# Retrieving the age of air spectrum from tracers: principle and method: reply to reviewer 1

A. Podglajen and F. Ploeger

We would like to thank the reviewer for their thorough assessment and detailed comments on our manuscript. Please find below our point-by-point reply.

1. **Reviewer** p1 16/7: I challenge the statement that no assumptions on the shape of the spectrum are made. The retrieval scheme presented uses a flat (all zero) a priori spectrum. I shall claim that the retrieval scheme pushes the solution towards a flat spectrum. No evidence is provided that the result is indeed independent of the chosen a priori spectrum. Setting all elements of the a priori vector zero is not equivalent with not using any a priori information. Thus, this statement in the abstract is not supported by the paper.

**Authors** We agree with the reviewer. The sentence was meant to emphasize the contrast between our method and approaches which fit parameters of a given function (such as the one used by Schoeberl et al., 2005), but it is not exact to state that no a priori information is used. We have replaced this sentence by: "An inversion methodology is introduced, which does not assume a prescribed shape for the spectrum."

We would like to emphasize that regularization is necessary in our case to rule out unrealistic oscillations. In a certain way, regularization helps to find solutions G that are close to satisfy the constraints of a frequency distribution G > 0 and  $\int_0^{+\infty} G(\tau; t) d\tau = 1$ 

2. **Reviewer** p4 15: Why doesn't lambda depend on r and t? Can this simplification be justified? On the previous page, this dependency is still acknowledged. And for an air parcel - or fluid element - containing a tracer like, say, CFC-12, it makes a major difference, concentration-wise, if its trajectory towards higher latitudes follows the shallow or the deep branch of the Brewer-Dobson circulation. I think this issue needs some discussion, and all related caveats need frankly to be conceded. The applicability of the method proposed needs to be critically discussed in the light of this. **Authors** We agree with the reviewer that this assumption is an important caveat which had not received proper attention in our original manuscript. The assumption is now clearly acknowledged, and the limitations are discussed in Sect. 4.3.

3. **Reviewer** p8/9 As stated above, the choice of Ga = 0 does not mean that there are no prior assumption on the shape of the spectrum made. Instead, the prior assumption does affect the solution. As described by Eqs 22 and 23, the retrieval will give the smallest frequencies still compatible with the measurements. The constraint term pushes the solution towards zero. The integral over the age spectrum will not even be unity. Renormalization is not discussed in the paper, but if the integral over all possible ages is not unity, the result cannot be conceived as a frequency or probability distribution. Even after renormalization, the spectrum would be flatter (less structured) than a maximum likelihood solution of the inverse problem, simply because the a priori profile is flat. Thus, it is not fair to state that no a priori assumptions on the shape of the age spectrum are made.

**Authors** We thank the reviewer for this comment. We had overlooked the renormalization problem, which is now addressed in Sect.3.2.4. We agree that the choice of  $G_a$  influences the retrieval and have rephrased the abstract and the main body of the paper accordingly (see the end of Sect. 3.1.2 : "A second point is that setting  $G_a = 0$  implicitly includes a priori information regarding G, albeit limited compared to the parametric approach described above. The effect of setting  $G_a = 0$  is to favor smooth functions and implicitly penalize unphysical oscillatory solutions which would deviate significantly from the characteristics expected for a distibution (i.e. G > 0 and  $\int_0^{+\infty} G(\tau; t) d\tau = 1$ ).").

4. **Reviewer** By the way, I am not particularly happy with the normalization of the averaging kernels in Figure 4 to the maximum, because with this all information on the area under the averaging kernels is thrown away. This would be useful information to judge what the impact of the prior information is.

**Authors** In Fig. 4, we show both the normalized (right) and non-normalized (left) averaging kernels. The information of the area under the averaging kernels is depicted on the left panel.

5. **Reviewer** p9 124: It is not true that the accuracy of trace gas mixing ratios from measurements are proportional to their content. The error due to measurement noise (in absolute terms) is at first order independent of the amount. See Rodgers (2000), Eq 3.19, insert G from Eq 2.45, and you will see that the only term which might depend on the amount is K; within linear theory, the sensitivity K in Eq. 2.45 is assumed independent of the amount, thus the related uncertainty of the retrieved amount is independent of the amount. Otherwise the whole concept of detection limits would be un-understandable. If uncertainties were proportional to amounts, even

infinitesimal amounts could be detected. Going beyond linear theory, we have to consider the non-linearity of radiative transfer. It is only the parameter errors (Rodgers, 2000, Sect 3.2.2) which tend to be proportional to the content of the target trace gas.

**Authors** In general we agree with the reviewer, although this depends on the type of measurements considered. Actually, our choice there is mainly motivated by the fact that, to be useful for a given inversion, the noise in the tracer measurement should be only a fraction of the content in that tracer (i.e., the measured tracer concentration should be significantly differ from 0). We have rephrased this.

6. **Reviewer** p10 17: The L-curve approach is not as objective as it may appear. This is because the tacit assumption is made that the optimal alpha is a scalar. This is an ad hoc decision which is not based on any traceable rationale. It is equivalent to the assumption that our a priori knowledge that the frequency of a fluid element of age xy is zero is equally justified for all ages. As soon as individual constraint strengths are allowed for each age bin, the L-curve method is not particularly helpful. With this I do not want to challenge the method implemented (which I like very much, aside from my comment on p4 15) but its description. The method uses much more a priori information on the shape of the age spectrum than it admits.

**Authors** We agree with the reviewer. The relative arbitrarity of our choice of  $\mathbf{S}_{\epsilon}$  and  $\mathbf{S}_{a}$  is now stated explicitly in the text: "Finally, the structure of  $\mathbf{S}_{\epsilon}$  and  $\mathbf{S}_{a}$  are merely chosen here because of their simplicity in the absence of rationale to do otherwise. One advantage is that then an unique  $\alpha$  value needs to be tuned to perform the inversion. More complicated forms of  $\mathbf{S}_{\epsilon}$  and  $\mathbf{S}_{a}$  may be required in practical applications, especially if the error in tracer measurements exhibit covariance structures."

7. **Reviewer** p12 110: I am confused here. How can one expect that the resolution should be better than the sampling (The text reads as if the authors did)? I assume that the averaging kernels are evaluated on the retrieval grid, and then it is analytically impossible that the resolution can be better than the bin width used for the retrieval. Even in a maximum likelihood setting, where the averaging kernel matrix is unity, the resolution corresponds to the bin width.

**Authors** The formulation was indeed confusing. We have rephrased the sentence for: "the resolution is better for short transit times, although even for those the effective resolution does not reach the 1-month-transit-time bin size chosen for the retrieval, as can be seen from the overlap of the averaging kernels"

8. **Reviewer** p1 l2/3: I would prefer commas over parentheses here (but this might be a question of personal preference).

Authors We discussed this and prefer to keep the parentheses.

9. **Reviewer** p1 15: "tracer" is a qualitative term and thus cannot depend linearly on anything. I suggest "the concentration of tracers", or, more specific, "the mixing ratios of tracers".

#### Authors changed

10. **Reviewer** p1 l8: the term 'model output' is a bit too vague. Perhaps better 'output of a circulation model' or 'output of a chemistry-transport model' or whatever is adequate here.

Authors Changed for 'output of a chemistry-transport model'

11. **Reviewer** p1 122: I think 'frequency distribution' would be more adequate than 'probability distribution'. If a concept of probability is used in this context, it must be objective rather than subjective probability (because we want to describe the air parcel and not our knowledge about the air parcel). However, post factum objective probability makes nos sense, because the characteristics of the air parcel are already determined. Conversely, to describe the air parcel by the frequency of fluid elements of a certain age does make sense. The same applies to p3 l21,

Authors Changed

12. **Reviewer** p2 l24/25: I suggest a footnote after conceptually, saying "we write "conceptually", be- cause it is clear that physically an air parcel obviously cannot be decomposed into an "infinity of infinitesimal...". This physical restriction, however, is not a conceptual re- striction because at scales considered here this issue has no bearing" or something similar. By the way, since you later provide age spectra at finite resolution only, the concept of infinitesimal fluid elements (and a sum running to infinity in Eq 1) are actually not needed. It is sufficient to postulate that the fluid elements are small enough to be considered fairly homogeneous.

Authors We have added the footnote.

13. **Reviewer** p3 113 loss of radioactive tracers or photochemical loss are exponential, not linear. I concede that the loss RATE is linear in concentration (and thus the statement in the paper is formally correct) but it is very easy to misunderstand this sentence. Rewording would be appreciated.

Authors Rephrased for "Another example is that of tracers whose loss/growth rate is a linear function of their concentration"

14. **Reviewer** p4 117/18: I think that your construal of the age spectrum still contains the weight of the boundary condition history. If I understand your construal correctly, in your case this boundary condition history is modulated by the loss term. If you inserted the word "only" before the closing parenthesis, I think the statement would be clearer.

**Authors** That is not what we meant. Usually the age spectrum is seen as the weighting function of the boundary condition history to get the tracer content, while here we consider the boundary condition history as the weighting function of the age spectrum. We have rephrased that sentence: "note that this perspective is reversed with respect to the general view that the age spectrum is a weighting function of the tracer boundary condition history modulated by the loss terms"

 Reviewer p5 15-7: Observational evidence of non-stationarity of stratospheric transport is also available, see, e.g., Stiller et al. (2012, Fig 9), Haenel et al. (2015, Figs 8/9).

Authors Thanks for those references, which we have added.

16. **Reviewer** p6 126: I suggest to replace "expectation" with "assumption", because "expectation" is ambiguous. It is occasionally used as a short cut wording for "expectation value". Since the manuscript deals quite extensively with distribution functions, I suggest to avoid the use of terms which can be misunderstood as statistical technical terms (although the correct connotation should be clear from the context).

#### Authors Changed

17. **Reviewer** p7 117 and elsewhere: It is a bit uncommon to use bold capital letters for vectors (I understand G is a vector, not a matrix). If I remember the ACP author guidelines correctly, bold face capital letters are understood to be matrices. Please check the ACP author guidelines, and change to lower case bold face g if adequate.

**Authors** We have changed it following to bold italic ACP author guidelines: "Matrices are printed in boldface, and vectors in boldface italics.". We chose to keep the capital for consistency with the literature.

18. Reviewer p7 118: Since there is nothing unclear in the notation, I suggest "In order

to simplify the notation".

Authors Changed

19. **Reviewer** p8 128: What is presented here is not "THE" Tikhonov approach. The Tikhonov ap- proach includes a large class of families of constraints, often involving squared nth order finite difference operators as regularization matrix. In remote sensing, squared 1st order difference operators are particularly common. The use of a diagonal matrix does belong to the class of Tikhonov schemes but it is formally equivalent to what you present in Eq 20 and ignore covariance information. Thus I consider the wording as a bit misleading.

**Authors** We have reworded those sentences: "We will follow an empirical approach here for the regularization, which belongs to the class of Tikhonov regularization schemes."

20. **Reviewer** p19 13: Not sure if it is so clear that the uncertainties in radiative transfer are larger than those of the forward model used here. Doesn't the forward model used here include (at least implicitly) all the uncertainties of the sink terms, i.e. all the uncertainties related to photo-chemistry (incl. self absorption in layers above; uncertainties in T-dependencies of absorption cross-sections etc) and, depending on the trace gases considered, the OH concentrations along the trajectories etc? Also it is not clear why the Tikhonov approach is more adequate for simple problems than other approaches.

**Authors** We agree that the uncertainties related to the chemistry are large and poorly constrained. However, they are absent for inert tracers. We have added a footnote: "This is at least the case for inert tracers; for chemically active tracers the sources of uncertainties are many and more difficult to quantify."

21. **Reviewer** p19 l24: It comes a bit as a surprise that just those measurements which have actually provided information on non-stationarity of age-of-air distributions, and which provide dense global tracer distributions, have not been mentioned here (see references men- tioned above, or Kellmann et al. 2012)

Authors Thank you for those references, which are now included.

