 2000s (Inv2) to the late 2000s (Inv3), the top-down estimated CH$_4$ emissions increased by $22\pm6$ Tg yr$^{-1}$ (17-30 Tg yr$^{-1}$, Table 5). The largest CH$_4$ increase of 30 Tg yr$^{-1}$ is estimated with CESM1-WACCM OH fields (for which OH increased by 1.8% from 2000-2002 to 2007-2009), 13 Tg yr$^{-1}$ higher than the smallest increase of 17 yr$^{-1}$ estimated with the INCA NMHC OH field (for which OH decreased by 0.5% from 2000-2002 to 2007-2009). In Saunois et al. (2017), the minimum-maximum uncertainty range of emission changes between 2002-2006 and 2008-2012 was 16 Tg yr$^{-1}$. This means that the uncertainty attributable to uncertainty in OH fields (13 Tg yr$^{-1}$), is comparable to the minimum-maximum uncertainty resulting from using different atmospheric chemistry transport models and observations (surface and satellite), but mostly constant OH over time (16 Tg yr$^{-1}$, Saunois et al., 2017).

Spatial versus temporal OH effects. Only changing OH from 2000-2002 (Inv4) to 2007-2009 (Inv3), top-down estimated CH$_4$ emissions due to OH interannual changes are $5\pm6$ Tg yr$^{-1}$ ( -3-14 Tg yr$^{-1}$, Table 5),
which contribute 25% of total optimized emission changes (Inv3—inv2) between the early and late 2000s (22±6Tg yr\(^{-1}\), Table 5). As listed in Table 5, the largest emission increase due to OH interannual changes are calculated using MRI-ESM1r1 OH fields, for which a 1.1% global increase in OH can up to double the top-down estimation of CH\(_4\) emission increase from the early to the late 2000s. This result indicates that a large bias likely exists in the former top-down estimation of the CH\(_4\) emission trend calculated without considering OH changes (Saunois et al., 2017).

Keeping OH fields from 2000-2002, top-down estimated CH\(_4\) emissions increase by 17±2Tg yr\(^{-1}\) (14-19Tg yr\(^{-1}\), Table 5) between the early 2000s (Inv2) to the late 2000s (Inv4) in response to increasing atmospheric CH\(_4\) mixing ratios and temperature. This represents 75% of total optimized emission changes (Inv3—inv2) between the early and late 2000s (22±6Tg yr\(^{-1}\), Table 5). The 2Tg yr\(^{-1}\) uncertainty (as standard deviation) is due to the different OH spatial and seasonal distributions, indicating that OH spatial and seasonal distributions, which are not considered in box-models, can also contribute to the uncertainties in optimized CH\(_4\) emission changes.

### 3.2.2 Emission changes by source types and regions

**Total emission changes.** We further analyze the influence of OH (both spatial distributions and interannual variations) on the top-down estimated sectoral and regional CH\(_4\) emission changes from the early 2000s (Inv2) to the late 2000s (Inv3). As shown in Fig. 6 (top panels), the smaller increase of the optimized global CH\(_4\) emissions from the early 2000s to the late 2000s (17-30Tg yr\(^{-1}\)) compared to the prior change (39Tg yr\(^{-1}\)) is mainly due to decrease in wetland emissions over the southern tropics (3-6Tg yr\(^{-1}\), 15°S-0°) and northern mid-latitude regions (3-4Tg yr\(^{-1}\), 45°N-60°N) in contrast to climatology prior wetland emissions, and a lower fossil fuel emission increase over 30°N-45°N (3-4Tg yr\(^{-1}\)) compared to prior emission increase (9Tg yr\(^{-1}\)). Wetlands (-6-0Tg yr\(^{-1}\)) and agriculture and waste (12-17Tg yr\(^{-1}\)) contribute most of the total OH induced uncertainty in global total emission changes (17-30Tg yr\(^{-1}\)) from
the early 2000s (Inv2) to the late 2000s (Inv3) (Table 6), whereas fossil fuel emissions (8-10 Tg yr\(^{-1}\)) show smaller uncertainty.

