https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-2019-1045
Preprint. Discussion started: 6 January 2020

Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics Discussions

To what extents do urbanization and air pollution affect fog?

Shuqi Yan[1,2,3,4], Bin Zhu[1,2,3,4,*], Yong Huang[5,6], Jun Zhu[7], Hanqing Kang[1,2,3,4], Chunsong Lu[1,2,3,4], Tong Zhu[8]

[1]Collaborative Innovation Centre on Forecast and Evaluation of Meteorological Disasters, Nanjing University of Information Science & Technology, Nanjing, China
[2]Key Laboratory for Aerosol-Cloud-Precipitation of China Meteorological Administration, Nanjing University of Information Science & Technology, Nanjing, China
[3]Key Laboratory of Meteorological Disaster, Ministry of Education (KLME), Nanjing University of Information Science & Technology, Nanjing, China
[4]Special test field of National Integrated meteorological observation, Nanjing University of Information Science & Technology, Nanjing, China
[5]Anhui Meteorology Institute, Key Lab of Atmospheric Science and Remote Sensing Anhui Province, Hefei 230031, China
[6]Shouxian National Climatology Observatory, Shouxian 232200, China
[7]Xiangshan Meteorological Bureau, Xiangshan 315700, China
[8]IMSG at NOAA/NESDIS/STAR, 5830 University Research Ct., College Park, MD 20740, USA

Correspondence to: Bin Zhu (binzhu@nuist.edu.cn)

Abstract. The remarkable development of China has resulted in rapid urbanization (urban heat island and dry island) and severe air pollution (aerosol pollution). Previous studies demonstrate that these two factors have either suppressing or promoting effects on fog, but what are the extents of their individual and combined effects? In this study, a dense radiation fog event in East China in January 2017 was reproduced by the WRF-Chem model, and the individual and combined effects of urbanization and aerosols on fog (indicated by liquid water content (LWC)) are quantitatively revealed. Results show that urbanization inhibits low-level fog, delays its formation and advances its dissipation due to higher temperatures and lower saturations. In contrast, upper-level fog could be enhanced because of the updraft-induced vapour convergence. Aerosols promote fog by increasing LWC, increasing droplet concentration and decreasing droplet effective radius. Further experiments show that the current pollution level in China is still below the critical aerosol concentration that suppresses fog. Urbanization influences fog to a larger extent than do aerosols. When urbanization and aerosol pollution are combined, the much weaker aerosol promoting effect is counteracted by the stronger urbanization suppressing effect on fog. Budget analysis of LWC reveals that urban development (urbanization and aerosols) alters LWC profile and fog structure mainly by modulating condensation/evaporation process. Our results infer that urban fog will be further reduced if urbanization keeps developing and air quality keeps deteriorating in the future.

https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-2019-1045
Preprint. Discussion started: 6 January 2020

1 Introduction

During the past five decades, China has achieved remarkable developments, accompanied by strong anthropogenic activities (rapid urbanization and severe air pollution). Urbanization and air pollution have significantly affected climate change, monsoons, air quality, fog, clouds and precipitation (e.g., Li et al., 2016; Li et al., 2017). Many studies have linked the changes in clouds and precipitation to urbanization and aerosols. Urbanization destabilizes the boundary layer, which triggers strong updrafts and invigorates convection (e.g., Rozoff et al., 2003; Shepherd, 2005). Aerosols modify the macroscopic, microphysics, thermodynamics and radiative properties of clouds through complicated pathways, which are called as aerosol-cloud-radiation interactions and have been systematically reviewed by Fan et al. (2016), Rosenfeld et al. (2014), Tao et al. (2012), etc. Fog can be viewed as a cloud (Leng et al., 2014) that occurs near the surface. Land use features and aerosol properties may instantly affect fog, so fog is more sensitive to anthropogenic activities than other types of clouds are (Zhu and Guo, 2016). Many studies have analysed the effects of urbanization and aerosols on fog, mostly in segregated manners.

Urbanization is featured with urban heat island (UHI) and dry island (UDI) effects. The urban surface has a lower albedo, which reduces the reflected solar radiation and enhances heat storage. Urban expansion decreases the coverage of cropland, water bodies and forestland, which reduces the sources of water vapour. As a result, urban areas commonly experience higher temperatures and lower vapour contents. These conditions induce a lower supersaturation that is unfavourable for fog formation (Gu et al., 2019). In the long-term scale, urban fog days are reported to decrease significantly (e.g., Guo et al., 2016; LaDochy, 2005; Sachweh and Koepke, 1995; Shi et al., 2008; Yan et al., 2019). Although UHI and UDI inhibit near-surface fog, the upward motions can promote upper-level fog (Li et al., 2011; Niu et al., 2010b). Surface roughness and thermal circulation cause strong updrafts (Rozoff et al., 2003), which transfer water vapour aloft and cause wet island phenomenon in the upper-level (Kang et al., 2014). The fog at that altitude may be subsequently enhanced.

Aerosols exert sophisticated impacts on fog through direct (radiation) effects and indirect (microphysical) effects (Khain and Pinsky, 2018). Scattering aerosols block downwelling solar radiation in the daytime, thus delaying the dissipation and elongating the duration of fog (Shi et al., 2008; Maalick et al., 2016). Although they increase downwelling longwave radiation at night, scattering aerosols have negligible effects on the fog formation time (Stolaki et al., 2015; Maalick et al., 2016). The role of absorbing aerosols like BC on fog depends on its residence height. If BC resides above the fog layer, BC causes a dome effect (Ding et al., 2016) which blocks solar radiation and prevents the dissipation of fog (Bott, 1991). If BC resides within the fog layer, BC heats fog droplets and accelerates the dissipation of fog (Maalick et al., 2016). The aerosol indirect effect on cloud is addressed as one of the most uncertain factors in the IPCC report (IPCC, 2013). Aerosol concentration has a two-fold effect on fog, which is called as the boomerang pattern (Koren et al., 2008). Under saturation conditions, increasing aerosols commonly result in more CCNs. It promotes activation and condensation, yielding more but smaller droplets

https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-2019-1045
Preprint. Discussion started: 6 January 2020

and increasing cloud water content (Fan et al., 2018; Rosenfeld et al., 2008). These changes have two kinds of positive feedback on fog (Maalick et al., 2016): more droplets cause stronger radiative cooling at fog top and enhance condensation (Jia et al., 2018); smaller droplet size inhibits sedimentation and the depletion of cloud water (Zhang et al., 2014). However, if aerosol concentration exceeds a certain threshold, this promoting effect disappears (Quan et al., 2011) or even turns into a suppressing effect due to the strong vapour competition (Koren et al., 2008; Rangognio, 2009). Additionally, large-scale aerosol pollution can change weather patterns and affect large-scale fog formation conditions (Niu et al., 2010a). Ding et al. (2019) found that the dome effects of BC induce a land-sea thermal contrast and generate a cyclonic anomaly over coastal areas. This anomaly results in more vapor transported inland and strengthened advection-radiation fog.

