LIMS observations of lower stratospheric ozone in the southern polar springtime of 1978

Ellis Remsberg[1], Virginia L. Harvey[2], Arlin Krueger[3], Larry Gordley[4], John C. Gille[5], and James M. Russell III[6]

[1]Science Directorate, NASA Langley Research Center, 21 Langley Blvd, Mail Stop 401B, Hampton, VA 23681, USA

[2]Laboratory for Atmospheric and Space Physics, University of Colorado Boulder, 3665 Discovery Drive, Boulder, CO 80303, USA

[3]Emeritus Senior Scientist, Code 614 Atmospheric Chemistry and Dynamics Laboratory, NASA Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt, MD 20771, USA

[4]GATS, Inc., 11864 Canon Blvd., Suite 101, Newport News, VA 23606, USA

[5]Senior Scientist Emeritus, National Center for Atmospheric Research, P.O. Box 3000, Boulder, CO 80307-3000, USA

[6]Endowed Professor and Co-Director, Center for Atmospheric Sciences, Hampton University, Hampton, VA 23668, USA

Correspondence to: Ellis Remsberg (ellis.e.remsberg@nasa.gov)

For submission to Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics Journal

February~~December~~, 2020~~19~~

Abstract

The Nimbus 7 limb infrared monitor of the stratosphere (LIMS) instrument operated from October 25, 1978, through May 28, 1979. This note ~~paper~~ focuses on its Version (V6) data and indications of ozone loss in~~for~~ the lower stratosphere of the southern hemisphere, subpolar region during the last week of October 1978. We provide profiles and maps that show V6 ozone values of only 2 to 3 ppmv at 46 hPa within the edge of the polar vortex~~ at 46 hPa~~ near 60°S from late October through mid-November 1978. There are also low values of V6 nitric acid (~3 to 6 ppbv) and nitrogen dioxide (<1 ppbv) at the same locations, indicating that conditions were suitable for a chemical loss of Antarctic ozone some weeks earlier. These "first light" LIMS observations provide the earliest, space-based view of conditions within the lower stratospheric ozone layer of the southern polar region in springtime.

1 Introduction and historical context

The Nimbus 7 Total Ozone Mapping Spectrometer (TOMS) provided the first daily image of total ozone for the Southern Hemisphere (SH) on November 1, 1978. That image in Figure 1 shows an equatorward extension of the region of low~~ column~~ polar, total column ozone (TCO) between 90°E and 135°E. Minimum TCO~~polar ozone~~ is of the order of 27~~5~~0 Dobson units (DU) at (75°S, 9~~27~~0°E) on this day. As a comparison, Farman et al. (1985) reported ground-based measurements of total ozone of about 225 DU on November 1 for 1980-1984 at Halley Bay (76°S, 333°E) and of about 270 DU at Argentine Islands (65°S, 296°E) (see also TOMS total ozone values of Table 2 in Stolarski et al. (1986)). We note, however, that those values are higher than 220 DU, which is a threshold definition for "ozone hole" conditions (WMO, 2018).

There are very few observations of lower stratospheric ozone above Antarctica prior to November 1978, especially for the months of September and October when the seasonal loss of ozone is most significant (WMO, 2018). The historic Nimbus 7 Limb Infrared Monitor of the Stratosphere (LIMS) experiment (Gille and Russell, 1984) provided data for~~on~~ middle atmosphere temperature, geopotential height (GPH), ozone, water vapor (H_2O), nitric acid vapor (HNO_3), and nitrogen dioxide (NO_2) from October 25, 1978, through May 28, 1979, for scientific analysis and for comparisons with atmospheric models (e.g., Langematz et al., 2016). Remsberg et al. (2007) provide a description of its Version 6 (V6) ozone profiles. The mapping of the V6 profiles to the LIMS Level 3 product employs a sequential estimation algorithm with a relaxation time of about 2.5 days for analyses of its zonal, 6-wavenumber Fourier coefficients at each of 28 pressure levels of the middle atmosphere (Remsberg and Lingenfelser, 2010). We then generated daily, polar stereographic plots of V6 ozone and HNO_3 on pressure surfaces based on a gridding (2° latitude and 5.625° longitude) from those coefficients.

