Our point-by-point responses are provided below. The comments are italicized.

Response to Editor

*Editor*: Many thanks for your careful revision. Please note that Referee #1 has a few more comments that should be addressed in a further revision. I also added a few minor/technical comments below that should be considered. When all of these comments are addressed satisfactorily, I will be happy to accept your manuscript for publication in ACP.

**Response**: We would like to thank the editor for your detailed and constructive comments. Please see our point-by-point reply below.

*Editor*: l. 44: remove or specify ‘all possible factors’

**Response**: Accepted. We removed ‘all possible factors’ at line 44.

*Editor*: l. 57-64: It seems that this text may have been copied form another source as the reference formatting is not according to ACP guidelines. 1) Reword the text if it has been used previously, 2) Add correct references instead of numbers (1) – (5)

**Response**: Accepted. Revised at line 57-63.

*Revision*: (Page 2, Line 57-63) “CBM-Z (Carbon Bond Mechanism version Z) chemical mechanism (Zaveri and Peters, 1999) and MOSAIC (Model for Simulating Aerosol Interactions and Chemistry) aerosol module (Zaveri et al., 2008) were used for modeling gas phase photochemistry and aerosol processes (including nucleation, coagulation, condensation and thermodynamic equilibrium), respectively. Dry deposition for trace gases and aerosols was treated following the methods of Wesely (1989) and Binkowski and Shankar (1995), respectively. Wet deposition in the model includes both in-cloud and below-cloud scavenging. The below-cloud scavenging of aerosols and trace gases was calculated based on the methods of Easter et al. (2004).”

*Editor*: l. 61: ‘below-cloud scavenging’

**Response**: Accepted. Revised at line 62.

*Editor*: Section 2.3: 1) How was the presence of organics and their related water uptake accounted for? I assume that they are included in ISORROPIA; however, this and the possible bias should be mentioned; 2) What is the reasoning for the large variation of air temperature of 20°C, i.e. +/- to measured(?) temperature? Was this variation in the model based on measured temperature variability?

**Response**: Organic species are not considered in the thermodynamic calculations in
ISORROPIA-II, because the impact of organic species on aerosol thermodynamics is still rather poorly understood (Fountoukis and Nenes, 2007). Pye et al. (2018) found that the AIOMFAC (Aerosol Inorganic–Organic Mixtures Functional groups Activity Coefficients) based equilibrium model considering inorganic-organic interactions was consistent with ISORROPIA in terms of NH$_3$ gas-particle partitioning. Metzger et al. (2006) found that the ammonium partitioning ratio (the concentration ratio of ammonium to the total of ammonia and ammonium) calculated by ISORROPIA was about 15% lower than that calculated by EqsAM2 (Equilibrium Simplified Aerosol Model) considering organic acids. Thus, the influence of organic species on the NH$_3$ gas-particle partitioning might be limited and will not have a significant impact on the results of this study. However, these two studies were conducted in the United States. The effects of organic species on aerosol thermodynamics in the IGP need further research in the future. As you suggested, we discussed the possible bias related to organics in section 4.

The variation of air temperature was not based on measured temperature variability. We just selected a sufficiently large variation range of air temperature to investigate the sensitivity of NH$_3$ total columns to air temperature.

**Revision:** (Page 9, Line 256-264) “Besides, organic species are not considered in the thermodynamic calculations in this study, because the impact of organic species on aerosol thermodynamics is still rather poorly understood (Zaveri et al., 2008; Fountoukis and Nenes, 2007). Pye et al. (2018) found that the AIOMFAC (Aerosol Inorganic–Organic Mixtures Functional groups Activity Coefficients) based equilibrium model considering inorganic-organic interactions was consistent with ISORROPIA in terms of NH$_3$ gas-particle partitioning. Metzger et al. (2006) found that the ε(NH$_4^+$) calculated by ISORROPIA was about 15% lower than that calculated by EqsAM2 (Equilibrium Simplified Aerosol Model) considering organic acids. Thus, the influence of organic species on the NH$_3$ gas-particle partitioning might be limited and will not have a significant impact on the results of this study. However, these two studies were conducted in the United States. The effects of organic species on aerosol thermodynamics in the IGP need further research in the future.”

**Editor:** l. 98: replace ‘was firstly’ by ‘were firstly’

**Response:** Accepted. Revised at line 99.

**Editor:** l. 105: replace ‘was 9.9 Tg’ by ‘were 9.9 Tg’

**Response:** Accepted. Revised at line 106.
**Editor:** l. 116; 117; 121: *References seem incomplete (2012a) (2012b)*

**Response:** Accepted. Revised at line 117, 118 and 122.

**Editor:** l. 141: *‘richness’ seems unusual word here. Do you mean ‘excess’?*

**Response:** Accepted. We replaced ‘richness’ by ‘excess’ at line 142.

**Editor:** l. 217: *spell out ABL*

**Response:** Accepted. Revised at line 216 and 218.
Response to Referee #1

Referee: This study aims to explore the reasons behind the elevated levels of ammonia observed over the Indo-Gangetic Plain (IGP). This is an important and scientifically relevant question, particularly since the ammonia burden has significant implications on inorganic aerosol concentrations over the region. The authors use the WRF-Chem model to investigate the physics and thermodynamics underlying the atmospheric fate of NH₃ and the resulting analysis provides useful insights into some of the factors driving the high concentrations over the region. Specifically, the authors suggest that despite other regions (such as the North China Plain) having higher ammonia emissions, the IGP has a higher burden of ammonia primarily due to:

1) The lower amounts of NOx and SO2 emissions over the region compared to the NCP (limiting aerosol formation)
2) The terrain and meteorology of the region that result in weak horizontal advection and the accumulation of NH₃

Response: We would like to thank the referee for your detailed and constructive comments. Please see our point-by-point reply below.

