

# ***Interactive comment on “Quantifying uncertainties of climate signals related to the 11–year solar cycle. Part I: Annual mean response in heating rates, temperature and ozone” by Markus Kunze et al.***

**Paul Pukite**

puk@umn.edu

Received and published: 1 February 2020

For a climate signal such as QBO, the observed 28-month cycle is directly related to the interaction between the semiannual solar nodal crossing with the 27.21 day lunar draconic (or nodical) cycle. Above the altitude of the QBO, the semi-annual SAO occurs, suggesting a transition from tidal forcing to a primarily solar semi-annual radiative forcing cycle. This set of forcing factors is certainly more important than the rather weak 11-year cycle in sunspot activity, and the asymptotic agreement with a tidal forcing pattern only gets more apparent as more data is accumulated over the years. This

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper



agreement is shown in Fig. 1 shown below. The only question is what causes the fluctuation over the years and perhaps this is in some way related to disturbances such as SSW, ENSO, or 2nd-order solar variations such as sunspot levels. (p.s. thank you for maintaining the QBO data at fu-berlin.de)


---

Interactive comment on Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-2019-1010>, 2020.

[Printer-friendly version](#)

[Discussion paper](#)





**Fig. 1.** Asymptotic trend of QBO approaches that predicted by the aliased draconic cycle of  $\sim 2.37$  years

[Printer-friendly version](#)[Discussion paper](#)