Responses to reviews

Anonymous Referee #2

This manuscript presents an outstanding study. First, the authors modified the process oriented biogeochemical model: CNMM-DNDC by adding tea growth-related processes that could induce a soil pH reduction and simulate NO and N2O emissions under very low soil pH condition. This is an very important improvement of the CNMM-DNDC model. Second, the authors made in-situ measurements on NO and N2O fluxes in tea planted soil and calibrated the emission factors for both NO and N2O. Therefore the improved CNMM-DNDC model could be used for quantifying NO and N2O emissions under tropical and subtropical low pH soils. The study is perfect to fit the scope of the ACP journal. I recommend to accept it in its current form.

Thanks very much for the evaluation of this manuscript. The manuscript has been revised based on the suggestions of the other reviewer. For the details, please refer to the revised manuscript.

Anonymous Referee #1

General comments: This study modified a process-based model to investigate effects of fertilization and stand age on N2O and NO emissions from tea plantations. The authors did intensive works, including model modification, model evaluation, scenario analysis, and uncertainty analysis. However, the authors provided limited discussions for some important contents/results (please refer specific comments) and some descriptions were not very clear. I suggest author further improving the language, clarity, and discussions of the manuscript.

Thanks very much for the evaluation of this manuscript. Based on the reviewer's constructive suggestions, the manuscript has been revised by rewriting the long sentences, updating the inexplicit descriptions and adding new parts of discussion about model modifications and performances for improving the language, clarity and discussions of the manuscript. For the details, please refer to the specific responses and revised manuscript.

Specific comments:
Lines 21 to 22: This sentence is not clear. For example, did you mean the observations showed a 62% increase and the simulations showed a 36% increase? Maybe not using "consistently" in this case.

Revised. The description of this sentence has been revised as the reviewer suggested. "*For the modified model, the observations and simulations demonstrated that short-term replacement of urea with oilcake stimulated N_2O emissions by ~62% and ~36% and mitigated NO emissions by ~25% and ~14%, respectively.*" (**Please see lines 21-22**).

Line 31: The "word" should be "world".

Revised. The sentence has been revised as the reviewer suggested. "*Tea (Camellia sinensis (L.) Kuntze), as a perennial cash crop, has been widely cultivated long-term in the tropical and subtropical regions of the world*" (**Please see line 31**).

Line 60: The "which" is not clear; suggest rewriting.

Revised. The sentence has been revised by clarifying the meaning of "which" as the reviewer suggested. "*In 2013, for instance, the N_2O emissions from tea plantations in China accounted for more than one-tenth of the national total emissions of this gas from croplands and contributed to 85% of the total N_2O emissions from global tea plantations (Li et al., 2016).*" (**Please see lines 59-61**).

Line 113: "full" application is not as clear as "exclusive application".

Revised. The sentence has been revised as the reviewer suggested by using "exclusive application" instead of "full application" (**Please see line 113**).

Section 2.2: My understanding is that soil buffering impact is an important mechanism regulating soil H and pH changes. But it looks that there was no parameterization of this mechanism from the equations and parameters described in this section.

Revised. The equations for the parameterization of soil buffering impact has been added in Table S3 as the reviewer suggested "*The soil pH dynamics affected by the urea hydrolysis, soil buffering and manure application have already been considered in the original CNMM-DNDC (Table S3).*" (**Please see lines 182-183 and Table S3**).

Line 169: The "tea residue" was actually "tea leaf residue"; right?

Revised. The meaning of "tea residue" has been explained as the reviewer suggested which was mainly tea leaf residue and also indicated some young branch due to trimming. "*the decomposition of tea residues due to the trimming (tea leaves and young branch) or falling of old leaves*" (**Please see lines 143-144**).

Lines 217 to 220: This sentence is too long; I suggest breaking this long sentence.

Revised. The sentence has been revised as the reviewer suggested by breaking the long sentence into two sentences. "*The virtual experiments were designed to evaluate the performance of the original and modified models in simulating the annual EF_ds and to investigate the effects of fertilizer nitrogen doses on EF_ds. For each field treatment exclusively applied with urea or oilcake in 2013 or 2014, virtual experiments against nitrogen addition rates varying from zero to 600 (with an interval of 50) kg N ha^{-1} yr^{-1} were carried out.*" (**Please see lines 220-223**).

Lines 231 to 235: This sentence is long and not clear for me. Did you mean you have set 35 different scenarios for investigating the stand age effects?

Revised. The sentence has been revised as the reviewer suggested by breaking the long sentence into two sentences and clarifying the setting of 35 independent

scenarios. "*To ensure the simulations of all the stand ages can be driven by the same meteorological conditions that would be the same as the measured data during the year-round period from September 17th, 2013 to October 9th, 2014, 35 independent scenarios were designed. Thus, the seedling transplanting for the stand ages of 35, 34, ..., 1 year were set to occur in March of 1979, 1980, ..., and 2013, respectively.*" (**Please see lines 235-238**).

Line 256: Please add refs for the latin hypercube sampling.
Revised. The reference has been added for the Latin hypercube sampling as the reviewer suggested "*It was estimated using the Monte Carlo test with Latin hypercube sampling (Helton and Davis, 2003)*" and "*Helton, J. C., and Davis, F. J.: Latin hypercube sampling and the propagation of uncertainty in analysis of complex systems, Reliab. Eng. Syst. Saf., 81, 23-69, 2003.*" (**Please see lines 260 and 596-597**).

In addition, I cannot well capture the author's intention for breaking simulation error into structure error and input error after reading the manuscript. If the break is important, I suggest briefly introducing the intention and discussing the breaking results to better guide readers.
Revised. The result and discussion about the total model error and input error have been added as the reviewer suggested "*Compared with the uncertainty induced by the inputs (ε_{input}), the absolute values of the total model uncertainty (ε_s) were much smaller, which only accounted for 32% and 35% of the ε_{input} for N_2O and NO, respectively.*" and "*In addition, the smaller total model uncertainty, which only accounts for 33% of the uncertainty induced by inputs, indicated that increasing the reliability of the inputs of soil properties and plant growth parameters can improve the model efficiency.*" (**Please see lines 350-351 and 505-507**).

Lines 286 to 289: This sentence is not clear. Not sure which values are for the original model and for the modified model.
Revised. The sentence has been revised as the reviewer suggested. "*For the original model, three (NO) and five (N_2O) of the nine individual simulations for each gas showed |MRBs| larger than the corresponding observed two times CV, while |MRBs| larger than the observed two times CV were four (NO and N_2O) for the modified model (Table S5). However, the statistics of both models still indicated agreements for annual emissions, with the IA and NSI values of 0.96−0.98 and 0.81−0.94, respectively, for N_2O and NO (Table 1).*" (**Please see lines 290-294**).

Lines 298 to 302: Given the observed effects (e.g., -25%) and the relatively large observational errors (e.g., 73%), I am curiosity about if the observed stimulation or mitigation effects were significant or not.
Revised. The observed stimulation and mitigation effects were significant which has been added as the reviewer suggested. In addition, different from the standard error presented in observations, two times standard deviation was applied for calculating

the relative observational error of urea replacement effects. "*Based on the statistical analysis using linear mixed models, both the stimulation and mitigation effects were significant (p < 0.05) (Yao et al., 2015).*" and "*δ_o and δ_u (in kg N ha^{-1} yr^{-1}) signify the corresponding observational errors in two times standard deviation (SD)*" (**Please see lines 305-306 and 217-218**).

Lines 305 to 307: It looks (table 6) that the original model performed better than the modified model in capturing the inhibitory effects on NO fluxes; right? This need to be mentioned and discussed. Lines 320 and 322: Seems the original model performed better in simulating the EFds of NO. Could you provide an explanation?

Revised. A new paragraph has been added to discuss the simulation of annual emissions by the original and modified models (**Please see lines 407-420)**. The unsatisfactory performance of the modified model for the NO inhibitory effects and EF$_d$s has been discussed as the reviewer suggested. "*The underestimation (2.56 kg N ha^{-1} yr^{-1}) and overestimation (3.29 kg N ha^{-1} yr^{-1}) of the NO emission in 2014 for T08-UN and T08-OM, respectively, resulted in the significant underestimation of the inhibition effects and increased model relative bias for the modified model. The inhibited NO emissions were also partly attributed to the soil heterotrophic nitrification (Yao et al., 2015), which is the direct oxidation of organic nitrogen to nitrate without passing through mineralization. However, the heterotrophic nitrification was not considered in the model, which may result in the overestimated NO emissions in 2014 for the manure treatments by both models. In addition, compared with the original model, the underestimated NO emission mentioned above was also the key reason for the unsatisfactory simulation of EF$_d$s, which led to the increment of the ZIR slope by 8% (1.0 for the ZIR without T08-UN and 1.08 for the ZIR with T08-UN).*" (**Please see lines 411-418**).

Lines 327 to 329: This sentence is not clear; please rewrite.

Revised. The sentence has been rewrite as the reviewer suggested. "*The original model simulations of annual N$_2$O and NO emissions showed |MRB| of ~33% (ranging from 6−76%) and ~6% (ranging from 3−10%) respectively, while |MRBs| of the annual N$_2$O and NO emissions were ~17% (ranging from 11−28%) and ~8% (ranging from 1−14%) for the modified model.*" (**Please see lines 333-335**).

Lines 342 to 343: It is not straightforward to compare the field observations with the uncertainty of the regression lines. Why did not directly compare with the uncertainty of the simulations?

Revised. The description has been updated as the reviewer suggested. "*As Figure 5 indicated, almost all the field observations in the fertilized fields not only generally fell within the range of the uncertainty induced by the input items, but also within the upper and lower bounds of uncertainty (95% CI) of the regressions.*" (**Please see lines 348-350**).

Line 353: It looks the differences in simulating gas fluxes and yields between the

original and modified models were primarily induced by pH differences. Did you find any observations or literatures that reported soil pH (or soil pH change) in old tea fields (such as 35-years)? This kind of reports could increase the reliability of simulating soil pH change by the modified model.

Revised. According to the suggestion of the reviewer, the discussion of soil pH changes over 35-years has been added based on the reported average annual soil pH declination rate of tea plantations in Jiangsu and Anhui provinces. "*However, the studies of the tea plantations in Jiangsu and Anhui provinces showed that the average soil pH (0−20 cm) declination rate was 0.06 pH yr^{-1} (Luo, 2006; Su, 2018). For the simulation of 35-year tea plantation in this study, the calculated average annual soil (0−20 cm) pH declination rate was close to the reports with the value of 0.064. Therefore, the consistent declination rate indicates the modifications improve the scientific mechanisms of the biogeochemical model which could be applied for long time simulation.*" (**Please see lines 377-382**).

Discussion section: One important part of this manuscript is model modifications. However, limited discussions regarding the model modifications were provided. I suggest authors providing more discussions regarding the model modifications; such as implications, limitations, and advantages of the modified model etc.