Referee: While the physical transport and meteorology simulated by the model is validated and constrained, the ammonia simulation and the underlying processes are not explicitly validated. The authors contend that this is due to the lack of available data in the region. However, as a result, while the study provides useful sensitivity analyses, the conclusions are largely model-driven estimations. With this in mind, the study could be strengthened by a more detailed discussion of the underlying uncertainties associated with the different model processes that drive the pathways that the authors identify as being most important to the elevated ammonia burden. The authors could also add a more specific discussion about future work that might observationally validate these conclusions and improve model NH₃ representation over this region.

Response: Accepted. We discussed the uncertainties associated with the different model processes and the observation work needed in the future in section 4.

Revision: (Page 9, Line 253-266) “The gas-particle partitioning plays an important role in influencing NH₃ columns. The deviation of the simulated sulfate and nitrate will cause a deviation in the simulated NH₃ by affecting NH₃ gas-particle partitioning. Thus, in addition to the NH₃ and NH₄⁺, the simulated concentrations of sulfate and nitrate are also necessary to be constrained using field observations in the future. Besides, organic species are not considered in the thermodynamic calculations in this study, because the impact of organic species on aerosol thermodynamics is still rather poorly understood (Zaveri et al., 2008; Fountoukis and Nenes, 2007). Pye et al. (2018) found that the AIOMFAC (Aerosol Inorganic–Organic Mixtures Functional groups Activity Coefficients) based equilibrium model considering inorganic-organic interactions was consistent with
ISORROPIA in terms of NH$_3$ gas-particle partitioning. Metzger et al. (2006) found that the ε(NH$_4^+$) calculated by ISORROPIA was about 15% lower than that calculated by EQSAM2 (Equilibrium Simplified Aerosol Model) considering organic acids. Thus, the influence of organic species on the NH$_3$ gas-particle partitioning might be limited and will not have a significant impact on the results of this study. However, these two studies were conducted in the United States. The effects of organic species on aerosol thermodynamics in the IGP need further research in the future. Additionally, dry and wet deposition also has an important influence on NH$_3$ columns. Field observations of the dry and wet deposition of NH$_3$ and NH$_4^+$ in the IGP are needed to constrain model simulations in the future.”

**Referee:** I also suggest the removal of the statement on line 44 - “This is the first study to analyze the causes of high NH$_3$ loading over the IGP considering all possible factors.” – since the study does not exhaustively evaluate various important processes (such as deposition, regional atmospheric chemistry, etc.) that could play an important role.

**Response:** Accepted. We removed ‘all possible factors’ at line 44.
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Abstract. Satellite observations show a global maximum in ammonia (NH$_3$) over the Indo-Gangetic Plain (IGP), with a peak from June to August. However, it has never been explained explicitly. In this study, we investigated the causes of high NH$_3$ loading over the IGP during pre-monsoon and monsoon seasons using WRF-Chem (Weather Research and Forecasting model coupled to chemistry). IGP has relatively high NH$_3$ emission fluxes (0.4 t km$^{-2}$ month$^{-1}$) due to intensive agricultural activities and high air temperature from June to August. Additionally, low sulfur dioxide (SO$_2$) and nitrogen oxides (NO$_x$) emissions and high air temperature limit the gas-to-particle conversion of NH$_3$, particularly for ammonium nitrate formation. Moreover, the barrier effects of the Himalayas in combination with the surface convergence weaken the horizontal diffusion of NH$_3$. The high NH$_3$ loading over the IGP mainly results from the low gas-to-particle partitioning of NH$_3$ caused by low SO$_2$ and NO$_x$ emissions. It contrasts to those in the North China Plain, where high SO$_2$ and NO$_x$ emissions promote the conversion of gaseous NH$_3$ into particulate ammonium.

1 Introduction

Ammonia (NH$_3$) has multiple environmental implications. As the only alkaline gas in the atmosphere, it reacts with sulfuric acid (H$_2$SO$_4$) or nitric acid (HNO$_3$) to produce ammonium (NH$_4^+$) containing aerosols (Seinfeld and Pandis, 2006), which can affect Earth’s radiative balance (Abbatt et al., 2006; Adams et al., 2001) and endanger public health (Pope et al., 2002; Stokstad, 2014). In addition, NH$_3$ is the main form of reactive nitrogen in the environment (Reis et al., 2009), the deposition of ammonia and ammonium can cause acidification of terrestrial ecosystems and eutrophication of water bodies (Paerl et al., 2014).

Satellite observations (Van Damme et al., 2018; Warner et al., 2016) and ground-based measurements (Carmichael et al., 2003) have revealed that the Indo-Gangetic Plain (IGP) has the global maximum NH$_3$ loading, particularly from June to August. Previous studies have suggested that the high NH$_3$ loading over the IGP is caused by high NH$_3$ emissions from intensive agricultural activities (Clarisse et al., 2009; Van Damme et al., 2015b). Interestingly, satellite measurements show that the total columns of NH$_3$ over the IGP are much higher than those over the North China Plain (NCP), which has higher
NH₃ emissions fluxes (www.meicmodel.org/dataset-mix). Therefore, emissions alone might not be enough to explain the high NH₃ loading over the IGP.