Considering emissions over latitudinal bands (Fig. 6, top panels), the largest spread of emission changes are found over the southern tropics (15°S–tropics, -7 to -1 Tg yr\(^{-1}\)), northern subtropics (15°N-30°N, 16 to 20 Tg yr\(^{-1}\)), and northern extratropical regions (30°N-45°N, 8 to 11 Tg yr\(^{-1}\)). The spread over the southern tropics is dominated by emission changes from wetlands (-6 to -2 Tg yr\(^{-1}\)), over northern subtropics by agriculture and waste (7 to 10 Tg yr\(^{-1}\)), and over northern extratropical regions by agriculture and waste (4 to 5 Tg yr\(^{-1}\)) and fossil fuels (3 to 4 Tg yr\(^{-1}\)). At the regional scale (Fig. 7, top panels), northern South America (-5 to -1 Tg yr\(^{-1}\)), South Asia (9 to 12 Tg yr\(^{-1}\)), and China (0 to 5 Tg yr\(^{-1}\)) show the largest differences in emission changes from the early 2000s to the late 2000s. The multi-inversions calculated agriculture and waste sector emission changes in China disagree in sign (Fig. 7; top panels), which range from 1 Tg yr\(^{-1}\) decrease to 2 Tg yr\(^{-1}\) increase from the early 2000s to the late 2000s.

We now compare the uncertainty of top-down estimated CH\(_4\) emission changes from the early to the late 2000s due to different OH spatial-temporal variations with that ensemble of top-down studies given by Saunois et al. (2017). For the sectoral emissions, the emission changes from agriculture and waste and from wetland show the largest uncertainties (more than 50% of multi-inversions mean, Inv3—Inv2 in Table 6) induced by OH spatial-temporal variations, comparable to that given by Saunois et al. (2017). On the contrary, the uncertainty of fossil fuel emission changes (24% of multi-inversions mean) is much smaller than that given by Saunois et al. (2017). For regional CH\(_4\) emission changes, the uncertainty induced by OH spatial-temporal variations is usually larger than the multi-inversion mean emission changes (except South Asia) and similar to that given by Saunois et al. (2017). The large differences existing in different top-down estimated regional and sectoral emission changes are mainly attributed to model transport errors in Saunois et al. (2017). Here, our results show that uncertainties due to OH spatio-
temporal variations can lead to similar biases in top-down estimated CH₄ emission changes.

**Spatial versus temporal effects.** We now separate influences of OH interannual changes (Inv3—Inv4) on optimized regional CH₄ emission changes. As shown in the bottom panels of Fig. 6 and Fig. 7, at the regional scale, OH interannual changes mainly perturb top-down estimated CH₄ emission changes (Fig. 6; bottom panel) over the southern tropics (0°-15°S, -3-6Tg yr⁻¹) and northern subtropics (15°N-30°N, 0-5Tg yr⁻¹). This corresponds to the two largest spreads observed in Fig.7 (bottom panel) associated with wetland emissions over northern South America (-2-4Tg yr⁻¹) and with agriculture and waste emissions over South Asia (0-3Tg yr⁻¹). Among the four emission sectors, wetland emissions (mainly southern tropical wetland) show the largest change (-3-5Tg yr⁻¹) in response to OH temporal changes (Table 6), which account for 60% of total wetland emission changes between these two periods.

The OH spatial and seasonal distribution can lead to large uncertainties in regional CH₄ emission changes. For regional and latitudinal scales, the spreads (uncertainty ranges) of Inv4—inv2 (OH fixed to 2000-2002) (Fig. S5 and Fig. S6) are comparable to the spread of regional and latitudinal emission changes arising from both OH interannual changes and spatial and seasonal distributions (Inv3—Inv2) (top panels of Fig. 6 and Fig. 7) as mentioned above (e.g., 3-5Tg yr⁻¹ decrease over northern South America, a 6-11Tg yr⁻¹ increase over South Asia, and a 0-4Tg yr⁻¹ increase over China). These results show that even if the global total OH burden is well constrained (as in Inv4 and Inv2, where all OH fields are scaled to the same [OH]GM-CH₄, and the differences in optimized CH₄ emissions changes from the early 2000s to the late 2000s are only due to different OH spatial distributions), top-down estimates of sectoral and regional temporal CH₄ emission changes remain highly uncertain.