This note focuses on the character of the polar vortex and of the V6 ozone, HNO_3, and NO_2 in that region of the lower stratosphere during the last week of October 1978. T~~Although t~~he LIMS measurements extend to only 64°S, due to the orbital inclination of Nimbus 7 and to the viewing geometry of the LIMS instrument (Gille and Russell, 1984). W~~w~~e will show that the profiles and pressure surface maps indicate that there was a loss of SH polar ozone during~~ some weeks earlier in~~ the springtime. Section 2 contains plots that show a loss of ozone inside the vortex in late October. Section 3 reports on evidence for a denitrification of the air in the same region, indicating that there was~~ likely~~ a chemical loss of ozone some weeks earlier. Section 3 also presents time versus longitude or Hovmöller diagrams that reveal~~ the~~ good correspondence for~~continuity of~~ the low ozone and HNO_3 values within the vortex region well into November. Section 4 summarizes the findings.

2 Antarctic ozone from late October to early November 1978

Figure 2 shows SH polar plots of V6 ozone mixing ratios at 46.4 hPa for October 26 and for November 1, where the orbital measurements of LIMS extend only to 64°S. The plot at right shows that there are minimum ozone values of about 2.6 ppmv near 120°E and 315°E at 60°S ~~-~~on November 1, which agrees reasonably with the locations of low total ozone from the TOMS image of Fig. 1. Ozone is of order 3.5 to 4 ppmv at most other longitudes. Low ozone occurs within the edges of the polar vortex, based on the concurrent GPH field from the operational ECMWF Re-Analysis or ERA-40 products (Uppala et al., 2005). The bold contour in Fig. 2 denotes the edge of the vortex, in the manner of~~as defined by~~ Harvey et al. (2002). We define the vortex edge as the streamfunction contour coincident with maximum wind speed that also encloses a region of rotation. Meek et al. (2017) showed that this definition of the vortex edge is in good agreement with the PV-gradient based definition of Nash et al. (1996). We note that daily plots of GPH are also available from LIMS V6. ~~, but~~ However, they exhibit a discontinuous anomaly at the 46-hPa level for the vortex region between October 29~~30~~ and 31, due to an interpolation of National Meteorological Center (NMC) GPH~~likely from the early NOAA Climate Prediction Center (CPC)~~ analyses supplied to the Nimbus 7 Project and ~~at 50 hPa~~ used for the baseline pressure level of 50 hPa for the V6 GPH product (Remsberg et al., 2004). V6 geometric height and GPH profiles~~lots~~ above and below that level are the result of a hydrostatic integration of the LIMS-retrieved temperature versus pressure profiles of T(p). ~~further away from~~ Maps of V6 GPS farther away from the 50-hPa~~that~~ level are very similar to those from ERA-40.

LIMS began its daily observations one week earlier than TOMS or on October 25, and the left plot of Fig. 2 shows that the ozone for October 26 at 31°E is about half of that at 119°E on November 1. The vortex on October 26 extends toward lower latitudes from about 60°S, 40°E. Both the vortex and region of low ozone deform and undergo a clockwise rotation from October 26 onward, such that their low values extend equatorward at 120°E and at 315°E on November 1. Bodeker et al. (2002) reported that the edge of the vortex often extends to near 60°S during October, and Stolarski et al (1986, their Fig. 1) and Hassler et al. (2011) reported on an analogous clockwise rotation of the vortex during ~~mid~~ October~~ of 1984~~.

3 Findings of denitrification of the vortex air in late October

The location of the vortex edge is helpful in deciding which V6 species profiles one ought to examine with regard to any constraints from HNO_3 and NO_2 on the ozone chemistry. As an example, Fig. 3 shows V6 Level 2 ozone profile segments from 11.4 to 88 hPa for two locations on October 26, where ozone is now presented in units~~in terms~~ of partial pressure (in mPa) for a better delineation of its relative changes in the subpolar lower stratosphere. Estimates of accuracy for single V6 ozone profiles are 14%, 26%, and 34% for 10 hPa, 50 hPa, and 100 hPa, respectively (see row (g) of Table 1 in Remsberg et al., 2007). The V6 ozone profile (black solid) at 54.9°S, 119°E is just outside the October 26 vortex, as shown by the black dot in Fig. 2, and its ozone values are nominal for subpolar latitudes. The largest contribution to total ozone from that profile in Fig. 3 occurs at the 68-hPa level. A second V6 ozone profile (solid red) is from 59.5S°, 31°E, and it is in a region of lower GPH as shown by the red dot in Fig. 2. Its ozone decreases rapidly from ~8.0 mPa at the 53-hPa level to 2.6 mPa at the 88-hPa level, indicating a significant loss of ozone in the lower stratosphere sometime prior to October 26. Komhyr et al. (1988, their Fig. 10) and Gernandt (1987) show from ozonesonde measurements that most of the observed losses of ozone for the mid-1980s occurred in the vortex in September and early October. Therefore, we also include in Fig. 3 an ozonesonde profile (solid green) from Syowa station (69°S, 40°E—the green dot in Fig. 2) for September 3, 1978, perhaps before there were any pronounced losses of ozone. Its ozone profile values are intermediate of those for the two V6 profiles of October 26.