Apart from dry deposition and wet removal by precipitation, another main sink for NH₃ is scavenging by acidic species to form particulate NH₄⁺. H₂SO₄ and HNO₃ resulting from the oxidation of sulfur dioxide (SO₂) and nitrogen oxides (NOₓ) are major acidic species in the atmosphere. Previous studies have confirmed that reduced SO₂ and NOₓ emissions are key factors driving the increase in NH₃ concentration (Liu et al., 2018; Yu et al., 2018; Warner et al., 2017). In addition, meteorological conditions (including wind speed, precipitation, relative humidity and air temperature) also influence NH₃ loading through various chemical and physical processes. These factors may be causing the high NH₃ loading over the IGP, but these assumptions have not been verified in a modeling study.

In this study, we use a regional air quality model to investigate the causes of high NH₃ loading over the IGP during pre-monsoon and monsoon seasons. This is the first study to analyze the causes of high NH₃ loading over the IGP. The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. The air quality model and observational data are described in sect. 2. Section 3 analyzes the influences of several factors (including emissions, chemical conversion, and meteorological conditions) on NH₃ loading. Among them, SO₂ and NOₓ emissions over the IGP are compared to those over the NCP to clearly illustrate their impacts on NH₃ loading. Section 4 provides concluding remarks.

2 Methods

2.1 WRF-Chem model and emissions inventory

WRF-Chem (Fast et al., 2006; Grell et al., 2005) version 3.6.1 was applied to investigate the cause of the high NH₃ loading over the IGP during pre-monsoon and monsoon seasons. The simulation was performed on a domain with 30 km horizontal resolution covering the northern part of India and parts of Pakistan, Nepal, China, and Bangladesh with 120 × 90 grid cells. There were 23 vertical levels from the surface to the top pressure of 50 hPa. The simulations were conducted from 25 May to 31 August 2010 and the first 7 days (25-31 May) were treated as the spin-up period. June was considered pre-monsoon season. July to August was considered monsoon season. The initial meteorological and boundary conditions were obtained from the National Centers for Environmental Prediction Final Analysis with a 6 h temporal resolution. CBM-Z (Carbon Bond Mechanism version Z) chemical mechanism (Zaveri and Peters, 1999) and MOSAIC (Model for Simulating Aerosol Interactions and Chemistry) aerosol module (Zaveri et al., 2008) were used for modeling gas phase photochemistry and aerosol processes (including nucleation, coagulation, condensation and thermodynamic equilibrium), respectively. Dry deposition for trace gases and aerosols was treated following the methods of Wesely (1989) and Binkowski and Shankar (1995), respectively. Wet deposition in the model includes both in-cloud and below-cloud scavenging. The below-cloud scavenging of aerosols and trace gases was calculated based on the methods of Easter et al. (2004). More model configurations are described in Table S1.
Anthropogenic emissions were obtained from the MIX inventory (Li et al., 2017), an Asian anthropogenic emissions inventory that harmonizes several local inventories using a mosaic approach. MIX uses Regional Emissions Inventory in Asia (REAS2, version 2) (Kurokawa et al., 2013) for NH₃ emissions in India.

### 2.2 Observational dataset

Atmospheric total columns of NH₃ were derived from measurements of an Infrared Atmospheric Sounding Interferometer (IASI) on board MetOp-A ([https://iasi.aeris-data.fr/NH3/](https://iasi.aeris-data.fr/NH3/)). Metop-A was launched in 2006 in a Sun-synchronous orbit with a mean local solar overpass time of 9:30 a.m. and 9:30 p.m. Only the daytime measurements have been used here, because the nighttime measurements had larger relative errors caused by the general lower thermal contrast for the nighttime overpass (Van Damme et al., 2014). It has been found that the IASI samples at the overpass time could represent the entire day, and IASI NH₃ observations are in fair agreement with the available ground-based and airborne data sets around the world (Dammers et al., 2016; Van Damme et al., 2015a). However, due to the lack of publicly available ammonia observation data sets in the IGP, previous studies have not evaluated IASI NH₃ in the IGP. This work used the ANNI-NH3-v2.2R-I retrieval product, which relied on ERA-Interim reanalysis for its meteorological inputs (Van Damme et al., 2017). The mean NH₃ column concentrations over East Asia on a 0.25° × 0.25° grid from June to August 2010 have been determined based on the relative error weighting mean method (Van Damme et al., 2014). SO₂ columns from June to August 2010 were derived from the Level-3 Aura/OMI Global SO₂ Data Products (OMSO2e) (Krotkov et al., 2015). Tropospheric NO₂ columns from Ozone Monitoring Instrument (OMI) aboard NASA Aura satellite were used from June to August 2010 ([http://www.temis.nl/airpollution/no2col/no2regioomimonth_qa.php](http://www.temis.nl/airpollution/no2col/no2regioomimonth_qa.php)).

Meteorological data at 38 sites over northern India obtained from the National Climate Data Center ([https://gis.ncdc.noaa.gov/maps/ncei/cdo/hourly](https://gis.ncdc.noaa.gov/maps/ncei/cdo/hourly)) were used to evaluate the accuracy of meteorological simulations. The evaluated variables included hourly wind speed at 10 m (WS10), wind direction at 10 m (WD10), relative humidity at 2 m (RH2) and temperature at 2 m (T2). The statistical parameters included the mean bias (MB), normalized mean bias (NMB), root mean square error (RMSE) and correlation coefficient (R). In addition, air temperature at 21 sites over the NCP obtained from the National Climate Data Center were also used in this work.

