

The authors thank the reviewers for taking the time to review this manuscript and for their constructive criticism.

This document includes authors' responses to both anonymous referee #2 and #3. Reviewer's comments are in black text while the authors' responses are in blue, with amended text quoted from the manuscript in quotation marks. Page numbers and lines refer to the revised version unless explicitly stated otherwise.

## **Anonymous Referee #2/RC1**

### *General comments:*

This paper characterized the elemental composition of polar organic compounds in PM<sub>2.5</sub> from Beijing during wintertime and summertime. 918-1586 organic compounds were assigned molecular formulae by direct infusion negative nano-electrospray ionization ultrahigh resolution mass spectrometer. Then the differences of the chemical composition under different pollution conditions, and their potential primary and secondary sources were discussed. The overall strength of this study is acquisition of a detailed dataset of polar organic compounds that spanned summertime and wintertime, low and high pollution conditions. The topic of the paper is well suited for ACP, and the data itself are interesting. On the whole, the language requires improvement throughout the manuscript. Many sentences are not clearly written, leaving the reader puzzling about their meaning. In addition, the overall weakness is the data interpretation. Much more effort needs to be put into presentation of the results. I have some points where more information is needed or where I disagree. I use the abstract to illustrate my main concerns of this paper. The authors state in the abstract: . . . . There were strong differences in aerosol particle composition between the seasons. . . . which were strongly enhanced in winter, likely due to increased fossil fuel and biomass burning for heating. . . . the contribution of sulfur-containing organic compounds strongly enhanced under high pollution conditions. . . . The highlighted results above are not really exciting. In fact, many researches have reported these differences already. For the advantage of using the ultrahigh resolution mass spectrometer, I suggest the authors to focus on the new findings of this study.

We recognise that not all results presented here are absolutely new but we strongly believe that they are worth reporting as they clearly illustrate the organic compositional differences in Beijing between seasons and pollution levels.

### *Specific comments:*

1. Introduction: The characterization and source identification of organic compounds in PM in Beijing have been extensively studied. I would suggest authors to improve the introduction by summarizing these previous studies and providing some results in line with the major conclusion of this study.

This part of the introduction section has been expanded and we added additional references (page 2, line 27-page 3, line 2).

2. Page 2, Line 10-12: "As a central of the project, . . . ." It makes no senses for your paper since the information on sampling has been provided in the Section Materials and Method.

This paper is part of the APHH campaign, which is a central piece of information for this paper. Thus, we believe it is appropriate to mention this at the very start of the paper (very shortly; 1.5 lines), as well as more detailed in the methods section.

3. Page 3: Maybe the meteorological conditions (e.g. temperature, RH, wind speed, wind direction, mixing layer height, etc.) play an important role on the organic components. I would suggest the authors to give a general characteristics of gas and PM pollutants and meteorological conditions during low and high pollution conditions (WH, WL, SH, SL), respectively, since the authors focus on the

comparison between the characteristics of organic groups under different pollution conditions, in the Supplementary Information, for instance?

The available information regarding gas-phase pollutants and meteorological conditions (RH, T) has been added to the supplementary information in table S2 and the following sentence has been added on page 10, line 9:

“In addition to ozone, the concentrations of other gas pollutants as well as temperature and humidity data for the different samples can be found in the supplement in Tab. S2.”

The information one could get from wind direction regarding the particle sources is very similar as discussed in the back trajectory section. Boundary layer heights during this campaign are unfortunately currently not available to us.

4. Page 4, Line 16: What does “ $0.3 \mu\text{g H/C} \sim 2.5$ ” mean?

These symbols are displayed properly in the PDF we downloaded. We will make sure that they are displayed correctly in the final version.

5. Page 4, Line 21: Here x, y and z are the number of carbon, hydrogen, nitrogen atoms. However, in Page 12, Line 7, C, N, H and O are the number of carbon, nitrogen, hydrogen and oxygen. I suggest the authors keep consistent in the nomination to avoid the confusion.

The formula for calculation of the double bond equivalent was using different nomination since it is also valid for other elements with the same valence. That section has now been reworded to clarify this (p. 4, Line 31):

“with  $I_y II_n III_z IV_x$ , where I=monovalent elements; II=bivalent elements; III=trivalent elements and IV=tetravalent elements. Sulfur was assumed to be bivalent and nitrogen trivalent for this calculation.”

6. Page 5, Lines 17-20: It makes no sense since the air masses have been discussed in your paper.

Unlike the data shown in this manuscript, the referenced paper also covers time periods outside the duration of the APHH campaign. The sentence has been changed as following to state this more explicitly (p. 5, Line 27):

“More in-depth information about the origin of different air masses in Beijing, including time periods not covered by the APHH campaign, can be found in Panagi et al. (2020).”

7. Page 5, Lines 22-25: Here these sentences make no sense for the paper.

These sentences were removed as suggested by the reviewer.

8. Page 5: Here DBE was calculated based on the number of C, H, N atoms. I am not sure whether DBE should be calculated with the relative abundance weight since the relative abundance of each molecule in the mass spectrum is different. The same consideration also applies for the other parameters such as H/C, O/C, Xc and so on.

While a weighting calculation based on signal intensity would be possible for averaged metrics such as O/C and H/C ratio, we have come to the conclusion that it would not be more appropriate than the current method since signal abundance cannot be directly linked to compound concentrations due to matrix effects and variation in ionisation efficiency.

9. Page 6, Line 5: A reference would be helpful.

The text has been changed to (p. 6, line 11):

A trend that can be seen in the detected formulae is the presence of significantly more CHON formulae in summer compared to winter. The opposite trend was observed in Shanghai by Wang et al. (2017a), who found higher numbers and relative contribution of CHON in winter. Further information would be needed to explain this discrepancy.

10. Page 6: I suggest the authors add the number of four different groups identified in different pollution conditions in Figure 1.

We have now added the absolute numbers of detected formulae for the different groups for each sample in figure 2 (formerly figure 1). No other changes were made to the content of the figure, although we have changed the order of the samples to bring it in line with the other figures.

11. Pages 6-8: The chemical composition and some ratios (i.e., O/C, H/C) of organic compounds have been characterized by ultrahigh resolution mass spectrometer in some cities such as Beijing and Shanghai, and some typical emission sources (i.e., coal combustion, biomass burning). I suggest the authors compare their result with those reported in literature.

While there are several studies looking at chemical composition of organic compounds in PM in Chinese megacities, results are often difficult to compare, especially quantitatively, due to differences in methodology. The extraction solvent can for example strongly influence the chemical composition (see e.g. the Song et al. reference suggested by the reviewer), with many studies using other solvents than methanol, which we used in our study. In addition, some of the available studies use HPLC or UPLC instead of direct infusion, which due to the separation of isomers will lead to different results for the detected number of formulae, O/C etc.

It is therefore mostly only possible to compare general trends. In addition to the UPLC-MS measurements by Wang et al. (2018 & 2019), which are referenced on page 14, line 31, page 18, line 15 and page 19, line 20, we have now added references to results from Jiang et al. (2016) (p. 6, line 19).

12. Page 7, Line 14: You are right, here a reference would be helpful.

References were added and the sentence was changed to (p. 9, Line 11):

“Aromatic compounds are predominantly produced from anthropogenic sources such as traffic, industry and heating (Baek et al., 1991; Hamilton and Lewis, 2003) whereas aliphatic compounds can be of both anthropogenic and biogenic origin, the latter of which makes a larger contribution to organic particle mass in the summer (Gelencsér et al., 2007; Hu et al., 2017; Kleindienst et al., 2007).”

13. Page 7, Line 15: What does “high and low H/C particulate matter” mean?

The sentence has been reworded (p. 9, line 13):

“One type of source that will be present in both seasons and which contributes compounds of both high and low H/C to particulate matter is vehicle emissions, as these are usually a mix of low carbon number (<24) PAHs and single-ring aromatics with low H/C and alkenes and cyclic, branched and straight-chain alkanes with high H/C (Gentner et al., 2012, 2017; Huang et al., 2015; May et al., 2014; Worton et al., 2014).”

14. Page 8, Lines 1-2: The SH showed high H/C ratio. The authors suggest that is due to a large proportion of biogenic organic aerosol from plant sources. It might be good here to give more evidences. Is there any assigned formula which could be used as markers for biomass burning or biogenic organic aerosol? For example, nitrophenols, nitrocatechols? They show higher number fraction and/or relative abundance in SH samples?

A reference for increased concentration of biogenic aliphatic compounds from plant waxes during summer in Beijing has been added (Feng, 2005).

Direct infusion high resolution MS does not allow for structural identification of compounds. Thus, we do not speculate about specific markers.

Nitrophenols and nitrocatechols have low H/C of typically below 1 and not high H/C near 2, as discussed here.

15. Page 8, Line 9: It might be better to give the ozone concentrations for the WH, WL, SH, SL samples. We have now added the average ozone concentrations for the different samples, so that the text now reads (p. 10, line 8):

“ The average ozone concentrations at the IAP site during sample collection were 13 ppb (WL), 6 ppb (WH), 39 ppb (SL) and 63 ppb (SH).”

16. Page 9: The VK plots show the aromaticity of CHO and CHON is quite different in winter and summer. Please speculate more in depth on the difference.

Differences in aromaticity between the winter and summer sample are discussed in depth in the following section, 3.2.

17. Page 10: Here the authors give some data on polyaromatic compounds. How about the single-ring aromatics? I think they contribute more to the aromatic compounds.

The relative dominance of monocyclic vs. polycyclic aromatics in the different samples is discussed in more depth on page 12, line 21 to 23 of the original manuscript. We have added some absolute numbers for comparison, so that this section now reads (p. 14, line 25):

“The SL sample is particularly low in aromatic formulae (132 aromatic formulae vs. 801 in total), especially regarding polycyclic aromatics (26 formulae). In contrast, there are a reasonable number of polycyclic (85) and monocyclic (159) aromatics in SH. The two winter samples both show high contributions of aromatic formulae (76% in WH vs. 77% in WL of all detected formulae in these samples). However, the WH sample is strongly dominated by polycyclic aromatics with 403 polycyclic vs. 260 monocyclic aromatic formulae, while monocyclic and polycyclic aromatics are present in nearly equal numbers in the WL sample (320 monocyclic and 297 polycyclic aromatic formulae).”

18. Page 12: The authors spend too many words to discuss the aromaticity equivalent ( $X_c$ ). I suggest the authors focus on the new finding which cannot be deduced from the H/C and O/C ratios.

Excluding the introduction of the concept, the discussion of the aromaticity equivalent on page 14 is largely focused on the presence of monocyclic vs. polycyclic aromatics, which cannot be deduced from H/C and O/C ratios.

19. Page 13, Line 21: A reference regarding the heating source would be helpful.

We have added the following sentence (p. 15, line 29):

“This link between higher-ring number polyaromatics and residential heating is supported by studies showing a sharp increase in the concentration of higher-ring-number PAHs at the start of the heating season in Beijing (Zhang et al., 2017) and increased emissions of higher-ring-number PAHs for coal combustion compared to gasoline and diesel (Huang et al., 2014).

20. Page 16, Line1: The authors state that sulfate concentrations are usually higher in summer than in winter in Beijing. Are you sure? Please give the concentrations of sulfate and nitrate in WH, WL, SH, and SL samples in a Table, for instance, in the Supplementary Information. And in Line 25, you state the lower sulfate concentrations in summer. It is significantly contradictory.

The average concentration of sulfur in fine PM in Beijing are indeed usually higher in summer, as shown in the four cited papers. This is often explained by the increase in photooxidation during summer. During our sampling campaign the average sulfate concentration was however slightly higher in winter (8.5 ug/m<sup>3</sup>) than in summer (6.9 ug/m<sup>3</sup>). This might be due to the fact that our campaign only covered early summer, whereas the very high sulfate levels found in the cited papers were all measured later in summer than ours. This is now explained in the paper to resolve this apparent contradiction (p. 18, line 7).

In addition, sulfate concentrations also increase during haze events, of which there were several very strong ones during our winter measurement campaign. For our study, samples were chosen at clean vs. polluted conditions. This explains the very high sulfur levels we see in winter since the extreme pollution events in winter lead to higher maximum sulfate concentrations. We agree that the sentence in line 25 is confusing in the original text. The wording has been changed to (p. 19, line 14):

“The sulphate data did not correlate as well for summer (Fig. 8b), which might be explained by the lower maximum concentrations of SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup> and the on average slightly lower SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup> concentrations during our summer campaign, where particle-phase formation reactions of S-containing organics might become less important.”

We hope that this, in combination with the abovementioned explanation about the sulfate concentration during our sampling campaign vs. other summer measurements has clarified the issue.

21. Page16: It is a good idea to discuss the relationship between sulfate and nitrate with the number of CHOS and CHON compounds, but I recommend the authors to provide in-depth insights into this discussion. In addition to the secondary formation, Song et al. (EST 2019, 53, 13607-13617; 52, 2575-2585) reported that S-containing compounds account for 36% of the total number of compounds identified, making up the largest component in coal smoke, and N-containing compounds show high abundance in biomass burning. The primary sources of S- and N-containing compounds should also be considered.

The discussion of the formation of S- and N-containing compounds has been expanded (p. 18, line 12 & 28).

22. Page 16: The authors state that the particles in winter are sampled before they can undergo atmospheric ageing processes, for example reacting with OH radicals and ozone in Page 8, Lines 6-7. It seems inconsistent with the good positive correlation between sulfate and CHOS compounds.

As stated previously, the sulfate concentration depends not only on oxidation but also on SO<sub>2</sub> emissions, which are usually much higher during winter.

*Technical corrections:*

1. Page 5, Lines 27-28: What does “Fehler! Verweisquelle konnte nicht gefunden werden” mean? Mistype?

This has been corrected.

2. Page 5, Line 30: “off” should be “of”.

This has been corrected

## Anonymous Referee #3/RC2

### *General comments:*

This study reports the analysis of organic aerosol composition in central Beijing during winter and summer months using orbitrap mass spectrometry coupled with a nanoelectrospray ionisation source. A main finding is that the number of S-containing organic species increased with inorganic sulfate concentration. This work provides new information on organic aerosol chemistry in northern China with a scope that fits well within ACP. But there are some issues on data analysis and interpretation, which may require major revisions to resolve. It is important that the authors discuss the limitations with negative ESI MS analysis, such as its low ionization efficiency towards, or inability to detect, certain types of C1 compounds.