### References

Schoeberl, M. R., Douglass, A. R., Polansky, B., Boone, C., Walker, K. A., and Bernath, P.: Estimation of stratospheric age spectrum from chemical tracers, Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 110, doi:10.1029/2005JD006125, URL https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2005JD006125, 2005.

# Retrieving the age of air spectrum from tracers: principle and method: reply to reviewer 2

A. Podglajen and F. Ploeger

We thank the reviewer for their constructive comments on our manuscript. Please find our reply below.

1. **Reviewer** The manuscript "Retrieving the age of air spectrum from tracers: principle and method" by Aurélien Podglajen and Felix Ploeger is well written and fluid. The authors addressed the challenge of retrieving the age of air spectrum from the concentrations of multiple tracers. I think the motivations and the objective of this study is clear defined. The authors adopted an appropriate method to the aims of the study and sufficient information are provided for a researcher to reproduce the experiments described. In my opinion, the content of this paper, is relevant to ACP. The subject matter is new and deals an interesting topic. The results are clearly explained and presented in an appropriate format. The conclusions of the study are supported by appropriate evidence. The results obtained on this paper can be important for forthcoming application of transport models together with measurement data. However, a few minor lacks in this study are found :

Authors We thank the reviewer for this positive evaluation of our manuscript.

2. **Reviewer** Pag1 line 8 "the inversion method is applied to model output", specify what do you mean with the model output.

Authors Outputs of a chemistry transport model. This is now specified in the text.

3. **Reviewer** Pag1 and Pag8 it is not correct say that the problem it resolved without any a priori information, even if the a priori vector is set to zero.

**Authors** We agree that some a priori information is included. We have replaced the corresponding sentence in the abstract by: "An inversion methodology is introduced, which does not assume a prescribed shape for the spectrum.".

4. *Reviewer* Pag3 the radioactive decay is not a linear process, clarify the concept.

Authors We have rephrased this sentence to clarify: "tracers whose loss/growth rate is a linear function of their concentration". See also answer to reviewer 1.

# Retrieving the age of air spectrum from tracers: principle and method

## Aurélien Podglajen<sup>1</sup> and Felix Ploeger<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Institute for Energy and Climate Research: Stratosphere (IEK-7), Forschungszentrum Jülich, Jülich, Germany *Correspondence to:* Aurélien Podglajen (a.podglajen@fz-juelich.de)

#### Abstract.

Surface-emitted tracers with different dependencies on transit time (e.g., due to chemical loss or time-dependent boundary conditions) carry independent pieces of information on the age of air spectrum (the distribution of transit times from the surface). This paper investigates how and to what extent knowledge of tracer concentrations can be used to retrieve the age spec-

- 5 trum. Since the mixing ratios of the tracers considered depend linearly on the transit time distribution, the question posed can be formulated as a linear inverse problem of small dimension. An inversion methodology is introduced, which does not require any assumptions regarding the shape of assume a prescribed shape for the spectrum. The performance of the approach is first evaluated on a constructed set of artificial radioactive tracers derived from idealized spectra. Hereafter, the inversion method is applied to model outputoutputs of a chemistry-transport model. The latter experiment highlights the limits of inversions using
- 10 only parent radioactive tracers: they are unable to retrieve fine scale structures such as the annual cycle. Improvements can be achieved by including daughter decaying tracers and tracers with an annual cycle at the surface. This study demonstrates the feasibility of retrieving the age spectrum from tracers, and has implications for transport diagnosis in models and observations.

#### 1 Introduction

The transport of surface-emitted tracers strongly influences the composition and chemistry of the atmosphere, as well as the global radiative balance (Riese et al., 2012). In turn, radiatively active species affect the diabatic budget, eventually reshaping the circulation and thus the transport itself. For instance, climate models predict a strengthening of the stratospheric Brewer-Dobson circulation caused by increasing anthropogenic greenhouse gas emissions at the surface (Butchart et al., 2010).

To characterize transport from the surface to a given region of the atmosphere, a number of observational (e.g. Engel et al., 2009) and modeling studies have focused on the average transit time, the *mean age of air*. However, it has long been ac-

20 knowledged that the description of transport provided by the mean age is incomplete (e.g. Hall and Plumb, 1994). Large and small-scale turbulent motions lead to mixing, so that a given air parcel is a mixture of air masses with different paths and transit time from the surface (Waugh and Hall, 2002). Strictly, there is a probability frequency distribution of transit time scales for each air parcel, which is known in the stratospheric literature as the *age spectrum* (Hall and Plumb, 1994; Waugh and Hall, 2002), while the tropospheric literature more frequently uses the acronym TTD for Transit Time Distribution, Holzer et al. (2003).

Considering the full age spectrum rather than the mean age allows to separate between different transit times related to different pathways of transport and to disentangle their potentially contrasted evolutions with climate change (see Ploeger and Birner, 2016, and references therein). It also enables to understand the air composition in a number of species without restricting to inert, linearly increasing tracers (Schoeberl et al., 2000).

5 Up to date, the stratospheric age spectrum has mainly been estimated in models, using either Lagrangian trajectories (e.g. Reithmeier et al., 2008; Diallo et al., 2012) or a set of artificial pulse tracers initialized in the lowest model layer (Li et al., 2012; Ploeger and Birner, 2016). Only a handful of studies (Andrews et al., 1999; Johnson et al., 1999; Schoeberl et al., 2005) have attempted to infer the age spectrum from observed tracers, and all assumed either a given shape for the distribution or steadiness of the flow. Many tracers, however, bear the imprint of specific regions of the age spectrum (e.g. Waugh et al., 2003,

2013; Orbe et al., 2016) and, combined together, may provide information on the entire transit time distribution. In this study, we propose a general methodology for retrieving the age spectrum from the concentrations of more general (non-pulse) tracers, which may undergo chemistry and have time-dependent sources. The basic idea is to consider the tracer contents as the images of the age spectrum through a known forward model, and to pose the retrieval of the age spectrum as an inverse problem. We demonstrate the feasibility of the method in a well-defined model environment and investigate its

opportunities and limitations for different types of input tracers. 15

The article is organized as follows. Section 2 recalls the fundamentals of the theory behind the age spectrum, makes explicit its relation to tracer concentrations and reviews previous approaches used to infer the age spectrum from tracers. Then, Section 3 presents the proposed inversion methodology and evaluates it based on idealized age spectra and a set of artificial decaying tracers. In Sect. 4, the method is used on realistic age spectra from a chemistry transport model, which motivates a discussion of its limitations and applicability to observable tracers. Finally, Section 5 provides the conclusions.

#### 2 Theoretical background: Relationship between age spectrum and tracers

#### Lagrangian path distribution 2.1

In the Lagrangian view of atmospheric transport (large-scale advection and mixing), each air parcel can be conceptually<sup>1</sup> decomposed into an infinitude of infinitesimal and irreducible "fluid elements" that maintain their integrity against mixing for

25

20

10

all timescales (Waugh and Hall, 2002). To each "fluid element" corresponds one Lagrangian path connecting a source (located at a given position on a surface) and the air parcel. Note that for any given source and emission time there might be a number of Lagrangian paths and hence of fluid elements. Each fluid element then explains a fraction  $m_k$  of the mass of the air parcel, so that the partition of fluid elements fulfills:

$$\sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} m_k = 1. \tag{1}$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>We write 'conceptually' because it is clear that physically an air parcel cannot be decomposed into an 'infinity of infinitesimal...'. This physical restriction, however, is not a conceptual restriction because at scales considered here this issue has no bearing.

Such a decomposition enables to understand the properties of the air parcel by disentangling the relative contribution of air masses of different origins. For instance, let us consider the age  $\tau$  of the air parcel (average transit time since leaving the surface  $\Omega$ ) $\tau$ . This age of air can be broken down into the transit times  $\tau_k$  of each of the fluid elements  $\tau_k$ , with the relation:

$$\sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} m_k \tau_k = \tau.$$
<sup>(2)</sup>

5 Similarly, for a tracer of mixing ratio  $\chi$ , one formally may write:

$$\sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} m_k \chi_k = \chi. \tag{3}$$

It should be noted here that the decomposition used in Eq. 3 is not meaningful for all tracers. Actually, Eq. 3 makes sense only if the evolution of  $\chi$  due to chemistry (or any process other than transport) can also be decomposed as:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\chi}{\mathrm{d}t} = \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} m_k \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}\chi_k}{\mathrm{d}t}\right)_k.$$
(4)

10 where \$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{d\chi\_k}{dt} \begin{pmatrix} is the rate of change of \$\chi\_k\$ within each of the \$k\$ fluid elements, if they were separated (unmixed). In other words, \$\frac{d\chi\_k}{dt}\$ does not depend on whether the fluid elements are mixed or remain isolated from one another. For instance, reactive chemical species involved in 2 molecules bimolecular reactions do not meet that requirement because their rate of change is, in general, affected by mixing (if the different fluid elements have different tracer concentrations). A simple example of tracers fulfilling the condition expressed in by Eq. 4 is conserved tracers, for which \$\frac{d\chi}{dt} = \$\begin{pmatrix} d\chi\_k \begin{pmatrix} d\chi\_k \\ dt dt \end{pmatrix} = 0\$. Another example is that of tracers with a linear decay or growth rate in whose loss/growth rate is a linear function of their concentration (Schoeberl et al., \$\chi\_k\$).

2000), such as radioactive tracers or tracers subject to photochemical loss. Their mixing ratio verifies:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\chi}{\mathrm{d}t} = \lambda(\mathbf{\underline{r}}\mathbf{r},t)\chi; \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}\chi_k}{\mathrm{d}t}\right)_k = \lambda(\mathbf{\underline{r}}\mathbf{r},t)\chi_k \tag{5}$$

with  $\mathbf{r}_{r}$  and t representing an eventual dependency of the growth/decay coefficient  $\lambda$  on position and time (for photochemical loss). For a pool of n tracersthe description in Eq. Equation 5 can easily be generalized to be generalized into

20 
$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\chi}}{\mathrm{d}t} = \underline{\mathrm{M}}\underline{\mathrm{M}}(\underline{\mathbf{r}}\boldsymbol{r},t)\boldsymbol{\chi}; \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\chi}_k}{\mathrm{d}t}\right)_k = \underline{\mathrm{M}}\underline{\mathrm{M}}(\underline{\mathbf{r}}\boldsymbol{r},t)\boldsymbol{\chi}_k$$
(6)

with  $\chi$  the vector of trace species' mixing ratios and  $\underline{\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{r},t)}$  the matrix of growth/decay coefficients. Besides the "parent" radioactive tracers of Eq. 5, Equation 6 also encompasses the products of their decay ("daughter" tracers).

Being the probability frequency distribution of transit times  $\tau_k$  for all fluid elements constitutive of the air parcel, the age spectrum can be viewed as a specific regrouping of Lagrangian paths according to transit time. It is also a boundary propagator

- of the continuity equation of conserved tracers from the surface  $\Omega$  into the atmosphere (e.g., Holzer and Hall, 2000): in other words, the age spectrum relates the concentration of an inert tracer within the atmosphere to its uniform boundary condition on  $\Omega$ . This result can be extended to include tracers undergoing radioactive or chemical loss, as shown by a number of studies (e.g. Schoeberl et al., 2000; Waugh et al., 2003; Schoeberl et al., 2005). In the next subsection, we recall those the analytical relations between age spectra and tracer content. This formal description will allow enable the reader to clearly apprehend the
- 30 suitability of given trace gas species to probe the age spectrum.