**3.3 Impacts of OH on year to year variations of CH₄ emissions from 2001 to 2015**

To infer the influence of OH year-to-year variations on top-down optimized long-term CH₄ emission
changes, we conducted two inversions, Inv5 and Inv6. Inv5 calculates optimized CH$_4$ emissions from 2001-2015 with the CESM1-WACCM OH field varying from one year to the next, while Inv6 uses the CESM1-WACCM OH field but fixed to the year 2000. The choice of the CESM1-WACCM OH field is explained in Sect. 2.3 above. As shown in Fig. 8, the [OH]$_{GM}$-CH$_4$ of the CESM1-WACCM OH field increases by $0.47 \times 10^5$ molec cm$^{-3}$ (4.2%) from 2001 to 2011 and then decreases by $0.13 \times 10^5$ molec cm$^{-3}$ (1.1%) from 2011 to 2015.

With OH fixed to the year 2000 (Inv6), global CH$_4$ emissions stall at 550±2Tg yr$^{-1}$ during 2001-2003, decrease to 538Tg yr$^{-1}$ in 2004, which is different from the continuous increase of CH$_4$ emissions given by the bottom-up inventory (Fig. 8, top panel). After 2004, global total CH$_4$ emissions show a positive trend of $3.5 \pm 1.8$Tg yr$^{-2}$ (P<0.05), but smaller than the prior bottom-up inventory ($4.3 \pm 0.6$Tg yr$^{-2}$ (P<0.05)). Both stalled/decreased emissions during 2001-2004 and increasing trend after 2004 are consistent with previous top-down estimations (Saunois et al., 2017).

The trend of global CH$_4$ emission during 2004-2016 calculated by Inv5 (using varying OH) is $4.8 \pm 1.8$Tg yr$^{-2}$ (P<0.05), which is $1.3$Tg yr$^{-2}$ (36%) higher than that calculated by Inv6 (OH fixed to 2000) due to the small increase in [OH], and also $0.5$Tg yr$^{-2}$ higher than the prior emission trend ($4.3 \pm 0.6$Tg yr$^{-2}$). Accounting for the OH increasing trend leads to increasing the prior trend in Inv5 instead of decreasing it in Inv6. When calculating the differences between Inv5 and Inv6 for different latitude intervals, we find that before 2004, differences between Inv5 and Inv6 are mainly contributed by northern middle-latitude regions, whereas after 2004 they are dominated by tropical regions (Fig. 8, bottom).

We further compare CH$_4$ emission trends for the four previously defined emission sectors and the ten continental regions between Inv5 and Inv6. As shown in Fig. 9, the positive global CH$_4$ emission trend during 2004-2016 is mainly contributed by anthropogenic sources from agriculture and waste, and fossil
fuel-related activities, which are 1.9±0.7Tg yr$^{-2}$, and 2.3±0.4Tg yr$^{-2}$, respectively, as calculated by Inv6 (fixed OH). Wetland emissions show a small negative trend (-0.5 ± 0.7Tg yr$^{-2}$) and other natural emissions do not show a significant trend (0.04±0.6Tg yr$^{-2}$). Both sectors show large uncertainties in their trends reflecting large year-to-year variations. When considering [OH] variations, Inv5 estimates a higher agriculture and waste emission trend (2.4±0.8Tg yr$^{-2}$) compared to Inv6, mainly contributed by China (1.5±0.5Tg yr$^{-2}$ for Inv6 versus 1.7±0.5Tg yr$^{-2}$ for Inv5) and southern South America (-0.1±0.1Tg yr$^{-2}$ for Inv6 versus 0.1±0.3Tg yr$^{-2}$ for Inv5). Accounting for interannual OH variations the negative wetland emission trend reduces to near zero (0.1±0.6Tg yr$^{-2}$), mainly due to increased emission trends over northern South America (-0.3±0.3Tg yr$^{-2}$ for Inv6 versus 0.2±0.5Tg yr$^{-2}$ for Inv5). In contrast to agriculture and waste and wetland emissions, fossil fuel emissions have a similar positive trend of 2.4±0.4Tg yr$^{-2}$ in Inv5 and Inv6. This result comes from a higher CH$_4$ emission trend over China calculated by Inv5 balanced by a lower CH$_4$ emission trend over America and Russia (0.2 ± 0.2Tg yr$^{-2}$ for Inv6 versus 0.1 ±0.3Tg yr$^{-2}$ for Inv5) since the CESM1-WACCM OH field shows a significant negative [OH] trend over America (Zhao et al., 2019).