Compounds with only one C atom usually have very high volatilities and thus are not expected to be present in the particle phase. We therefore do not discuss C1 compounds here.

A general comment on the ionisation efficiency of ESI was added on p. 6, line 9. A specific discussion of ionisation efficiency for N- and S-containing compounds has been added in the respective section (p. 17, line 10 & p. 19, line 27).

The calculation of aromaticity equivalent  $X_c$  is based on assumptions of elements' valences which may not always hold for atmospheric organics. The authors excluded S-containing species in their calculations of  $X_c$ , but nevertheless calculated the  $X_c$  for N-containing compounds, in which the valence of N can be either 3 or 5. In addition, the O valence in peroxides is 1 rather than 2 and it is known that organic peroxides can account for a significant fraction of the molecules. The authors should discuss how these issues affect their results and conclusions.

The relevant metric for the calculation of the aromaticity equivalent is not the valency but whether oxygen or sulfur atoms are present as  $\pi$ -bond structures in a particular compound, since the contribution of those structures is supposed to be removed when calculating the degree of unsaturation. For our calculations, we chose  $m=0.5$ , meaning that half of the oxygens in each functional group are present as  $\pi$ -bond structures, which is the case e.g. in carboxylic acids, which are likely dominant components in the sample. Peroxides, just like alcohols, only contribute  $\sigma$ -bond, so for them  $m=0$ . In the presence of many peroxide functions our assumption would lead to an underestimation of the degree of saturation. The same is true for organonitrates and peracids, since here only one in three O-atoms participates in a  $\pi$ -bond, rather than one in two as assumed with  $m=0$ . This is now discussed on page 14, line 11.

Additionally, some discussions on the technical aspects a bit vague and need clarification. See below for specific comments.

### *Specific comments:*

This study only compares winter and summer compositional differences, thus is an overstatement to have a title of "Seasonal Differences . . ."

We have changed the title to "Differences in the Composition of Organic Aerosols between Winter and Summer in Beijing: a Study by Direct Infusion Ultrahigh Resolution Mass Spectrometry"

Page 2, how was sampling from Birmingham UK decided to be representative of a typical European urban background site?

The site is not a "representative background station", which would require a huge effort by comparing the aerosol composition at many different sites. A comparison with this site was chosen because it is one of the only urban background sites in Europe where the aerosol composition was characterized with the same method as used here assuring direct comparability with the current study. We have removed the word "typical" to avoid any misunderstandings.

Page 3, What's the sampling duration for the filters?

The sampling duration was 23 hours for each filter, as stated on page 3, line 9.

Page 3, Line 19, is the concentration corresponding to PM mass or OA mass? How was it known?

The concentration refers to PM mass, which was determined gravimetrically. Particle mass was used since OA mass was not known at the point of the mass spectrometric measurements. "total mass" has been changed to "total particle mass" to make this clearer.

Page 4: Line 1-2, what's the mass accuracy of the instrument?

The mass accuracy of the instrument was below 1.5 ppm. This has now been clarified in the methods section (p. 4, line 7):

"The mass accuracy of the instrument was below 1.5 ppm, which was regularly checked before the analysis."

Line 7 – 9, this sentence is vague. More information is needed to clarify how this was done.

This section has been changed as follows (p. 4, line 15):

"The three repeat measurements of the blank filters for both high and low mass range were manually merged to yield four final blank files: low mass range winter, high mass range winter, low mass range summer and high mass range summer. Each of these merged blank files contains all masses from the three repeat measurements as separate data points."

I hope this makes it clearer. The consequence of this is that if the threshold for blank subtraction for a specific mass was met for any of the three repeat measurements, the peak was removed, i.e. a conservative approach.

Line 11, be specific about the threshold to remove signals and define how noises are determined.

The noise levels differ for each sample and are based on fitting a normal distribution to a histogram of intensities. The sentence has been changed to (p. 4, line 19):

"In the first instance, all ions below the noise level, which was estimated based on fitting a normal distribution to a histogram of intensities, were removed from the spectrum." to clarify this. Further details about the procedure and the reasoning behind it can be found in the cited paper by Zielinski et al. (2018) cited on p. 4, line 19.

Line 12, "blank subtraction" usually means that all ions were subjected to blank subtraction, but this sentence suggests only the ions less than 10 times of the blank level are removed. This is confusing.

In direct infusion ESI, signal intensities cannot be correlated directly to concentrations. Thus, we have a very conservative approach where we delete peaks from the mass spectrum when we identify a peak with the same exact mass in the blank with 10% or more intensity compared to the sample spectrum. Much more details are given in Zielinski et al. (2018).

Line 20 -21, the formula for DBE calculation has limitations due to the assumptions about element valences. This issue should be clearly stated and the implications on the reported results should be discussed.

The assumption of trivalence for nitrogen has now been explicitly stated (p. 4, line 32). The implications of the valence assumptions are now discussed in the results section (3.2 Aromatic compounds). In short, the calculated DBE values represent a lower boundary on the DBE due to the assumptions about the valence state.

However, this lower limit is likely to be a better representation of the aromaticity of the compounds than one calculated with taking the higher valency into account since the additional double-bonds added by including the higher valencies are not contributing to aromaticity, ring formation or condensation.

Line 23 – 24, the sentence "If . . ." is confusing. Please be specific.

The sentence has been changed to (p. 5, line 1):

“If there was no peak with a matching composition containing only the lighter isotope or if the intensity ratio of heavier-to-lighter isotope was greater than the natural isotopic abundance, the formula with the next larger mass error was used instead.”

Further information about the process can be found in Zielinski et al. (2018).

Page 5: The Panagi et al. paper is not yet published and unavailable. It is not appropriate to cite it as a source of information used in this paper. Either provide the paper as supplementary or reiterate relevant key points.

This paper is now published and the proper citation has been added.

The meaning of “the residence time of the air masses (or the integrated concentration of theoretical air mass particles)” is not straightforward, needs clarification.

The model releases 1g/s of particles for 3 hours (so  $3600 \times 3 \text{ g} = 10800\text{g}$ ). Snap shots of the particle locations are taken every 15 minutes and then summed up to give the number of particles in each grid box during the past 72 hours so that for areas close to the station, if a particle doesn't move much it could be sighted  $72 \times 4$  times! So, when we say relative residence time, the color scale is denoting whether there are lots or few particles, on a logarithmic scale.

We have changed this sentence accordingly in the caption, which we hope is clearer (p. 9, line 2):

"The colors denote the relative residence time (on a logarithmic scale) of the air masses in each  $0.25^\circ \times 0.25^\circ$  grid box (up to 100m from the surface) during the last 72 hours before arriving at the monitoring station (the model calculates the concentration of theoretical air mass particles in a grid box integrated over time)."

Line 22-24 seems unnecessary, consider to remove.

We have removed these sentences.

There are strange characters shown at Line 27 – 28.

This has been corrected.

Change “off” to “of” on Line 30

Done

Page 7. Line 14. Ref?

The appropriate references have now been added.

Page 8: Line 1-2, waxy biogenic organic aerosol components likely have high H/C, but not all biogenic compounds have high H/C. It is more useful to define the cutoff value of "high H/C"

We agree that particles with biogenic sources do not necessarily have high H/C, we were here specifically referring to primary biogenic plant sources with high H/C. The sentence has been reworded as following to clarify this (p. 9, line 17):

“ The SL H/C ratio is particularly high which may be due to a larger proportion of primary biogenic organic aerosol components from plant sources with a high H/C, such as plant waxes, and a smaller influence of industrial sources or vehicle emissions which is more pronounced in the high pollution sample.”

line 14, what's the basis for claiming that compounds with  $\text{H/C} < 1$  and  $\text{O/C} < 0.5$  are aromatic? Citing a previous study here without proper context is not sufficient.

Non-alkylated (poly-)aromatic compounds have by definition an  $\text{H/C} < 1$ . The O/C ration is a softer limit but a mono-aromatic with  $> 3$  oxygen groups would be a rather exotic structure. The same applies for PAHs.

Page 10, specify the “low” and “high” values use in describing elemental ratios and discussing chemical meanings.



“High” and “low” are used here to compare the numbers in the table in a relative and qualitative way. The chemical meanings of the elemental ratios are described on p. 10, line 3:

“While the overall H/C ratio shows whether the sample is more aromatic or aliphatic, the O/C ratio gives an indication of how strongly oxidised a sample is.”

Page 12, 1st paragraph, N also has two valences, so what’s the validity of calculating X<sub>c</sub> for N-containing compounds using the given formula?

As mentioned previously, the important factor is the fraction of oxygen atoms in each compound participating in  $\pi$ -bonds. With our current assumption, this number is 0.5 which is true for the nitro group. For organonitrates, this number would be lower, leading to an underestimate of unsaturation using the current assumptions. This would also be the case for reduced nitrogen compounds such as e.g. amines, although the reviewer notes correctly that these are unlikely to be detected in negative mode ESI.

Page 16, line 33, what’s the reasoning behind this sentence – “This suggests . . .”? Why does the correlation suggest how the compounds are formed? The authors appear to imply that the N-containing ions detected in this study are representative of “N-containing organics” in aerosol, but this is misleading as negative mode ESI-MS generally biases against reduced nitrogen compounds. Such issues should be articulated throughout the manuscript.

As mentioned earlier, any mass spectrometry method is biased against the compound classes which ionise best with the particular ionisation technique used. ESI negative mode ionisation is specifically sensitive towards oxidised N-compounds, not reduced functional groups such as amines, which ionise very efficiently in positive mode. This has now been clarified at the beginning of section 3.3 (p. 17, line 10).

We agree that the mentioned paragraph might be misleading and have therefore changed this to (p. 19, line 27):

“As stated earlier, the detection mode we used is biased towards oxidised N-containing organics such as organic nitrates, which are known to form predominantly in the gas phase and nitro compounds, which can be of either primary or secondary origin, with a strong contribution of gas-phase oxidation in the second case. A correlation with particle-phase nitrate is therefore not expected.”

# **Seasonal Differences in the Composition of Organic Aerosols between Winter and Summer in Beijing: a Study by Direct Infusion Ultrahigh Resolution Mass Spectrometry**

5 Sarah S. Steimer<sup>1,2,a</sup>, Daniel J. Patton<sup>1</sup>, Tuan V. Vu<sup>3</sup>, Marios Panagi<sup>4,5</sup>, Paul S. Monks<sup>6</sup>, Roy M. Harrison<sup>3,7</sup>, Zoë L. Fleming<sup>4,ba</sup>, Zongbo Shi<sup>3</sup> and Markus Kalberer<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Chemistry, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, CB2 1EW, UK

<sup>2</sup>Department of Environmental Sciences, University of Basel, 4056 Basel, Switzerland

<sup>3</sup> Division of Environmental Health & Risk Management, School of Geography, Earth & Environmental Sciences, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, B1 52TT, UK

10 <sup>4</sup>National Centre for Atmospheric Science (NCAS), Department of Chemistry, University of Leicester, Leicester, UK

<sup>5</sup>Department of Physics and Astronomy, Earth Observation Science Group, University of Leicester, Leicester, UK

<sup>6</sup>Department of Chemistry, University of Leicester, Leicester, UK

<sup>7</sup>Department of Environmental Sciences/Center of Excellence in Environmental Studies, King Abdulaziz University, P.O. Box 80203, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia

15 <sup>a</sup>now at: [Department of Environmental Science, Stockholm University, 106 91 Stockholm, Sweden](#)

<sup>ba</sup>now at: Center for Climate and Resilience Research (CR2), Departamento de Geofísica, Universidad de Chile, Santiago, Chile

*Correspondence to:* Sarah S. Steimer ([Sarah.Steimer@aces.su.se](mailto:Sarah.Steimer@aces.su.se)[sarah.steimer@unibas.ch](mailto:sarah.steimer@unibas.ch))

**Abstract.** This study investigates the chemical composition of PM<sub>2.5</sub> collected at a central location in Beijing, China, during  
20 winter 2016 and summer 2017. The samples were characterised using direct infusion negative nano-electrospray ionisation  
ultrahigh resolution mass spectrometry to elucidate the composition and the potential primary and secondary sources of the  
organic fraction. The samples from the two seasons were compared with those from a road-tunnel site and an urban background  
site in Birmingham, UK, analysed in the course of an earlier study using the same method. There were strong differences in  
aerosol particle composition between the seasons, particularly regarding (poly-)aromatic compounds, which were strongly  
25 enhanced in winter, likely due to increased fossil fuel and biomass burning for heating. In addition to the seasonal differences,  
compositional differences between high and low pollution conditions were observed, with the contribution of sulfur-containing  
organic compounds strongly enhanced under high pollution conditions. There was a correlation of the number of sulphur-  
containing molecular formulae with the concentration of particulate sulfate, consistent with a particle-phase formation process.

## 1 Introduction

Ambient air pollution is of growing concern regarding its negative effect on public health, especially in low-and middle-income countries (Cohen et al., 2017; Hoek et al., 2013; Lelieveld et al., 2015). One of these countries is China, where rapid development has led to air pollution becoming a major environmental issue (Guan et al., 2016). Areas of strong industrial activity and rapid urbanisation are particularly impacted (Chan and Yao, 2008; Hu et al., 2014). Beijing, China's capital, has faced severe issues with air pollution in recent decades, with a particular impact of particulate pollution (Zhang et al., 2016). As a response to this problem, an international research collaboration, the Atmospheric Pollution and Human Health in a Chinese Megacity (APHH Beijing) project, was launched in an effort to understand the emissions, processes and health effects of air pollution in Beijing (Shi et al., 2019). This improved scientific understanding will then feed into the development of efficient mitigation measures to improve air quality and reduce health impacts. As a central part of the project, two month-long coordinated field campaigns were conducted at two sites, central Beijing and rural Pinggu, in November – December 2016 and May- June 2017.