Loss of ozone due to reactive chlorine chemistry proceeds effectively in the presence of air that has undergone denitrification (Müller et al., 2008). Lambert et al. (2016) somewhat loosely set an HNO_3 threshold~~ for it~~ of < 5 ppbv for indicating denitrification at 46 hPa, based on Microwave Limb Sounder (MLS) data of 2008. Nitrous oxide is the source molecule for odd nitrogen (mainly HNO_3) in the lower stratosphere, and its tropospheric values have grown by only a small amount from 1975 (~296 ppbv) through 2008 (~322 ppbv) (WMO, 2018); the HNO_3 threshold of 5 ppbv should also be representative for 1978. Thus, in Figure 3 we also show the accompanying V6 profiles of HNO_3 and nighttime NO_2 for the same two locations on October 26. HNO_3 and NO_2 at 31°E are a half (or 3 ppbv) and a third (or < 1 ppbv), respectively, of those at 119°E below about the 31-hPa level. Thus, both species indicate that there was a denitrification of the air in the vortex region and a likely loss of ozone due to reactive chlorine chemistry in the presence of polar stratospheric clouds (PSCs) several weeks earlier (Solomon, 1999; WMO, 2018). Although the V6 temperature~~s~~ at 31°E on October 26 was~~ere no~~ ~~colder than~~ 206 K (at 53 hPa), it is normal to find temperatures in the Antarctic vortex that are below the chlorine activation threshold value of 195~~3~~ K and in the presence of PSCs during September and early October (~~Drdla and Müller, 2012; ~~WMO, 2018).

Figure 4 shows the corresponding V6 plots of HNO_3 at 46 hPa in terms of its mixing ratios, which have an estimated accuracy of ~9% (Remsberg et al., 2010, Table 10). There are very low values of HNO_3 on October 26 poleward of 60°S and from 31°E to at least 90°E, indicating an earlier conversion of HNO_3 from vapor to condensed phase and the sedimentation of larger HNO_3 containing particles rather than an advection of low HNO_3 from lower latitudes.~~.~~ Low HNO_3 mixing ratios ~~values~~ are also present within the vortex region on November 1. Analogous polar plots of the nighttime NO_2 fields are quite noisy (not shown) due to the large uncertainties for tangent layer NO_2 in the lower stratosphere. Nevertheless, most of the odd nitrogen reservoir at 46 hPa comes from HNO_3, not NO_2. Together, they indicate the extent of denitrification of the air in the vortex region during late October 1978.

We show in Figs. 5 and 6 the details of the changing ozone and nitric acid from late October through November. ~~To investigate whether some of the low ozone and HNO_3 values might be due to advection from lower latitudes, we show in~~ Figure~~.~~ 5 displays~~the~~ time/longitude or Hovmöller diagrams for both species at 60°S; thick black contours indicate the vortex edge and dotted horizontal lines~~"+"~~ the vortex interior. The occurrence of lowest species mixing ratios shows clearly~~values~~ in the vortex region~~ shows clearly~~ in late October. Figure~~.~~ 6 extends the findings of Fig. 5 through the end of November, and there is an eastward progression of the region of low values from late October to early November. Reduced mixing ratios of those species occur inside~~The occurrence of low species values within~~ the vortex~~ region remains good~~ until about November 25, as expected for chemicals that are tracers of air motions in the lower stratosphere. The vortex distorts and then exhibits a stationary wave-1 pattern from November 5 onward, where height is lowest~~having lowest heights~~ near 0°E. Mixing of air across the vortex edge appears slow for both ozone and HNO_3 during that time.