Revised. According to the suggestion of the reviewer, a section of discussion about model modifications has been added. "*The modified CNMM-DNDC was hypothesized to reflect the general knowledge that tea can grow in soils with a suitable pH within 4.0−6.5 (Cao et al., 2009). But the transient increase of soil pH due to urea hydrolysis has no impact on plant growth, as the soil pH could be recovered within a few days due to soil buffering effect. Due to the lack of observed tea yields, the parameterized impact of soil pH on tea growth could not be calibrated or validated in this study, but virtual experiments showed increased yield reduction with increasing stand age, implicating the intensified negative effects on plant growth for older tea plantations. The newly added scientific processes relating to pH reduction were calibrated using the observed soil pH for different stand ages during the early stage of a tea plantation. Although the simulations showed that the modified CNMM-DNDC with the calibrated parameters could accurately reflect the basal soil pH declination during the early years, validation was still missing due to a lack of available independent observation of pH. However, the studies of the tea plantations in Jiangsu and Anhui provinces showed that the average soil pH (0−20 cm) declination rate was 0.06 pH yr^{-1} (Luo, 2006; Su, 2018). For the simulation of 35-year tea plantation in this study, the calculated average annual soil (0−20 cm) pH declination rate was close to the reports with the value of 0.064. Therefore, the consistent declination rate indicates the modifications improve the scientific mechanisms of the biogeochemical model which could be applied for long time simulation. As the actual soil pH would not decline constantly (Yao et al., 2018), the validation of soil pH dynamics for long time is still necessary. The simulated annual emissions by both models were comparable in the early tea stand ages, but those by the modified model were much lower in the mid to late stages of tea lifetime. According to the modifications, the different annual*

emissions of both gases should be primarily attributed to the soil pH differences. Therefore, the proper simulation of soil pH declination for long time increased the reliability of the simulated variation of annual emissions even though validation of the differences was still missing due to lacking of field observations. Thus, further study is still needed to confirm the general model applicability, especially for the simulations of long term yields, soil pH dynamics, N_2O and NO emissions from tea plantations subject to different conditions." (**Please see lines 369-389**).

Lines 387 to 388: I suggest deleting this sentence because the former results (i.e. comparable models performance for the early stand ages and no-validated models performance for the old stand ages) cannot confirm this conclusion.
Revised. The sentence has been deleted as the reviewer suggested.

Lines 397 to 398: Please change the word of "dominant" as it may be not proper to call "62 to 67%" and "57 to 62%" as dominant.
Revised. The word of "dominant" has been revised as "major" as the reviewer suggested (**Please see line 428**).

Lines 498 to 499: As I previously mentioned, I don't think this conclusion is solid since the long term N2O and NO emissions were not evaluated.
Revised. The sentence of the uncertain conclusion has been deleted and the content of conclusion has been adjusted as the reviewer suggested (**Please see lines 520-547**).

Figure 3: The blue cycles are for the modified model and the gray cycles are for the original model?
Revised. The footnotes for the grey and blue circles in panels c−f have been added in Figure 3 as the reviewer suggested (**Please see Figure 3**).

Effects of fertilization and stand age on N_2O and NO emissions from tea plantations: A site-scale study in a subtropical region using a modified biogeochemical model

Wei Zhang [1], Zhisheng Yao [1], Xunhua Zheng [1, 2], Chunyan Liu [1], Rui Wang [1], Kai Wang [1], Siqi Li [1], Shenghui Han [1], Qiang Zuo [3], Jianchu Shi [3]

[1] State Key Laboratory of Atmospheric Boundary Layer Physics and Atmospheric Chemistry, Institute of Atmospheric Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100029, P. R. China

[2] University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, P.R. China

[3] College of Resources and Environmental Sciences, China Agricultural University, Beijing 100193, P.R. China

Corresponding to: Xunhua Zheng (xunhua.zheng@post.iap.ac.cn); Zhisheng Yao (zhishengyao@mail.iap.ac.cn)

Abstract. To meet increasing demands, tea plantations are rapidly expanding in China. Although the emissions of nitrous oxide (N_2O) and nitric oxide (NO) from tea plantations may be substantially influenced by soil pH reduction and intensive nitrogen fertilization, process model-based studies on this issue are still rare. In this study, the process-oriented biogeochemical model, Catchment Nutrient Management Model - DeNitrification-DeComposition (CNMM-DNDC), was modified by adding tea growth-related processes that may induce a soil pH reduction. Using a dataset for intensively managed tea plantations at a subtropical site, the performances of the original and modified models for simulating the emissions of both gases subject to different fertilization alternatives and stand ages were evaluated. Compared with the observations in early stage of a tea plantation, the original and modified models showed comparable performances for simulating the daily gas fluxes (with Nash-Sutcliffe index (NSI) of 0.10 versus 0.18 for N_2O and 0.32 versus 0.33 for NO), annual emissions (with NSI of 0.81 versus 0.94 for N_2O and 0.92 versus 0.94 for NO) and annual direct emission factors (EF_ds). For the modified model, the observations and simulations demonstrated that short-term replacement of urea with oilcake stimulated N_2O emissions by ~62% and ~36% and mitigated NO emissions by ~25% and ~14%, respectively. The model simulations resulted in a positive dependence of EF_d of either gas against nitrogen doses, implicating the importance of model-based quantification of this key parameter for inventory. In addition, the modified model with pH-related scientific processes showed overall inhibitory effects on the gases emissions in the mid to late stages during a full tea lifetime. In conclusion, the modified CNMM-DNDC exhibits the potential for quantifying N_2O and NO emissions from tea plantations under various conditions. Nevertheless, wider validation is still required for simulation of long-term soil pH variations and emissions of both gases from tea plantations.

1 Introduction

Tea (*Camellia sinensis* (L.) Kuntze), as a perennial cash crop, has been widely cultivated long-term in the tropical and subtropical regions of the world, with nearly 90% of the global tea harvest area currently located in Asia and over 50% of that located within China (http://www.fao.org/faostat/). To maximize the economic benefits, especially in China, tea production has expanded intensively, mostly through the conversion of arable uplands, rice paddies and forests into tea plantations (e.g., Xue et al., 2013; Yao et al., 2015). For instance, both the total harvest area and production have dramatically increased by 166% (from 1.09×10^6 to 2.90×10^6 ha) and 253% (from 6.8×10^5 to 2.40×10^6 Mg), respectively, from 2000 to 2016 (http://www.fao.org/faostat/).

As a leaf/bud-harvested crop, nitrogen is the key nutrient for yield. Thus, high tea yields are largely supported by the intensive application of nitrogen fertilizers. The nitrogen inputs amount to 450–1200 (mean: 553) kg N ha^{-1} yr^{-1} in the primary areas of tea cultivation in China (Han et al., 2013), which is much higher than the recommended doses of 250–375 kg N ha^{-1} yr^{-1} (Fu et al., 2012; Hirono and Nonaka, 2012, 2014; Hou et al., 2015; Li et al., 2016; Tokuda and Hayatsu, 2004; Yamamoto et al., 2014; Yao et al., 2015, 2018). This intensive nitrogen application results in superfluous reactive nitrogen remaining in the soil. The excessive reactive nitrogen induces the high potential for nitrous oxide (N$_2$O) and nitric oxide (NO) emissions, thus leading to the detrimental consequences of global warming and air pollution.

The tea plant has been well known as one of the very few families tolerable to high levels of aluminum ion (Al^{3+}) and thus can grow well in acidic soil (Taylor, 1991). Mature leaves of the tea plant may contain up to 30 g Al kg^{-1} on dry weight basis (Matsumoto et al., 1976) without experiencing Al toxicity (Morita et al., 2008). Part of the tissue Al further returns to soil through plant trimming and thus leads to Al accumulation in surface soil of a tea plantation. In addition, the Al under an acidic condition can be recombined with the organic matter derived from root exudation. This process further facilitates the accumulation of Al in the supper soil layer of a tea plantation (Lin et al., 2014). The former mechanism of Al accumulation in surface soil almost does not occur for the absolutely majority of plant families that much more weakly absorption of Al than tea plant (Taylor, 1991; Matsumoto et al., 1976). Hence, the soil pH of tea plantations decreases with the increased stand age jointly due to the processes of (i) acid release by root exudation and (ii) hydrogen ion (H$^+$) production in the hydrolysis of the accumulated Al^{3+} from residue decomposition in surface soil. The high nitrogen doses combined with the decreased soil pH may further promote the production of the harmful nitrogenous gases through both microbial processes (e.g., nitrification and denitrification) and non-biological mechanisms (e.g., chemo-denitrification) (e.g., Chen et al., 2017; Fu et al., 2012; Yao et al., 2018), especially for the low pH. A number of field studies have demonstrated that much more N$_2$O and NO were emitted from tea plantations than those in other upland fields (e.g., Akiyama et al., 2006). In China, the N$_2$O and NO emissions from tea plantations are 16.6 and 14.9 kg N ha^{-1} yr^{-1} on average, respectively (Fu, 2013; Han et al., 2013; Yao et al., 2015, 2018). In 2013, for instance, the N$_2$O emissions from tea plantations in China accounted for more than one-tenth of the national total emissions of this gas from croplands and contributed to 85% of the total N$_2$O emissions from global tea plantations (Li et al., 2016). To alleviate the negative impacts on environmental quality and human health,

62 organic fertilization has been strongly recommended in China and adopted in nearly 4.5×10^4 ha of tea fields by 2011 (Han et

63 al., 2013). Application of organic fertilizers in tea fields can improve soil fertility (e.g., Han et al., 2013), while stimulating

64 N_2O emissions but mitigating NO release (Yao et al., 2015). Therefore, investigating the impacts of replacing synthetic

65 nitrogen fertilizer with organic manure and the effect of stand ages on the emissions of N_2O and NO from tea plantations is

66 necessary for understanding the mechanisms of nitrogen cycling and effectively mitigating the emissions of both of the

67 nitrogenous gases from tea fields.

68   Compared with time- and labor-consuming field experiments, from which first-hand information of N_2O and NO

69 emissions could be obtained, modeling approaches based on sufficient validation have been proposed to overcome the limits

70 of field measurements (e.g., Chen et al., 2017). Because process-oriented biogeochemical models such as DNDC (e.g., Li,

71 2000), LandscapeDNDC (e.g., Haas et al., 2012), WNMM (e.g., Li et al., 2007) and CNMM-DNDC (Zhang et al., 2018) are

72 generally designed following the basic theories of physics, chemistry, physiology and biology, they are expected to be

73 widely applicable under various climates, soils, land uses and field management practices. These models, in principle, can

74 facilitate the understanding of the interactions among various processes, identify gaps in current knowledge, and

75 temporally/spatially extrapolate the results from experiments (Chen et al., 2008). Among these models, the Catchment

76 Nutrient Management Model - DeNitrification-DeComposition (CNMM-DNDC) is one of the latest versions of the DNDC.

77 CNMM-DNDC was established by incorporating the core carbon and nitrogen biogeochemical processes of DNDC

78 (including the processes of decomposition, nitrification, denitrification and fermentation) into the hydrologic framework of

79 the CNMM, and it therefore inherited the features from both CNMM and DNDC (Zhang et al., 2018). CNMM-DNDC was

80 established to solve a common bottleneck problem of most biogeochemical models, i.e., the inability to simulate the lateral

81 flows of water and nutrients. This solution potentially enables the model to identify the best management practices of

82 intensive cropping systems. In its initial validation in a catchment with calcareous soils and complex landscapes, the

83 CNMM-DNDC performed fairly well in simulating ecosystem productivity (represented by crop yields in croplands),

84 hydrological nitrogen losses by soil leaching and nitrate discharge in streams, and emissions of gaseous carbon (carbon

85 dioxide, methane) and nitrogenous gases (N_2O, NO and ammonia) from different lands (forests and arable lands cultivated

86 with maize, wheat, oil rape, or paddy rice) (Zhang et al., 2018). However, the scientific processes of soil pH reduction due to

87 tea growth is still lacking in the CNMM-DNDC. This gap may induce significant biases in simulating the fluxes of both

88 nitrogenous gases from tea fields, especially for long term prediction, because soil pH is the key factor regulating N_2O and

89 NO emissions from the soil (e.g., Chen et al., 2017; Yao et al., 2018). Therefore, the authors hypothesize that adding the

90 missing scientific processes which lead to soil pH reduction into the internal model program codes can improve the

91 performance of the CNMM-DNDC in simulating the N_2O and NO emissions from tea plantations with different stand ages.