Fine ambient particulate matter (PM) is the major air pollutant (Dockery et al., 1993; Pope III et al., 2002), estimated to cause about 3 million deaths per year (World Health Organization, 2016). About 20-90% of the fine particle mass is organic (Jimenez et al., 2009; Kanakidou et al., 2005). Organic material in the atmosphere is highly complex, consisting of thousands of different compounds (Goldstein and Galbally, 2007). Ultra-high- resolution MS (UHRMS) analysers such as the Fourier transform ion cyclotron (FTICR) or the Orbitrap have a high mass resolving power and high mass accuracy. This allows for separation of 100s to 1000s of compounds within a relatively small mass range, usually 100-500Da, even without further chromatographic separation (Nozière et al., 2015). Additionally, it is possible to assign molecular formulae to unknown compounds. Direct infusion UHRMS has proven to be highly successful in assessing the chemical properties of ambient aerosols from a large variety of sampling locations, ranging from remote (e.g. Dzepina et al., 2015; Kourtchev et al., 2013) to rural (e.g. Schmitt-Kopplin et al., 2010; Wozniak et al., 2008) and urban (e.g. Giorio et al., 2019; Tao et al., 2014; Tong et al., 2016). Since it is possible to assign elemental compositions to unknown compounds, compounds containing heteroatoms such as sulfur and nitrogen can be unambiguously detected. This has for example been used for the study of organosulfates (Lin et al., 2012; Schmitt-Kopplin et al., 2010; Tao et al., 2014).

~~This paper focusses on results from aerosol measurements at the central Beijing site during the APHH Beijing project. W~~In this paper we investigate the chemical composition of the polar organic fraction of PM<sub>2.5</sub> collected in central Beijing during the APHH-Beijing campaign. While the average annual contribution of organic matter (OM) to PM<sub>2.5</sub> Beijing has decreased since the 2000's, it remains a major contributor (>20%) (Lang et al., 2017). The contribution of organic carbon to PM<sub>2.5</sub> is usually highest during winter (He et al., 2001; Lin et al., 2009; Wang et al., 2015). There are numerous studies investigating the sources of PM<sub>2.5</sub> in Beijing. Biomass burning, dust, coal combustion, vehicle emissions, cooking, and the secondary products have all been identified as important sources of PM<sub>2.5</sub> in Beijing (Lv et al., 2016), with varying importance for the different seasons (Yu et al., 2013; Zhang et al., 2013). Coal combustion for residential heating was often found to be the

dominant source of PM<sub>2.5</sub> during winter (Song et al., 2007; Zhang et al., 2017b), though some recent studies highlight traffic emissions as the winter main source (Gao et al., 2018; Zíková et al., 2016).

In our study, we are focussing on the differences ~~to study seasonal variations~~ in aerosol composition between summer and winter and the influence of high vs. low pollution conditions. The Beijing data is also compared with data from Birmingham,

5 UK to investigate differences in composition in comparison with ~~typical~~ European urban background samples as well as with samples which are strongly influenced by traffic emissions.

## 2 Materials and Method

### 2.1 Particle sampling and sample preparation

As part of the APHH-Beijing campaign (Shi et al., 2019), a total of 67 PM<sub>2.5</sub> aerosol samples were collected over 23 h each on  
10 quartz microfiber filters (20.3 x 25.4 cm, Whatman™, GE, USA) at the Institute of Atmospheric Physics (IAP), Chinese Academy of Sciences in Beijing, China, using a high volume sampler (HVS) (TE-6070VFC, Tisch Environmental, USA). This field site is an urban site located in a residential area between the fourth and third North ring roads of Beijing. In addition to the samples, several procedural blank filters were collected. After sampling, all filters were stored at <-22°C till analysis. Of these filters, 33 were sampled during winter from 09 November to 11 December 2016 and 34 were sampled during summer  
15 from 22 May to 24 June 2017. For the study presented here, we selected the five filters with the highest mass loading and the five filters with the lowest mass loading for winter and summer each. All of the filters with high mass loadings in winter were impacted by haze events, while this was only the case for two of the five filters with high mass loadings in summer (Shi et al., 2019). An overview of the selected filters and their respective mass loadings is given in Tab. S1. From these 20 filters, filter punches (1.8 or 3.5 cm<sup>2</sup>) were extracted three times in 5 ml methanol (Optima® LC/MS grade) by sonication. The samples  
20 were cooled in an ice water bath during the extraction process to prevent heating of the solvent. The combined extracts from the three rounds of sonication were first filtered using 0.45 µm pore size filters (SUPELCO Iso-Disc™ Filters PTFE-4-4, 4 mm×0.45 µm). After gentle evaporation under nitrogen (N<sub>2</sub>) to about 1-2 ml, the extracts were filtered a second time using 0.2 µm pore size filters (SUPELCO Iso-Disc™ Filters PTFE-4-2, 4 mm×0.2 µm) and evaporated further under N<sub>2</sub> to volumes between 120 µl and to 1.8 ml. The volumes were adjusted so that the concentration of total particle mass in the extracts is  
25 approximately the same (~1.0 µg·µl<sup>-1</sup> assuming complete solvation). In addition, one of the procedural blank filters was extracted using the same method as described above for each of the two seasons. The extracts were stored in the freezer for 1-2 days until mass spectrometry analysis. For the Birmingham samples, five 24 h HVS quartz fibre filters were collected from an urban background site and a road tunnel each and processed in a similar way as described above, although with only one filtration step. A more detailed description of these samples and their collection, analysis and data processing can be found in  
30 Tong et al. (2016).

## 2.2 Mass spectrometry measurement and data processing

All mass spectrometry measurements were performed in direct infusion, negative ionisation mode using an ultrahigh resolution LTQ Orbitrap Velos Mass Spectrometer (Thermo Fisher, Bremen, Germany) with a TriVersa NanoMate® chip-based electrospray ionisation source (Advion Biosciences, Ithaca NY, USA). The source parameters were set to an injection volume of 5.0 µl, an ionisation voltage of -1.4 kV and a back pressure of 0.7 psi. The capillary temperature was 200°C. The mass spectrometer was routinely calibrated using Pierce LTQ Velos ESI Positive Ion Calibration Solution and a Pierce ESI Negative Ion Calibration Solution (Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). The mass accuracy of the instrument was below 1.5 ppm, which was regularly checked before the analysis. Mass spectra were collected in full scan mode over two different mass ranges:  $m/z$  100-650 and  $m/z$  150-900, with a resolution of 100 000 at  $m/z$  400. For each sample and blank, three replicate measurements of 1 min each were carried out for the two different mass ranges.

Initial assignments of molecular composition were made using Xcalibur 2.2 software (Thermo Scientific). The following constraints were applied to both sample and blank mass spectra: maximum number of molecular formula assignments per peak in the mass spectrum: 40, mass tolerance:  $\pm 5$  ppm; the molecular formula were assumed to contain only the following elements with the given number of atoms:  $1 \leq {}^{12}\text{C} \leq 100$ ,  $0 \leq {}^{13}\text{C} \leq 1$ ,  $1 \leq {}^1\text{H} \leq 200$ ,  $0 \leq {}^{16}\text{O} \leq 50$ ,  $0 \leq {}^{14}\text{N} \leq 5$ ,  $0 \leq {}^{32}\text{S} \leq 2$ ,  $0 \leq {}^{34}\text{S} \leq 1$ . The three repeat measurements of the blank filters for both high and low mass range were ~~combined~~ manually merged to yield four final blank spectrafiles: low mass range winter, high mass range winter, low mass range summer and high mass range summer. Each of these merged blank files contains all masses from the three repeat measurements as separate data points. Data filtering was performed using Mathematica 11.2 (Wolfram Research Inc., UK) with a code package developed in-house (Zielinski et al., 2018). In the first instance, all ions below the noise level, which was estimated based on fitting a normal distribution to a histogram of intensities, were removed from the spectrum. As a second step, peaks in the sample that have a corresponding match in the blank with an intensity above a minimum sample-to-blank ratio of 10 were removed (blank subtraction). Based on the mass drift of 12-15 reference compounds present in the sample, the maximum acceptable mass drift was set to the highest/lowest reference mass drift  $\pm 0.5$  ppm. All assignments based on mass drifts outside this range were discarded. Several additional rules were employed to remove chemically non-meaningful assignments: all molecular formulae where  $\text{O}/\text{C} \geq 2.0$ ,  $0.3 \leq \text{H}/\text{C} \leq 2.5$ ,  $\text{N}/\text{C} \geq 1.3$ ,  $\text{S}/\text{C} \geq 0.8$  were eliminated with the aim to remove compounds that are not likely to be observed in nature, as well as assignments without carbon, hydrogen or oxygen. Neutral formulae that had either a noninteger or a negative value of the double bond equivalent (DBE) were also removed from the list of possible molecules. Double bond equivalents were calculated using the following equation (McLafferty and Tureček, 1993):

$$DBE = x - \frac{1}{2}y + \frac{1}{2}z + 1 \quad (1)$$

with  $\text{I}_y\text{II}_n\text{III}_z\text{IV}_x$ , where I=monovalent elements; II=bivalent elements; III=trivalent elements and IV=tetravalent elements. Sulfur was assumed to be bivalent and nitrogen trivalent for this calculation, where I=H; II=O, S; III=N and IV=C under the assumption that sulfur is bivalent. Assignments which fail the nitrogen rule (McLafferty and Tureček, 1993) were similarly

removed. Elemental formulae containing  $^{13}\text{C}$  or  $^{34}\text{S}$  were checked for the presence of their  $^{12}\text{C}$  or  $^{32}\text{S}$  counterparts respectively.

~~If there was no peak with a matching composition containing only the lighter isotope or if the intensity ratio of heavier-to-lighter isotope was greater than the natural isotopic abundance, more common isotope was not present above a certain ratio,~~ the

formula with the next larger mass error was used instead. The three repeated measurements for each sample and mass range were then combined into one file, keeping only ions present in all three replicates. The two mass ranges were then merged, resulting in one combined mass spectrum per sample. As a final step, the five samples taken for each of the four measurement conditions (winter high (WH), winter low (WL), summer high (SH) and summer low (SL)) were combined into a single mass spectrum for each of the four conditions. These mass spectra contain only ions present in all five samples analysed for the respective atmospheric condition, thus representing a typical chemical composition for WH, WL, SH and SL, respectively.

With the exception of section 3.3, the following discussion will only compare these four common ion spectra only and not the 20 individual samples.

### 2.3 Ion chromatography analysis

A cut piece of  $3\text{ cm}^3$  of these filters and 10 mL deionized water ( $18\Omega$ ) were added into a 15 mL polypropylene centrifuge tube. They were then sonicated using an ultrasonic bath with ice water for 1 hour at a controlled temperature ( $<20^\circ\text{C}$ ).

Subsequently, the extract solution was shaken on a mechanical shaker for three hours at approximately 60 cycles per minute. Water anion soluble ions ( $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$ ,  $\text{NO}_3^-$ ) in the filtered extract solution were analyzed by ion chromatography (Dionex model ICS-1100).

### 2.4 Back trajectory modelling

The Numerical Atmospheric Modelling Environment (NAME, UK Met Office) (Jones et al., 2007) was used to track the pathways of air masses arriving in Beijing. A large number of hypothetical inert particles are released and their pathways are tracked backwards in time using meteorological fields from the UK Met Office's Unified Model with a horizontal grid resolution of  $0.23^\circ$  longitude by  $0.16^\circ$  latitude and 59 vertical levels up to an approximate height of 30 km (Brown et al., 2012).

For this study, we modelled 3 day backward footprints with release periods that were the same time as the measurements (i.e. 22/23 h). The output has a resolution of  $0.25^\circ \times 0.25^\circ$ , and represents the hypothetical inert particles passing through the surface layer (here defined as: 0–100 m above ground) during their travel to the IAP meteorological tower at Beijing. The residence

time the air masses spent over a specific location (Fig. 3Figure 2) or region (Fig. S2) during the four measurement conditions (WH, WL, SH, SL) was calculated by producing a summed plot of the NAME footprints from the five individual samples analysed for each measurement condition. More in-depth information about the origin of different air masses in Beijing, including time periods not covered by the APHH campaign, can be found in (Panagi et al., (2020)Panagi et al. (submitted).

They investigated the different origins of the air masses during a four year period and how this is correlated with CO levels and CO transportation to Beijing and calculated the residence times in air masses from four quadrants around the IAP tower in Beijing, finding many more north westerly air masses in winter and south in summer.

### 3 Results and Discussion

~~A general overview of the particle composition is given in section 3.1 Compositional Overview, followed by a more in-depth discussion of the aromatic compounds (section 3.2 Aromatic compounds) and sulfur- and nitrogen-containing compounds (section~~


#### 5 ~~3.3 Sulfur- and Nitrogen-Containing Compounds).~~

#### 3.1 Compositional Overview

The common ion mass spectra for the four atmospheric conditions are shown in ~~Fig. 1 Fehler! Verweisquelle konnte nicht gefunden werden.~~, indicating that the vast majority of compounds above the detection limit are below  $m/z$  500. While in winter almost no peaks are present with  $m/z > 450$ , a significant number of peaks up to  $m/z$  500 are detected in the summer samples. The overall number of assigned formulae per sample ranged from 918 in the SL sample to 1586 in the WH sample. This is a lower limit on the total number of ionised compounds in the samples, as the technique cannot distinguish between structural isomers. ~~Figure 2~~~~Figure 4~~ shows the relative number contribution of the four different compound groups (CHO, CHON, CHOS, CHONS) to the total number of assigned formulae. ~~ESI, like all ionisation methods for mass spectrometry, is not equally efficient in ionising different compounds and the ionisation efficiency for one compound will differ between positive and negative mode. ESI works best for polar molecules, which is why pure hydrocarbons are usually not detected efficiently.~~ -A trend that can be seen in the detected formulae is the presence of significantly more CHON formulae in summer compared to winter. ~~The opposite trend was observed in Shanghai by (Wang et al., (2017a), who found higher numbers and relative contribution of CHON in winter. Further information would be needed to explain this discrepancy, which could be due to increased NO<sub>x</sub> photochemical activity in summer resulting e.g. in the formation of organic compounds with nitro- and nitrate functional groups. Furthermore, Another seasonal difference is that~~ significantly more sulphur-containing formulae (CHOS and CHONS) were observed for high pollution conditions in both winter and summer. Overall, the highest percentage of sulphur-containing formulae was found under high pollution conditions in winter. Figure S1 shows the overlap of assigned formulae, divided into CHO, CHON, CHOS and CHONS, between the different samples. From this graph, it can be seen that the low mass loading samples (i.e. WL and SL) contain hardly any unique CHOS and CHONS formulae above our detection limit. ~~These results regarding the sulfur-containing compounds are in good agreement with (Jiang et al., (2016) who compared wintertime Beijing samples collected under hazy and clean conditions.~~ A more detailed discussion of the sulphur and nitrogen containing compounds and their sources can be found in section 3.3.