Yan et al. (2019) analysed decadal trends of fog days and quantitatively proved that the inhibiting effects of urbanization outweigh the promoting effects of aerosols on fog during the mature urbanization stage. Their study inspires us to quantitatively comfirm the roles of urbanization and aerosols in a dense fog event by an online-coupled synoptic and air quality model, WRF-Chem. This event is a radiation fog event with weak synoptic forcing (detailed in Sect. 3.1), so the effects of urbanization and aerosols should be obvious. Determining the quantitative extents of urbanization effect, aerosol effect and their combined effect is an interesting topic, which has barely been studied previously to the best of our knowledge. This work facilitates the understanding of how anthropogenic activities affect the natural environment, fog (cloud) physics and aerosol-cloud interactions near the surface.

In this study, urbanization mainly refers to UHI and UDI associated with land use change and human activities, excluding the increasing aerosol pollution caused by urban expansion. Air pollution refers to aerosols and is indicated by anthropogenic emissions because aerosol concentration is highly proportional to emission intensity. Liquid water content (LWC) and cloud/fog droplet number concentration (N_d) are two important parameters representing fog intensity and visibility. Following previous studies (e.g., Ding et al., 2019; Gu et al., 2019; Jia et al., 2018; Maalick et al., 2016; Yang et al., 2018), we use LWC as the indicator of fog to reveal different characteristics of fog in different experiments. This study is organized as follows. The data, model and methods are described in Sect. 2. Section 3.1 overviews the fog event and provides preliminary evidence of how urban development affects fog. Section 3.2 evaluates the model performance. Sections 3.3 to 3.5 analyse the urbanization, aerosol and combined effects on fog. Section 3.6 discusses the rationality and reliability of the results.

2 Data, model and methods

2.1 Data

The first data are the hourly automatic weather station data from the Shouxian National Climate Observatory (SX; 32.4° N, 116.8° E, 23 m) that are used to evaluate the model performance. SX is a rural site surrounded by vast croplands and is approximately 30 km away from the nearest large city (Fig. 1b). The data include horizontal visibility, temperature, relative humidity, wind direction and speed. The second data are the Himawari 8 satellite data that are used to represent fog area (https://www.eorc.jaxa.jp/ptree/index.html). Fog area is mainly indicated by the albedo at three visible bands: red (band 3, 0.64 μm), green (band 2, 0.51 μm) and blue (band 1, 0.47 μm). The third data are the 3-hourly data from the Meteorological Information Comprehensive Analysis and Process System (MICAPS) (Li et al., 2010) that are also used to represent the fog area. The fourth data are the land use data from the Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer Land Cover Type Version 6 data (MCD12Q1; https://lpdaac.usgs.gov/products/mcd12q1v006) in the year of 2017, the same as the simulation period. The data are resampled from 500 m to 30 arc-seconds (approximately 1 km) and replace the geological data of the WRF model.

2.2 Model configuration

The model used in this study is the WRF-Chem (V3.9.1.1) model. It is an online-coupled mesoscale synoptic and air quality model that considers the sophisticated interactions among various dynamic, physical and chemical processes (Chapman et al., 2009; Fast et al., 2006). WRF or WRF-Chem has been successfully used in simulating fog events (Jia and Guo, 2012; Jia and Guo, 2015; Jia et al., 2018) and exploring aerosol-cloud interactions (Fan et al., 2018). Two nest domains are set up (Fig. 1). The d01 domain has a size of 217×223 grids and a resolution of 6 km, covering the entire fog area of this event (Fig. 2a). The d02 domain has a size of 115×121 grids and a resolution of 2 km, covering SX and the adjacent areas. The land use data are replaced by MCD12Q1 data, which represent the latest condition.

Fog simulation is highly sensitive to vertical grids (Gultepe et al., 2007). A fine vertical resolution with a proper lowest model level can better resolve turbulences, thus yielding a reasonable fog structure (Yang et al., 2019). Here, 42 vertical levels are established with the first five η values of 1.000, 0.999, 0.998, 0.997, 0.996. There are 25 levels below the boundary layer (approximately 1500 m), and the lowest model level is approximately 8 m.

Fog simulation is also sensitive to physical schemes (Gu et al., 2019). Through numerous experiments, radiation, microphysics and boundary schemes are found to significantly influence the model performance, and the boundary layer scheme plays a decisive role (Naira Chaouch et al., 2017). The radiation schemes are the RRTM longwave scheme and the Goddard shortwave scheme. The microphysical scheme is the Morrison double-moment scheme (Morrison et al., 2005). The boundary layer scheme is the YSU 1.5-order closure non-local scheme, which yields better results than do any other schemes. The major schemes are listed in Tab. 1.

The model is driven by the highest resolution product (0.125°, approximately 13 km) of ECMWF data

https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-2019-1045
Preprint. Discussion started: 6 January 2020

(https://apps.ecmwf.int/datasets/data/interim-full-daily/levtype=sfc/). The anthropogenic emissions are derived from the Multi-resolution Emission Inventory for China (MEIC) database (http://www.meicmodel.org). The simulation starts at 2017-01-01 08:00 and ends at 2017-01-03 14:00, with the first 24 hours as the spin-up period (all the times here are in local time).

2.3 Sensitivity experiments

The study site is SX because only its visibility is observed hourly and is a multiple of 1 m, which is suitable for evaluating the model performance. To investigate the effects of urbanization and aerosols on fog, we change the land use and emission intensity around SX. Four experiments, i.e., u0e0, u3e0, u0e3 and u3e3 are designed. The u0e0 is the base experiment, with no urbanization and weak emission at SX. The u3e0 is set as the urbanization condition. The u0e3 is set as the polluted condition. The u3e3 is set as the urban development condition (urbanization and pollution coexist). The experiment settings are listed in Tab. 2.