92 Filling the gap in the model is especially necessary for predicting the long term emissions of both gases from tea plantations.

93   To test the above hypothesis, the authors conducted a case study using a unique experimental dataset, which was

94 obtained by Yao et al. (2015, 2018) in a tea plantation with field treatments of fertilization alternatives and stand ages. The

95 aims of this case study were to (i) attempt to fill the gap in the CNMM-DNDC through addition of the processes that may

3

96 induce soil pH reduction due to tea growth, (ii) compare the performances of original and modified models in simulating

97 N_2O and NO emissions, and (iii) evaluate the modified model performance in simulating the direct emission factors (EF_ds) of

98 different annual nitrogen doses, and the N_2O and NO emissions affected by short-term replacement of a widely applied

99 synthetic nitrogen fertilizer (urea) with a typical organic manure (oilcake) and by the stand ages within the early stage (1–6

100 years) of a new tea plantation.

101 **2 Materials and methods**

102 **2.1 Introduction to the field site and experimental treatments**

103   The field site (32°7.37′N, 110°43.18′E, 441 m above sea level) selected for this modeling case study is located in

104 Fangxian county, Hubei province, China. The field site is subject to a northern subtropical monsoon climate, with annual

105 precipitation of 914 mm and a mean air temperature of 14.2 °C in 2003−2011 (Yao et al., 2015). Two plots at the field site

106 were involved in this study, encoded as T08 and T12, respectively. Both lands had been consecutively long-term cultivated

107 with paddy rice in summer and upland crops (or drained but fallowed) in winter until tea seedlings were transplanted in

108 March 2008 for T08 or March 2012 for T12. Conventional fertilization practices had been adopted in both plots. A typical

109 synthetic fertilizer (urea) was regularly applied at 450 (150 in autumn and 300 in spring) kg N ha^{-1} yr^{-1} (encoded as T08-UN

110 and T12-UN). To determine the annual EF_d (the fraction of the applied fertilizer nitrogen released in the form of N_2O or NO

111 within the one-year period after fertilization) of either gas and to investigate the effects of short-term synthetic fertilizer

112 replacement by organic manure on N_2O and NO emissions, eight spatially replicated subplots were randomly set in either

113 T08 or T12: four for the control without nitrogen fertilizer applied (NN) and the others for exclusive application of organic

114 manure (OM) in 2013 (only T08) and 2014 (both T08 and T12). Each daily flux was inferred from the single measurement

115 based on five gas samples from a 30-min enclosure of a static opaque chamber between 09:00 and 11:00 (Beijing time).

116 Oilcake, one of the most widely applied organic manures in the subtropical regions of China, was exclusively amended in

117 the OM subplots to fully replace the urea, and nitrogen doses with the urea application outside the NN and OM subplots of

118 either plot. The NN and OM treatments were encoded as T08-NN, T08-OM, T12-NN, and T12-OM. T08-NN and T08-OM

119 were adopted consecutively in two full years (from October 2012 to March 2014), and T12-NN and T12-OM in one full year

120 (from October 2013 to March 2014). The organic manure in dry weight contained 7.1% nitrogen and 43.3% carbon. The

121 topsoil (0−15 cm depth) of the T08 and T12 plots had a loamy texture measured in 2013, and the detailed information was

122 provided in the online supplementary materials (Table S1). The soil pH at the time of tea seedling transplanting was 6.0 (Yao

123 et al., 2018). Irrigation was adopted following the typically regional management practice. Daily fluxes of N_2O and NO,

124 topsoil (5 cm) temperature and surface soil (0−6 cm) moisture in water-filled pore space (WFPS) for each field treatment

125 were observed over two full years for T08-NN, T08-UN, and T08-OM (from mid-September 2012 to mid-October 2014) and

126 one full year for T12-NN, T12-UN, and T12-OM (from mid-September 2013 to mid-October 2014). For more detailed

127 information on the field experiments and observed data, refers to Yao et al. (2015, 2018) and Table S2.

4

2.2 Model modifications

In this study, the CNMM-DNDC was modified through (i) defining and applying a soil pH regulating factor (f_{sph}) on plant growth and (ii) adding two processes that produce H^+ and thus acidify soils (Miao, 2015; Pang, 2014). These modifications were made to enable the model to simulate the responses and feedbacks between tea growth and soil pH changes.

Considering that the soil pH for tea growth is optimal within 5.0−5.4 and suitable within 4.0−6.5 (Cao et al., 2009), f_{sph}, a dimensionless factor (0–1), is newly parameterized as a quadratic polynomial function utilizing an average soil pH of 0−20 cm (sph_a) as its single independent variable (Eq. 1). Based on Eq. 1, the value of f_{sph} is around 1.0 when soil pH is within 5.0−5.4, and is above 0.85 when soil pH is within 4.0−6.5. However, the transient soil pH increase induced by urea hydrolysis is not considered for affecting plant growth, which can be offset due to the soil buffering effect within a few days. At each time step of simulation, the value of sph_a is updated. This parameterized factor is introduced into the model to regulate photosynthesis and thus plant growth, even though the modification to the model was not yet calibrated or validated due to a lack of sufficient field observations at the selected tea fields.

$$f_{sph} = -0.089sph_a^2 + 0.947sph_a - 1.51 \qquad (1)$$

The two processes newly introduced into the model to simulate additional changes in the H^+ concentration ($\Delta[H^+]$), thus modifying soil pH, are (i) ionization of the amino acids and other organic acids (HR) in root exudates (Rxn 1) and (ii) hydrolysis of the Al^{3+} from the decomposition of tea residues due to the trimming (tea leaves and young branch) or falling of old leaves (Rxn 2).

$$HR \leftrightharpoons H^+ + R^- \qquad (Rxn\ 1)$$

$$Al^{3+} + 3H_2O \leftrightharpoons Al(OH)_3 + 3H^+ \qquad (Rxn\ 2)$$

The ionization equilibrium of organic acids is formulated in Rxn 1, wherein HR represents the category of amino acids or other organic acids in root exudates. Following Eqs. 2–4, the H^+ concentration changes due to the ionization of these exudate-contained acids ($\Delta[H^+]_{ex}$, mol L^{-1}) are calculated by solving the equations (analytical method) of Eqs. 3–4, which include the parameters of average ionization equilibrium constants for amino acids (K_{ami}, mol L^{-1}) and the other organic acids (K_{org}, mol L^{-1}) in root exudates and the molar concentrations of amino acids and organic acids in the soil water (c_{ami} and c_{org}, respectively, in mol L^{-1}). As the acid ionizations are thermodynamic processes, both K_{aim} and K_{org} vary with soil temperature (T, in ℃). Their values at various temperature conditions are given via the correction of their constant values at 25 ℃ for both acids, i.e., $K_{aims} = K_{orgs} = 1.75 \times 10^{-5}$ mol L^{-1} (Fu, 1999), using a temperature regulating factor, f_{acid} (Eqs. 5–6). The function form for parameterizing f_{acid} (Eq. 7) was adapted from Li (2016). The molar concentrations of the acids and H^+ in the soil water are calculated using Eqs. 8–10. In these equations, 10^{-4} is a dimension adaptor (for each 3-hour time step), h denotes the thickness of each soil layer (m), SM stands for the soil moisture in volumetric water content (m^3 m^{-3}), M_{ami} and M_{org} represent the average molar mass of amino acids (128 g mol^{-1}) and the other organic acids (119 g mol^{-1}), respectively,

157 in root exudates (Fu, 1999), a_{ami} and a_{org} are the mass fractions of the two categories of acids in root exudates
158 (dimensionless), Ex is the root exudates in the soil layer (kg ha^{-1}) and sph′ denotes the soil pH, and $c_{H(soil)}$ is the H$^+$
159 concentration corresponding to the most lastly updated pH. At each time step (3 h) of the model simulation, 6% of the net
160 primary productivity is assumed to be released into the soil profile via root exudation. This assumption was made by
161 referring to the experimental data of some other tree species (Miao, 2015). The Ex in the soil layer is determined by
162 portioning the exudate quantity according to the vertical distribution of the root biomass in the soil profile of root depth.

$$\Delta[H^+]_{ex} = \Delta[H^+]_{ami} + \Delta[H^+]_{org} \tag{2}$$

$$K_{ami} = \Delta[H^+]_{ami}(c_{H(soil)} + \Delta[H^+]_{ami})(c_{ami} - \Delta[H^+]_{ami})^{-1} \tag{3}$$

$$K_{org} = \Delta[H^+]_{org}(c_{H(soil)} + \Delta[H^+]_{org})(c_{org} - \Delta[H^+]_{org})^{-1} \tag{4}$$

$$K_{ami} = K_{amis}f_{acid} \tag{5}$$

$$K_{org} = K_{orgs}f_{acid} \tag{6}$$

$$f_{acid} = 0.81 + 0.0077T \tag{7}$$

$$c_{ami} = 10^{-4}h^{-1}SM^{-1}M_{ami}^{-1}a_{ami}Ex \tag{8}$$

$$c_{org} = 10^{-4}h^{-1}SM^{-1}M_{org}^{-1}a_{org}Ex \tag{9}$$

$$c_{H(soil)} = 10^{-sph'} \tag{10}$$

163   According to Rxn 2, the H$^+$ concentration changes due to the hydrolysis of Al^{3+} derived from decomposition of tea
164 plant residues ($\Delta[H^+]_{res}$) are calculated by solving the equation (numerical method by Newton iteration) of Eq. 11. In this
165 equation, K_w ((mol L^{-1})^2) and K_b ((mol L^{-1})^3) denote the water dissociation constant and ionization equilibrium constant of
166 aluminum hydroxide (Al(OH)$_3$), respectively, and $c_{Al(III)}$ is the molar concentration of Al^{3+} in the soil water (mol L^{-1}). As
167 both water dissociation and Al(OH)$_3$ ionization are also thermodynamic processes, their equilibrium constants
168 (dimensionless) vary with soil temperature and are thus determined following Eqs. 12–13, wherein the values at 25 °C, i.e.,
169 $K_{ws} = 1\times10^{-14}$ (mol L^{-1})^2 and $K_{bs} = 1.3\times10^{-33}$ (mol L^{-1})^3 for water and Al(OH)$_3$, respectively (Fu, 1999), are corrected by the
170 factors f_w and f_b, respectively. The parameterization for f_w (Eq. 14) was cited from Li (2016), and f_b was parameterized by Eq.
171 15. For calculation of $c_{Al(III)}$ in Eq. 16, M_{Al} denotes the molar mass of Al^{3+} (27 g mol^{-1}), b the fraction of hydrolyzed Al(OH)$_3$
172 (dimensionless), c the Al content in tea residues (kg kg^{-1} dry matter), and Res the quantity of tea residues in dry matter (kg
173 ha^{-1}). As the Al concentration in tea leaves varied from 1.2 to 2.7 mg g^{-1} dry matter, the c value was set as 2.3×10^{-3} kg kg^{-1}
174 dry matter (Hajiboland et al., 2015; Xu et al., 2006).

$$K_w^3K_b^{-1} = \Delta[H^+]_{res}(c_{H(soil)} + \Delta[H^+]_{res})^3(c_{Al(III)} - \Delta[H^+]_{res}/3)^{-1} \tag{11}$$

$$K_w = K_{ws}f_w \tag{12}$$

$$K_b = K_{bs}f_b \tag{13}$$

$$f_w = 0.1945e^{0.0645T} \tag{14}$$

$$f_b = 1.09 - 0.0037T \tag{15}$$

$$c_{Al(III)} = 10^{-4}h^{-1}SM^{-1}M_{Al}^{-1}bcRes \tag{16}$$

175   Using the H^+ concentration changes calculated above, the soil pH most lastly modified by the originally existing

176   processes, or at the last time step of simulation, is further updated by Eq. 17. The soil pH updated by Eq. 17 is used to update

177   the independent variable of Eq. 1 so as to provide an update of f_{sph}.

$$sph = - \lg(c_{H(soil)} + \Delta[H^+]_{ex} + \Delta[H^+]_{res}) \tag{17}$$

178   For the processes newly added above, the unknown parameters, a_{ami}, a_{org} and b, were calibrated in this study using the

179   observed soil pH in the T08 and T12 plots. The independent variables of T, h, SM, and Res, as well as the net primary

180   production and the root biomass distribution in the soil profile required to calculate Ex, are provided by the model

181   simulations at each time step.