4 Conclusions and discussion

In this study, we have performed six groups of variational Bayesian inversions (top-down, 34 inversions in total) using up to 10 different prescribed OH fields to quantify the influence of OH burden, interannual variations, and spatial and seasonal distributions on the top-down estimation of i) global total, regional, and sectoral CH$_4$ emissions, ii) emission changes between the early 2000s and late 2000s, and iii) year-to-year emission variations. Our top-down system estimates monthly CH$_4$ emissions by assimilating surface observations with atmospheric transport of CH$_4$ calculated by the offline version LMDz5B of the LMDz atmospheric model using different prescribed OH fields.

Based on the ensemble of 10 original OH fields ([OH]$_{GM-CH4}$:10.3-16.3×10$^5$ molec cm$^{-3}$), the global total
CH$_4$ emissions inverted by our system vary from 518 to 757 Tg yr$^{-1}$ during the early 2000s, similar to the CH$_4$ emission range estimated by previous bottom-up syntheses and larger than the range reported by the top-down studies (Kirschke et al., 2013; Saunois et al., 2016; 2019). The top-down estimated global total CH$_4$ emission varies linearly with [OH]$_{GM-CH_4}$, which indicates that at the global scale, a small uncertainty of $1 \times 10^5$ molec cm$^{-3}$ (10%) [OH]$_{GM-CH_4}$ can result in $40$ Tg yr$^{-1}$ uncertainties in optimized CH$_4$ emissions.

At regional scale (excluding the two highest OH fields), CH$_4$ emission uncertainties due to different OH global burdens and distributions are largest over South America (37% of multi-inversion mean), South Asia (24%), and China (39%), resulting in significant uncertainties in optimized emissions from the wetland and agriculture and waste sectors. These uncertainties are comparable in these regions with those due to model transport errors and inversion system set-up (Locatelli et al., 2013; Saunois et al., 2016). For these regions, the uncertainty due to OH is critical for understanding their methane budget. In other regions, OH leads to smaller uncertainties compared to that given by Locatelli et al. (2013) and Saunois et al. (2016). By performing inversions with globally-scaled OH fields, we calculated that emission uncertainties due to different OH spatial and seasonal distributions account for $\sim 50\%$ of total uncertainties (induced by both different OH burden and different OH spatial and seasonal distributions) over mid-high latitude regions and South America. CH$_4$ emission differences due to OH spatial distributions are the largest in northern South America and China but are negligible over South Asia and other northern tropical regions. Based on CH$_4$ emission optimization with surface observations, our study shows that tropical regions appear more sensitive to OH global burden (as less constrained regions used to achieve the global mass balance of the methane budget) and mid-to-high latitude regions are found sensitive to both OH global burden and spatial distributions.

The global CH$_4$ emission change between 2000–2002 and 2007–2009 as estimated by top-down inversions
using 6 different OH fields, is $22 \pm 6 \text{Tg yr}^{-1}$, of which 25% ($5 \pm 6 \text{Tg yr}^{-1}$) is contributed by OH interannual variations (mainly by an increase in [OH]), while 75% can be attributed to emission changes resulting from the increase in observed CH$_4$ mixing ratios and atmospheric temperature (considering constant OH). Among the four emission sectors, wetland emissions (mainly southern tropical wetlands) show the largest change of $2 \pm 3 \text{Tg yr}^{-1}$ in response to OH temporal changes, which account for 60% of total wetland emission changes between these two periods. For global total emission changes, OH spatial distributions lead to lower uncertainties than interannual variations ($2 \text{Tg yr}^{-1}$ versus $6 \text{Tg yr}^{-1}$), but at the regional scale, OH spatial distributions and interannual variations are of equal importance for quantifying CH$_4$ emission changes.