On the setting of urbanized condition, we replace the land use of SX as that of Hefei, the most urbanized city and the capital of Anhui Province. The downtown of Hefei has a built area of approximately 570 km^2. Therefore, the 11x13 box centered on SX (572 km^2) is replaced by urban surface in the u3e0 and u3e3 experiments to represent the urbanization condition.

The downtown of Hefei has much higher emissions than SX. For example, the PM2.5 emission rate of Hefei is 40 times higher than that of SX. To represent the polluted condition, the emission intensity of the aforementioned box is set to be equal to that of downtown Hefei in the u0e3 and u3e3 experiments.

2.4 Calculating visibility

The LWC is the proxy of fog as mentioned above. Since the LWC is not observed, and visibility (VIS) is related to LWC, the VIS is used to assess the model performance. VIS is not diagnosed by the model and can be parameterized by the function of LWC, N_d or droplet effective radius (R_e). Equation 1 (Kunkel, 1983) and 2 (Gultepe et al, 2006) are two parameterization methods.

$$\mathrm{VIS[m]=27LWC[g\ cm^{-3}]^{-0.88}} \tag{1}$$

$$\mathrm{VIS[m]=1002(LWC[g\ cm^{-3}]\cdot N_d[cm^{-3}])^{-0.6473}} \tag{2}$$

Another parameterization method is based on the Mie theory (Gultepe et al., 2017). VIS is inverse proportional to atmospheric extinction at visible wavelength. The extinction coefficient of cloud water (β_c) is

https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-2019-1045
Preprint. Discussion started: 6 January 2020

$$\beta_c[\text{km}^{-1}]=\frac{3Q_{ext}\,\rho_a \text{LWC}}{4\rho_w R_e}\times 10^6 \quad (3)$$

where ρ_a (ρ_w) is the air (water) density in kg m^{-3}, LWC is in g kg^{-1}, R_e is in μm, and Q_{ext} is the extinction efficiency, which is assumed to be 2 for cloud droplets.

The atmospheric extinction (β) is also largely contributed by aerosols (β_a) and other types of hydrometeors. The model diagnoses β_a at 550 nm. No other types of hydrometeors occur in this fog case, so we assume $\beta = \beta_a + \beta_c$. Then VIS is determined by the Koschmieder rule (Koschmieder, 1924): VIS[m]=3.912/β[km^{-1}]×1000.

During fog period (Fig. 4 shaded zone), the three methods nearly yield the same results (figure not shown), so the last method is used to calculate the simulated VIS.

3 Results and discussions

3.1 Overview of the fog event

3.1.1 Formation condition and lifetime

From 01 to 06 January 2017, East China is dominated by zonal circulation, with weak trough, ridge, pressure gradient and atmospheric diffusion (Zhang and Ma, 2017). Under this stable weather pattern, the accumulation of pollutants and water vapour promote the occurrence of fog-haze events. From the evening of 02 January to the noon of 03 January, a dense fog event occurs in wide regions of East China. The fog reaches its peak at 08:00 03 January, covering south Hebei, east Henan, west Shandong, Anhui, Jiangsu and Shanghai (Fig. 2a). Figure 4a shows the temporal variation of visibility at SX. The fog forms at 18:00 02 January and dissipates at 12:40 03 January. This is a radiation fog which is promoted by strong radiative cooling at night and weak easterly water vapour transport from northwest Pacific (Zhu et al., 2019).

3.1.2 Preliminary evidence of urban development affecting fog

Lee (1987) and Sachweh and Koepke (1995) observed "fog holes" over urban areas on satellite images. Here, fog hole means the low liquid water path (LWP) region within the fog region, which is visualized as pixels with weak fog (high visibility) or clear sky surrounded by dense fog. These holes demonstrate that urban development (urbanization and aerosols) has a clearing effect on fog. In this fog event, fog holes are also present over urban areas on the Himawari 8 image at 11:00 03 January (Fig. 3). We assume that urbanization and air pollution could have profound effects on fog by reducing the LWP or advanc-

https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-2019-1045
Preprint. Discussion started: 6 January 2020

ing the dissipation of fog.

3.2 Model evaluation and simulations

The model performance is evaluated by comparing the fog spatial coverage. Satellite cloud image and modelled LWP can represent the observed and simulated fog zone, respectively (Jia et al., 2018). Figure 2 shows the Himawari 8 visible cloud image and the simulated LWP distribution at 08:00. The light white pixels and light red dots indicate the observed fog area. The model well captures the fog in south Hebei, east Henan, west Shandong, Anhui, Jiangsu and Shanghai.

The model performance is also evaluated by comparing the visibility and other basic parameters at the SX site (Fig. 4). Seen from the visibility, the simulated fog forms at 19:30, 1.5 h later than the observation, and dissipates at 12:20, 30 min earlier than the observation. During the fog period, the simulated visibility agrees well with the observation. The other parameters such as temperature, wind speed and relative humidity are also effectively reproduced by the model, with relative small RMSEs of 0.8 K, 0.7 m/s and 5.9 %, respectively. Overall, the model well captures the spatial feature and temporal evolution of the fog.

3.3 Urbanization effects

From different sensitivity experiments (u3e0, u0e3 and u3e3), we can deduce the extents of the separate or combined effects of urbanization and aerosols on fog. Figure 5 compares the LWC between u0e0 and u3e0. The general results are: (1) Before 02:00, urbanization leads to a decreasing LWC in all layers. Fog forms on the surface at 22:30 in u3e0, 3 h later than in u0e0. (2) After 02:00, the LWC decreases in the low-level while it increases in the upper-level. Fog dissipates at 10:50 in u3e0, 1.5 h earlier than in u0e0. To better explain the LWC difference, its profiles are shown in Fig. 6. At 23:00, although fog has formed in u3e0, the fog is rather weak compared with u0e0, which is caused by the higher temperature (Fig. 6f) and lower saturation associated with UHI and UDI. At 02:00, fog develops in u3e0, but its intensity (the value of LWC) cannot reach the same level as that in u0e0.