The strongly varying particle composition in winter and summer and during high and low pollution conditions is reflected by changes of the source regions during these four conditions. Back trajectories show that during strongly polluted days (WH, SH), air masses originate from south of Beijing, which is widely industrialised (~~Fig. 3~~~~Figure 2~~). In contrast, days with low pollution conditions in both seasons are characterised by more varied air mass histories. Especially during the collection of the

WL samples, the air masses originated predominantly from the northwest (Fig. S2), a region including a less developed part of China as well as the only sparsely populated country Mongolia.





**Figure 1:** Negative ionisation mass spectra for the four composite samples WH (a), WL (b) SH (c) and SL (d). Colour-coding differentiated formulae with differing molecular compositions: CHO (red), CHON (blue), CHOS (yellow) and CHONS (green).






Figure 2: Bar charts showing the relative contribution of different compound groups (CHO, CHON, CHOS, CHONS) to the total number of formulae for each of the composite samples: **WH (dark blue), WL (light blue), SH (dark yellow) and SL (light yellow).** **The numbers on the bars indicate the absolute number of formulae detected for the respective sample and compound class.** **SH (dark yellow), SL (light yellow), WH (dark blue) and WL (light blue).**

5




Figure 3: Sum of the 72 hour back trajectories for a) winter, high pollution days (WH), b) summer, high pollution days (SH), c) winter, low pollution days (WL) and d) summer, low pollution days (SL). The colors denote the relative (on a logarithmic scale) residence time of the air masses in each 0.25° x 0.25° grid box (up to 100m from the surface) during the last 72 hours before arriving at the monitoring station (the model calculates the concentration of theoretical air mass particles integrated over time per volume) The colours denote the residence time of the air masses (or the integrated concentration of theoretical air mass particles) in each (0.25° x 0.25°) grid square. The black cross denotes the sampling location.

Average oxygen to carbon (O/C) and hydrogen to carbon (H/C) ratios for each sample set were calculated by dividing the total number of oxygen respective hydrogen atoms in all formulae in the data set by the total number of carbon atoms. The calculated ratios are shown in Table 1. The average H/C ratios are lower in winter and in the Birmingham tunnel (BT) sample. This points towards the presence of more aromatic formulae, while the summer and the Birmingham background (BB) sample are more aliphatic. Aromatic compounds are predominantly produced from anthropogenic sources such as traffic, industry and heating (Baek et al., 1991; Hamilton and Lewis, 2003) whereas aliphatic compounds can be of both anthropogenic and biogenic origins, the latter of which are more prevalent in the summer (Gelencsér et al., 2007; Hu et al., 2017; Kleindienst et al., 2007) (ref).

One type of source that will be present in both seasons and which contributes compounds of both high and low H/C to both high and low H/C particulate matter is vehicle emissions, as these are usually a mix of low carbon number (<24) PAHs and, single-ring aromatics with low H/C and, alkenes and cyclic, branched and straight-chain alkanes with high H/C (Gentner et al., 2012, 2017; Huang et al., 2015; May et al., 2014; Worton et al., 2014). The SL H/C ratio is particularly high which may be due to a larger proportion of primary biogenic organic aerosol components from plant sources with a high H/C, such as

[plant waxes](#), and a smaller influence of industrial sources or vehicle emissions which is more pronounced in the high pollution sample. [Increased contribution of biogenic plant waxes to PM<sub>2.5</sub> during summer in Beijing has been observed previously](#) (Feng et al., 2005).

While the overall H/C ratio shows whether the sample is more aromatic or aliphatic, the O/C ratio gives an indication of how strongly oxidised a sample is. Table 1 shows that there are more highly oxidised formulae in the summer and the BB sample sets which could be due to higher levels of photochemistry in the summer in Beijing, and at the Birmingham background site. The O/C ratio is much lower in the winter and in the Birmingham tunnel sample. In Beijing, this could be due to reduced photochemistry in the winter months in Beijing, so that the particles are sampled before they can undergo atmospheric ageing processes, for example reacting with OH radicals and ozone. [The average ozone concentrations at the IAP site during sample collection were 13 ppb \(WL\), 6 ppb \(WH\), 39 ppb \(SL\) and 63 ppb \(SH\)](#) ~~Ozone concentrations at the IAP site during the APHH campaign were 16.4±17.0 µg m<sup>-3</sup> in winter and 106.9±71.6 µg m<sup>-3</sup> in summer (Shi et al., 2019).~~ [In addition to ozone, the concentrations of other gas pollutants as well as temperature and humidity data for the different samples can be found in the supplement in Tab. S2.](#) For the BT sample, the lower O/C ratio likely reflects a largely primary particle composition.

Van Krevelen diagrams, in which H/C is plotted against O/C for each assigned formula (Kim et al., 2003), are widely used as a means to categorize aerosol samples since they provide a clear representation of the range of O/C ratios found in the organic aerosol sample (Nizkorodov et al., 2011). Van Krevelen plots for both the Beijing samples and the samples from Birmingham are shown in [Fig. 4](#) ~~Figure 3~~. It can be seen that for the winter samples, a lot of formulae are located in the aromatic region (H/C<1, O/C<0.5) (Mazzoleni et al., 2012), and that there is a significant reduction of formulae in this region in the summer data. This aromatic region is also strongly populated in the BT sample. The SL period is nearly devoid of formulae in the aromatic region, while the aromatic region of SH is similar to the BB sample, which was recorded in early autumn. These observations about aromaticity are in agreement with the conclusions drawn from the total H/C ratio. The formulae that can be identified as aromatic tend to be CHO and CHON. Conversely, CHOS and CHONS formulae are primarily located outside of this aromatic region, with much higher H/C ratios, indicating a lower level of aromaticity. [Figure 4](#) ~~Figure 3~~ shows that there is a visible increase in not just the percentage of sulphur-containing formulae (see [Fig. 2](#) ~~Figure 1~~), but also the absolute number of sulphurous formulae in WH over WL. A similar trend can be seen for the summer.

**Table 1: Average O/C and H/C ratios for each sample set.**

Sample Set	Average O/C	Average H/C
Winter Low (WL)	0.41	1.19
Winter High (WH)	0.48	1.25
Summer Low (SL)	0.54	1.53
Summer High (SH)	0.62	1.44
Birmingham Background (BB)	0.54	1.44

Birmingham Tunnel (BT)	0.42	1.16
------------------------	------	------




Figure 4: Van Krevelen plot for the WH, WL, SH, SL, BT and BB sample sets. The colours denote the CHO (red), CHON (blue), CHOS (yellow) and CHONS (green) formulae detected for each sample set. The aromatic region is shaded in grey.

### 3.2 Aromatic compounds

The low H/C ratios for several of the samples suggest the presence of a large number of aromatic compounds. ~~Figure 5~~Figure 4 shows a Van Krevelen plot for the different samples in which the different formulae are colour mapped according to their Double Bond Equivalent (DBE), which was calculated according to Eq. 1. The DBE gives the number of double bonds plus rings in a molecule. ~~by assuming all atoms involved obey the octet rule (except hydrogen).~~ For DBE calculations, it is usually assumed that all atoms involved (except hydrogen) obey the octet rule. While this assumption tends to hold true in strictly reducing environments, under oxidic conditions, such as in the atmosphere, it is likely that both sulfur and nitrogen compounds with higher valency are present. Such compounds for example include organosulfates or -nitrates and nitro compounds, which are frequently detected in ambient particles. The DBE values calculated here therefore represent a lower limit. A high DBE indicates likely aromaticity of a compound. It can be seen that the region identified in ~~Fig. 4~~Figure 3 as containing most likely aromatic compounds, contains the formulae with the highest DBE. The smallest PAH, naphthalene, has a DBE of 7, thus all formulae in ~~Fig. 5~~Figure 4 shown in yellow, red or brown colours are probably polycyclic aromatics, likely oxidised PAHs. This assumption is corroborated by the findings of Elzein et al., (2019), who used gas chromatography–time-of-flight mass spectrometry to quantify the concentration of 10 oxygenated PAHs (OPAHs) and 9 nitrated PAHs (NPAHs) during the APHH winter campaign and found the total concentration of OPAHs to range from 1.8 to 95.5 ng·m<sup>-3</sup> and that of NPAHs from 0.13 to 6.43 ng·m<sup>-3</sup>.

The DBE values of the molecules found in the aromatic region for WH and WL exceed that of the sample from BT. This suggests that larger polycyclic aromatics are found in Beijing air in the winter than in aerosol collected in a road tunnel in a European city, likely due to an increase in solid fuel burning for residential heating. A general increase in the concentration of PAHs and oxidised PAHs in China during winter, generally attributed to residential heating, has been observed in multiple studies (Bandowe et al., 2014). In addition to this, Zhang et al. (2017) found a sharp increase in the concentration of higher-ring-number ( $\geq 4$ ) PAHs at the start of the heating season and Huang et al. (2014) observed a similar increase in emission of higher-ring-number PAHs for coal combustion compared to gasoline and diesel, lending weight to our hypothesis about the origin of the larger polycyclic aromatics.

25




Figure 5: Van Krevelen plot for the WH, WL, SH, SL, BT and BB sample sets. Colour indicates the Double Bond Equivalent (DBE), calculated under the assumption that sulfur is bivalent. The aromatic region is shaded in grey.



While the DBE can be used to determine the number of C-C double bonds in pure hydrocarbons, heteroatoms present in a compound can form double bonds not contributing to aromaticity, ring formation or condensation (Koch and Dittmar, 2006). To overcome this problem associated with DBE another parameter has been developed, the aromaticity equivalent (Xc). For organic molecules containing only oxygen and/or nitrogen, the aromaticity equivalent can be calculated as follows (Yassine et al., 2014):

$$X_c = \frac{2C + N - H - 2mO}{DBE - mO} + 1$$

where C, N, H and O are the number of carbon, nitrogen, hydrogen and oxygen atoms respectively and m is the fraction of oxygen atoms involved in the  $\pi$ -bond structure of the compound which differs for different functional groups. For carboxylic acids, esters, and nitro functional groups  $m = 0.5$ . Since the measurements in this study were performed with electrospray ionisation in negative mode, carboxylic acids likely dominate the signal so  $m = 0.5$  is presumed for the calculation of Xc. Organic peroxy compounds can account for a significant fraction of organic particulate matter. For these compounds m is <0.5, as less than half of the oxygen atoms are involved in a  $\pi$ -bond structure. If our samples contain a large number of peroxy compounds, we would be underestimating the degree of unsaturation in the sample. The same is true if most of the of nitrogen containing compounds are organonitrates rather than nitro compounds. The values should therefore be considered a conservative estimate. If  $DBE \leq mO$ , then  $X_c = 0$ . Compounds with  $X_c \leq 2.5000$  are non-aromatic, for monocyclic aromatics  $2.5000 \leq X_c \leq 2.7143$  and for polycyclic aromatics  $X_c \geq 2.7143$ . There are too many possible oxidation states of sulfur to correctly assign the aromaticity of the CHOS and CHONS compounds and so this characteristic was not investigated further for S-containing formulae.

The distribution of polycyclic, monocyclic aromatics and aliphatic formulae on a Van Krevelen plot for all samples is shown in Fig. 6Figure-5. The vast majority of polycyclic aromatics can be found in the region outlined before as aromatic ( $H/C < 1$ ,  $O/C < 0.5$ ), however some have slightly higher H/C ratios, which may be due to extended alkyl chains as the H/C cut off does not account for this. The majority of monocyclic aromatics are found outside the aromatic region, which indicates that the majority of them contain long alkyl chains. Molecules classified as non-aromatic are found entirely outside of the previously defined aromatic region, as expected. The calculated Xc values confirm that the summer samples have many fewer aromatic formulae than the winter samples. The SL sample is particularly low in aromatic formulae (132 aromatic formulae vs. 801 in total), especially regarding polycyclic aromatics (26 formulae). In contrast, there are a reasonable number of polycyclic (85) and monocyclic (159) aromatics in SH. The two winter samples both show high contributions of aromatic formulae (76% in WH vs. 77% in WL of all detected formulae in these samples). However, the WH sample is strongly dominated by polycyclic aromatics with 403 polycyclic vs. 260 monocyclic aromatic formulae, while monocyclic and polycyclic aromatics are present in nearly equal numbers in the WL sample (320 monocyclic and 297 polycyclic aromatic formulae). The high fraction of aromatics present in the winter samples is consistent with the results from Wang et al. (2018), who also compared filter samples collected in Beijing wintertime air under high and low pollution conditions. As mentioned previously, a lot of the polycyclic aromatic compounds are likely oxidised PAHs. Oxidised PAHs such as nitro-PAH, oxy-PAH and hydroxy-PAH can be

produced either directly from incomplete combustion or pyrolysis of fossil fuel and biomass or through oxidation of PAHs in the atmosphere (Albinet et al., 2007; Andreou and Rapsomanikis, 2009; Atkinson and Arey, 1994; Bandowe and Meusel, 2017; Walgraeve et al., 2010). Bandowe et al. (2014) found higher concentrations of oxidised PAHs in winter in Xi'an, a Chinese megacity. They partially attribute this increase to heating activities. A similar increase in the concentration of oxidised PAHs during heating season was observed in Beijing by Lin et al., (2015), who also state that this increase might be linked to winter heating activities. Their source apportionment showed that oxy- and hydroxy-PAHs in particular are dominated by biomass burning emissions during heating season. They state that during the non-heating period, secondary sources become more relevant due to increased photochemical activity, dominating as a source for oxy- and nitro PAHs. This is consistent with our observations of increased O/C during the summer (Table 1). Studies by Liu et al. (2019) and Lyu et al. (2019) confirm that coal combustion and biomass burning were significant sources of particulate matter during the APHH winter campaign, while a quantitative comparison with the summer is still pending.