As the modeled OH used here mainly shows an increase in [OH] (meaning increasing CH$_4$ sink) during the 2000s, our inversion using year-to-year OH variations infers a 36% higher CH$_4$ emission trend compared with an inversion driven by climatological OH over the 2001-2015 period. The different OH fields from CCMI models consistently show increasing OH trends during 2000-2010 (Zhao et al., 2019). These variations disagree with MCF-constrained [OH], which show a decrease of $8 \pm 11\%$ during 2004-2014 and $7\%$ during 2003-2016 estimated by Rigby et al. (2017) and Turner et al. (2017), respectively. A drop of OH between 2006-2007 (Rigby et al., 2008, Bousquet et al., 2011) is captured by CESM1-WACCM OH fields but with (possibly) smaller changes (1%) compared to (the very uncertain) 4±14% changes constraint by MCF (Rigby et al., 2008). This OH drop in 2006-2007 results in a 6Tg yr$^{-1}$ smaller increase of CH$_4$ emissions between 2006 and 2007 compared to that derived using constant OH. However, such [OH] drop is treated as a year-to-year variation instead of a trend, and cannot fully explain the resumption of CH$_4$ growth from 2006-2007. Thus, during 2004-2010, at the decadal timescale, if the CCMI models represent the OH trend properly, a higher increasing trend of CH$_4$ emissions is needed to match the CH$_4$ observations (compared to the CH$_4$ emission trend derived using constant OH). After 2010, CCMI models simulated OH trends of different signs (Zhao et al., 2019), thus the influence on the CH$_4$
emission trend is more uncertain.

The trend and interannual variations of tropospheric OH burden are determined by both precursor emissions from anthropogenic and natural sources and climate change (Holmes et al., 2013; Murray et al., 2014). Based on satellite observations, Gauber et al. (2017) estimated that ~20% decrease in atmospheric CO concentrations during 2002-2013 led to an ~8% increase in atmospheric [OH]. The El Niño-Southern Oscillation (ENSO) has been proven to impact the tropospheric OH burden and CH₄ lifetime mainly through changes in CO emissions from biomass burning (Nicely et al., 2020; Nguyen et al, 2020) and in NO emission from lightning (Murray et al., 2013; Turner et al., 2018). The ENSO signal is weak during the early 2000s, resulting in small interannual variations of tropospheric OH burden (Zhao et al., 2019). The mechanisms of OH variations related to ENSO and their impacts on the CH₄ budget need to be explored by inversions, but over a longer time period than this study (e.g. 1980-2010, Zhao et al., 2020).

Compared to previous box-model studies (e.g. Rigby et al., 2017; Turner et al. 2017), the inversions performed in this study take advantage of 4D OH fields from CCMI to quantify impacts on regional and sectoral emission estimations. Our results indicate that OH spatial distributions, which are difficult to obtain from proxy observations (e.g. MCF), are equally important as the global OH burden for constraining CH₄ emissions over mid- and high-latitude regions. Constraining global annual mean OH based on proxy observations (e.g. Zhang et al., 2018; Maasakkers et al., 2019) provides a constraint on global total methane emissions, through the necessity of balancing the global budget (sum of source – sum of sinks = atmospheric growth rate). It also largely reduces uncertainties in optimized CH₄ emissions due to OH over most of the tropical regions but not over South America and overall mid-high latitude regions. Also, the spatial and seasonal distributions of OH is found critical to properly infer temporal changes of regional and sectoral CH₄ emissions.
Top-down inversions, particularly variational Bayesian systems, are powerful tools to infer greenhouse gas budgets, in particular, methane the target of this study. However, they suffer from some limitations impacting the budget uncertainty. Some work has been done regarding atmospheric transport errors (e.g., Locatelli et al., 2013, 2015) and sensitivity to observation constraints (Locatelli et al., 2015; Houweling et al., 2000), but less on the chemistry side of the budget. Overall, our study significantly contributes to assessing the impact of OH uncertainty on the CH\textsubscript{4} budget. We have shown that it is insufficient to consider a unique OH field, constant over time, to fully understand and assess the global CH\textsubscript{4} budget and its changes over time. Indeed, further work is needed to help determining OH fields to be used in future variational top-down inversion studies to properly account for changes in both source and sinks. There are different ways to optimize the current OH fields. One way can be to build semi-empirical OH fields by combining atmospheric chemistry models and observation-based meteorological data and chemical species concentrations (e.g. NO\textsubscript{x}, CO, VOCs. etc) as initiated in Spivakovsky et al. (2000); another way is conducting multi-species variational inversion of OH (e.g. Zheng et al., 2019) with such HFC species (Liang et al., 2017), formaldehyde (Glenn et al., 2019), CH\textsubscript{4} (Zhang et al., 2018; Maasakkers et al., 2019), or CO (Zheng et al., 2019). In addition, as suggested by Prather et al. (2017), the OH inversion would benefit from including in their prior data the responses of [OH] to variations of the precursor emissions (e.g. biomass burning and lighting) using the uncertainties estimated by 3D models. These resulting OH fields should include a mean 3D global [OH] distribution, associated with temporal variations and uncertainties. A lot remains to be done to better constrain the chemistry side of the global methane budget, a critical step toward its closure.
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Tables