182   ==The soil pH dynamics affected by the urea hydrolysis, soil buffering and manure application have already been==

183   ==considered in the original CNMM-DNDC (Table S3).== The CNMM-DNDC with and without the above modifications is

184   hereafter referred to as the original and modified model, respectively.

2.3 Evaluation of model simulations for emissions of both gases

186   The model performances in simulating N_2O and NO emissions from the tea plantations were evaluated by comparing

187   the simulations of the original and modified models with the field observations. The required input of hourly meteorological

188   data (air temperature, precipitation, wind speed, solar radiation, humidity) for years with gas flux measurements (2012–2014)

189   were obtained from the meteorological station at the field site, while those in 2008−2011 were adapted from the daily data at

190   the nearby government meteorological station (provided by the National Meteorological Information Center:

191   http://data.cma.cn/) by referring to the diurnal patterns of the hourly data observed and provided by the Shennongjia Station

192   (~40 km south of the tea fields) of the Chinese Ecosystem Research Network. The aforementioned observations were used

193   for the required inputs of soil properties (SOC, total nitrogen, mass fraction of clay, pH, and bulk density). The required

194   inputs of field capacity and wilting point (0.38 and 0.16, respectively, in volumetric water content) were calculated by the

195   pedo-transfer functions used by Li et al. (2019). According to the local survey, the initial biomass of tea seedling

196   transplanting was set as 1500 kg dry matter (DM) ha^{-1}. The harvest of buds and the trim of canopy were started at the 4th

197   year after transplanting (YAT), following the local conventional practices. The bud tea was harvested in the T08 from April

198   to May and August to October in the 4th, 5th and 6th YAT, with annual yields of 37.5−150 kg DM ha^{-1}. The tea plants were

199   trimmed twice per year in June and November and nearly 40% of the aboveground biomass was cut and left on the ground.

200   The detailed management practices during the gas measurement period were obtained from Yao et al. (2015, 2018), which

201   were also adopted during the remaining period of simulation. The simulated soil profile (0−100 cm depth) was divided into

202   20 layers. The thickness of each layer was 1, 5 and 10 cm for the top 10, middle 2, and other 8 layers, respectively. The time

203   step of simulation was set as 3 hours. The measured data (Yao et al., 2015, 2018) used for evaluating the model performance

204 included the topsoil temperature and moisture and, the daily fluxes of N_2O and NO emissions from the T08-NN, T08-UN,
205 and T08-OM in 2012−2014 and, the T12-NN, T12-UN, and T12-OM in 2013−2014 (Figure 1).

2.4 Investigation of fertilization and stand age effects on emissions of both gases

207 In the field cases involved in this study, the short-term replacement of urea with oilcake was implemented in the 2nd
208 (T12) or 5th−6th (T08) YAT following the land use change from long-term paddy rice cultivation to perennial tea plantation.
209 Based on the field observations of N_2O and NO emissions reported by Yao et al. (2015, 2018), the performance of the
210 original and modified models in simulating the effects of the urea replacement by oilcake was examined through the
211 comparison between the model relative bias (MRB) magnitudes and the observational error indicated by the coefficient of
212 variation (CV). An absolute MRB (|MRB|) smaller than the two times CV of the spatially replicated observations, which
213 represented the observational uncertainty at the 95% confidence interval (CI), was considered to indicate a statistically
214 satisfactory performance (Dubache et al., 2019). For this examination, the urea replacement effects (E_{ur}, in %) on the N_2O
215 and NO emissions and their relative observational errors (ε_{ur}, in %) at the 95% CI were calculated using Eqs. 18−19. In both
216 equations, $\overline{E_o}$ and $\overline{E_u}$ (in kg N ha^{-1} yr^{-1}) denote the mean annual emission of N_2O or NO from the OM and UN treatments,
217 respectively, and δ_o and δ_u (in kg N ha^{-1} yr^{-1}) signify the corresponding observational errors in two times standard deviation
218 (SD). Equation 19 is analytically established according to Eq. 18 and following the general error propagation theory. The
219 observed data were directly cited or adapted from Yao et al. (2015, 2018).

$$E_{ur} = 100(\overline{E_o}/\overline{E_u} - 1) \tag{18}$$

$$\varepsilon_{ur} = 100(\overline{E_u}^{-2}\delta_o^2 + \overline{E_o}^2\overline{E_u}^{-4}\delta_u^2)^{1/2}/(\overline{E_o}/\overline{E_u} - 1) \tag{19}$$

220 The virtual experiments were designed to evaluate the performance of the original and modified models in simulating
221 the annual EF_ds and to investigate the effects of fertilizer nitrogen doses on EF_ds. For each field treatment exclusively
222 applied with urea or oilcake in 2013 or 2014, virtual experiments against nitrogen addition rates varying from zero to 600
223 (with an interval of 50) kg N ha^{-1} yr^{-1} were carried out. For each treatment, the gradient nitrogen doses were set only in the
224 experimental year but remained at 450 kg N ha^{-1} yr^{-1} in the other year(s). The annual EF_ds (the fraction of the increased
225 fertilizer nitrogen input released in the form of N_2O or NO within the one-year period after fertilization) in percentage for the
226 nitrogen dose gradients were simulated at each gradient with an interval (N_{50}) of 50 kg N ha^{-1} yr^{-1}, following Eq. 20,
227 wherein E_{50+} and E_{50-} denote the simulated annual emissions of N_2O or NO at the higher and lower fertilizer nitrogen dose of
228 the gradient, respectively.

$$EF_d = 100(E_{50+} - E_{50-})/N_{50} \tag{20}$$

229 The stand age effects on annual N_2O and NO emissions in the early stage (1−6 years) or a full tea plant lifetime (35
230 years) of a plantation can be investigated if the applicability of the model was proven using available observations at the
231 field site. Acceptable model applicability can be indicated by a smaller average |MRB| than two times CV of the spatially
232 replicated observations. The effects of the stand ages during the early stage (1st to 6th YAT) or the full tea lifetime (usually
233 until approximately the 35th YAT in the region) can be investigated using a virtual experiment. The tea plantation in this

8

234 virtual experiment was purely fertilized with urea at the conventional timings and doses. Any influencing factor other than
235 stand age should be excluded from this virtual experiment. To ensure the simulations of all the stand ages can be driven by
236 the same meteorological conditions that would be the same as the measured data during the year-round period from
237 September 17th, 2013 to October 9th, 2014, 35 independent scenarios were designed. Thus, the seedling transplanting for the
238 stand ages of 35, 34, ..., 1 year were set to occur in March of 1979, 1980, ..., and 2013, respectively. The field management
239 practices for T08-UN would be set for each stand age scenario.

2.5 Statistics and method to quantify uncertainties

241   The statistical criteria used in this study to evaluate the model performance include (i) the index of agreement (IA), (ii)
242 the Nash-Sutcliffe index (NSI), (iii) the determination coefficient (R^2) and slope of the zero-intercept univariate linear
243 regression (ZIR) of the observations against the simulations, and (iv) the MRB. The IA falls between 0 and 1, with a value
244 closer to 1 indicating a better simulation. An NSI value (ranged from minus infinity to 1) between 0 and 1 shows acceptable
245 model performance, whereas closer to 1 is better. Better model performance is indicated by a slope and an R^2 value that is
246 closer to 1 in a significant ZIR. The performance is regarded as acceptable if a significant ZIR with its slope closer to 1 can
247 be obtained or the |MRB| on average is smaller than the two times CV of replicated observations. Akaike information
248 criterion (AIC) is applied to evaluate the significance of the multivariate linear regression. The additional independent
249 variable is significant when the value of AIC decreases. For more details on these criteria, refer to Eqs. S1−5 in Table S4.

250   The model simulation error (ε_s) indicated the simulated bias diverging from the observation. It represented the total
251 simulation uncertainty and was made of the uncertainty due to the model insufficiencies in scientific structure or process
252 parameters (ε_{model}) and that due to the uncertainties of input items (ε_{input}) (Zhang et al., 2019). For the investigation of stand
253 age effects, the mean relative ε_s and its random uncertainty (95% CI) for either gas were estimated as the mean and the two
254 times SD of the MRBs relative to the observations for three stand ages (i.e., those in the T12-UN and T08-UN fields in the
255 2nd and 5th−6th YAT). The relative ε_s values for a gas were regarded to be equal among the different stand age scenarios. The
256 mean or the two times SD of the relative ε_s was converted to its absolute magnitude through multiplying it with the product
257 of an adjustment factor and the simulated gas emission quantity. The adjustment factor was obtained from the model
258 validation of the three stand ages, which was estimated as the mean of the ratios of individual observations to simulations.
259 Since the uncertainties of the model input items were known as random errors, the ε_{input} was a random error. It was estimated
260 using the Monte Carlo test with Latin hypercube sampling (Helton and Davis, 2003) within the uncertain ranges (95% CI) of
261 sensitive input items, which included the soil properties (bulk density, pH, clay fraction, SOC and soil total nitrogen content)
262 (e.g., Li, 2016), thermal degree days (TDD) for maturity, and nitrogen content in the different plant stages (seedling, early
263 and harvest stages). According to the measurement errors, the uncertain ranges of the input items were 1.11−1.35 g cm^{-3} for
264 bulk density, 5.6−6.4 for pH, 0.120−0.128 for clay fraction, 9.6−13.6 g kg^{-1} for SOC content, and 1.00−1.48 g kg^{-1} for total
265 nitrogen content. The uncertainties of the TDD and, plant nitrogen content in the three stages were assumed to be ± 5% of
266 the default values, which were 2500 ℃, and 7.8, 6.8 and 6.0 g N kg^{-1} DM, respectively. A uniform distribution for sampling

9

267   was assumed in the Monte Carlo test, in which the simulations were iterated until the mean of the simulated gas emission

268   quantities for all iterations converged to certain level within the tolerance of 1%. The ε_{input} at the 95% CI was presented as

269   the double SDs of these iterated simulations.

270   If not specified, errors are presented hereafter at the 95% CI.

271   In this study, the statistical analyses and graphical comparisons were performed with the SPSS Statistics Client 19.0

272   (SPSS Inc., Chicago, USA) and Origin 8.0 (OriginLab, Northampton, MA, USA) software packages.