### 2.3 ISORROPIA-II thermodynamic model

The thermodynamic equilibrium model, ISORROPIA-II (Fountoukis and Nenes, 2007), treating the thermodynamics of NH₄⁺-SO₄²⁻-NO₃⁻-K⁺-Ca²⁺-Mg²⁺-Na⁺-Cl⁻-H₂O aerosol system, was used to investigate the influence of air temperature on the NH₃ total columns. In this study, ISORROPIA-II was run in the “forward mode” and assuming particles are “metastable” with no solid precipitates. The chemical and meteorological data from WRF-Chem, including water-soluble ions (SO₄²⁻, NO₃⁻, NH₄⁺, Cl⁻, Na⁺) in PM₂.₅, gaseous precursors (NH₃, HNO₃, HCl), temperature (T) and relative humidity (RH) are used as the inputs of ISORROPIA-II. Using ISORROPIA-II, we simulated 20 scenarios. In these cases, air temperature of each layer increased or decreased by 10 °C synchronously, with the interval of 1 °C. Meanwhile, the other input parameters
remained the same. Then, we calculated the columnar $\varepsilon(NH_4^+)$ (partitioning ratios of $NH_4^+$ to total ammonia (TA, $TA = NH_3 + NH_4^+$)) in each case. The columnar $\varepsilon(NH_4^+)$ is the sum of the $\varepsilon(NH_4^+)$ of each vertical level, but each weighted by the thickness of the layer and mass concentration of TA. Sensitivity tests were firstly conducted based on the average of the entire IGP from June to August. Then, the IGP was divided equally from northwest to southeast into three regions (namely western IGP, central IGP, and eastern IGP), and the study period was divided into the pre-monsoon season (June) and the monsoon season (July to August). Sensitivity tests were conducted for the three regions under the two seasons.

3 Results

3.1 High NH$_3$ emissions

IGP is a vast stretch of fertile alluvial plain spanning the banks of the Indus and Ganges Rivers and their tributaries. The main part of the IGP is located in India. The estimated NH$_3$ emissions in India in 2010 were 9.9 Tg, which is comparable to that in China (9.8 Tg) and accounts for about 34 % of total NH$_3$ emissions in Asia (Li et al., 2017). Agriculture is the largest NH$_3$ emitter in India, accounting for about 76 % of the total NH$_3$ emissions (Li et al., 2017). Agricultural NH$_3$ emissions mainly originate from animal husbandry and fertilizer application (Bouwman et al., 1997; Streets et al., 2003). India is the second largest N-fertilizer consumer (after China) and consumes 16.5 Tg N-fertilizers (16 % of the world’s total) (FAOSTAT, 2010). In addition, there are an estimated 302 million cattle and buffalo in India (19 % of world’s total), which is more than any other country (FAOSTAT, 2010). It is estimated that cattle and buffalo account for about 80 % of NH$_3$ emissions among livestock in India (Aneja et al., 2012).

NH$_3$ emissions over the IGP was 4.3 Tg in 2010 (estimated using the MIX database), which was mainly attributed to intensive agricultural practices. The IGP is known as the food bowl of India spreading across the states of Punjab, Haryana, Uttar Pradesh, Bihar, and West Bengal (blue quadrangle in Fig. 1). The total number of cattle and buffalo in the five states was estimated to be 103 million (34 % of the national total) in 2012 (GoI, 2012b). The total amount of N-fertilizer applied in the five states was estimated to be 6.9 Tg (42 % of the national total) in 2010 (GoI, 2012a). NH$_3$ emissions over the IGP from June to August are very high with a regional mean NH$_3$ emissions flux of 0.4 t km$^{-2}$ month$^{-1}$ (estimated using MIX database for 2010). This is consistent with satellite observations, which also show a peak of NH$_3$ columns over the IGP from June to August (Van Damme et al., 2015b). The peak of NH$_3$ emissions over the IGP might be the joint result of intensive N-fertilizer applications and high temperature. IGP has two cropping cycles including summer and winter (GoI, 2012a). June to August is one of the two main sowing periods in the IGP with a large amount of N-fertilizer applied to the cropland as base fertilizer. The monthly map of N-fertilizer application amounts from Nishina et al. (2017) shows that there are two peaks in N-fertilizer application amounts over the IGP with one in May-August, the other in November-December, which is consistent with the two cropping cycles in the IGP. In addition, the air temperature is very high over the IGP with an observed regional mean value of 30.9 °C from June to August 2010. Ammonia emissions increase exponentially with
temperature (Riddick et al., 2016). The high application rate of N-fertilizer and high air temperature could cause high NH$_3$ emissions, resulting in the high NH$_3$ columns.

The spatial distribution of mean NH$_3$ total columns over East Asia from June to August 2010 is shown in Fig. 1. The NH$_3$ columns over the IGP ($7.6 \times 10^{16}$ molecules cm$^{-2}$) were about twice as large as what was observed over the NCP ($4.1 \times 10^{16}$ molecules cm$^{-2}$). The NCP is also a large agricultural region (Huang et al., 2012). The regional mean NH$_3$ emissions flux over the NCP was 0.7 t km$^{-2}$ month$^{-1}$ from June to August 2010 (estimated using the MIX database), which was about 1.8 times that of the IGP. The IGP has much higher NH$_3$ total columns (Fig. 1) compared to the NCP, but lower NH$_3$ emissions fluxes (Fig. S1a). Therefore, other factors might lead to the high NH$_3$ loading over the IGP besides high NH$_3$ emissions.