An interesting phenomenon is the opposite change of LWC in the low-level and upper-level after 02:00. This phenomenon can be explained by the role of updrafts. The increasing roughness length and extra warming in urban conditions could trigger horizontal wind convergence (Fig. S1) and the enhanced updrafts (Fig. 5c). The stronger updrafts in u3e0 affect condensation via two possible pathways: (1) the vertical transport of vapour ($-w\frac{\partial q}{\partial z}$) and vertical convergence/divergence ($-q\frac{\partial w}{\partial z}$) redistribute water vapour and affect condensation; (2) the adiabatic cooling promotes condensation. The role of the first pathway is measured by vertical vapour flux divergence ($\frac{1}{g}\frac{\partial(qw)}{\partial z}$). At 05:00, u3e0 shows a stronger vapour convergence above 110 m (Fig. 6h), and the LWC increases above 130 m (Fig. 6c). At 08:00, u3e0 shows a stronger vapour convergence above 130

https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-2019-1045
Preprint. Discussion started: 6 January 2020

m (Fig. 6i), and the LWC increases above 170 m (Fig. 6d). Therefore, it is possible that the adiabatic cooling and up-draft-induced vapour flux convergence increase the vapour content and promote condensation in the upper-level, while the fog in the low-level is suppressed by the divergence of vapour flux. At 11:00, fog disappears at the ground in u3e0 likely due to the higher temperature (Fig. 6j). In summary, the UHI, UDI and updrafts alter the profile of LWC and reduce the LWP most of the time (Fig. 5c), and the decreasing LWP in the daytime can explain why fog holes occur above urban areas (Fig. 3).

3.4 Aerosol effects

Figure 7 compares the LWC between u0e0 and u0e3. The formation time, dissipation time of fog and fog top show almost no changes. The LWC increases at almost all layers in the polluted condition. Accordingly, the LWP also increases (Fig. 7c). It is probable that the current pollution level of China always promotes fog occurrence. To testify whether the u0e3 is below the transition point of the boomerang pattern, eight additional experiments (D10, D7.5, D5, D2.5, M2.5, M5, M7.5 and M10) are performed. These experiments are the same as u0e3, except that the emissions around SX (the black box in Fig. 1b) are multiplied (the "M" prefix) or divided (the "D" prefix). For example, the name M2.5 means multiplying by 2.5 times; the name D10 means dividing by 10 times.

Figure 8 compares the LWC, N_d, R_e and LWP among the nine emission-variant experiments. All the four parameters show the boomerang pattern, which demonstrates that the model is able to simulate the dual effects of aerosols. Below u0e3, the four parameters monotonically vary with emission level, indicating that aerosol pollution could always promote fog. This phenomenon is because stronger emissions produce more aerosols and CCN. Under saturation conditions, the larger amount of CCN boost activation and yield a higher N_d. The higher N_d reduces R_e and inhibits autoconversion and sedimentation (Twomey, 1977); thus, this situation decreases the depletion of fog water and increases the LWC. This promoting effect has been confirmed by many model studies (e.g., Maalick et al., 2016; Stolaki et al., 2015) and observations (e.g., Chen et al., 2012; Goren and Rosenfeld, 2012). The aerosol concentration of the transition point (experiment M2.5) is higher than that of u0e3 (Fig. 8), revealing that the current pollution level in China is still located in the promoting regime rather than the sup-pressing regime of fog occurrence, which is also found by Jia et al. (2018).

3.5 Combined effects of urbanization and aerosols

Figure 9 compares the LWC between u0e0 and u3e3. The u3e3-induced change is quite similar to but not the same as the u3e0-induced change. The time-height average of absolute change of LWC induced by u3e0, u0e3 and u3e3 are 0.120, 0.019, 0.124 g kg^{-1}, respectively. This result indicates that urbanization affects fog to a larger extent than do aerosols; when urbani-zation and aerosols are combined, the effect of aerosols is indiscernible. The LWP is also significantly suppressed in the day-

https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-2019-1045
Preprint. Discussion started: 6 January 2020

Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics Discussions

time, and the promoting effect of aerosols in Fig. 7c is indiscernible in Fig. 9c. To further explain the changes in LWC, we perform budget analysis of the LWC to determine which physical processes are the dominant contributors.

In WRF, the budget of LWC is composed of the following items,

$$\frac{\partial q_c}{\partial t} = \underbrace{-\left(u\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + v\frac{\partial}{\partial y} + w\frac{\partial}{\partial z}\right)q_c}_{\text{adv}} + \left(\frac{\partial q_c}{\partial t}\right)_{\text{PBL}} + \left(\frac{\partial q_c}{\partial t}\right)_{\text{micro}} + \left(\frac{\partial q_c}{\partial t}\right)_{\text{cumu}} \tag{4}$$

where q_c is LWC, and the subscripts denote advection, boundary layer, microphysical and cumulus processes, respectively.

The microphysical tendency is further decomposed into the following items,

$$\left(\frac{\partial q_c}{\partial t}\right)_{\text{micro}} = \left(\frac{\partial q_c}{\partial t}\right)_{\text{cold}} + \left(\frac{\partial q_c}{\partial t}\right)_{\text{auto}} + \left(\frac{\partial q_c}{\partial t}\right)_{\text{accr}} + \left(\frac{\partial q_c}{\partial t}\right)_{\text{sedi}} + \left(\frac{\partial q_c}{\partial t}\right)_{\text{cond/evap}} \tag{5}$$

where the subscripts denote cold phase processes, autoconversion, accretion, sedimentation and condensation/evaporation, respectively.

All the processes regarding precipitation and cold phase (the cumu, cold, auto and accr subscripts) are not analysed because no precipitation occurs, and the temperature is above 0 °C in the simulated fog (figure not shown). The sum of microphysical (condensation/evaporation and sedimentation), boundary layer and advection tendencies is equal to the LWC distribution, so the contributions of other physical processes can be safely ignored.