4 Summary and concluding remarks

We find low V6 ozone mixing ratios~~values~~ of order 2 to 3 ppmv at 60°S within the edge of the polar vortex at 46 hPa during the last week of October and well into November 1978. There is good agreement between the V6 ozone map at 46 hPa and the TOMS image of total ozone in the region of the vortex on November 1. Low V6 HNO_3 mixing ratios~~values~~ of order 3 to 6 ppbv at the same locations indicate denitrification~~that~~ and conditions that were suitable for a chemical loss of Antarctic ozone some weeks earlier. We note that~~The~~ equivalent effective stratospheric chlorine (EESC) values used to predict conditions for the depletion of ozone in 1980 are about twice those of 1950, while the 1980 values are only half those of 2000 (Newman et al., 2007). In hindsight and based on the LIMS V6 dataset, we conclude that there was very likely some halogen-catalyzed loss of ozone in the southern polar vortex in winter/spring of 1978. ~~a chemical process was likely responsible for springtime losses of ozone above Antarctica even in the late 1970s.~~ Yet, those ozone losses in the SH spring~~ of 1978~~ were not to the low level of a true “~~‘~~ozone hole”~~’~~ (<220 DU total ozone). We also conclude that the LIMS V6 Level 2 profiles and the daily-analyzed maps from their Level 3 zonal coefficients represent useful comparison data for model simulations of the changes in Antarctic ozone in spring 1978.

Data Availability

The LIMS V6 data archive is at the NASA EARTHDATA site of EOSDIS and its website: https://search.earthdata.nasa.gov/search?q=LIMS). Nimbus 7 TOMS ozone is at https://disc.gsfc.nasa.gov/datacollection/TOMSN7L2_008.html. ECC ozonesonde ozone profiles are available from the World Ozone and Ultraviolet Radiation Data Centre or WOUDC at https://woudc.org/data/explore.php. ECMWF Re-Analysis (ERA-40) data are accessible through https://climatedataguide.ucar.edu/climate-data/era40.

Author Contributions. ER and VLH wrote the manuscript and prepared the figures with input from all the other co-authors. AK provided information about the TOMS ozone images. LG led the development of the LIMS version 6 algorithms. JCG and JMR are the C~~c~~o-Principal Investigators of the LIMS experiment. They also commented on the new insight from the findings about ozone and nitric acid of October 1978.

Acknowledgements. VLH acknowledges support from NASA LWS grant NNX14AH54G, NASA HGI grant NNX17AB80G, and NASA HSR grant 80NSSC18K1046. EER carried out his work while serving as a Distinguished Research Associate within the Science Directorate at NASA Langley.

References

Bodeker, G. E., Struthers, H., and Connor, B. J.: Dynamical containment of Antarctic ozone depletion, Geophys. Res. Lett., 29, 2-1 to 2-4, https://doi.org/10.1029/2001GL014206, 2002.

~~Drdla, K. and Müller, R.: Temperature thresholds for chlorine activation and ozone loss in the polar stratosphere, Ann. Geophys., 30, 1055-1073, https://doi.org/10.5194/angeo-30-1-2012, 2012.~~

Farman, J. C., Gardiner, B. G., and Shanklin, J. D.: Large losses of total ozone in Antarctica reveal seasonal ClOx/NOx interaction, Nature, 315, 207-210, https://www.nature.com/articles/315207a0.risNature, 1985.

Gernandt, H.: The vertical ozone distribution above the GDR research base, Antarctica in 1985, Geophys. Res. Lett., 14, 84-66, 1987.

Gille, J. C. and Russell III, J. M.: The limb infrared monitor of the stratosphere: experiment description, performance, and results, J. Geophys. Res., 84, 5125-5140, https://doi.org/10.1029/JD089iD04p05125, 1984.

Harvey, V.L., Pierce, R. B., Fairlie, T. D., and Hitchman, M. H.: A climatology of stratospheric polar vortices and anticyclones, J. Geophys. Res., 107(D20), 4442, https://doi.org/10.1029/2001JD001471, 2002.

Hassler, B., Bodeker, G. E., Solomon, S., and Young, P. J.: Changes in the polar vortex: effects on Antarctic total ozone observations at various stations, Geophys. Res. Lett., 38, L01805, doi:10.1029/2010GL045542, 2001.

Komhyr, W. D., Oltmans, S. J., and Grass, R. D.: Atmospheric ozone at South Pole, Antarctica, in 1986, J. Geophys. Res., 93, 5167-5184, https://doi.org/10.1029/JD093iD05p05167, 1988.

Lambert, A., Santee, M. L., and Livesey, N. J.: Interannual variations of early winter Antarctic polar stratospheric cloud formation and nitric acid observed by CALIOP and MLS, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 16, 15219-15246, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-16-15219-2016, 2016.

Langematz, U., Schmidt, F., Kunze, M., Bodeker, G. E., and Braesicke, P.: Antarctic ozone depletion between 1960 and 1980 in observations and chemistry-climate model simulations, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 16, 15619-15627, https://doi:10.5194/acp-16-15619-2016, 2016.