3 Results

3.1 Calibration of modified model for soil pH simulation

275   Using the topsoil (0−15 cm) pH (6.0) prior to tea seedling transplanting and the values of 5.4 and 5.0 measured in T12-

276   UN and T08-UN, respectively, in September 2013, each of the three parameters involved in the modified model (Eqs. 8−9

277   and 16) was calibrated to 5.0×10^{-4} for a_{ami} and a_{org}, and 1.0×10^{-3} for b, respectively. The simulations of the modified

278   CNMM-DNDC with these calibrated parameters resulted in topsoil (0−15 cm) pH values of 5.42 and 5.01 in the T12-UN

279   and T08-UN fields, respectively, in September 2013, which were consistent with the observations. Differently, the soil pH

280   simulated by the original model remained nearly constant (approximately 6.0) during the 6-year period, despite the transient

281   increases due to urea hydrolysis. Nevertheless, it is still required to validate the simulations of the modified model on the soil

282   pH changes due to tea growth using more field observations under different conditions.

3.2 Model validation for soil environment and emissions of both gases

284   Both the original and modified models accurately predicted the seasonal dynamics and magnitudes of topsoil

285   temperature and moisture (Figures 1a−b). The satisfactory model performance was indicated by the statistics in Table 1.

286   The measured daily N_2O and NO fluxes were highly variable across the entire observation period (Figures 1c−n). The

287   original and modified models generally captured the seasonal patterns of both gases for different field treatments, even

288   though the magnitudes of some peak fluxes were inconsistent with the observations. In comparison, the original model

289   generally overestimated the peak emissions of both gases. The performance of both models was similar and satisfying for the

290   daily fluxes as indicated by the comparably IA, NSI, and ZIR slope and R^2 values (Table 1). For the original model, three

291   (NO) and five (N_2O) of the nine individual simulations for each gas showed |MRBs| larger than the corresponding observed

292   two times CV, while |MRBs| larger than the observed two times CV were four (NO and N_2O) for the modified model (Table

293   S5). However, the statistics of both models still indicated agreements for annual emissions, with the IA and NSI values of

294   0.96−0.98 and 0.81−0.94, respectively, for N_2O and NO (Table 1). In addition, the modified model improved the simulation

295   of annual N_2O emission, with higher IA, NSI, ZIR slope and R^2 values of 0.98, 0.94, 0.97 and 0.94 ($p < 0.001$), respectively

296   (Table 1, Figure 2). These results indicate that the modified CNMM-DNDC can effectively simulate the daily and annual

297   emissions of both gases from the tested tea plantations. Additionally, the modified model resulted in adjustment factors of

298 0.86 and 1.09 and relative ε_s values of $17 \pm 20\%$ and $-8 \pm 14\%$ for the annual N_2O and NO emissions from the UN

299 treatments and adjustment factors of 1.00 and 0.97 and relative ε_s values of $0.2 \pm 24\%$ and $6 \pm 38\%$ for the N_2O and NO

300 emissions from the OM plots, respectively. These adjustment factors and relative ε_s were used to estimate the absolute total

301 errors of the simulated emissions.

3.3 Effects of organic fertilization on emissions of both gases

303 According to the field observations, the short-term replacement of urea by oilcake stimulated the annual N_2O

304 emissions by ~62% (ranging between 35−95% or 5.3−13.7 kg N ha^{-1} yr^{-1}) but simultaneously mitigated the annual NO

305 emissions by ~25% (ranging between 12−33% or 2.4−6.0 kg N ha^{-1} yr^{-1}). Based on the statistical analysis using linear mixed

306 models, both the stimulation and mitigation effects were significant ($p < 0.05$) (Yao et al., 2015). The average relative

307 observational errors of these effects were ~97% (ranging between 92−106%) for N_2O and ~73% (ranging between 60−83%)

308 for NO (adapted from Yao et al., 2015, 2018; Table S6). The simulated effects of the fertilizer replacement on annual N_2O

309 emissions by the modified model showed stimulations by ~36% (ranging between 24−49% or 5.7−9.1 kg N ha^{-1} yr^{-1}), with

310 |MRB| of ~36% (ranging between 4−56%) (Table S6). The |MRB| magnitudes were significantly lower than the relative

311 observational errors ($p = 0.02$), indicating consistency between the simulated and observed effects. The inhibition effects of

312 the fertilizer replacement on annual NO emissions were about 14% (varying between 1−21% or 0.1−4.1 kg N ha^{-1} yr^{-1}) by

313 the modified model except for some underestimation, which indicated the consistent effects between the simulations and

314 observations (Table S6). As these results suggest, the model with improvements in scientific processes could simulate the

315 effects of short-term replacement of urea by oilcake on N_2O and NO emissions in the early stage of the new tea plantations.

3.4 Nitrogen dose effects on annual direct emission factors of both gases

317 As Figures 3a−b and S1a−b show, the simulated annual emissions of either gas non-linearly varied with the nitrogen

318 addition rate in form of urea or oilcake. Accordingly, for the modified model, the simulated annual EF$_d$s of either gas at

319 different levels of fertilizer doses increased linearly with the urea addition rates (Figures 3c−d) but nonlinearly with the

320 organic manure addition rates (Figures 3e−f). In comparison with the linear fittings for the manure treatment, the

321 relationships were better fitted the non-linear curves, as indicated by the decreased AIC values (1.74 versus 1.72 for N_2O and

322 0.53 versus 0.31 for NO). The simulations by the original model showed similar results with those of the modified model

323 (Figures 3c−f and S1c−f). The original and modified model simulations of annual gas emissions for the two experimental

324 nitrogen doses (zero and 450 kg N ha^{-1} yr^{-1}) resulted in significantly consistent EF$_d$s with the field observations for N_2O

325 (Figure 4a). In comparison with the original model, the modified model performed better in simulating the EF$_d$s of N_2O,

326 increasing IA from 0.78 to 0.89 and NSI from 0.10 to 0.64 (Table 1). For NO, the simulated annual EF$_d$s by both models

327 tended to be positively related with the field observations (Figure 4b), with acceptable IA of 0.85−0.89 and NSI of 0.38−0.50

328 (Table 1). These results imply that, compared with the original model, the modified version with the pH reduction processes

329 added in this study could be applied to simulate the EF$_d$s of either gas from tea plantations under different field conditions.

3.5 Effects of stand ages on emissions of both gases

The measured annual N_2O and NO emissions from the T12-UN and T08-UN fields in the 2nd and $5^{th}-6^{th}$ year ranged from 14.4−21.1 and 13.1−19.4 kg N ha^{-1} yr^{-1}, with double CVs of ~43% (ranging from 9−72%) and ~13% (ranging from 6−21%), respectively (Yao et al., 2015, 2018). The original model simulations of annual N_2O and NO emissions showed |MRB| of ~33% (ranging from 6−76%) and ~6% (ranging from 3−10%) respectively, while |MRBs| of the annual N_2O and NO emissions were ~17% (ranging from 11−28%) and ~8% (ranging from 1−14%) for the modified model. The |MRB| on average for either gas (by both models) was smaller than the two times CV on average in the observations. This evaluation indicates that the modified model with the new processes could also reliably simulate the emissions of both gases under different stand ages and therefore be applicable for investigating stand age effects in long time using a virtual experiment.

For the modified model, the simulated daily topsoil1 (0−15 cm) pH during the early 6-year period basally declined gradually, with a temporary sudden pulse immediately following the urea application events either in spring or autumn (Figure 5a). Although the simulated pH declined from the initial value of 6.0 to less than 5.0, it was still higher than 4.5 which was the threshold set in the model to trigger the chemo-denitrification process. Different from the slightly nonlinear changes in the simulated basal pH, the simulated annual emissions of N_2O and NO gradually increased with the stand ages in the early four or five years, but then decreased gradually. The variation trend for the simulated annual emissions of either gas against the early stand ages (1−6 years) could be fitted by a quadratic polynomial equation instead of the linear relationship as indicated by the decreased AIC values for the non-linear fitting as compared with that for linear regression (−1.75 versus 0.66 for N_2O, and −3.67 versus 0.55 for NO). Similar nonlinear relationships were also obtained for the simulations by the original model (Figure S2). As Figure 5 indicated, almost all the field observations in the fertilized fields not only generally fell within the range of the uncertainty induced by the input items, but also within the upper and lower bounds of uncertainty (95% CI) of the regressions. Compared with the uncertainty induced by the inputs (ε_{input}), the absolute values of the total model uncertainty (ε_s) were much smaller, which only accounted for 32% and 35% of the ε_{input} for N_2O and NO, respectively.

Although the performances of both models in simulating the emissions of both gases were comparable in early stand ages, the original and modified model thereafter performed quite differently. The 35-year simulations demonstrated that the above polynomial functions derived from the original model simulation applied for both gases during the full tea lifetime; but those derived from the modified model did not apply for the mid to late stand stages (Figure 6a). After the annual emissions of both gases simulated by the modified model reached peak values, they decreased near-linearly until around the 15th YAT, when the chemo-denitrification process was triggered by the pH threshold (4.5) set in the model. Thereafter, the emission of either gas gradually increased at a very small annual increment (Figure 6a). Thus, the emissions of both gases simulated by the original model were about two times those by the modified model during the mid to late tea stand ages. The ε_s of the simulation by the modified model were ranged from 2.11 to 2.89 and -1.63 to -0.78 for N_2O and NO, respectively (Figure 6a), indicating the potential overestimation or underestimation of either gas for 35-year simulations. Meanwhile, different from the stable topsoil pH (except for the sudden pulse due to urea hydrolysis) by the original model, the simulated

12

363　basal pH of 0−15 cm by the modified model continued to decrease, finally reaching 3.74 (Figures 6b−c). In addition, the 35-

364　year simulation showed that the negative effects of soil pH on tea yield increased with the stand ages, resulting in the

365　reduction by 0.3−3.4% (Figure 6d). These results suggest that the modifications by adding the processes regulating soil pH

366　dynamics are necessary for accurately quantifying the long-term emissions of N_2O and NO from tea plantations.

4 Discussion

4.1 Model modifications

369　　　　The modified CNMM-DNDC was hypothesized to reflect the general knowledge that tea can grow in soils with a

370　suitable pH within 4.0−6.5 (Cao et al., 2009). But the transient increase of soil pH due to urea hydrolysis has no impact on

371　plant growth, as the soil pH could be recovered within a few days due to soil buffering effect. Due to the lack of observed tea

372　yields, the parameterized impact of soil pH on tea growth could not be calibrated or validated in this study, but virtual

373　experiments showed increased yield reduction with increasing stand age, implicating the intensified negative effects on plant

374　growth for older tea plantations. The newly added scientific processes relating to pH reduction were calibrated using the

375　observed soil pH for different stand ages during the early stage of a tea plantation. Although the simulations showed that the

376　modified CNMM-DNDC with the calibrated parameters could accurately reflect the basal soil pH declination during the

377　early years, validation was still missing due to a lack of available independent observation of pH. However, the studies of the

378　tea plantations in Jiangsu and Anhui provinces showed that the average soil pH (0−20 cm) declination rate was 0.06 pH yr^{-1}

379　(Luo, 2006; Su, 2018). For the simulation of 35-year tea plantation in this study, the calculated average annual soil (0−20 cm)

380　pH declination rate was close to the reports with the value of 0.064. Therefore, the consistent declination rate indicates the

381　modifications improve the scientific mechanisms of the biogeochemical model which could be applied for long time

382　simulation. As the actual soil pH would not decline constantly (Yao et al., 2018), the validation of soil pH dynamics for long

383　time is still necessary. The simulated annual emissions by both models were comparable in the early tea stand ages, but those

384　by the modified model were much lower in the mid to late stages of tea lifetime. According to the modifications, the

385　different annual emissions of both gases should be primarily attributed to the soil pH differences. Therefore, the proper

386　simulation of soil pH declination for long time increased the reliability of the simulated variation of annual emissions even

387　though validation of the differences was still missing due to lacking of field observations. Thus, further study is still needed

388　to confirm the general model applicability, especially for the simulations of long term yields, soil pH dynamics, N_2O and NO

389　emissions from tea plantations subject to different conditions.