We can also infer that to what extents the various physical processes affect fog through the sensitivity experiments (u3e0, u0e3 and u3e3). Additional aerosols weakly influence these processes (Fig. S2 right column) and subsequently result in weak LWC change (Fig. 7c). Compared with aerosols, urbanization effect is much more considerable (Fig. S3 right column); it dominantly accounts for the variation in physical tendencies from u0e0 to u3e3 (Fig. 10 right column). In u3e3 condition, urban development (urbanization and aerosols) induces different magnitude of changes in different physical tendencies. The relative magnitudes are 52.1, 38.3 and 9.6 % for the microphysical, boundary layer and advection processes, respectively, indicating that microphysics is most susceptible to urban development and contributes most to the LWC change. Among various microphysical processes, condensation/evaporation contributes most (72.7 %) to the change in microphysical tendency (Fig. 11 right column). The above results indicate that urban development affects the LWC mainly by modulating the condensation/evaporation process. Since u3e3 condition still witnesses higher temperatures and stronger updrafts (figure not shown), the notable variation in condensation/evaporation tendency induced by u3e3 can also be attributed to the predominant role of UHI, UDI and updrafts. The mechanism has been analysed in Sect. 3.3.

https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-2019-1045
Preprint. Discussion started: 6 January 2020

Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics Discussions

3.6 Discussions

As mentioned above, urbanization influences fog to a larger extent than do aerosols; the LWC in fog does not vary substantially with pollution level. This section discusses the rationality and reliability of our results through mechanism analysis and observational evidence.

The sensitivity of cloud properties to aerosols depends on aerosol concentration and saturation environment. In convective clouds with intense upward motions and high saturations, the response of cloud properties to additional aerosols is significant ("aerosol-limited regime") (Fan et al., 2018). However, in fog with much weaker updrafts and lower saturations, this response could be more sensitive to vapour content rather than aerosol concentration ("vapour-limited regime"). It possibly implies that the LWC in fog varies slightly with pollution level but considerably with saturation condition that related to urbanization. Our results reveal that the time-height average LWC varies within the extent of 0.07g kg^{-1} when emission intensity varies within two orders of magnitude (Fig. 8). This relative weak response of the LWC to pollution level is also reported by Jia et al. (2018).

In terms of observational evidence, Yan et al. (2019) revealed that fog days in polluted regions of East China have decreased since the 1990s. Through quantitative analysis, the promoting effects of aerosols are weakening, while the suppressing effects of urbanization are enhancing and dominantly cause this decrease. Sachweh and Koepke (1995) also claimed that the hindering effects of urbanization outweigh the promoting effects of aerosols on fog in southern Germany. Additionally, satellite images present discernible fog holes above urban areas (Fig. 3) (Lee, 1987; Sachweh and Koepke, 1995). Therefore, these observational evidence support the model results that the promoting effect of aerosols is counteracted by the hindering effect of urbanization. We believe that the results can also be applied to other cities in China because these cities commonly witness strong UHI, UDI and severe air pollution.

4 Conclusions

A dense radiation fog event occurred in East China from 02 to 03 January 2017. Satellite images show that fog holes occur over urban areas, demonstrating the remarkable effects of urbanization and air pollution on fog. Hence, the mechanism is investigated by the WRF-Chem model. The model well captures the spatial coverage and temporal evolution of the fog. Furthermore, the separate and combined effects of urbanization (refers to UHI and UDI) and air pollution (refers to aerosols) on fog (indicated by the LWC) are revealed, and the extents of these effects are quantitatively determined. Results show that:

Urbanization redistributes the LWC profile by the UHI, UDI effect and updrafts. The updrafts may be caused by surface

https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-2019-1045
Preprint. Discussion started: 6 January 2020

roughness and extra warming. The UHI and UDI suppress low-level fog, delay its formation by 3 h, and advance its dissipation by 1.5 h. However, the upper-level fog could be enhanced due to the updraft-induced adiabatic cooling and vapour flux convergence. Urbanization reduces the LWP most of the time, and this reduction in the daytime can explain why fog holes are present above urban areas on satellite images.

Aerosols promote fog mainly by changing microphysical properties. The increasing emissions (aerosol concentration) produce more CCN and fog droplets, which decreases R_e and inhibits sedimentation, thus leading to a higher LWC. Further sensitivity experiments show that the current pollution level in China is still below the transition point of the boomerang pattern that suppresses fog. The macroscopic properties such as fog top and lifetime remain nearly unchanged.

The role of urbanization far overweighs that of aerosols. Therefore, when they act together, the urbanization effect is dominant, and the aerosol effect is indiscernible. Budget analysis of LWC shows that increasing aerosols influence various physical processes to a lesser extent, while urbanization influences these processes to a larger extent, eventually leading to a substantial LWC change in urban development condition (urbanization and aerosols). In this condition, comparisons among various physical processes reveal that microphysics dominates the change in LWC, and condensation/evaporation dominates the change in microphysical tendency. This result highlights the importance of condensation/evaporation process in modulating the LWC profile and fog structure.

Mechanism analysis and the observational evidence support our key finding that urbanization influences fog to a much larger extent than do aerosol pollution. Therefore, we believe our results are reasonable and robust in radiation fog events without strong synoptic forcings, and the results can also be applied to other cities in China due to the similar urban development patterns. This study facilitates a better understanding of how anthropogenic activities affect the natural environment, fog (cloud) physics and aerosol-cloud interactions near the surface. We can also infer the future change of fog occurrence. Under the traditional urban development pattern, i.e., urbanization keeps developing and air quality keeps deteriorating, urban fog occurrence will be further reduced.

Code and data availability. Some of the data repositories have been listed in Sect. 2. The other data, model outputs and codes can be accessed by contacting Bin Zhu via binzhu@nuist.edu.cn.

Author contributions. SY performed the model simulation, data analysis and manuscript writing. BZ proposed the idea, supervised this work and revised the manuscript. YH provided the observation data at the SX site. JZ processed the observation data. HK offered helps to the model simulation. CL and TZ also contributed to the manuscript revision.

https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-2019-1045
Preprint. Discussion started: 6 January 2020

Competing interests. The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgments. We are grateful to the High Performance Computing Center of Nanjing University of Information Science and Technology for doing the numerical calculations in this work on its blade cluster system. We thank American Journal Experts (AJE) for the English language editing.

Financial support. This work is supported by the National Key Research and Development Program (2016YFA0602003) and the National Natural Science Foundation of China (91544229, 41575148, 41605091).

References

Abdul-Razzak, H. and Ghan, S. J.: A parameterization of aerosol activation 3. Sectional representation, J. Geophys. Res., 107, AAC-1-AAC 1-6, https://doi.org/10.1029/2001jd000483, 2002.

Bott, A.: On the influence of the physico-chemical properties of aerosols on the life cycle of radiation fogs, J. Aerosol. Sci., 21, 1–31, https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00119960, 1991.