#### 2.2 Relation between age spectrum and tracer content

20

25

#### 2.2.1 From age spectrum to tracer content: the forward model

Assuming it has a uniform boundary condition in the surface region  $\Omega$  and a constant decay rate  $\lambda$ , the mixing ratio  $\xi$  of a tracer with decay rate  $\lambda$  may be expressed as (e.g., Waugh et al., 2003):

5 
$$\xi(\underline{\mathbf{r}}\boldsymbol{r},t) = \int_{0}^{+\infty} e^{-\lambda\tau} \xi^{\Omega}(t-\tau) G(\tau;\underline{\mathbf{r}}\boldsymbol{r},t) d\tau$$
(7)

where  $\tau$  is the transit time from  $\Omega$  to  $(\mathbf{r}, t)$   $(\mathbf{r}, t)$  and  $\xi^{\Omega}$  is the tracer concentration at the surface. Here,  $G(\tau; \mathbf{r}, t)$   $G(\tau; \mathbf{r}, t)$  represents the distribution of transit times, i.e. the age spectrum, at time t and position  $\mathbf{r} \mathbf{r}$ . In the following we will drop the explicit reference to  $\mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{r}$  in order to simplify the notations. Equation 7 can be generalized to a vector equation for n different tracers (similar to our arguing regarding Eq. 6):

10 
$$\boldsymbol{\xi}(t) = \int_{0}^{+\infty} \underline{\mathbf{e}}_{\infty}^{\mathbf{M}\tau} \boldsymbol{\xi}^{\Omega}(t-\tau) G(\tau;t) d\tau$$
(8)

where bold fonts refer to vectors,  $M \notin is$  a vector of species mixing ratios, M is the matrix of growth/decay coefficients and  $e^{M\tau} e^{M\tau}$  the matrix exponential of  $M\tau M\tau$ . Equation 8 may encompass parent radioactive tracers as well as the whole associated decay chain (primary, secondary,... decay products), as explained in more detail in Sect. 4.2. It should be mentioned here that the derivation of Eqs. 7 and 8 is based on the assumption of a constant lifetime  $1/\lambda$ . Although this assumption holds

15 for radioactive tracers, the direct applicability of Eqs. 7 and 8 for the case of chemically active tracers is more questionable. This critical issue is discussed further in Sect. 4.3.

With the constant-lifetime assumption. Equations 7 and 8 show that the mixing ratios of conserved and linearly ratio of any conserved or exponentially decaying (or growing) tracers tracer may be expressed as the convolution of a generic function (involving time dependency of the source and chemistry) and the age spectrum G. The tracer content can hence be seen as a weighted average of the age spectrum, and the functions  $e^{M\tau} \xi^{\Omega}(t-\tau) e^{M\tau} \xi^{\Omega}(t-\tau)$  as weighting functions (note that this perspective differs from is reversed with respect to the general view of that the age spectrum as is a weighting function of the tracer boundary condition history modulated by the sink terms). However, although information on the age spectrum is contained in the tracer concentrations, it is far from being directly accessible because of this convolution with the tracer-dependent weighting functions. This limitation is evident in the case of linearly decaying tracers with constant boundary condition at the surface and constant lifetimes  $\tau_k = 1/\lambda_k$ . For those, Equation 8 simplifies as:

$$\frac{\boldsymbol{\xi}_{k}(t)}{\boldsymbol{\xi}_{k}^{\Omega}} = \int_{0}^{+\infty} G(\tau;t) e^{-\frac{\tau}{\tau_{k}} - \lambda_{k}\tau} d\tau = \tilde{G}\left(\frac{1}{\underline{\tau_{k}}}\lambda_{k};t\right)$$
(9)

where, as noted by Schoeberl et al. (2000),  $\tilde{G}$  is the Laplace transform of the age spectrum. (Note that with the normalization of  $\boldsymbol{\xi}_{k}(t)$  by its time-dependent surface value  $\boldsymbol{\xi}_{k}^{\Omega}(t)$ , Equation 9 also applies to inert tracers exponentially increasing at the surface

with growth rates  $1/\tau_k \lambda_k$ , thus avoiding the constant-lifetime assumption.) The corresponding exponential weighting functions are represented in Fig. 1 for a pool of such tracers. They all peak for short transit times, so that the information provided by the different tracers is partly redundant and needs to be deconvolved. In general, this deconvolution may be achieved using different approaches, which will depend on the type of tracer considered and its associated weighting functions.



**Figure 1.** Shape of the weighting function  $(e^{-\frac{1}{\tau_k}}e^{-\lambda_k \tau})$  to the age spectrum for exponentially decaying tracers with different lifetimes ranging from 0.1 to 50 years.

#### 5 2.2.2 Diagnosing the age spectrum from the tracers: review of previous approaches

10

There have been a few attempts to characterize the age spectrum from the knowledge of tracer concentrations. Andrews et al. (1999) used time series of  $CO_2$  and  $N_2O$  to diagnose the transit time distribution, assumed to be a superposition of two inverse Gaussians. Johnson et al. (1999) used water vapor time series from which they deconvolved the age spectrum by the mean of Fourier transform. However, both studies heavily relied on the assumed stationarity of the atmospheric flow. In general, stratospheric transport and the associated stratospheric age spectrum are non-stationary, as evident from observations (e.g. Stiller et al., 2012; Haenel et al., 2015) and model simulations (e.g. Li et al., 2012; Diallo et al., 2012; Ray et al., 2014;

- Ploeger and Birner, 2016). In particular, the age spectrum exhibits seasonal and interannual variability. A few techniques have been proposed to estimate the age spectrum from tracer mixing ratios which do not rely on the stationarity assumption. They are briefly reviewed in the following.
- 15 A first approach, which might be referred to as the moment-estimate approach, is exposed for instance in Waugh et al. (2003). It is based on the relation between the moments of the age spectrum  $M_n$ :

$$\mathcal{M}_n(t) = \int_0^{+\infty} \tau^n G(\tau; t) \mathrm{d}\tau.$$
(10)

and the concentration  $\chi$  of a passive tracer ( $\lambda = 0$  in Eq. 7) with a boundary condition  $\chi^{\Omega}(t)$  evolving as a polynomial function of time *t*, of order *N*, so that one may write:

$$\chi^{\Omega}(t-\tau) = \chi^{\Omega}(t) + \sum_{n=1}^{N} \alpha_n \tau^n.$$
(11)

where the  $\alpha_n$  are the coefficients of the polynomial. The relation is:

5 
$$\chi(t) - \chi^{\Omega}(t) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \alpha_n \mathcal{M}_n(t).$$
 (12)

Linearly increasing tracers constitute a particular case of Eq. 11 with N = 1 and  $\alpha_1 < 0$ , such that  $\chi^{\Omega}(t - \tau) = \chi^{\Omega}(t) + \alpha_1 \tau$ . For those, Equation 12 implies that the delay time  $\Delta \tau = (\chi(t) - \chi^{\Omega}(t))/\alpha_1$  is also the first moment of the age spectrum, called the mean age (e.g. Waugh and Hall, 2002)

$$\Gamma(t) = \mathcal{M}_1(t) = \int_0^{+\infty} \tau G(\tau; t) d\tau = \Delta \tau.$$
(13)

- 10 This last relation has been extensively used to derive mean age of air from linearly increasing conserved tracers, such as SF<sub>6</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub> (e.g. Engel et al., 2009). More generally, the moment-estimate approach builds on Eq. 12 to constrain specific moments of the age spectrum from tracers with different time dependency (linear, quadratic, ...). Knowledge of given moments (e.g. the first 2 moments) then enables to characterize the full age spectrum, assuming that the distribution has a given shape (such as an inverse Gaussian, solution of the age spectrum for 1D advection diffusion problems, as was done by Hall et al., 2002)(such as
- 15 This reasoning is however limited by the fact that real age spectra may exhibit a variety of shapes, and are not necessarily inverse Gaussians.

A second approach is the Boundary Impulse Response (BIR) method (Li et al., 2012). This method is based on a set of conserved pulse tracers, i.e. tracers which satisfy the boundary condition:

$$\chi_k^{\Omega}(t) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } t \in [t_k, t_{k+1}], \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$
(14)

20 In that case, the relation between the pulse tracer mixing ratio and the age spectrum reads

$$\chi_k(t) = \int_{t-t_{k+1}}^{t-t_k} G(\tau; t) d\tau \simeq G\left(t - \frac{t_k + t_{k+1}}{2}; t\right) (t_{k+1} - t_k)$$
(15)

Thus, a set of N such tracers initialized following Eq. 14 with different, regular time intervals (i.e.  $t_k = k\delta t$ ) provides a resolved (though discretized) description of the age spectrum for transit times up to  $N\delta t$ . The BIR method has recently been employed in atmospheric chemistry-transport models (Li et al., 2012; Ploeger and Birner, 2016) in order to gain knowledge on the model age spectrum. Though a useful diagnostic in models, the BIR method requires this specific pool of artificial pulse tracers and

cannot in general be applied to standard tracers that might be available from observations.

25

A third approach consists in retrieving (e.g. through least-square regression) optimizing the parameters of a given function representing the age spectrum so that it best fits the tracer concentrations observed tracer concentrations, e.g. through least-square regression). We will call that approach the parametric approach (Hall et al., 2002). Like the moment-estimate approach, it is based on the expectation assumption that G has a given shape (e.g. an inverse Gaussian Hall and Plumb, 1994).

5 The technique can easily be applied to observed tracers and was employed by Schoeberl et al. (2005). Although it provides reasonable results, the parametric approach suffers from the same caveat mentioned above that the shape needs to be assumed a priori. Very recent results show that it can be substantially improved for the stratosphere by including information about the seasonality in transport (Hauck, M., Fritsch, F., Garny, H. and Engel, A.: Deriving stratospheric age of air spectra using chemically active trace gases, in prep. for Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics)(Hauck et al., 2018).

#### 10 3 Inversion of the age spectrum from (non-pulse) tracers

As emphasized by the review of the literature in the previous section, retrieving the age spectrum without assuming either stationarity of the flow or an a priori shape has never been attempted to our knowledge, although it has been suggested by some authors, including Schoeberl et al. (2000). Below, we describe a methodology to perform such retrievals and investigate its relevance for estimating the age spectrum.

#### 15 3.1 Statement of the problem and solution approach

#### **3.1.1** Formulation of the discretized problem

25

Following Schoeberl et al. (2000), we discretize the convolution integral in Eq. 8 in transit time intervals  $[t_i, t_{i+1}]$ 

$$\boldsymbol{\xi}_{k}(t) = \sum_{j=0}^{+\infty} \int_{t_{j}}^{t_{j+1}} \left( e^{\mathbf{M}\tau \mathbf{M}\tau} \boldsymbol{\xi}^{\Omega}(t-\tau) \right)_{k} G(\tau;t) \mathrm{d}\tau \simeq \sum_{j=0}^{n} \mathbf{L} \mathbf{L}_{kj} \mathbf{\underline{G}} \boldsymbol{G}_{j}$$
(16)

with the *k*-subscript indicating the *k*-th component of the tracer species vector and the "weighting function matrix" elements 20  $\mathbf{L}_{kj}$   $\mathbf{L}_{kj}$  and age spectrum vector  $\mathbf{G}_{j}$  given by  $\mathbf{G}_{j}$  given by

$$\underline{\mathbf{LL}}_{kj}(t) = \int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}} \left( e^{\mathbf{M}\tau \mathbf{M}\tau} \boldsymbol{\xi}^{\Omega}(t-\tau) \right)_k \mathrm{d}\tau, \qquad \underline{\mathbf{G}} \boldsymbol{G}_j(t) = G\left(\frac{t_j + t_{j+1}}{2}; t\right).$$
(17)

To obtain the second equality in Eq. 16, we have assumed that G is piecewise constant over the intervals  $[t_j, t_{j+1}]$ . We have also truncated the transit time axis at some  $t_n$ , for practical computation reasons. In order to clarify simplify the notation, we drop the explicit reference to t in G in the remainder of the paper, but it is implicit that the age spectrum depends on both time and location. Considering the full vector of mixing ratios,  $\boldsymbol{\xi}$ , Eq. 16 can be written in matrix form

$$\boldsymbol{\xi} = \underline{\mathbf{LL}} \, \underline{\mathbf{G}} \, \boldsymbol{G} \,. \tag{18}$$

For the special case of a suite of linearly decaying (radioactive) tracers with unit mixing ratio at the surface, as described by Eq. 9, the elements of the weighting function matrix L are simply L are simply.

$$\underline{\mathbf{LL}}_{kj} = \int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}} e^{-\frac{\tau}{\tau_k} - \lambda_k \tau} \mathrm{d}\tau = \tau_k \left( e^{-\frac{t_j}{\tau_k} - \lambda_k t_j} - e^{-\frac{t_{j+1}}{\tau_k} - \lambda_k t_{j+1}} \right).$$
(19)

A piecewise constant representation of the weighting function  $(e^{M\tau}\xi^{\Omega}(t-\tau)) (e^{M\tau}\xi^{\Omega}(t-\tau))$  could also have been used 5 if no analytical expression had been available.