To further investigate the different aromatic compounds, the approximate average carbon oxidation state ( $\overline{OS}_C$ ) for each formula was plotted against the number of carbon atoms ( $n_C$ ), with all formulae classified as polycyclic aromatics, monocyclic aromatics or non-aromatic. Results for CHO compounds are shown in [Fig. 7Figure-6](#), while results for the CHON compounds can be found in the supplement (Fig. S3).  $\overline{OS}_C$  was calculated for each molecule as follows (Kroll et al., 2011):

$$\overline{OS}_C \approx 2 O/C - H/C$$

where O, C and H are the number of oxygen, carbon and hydrogen atoms respectively.  $\overline{OS}_C$  is used as an alternative metric to O/C for assessing the degree of oxidation since the O/C ratio of organic compounds can also change when a compound undergoes non-oxidative reactions. As different formulae can have the same combination of  $\overline{OS}_C$  and carbon number, [Fig. 7Figure-6](#) and S3 have a lot of overlapping points. In Fig. S4, an offset was applied to the overlapping points to make more data points visible. [Figure 7Figure-6](#) shows that the summer samples contain large numbers of aliphatic CHO formulae with a huge range of different carbon numbers, whereas the non-aromatic formulae in the winter samples only rarely have more than 15 carbons. This is possibly due to increased biogenic emissions of long-chain fatty acids, alkenes and similar compounds during summer.

The majority of the polycyclic aromatics and monocyclic aromatics in the summer are below Carbon Number of 20 (C20). Similarly, the BT sample, where road traffic is the main source, contains hardly any polycyclic aromatics above C18 and only few monocyclic aromatics above C20. This is in strong contrast with the winter samples from Beijing, which show a strong presence of polycyclic aromatics with up to 25 carbon atoms. The winter samples contain large numbers of aromatics, especially polycyclic aromatics above C15. The likely source for these is heating, as they are present in large numbers in both WH and WL yet not in the summer. [This link between higher-ring number polyaromatics and residential heating is supported by studies showing a sharp increase in the concentration of higher-ring-number PAHs at the start of the heating season in Beijing](#) (Zhang et al., 2017a) [and increased emissions of higher-ring-number PAHs for coal combustion compared to gasoline and diesel](#) (Huang et al., 2014). Similar trends can be observed for the CHON compounds in Fig. S3.




Figure 6: Van Krevelen plot for the WH, WL, SH, SL, BT and BB sample sets. Polycyclic aromatic ( $2.7143 \leq X_c$ ), monocyclic-aromatic ( $2.5000 \leq X_c \leq 2.7143$ ) and non-aromatic ( $X_c \leq 2.5000$ ) formulae for all CHO and CHON ions present in the samples are indicated in magenta, cyan and black, respectively. The aromatic region is shaded in grey.




Figure 7: Plot of carbon oxidation state against carbon number for all CHO formulae in the WH, WL SH, SL, BT and BB samples. Polycyclic aromatic ( $2.7143 \leq X_c$ ), monocyclic aromatic ( $2.5000 \leq X_c \leq 2.7143$ ) and non-aromatic ( $X_c \leq 2.5000$ ) formulae are depicted as magenta circles, cyan diamonds and open black diamonds respectively.

5

### 3.3 Sulfur- and Nitrogen-Containing Compounds

The mass spectra of all four investigated conditions show that the majority of assigned formulae contain sulfur and/or nitrogen atoms. Organic compounds containing sulfur and nitrogen such as organosulfates, organosulfonates, nitrooxyorganosulfates, amines, organonitrates and nitro compounds are prevalent in the atmosphere (Bandowe et al., 2014; Canales et al., 2018; Huang et al., 2012b; Inuma et al., 2007; Kiendler-Scharr et al., 2016; Kristensen and Glasius, 2011; Lee et al., 2016; Riva et al., 2015; Valle-Hernández et al., 2010). As mentioned previously, all ionisation methods for mass spectrometry differ in their ionisation efficiency towards different compounds. Nonpolar compounds are generally not ionised well in ESI and negative mode ESI is not sensitive towards reduced nitrogen compounds such as amines and imines, while organosulfates, organosulfonates, organonitrates and nitro compounds should ionise well.

15 One common type of sulfur containing organics are organosulfates, which are estimated to contribute as much as 30% to organic fine particle mass (Surratt et al., 2008). They are likely formed Secondary organosulfate formation likely proceeds

through particle-phase chemistry via a variety of proposed mechanisms such as esterification of hydroxyl and keto groups (Liggio and Li, 2006), acid-catalysed ring-opening of epoxides (Inuma et al., 2009; Minerath and Elrod, 2009), radical-initiated processes (Galloway et al., 2009; Nozière et al., 2010) and the direct reaction of SO<sub>2</sub> with unsaturated compounds (Passananti et al., 2016). Inorganic SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup> in particles is formed through oxidation of sulfur dioxide, which is primarily emitted from anthropogenic sources, especially over land (Smith et al., 2001). In Beijing, SO<sub>2</sub> concentrations tend to be particularly high in winter (Zhang et al., 2011; Zhou et al., 2015), with a large contribution from heating (Huang et al., 2012a). However, the resulting concentration of SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup> also strongly depends on the rate of SO<sub>2</sub> oxidation. In Beijing, average SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup> concentrations in fine particles are usually higher in summer than in winter (Chen et al., 2017; Hu et al., 2016; Huang et al., 2016; Liu et al., 2017), which is attributed to increased photooxidation during summer. In our study, the average sulfate concentration was however slightly lower in summer (6.9 µg/m<sup>3</sup>) than in winter (8.5 µg/m<sup>3</sup>). This unusually low concentration compared to the cites studies might be due to the fact that our campaign was conducted earlier in summer than the others. Studies have also shown that the formation rate of SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup> is particularly high during haze episodes, with a strong contribution from heterogeneous oxidation within haze droplets (Ma et al., 2018; Wang et al., 2006), leading to high maximum concentrations of sulfate during haze events, of which several strong ones occurred in winter during our campaign. While organosulfate formation has been primarily understood to be a secondary process, a recent direct infusion UHRMS study of coal combustion by (Song et al., 2019) found 5-25 % of formulae in the methanol-extracted fraction to contain sulfur, indicating the potential importance of direct organosulfate emissions. A study by (Wang et al., 2019) showed that organosulfates in wintertime PM<sub>2.5</sub> in Beijing originated from multiple types of biogenic and anthropogenic precursors.

-The majority of S-containing formulae in our samples contain only one sulfur atom. Out of those, most (≥99% for WH and WL, >92% for SH and SL) also contain at least four oxygen atoms, marking them as potential organosulfates. This is in line with previous studies (Jiang et al., 2016; Tao et al., 2014; Wang et al., 2019), or nitrooxy organosulfates. For the CHONS formulae, 77% in WH, 94% in WL, 86% in SH and 91% in SL have at least 7 oxygen atoms, indicating potential nitrooxy organosulfates.

Unlike organosulfates, formation of organonitrates is thought to occur mostly in gas phase through e.g. the reaction of peroxy radicals with NO or oxidation of volatile organic compounds through the NO<sub>3</sub> radical (Ng et al., 2017; Zhang et al., 2004). Inorganic NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> in particles is predominantly present in the form of NH<sub>4</sub>NO<sub>3</sub>, which is formed through reaction of NH<sub>3</sub> with HNO<sub>3</sub>. The dominant pathways for HNO<sub>3</sub> formation are the reaction of NO<sub>2</sub> with OH radical during daytime and N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> hydrolysis during the night (Bauer et al., 2007; Khoder, 2002). In Beijing, ammonium-poor particles were found to still have very high NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> content. It has been suggested that hydrolysis of N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> in particles is responsible for this phenomenon (Pathak et al., 2009). Just like for SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup>, NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> concentrations in Beijing are enhanced during haze episodes (Huang et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2006). Apart from organonitrates, nitroaromatics are another important class of N-containing organics in the atmosphere which can be detected negative mode ESI. They are predominantly can either be emitted directly via combustion of biomass and fossil fuels (Heeb et al., 2008; Karavalakis et al., 2010; Wang et al., 2017b) or formed in the atmosphere through reaction of aromatics (Keyte et al., 2013), with OH radicals, followed by reaction of the OH adduct with NO<sub>2</sub> (Atkinson and Arey,

2007; Nishino et al., 2008). Apart from being both a primary and secondary source of nitroaromatics, biomass burning can also lead to formation of other nitrogen-containing organics, such as alkaloids (Laskin et al., 2009). However, these compounds are unlikely to be detected in negative mode ESI.

The majority of detected N-containing formulae (97% WH, 89% WL, 98% SH and 97% SL) also contained at least three oxygen atoms, marking them as potential organonitrates. Nitro compounds on the other hand have a minimum of two oxygens per molecule, which is the case for >99% of N-containing formulae in the WH, SH and SL samples and 96% in the WL sample.

To obtain further information about the potential sources of the sulphur- and nitrogen-containing compounds in our study, the total number of molecular formulae containing sulphur and/or nitrogen were plotted against the concentration of inorganic sulphate ( $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$ ) and nitrate ( $\text{NO}_3^-$ ) (Fig. 8Figure 7) for each measured sample respectively. The direct infusion MS analyses done here does not allow to determine compound concentrations accurately, thus we use the number of CHOS compounds as an indicator for the importance of the formation processes for S-containing organic compounds. An overview of the correlation parameters can be found in the supplement (Tab. S43). For the winter samples, there was a statistically significant positive correlation between the number of CHOS formulae and the concentration of inorganic  $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$  on that day (Fig. 8Figure 7a), corroborating results of other studies that S-containing organics are formed in the particle phase. The sulphate data did not correlate as well for summer (Fig. 8Figure 7b), which might be explained by the lower maximum concentrations of  $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$  and the on average slightly lower  $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$  concentrations during our summer campaign, where ~~re~~n particle-phase formation reactions of -S-containing organics might become less important. Very similar trends are observed for CHONS, suggesting common formation routes for these compounds as for CHOS. The ions that are found only in the WH and not the WL sample are likely to be representative of winter haze events. Over half of these components are sulphur containing (Fig. S1) – which suggests high formation rates for organic sulphurous compounds during winter haze events. (Wang et al., (2019) found that organosulfates with a high carbon number were significantly more abundant in polluted Beijing winter samples than in samples taken under low pollution conditions, which indicates that in polluted air, more OSs are generated from long-chain alkanes.

It can be seen in panel c and d of Fig. 8Figure 7 that the number of CHON formulae does not correlate significantly with the  $\text{NO}_3^-$  concentration while CHONS does, though this correlation is only statistically significant for the winter samples. This suggests that in contrast to the S-containing organics, most N-containing organics detected in our samples are not formed in the particle phase. As stated earlier, the detection mode we used is biased towards oxidised N-containing organics such as organic nitrates, the formation of organic nitrogen compounds is known to occur which are known to form predominantly in the gas phase and and nitro compounds, which can be of either primary or secondary origin, with a strong contribution of gas-phase oxidation in the second case. A, so that a correlation with particle-phase nitrate is therefore not expected.




Figure 8: Number of molecular formula with the elemental composition CHOS (yellow diamonds), CHONS (green square) and CHON (blue triangles) plotted against the concentration of  $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$  (a&b) or  $\text{NO}_3^-$  (c&d) in the particle phase, analysed via ion chromatography. An overview of the correlation parameters can be found in the supplement (Tab. S43).

## Conclusions

Comparison of PM<sub>2.5</sub> filter samples from Beijing from the winter and summer APHH-Beijing campaign were analysed with direct injection ultra-high resolution mass spectrometry. A strong variation in the composition of organic particles was observed between high and low pollution conditions as well as between the two seasons. In summer significantly more CHON formulae were detected compared to winter, likely due to the increased NO<sub>x</sub>-driven photochemistry in summer. S-containing formulae were more dominant during high-pollution events in both seasons and highest during winter haze events. The contribution of aromatic compounds was strongly increased in winter, likely due to heating as an additional source. The relative contribution of S-containing formulae increased under high pollution conditions and the number of S-containing formulae showed correlation with inorganic SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup> on the filters, consistent with a particle-phase formation process, while no such correlation was found for N-containing formulae and inorganic NO<sub>3</sub>.

## Data availability

~~Data will be uploaded on CEDA. More details will be added.~~ The direct infusion ultra-high resolution mass spectrometry data is available through the CEDA Archive (<https://catalogue.ceda.ac.uk/uuid/680ebdcb83c244fdb9d069e2f8952812>).

## Author contributions

MK and SSS designed the research. DJP and SSS performed the mass spectrometry measurements and data analysis. TVV measured the ion chromatography data. MP ran the NAME model. SSS wrote the manuscript with contributions from all co-authors.

## Competing Interests

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

## Special issue statement.

This article is part of the special issue “In-depth study of air pollution sources and processes within Beijing and its surrounding region (APHH-Beijing) (ACP/AMT inter-journal SI)”. It is not associated with a conference.

## Acknowledgement

We acknowledge the support from Pingqing Fu, Zifa Wang, Jie Li and Yele Sun from IAP for hosting the APHH-Beijing campaign at IAP. We thank Di Liu and Bill Bloss from the University of Birmingham, Siyao Yue, Liangfang Wei, Hong Ren,



Qiaorong Xie, Wanyu Zhao, Linjie Li, Ping Li, Shengjie Hou, Qingqing Wang from IAP, Rachel Dunmore, Ally Lewis and James Lee from the University of York, Kebin He and Xiaoting Cheng from Tsinghua University, and James Allan and Hugh Coe from the University of Manchester for providing logistic and scientific support for the field campaigns.

This research was funded by the UK Natural Environment Research Council (NERC) as part of the APHH-Beijing study (NE/N007190/1 and NE/N007158/1). SSS acknowledges support from the Swiss National Science Foundation (Project No. 162258) and a 2017 LIFE PostDoc fellowship by the AXA Research Fund.