4.2 Model performance

391　　　　This study was the first study testing the original or modified models against the measurements of N_2O and NO

392　emissions from a tea plantation. The results showed that both the original and modified models accurately captured the high

393 temporal variations of daily N_2O and NO emissions driven by the application of fertilizers, stand ages and weather

394 conditions (Yao et al., 2015, 2018). Many previous studies did not report the R^2 of regressions between the observed and

395 simulated daily fluxes of either gas, usually due to poor model performance (Bell et al., 2012; Bouwman et al., 2010;

396 Butterbach-Bahl et al., 2009). Considering the large uncertainties of field measurements as indicated by the SDs of the

397 observations and the complexity of the management practices, the performance of the modified model for either gas was

398 encouraging. Yao et al. (2015, 2018) obtained significant revised "hole-in-pipe" (HIP) regressions for the observed daily

399 N_2O plus NO fluxes as the dependent variable and the soil ammonium plus nitrate concentrations, temperature and moisture

400 as the multiple independent variables. Compared with the R^2 values of the original HIP regressions fitting the daily

401 observations, those of the revised HIP model more than doubled and were up to 0.95−0.97 (Yao et al., 2015, 2018).

402 Similarly, the daily simulations by the modified model also resulted in significant revised HIP regressions that showed more

403 than doubled R^2 (0.48−0.55) in comparison with the values (0.01−0.12) of the original HIP (Mei et al., 2011), despite of the

404 smaller determination coefficients than those for the field observations. The improvements of the revised HIP regression by

405 both observations and simulations were due to the consideration of the temperature- and moisture-regulated effects of

406 nitrogen substrates for both nitrification and denitrification processes that produce N_2O and NO.

407 For the annual N_2O emissions, the statistics of the modified model were all better than the original model, indicating

408 the modifications about soil pH reduction improve the model performance in tea plantations. Thus, the simulated

409 corresponding effects of organic fertilization and EF_ds by the modified model were more consistent with the observations.

410 However, the simulated annual NO emissions by the modified model were not much improved in comparison with those by

411 the original model. The underestimation (2.56 kg N ha^{-1} yr^{-1}) and overestimation (3.29 kg N ha^{-1} yr^{-1}) of the NO emission in

412 2014 for T08-UN and T08-OM, respectively, resulted in the significant underestimation of the inhibition effects and

413 increased model relative bias for the modified model. The inhibited NO emissions were also partly attributed to the soil

414 heterotrophic nitrification (Yao et al., 2015), which is the direct oxidation of organic nitrogen to nitrate without passing

415 through mineralization. However, the heterotrophic nitrification was not considered in the model, which may result in the

416 overestimated NO emissions in 2014 for the manure treatments by both models. In addition, compared with the original

417 model, the underestimated NO emission mentioned above was also the key reason for the unsatisfactory simulation of EF_ds,

418 which led to the increment of the ZIR slope by 8% (1.0 for the ZIR without T08-UN and 1.08 for the ZIR with T08-UN).

419 Therefore, further study is still required for validating the model performance in simulating NO emissions under different

420 fertilization conditions.

421 **4.3 Contribution of the dominant process for emissions of both gases**

422 The CNMM-DNDC model simulates the emissions of N_2O and NO from nitrification and denitrification separately,

423 and then sums them up to give the overall emissions of either gas contributed by both processes (e.g., Li, 2016; Zhang et al.,

424 2018). Some researchers have used the NO and N_2O molar ratio levels higher or lower than 1 to indicate nitrification or

425 denitrification as the dominant process for the emissions of either gas (e.g., Yamulki et al., 1995). However, Wang et al.

426 (2013) have indicated that such criteria may not be applicable, as they commonly observed molar ratios greater than 1 under

427 strict anaerobic conditions with low to moderate initial nitrate concentrations in a calcareous soil. This viewpoint could be

428 supported by the simulated major contributions of the denitrification process by both models, accounting for 63−67% and

429 59−62% of the annual N_2O and NO emissions, respectively, for all the fertilized fields. These larger contributions from the

430 denitrification process could be at least partially attributed to the hot and humid climate from April to September, which

431 resulted in favourable soil moisture and thus facilitated the N_2O and NO emissions. This explanation could be supported by

432 the simulated soil moisture and N_2O emissions from the T08-UN treatment with observations in two consecutive full years.

433 The simulated daily soil moisture falling in the range of 60−90% WFPS appeared at a frequency of only 40% during the

434 two-year period. However, the simulated cumulative N_2O emissions (25.7 kg N ha^{-1}) occurring on the days with such

435 relatively high moisture contents accounted for 61% of the total modelled quantity of this gas (42.0 kg N ha^{-1}). It is accepted

436 that nitrification generally dominates N_2O production in soils with less than 60% WFPS (e.g., Chen et al., 2013). The

437 dominant contributions of denitrification to N_2O and NO emissions by the simulations could also be supported by previous

438 experimental/modelling studies (Chen et al., 2017; Zhang et al., 2017). However, direct validation of the simulations by the

439 original/modified model on the contributions of nitrification or denitrification is still lacking, due to no available direct

440 measurement of N_2O or NO emissions from either process. This challenge will need to be overcome in future studies.

441 **4.4 Effects of organic fertilization on emissions of both gases**

442 For the tea plantations, the applied fertilizers and the retained nitrogen in the soil are consumed by plant uptake,

443 microbial processes and physical losses through ammonia volatilization and nitrate leaching (e.g., Zhang et al., 2015).

444 Accordingly, changes in fertilizer types would affect the nitrogen transformation from the fertilizer to those available for the

445 losses, thus altering the N_2O and NO emissions (e.g., Deng et al., 2013; Goulding et al., 2008; Skinner et al., 2014). Organic

446 fertilization has been widely encouraged in tea cultivation since it can reduce synthetic nitrogen inputs into the biosphere

447 while improving both soil fertility and carbon sequestration (e.g., Skinner et al., 2014; Liang et al., 2011; Meng et al., 2005).

448 Yao et al. (2015) observed that short-term replacement of urea with oilcake, which is characterized by a low carbon to

449 nitrogen ratio, stimulated N_2O emissions to a large extent while inhibiting NO releases to a relatively small extent. These

450 observed effects were generally simulated by the original and modified CNMM-DNDC, especially the increased N_2O

451 emissions.

452 According to the model simulations, the stimulated N_2O emissions were jointly attributed to (i) the enhanced

453 production of this gas, as well as nitrate, in promoted nitrification and (ii) the enhanced production of this gas in promoted

454 denitrification. The promoted nitrification was due to less ammonia volatilization derived from the organic nitrogen

455 mineralization than the urea hydrolysis (~1.0 versus 13 kg N ha^{-1} yr^{-1}). The oilcake mineralization slowly produced

456 ammonium, while the deep placement of the fertilizer also inhibited ammonia volatilization. In comparison, the urea

457 hydrolysis quickly transformed the fertilizer nitrogen form into ammonium within a few days following the fertilization

458 event, when the hydrolysis-derived pulse increase of soil pH (Figure 5a) stimulated ammonium loss by ammonia

15

459   volatilization. The denitrification was promoted not only by the improved supply of nitrate (as the primary nitrogen substrate)

460   from the promoted nitrification (Figure S3), but also by the enhanced activity of denitrifiers that have a very high affinity for

461   the carbon substrates provided by the organic manure decomposition (e.g., Li et al., 2005; Skinner et al., 2014; Snyder et al.,

462   2009). For the annual NO emissions of the three paired OM-versus-UN cases, the modified model resulted in consistent

463   decrease (1−21%) due to the full urea replacement by oilcake. The simulations showed that 0−44% of the decreases was

464   ascribed to the promoted nitrification (Table S5), whereby more nitrate was produced as the final product but less NO was

465   produced as the by-product. The remaining 56−100% of the decreases, however, was attributed to the promoted

466   denitrification (Table S5), whereby more NO was reduced to N_2O (e.g., Meijide et al., 2007; Snyder et al., 2009; Vallejo et

467   al., 2006). Regarding the contributions of denitrification to the overall N_2O or NO emissions, the simulations showed no

468   significant effect from the full urea replacement by oilcake. However, validation of this simulated insignificance is still

469   lacking, because no direct observations for the process contributions are currently available. Further study is still needed to

470   validate the model's performance in simulating the contributions of nitrification or denitrification to the emissions of either

471   gas from tea plantations.

4.5 Effects of nitrogen fertilizer doses on direct emission factors of both gases

473   Validation of the linear or nonlinear relationships for the urea or manure treatments from the virtual experiment was

474   still lacking, since there was no available data from the experimental field site for the multiple fertilizer gradients.

475   Nevertheless, the relationships of the simulated EF_ds against the nitrogen doses suggested that paired field observations of

476   fertilized and unfertilized treatments, or those of two largely different nitrogen addition rates, as used in many field studies

477   (e.g., Yao et al., 2015, 2018), would yield greatly biased EF_ds of either gas from the tea plantations, particularly creating a

478   gross underestimation for moderate to high nitrogen addition rates. This conjecture from the virtual experiment was

479   supported by two studies so far available for field observations of N_2O emissions from tea plantations treated with nitrogen

480   dose gradients (Han et al., 2013 and Hou et al., 2015), even though similar literature support for NO was still lacking. These

481   experimental studies showed that the EF_d determined by the lowest nitrogen addition rates showed 30% underestimation on

482   average as compared with the value by the highest nitrogen inputs (adapted from Han et al., 2013 and Hou et al., 2015).

483   Obviously, this study implicates the potential capacity of the modified CNMM-DNDC as a robust tool to generate EF_ds of

484   tea plantations subject to different conditions, although it is still necessary to widely validate the simulated EF_ds using field

485   observations against multiple gradients of nitrogen fertilizer doses.

4.6 Effects of stand age on emissions of both gases

487   Relative to the N_2O and NO emissions in the 2nd or 6th YAT, more intensive emissions of both gases were observed in

488   the 5th YAT (Yao et al., 2015, 2018). These relatively intensified emissions were thought to result from the comprehensive

489   effects of increased soil nitrogen and carbon availability for nitrification and denitrification as well as reduced soil pH (Yao

490   et al., 2018). For either gas, the observations in the tea fields either purely applied with urea or oilcake most likely implied a

non-linear trend against stand ages with the inter-annual maximum appearing between the 2nd and 5th YAT. This implication was supported by the modified model simulations for a conventionally managed plantation over the full lifetime of tea plant, in which the inter-annual maximum of N$_2$O emission appeared in the 4th YAT when the initial harvest of tea bud and the first canopy trim occurred. The increases in the early years were mainly ascribed to the increasing root exudates and less-woody residues returning to soil promoted by the tea plant growth. The simulated inter-annual maximum emission of N$_2$O appeared in the year when basal soil pH reached the threshold of about 5.0. The inhibition effect of pH on microbial growth is intensified when soil pH is less than this threshold (Figure S4). The adopted pH-influencing mechanisms in the model mainly induced the diminished annual emissions of N$_2$O following the appearance of the peak, because the emissions of N$_2$O were associated with the microbial production. In addition to the reduced microbial activity due to low pH inhibition, the post-maximum declines in the annual gas emissions against the stand ages were also attributed to the reduced availabilities of nitrogen substrates for the microbial processes, due to (i) the higher nitrogen demand for the tea growth stimulated by the multiple bud harvests and two trims per year, as well as (ii) the too slow decomposition of woody residues for old tea remaining on the ground surface. However, experimental support is far less sufficient for these explanations on the variations of gas emissions against the stand ages in the early stage or during the full lifetime of tea growth, and thus further studies are still required. In addition, the smaller total model uncertainty, which only accounts for 33% of the uncertainty induced by inputs, indicated that increasing the reliability of the inputs of soil properties and plant growth parameters can improve the model efficiency.