In order to gain information on  $\mathbf{G} \cdot \mathbf{G}$  from the radioactive tracers, Schoeberl et al. (2000) suggested to use Eq. 18 and to construct a square matrix  $\mathbf{L} \cdot \mathbf{L}$  from which one could estimate  $\mathbf{G}$  as  $\mathbf{G}^{\text{est}} = \mathbf{L}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\xi}^{\text{obs}} \mathbf{G}$  as  $\mathbf{G}^{\text{est}} = \mathbf{L}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\xi}^{\text{obs}}$ . This method is not applicable in practice, however, because the problem is ill-posed and sensitive to small perturbation of  $\boldsymbol{\xi}^{\text{obs}}$  and because the matrix  $\mathbf{L} \cdot \mathbf{L}$  is nearly singular (as demonstrated in appendix A1).

#### 10 3.1.2 Inversion approach

Rather than directly inverting  $\mathbf{L}\mathbf{L}$ , it is more appropriate to consider the determination of  $\mathbf{G} \cdot \mathbf{G}$  from the observed trace gas mixing ratios  $\boldsymbol{\xi}^{\text{obs}}$  as an inverse problem, in which Eq. 18 is the forward model. In this formulation, the tracer content provides information on the convolution of the age spectrum with given functions. In that respect, it is similar to atmospheric soundings, for which the radiances measured at different wavelengths provide information on temperature and tracer profiles. Appropri-

15 ate approaches to deal with such inverse problems are described in textbooks such as Rodgers (2000). In the following, we summarize the relevant pieces of information for the specific case considered here.

A solution to the discretized problem may be obtained through the minimization of a cost function  $\frac{J(G)}{J(G)}$ , here expressed as:

$$J(\underline{\mathbf{G}}\mathbf{G}) = (\underline{\mathbf{L}}\underline{\mathbf{L}}\underline{\mathbf{G}}\mathbf{G} - \boldsymbol{\xi}^{\text{obs}})^{\mathrm{T}}\underline{\mathbf{S}}\mathbf{S}_{\epsilon}^{-1}(\underline{\mathbf{L}}\underline{\mathbf{L}}\underline{\mathbf{G}}\mathbf{G} - \boldsymbol{\xi}^{\text{obs}}) + (\underline{\mathbf{G}}\mathbf{G} - \underline{\mathbf{G}}\mathbf{G}_{a})^{\mathrm{T}}\underline{\mathbf{S}}\mathbf{S}_{a}^{-1}(\underline{\mathbf{G}}\mathbf{G} - \underline{\mathbf{G}}\mathbf{G}_{a}).$$
(20)

20 The first term  $\mathbf{S}_{\epsilon}^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{S}_{\epsilon}^{-1}$  is the inverse covariance matrix of the "observed" (or modeled) tracers. It quantifies the departure from observations and may correspond to instrumental noise or model error as well as uncertainties in the estimation of  $\mathbf{L}_{\cdot}\mathbf{L}$ (as, e.g., uncertainties in the decay coefficients, in the boundary condition  $\boldsymbol{\xi}_{k}^{\Omega}$  or even numerical errors). In our context, the second term involving the a priori  $\mathbf{G}_{a} \cdot \mathbf{G}_{a}$  and its inverse error covariance matrix  $\mathbf{S}_{a}^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{S}_{a}^{-1}$  is introduced for regularization purposes (to avoid unphysical oscillations and large negative values of the retrieved  $\mathbf{G}_{\epsilon}$ ), in order to penalize solutions far 25 from the a priori value.

Since the problem is already linear, the optimal  $\mathbf{G} = \mathbf{G}^{\text{est}} = \mathbf{G}^{\text{est}}$  (which minimizes *J*) can be readily estimated as:

$$\underline{\mathbf{G}}\mathbf{G}^{\text{est}} = \underline{\mathbf{G}}\mathbf{G}_{a} + \left(\underline{\mathbf{L}^{\mathrm{T}}\mathbf{S}_{\epsilon}^{-1}\mathbf{L}}\mathbf{L}^{\mathrm{T}}\mathbf{S}_{\epsilon}^{-1}\mathbf{L} + \underline{\mathbf{S}}_{a}\underline{\mathbf{S}}_{a}^{-1}\right)^{-1}\underline{\mathbf{L}^{\mathrm{T}}\mathbf{S}_{\epsilon}}\mathbf{L}^{\mathrm{T}}\mathbf{S}_{\epsilon}^{-1}\left(\boldsymbol{\xi}^{\text{obs}} - \underline{\mathbf{L}}\underline{\mathbf{L}}_{\infty}\mathbf{\underline{G}}\mathbf{G}_{a}\right)$$
(21)

Contrary to most practical inverse problems, ours is of sufficiently small dimension (100 tracers and a few hundred points along the transit time axis at the most) so that a direct inversion of the matrix may be attempted without running into computational

and memory limitations. However, similarly to most inverse problems, it is not obvious how to obtain values for the matrices  $S_{\epsilon}$ ,  $S_{\epsilon}$  (which represents different sources of errors) and  $S_a$ ,  $S_a$  (which may only be estimated from models) nor to get a value for  $G_a G_a$ . We will follow an empirical approach here , often referred to as Tikhonov regularization. We set  $G_a = 0$  and take  $S_{\epsilon}$  as  $\sigma_{\epsilon}^2 I$  and  $S_a$  as  $\frac{\sigma_a^2}{\alpha^2} I$  where I for the regularization, which belongs to the class of Tikhonov regularization schemes.

5 Specifically, we set  $G_a = 0$  and take  $S_{\epsilon}$  as  $\sigma_{\epsilon}^2 I$  and  $S_a$  as  $\frac{\sigma_a^2}{\alpha^2} I$  where I is the identity matrix,  $\sigma_{\epsilon}^2$  a rough estimate of the variance of the "observation" (or model) error  $\epsilon$ ,  $\sigma_a^2$  a rough estimate of the variance of  $G_a G_a$  and  $\alpha$  a positive scalar. Then the cost function can be rewritten:

$$J(\underline{\mathbf{G}}\mathbf{G}) = \frac{1}{\sigma_{\epsilon}^{2}} (\underline{\mathbf{L}}\underline{\mathbf{G}}\mathbf{G} - \boldsymbol{\xi}^{\mathrm{obs}})^{\mathrm{T}} (\underline{\mathbf{L}}\underline{\mathbf{G}}\mathbf{G} - \boldsymbol{\xi}^{\mathrm{obs}}) + \frac{\alpha^{2}}{\sigma_{a}^{2}} \underline{\mathbf{G}}\mathbf{G}^{\mathrm{T}}\underline{\mathbf{G}}\mathbf{G}.$$
(22)

and the optimal estimate is:

10 
$$\underline{\mathbf{G}}\mathbf{G}^{\text{est}} = \left(\underline{\mathbf{L}^{\mathrm{T}}\mathbf{L}\mathbf{L}^{\mathrm{T}}\mathbf{L}} + \frac{\alpha^{2}}{\sigma_{a}^{2}}\sigma_{\epsilon}^{2}\underline{I}\mathbf{I}\right)^{-1}\underline{\mathbf{L}^{\mathrm{T}}}\underline{\mathbf{L}}^{\mathrm{T}}\boldsymbol{\xi}^{\text{obs}}$$
(23)

In practice, different values of  $\alpha$  can be tested until a reasonable retrieval is obtained. For Within a certain range of  $\alpha$ -values, the retrievals are similar. That range only marginally sensitive to the exact value of  $\alpha$ . The range of values yielding reasonable retrievals encompasses the ratio of noise variance of the observation's error to the one of the a priori.

- It should be noted that At this point, three further remarks should be made. First, there is no guarantee that the estimated age spectra  $\mathbf{G}^{\text{est}} \cdot \mathbf{G}^{\text{est}}$  are positive for all transit times. As they are a result of optimal estimation, negative values should not be discarded, but taken into account in order to get the most accurate average and reduce the bias. A second point is that setting  $G_a = 0$  implicitly includes a priori information regarding G, albeit limited compared to the parametric approach described above. The effect of setting  $G_a = 0$  is to favor smooth functions and implicitly penalize unphysical oscillatory solutions which would deviate significantly from the characteristics expected for a distibution (i.e. G > 0 and  $\int_{0}^{+\infty} G(\tau; t) d\tau = 1$ ). Finally,
- 20 the structure of  $S_{\epsilon}$  and  $S_{\alpha}$  are merely chosen here because of their simplicity in the absence of rationale to do otherwise. One advantage is that then an unique  $\alpha$  value needs to be tuned to perform the inversion. More complicated forms of  $S_{\epsilon}$  and  $S_{\alpha}$  may be required in practical applications, especially if the error in tracer measurements exhibit covariance structures.

#### 3.2 Feasibility and performance of the inversion

In order to test the feasibility of retrieving age spectra from a set of tracers, the sensitivity of the retrieval to noise in particular, preliminary checks with known, idealized spectra should be performed. We propose in this subsection a standard procedure to ensure the feasibility of the retrieval for a given tracer set and apply it to the particular case of the set of radioactive tracers presented in Fig. 1.

#### 3.2.1 Idealized age spectrum and tracer set

The first step is to construct an age spectrum and the associated tracer composition as a test bed for the retrieval method. It is 30 straightforward to estimate the decaying tracers from the perfect knowledge of the age spectrum, either analytically or through numerical integration of Eq. 18 with a fine resolution along the transit time axis. For the idealized age spectrum, we use the canonical expression for 1-D advective-diffusive systems given by (e.g. Waugh and Hall, 2002):

$$\underline{G}\underline{\mathcal{G}}(\tau) = \sqrt{\frac{\Gamma^3}{4\pi\Delta^2\tau^3}} \exp\left(-\frac{\Gamma(\tau-\Gamma)^2}{4\Delta^2\tau}\right)$$
(24)

where Γ is the mean age and Δ the age spectrum width. This functional form for the age spectrum is known as inverse
Gaussian function and has been extensively compared with model spectra (e.g. Schoeberl et al., 2005). The pseudo-observed (or modeled) mixing ratios of the tracers are derived as:

$$\chi^{\rm obs} = \underline{\mathbf{L}} \underline{\mathbf{L}}^{\rm hr} \underline{\mathbf{G}} \mathbf{G}^{\rm hr} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$$
<sup>(25)</sup>

Here,  $\mathbf{G}_{j}^{\mathrm{hr}} = G\left(\frac{t_{j}+t_{j+1}}{2}\right) \cdot \mathbf{G}_{j}^{\mathrm{hr}} = \mathcal{G}\left(\frac{t_{j}+t_{j+1}}{2}\right)$  with  $t_{j} = j\delta t$  and  $\delta t = 1$  day. The error  $\epsilon$  represents the uncertainty associated with the observation or modeling of the tracer. Since the accuracy of trace gas mixing ratios from measurements or models is generally proportional to their content Here, we take  $\epsilon_{\mathrm{base}}$  proportional to the actual tracer mixing ratio, i.e. :

$$\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{k} = \left(\underline{\mathbf{L}}\underline{\mathbf{L}}^{\mathrm{hr}}\underline{\mathbf{G}}\boldsymbol{G}^{\mathrm{hr}}\right)_{k} (\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{\mathrm{base}})_{k}$$
(26)

where  $\epsilon_{\text{base}}$  is here a vector of random numbers from independent uniform distributions over [-0.05; 0.05]. The formulation in Eq. 26 is motivated by the fact that, for the tracers selected to perform the inversion, the accuracy of the measurements should be significantly smaller than their actual value; furthermore, the accuracy of trace gas mixing ratios from in situ measurements or models is in some cases proportional to their content.

