Investigating three patterns of new particles growing to cloud condensation nuclei size in Beijing's urban atmosphere

Liya Ma¹, Yujiao Zhu^{1, 2}*, Mei Zheng³, Yele Sun⁴, Lei Huang¹, Xiaohuan Liu¹, Yang Gao¹, Yanjie Shen¹, Huiwang Gao^{1, 5} and Xiaohong Yao^{1, 5}*

¹Lab of Marine Environmental Science and Ecology, Ministry of Education, Ocean University of China, Qingdao 266100, China

²Environment Research Institute, Shandong University, Qingdao, Shandong 266237, China

³State Key Joint Laboratory for Environmental Simulation and Pollution Control, College of

Environmental Sciences and Engineering, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China

⁴State Key Laboratory of Atmospheric Boundary Layer Physics and Atmospheric Chemistry, Institute of

Atmospheric Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100029, China

⁵Laboratory for Marine Ecology and Environmental Sciences, Qingdao National Laboratory for Marine Science and Technology, Qingdao, China

List of Text:

Text S1 Model evaluation of organic matter and the inorganic species in PM_{2.5}.

List of Figures:

Fig. S1 Domains of the CMAQ simulation.

Fig. S2 Occurrence frequencies of difference growth patterns of NPF events in observation months.

Fig. S3 Contour plots of Class I (a), Scenario 1 (b), Scenario 2 (c), Scenario 3 (d) and Scenario 4 (e) of Class II NPF events.

Fig. S4 NPF events occurred on 12 and 13 July 2014 ((a, e) contour plots of the particle number concentration; (b, f) time series of the observed mixing ratios of SO₂ and NO₂+O₃; (c, g) time series of the modeled SOA, NO₃⁻ and NH₄⁺ in PM_{2.5}; (d, h) time series of ambient T and RH).

Fig. S5 NPF events occurred on 12 and 15 August 2014 ((a, e) contour plots of the particle number concentration; (b, f) time series of the observed mixing ratios of SO₂ and NO₂+O₃; (c, g) time series of the modeled SOA, NO₃⁻ and NH₄⁺ in PM_{2.5}; (d, h) time series of ambient T and RH).

Fig. S6 NPF events occurred on 25 July and 11 June 2014 ((a, e) contour plots of the particle number concentration; (b, f) time series of the observed mixing ratios of SO₂ and NO₂+O₃; (c) time series of the modeled SOA, semi-SOA, NO₃⁻ and NH₄⁺ in PM_{2.5}; (d, h) time series of ambient T and RH; (g) time

series of the observed OM, $\mathrm{NO_3}^{\scriptscriptstyle -}$ and $\mathrm{NH_4}^{\scriptscriptstyle +}$ in $\mathrm{PM}_{1.0}.)$

Fig. S7 Time series of daily mean concentrations of simulated and observed OM as well as inorganic species including NO_3^{-} , SO_4^{-2-} and NH_4^{++} .

List of Table:

Table S1 Model performance of organic matters as well as the inorganic species in $PM_{2.5}$ in Beijing

Text S1 Model evaluation of organic matter and the inorganic species in PM2.5

The observed concentrations of organic matter (OM) as well as the inorganic species (including NO_3^- , SO_4^{2-} and NH_4^+) in $PM_{1,0}$ measured by High-Resolution Time-of-Flight AMS (HR-ToF-AMS) in 10 minutes time-resolution from 3 June to 11 July 2014 (Xu et al., 2017) were used for model evaluation. The sampling site located at a Tower branch of the Institute of Atmospheric Physics in Beijing, China (39.98 N, 116.38 E). Three statistical parameters including Normalized Mean Bias (NMB), Normalized Mean Error (NME), and correlation coefficient (R) were used to evaluate the CMAQ model prediction, using the equations as following (US-EPA, 2007):

$$\begin{split} NMB &= \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (Sim_i - Obs_i)}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} Obs_i} \times 100\% \, J \\ NME &= \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} |Sim_i - Obs_i|}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} Obs_i} \times 100\% \, J \\ R &= \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} ((Sim_i - \overline{Obs}) \times (Obs_i - \overline{Obs}))}{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (Sim_i - \overline{Sim}^2) \times \sum_{i=1}^{n} (Obs_i - \overline{Obs}^2)} \end{split}$$

in which, Sim_i represents the concentrations simulated by CMAQ, and Obs_i represents the observation concentrations.

The temporal variations of daily mean concentrations of simulated and observed OM, NO_3^- , $SO_4^{2^-}$ and NH_4^+ were shown in Fig. S7. The model simulation well replicated the temporal variations of OM, NO_3^- , $SO_4^{2^-}$ and NH_4^+ concentrations during the study period. The model results generally could meet the benchmark criteria of above four species (US-EPA, 2007), with correlations of higher than 0.51 (Table S1). The concentrations of $SO_4^{2^-}$ and NH_4^+ had been slightly overestimated (with NMBs and 12%, 6%), while the concentrations of NO_3^- and OM were underestimated (with NMBs of -29% and -42%). HR-ToF-AMS detected only chemical species in particles less than 1.0 μ m, while the accumulation mode of $SO_4^{2^-}$ and NH_4^+ can extend to 1-2 μ m. (Yao et al., 2003). The HR-ToF-AMS was set closer to an elevated highway as well as a moderate traffic road behind. The observational values may contain a large contribution from on-road vehicle emissions, and subsequently result in an under-prediction of NO_3^- and OM in modeling against them. NO_3^- concentrations in $PM_{2.5}$ reportedly exhibited a larger spatial heterogeneity than that of $SO_4^{2^-}$ in Beijing (Yao et al., 2002). More under-prediction of OM can be also related to the underestimation of secondary organic aerosols (SOA). Underestimation of SOA is the common weakness of model simulation because a fraction of SOA precursors were not involved such as aromatic volatile organic compounds, SOA yields was underestimated and some key formation pathways of SOA may still missed in current air quality models (Appel et al., 2008; Baek et al., 2011; Hallquist et al., 2009; Knote et al., 2014).