### 3.2 Low gas-to-particle conversion of NH$_3$

The emissions fluxes of SO$_2$ and NO$_x$ (both are 0.3 t km$^{-2}$ month$^{-1}$) over the IGP are only about one-fourth of that over the NCP (1.1 and 1.3 t km$^{-2}$ month$^{-1}$) (Table 1 and Fig. S1). Besides, the satellite-derived SO$_2$ and NO$_2$ columns over the IGP ($0.5$ and $2.3 \times 10^{15}$ molecules cm$^{-2}$) are also much lower than that over the NCP ($10.4$ and $8.3 \times 10^{15}$ molecules cm$^{-2}$) (Fig. S2). The relatively low SO$_2$ and NO$_x$ emissions could be an important factor causing the high NH$_3$ columns over the IGP. In this study, we used the molar ratio ($R_{\text{emis}}$) of NH$_3$ emissions fluxes ($E_A$) to the sum of twice the SO$_2$ emissions fluxes ($E_S$) and NO$_x$ emissions fluxes ($E_N$) to roughly represent the excess of NH$_3$ in the atmosphere, given by Eq. (1):

$$R_{\text{emis}} = \frac{E_A}{2 \times E_S + E_N}.$$  

(1)

The calculated $R_{\text{emis}}$ in the IGP was 1.35, which was about 2.6 times as large as that in the NCP (0.51). We performed simulations for a base case and a ‘increased SO$_2$/NO$_x$ emissions’ case to investigate the impact of SO$_2$ and NO$_x$ emissions on NH$_3$ loading. In the increased SO$_2$/NO$_x$ emissions case, the emissions of SO$_2$ and NO$_x$ increased 2.6 times to make $R_{\text{emis}}$ of the IGP equal to that of the NCP.

The simulated NH$_3$ columns in the base case are shown in Fig. 2a. It is noted that the IASI NH$_3$ columns cannot be quantitatively compared to modeled NH$_3$ columns as the IASI NH$_3$ products do not provide information on the vertical sensitivity (averaging kernels) to properly weight the model values. Nonetheless, the simulated regional mean NH$_3$ total column over the IGP from the base case of $8.8 \times 10^{16}$ molecules cm$^{-2}$ is close to the satellite-derived value ($7.6 \times 10^{16}$ molecules cm$^{-2}$), indicating that the model could generally capture the magnitude of NH$_3$ columns. Additionally, a broadly similar pattern was found in the NH$_3$ columns in the base run as in the satellite observations, both of which showed that NH$_3$ columns decrease along the IGP from northwest to southeast with the highest values in the northwestern IGP (Figs. 1 and 2a).

The statistical performance of the meteorological predictions at 38 sites over Northern India are presented in Table S2. The predicted T2 matched well with the observations with a correlation coefficient of 0.8 and an NMB of 4.2 %. The predicted RH2 was slightly underestimated with an NMB of $-13.4 \%$ and a correlation coefficient of 0.8. The predicted WS10 agreed reasonably well with the observations with an NMB of $-5.3 \%$. In addition, the simulated WD10 matched well with the
observations, and both the predicted and observed dominant wind direction was SSE. The good agreement between the simulation and the observations confirms the reliability of the meteorological prediction over the simulation domain.

The spatial distribution of the NH$_3$ total column in the increased emissions case is shown in Fig. 2b. The NH$_3$ total columns significantly decreased over the entire IGP, with a regional mean value of $2.5 \times 10^{16}$ molecules cm$^{-2}$ (a 72.2 % decrease compared to the base case). The surface $\varepsilon$(NH$_4^+$) in the base case and the increased SO$_2$/NO$_x$ emissions case are shown in Fig. 2 (panels c and d, respectively). The surface $\varepsilon$(NH$_4^+$) in the base case was low with a regional mean value of 0.3 over the IGP. The simulated $\varepsilon$(NH$_4^+$) in the 2010 monsoon in Delhi was 0.38, which is close to the observed $\varepsilon$(NH$_4^+$) (0.39 in the 2011 monsoon season in Delhi) (Singh and Kulshrestha, 2012). In the increased SO$_2$/NO$_x$ emissions case, the regional mean surface $\varepsilon$(NH$_4^+$) increased to 0.6 over the IGP. Significant increases in surface SO$_4^{2-}$ and NO$_3^-$ concentrations were also found (Fig. S3). The regional mean surface SO$_4^{2-}$ and NO$_3^-$ concentrations increased from 9.7 to 24.9 and from 7.2 to 20.0 μg m$^{-3}$, respectively. Additionally, the regional mean columnar $\varepsilon$(NH$_4^+$) over the IGP is 0.56 in the base case and increases to 0.87 in the increased SO$_2$/NO$_x$ emissions case. This suggests that the increased SO$_2$ and NO$_x$ emissions enhanced the formation of acidic species and promoted the conversion of NH$_3$ into NH$_4^+$. The effectively reduced NH$_3$ total columns in the increased SO$_2$/NO$_x$ emissions case indicate that low SO$_2$ and NO$_x$ emissions could be the major cause of the high NH$_3$ loading over the IGP.