Meek, C. E., Manson, A. H., and Drummond, J. R.: Comparison of Aura MLS stratospheric chemical gradients with north polar vortex edges calculated by two methods, Adv. Space Res., 60, 1898-1904, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.asr.2017-06.009, 2017.

Müller, R., Grooβ, J.-U., Lemmon, C., Heinze, D., Dameris, M., and Bodeker, G.: Simple measures of ozone depletion in the polar stratosphere, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 8, 251-264, 2008.

Nash, E. R., Newman, P. A., Rosenfield, J. E., and Schoeberl, M. R.: An objective determination of the polar vortex using Ertel's potential vorticity, J. Geophys. Res., 101, 9471-9478, 1996.

Newman, P. A., Daniel, J. S., Waugh, D. W., and Nash, E. R.: A new formulation of equivalent effective stratospheric chlorine (EESC), Atmos. Chem. Phys., 7, 4537-4552, 2007.

Remsberg, E., and Lingenfelser, G.: LIMS Version 6 Level 3 dataset, NASA-TM-2010-216690, available at http://www.sti.nasa.gov (last access: 17 September 2019), 13 pp., 2010.

Remsberg, E. E., Gordley, L. L., Marshall, B. T., Thompson, R. E., Burton, J., Bhatt, P., Harvey, V. L., Lingenfelser, G., and Natarajan, M.: The Nimbus 7 LIMS version 6 radiance conditioning and temperature retrieval methods and results, J. Quant. Spectros. Rad. Transf., 86, 395-424, doi:10.1016/j.jqsrt.2003.12.007, 2004.

Remsberg, E., Lingenfelser, G., Natarajan, M., Gordley, L., Marshall, B. T., and Thompson, E.: On the quality of the Nimbus 7 LIMS version 6 ozone for studies of the middle atmosphere, J. Quant. Spectros. Rad. Transf., 105, 492-518, doi:10.1016/j.jqsrt.2006.12.005, 2007.

Remsberg, E., Natarajan, M., Marshall, B. T., Gordley, L. L., Thompson, R. E., and Lingenfelser, G. L.: Improvements in the profiles and distributions of nitric acid and nitrogen dioxide with the LIMS version 6 dataset, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10, 4741-4756, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-10-4741-2010, 2010.

Solomon, S.: Stratospheric ozone depletion: a review of concepts and history, Rev. Geophys., 37, 275-316, https://doi.org/10.1029/1999RG900008, 1999.

Stolarski, R. S., Krueger, A. J., Schoeberl, M. R., McPeters, R. D., Newman, P. A., and Alpert, J. C.: Nimbus 7 satellite measurements of the springtime Antarctic ozone decrease, Nature, 322, 808-811, https://doi.org/10.1038/322808a0, 1986.

Uppala, S. M., KÅllberg, P. W., Simmons, A. J., Andrae, U., Da Costa Bechtold, V., Fiorino, M., Gibson, J. K., Haseler, J., Hernandez, A., Kelly, G. A., Li, X., Onogi, K., Saarinen, S., Sokka, N., Allan, R. P., Andersson, E., Arpe, K., Balmaseda, M. A., Beljaars, A. C. M., Van De

Berg, L., Bidlot, J., Bormann, N., Caires, S., Chevallier, F., Dethof, A., Dragosavac, M., Fisher, M., Fuentes, M., Hagemann, S., Hólm, E., Hoskins, B. J., Isaksen, L., Janssen, P. A. E. M., Jenne, R., Mcnally, A. P., Mahfouf, J.-F., Morcrette, J.-J., Rayner, N. A., Saunders, R. W., Simon, P., Sterl, A., Trenberth, K. E., Untch, A., Vasiljevic, D., Viterbo, P., and Woollen, J.: The ERA-40 reanalysis, Q. J. Roy. Meteorol. Soc., 131, 2961–3012, https://doi.org/10.1256/qj.04.176, 2005.

WMO (World Meteorological Organization), *Scientific Assessment of Ozone Depletion: 2018*, Global Ozone Research and Monitoring Project — Report No. 58, 588 pp., Geneva, Switzerland, 2018.