#### 3.2.2 Setting-up the retrieval

10

15

20

Typically, two parameters need to be chosen to set up a retrieval: the resolution along the transit time axis and the strength of the regularization, i.e. the value of  $\alpha$ . It is actually advantageous to start with a high resolution along the transit time axis (e.g. 1 month) to nail down the value of  $\alpha$ , before determining the effective resolution of the retrieval and adjusting the inversion to that resolution.

If the uncertainties associated with the observations or the a priori are not precisely known, there is some freedom in the choice of the optimal  $\alpha$ . One procedure is to empirically test different values of  $\alpha$ , and choose the best fit through visual inspection of the retrieved spectrum (i.e. until complete removal of the noise oscillations). However, this leaves room to a large subjectivity; a more objective approach is the L-curve optimality criterion (e.g. Hansen, 1992; Ungermann et al., 2011). This

25 approach consists in plotting the residual  $\frac{1}{\sigma_{\epsilon}^{2}} (\mathbf{L}\mathbf{G}^{\text{est}} - \boldsymbol{\xi}^{\text{obs}})^{\mathrm{T}} (\mathbf{L$ 

Average L-curve for the 1 month-resolution setup. Each point of this curve corresponds to a pair  $\langle \frac{1}{\sigma_{\epsilon}^{2}} (L \mathbf{G}^{\text{est}} - \boldsymbol{\xi}^{\text{obs}})^{T} (L \mathbf{G}^{\text{est}} - \boldsymbol{\xi}^{\text{obs}}) \rangle$ 30 vs  $\langle \frac{1}{\sigma_{\epsilon}^{2}} \mathbf{G}^{\text{est T}} \mathbf{G}^{\text{est}} \rangle$  with  $\mathbf{G}^{\text{est}}$  calculated using Eq. 23 with the corresponding value of  $\alpha$ . The displayed curve is the average



Figure 2. Average L-curve for the 1 month-resolution setup. Each point of this curve corresponds to a pair  $\langle \frac{1}{\sigma_e^2} (\mathbf{L} \boldsymbol{G}^{est} - \boldsymbol{\xi}^{obs})^T (\mathbf{L} \boldsymbol{G}^{est} - \boldsymbol{\xi}^{obs}) \rangle$  vs  $\langle \frac{1}{\sigma_e^2} \boldsymbol{G}^{est T} \boldsymbol{G}^{est} \rangle$  with  $\boldsymbol{G}^{est}$  calculated using Eq. 23 with the corresponding value of  $\alpha$ . The displayed curve is the average misfit-constraint for 100 retrievals from 100 sets of pseudo-observations with different realizations of the noise (different  $\epsilon$  in Eq. 25).

misfit-constraint for 100 retrievals from 100 sets of pseudo-observations with different realizations of the noise (different  $\epsilon$  in Eq. 25).

In order to construct the L-shaped curve and to determine an appropriate value for  $\alpha$ , we generate a set of 100 pseudoobservations  $\boldsymbol{\xi}^{\text{obs}}$  by varying  $\epsilon$  in Eq. 25, with the "true spectrum"  $\mathbf{G}^{\text{hr}} - \mathbf{G}^{\text{hr}}$  taken as an inverse Gaussian with  $\Gamma = 2$  years and  $\Delta = 1$  year. For each of the 100 realizations of  $\boldsymbol{\xi}^{\text{obs}}$ , a retrieval  $\mathbf{G}^{\text{est}} - \mathbf{G}^{\text{est}}$  is then performed using a given  $\alpha$  in Eq. 23. This procedure is carried out for different values of  $\alpha$ , resulting in 100 L-shaped curves (for each of the 100 realizations  $\boldsymbol{\xi}^{\text{obs}}$ ). The average (for representativeness) of the resulting 100 L-shaped curves (i.e.  $\langle \frac{1}{\sigma_a^2} (\mathbf{L} \mathbf{G}^{\text{est}} - \boldsymbol{\xi}^{\text{obs}})^T (\mathbf{L} \mathbf{G}^{\text{est}} - \boldsymbol{\xi}^{\text{obs}}) > vs$  $\langle \frac{1}{\sigma_a^2} \mathbf{G}^{\text{est T}} \mathbf{G}^{\text{est}} > \text{with } \mathbf{G}^{\text{est}} \langle \frac{1}{\sigma_a^2} (\mathbf{L} \mathbf{G}^{\text{est}} - \boldsymbol{\xi}^{\text{obs}})^T (\mathbf{L} \mathbf{G}^{\text{est}} - \boldsymbol{\xi}^{\text{obs}}) > vs \langle \frac{1}{\sigma_a^2} \mathbf{G}^{\text{est T}} \mathbf{G}^{\text{est T}} \mathbf{G}^{\text{est}} \rangle$ ) is shown in Fig. 2. It exhibits the expected L shape, and shows that for our problem,  $\alpha^2 = 10^{-2}$  turns out to be a good choice for our problem.

Figure 3 shows the retrieved spectra obtained using  $\alpha = 10^{-1}$ , for two typical cases, a "young-age spectrum" ( $\Gamma = 2$  years and  $\Delta = 1$  year) and an "old-age spectrum" ( $\Gamma = 5$  years and  $\Delta = 2$  years). The thin black lines are individual retrieval results for 100 retrievals from the 100 sets of pseudo-observations including noise, while the thick black lines are the averages (shaded area: +/-1 standard deviation). For both idealized spectra, the averages agree reasonably well with the input (red lines). In particular, the location of the mode is found in both cases and the general shape and magnitude of the spectrum are reproduced.

15 However, unrealistic negative values arise for small and large transit times (where the actual spectrum is close to 0), and the exact magnitude of the mode is not captured, with a  $\sim 25\%$  underestimation. Furthermore, there is a significant dispersion of individual retrievals around the average. This dispersion can be reduced by increasing the strength of the regularization  $\alpha$ , but at the price of a deteriorated agreement of the multi retrieval average with the true spectrum. Conversely, a better agreement

of the average spectrum with the input can be achieved by decreasing  $\alpha$ , at the price of an increased dispersion in individual retrievals. As described above, the choice of  $\alpha$  is a compromise between the reliability of individual retrievals and the accuracy of multi-retrieval averages.

We would like to emphasize that a different value of  $\alpha$  may suit better when the relative strength of the noise is modified. 5 However, as the problem is ill-posed, regularization is required even in the absence of noise (see appendix A1).



Figure 3. Input age spectra (red) and average retrieved age spectra (black), for an input idealized age spectrum with  $\Gamma = 2$  years,  $\Delta = 1$  year (left) and  $\Gamma = 5$  years,  $\Delta = 2$  years (right). The average retrieved age spectra are averages of 100 retrievals from 100 set of pseudo-tracer observations  $\chi^{obs}$  (i.e. 100 different realizations of the noise in Eq. 25). The gray shading corresponds to +/- the standard deviation of the hundred retrievals and shows the dispersion-noise induced uncertainty. The thin grey curves are individual retrievals.

#### 3.2.3 Resolution

To perform the retrieval presented above, only 9 tracers were used whereas there were the 119 components of the spectrum to invert (monthly bins on a 10 year long transit time axis). It then comes without surprise that the retrieval is strongly underconstrained and requires regularization, especially since the weighting functions all peak at  $\tau = 0$ . The effective resolution in transit time of the inverted spectrum can be investigated from the averaging kernel matrix A-A defined by Eq. 23 as

$$\underline{\mathbf{A}} \underbrace{\mathbf{A}}_{\sim} = \left( \underline{\mathbf{L}}^{\mathrm{T}} \underline{\mathbf{L}} \underline{\mathbf{L}}_{\sim}^{\mathrm{T}} \underline{\mathbf{L}} + \frac{\alpha^{2}}{\sigma_{a}^{2}} \sigma_{\epsilon}^{2} \underline{I} \underline{\mathbf{I}} \right)^{-1} \underline{\mathbf{L}}^{\mathrm{T}} \underline{\mathbf{L}}_{\sim}^{\mathrm{T}} \underline{\mathbf{L}} \underline{\mathbf{L}}.$$
(27)

The matrix A-A quantifies the contribution of the value of G at different transit times to the retrieved age spectrum  $\mathbf{G}^{\text{est}}$   $\mathbf{G}^{\text{est}}$  at a specific transit time, and thus the resolution and ability to distinguish specific features. Averaging kernels peaking at one single transit time would provide the best resolution.



**Figure 4.** Averaging kernels to the age spectrum for different retrieved transit times with the high-resolution (1 month) retrieval. (Left) actual averaging kernels. (Right) Averaging kernels normalized by their respective maximum value. Note that the averaging kernel at a particular transit time is the respective row of the averaging kernel matrix.

For our setup, the averaging kernels are displayed in Fig. 4. As expected from the shape of the weighting function (Fig. 1), the resolution is better for short transit times, although even for those the effective resolution is worse than does not reach the 1-month-transit-time bin size chosen for the retrieval, as can be seen from the overlap of the averaging kernels. The averaging kernels also exhibit negative lobes, which are responsible for the negative values seen in the retrieval at transit times characterized with low values of G. The amplitude of the negative values may be decreased by strengthening the regularization, but this reduces the sharpness of the peak of the averaging kernels and hence degrades the resolution.

Given the redundancy visible in the averaging kernels, it is possible to use a sparser resolution grid in transit time, which would better reflect the information available from the tracers. Although there is some freedom in the choice of the grid, we keep the linear grid spacing in the following because of its simplicity and the demonstrated feasibility of the retrievals in that setup.

#### 3.2.4 Tail correction and renormalization

5

10

As emphasized in Sect. 3, there is no guarantee that the retrieved age spectrum is positive for all transit times. Although negative values should not be discarded in averaging procedures, some practical applications (such as using the retrieved age spectrum to, e.g., compute mean age or estimate the mixing ratio of any tracer) may impose that the retrieved spectrum fulfills

- 15 the requirements of distribution functions, i.e. to have only positive values and integrate to unity. Renormalization is necessary to enforce those requirements. We propose a simple three-step procedure to obtain a normalized spectrum  $G^{\text{norm}}$  from  $G^{\text{est}}$ :
  - 1. Set all negative values to 0.

- 2. Fit the tail of the age spectrum to an exponential, as was suggested by Li et al. (2012) and employed by Diallo et al. (2012); Ploeger as By default, we apply the tail fitting to all transit times larger than half the maximum retrieved transit time; if the fit leads to a positive exponential parameter (exponential growth instead of decay), then a second attempt for a fit is made for transit times from the resolved modal transit time to the end of the transit time axis. If this again leads to an exponential growth, the normalization is considered to have failed and only Step 1 is carried out.
- 5

10

3. Normalize the whole spectrum (including the tail) so that it integrates to 1. In other words, we ensure that

 $t_n$  is the maximum transit time considered; it is arbitrarily set to 100 years in our case; the only requirement is that  $t_n$  should be sufficiently large to cover all significantly non-zero values of G. This step is only performed if step 2 was successful.