The declination of emissions following the peaks of both gases may not continue throughout the entire lifetime of tea growth, as the process of chemo-denitrification would be triggered once the soil pH decreases to 4.5 or lower, thus promoting the emissions of either gas (e.g., Li, 2016; Pilegaard, 2013). Such a conjecture was well supported by the virtual experiment in this study, which demonstrated that the average soil pH (0−15 cm) decreased to the threshold in the 15th YAT and continued to decrease thereafter. In the model, the chemo-denitrification process occurring under the low soil pH (≤ 4.5) is assumed to transform a portion of the NO produced in the microbial nitrification and denitrification processes into N$_2$O. Before the chemo-denitrification was triggered, the simulated microbial nitrification stably accounted for ~36% of the overall N$_2$O and ~41% of the overall NO emissions. When the chemo-denitrification occurred, its contributions to the overall simulated N$_2$O emissions increased from ~4% to ~8% with increasing stand ages, while the microbial nitrification and denitrification accounted for ~34% and ~59%, respectively. However, these results of gas emissions from the virtual experiment still require validation with field experiments in future studies.

5 Conclusions

To fill a gap in the process-oriented biogeochemical model, the Catchment Nutrient Management Model - DeNitrification-DeComposition (CNMM-DNDC), in this study the effects of soil pH on tea growth and the processes that may induce soil pH reduction due to root exudation and residue decomposition during tea growth were added in the model.

523 Using the two-year field measurements in tea plantations at a subtropical site in central China, the original and modified
524 models were evaluated for simulating nitrous oxide (N_2O) and nitric oxide (NO) emissions from this important type of
525 agricultural ecosystem. Both the original and modified models showed comparable performance for simulating the daily and
526 annual emissions of N_2O and NO from the tested tea plantations at the early stage, especially before the initial tea harvest
527 and the first trims. The modified model was further tested through simulating the emissions of both gases affected by short-
528 term replacement of synthetic fertilizer (urea) with organic manure (oilcake), gradient nitrogen doses of the two fertilizers
529 and different stand ages of new tea plantations. Both observations and simulations demonstrated that short-term replacement
530 of urea with oilcake can largely stimulate N_2O emissions and mitigate NO emissions. The simulations by the modified model
531 also showed linear relationships between the direct emission factors (EF_ds) of either gas against the nitrogen doses for tea
532 plantations amended with synthetic fertilizer and non-linear relationship for those plantations applied with organic manure.
533 These relationships supported the hypothesis that paired field observations against two largely different nitrogen addition
534 rates, which have been very often implemented in field studies, lead to significant biases for the measured EF_ds of either gas
535 from the tea plantations. These biases particularly induce significant underestimations for the moderate to high nitrogen
536 doses that are typically applied by farms. The model simulations also showed that annual emissions of either gas increase
537 with stand ages within the early stage of a new tea plantation and then gradually decrease until they slightly increase again
538 due to chemo-denitrification triggered by soil pH lower than 4.5. In conclusion, the modified CNMM-DNDC can reflect the
539 comprehensive influences of weather, soil conditions, plant nitrogen demands, and field management practices, thus showing
540 potential to be a powerful tool for investigating long-term emissions of N_2O and NO from tea plantations under specific field
541 management alternatives at the site or regional scale. Nevertheless, experimental data are yet too scarce to validate the model
542 simulations of long-term soil pH changes and their effects on the emissions and EF_ds of both gases from tea plantations. To
543 improve the robustness of the model for application in various tea plantations, comprehensive validations using simultaneous
544 field observations are still necessary. The validations should not only include the variables involved in this study but also
545 others, such as the emissions of other greenhouse gases (carbon dioxide and methane), volatilization of ammonia,
546 hydrological nitrogen losses by leaching and surface runoff, and temporal changes in the SOC stock, which are urgently
547 required.

548 **Code/Data availability**

549 The model, input and output databases can be obtained from the first author and all the observed data sets used in this study
550 can be available from the co-authors.

Author contributions

Zheng, X. and Zhang, W. contributed to develop the idea and enhance the science of this study. Zhang, W. improved the scientific processes of the model, designed and implemented the model simulations and virtual experiments and prepared the manuscript with contributions from all co-authors. Yao, Z., Liu, C., Wang, R. and Wang, K. designed and carried out the field experiments. Li, S. and Han, S. collected and established the input database for modelling. Zuo, Q. and Shi, J. provided the climate data observed in the field site.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgement

19

Reference

Bell, M.J., Jones, E., Smith, P., Yeluripati, J., Augustin, J., Juszczak, R., Olejnik, J., Sommer, M.: Simulation of soil nitrogen, nitrous oxide emissions and mitigation scenarios at 3 European cropland sites using the ECOSSE model, Nutr. Cycl. Agroecosyst.,92, 161−181, 2012

Bouwman, A. F., Stehfest, E., Vankessel, C.: Nitrous oxide emissions from the nitrogen cycle in arable agriculture: estimation and mitigation. In: Smith K (ed) Nitrous oxide and climate change, Earthscan, London, 2010.

Butterbach-Bahl, K., Kahl, M., Mykhayliv, L., Werner, C., Kiese, R., Li, C.: A European-wide inventory of soil NO emissions using the biogeochemical models DNDC/Forest-DNDC, Atmos. Environ., 43, 1392−1402, 2009.

Cao, D., Zhang, Q., Xiao, J., and Zong, L.: Localized monitoring of soil acidification rate of tea garden in Jiangsu province, J. Tea Sci., 29, 443−448, 2009 (in Chinese).

Chen, D., Li, Y., Grace, P., Mosier, A.R.: N_2O emissions from agricultural lands: a synthesis of simulation approaches. Plant Soil, 309, 169−189, 2008.

Chen, D., Li, Y., Wang, C., Fu, X., Liu, X., Shen, J., Wang, Y., Xiao, R., Liu, D., and Wu, J.: Measurement and modeling of nitrous and nitric oxide emissions from a tea field in subtropical central China, Nutr. Cycl. Agroecosyst., 107, 157−173, 2017.

Chen, H., Li, X., Hu, F., and Shi, W.: Soil nitrous oxide emissions following crop residue addition: a meta-analysis, Global Change Biol., 19, 2956−2964, 2013.

Deng, J., Zhou, Z., Zheng, X., and Li, C.: Modeling impacts of fertilization alternatives on nitrous oxide and nitric oxide emissions from conventional vegetable fields in southeastern China, Atmos. Environ., 81, 642−650, 2013.

Dubache, G., Li, S., Zheng, X., Zhang, W., and Deng, J.: Modeling ammonia volatilization following urea application to winter cereal fields in the United Kingdom by improving a biogeochemical model, Sci. Total Environ., 660, 1403−1418, 2019.

Fu, X. C.: University Chemistry, Higher Education Press, Beijing, 1999 (in Chinese).

Fu, X. Q., Li, Y., Su, W. J., Shen, J. L., Xiao, R. L., Tong, C. L., and Wu, J.: Annual dynamics of N_2O emissions from a tea field in southern subtropical China, Plant Soil Environ., 58, 373−378, 2012.

Goulding, K., Jarvis, S., and Whitmore, A.: Optimizing nutrient management for farm systems, Philos. Trans. R Soc B 363, 667−680, 2008.

Haas, E., Klatt, S., Fröhlich, A., Kraft, P., Werner, C., Kiese, R., Grote, R., Breuer, L., and Butterbach-Bahl, K.: LandscapeDNDC: a process model for simulation of biosphere−atmosphere−hydrosphere exchange processes at site and regional scale, Landscape Ecol, 28, 615–636, 2012.

Hajiboland, R., and Poschenrieder, C.: Localization and compartmentation of Al in the leaves and roots of tea plants, Phyton, 84, 86–100, 2015.

594 Han, W., Xu, J., Wei, K., Shi, Y., and Ma, L.: Estimation of N_2O emission from tea garden soils, their adjacent vegetable

595   garden and forest soils in eastern China, Environ. Earth Sci., 70, 2495−2500, 2013.

596 Helton, J. C., and Davis, F. J.: Latin hypercube sampling and the propagation of uncertainty in analysis of complex systems,

597   Reliab. Eng. Syst. Saf., 81, 23-69, 2003.

598 Hirono, Y., and Nonaka, K.: Nitrous oxide emissions from green tea fields in Japan: contribution of emissions from soil

599   between rows and soil under the canopy of tea plants, J. Soil Sci. Plant Nut., 58, 384−392, 2012.

600 Hirono, Y., and Nonaka, K.: Effects of application of lime nitrogen and dicyandiamide on nitrous oxide emissions from

601   green tea fields, J. Soil Sci. Plant Nut., 60, 276−285, 2014.

602 Hou, M., Ohkama-Ohtsu, N., Suzuki, S., Tanaka, H., Schmidhalter, U., and Bellingrath-Kimura, S. D.: Nitrous oxide

603   emission from tea soil under different fertilizer managements in Japan, Catena, 135, 304−312, 2015.

604 Li, C.: Modeling trace gas emissions from agricultural ecosystems, Nutr. Cycl. Agroecosyst., 58, 259–276, 2000.

605 Li, C., Frolking, S., and Butterbach-Bahl, K.: Carbon sequestration in arable soils is likely to increase nitrous oxide

606   emissions, offsetting reductions in climate aadiative forcing, Clim. Change, 72, 321−338, 2005.

607 Li, C.: Biogeochemistry: Scientific Fundamentals and Modelling Approach, Tsinghua University Press, Beijing, 2016 (in

608   Chinese).

609 Li, S., Zheng, X., Zhang, W., Han, S., Deng, J., Wang, K., Wang, R., Yao, Z., and Liu, C.: Modeling ammonia volatilization

610   following the application of synthetic fertilizers to cultivated uplands with calcareous soils using an improved DNDC

611   biogeochemistry model, Sci. Total Environ., 660, 931−946, 2019.

612 Li, Y., White, R., Chen, D., Zhang, J., Li, B., Zhang, Y., Huang, Y., Edis, R.:. A spatially referenced water and nitrogen

613   management model (WNMM) for (irrigated) intensive cropping systems in the North China Plain. Ecol. Model., 203,

614   395-423, 2007.

615 Li, Y., Zheng, X., Fu, X., and Wu, Y.: Is green tea still 'green'?, Geo: Geography and Environment, 3, e00021, 2016.

616 Liang, B., Yang, X., He, X., Murphy, D. V., and Zhou, J.: Long-term combined application of manure and NPK fertilizers

617   influenced nitrogen retention and stabilization of organic C in Loess soil, Plant Soil, 353, 249−260, 2011.

618 Lin, T., and Yang, X.: The absorption and accumulation charactertics of aluminum in *Camellia Sinensis* and its safety

619   evaluation of drinking tea, Tea Sci. Tech., 4, 1−4, 2014.

620 Luo, M.: Study on soil acidification status and influence factors of tea plantations in Jiangsu province, Dissertation, Nanjing

621   Agricultural University, 2006.

622 Matsumoto, H., Hirasawa, E., Morimura, S., Takahashi, E.: Localization of aluminum in tea leaves. Plant Cell Physiol., 17,

623   627–631, 1976.