200 250 300 350 400 450 500
NIMBUS-7/TOMS COLUMN OZONE (matm-cm)


280
320
360
400
440
200 250 300 350 400 450 500
NIMBUS-7/TOMS COLUMN OZONE (matm-cm)

Figure 1—Southern Hemisphere image of total column ozone (TCO) from TOMS for November 1, 1978. Longitude orientation is 0°E to the right and 90°E at the bottom; latitude circles (dotted) have a spacing of 10 degrees. White areas indicate where there are discrete~~was~~ data voids~~dropout~~ or no measurements. Ozone units of matm-cm are equivalent to Dobson units (DU), where 1 DU is 2.687 x 10^{20} molecules-m^{-2}. Black contours are TCO at intervals of 20 matm-cm.



Figure 2—V6 ozone mixing ratios at 46.4 hPa for October 26 and November 1, 1978. Polar plots extend from 30°S to the Pole and longitude is in °E with 0° at right. Bold contours denote the vortex edge from ERA-40. The superposed, three colored dots correspond to the locations of profiles on October 26 (black and red) and on September 3 (green) in Fig. 3.



Figure 3—V6 Level 2 species profiles for 59.5°S, 31°E (red) and 54.9°S, 119.4°E (black) on October 26, 1978, and from an ozonesonde at Syowa (69°S, 40°E—green) on September 3, 1978. Ozone (solid) has units of millipascals (mPa), while HNO_3 (dashed) and NO_2 (dot-dashed) have units of ppbv.



Figure 4—As in Fig. 2, but for V6 HNO_3.



Figure 5—Time/longitude or Hovmöller plots of LIMS ozone (left) and HNO_3 (right) for 60°S and 46 hPa. The ERA-40 vortex edge shows as thick black contours, and the vortex interior has horizontal dotted lines“+” symbols.



Figure 6—As in Fig. 5, but extended in time from October 25 to November 30, 1978.

Reply (in small CAPS**) to comments of Referee #1**

Anonymous Referee #1

GENERAL COMMENTS

First, it is great to see that 'old' data such as those obtained from LIMS are still be re-worked and used in analyses. Previous analyses, based on chemistry-climate models (e.g. Langematz et al...), have shown that ozone destruction through heterogeneous halogen-catalysed chemical reactions was occurring over Antarctica well before 1980 which is often (erroneously) considered as when Antarctic ozone depletion started and which is why many studies consider a 'return to 1980 values' as indicative of a recovery of the ozone layer over Antarctica from the effects of ozone depleting substances. This is one of the few papers to provide observational evidence of halogen-catalysed ozone depletion occurring over Antarctica prior to 1980. I think that this point should be made more strongly in the paper. It is made, almost in passing, around line 162 but I believe it should be highlighted in the abstract.

WE WRITE IN THE REVISED ABSTRACT AND THE SUMMARY THAT THERE WAS VERY LIKELY SOME HALOGEN-CATALYZED LOSS OF OZONE IN THE SOUTHERN POLAR VORTEX IN WINTER/SPRING OF 1978.

SPECIFIC COMMENTS

Figure 1: I wonder whether this is an older version of the TCO distribution from TOMS on 1 November 1978? It looks different to the file I have on my computer. Sure enough, when I go and download the raw data file from GSFC, here is the header:

Day: 305 Nov 1, 1978 Production V70 NIMBUS-7/TOMS OZONE Asc LECT: 11:49
AM Longitudes: 288 bins centered on 179.375 W to 179.375 E (1.25 degree steps)
Latitudes : 180 bins centered on 89.5 S to 89.5 N (1.00 degree steps)

and here is the data line for 45.5°S:
3563573563493543543543513553613623603573543513503453453453463433453523523
3573553533543573643723803863943973953963973973983983883783813813833843833
383378387383377376 0360364364363351343336338341342344350350351 0 0 0 0
0 0 0 0353353354355358358359363358356361370372371366367365364359360359
357358364362361363365364364365366366 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0368368368365363
3593583573533573513563533503473453483453463453443413473473533563543533473
3433363343333413443473533563673723793914114224184094054144043943763653543
3393363293233233173183213233213223183143102962973063103183233263353433493
3543583693733743773743713623553423393343243113063073103093163173253343373
3373303253223203153153183213243233213173143143153173163183213223223233233
328330333332332339340340 0 0 0359366367376377382383388392400407384360353
346346348347332329335338343343343351347 lat = -45.5

It shows some missing data but not as much as is apparent in your Figure 1 and certainly no data missing just west of the international date line. So why the discrepancy between the TCO field shown in your Figure 1 and the TOMS data stored on the GSFC server?