References

- Appel K.W., Bhave P.V., Gilliland A.B., Sarwar G., Roselle S.J.: Evaluation of the community multiscale air quality (CMAQ) model version 4.5: Sensitivities impacting model performance; Part II-particulate matter, Atmos. Environ., 42, 6057-6066, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2008.03.036, 2008.
- Baek J., Hu Y.T., Odman M.T., Russell A.G.: Modeling secondary organic aerosol in CMAQ using multigenerational oxidation of semi-volatile organic compounds, J. Geophys. Res., 116, D22204, doi: 10.1029/2011JD015911, 2011.
- Hallquist M., Wenger J.C., Baltensperger U., Rudich Y., Simpson D., Claeys M., Dommen J., Donahue N.M., George C., Goldstein A.H., Hamilton J.F., Herrmann H., Hoffmann T., Iinuma Y., Jang M., Jenkin M.E., Jimenez J.L., Kiendler-Scharr A., Maenhaut W., McFiggans G., Mentel Th. F., Monod A., Prevot A.S.H., Seinfeld J.H., Surratt J.D., Szmigielski R., Wildt J.: The formation, properties and impact of secondary organic aerosol: current and emerging issues, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9, 5155-5236, http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/9/5155/2009/, 2009.
- Knote C., Hodzic A., Jimenez J.L., Volkamer R., Orlando J.J., Baidar S., Brioude J., Fast J., Gentner D.R., Goldstein A.H., Hayes P.L., Knighton W.B., Oetjen H., Setyan A., Stark H., Thalman R., Tyndall G., Washenfelder R., Waxman E. and Zhang Q.: Simulation of semi-explicit mechanisms of SOA formation from glyoxal in aerosol in a 3-D model, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 14, 6213-6239, doi:10.5194/acp-14-6213-2014, 2014.
- Xu, W.Q., Han, T.T., Du, W., Wang, Q.Q., Chen, C., Zhang, Y.J., Li, J., Fu, P.Q., Wang, Z.F., Worsnop, D.R., Sun, Y.L.: Effects of aqueous-phase and photochemical processing on secondary organic aerosol formation and evolution in Beijing, China, Environ. Sci. Technol., 51, 762-770, doi: 10.1021/acs.est.6b04498, 2017.
- US-EPA, Guidance on the Use of Models and Other Analyses for Demonstrating Attainment of Air Quality Goals for Ozone, PM2.5, and Regional Haze, EPA -454/B-407-002, 2007.
- Yao, X.H., Chan, C.K., Fang, M., Cadle, S., Chan, T., Mulawa, P., He, K.B., Ye, B.M.: The water-soluble ionic composition of pm2.5 in Shanghai and Beijing, China, Atmos. Environ., 36, 4223-4234, https://doi.org/10.1016/S1352-2310(02)00342-4, 2002.
- Yao, X.H., Lau, A.P.S., Fang, M., Chan, C.K., Hu, M.: Size distributions and formation of ionic species in atmospheric particulate pollutants in Beijing, China: 1—inorganic ions, Atmos. Environ., 37, 2991-3000, https://doi.org/10.1016/S1352-2310 (03)00255-3, 2003.



Fig. S1 Domains of the CMAQ simulation



Fig. S2 Occurrence frequencies of difference growth patterns of NPF events in observation months.



Fig. S3 Contour plots of Class I (a), Scenario 1 (b), Scenario 2 (c), Scenario 3 (d) and Scenario 4 (e) of Class II NPF events.



Fig. S4 NPF events occurred on 12 and 13 July 2014 ((a, e) contour plots of the particle number concentration; (b, f) time series of the observed mixing ratios of SO₂ and NO₂+O₃; (c, g) time series of the modeled SOA, NO₃⁻ and NH₄⁺ in PM_{2.5}; (d, h) time series of ambient T and RH).



Fig. S5 NPF events occurred on 12 and 15 August 2014 ((a, e) contour plots of the particle number concentration; (b, f) time series of the observed mixing ratios of SO₂ and NO₂+O₃; (c, g) time series of the modeled SOA, NO₃⁻ and NH₄⁺ in PM_{2.5}; (d, h) time series of ambient T and RH).



Fig. S6 NPF events occurred on 25 July and 11 June 2014 ((a, e) contour plots of the particle number concentration; (b, f) time series of the observed mixing ratios of SO₂ and NO₂+O₃; (c) time series of the modeled SOA, semi-SOA, NO₃⁻ and NH₄⁺ in PM_{2.5}; (d, h) time series of ambient T and RH; (g) time series of the observed OM, NO₃⁻ and NH₄⁺ in PM_{1.0}.)



Fig. S7 Time series of daily mean concentrations of simulated and observed OM as well as inorganic species including NO_3^- , SO_4^{2-} and NH_4^+ .

Table S1 Model performance of organic matters as well as the inorganic species in $PM_{2.5}$ in Beijing

	OM	NO ₃ -	SO_4^{2-}	$\mathrm{NH_4}^+$
NMB	-42%	-29%	12%	6%
NME	49%	72%	50%	53%
R	0.51	0.61	0.69	0.67