## References

- Albinet, A., Leoz-Garziandia, E., Budzinski, H. and Villenave, E.: Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), nitrated PAHs and oxygenated PAHs in ambient air of the Marseilles area (South of France): Concentrations and sources, *Sci. Total Environ.*, 10 384(1), 280–292, doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2007.04.028>, 2007.
- Andreou, G. and Rapsomanikis, S.: Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons and their oxygenated derivatives in the urban atmosphere of Athens, *J. Hazard. Mater.*, 172(1), 363–373, doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2009.07.023>, 2009.
- Atkinson, R. and Arey, J.: Atmospheric chemistry of gas-phase polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons: formation of atmospheric mutagens., *Environ. Health Perspect.*, 102(suppl 4), 117–126, doi:10.1289/ehp.94102s4117, 1994.
- 15 Baek, S. O., Field, R. A., Goldstone, M. E., Kirk, P. W., Lester, J. N. and Perry, R.: A review of atmospheric polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons: Sources, fate and behavior, *Water. Air. Soil Pollut.*, 60(3), 279–300, doi:10.1007/BF00282628, 1991.
- Bandowe, B. A. M. and Meusel, H.: Nitrated polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (nitro-PAHs) in the environment – A review, *Sci. Total Environ.*, 581–582, 237–257, doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2016.12.115>, 2017.
- Bandowe, B. A. M., Meusel, H., Huang, R., Ho, K., Cao, J., Hoffmann, T. and Wilcke, W.: PM<sub>2.5</sub>-bound oxygenated PAHs, 20 nitro-PAHs and parent-PAHs from the atmosphere of a Chinese megacity: Seasonal variation, sources and cancer risk assessment, *Sci. Total Environ.*, 473–474, 77–87, doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2013.11.108>, 2014.
- Bauer, S. E., Koch, D., Unger, N., Metzger, S. M., Shindell, D. T. and Streets, D. G.: Nitrate aerosols today and in 2030: a global simulation including aerosols and tropospheric ozone, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 7(19), 5043–5059, doi:10.5194/acp-7-5043-2007, 2007.
- 25 Brown, A., Milton, S., Cullen, M., Golding, B., Mitchell, J. and Shelly, A.: Unified Modeling and Prediction of Weather and Climate: A 25-Year Journey, *Bull. Am. Meteorol. Soc.*, 93(12), 1865–1877, doi:10.1175/BAMS-D-12-00018.1, 2012.
- Canales, R., Achad, M., Smichowski, P., Gómez, D., Reta, M. and Cerutti, S.: Determination of heterocyclic aromatic amines in airborne particulate matter (PM<sub>2.5</sub> and PM<sub>10</sub>) from different emission sources by ultra-high performance liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry, *Microchem. J.*, 139, 34–41, doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.microc.2018.02.012>, 30 2018.
- Chan, C. K. and Yao, X.: Air pollution in mega cities in China, *Atmos. Environ.*, 42(1), 1–42, doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2007.09.003>, 2008.

- Chen, R., Cheng, J., Lv, J., Wu, L. and Wu, J.: Comparison of chemical compositions in air particulate matter during summer and winter in Beijing, China, *Environ. Geochem. Health*, 39(4), 913–921, doi:10.1007/s10653-016-9862-9, 2017.
- Cohen, A. J., Brauer, M., Burnett, R., Anderson, H. R., Frostad, J., Estep, K., Balakrishnan, K., Brunekreef, B., Dandona, L., Dandona, R., Feigin, V., Freedman, G., Hubbell, B., Jobling, A., Kan, H., Knibbs, L., Liu, Y., Martin, R., Morawska, L., Pope, C. A., Shin, H., Straif, K., Shaddick, G., Thomas, M., van Dingenen, R., van Donkelaar, A., Vos, T., Murray, C. J. L. and Forouzanfar, M. H.: Estimates and 25-year trends of the global burden of disease attributable to ambient air pollution: an analysis of data from the Global Burden of Diseases Study 2015, *Lancet*, 389(10082), 1907–1918, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(17)30505-6, 2017.
- Dockery, D. W., Pope, C. A., Xu, X., Spengler, J. D., Ware, J. H., Fay, M. E., Ferris, B. G. and Speizer, F. E.: An Association between Air Pollution and Mortality in Six U.S. Cities, *N. Engl. J. Med.*, 329(24), 1753–1759, doi:10.1056/NEJM199312093292401, 1993.
- Dzepina, K., Mazzoleni, C., Fialho, P., China, S., Zhang, B., Owen, R. C., Helmig, D., Hueber, J., Kumar, S., Perlinger, J. A., Kramer, L. J., Dziobak, M. P., Ampadu, M. T., Olsen, S., Wuebbles, D. J. and Mazzoleni, L. R.: Molecular characterization of free tropospheric aerosol collected at the Pico Mountain Observatory: a case study with a long-range transported biomass burning plume, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 15(9), 5047–5068, doi:10.5194/acp-15-5047-2015, 2015.
- Elzein, A., Dunmore, R. E., Ward, M. W., Hamilton, J. F. and Lewis, A. C.: Variability of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons and their oxidative derivatives in wintertime Beijing, China, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 19(13), 8741–8758, doi:10.5194/acp-19-8741-2019, 2019.
- Feng, J., Chan, C. K., Fang, M., Hu, M., He, L. and Tang, X.: Impact of meteorology and energy structure on solvent extractable organic compounds of PM<sub>2.5</sub> in Beijing, China, *Chemosphere*, 61(5), 623–632, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2005.03.067, 2005.
- Galloway, M. M., Chhabra, P. S., Chan, A. W. H., Surratt, J. D., Flagan, R. C., Seinfeld, J. H. and Keutsch, F. N.: Glyoxal uptake on ammonium sulphate seed aerosol: reaction products and reversibility of uptake under dark and irradiated conditions, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 9(10), 3331–3345, doi:10.5194/acp-9-3331-2009, 2009.
- Gao, J., Wang, K., Wang, Y., Liu, S., Zhu, C., Hao, J., Liu, H., Hua, S. and Tian, H.: Temporal-spatial characteristics and source apportionment of PM<sub>2.5</sub> as well as its associated chemical species in the Beijing-Tianjin-Hebei region of China, *Environ. Pollut.*, 233, 714–724, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2017.10.123, 2018.
- Gelencsér, A., May, B., Simpson, D., Sánchez-Ochoa, A., Kasper-Giebl, A., Puxbaum, H., Caseiro, A., Pio, C. and Legrand, M.: Source apportionment of PM<sub>2.5</sub> organic aerosol over Europe: Primary/secondary, natural/anthropogenic, and fossil/biogenic origin, *J. Geophys. Res. Atmos.*, 112(D23), doi:10.1029/2006JD008094, 2007.
- Gentner, D. R., Isaacman, G., Worton, D. R., Chan, A. W. H., Dallmann, T. R., Davis, L., Liu, S., Day, D. A., Russell, L. M., Wilson, K. R., Weber, R., Guha, A., Harley, R. A. and Goldstein, A. H.: Elucidating secondary organic aerosol from diesel and gasoline vehicles through detailed characterization of organic carbon emissions, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.*, 109(45), 18318 LP – 18323, doi:10.1073/pnas.1212272109, 2012.

- Gentner, D. R., Jathar, S. H., Gordon, T. D., Bahreini, R., Day, D. A., El Haddad, I., Hayes, P. L., Pieber, S. M., Platt, S. M., de Gouw, J., Goldstein, A. H., Harley, R. A., Jimenez, J. L., Prévôt, A. S. H. and Robinson, A. L.: Review of Urban Secondary Organic Aerosol Formation from Gasoline and Diesel Motor Vehicle Emissions, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 51(3), 1074–1093, doi:10.1021/acs.est.6b04509, 2017.
- 5 Giorio, C., Bortolini, C., Kourtchev, I., Tapparo, A., Bogialli, S. and Kalberer, M.: Direct target and non-target analysis of urban aerosol sample extracts using atmospheric pressure photoionisation high-resolution mass spectrometry, *Chemosphere*, 224, 786–795, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2019.02.151, 2019.
- Goldstein, A. H. and Galbally, I. E.: Known and Unexplored Organic Constituents in the Earth's Atmosphere, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 41(5), 1514–1521, doi:10.1021/es072476p, 2007.
- 10 Guan, W.-J., Zheng, X.-Y., Chung, K. F. and Zhong, N.-S.: Impact of air pollution on the burden of chronic respiratory diseases in China: time for urgent action, *Lancet*, 388(10054), 1939–1951, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(16)31597-5, 2016.
- Hamilton, J. F. and Lewis, A. C.: Monoaromatic complexity in urban air and gasoline assessed using comprehensive GC and fast GC-TOF/MS, *Atmos. Environ.*, 37(5), 589–602, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/S1352-2310(02)00930-5, 2003.
- He, K., Yang, F., Ma, Y., Zhang, Q., Yao, X., Chan, C. K., Cadle, S., Chan, T. and Mulawa, P.: The characteristics of PM<sub>2.5</sub> in Beijing, China, *Atmos. Environ.*, 35(29), 4959–4970, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/S1352-2310(01)00301-6, 2001.
- 15 Heeb, N. V., Schmid, P., Kohler, M., Gujer, E., Zennegg, M., Wenger, D., Wichser, A., Ulrich, A., Gfeller, U., Honegger, P., Zeyer, K., Emmenegger, L., Petermann, J.-L., Czerwinski, J., Mosimann, T., Kasper, M. and Mayer, A.: Secondary Effects of Catalytic Diesel Particulate Filters: Conversion of PAHs versus Formation of Nitro-PAHs, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 42(10), 3773–3779, doi:10.1021/es7026949, 2008.
- 20 Hoek, G., Krishnan, R. M., Beelen, R., Peters, A., Ostro, B., Brunekreef, B. and Kaufman, J. D.: Long-term air pollution exposure and cardio-respiratory mortality: a review, *Environ. Heal.*, 12(1), 43, doi:10.1186/1476-069X-12-43, 2013.
- Hu, J., Wang, Y., Ying, Q. and Zhang, H.: Spatial and temporal variability of PM<sub>2.5</sub> and PM<sub>10</sub> over the North China Plain and the Yangtze River Delta, China, *Atmos. Environ.*, 95, 598–609, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2014.07.019, 2014.
- Hu, J., Wang, P., Ying, Q., Zhang, H., Chen, J., Ge, X., Li, X., Jiang, J., Wang, S., Zhang, J., Zhao, Y. and Zhang, Y.: Modeling biogenic and anthropogenic secondary organic aerosol in China, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 17(1), 77–92, doi:10.5194/acp-17-77-2017, 2017.
- 25 Hu, W., Hu, M., Hu, W., Jimenez, J. L., Yuan, B., Chen, W., Wang, M., Wu, Y., Chen, C., Wang, Z., Peng, J., Zeng, L. and Shao, M.: Chemical composition, sources, and aging process of submicron aerosols in Beijing: Contrast between summer and winter, *J. Geophys. Res. Atmos.*, 121(4), 1955–1977, doi:10.1002/2015JD024020, 2016.
- 30 Huang, C., Wang, H. L., Li, L., Wang, Q., Lu, Q., de Gouw, J. A., Zhou, M., Jing, S. A., Lu, J. and Chen, C. H.: VOC species and emission inventory from vehicles and their SOA formation potentials estimation in Shanghai, China, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 15(19), 11081–11096, doi:10.5194/acp-15-11081-2015, 2015.
- Huang, Q., Cheng, S., Perozzi, R. E. and Perozzi, E. F.: Use of a MM5–CAM<sub>x</sub>–PSAT Modeling System to Study SO<sub>2</sub> Source Apportionment in the Beijing Metropolitan Region, *Environ. Model. Assess.*, 17(5), 527–538, doi:10.1007/s10666-012-9312-

8, 2012a.

Huang, W., Huang, B., Bi, X., Lin, Q., Liu, M., Ren, Z., Zhang, G., Wang, X., Sheng, G. and Fu, J.: Emission of PAHs, NPAHs and OPAHs from residential honeycomb coal briquette combustion, *Energy & Fuels*, 28(1), 636–642, doi:10.1021/ef401901d, 2014.

- 5 Huang, X., Liu, Z., Zhang, J., Wen, T., Ji, D. and Wang, Y.: Seasonal variation and secondary formation of size-segregated aerosol water-soluble inorganic ions during pollution episodes in Beijing, *Atmos. Res.*, 168, 70–79, doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosres.2015.08.021>, 2016.

Huang, Y., Chen, H., Wang, L., Yang, X. and Chen, J.: Single particle analysis of amines in ambient aerosol in Shanghai, *Environ. Chem.*, 9(3), 202–210 [online] Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1071/EN11145>, 2012b.

- 10 Iinuma, Y., Müller, C., Berndt, T., Böge, O., Claeys, M. and Herrmann, H.: Evidence for the Existence of Organosulfates from  $\beta$ -Pinene Ozonolysis in Ambient Secondary Organic Aerosol, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 41(19), 6678–6683, doi:10.1021/es070938t, 2007.

Iinuma, Y., Böge, O., Kahnt, A. and Herrmann, H.: Laboratory chamber studies on the formation of organosulfates from reactive uptake of monoterpene oxides, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 11(36), 7985–7997, doi:10.1039/B904025K, 2009.

- 15 Jiang, B., Kuang, B. Y., Liang, Y., Zhang, J., Huang, X. H. H., Xu, C., Yu, J. Z. and Shi, Q.: Molecular composition of urban organic aerosols on clear and hazy days in Beijing: a comparative study using FT-ICR MS, *Environ. Chem.*, 13(5), 888–901 [online] Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1071/EN15230>, 2016.

Jimenez, J. L., Canagaratna, M. R., Donahue, N. M., Prevot, A. S. H., Zhang, Q., Kroll, J. H., DeCarlo, P. F., Allan, J. D., Coe, H., Ng, N. L., Aiken, A. C., Docherty, K. S., Ulbrich, I. M., Grieshop, A. P., Robinson, A. L., Duplissy, J., Smith, J. D., Wilson,

- 20 K. R., Lanz, V. A., Hueglin, C., Sun, Y. L., Tian, J., Laaksonen, A., Raatikainen, T., Rautiainen, J., Vaattovaara, P., Ehn, M., Kulmala, M., Tomlinson, J. M., Collins, D. R., Cubison, M. J., Dunlea, J., Huffman, J. A., Onasch, T. B., Alfarra, M. R., Williams, P. I., Bower, K., Kondo, Y., Schneider, J., Drewnick, F., Borrmann, S., Weimer, S., Demerjian, K., Salcedo, D., Cottrell, L., Griffin, R., Takami, A., Miyoshi, T., Hatakeyama, S., Shimono, A., Sun, J. Y., Zhang, Y. M., Dzepina, K., Kimmel, J. R., Sueper, D., Jayne, J. T., Herndon, S. C., Trimborn, A. M., Williams, L. R., Wood, E. C., Middlebrook, A. M., Kolb, C. E., Baltensperger, U. and Worsnop, D. R.: Evolution of Organic Aerosols in the Atmosphere, *Science* (80-. ), 326(5959), 1525 LP – 1529, doi:10.1126/science.1180353, 2009.