Besides the amount of acidic species, air temperature is also an important factor affecting the thermodynamic equilibrium of NH$_3$ between the gas phase and the particle phase. Higher air temperature limits the gas-to-particle conversion of NH$_3$ and enhances volatilization of NH$_4$NO$_3$ (Seinfeld and Pandis, 2006). The observed regional mean air temperature over the IGP from June to August 2010 was 30.9 °C, about 4.9 °C higher than the NCP (26.0 °C). As shown in Fig. 3a, the columnar $\varepsilon$(NH$_4^+$) increases as temperature decreases. A 10°C decrease in temperature results in a 0.07 increase in $\varepsilon$(NH$_4^+$) and a consequent 17 % decrease in NH$_3$ total columns. Additionally, a 10°C increase in temperature results in a 0.08 decrease in $\varepsilon$(NH$_4^+$) and a consequent 20 % increase in NH$_3$ total columns. If the temperature over the IGP drops to the temperature typical of the NCP (a 4.9 °C decrease), the NH$_3$ total columns over the IGP will only decrease by 10 %. In contrast, if the SO$_2$/NO$_x$ emissions over the IGP increase to make the R$_{emix}$ of the IGP equal to that of the NCP, the NH$_3$ column over the IGP will decrease by 72.2 %. Therefore, the low SO$_2$/NO$_x$ emissions have a greater effect on causing high NH$_3$ columns over the IGP than the high air temperature. As shown in Figure 3c, the sensitivity of NH$_3$ to temperature varies in different seasons and regions. Temporally, the sensitivity of NH$_3$ to temperature during the monsoon season is generally higher than that during the pre-monsoon season. Spatially, the sensitivity of NH$_3$ to temperature is highest over the eastern IGP, followed by the central IGP and the western IGP. The difference in the sensitivity of the NH$_3$ to temperature may be caused by the difference of the initial $\varepsilon$(NH$_4^+$) and temperature.

3.3 Weak horizontal diffusion of NH$_3$

The IGP is surrounded by unique topography with the Himalayan range to the north and the Sulaiman range to the west. Weather on the Indian subcontinent is controlled by the low-level Indian monsoon regime from June through September
Fig. 4a shows the spatial distributions of surface wind flow and wind speed from June to August 2010. The dominant wind direction is southwest over the Indian peninsula and southeast over the IGP. Air mainly flows from the west coast of India and the south coast of Bengal. Surface wind speed is high on the west coast of India (>5 m s\(^{-1}\)) and on the south coast of Bengal (>4 m s\(^{-1}\)) but decreases from the coast inland. Mountains serve as barriers to the airflow on the surface of the Earth (Barry, 2008). Chow et al. (2013) reported that when stably stratified airflow encounters an extra-tropical mountain barrier, it is forced to rise and cool adiabatically. Consequently, higher pressure along the slope could be created, which could decelerate and block the flow. After a while, geostrophic adjustment occurs. As a result, the airflow turns left (right) in the northern (southern) hemisphere, and a barrier jet blowing parallel to the barrier is formed. As shown in Fig. 4a, the southerly airflow from the Bay of Bengal turns left when approaching the Himalayas, and then an easterly barrier jet parallel to the Himalayas is formed. The southwesterly airflow from the west coast of India also turns left when approaching the Himalayas. Similarly, wind flow at 850 hPa (Fig. 4b) also shows left-turning airflow near the Himalayas. The left-turning airflow indicates that the barrier effect of the Himalayas limits the northward movement of polluted air. Both satellite observations and the model simulation show that the high NH\(_3\) columns over the IGP are effectively cut off by mountains to the north (Fig. 2a and Fig. S4).

As shown in Fig. 4b, an area of low geopotential height extends from Pakistan to east India following the IGP. This elongated region of low pressure is known as the monsoon trough (Bohlinger et al., 2017). It causes wind to converge over this region. The convergence of horizontal wind can be observed from wind flow at both the surface and at 850 hPa. The prevailing wind directions south and east of the IGP are southwest and southeast, respectively. As a result of convergence of horizontal winds, an area of low wind speed forms and covers most of the IGP. The regional mean surface wind speed over the IGP is <3 m s\(^{-1}\). The weak wind speed in association with the convergence weakens the horizontal advection of NH\(_3\) and results in the accumulation of NH\(_3\) over the IGP.

The ventilation rate (V\(_r\)) of the four edges of the IGP was used to illustrate the accumulation of an air mass over the IGP (Fig. 4d). The V\(_r\) of one edge is defined as the product of sectional area to the transport wind, given by Eq. (2):

\[
V_r = AU_T. \quad (2)
\]

The sectional area A can be expressed as A = ZL, where Z is the mean planetary boundary layer (PBL) height along the edge and L is the length of the edge. The transport wind U\(_T\) is given by

\[
U_T = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^{m} \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} U_{ij} \right).
\]

m and n are the number of locations along the edge and vertical levels within the PBL where the winds are measured or predicted. U\(_{ij}\) is the wind speed perpendicular to the cross-section at each height and location along the edge. The ventilation rates of the four edges of the IGP were calculated using the WRF-Chem simulation results. The total V\(_r\) of the inflow from the southern and eastern edges (3.1 × 10\(^9\) m\(^3\) s\(^{-1}\)) was 64% higher than the total V\(_r\) of the outflow from the western and northern edges (1.9 × 10\(^9\) m\(^3\) s\(^{-1}\)).
The strong inflow and weak outflow indicate accumulation of the air mass over the IGP. Therefore, outward transport of NH₃ from the IGP through horizontal advection could be weak.