Table 1. Global tropospheric mean $[\text{OH}]$ ($\times 10^5$ molec cm$^{-3}$) and inter-hemispheric OH ratios (N/S) averaged over 2000-2002 for 10 OH fields used in this study. The global tropospheric $[\text{OH}]$ weighted by reaction with CH$_4$ ($[\text{OH}]_{\text{GM-CH}_4}$) and weighted by dry air-mass ($[\text{OH}]_{\text{GM-M}}$) are both given.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>$[\text{OH}]_{\text{GM-CH}_4}$</th>
<th>$[\text{OH}]_{\text{GM-M}}$</th>
<th>N/S</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TransCom</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INCA NMHC-AER-S</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INCA NMHC</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CESM1-WACCM</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMAM</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMAC-L90MA</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GEOSCCM</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>12.3</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MOCAGE</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRI-ESM1r1</td>
<td>16.3</td>
<td>14.4</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean±SD  
Mean±SD (8 OH)$^1$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$[\text{OH}]_{\text{GM-CH}_4}$</th>
<th>$[\text{OH}]_{\text{GM-M}}$</th>
<th>N/S</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean±SD</td>
<td>12±3.8</td>
<td>11±2.8</td>
<td>1.3±0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean±SD (8 OH)$^1$</td>
<td>11±0.8</td>
<td>12±0.9</td>
<td>1.2±0.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^1$The OH fields simulated by SOCOL3 and MOCAGE are excluded.

Table 2. The global total, hemispheric CH$_4$ emissions, and inter-hemispheric difference of CH$_4$ emissions calculated by Inv1 and Inv2 during the early 2000s (2000/07/01-2002/06/01) in Tg yr$^{-1}$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Inv1 original OH</th>
<th>Inv2 scaled OH</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Global</td>
<td>0-90°N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prior</td>
<td>522</td>
<td>384</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TransCom</td>
<td>530</td>
<td>368</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INCA NMHC-AER-S</td>
<td>518</td>
<td>380</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INCA NMHC</td>
<td>552</td>
<td>392</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CESM1-WACCM</td>
<td>587</td>
<td>420</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMAM</td>
<td>599</td>
<td>419</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMAC-L90MA</td>
<td>589</td>
<td>414</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GEOSCCM</td>
<td>611</td>
<td>424</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
We do not analyze the hemispheric CH$_4$ emission estimated with MOCAGE and SOCOL3 OH field since inversions using the two OH fields calculate much higher CH$_4$ emissions than using other OH fields.