#### 4 Applications and discussion

#### 4.1 Application to model data

A first application of the inversion method is to retrieve age spectra from tracers in model simulations. To demonstrate this, we use a transport simulation performed with the 3D version of the Chemical Lagrangian Model of the Stratosphere (CLaMS

- 15 McKenna et al., 2002; Konopka et al., 2004). The general setup of the model is described by Pommrich et al. (2014). The model simulation was started on 01/01/1979 and includes a pulse-tracer set to estimate the age spectrum using the BIR method similar to the one used by Ploeger and Birner (2016). From the pulse tracer mixing ratios the "true" model age spectra have been calculated independently using the BIR method, to validate the new age spectrum retrieval. In addition to the pulse tracers, 28 artificial radioactive tracers with boundary conditions at the surface and linear decay rate in the free atmosphere have been
- 20 introduced. They consist in: one tracer with a decay time of 15 days, 17 with decay times ranging from 30 to 510 days with a 30-day step, and 10 with decay times from 570 to 1380 days with 90-day step. In Fig. 5, the age spectra retrieved from these linearly-exponentially decaying tracers using the new method introduced in Sect. 3 are compared to age spectra estimated with the BIR method (Ploeger and Birner, 2016) for different altitude-latitude ranges on the 31/12/1983.
- Figure 5 illustrates the unequal performance of the inversion in the different cases. For short transit times, seen in the tropical upper troposphere (Fig. 5 a), the shape of the age spectrum is very well captured, despite the sharpness of the modal peak. At higher altitudes in the tropical pipe (Fig. 5 b), the transit time distribution exhibits two peaks, with the first mode corresponding to the (most recent) "direct ascension" from the surface while the second is a remainder from the increased entry of air in the stratosphere during the previous winter compared to the subsequent spring (see Ploeger and Birner, 2016, for further discussion of age spectrum seasonality). This bimodal behavior is smoothed out in the retrieval so that the two
- 30 modes cannot be distinguished from one another in the retrieved spectrum, but the tail and general shape of the spectrum



Figure 5. Age spectra retrieved from artificial decaying radioactive tracers, with (blue) and without (black) renormalization, versus spectra estimated using the BIR method (red). The spectra on the different panels correspond to the same CLaMS model simulation on 31 December 1983, in different altitude-latitude regions. The resolution along the transit time axis is 1 month (the transit times retrieved span 0 to 4 years) and the chosen regularization strength is  $\frac{\alpha^2 \sigma_{\ell}^2}{\sigma^2} \simeq 6500 \text{ (m}^3/\text{m}^3)^2 \cdot \text{hour}^2$ .

are well represented. Only the mode from the previous winter has reached higher up (Fig. 5 c), which results in a translated spectrum with a larger tail compared to the ones displayed in panels a) and b). The full magnitude of the main peak is not reproduced in the retrieval, although its location is correct. The multipeak structure resulting from the seasonal cycle in the northern hemisphere stratosphere is completely smoothed out (Fig. 5 d).

- The different examples above show that the (radioactively) decaying-tracer setup effectively enables to retrieve the general shape of the age spectrum. However, high-resolution features, such as the magnitude of individual peaks or the seasonal cycle in the age spectrum, are either underestimated or not retrieved at all, in particular fine-scale structures at large transit times. The comparison of the quality of the retrievals for different input spectra in panels a) and c) emphasizes the better resolution for short transit times. This is an immediate consequence of the shape of the averaging kernels, which are wider for large transit
- 10 times (Fig. 4), due to the shape of the weighting functions for the radioactive tracers (Fig. 1).



**Figure 6.** Profile of tropical  $(15^{\circ}S-15^{\circ}N)$  modal age <u>on 1983/12/31</u> in the CLaMS simulation, determined using the BIR method (red) or retrieved using the procedure highlighted in Sect. 3 (black). The red shading corresponds to +/- the standard deviation of the mode of the BIR spectrum in the  $15^{\circ}S-15^{\circ}N$  region, and is introduced to guide the eye regarding the range of variability.

The better quality of the retrievals for short transit times makes them most useful in the "ventilated" regions, i.e. the tropical pipe and the mid-latitude surf-zone. This is illustrated in Fig. 6, which contrasts the actual modal age of air determined with the BIR method with that derived using the retrieval procedure within the tropical pipe. As shown by Ploeger and Birner (2016), in the tropical pipe (as well as in the wintertime stratospheric "surf zone") the modal age is a useful indicator of the residual

- 5 circulation transit time. Figure 6 shows that the retrieved tropical modal age agrees reasonably well with the BIR modal age (consistent with Fig. 5a, b). This is also the case for the "young" age spectra of the Midlatitude lower stratosphere (Fig. 5 c). Thanks to the sensitivity of the retrievals to young ages, the normalized retrieved spectra can provide a realistic view of the content in young air masses (younger than a few months) and its variability. The mass fraction of air younger than 6 months (F<sub>6</sub>) from the retrieval method is compared to the respective fraction from the pulse method in Fig. 7, exemplarily for 31
- 10 December 1983. In the lower stratosphere (here 400 K), the young air mass fractions from both methods agree very well, even details such as the regions of youngest air above the Indian Ocean and West Pacific or the wave-like structures in the subtropics. Hence, the retrieval method can be used to infer quantitative characteristics on rapid transport in the upper troposphere-lower statosphere. However, for age spectra with long tails towards large transit times and a number of distinct peaks corresponding to the seasonal cycle, such as encountered in the midlatitude polar mid-stratosphere (Fig. 5 d), large errors occur. These errors
- 15 partly originate from the coarser description of the spectrum at large transit times and partly from the inability of the inversion

to capture the annual cycle. Introducing other tracers in the retrieval might allow to improve on this aspect, as investigated in the following section.



Figure 7. Young ( $\tau < 6$  months) air mass fraction  $F_6$  at  $\theta = 400$  K on 1983/12/31, as estimated from the BIR method (left) and the retrieval (right).

#### 4.2 Use of additional tracers to retrieve realistic age spectra

Besides parent radioactive tracers, Eq. 8 also encompasses daughter radioactive tracers, which are for instance the products of the decay of a surface emitted tracer, following the decay chain:

$$A \to B \to \dots$$
 (29)

The rate of change of the mixing ratio  $\xi_B$  of the daughter tracer is given by:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\xi_{\mathbf{B}}}{\mathrm{d}t} = \frac{\xi_A}{\underline{\tau_A}} \underbrace{\lambda_A \xi_A}_{\underline{\tau_B}} - \frac{\xi_B}{\underline{\tau_B}} \underbrace{\lambda_B \xi_B}_{\underline{\tau_B}}.$$
(30)

Let us now consider a set of parent and daughter tracers, with  $\xi_A$  and  $\xi_B$  the vectors of their mixing ratios. If the boundary 10 condition at the surface for the parent tracers is  $\xi_A = \xi_A^{\Omega}$  and for the daughter tracers  $\xi_B^{\Omega} = 0$ , and if the decay times are equal for each couple ( $\tau_{Ak} = \tau_{Bk} = \tau_k \lambda_{Ak} = \lambda_{Bk} = \lambda_k$ ), then for each k the tracer mixing ratio is given by the following relation:

$$\boldsymbol{\xi}_{\boldsymbol{B}k} = \boldsymbol{\xi}_{\boldsymbol{A}k}^{\boldsymbol{\Omega}} \underbrace{\frac{t}{\tau_k}}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}kt} e^{\frac{-t}{\tau_k} - \frac{\lambda_k t}{\lambda_kt}} \tag{31}$$

The weighting functions of transit times, as shown in Fig. 8, peak at different times corresponding to  $\tau_k - \lambda_k$  and hence allow a better resolution of the age spectrum. However, they still have the disadvantage of an increasing width of the weighting

functions for increasing transit time of the peak. The line of the transfer matrix  $\mathbf{L}$  corresponding to the  $l^{\text{th}}$  daughter tracer (i.e. such that  $\xi_{Bl} = \sum_{j=0}^{n} \mathbf{L}_{l,j} \mathbf{G}_{j} \xi_{Bl} = \sum_{j=0}^{n} \mathbf{L}_{l,j} \mathbf{G}_{j}$ ) is:

$$\underline{\mathbf{LL}}_{l,j} = \left(t_j \, e^{\frac{-t_j}{\tau_l} - \lambda_l \, t_j}_{- \tau_l} - t_{j+1} \, e^{\frac{-t_{j+1}}{\tau_l} - \lambda_l \, t_{j+1}}_{- \tau_l}\right) + \underline{\tau_l} \underbrace{\frac{1}{\lambda_l}}_{\lambda_l} \left(e^{\frac{-t_j}{\tau_l} - \lambda_l \, t_j}_{- \tau_l} - e^{\frac{-t_{j+1}}{\tau_l} - \lambda_l \, t_{j+1}}_{- \tau_l}\right)$$
(32)



**Figure 8.** Shape of the weighting function to the age spectrum  $\frac{t}{\tau_k} e^{-\frac{t}{\tau_k}} \lambda_k t e^{-\lambda_k t}$  for radioactive or chemical product tracers with lifetimes  $\tau_k - \lambda_k$  equal to that of the parent species. The vertical lines show the location of the maxima of the weighting functions, reached at transit times  $\tau = \tau_k \tau = \frac{1}{2\lambda_k}$ .

We added a set of such daughter tracers to the parent radioactive tracers used in Sect. 3.2. The method employed to initialize
the tracers and set up the retrievals is the same as the one presented in Sect. 3.2, except that the basic spectrum is now given by:

$$\mathcal{G}(\tau) = \underline{\mathcal{C}} \underbrace{\frac{1}{\mathcal{C}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\tau^3}} (1 + A\cos(\omega\tau + \phi)) \exp\left(-\frac{\Gamma(\tau - \Gamma)^2}{4\Delta^2 \tau}\right)$$
(33)

where  $\omega = 2\pi \text{ year}^{-1}$  is the angular frequency, A the amplitude and  $\phi$  the phase of the annual cycle, and  $\mathcal{C}(A,\omega,\Gamma,\Delta) = \int_0^\infty A \cos(\omega\tau + \phi) \frac{\mathcal{C}(A,\omega,\Gamma,\Delta)}{\sqrt{\tau^2}} = \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\sqrt{\tau^2}} (1 + A \cos(\omega\tau + \phi)) \exp\left(-\frac{\Gamma(\tau - \Gamma)^2}{4\Delta^2\tau}\right) d\tau$  is a normalization constant. This functional form, introduced by Hauck et al. (in prep. for ACP) Hauck et al. (2018), is an adjustment of Eq. 24 allowing the inclusion of the seasonal

cycle. Note that with this form,  $\Gamma$  and  $\Delta$ now slightly differ from the mean age and the age spectrum width.

10

Figure 9 shows the results of the retrieval experiment. The input spectra (red curves) bear resemblance with the realistic spectra in Fig. 5 (c, d). In particular, they exhibit a clear annual cycle, evident from the annually repeating peaks. The default retrieval using only parent tracers (black curves) does not fit this pattern, and has essentially the same shape as for an input without seasonal variability (as in Fig. 3). With both parent and daughter tracers (green curves), the fit to the input spectrum is

15 without seasonal variability (as in Fig. 3). With both parent and daughter tracers (green curves), the fit to the input spectrum is improved. In particular, the uncertainty is clearly reduced. However, the seasonal variability is still absent from the retrieval.



Figure 9. (Red) Input age spectra, defined using Eq. 33 with A = 0.3,  $\phi = \frac{\pi}{2}$  (for left and right panels),  $\Gamma = 2$  years and  $\Delta = 1$  year (left panel) and  $\Gamma = 5$  years,  $\Delta = 2$  years (right panel). Retrieved age spectra using (black) parent decaying tracers only or (green) both parent and daughter tracers. The full lines are average retrieved age spectra over 100 retrievals from 100 set of pseudo-tracer observations. The grey and green shadings corresponds to +/- the standard deviation of the hundred retrievals and show the dispersion-noise induced uncertainty.

To retrieve the seasonal variability in the age spectrum, we include another type of tracers. These are pairs of conserved tracers subject to periodic boundary conditions, such as sinusoidal tracers varying as:

$$\chi_s(t) = \sin\left(\omega_m t\right) \qquad \qquad \chi_c(t) = \cos\left(\omega_m t\right) \tag{34}$$

where  $\omega_m$  is the angular frequency of the oscillations. Such a pair of tracers in phase quadrature will provide additional 5 information on periodic variations in the spectrum, like the seasonal cycle. The transfer matrix coefficients for those tracers are (calculated from Eq. 17)

$$\underline{\mathbf{LL}}_{m,j} = \frac{1}{\omega_m} \left[ \cos\left(\omega_m t_j\right) - \cos\left(\omega_m t_{j+1}\right) \right] \qquad \underline{\mathbf{LL}}_{n,j} = \frac{1}{\omega_m} \left[ \sin\left(\omega_m t_{j+1}\right) - \sin\left(\omega_m t_j\right) \right] \tag{35}$$

We added a set of sinusoidal tracers with periods of one and two years in addition to the set of parent radioactive tracers used in Sect. 3.2 and the daughter tracers discussed above, to further improve the retrieval. The retrieval results are shown in

10 Fig. 10. The addition of the periodic tracers (red curve) enables to retrieve the seasonality in the spectrum without deteriorating the representation of the general shape of the spectrum. Hence, it appears that with an adequate pool of time-varying tracers, high frequency features in the spectrum, such as the seasonal cycle, can be retrieved.