Chapman, E. G., Gustafson, W. I., Easter, R. C., Barnard, J. C., Ghan, S. J., and Pekour, M. S.: Coupling aerosol-cloud-radiative processes in the WRF-Chem model: Investigating the radiative impact of elevated point sources, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9, 945–964, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-9-945-2009, 2009.

Chen, Y. C., Christensen, M. W., Xue, L., Sorooshian, A., Stephens, G. L., Rasmussen, R. M., and Seinfeld, J. H.: Occurrence of lower cloud albedo in ship tracks, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 12, 8223–8235, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-12-8223-2012, 2012.

Di Vittorio, A. V. and Emery, W. J.: An automated, dynamic threshold cloud-masking algorithm for daytime AVHRR images over land, IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sensing, 40, 1682-1694, https://doi.org/10.1109/TGRS.2002.802455, 2002.

Ding, A. J., Huang, X., Nie, W., Sun, J. N., Kerminen, V. ‐M., Petäjä, T., Su, H., Cheng, Y. F., Yang, X. ‐Q., Wang, M. H., Chi, X. G., Wang, J. P., Virkkula, A., Guo, W. D., Yuan, J., Wang, S. Y., Zhang, R. J., Wu, Y. F., Song, Y., Zhu, T., Zilitinkevich, S., Kulmala, M., and Fu, C. B.: Enhanced haze pollution by black carbon in megacities in China, Geophys. Res. Lett., 43, 2873–2879, https://doi.org/10.1002/2016gl067745, 2016.

Ding, Q., Sun, J., Huang, X., Ding, A., Zou, J., Yang, X., and Fu, C.: Impacts of black carbon on the formation of advection–radiation fog during a haze pollution episode in eastern China, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 19, 7759–7774, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-19-7759-2019, 2019.

Fan, J., Rosenfeld, D., Zhang, Y., Giangrande, S. E., Li, Z., and Machado, L. A. T.: Substantial convection and precipitation enhancements by ultrafine aerosol particles, Science, 359, 411–418, https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aan8461, 2018.

Fan, J., Wang, Y., Rosenfeld, D., and Liu, X.: Review of Aerosol–Cloud Interactions: Mechanisms, Significance, and Challenges, J. Atmos. Sci., 73, 4221–4252, https://doi.org/10.1175/JAS-D-16-0037.1, 2016.

Fast, J. D., Gustafson, W. I., Easter, R. C., Zaveri, R. A., Barnard, J. C., Chapman, E. G., Grell, G. A., and Peckham, S. E.: Evolution of ozone, particulates, and aerosol direct radiative forcing in the vicinity of Houston using a fully coupled meteorology-chemistry-aerosol model, J. Geophys. Res., 111, https://doi.org/10.1029/2005jd006721, 2006.

https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-2019-1045
Preprint. Discussion started: 6 January 2020

Goren, T. and Rosenfeld, D.: Satellite observations of ship emission induced transitions from broken to closed cell marine stratocumulus over large areas, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 117, -, https://doi.org/10.1029/2012JD017981, 2012.

Gu, Y., Kusaka, H., van Doan, Q., and Tan, J.: Impacts of urban expansion on fog types in Shanghai, China: Numerical experiments by WRF model, Atmos. Res., 220, 57–74, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosres.2018.12.026, 2019.

Gultepe, I., Tardif, R., Michaelides, S. C., Cermak, J., Bott, A., Bendix, J., Müller, M. D., Pagowski, M., Hansen, B., Ellrod, G., Jacobs, W., Toth, G., and Cober, S. G.: Fog Research: A Review of Past Achievements and Future Perspectives, Pure Appl. Geophys., 164, 1121–1159, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00024-007-0211-x, 2007.

Gultepe, I., Müller, M. D., and Boybeyi, Z.: A New Visibility Parameterization for Warm-Fog Applications in Numerical Weather Prediction Models, J. Appl. Meteorol. Climatol., 45, 1469–1480, https://doi.org/10.1175/jam2423.1, 2006.

Gultepe, I., Milbrandt, J. A., and Zhou, B.: Marine fog: A review on microphysics and visibility prediction, in: Koračin D., Dorman C. (eds) Marine Fog: Challenges and Advancements in Observations, Modeling, and Forecasting, Springer, Cham, 50 pp., 2017.

Guo, T., Zhu, B., Kang, Z., Gui, H., and Kang, H.: Spatial and temporal distribution characteristic of fog days and haze days from 1960~2012 and impact factors over the Yangtze River Delta Region, China Environmental Science, 36, 961 – 969, https://doi.org/10.3969/j.issn.1000-6923.2016.04.001, 2016. [in Chinese]

IPCC: Climate change 2013: The physical science basis, Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA, 1585 pp., 2013.

Jia, X. and Guo X.: Impacts of Anthropogenic Atmospheric Pollutant on Formation and Development of a Winter Heavy Fog Event, Chinese Journal of Atmospheric Sciences, 36, 995 – 1008, https://doi.org/10.3878/j.issn.1006-9895.2012.11200, 2012. [in Chinese]

Jia, X. and Guo, X.: Impacts of Secondary Aerosols on a Persistent Fog Event in Northern China, Atmospheric and Oceanic Science Letters, 5, 401–407, https://doi.org/10.1080/16742834.2012.11447022, 2015.

Jia, X., Quan, J., Zheng, Z., Liu, X., Liu, Q., He, H., and Liu, Y.: Impacts of anthropogenic aerosols on fog in North China Plain, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 124, 252–265, https://doi.org/10.1029/2018jd029437, 2018.

Kang, H., Zhu, B., Zhu, T., Sun, J., and Ou, J.: Impact of Megacity Shanghai on the Urban Heat-Island Effects over the Downstream City Kunshan, Bound.-Layer Meteor., 152, 411–426, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10546-014-9927-1, 2014.

Khain, A. P. and Pinsky, M.: Modeling: A Powerful Tool for Cloud Investigation, in: Physical processes in clouds and cloud modeling, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA, 98 pp., 2018.

Koren, I., Martins, J. V., Remer, L. A., and Afargan, H.: Smoke invigoration versus inhibition of clouds over the Amazon, Science, 321, 946–949, https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1159185, 2008.

Koschmieder, H.: Therie der horizontalen sichtweite, Beitr Phys.d.freien Atm, 12, 171–181, 1924.