### Table 3. Global, latitudinal, and regional CH$_4$ emission in Tg yr$^{-1}$ (mean±SD and the [min-max] range of the inversions) calculated by Inv1 and Inv2 during the early 2000s (2000/07/01-2002/06/01) in Tg yr$^{-1}$ (excluding MOCAGE and SOCOL-3). The uncertainties (Unc.= (max − min)/multi-inversions mean) arising from using different OH fields are compared with the uncertainties in CH$_4$ emissions given by Saunois et al. (2016) and Locatelli et al. (2013).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>This study (Impact of OH)</th>
<th>Saunois et al. (2016)</th>
<th>Locatelli et al. (2013)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Period</td>
<td>2000/07/01-2002/06/01</td>
<td>2000-2009</td>
<td>2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Experiment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>global</td>
<td>567±34[518-611]</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>551±2[548-555]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60°-90°N</td>
<td>29±1[27-30]</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>29±1[27-30]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30°N-60°N</td>
<td>174±8[158-183]</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>172±6[159-178]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0°-30°N</td>
<td>199±14[178-217]</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>192±1[191-194]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0°-30°S</td>
<td>147±14[121-167]</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>140±6[133-153]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30°S-90°S</td>
<td>19±1[17-20]</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>18±1[18-19]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>America</td>
<td>45±2[42-48]</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>45±1[42-46]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>27±1[24-28]</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>27±1 [24-28]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Europe</td>
<td>27±1 [25-28]</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>27±1 [25-28]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russia</td>
<td>33±1 [30-35]</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>33±1 [30-34]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>China</td>
<td>42±5 [33-50]</td>
<td>39%</td>
<td>40±3 [35-43]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southeast Asia</td>
<td>38±3 [34-41]</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>37±0.3 [36-37]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Asia</td>
<td>59±6 [51-66]</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>57±0.8 [56-58]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>90°-30°S</th>
<th>30°S-0°</th>
<th>0°-30°N</th>
<th>30°-90°N</th>
<th>Global</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TransCom</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INCA NMHC</td>
<td>-0.5%</td>
<td>-0.9%</td>
<td>-0.3%</td>
<td>-0.2%</td>
<td>-0.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CESM1-WACCM</td>
<td>1.1%</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMAC-L90MA</td>
<td>-0.1%</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
<td>1.1%</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GEOSCCCM</td>
<td>-0.3%</td>
<td>1.1%</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRI-ESM1r1</td>
<td>-2.0%</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
<td>1.7%</td>
<td>1.1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5. Global total emission changes (in Tg yr$^{-1}$) from the early 2000s (2000/07/01-2002/06/01) to the late 2000s (2007/07/01-2009/06/01) calculated to identify the effect of OH fields (Inv3−Inv2), of OH fixed to early 2000s (Inv4−Inv2), and the contribution of OH changes from the early to late 2000s to top-down estimated CH$_4$ emissions changes (Inv3−Inv4).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Inv3−Inv2</th>
<th>Inv4−Inv2</th>
<th>Inv3−Inv4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TransCom</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INCA NMHC</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CESM1-WACCM</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMAC-L90MA</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GEOSCCCM</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRI-ESM1r1</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean±SD</td>
<td>22±6</td>
<td>17±2</td>
<td>5±6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 6. Global sectoral emission changes (in Tg yr\(^{-1}\)) from the early 2000s (2000/07/01-2002/06/01) to the late 2000s (2007/07/01-2009/06/01) (mean and the [min-max] range).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sector</th>
<th>Inv3—Inv2</th>
<th>Inv4—Inv2</th>
<th>Inv3—Inv4</th>
<th>Prior</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wetland</td>
<td>-4[-6-0]</td>
<td>-6[-7- -3]</td>
<td>2[-3-5]</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fossil fuel</td>
<td>9[8-10]</td>
<td>8[7-9]</td>
<td>1[0-2]</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figures

Figure 1. A diagram showing inversion experiments (Inv1–Inv6) performed in this study. For each experiment, “Inv” gives the time period of inversion, and “OH” gives the time period of the OH fields used in the inversion. Inv1 is performed using the original OH field, whereas Inv2-Inv5 are performed using scaled OH fields. The colored boxes on the left and right show analyses of inversions we did to examine the OH impacts on inverted CH\(_4\) emissions. The brown, yellow, and green textboxes correspond to analyses presented in Section 3.1, Section 3.2, and Section 3.3, respectively.
**Figure 2.** Left: The global CH$_4$ emissions from Inv1 (averaged over 2000/07/01-2002/06/01). The bottom-up and top-down estimations over 2000-2009 from previous GCP (Kirschke et al., 2013; Saunois et al., 2016; 2019) are also presented for comparison. The brown box plot shows the inversion results using 10 OH fields, while the orange one shows the results that exclude the largest estimates from the SOCOL3 and MOCAGE OH fields. The left, middle and right whisker chart (vertical lines) represent the minimum, mean, and maximum values of different inversion, and the left and right edges of boxes represent the mean ± one standard deviation. The definition of the box plot is applicable to all those hereafter. Right: The relationship between the optimized CH$_4$ emissions (Tg yr$^{-1}$) in Inv1 and the corresponding [OH]$_{GM-CH4}$ ($\times 10^5$ mole cm$^{-3}$). The correlation coefficient (r) and the linear regression equation fitted to the data are shown inset.