#### 4.3 Application to observable tracers



Figure 10. (Red) Input age spectra, defined using Eq. 33 with A = 0.3,  $\phi = \frac{\pi}{2}$  (for left and right panels),  $\Gamma = 2$  years and  $\Delta = 1$  year (left panel) and  $\Gamma = 5$  years,  $\Delta = 2$  years (right panel). Retrieved age spectra using (black) parent decaying tracers only or (red) daughter and parent tracers and two sets of periodic tracers with periods of 1 and 2 years. The full lines are average retrieved age spectra over 100 retrievals from 100 set of pseudo-tracer observations. The grey and green shadings corresponds to +/- the standard deviation of the hundred retrievals and show the dispersion-noise induced uncertainty.

- It Although it is beyond the scope of our study to retrieve atmospheric age spectra from actual tracer measurements. However, with appropriate considerations of errors in the definition of the error covariance matrix  $S_{\epsilon}$  measurement, a few further points should be mentioned regarding the practical applicability of our method. First, a major limitation resides in the uncertainties associated with the forward model (Eq. 23), the methodology introduced in this paper can be straightforwardly applied 7 and 8)
- 5 for chemically active tracers, in particular regarding the constant-lifetime assumption. Indeed, in the real atmosphere, the actual path taken by the fluid element strongly influences the lifetime of the specie (through changes in the photochemical exposure for instance). Schoeberl et al. (2000, 2005) have argued that the path-dependent lifetime may be reduced to a position-dependent average lifetime, but the validity of this approximation remains to be assessed. The difficulty of having variable lifetime may also be partly circumvented by including age-dependent decay rates  $\lambda(\tau)$ . This nevertheless assumes that the path dependency
- 10 of the lifetime may be condensed in the age information, and depends on an estimation of the lifetime as a function of age. Application of the method to chemically active tracers will hence require a careful examination of their lifetime variability, which can only be determined using a chemistry-transport models.

The practical feasibility of our methodology is more obvious in the case of inert-tracer measurements for which Eq. 7 also holds (with  $\lambda = 0$ ), as stated already in Sect. 2.2.1. For those, we expect that it can be applied straightforwardly to in situ or

15 remotely observed tracer measurements .. remote-sensing measurements, as long as

- the time-dependent boundary condition (and its spatial variability) are known and
- the different sources of errors (uncertainties in the boundary conditions and the measurements themselves) are appropriately considered and included in the definition of the error covariance matrix  $S_{\epsilon}$  (Eq. 23).

Tests with idealized distributions, as shown in Sect. 3.2, enable to find out which properties of the transit time distribution can

5 be inferred from a particular given set of tracers. The experiments presented above already provide some general insight on this problem.

Short-lived In general, our experiments show that short-lived species with exponential decay or conserved tracers increasing exponentially at the surface can give-provide detailed information on the transit time distribution for rapid transport, as suggested by Fig. 5. They might be sufficient to retrieve the age spectrum in the free troposphere resulting from convective transport from the boundary layer. For the stratosphere, with longer transport time scales involved and delayed arrivals of air masses, the parent radioactive tracers still carry some information on the transit time distribution, but their usefulness is more limited. Especially, they alone cannot be used to retrieve the annual cycle in age of air. However, they might be combined with long-lived tracers that exhibit an annual cycle (such as CO<sub>2</sub>) to better constrain the age spectrum. The potential of the method in practical use will depend on the measured tracer set, and can be estimated following the steps outlined in Sect. 3.

#### 15 5 Conclusions

10

The concentrations in chemical tracers with different dependencies on transit time carry information on the age of air spectrum, the transit time distribution from the surface to a given location in the atmosphere. In this paper, we propose a method to retrieve the age of air spectrum from different trace gas species' mixing ratios. Formulating the question as an inverse problem, its dimension and complexity are by far more manageable than that of the inversions routinely performed for satellite retrievals

- 20 of temperature and tracer profiles. In particular, the forward model (a mere convolution) is linear and the uncertainties are depending on the tracer considered, the uncertainties can be fairly well known compared to that of radiative transfer . Therefore,
  <sup>2</sup>. A simple Tikhonov regularization appears sufficient to constrain the problem and retrieve the atmospheric transit time distribution.
- Using prescribed age of air spectra and a set of artificial decaying radioactive tracers, we demonstrated the feasibility of the approach: even in the presence of forward model uncertainties and noise, the retrieved distributions are in reasonable agreement with the input age spectra. Furthermore, we applied the method to atmospheric transport simulations with the reanalysis-driven CLaMS model; the age spectra retrieved from a set of parent decaying tracers compared relatively well with spectra derived using the Boundary Impulse Response method, especially regarding the general shape of the distribution. However, fine-scale features, such as the seasonal cycle in transit-time probabilityfrequency, could not be captured with only-decaying tracers due
- 30 to the large width of the averaging kernels. We show that the caveat may be circumvented by including trace gas species with seasonally varying concentrations at the surface and daughter decaying species in the retrieval.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>This is at least the case for inert tracers; for chemically active tracers the sources of uncertainties are many and more difficult to quantify.

The methodology introduced in this work can be applied in a number of situations. First, it might prove useful for the estimation of age spectra in models. Indeed, the most commonly used method, the Boundary Impulse Response method (Li et al., 2012; Ploeger and Birner, 2016), requires an increasing number of tracers with increasing maximum resolved transit time, which is cumbersome and computationally expensive, especially in Eulerian models (Li et al., 2012). It has in particular

5 the disadvantage of a constant resolution as a function of transit time, which leads to unnecessarily high resolution to describe the tail at long transit times. With a refined set of artificial tracers (combining pulse and non pulse tracers), the inversion approach may enable an accurate and resolved description of the age spectrum at a reasonable computational cost.

However, the age spectrum retrieval approach might be most useful when trying to estimate transit time distributions from observations. An important number of tracers with different lifetimes and surface tendencies can nowadays be measured by Air-

- 10 core hanging below balloons (Membrive et al., 2017; Engel et al., 2017) and whole air samplers onboard aircraft (as was done in some recent campaigns, e.g. Pan et al., 2017; Jensen et al., 2017). Although more limited in resolution, some remote sensing instruments, such as GLORIA (Riese et al., 2014), <u>MIPAS (Stiller et al., 2012; Kellmann et al., 2012; Haenel et al., 2015)</u> or ACE-FTS (Bernath et al., 2005), can also retrieve an important number of relevant atmospheric species on which this approach could be applied. We hope that our methodology will pave the way for a more precise and global characterization of the age
- 15 spectrum transit time spectra from observations in the future.

#### Appendix A: Direct inversion using radioactive tracer concentrations

Here, we illustrate the ill-posedness of the direct inversion of the age spectrum from tracer concentrations. This approach writes (Schoeberl et al., 2000):

$$\mathbf{G}\mathbf{G}^{\mathrm{est}} = \mathbf{L}\mathbf{L}^{-1}\boldsymbol{\xi}^{\mathrm{obs}} \tag{A1}$$

- We use a similar setup as in Sect. 3, except that the number of transit time bins is now equal to the number of radioactive tracers with distinct decay times. The matrix  $\mathbf{L} \mathbf{L}$  is then square and can be directly inverted, as suggested by Schoeberl et al. (2000). The spectrum estimated using that approach is shown in Fig. A1. It exhibits large oscillations associated with the ill-posed, underconstrained problem. These oscillations are also present for a regression (Eq. 23 with  $\alpha^2 = 0$ ) without the regularization terms (not shown), demonstrating the necessity of the regularization.
- 25 Author contributions. AP had the original idea and designed the study with suggestions from FP. AP performed the CLaMS simulations using the age spectrum and BIR tracer setup implemented by FP. AP carried out the analysis and wrote the paper, with contributions from FP.

Acknowledgements. The authors thank Lukas Krasauskas, Isabell Krisch and Jörn Ungermann for their advice regarding the inversion methodology, and Marius Hauck, Frauke Fritsch and Hella Garny for useful discussions. We are especially grateful to Lukas Krasauskas for



**Figure A1.** Age spectrum from a direct inversion (Eq. A1) using a set of radioactive tracers (black) vs input age spectrum (red). Note that due to the huge amplitude of the characteristic oscillations associated with the ill-posed, underconstrained problem, different y-axes are used for the inversed and input age spectra.

his comments on an earlier version of the paper. This study was funded by the Helmholtz Association under grant VH-NG-1128 (Helmholtz Young Investigators Group A–SPECi).

#### References

Andrews, A. E., Boering, K. A., Daube, B. C., Wofsy, S. C., Hintsa, E. J., Weinstock, E. M., and Bui, T. P.: Empirical age spectra for the lower tropical stratosphere from in situ observations of CO2: Implications for stratospheric transport, Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 104, 26581–26595, https://doi.org/10.1029/1999JD900150, https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.

#### 5 1029/1999JD900150, 1999.

- Bernath, P. F., McElroy, C. T., Abrams, M. C., Boone, C. D., Butler, M., Camy-Peyret, C., Carleer, M., Clerbaux, C., Coheur, P.-F., Colin, R., DeCola, P., DeMazière, M., Drummond, J. R., Dufour, D., Evans, W. F. J., Fast, H., Fussen, D., Gilbert, K., Jennings, D. E., Llewellyn, E. J., Lowe, R. P., Mahieu, E., McConnell, J. C., McHugh, M., McLeod, S. D., Michaud, R., Midwinter, C., Nassar, R., Nichitiu, F., Nowlan, C., Rinsland, C. P., Rochon, Y. J., Rowlands, N., Semeniuk, K., Simon, P., Skelton, R., Sloan, J. J., Soucy, M.-A., Strong,
- 10 K., Tremblay, P., Turnbull, D., Walker, K. A., Walkty, I., Wardle, D. A., Wehrle, V., Zander, R., and Zou, J.: Atmospheric Chemistry Experiment (ACE) Mission overview, Geophys. Res. Lett., 32, L15S01, https://doi.org/10.1029/2005GL022386, 2005.
  - Butchart, N., Cionni, I., Eyring, V., Shepherd, T. G., Waugh, D. W., Akiyoshi, H., Austin, J., Brühl, C., Chipperfield, M. P., Cordero, E., Dameris, M., Deckert, R., Dhomse, S., Frith, S. M., Garcia, R. R., Gettelman, A., Giorgetta, M. A., Kinnison, D. E., Li, F., Mancini, E., McLandress, C., Pawson, S., Pitari, G., Plummer, D. A., Rozanov, E., Sassi, F., Scinocca, J. F., Shibata, K., Steil, B., and Tian, W.:
- 15 Chemistry–Climate Model Simulations of Twenty-First Century Stratospheric Climate and Circulation Changes, Journal of Climate, 23, 5349–5374, https://doi.org/10.1175/2010JCLI3404.1, https://doi.org/10.1175/2010JCLI3404.1, 2010.
  - Diallo, M., Legras, B., and Chédin, A.: Age of stratospheric air in the ERA-Interim, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 12, 12 133–12 154, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-12-12133-2012, https://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/12/12133/2012/, 2012.
  - Engel, A., Möbius, T., Bönisch, H., Schmidt, U., Heinz, R., Levin, I., Atlas, E., Aoki, S., Nakazawa, T., Sugawara, S., Moore, F., Hurst, D.,
- 20 Elkins, J., Schauffler, S., Andrews, A., and Boering, K.: Age of stratospheric air unchanged within uncertainties over the past 30 years, Nature Geoscience, 2, 28–31, https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo388, 2009.
  - Engel, A., Bönisch, H., Ullrich, M., Sitals, R., Membrive, O., Danis, F., and Crevoisier, C.: Mean age of stratospheric air derived from AirCore observations, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 17, 6825–6838, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-17-6825-2017, https://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/17/6825/2017/, 2017.
- 25 Haenel, F. J., Stiller, G. P., von Clarmann, T., Funke, B., Eckert, E., Glatthor, N., Grabowski, U., Kellmann, S., Kiefer, M., Linden, A., and Reddmann, T.: Reassessment of MIPAS age of air trends and variability, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 15, 13161–13176, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-15-13161-2015, https://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/15/13161/2015/, 2015.
  - Hall, T. M. and Plumb, A. R.: Age as a diagnostic of stratospheric transport, J. Geophys. Res., 99, 1059–1070, https://doi.org/10.1029/93JD03192, 1994.
- 30 Hall, T. M., Haine, T. W. N., and Waugh, D. W.: Inferring the concentration of anthropogenic carbon in the ocean from tracers, Global Biogeochemical Cycles, 16, 78–1–78–15, https://doi.org/10.1029/2001GB001835, https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/ 2001GB001835, 2002.
  - Hansen, P.: Analysis of Discrete III-Posed Problems by Means of the L-Curve, SIAM Review, 34, 561–580, https://doi.org/10.1137/1034115, https://doi.org/10.1137/1034115, 1992.
- 35 Hauck, M., Fritsch, F., Garny, H., and Engel, A.: Deriving stratospheric age of air spectra using chemically active trace gases, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics Discussions, 2018, 1–37, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-2018-991, https://www.atmos-chem-phys-discuss. net/acp-2018-991/, 2018.