Kunkel, B. A.: Parameterization of Droplet Terminal Velocity and Extinction Coefficient in Fog Models, J. Appl. Meteorol., 23, 34–41, https:/doi.org/10.1175/1520-0450(1984)023<0034:PODTVA>2.0.CO;2, 1983

LaDochy, S.: The Disappearance of Dense Fog in Los Angeles: Another Urban Impact?, Phys. Geogr., 26, 177–191, https://doi.org/10.2747/0272-3646.26.3.177, 2005.

Lee, T. F.: Urban clear islands in California central valley fog, Mon. Weather Rev., 115, 1794–1796, https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0493(1987)1152.0.CO;2, 1987.

Leng, C., Zhang, Q., Zhang, D., Xu, C., Cheng, T., Zhang, R., Tao, J., Chen, J., Zha, S., and Zhang, Y.: Variations of cloud condensation nuclei (CCN) and aerosol activity during fog-haze episode: a case study from Shanghai, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 14, 12499–12512, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-14-12499-2014, 2014.

Li, Y., Cao, L., Gao, S., and Luo, B.: The Current Stage and Development of MICAPS, Meteorological Monthly, 36, 50-55, 2010. [in Chinese]

Li, Z., Guo, J., Ding, A., Liao, H., Liu, J., Sun, Y., Wang, T., Xue, H., Zhang, H., and Zhu, B.: Aerosol and boundary-layer interactions and impact on air quality, Natl. Sci. Rev., 4, 810–833, https://doi.org/10.1093/nsr/nwx117, 2017.

Li, Z., Lau, W. K. M., Ramanathan, V., Wu, G., Ding, Y., Manoj, M. G., Liu, J., Qian, Y., Li, J., Zhou, T., Fan, J., Rosenfeld, D., Ming, Y., Wang, Y., Huang, J., Wang, B., Xu, X., Lee, S. S., Cribb, M., Zhang, F., Yang, X., Zhao, C., Takemura, T., Wang, K., Xia, X., Yin, Y., Zhang, H., Guo, J., Zhai, P. M., Sugimoto, N., Babu, S. S., and Brasseur, G. P.: Aerosol and monsoon climate interactions over Asia, Rev. Geophys., 54, 866–929, https://doi.org/10.1002/2015RG000500, 2016.

Li, Z., Yang, J., Shi, C., and Pu, M.: Urbanization Effects on Fog in China: Field Research and Modeling, Pure Appl. Geophys., 169, 927–939, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00024-011-0356-5, 2011.

Maalick, Z., Kühn, T., Korhonen, H., Kokkola, H., Laaksonen, A., and Romakkaniemi, S.: Effect of aerosol concentration and absorbing aerosol on the radiation fog life cycle, Atmos. Environ., 133, 26–33, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2016.03.018, 2016.

Morrison, H., Curry, J. A., and Khvorostyanov, V. I.: A new double-moment microphysics parameterization for application in cloud and climate models. Part I: Description, J. Atmos. Sci., 62, 1665–1677, https://doi.org/10.1175/JAS3446.1, 2005.

Naira Chaouch, Marouane Temimi, Michael Weston, and Hosni Ghedira: Sensitivity of the meteorological model WRF-ARW to planetary boundary layer schemes during fog conditions in a coastal arid region, Atmos. Res., 187, 106–127, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosres.2016.12.009, available at: http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0169809516307116, 2017.

Niu, F., Li, Z., Li, C., Lee, K., and Wang, M.: Increase of wintertime fog in China: Potential impacts of weakening of the Eastern Asian monsoon circulation and increasing aerosol loading, J. Geophys. Res., 115, https://doi.org/10.1029/2009jd013484, 2010a.

Niu, S., Lu, C., Yu, H., Zhao, L., and Lü, J.: Fog research in China: An overview, Adv. Atmos. Sci., 27, 639–662, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00376-009-8174-8, 2010b.

Rangognio, J.: Influence of aerosols on the formation and development of radiation fog, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9, 17963–18019, https://doi.org/10.5194/acpd-9-17963-2009, 2009.

Rosenfeld, D., Meinrat O. Andreae, Asmi, A., Chin, M., and Johannes Quaas: Global observations of aerosol-cloud-precipitation-climate interactions, Rev. Geophys., 52, 750–808, https://doi.org/10.1002/2013RG000441, 2014.

Rosenfeld, D., Lohmann, U., Raga, G. B., O'Dowd, C. D., Kulmala, M., Fuzzi, S., Reissell, A., and Andreae, M. O.: Flood or drought: how do aerosols affect precipitation?, Science, 321, 1309–1313, https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1160606, 2008.

Rozoff, C. M., Cotton, W. R., and Adegoke, J. O.: Simulation of St. Louis, Missouri, Land Use Impacts on Thunderstorms, J. Appl. Meteorol., 42, 716–738, https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0450(2003)042<0716:SOSLML>2.0.CO;2, 2003.

Sachweh, M. and Koepke, P.: Radiation fog and urban climate, Geophys. Res. Lett., 22, 1073–1076, https://doi.org/10.1029/95gl00907, 1995.

Shepherd, J. M.: A Review of Current Investigations of Urban-Induced Rainfall and Recommendations for the Future, Earth Interact., 9, 1-27, https://doi.org/10.1175/ei156.1, 2005.

Shi, C., Roth, M., Zhang, H., and Li, Z.: Impacts of urbanization on long-term fog variation in Anhui Province, China, Atmos. Environ., 42, 8484–8492, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2008.08.002, 2008.

Stolaki, S., Haeffelin, M., Lac, C., Dupont, J. C., Elias, T., and Masson, V.: Influence of aerosols on the life cycle of a radiation fog event. A numerical and observational study, Atmos. Res., 151, 146–161, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosres.2014.04.013, 2015.

Tao, W. K., Chen, J. P., Li, Z., Wang, C., and Zhang, C.: Impact of aerosols on convective clouds and precipitation, Rev. Geophys., 50, 6837, https://doi.org/10.1029/2011RG000369, 2012.

Twomey, S. A.: The Influence of Pollution on the Shortwave Albedo of Clouds, J. Atmos. Sci., 34, 1149–1154, https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0469(1977)034<1149:tiopot>2.0.co;2, 1977.

Yan, S., Zhu, B., and Kang, H.: Long-term fog variation and its impact factors over polluted regions of East China, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 124, 1741–1754, https://doi.org/10.1029/2018JD029389, 2019.