EMIS$_{CH4}$ = 40[$OH]_{GM-CH4}$ + 104

$\text{r}^2 = 0.99$
Figure 3. Zonal (left), and regional averages (right) of CH$_4$ emissions calculated by Inv1 (top row) and Inv2 (bottom row) with 8 OH fields from 2000/07/01 to 2002/06/01. Left and right panels: prior (dash red line) and mean optimized (solid red line) CH$_4$ emissions for every 15-degree latitudinal band and 10 regions, respectively. Where USA=America, CAN=Canada, EU=Europe, RUS=Russia, CHN=China, SEAS=Southeast Asia, SAS=South Asia, NSA=northern South America, SSA=southern South America, AF=Africa. The full names of the abbreviations are applicable to all figures hereafter. The differences between prior and optimized emissions (optimized minus prior) are shown by the box plots (defined in Fig. 1). Prior and optimized emissions values correspond to the right axes and their differences correspond to the left axes. Middle panel: the ratio of the uncertainty range of emissions estimated with different OH fields (max-min) in each grid-cell calculated by Inv1 (top) and Inv2 (bottom) to multi-inversion mean CH$_4$ emissions.
**Figure 4.** Global total CH$_4$ emissions from four broad categories from 2000/07/01-2002/06/01 in Tg yr$^{-1}$. The red circles and dots show the prior emissions and mean optimized emissions, respectively, as calculated by Inv1 (right axes), and the box plots (defined in Fig. 1) show the difference between optimized emissions calculated by Inv1 (blue) and Inv2 (yellow) and prior emissions (optimized minus prior, left axes).
Figure 5. Same as Fig. 4 but for prior and optimized emissions over 10 emitting regions covering most of the emitting lands. \( W= \text{Wetlands, } A= \text{Agri-waste, } F= \text{Fossil fuels, and } O= \text{Others. In Tg} \text{ yr}^{-1}. \)
Figure 6. Top panel: latitudinal emission (every 15-degree latitudinal band) changes in Tg yr\(^{-1}\) from the early 2000s (2000/07/01-2002/06/01) to the late 2000s (2007/07/01-2009/06/01) of total, wetlands, agriculture and waste, and fossil fuel emissions (Inv3−Inv2). Bottom panel: contribution of OH changes on top-down estimated CH\(_4\) emission changes between the two periods (Inv3−Inv4). The red lines are changes of prior emissions and the black lines are the mean changes of optimized emissions. The box plots (defined by Fig. 1) show the standard deviations and ranges calculated with different OH fields.
Figure 7. Top panel: optimized global total and sectoral regional emission changes in Tg yr\(^{-1}\) from the early 2000s to the late 2000s (y-axis) plotted against prior emission changes between the two time periods (x-axis) as derived from Inv2 and Inv3. The prior wetland emissions are constant over time, thus show zero changes (all ‘0’ on the x-axis). Bottom panel: contribution of OH changes between the two periods on top-down estimated emission changes (Inv3 − Inv4). The box plots (defined by Fig. 1) show the standard deviations and ranges calculated with different OH fields.
Figure 8. Top panel: Time series of global total CH$_4$ emissions calculated by Inv5 (yellow) and Inv6 (purple) plotted together with prior emissions (black), and [OH]$_{\text{GM-CH4}}$ anomaly of CESM OH fields (blue). Bottom panel: the difference of global total (black line) and latitudinal (stack bar plots) CH$_4$ emissions between Inv5 and Inv6 (Inv5-Inv6).

Figure 9. Comparison between Inv5 (x-axis) and Inv6 (y-axis) estimated global total CH$_4$ emissions trends in Tg yr$^{-2}$ between 2004 and 2015 for the four categories (left) and over the ten continental regions (right). The error bars show the trend with 95% confidence intervals.