Interestingly, both relative humidity and precipitation are high over the IGP (Figs. S5), with regional mean values of 63 % and 660 mm from June to August 2010. The high relative humidity and precipitation suggest strong gas-to-particle conversion and wet scavenging of NH₃ (Seinfeld & Pandis, 2006). The observed high NH₃ loading under such a wet condition further indicates the effectiveness of other factors leading to high NH₃ loading. The simulated surface ε(NH₄⁺) over the western, central and eastern part of the IGP were 0.11, 0.13 and 0.24 during pre-monsoon and 0.26, 0.26 0.37 during monsoon. It is not difficult to find that the surface ε(NH₄⁺) during the monsoon season is significantly higher than that during the pre-monsoon season, and the surface ε(NH₄⁺) generally increases from northwest to southeast along the IGP. Besides, the columnar ε(NH₄⁺) shows similar spatiotemporal variations with the surface ε(NH₄⁺) (Figure 3b). The spatiotemporal variations of ε(NH₄⁺) are consistent with the spatiotemporal variations of RH (Figure S5a), indicating that RH is an important factor affecting the NH₃ partitioning. The meteorological conditions in the northwest IGP are characterized by higher air temperature, lower humidity, and lower rainfall compared to the southeast IGP (Figs. 4c and S5), all of which are conducive to the increase of NH₃. Consistently, NH₃ total columns decrease from northwest to southeast along the IGP as revealed by both the satellite measurements and model simulations (Figs. 1 and 2a). However, emission fluxes of NH₃ over the northwest IGP are also obviously higher than the southeast IGP (Fig. S1). To exclude the impact of emissions on the spatial distributions of NH₃, simulations for a “homogeneous emissions” case was performed by using WRF-Chem, where emissions of all primary pollutants over the IGP were set to their regional mean values. As shown in Fig. 5, NH₃ total columns in the homogeneous emissions case still appear to decrease from northwest to southeast along the IGP. It is indicated that the meteorological factors (atmospheric diffusion, temperature, relative humidity, and precipitation) are important causes of the higher NH₃ loadings over the northwest IGP than the southeast IGP.

4 Conclusions

Satellite observations have revealed that the IGP has the global maximum NH₃ loading with a peak from June to August. Our study reveals that the high NH₃ loading over the IGP appears to be the joint result of high NH₃ emissions, weak chemical loss, and weak horizontal diffusion. Intensive agricultural activities in combination with high temperature resulted in relatively high NH₃ emissions over the IGP, with a regional mean NH₃ emissions flux of 0.4 t km⁻² month⁻¹. The low SO₂ and NOₓ emissions and high temperature limited the conversion of NH₃ to NH₄⁺, which is a key reason for the high NH₃ loading over the IGP. In addition, orographic and meteorological conditions also play important roles in NH₃ accumulation over the IGP. The barrier effects of the Himalayas limit the northward movement of monsoon air. The low wind speed (<3 m s⁻¹) in association with the surface convergence over the IGP weakens horizontal diffusion, which is conducive to the accumulation of NH₃ over the IGP.
The gas-particle partitioning plays an important role in influencing NH₃ columns. The deviation of the simulated sulfate and nitrate will cause a deviation in the simulated NH₃ by affecting NH₃ gas-particle partitioning. Thus, in addition to the NH₃ and NH₄⁺, the simulated concentrations of sulfate and nitrate are also necessary to be constrained using field observations in the future. Besides, organic species are not considered in the thermodynamic calculations in this study, because the impact of organic species on aerosol thermodynamics is still rather poorly understood (Zaveri et al., 2008; Fountoukis and Nenes, 2007). Pye et al. (2018) found that the AIOMFAC (Aerosol Inorganic–Organic Mixtures Functional groups Activity Coefficients) based equilibrium model considering inorganic-organic interactions was consistent with ISORROPIA in terms of NH₃ gas-particle partitioning. Metzger et al. (2006) found that the ε(NH₄⁺) calculated by ISORROPIA was about 15% lower than that calculated by EQUAM2 (Equilibrium Simplified Aerosol Model) considering organic acids. Thus, the influence of organic species on the NH₃ gas-particle partitioning might be limited and will not have a significant impact on the results of this study. However, these two studies were conducted in the United States. The effects of organic species on aerosol thermodynamics in the IGP need further research in the future. Additionally, dry and wet deposition also has an important influence on NH₃ columns. Field observations of the dry and wet deposition of NH₃ and NH₄⁺ in the IGP are needed to constrain model simulations in the future.
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Table 1. Regional Mean NH$_3$ Total Columns and Emissions Fluxes of NH$_3$, SO$_2$, and NO$_x$ of the IGP and the NCP from June to August 2010.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>NH$_3$ total columns$^a$ (molecules cm$^{-2}$)</th>
<th>Emissions fluxes$^b$ (t km$^{-2}$ month$^{-1}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IGP</td>
<td>7.6×10$^{16}$</td>
<td>0.4 0.3 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCP</td>
<td>4.1×10$^{16}$</td>
<td>0.7 1.1 1.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$NH$_3$ total columns were derived from IASI measurements  
$^b$Emissions fluxes were estimated using the MIX database