Yang, Y., Hu, X., Gao, S., and Wang, Y.: Sensitivity of WRF simulations with the YSU PBL scheme to the lowest model level height for a sea fog event over the Yellow Sea, Atmos. Res., 215, 253–267, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosres.2018.09.004, 2019.

Zhang, N. and Ma, X.: Analysis of the June 2018 Atmospheric Circulation and Weather, Meteorological Monthly, 43, 508 – 512, https://doi.org/10.7519/j.issn.1000-0526.2017.04.014, 2017. [in Chinese]

Zhang, X., Musson-Genon, L., Dupont, E., Milliez, M., and Carissimo, B.: On the Influence of a Simple Microphysics Parametrization on Radiation Fog Modelling: A Case Study During ParisFog, Bound.-Layer Meteor., 151, 293–315, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10546-013-9894-y, 2014.

Zhu, B. and Guo, T.: Review of the Impact of Air Pollution on Fog, Advances in Meteorological Science and Technology, 6, 56 – 63, https://doi.org/10.3969/j.issn.2095-1973.2016.02.006, 2016. [in Chinese]

Zhu, J., Zhu, B., Huang, Y., An, J., and Xu, J.: PM2.5 vertical variation during a fog episode in a rural area of the Yangtze River Delta, China, Sci. Total. Environ., 685, 555–563, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.05.319, 2019.

https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-2019-1045
Preprint. Discussion started: 6 January 2020

Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics Discussions

Table 1. Summary of major parameterization schemes.

Scheme	Option
Boundary layer	YSU
Longwave radiation	RRTM
Shortwave radiation	New Goddard
Microphysics	Morrison
Surface layer	MM5 similarity
Land surface	Noah
Urban surface	Urban canopy model
Gas phase chemistry	CBMZ
Aerosol chemistry	MOSAIC (4-bin)
Aerosol-cloud-radiation interactions	All turned on
Aerosol activation	Abdul-Razzak and Ghan (2002)

https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-2019-1045
Preprint. Discussion started: 6 January 2020

Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics Discussions

Table 2. Settings of sensitive experiments. "N" represents no changes.

Case name	Description	Underlying surface	Anthropogenic emission
u0e0	base condition	N	N
u3e0	urbanization condition	the 11x13 grid centered on SX is replaced by urban surface	N
u0e3	polluted condition	N	the 11x13 grid centered on SX is replaced by the emission of Hefei downtown
u3e3	urbanization and polluted condition	same as u3e0	same as u0e3

Effect	Description
u3e0-u0e0	urbanization effect
u0e3-u0e0	aerosol effect
u3e3-u0e0	urbanization and aerosol effect

https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-2019-1045
Preprint. Discussion started: 6 January 2020

Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics
Discussions



Figure 1. (a) The WRF domain overlaid with terrain height. (b) The land use distribution of domain d02. The green dot is Hefei, the capital of Anhui Province. The two red dots are the SX site. The land use and emissions of the 22 km × 26 km black box in the center of (b) will be altered in the sensitivity experiments.

https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-2019-1045
Preprint. Discussion started: 6 January 2020



Figure 2. The performance of the simulated fog zone at 08:00 03 January. (a) Himawari 8 RGB composite cloud image overlaid with the MICAPS observation sites (light red dots) at which fog was observed (relative humidity > 90 % and VIS < 1 km). (b) Simulated LWP distribution. Only LWC below 1500 m are integrated. The blue dots are the SX site.

https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-2019-1045
Preprint. Discussion started: 6 January 2020

Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics
Discussions



Figure 3. Two sub-regions (a and b) with obvious fog holes on the Himawari 8 image at 11:00 03 January. The fog zone, which is represented by albedo > 0.45 (at 0.64 µm) and brightness temperature > 266 K (at 12.4 µm) (Di Vittorio et al., 2002), is marked with cold colours (blue or cyan). The urban areas are marked with blue or red. The red and white pixels surrounded or semi-surrounded by cold colours are fog holes, and among these pixels, the red pixels indicate the fog holes over urban areas.



Figure 4. The performance of the simulated meteorological parameters at the SX site. (a) VIS. (b) air temperature. (c) 10-minute average wind speed. (d) Relative humidity (RH). The red dotted lines represent the model results, and the black lines are the observations. The fog period (VIS < 1 km and RH > 90 %) is shaded with light yellow.

https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-2019-1045
Preprint. Discussion started: 6 January 2020

Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics Discussions



Figure 5. Time-height distribution of the LWC (g kg^{-1}) in (a) u0e0 and (b) u3e0, and (c) is the urbanization effect (u3e0 minus u0e0) on LWC. The two white curves in (c) are the LWP. The black contour lines in (c) are the difference of vertical velocity (cm s^{-1}) (u3e0 minus u0e0). Only the lines after 00:00 are shown for clarity.

https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-2019-1045
Preprint. Discussion started: 6 January 2020



Figure 6. Profiles of the LWC (first row), temperature (Tem) (f, g, j) and vertical vapour flux divergence (VFD) (h, i) (g h^{-1} $m^{-2}\cdot hpa^{-1}$) in u0e0 and u3e0 at different times.

https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-2019-1045
Preprint. Discussion started: 6 January 2020



Figure 7. Similar to Fig. 5, but for the aerosol effect (u0e3 minus u0e0).

https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-2019-1045
Preprint. Discussion started: 6 January 2020

Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics
Discussions
Open Access
EGU



Figure 8. Relationships of the microphysical parameters (LWC, N_d, R_e and LWP) with emission level. These parameters are the time-height averages (time average for the LWP), taking only non-zero values into consideration.

https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-2019-1045
Preprint. Discussion started: 6 January 2020



Figure 9. Similar to Fig. 5, but for the combined effect of urbanization and aerosols (u3e3 minus u0e0).

https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-2019-1045
Preprint. Discussion started: 6 January 2020



Figure 10. The combined effect of urbanization and aerosols (u3e3 minus u0e0) on various items of the LWC budget. The three rows are the 1-hour accumulated tendencies (g kg^{-1}) of the microphysical, boundary layer, and advection processes.

Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics
Open Access
EGU
Discussions



Figure 11. The combined effect of urbanization and aerosols (u3e3 minus u0e0) on various items of the microphysical tendency. The three rows are the 1-hour accumulated tendencies (g kg^{-1}) of the microphysical, condensation/evaporation, and sedimentation processes.