624 Mei, B., Zheng, X., Xie, B., Dong, H., Yao, Z., Liu, C., Zhou, Z., Wang, R., Deng, J., and Zhu, J.: Characteristics of

625   multiple-year nitrous oxide emissions from conventional vegetable fields in southeastern China, J. Geophys. Res., 116,

626   D12113.

Meijide, A., D éz, J. A., S ánchez-Mart ń, L., López-Fern ández, S., and Vallejo, A.: Nitrogen oxide emissions from an irrigated maize crop amended with treated pig slurries and composts in a Mediterranean climate, Agr. Ecosyst. Environ., 121, 383−394, 2007.

Meng, L., Ding, W., and Cai, Z.: Long-term application of organic manure and nitrogen fertilizer on N_2O emissions, soil quality and crop production in a sandy loam soil, Soil Biol. Biochem., 37, 2037−2045, 2005.

Miao, X.: Effect of tea root secretion on the transformation mechnism of phophorus and aluminum forms in the soil (Dissertation), Huna Agricultural University, 2015 (in Chinese).

Morita, A., Yanagisawa, O., Takatsu, S., Maeda, S., Hiradate, S.: Mechanism for the detoxification of aluminum in roots of tea plant (Camellia sinensis (L.) Kuntze). Phytochemistry, 69, 147–153, 2008.

Pang, X.: Effects of tea pruning and polyhoenols on organic acids secretion from roots and mineral contents in *camellia sinensis* (Dissertaiton), Nanjing Agricultural Univeristy, 2014 (in Chinese).

Pilegaard, K.: Processes regulating nitric oxide emissions from soils, Philos. Trans. R Soc B 368, 20130126, 2013.

Skinner, C., Gattinger, A., Muller, A., Mader, P., Fliebetabach, A., Stolze, M., Ruser, R., and Niggli, U.: Greenhouse gas fluxes from agricultural soils under organic and non-organic management-a global meta-analysis, Sci. Total Environ., 468–469, 553–563, 2014.

Snyder, C. S., Bruulsema, T. W., Jensen, T. L., and Fixen, P. E.: Review of greenhouse gas emissions from crop production systems and fertilizer management effects, Agr. Ecosyst. Environ., 133, 247–266, 2009.

Su, Y.: Study on soil acidification characteristics and regulation mechanism of tea garderns in southern Anhui province, Dissertaion, Zhejiang University, 2018.

Taylor, G.J.: Current views of the aluminum stress response: the physiological basis of tolerance. Curr. Top. Plant Biochem. Physiol., 10, 57–93, 1991.

Tokuda, S., and Hayatsu, M.: Nitrous oxide flux from a tea field amended with a large amount of nitrogen fertilizer and soil environmental factors controlling the flux, J. Soil Sci. Plant Nut., 50, 365–374, 2004.

Vallejo, A., Skiba, U., Garciatorres, L., Arce, A., Lopezfernandez, S., and Sanchezmartin, L.: Nitrogen oxides emission from soils bearing a potato crop as influenced by fertilization with treated pig slurries and composts, Soil Biol. Biochem., 38, 2782–2793, 2006.

Wang, R., Feng, Q., Liao, T., Zheng, X., Butterbach-Bahl, K., Zhang, W., and Jin, C.: Effects of nitrate concentration on the denitrification potential of a calcic cambisol and its fractions of N_2, N_2O and NO, Plant Soil, 363, 175−189, 2013.

Xu, C., Yu, G., Sun, D., and Wan, G.: Aluminum content determination in brick-tea with chrome azurol S spectrophotometric method, Chin. J. Endemiol., 25(6), 703–704, 2006.

Xue, H., Ren, X., Li, S., Wu, X., Cheng, H., Xu, B., Gu, B., Yang, G., Peng, C., Ge, Y., and Chang, J.: Assessment of private economic benefits and positive environmental externalities of tea plantation in China, Environ. Monit. Assess., 185, 8501–8516, 2013.

Yamamoto, A., Akiyama, H., Naokawa, T., Miyakazi, Y., Honda, Y., Sano, Y., Nakajima, Y., and Yagi, K.: Lime-nitrogen application affects nitrification, denitrification, and N_2O emission in an acidic tea soil, Biol. Fertil. Soils, 50, 53–62, 2014.

Yamulki, S., Goulding, K.W.T., Webster, C.P., Harrison, R.M.: Studies on NO and N_2O fluxes from a wheat field. Atmos. Environ. 29, 1627–1635, 1995.

Yao, Z., Wei, Y., Liu, C., Zheng, X., and Xie, B.: Organically fertilized tea plantation stimulates N_2O emissions and lowers NO fluxes in subtropical China, Biogeosciences, 12, 5915–5928, 2015.

Yao, Z., Zheng, X., Liu, C., Wang, R., and Butterbach-Bahl, K.: Stand age amplifies greenhouse gas and NO releases following conversion of rice paddy to tea plantations in subtropical China, Agr. Forest. Meteorl., 248, 386–396, 2018.

Zhang, W., Liu, C., Zheng, X., Zhou, Z., Cui, F., Zhu, B., Haas, E., Klatt, S., Butterbach-Bahl, K., and Kiese, R.: Comparison of the DNDC, LandscapeDNDC and IAP-N-GAS models for simulating nitrous oxide and nitric oxide emissions from the winter wheat–summer maize rotation system, Agric. Syst., 140, 1–10, 2015.

Zhang, W., Li, Y., Zhu, B., Zheng, X., Liu, C., Tang, J., Su, F., Zhang, C., Ju, X., and Deng, J.: A process-oriented hydro-biogeochemical model enabling simulation of gaseous carbon and nitrogen emissions and hydrologic nitrogen losses from a subtropical catchment, Sci. Total Environ., 616–617, 305–317, 2018.

Zhang, W., Liu, C, Zheng, X., Wang, K., Cui, F., Wang, R., Li, S., Yao, Z. and Zhu, J.: Using a modified DNDC biogeochemical model to optimize field management of a multi-crop (cotton, wheat and maize) system: a site-scale case study in northern China. Biogeosciences, 16, 2905-2922, 2019.

Zhang, Y., Zhao, W., Zhang, J., and Cai, Z.: N_2O production pathways relate to land use type in acidic soils in subtropical China, J.Soil Sediment, 17, 306–314, 2017.

680 Table 1 Statistical evaluation of the original (Ori) and modified (Mod) simulations on the soil temperature (ST), soil moisture (SM), nitrous oxide

681 (N$_2$O) and nitric oxide (NO) fluxes as daily means, annual emissions, and annual direct emission factor.

Variable	n	Mean observed	Mean simulated		IA		NSI		Slope		R^2	
			Ori	Mod	Ori	Mod	Ori	Mod	Ori	Mod	Ori	Mod
ST	756	14.8	16.4	16.4	0.98	0.98	0.92	0.92	0.91	0.91	0.95	0.95
SM	504	0.51	0.54	0.54	0.71	0.71	−0.27	−0.27	0.93	0.93	–	–
Daily N$_2$O	1107	49.5	46.9	42.9	0.82	0.80	0.10	0.18	0.58	0.63	0.51	0.42
Daily NO	1107	31.2	29.8	27.4	0.84	0.80	0.32	0.33	0.68	0.74	0.51	0.41
AnnualN$_2$O	9	16.1	17.8	16.3	0.96	0.98	0.81	0.94	0.88	0.97	0.87	0.94
Annual NO	9	10.3	11.3	10.4	0.98	0.98	0.92	0.94	0.94	1.02	0.93	0.94
EF$_d$s of N$_2$O	6	3.99	5.03	4.65	0.78	0.89	0.10	0.64	0.81	0.88	0.63	0.80
EF$_d$s of NO	6	3.05	2.95	2.77	0.89	0.85	0.50	0.38	1.01	1.08	0.50	0.51

682 n, number of data pairs. For definitions of IA, NSI, Slope and R^2, refer to Subsection 2.5 in the text.

683

684   **Figure 1: Observed and simulated daily mean soil (5 cm) temperature, soil (0−6 cm) moisture, nitrous oxide (N_2O) and nitric oxide**

685   **(NO) fluxes from tea fields of different treatments by the original and modified models. T08 and T12 represent the fields with tea**

686   **seedling transplanting in 2008 and 2012, respectively. NN, UN and OM encode the no nitrogen applied, and fertilization with urea**

687   **and oilcake, respectively. The grey- and black-line arrows indicate the dates of irrigation and fertilization, respectively. The**

688   **number stands for the applied water amount in cm or fertilizer dose in kg N ha^{-1}. The vertical bar for each observation in panels**

689   **c−n indicates the standard error of four spatial replicates. The legends in panel c apply for all panels.**

690

Figure 2: Comparison between the observations and simulations of annual nitrous oxide (N₂O) and nitric oxide (NO) emissions.
The simulations were provided by the original and modified models. The red or gray solid lines illustrate the zero-intercept
univariate linear regressions. The vertical bars indicate the standard error of four spatial replicates. The legends in panel a apply
**for all panels.**

695

Figure 3: Simulated annual emission and direct emission factor (EF_d) of nitrous oxide (N_2O) and nitric oxide (NO) from tea plantations with early stand ages against nitrogen fertilizer doses. ==Data displayed in panels a−b were simulated by the modified model, and those in panels c−f by the original (grey circle) and modified (blue circle) models.== The legends in panel b also apply for panel a, wherein T08 and T12 represent the plantations transplanted with seedlings in 2008 and 2012, respectively, UN and OM indicate the fields consecutively applied with urea since tea planting and short-term replacement of urea with oilcake, respectively, and 2013 and 2014 are the years with field observations of gas emissions. Each vertical bar in panel c−f is the standard deviation of the EF_ds for T08 in 2013 and 2014 and for T12 in 2013. Dashed lines are the lower and upper uncertain bounds at the 95% confidence interval for regression curves. The legends in panel d also apply for panels c, e and f.

Figure 4: Comparison between observed and simulated annual direct emission factor (EF_d) of nitrous oxide (N_2O) and nitric oxide (NO) by the original and modified models from tea plantations. The vertical bar indicates the standard error of four spatial replicates. The blue and red lines illustrate the zero-intercept univariate linear regressions by the original and modified models. Each simulated EFd is calculated from the simulated emissions of two nitrogen addition levels (zero and 450 kg N ha^{-1} yr^{-1}).

709

Figure 5: Simulated topsoil (0−15 cm depth) pH and annual emissions of nitrous oxide (N_2O) and nitric oxide (NO) against early tea stand ages by the modified model. The solid lines were the polynomial regression curves. Dashed lines are the lower and upper uncertain bounds at the 95% confidence interval (CI) for regression curves. Each pH datum is given as the daily mean of eight diurnal simulations (3 h for each). The vertical bar crossing each datum point in panel b or c represents the uncertainty (95% CI) induced by those of model inputs. Each box above panels b−c represents total model error that was estimated by referring to mean of model relative errors (MRBs), with vertical bars representing the uncertainties (95% CI) estimated by referring to the double standard deviations of |MRBs|. The red circle and diamond points in panel b or c represent the observed emissions of N_2O and NO from urea and organic manure treatments. The grey circle point in panel b or c represents the simulation by the original model.

718

Figure 6: Simulated emissions of nitrous oxide (N$_2$O) and nitric oxide (NO) and topsoil (0−15 cm) pH of a urea-fertilized tea plantation against stand ages within full lifetime of tea (35 years). Each box above panel a represents total model error of the simulated emissions by the modified model that was estimated by referring to mean of model relative errors (MRBs), with vertical bars representing the uncertainties (95% CI) estimated by referring to the double standard deviations of |MRBs|. The given percentage of yield declination, simulated by the modified model, was due to the effect of soil pH on tea growth.