Jones, A., Thomson, D., Hort, M. and Devenish, B.: The U.K. Met Office's Next-Generation Atmospheric Dispersion Model, NAME III, in *Air Pollution Modeling and Its Application XVII*, edited by C. Borrego and A.-L. Norman, pp. 580–589, Springer US, Boston, MA., 2007.

- 30 Kanakidou, M., Seinfeld, J. H., Pandis, S. N., Barnes, I., Dentener, F. J., Facchini, M. C., Van Dingenen, R., Ervens, B., Nenes, A., Nielsen, C. J., Swietlicki, E., Putaud, J. P., Balkanski, Y., Fuzzi, S., Horth, J., Moortgat, G. K., Winterhalter, R., Myhre, C. E. L., Tsigaridis, K., Vignati, E., Stephanou, E. G. and Wilson, J.: Organic aerosol and global climate modelling: a review, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 5(4), 1053–1123, doi:10.5194/acp-5-1053-2005, 2005.

Karavalakis, G., Deves, G., Fontaras, G., Stournas, S., Samaras, Z. and Bakeas, E.: The impact of soy-based biodiesel on PAH,

- nitro-PAH and oxy-PAH emissions from a passenger car operated over regulated and nonregulated driving cycles, *Fuel*, 89(12), 3876–3883, doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fuel.2010.07.002>, 2010.
- Keyte, I. J., Harrison, R. M. and Lammel, G.: Chemical reactivity and long-range transport potential of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons – a review, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 42(24), 9333–9391, doi:10.1039/C3CS60147A, 2013.
- 5 Khoder, M. I.: Atmospheric conversion of sulfur dioxide to particulate sulfate and nitrogen dioxide to particulate nitrate and gaseous nitric acid in an urban area, *Chemosphere*, 49(6), 675–684, doi:[https://doi.org/10.1016/S0045-6535\(02\)00391-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0045-6535(02)00391-0), 2002.
- Kiendler-Scharr, A., Mensah, A. A., Friese, E., Topping, D., Nemitz, E., Prevot, A. S. H., Äijälä, M., Allan, J., Canonaco, F., Canagaratna, M., Carbone, S., Crippa, M., Dall'Osto, M., Day, D. A., De Carlo, P., Di Marco, C. F., Elbern, H., Eriksson, A.,  
10 Frenay, E., Hao, L., Herrmann, H., Hildebrandt, L., Hillamo, R., Jimenez, J. L., Laaksonen, A., McFiggans, G., Mohr, C., O'Dowd, C., Otjes, R., Ovadnevaite, J., Pandis, S. N., Poulain, L., Schlag, P., Sellegri, K., Swietlicki, E., Tiitta, P., Vermeulen, A., Wahner, A., Worsnop, D. and Wu, H.-C.: Ubiquity of organic nitrates from nighttime chemistry in the European submicron aerosol, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 43(14), 7735–7744, doi:10.1002/2016GL069239, 2016.
- Kim, S., Kramer, R. W. and Hatcher, P. G.: Graphical Method for Analysis of Ultrahigh-Resolution Broadband Mass Spectra  
15 of Natural Organic Matter, the Van Krevelen Diagram, *Anal. Chem.*, 75(20), 5336–5344, doi:10.1021/ac034415p, 2003.
- Kleindienst, T. E., Jaoui, M., Lewandowski, M., Offenberg, J. H., Lewis, C. W., Bhavsar, P. V and Edney, E. O.: Estimates of the contributions of biogenic and anthropogenic hydrocarbons to secondary organic aerosol at a southeastern US location, *Atmos. Environ.*, 41(37), 8288–8300, doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2007.06.045>, 2007.
- Koch, B. P. and Dittmar, T.: From mass to structure: An aromaticity index for high-resolution mass data of natural organic  
20 matter, *Rapid Commun. Mass Spectrom.*, 20(5), 926–932, doi:10.1002/rcm.2386, 2006.
- Kourtchev, I., Fuller, S., Aalto, J., Ruuskanen, T. M., McLeod, M. W., Maenhaut, W., Jones, R., Kulmala, M. and Kalberer, M.: Molecular Composition of Boreal Forest Aerosol from Hyytiälä, Finland, Using Ultrahigh Resolution Mass Spectrometry, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 47(9), 4069–4079, doi:10.1021/es3051636, 2013.
- Kristensen, K. and Glasius, M.: Organosulfates and oxidation products from biogenic hydrocarbons in fine aerosols from a  
25 forest in North West Europe during spring, *Atmos. Environ.*, 45(27), 4546–4556, doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2011.05.063>, 2011.
- Kroll, J. H., Donahue, N. M., Jimenez, J. L., Kessler, S. H., Canagaratna, M. R., Wilson, K. R., Altieri, K. E., Mazzoleni, L. R., Wozniak, A. S., Bluhm, H., Mysak, E. R., Smith, J. D., Kolb, C. E. and Worsnop, D. R.: Carbon oxidation state as a metric for describing the chemistry of atmospheric organic aerosol, *Nat. Chem.*, 3(2), 133–139, doi:10.1038/nchem.948, 2011.
- 30 Lang, J., Zhang, Y., Zhou, Y., Cheng, S., Chen, D., Guo, X., Chen, S., Li, X., Xing, X. and Wang, H.: Trends of PM<sub>2.5</sub> and Chemical Composition in Beijing, 2000–2015, *Aerosol Air Qual. Res.*, 17(2), 412–425, doi:10.4209/aaqr.2016.07.0307, 2017.
- Laskin, A., Smith, J. S. and Laskin, J.: Molecular Characterization of Nitrogen-Containing Organic Compounds in Biomass Burning Aerosols Using High-Resolution Mass Spectrometry, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 43(10), 3764–3771, doi:10.1021/es803456n, 2009.

- Lee, B. H., Mohr, C., Lopez-Hilfiker, F. D., Lutz, A., Hallquist, M., Lee, L., Romer, P., Cohen, R. C., Iyer, S., Kurtén, T., Hu, W., Day, D. A., Campuzano-Jost, P., Jimenez, J. L., Xu, L., Ng, N. L., Guo, H., Weber, R. J., Wild, R. J., Brown, S. S., Koss, A., de Gouw, J., Olson, K., Goldstein, A. H., Seco, R., Kim, S., McAvey, K., Shepson, P. B., Starn, T., Baumann, K., Edgerton, E. S., Liu, J., Shilling, J. E., Miller, D. O., Brune, W., Schobesberger, S., D&#039;Ambro, E. L. and Thornton, J. A.: Highly  
5 functionalized organic nitrates in the southeast United States: Contribution to secondary organic aerosol and reactive nitrogen budgets, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.*, 113(6), 1516 LP – 1521, doi:10.1073/pnas.1508108113, 2016.
- Lelieveld, J., Evans, J. S., Fnais, M., Giannadaki, D. and Pozzer, A.: The contribution of outdoor air pollution sources to premature mortality on a global scale, *Nature*, 525(7569), 367–371 [online] Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature15371>, 2015.
- 10 Liggio, J. and Li, S.-M.: Organosulfate formation during the uptake of pinonaldehyde on acidic sulfate aerosols, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 33(13), doi:10.1029/2006GL026079, 2006.
- Lin, P., Hu, M., Deng, Z., Slanina, J., Han, S., Kondo, Y., Takegawa, N., Miyazaki, Y., Zhao, Y. and Sugimoto, N.: Seasonal and diurnal variations of organic carbon in PM<sub>2.5</sub> in Beijing and the estimation of secondary organic carbon, *J. Geophys. Res. Atmos.*, 114(D2), doi:10.1029/2008JD010902, 2009.
- 15 Lin, P., Yu, J. Z., Engling, G. and Kalberer, M.: Organosulfates in Humic-like Substance Fraction Isolated from Aerosols at Seven Locations in East Asia: A Study by Ultra-High-Resolution Mass Spectrometry, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 46(24), 13118–13127, doi:10.1021/es303570v, 2012.
- Lin, Y., Ma, Y., Qiu, X., Li, R., Fang, Y., Wang, J., Zhu, Y. and Hu, D.: Sources, transformation, and health implications of PAHs and their nitrated, hydroxylated, and oxygenated derivatives in PM<sub>2.5</sub> in Beijing, *J. Geophys. Res. Atmos.*, 120(14),  
20 7219–7228, doi:10.1002/2015JD023628, 2015.
- Liu, Y., Zheng, M., Yu, M., Cai, X., Du, H., Li, J., Zhou, T., Yan, C., Wang, X., Shi, Z., Harrison, R. M., Zhang, Q. and He, K.: High-time-resolution source apportionment of PM<sub>2.5</sub> in Beijing with multiple models, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 19(9), 6595–6609, doi:10.5194/acp-19-6595-2019, 2019.
- Liu, Z., Xie, Y., Hu, B., Wen, T., Xin, J., Li, X. and Wang, Y.: Size-resolved aerosol water-soluble ions during the summer  
25 and winter seasons in Beijing: Formation mechanisms of secondary inorganic aerosols, *Chemosphere*, 183, 119–131, doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2017.05.095>, 2017.
- Lv, B., Zhang, B. and Bai, Y.: A systematic analysis of PM<sub>2.5</sub> in Beijing and its sources from 2000 to 2012, *Atmos. Environ.*, 124, 98–108, doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2015.09.031>, 2016.
- Lyu, R., Shi, Z., Alam, M. S., Wu, X., Liu, D., Vu, T. V., Stark, C., Fu, P., Feng, Y. and Harrison, R. M.: Insight into the  
30 composition of organic compounds ( $\geq$  &thinsp;C<sub>6</sub>) in PM<sub>2.5</sub> in wintertime in Beijing, China, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 19(16), 10865–10881, doi:10.5194/acp-19-10865-2019, 2019.
- Ma, J., Chu, B., Liu, J., Liu, Y., Zhang, H. and He, H.: NO<sub>x</sub> promotion of SO<sub>2</sub> conversion to sulfate: An important mechanism for the occurrence of heavy haze during winter in Beijing, *Environ. Pollut.*, 233, 662–669, doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2017.10.103>, 2018.

- May, A. A., Nguyen, N. T., Presto, A. A., Gordon, T. D., Lipsky, E. M., Karve, M., Gutierrez, A., Robertson, W. H., Zhang, M., Brandow, C., Chang, O., Chen, S., Cicero-Fernandez, P., Dinkins, L., Fuentes, M., Huang, S.-M., Ling, R., Long, J., Maddox, C., Massetti, J., McCauley, E., Miguel, A., Na, K., Ong, R., Pang, Y., Rieger, P., Sax, T., Truong, T., Vo, T., Chattopadhyay, S., Maldonado, H., Maricq, M. M. and Robinson, A. L.: Gas- and particle-phase primary emissions from in-use, on-road gasoline and diesel vehicles, *Atmos. Environ.*, 88, 247–260, doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2014.01.046>, 2014.
- Mazzoleni, L. R., Saranjampour, E. P., Dalbec, A. M. M., Samburova, V., Hallar, D. A. G., Zielinska, D. B., D, D. H. L. and D, S. K.: Identification of water-soluble organic carbon in non-urban aerosols using ultrahigh-resolution FT-ICR mass spectrometry : organic anions, , 285–297, 2012.
- 10 McLafferty, F. W. and Tureček, F.: Interpretation of mass spectra, Fourth Ed., University Science Books, Sausalito, CA., 1993.
- Minerath, E. C. and Elrod, M. J.: Assessing the Potential for Diol and Hydroxy Sulfate Ester Formation from the Reaction of Epoxides in Tropospheric Aerosols, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 43(5), 1386–1392, doi:10.1021/es8029076, 2009.
- Ng, N. L., Brown, S. S., Archibald, A. T., Atlas, E., Cohen, R. C., Crowley, J. N., Day, D. A., Donahue, N. M., Fry, J. L., Fuchs, H., Griffin, R. J., Guzman, M. I., Herrmann, H., Hodzic, A., Iinuma, Y., Jimenez, J. L., Kiendler-Scharr, A., Lee, B.
- 15 H., Luecken, D. J., Mao, J., McLaren, R., Mutzel, A., Osthoff, H. D., Ouyang, B., Picquet-Varrault, B., Platt, U., Pye, H. O. T., Rudich, Y., Schwantes, R. H., Shiraiwa, M., Stutz, J., Thornton, J. A., Tilgner, A., Williams, B. J. and Zaveri, R. A.: Nitrate radicals and biogenic volatile organic compounds: oxidation, mechanisms, and organic aerosol, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 17(3), 2103–2162, doi:10.5194/acp-17-2103-2017, 2017.
- Nizkorodov, S. a, Laskin, J. and Laskin, A.: Molecular chemistry of organic aerosols through the application of high resolution mass spectrometry., *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 13(9), 3612–3629, doi:10.1039/c0cp02032j, 2011.
- Nozière, B., Ekström, S., Alsberg, T. and Holmström, S.: Radical-initiated formation of organosulfates and surfactants in atmospheric aerosols, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 37(5), doi:10.1029/2009GL041683, 2010.
- Nozière, B., Kalberer, M., Claeys, M., Allan, J., D’Anna, B., Decesari, S., Finessi, E., Glasius, M., Grgić, I., Hamilton, J. F., Hoffmann, T., Iinuma, Y., Jaoui, M., Kahnt, A., Kampf, C. J., Kourtev, I., Maenhaut, W., Marsden, N., Saarikoski, S.,
- 25 Schnelle-Kreis, J., Surratt, J. D., Szidat, S., Szmigielski, R. and Wisthaler, A.: The Molecular Identification of Organic Compounds in the Atmosphere: State of the Art and Challenges, *Chem. Rev.*, 115, 3919–3983, doi:10.1021/cr5003485, 2015.
- Panagi, M., Fleming, Z. L., Monks, P. S., Ashfold, M. J., Wild, O., Hollaway, M., Zhang, Q., Squires, F. A. and Vande Hey, J. D.: Investigating the regional contributions to air pollution in Beijing: a dispersion modelling study using CO as a tracer, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 20(5), 2825–2838, doi:10.5194/acp-20-2825-2020, 2020.
- 30 Passananti, M., Kong, L., Shang, J., Dupart, Y., Perrier, S., Chen, J., Donaldson, D. J. and George, C.: Organosulfate Formation through the Heterogeneous Reaction of Sulfur Dioxide with Unsaturated Fatty Acids and Long-Chain Alkenes, *Angew. Chemie Int. Ed.*, 55(35), 10336–10339, doi:10.1002/anie.201605266, 2016.
- Pathak, R. K., Wu, W. S. and Wang, T.: Summertime PM<sub>2.5</sub> ionic species in four major cities of China: nitrate formation in an ammonia-deficient atmosphere, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 9(5), 1711–1722, doi:10.5194/acp-9-1711-2009, 2009.