Line 40: You state that 'Minimum polar ozone is of the order of 250 Dobson units (DU) at (75°S, 270°E) on this day' When I go and look at the actual TOMS data file for that day at 74.5°S and 75.5°S at 270°E (which is 90°W; cell number 72 in TOMS-world) I see values in excess of 400 DU. These are quite different to the value of 250 DU that you are reporting here. What is the source of this difference? Perhaps you mean 90°E, but even then the lowest ozone value is 270 DU.

YOUR DATA SOURCE APPEARS TO BE TOMS VERSION 7 (NOTE PRODUCTION V70 IN HEADER LINE), WHILE WE USE VERSION 8 DATA FOR FIGURE 1--

HTTPS://ACDISC.GESDISC.EOSDIS.NASA.GOV/DATA/NIMBUS7_TOMS_LEVEL3/TOMSN7L3DTOZ.008/1978/L3_OZONE_N7T_19781101.TXT

THE HEADER LINES ON ITS .TXT FILE FOR NOVEMBER 1 ARE

DAY: 305 NOV 1, 1978 NIMBUS-7/TOMS NRT OZONE GEN:04.119 V8 ALECT: 11:49
AM LONGITUDES: 288 BINS CENTERED ON 179.375 W TO 179.375 E (1.25 DEGREE STEPS)
LATITUDES : 180 BINS CENTERED ON 89.5 S TO 89.5 N (1.00 DEGREE STEPS).

THE DATA FOR -45.5 ARE BELOW AND ARE VERY SIMILAR TO, BUT NOT EXACTLY AS FROM YOUR SOURCE.

```
 354354350344347352351349354362364361358357353352347346343340337339346347349
 353353353356356363374376385395397398400400398397395387375379379378379380380
 381384389383371369  0364369368365351338332336339340341346346347  0  0  0  0
   0  0  0  0348348348348353354356359356353356363365362360358357359353352353
 352352358357356359359359359358359360  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0363363363360357
 353354352351355355351351344341342342340340342341341346347352355352349346342
 339335334332340342341351358368373382393411421415406402410400391374361351341
 333331322316317314317322323321319317315305293295305311322326328335344353354
 353354364374377376372368361354342339332324309303302307305310311321330330332
 333303233183183123103133173213223173153143143163173173183223243223203222324
 327328329330330337338339  0  0  0356359359373375376378381384395403379357345
 340346334337314317327332339336344344342   LAT =  -45.5
```

HOWEVER, WE DID NOTICE THAT THERE SHOULD BE ONLY THREE DISCRETE DATA VOID REGIONS AT THIS LATITUDE. WE CHECKED ON OUR CODE AND FOUND A BUG IN THE WAY WE HANDLED THE ZEROS. OUR REVISED FIGURE 1 (SEE BELOW) IS MORE IN LINE WITH THE TCO VALUES FROM YOUR V7 DATA SOURCE. WITH REGARD TO YOUR COMMENT ABOUT OUR SENTENCE AT LINE 40, WE AGREE THAT WE SHOULD HAVE SAID THAT THE MINIMUM OZONE IS 270 DU AND IS LOCATED NEAR 75°S, 90°E. THANK YOU FOR CHECKING ABOUT FIGURE 1 AND FOR ASKING WHETHER WE MADE AN ERROR.

Lines 82-83: When you say that 'We note that daily plots of GPH are also available from LIMS V6' do you mean that LIMS also retrieves temperature and pressure profiles from which GPH fields are calculated? or do you mean that GPH fields are provided (from some other source) along with the LIMS data? If the latter, can you please describe the source of those GPH fields. Thank you.

THE LIMS V6 GPH FIELDS AT 50 HPA ARE FROM NMC ANALYSES PROVIDED TO THE NIMBUS 7 PROJECT. THOSE NMC 50 HPA FIELDS REPRESENTED A BASE LEVEL FOR THE PREVIOUS LIMS V5 PRODUCT, AND THOSE SAME FIELDS PROVIDE A SCALING FOR BOTH THE GEOMETRIC AND GEOPOTENTIAL HEIGHTS FOR THE V6 PROFILES (SEE REMSBERG ET AL., JQSRT, 2004). HEIGHTS AND GPH PROFILES ABOVE AND BELOW THE 50-HPA LEVEL ARE OBTAINED HYDROSTATICALLY USING THE LIMS RETRIEVED T(P) PROFILES. WE ARE ADDING COMMENTS TO MAKE THIS CLEARER IN THE REVISED MANUSCRIPT.

Lines 93-95: You may also find the following paper relevant and of interest: Hassler, B., G. E. Bodeker, S. Solomon, and P.J. Young (2011), Changes in the polar vortex: Effects on Antarctic total ozone observations at various stations, Geophysical

Research Letters, 38, L01805, doi:01810.01029/02010GL045542.