- Holzer, M. and Hall, T. M.: Transit-Time and Tracer-Age Distributions in Geophysical Flows, Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 57, 3539–3558, https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0469(2000)057<3539:TTATAD>2.0.CO;2, https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0469(2000)057<3539:TTATAD>2.0.CO;2, 2000.
- Holzer, M., McKendry, I. G., and Jaffe, D. A.: Springtime trans-Pacific atmospheric transport from east Asia: A transit-time probability
- 5 density function approach, Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 108, https://doi.org/10.1029/2003JD003558, https://agupubs. onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2003JD003558, 2003.
  - Jensen, E. J., Pfister, L., Jordan, D. E., Bui, T. V., Ueyama, R., Singh, H. B., Thornberry, T. D., Rollins, A. W., Gao, R.-S., Fahey, D. W., Rosenlof, K. H., Elkins, J. W., Diskin, G. S., DiGangi, J. P., Lawson, R. P., Woods, S., Atlas, E. L., Rodriguez, M. A. N., Wofsy, S. C., Pittman, J., Bardeen, C. G., Toon, O. B., Kindel, B. C., Newman, P. A., McGill, M. J., Hlavka, D. L., Lait, L. R., Schoeberl, M. R.,
- 10 Bergman, J. W., Selkirk, H. B., Alexander, M. J., Kim, J.-E., Lim, B. H., Stutz, J., and Pfeilsticker, K.: The NASA Airborne Tropical Tropopause Experiment: High-Altitude Aircraft Measurements in the Tropical Western Pacific, Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society, 98, 129–143, https://doi.org/10.1175/BAMS-D-14-00263.1, http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/BAMS-D-14-00263.1, 2017.
- Johnson, D. G., Jucks, K. W., Traub, W. A., Chance, K. V., Toon, G. C., Russell, J. M., and McCormick, M. P.: Stratospheric age spectra derived from observations of water vapor and methane, Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 104, 21595–21602, https://doi.org/10.1029/1999JD900363, https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/1999JD900363, 1999.
- Kellmann, S., von Clarmann, T., Stiller, G. P., Eckert, E., Glatthor, N., Höpfner, M., Kiefer, M., Orphal, J., Funke, B., Grabowski, U., Linden, A., Dutton, G. S., and Elkins, J. W.: Global CFC-11 (CCl<sub>3</sub>F) and CFC-12 (CCl<sub>2</sub>F<sub>2</sub>) measurements with the Michelson Interferometer for Passive Atmospheric Sounding (MIPAS): retrieval, climatologies and trends, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 12, 11857–11875, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-12-11857-2012, https://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/12/11857/2012/, 2012.
- 20 Konopka, P., Steinhorst, H.-M., Grooß, J.-U., Günther, G., Müller, R., Elkins, J. W., Jost, H.-J., Richard, E., Schmidt, U., Toon, G., and McKenna, D. S.: Mixing and ozone loss in the 1999–2000 Arctic vortex: Simulations with the three-dimensional Chemical Lagrangian Model of the Stratosphere (CLaMS), Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 109, https://doi.org/10.1029/2003JD003792, https: //agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2003JD003792, 2004.
  - Li, F., Waugh, D. W., Douglass, A. R., Newman, P. A., Pawson, S., Stolarski, R. S., Strahan, S. E., and Nielsen, J. E.: Seasonal vari-
- 25 ations of stratospheric age spectra in the Goddard Earth Observing System Chemistry Climate Model (GEOSCCM), Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 117, https://doi.org/10.1029/2011JD016877, https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/ 2011JD016877, 2012.
  - McKenna, D. S., Konopka, P., Grooß, J.-U., Günther, G., Müller, R., Spang, R., Offermann, D., and Orsolini, Y.: A new Chemical Lagrangian Model of the Stratosphere (CLaMS) 1. Formulation of advection and mixing, Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmo-
- 30 spheres, 107, ACH 15–1–ACH 15–15, https://doi.org/10.1029/2000JD000114, https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/ 2000JD000114, 2002.
  - Membrive, O., Crevoisier, C., Sweeney, C., Danis, F., Hertzog, A., Engel, A., Bönisch, H., and Picon, L.: AirCore-HR: a high-resolution column sampling to enhance the vertical description of CH<sub>4</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub>, Atmospheric Measurement Techniques, 10, 2163–2181, https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-10-2163-2017, https://www.atmos-meas-tech.net/10/2163/2017/, 2017.
- 35 Orbe, C., Waugh, D. W., Newman, P. A., and Steenrod, S.: The Transit-Time Distribution from the Northern Hemisphere Midlatitude Surface, J. Atmos. Sci., 73, 3785–3802, 2016.
  - Pan, L. L., Atlas, E. L., Salawitch, R. J., Honomichl, S. B., Bresch, J. F., Randel, W. J., Apel, E. C., Hornbrook, R. S., Weinheimer, A. J., Anderson, D. C., Andrews, S. J., Baidar, S., Beaton, S. P., Campos, T. L., Carpenter, L. J., Chen, D., Dix, B., Donets, V., Hall, S. R.,

Hanisco, T. F., Homever, C. R., Huey, L. G., Jensen, J. B., Kaser, L., Kinnison, D. E., Koenig, T. K., Lamarque, J.-F., Liu, C., Luo, J., Luo, Z. J., Montzka, D. D., Nicely, J. M., Pierce, R. B., Riemer, D. D., Robinson, T., Romashkin, P., Saiz-Lopez, A., Schauffler, S., Shieh, O., Stell, M. H., Ullmann, K., Vaughan, G., Volkamer, R., and Wolfe, G.: The Convective Transport of Active Species in the Tropics (CONTRAST) Experiment, Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society, 98, 106–128, https://doi.org/10.1175/BAMS-D-14-00272.1, https://doi.org/10.1175/BAMS-D-14-00272.1, 2017.

- 5
  - Ploeger, F. T.: variability and Birner, Seasonal and inter-annual of lower stratospheric age of air spectra, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 16, 10195-10213, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-16-10195-2016, https://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/16/10195/2016/, 2016.
- Pommrich, R., Müller, R., Grooß, J.-U., Konopka, P., Ploeger, F., Vogel, B., Tao, M., Hoppe, C. M., Günther, G., Spelten, N., Hoffmann, 10 L., Pumphrey, H.-C., Viciani, S., D'Amato, F., Volk, C. M., Hoor, P., Schlager, H., and Riese, M.: Tropical troposphere to stratosphere transport of carbon monoxide and long-lived trace species in the Chemical Lagrangian Model of the Stratosphere (CLaMS), Geoscientific

Model Development, 7, 2895–2916, https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-7-2895-2014, https://www.geosci-model-dev.net/7/2895/2014/, 2014.

Ray, E. A., Moore, F. L., Rosenlof, K. H., Davis, S. M., Sweeney, C., Tans, P., Wang, T., Elkins, J. W., Boenisch, H., Engel, A., Sugawara, S., Nakazawa, T., and Aoki, S.: Improving stratospheric transport trend analysis based on SF<sub>6</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub> measurements, J. Geophys. Res.,

15 119, 14 110-14 128, 2014.

- Reithmeier, C., Sausen, R., and Grewe, V.: Investigating lower stratospheric model transport: Lagrangian calculations of mean age and age spectra in the GCM ECHAM4. Climate Dynamics, 30, 225–238, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00382-007-0294-1, https://doi.org/10.1007/ s00382-007-0294-1, 2008.
- Riese, M., Ploeger, F., Rap, A., Vogel, B., Konopka, P., Dameris, M., and Forster, P.: Impact of uncertainties in atmospheric mixing on
- 20 simulated UTLS composition and related radiative effects, J. Geophys. Res.: Atmos., 117, https://doi.org/10.1029/2012JD017751, http: //dx.doi.org/10.1029/2012JD017751, 2012.
  - Riese, M., Oelhaf, H., Preusse, P., Blank, J., Ern, M., Friedl-Vallon, F., Fischer, H., Guggenmoser, T., Höpfner, M., Hoor, P., Kaufmann, M., Orphal, J., Plöger, F., Spang, R., Sumińska-Ebersoldt, O., Ungermann, J., Vogel, B., and Woiwode, W.: Gimballed Limb Observer for Radiance Imaging of the Atmosphere (GLORIA) scientific objectives, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 7, 1915–1928, https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-7-

25 1915-2014, 2014.

Rodgers, C. D.: Inverse methods for atmospheric sounding : theory and practice, World Scientific Publishing, 2000.

- Schoeberl, M. R., Sparling, L. C., Jackman, C. H., and Fleming, E. L.: A Lagrangian view of stratospheric trace gas distributions, Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 105, 1537–1552, https://doi.org/10.1029/1999JD900787, https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/ doi/abs/10.1029/1999JD900787, 2000.
- Schoeberl, M. R., Douglass, A. R., Polansky, B., Boone, C., Walker, K. A., and Bernath, P.: Estimation of stratospheric age spectrum 30 from chemical tracers, Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 110, https://doi.org/10.1029/2005JD006125, https://agupubs. onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2005JD006125, 2005.

Stiller, G. P., von Clarmann, T., Haenel, F., Funke, B., Glatthor, N., Grabowski, U., Kellmann, S., Kiefer, M., Linden, A., Lossow, S., and López-Puertas, M.: Observed temporal evolution of global mean age of stratospheric air for the 2002 to 2010 period, Atmospheric Chem-

- 35 istry and Physics, 12, 3311–3331, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-12-3311-2012, https://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/12/3311/2012/, 2012.
  - Ungermann, J., Blank, J., Lotz, J., Leppkes, K., Hoffmann, L., Guggenmoser, T., Kaufmann, M., Preusse, P., Naumann, U., and Riese, M.: A 3-D tomographic retrieval approach with advection compensation for the air-borne limb-imager GLORIA, Atmospheric Measurement Techniques, 4, 2509–2529, https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-4-2509-2011, https://www.atmos-meas-tech.net/4/2509/2011/, 2011.

- Waugh, D. W. and Hall, T. M.: Age of stratospheric air: Theory, observations, and models, Rev. Geophys., 40, 1010, https://doi.org/10.1029/2000RG000101, 2002.
- Waugh, D. W., Hall, T. M., and Haine, T. W. N.: Relationships among tracer ages, Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans, 108, https://doi.org/10.1029/2002JC001325, https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2002JC001325, 2003.
- 5 Waugh, D. W., Crotwell, A. M., Dlugokencky, E. J., Dutton, G. S., Elkins, J. W., Hall, B. D., Hintsa, E. J., Hurst, D. F., Montzka, S. A., Mondeel, D. J., Moore, F. L., Nance, J. D., Ray, E. A., Steenrod, S. D., Strahan, S. E., and Sweeney, C.: Tropospheric SF<sub>6</sub>: Age of air from the Northern Hemisphere midlatitude surface, J. Geophys. Res., 118, 11 429–11 441, 2013.