Figure 1. The spatial distribution of NH$_3$ total columns over East Asia from June to August 2010 retrieved from IASI measurements. The blue quadrangle represents the Indo-Gangetic Plain (IGP), and the green quadrangle represents the Northern China Plain (NCP).
Figure 2. Spatial distributions of WRF-Chem predicted total columns of NH$_3$ and surface ε(NH$_4^+$) from June to August 2010. (a) and (b) are total columns of NH$_3$ in the base case and the increased SO$_2$/NO$_x$ emissions case, respectively. (c) and (d) are surface ε(NH$_4^+$) in the base case and the increased SO$_2$/NO$_x$ emissions case, respectively.
Figure 3. Columnar $\varepsilon$(NH$_4^+$) and changes of NH$_3$ total columns with the changes of temperatures predicted by ISORROPIA-II. (a) Mean columnar $\varepsilon$(NH$_4^+$) and changes of NH$_3$ total columns over the IGP from June to August 2010. (b) Columnar $\varepsilon$(NH$_4^+$) and (c) changes of NH$_3$ total columns over the western IGP during Pre-monsoon (PM-W), the central IGP during Pre-monsoon (PM-C), the eastern IGP during pre-monsoon (PM-E), the western IGP during monsoon (M-W), the central IGP during monsoon (M-C), the eastern IGP during monsoon (M-E).
Figure 4. Spatial distributions of WRF-Chem predicted meteorological variables from June to August 2010. (a) Wind flow and wind speed at 10 m. (b) Wind flow and geopotential height at 850 hPa. (c) Air temperature at 2 m. (d) Ventilation rate (m$^3$ s$^{-1}$) of the four edges of the IGP. Circles in (a) and (c) show the observed wind speed at 10 m and air temperature at 2 m, respectively.
Figure 5. Spatial distributions of WRF-Chem predicted total columns of NH$_3$ from June to August 2010 in the homogeneous emissions case.
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Introduction

This supporting information consists of the following parts. Figure S1 shows spatial distributions of the emission fluxes of NH$_3$, SO$_2$ and NO$_x$ over East Asia from June to August 2010 estimated using MIX database. Figure S2 shows the spatial distributions of SO$_2$ and NO$_2$ columns over East Asia. Figure S3 provides the comparison of the simulated SO$_4^{2-}$ and NO$_3^-$ concentrations in the base case and the increased emissions case. Figure S4 shows the spatial distribution of the NH$_3$ total columns from June to August 2010 derived from IASI measurements. Figure S5 shows spatial distributions of WRF-Chem predicted relative humidity and precipitation from June to August 2010, and the circles in Figure S5a represent the observed relative humidity obtained from NCDC dataset. Table S1 lists the options of WRF-Chem configurations. Table S2 provides the performance statistics of meteorological predictions of WRF-Chem.
Figure S1. Spatial distributions of emission fluxes of (a) NH$_3$, (b) SO$_2$, and (c) NO$_x$ over East Asia from June to August 2010. The blue quadrangle represents the IGP, and the green quadrangle represents the NCP.
Figure S2. The spatial distributions of (a) SO$_2$ and (b) NO$_2$ columns over East Asia from June to August 2010.

Figure S3. Spatial distributions of WRF-Chem predicted SO$_4^{2-}$ and NO$_3^-$ concentrations from June to August 2010. (a) and (b) are SO$_4^{2-}$ concentrations in the base case and the increased emissions case, respectively. (c) and (d) are NO$_3^-$ concentrations in the base case and the increased emissions case, respectively.
Figure S4. The spatial distribution of NH$_3$ total columns from June to August 2010 retrieved from IASI measurements.

Figure S5. Spatial distributions of WRF-Chem predicted meteorological variables from June to August 2010. (a) Relative humidity. (b) Precipitation. Circles in (a) show the observed Relative humidity.
### Table S1. WRF-Chem configurations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Meteorology initial and boundary conditions</th>
<th>Reanalysis data from the National Centers for Environmental Prediction Final Analysis (NCEP-FNL)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Shortwave radiation</td>
<td>rapid radiative transfer model (RRTMG)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Longwave radiation</td>
<td>rapid radiative transfer model (RRTMG)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Land surface model</td>
<td>Noah land-surface model</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planetary boundary layer model</td>
<td>Mellor-Yamada-Janjic (Eta) TKE scheme</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cumulus parameterization</td>
<td>New Grell scheme (G3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microphysics</td>
<td>Lin et al. Scheme</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Photolysis</td>
<td>Fast-J photolysis</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table S2. Performance statistics of meteorological predictions of WRF-Chem.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>T2(^a)</th>
<th>RH2(^a)</th>
<th>WS10(^a)</th>
<th>WD10(^a)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Data pairs(^b)</td>
<td>27508</td>
<td>27443</td>
<td>18036</td>
<td>18036</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MeanObs(^b)</td>
<td>30.9</td>
<td>69.3</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>165.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MeanSim(^b)</td>
<td>31.9</td>
<td>63.3</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>152.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R(^b)</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MB(^b)</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>-5.9</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>-12.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RMSE(^b)</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>19.8</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>95.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMB (%)(^b)</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>-8.6</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>-7.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\) T2: temperature at 2 m; RH2: relative humidity at 2 m; WS10: wind speed at 10 m; WD10: wind direction at 10 m; SLP: sea level pressure.

\(^b\) Data pairs: the number of observed and simulated data pairs; MeanObs: mean observational data; MeanSim: mean simulation results; R: correlation coefficient; MB: mean bias; RMSE: root mean square error; NMB: normalized mean bias.