THIS PAPER IS DEFINITELY PERTINENT AND WE REFER TO ITS FINDINGS IN SECTION 3 OF THE REVISED MANUSCRIPT. THANK YOU FOR BRINGING IT TO OUR ATTENTION.

Line 101: I would suggest replacing ’is now in terms of partial pressure’ with ’is now presented in units of partial pressure’.

WE MADE THIS CHANGE.

Line 107: Are formally derived uncertainties on the LIMS measurements available? If so they should be quoted here.

REMSBERG ET AL. (2007, TABLE 1, ROW G) CONTAINS ESTIMATES OF ACCURACY FOR THE V6 OZONE PROFILES. THEY ARE OF ORDER 14% AT 10 HPA, 26% AT 50 HPA, AND 34% AT 100 HPA. WE MAKE NOTE OF THAT IN THE REVISED MANUSCRIPT.

Line 117: For clarity I suggest replacing ’for it’ with ’for indication of denitrification’.

WE MADE THIS CHANGE.

Line 128: I always thought that the chlorine activation threshold on PSCs was 195K not 193K?

WE DECIDED TO CITE A TEMPERATURE THRESHOLD OF 195 K, BASED ON FIG. 4-1 OF WMO (2018), ALTHOUGH DRDLA AND MÜLLER (2012) INDICATE THAT IT IS EVEN LOWER THAN THAT IN THE PRESENCE OF STS PARTICLES.

Line 147: It was not clear to me what was meant by ’remains good’? Can you please describe that more specifically.

WE NOW WRITE “REDUCED CONCENTRATIONS OF THOSE SPECIES ARE PRESENT INSIDE THE VORTEX UNTIL ABOUT NOVEMBER 25”…

Line 149: By ’lowest heights’ to you mean ’lowest amplitudes’ of the wave-1?

WE CORRECT THE SENTENCE TO READ “AND WHERE THE HEIGHT IS LOWEST NEAR 0°E”.

Line 272: Would it not be better here to state that 1DU = 2.687×10ˆ20 molecules/mˆ2.

THE NUMERICAL VALUE AND UNITS FOR DU ARE NOW IN THE FIGURE CAPTION.

GRAMMAR AND TYPOGRAPHICAL ERRORS

Line 105: 59.5°S not just 59.5°

Line 109: Should this be ’ozonesonde’ rather than just ’sonde’?

Line 127: Replace ’no colder than’ with ’no lower than’. I was always taught ’the air is cold - temperatures are low’. There can be no more a cold temperature than a heavy temperature.

Line 144: Replace ’lowest species values’ with ’lowest species concentrations’ and again on line 147.

Line 170: Replace ’ECC ozone’ with ’ECC ozonesonde ozone’.

WE MADE ALL THE ABOVE CHANGES.



Revised Figure 1

Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss.,
https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-2019-1086-RC2, 2020

Interactive comment on "LIMS observations of lower stratospheric ozone in the southern polar springtime of 1978" *by* Ellis Remsberg et al.

Anonymous Referee #2

Received and published: 21 January 2020

I enjoyed reading this article as it contains an interesting analysis of (now-) historic measurements. I am happy to recommend it for publication once the following comments have been addressed.

53-59 add text about the quality of the ozone data 63 say that the latitude limit is due to its orbit (assuming it is!) 144 I prefer 'is' to 'shows clearly' 153 'low LIMS V6' Figure 5 I find the + symbols hard to see. Perhaps just say dotted lines. Also the text around line 144 says 'late October'. Given that the lowest values are in the first part of the period, I wonder if this should be rephrased - 'in this period'? I also wonder if Fig 5 is really needed.

Interactive comment on Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-2019-1086, 2019.

Author responses to comments from Referee #2

Thank you for expressing your interest in the findings of our manuscript.

Lines 53-59—We are adding estimates of profile accuracy.

Line 63—The southern latitude limit is due to the orbital inclination of Nimbus 7 and to the viewing geometry of the LIMS instrument.

Lines 144-153 and Figure 5—We prefer to keep both Figs. 5 and 6. Figure 5 shows details of the changing ozone and nitric acid in late October, while Figure 6 shows that their wave-1 patterns at 60S become nearly stationary in November. We rewrite "dotted horizontal lines" in the figure caption. We also delete the opening clause of line 141 and now draw our conclusions regarding advection from lower latitudes based on distributions of the species in Figs. 2 and 4.