- Pope III, C. A., Burnett, R. T., Thun, M. J., Calle, E. E., Krewski, D., Ito, K. and Thurston, G. D.: Lung Cancer, Cardiopulmonary Mortality, and Long-term Exposure to Fine Particulate Air Pollution, *JAMA*, 287(9), 1132–1141, doi:10.1001/jama.287.9.1132, 2002.
- Riva, M., Tomaz, S., Cui, T., Lin, Y.-H., Perraudin, E., Gold, A., Stone, E. A., Villenave, E. and Surratt, J. D.: Evidence for an Unrecognized Secondary Anthropogenic Source of Organosulfates and Sulfonates: Gas-Phase Oxidation of Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons in the Presence of Sulfate Aerosol, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 49(11), 6654–6664, doi:10.1021/acs.est.5b00836, 2015.
- Schmitt-Kopplin, P., Gelencsér, A., Dabek-Zlotorzynska, E., Kiss, G., Hertkorn, N., Harir, M., Hong, Y. and Gebefügi, I.: Analysis of the Unresolved Organic Fraction in Atmospheric Aerosols with Ultrahigh-Resolution Mass Spectrometry and Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Spectroscopy: Organosulfates As Photochemical Smog Constituents, *Anal. Chem.*, 82(19), 8017–8026, doi:10.1021/ac101444r, 2010.
- Shi, Z., Vu, T., Kotthaus, S., Harrison, R. M., Grimmond, S., Yue, S., Zhu, T., Lee, J., Han, Y., Demuzere, M., Dunmore, R. E., Ren, L., Liu, D., Wang, Y., Wild, O., Allan, J., Acton, W. J., Barlow, J., Barratt, B., Beddows, D., Bloss, W. J., Calzolari, G., Carruthers, D., Carslaw, D. C., Chan, Q., Chatzidiakou, L., Chen, Y., Crilley, L., Coe, H., Dai, T., Doherty, R., Duan, F., Fu, P., Ge, B., Ge, M., Guan, D., Hamilton, J. F., He, K., Heal, M., Heard, D., Hewitt, C. N., Hollaway, M., Hu, M., Ji, D., Jiang, X., Jones, R., Kalberer, M., Kelly, F. J., Kramer, L., Langford, B., Lin, C., Lewis, A. C., Li, J., Li, W., Liu, H., Liu, J., Loh, M., Lu, K., Lucarelli, F., Mann, G., McFiggans, G., Miller, M. R., Mills, G., Monk, P., Nemitz, E., O'Connor, F., Ouyang, B., Palmer, P. I., Percival, C., Popoola, O., Reeves, C., Rickard, A. R., Shao, L., Shi, G., Spracklen, D., Stevenson, D., Sun, Y., Sun, Z., Tao, S., Tong, S., Wang, Q., Wang, W., Wang, X., Wang, X., Wang, Z., Wei, L., Whalley, L., Wu, X., Wu, Z., Xie, P., Yang, F., Zhang, Q., Zhang, Y., Zhang, Y. and Zheng, M.: Introduction to the special issue “In-depth study of air pollution sources and processes within Beijing and its surrounding region (APHH-Beijing),” *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 19(11), 7519–7546, doi:10.5194/acp-19-7519-2019, 2019.
- Smith, S. J., Pitcher, H. and Wigley, T. M. L.: Global and regional anthropogenic sulfur dioxide emissions, *Glob. Planet. Change*, 29(1), 99–119, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/S0921-8181(00)00057-6, 2001.
- Song, J., Li, M., Fan, X., Zou, C., Zhu, M., Jiang, B., Yu, Z., Jia, W., Liao, Y. and Peng, P.: Molecular Characterization of Water- and Methanol-Soluble Organic Compounds Emitted from Residential Coal Combustion Using Ultrahigh-Resolution Electrospray Ionization Fourier Transform Ion Cyclotron Resonance Mass Spectrometry, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 53(23), 13607–13617, doi:10.1021/acs.est.9b04331, 2019.
- Song, Y., Tang, X., Xie, S., Zhang, Y., Wei, Y., Zhang, M., Zeng, L. and Lu, S.: Source apportionment of PM<sub>2.5</sub> in Beijing in 2004, *J. Hazard. Mater.*, 146(1), 124–130, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2006.11.058, 2007.
- Surratt, J. D., Gómez-González, Y., Chan, A. W. H., Vermeylen, R., Shahgholi, M., Kleindienst, T. E., Edney, E. O., Offenberg, J. H., Lewandowski, M., Jaoui, M., Maenhaut, W., Claeys, M., Flagan, R. C. and Seinfeld, J. H.: Organosulfate Formation in Biogenic Secondary Organic Aerosol, *J. Phys. Chem. A*, 112(36), 8345–8378, doi:10.1021/jp802310p, 2008.
- Tao, S., Lu, X., Levac, N., Bateman, A. P., Nguyen, T. B., Bones, D. L., Nizkorodov, S. A., Laskin, J., Laskin, A. and Yang,



- X.: Molecular Characterization of Organosulfates in Organic Aerosols from Shanghai and Los Angeles Urban Areas by Nanospray-Desorption Electrospray Ionization High-Resolution Mass Spectrometry, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 48(18), 10993–11001, doi:10.1021/es5024674, 2014.
- Tong, H., Kourtchev, I., Pant, P., Keyte, I. J., O'Connor, I. P., Wenger, J. C., Pope, F. D., Harrison, R. M. and Kalberer, M.:  
5 Molecular composition of organic aerosols at urban background and road tunnel sites using ultra-high resolution mass spectrometry, *Faraday Discuss.*, 189, 51–68, doi:10.1039/C5FD00206K, 2016.
- Valle-Hernández, B. L., Mugica-Álvarez, V., Salinas-Talavera, E., Amador-Muñoz, O., Murillo-Tovar, M. A., Villalobos-Pietrini, R. and De Vizcaya-Ruíz, A.: Temporal variation of nitro-polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in PM10 and PM2.5 collected in Northern Mexico City, *Sci. Total Environ.*, 408(22), 5429–5438,  
10 doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2010.07.065, 2010.
- Walgraeve, C., Demeestere, K., Dewulf, J., Zimmermann, R. and Van Langenhove, H.: Oxygenated polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in atmospheric particulate matter: Molecular characterization and occurrence, *Atmos. Environ.*, 44(15), 1831–1846, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2009.12.004, 2010.
- Wang, H., Tian, M., Li, X., Chang, Q., Cao, J., Yang, F., Ma, Y. and He, K.: Chemical Composition and Light Extinction  
15 Contribution of PM2.5 in Urban Beijing for a 1-Year Period, *Aerosol Air Qual. Res.*, 15(6), 2200–2211, doi:10.4209/aaqr.2015.04.0257, 2015.
- Wang, K., Zhang, Y., Huang, R.-J., Cao, J. and Hoffmann, T.: UHPLC-Orbitrap mass spectrometric characterization of organic aerosol from a central European city (Mainz, Germany) and a Chinese megacity (Beijing), *Atmos. Environ.*, 189, 22–29, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2018.06.036, 2018.
- 20 Wang, K., Zhang, Y., Huang, R.-J., Wang, M., Ni, H., Kampf, C. J., Cheng, Y., Bilde, M., Glasius, M. and Hoffmann, T.: Molecular Characterization and Source Identification of Atmospheric Particulate Organosulfates Using Ultrahigh Resolution Mass Spectrometry, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 53(11), 6192–6202, doi:10.1021/acs.est.9b02628, 2019.
- Wang, X., Hayeck, N., Brüggemann, M., Yao, L., Chen, H., Zhang, C., Emmelin, C., Chen, J., George, C. and Wang, L.:  
25 Chemical Characteristics of Organic Aerosols in Shanghai: A Study by Ultrahigh-Performance Liquid Chromatography Coupled With Orbitrap Mass Spectrometry, *J. Geophys. Res. Atmos.*, 122(21), 11,703–11,722, doi:10.1002/2017JD026930, 2017a.
- Wang, X., Gu, R., Wang, L., Xu, W., Zhang, Y., Chen, B., Li, W., Xue, L., Chen, J. and Wang, W.: Emissions of fine particulate nitrated phenols from the burning of five common types of biomass, *Environ. Pollut.*, 230, 405–412, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2017.06.072, 2017b.
- 30 Wang, Y., Zhuang, G., Sun, Y. and An, Z.: The variation of characteristics and formation mechanisms of aerosols in dust, haze, and clear days in Beijing, *Atmos. Environ.*, 40(34), 6579–6591, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2006.05.066, 2006.
- World Health Organization: *Ambient Air Pollution: A Global Assessment of Exposure and Burden of Disease.*, 2016.
- Worton, D. R., Isaacman, G., Gentner, D. R., Dallmann, T. R., Chan, A. W. H., Ruehl, C., Kirchstetter, T. W., Wilson, K. R.,

- Harley, R. A. and Goldstein, A. H.: Lubricating Oil Dominates Primary Organic Aerosol Emissions from Motor Vehicles, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 48(7), 3698–3706, doi:10.1021/es405375j, 2014.
- Wozniak, A. S., Bauer, J. E., Sleighter, R. L., Dickhut, R. M. and Hatcher, P. G.: Technical Note: Molecular characterization of aerosol-derived water soluble organic carbon using ultrahigh resolution electrospray ionization Fourier transform ion cyclotron resonance mass spectrometry, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 8(17), 5099–5111, doi:10.5194/acp-8-5099-2008, 2008.
- 5 Yassine, M. M., Harir, M., Dabek-Zlotorzynska, E. and Schmitt-Kopplin, P.: Structural characterization of organic aerosol using Fourier transform ion cyclotron resonance mass spectrometry: Aromaticity equivalent approach, *Rapid Commun. Mass Spectrom.*, 28(22), 2445–2454, doi:10.1002/rcm.7038, 2014.
- Yu, L., Wang, G., Zhang, R., Zhang, L., Song, Y., Wu, B., Li, X., An, K. and Chu, J.: Characterization and Source Apportionment of PM<sub>2.5</sub> in an Urban Environment in Beijing, *Aerosol Air Qual. Res.*, 13(2), 574–583, doi:10.4209/aaqr.2012.07.0192, 2013.
- 10 Zhang, H., Wang, S., Hao, J., Wang, X., Wang, S., Chai, F. and Li, M.: Air pollution and control action in Beijing, *J. Clean. Prod.*, 112, 1519–1527, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2015.04.092, 2016.
- Zhang, J., Dransfield, T. and Donahue, N. M.: On the Mechanism for Nitrate Formation via the Peroxy Radical + NO Reaction, *J. Phys. Chem. A*, 108(42), 9082–9095, doi:10.1021/jp048096x, 2004.
- 15 Zhang, J., Ouyang, Z., Miao, H. and Wang, X.: Ambient air quality trends and driving factor analysis in Beijing, 1983–2007, *J. Environ. Sci.*, 23(12), 2019–2028, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/S1001-0742(10)60667-5, 2011.
- Zhang, R., Jing, J., Tao, J., Hsu, S.-C., Wang, G., Cao, J., Lee, C. S. L., Zhu, L., Chen, Z., Zhao, Y. and Shen, Z.: Chemical characterization and source apportionment of PM<sub>2.5</sub> in Beijing: seasonal perspective, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 13(14), 7053–7074, doi:10.5194/acp-13-7053-2013, 2013.
- 20 Zhang, Y., Chen, J., Yang, H., Li, R. and Yu, Q.: Seasonal variation and potential source regions of PM<sub>2.5</sub>-bound PAHs in the megacity Beijing, China: Impact of regional transport, *Environ. Pollut.*, 231, 329–338, doi:10.1016/j.envpol.2017.08.025, 2017a.
- Zhang, Z., Wang, W., Cheng, M., Liu, S., Xu, J., He, Y. and Meng, F.: The contribution of residential coal combustion to PM<sub>2.5</sub> pollution over China's Beijing-Tianjin-Hebei region in winter, *Atmos. Environ.*, 159, 147–161, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2017.03.054, 2017b.
- 25 Zhou, Y., Cheng, S., Chen, D., Lang, J., Wang, G., Xu, T., Wang, X. and Yao, S.: Temporal and Spatial Characteristics of Ambient Air Quality in Beijing, China, *Aerosol Air Qual. Res.*, 15(5), 1868–1880, doi:10.4209/aaqr.2014.11.0306, 2015.
- Zielinski, A. T., Kourchev, I., Bortolini, C., Fuller, S. J., Giorio, C., Popoola, O. A. M., Bogialli, S., Tapparo, A., Jones, R. L. and Kalberer, M.: A new processing scheme for ultra-high resolution direct infusion mass spectrometry data, *Atmos. Environ.*, 178(August 2017), 129–139, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2018.01.034, 2018.
- 30 Zíková, N., Wang, Y., Yang, F., Li, X., Tian, M. and Hopke, P. K.: On the source contribution to Beijing PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentrations, *Atmos. Environ.*, 134, 84–95, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2016.03.047, 2016.